IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TOMMY STEWART, Appellant,) DOCKET NO. 70069) DIST. CASE Rectronically Filed Aug 26 2016 09:31 a.m) Tracie K. Lindeman) Clerk of Supreme Court
vs.)
THE STATE OF NEVADA,))
Respondent.)
A TENENT E A REPRISE A W TAKE A TAKE	
	ETICAL INDEX FOR APPENDIX
Pursuant	to NRAP 30 (c)(2)

•	Judgment of ConvictionVol 1	000001-000002
•	Jury Trial Day 2 March 15, 2016 Vol 1	00009-000115
٠	Jury Trial Day 1 March 16, 2016 Vol 1	000116-000175
•	Motion to SuppressVol 1	000003-000007
6	Notice of Appeal May 19, 2016Vol 1	000176-000177
•	130 to of Tippedi May 13, 2010 Vol 1	000179-0001777

JOC

Alun to Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

CASE NO. C305984-1

DEPT, NO. XXI

TOMMY STEWART aka Tommy Laquade Stewart #2731067

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION
(JURY TRIAL)

The Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, 199.480, COUNT 2 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060, COUNT 3 – ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, 193.165, and COUNT 4 – FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

| | |

27

26

violation of NRS 200.380, 199.480, COUNT 2 - BURGLARY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060, COUNT 3 - ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, and COUNT 4 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320; thereafter, on the 10th day of May, 2016, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$2,875.00 Restitution plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: COUNT 1 - to a MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of THIRTEEN (13) MONTHS; COUNT 2 - to a MAXIMUM of NINETY-SIX (96) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-TWO (22) MONTHS, CONCURRENT with COUNT 1; COUNT 3 - to a MAXIMUM of TWENTY (20) YEARS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of EIGHT (8) YEARS, CONCURRENT with COUNT 2; and COUNT 4 - LIFE with the eligibility for parole after serving a MINIMUM parole eligibility of FIVE (5) YEARS, CONCURRENT with COUNT 3; with FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY-TWO (452) DAYS credit for time served. As the \$150,00 DNA Analysis Fee and Genetic Testing have been previously imposed, the Fee and Testing in the current case are WAIVED.

2

DATED this _____ / GAY of May, 2016

VALERIE P. ADAIR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Maleria Adam

Electronically Filed 03/07/2016 04:56:36 PM

Alun D. Lum

CLERK OF THE COURT

MOT
JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 385-5377
Attorney for Defendant:
TOMMY STEWART

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

~VS-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TOMMY STEWART, ID#2731067,

Defendant.

Case No.: C-15-305984-1

Dept. No.: VIII

MOTION TO SUPPRESS DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT

COMES NOW Defendant, TOMMY STEWART, by and through his attorney of record, JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ., of the law firm of MARCHESE LAW OFFICES, PC, and hereby respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an Order granting his request to suppress his statement.

This Motion is based on the Papers and Pleadings on file herein, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities contained herein, the Affidavit of Counsel attached hereto, and oral argument, if necessary, at the hearing of this Motion.

27 | ///

28 | ///

į

1	This Motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
2	DATED this 6 th day of March, 2016.
3	MARCHESE/LAN OFFICES, PC
4	MARCHESETTAN GERICES, PC
5	By: JESS A MARCHESE, ESQ.
6	Nevada Bar No.: 8175
7	601 S. Las Vegas Bivd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
8	(702) 385-5377
9	Attorney for Defendant: TOMMY STEWART
10	
11	NOTICE OF MOTION
12	TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff; and
13	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Counsel for Plaintiff:
14	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the
15	OO Moreh
16	<u> </u>
17	the hour of 8:00a .m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.
18	DATED this day of March, 2016.
19	MARCHESE LAW OFFICES, PC
20	
21	By:
22	Nevada Bar No.: 8175 601 S. Las Vegas Boulevard
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
24	(702) 385-5377 Attorney for Defendant:
25	TOMMY STEWART
26	
27	

- 18

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Factual Background and Procedural Posture

The Defendant herein, TOMMY STEWART, was charged Robbery with the Use of a Deadly Weapon, Burglary while in Possession of a Firearm, Kidnapping with the use of a Firearm, Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, and Open and Gross Lewdness. The theory of the case was that on January 20, 2015 Mr. Stewart and an unnamed accomplice took Natasha Lumba by gunpoint into her apartment and robbed her of various personal items. While doing so, one of the assailants checked her bra for money, touching her breast during the process.

On February 14, 2015, Stewart was arrested and interviewed by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Detectives Abell and Turner at the Clark County Detention Center. On page 10 of his 93 page transcribed voluntary statement the following verbal exchange occurs:

- Q. Um, you have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can be used against you in a court of law. You have the right to the presence of an attorney during questioning. If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed before questioning. Do you understand these rights?
- A. Yes.
- O. Okay
- A. Yeah, I do.

A preliminary hearing for this case was held on April 16, 2015. Probable cause was found on counts 1-4 and count 5, open and gross lewdness, was dismissed. Trial is currently set for March 15, 2016. This motion follows.

Applicable Law

II. Legal Standard

The Fifth Amendment guarantees no person "shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself." U.S. CONST. amend V. From this guarantee, courts derived the <u>Miranda</u> rule, which states that "the prosecution may not use statements ... stemming from custodial

interrogation of the defendant unless it demonstrates the use of procedural safeguards effective to secure the privilege against self-incrimination." Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 346, 444 (1966). The Miranda decision also "established certain procedural safeguards that require police to advise criminal suspects of their rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments before commencing custodial interrogation." Duckworth v. Eagan, 492 U.S. 195, 202 (1984).

"In now-familiar words, the Court said that the suspect must be told that 'he has [1] the right to remain silent, [2] that anything he says can be used against him in a court of law, [3] that he has the right to the presence of an attorney, and [4] that is he cannot afford an attorney one will be appointed for him prior to any questioning if he so desires." *Id.* at 201-02 (1989) (citing Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 346 (1966)).

The right to have an attorney present includes the right to consult with an attorney before answering any questions. <u>Florida v. Powell</u>, 559 U.S. 50, 60 (2010) ("an absolute prerequisite to interrogation, that an individual held for questioning must clearly be informed that he has the right to consult with a lawyer and to have the lawyer with him during interrogation"); see also <u>People of the Territory of Guam v. Snaer</u>, 758 F.2d 1341, 1342 (9th Cir. 1985).

"No talismanic incantation [is] required to satisfy [Miranda's] strictures," California v. Prysock, 453 U.S. 355, 359 (1981); rather the relevant inquiry is whether the warnings given "adequately convey notice of the right to consult with an attorney before questioning." Snaer, 758 F.2d at 1342.

Incriminating statements made after inadequate Miranda warnings must be suppressed. United States v. Botello-Rosales, 728 F.3d 865, 867-68 (9th Cir. 2013); see also United States v. Bland, 908 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1990) (declining to address the issue of the defendant's waiver of his Miranda rights after finding that the defendant's confession should have been suppressed due to inadequate Miranda warnings).

///

///

III. Discussion

The issue is whether Stewart received adequate Miranda warnings as delineated above.

It is well established that the right to the presence of counsel includes the right to consult with an attorney before answering any questions. <u>Powell</u>, 559 U.S. at 62 ("right to talk to a lawyer before answering any questions" adequately conveyed right); see also <u>Snaer</u>, 758 F.2d at 1342.

Here, Stewart received inadequate Miranda warnings. Detective Abell's Miranda warnings did not explicitly state that Stewart had the right to consult or talk to an attorney before answering any questions. Nor did Detective Abell's warnings adequately convey Stewart's right to talk to an attorney before answering any questions; at best the warnings conveyed to Stewart that he could ask for an attorney to be appointed at any time during questioning. The Miranda warnings that Stewart received failed to adequately inform him of his right to consult with an attorney before answering any questions. Accordingly, any incriminatory statements that Stewart made during his interview with Detective Abell should be suppressed. Finally, any incriminatory statements made before the warnings were issued should also be suppressed.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, counsel for the Defendant, TOMMY STEWART, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court issue an Order suppressing his statement given to LVMPD

Ī	Detectives on February 14, 2015.
2	DATED this 6 th day of March, 2016.
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
4	MARCHESE 1 OFFICES, PC
5	Ву:
6	JESS MARCHESE, ESQ. Nevaga Bar No.: 8175
7	601 8. Las Vegas Blvd.
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
9	(702) 385-5377
10	Attorney for Defendant: TOMMY STEWART
11	
12	CHEROMANICA COMO CARE NO A COCANANTA DE COMO A NUCLEARICADA.
13	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
14	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 6th day of March, 2016 a true and correct copy of th
15	foregoing MOTION TO SUPPRESS was sent via facsimile to the following recipients:
16	DDA Agnes Lexis, Esq. 702-868-2436
17	DDA Tierra Jones, Esq.
18	
19	By: Employee of Jess R. Marchese, Esq.
20	Employee of reas ic. Marchese, Esq.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 07/05/2016 08:03:46 AM

RTRAN 1 CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C305984-1 9 DEPT. XX1 Plaintiff, 10 11 V\$. 12 TOMMY STEWART aka, TOMMY LAQUADE STEWART 13 Defendant. 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 16 TUESDAY, MARCH 15, 2016 17 RECORDER'S PARTIAL ROUGH TRANSRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: **JURY TRIAL DAY 2 TESTIMONY AND MOTION ARGUMENT** 18 19 APPEARANCES: 20 For the State: TIERRA D. JONES ESQ. 21 Deputy District Attorney 22 AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 23 For the Defendant: 24 JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ. 25 RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 1

and they're given by the year, the month, and the day, and then the last four digits are a succession of the events that happened that day.

- Q Okay. And are you aware of the event number in this particular case or for that event at 805 Rock Springs Drive, Unit 101 as being 150120-4490?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. When you responded to the scene, what is it that you did?
- A When I first responded to the scene I spoke to the patrol officer who requested me to be there. He gave me a rundown of the story that he had and then he walked me through the scene. Once I did my walkthrough I completed my notes noting anything that was out of place or reportedly disturbed and I followed that up with my crime scene photography.

After my photography I kind of looked around, looked for any evidence or any items that were reportedly disturbed that would be conducive for latent print processing and then I processed those items.

- Q Okay. And is that what you did -- is that all you did at 805 Rock Spring Drive?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Let's talk about the photography first.
- MS. LEXIS: Your Honor, may I approach with, some of these have been admitted others have not been.
 - THE COURT: Okay.
 - MS. LEXIS: But they are State's Exhibits 30 through 86.
 - THE COURT: Okay,
- MS. LEXIS: And, I'll just approach Defense counsel and show him.

BY MS. LEXIS:

1	Q	All right. Ms. Charlton, I'm showing you State's Exhibits 30 through 86
2	Can you, p	lease, just thumb through the photographs and see if you recognize
3	them?	
4	А	Yes, this looks like all the photos that I took when I arrived on scene.
5	Q	Okay.
6	А	Yeah.
7	Q	And that's the incident that we've been talking about?
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. And so, what is it that you photographed once you got there?
10	А	So, once I got there, before I moved anything, I just photographed the
11	apartment as it was with everything disturbed, as it was reported to me, before I did	
12	anything else.	
13	Q	Okay. You indicated that
14	MS. L	EXIS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, at this point I move to admit State's
15	Exhibits 30	
16	MR.	MARCHESE: No objection.
17	THE	COURT: Welf, wait.
18	MS. L	EXIS: Thirty through eighty-six.
19	THE	COURT: No objection?
20	MR, N	MARCHESE: No, Your Honor.
21	THE	COURT: All right. To the extent some of those weren't admitted, those
22	will now be	admitted.
23	[Exhibits 3	31-36, 38, 40, 41, 43, 44, 47-53, 57-60, 65, 68-70, 72, 75-83, admitted]
24	BY MS. LEX	ds:
25	Q	Okay. You indicated that you did latent print processing?
- 13		

	I I	
1	A	Absolutely.
2	Q	And these are the factors that you just talked about?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Did you process the door
5	A	Yes, I did.
6	Q	to the resident? Did you process the inside or the outside?
7	A	The exterior side.
8	Q	Okay. I'm gonna show you what's been admitted as State's Exhibit
9	number 81.	Do you recognize what's depicted in this photograph?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And what is that?
12	A	So, this right here, you can kind of see where the powder adhered to
13	prints on the door. The tape doesn't necessarily the tape isn't good at lifting prints	
14	off of a surfa	ace like a door. So, this scale is there for my photography of the prints
15	Q	Okay. I'm gonna show you what's been marked and admitted as
16	State's Exhi	bit number 80.
17	MS. L	EXIS: I'm sorry. Our screen's not working in terms of clearing it. Do
18	you know ho	ow to clear that?
19	THE	MARSHAL: Hit bottom right-hand corner.
20	MS. L	EXIS: The right bottom.
21	THE	VITNESS: Bottom right? Okay.
22	BY MS. LEX	is:
23	Q	Okay. Do you see State's Exhibit number 80?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Is this some more overall photo of on the door that we've been

1	Q	Okay. What are they? What is that?
2	А	So, as I'm sure you all can assume, in your own house your prints are
3	gonna be o	n your items. So, when we recover prints from any kind of crime scene
4	we take elir	nination prints from the victim or from anyone who lives in the home to
5	eliminate their prints from the prints that we've recovered.	
6	MS. LEXIS: Your Honor, may I approach with what's been previously marke	
7	as State's proposed Exhibit number 97?	
8	THE COURT: All right.	
9	MS. L	EXIS: Thank you.
10	BY MS. LEX	KIS:
11	Q	And I'm showing you what's been marked as State's proposed Exhibit
12	number 97.	Do you recognize this?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	What is it?
15	А	These are my elimination cards.
16	Q	Okay. Do they fairly and accurately depict the elimination cards you
17	took on January 21st, 2015 pursuant to the event that we've been talking about?	
18	А	Yes.
19	MS. L	EXIS: I move to admit State's Exhibit 97 into evidence.
20	THE	COURT: Same objection?
21	MR. N	MARCHESE: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE	COURT: All right. Ninety-seven will be admitted.
23		[Exhibit 97, admitted]
24	MS. L	EXIS: Permission to publish?
25	THE	COURT: Excuse me, you may.
1		

1	was the lo	ocation when you got them?
2	Α	I'm sorry, the location of rolling her prints?
3	Q	Correct.
4	A	Was just outside of her residence.
5	Q	So she was present when you did that?
6	Α	Correct.
7	Q	Do you know what time that was at?
8	A	i do not.
9	Q	Do you know what time you were called out to the scene?
10	A	I know when I arrived. I do not know what time I was requested.
11	Q	What time did you arrive?
12	Α	Midnight 50.
13	Q	So that's 12:50 a.m.?
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	Now, you stated on direct examination what you do is you go through,
16	you take y	our pictures; and is the last thing that you do in this particular case the
17	prints?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Approximately how long did that take?
20	А	I can't recall.
21	Q	And your normal course of action is to take the prints and then you go
22	back to the lab, is that correct?	
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	And that's what you did in this case?
25	Α	Yes.

recover prints from evidence.

I then became a forensic scientist trainee where I learned for another year. I trained on how to do more complex comparisons, how to issue reports and how to testify in court. And then I've been a forensic scientist for four years now.

- Q And, Heather, that covers your on-the-job training. What type of training did you have prior to being hired by Metro to be able to work in the forensic lab?
- A Um, I have my bachelor's degree in sociology, I have my master's degree in forensic science.
- Q And have you had to take any courses while you've been employed by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to stay certified?
- A Well, I am certified as a latent print examiner through the International Association for Identification, which is the leading professional organization for latent print examiners in the country, as well as we are also take workshops and we go to conferences and we read articles to keep abreast of current topics in our field.
 - Q And what are your main job duties as a forensic scientist at Metro?
- A I look at latent prints and I compare them to known prints. I search those prints through our AFIS system and I also recover evidence from -- or recover latent prints from evidence and issue reports and testify in court.
- Q And, Heather, do you actually go out to the crime scenes and collect the latent prints or how are they provided to you?
- A I do not go to the crime scenes. Our latent prints come to us through our evidence technicians and they are located in a secure vault area that we can access.
 - Q And then does it become your job to then examine those prints?

- A When it is requested or it comes through our AFIS process, yes.
- Q And, let's talk about how does something come through your AFIS process?

A Anytime a crime scene analyst or someone that can take latent prints, officers, residential officers, cadets or PSRs, they submit their latent print packet to our evidence custodians, our evidence technicians. And certain crime types such as robberies, homicides, burglaries, automatically get screened through our bureau or through our section for AFIS entry.

- Q Okay. And those are certain, those types of crimes?
- A Yes.
- Q And, Heather, in your training and experience if someone touches something is it an automatic that they would leave a print behind?
 - A No, it's not.
 - Q Are there different reasons why a print would not be left behind?
- A Yeah, there are many factors. So, the condition of your skin, if your skin is dry then you're typically not gonna be able to leave a print. The residue that coats your skin, if there's too much residue you're gonna leave behind like a big smudge, or if there's not enough residue on your skin.

The surface of the area is important whether or not it's textured or too small to recover latent print from, as well as how you touch the item. So, if you touch something and pull your hand up then you're more likely to recover a print then if you touch something and move your hand, and it's gonna be distorted.

And then there's also environmental factors. So, if an object was laid out in the desert all summer long we're typically won't be able to recover any latents from that.

1	A	l did.
2	Q	And what archive prints did you pull?
3	A	I pulled the archive prints for Tommy Stewart.
4	Ms.	JONES: And, Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
5	THE COURT: Sure.	
6	BY MS. JONES:	
7	Q	And, I am showing you what's been marked as State's proposed Exhibit
8	89. Do yo	u recognize what that is?
9	A	I do.
10	Q	What is that?
11	A	That is the archived prints of Tommy Stewart.
12	Q	And is this a fair and accurate copy of the archived prints that you had
13	in this case?	
14	А	It is.
15	Q	And do you actually have a signature stamp on there authenticating this
16	document?	
17	А	l do.
18	MS.	JONES: State would move for the admission of State's proposed 89.
19	THE	COURT: Same objection?
20	MR.	MARCHESE: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE	COURT: Eighty-nine is admitted.
22		[Exhibit 89, admitted]
23	BY MS. JONES:	
24	Q	And so, these are the prints that you pulled belonging to Tommy
25	Stewart?	

 A Correct,

Q And, once you pulled those prints belonging to Tommy Stewart, what did you do after that?

A After I get the prints I do a manual comparison. And what I mean by manual comparison is a side by side comparison. So, I look at all the data that's in the print, the latent print, compare that to what's -- all the data in the known print, to see whether or not it can draw a conclusion from that. And in this case I did identify that.

THE COURT: Can you tell us what you mean by data from one print to the next?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So, if you look at your skin you see the skin of your hands is different from the rest of your skin. This is more rough, so this is called friction ridge skin. And what friction ridge skin is comprised of is ridges and furrows and ridges, they do something, so they don't just go horizontal or vertical, they have a flow to them. And you trace each ridge out it does something so it either stops, which would be a ridge ending, or it merges or divides into another ridge, which would be a bifurcation. And each one of those points are called minutia points.

Those are data that we look at as well as the flow of the ridge, and any
-- the shape of the ridge, so the shape is not just like a pencil line it does have some
kind of shape to it, we look at those as well. All that data that we take into
consideration when we do our comparisons.

BY MS. JONES:

Q And, do you have any specialized training to do this manual comparison?

A Yes, like I said, I was a forensic lab technologist where I learned the

- Q Okay. So, you search AFIS, you grab the prints, and then you do a comparison, correct?
 - A Correct. So when I enter a print into AFIS --
 - Q Just a yes or no.
 - A Okay.
 - Q Yeah. And so, you then you do your comparison, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q All right. And that comparison, you've used the word manually several times, it's done manually, correct?
 - A The comparison is? Yes, yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, describe to the jury how you do that manually.
- A So, what I do is I get the latent prints and I get the exemplar prints or known prints and then I look at the data in the latent print and I look at I find a area that I target as my initial target group, my initial search area, and then I look at the ridges and see if I can find any corresponding ridge details and ridge endings in the known prints. When I do find correspondence I then, basically, I just go ridge by ridge and I look at all the details and see if I have enough to come to a correct conclusion. And once I do have enough information then I can, if I have enough that corresponds, then I can issue a conclusion of identification.

THE COURT: Just -- I'm sorry, go on.

THE WITNESS: I was gonna say, if I don't have enough data I can issue a conclusion of exclusion.

THE COURT: Do you just do that with naked eye or do you have like some kind of special tool or device that you use?

THE WITNESS: Um, we usually use magnifiers that are called loops or we

1	can also do it on the computer.	
2	BY MR. MARCHESE:	
3	Q So, in this particular case, you did that and it was your conclusion	
4	based on the AFIS system that there was a match with Tommy Stewart, correct?	?
5	A Correct.	
6	Q And then you had talked about some left cards that you had for Mr.	
7	Stewart, I believe that would be State's Exhibit 89. Do you recognize that?	
8	A The exemplar prints? Yes.	
9	Q And is this, I believe you already answered this but this was a true a	anc
10	accurate copy of the one you did in this case?	
11	A That is correct.	
12	Q Okay. And, in this particular case you did that match and then it car	ne
13	back to Mr. Stewart again was your testimony, is that correct?	
14	A That's correct, yes.	
15	Q And this was all done in one day, correct?	
16	A Correct.	
17	MR. MARCHESE: No further questions.	
18	THE COURT: All right. Redirect?	
19	MS. JONES: Nothing further, Your Honor.	
20	THE COURT: Any additional juror questions? I think we covered the last	
21	uror question.	
22	THE MARSHAL: Any others?	
23	[Bench conference not recorded]	
?4	THE COURT: some juror questions up here.	
25	QUESTIONS BY THE JURY	

THE COURT: When a print is run through AFIS and there's a hit, like you testified, is that 100 percent that it's the same print or is there something else that has to be done to verify that the AFIS hit and the known print are from the same individual? Can you kind of explain that for us?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. What it is it's a potential match and that's why we do a manual comparison to determine whether or not it actually is a true hit.

THE COURT: And, were there any other, did AFIS come up with any other potential matches?

THE WITNESS: What we do is we look at the candidate list and we determine by doing -- it's basically an onscreen comparison. What --

THE COURT: So you can kind of, based on your experience, narrow it down?

THE WITNESS: Correct. We look at all the details on screen and we determine whether or not that has the potential to be a true match. And then that's when we pull the actual exemplars of that person and then do a manual comparison.

THE COURT: And, did you just do the one manual comparison in this case, meaning between the Defendant's prints and the latent prints?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And the prints that are used for exclusion, how are those obtained; the exclusionary prints?

THE WITNESS: Exclusion is basically a conclusion that we can render. So, in this case I didn't have any exclusions so, I did not exclude anybody in this case. So, had I compared, for instance, Natasha Lumba to the other print in this case that belonged to Tommy Stewart there would not be corresponding detail between Natasha Lumba and Tommy Stewart. So, in that case I would, if I compared it, then I would probably issue an exclusion report or conclusion.

1	Q And you got a match to Tommy Stewart?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And after you did that you pulled State's Exhibit 89, which is the
4	exemplar prints of Tommy Stewart?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And then you compared the two?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And I'm gonna show you, I have absolutely no forensic training, but if I
9	show you these two side by side, is this basically the comparison that you do but
10	you have training and experience to do the comparison?
11	A Yes. And I actually did a chart in this case.
12	Q Okay.
13	A I don't know if that would help, um.
14	THE COURT: Would it help you explain?
15	THE WITNESS: The explain.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	BY MS. JONES:
18	Q Yes, can you refer to the chart to explain to us?
19	A So, in this case, this is the latent print of
20	THE COURT: You want to mark that?
21	MR. MARCHESE: Yeah, I'm gonna object. I don't this hasn't been entered
22	into evidence that I'm aware of.
23	MS. JONES: Yeah, can Lapproach, Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yeah, you need to mark it.
25	MS. JONES: May I approach the witness?

1	THE COURT: You may.	
2	BY MS. JONES:	
3	Q And, Ms. Gouldthorpe, I'm showing you, oh, and I apologize. If I could	
4	show it to opposing counsel first.	
5	Ms. Gouldthorpe, I'm showing you what's been proposed to be State's	
6	Exhibit, proposed Exhibit 98, what are we looking at here?	
7	A This is the chart that I made based on the latents and the exemplar	
8	prints that I was provided. Our charts are just, basically, another tool that we have	
9	to support our conclusions.	
10	Q And did you use this chart to make the comparison in this case?	
11	A It is a representation of the comparison that I did.	
12	Q And, this was prepared while you were doing the work on this case?	
13	A It was, yes.	
14	Q And does this photograph fairly and accurately depict the comparison	
15	that you did in this case?	
16	A It does.	
17	MS. JONES: The State would move for the admission of State's proposed	
18	98.	
19	MR. MARCHESE: I would ask for more foundation as to which print she was	
so		
21	THE COURT: Yeah, what exactly is on that?	
22	BY MS. JONES:	
23	Q Okay. Can you tell us what's on this sheet?	
24	A Yes, this is the latent print from Q2 A, which is the latent print from the	
25	jewelry box atop the washer in the laundry room area, and Q2 is the latent card, and	

And then we also look at the minutia points. And you can see the red

1	MS. LEXIS: Thank you. Permission to publish?	
2	THE COURT: Sure.	
3	[Exhibits 2, 3 and 91through 94, admitted]	
4	BY MS. LEXIS:	
5	Q Actually, let me just show Detective Jackson, State's Exhibits 91	
6	through 94. Detective Jackson, can you please take a look at this, these Exhibits,	
7	they've already been admitted, I just want you to have a better look at the Exhibits	
8	before I publish them.	
9	Do you recognize what's depicted in State's Exhibits 91 through 94?	
10	A Yes, ma'am.	
11	Q Okay. And what do you recognize them to be?	
12	A That's the parking lot of Bells Market with the other parking lot looking	
13	west from Bells Market where we had surveillance.	
14	Q Okay. I'm gonna show you what's now been admitted by stipulation as	
15	State's Exhibit number 2. Detective Jackson, can you please clear the screen. Do	
16	you know how to do that?	
17	THE COURT: 1'll do it.	
18	MS. LEXIS. Thank you, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Just if you touch the bottom corner it'll clear it, but I already dic	
50	it.	
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
22	MS. LEXIS: Thank you. Ours isn't working.	
23	THE COURT: Perfect. For future.	
24	BY MS. LEXIS:	
25	Q Okay. What's this showing, Detective?	

particular vehicle -- or in this particular photo but do you know whereabouts Detective Vorce would have been that night?

A In the evening time from that box truck over to the white van or the white bus that's parked, those cars are not there in the evening time, so approximately straight back and right where those trees are at, just on the other side where you'd see that first row of vehicles.

Q Can you just circle the area? Okay. So, for the record, you're, it's kind of towards the center or the middle the cluster of cars located in State's Exhibit number 93?

A Approximately, that would have been where he was at just a little further west there.

- Q Okay. Was he tasked also with conducting surveillance?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Where were you when you first became aware of Tommy Stewart potentially being in this area?
 - Α !--
 - Q This gas station?
 - A Initially, I was on J Street, just north of Doolittle.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Which is just around the corner.
 - Q And, when you make your way there, what do you see?
- A When I receive information that he's there I proceed down Doolittle and I turn south on H Street. And as I'm approaching the Bells Market, off to my left-hand side or facing east as I'm traveling south I see an individual, who's wearing the items that are described to me, walking from the little shopping center just north of

1	THE WITNESS: The bottom right corner, sorry.	
2	MS. LEXIS: Bottom right, sorry. Thank you, Detective.	
3	BY MS. LEXIS:	
4	Q Okay, so where is it that you saw Tommy Stewart, initially?	
5	A Initially, walking around this plant over here.	
6	Q Okay. Now, the individual that you saw in that area, which you just	
7	pointed out in State's Exhibit number 91 on February 14 th of 2015, do you see him	
8	here in court today?	
9	A I can't see behind the monitor. Can I stand up?	
10	Q Yes.	
11	THE COURT: Sure.	
12	MS. LEXIS: Thank you.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	
14	BY MS. LEXIS:	
15	Q Okay. Can you, well, can you tell us where he's sitting?	
16	A He's sitting behind the monitor at the desk behind you.	
17	Q Okay.	
18	MS. LEXIS: Your Honor, please, let the record reflect identification of the	
19	Defendant.	
20	THE COURT: It will.	
21	MS. LEXIS: Thank you.	
22	BY MS. LEXIS:	
23	Q And so, you pull into the gas station, is that correct?	
24	A Yes, ma'am.	
25	Q State's Exhibit number 92, does that show where you pulled into, kind	
- 13		

1	transported him.
2	Q Okay.
3	MS. LEXIS: Court's brief indulgence. I have no further questions for this
4	witness. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. MARCHESE: No questions, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: No questions? Any jury questions for the witness?
8	All right. Detective, I see no further questions. Thank you for your
9	testimony. Please don't discuss your testimony with anybody else that may be a
10	witness in this case.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
12	THE COURT: Sir, you are excused.
13	May I see counsel at the bench?
14	[Bench conference not recorded]
15	THE COURT: State, call your next witness.
16	MS. JONES: The State's next witness is Officer Vorce.
17	THE MARSHAL: Just remain standing, raise your hand, face the clerk to you
18	left, please.
19	MATTHEW VORCE
20	[having been called as a witness and being first duly swom, testified as follows:]
21	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated and please state and
22	spell your name.
23	THE WITNESS: Matthew Vorce, M-A-T-T-H-E-W, Vorce, V as in Victor-
24	O-R-C-E.
25	THE COURT: All right.
- 1	

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23

24 25 gas pumps or the ones closer to the intersection, the one where the red car is here or the next set of pumps closer to the intersection. I don't recall exactly which ones he --

- Q But he pulled into the gas pumps?
- Α He pulled into the area where the gas pumps are, correct.
- Q And while he pulled into the gas pumps are you monitoring from across the street, like what's going on?
- Α Yeah, that is. And then most of this is happening simultaneously basically, you know, you have the vehicles pulling into the parking lot and as I see Tommy Stewart all at the same time. So, I'm monitoring as the vehicle, Jackson's vehicle passes and when I was able to identify Tommy Stewart I monitored his -continued monitoring his movements 'cause he was obviously one of the subjects that we were looking for.
 - O Did you ever see Tommy Stewart do anything?
- Α Yeah, he walked over to the rear, the rear passenger side door of that white Toyota, which was parked over in the parking stall.
- Q Okay. And where at in -- on State's Exhibit 6, can you show us where he walked to?
- Α He walked over and he basically stood right in this area, opened up the rear passenger side door of the vehicle and he stood in the rear passenger side of the vehicle. Him and then some of the other males started all migrating over from the initial spot where I pointed out in the parking lot, they all basically started migrating over to the rear of the vehicle.
 - \mathbb{Q} So, the rear passenger door is open at that point?
 - Α There was a subject that was with them who remains unidentified and

A So at this point, after Tommy Stewart placed his firearm in the back floorboard of the vehicle all the other subjects they all basically moved in and they were tight bunched up in the door. The rear passenger door was open. So basically you got the v-formation of the vehicle and, granted, to remember for my position, I was directly behind the vehicle approximately 100 to 150 yards back, so I had a clear view directly into it. But when they all, the subjects, bunched up into it all I could see is the herd of the subjects, and I was able to see a second arm, or the subjects moving, and I see a second arm go back into the rear floorboard of the vehicle with a second firearm being placed on the floorboard of the same vehicle next to the initial location where Tommy Stewart's gun was placed.

- Q And were you able to clearly see what this second item was?
- A The second item I was able to identify as a firearm. I could tell 'cause I could see the bottom of the firearm. But I was not able to see what other subject placed it 'cause I was only able to see the arm going down and the firearm being placed on the floorboard. I could not see what other subject placed the firearm on the car.
 - Q And then, what happened after that?
- A At this point I sent Detective Davis, who was located next to me. I immediately had him respond over to the location to assist Officer Jackson because Officer Jackson, he was unaware of the firearms being placed on the floorboards 'cause he initially just pulled into the parking lot and as he's exiting his vehicle. There's a down time where you go into the radio silence between turning on a portable radio and having the vehicle radio accessible. So, I sent Officer Davis over there to go assist him due to the multiple subjects and I continued to maintain surveillance and notifying of them over the radio of the firearm being placed on the

ground -- or on the floorboard.

- Q And had you tried to notify Officer Jackson over the radio about the firearms?
- A That is correct. I was trying to notify him over the radio. I was not getting immediate response and I, granted, I'm able to see him, as well as he's contacting Tommy Stewart and he was contacting him closer over by the gas pumps. But the other subjects still remained over by the vehicle and that's when I sent Officer Davis over to assist.
 - Q And why did you send Officer Davis over to assist?
- A Because as I was continuing to monitor the parking lot subjects the door remained ajar and a black female actually went and sat into the rear of the vehicle with her set on the rear passenger seat with her buttocks on the rear seat with her feet on the pavement outside the vehicle with the door ajar, knowing that the two firearms were located next to him [sic]. And I identified that as a possible threat to Officer Jackson.
 - Q Okay. And so, it was a safety concern?
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q Did they ever try to close the door to the Toyota Corolla?
- A She sat in the vehicle for a few minutes I believe. When she exited the vehicle the door would have been closed.
- Q And, after you had sent Officer Davis over to assist Officer Jackson did there come a point in time where you approached the Toyota Corolla?
- A Yes. Once Officer Jackson had dealt with Mr. Stewart and Detective Davis was assisting with the other subjects and basically what we're trying to do is render the scene safe. My vehicle is the surveillance vehicle so I have to actually

leave my vehicle at its initial location because what we call -- we don't want to burn our vehicle 'cause if we pull it up to a scene, obviously, the criminals are gonna see what vehicles we're utilizing. So I had to park my vehicle and I had to approach on foot over to the initial stop location.

- Q And did you actually do that?
- A I did. And then that's when I began assisting the other officers on scene and continuing with our investigation.
- Q And did there ever come a point in time where you conducted a probable cause search on the Corolla?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And, what was the basis for that?
- A So, initially the vehicle was running, I shut the vehicle off and noticed the smell of gasoline in the vehicle. Conducted a search of the vehicle due to me observing Mr. Stewart place the firearm on the rear of the vehicle, conducted a search of the vehicle, located a bag with the firearms inside of them.

MS. JONES: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. MARCHESE: Your Honor, I'll stipulate that they're fair and accurate depictions of what the sergeant saw on the date in question but we would object under the relevance.

THE COURT: All right. It's overruled on the relevance prongs.

MS. JONES: It's overruled on the relevant [indiscernible]? Okay.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, with that stipulation, the State would move to admit State's 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14.

1	THE	COURT: All right. Those are all admitted.
2		[Exhibits 10 through14, admitted]
3	MS.	JONES: Permission to publish?
4	THE COURT: You may.	
5	BY MS. JONES:	
6	Q	And, Sergeant Vorce, I'm going to show you what's been marked and
7	admitted a	s State's Exhibit 10. Do you recognize what we're looking at in that
8	photograph?	
9	A	That would have been the bag located in the vehicle with the two
10	firearms inside of it.	
11	Q	And, does it appear to have the firearms in it as we're looking at it right
12	here?	
13	Α	That is correct.
14	Q	And showing you State's 11. Is that just the actual bag itself?
15	Α	That is correct.
16	Q	And, State's 12, does that depict where it was located?
17	Α	Absolutely.
18	Q	And, in State's 14, just a different angle of that bag?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And, that's the bag that contained the firearms?
21	Α	That is correct.
22	Q	And, you actually saw the firearms inside of that bag?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And, at that point, did you freeze the vehicle?
25	А	That is correct.

A Moving the, like saying, initially concealing the firearms from view by placing them in the bag and moving them from their location up to the front passenger seat of the vehicle just by reaching around the seat and dropping the bag down.

- Q And did you actually see her arm reach around the seat?
- A I saw her movements. I saw the motions are right up to the front of the vehicle.
 - Q And then the white bag is recovered in the front seat of the vehicle?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. And was there only one female in this group?

A So, initially there was -- there was actually two females in the group, if I recall correctly. The one who actually moved the firearms, she was not in that initial group that was in the huddle before Officer Jackson's arrival. She arrived as all the officers were arriving or just prior to all the officers arriving so she just happened to be in between Officer Jackson taking action with Stewart and my initial surveillance, so.

- Q But you saw her moving around inside the vehicle?
- A That's correct.
- Q And manipulating the bag?
- A Yeah. And, again, this just has to just do with the amount of volume of foot traffic that's in the parking lot. A lot of people know each other and it's a community and they know each other, and so there's constant interactions between people coming in and out of the gas station as well as the people in the parking lot.
 - Q And, Sergeant Vorce, these two firearms that we've been looking at in

the photographs, are both of those firearms semiautomatic?

- A Yes.
- Q And, the individuals that were originally there when you and Officer Jackson began your surveillance, was there somebody who was able to leave before you guys could detain him?
- A That would have been the initial subject who opened the door, it would have been the taller, older black gentlemen wearing a red t-shirt.
 - Q And was he able to leave before you could even identify him?
- A Yes, we weren't I never was able to contact him because basically, initially when the officers arrived on scene it was initially just said, officer, now Detective Jackson, as well as Detective Davis, they arrived at different, you know, and they starting contacting people. Detective Davis' first primary responsibility was to secure the closest thing to the firearms, so get the vehicle and the people moved away from the vehicle where we knew the firearms were located, start contacting people individually, conducting pat downs make sure they didn't have any additional firearms on them. And while all this is going on the subject was able to slip away.
 - Q But that was a black, male adult?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay.
 - MS. JONES: Pass the witness.
 - THE COURT: All right.
 - MR. MARCHESE: No questions, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: No questions? Any juror questions for this witness?
- No, I see no questions. Officer, thank you for your testimony. Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may be a witness in this case.

1 sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 3 THE COURT: And, you are excused. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're gonna go ahead and take 4 our lunch break. We'll be in recess for the lunch break until 1:55. 5 6 [Jury admonishment not transcribed] 7 [Recess taken at 12:50 p.m.] [Proceedings resumed at 2:04 p.m.] 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record should reflect the presence of the State through the Deputy District Attorneys, the 10 Defendant and his counsel, the officers of the court, and the ladies and gentlemen of 11 the jury. 12 13 And, State, you may call your next witness. MS. LEXIS: Your Honor, the State calls Jeffery Abell. 14 THE COURT: And then, sir, please remain standing, facing that lady right 15 there who will administer the oath to you. 16 17 JEFFERY ABELL [having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:] 18 THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated and please state and 19 20 spell your name. THE WITNESS: It's Jeffery Abell, J-E-F-F-E-R-Y, A-B-E-L-L. 21 MS. LEXIS: May I, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: You may. MS. LEXIS: Thank you. 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION 25

	11		
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Can you point to him and describe something he's wearing?	
3	Α	He's sitting right here at the table with the gray shirt on.	
4	MS. L	EXIS: Okay. Your Honor, let the record reflect identification of the	
5	Defendant.	Defendant.	
6	THE COURT: It will.		
7	MS. LEXIS: Okay.		
8	BY MS. LEXIS:		
9	Q	So, based on your understanding, you're the case detective in this	
10	case, correct?		
11	Α	Correct.	
12	Q	Okay. So, is it your understanding that a print was lifted from this	
13	particular jewelry box on January 20 th , 2015?		
14	A	Correct.	
15	Q	Okay. And at some point did you receive a report from a fingerprint	
16	analysis, Heather Gouldthorpe, on January 28 th , 2015?		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q.	And, on January 28, 2015 that report, it noted that the Defendant's	
19	fingerprint was found on this box shown in State's Exhibit number 56, is that right?		
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Okay. So is that how you identified Tommy Stewart as a suspect in this	
2	robbery, kidnapping with a deadly weapon case?		
23	Α '	Yes, I did.	
24	Q (Once you identify a potential suspect did you what did you do?	
5	A 1	constructed a photo lineup with his picture in the photo lineup and	
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 78	

showed it to the victim.

- Q Okay. When you -- do you recall when you constructed that photo lineup? Does February 4th, 2015 sound about right?
- A Yeah, it was a couple days before I showed it to her, so that sounds about right, yeah.
- Q Okay. And, by the time that you constructed the photo lineup,

 Detective, did you only know the identify of -- I mean, first of all, where you aware
 that there were two individuals who robbed and kidnapped this woman at gunpoint?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. But as of the time that you conduct or you put together the photo lineup you were only aware one suspect, is that right?
 - A The identity of one, correct.
 - Q The identity of one, okay.

And so, let me show you what's already been admitted as State's Exhibit number 87. It's a three page document. I'm gonna show you Exhibit number or, excuse me, the first page first. And we kind of went over this with the victim but can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what this top portion of page number one is?

A That's just a statement we read to the victims. It's like instructions on the photo lineup that they're about to see so that they understand what their role is and not to assume that we've actually identified anybody but just to free innocent persons from suspicion or identify those who are guilty.

- Q Okay. So is this, typically this portion, these instructions, typically read or given to the individual you're showing the lineup to?
 - A Yes.

	<u> </u>		
1	Q	What did she tell you?	
2	MR.	MARCHESE: Objection, hearsay.	
3	THE	COURT: May I see counsel up here?	
4		[Bench conference not recorded]	
5	THE	COURT: Sustained.	
6	MS.	MS. LEXIS: Thank you.	
7	BY MS. LE	BY MS. LEXIS:	
8	Q	Detective, did Natsha tell you whether or not the assailants, what types	
9	of weapons	s, if any, the assailants had?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And, what did she tell you?	
12	А	She described it as a dark colored semiautomatic handgun.	
13	Q	Is it dark colored or did she tell you a specific color?	
14	А	I don't think she maybe black, dark and black.	
15	Q	Okay. Court's brief indulgence.	
16		Detective, because this is important, would looking at page two out of	
17	your three r	page arrest report refresh your memory?	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Q	Okay. Concerning the color of the gun	
20	MS. I	LEXIS: May Lapproach, Your Honor?	
21	THE	THE COURT: Sure.	
22	MS. I	MS. LEXIS: Thank you.	
23	BY MS. LE	XIS:	
24	Q	I'm gonna direct you to the second full paragraph, Detective.	
25	А	This one?	
		Doubled Drawel Photo Years and Drawel Dry	

1	A	Yeah, I got a hold of Officer Jackson, who's in the problem solving unit,
2	to help me locate Tommy Stewart.	
3	Q	Okay. And, at some point did you become aware of the fact that they
4	had appret	hended Tommy Stewart?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And do you recall that occurring on February 14th, 2016?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you recall where that happened, Detective?
9	A	I believe it was the Bells Market at H and Owens.
10	Q	Okay. And did you actually respond to that scene?
11	А	Yes, I did.
12	Q	Court's brief indulgence.
13		I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit number 27. Are you familiar with
14	what's depi	icted in this photograph?
15	А	That was the gun recovered from a vehicle at the scene.
16	Q	Okay, where Tommy Stewart was taken into custody?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	On February 14 th , 2015?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit number 24. Are you familiar
21	with what's depicted here?	
22	A	That's the second gun that was recovered from the vehicle at the
23	scene.	
24	Q	Okay, at the Bells Gas Station?
25	А	Yes.
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 89

1	Q	On February 14 th , 2016 or 15?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Thank you. After the Defendant was detained at the Bells Gas Station
4	did you ha	ve an opportunity to conduct an interview with the Defendant?
5	A	Yes, I did.
6	Q	And, at some point where did this interview occur?
7	Α	At the headquarters building on Martin Luther King.
8	Q	Okay, near downtown?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And, where did you interview him?
11	А	Where?
12	Q	Uh-huh.
13	А	In an interview room, in the bottom floor.
14	Q	Okay. Was this video or audio recorded?
15	А	Both.
16	Q	Okay. Prior to taking the Defendant's statement did you read him his
17	<u>Miranda</u> rig	ghts?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And, did you read that from memory or read it from a card?
20	A	I read it from a LVMPD 148 card.
21	Q	Okay. And, do you recall the exact admonition you gave him?
22	А	Verbatim?
23	Q	Yes.
24	А	Not without reading from the card, I mean I could probably do it.
25	Q	Okay. Would looking at the statement transcript, are you aware of

1	Q	Okay. Once a person is booked into the Clark County Detention Cente
2	are they able to make calls?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And are those calls recorded?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And as a robbery detective around February 14 th , 2015 did you have
7	access to that?	
8	А	Yes, I do.
9	Q	Are you able to pull actual calls made by a particular person?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And are you able to listen to the calls while you're in your office?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And then are you able to subsequently burn those calls into a CD or
14	some other	type of recording device and make it available to the District Attorney's
15	Office?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And did you do that in this particular case?
18	Α	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Detective, prior to testifying in court today, were you in my office and
20	did we listen to two calls made by the Defendant?	
21	Α	Yes, we did.
22	Q	Okay. One of the calls do you recall being made on February 15 th ,
23	2015 at approximately 12:12 a.m.?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And , do you remember another call we listened to being made on
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 98
- 1	ł.	

1	February 16 th , 2015 at approximately 1:53 p.m.?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. So, these were made what a day or two after he was taken into
4	custody?	
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	And, I'm gonna show you what's been previously marked as State's
7	Exhibit number 90. Did you watch me burn this particular CD in my office in the	
8	lunch break?	
9	А	Yes, you did.
10	Q	Okay. If we're to play this would it show the two jail calls that we just
11	discussed?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Thank you.
14	MS. LEXIS: Your Honor, I move to admit State's Exhibit 90 into evidence.	
15	MR. MARCHESE: No objections.	
16	THE COURT: All right, that'll be admitted.	
17		[Exhibit 90, admitted]
18	BY MS. LEXIS:	
19	Q	So while we, while we're booting this up, Detective, can you just briefly
20	tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how it is, well, first of all, you interviewed the	
21	Defendant, correct?	
22	Α	Correct.
23	Q	So you're familiar with his voice?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	Okay. So when you pulled up the jail calls did the voices match?
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 99

1	A Yes.	
2	Q And, shit happened in his area, his apartments.	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Is that right? Okay. Did you flag this particular call for N	ls. Jones and
5	myself?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And what was the purpose, what was the relevance of th	at call?
8	A He was trying to get word to the other co-conspirator tha	t stuff
9	happened in his area and to let him know what his charges were.	
10	Q Okay. And again, a second suspect has not been identif	ïed.
11	A Correct.	
12	MS. LEXIS: If we could please play the one from February 16 th	at 13:20?
13	[The recording was played for the jury]	
14	MS. LEXIS: Court's brief indulgence.	
15	Your Honor, may we approach, briefly?	
16	THE COURT: Sure.	
17	[Bench conference not recorded]	
18	THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're gonna take	e a quick, just
19	about a 10 minute break, that'll put us at 2:55.	
20	[Court's admonishment not transcribed]	
21	[Recess taken at 2:48 p.m.]	
22	[Proceedings resumed at 3:03 p.m.]	
23	THE COURT: All right, court is now back in session.	
24	And Ms. Lexis, you may proceed.	
25	MS. LEXIS: Thank you.	
	Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 101	

1	made Febi	uary 16 th , 2015, is that right?
2	А	Yes.
3	MR.	MARCHESE: Objection, leading.
4	MS.	LEXIS: Just trying to
5	THE	COURT: It's a little
6	MS.	LEXIS: refer to
7	THE	COURT: It's kind of leading. I know it's been an issue.
8	MS.	LEXIS: Okay.
9	BY MS. LE	XIS:
10	Q	When was that call made?
11	А	February 16 th
12	Q	Okay.
13	Α	 2015 .
14	Q	Okay. And, by that point had you already shown Natasha Lumba the
15	photo lineu	p?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And by that point she had already identified had she already
18	identified th	e Defendant as potentially one of her assailants?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And, by that particular call, 2/16/15, had the Defendant already given a
21	taped state	ment with you?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Thank you.
24	MS. I	EXIS: Court's brief indulgence.
25		I have no further questions for this witness. Thank you.
- 11		

	[]	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And that was the individual that she had indicated was the taller of the
3	two individ	uals, correct?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And by taller, give or take would be approximately 5, 11; is that right?
6	A	The taller one she described as being 5, 10, 5, 11, yeah.
7	Q	And you were the officer that booked Mr. Stewart into custody?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	And isn't it true that on the booking sheet you listed him as 5 foot 5?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Now, you also had interviewed my client in reference to the evening in
12	question, correct?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	And, at first he denied having anything to do with it, correct?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	And then he did admit to at some point in time possibly being over at, I
17	think it was	the white bitch's, for lack of a better terms, apartment; correct?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	But never in any point in time did he admit to being any part of any
20	robbery, is	that correct?
21	А	Correct.
22	MR.	MARCHESE: Court's indulgence.
23	THE	COURT: All right.
24	MR.	MARCHESE: Nothing else, Your Honor.
25	THE	COURT: All right. Redirect?

MS. LEXIS: We have no direct.

1

1	Detective, thank you for your testimony, you are excused at this time.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: State.
4	MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, subject to all of the Exhibits being admitted
5	and the stipulation to Exhibit number 29, the State would rest.
6	[Proceedings continued not transcribed]
7	* * * * * *
8	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3(c)(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
9	production of contined to be an accurate transcript.
10	Dalyne Casley
11	DALYNE EASLEY (
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 07/05/2016 07:54:33 AM

RTRAN 1 CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO. C305984-1 9 DEPT. XX1 Plaintiff, 10 11 VS. 12 TOMMY STEWART aka, TOMMY LAQUADE STEWART 13 Defendant. 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 16 MONDAY, MARCH 14, 2016 17 RECORDER'S PARTIAL ROUGH TRANSRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: 18 **JURY TRIAL DAY 1 TESTIMONY AND MOTION ARGUMENT** 19 APPEARANCES: 20 For the State: TIERRA D. JONES ESQ. 21 Deputy District Attorney 22 AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 23 For the Defendant: JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ. 24 25 RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 1

MR. MARCHESE: Correct.

THE COURT: -- is that correct? Now, in passing, and this is the extent of the conversation, Judge Smith mentioned that there had been a motion to suppress filed and that it had been denied.

MR. MARCHESE: That is correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: That is correct, Your Honor.

MR. MARCHESE: So this is the same motion that three separate judges in Federal Court have found merit in basically that they Metro cards --

THE COURT: Let me, can I cut you off? Is that the motion that Judge Smith ruled on?

MR. MARCHESE: Correct.

THE COURT: Isn't that the law of the case?

MS. JONES: Yes.

MR. MARCHESE: Well, there's more to it though.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, look, if it's wrong, you know, it'll probably be my name that comes out on the reversal, which isn't good but. And if it's right that's great but either way wrong or right it's still is the law of the case because that's what Judge Smith ruled, so you know I'm not gonna revisit his rulings.

MR. MARCHESE: Well, and I understand but there's more to it because I was never heard.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARCHESE: So, this was filed on Monday. The State sent a response to Marc Saggese on Monday. At some point in time, I don't know how, presumably the State called chambers and got the matter on calendar for Wednesday. I was not

noticed of this. The only way I found out was the marshal from District Court VIII called me and said hey, where are you, you have a motion on. I said, wait a minute, this motion is, I don't have this on for today. I said I would come back to District Court VIII or you can just move it to the overflow, judge, because by that time we had already been sent to overflow neither of which happened. It was ruled on without me being present, I was unable to make any sort of a record, and here we are.

THE COURT: So, was it, was the motion denied based on the briefs?

MR. MARCHESE: I don't know.

MS. JONES: And it was, Your Honor. If I could enlighten the Court, I was there --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- as to what happened.

THE COURT: And I would just send this to Judge Smith right now but his water heater just blew up because I just saw him at the elevator, that's how I know that. As you know, he's next door. In any event, so we can't ask him. That was the point of that comment.

MS. JONES: Yeah. I was there, Your Honor, on Wednesday --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- because this motion was filed on Monday. We had had calendar call the Wednesday before that so with this motion being filed on Monday the court had to put it back on calendar because overflow will not take a case that has a pending motion. So once District Court VIII put it back on calendar for Wednesday we had to get a response out in less than 24 hours to have something on file.

When I showed up on Wednesday in front of Judge Smith, Judge Smith had received a copy of the motion based upon the fact the marshal represented that he had spoken to Mr. Marchese and he was in Henderson and Judge Smith's calendar would be over before he would be able to be back, Judge Smith did not allow me to make any argument on the merits. He ruled, I mean on the motions, he ruled on the motion just based on the briefing and what had been filed and he denied the motion on that day, last Wednesday.

I did not argue the merits of this motion in any way.

THE COURT: I would just note then under the, you know, our rules the motion was untimely.

MS. JONES: Which was the beginning of the State's opposition.

THE COURT: You know, wasn't 15 days before trial, so --

MR. MARCHESE: Well, I mean --

THE COURT: -- it was untimely in that regard.

MR. MARCHESE: Okay. Well, if I'm found to be ineffective, I'm found to be ineffective.

THE COURT: But notwithstanding that, it sounds to me like Judge Smith ruled on the merits even though the motion was untimely.

MS. JONES: He did.

THE COURT: So, I mean, he had grounds not to consider the motion but he did. He chose to, which is fine. And he denied the motion on the merits. I would also just comment that the reason he had to decide the motion without argument is because it was untimely. So, kind of on you can't have your cake and eat it too point. You know, I mean, it's -- I think that's appropriate, you know, to consider the motion even though it was untimely particularly since the State was able to get a

response in. But, that's why, you know, he didn't pass it to another day when you were in Henderson, is that what you said?

MS. JONES: That's what the marshal represented to the court.

MR. MARCHESE: Well, I offered to come back.

THE COURT: When you were in Henderson because the motion hadn't been calendared far enough in advance. I'm sure Judge Smith would have had it been calendared far enough in advance and you were in Henderson just to have said well, let's pass this to the, you know, Wednesday or let's pass it to next Monday, or whatever.

MS. JONES: And Judge Smith knew he was gonna be in trial so he said he had to rule on the motion because overflow's not gonna take it with a motion pending and he had his own trial set for today.

THE COURT: So that's where we are.

MR. MARCHESE: Okay.

THE COURT: Any other pretrial matters, or, yeah?

MR. MARCHESE: Yeah. The only thing I would add to that is this was an issue that had just come up was based on a recent magistrate decision in the Federal Court. It is not published, obviously, given the fact that it is a magistrate but I will point out that three separate federal judges have granted this particular motion stating that the Miranda cards used by Metro are improperly state the law. Other than that —

THE COURT: Okay. Well, the state of the law, as I understand it, is that as long as the Metro cards are read verbatim its fine. Where we've had trouble is where they start adlibbing, in past cases. So, you know, I didn't read the briefs.

MR. MARCHESE: No. Lunderstand.

 THE COURT: I haven't studied the issue because I — my understanding was it had been decided by Judge Smith and that's the law of the case. So, you know, like I said, that's, you know, where when we take something from overflow we take it subject to the judge's rulings. So, that's where we are on this. You know, off the top of my head, I'm assuming you're talking about the District Court opinions out of the, our district of — United States District Court here, is that correct? Those are the three decisions you're discussing?

MR. MARCHESE: Correct. Nothing's has been published in the Ninth Circuit as of yet. I was trying to, I did a little research over the weekend I was trying to see if there was, if anything has been brought to the Ninth Circuit on appeal but I couldn't find anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I mean, the last time we had the issue in here the, you know, my understanding is if they stick with the card they're fine. In that particular case the police officer was adlibbing and didn't include all the necessary warnings. So, that statement was suppressed but as I understand it, that's not the issue here.

All right. Anything else? Can Kenny go get the panel?

MR. MARCHESE: I guess I probably do have one. It's my understanding, and obviously I don't know how the State is gonna play their case out, but when my client was arrested after or this incidence, I mean, not close in proximity he was arrested at a Bells Market. There's some allegations that there was a, when he was arrested that he threw a gun or maybe two guns, or, into a vehicle and I believe that the State is going to try to bring that evidence in. I don't see the relevance necessarily in that it's after the fact. I don't believe there's an allegation that the gun or the guns were the ones that were allegedly used in the crime here. To me, it's a

complete and separate incident, so if they wanted to proceed under that under something it should be tried separate. I don't see the relevance in that.

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, the relevance of that is this is a robbery with use of a deadly weapon where victim describes --

THE COURT: So they're trying to show that he had possession of guns, and

MS. JONES: Victim describes Defendant as having a black semi-automatic handgun.

THE COURT: And then he --

MS. JONES: There is a black semi-automatic handgun that's retrieved in that car.

THE COURT: Yeah. I think that's relevant then. As long as there's a witness who can say he saw that gun being thrown by this Defendant --

MS. JONES: Officer Vorce can testify to that.

THE COURT: -- and then the gun was retrieved and it's consistent with the gun that was described by the victims in our case I think that's, you know, ties him in. I mean, if it was a red bandana and he was wearing a red bandana and you catch him with a red bandana it would be admissible, so. I mean, the gun's just evidence of identity, really, that he's --

MR. MARCHESE: But it's after the fact. It wouldn't be a prior bad act it's a —
THE COURT: Well, no, no. But what I'm saying is if, that's why I used the
bandana example it's not coming in as bad act evidence. Let's just say the witness
said the man who robbed me had a red bandana on his face and then a week later
you catch the Defendant and he's got a red bandana in his pocket. You would
introduce the red bandana because it's consistent with the description of the

25

witness. In this case it happens to be a firearm. Now if it was just any firearm, you know, if he throws a rifle and a grenade into the car then we'd have a problem.

But you, you know, lay a foundation obviously as to the description of the gun so we can make sure it's the same or similar gun. I mean, it's supposed to be the same gun, that's the point. Like I said, you know, that's why all, when they do a search warrant they look for clothes that are consistent with what they used in the robbery. It's just another way of adding to the identification, so.

MR. MARCHESE: Well, and that's my issue is first of all her original statement is, this is how she describes it, he -- where one held would appear to be a gun.

THE COURT: Could we maybe get started and revisit this?

MR. MARCHESE: Okay.

THE COURT: 'Cause we'll let's get started with jury selection and then --

MR. MARCHESE: No problem.

THE COURT: — we can make a better record. But like I said, it's not being offered as a bad act it could be, you know, it could be a pair of sneakers, it could be a sweatshirt, it could be, you know, a tattoo that's consistent. It just goes to identification.

MS. JONES: And we'll lay the foundation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Ms. -- okay. You've done a trial in here, Ms. Lexis has done a trial in here and I don't think you've done a trial in here.

MR. MARCHESE: Oh yes, we've had, we've done three.

THE COURT: Really?

MR. MARCHESE: But one was a long, long time ago.

THE COURT: It's because you're so small and you're tiring. I just don't

1	remember you.		
2	[Colloquy not transcribed]		
3	[Break in the proceedings at 9:28 a.m.]		
4	[Jury Selection not transcribed]		
5	[Opening Statements not transcribed]		
6	[Inside the presence of the jury]		
7	[Proceedings resumed at 2:41 p.m.]		
8	NATASHA LUMBA		
9	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]		
10	THE COURT CLERK: And would you please state and spell your name?		
11	THE WITNESS: Natasha Lumba, N-A-T-A-S-H-A, L-U-M-B-A.		
12	MS. JONES: May I proceed, Your Honor?		
13	THE COURT: You may.		
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
15	BY MS. JONES:		
16	Q Good afternoon, Natasha, how are you?		
17	A I'm all right, thanks.		
18	Q Okay. Can you slide a little bit closer to the microphone for me? You'	re	
19	just little soft spoken.		
20	A Okay.		
21	Q Okay. And she just has to take down everything that we're saying,		
22	okay?		
23	A Okay.		
24	Q Natasha, I would like to draw your attention back to January 20 th of		
25	2015. Where were you living on that day?		
	Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 10		

1	Α	I was living at 805 Rock Springs Drive, Apartment 101, Las Vegas,	
2	Nevada, 8	9128.	
3	Q	And prior to that date, how long had you been living at the address on	
4	Rock Sprin	gs?	
5	А	Since June.	
6	Q	Okay.	
7	MS.	JONES: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?	
8	THE	COURT: You may.	
9	MS.	JONES: And Your Honor, just for the record, the State, there's a	
10	stipulation	between the parties to admit State's proposed Exhibit 30, 37, 39, 42, 45	
11	46, 54, 55, 56, 61, 62, 63, 71, 73, 74, 84, 85, and 86.		
12	THE	COURT: Is that correct?	
13	MR.	MARCHESE: That's correct, Your Honor. I don't have the exact	
14	numbers but that stack of Exhibits we reviewed together and I will stipulate to their		
15	admittance evidence.		
16	THE	COURT: All right. Those Exhibits will all be admitted.	
17	[Exhi	bits 30, 37, 39, 42, 45, 46, 54, 55, 56, 61, 62, 63, 71, 73, 74, 84, 85,	
18		and 86, admitted]	
19	MS.	JONES: And based on the fact that they're admitted, Your Honor, I have	
20	permission	to publish?	
21	THE	COURT: You do,	
22	MS.	JONES: Okay.	
23	BY MS. JO	NES:	
24	Q	And, Natasha, I'm gonna show you a picture from back here, okay?	
25	Α	Okay.	
H			

	H	
1	Α	They had their hoods up.
2	Q	Okay. So they had their hoods up when they approached you?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And so you could see these two men. Were you able to see
5	their face?	
6	A	It was dark, but, yes.
7	Q	Okay, so you were able to see their face?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And, when these two men approached you, do you see either of
10	those men	in this room today?
11	Α	I am not sure.
12	Q	Okay. So when they approached you what happened after? You said
13	you were fu	mbling for your keys and then what happened to you?
14	A	Um, well, like I said they held up a gun and told me, you know, not to
15	tell and ther	n they told me to open the front door and then they followed me in.
16	Q	Okay. And you said that there were two men. Was there one of them
17	that was tal	ler than the other one or?
18	A	Yes, one of them was taller by I would say, maybe, like two inches.
19	Q	Okay. And how tall would you guess he was?
20	Α	The taller one?
21	Q	Yes.
22	A	Um, maybe 5, 10ish.
23	Q	Okay. And, is that just a guess that you're making?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. 'Cause were you just did you get a long time to stare at them?
		*

	11	
1	A	No.
2	Q	Okay. And then you said the other one was about how tall?
3	A	Maybe 5, 8 or 5, 9, just two inches shorter than the taller one.
4	Q	Okay. And just for clarification, we'll refer to the taller guy as the tall
5	guy and th	nen the smaller one, the shorter one as the short guy.
6	A	Okay.
7	Q	Which one held up the gun?
8	A	The taller one.
9	Q	The taller one? Okay. So he held up the gun. And did you see what
10	color the g	gun was?
11	A	Black.
12	Q	And are you familiar with handguns at all?
13	A	Um, a little, not really.
14	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with the difference between a semiautomatic
15	and a revo	lver?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Was this a revolver or a semiautomatic?
18	А	A semiautomatic.
19	Q	Okay. And you said it was black?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And, when he held up the gun did he point it at you?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And this was the taller guy?
24	Α	Right.
25	Q	And while this was happening, what was the shorter guy doing?

000132

1	Q	And is that also the space where you were forced to lie down on the
2	ground?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And State's 63, is that another angle of the same thing?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And if you look down here towards the bottom, can you see my
7	hand?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Where is the door?
10	А	The door would be like over here.
11	Q	Okay. So your feet are facing the door?
12	A	No, my feet are facing this way.
13	Q	So your head is facing the door?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	To your bedroom?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And so once you went into your bedroom and you were lying
18	down on th	e ground what were the two guys doing?
19	А	They were taking turns looking through my apartment just like opening
20	all the close	ets and everything, kind of ransacking it and, you know, usually one of
21	them was, y	you kπow, looking after me and the other one was, you know, looking
22	around. So	ometimes they were both just looking in, you know, every corner of my
23	apartment,	opening things.
24	Q	And when you say that one of them was looking after you, were they
25	kind of takin	ng turns doing that or was it always the same one?
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 18
	4	

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Was he saying anything to you when he did that?
3	A	Um, he told me not to look at him.
4	Q	Did you comply with that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	So, did you ever get an opportunity to just stare at these two
7	individuals?	
8	А	Not for long, no.
9	Q	Okay. Did they ask you anything about your cell phone?
10	А	They asked me where my cell phone was and my wallet and I told them
11	both of thos	e things were in my purse that I had dropped near my front door.
12	Q	And where were you when you said that to them?
13	A	I was in my bedroom lying down.
14	Q	Okay. And you told them that your wallet and your cell phone were in
15	your purse?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Did they get retrieve your wallet from your purse?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Did you see them do that?
20	A	No, I didn't see them do that but, you know, after they left when I looked
21	around, you	know, I saw that they had emptied my purse out onto the ground and
22	my wallet wa	as open and, you know, cards were pulled out.
23	Q	And did they ask you anything in reference to you PIN number?
24	Α	Yes, they asked me what my PINs were.
25	Q	And was this for your debit card?

	[]	
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And did you give them that information?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Why would you give them your PIN number?
5	А	I was terrified for my life.
6	Q	And at this point, do they still have the gun?
7	А	I didn't see it but I assumed that they did.
8	Q	Did you have any reason to believe that they didn't?
9	Α	No.
10	Q	So you believed that they still had it?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Did there ever come a time where they asked you about whether
13	or not you v	vere a prostitute?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	What did they say about that?
16	А	Well they, they asked me how I had so much stuff if, you know, I didn't
17	have any ca	ash. And, yeah, they asked me if I was a prostitute.
18	Q	What did you say?
19	А	No.
20	Q	And you said you didn't have any cash, did you ever talk to them about
21	whether you	had any money in the bank?
22	А	Um, yeah, I told them, you know, like I didn't just didn't have, like
23	anything.	
24	Q	Did you tell them you didn't have any money in the bank either?
25	Α	Yes.
- 1		

1	your jewelr	y chest, is that correct?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And then State's 85 is just a chest for your sewing items?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	And in your jewelry chest did you tell them whether or not your jewelry
6	was real?	
7	А	I told them that all of it was costume.
8	Q	Okay. And how did they respond to that?
9	A	They didn't take any of it.
10	Q	Okay. Did they ask you if it was costume?
11	A	No, I just, I told them that.
12	Q	And were you being truthful when you told them that?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Okay. Why did you tell them that?
15	Α	Because I didn't want them to take any of it.
16	Q	And, Natasha, I'm gonna show you State's 86. What are we looking at
17	in that photo	o?
18	А	That is a piggy bank.
19	Q	Okay. And where was this item located?
20	Α	That was on the desk in my bedroom, I believe, or, you know, I don't
21	entirely rem	ember. It was either it might have been on my kitchen table.
22	Q	But this is a piggy bank?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Did it have money in there?
25	Α	Um, you know, I don't remember. If it did, it just had like a few coins.

1	Q	Okay. And do you remember if they went through that?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	And, Natasha, I'm gonna show you State's 74. Can you tell us what	
4	we're looki	ing at in State's 74?	
5	A	That is the second bedroom in that apartment.	
6	Q	And this is inside your apartment?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q	Okay. And inside of that second bedroom were there any items in there	
9	that they went through?		
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	What were those items?	
12	А	Um, well, my laptop was in there, my printer was in there. Yeah, they	
13	opened the	boxes. They just looked through that room.	
14	Q	And did you see them do all of this stuff?	
15	Α	No.	
16	Q	Okay. So, 'cause you were lying down on the ground in your bedroom?	
17	Α	Yeah, in the other bedroom.	
18	Q	And you refer to a printer. I'm showing you State's 39. Is that the	
19	printer that you're referring to?		
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	So, where was this printer previously located?	
22	Α	It was in the second bedroom.	
23	Q	It's in the photograph that's marked State's 74?	
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	But after the individuals left your home was it now in State's 39?	
	1	Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 26	

	11		
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	And what room is are we looking at in State's 39?	
3	A	The living room.	
4	Q	Okay. So the printer was came from the second bedroom and was	
5	now in the living room?		
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	How did that printer get there?	
8	A	Um, one of them carried it.	
9	Q	Do you remember which one?	
10	A	I believe the taller one.	
11	Q	And while that was happening did they appear to be acting together?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And, Natasha, when they went through your residence what all items	
14	did they take from you?		
15	А	My cell phone.	
16	Q	And what type of phone was that?	
17	А	Um, an Apple 5C. It was yellow.	
18	Q	Okay. And what else?	
19	А	Um, my laptop, my Toshiba laptop and my Cannon camera.	
20	Q	And did they take any money from you?	
21	Α	The \$2.00 that was in my wallet.	
22	Q	Okay. And I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 37. What are we	
23	looking at there?		
24	Α	That's the living room and um, it's about five feet into my apartment	
25	from the front door.		
	ł		

]]			
1	Q	Okay. And, what are we see [sic] on the ground in this photograph?		
2	А	Um, so this is my purse and these are like some of the emptied		
3	contents of my purse.			
4	Q	And can you see your wallet in this photograph?		
5	A	Um, no.		
6	Q	Okay. Where was your wallet recovered? Where did you find your		
7	wallet?			
8	А	Um, it was on the ground but it's just not in this photo.		
9	Q	And the \$2.00 that you were referring to, that was inside your wallet?		
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	Okay. And do you remember what kind of wallet you had?		
12	Α	Um, it was a light pink Kate Spade wallet.		
13	Q	Okay. And, Natasha, I'm showing you State's 45. What are we looking		
14	at here?			
15	А	Um, that's a different angle of the same stuff.		
16	Q	Okay. And, what about 46?		
17	Α	Also the same things.		
18	Q	Okay. And does these do these photos appear to depict your house		
19	how it appeared after the individuals left your house?			
20	А	Yes.		
21	Q	Okay. Well, let's back up a little bit. They're going through your stuff		
22	and are you still lying on the ground in your bedroom?			
23	А	Yes.		
24	Q	Okay. And, once the guy comes in and runs his hands down your		
25	pants and under your bra, what happens after that?			

	[]	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	They were?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. When you had left earlier in the night to go out with your friends
5	were they	all over the floor?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Okay. So this is when you left this last time?
8	A	Right.
9	Q	Okay. And I'm gonna show you State's 55. What do we see in that
10	photograp	h?
11	А	Um, this is the laundry machines in my hallway and this is like the box
12	where I kept my sewing stuff and that used to be over here.	
13	Q	Okay. So that's where it was originally located is on this shelf right
14	here?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. But after the people had left your house it was located there?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And what about State's 56? Is that a close-up of the same
19	thing?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And State's 54, what is this doorway?
22	А	Um, that separates the living room from the hallway that goes leads
23	to my bedr	oom and
24	Q	Are those like beads dangling?
25	Α	Yes, that's a beaded curtain.

	11	
1	Q	So, if you would have run out would you have had to gone through
2	those beads?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Do they make noise when someone touches them?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. So, after they left and you were able to get up then and you
7	deactivated	d your phone, what did you do after that?
8	A	I drove over to my boyfriend's house.
9	Q	Okay. And how far away from you does he live?
10	А	It's about a five minute drive.
11	Q	Okay. And once you got to your boyfriend's house, what did you do?
12	А	Um, well, I knocked on his door and then I told him what happened.
13	Q	And what did you guys do together?
14	А	We started driving towards my parent's house and that was when we
15	called 9-1-	1.
16	Q	Okay. Why were you gonna go to your parent's house?
17	А	Um, I felt safer there.
18	Q	Was your boyfriend gonna take you to your parent's house?
19	A	Um, yes.
20	Q	Okay. And prior to you arriving at your boyfriend's house did you have
21	a phone av	ailable to you to call 9-1-1?
22	Α	No.
23	Q	Were you scared to call the police?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. So about how long after the robbers left your house did you call

1	Q And did you have an opportunity to listen to part of that today?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Does it fairly and accurately depict how the call that you made to 9-1-1
4	on January 20 th of 2015?
5	A Yes.
6	MS. JONES: Your Honor, the State would move for the admission of State's
7	proposed Exhibit 1.
8	THE COURT: Any objection?
9	MR. MARCHESE: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 1 is admitted.
11	[Exhibit 1, admitted]
12	MS. JONES: Permission to publish, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: You may.
14	[The recording was played for the jury]
15	BY MS. JONES:
16	Q And, Natasha, the voice that we're hearing right now is that you or is
17	that your boyfriend?
18	A That's my boyfriend.
19	Q Okay.
20	[Continue playing recording for the jury]
21	Q So, Natasha, is that the 9-1-1 call that you made that night of January
22	20 th of 2015?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And do you remember in that call the 9-1-1 operator was asking you if
25	you could go back to your house and wait for her wait for Metro to arrive?
	Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 36

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And did you not want to do that?
3	A	No, I didn't want to do that.
4	Q	Why not?
5	A	I didn't feel safe there.
6	Q	Who else was living in this apartment with you?
7	A	No one.
8	Q	You were living there alone?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	And when you spoke with 9-1-1 were you still upset?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Even though like 20 minutes had past?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Were you still scared?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And, Natasha, you said that they had basically ransacked your
17	apartment.	I'm gonna show you, too many photographs. If I could show you State's
18	proposed E	xhibit 66, what are we looking at right here?
19	Α	That is a small wooden chest.
20	Q	And do there appear to be the drawers have been taken out?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And have was it like that when you had left home earlier that
23	night?	
24	А	No.
25	Q	Okay. And I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 67. Does this appear to

	11	
1	Q	So, it didn't look like your typical big diamonds or gold.
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you wear white gold?
4	A	No, I mainly wear sterling silver.
5	Q	Okay. When you were describing the persons who had robbed you,
6	you said t	hat they were about 5, 9. Were you basing that somewhat on your own
7	height?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And how tall are you?
10	А	l'm 5, 2.
11	Q	Okay. And do you normally wear high heels?
12	A	Yes, I normally wear pretty big shoes.
13	Q	And were you wearing them that night?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And how tall were you with those high heels?
16	A	Five six or five seven.
17	Q	And were you basing it on your best guess?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. Do you know for certain how tall they were?
20	Α	No.
21	Q	And, Natasha, when they were inside of your home did you scream or
22	yell or mak	se any noise so that the neighbors could hear you?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	Why not?
25	Α	Um, I thought that if I did that they would hurt me or kill me or
- 1	i	

1	something horrible.	
2	Q	And, Natasha, where were you working at the time?
3	А	Um, I was working at Top Rank.
4	Q	And what's Top Rank?
5	A	Um, Top Rank is a boxing promotions company.
6	Q	Okay. And what were you doing for them?
7	Α	I was an administrative assistant.
8	THE	COURT: Oh, you have a question?
9	UNIC	DENTIFIED JUROR: 1 do.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Kenny?	
11		[Bench conference not recorded]
12	BY MS. JO	NES:
13	Q	And, Natasha, on the 9-1-1 call you had referred to your parents living
14	in the Rhodes Ranch community?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	What are the names of your parents?
17	Α	Um, Cesar and Paulita Lumba.
18	Q	Okay. And they live on Gulf Pines?
19	Α	Yes.
20	THE	COURT: I'm sorry, can you say their names again?
21	THE	WITNESS: Cesar Lumba and Paulita Lumba.
22	THE	COURT: Okay. And what's your street address?
23	THE	WITNESS: 34 Gulf Pines Avenue.
24	THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	MS.	IONES: Thank you, Your Honor.

	11		
1	on January 20 th , did you know them?		
2	A	No.	
3	Q	Had you ever seen them before?	
4	A	No.	
5	Q	Did you invite them into your house?	
8	A	No.	
7	Q	And, Natasha, did there come a point in time around February 6th of	
8	2015 wher	re you met with a Detective Abell from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	
9	Departmer	nt?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And where did that occur?	
12	А	Um, at my at the Top Rank office.	
13	Q	And was that so that's at your job?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Detective Abell came down there?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Okay. And when Detective Abell came down there to your job did he	
18	show you a photo lineup?		
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	Okay. And prior to him showing you the photo lineup did he review the	
21	lineup instr	ructions with you?	
22	А	Yes.	
23	MS.	JONES: And, Your Honor, may I approach the witness?	
24	THE	COURT: You may.	
25	BY MS. JONES:		

1	A I didn't say these were definitely the people but, you know, the face
2	shape of number two was similar. And number 3 the face shape and the eyes were
3	similar. Yeah, there were similarities.
4	Q Okay. And does but underneath photograph number two and
5	photograph number three there appears to bear a signature. Is that your signature?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q So does this fairly and accurately depict the photographic lineup that
8	you did with Detective Abell?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. JONES: Your Honor, the State would move for the admission of State's
11	87, proposed 87.
12	MR. MARCHESE: Is it the whole packet or is it, what is it?
13	MS. JONES: It's the three pages.
14	THE COURT: Yeah, just go
15	MR. MARCHESE: I would object to the first page. Not the second and third.
16	MS. JONES: Okay. So you object to this page?
17	MR. MARCHESE: Yeah.
18	MS. JONES: Okay.
19	MR. MARCHESE: That's hearsay.
20	THE COURT: Counsel, let me see what
21	[Bench conference not recorded]
22	MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, permission to publish?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	[Exhibit 87, admitted]
25	BY MS. JONES:

1	A	The shorter one.
2	Q	Okay. And what similarities did you see in number three.
3	A	Also the face shape and the mouth and the eyes.
4	Q	And was this similar to the tall one or the short one?
5	A	The taller one.
6	Q	And when Detective Abell showed you this photo lineup did you say
7	that you we	ere 100 percent certain that one of those two was the person that robbed
8	you?	
9	Α	No.
10	Q	Or both of them?
11	Α	No.
12	Q	Okay. Did you just recognize those similarities?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And, Natasha, do you know someone by the name of Tommy Stewart?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Have you ever heard of that person before this case?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Have you ever associated with someone by the name of Tommy
19	Stewart?	
20	Α	No.
21	Q	Have you ever invited Tommy Stewart into your home?
22	Α	No.
23	Q	Do you know somebody by the name of Raymond?
24	Α	No.
25	Q	Do you know anybody who goes by the name of Raymond?
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 46

1	A	Um, I have a cousin named Raymond.
2	Q	And where does your cousin live?
3	A	In the Philippines.
4	Q	Have you ever been in any sort of relationship with someone by the
5	name of Ra	aymond?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Any sort of sexual relationship?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Did you ever invite someone by the name of Raymond into your home?
10	А	No.
11	Q	Is there any reason that someone by the name of Raymond or Tommy
12	Stewart wo	ould be in your home?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Did you ever allow them to access any of your items in your home?
15	Α	No.
16	MS. JONES: Court's brief indulgence, Your Honor.	
17		Pass the witness, Your Honor.
18	THE	COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Marchese?
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. MA	RCHESE:
21	Q	Good afternoon.
22	Α	Hi.
23	Q	So, you stated on direct examination on the on January 20th, 2015 that
24	you were co	oming back to your apartment, is that right?
25	Α	Yes.
- 11	Į	

1	A	Um, I mean, you know I could rule out that it wasn't a shotgun, but.
2	Q	Sure.
3	A	Yeah.
4	Q	And in addition you testified earlier at a preliminary hearing, correct.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Just kind of a hearing similar to this, there's a judge and there's some
7	attorneys	asking you questions, correct?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And you have to testify under oath, correct?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And isn't it true that at that hearing you testified that the shorter one
12	was about	5, 9 to 5, 11; does that sound correct?
13	А	Um, I, you know I don't remember exactly saying that.
14	Q	If I was to show you a transcript of your statement would that refresh
15	your recoll	ection?
16	Α	Yes.
17	MR.	MARCHESE: Your Honor, may I approach?
18	THE	COURT: Yes, you may move freely.
19	BY MR. M	ARCHESE:
20	Q	Just kind of look at the bottom here and to there. Just read that silently
21	to yourself	and look up if that refreshes your recollection.
22	Α	Okay.
23	Q	And does that refresh your recollection?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And you now remember testifying at the preliminary hearing
		Partial Rough Draft Transcript Page - 54

1	Q	Were you just trying to give the police all the information you could
2	have?	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And when they were inside of your apartment when there came you
5	said you pr	eviously testified there was a time that neither of them was in your
6	bedroom w	rith you?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Did you feel like you were free to leave?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Why not?
11	А	Um, because as far as I knew there was a gun, there was two of them,
12	you know,	that could have made the situation much worse.
13	Q	Now, do you remember previously when you had testified today saying
14	that one, I	mean, do you remember when you heard the 9-1-1 call, when you told
15	the 9-1-1 ca	aller that they one of them told you they had a gun?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	And did you see an object that resembled a gun?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	So, did you believe that they had a gun?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	And were you reacting based on believing that they had a gun?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Did there ever come a point in time where you thought that they no
24	longer had	the gun?
25	Α	No.

1	Q	So, the entire time they were in your house did you believe they always
2	had that g	un?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And did you ever see either of them wearing any gloves when they had
5	the gun?	
6	А	Any gloves?
7	Q	Yeah.
8	A	No, they had, they had pulled the sleeves of their hoodies over their
9	hands.	
10	Q	Okay. And you saw them with the sleeves of their hoodies over their
11	hands?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And was this a zip up hoodie or do you pull it over your head?
14	Α	A zip up hoodie, each of them.
15	Q	And today you described the gun as a black semiautomatic handgun?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And is that the gun that you saw?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And you said that they asked you to open one of your jewelry cases, do
20	you remen	nber which one of your jewelry cases they asked you to open?
21	Α	My jewelry chest and also the one that was hanging above it.
22	Q	Okay. Let me just show you. And I'm showing you State's Exhibit 73.
23	And is this the jewelry chest that you're referring to?	
24	А	Um, it's actually this one that they asked me to open myself.
25	Q	So, does it appear to be white in this photograph?
		Partial Rough Dead Transaciat Days 59

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And they asked you to open it?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did you comply with that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And what's inside of there?
7	А	More jewelry.
8	Q	Okay. And did they take any of that jewelry.
9	A	Uh, no.
10	Q	At what point during the robbery did they ask you to open that?
11	А	Towards the beginning.
12	Q	Was this before they made you lay down in your bedroom or after?
13	Α	Before.
14	Q	Okay, so it was before you laid down on the ground in your bedroom?
15	Α	You know, I can't remember if I laid down and then they had me do that
16	then had m	e lay back down again or if they had me do that first and then had me lay
17	back down.	
18	Q	And, does is it do you know why they had you to open that?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Okay. They just asked you to?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Which one of them asked you to open that?
23	Α	The shorter one.
24	Q	The shorter one asked you?
25	А	Yes.

	11		
1	Q	And where was the taller one when that happened?	
2	A	I don't remember. I'm not sure.	
3	Q	And the entire time that these two individuals were in your house did	
4	they tell you not to look at them?		
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	Okay. And were their hoodies over their face some of the time?	
7	A	Um, yes.	
8	Q	Did you believe that they were acting together?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	And were you afraid?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	MS. JONES: Pass the witness, Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Any recross?		
14	MR. MARCHESE: No recross based on that, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: All right. Did we have any juror questions for the witness? All		
16	right. I see no juror questions.		
17	Ma'am, thank you for your testimony. Please do not discuss your		
18	testimony with anyone else who may be a witness in this case.		
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.		
20	THE COURT: Thank you and you are excused.		
21	THE	WITNESS: Thanks.	
22	THE	COURT: And did the State have any additional witnesses for today?	
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
- 1			

1	MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, I apologize, based on the how quickly we did jur
2	selection we don't have any more witnesses for today.
3	[Jury Admonishment not transcribed]
4	[Proceedings concluded at 3:55 p.m.]
5	* * * * *
6	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3(c)(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not
7	proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
8	Dalyne Casley
9	DALYN# EASLEY Court Transcriber
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-0	

Electronically Filed 05/19/2016 03:08:48 PM

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ. Nevada bar No. 8175 601 S. Las Vegas Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant - TOMMY STEWART

CLERK OF THE COURT

CTERVOL THE CORKI

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	Case No.: C-15-305984-1 Dept. No.: XXI
Plaintiff,)	"
v.)	
TOMMY STEWART,)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-

ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING

5 years to life with the possibility of parole with FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO (452) 1 2 DAYS credit for time served. 3 DATED this 18th day of May, 2016 4 JESS/MARCHESE, ESQ. 5 Nevada Bar #8175 6 7 8 DECLARATION OF MAILING 9 Jess R. Marchese, hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein described mailing 10 took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the 18th day of May 2016, declarant deposited in the United States 11 12 mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada vs 13 Tommy Stewart, Case No. C305984, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class 14 postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Tommy Stewart #2731067, PO Box 650, Indian Springs, 15 Nevada 89070. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and 16 the place so addressed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and conect. 17 EXECUTED on the 18th day of May, 2016. 18 19 Marchese, Esq. 20 Nevada Bar #8175 21 22 RECEIPT OF COPY 23 RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this 24 25 STEVEN B., WOLFSON CLARK COMMYY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 26 27 By: 28

-2-

Electronically Filed 05/19/2016 03:08:48 PM

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant - TOMMY STEWART

ļ

CLERK OF THE COURT

CLERN OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	Case No.: C-15-305984-1 Dept. No.: XXI
Plaintiff,	·)	
/.)	
TOMMY STEWART,)	
Defendant.)))	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING,

5 years to life with the possibility of parole with FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO (452) 1 2 DAYS credit for time served. 3 DATED this 18th day of May, 2016 4 RCHESE, ESO. 5 Nev**20**a Bar #8175 б 7 8 **DECLARATION OF MAILING** 9 Jess R. Marchese, hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein described mailing 10 took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested 11 in, the within action; that on the 18th day of May 2016, declarant deposited in the United States 12 mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada vs 13 Tommy Stewart, Case No. C305984, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Tommy Stewart #2731067, PO Box 650, Indian Springs, 14 15 Nevada 89070. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and 16 the place so addressed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 17 EXECUTED on the 18th day of May, 2016. 18 19 Marchese, Esq. Nevada Bar #8175 RECEIPT OF COPY RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this day of MAV STEVEN B., WOLFSON CLARK COU Y DISTRICT ATTORNEY By:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant - TOMMY STEWART

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,		ase No.: C-15-305984-1 ept. No.: XXI
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
TOMMY STEWART,)	
Defendant.)	
	}	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING,

ì 5 years to life with the possibility of parole with FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO (452) 2 DAYS credit for time served. 3 DATED this 18th day of May, 2016 4 5 Nev**a**da Bar #8175 б 7 8 DECLARATION OF MAILING 9 Jess R. Marchese, hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein described mailing 10 took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested 11 in, the within action; that on the 18th day of May 2016, declarant deposited in the United States 12 mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada vs 13 Tommy Stewart, Case No. C305984, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class 14 postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Tommy Stewart #2731067, PO Box 650, Indian Springs, 15 Nevada 89070. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and 16 the place so addressed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 17 EXECUTED on the 18th day of May, 2016. 18 19 Marchese, Esq. Nevada Bar #8175 RECEIPT OF COPY RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLARK COMMY DISTRICT ATTORNEY By:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant – TOMMY STEWART

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Case No.: C-15-305984-1

Dept. No.: XXI

Plaintiff,

V.

TOMMY STEWART,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING.

-2-

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	JESS R. MARCHESE, ESO.
2	Nevada bar No. 8175
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101
4	JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ. Nevada bar No. 8175 601 S. Las Vegas Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210 Attorney for Defendant TOMMY STEWART
5	
5	

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	Case No.: C-15-305984-1 Dept, No.: XXI
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
TOMMY STEWART,)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT I-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING

26

27

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant — TOMMY STEWART

Alten & Lucin

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Case No.: C-15-305984-1

Dept. No.: XXI

Plaintiff,

TOMMY STEWART,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING

	5 years to life with the possibility of parole with FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO (452)
	DAYS credit for time served.
	DATED this 18th day of May, 2016
	JESS/MARCHESE, ESQ. Nevada Bar #8175
	6
	7
	DECLARATION OF MAILING
9	Jess R. Marchese, nereby declares that he is, and was when the herein described mailing
ĬĊ	took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested
11	m, the within action, that on the 18 day of May 2016, declarant deposited in the United States
12	man at Las vegas, Nevada, a copy the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevadavs
13	Tolling Stewart, Case No. C303984, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class
14	postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Tommy Stewart #2731067, PO Box 650, Indian Springs
15	Nevada 89070. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and
16	the place so addressed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and conect.
17	EXECUTED on the 18th day of May, 2016.
18	
19	Jess K. Marchese, Esq.
20	Jess K/ Marchese, Esq. Nevada Bar #8175
21	'
22	RECEIPT OF COPY
23	RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this
24	19. day of MAN 2016.
25	STEVEN B., WOLFSON
26	CLARK COLLINITY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
27	By:
28	

-2-

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant – TOMMY STEWART

Alun & Lunn
CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Case No.: C-15-305984-1

Dept. No.: XXI

Plaintiff,

TOMMY STEWART,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING,

	5 years to life with the possibility of parole with FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY TWO (452)			
	DAYS credit for time served.			
	DATED this 18th day of May, 2016			
	JESS MARCHESE, ESQ. Nevada Bar #8175			
6				
7				
8	DECLARATION OF MAILING			
9	Jess R. Marchese, hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein described mailing			
10				
11	in, the within action; that on the 18th day of May 2016, declarant deposited in the United States			
12	mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada vs			
13	Tommy Stewart, Case No. C305984, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class			
14	postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Tommy Stewart #2731067, PO Box 650, Indian Springs,			
15	Nevada 89070. That there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and			
16	the place so addressed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			
17	EXECUTED on the 18th day of May, 2016.			
18				
19	h//lln			
20	Jess K. Marchese, Esq. Nevada Bar #8175			
21	14evada Bai: #8173			
22	RECEIPT OF COPY			
23	RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this			
24	day of WAY 2016.			
25				
26	STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY			
27	1/A/			
28	By:			
-				

-2-

CLERK OF THE COURT

JESS R. MARCHESE, ESQ.
Nevada bar No. 8175
601 S. Las Vegas Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5377 Fax (702) 474-4210
Attorney for Defendant – TOMMY STEWART

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

) Case No.: C-15-305984-1

Dept. No.: XXI

Plaintiff,

TOMMY STEWART,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO XXI OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Tommy Stewart, presently incarcerated in the Nevada Department of Corrections, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 17th day of May, 2016 whereby he was convicted of COUNT 1-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY, 13-60 months, concurrent with COUNT 2; COUNT 2-BUGLARY, 22-96, concurrent with COUNT 3; COUNT 3-ROBBERY, 8-20 years concurrent with COUNT 4; COUNT 4-FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING