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|  | A. Yes. Page 9 |  | go to North Carolina. Page 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Where did you work before Montevista? | 2 | Q. Were you asked to leave North Vista? |
| 3 | A. I worked at Kindred. | 3 | A. It was kind of like they wanted me to, so I |
| 4 | Q. Is that a rehab place? | 4 | gave them a letter of resignation. |
| 5 | A. That's rehabilitation and long-term care. | 5 | Q. Were you asked to resign, as opposed to |
| 6 | Q. Which Kindred did you work at? | 6 | being fired? |
| 7 | A. It's been sold now, but it was on Maryland | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Parkway right off of Karen. | 8 | Q. Was it one of those kinds of situations? |
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| 11 | Q. And how long did you work there? | 11 | A. Yes. |
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| 13 | Q. And where did you work before Kindred? | 13 | general? |
| 14 | A. I was in North Carolina. | 14 | A. It's kind of detailed. My patient had a |
| 15 | Q. What did you do in North Carolina? | 15 | sister who he claimed was a nurse. I was trying to |
| 16 | A. I was a wound care nurse at a | 16 | explain something to him. I asked the sister to |
| 17 | rehabilitation center. |  | explain it to him, and he told me I was being rude to |
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| 24 | Q. How long were you living in North Carolina? | 24 | Q. Okay. |
| 25 | A. About a year and a half. | 25 | A. I really shouldn't discuss that. I mean -- |
|  | Q. Did you work at the same place? Page 10 |  | Page 12 |
| 2 | A. Yes. |  | , Im not asking for names. |
| 3 | Q. That entire time? |  | didn't like it, so they said something about it, so |
| 4 | A. Uh-huh. |  | then I got called into the office. |
| 5 | Q. Is that a yes? | 5 | Q. Who was your supervisor at the time? Do |
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1 Q. I guess the people who start working there Page 13
2 first say "they opened it." Were you one of those
people that opened the hospital?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. And what did you do there at
Centennial?
A. I worked on the joint replacement as a staff nurse.
Q. And what do you mean, you worked on the joint replacement?
A. Joint replacement was for hips, knees, shoulders.
Q. Was there a specific area of the hospital that was set aside for that?
A. Yes. The 7th floor.
Q. And were you only working with joint replacement patients?
A. No. You never just work with one. I mean if there's an empty bed and they need a med-surg bed, they throw the med-surg patient in there. So you do both.
Q. And do you recall how many beds the 7th floor was, approximately?
A. 20, on the one-half. There was two halves. This was the only half that was open. This one was
Page 14
not.
Q. Did they call it like north and south, or east and west, or something like that?
A. Yes, they did. I think it was north and south.
Q. So the north was open, the south was closed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was there a time that the south opened up while you were working there?
A. Not while I was there, no.
Q. And my understanding is you left the
hospital after working there about six months, seven months, or something like that?
A. No.
Q. How long did you work there?
A. I was there a little over a year.
Q. Okay. So on the 7th floor there was about 20 beds that were open, is that correct, while you were working there?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall how many nurses were working per shift, approximately?
A. Four.
Q. And when I say "nurses," I'm talking about

```
RNs, for the most part.
    A. Yes.
    Q. You're an RN, right?
    A. Yes.
    Q. What were the duties of an RN at Centennial
Hills back in -- well, when it opened?
        MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Overbroad.
        MR. BEMIS: I object to form. She's
not a 30(b)6 witness.
            To the extent that you do know,
    you can answer it.
    BY MR. MURDOCK:
    Q. Well, let me put it this way.
        What do you believe your duties
were back in 2008, or when the hospital opened?
    A. You would assess your patient. You would
    give them their medications. You would do any wound
    care that was ordered by the doctor. And usually you
    would check on a patient between one and two hours,
    to check for pain medication, or to make sure that
    they were okay. Plus you do your charting. That's
    it.
    Q. When you say "give meds," would you also
check IVs, things like that?
    A. Yes.
RNs, for the most part.
A. Yes.
Q. You're an RN, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What were the duties of an RN at Centennial Hills back in -- well, when it opened?
MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Overbroad.
MR. BEMIS: I object to form. She's
not a 30(b)6 witness.
To the extent that you do know,
you can answer it.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Well, let me put it this way.
What do you believe your duties were back in 2008, or when the hospital opened?
A. You would assess your patient. You would give them their medications. You would do any wound care that was ordered by the doctor. And usually you would check on a patient between one and two hours, to check for pain medication, or to make sure that they were okay. Plus you do your charting. That's it.
Q. When you say "give meds," would you also check IVs, things like that?
A. Yes.
```

Q. Were some of your patients hooked up to -is it called telemetry?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that like an EKG type thing?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you set the patient up for their telemetry or EKG stuff?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. It calls
for speculation. Go ahead and answer, if you know.
THE WITNESS: It would depend. They could come up from ER with it on. The doctor could order it after they had been there for awhile, and you would have to put it on. It would just depend. It was always different.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Sure. But if the doctor ordered it and you needed to put it on, is that something you, as an RN, would do?
A. Yes.
Q. Would anybody else be allowed to do that on the floor?
A. They shouldn't.
Q. Okay.
A. That doesn't mean they didn't.
Q. When you say "they" shouldn't, who are we

## Page 17

talking about?
A. Well, I mean --
Q. In general.
A. There are nursing students that might be on
the floor, that could offer to do that.
Q. What about CNAs?
A. I would never let a CNA do that, no.
Q. Why not?
A. Because it's not within their scope of practice.
Q. What does that mean?
A. That means they're not trained to do it appropriately.
Q. What does "scope of practice" mean?
A. It means like I can't order a drug for a person, because it's not in my scope of practice. That's the doctors.
Q. Sure. But you're certainly aware that CNAs -- or at least some CNAs did attach the telemetry leads at Centennial Hills?
A. That would be if the nurse allowed it.
Q. Sure. And I know you never did.
A. No.
Q. That's what you said. But were you aware that some did?
Q. So what do you believe was within the scope of practice for CNAs?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Outside
the scope of her knowledge.
MR. SILVESTRI: I'm just going to
object to overbroad.
MR. MURDOCK: Let me rephrase the
question a little bit.
Q. Would you ever order CNAs to do things, as part of your job?
A. Yes.
Q. What would you order them to do? What type of things would you order them to do?
A. Help me turn a patient. Put a patient on or off a bedpan. Take patient water. Walk by a patient's room and see if they were okay. Give a patient a bath. Help take food trays to a patient, and feed a patient, if the patient needed assistance.
Q. You said one thing that kind of piqued my curiosity a little bit.

You said the CNA could walk by a
patient's room to see if the patient was okay?
A. Uh-huh.
sure that they're still in their bed. That's all
that you would ask a CNA to do.
Q. But a CNA could also give the patient a bath?
A. Yes.
Q. The CNA could put a patient on and off a bedpan?
A. Absolutely.
Q. What does that mean?
A. What do you mean?
Q. I know it sounds like a stupid question, but what I'm asking is, how does one go about putting someone on and off a bedpan?
A. You roll the patient over, you slide the bedpan under, you roll the patient back, you put the head up a little bit. Then you give them their call light, so when they're done you can come back and roll them back, take it off, clean them up, and then
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roll them back to their position.
Q. What do you mean by "clean up?"
A. Wash them.
Q. Where?
A. On their backside.
Q. Anus?
A. Yes.
Q. Vagina?
A. Yeah.
Q. Or penis?
A. Wherever is dirty.
Q. So that's something the CNA was certainly
allowed to do, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Now, prior to working at Centennial, where
did you work?
A. St. Rose.
Q. How long did you work there?
A. A year and a half.
Q. Why did you leave St. Rose?
A. Because when I signed up with St. Rose they
were building the southwest campus, and they told me that I would be able to go there.

I was living in Centennial Hills,
I was driving all the way over to Henderson. I was
Q. What about entering the patient's room to
see if the patient was okay?
A. Well, most of the rooms that have a patient
hat is in danger of falling have a star on the side
of the door. So you can stick your head in and make
Page 20
there a year and a half, and every time I put in for a transfer they said they didn't have a spot. So when Centennial Hills was opening, I went there.
Q. Sure. Now, how long have you been a nurse?
A. Eleven years.
Q. What did you do before you were a nurse?
A. I owned a store.
Q. What kind of store?
A. A small grocery and party store.
Q. Where?
A. Detroit.
Q. How long did you own the store?
A. Six years.
Q. I assume you went to school to be a nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go to school?
A. Henry Ford Community College.
Q. And how long did that take?
A. About three and a half years.
Q. Did you do that while you were running your store?
A. No. I did that while I was a CNA.
Q. So you were a CNA before you were a nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. And where were you a CNA?
A. A lot of different places.
Q. Can you give me the city?
A. Detroit.
Q. Detroit?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And did the job of a CNA in Detroit differ much from the job of a CNA here in Las Vegas?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: No.

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. The same things? Washing the patients after they go on and off the bedpan, things like that?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do before you had the party store?
A. I was a housewife.
Q. For how long?
A. I don't know. About 12 years.
Q. Are you married?
A. Not anymore.
Q. Divorced?
A. Yes.
Q. For how long?
A. 22 years.
Q. You said you have children?
A. Yes.
Q. What do they do?
A. I have a son in Florida who is a manager for Office Max.
Q. Okay.
A. I have a daughter who lives here.
Q. What does she do?
A. She's a sales event manager for Three

Square. I have another daughter who is going to school for a human resources degree.
Q. She's here in Nevada, too?
A. Yes.
Q. She goes to UNLV?
A. Yes.
Q. When you applied to Centennial Hills to work there, how did you do that?
A. I went online and filled out the application, and I got a call to come in for an interview.
Q. How did you know they were looking for people?
A. It was in the newspaper.
Q. And did it say go to a certain website online, or something like that?
A. Well, I knew they were building the
hospital. I wanted to see if they were still hiring people, because it was close.
Q. Sure. So when you went online, were you applying to Centennial Hills specifically or --
A. Yes.
Q. Let me just finish my question.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. -- or was it through the Valley Health

System? Do you remember?
A. No. I believe it was Centennial Hills specifically.
Q. Do you remember seeing the letters UHS on the application?
A. No, I don't remember.
Q. Would it surprise you to learn that it was?
A. No.
Q. So you applied at Centennial Hills, you
went online, and I guess they ask you questions about your past, and names, and all that kind of stuff?
A. Yes.
Q. And did they then call you in for like an
interview, or something like that?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Do you remember who interviewed you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And after the interview were you offered a
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Or during the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it during?
A. No, it was after. I got a call.
Q. And did they offer you the job as a staff
nurse, a charge nurse? What did they offer you the job as?
A. Staff nurse.
Q. And did they tell you where you would be working?
A. On the 7th floor.
Q. And is that where you wanted to work?
A. Yes. That was what I was familiar with.
Q. That kind of population?
A. Yes.
Q. And you certainly didn't mind working with med-surg patients either?
A. No.
Q. So you got this job. Did you go through an orientation?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. You said, "Yes, we did." Who is "we?"
A. Well, all of us.
Q. Was it an orientation for everybody?
A. Yes.
Q. How many people?
A. Probably about 20 to 25 .
Q. All nurses?
A. Yes. Because the hospital wasn't open yet.
Q. Do you recall when this was? In other
words, if you started working in the hospital
sometime in February, would it have been like a month earlier, or something like that?
A. Yes. It was in January of that year.
Q. That's when the orientation was?
A. Yes.
Q. Whatever year it opened, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So at the orientation were you given
like an orientation packet?
A. Yes.
Q. What was in that packet, if you remember?
A. A description of the job, what you were
expected to do, your benefits, what was available,
how much they cost, your pay schedule. What the
hospital stood for, what their -- what's that

```
1
```

called -- creed, or whatever it was.
Q. What was the creed?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. So you got this packet of stuff. Do you remember who paid you, by the way?
A. Who paid me?
Q. Yeah. Was it Centennial Hills? Was it

Valley Health System, UHS?
A. I really don't remember. I had it direct deposited, got my stub, and I don't know.
Q. Were you allowed to keep those materials?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point did you throw them out?
A. I assume, yes.
Q. And how long did the orientation last?
A. It lasted about a week and a half.
Q. After that week and a half did the hospital open?
A. No. It didn't open for about another week.
Q. So you kind of like had a week's vacation in between?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then the hospital opened, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the day the hospital opened, was there all of a sudden an influx of hundreds of patients?
A. No.
Q. How did that work?
A. They came in through the ER -- some of
them. Some of them were brought in by doctors, sent by doctors.
Q. And let me kind of interrupt you just for a second, and I apologize.

You said you were working on like the joint replacement floor, and were there certain doctors who would admit to that floor?
A. Yes.
Q. Who were they?
A. There was a Dr. Swan, I believe. God, I can't even remember their names. I really don't remember their names.
Q. Okay. And I guess over time you met not just the nurses who you were working with, but also CNAs, orderlies?
A. Yes.
Q. Food service workers, thing like that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there ever a time when you first opened
where they got everybody together the hospital Page 29 nurses, CNAs, everybody, like in one big room and had a talk, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. So on your first day at Centennial, 1 assume you got to the hospital, you parked, right?
A. Yes.
Q. My assumption is -- and tell me if I'm
wrong -- that they have a special parking area for employees?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you go to that area, how do you get into that parking area?
A. It was open.
Q. It was open. Okay. Did you have a sticker on your car?
A. Yes.
Q. What did that sticker say? Do you remember?
A. It just was a number, and that I was a

Centennial Hills employee.
Q. Were you given a badge?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was on the badge? Do you remember?
A. Your name, what your position was, and then there was an encoded strip on the back, and a picture.
Q. Now, when you first started working there, did the hospital have people working there from agencies?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. And were those RNs as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And what else besides RNs? CNAs?
A. CNAs, yes. That's all I would know. I
wouldn't know about kitchen staff or whatever. All I
know is the nurses and the CNAs.
Q. Do you know where the CNAs would park, the agency CNAs?
A. They all parked where we parked, was my assumption. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Did you have a special area where you would walk into the hospital, as an employee?
A. Yes.
Q. Where would you walk in?
A. In the back. There was a specific door.
Q. What did it say on it? Do you remember?
A. It didn't say anything. It was just -- you were told in orientation that was where you came in.
Q. Was there a time clock there?
A. No.
Q. Did you have to clock in?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was the time clock?
A. Up by the elevators on each floor.
Q. So in other words, when you first started
working at the hospital, you would walk in, you'd park in the employee parking, you'd walk through the
special door, and then you would go upstairs I guess
to the 7th floor, and that's where you would check
in. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And how would you clock in?
A. You would swipe your badge.
Q. What I'm getting at is, it wasn't one of those old-fashioned time clock cards where you have to pull out your name and then clock in?
A. No.
Q. It was all done with this badge, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you'd just slide it through, right?
A. Yes.
Q. How would you know if an employee -- or if a CNA or an RN was an agency RN, or not?
A. By their badge.
Q. What would the badge say?
A. Well, their badge would be the one provided them by their agency, so it would have the agency's name on it.
Q. Wouldn't it also have the name of the hospital on it?
A. No.
Q. How would you know that they actually
belonged at the facility?
A. Who would come in and work there if they weren't getting paid to work there?
Q. Okay. Now, did you ever meet a CNA by the name of Steven Farmer?
A. No.
Q. You never met him?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you ever hear about a CNA by the name of Steven Farmer?
A. The only time I heard was when the allegations came up.
Q. Okay. What is a "sitter?"
A. If a doctor writes an order that a person
needs to have someone sitting there to watch them, due to altered mental status or for some other

problem, then you have a CNA or a nurse sitting in the room right by the bed watching the patient.
Q. Is it kind of like a private CNA or a private nurse?
A. It can be a regular CNA or a regular nurse.

Whoever is available.
Q. Okay. And were there occasions while you
were working at Centennial Hills that there were
sitters with patients?
A. Yes.
Q. And as a matter of fact, Steven Farmer was
a sitter with a patient, is that correct?

MR. SILVESTRI: I move to strike.
Lacks foundation.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Are you aware that Steven Farmer was assigned to be a sitter with a patient? MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Lacks
foundation.
MR. BEMIS: You can go ahead and
answer.
THE WITNESS: After the fact.
Q. After what fact?
A. That he sat.
Q. Was that on the 7th floor?
A. Yes.
Q. And my understanding is that Mr. Farmer was
sitting with a patient sometime around February or
early March at Centennial Hills when you were on
duty, is that correct?
Q. And at some point while Mr. Farmer was
sitting with the patient, you heard some screams
coming from that room. Is that correct?
MR. SILVESTRI: I'm going to object.
Lacks foundation.
MS. HALL: Join.
THE WITNESS: It wasn't screams like
terrorized, or anything like that.
It was somebody who was irritated.
Like when you're screaming and somebody wakes you up and you're like, "Leave me alone. Get out of here."
That kind of thing.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Where was this room, as opposed to where you were when you heard this activity?
A. Okay. You have the nurses' station, which is a square. The room was here. I was standing over
there.
Q. Okay. Unfortunately that's not helpful, so let's see if we can't kind of figure this out here.
A. Okay.
Q. Let's do it this way first.

I'm going to turn you into an
artist right now, so if you could draw the nurses'
station and the room where the screaming was, that would be great.
A. This would be the nurses' station. This would be the room over here. I was standing over here.
Q. Okay. So let's mark a few things on there.
A. Okay.
Q. First of all, where you put the circle
where you said you were standing, could you write in "standing here?"
A. Okay.
Q. And where you marked the room, could you
put the room? Just put the word "room."
A. (Complying.)
Q. I assume you don't know the room number?
A. No.
Q. So basically it was on the other side of the nurses' station, is that correct?
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A. Yes
Q. So you were on the other side of the nurses' station when you heard this -- let's say "commotion." Would you agree with that?
A. Yes.

MR. MURDOCK: We'll mark this as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked.)
MR. BEMIS: I'm just going to place an
objection that it's not to scale, obviously.
MR. MURDOCK: Of course not. If it
was, l'd be amazed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Nevertheless, so you hear the commotion, and at that point do you see Mr. Farmer come out of the room?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What did you do when you heard the commotion?
A. I looked up. I looked over there. The
nurse for that room was approaching the room, so I didn't do anything.
Q. Do you recall who the nurse was?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And after the nurse approached the room and
you looked up, what occurred then?
A. I saw her go into the room. I didn't hear any more commotion. I went back to my job.
Q. Was the door closed to the room?
A. The door was not closed tight, no.
Q. I didn't ask you that. Was the door closed?

MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: It was halfway open. I
mean you could see into the room. That's how I knew
he was sitting there.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Was it dark?
A. The light over the bed was on.
Q. Okay. Do you remember speaking to the police?
A. Yes.

MR. MURDOCK: Let's mark this as
Plaintiff's 2.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 marked.)
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Ma'am, l'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you last see this document?
A. Actually I saw it this afternoon.
Q. How did you see it this afternoon?
A. I was reviewing it before I came here.
Q. How did you get it?

MR. BEMIS: Objection. That's all privileged.

MR. MURDOCK: Do you represent her?
MR. BEMIS: Yes, I do. I sent you the correspondence.

MR. MURDOCK: Well, I know you sent me correspondence, but have you been retained as her counsel, or are you just representing her as an employee of the hospital?

MR. BEMIS: As an employee of the
hospital.
MR. MURDOCK: So l'll ask my question
again.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Bemis about this document?
A. Yes.
Q. When?
A. Before I came here.
Q. Did Mr. Bemis give you this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Had you ever seen this document before Mr.
Bemis showed it to you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. When did you see this document.
A. I saw it before I went into court on the
case in the beginning of this. Cagnina, or whatever
her name is.

MR. BEMIS: Let's not say any patient
names.
THE WITNESS: Okay. The former
patient.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did you meet with the District Attorney's office in this matter?
A. In the former one?
Q. in the criminal case.
A. Yes.
Q. Was Mr. Bemis there as well?
A. No.
Q. Outside of the District Attorney's office, was anybody else there?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever retained Mr. Bemis in this matter?
A. No.
Q. You spoke with the police about the other matter, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you gave a recorded statement, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to giving the recorded statement, did you have a conversation with the police?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever tell them about any incidents with Mr. Farmer, before you gave the recorded statement?
A. No.
Q. Now, did you tell the police that

Mr. Farmer seemed more attentive to female patients than male patients?
A. I said that was the way I observed some of his behavior.
Q. I understand that. Okay.

And could you explain that for us?
A. Just that, as I said in here, that he offered to do things for a female patient -- offered to the nurse.
Q. Like what?

| 1 | A. Putting the telemetry things on. Page 41 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Q. Now, putting telemetry leads on. How do |
| 3 | you do that? |
| 4 | MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead |
| 5 | and answer. |
| 6 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 7 | Q. You've done it before, right? |
| 8 | A. Yeah. |
| 9 | Q. How do you put on telemetry leads? |
| 10 | A. You have little metal wires that are |
| 11 | covered in plastic with snaps on the end. You snap |
| 12 | on -- we call them dots, but they have a sticky back |
| 13 | side. Then you place one here, one here, one here, |
| 14 | one here, and one in the middle. |
| 15 | Q. I'm sorry, you said "one here, one here, |
| 16 | one here." Could you describe that for the record, |
| 17 | where you put them? |
| 18 | A. One the the right upper chest, one to the |
| 19 | left upper chest, one the right by the bottom of |
| 20 | the ribcage, to the left bottom on the ribcage, and |
| 21 | one to the sternum. |
| 22 | Q. Are there times when you have to lift a |
| 23 | woman's breast in order to place that lead? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. And Mr. Farmer was willing to do that? |
| 1 | MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Lacks Page 42 |
| 1 | foundation. |
| 2 | MR. BEMIS: Form. |
| 3 | MS. |
| 4 | MALL: Form. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I saw him do that on one |
| 6 | occasion, yes. |
| 7 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 8 | Q. Tell me about that. You saw him do that. |
| 9 | What did you see him do? |
| 10 | A. I was walking by. The nurse had the |
| 11 | telemetry unit in her hand. He said, "Oh, I can put |
| 12 | that on for you." She handed it to him. |
| 13 | Q. And he did that, right? |
| 14 | A. l'm assuming he did. I didn't stand there |
| 15 | and watch him, but -- |
| 16 | MR. SILVESTRI: Move to strike. Lacks |
| 17 | foundation. |
| 18 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 19 | Q. So you never saw him actually do it? |
| 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | Q. But you saw him offer to do it? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Is that right? |

```
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
```


## BY MR. MURDOCK:

```
Q. Am I correct that on women, usually you have to move the breast to place the lead?
MS. HALL: I object to form. MR. BEMIS: | object to form. THE WITNESS: You do -- well, yeah, if they're large-breasted you have to, because you have to get under here, to the breast area. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And did you tell the police, "He would always say, 'Ill do that for you, you know, and you do what you have to do?"' Did you say that to the police?
A. If it says it here, I guess I did.
Q. Well, why don't you turn to LVMP 0178. At the bottom there's what's called a Bates stamp -- on the bottom of the pages. So if you could turn to page 178 , in the middle of the paragraph.
A. Okay. "He was always willing to do something extra."
Q. Do you see where it says, "He would always say, 'Oh, l'll do that for you, you know, and you do what you have to do"'?
```

A. Yes.
Q. And you said that with regard to moving the breast for the telemetry, is that correct?

MS. HALL: I object to form. Misstates testimony.

THE WITNESS: I was just saying he
would be willing to help with anything, not just
that.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Oh, okay. Well, let's go before, and let's read this together. Okay?

On page 178 you state -- do you see where there's an " $A$ " in the middle of the page?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see where your first word is "Well?"
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. It says -- well, we'll read the first part.

It says, "Well, when he brought a patient up, if they had to have a (blank) on, he would offer to put the (blank) on."

## Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?

| Page 45 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Do you know what those blanks were? |
| 3 | A. I'm assuming it's telemetry. I don't know |
| 4 | why it wasn't put in here. |
| 5 | Q. Because that's what you were talking about, |
| 6 | right? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. So I read that sentence correctly? |
| 9 | MR. SILVESTRI: Well, l'm going to |
| 10 | object and move to strike, unless it says it all. |
| 1 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 12 | Q. Well, let's read it again. |
| 13 | "Well, when he brought a patient |
| 14 | up, if they had to have a (blank) on, he would offer |
| 15 | to put the (blank) on." |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Did I read that correctly? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And you believe that the blank should have |
| 20 | the word "telemetry" in it. Is that correct? |
| 21 | A. I'm saying that my understanding would be |
| 2 | that's what they're referring to, but it could be |
| 23 | anything. It could be a gown on. It could be |
| 24 | anything. |
| 25 | Q. Well, this is your statement. Do you |
|  | Page 46 |
|  | remember what you were talking about? |
| 2 | A. About him being helpful. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. Well, the next sentence states, |
| 4 | "Which means of course, you know, behind the chest |
| 5 | and they have to go on the ribcages." |
| 6 | A. That's the telemetry dots. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. So now we know what we're talking |
|  | about. The telemetry, correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. And I read that sentence correctly, is that |
| 11 | right? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. And the next sentence says, "So of course, |
| 4 | on women it's usually you have to move the breast to |
| 15 | put the, underneath the breast and stuff." |
| 16 | Did I read that correctly? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. The next sentence states, "He would always |
| 19 | say, 'Oh, l'll do that for you, you know, and you do |
| 20 | what you have to do."' Did I read that correctly? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. And this is your statement to the police, |
| 23 | is that right? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And then it states -- as you go down |


|  | Your answer is, "The only thing I Page 49 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | can think of, like I said, is the older lady that he |
| 3 | did the one-to-one sittings with." Do you see that? |
| 4 | A. Yes, Ido. |
| 5 | Q. Now, what did you mean when you said, "like |
| 6 | I said?" Had you told him about this before? |
| 7 | A. No. |
| 8 | Q. So why did you say "like I said?" |
| 9 | A. I have no idea. |
| 10 | Q. Did you tell somebody else about that? |
| 11 | A. We had talked about it between ourselves |
| 12 | the night it happened, yes. |
| 13 | Q. Who did you talk about it with? |
| 14 | A. The other nurses. |
| 15 | Q. Do you recall any names? |
| 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. And you were talking about this incident |
| 18 | with the sitting? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And your next answer states, "Which means |
| 21 | that the doctor ordered for somebody to be in the |
| 22 | room with her at all times." Do you see that? |
| 23 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 24 | Q. Then you state, "He was in there on the |
| 25 | evening shift. It was dark, because he had the |
|  | Page 50 |
| 1 | lights out." Did I read that correctly? |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. "The door was closed." Did I read that |
| 4 | correctly? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. So did you tell the police he was in there |
| 7 | on the evening shift, and it was dark because he had |
| 8 | the lights out? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Did you tell the police the door was |
| 11 | closed? |
| 12 | A. Well, obviously I did. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Then you state, "Which usually for a |
| 14 | one-to-one, if I had been the nurse, which I wasn't, |
| 15 | I would want the door open. I want to see what's |
| 16 | going on." Did I read that correctly? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Did you tell the police that? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. Then you stated, "But we did hear her |
| 21 | yelling." |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Do you see that? |
| 24 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 25 | Q. Is that a yes? |


or not she actually was being assaulted?
A. I didn't have to. Her nurse went in there to see what was going on.
Q. And you never asked the nurse what happened, right?
A. That's not my business.
Q. Okay. Now, did the nurse go into the room?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. If you can, could you describe the nurse
for us?
A. No. I don't remember who the nurse was.
Q. So you can't tell me what she looked like,
or he looked like in any way?
A. No.
Q. Was it a "he" or a "she?"
A. I believe it was a woman, but I'm not positive.
Q. And when you got together, as you said before, all the nurses, when this all came up, when the criminal case came up and you had this
discussion -- you said you talked about this issue
with the sitter. Do you remember that?
A. No.
Q. Well, you said before, when we talked about the sentence here where it says, "The only thing I
can think of, like I said, is the older lady that he did the one-to-one sittings with" --
A. Oh, okay.
Q. You said there was a conversation between you and the rest of the nurses about this incident.
A. That would have been as we were standing at the nurses' desk when the yelling was going on, after the nurse walked into the room.
Q. Do you recall how many nurses --
A. Well, there wouldn't have been more than three, because there was never more than four of us.
And if she went in the room, that left three.
Q. And did any of you have a conversation with that nurse after the fact?

MR. BEMIS: Calls for speculation.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. As far as you know?
A. I have no idea.
Q. You certainly didn't, is that right?
A. I did not, no.
Q. Okay. Outside of hearing the patient yell,
"I don't want you by me, get out of here," did you
hear her yell anything else?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Do you recall her yelling anything else?
3 we didn't put any credence into what she was saying,"
you were talking about when she was yelling, "I don't
want you by me, get out of here." Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, this occurred on the 6th floor, didn't it?
A. On the 6th floor?
Q. Yeah.
A. I thought it was on the 7th floor.
Q. Well, why don't you keep reading down on 181. Maybe that will refresh your recollection.
A. Okay. Then yes, it was. We got pulled back and forth, so it's easy to not remember exactly what floor you were on every night.
Q. Now, would the drawing that you made as

Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 --would that be different because it was on the 6th floor, as opposed to the 7th?
A. No. They're all exactly the same.
Q. Okay. Now, then the policeman says to you, "Okay. Do you remember what she was in for? What that victim?" Then you state, "I don't know."
A. Right.

Page 59
A. No.
Q. So just so l'm clear, when you stated, "So
we didn't put any credence into what she was saying,"
you were talking about when she was yelling, "I don't
want you by me, get out of here." Is that right?
Q. Okay. Now, then the policeman says to you,
Q. You're talking about the --
A. Patient.
Q. - what you termed the little crazy old lady patient, is that correct?
A. The patient, yes.
Q. But that's the patient we're talking about
here, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, outside of telling the police about
this -- what you termed "the little crazy old lady,"
and the incident with Mr. Farmer -- did you ever tell anybody else about that?
A. No.
Q. After the incident took place, after the
arrest, and Ms. Cagnina, things like that, did you ever tell anybody at the hospital about this incident?
A. No.
Q. So the first and only time you ever
discussed this patient, the little crazy old lady
patient with anyone, would have been with this detective. Is that correct?
A. No. Wait a minute. The director of nursing called me down and we talked about this, too, after I talked to the police. When she got a copy of
this, I talked to her. And that was it.
Q. Who was the director of nursing at the time?
A. I really don't know.
Q. But the director of nursing called you down after she read the statement, is that correct?
A. Yes. She talked to all of us.
Q. What do you mean, she talked to all of you?
A. She talked to all the nurses that were involved in this.
Q. Did you go through the statement with her?
A. She asked me what happened. I told her what I knew. We didn't pick this up and go through it line by line like we are now, but she knew what was -- I mean she had read it.
Q. Did she ask you about the little crazy old lady patient? Was that something you discussed?
A. You know, I'm not sure. She just asked about what I knew. No, I don't believe we did go through that. We went through the other part, because that was what was coming up.
Q. And outside of me asking you about this little crazy old lady patient, and the detective, has anybody else ever asked you about it?
A. No.

MR. MURDOCK: I don't think I have any
further questions at this time.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Ms. Murray, name is Jim Silvestri. I
represent American Nursing Services. I have just a
couple of follow-up questions for you, if you don't mind.
A. Sure.
Q. When you worked at Centennial Hills

Hospital, did CNAs, certified nursing assistants -did they operate independently of the registered nurses?
A. You mean have their own duties that they did?
Q. Without being told.
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of duties did they have that they
would not need instruction from an RN on, or a doctor on, to perform?
A. Answering lights, walking patients to the bathroom, helping patients clean up if they had become nauseous or if they had an accident. Helping them change their clothes, bringing them stuff they
needed.
Q. So if they went into a room to help
somebody clean up who had thrown up, or maybe went to
the bathroom, typically the light would come on and a
CNA would know to go in there?
MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Speculation.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Did the CNAs typically just go on random checks and see that people had thrown up or soiled themselves?
A. Not really. Usually you're so busy with the lights you don't have a chance to.
Q. Let me ask you, when you were asked about a sitter, you used the term - - and I don't know if it was a term of art -- altered mental state or mental status.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just tell me generally what you mean by "altered mental status?"
A. That means a patient who is not thinking clearly enough, to where they can harm themselves, pull tubes out, pull IVs out, pull blood out, whatever. And they can only have a one-on-one with a
doctor's order saying they are not thinking clearly
enough, so that they need to have somebody sitting
there with them.
Q. Did you work with Steven Farmer personally?
A. No.
Q. You were asked about application of telemetry leads.
A. Yes.
Q. And correct me if I'm wrong - and I don't mean to be insensitive about this, or overly invasive -- but a woman that is more endowed is more likely to have her breast arranged such that the telemetry lead can be placed under near the ribcage?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you place telemetry leads on the nipple of the breast?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever done that?
A. No.
Q. Is there any reason to do that, that you're
aware of?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any nurses at Centennial

Hills Hospital ever being instructed to digitally penetrate a female patient?
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MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: The only time you would have to -- that would be rectally, and that's only if they're impacted.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. "Impacted" meaning they're not having a bowel movement?
A. Right.
Q. Have you ever instructed a CNA to digitally penetrate a female patient?
A. No. They're not allowed to do that -CNAs.
Q. Are they allowed to digitally penetrate the vagina?
A. No.
Q. You were being asked questions about this statement that you gave to the police that's in front of you, Exhibit 2.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether you had an informal interview with that police officer, before you were asked to give a recorded statement? Like, "Can we put this now on tape?"
A. He came in and introduced himself, and then he said, "We're going to put this on tape."
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Q. So no informal discussion before?
A. No.
Q. And then you were being asked some
questions on page 178, and that's the Bates stamp at the bottom, and you were being asked questions about whether Mr. Farmer was helpful or not, offering to help the nurses with whom he worked.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And I believe your testimony in questioning
from Mr. Murdock was that you overheard him offer to
another nurse to apply the telemetry leads to a
female patient.
A. Yes.
Q. And I have that you heard that one time?
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't see it happen?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Is that the only time you heard Mr. Farmer make such an offer to another nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. And then this statement that you made where it said, "He would always say, 'Oh, l'll" -- and they've got a little equal sign where there should be an apostrophe, right? "I'll do that for you, you know, and you do what you have to do."'
A. Yes.
Q. Was that comment made by you with respect to him just being helpful in a general way?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall specifically other occasions
where Mr. Farmer told another nurse that he would be helpful to a female patient?
A. I know that he had offered to walk somebody into the bathroom, instead of waiting for the nurse to do it.
Q. Okay.
A. The thing is that running around, you just would hear different things. Like you would hear -not just him, but other CNAs, "I can do that for you," and they do it. What exactly they were, I don't know. It wasn't to me. It was to somebody else.
Q. You don't recall the names of some of these people to whom other CNAs were talking or anything?
A. No.
Q. Was there ever a situation where -- or was
it the situation that you felt Mr. Farmer was suspicious?
A. No.
Q. You didn't report him to anybody, did you?
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A. No, I did not.
Q. If I understand you correctly, you say that
you witnessed one time where he offered to place
telemetry leads on a female patient, and you
witnessed one time where he offered to take somebody
to the bathroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that a female?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall any other specific instances where he made such an offer to help a female patient?
A. No.
Q. Does a CNA typically apply telemetry leads
without being instructed to do so by a nurse?
MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Speculation.
THE WITNESS: Most of the nurses do
that.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Okay. In the situations though that you
witnessed, where a nurse would allow a CNA to perform
that function, has that nurse instructed the CNA to do that?
A. Well, I don't really know, but I would
assume -- just an assumption -- that she would ask
him if they knew what they were doing, first of all.

And if not, then she could show him, if she wanted to. If not, she could just put it on herself. It's much easier.
Q. Are telemetry leads applied only under doctors' orders?
A. Yes.
Q. So you, as a nurse -- can you order that?
A. No.
Q. Can a CNA order that?
A. No.
Q. At a minimum there would have to be a doctor's order?
A. Yes.
Q. You were also then asked questions about this incident on the 6th floor, the woman for whom Mr. Farmer was sitting.
A. Yes.
Q. I didn't quite understand. I think you
said -- I wrote this down, that you said you found out after the fact that Farmer was a sitter.
A. Yes.
Q. So when did you find out that Mr. Farmer was a sitter in this room for this elderly woman?
A. After she was yelling, and then you could see in the door that he stood up and walked over --
kind of like wage like 70 trying to find out what she was yelling about.
Q. So when you say found out after the fact, it was after this yelling that you heard?
A. Yes.
Q. And as I understand it, the yelling was
related to her saying, "I don't want you by me, get out of here?"
A. Yes.
Q. You mention in your statement that the door was closed. Did it appear to be completely closed?
A. No.
Q. It was not completely open?
A. No.
Q. Is that a fair statement?
A. Yes.
Q. Because otherwise it probably would have been difficult to hear what she was saying?
A. Yes.
Q. Especially given the fact that you were on the other side of the hallway?
A. Yes.
Q. You also indicated in the statement that it was dark, but you told us today that you recall a light being on over the bed?
A. Okay.
Q. Hopefully I won't go over anything you've already been asked, and I think I just have a few questions for you.

MR. MURDOCK: And just for the record,
I'm going to object to any questions you're asking,
unless they have something to do with damages or
comparative fault.
MS. HALL: You can object to whatever
you like. I'm still going to ask the questions, but
you're welcome to object to whatever you'd like to object to.

MR. MURDOCK: I'm not so sure about that, okay?

MS. HALL: Well, we're here for Jane
Doe. We're not here for this little lady on the 6th
floor, so l'm entitled to ask the questions that I
have. If you find something objectionable, feel free to object.

MR. MURDOCK: Okay. You've lost liability, so the only question you're here for is damages, okay?

So unless you can tell me your questions relate somehow to damages, I don't know why

BY MS. HALL:
Q. Nurse Murray, in your mind is there a difference between a yell and a scream?
A. Yes.

MR. MURDOCK: Objection.
BY MS. HALL:
Q. Earlier you described what you heard coming from the lady's room on the 6th floor as a "yell," correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I think earlier you also described it as you said she sounded irritated?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you believe she sounded frightened or scared in any way?

MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Speculation. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: No. Just like she was irritated that she's being woke up or -- you know, when you're sleeping and you're being told to wake up. Like, "Leave me alone." That type of yelling. BY MS. HALL:
Q. And I think a moment ago when Mr. Silvestri was questioning you, you answered that you saw Mr. Farmer stand up and go over to the bed after the
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yelling started.
A. Yeah. That's when I saw him. That's how I knew he was the sitter.
Q. So the yelling started first, and then you saw him walk to the bed?
A. Yes.

MS. HALL: Thank you. I have no further questions.

MR. MURDOCK: I move to strike all that. Go ahead.

MR. BEMIS: I don't have any questions.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. Let me just go through some of this. Now, you didn't see what Mr. Farmer did before the yelling came from the patient, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you have no idea what Mr. Farmer was
doing before the yelling began, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, apparently you think there's a
difference between "yelling" and "screaming." Is that correct?

|  | A. Yes, I do. Page 77 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Now, in terms of "yelling," you overheard |
| 3 | this "yelling" from -- how many feet away is that, |
|  | approximately? As we talked about it on Plaintiff's |
| 5 | Exhibit 1, how far away were you? 10 feet? The |
| 6 | length of this table here? What are we talking |
| 7 | about? The length of this table is about 20 feet. |
| 8 | A. Okay. The length of the table. |
| 9 | Q. So about 20 feet away? |
| 10 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 11 | Q. So you were able to hear with the door at |
| 12 | least partially closed, you admitted today -- |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. -- you were able to hear somebody yelling, |
|  | "I don't want you by me. Get out of here." Right? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. And again, you had no idea what Farmer was |
| 18 | ing before the yelling started, right? |
| 19 | MS. HALL: Objection. Form. |
| 20 | MR. BEMIS: Form. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: That's right. |
| 22 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 23 | Q. You have no idea whether he was waking her |
|  | up, not waking her up, whether she was waking up, |
|  | whether she had been up, or anything like that, |
|  | Page 78 |
|  | right? |
| 2 | MS. HALL.: Objection. Form. |
| 3 | Foundation. |
| 4 | MR. BEMIS: Join. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
| 6 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 7 | Q. When you stated that you thought the crazy |
| 8 | old lady, as you phrased it, might have an altered |
| 9 | mental state -- you don't have any idea about that. |
| 10 | You don't have any personal knowledge as to whether |
|  | or not she was in an altered mental state, do you? |
| 12 | A. She would not have had a one-to-one sitter |
|  | by order of a doctor if she was in a clear mind, so |
|  | obviously she was in an altered mental status. |
| 15 | Q. So in other words, every person who has a |
| 16 | one-to-one is in an altered mental state, is that |
| 17 | correct? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Oh, okay. They don't have one-to-ones for |
| 20 | any other reasons? |
| 21 | A. If they're clear-minded they're not going |
| 22 | to pull things out, so no. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. So just so l'm clear, the only |
|  | reason -- the only reason -- a patient would have a |
|  | one-to-one would be because that patient has an |

to Metro back in 2008, you told the detective he would always do this. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think your memory was better about this incident back in 2008 than it is today in 2015?

MR. BEMIS: Objection. Form.
Speculation.
THE WITNESS: No. I hardly ever worked with this man, and the "always" is the two times that I worked with him that I knew that he did this.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. But you didn't tell the policeman "two
times." You told him "always," right?
A. Well, that was always to me.
Q. Okay. And of course then you told the
policeman that he was more helpful to female patients
than to male patients, right?
A. In my experience.
Q. Is that just the two patients we're talking
about, or were there more?
A. That's the two patients I know of.
Q. So out of all the patients you saw at

Centennial Hills Hospital, first you used the word
"always," second you tell the policeman, well, you
think he was more helpful to female patients, because
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you saw him twice. Is that correct?
MS. HALL: Form.
THE WITNESS: That was the patients
that I saw him be helpful with.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Two female patients.
A. Because we very rarely worked together, yes.
Q. Now, let's talk about the statement a little bit more -- about the telemetry leads.

As you go down the statement it
states, "Okay. And when you say he had to put on the two (blank)" -- you say, "Uh-huh." Question: "How many -- how many points of, um, these leads?" And your answer, "There's five leads."
A. Yes.
Q. Question: "There's five leads?" Answer: "On our portable monitors," and there's a blank, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you state, "And there's -- so one basically on top of a, below the clavicle." And your answer is: "Right here." The detective says,
"Above, above the breast?" Your answer is: "Yeah, by the belly area here."

So I guess you're showing him
where the telemetry is, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is basically what you showed us here today, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the detective states, "And then two, one under each side of the breast?" Your answer
is: "Right." And the detective states, "And then
one in between the breast?" Your answer is, "Right."
Then the detective says, "Okay,
and he always seemed more than willing to" -- and
then you state, "Oh, I'll put that on for you, yup."
That's what you told the
policeman, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you're telling us here today that "always" relates to two people.
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't tell the policeman anything about two. The word "two" isn't in there, is it?
A. No.
Q. Before your shifts did you meet with the
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other nurses who had the shift before?
A. Yes.

MR. BEMIS: You're speaking at
Centennial, correct?
MR. MURDOCK: At Centennial. I'm
sorry.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And what is that called?
A. Report.
Q. Report. Would the CNAs be in on report?
A. No.
Q. And the CNAs would answer call lights?
A. Yes.
Q. But the CNAs could also enter in rooms
without the call light being on, right?
A. Yes.
Q. To check on the patients, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said before you've never instructed a CNA to digitally penetrate a vagina, right?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever instructed a CNA to wash a vagina?
A. Yes. That's part of a bath.
Q. Have you ever instructed a CNA to clean

|  | someone's anus after they've defecated? Page 85 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. And you said yourself you don't instruct |
| 4 | CNAs to put on telemetry leads, but you are aware |
| 5 | that that does occur, correct? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. And you said that putting on a telemetry |
| 8 | lead, you don't need to -- or you don't put a |
| 9 | telemetry lead on a nipple, right? |
| 10 | A. No. |
| 11 | Q. Now, when you're moving the breast of a |
| 12 | woman, is it possible to touch the nipple when you're |
| 13 | moving that breast? |
| 14 | MR. BEMIS: I object to form. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: It depends on how you do |
| 16 | it. If you just go like this with this side of your |
| 17 | hand and slide it up, no. |
| 18 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 19 | Q. Right, if you do it that way? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. But there are other ways that you could |
| 22 | lift the breast and move the breast and be touching |
| 23 | the nipple, correct? |
| 24 | A. I'm sure there could be. |
| 25 | Q. When you were at Centennial Hills, did you |
|  | Page 86 |
| 1 | ever write an incident report? |
| 2 | A. No. |
| 3 | Q. About anything? |
| 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | Q. Did you know how to draft an incident |
| 6 | report? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. How would you have gone about drafting an |
| 9 | incident report? |
| 10 | A. You follow the form. |
| 11 | Q. How do you get that form? |
| 12 | A. You get it from your supervisor or your |
| 13 | charge nurse. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | MR. MURDOCK: I have nothing further at |
| 16 | this time. |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | FURTHER EXAMINATION |
| 19 | BY MR. SILVESTRI: |
| 20 | Q. One thing we didn't ask about, did CNAs put |
| 21 | catheters into patients? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. Including female patients? |
| 24 | A. No, they did not. |
| 25 | Q. And I'm talking about at Centennial Hills. |

ne's anus after they've defecated?
Q. And you said yourself you don't instruct

CNAs to put on telemetry leads, but you are aware at that does occur, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said that putting on a telemetry
lead, you don't need to -- or you don't put a
elemetry lead on a nipple, right?
A. No.
Q. Now, when you're moving the breast of a
woman, is it possible to touch the nipple when you're
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: It depends on how you do
it. If you just go like this with this side of your
hand and slide it up, no.
Q. Right, if you do it that way?
A. Yes.
Q. But there are other ways that you could
lift the breast and move the breast and be touching
he nipple, correct?
A. I'm sure there could be.
Q. When you were at Centennial Hills, did you
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A. No.
Q. About anything?
A. No.
Q. Did you know how to draft an incident
A. Yes.
Q. How would you have gone about drafting an
A. You follow the form.
Q. How do you get that form?
A. You get it from your supervisor or your

Q Okay.
MR. MURDOCK: I have nothing further at

FURTHER EXAMINATION
MR. SILVESTRI
atheters into patients?
A. No.
A. No, they did not.
Q. And I'm talking about at Centennial Hills.

MR. SILVESTRI: Yes.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. The catheters -- you said CNAs don't put in catheters, correct?
A. No, they do not.
Q. Do they ever fix them when they're out of position?
A. No, they do not.
Q. Okay.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SILVESTRI:

Q. When applying these telemetry leads -whether you're a nurse, Doctor, CNA, or some other person applying leads -- are you aware of anybody who does so where they then fondle the breast and the

```
nipple?
```

MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Speculation.
THE WITNESS: I have no idea what they do when they put them on.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Well, I mean have you ever fondled a female patient?
A. No. I use the side of my arm. I slide the breast up and put it down like that.

MR. SILVESTRI: I have nothing further.
MS. HALL: I have nothing further.
MR. BEMIS: No questions.
MR. MURDOCK: I guess you're done. Oh, you know what? Two things.

What is your telephone number?
THE WITNESS: (702) 743-7043.
MR. MURDOCK: What is your address?
THE WITNESS: 9051 -- oh, God. I just
drew a blank. It starts with an "E."
MR. BEMIS: Is it on your ID?
THE WITNESS: No, it's not. I haven't got it changed yet. I just moved there recently.

Echelon Point Drive, unit 1006,
Las Vegas, Nevada, 89149.
MR. MURDOCK: You have the right to

## review this deposition. You can make any changes to

 your answers that you so desire.I caution you that I or any other counsel in this matter can comment on the fact that you made changes, and that may affect your credibility. Knowing that, you still have a right to review the deposition and make any changes.

If you want to do so, you need to let the court reporter know and she can make arrangements for you to review the deposition and sign. Otherwise you can waive it. It's up to you -and your counsel, I guess.

THE WITNESS: I'll waive it.
(The deposition concluded at 3:05 p.m.)
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| 1 | Deposition of Sajit Pullarkat |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | August 7,2015 |
| 3 | (Prior to the commencement of the deposition, |
| 4 | all of the parties present agreed to waive |
| 5 | statements by the court reporter, pursuant to |
| 6 | Rule 30(b)(4) of NRCP.) |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | SAJIT PULLARKAT, |
| 9 | having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: |
| 10 | EXAMINATION |
| 11 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 12 | W. Would you please state your name for the |
| 13 | Q. |
| 14 | record? |
| 15 | A. Sajit, S-a-j-i-t, Pullarkat, |
| 16 | P-u-li-a-r-k-a-t. |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Pullarkat, we're going to be taking |
| 18 | your deposition basically twice. There may be some |
| 19 | rollover between questions and whatnot. We'll work |
| 20 | through that. |
| 21 | The first part of the deposition |
| 22 | is going to be your individual deposition in this |
| 23 | case. The second part is where you're being |
| 24 | presented on behalf of Centennial Hills Hospital as |
| 25 | the person most knowledgeable regarding various |

## subjects. Do you understand that?

A. Yes.
Q. Right now we're going to start with your individual deposition. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. Yes.
Q. On how many occasions?
A. Once.
Q. When was that?
A. Probably about 10 years ago.
Q. What kind of case?
A. It was another hospital case.
Q. Here in town?
A. No.
Q. Where?
A. California.
Q. Was it for any hospital related to UHS?
A. No.
Q. You are currently a CEO, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are the CEO of what?
A. Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center.
Q. And who are you employed by?
A. UHS. Universal Health Services.
Q. You are not employed by Valley Health

Systems, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. My understanding is that you were not the

CEO of Centennial Hills Hospital back in 2008, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. My understanding is Mr. Stockton was, correct?
A. Yes. MR. MURDOCK: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. What is a COO? What does that mean?
A. COO is chief operations officer.
Q. And that was back in 2008, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were the COO of Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. And as COO of Centennial Hills Hospital

Medical Center you were also employed by Universal
Health Services, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. My understanding is -- and this is a new
term I've learned -. that the C-Suite is all UHS personnel, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. It was back then in 2008, and it is now, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What did the chief operations officer do back in 2008?
A. The COO is responsible for general operations within the hospital. They can get involved with construction projects, expansions, development, operating of support and ancillary departments. Those sorts of activities.
Q. If I were to ask you, for example, on a typical day back in 2008 where nothing out of the ordinary occurred at the hospital, what would you do?
A. It could go a number of different ways, but certainly meetings with key department leaders across the hospital.

For typical COOs that is
departments like radiology, rehabilitation services, those types of departments that we would interact with. Meeting with those department leaders to see how we can continue to perform better, look at opportunities for growth, development, as well as

```
meeting with physicians for opportunities for growth
and development. And then looking at strategic
opportunities to actually build out the facility.
    Q. Would you meet with the rest of the
C-Suites at all?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you have, for example -- maybe not a daily meeting, but a weekly meeting with the C-Suites?
A. I can't remember. It was either weekly or biweekly.
Q. Okay. Where would that meeting take place?
A. Within the administrative offices.
Q. In other words, was there a conference room
there? Did you just meet in one room? Did you go out to lunch?
A. It was a conference room within the administrative area.
Q. And who would meet either weekly or biweekly?
A. All members of the C-Suite, being the CEO, CNO, CFO, COO and AA, which is associate administrator.
Q. Who was the associate administrator back in 2008, specifically May of 2008, if you know?
```

A. That was Karen Follis.
Q. I assume you were involved with the
construction of the hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. And there were some pro formas, I assume, prior to the construction starting?
A. Yes.
Q. My understanding is that the hospital opened in January, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And my understanding is that when the
hospital opened, in terms of community response,
there was a large community response to the hospital, right?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead and answer.

MR. MURDOCK: That was a bad question.
Let me rephrase it.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. There was an influx of patients. Would you agree with that?
A. I guess define "influx of patients."
Q. Well, it filled up pretty fast?
A. It didn't fill up really fast. At opening
we had over 170 beds, so it really didn't fill up
upon opening.
Q. But at opening you had 170 beds, and when did it start filling up?

## MR. BEMIS: I object to form

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. I don't mean when it was 169 beds, but when did it start getting busier than you had expected?
A. I honestly don't recall exactly from that perspective.
Q. Did it take six months to kind of get off the ground, and all of a sudden that's when you started seeing those 170 beds fill up?
A. No. I mean we haven't filled up 170 beds until recently.
Q. What was the expectation when you opened, in terms of the number of beds being filled?
A. That I don't know. I don't recall.
Q. Could you give me an estimate?
A. I honestly couldn't off the top of my head.
Q. Do you know what an estimate is, as opposed to a guess?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. But you can't give me one?
A. It would really be hard to estimate.
Q. Well, did you open with 170 beds?

MS. HALL: I'm sorry, what did you say?
THE WITNESS: To the best of my
knowledge, that's what I recall.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. How many beds do you have now?
A. 190 .
Q. My understanding is that in January, when
you opened up, there was only the sixth floor, seventh floor, and I thought ICU. Would I be correct in that?
A. No, it had the sixth, seventh and eighth floors, and critical care, on top of women's services.
Q. So if you know that, couldn't you estimate for me the number of beds you opened with?
A. Well, the number of beds we opened with -like I said, it was around 170.
Q. Well, let me ask you. Maybe it's my stupidity.

If you just opened with six, seven
and eight, and critical care, ICU, does that equal 170 beds?
A. All those floors with women's services,
yes.
Q. Oh, okay. When you opened, did you have enough staff to open?
A. I would say so.
Q. Was it always the intention of Centennial Hills Hospital to use agency staff?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: I think just like the other 5,000 hospitals across the country, there are various times where hospitals need additional support and they will certainly use agency or other resources.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I don't care about the other 4,999 hospitals. All I care about is the one hospital.

So what I'm asking is, was it
always the idea to use agency staff?
A. It was never the intention, but again, there are periods of times where either you need to recruit more staff to help out, or you have seasonal spikes in terms of volumes that may hit that may require additional support.
Q. Okay. But you had opened up -- not you yourself, but UHS had opened up numerous hospitals
here in the city. You kind of knew about the flux, I would assume.
A. To a certain extent. Still hospital lines are very hard to predict.
Q. But you know, if McDonald's opens up a McDonald's and they run out of hamburgers, they close down until they get more hamburgers, right? I mean that makes sense.

So guess the obvious question is, if you filled up and you didn't have enough of your staff, why did you go out and hire agency staff? Why didn't you just hire more staff or close down until you got more staff?
A. As a hospital, we obviously recruit through various sources. Sometimes they work, sometimes they don't.

In those cases that they don't, or developing newer staff that come onboard that need to be oriented, those are times where you would use supplemental staffing such as agency.
Q. Okay. Well, as COO did you have any input in the agencies that were going to be used?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Did you know agency were going to be used, as COO ?
A. Yes, we were alerted when an agency was going to be used.
Q. Did you have to approve it?
A. No.
Q. How were you made aware that agencies were going to be used?
A. Agency usage was normally reported through the chief nursing officer at the time.
Q. Now, do you remember the date that Centennial Hills opened?
A. It was in January. I believe it was the 20th.
Q. Let's just make that assumption that's when it opened.

The hospital opened on January 20 ,
2008. In less than a month Mr. Farmer was being used
as an agency CNA. You're aware of that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have anything to do with Mr. Farmer being allowed to work at the hospital?
A. No.
Q. Did you go through an orientation at the hospital?
A. Did I go through an orientation at the hospital?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. Who did your orientation?
A. I had to go through another hospital before ours had opened up.
Q. Maybe you misunderstood my question before.

Did you go through an orientation
at Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. I can't remember if I did a separate one.
Q. At your C-Suite meetings did someone take notes?
A. There was not necessarily a recorder.

There was no minutes, per se.
Q. Okay.
A. But the CEO typically ran those meetings.
Q. Was there an agenda?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you find out about the agenda?
A. There would be discussions either prior to or on that particular day.
Q. Is that something that would be emailed to
you or something like that?
A. It varied. It could be just discussions
within the administrative suite as to what was important to talk about.

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. That you can think of?
A. I don't know.
Q. For instance, was there a murder at the hospital?
A. No.
Q. Was there a terrorist attack at the hospital?
A. No.
Q. Was there another sexual assault at the hospital?
A. No.
Q. Since May 16, 2008 to this day, has there ever been a sexual assault discussed at a C-Suite meeting?
A. I honestly can't recall.
Q. The typical C-Suite meeting, a typical one -- what is discussed?
A. Key items. Equipment, growth, development, key needs across the organization, quality, patient satisfaction, those kinds of things.
Q. And would you agree with me pretty much at every C-Suite meeting these are discussed? I mean maybe some more than others, but pretty much those are the categories?

Page 20
A. Pretty much, yes.
Q. Are the financials discussed?
A. Not so much. It's more those items I discussed.
Q. But within these items, equipment, growth, development -- and especially growth and development -- that's certainly financial?
A. There's certainly an aspect to it, yes.
Q. If quality and patient satisfaction were a part of these categories, wouldn't you agree with me it's more likely than not that the incident regarding Steven Farmer was discussed at these meetings? MR. BEMIS: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Like I said before, I can't recall.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I'm just trying to figure out why you can't recall this. I mean unless it's so common to have sexual assaults at your hospital, l'm trying to figure out how you would not remember that. MR. BEMIS: Objection to form.
Argumentative.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Can you give me any rationale for that?

| 1 | A. I just can't recall. |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Okay. But of course, the good thing is we |
| 3 | don't have to rely on memories because you would take |
| 4 | notes, and you watched other people take notes, |
| 5 | right? |
| 6 | A. (Witness nods.) |
| 7 | Q. Is that a yes? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. So l could go take a look at your notes |
| 10 | from back in let's say May of 2008, and we could find |
| 11 | out whether or not the Farmer incidents were |
| 12 | discussed at the C-Suite meetings, correct? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. I could also go look at the agendas, right? |
| 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | Q. Where are your notes? |
| 17 | A. Most of them were tabulated on paper, and |
| 18 | then they were typically followed up with |
| 19 | individuals, based upon what needed to happen. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. I don't understand. You said "notes |
| 21 | are tabulate." What does that mean? |
| 22 | A. I'm sorry, most of my notes are on paper, |
| 23 | as the tablet that's in front of you. It would be |
| 24 | recorded on something like that. |
| 25 | Q. So you would have a legal pad or something |

can't remember. Is there any other way I could find out what was discussed at those meetings, if anything was discussed regarding Farmer or the incidents?
A. I'm not sure. I'm really not sure.
Q. When was the first time you met with
counsel regarding this matter? The first time.
A. I know a lot of the initial meetings were with the former CEO, Kevin Stockton. So a lot of the initial meetings were with him, from a legal perspective.

MR. BROOKHYSER: I'm sorry, can you
speak up a little louder? I'm having a hard time hearing.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. A lot of the initial meetings were with the present CEO at the time, Kevin Stockton.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. How do you know that?
A. Just based upon interactions and where the detail would typically be delegated to.
Q. Were you present during those meetings?
A. No.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. No.
Q. When was the first time you became aware
that counsel had been assigned to this matter for UHS?
A. I don't remember exactly when.
Q. If the incident occurred on May 16th, 15th, or whatever it is, and it was reported within five days up to your server for risk management -- I forget what it's called, Star Enterprise, or Enterprise or something -- and then you would get back an assignment of counsel, would you agree with me that you would have known that a counsel was assigned to this matter within let's say at least 30 days of the incident? Is that reasonable?
A. Possibly.
Q. Would it be reasonable to say two weeks?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know if it's reasonable?
A. It could be. I just can't recall exactly.
Q. Do you have a calendar?
A. Ido.
Q. Did you ever meet with counsel?
A. Not initially, no.
Q. When was the first time you met with counsel?
A. My first meetings with counsel didn't happen until recently.
Q. Okay. So your first meetings with counsel -- when you say "recently," within a year, let's say?
A. Yes.
Q. Within six months?
A. Yes.
Q. Within three months?
A. Probably six.
Q. Somewhere between three and six months.

Would you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. That's reasonable, right?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. That was your first meeting with counsel, but certainly you're aware that counsel was involved in this from way early on?
A. Correct.
Q. What is the document destruction policy at

UHS?
A. I don't know what you're referring to specifically.
Q. Well, when can you destroy documents?

Seven years, five years? What are we talking about
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here? What do you do at UHS?
A. I don't know the specifics on it. I know
for patient files and patient records, there are differences between that and --
Q. No, I'm not asking about that. I'm asking about your documents. There's a policy in place, I would assume at UHS, for document destruction.
A. I'm not aware what the policy states on document destruction.
Q. You're the CEO of the hospital, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And on today's date you can't tell me what the document destruction policy is regarding your notes?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Argumentative.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Why did you throw these notes out?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Asked
and answered. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Like I said before, once
items are completed or followed up on, the task was
essentially considered completed, finished.

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. Did you have tasks at all with regard to the Steven Farmer issues? In other words, in terms of investigation, in terms of getting meetings together, anything?
A. Yes.
Q. What were your tasks regarding Steven

## Farmer?

A. I was initially notified via our security
department of the incident, and from that point met
with getting together with the other leaders of the organization.

Number one, obviously report the
incident; and number two, get our committees together, that being the patient safety committee and perform a root cause analysis.
Q. So just so l'm clear, so your job, when you were immediately notified -- and you were immediately notified about the incident the day of the incident, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Within minutes of security being notified you were notified, correct?
A. Right.
Q. So you were aware within minutes, right?
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A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever instruct security to go maybe talk to the other patients in the hospital to find out if they had any interactions or negative interactions with this rapist?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Why not?
A. For me, security was more about reviewing the details of the incident, what happened, and taking the next appropriate steps.
Q. But you had other patients in the hospital, right?
A. Correct.
Q. You had other patients I assume you knew who had dealings with Mr. Farmer, one way or the other, right?
A. Correct.
Q. He was a CNA at your hospital, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you knew because he was a CNA at your hospital that he had patient contact at your hospital, right?
A. Yes.
component to actually do that, to actually go around
the hospital and patients to find out if anybody else
had a problem. Is that correct?
MR. BEMIS: 1 object to form.
Misstates testimony.
THE WITNESS: That's what I recall.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. You recall that I'm correct?
A. That's what I recall.
Q. I just want to make sure we're on the same
page, that you're recalling what I just said, which
is it was your expectation that whoever was in charge
of the nursing investigation would have gone around
to the patients in the hospital to find out if indeed
Farmer had done anything wrong to any other patients. is that correct?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Misstates testimony. Go ahead and answer.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. Thank you.

When you were notified by
security, where were you?
A. I don't remember specifically where I was at the time.
Q. I don't mean -. that's not a "where were you when Kennedy was shot" type of thing. That's not what I was asking.

What I was really asking is, in
other words, were you in the hospital? Were you at
home? Things like that.
A. I don't recall.
Q. Let's put it this way.

Within 24 hours after you were
notified of this incident did you do a Midas report?
A. I did not do a Midas report, but a Midas report I know was being filed.
Q. That's not what I asked you. I just asked you if you did one.
A. I did not.
Q. Why not?
A. Our processes already had established that my report was being inputted.
Q. Well, I don't understand.

Then is a Midas report -- is there just one report? Only one person can do one for an incident?
A. No, it doesn't have to be just one, but it's certainly initiated by one.
Q. Well, why didn't you do one immediately when you found out about the incident?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Asked and answered. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Again, the process for a
Midas report is that someone initiates the process.

> My understanding is that was being
done already, so there was no need to be cupilicative at that juncture.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. At some point in time between 2008 and today, I assume you found out that other people
besides Roxanne Cagnina were alleging that Mr. Farmer
had sexually assaulted them at Centennial Hills
Hospital, is that correct?
A. I had heard the allegations, yes.
Q. We're here today about one, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You know that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You know why we're here today?
A. I know why we're here.
Q. When did you find out about -- let's take

Marsha Petersen. When did you find out about this case?
A. I honestly don't recall.
Q. Well, did you find out in 2009, 2008? What
are we talking about here?
A. I don't specifically recall.
Q. Can you give me an estimate? What's your
best estimate of when you found out that Marsha

Petersen was sexually assaulted by Steven Farmer while she was at Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. I don't know.
Q. You can't give me an estimate?
A. No.
Q. Now, let's try and narrow this down a little bit.

When did you become aware of this lawsuit that we're here for in this case?
A. I don't know. Maybe a year. I'm not sure.
Q. If I told you that this lawsuit was filed basically within a year -- maybe a little more, I
can't remember, maybe a little bit more, maybe a
little less -- let's say in 2009. The incident
occurred in 2008; this lawsuit was filed sometime in 2009.

Would that be reasonable?
A. That would be reasonable.
Q. So would it be reasonable for you to tell me that you found out about the lawsuit right around the time it was filed and served? Within a short time? Wouldn't you agree with that?
A. Within a short time.
Q. So when you found out about this lawsuit, did you know that this lawsult was alleging that

Steven Farmer, when he was working at Centennial
Hills Hospital, sexually assaulted Jane Doe? That's the person here, Marsha Petersen.

Did you know that?
A. Yes.
Q. When you found that out, did you do a Midas report?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I didn't feel it necessary that finding out that initiates a Midas report.
Q. When so you find out about an event, whether it's 24 hours after the event or five years afterwards, don't you have a duty to go do that Midas report?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Argumentative.
THE WITNESS: Midas reports are typically done when there is an identified incident within the hospital campus. Those are when those are performed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So let me see if I understand this.

The CEO of Centennial Hills
Hospital finds out about an incident where a former
patient is alleging that she was sexually assaulted
by someone who was working at Centennial Hills
Hospital in 2008 -- you find out in 2009, but you
don't believe you have a duty to do a Midas report at that point. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So you get this call from security, and you said that --I think I got this right, maybe I got it wrong, I'm not trying to do anything here -but you said you were immediately notified by the security department, and then from that point you started meeting with other leaders of the organization?
A. Correct.
Q. And you met with other leaders of the organization regarding the Steven Farmer incident, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Who did you meet with?
A. It was a multitude of leaders across the organization. C-Suite, regulatory risk.
Q. Is regulatory risk the same thing, or is that separate?
A. I think at the time it was the same, I believe.
Q. Who was regulatory risk? Was that Janet Callahan?
A. Yes.
Q. Did C-Suite have a meeting, or were you just on the phone from one to the next to the next to the next?
A. We talked together initially to report the incident out formally, and then to begin the process of getting together a patient safety committee and perform the RCA.
Q. When you say you got together initially to report the incident out, who was that going to? When you say you were going to report the incident out, what is that talking about?
A. That's reporting the incident to the state.
Q. And how was that going to be done?
A. Basically we're self-reporting the incident, so --
Q. Did you make a phone call? How did that work?
A. I didn't witness the detail.
Q. What was the decision of the committee? How were you going to do it?
A. The CEO was on technically on that.
Q. Was he to call them, write them? What was
the deal?
A. I assume call, and certainly in partnership with the regulatory risk folks.
Q. And who at the State of Nevada did you
call? Who was that supposed to be?
A. I did not contact, so I don't know.
Q. I know you didn't, but who would you call?

You said you had it reported to the state. You don't call Brian Sandoval and say, "Hey, we've got a problem here."
A. Whoever the head of the state department was at the time.
Q. The state department of what?
A. Of health.
Q. That's who you called, the State Department of Health?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that what its actual title is, State

Department of Health? Is that part of like the Division of Business and Industry or --
A. I'm not positive on the exact title.
Q. If someone came to you today and said,
"Hey, we've got a sexual assault, we've got to report it," who would you call up?
A. I believe it is State Department of Health,
but I'm not positive on the exact articulation of the titling there.
Q. Okay. And why would you tell them?
A. It was certainly an incident that happened
on our campus that was serious, so we wanted to report that out as it was necessary.
Q. When the C-Suite got together to decide what to do, outside of the C-Suite, was somebody on the phone, for instance, from King of Prussia?
A. I don't recall.
Q. When I say King of Prussia, you know what I'm talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. UHS main, right?
A. I don't recall that specifically.
Q. You're not saying it didn't occur, you're
just saying you're not sure?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. But at the very least it was the C-Suite.

Did you talk about how this was
going to be dealt with in the press? I'm sure you
figured it would get out to the press, right?
A. I don't remember specific conversations
about the press components.
Q. Did somebody draft a press release?
A. I honestly don't remember.
Q. Okay. Well, so the C-Suite got together
and you had to report the incident to the state, and
that's what you did, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So that meeting lasted like 30 seconds, because all you did was say, "Hey, go report it to the state?"
A. It was pretty quick.
Q. How long did you talk? A minute, 30 seconds?
A. No, it was probably a little longer than that.
Q. How long?
A. Maybe 10,20 minutes.
Q. Did you take notes from that meeting?
A. I probably did, yes.
Q. And where are those notes today?
A. I probably don't have them at this juncture.
Q. Why not?
A. Again, most of the notes were to follow up on items or just to figure out what the next steps were. So the next steps were to go to an RCA, so we went to an RCA.
Q. What's an RCA?
A. Root cause analysis. The next step was to go to the patient safety committee, so we went to the patient safety committee. So there was no need to keep that note necessarily.
Q. Well, we'll see about that.

But in terms of a 10 to 20-minute
meeting, I'm sure everybody got something assigned to
them. Wouldn't that be correct?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Calls
for speculation.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Maybe not everybody, but jobs were given out?
A. I don't recall specifics. Certainly the call to the state was definitely first and foremost.
Q. Okay. And then you said that you all figured you needed to get together to do the root cause analysis. Anything else?
A. Root cause analysis, patient safety committee to make sure it was fully discussed, reviewed, investigated, and to identify any opportunities for improvement obviously.
Q. Okay. Were any improvement ideas identified?

| 1 | MR. BEMIS: Are we talking about Page 41 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | results of the patient safety meeting, investigation |
| 3 | of RCAs or -. |
| 4 | MR. MURDOCK: Well, let me ask it this |
| 5 | way. |
| 6 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 7 | Q. As a result of this incident were any |
| 8 | policies and procedures changed? |
| 9 | A. I'm trying to think. I'm not positive. |
| 10 | Q. Can you think about some ideas that might |
| 11 | have? What are you thinking of here? |
| 12 | A. I don't think so, but l'm not positive. |
| 13 | Q. In your 10 to 20-minute meeting that the |
| 14 | C-Suite had, did anybody ask, "Why was this guy |
| 15 | working here?" |
| 16 | A. Again, I don't remember the specifics of |
| 17 | the conversation. |
| 18 | Q. Did anybody ask, "How did this guy get to |
| 19 | work here?" |
| 20 | A. I'm not sure. |
| 21 | Q. Did you pull his file for the C-Suite |
| 22 | meeting, so you all would have something to kind of |
| 23 | know who this guy was? |
| 24 | A. I don't recall that being pulled for the |
| 25 | meeting. |

Q. Were any documents given out at the meeting to everybody? His picture, his badge, anything?
A. I don't recall a picture or the badge. I know that the security report was there.
Q. Okay. Good. So the security report was there.

But did anybody bring up the
question of, "How did this guy get to work in our hospital?"
A. I don't know. I'm trying to remember. I can't recall specifically. Like I said, it was a very quick meeting.
Q. 10 to 20 minutes.
A. State calls were important, following up
with the regulatory processes were important, the security report was there. I just can't remember the specifics you're looking for.
Q. I'm just trying to figure out, did somebody ask, "Hey, what was this guy doing here? How did this guy get into our hospital?" Was that question even asked?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Asked and answered.

MR. MURDOCK: Well, it was asked, but it wasn't answered.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Go ahead.
A. I just don't remember specifics.
Q. Of course your notes might have the
specifics on them, the ones you wrote, right?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Argumentative.
THE WITNESS: They could have.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So did anybody at the meeting bring up maybe calling American Nursing Services?
A. I don't know if it had gotten that far at that point.
Q. Did you know that Mr. Farmer was an agency worker at that point in time?
A. We found out certainly.
Q. No, at that meeting.
A. At that meeting?
Q. At the initial C-Suite meeting.
A. I believe it was.
Q. And did anybody bring up, "Hey, let's call ANS to find out what this guy's background is," et cetera?
A. (No response.)
Q. In other words, did Stockton -- Stockton I

Page 44
assume was rurining the meeting, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did Stockton say, "Hey, let's get ANS on the horn here and find out what happened? Did that occur at all?
A. I know he was identified. I know the security report was there. I know he was identified as an agency person. I know the state call was made:
I know that -- I believe it was HR nurses that were going to look into the background of Mr. Farmer.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because they're nursing and obviously they're integrally involved.
Q. But you specifically said just now, "I knew HR nursing was going to look into the background." How do you know that?
A. Because they were there at the meeting.
Q. Who was there?
A. The CNO and HR.
Q. Okay. I didn't know HR was there. Who is HR?
A. Human resources.
Q. No, I know that, but who was there
representing HR?
A. I can't recall the name. It was the HR

```
director at the time.
    Q. But Carol Butler was the CNO, right?
    A. That's correct.
    Q. She's the chief nursing office, and it was
her job, she was going to be looking into the
background of Mr. Farmer, right?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And she was to do that on behalf of the
C-Suite, right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And I assume she was going to get back to
you at some point in time, is that correct, with her
investigation?
    A. She was going to follow up, yes.
        MR. BEMIS: I object to form, anything
related to patient safety.
    MR. MURDOCK: Well, wait a minute.
    We're not talking about patient safety. He didn't
say she was part of patient safety. He said HR
nursing was going to look into it.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
    Q. Let me ask the question.
        Was that part of the patient
    safety committee, that was going to look into the
background of Mr. Farmer? Or was that just HR
```

nursing? We're going to go pull his file and look
into it?"
A. HR and nursing investigating was certainly
part of the patient safety meetings.
Q. Oh, are they part of the patient safety
committee?
A. They were there, yes.
Q. But are they officially a part of it?
A. The CNO and the HRA or HR director would
participate when necessary.
Q. So that was part of patient safety then.
Is that what you're telling me?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you personally ever find out how

Mr. Farmer came to work at your hospital when you were the COO?
A. The pieces I knew or was alerted to was he came to us through an agency.
Q. Right.
A. And I also think, as you had mentioned
before, he was a CNA that came onboard. So I did know those pieces.
Q. You're aware that agency workers, when they come to your hospital there's certain required documents they must have.
A. Yes.
Q. Health forms, right? That's one of the things?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Referrals, references from the last job, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever find out whether or not he actually had references from his last job in the file?
A. I know we looked at his file.

MR. BEMIS: With respect to your
personal knowledge, not as to the other part of your deposition.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I wouldn't know until we look at the personnel file.
Q. Well, did you ever look at the personnel file yourself? I'm talking within let's say a year of this happening. Not getting ready for this deposition today, within a year of this happening.

Did you find out, "Hey, we never
got references for this guy, and he should never have been working at our hospital?"
A. I didn't look at the specifics of the personnel file, no.
Q. Okay. So in other words, before you were prepped to become the person most knowledgeable that we're going to be discussing in a little bit on
various subjects, you were unaware that Mr. Farmer was missing documents from his personnel file, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you ever meet with Amy Bochenek regarding this matter?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who Amy Bochenek is?
A. Yes.
Q. I think I'm pronouncing it right.
A. Yes.
Q. You never met with her?
A. No.
Q. Outside of the first meeting with the

C-Suite, did you ever meet with Carol Butler in this matter?

MR. BEMIS: You mean outside of the

|  | patient safety meeting and the RCA? Page 49 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MURDOCK: Yeah. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 4 | BY MR, MURDOCK: |
| 5 | Q. Are you part of the patient safety |
|  | committee? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Are you part of the root cause analysis |
|  | committee? I guess that's what they call it. |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. |
| 12 | Without telling me specifically |
| 13 | what's in the root cause analysis, I assume you've |
| 14 | seen the root cause analysis. is that correct? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Who drafted the root cause analysis? |
| 17 | A. I believe it was our quality risk, but I'm |
| 18 | not positive. |
| 19 | Q. Is that Ms. Callahan? |
| 20 | A. I believe so. |
| 21 | Q. And Ms. Callahan left at some point and |
| 22 | Evette Wilson came in, right? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Ms. Callahan, was she a UHS employee? |
| 25 | A. No, she was a Centennial Hills Hospital |
|  | employee. Page 50 |
| 2 | Q. Evette Wilson, was she a UHS employee? |
| 3 | A. No, she was a Centennial Hills employee. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So let me see if I have this clear. |
| 5 | There was a root cause analysis |
|  | drafted? |
|  | A. (Witness nods.) |
|  | Q. Is that a yes? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. That root cause analysis was drafted by |
|  | Centennial Hills Hospital employees, correct? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. And that root cause analysis was shared |
|  | from Centennial Hills Hospital employees with UHS of |
|  | Delaware, Inc. employees, is that correct? |
| 16 | A. That's correct. |
| 17 | Q. You would agree with me, wouldn't you, that |
|  | UHS of Delaware, Inc. is a separate entity than |
|  | Valley Health Systems, Inc., or LLC, or whatever it |
|  |  |
| 21 | MR. BEMIS: I object. Calls for a |
|  | legal conclusion. |
| 23 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
|  | Q. I would expect the COO and CEO to know |
|  | that, but -- |

A. It's a separate component.
Q. It's a separate entity?
A. Correct.
Q. Different tax IDs?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there a policy and procedure regarding root cause analyses at Centennial Hills Hospital? In other words, how they're drafted, who drafts them, things like that?
A. I believe there is.
Q. And does that policy and procedure state that the root cause analysis, which is done by
Centennial Hills Hospital employees, will be shared
with UHS of Delaware, Inc. employees?
A. I don't know if it necessarily delineates it out to that extent.
Q. Do you know who owns Valley Health System?
A. In terms of?
Q. Well, does UHS own it?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Is Valley Health System a subsidiary of UHS of Delaware, or is it a subsidiary of UHS, Inc., or any other million entities that UHS may have? MR. BEMIS: I object to form. It calls for a legal conclusion.

MR. MURDOCK: I'm asking the CEO. I
assume the CEO would know that.
THE WITNESS: I honestly don't know.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. The CEO of the hospital does not know. Is that what you're tell me?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know who Margaret Wolfe is?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who Ray Sumera is?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who Christine Murray is?
A. No.
Q. When did you become CEO of the hospital?
A. It was 2010 or '11.
Q. Have you reviewed this case at all since
you became CEO? I'm not asking you for contents or discussions between counsel, but have you reviewed: anything about this case?

MR. SILVESTRI: That includes with
counsel?
MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, it does.
THE WITNESS: Well, counsel, certainly.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. What have you reviewed?

| 1 | MR. BEMIS: You're talking about Page 53 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | outside of preparation for this afternoon? |
| 3 | MR. MURDOCK: Oh, yeah, outside of |
| 4 | preparation for this afternoon. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Nothing with legal |
| 6 | counsel outside of this afternoon's preparation. |
| 7 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 8 | Q. And my understanding from your answers |
| 9 | before is you really had nothing to do with this case |
| 10 | at all in terms of meeting with counsel, until you |
| 11 | started getting prepared for this deposition. Is |
| 12 | that right? |
| 13 | A. That's correct. |
| 14 | Q. Or for the next deposition, is that |
| 15 | correct? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | $\quad$ MR. MURDOCK: Let's take a break for a |
| 18 | minute. |
| 19 | (Recess.) |
| 20 | BY MR. MURDOCK: |
| 21 | Q. Outside of the safety committee and the |
| 22 | root cause analysis committee, and besides what we're |
| 23 | going to talk about in the other deposition, do you |
| 24 | have any other knowledge at all regarding Mr. Farmer? |
| 25 | What he was doing at the hospital, the incidents, |

anything?
A. No. We've covered those areas.
Q. Okay. When you found out about Marsha Petersen and her allegations in this lawsuit, what did you do, if anything?
A. This lawsuit honestly came to my attention more recently, just in terms of my own involvement with it. My initial components were my own preparation, and so forth.
Q. But you said earlier that you became aware of this, of Marsha Petersen and her allegations, within a year. Remember we put that time limit on it?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So when you found out, in other words, did
you have another C -Suite meeting regarding Marsha
Petersen this time, as opposed to Roxanne Cagnina?
A. I don't recall specifically.
Q. Was the state notified again?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Why not?
A. The reason being is that we had obviously performed an RCA and done the safety patient
committee already involving the first incident.
Q. Right.
A. Based upon that being a similar allegation, we did not feel there was a need for an additional report or a similar action.
Q. But wouldn't you want to know what happened in that case?
A. Yes. But I mean in terms of the reporting itself, that's the reasoning why.
Q. Well, wait a minute. The whole point of the reporting is to see if you can identify things that went wrong, or went right, whatever it was, and specifically wrong, so you don't do them again, right? That's basically the reason, correct?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So since this was a different situation, different time and different patient, wouldn't you want to know how that happened?
A. Like I said before, I don't believe we did
any individual state reporting on this. I don't remember if there was any additional RCAs or patient safety committees as a result of that.
Q. What about Denise Hanna?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know who Denise Hanna is?
A. No.
Q. Do you know that Denise Hanna alleged that there was an assault of some type between her and Mr. Farmer?
A. No.
Q. How many women are you aware of who alleged that Mr. Farmer assaulted them in some way? I'm using that term "assault" loosely, but --

MR. BEMIS: And you're specifying at
Centennial Hills Hospital and not elsewhere, right?
MR. MURDOCK: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Two or three.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Two or three?
A. Yeah.
Q. I'm just trying to think in my mind here.

See if I'm right, because I don't want to misstate your testimony.

The COO, the chief operations
officer of the hospital, who then in 2010 or 2011
became the CEO of the hospital, and who is currently
the CEO of the hospital -- as you sit here today you
can't tell me specifically how many women at
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| 1 | Deposition of Sajit Pullarkat Page 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | August 7, 2015 |
| 3 | (Prior to the commencement of the deposition, |
| 4 | all of the parties present agreed to waive |
| 5 | statements by the court reporter, pursuant to |
| 6 | Rule 30(b)(4) of NRCP.) |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | SAJIT PULLARKAT, |
| 9 | having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | EXAMINATION |
| 12 BY MR. MURDOCK: |  |
| 13 | Q. Would you please state your name for the |
| 14 | record? |
| 15 | A. Sajit Pullarkat. |
| 16 | Q. Mr. Pullarkat, we just took your deposition |
| 17 | personally. Now we're going to take your deposition |
| 18 because you're being presented as the person most 19 knowledgeable regarding certain subjects. |  |
|  |  |
| You're aware of that, correct? |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Q. So now you're talking not personally. |
| 23 You're talking as if I had Centennial Hills Hospital |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 Do you understand that? |  |
|  | A. Yes. Page 6 |
| 2 Q. There are nine areas that were going to |  |
|  |  |
| 4 A. Okay. |  |
| 5 | Q. Some of them overlap. I'm going to try |
|  | 6 very hard to stick to one area, and then go to the |
| 7 | next. They may overlap in some ways, so l apologize |
|  | 8 in adva |
| 9 I'm not trying to do that for any |  |
| 10 it just flows that way. Okay? |  |
| 1 | A. Sure. |
| 1 | Q. And if you get confused on anything, just |
| 13 let me know. |  |
| 1 | A. Okay. |
| 15 | Q. The first area of inquiry is, "Dates, times |
| 16 | and places worked by Steven Farmer at Centennial |
| 17 | Hills Hospital Medical Center." Okay? |
|  | A. (Witness nods.) |
| 19 | Q. So let's talk about that. |
| 20 | First of all, Steven Farmer worked |
| 21 | at Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center, correct? |
| 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. I believe he began working there |
| 24 | February 18, 2008. Am I correct? |
| 25 | And I know you're looking at some |

documents there. If you would just do me a favor,
and when you get to the document you are relying upon, if you would let me know -- there's a Bates stamp on that document generally. If you would let me know what number that document is, I think we would all be happy. Okay?
A. Sure. Right now I'm looking at the -- I guess what is termed the accounting log, that we traditionally utilize for tracking agency. So I'm looking at -- I believe it's CHH-00372. Based off of that, it looks like February 18, 2008.
Q. Could you tell me, did he always work the nightshift every time he worked there?
A. I'd have to look at the records to confirm. It looks like they're all the nightshift.
Q. Okay. I'm showing you a document on the screen right now. It is called STAFF-00001. Okay? Now, I have zoomed in -- I can zoom in a little bit more.

What is this document? Do you know? Do you need it to come out a little bit, or can you see it?
A. Let me see. It looks like the same thing as this one. It looks to be the same document that I was just referring to, which is the accounting log

```
for agency -- that they use to track agency
```

Q. If you look at the screen again and look at - I think it's $6 / 14 / 2008$.

MR. BEMIS: Objection.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I'm sorry. It looks like the first line
that's got a box around Steven Farmer. It's hard to tell.

MR. BEMIS: That copy looks bad. It's 5/14.

MR. MURDOCK: It's $5 / 14$. All right.
This is the best copy l've got, so --
MR. SILVESTRI: What is the Bates
stamp?
MR. BEMIS: STAFF-0001.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. It appears as though this document is some kind of billing document or accounting document regarding Steven Farmer's work at Centennial Hills Hospital, is that correct?
A. My understanding is that these documents are from our accounting department, for tracking purposes.
Q. Okay. And so it looks like on May 14, 2008

Farmer did some time in the ER, and then some time on
the sixth floor. Would that be correct?
A. Yeah. It looks like he did spend time in two different units.
Q. Okay. Do you know what each category is on this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Why don't we go across, and just stick with the first date. You say it's May 14, 2008?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. By the way, is the next date $6 / 15$, or is there two for 6/14?
A. There's two for $5 / 14$.
Q. Okay. So it's got the name "Steven

Farmer."
A. Correct.
Q. So you've got the date, you've got the name
"Steven Farmer." Then you've got something --
A. Yeah, that's the position. So for "CN,"
that means CNA.
Q. Okay. Then there's a box that looks like it says "No?"
A. That's "No." It's indicating whether the
person is a traveler or an agency. So he was agency, so the answer is "No."
Q. Okay. Then I don't know what it has. Is
that a box with the hours that he worked?
A. The number 2 that you see in the three
boxes there -- that indicates the shift. If it's a 1
it's an a.m. shift, if it's a 2 it's a p.m. shift.
Q. Oh, okay. So these boxes would indicate
that he was working on the p.m. shift on May 14,
2008, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. By the way, what's the shift time?
A. Typically it's 7:00 to 7:00.
Q. So that would be 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then it's got I guess the location of where
he was assigned to work, is that correct?
A. Yeah. That four digit number is a call
center, so it does designate which department he was assigned to or worked at.
Q. And for the life of me I cannot read those numbers. Are they different?
A. They are two different numbers, yes.
Q. What's the difference?
A. One is the ER, and one I believe is the sixth floor.
Q. So why are they written in, if you've
already got the code for them?
MR. BEMIS: On this document that was disclosed to you, that was written in by hospital staff provided to counsel to disclose, because the call centers are not self-explanatory.

MR. MURDOCK: Oh, so we would know what it is.

MR. BEMIS: Correct. So I was just
trying to be nice to counsel.
MR. MURDOCK: That was nice.
MR. BEMIS: The call centers are not
self-explanatory.
MR. MURDOCK: I appreciate that. So
that's good.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Then you go across and you've got something that says "21." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that for?
A. That is the rate.
Q. 21 bucks an hour?
A. That's the payment rate.
Q. So you were paying American Nursing

Services \$21 an hour for Mr. Farmer's services, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. The next box is what?
A. The next box is "Hours worked."
Q. And it appears as though -- is it two hours
where he was working in the ER that day?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it looks like 9.5 hours where he's working on the sixth floor?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then there's an emply box. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is supposed to be in the empty box, if anything?
A. I think that was if they did weekends.
Q. And it says "No?"
A. Yeah. That "No" is for the weekends.
Q. And then there's another empty box?
A. That's if they had overtime.
Q. So he didn't have overtime.

Then I assume it's got the agency
name, and it's American Nursing Services, so it's got
"American," right?
A. Correct.
Q. And there's another empty box. Do you see

| 1 that? |
| :--- |
| 2 |$\quad$ A. Yes. Page 13

that?
A. Yes. anything?
A. It looks like it's an invoice number.
Q. But there's nothing there?
A. There's nothing there.
Q. And then it looks like -- I don't know what
that is. Some kind of numbers.
On, that's the total amount
A. Probably. I don't have that on mine.
Q. Well, one is 42 . So he worked two hours.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so that number that's above the

42 would be whatever 21 times 9.5 is. Would that be
reasonable?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there another box there? It looks like it's cut off.
A. I don't have one on my sheet showing that
Q. Well, do you see what I'm showing right
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know what that is?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So on the evening shift on May 14,
which would be May 14 and May 15, Mr. Farmer would
have worked two hours in the ER, and then he would
have been moved up to the sixth floor. Is that
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he would have worked nine and a half hours, according to this document, on the sixth floor. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Back in 2008 did agency staff have the ability to enter things into the medical records, the computer chart?

MR. BEMIS: I object.
MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Foundation.
MR. MURDOCK: You're right. I'll fix
that up. Let me just ask the question this way.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Do you know where on the sixth floor he
worked on May 14, 2008?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if he was assigned to any
specific rooms on May 14 -- the nightshift of May 14,

## 2008?

A. I do not.
Q. Do you know what he was assigned to do on May 14, 2008 ?

MR. BEMIS: Outside of being a CNA?
MR. MURDOCK: Well, outside of
anything. I don't know.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Do you know if he was assigned to do anything on May 14, 2008?

MR. BEMIS: I object. That's outside
the scope of his designation.
THE WITNESS: I don't. I don't know what he was particularly assigned to do.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Do you know if he was particularly assigned to room 614?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know one way or the other?
A. I don't.
Q. Okay. Do you know if he worked room 614 that night?

MR. SILVESTRI: Objection. Lacks
foundation.
MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead

```
and answer.
```

THE WITNESS: I don't.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Do you know if he worked on the sixth floor that night?
A. Based on the accounting record, yes.
Q. Okay. So at the very least we know he was assigned to be on the sixth floor, and that's where he worked. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But outside of anything else more specific than that, you don't know?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Did Mr. Farmer ever work as a sitter at Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. Let's see.
Q. And what document are you looking at to
find that out?
A. $\mathrm{CHH}-00318,19$ and 20.
Q. I'm sorry, what numbers?
A. 318,319 and 320.

MR. BEMIS: The registry document, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
So to answer your question, he was
assigned to be a sitter -- let's see. What day is
that? On the 25th
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Of what?
A. It's April. That's the only day I see on the VHS registry pages.
Q. Okay. Does this VHS registry go back to February 18, 2008?
A. This is coming off of a daily scheduling system called One Staff. We don't have that system in place anymore. We migrated to a different scheduling system since that time.
Q. Okay. I'm trying to find out, beginning February 18, 2008 through May 16, 2008, when
Mr . Farmer was a sitter. And based on the documents
that you're looking at, they only go back to 4/13/2008.
A. These are the only pages we were able to pull from the files.
Q. So it's safe to say you can't tell me when he was a sitter, is that correct?
A. The only one I can tell you is that one day I mentioned before, based upon the designation codes here.
Q. That was April 25?
A. Yes.
Q. Outside of looking at this VHS registry,
have you looked at any other documents to find out when he worked as a sitter?
A. This would have been the primary source to find that out. The only other document l've had was the accounting component, which doesn't specify that.
Q. Well, yeah. But at least you would know if he worked in the ER, he was probably not a sitter.
Would that be correct?
A. That would be correct.
Q. So looking back on whatever registry you
want to look at that you've got in front of you, can
you tell me, based on that, when he was assigned to
any other floors?
A. He was assigned to the sixth floor on February 18th and 19th.

MR. SILVESTRI: Tell us what you're reading from.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. It's
CHH-000372.
MR. MURDOCK: Why don't you just tell
me. I don't want to keep going back and forth.
MR. BEMIS: The 18th and 19th are the seventh floor. 207 is seventh. 810 is the ER, which
would be the 20 th and 21 st.
THE WITNESS: 22nd and 24th and 27th
would be the sixth.
MR. BEMIS: Those are February.
MR. MURDOCK: Okay. What about March?
THE WITNESS: March 1st is the sixth,
March 2nd is sixth, March 5th is seventh, March 6th is sixth, March 11th, 12th and 15th are sixth.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. What about April?
A. March 21st is sixth, March 25th is sixth, and March 29th is seventh. March 30th is seventh. April 3rd is sixth, April 4th is sixth, April 5th is sixth, April 6th is sixth. I'm
not sure what that code is. And April 10th is sixth.
Q. Anything else?
A. Yes. Continuing on with April, April 25th is sixth, April 22nd is sixth.

And then May 14th was that split shift that you displayed earlier. So part of it in the ER, part of it on the sixth floor.

I believe that's it.
Q. Are there any other split shifts that are denoted on these records? Specifically you're looking at CHH-372, et cetera.
A. There's one split shift on 369 between the sixth floor and the ER. And that's it.
Q. What date was that, the one on 369 ?
A. That was the 3rd of April.
Q. How long did he work on the sixth floor that day, on April 3, 2008?
A. Three hours.
Q. How long did he work in the ER that day?
A. Nine.
Q. From the documents you have in front of you, are you able to tell which was first, the ER or the sixth floor that day?
A. I'm not sure which one would be first.
Q. Are you able to tell me, aside from the places that he was assigned, the sixth floor or the ER or the seventh floor, exactly what his tasks assigned were on those dates?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Outside of the scope of this witness' designation.

MR. MURDOCK: Let me restate that. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Are you able to tell me specifically what rooms he worked at on those dates?
A. No, I wouldn't be able to tell you that.
Q. Okay. Let's move on to the "Investigation
of Farmer by Centennial Hills before and during work
at Centennial Hills." We sort of started to get into
that in the last deposition, so let me kind of
backtrack a little bit.
Farmer came on, and certain
documents are required before an agency staft is
allowed to work in the hospital. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And those documents, for example, are the
assigned job description. That's one of those
documents that's required, right?
A. Yes.
Q. A skills competency checklist. That's
required, right?
A. Correct.
Q. All the various certifications. That's
required. You've got to have those before you're
allowed to work at the hospital, right?
A. Yes.
Q. An application is required, right?
A. Yes.
Q. References are required, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the references that are required -- at
least one needs to be from the last job worked at,
of Farmer by Centennial Hills before and during work
at Centennial Hills." We sort of started to get into
that in the last deposition, so let me kind of
Farmer came on, and certain
documents are required before an agency staff is
llowed to work in the hospital. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And those documents, for example, are the
assigned job description. That's one of those
cuments that's required, right?
A. Yes.
Q. A skills competency checklist. That's
A.
A. Correct.
Q. All the various certifications. That's
required. You've got to have those before you're
A. Yes.
Q. An application is required, right?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
least one needs to be from the last job worked at,
right?
A. Typically.
Q. Well, yeah. If you didn't work at all, I
assume you don't have a reference, right?
A. Right.
Q. But if you worked, it would be from the
last job you worked at, right?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And it was required that Centennial
Hills Hospital have those references, including the
last job worked, before he was allowed to work at the
hospital, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who Crystal Johnson is?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever read her deposition in this case?
A. No.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. No.
Q. Crystal Johnson testified that she was the staffing person for Mr. Farmer's file, and Crystal Johnson testified that she never got the references. Are you aware of that?
A. After reviewing the documentation, I can
definitely see that the references are not in the file.
Q. Okay.
A. I mean it is circled on here, "Need,"
although it's signed off. Usually the sign off is to
indicate it's completed, but it does say "Need" on
here. But after a review of the file, we were not able to find the references.
Q. So would you agree with me that if there were no references in the file, it the references were not provided to you by ANS or by Mr. Farmer, he should not have been working at the hospital? Is that correct?
A. There are requirements certainly, but references don't typically detail out a person's ability to do the job.
Q. That's not what I asked you.

There's certain requirements to work at Centennial Hills Hospital, right?
A. Correct.
Q. You have them, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Nurses have them, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Janitors have them, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of those things is these references, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Without them that individual is not allowed to work in the hospital, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So why was Mr. Farmer working at Centennial Hills Hospital on May 14, 2008?
A. I don't know. I mean honestly going back and looking at the application, it certainly shows the references were not completed; but again, I don't have an answer for that.
Q. Okay. One of the ways people who work at Centennial Hills Hospital are investigated by the hospital is by looking at those references, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So if Centennial Hills Hospital did not have those references that were required of Mr . Farmer, wouldn't you agree with me that
Centennial Hills Hospital did not properly investigate Mr. Farmer before allowing him to work at the hospital?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
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Q. You can answer the question.
A. In a complete check the references should have been in place.
Q. Let's just answer my question. We know they should have been there, okay?

My question was very specific
though, because my question was talking about the
references are part of your investigation of
Mr . Farmer before allowing him to be at the hospital, right?
A. Correct.
Q. But if the hospital didn't require those references, didn't have those references of Mr. Farmer, but still allowed him to work at the hospital, wouldn't you agree with me that the hospital did not properly investigate Mr. Farmer before allowing him to work at the hospital?

MR. BEMIS: Same objections.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. You can go ahead.
A. We didn't get the references, so it's not a complete file.
Q. That's not what I asked you.

MR. MURDOCK: Would you please repeat
the question, Carol?
(The following question was read:)
"But if the hospital didn't require those references, didn't have those references of Mr. Farmer, but still allowed him to work at the hospital, wouldn't you agree with me that the hospital did not properly investigate Mr. Farmer before allowing him to work at the hospital?" MR. BEMIS: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Thank you.

The next subject is the VHS
registry of Steven Farmer. We already talked about
that, right?
MR. BEMIS: We did.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. The VHS, just so l'm aware, that's $\mathrm{CHHO} 0-318$ through -- it looks like 321. That's those documents you said from One Staff?
A. Yes.
Q. And these are the only ones you could pull off, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Because you don't use it anymore?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you go back and check again, in preparation for this deposition, whether or not you could actually pull off more?
A. Yes. I know our staffing coordinator tried to pull it up, but it's no longer on the server anymore, so --
Q. Okay. Let's go on to the next one then. Kronos Log. What is Kronos?
A. It's our timekeeping system.
Q. Is there a Kronos Log for Mr. Farmer?
A. That I don't know. The only files I have are the registry and the accounting component.
Q. Did you do anything to find out if there was a Kronos Log?
A. I was looking into it, but I never got a complete answer on that.

MR. BEMIS: Can we take a break for a second?

MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, why don't you take a break.
(Recess.)
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. We already talked about the agency payroll spreadsheet, is that correct?
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A. That's correct.
Q. That's 366 to 372 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does that have on there where

Mr. Farmer was working?
A. By department.
Q. And that's what we talked about before.

That's how we figured out that on May 14, 2008, from the 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. shift he was working two hours in the ER, and then nine and a half hours on the sixth floor. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Let's move on to paragraph 23 of the Notice. It's the 2012 deficiencies. I'm not going to get into all the deficiencies. That wasn't the point of that.

Nevertheless, there were
deficiencies found by the state, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And one of those deficiencies that was
found is that there was no policy in place at
Centennial Hills Hospital regarding staff-on-patient abuse, is that correct?
A. There was modifications that needed to be made to the policy. The specific incident that
started the state investigation was an account of verbal abuse. So as a result of the investigation they made changes to accommodate an additional abuse policy and modifications to our grievance policy.
Q. Okay. But was there a specific policy back in 2008 on staff/patient abuse? In other words, what to do if you witnessed it, who to notify, things like that?

MR. BEMIS: I object that it's outside his designation. But go ahead and answer.

MR. MURDOCK: Well, it goes along with this. That's all.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And the reason l ask -- and l'm not trying to be a smart guy -- the deficiencies state that there was no policy regarding staff-on-patient abuse. I recognize that that's from a verbal abuse issue.

But nevertheless, my understanding is that the state interviewed -- I don't know, a bunch of employees - they went through it, and the problem was nobody really knew what to do. I guess some people did, some people didn't, and that's why the state wanted an actual -- kind of like a notification tree as to what to do. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So would I be safe to say that back in 2008
there was no policy on staff/patient abuse in terms
of those things, in terms of notifications, things
like that?
A. In terms of the policy we added, obviously that wouldn't have been in place at that time, in 2008.
Q. There is a policy now though, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So now if I'm a staff member and $I$ see some
sort of staff-on-patient abuse, I can go look at the policies and procedures and they tell me exactly who to notify, what to do, and things like that. Right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But back in 2008 there was no such policy, correct?
A. It wasn't specified, yes.
Q. For example, if a nurse became concerned that a person working at Centennial Hills Hospital was very overly attentive of female patients, and very anxious to connect them to the monitors and disconnect them from the monitors, which would require reaching into their clothing, there was no policy in place at Centennial Hills Hospital that

1 would direct that person as to who to inform and what
to do about it. Is that correct?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Incomplete hypothetical. Go ahead and answer.
THE WITNESS: Policy detail -- we do
have a policy regarding chain of command, whenever
there are issues or concerns, regardiess of what it
happens to be. And those are certainly in place.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Absolutely. But I'm just talking
specifically about this sort of thing, which is
staff-on-patient abuse. Right?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I mean you'd agree that's what I basically just read, right?

MR. BEMIS: | object to form.
THE WITNESS: (Witness nods.)

## BY MR. MURDOCK:

Q. You have to answer verbally.
A. Yes.
Q. So what I'm getting at is, back in 2008 if
this nurse found out that another nurse was concerned because someone working at Centennial Hills Hospital was very overly attentive with female patients, and
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very anxious to connect them to the monitors and disconnect them from the monitors, which required them to reach into their clothing, there was no policy specifically set forth and notification tree as to what that nurse was supposed to do about it in terms of policies and procedures, specifically relating to staff-on-patient abuse at Centennial Hills Hospital in 2008. Is that correct?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: That policy did not exist at that time, yes.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So I'm correct, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

If a nurse observed a male sitter
in a female patient's room with the lights out and the door closed, and that same nurse hears yelling coming from that room -- yelling such as, "I don't want you by me, get out of here" -- there was no policy in place at Centennial Hills Hospital back in 2008 to tell that nurse specifically what to do about that situation. Is that correct?

MR. BEMIS: Form. Foundation.
incomplete hypothetical.

MS. HALL: Join. Incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, repeat the question?

MR. MURDOCK: Are you kidding me?
MS. HALL: Do you want it read back?
MR. MURDOCK: No.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I want you to listen to the following hypothetical.

The nurse on the floor, sixth or
seventh floor probably -- let's just say sixth or
seventh floor back in 2008. There's a sitter in one
of the patient rooms, okay? It's a male sitter with a female patient.

The nurse observes that the lights
are out in the room, the door is closed, and then
coming from that room she hears yelling, "I don't
want you by me. Get out of here."
Was there a policy in place at
Centennial Hills Hospital back in 2008 that would direct her as to what to do about that situation?

MR. BEMIS: Form. Foundation.
Incomplete hypothetical. Outside the scope of his
designation.
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MS. HALL: Join.
MR. BEMIS: Please answer.
THE WITNESS: To me that would be
dictated by chain of command policies.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay.
A. You don't need to have a specific abuse policy to know when there's inappropriate conditions occurring.
Q. But the state came in in 2012 and mandated you to have a policy, despite the fact you've got these chain of command policies. So the State of Nevada seemed to think you ought to have one, right?
A. Agreed.
Q. And you changed the policies in order to
have one, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So going backwards, back to 2008 when you didn't have one, there was no policy for this nurse that we just talked about, to go look at and tell her what to do about this sort of staff-on-patient abuse, or possible staff-on-patient abuse. Is that correct? MR. BEMIS: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: No specific abuse policy. BY MR. MURDOCK:
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Q. Okay. Let me see if you can answer my question now.

MR. BEMIS; I think he's answered the question. It's just not the answer you want.

MR. MURDOCK: Well, no, I mean it's a
fine answer. He's right. There is no policy on
abuse. We agree with that, okay?
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. But I asked you a pretty direct question.

There was no policy in place for this nurse to look to, to tell her exactly what to do, correct?

MR. BEMIS: Other than the chain of command policy.

MR. MURDOCK: Other than what he's already talked about, the chain of command policy, which we've already talked about.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Other than that, was there any other policy specifically about staff-on-patient abuse? "Yes" or "no."

MR. BEMIS: Objection. It's
outside the scope of his designation. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. No. Okay.

We sort of talked about the next one in your personal deposition, and so I think we'll probably be pretty quick here in the 30 (b) 6
deposition, about investigation by Centennial Hills
Hospital Medical Center of other patients who may have been sexually assaulted by Steven Farmer.

Is it safe to save that there has
been absolutely no investigation by Centennial Hills Medical Center of any other patients who may have been sexually assaulted by Mr. Farmer? I'm not talking about lawyers. I'm talking about by the hospital itself.
A. Legal counsel was initially consulted on the initial case, and they proceeded with the investigation.
Q. Has there ever been an investigation by the hospital -- not legal counsel for the hospital, but by the hospital, of the allegations of Marsha Petersen?
A. The investigation, like I said, was led by legal counsel. So no.

MR. MURDOCK: I don't think I have anything further at this time.

Page 37 about the Kronos question that you asked previously? MR. MURDOCK: Oh, yeah.
MR. BEMIS: Just ask him whether Kronos
applies to Centennial Hills staff or --
MR. MURDOCK: Ill ask him. Let me
just go back for a second.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. First of all, let's go backwards in time for a second. What is Kronos?
A. Kronos is our payroll management system. MR. SILVESTRI: It's what? THE WITNESS: It's our payroll management system. So it's basically how our staff badge in and badge out.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. That's what I thought it was, and you just changed it on me with that payroll thing.
A. It encompasses that component, so --
Q. Okay. Did Kronos apply to agency staff members?
A. It did not. It was only for in-house employees.
Q. So in other words, these agency staff personnel didn't get a badge from Kronos, right?
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A. That's correct.
Q. Was Kronos even in use in 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did Mr. Farmer get his badge, if he didn't get it through Kronos?
A. He wouldn't get a badge with Kronos access.

He would get a hospital badge without that component on it.
Q. And if you didn't have Kronos access, would you be able to access anywhere in the hospital, or were you limited in certain circumstances?
A. Depending upon where the employee worked, from a skill level and otherwise, they would be granted access.
Q. So for example, Mr. Farmer was a CNA.

Where did his badge allow him to go?
A. Well, based upon the detail, it was obviously the ER, sixth floor, seventh floor areas.
Q. Let me ask you this, because maybe I can now understand this.

That badge that Mr. Farmer had -does that open the door to certain areas? For example, the ER. Does it open the ER, to get back there?
A. Today it would. I honestly don't remember
back in 2008 if the doors were card accessed.
Q. So when you say it allowed him to go back there, maybe you can explain that to me. Because I'm a little confused.
A. Today as people get hospital badges, based upon the area they work, they get granted access.
Q. Right.
A. Today the various areas of the hospital have badge access to them. So the card would be built in with that access, based upon where the individual practiced or worked.

So if they were in the ER, they
would have access to the ER, because those doors are badge accessed.
Q. How did those doors work back in 2008 though?
A. That's whal I don't know -- if those doors
were badge accessed at that time. I would have to go back and check.
Q. In other words, was Farmer allowed anywhere in the hospital with his badge?
A. Like I said, I don't know. I don't know all the areas that were under control at that time, in terms of access control. So $/$ couldn't clearly give you an answer on that.
Q. Okay.

MR. MURDOCK: Jim?
MR. SILVESTRI: I do have some
questions.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. It's my understanding that you're identified as what we call the Rule $30(b) 6$ witness for Centennial Hills Hospital for category number 11, which is the use of Steven Farmer as a sitter.

MR. BEMIS: No, he's not.
MR. MURDOCK: He's not, no.
MR. SILVESTRI: Who is? That's coming
up?
MR. BEMIS: Yeah. Not today. MR. MURDOCK: Jim, let me tell you,
just so you know, the square ones are his. I should have given you a copy of that.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. So just so l'm clear, you are not the witness for number 26, which is, "All tasks assigned to Steven Farmer by Centennial Hills from May 14, 2008 to May 16, 2008?
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Rule 30(b)(4) of NRCP.)

JANET CALLIHAM,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Would you state your name for the record?
A. Janet Calliham.
Q. Janet, how do you spell your last name?
A. C-a-1-l-i-h-a-m.
Q. So you're Janet Calliham?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken
before?
A. In my career, you mean?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
A. A couple.
Q. When was the last time you had your deposition taken?
A. I don't remember.
Q. You know you have a duty to tell the truth?
A. Yes.
Q. You know the law of perjury applies here as it does in a court of law?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. My understanding is that you are retired?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you retire?
A. This time I retired in April.
Q. That tells me you retired before, and then you unretired?
A. Yes.
Q. So you retired in April of 2015?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And before then where did you work, right before then?
A. Right before then I retired from a hospital called Progressive Hospital.
Q. Where is that?
A. It was on Flamingo and Mcleod.
Q. What kind of place is that?
A. It's a long-term acute care. It's been sold and does not exist anymore.
Q. Okay.
A. It was sold at the end of April.
Q. Who owned it?
A. It was a stand-alone facility. It was not a corporate entity.
Q. Okay. And before you worked there where did you work?
A. I worked for Kindred Healthcare.
Q. Where at?
A. Part of it was at the Vegas facilities. I was the area director for quality over the three Vegas hospitals.

Then I went back to work for the region office and did a little traveling around to the hospitals in Southern California.
Q. Kindred?
A. Yes.
Q. How long were you at Kindred?
A. This time it was probably about three years.
Q. Which tells me you worked there before?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to stay with this for a little bit.

So you worked there for three years. Where did you work before Kindred?
A. Actually that was my first retirement.
Q. And that would have been around 2012 or so, 2011?
A. Yes. I was retired for about seven or eight months of ' 12.
Q. Where did you work prior to retiring in 2012, the immediate job?
A. Prior to?
Q. Yeah.
A. I was working at Kindred.
Q. Oh, okay. So you were working at Kindred, then you retired, and then you went back to Kindred?
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A. No. I worked at Kindred, retired, worked at Progressive, re-retired.
Q. Right. What I'm saying is, before you retired in 2012, where did you work before then?
A. I was working at Kindred from 2008 to 2012. Actually it would be 2011 technically. It would be December of '11.
Q. And my understanding is that you worked
there, and I think sometime in the summer of 2008 you moved to Kindred?
A. It was fall, I think. Early fall maybe of '08 that I went back to Kindred.

MR. VOGEL: Rob, can we go off the record?
(Discussion off the record.)
(Ms. Hall joined the deposition telephonically.) BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So sometime in the late summer or early fall of 2008 you went to work for Kindred, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And prior to working for Kindred in 2008 Page 10 you worked at Centennial Hills, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do at Centennial Hills?
A. I was the administrative director for quality outcomes.
Q. When did you start that job?
A. Summer of '07. I think June.
Q. And between let's say June of '07, if that's when you started, sometime in the summer of '07, and January 20, 2008, what were you doing? Because the hospital wasn't opened yet.
A. Helping to get my areas of responsibility
ready to open.
Q. What were your areas of responsibility?
A. Quality, risk, infection control, medical staff office.
Q. What is "quality?"
A. It's looking at the processes and outcomes of care provided to patients.
Q. How do you do that?
A. Well, there's a lot off ways in which you Page 11 look at that, from setting up a plan, or how it's to be done; what are the roles and the responsibilities of each department director, how they will report their data. You teach them how to evaluate it, how to take actions.
Q. Is it safe to say that when you're looking at quality, that would be an after the fact issue, as opposed to before the fact? In other words, you're reviewing data?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. In terms of "risk," tell me what that's all about.
A. It's very similar. You set up the processes, what the managers are responsible to do, and how do they report a concern if it arises.
Q. But aren't you also looking out for things
that could occur?
A. That's what your policy is each department manager is supposed to set up for their area.
Q. And how do they set that up? Is that in terms of a policy or procedure?

Page 12
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. But for instance, I've heard "risk" described -- not in the hospital context, but like in the hotel context, where risk management would walk around the hotel looking for things that might hurt someone. For instance, a carpet that's not level, or something like that.

Is that something that would be part of your job as risk, something similar?
A. There could be components of that, where I would walk around and look.

But each manager has to
determine -- for instance, your carpet example you gave me. That would be the director of plan ops. That would be part of his job, looking at plan operations, things that would be a potential risk to patients or staff.
Q. And I guess one of the procedures -- well,

1 do you work with the head of the departments to
create these policies and procedures?
A. The departments set their own policies and procedures up. If there is a component that relates to Joint Commission accreditation standards or the CMS conditions of participation, they may ask me to take a look at it or provide them a copy of the most current of those, so that they can ensure their policy is in full compliance with those.
Q. Infection control. I know what that is. What is the medical staff office? I don't understand that.
A. That has to do with the credentialing and privileging of physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants.
Q. So that's what you did basically from the summer of 2007 until the hospital opened in January of '08, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were setting up all these processes and procedures and policies, things like that?
A. If it pertained to my area, yes.
Q. Right. And then when the hospital opened, what did you do then?
A. We started putting those processes in Page 14
place, setting up our meetings, having the people start monitoring and turning in the reports of their data.

My biggest role in that first few weeks was to work with the state when they came in and see that they had the policies, procedures; medical records, if they wanted to look at them. Whatever the state wanted to look at. It was to see that whoever had the document they wanted, they brought it in and reviewed it with the state. I coordinated that process with them.
Q. Okay. When incidents would occur at the hospital -- for example, let's say a slip and fall -somebody slips and falls on some water somewhere in the hospital. Would you be involved in that?
A. If it was a patient.
Q. Okay.
A. Not an employee.
Q. Okay. Because worker's comp would not be part of your area, right?
A. Right.
Q. What if it was a visitor?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be part of your area?
A. Yes.
Q. What would that fall under? "Risk?"
A. Yes.
Q. Let me just stick with the slip and fall type thing. It's easier for a few minutes. Assuming there was some kind of slip and fall or whatever, how would you go about investigating that?
A. The manager of the area where the patient fell -- there is a form they would complete.

They would investigate it, complete the documentation, review it with me, let me know if they needed to do something different. If it had been preventable, what could they do to prevent it, and then they would provide the document to me.
Q. What's the document?
A. It would be a -- every hospital has some kind of form or something.
Q. What was the form back at Centennial Hills?
A. I don't remember which form they had.
Q. Did they have a form, or did they have a system called Midas? Do you remember that?
A. Yeah, they had a Midas system. I don't remember what all went into it.
Q. Okay. And would you keep your own file about that? For example, the slip and fall would
happen. Would you keep your notes and things like that in a file?
A. If it went into the computer, my notes would probably be in the computer.
Q. Okay.
A. If it were notes for me to say, "Follow up on this or check on that," it might be in the paper file.
Q. Back in May of 2008 there was an incident involving Steven Farmer. Do you remember that?
A. A little bit.
Q. Tell me what you remember. Let's start with that.
A. I remember being contacted to come talk with a patient. When I was talking with the patient, her concerns were of inappropriate touching. That immediately made this a police matter.

I remember having security come to stay outside the door, checking with nursing to make sure that the nurse taking care of the patient that day was a female, and \(I\) made the request of only female caregivers for the remainder of the patient's stay. I notified the CEO of the facility, and I notified the police.

When the police arrived at the building I escorted them up to the patient's room and I waited at the nurses' station until the police were done, in case I needed to facilitate anything, if I could assist in any way.
Q. Is that it?
A. I went and made some notes, and at some point later in that day we had a conference call. Beyond that, that's about it.
Q. Okay. Where did you make these notes?

Page 18
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A. Upon discussion with my attorney and able to review them, I made them on a piece of paper.
Q. I'm sorry, what was the first part of that?
A. Upon discussion with my attorney and reviewing documents, they were on a piece of paper.
Q. I don't understand that first part, "upon discussion with your attorney."

MR. PRANGLE: She talked to me.
THE WITNESS: On discussion with my
attorney.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. In 2008?
A. No. This morning.
Q. Oh.

MR. PRANGLE: I think she's telling you
that after talking to me, she remembered.

MR. MURDOCK: Oh, okay.
THE WITNESS: I didn't remember what I
had done with my notes.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. Where are those notes today?

Page 19
have them?
Mr. PRANGLE: Because they relate solely to Cagnina.

Janet, is it correct all your
notes were specific to the patient Cagnina?
THE WITNESS: Yes. They were my notes reminding me of things I needed to do. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. What did you need to do?
A. Well, I wanted to make sure that the department managers remind their staff if a patient expresses discomfort with a caregiver, to not have that caregiver take care of them anymore.
Q. That was in the note?
A. Yeah. It was things like that to follow up on.
Q. Okay. So what does that have to do with Cagnina herself? That's just a general statement,
A. Right, but those are things that you do after an event. You re-educate staff.
Q. Well, you said "re-educate." That assumes staff is educated, correct?
A. The people that are hired are experienced people.
Q. Well, were staff educated in that?
A. I would not know what they were educated in prior to being hired at Centennial Hills.
Q. So the notes that you wrote don't specifically say "re-educate staff," do they?
A. I'd have to go back and look at them.
Q. When was the last time you saw them?
A. This morning.
Q. So you reviewed those in preparation for your deposition today, is that correct?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes, But I don't remember the exact word. It could have been "remind staff," "educate" -MR. MURDOCK: I think I'm entitled to the notes.

MR. PRANGLE: And I'm going to disagree, because this was an event that happened two Page 21
days after Mrs. Doe's event, and it was things -- I guess in my possession, and maybe we can take it up with the Discovery Commissioner or someone else. And this was solely related to Cagnina. It had nothing to do with Doe.

MR. MURDOCK: But it goes to notice. It goes to foreseeability -- the whole thing about education and everything.

MR. PRANGLE: Well, if another event happened two days after that, they would do the same thing.

MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, but it's the same thing.

MR. PRANGLE: I will respectfully disagree.

MR. MURDOCK: Okay. I'm going to keep the deposition open, because I mean that's just not right. Now I understand the issue. Okay. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So what else did your notes say?
A. To also make sure that the managers Page 22
remember to tell their staff if they felt uncomfortable with the patient, to reassign them to a different patient.

To remind them that any time a
patient voices discomfort and wished to talk to somebody, to get the right person in there to talk with them immediately.

If they make any allegation of anything, to remind them to notify their supervisor immediately so they will notify the right person.
Q. Was there an issue that someone wasn't notified?
A. No.
Q. So I don't understand why you're re-educating about things that didn't happen.
A. Because that's what we do in quality and risk. We remind everybody of the things that they need to do as part of their job responsibilities when an event of any kind occurs.
Q. Okay.
A. That's just what we do. It's part of our Page 23

18 job.
Q. Okay. You said you had a conference call?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you have a conference call with?
A. Kevin called somebody in legal and risk, and Kevin, myself, Carol -- and I don't remember if there was even anybody else in the room.
Q. Okay. And tell me about the phone call.
A. It was to relate the incident that occurred; that we had notified the police.
Q. Okay. So it was like a one-minute phone call?
A. I don't remember that. I don't remember.
Q. Did you take notes?
A. No.
Q. As a result of that conference did you do anything?
A. Just followed up on whatever was on my notes.
Q. Which notes?
A. That I had made after I talked with the Page 24 patient.
Q. And how did you follow up on that? How did you do that?
A. I picked up the piece of paper and I looked to make sure, "Let's have a department managers' meeting, let's talk to the managers," and that kind of thing.
Q. So there was a department managers' meeting after this, is that correct?
A. At some point.
Q. When was it?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Was it days after? Was it weeks after? What are we talking about here?
A. I don't remember. I could only speculate.

I don't remember.
Q. So you had a department managers' meeting. Who was there?
A. I assume department managers.
Q. Was there an agenda?
A. I don't know.
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Q. Did you pass out anything?
A. I don't remember.
Q. In terms of in writing, did you give them anything?
A. I didn't. I don't remember giving out anything.
Q. Okay. So you had the conference call. You had this department managers' meeting at some point. Did you meet with any of the staff involved in the Roxanne Cagnina matter?
A. No.
Q. Did you meet with Christine Murray?
A. I don't know who Christine is.
Q. Do you remember having a meeting where you were present, Carol Butler was present, and Amy Bochenek was present, where you met several people
involved with the incident with Mr. Farmer?
A. No, I don't remember.
Q. Okay.

MR. MURDOCK: Let's mark this.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked.)
Page 26 correct?

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I assume one of your jobs was to review the Midas system when there were incidents, is that
A. Yes.
Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 . Have you ever seen that document before?

MR. VOGEL: What is that?
MR. PRANGLE: It's the Midas report.
THE WITNESS: Yes, this is the report
they showed me.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. So you saw that this morning?
A. I saw it last week.
Q. You saw it last week. And you saw that I assume within days of the incident with Mr. Farmer,
A. I don't remember.
Q. Well, wouldn't that have been part of your

1 job, to review the Midas report?
Page 27
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And wouldn't you have done it within days?

MR. PRANGLE: Do you remember, or did
you have a custom?
THE WITNESS: I reviewed them, but I couldn't tell you when I reviewed this. I don't remember seeing this. I'm sure I probably did. It's seven years ago.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I understand. Nevertheless, it was part of your job to review these reports, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How often did you review them? Did you review Midas reports on a daily basis, weekly basis, monthly basis? What are we talking about here?
A. Every day or two probably.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that this was put in -- I believe it was the 16th?
A. Yes.
Q. That you probably reviewed it within a couple days? Is that fair?
A. Probably.
Q. Okay. And look at the witnesses down on the second page. There's a couple witnesses listed, Page 28
is that correct?
A. It says "Employees." "Witness Data."

Okay. "Employees." Yes, there's three people listed.
Q. One of the people is Christine Murray, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So Christine Murray was a witness who would have been identified on the Midas report that would have been within days of the incident, is that correct?
A. Yes. This says she was a witness to it.
Q. And is it your testimony that you never spoke with the witnesses?
A. I don't remember speaking with either one of those. The director of nursing and the CNO would be the ones who would speak with the nurses.
Q. But that's something that would have occurred, right?
A. I'm assuming it would have.
Q. There's also another name on there.

There's Lorraine Wescott. Do you see that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And then there's somebody else. Do you see that?
A. Beverly Bartley Lewis.
Q. Who is that?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you have any idea who she is?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Did you investigate the incident at all in terms of risk?
A. When an issue is a legal issue, a police issue, as this became, no, we are usually coordinators of the process for the police, the attorneys, and stuff like that. We do not get involved in interviewing people and stuff like that.
Q. You're aware though, of course, that Carol Butler interviewed some people, right?
A. As the CNO she may have, yes.
Q. She certainly may have interviewed some of the witnesses?
A. She could have.
Q. Would that be part of her job?

MR. PRANGLE: Objection. Foundation.
THE WITNESS: Huh?
MR. PRANGLE: I just made an objection for the record. If you know the answer, you can give
it.
THE WITNESS: I don't know who she
interviewed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. No, I understand that, but wouldn't as part of her job be interviewing those witnesses?

MR. PRANGLE: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: It could be a "yes" or
"no" answer to that.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Just to your knowledge.
A. Because this was a police issue, if she interviewed it would be done under the direction of Page 31 7 me a description of who they are? Short, heavy,

8 tall?

9
back seat coordinator role in this.
Q. Who were you coordinating for?
A. Our attorneys.
Q. Who was that?
A. I don't remember who they were.
Q. Can you give me an example? Can you give
A. Sir, it's been seven years ago. No, I
our attorneys. They may have wanted to interview; they may have asked her to talk with them. I don't know. I do not remember after seven years who told who to do what. I wrote changes, when it's a police and legal issue.
Q. Sure. But of course you might have that in your notes?

MR. PRANGLE: Might have what?
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. As to who instructed you to do \(X\) or \(Y\) or \(Z\), right?
A. I don't remember. My role took more of a Page 32
don't remember at all.
Q. Were you involved in the root cause analysis?
A. Probably.
Q. That's a document that you would have reviewed, wouldn't you have?
A. In this particular situation the root cause was handled by another person in the company.
Q. Who is that?
A. Ann Savin.
Q. Who is Ann Savin?
A. I don't remember Ann's title, but she was over all of the facilities as far as quality and risk.
Q. Who does she work for, or who did she work for?
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A. I don't remember the name of her specific boss.
Q. Well, no, no. Did she work for Valley Health Systems?
A. Yes, she worked for Valley Health Systems.

Page 33
Q. Okay. So you said the root cause was probably handled by Ann, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But do you recall reviewing it?
A. I don't recall, but I'm sure I did.
Q. Why was Ann Savin given the task of handling the root cause analysis?
A. Ann was the quality and risk -- whatever her title was -- over all of the hospitals, and she tended to be involved in root cause analysis when it occurred in any hospital. That was just a routine part of her job.
Q. Okay. So I want to go back to these notes of yours.

You testified that your notes stated that you needed to remind staff if patients are uncomfortable?
A. Remind the managers to remind their staff.
Q. That if patients are uncomfortable, what?
A. Whatever they're uncomfortable about --

1 let's say it's a caregiver. Change caregivers. If
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it's a staff person taking care of a caregiver and the staff person is uncomfortable, you change the staff person.

You also in the situation remind -- I'm sure it's part of my notes to remind them that because this was a police matter, if media called in, to refer them to the public relations person we had at the hospital.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because we don't speak to the media. That is her job.
Q. When you said "we," who is "we" -- "we" don't speak to the media?
A. Employees. Managers.
Q. Okay. So the employees of Valley Health System or at Centennial Hills Hospital are instructed not to speak to the media?
A. They're not told they can't, but if someone calls on a case, you refer them to public relations. At least the managers do.
Q. Are they allowed to speak to the police?
A. If the police want to talk to somebody, yes, they can talk to somebody.
Q. What if they have something to say to the Page 35
police, and the police don't contact them first? In other words, they contact the police. Is there a problem with that?
A. No. That's their right.
Q. And they didn't need to go through you?
A. No.
Q. So there was no problem with a nurse, for example, picking up the phone and calling the police and telling them they had information regarding Mr. Farmer. Is that correct?
A. If an employee wanted to do that and not inform the administrative team, then that was their right to do that.
Q. Do you recall ever meeting with any of the personnel involved with this matter?
A. No.
Q. And I'll throw some names out to you to see if you remember. If you don't, you don't. Do you remember an individual by the name of Ray Sumera?
A. (Witness shook head.)

Page 36 of Margaret Wolfe?
Q. Is that a no?
A. No. Sorry.
Q. Do you remember an individual by the name
```

A. No.
Q. Do you remember an individual by the name of Karen Goodheart?
A. No.
Q. In terms of your coordination of efforts for your attorneys in this matter, did you obtain certain documents for them?
A. If they requested me to, I would.
Q. For example, did you ever provide any medical records to any of your attorneys in this matter?
A. A medical record? They would ask the medical record department for that record.
Q. Okay. Did you coordinate it though?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Well, wouldn't that be a risk issue?
A. Not necessarily, no.

$$
\text { Page } 37
$$

```
Q. Did they need a HIPAA release to do that?
A. I don't know. I don't know if the attorney needed one or not.
Q. Did you ever instruct medical records, "Hey, as part of your risk analysis you're not to give these medical records to anybody without a release?"
A. The director of medical records would do
that -- would tell their staff how to do their job, and what the rules are on that.
Q. But wouldn't you oversee that?
A. No. If there was a problem, then the director would come to me and we would talk with whomever we would need to, to resolve an issue.
Q. Were you involved at all with setting up the procedures in terms of hiring people?
A. No.
Q. Wouldn't you agree with me that's part of risk, to hire the right people?
A. That's an HR function.
Q. But you weren't involved with that at all? going to Centennial Hills twisted my arm and talked to me, and they made a job offer that I really
A. No. Only my two people -- or three people.
Q. Who were they?
A. The infection control practitioner, the performance improvement analyst, and the medical staff office manager.
Q. Who was the performance and improvement analyst?
A. I don't remember her name now.
Q. Why did you leave Centennial Hills?
A. The company that I had worked for prior to
decided I would like. And I had enjoyed working with the company and I knew a lot of people I'd be working with, so I did finally decide to accept their offer.
Q. When the Cagnina incident began, did you start a file on it?
A. I don't remember.
Q. At some point I assume you became aware that it was more than just Roxanne Cagnina, is that correct, who was alleging things about Mr. Farmer?
A. I'm not sure I understand what you're referring to.
Q. Well, did you ever become aware that there were other women who alleged improper touching and assault?
A. When Mr. Farmer went to trial and it was on the news, they talked about other women coming forward and stating that he had allegedly inappropriately touched them as well. Then I knew there were other people involved.
Q. So before then you didn't know, is that correct?
A. No.
Q. At all?

MR. PRANGLE: Yes, it's correct? He asked you if it was correct, and you said, "No."

Mr. Farmer?
A. No.
Q. In other words, did you go around and just make sure that this wasn't a facility-wide issue?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Very honestly, I had never heard of going around and asking other patients like that. I never heard of it, never known of anyone who's done it, and no, I did not.
Q. Did you think about it?
A. No.
Q. The conference call that you were on -- was it discussed?
A. Sir, I don't remember that call of seven years ago.
Q. What is your overriding goal of your job at Centennial Hills?

MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form.
Vague. If you understand it, you can answer.
various programs I was responsible for and see that all the people who feed into quality -- which is every employee, your physicians, your managers -- are doing the things that they need to do.

And the managers come to me if they have questions about what they're measuring, how they're doing.

My job was to coordinate all of these things and to see that the right things got reported to CMS.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. But all of that -- using all of that is to just go out and really look out for the safety of the patients, right?
A. Yes. That's what it is all about.
Q. Right. Now, part of your job is to also foresee things. You're the person at Centennial Hills, for example, to put grossly, who's got the crystal ball, and you're looking out for things that might happen, right?

MR. PRANGLE: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: Huh?
MR. PRANGLE: I just made another objection for the record. If you understand the Page 42
question, you can answer it.
THE WITNESS: Well, if you're talking about say a potential for slip and falls, to use your example from earlier -- you know, I would walk the floors, looking around, making sure they weren't wet. You know, making sure things were running, and check with the managers, any concerns, any issues, and things like that. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Right. But overall, again, like you said, it's for the safety of the patients. That's the paramount interest, right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes.
Q. But in terms of looking out for the safety of the patients, you need to kind of foresee events that could occur.

For example, the reason that you
wanted to re-educate these department managers, to remind them of things, is so that things don't happen Page 43
again, right?
A. That's what you you'd like to try to prevent.
Q. Right. Because you foresee things
happening. If they don't do these things that you reminded them of, things can be foreseen, right?

MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Go ahead.
A. Yes. That's what you try to do.
Q. Okay. Prior to starting your job at Centennial Hills, were you a risk manager elsewhere?
A. Yes, I had been.
Q. Where?
A. At Kindred, and California, and -- what was that hospital? Western Medical Center, and I think West Anaheim Medical Center. I had been a risk manager in maybe three.
Q. Is there a -- I don't know, kind of like a magazine that like every risk manager gets? Is that Page 44
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something that you can tell me about?
A. There are various magazines out there. I don't know if any one is any better than the other.
Q. What are the ones that you read?
A. I usually read the National Quality Association one, and for awhile the -- there's a national risk group.
Q. Is there one just for hospitals -- hospital
risk managers?
A. Neither one of those were strictly hospitals.
Q. Is there one out there for strictly hospitals?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you ever taken any courses in hospital
risk management?
A. A long time ago.
Q. When?
A. It would be maybe 1990, '91, in through there.
Q. So let's say in between 1992 and May of Page 45
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2008, is it safe to say you took no courses regarding risk management?
A. You go to seminars.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. You go to seminars.
Q. Okay. How often did you go to seminars?
A. That one I couldn't even -- I don't know.
Q. Were they yearly?
A. It would depend on when they were offered. I mean sometimes they would be offered once a year; sometimes not for a couple of years before there would be anything offered.
Q. Are you a certified risk manager?
A. No.
Q. Are you a licensed risk manager?
A. No. I don't know of a licensing of risk management.
Q. Okay. Are you a member of a group that puts on seminars?
A. I used to be back in the '90s. I was a member for awhile of the -- whatever the national Page 46
risk group is.
Q. Were you ever a member of any group that was specific to hospital risk management?
A. I was a member of the quality group. It was a quality risk group -- probably late '90s or early 2000, I would have to guess.

It was a quality risk group. They weren't separate groups. Well, at least not where I was in Southern California, they didn't have separate groups.
Q. What was the name of that group.
A. I don't remember.
Q. Let's make it specific between 2007 and 2008.

When you started at Centennial Hills Hospital -- between the time you started and
let's say May 16, 2008, did you attend any seminars during that time period?
A. I don't remember attending any during that time.
Q. Do you remember attending any seminars at Page 47
all ever specifically with regard to hospital risk management?
A. Not since I've moved to Nevada.
Q. When did you move to Nevada?
A. 2006 .
Q. So before 2006 you might have attended some specific seminars with regard to hospital risk management, is that correct?
A. It's possible, but the meetings were usually quality and risk combined.
Q. And all I'm talking about right now is specific. So let's put it this way.

At least after 2006, or starting around 2006 when you moved to Nevada, you didn't attend any seminars specific to hospital risk; is that correct?
A. Not specific to hospital risk.
Q. Did you ever meet with the risk managers from the other Valley Health System hospitals?
A. Yes.
Q. How often?
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A. I think Ann had us meet once every other month or once a quarter. At that point in time when I worked for them, we weren't separate. You were quality and risk, and we met together as a group with Ann. Probably about every other month maybe.
Q. So since you started in 2007 in the summer, and you left early fall/late summer of 2008, is it safe to say there were probably approximately six meetings that you attended? Is that correct?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. Was the Farmer situation discussed at any meeting?
A. I don't remember that being discussed. Those were not confidential meetings. Specific instances would not be discussed at meetings like that.
Q. No, but what about things you learned from that incident?
A. Generalities would be discussed, sure.
Q. Right. So was that discussed?
A. I don't remember. I can only tell you I would assume at some point we did, but I can't remember.
Q. And at these meetings did you take notes?
A. No.
Q. Did anybody take notes?
A. Not that I remember.
Q. Would there be an agenda?
A. I don't know. I don't remember if there was even an agenda.
Q. At any of the hospitals that you were at was there ever an incident regarding a sexual assault?
A. At any hospital I've worked at ever?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. Where?

THE WITNESS: Do I name the hospital? MR. PRANGLE: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Kindred. I remember one at Kindrred. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I'm not asking the patient's name.
A. Yeah. It was at one of the hospitals in Southern California. I honestly don't remember which Page 50
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one. It was way too long ago.
Q. Was it a staff-on-patient sexual assault?
A. It was a patient alleging.
Q. That a staff member sexually assaulted her?
A. An inappropriate touching by staff.
Q. So you were aware when you went to Centennial Hills that a staff-on-patient sexual assault could happen, correct?
A. Sure.

MR. MURDOCK: Thank you. I have nothing further at this time.

MR. VOGEL: No questions.
MR. BIGGAR: No questions.
MR. PRANGLE: We'll reserve signature.
MS. HALL: I don't have any questions.
(The deposition concluded at 3:31 p.m.)
typewritten transcription of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said shorthand notes taken down at said time. Review of the transcript was requested.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of an attorney or counsel involved in said action, nor financially interested in said action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of August, 2015.

Carol O'Malley, CCR No. 178

DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET

File No. J0173225
Case Caption: Doe vs. Valley Health System
Signed this day of , 20 •

JANET CALLIHAM

2 Page No. Line No. Change to:
3 Reason for change:
4 Page No. Line No. Change to:
5 Reason for change:
J0173225 CALLIHAM JANET 081815.txt6 Page No. Line No. Change to:7 Reason for change:
8 Page No. Line No. Change to:
9 Reason for change:
10 Page No. Line No. Change to:
11 Reason for change:
12 Page No. Line No. Change to:
13 Reason for change:
14 Page No. Line No. Change to:
15 Reason for change:
16 Page No. Line No. Change to:
17 Reason for change:
18 Page No. Line No. Change to:
19 Reason for change:
20 Page No. Line No. Change to
21 Reason for change:2223
24 SIGNATURE: ..... DATE:
JANET CALLIHAM
25

2 Page No. Line No. Change to:
3 Reason for change:
4 Page No. Line No. Change to:
5 Reason for change:
6 Page No. Line No. Change to:
7 Reason for change:
8 Page No. Line No. Change to:
9 Reason for change:
10 Page No. Line No. Change to:
11 Reason for change:
12 Page No. Line No. Change to:
13 Reason for change:
14 Page No. Line No. Change to:
15 Reason for change:
16 Page No. Line No. Change to:
17 Reason for change:
18 Page No. Line No. Change to:
19 Reason for change:
20 Page No. Line No. Change to:
21 Reason for change:

22
23

\section*{TAB 74}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Deposition of Margaret Wolfe, RN Page 5 \\
\hline 2 & May 6, 2015 \\
\hline 3 & (Prior to the commencement of the deposition, \\
\hline 4 & all of the parties present agreed to waive \\
\hline 5 & statements by the court reporter, pursuant to \\
\hline 6 & Rule 30(b)(4) of NRCP.) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{7} \\
\hline 8 & MARGARET WOLFE, RN, \\
\hline 9 & having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{10} \\
\hline 11 & EXAMINATION \\
\hline 12 & BY MR. MURDOCK: \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{13 Q. Would you please state your name for the
14 record?}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline 15 & A. Margaret Wolfe. \\
\hline 16 & Q. Ms. Wolfe, have you ever had your \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 deposition taken before?} \\
\hline 18 & A. No. \\
\hline 19 & Q. Are you represented by counsel here? \\
\hline 20 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 21 & Q. Who is that? \\
\hline 22 & A. John Bemis. \\
\hline 23 & Q. How did you come to be represented by Mr. \\
\hline 24 & Bemis? \\
\hline 25 & A. I believe I was contacted by their office. \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Q. So he told you that you were going to be} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2 represented by him?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3 A. They did.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{4 Q. They did?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5 MR. BEMIS: I object to form.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6 From the aspect of her acceptance} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{7 of the representation, she can talk. But after that} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{8 l 'm going to instruct her not to answer about} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9 anything we talked about.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{10 BY MR. MURDOCK:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 Q. Go ahead.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12 A. I was offered their representation} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{13 accepted it.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 Q. Okay. Good. How much are you paying?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15 A. I'm not paying anything.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{16 Q. When you were offered their representation,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 did they tell you that they also allegedly represe} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{18 a whole bunch of other people?} \\
\hline 19 & MR. BEMIS: I object to form and \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 instruct her not to answer anything we discussed.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{21 BY MR. MURDOCK:} \\
\hline 22 & Q. Go ahead. You can answer the question, if \\
\hline 23 & you want. \\
\hline 24 & A. I'm going to take the advice of my \\
\hline & attorney. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
that.
Q. And you said?

10 A. And I said, "Yes."
Q. And what else?
A. I believe at that point she offered the representation, and I accepted.
Q. Did she tell you that you needed representation? MR. BEMIS: Objection to after -MR. MURDOCK: Prior. Prior.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did she tell you that you needed representation?
A. No.
Q. Did she advise you that she thought you should be represented?
A. No.
Q. Did you believe you needed to be
represented, for any reason whatsoever?
MR. BEMIS: Calls for speculation.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Go ahead.
A. I'm not sure if I should answer or not.
Q. Well, did you believe that you needed to be
represented, prior to her offering you
representation?
A. No.
Q. In other words, was there any reason in your head that you thought, "Hey, maybe I should get a lawyer?"
A. No.
Q. But when they offered it, you accepted it, right?
A. Yes.
Q. It's free, right?
A. Well, that was part of it.
Q. Okay. And without telling me the contents of the discussions, did you at some point have a discussion with Mr. Bemis?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. We've had a few conversations on the phone, and then one yesterday.
Q. When were the phone conversations? Do you remember?
A. Within the last couple of weeks.
Q. Now, your deposition was originally set for last week?
A. Yes.
Q. You're aware of that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you did not show up, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And why didn't you show up?
A. I had a conflict of interest with my
employer. I did try to cancel the deposition, and apparently was unable to, and I had a choice to make of where I had to be.

I had a mandatory education that I had to do with my employer, that had I not shown up to that, I would have been suspended.
Q. Okay.
A. And so I chose to keep my job.
Q. Did you tell Mr. Bemis that?

MR. BEMIS: I'm going to tell her not
to respond to anything her and I discussed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did Mr. Bemis tell you there was a court
notice for you to be here last week?
MR. BEMIS: I'm going to instruct her
not to answer anything that her and I discussed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did he tell you that?
A. I'm going to take his advice.
Q. Did he tell you that you could be sanctioned?

MR. BEMIS: I'm going to instruct her
not to answer anything that her and I discussed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did he tell you that he could be
sanctioned?
MR. BEMIS: I instruct her not to answer anything that her and I discussed. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did he tell you that he attempted to get
the Court to change it by filing a motion, but the
Court refused?
MR. BEMIS: I'm going to instruct her not to answer anything that her and I have discussed. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Did he tell you that he was too late in
filing the motion, despite the fact he could have
filed something earlier? Did he tell you that?
\begin{tabular}{|ll}
\hline 1 & MR. BEMIS: I'm going to instruct her Page 13 \\
2 & not to answer anything her and I discussed. \\
3 & BY MR. MURDOCK: \\
4 & Q. Did he tell you that he fell below the \\
5 & standard of care already in representing you? Did he \\
6 & tell you that? \\
7 & MR. BEMIS: I'm going to instruct her \\
8 & not to answer anything that her and I discussed. \\
9 & BY MR. MURDOCK: \\
10 & Q. You're currently a nurse, correct? \\
11 & A. Yes. \\
12 & Q. And where are you a nurse at? \\
13 & A. UMC pediatric ER. \\
14 & Q. Now, Ms. Wolfe, you have no legal training, \\
15 & do you? \\
16 & A. No. \\
17 & Q. You're not a lawyer? \\
18 & A. No. \\
19 & Q. You're not a paralegal? \\
20 & A. No. \\
21 & Q. You haven't gone to school for any legal \\
22 & things? \\
23 & A. Nothing. \\
24 & Q. Do you know what perjury is? \\
25 & A. Yes, I do. \\
\hline 1 & Q. What is perjury? \\
1 & A. Lying under oath. \\
2 & Q. Do you know that it is a crime to lie to \\
3 & the police? \\
5 & A. Yes, I do. \\
6 & Q. Do you know that it is a crime to obstruct \\
7 & justice? \\
8 & A. Yes. \\
9 & Q. Do you know that it is a crime to obstruct \\
10 & justice by lying to the police? \\
11 & A. Yes. \\
12 & Q. Prior to this deposition you were sitting \\
13 & in my lobby, correct? \\
14 & A. Yes. \\
15 & Q. And I came to speak with you, correct? \\
16 & A. Yes. \\
17 & Q. And at that time I did not have an actual \\
18 & conversation with you, did I? \\
19 & A. No. \\
20 & Q. On the other hand, I spoke, correct? \\
21 & A. Corrent. \\
22 & Q. I didn't ask you one question, correct? \\
23 & A. Right. \\
24 & Q. Do you know Steven Farmer? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Q. Who is he?
A. He was a CNA that worked at Centennial Hospital at the same time I was there.
Q. What did he look like?
A. Poppa Smurf. Sorry. He had a white beard, white hair, medium stature.
Q. Did you give a statement to the police a
couple days -- well, actually it would have been
maybe a couple weeks after he was arrested, in 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you lie to the police?
A. No.
Q. Did you make up any stories to tell the police?
A. No.
Q. After you spoke with the police, did you speak with anybody at Centennial Hills Hospital about what you told the police?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Who was your director of nursing at the
time? Do you remember?
A. Amy Bochenek.
Q. That's how you pronounce it?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, do you recall speaking with Amy --
A. Yes.
Q. I'm sorry, let me finish my question.
A. Okay.
Q. The only reason is, she's going to get mad at me.

Do you recall speaking with Amy
about Mr. Farmer?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that before or after he was arrested?
A. It was after, because the situation happened on the same day.
Q. Right. Okay. And you went to Amy to discuss the situation with Ms. Hanna, is that correct?
A. I didn't go directly to Amy, no.
Q. Who did you go to?
A. I went to my charge nurse that was on that shift.
Q. Who was that, by the way?
A. Ray Sumera.
Q. Now, Ray testified the other day that he was a relief charge nurse.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Why did you go to Ray to talk about that incident?
A. Because that's my chain of command that I would follow.
Q. Have you seen the transcript of the conversation you had with Detective Saunders?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall any mistakes in that
transcript?
A. No.
Q. So you went to Ray because of the chain of command. After you went to Ray, did you go to somebody else?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that when you went to Amy?
A. No.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. What is a relief charge nurse?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: A relief charge nurse
fills in when the permanent charge nurse is not
transcript?
Q. Who did you go to?
A. I spoke with the nurse that I was giving
report to at shift change.
Q. Who was that? Do you remember?
A. Her name is Julie. I don't remember her last name.
Q. And when did you wind up speaking with Amy?
A. Later that day she called me.
Q. So somehow it got back up to her?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you at home at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. And my guess is that you repeated
everything you told Ray, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And also everything you told Julie, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you lie to them?
A. No.
Q. Prior to the day you spoke with Amy and the
day you spoke with Julie, and the day you spoke with
Ray when the incident with Ms. Hanna occurred, had
you ever spoken to anybody before about Mr. Farmer?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you speak to?
A. Ray Sumera, and probably a couple other ER nurses, but I don't recall exactly who.
Q. And when would that have been? Do you recall?
A. Just throughout the course of his employment in the ER.
Q. And do you recall what you discussed?
A. I told them that he made me very uncomfortable, especially around female patients, and I did not want him in my female patients' rooms.
Q. What made you uncomfortable?
A. He was overly helpful with female patients, and it was just a feeling I had that made me uncomfortable and uneasy around him.

He would go into females rooms when there was no need for him to be in there, sometimes with the door or the curtain shut, and I felt that was inappropriate.
Q. And you had voiced this to Ray prior to the incident with Ms. Hanna?
A. Yes.
Q. And would it have been weeks and/or a month prior?
A. Weeks.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm sorry, what was it? 20
THE WITNESS: Weeks.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And when you had this discussion with Ray, what did he say -- the discussion weeks before?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did he say he would take care of it?
A. He did say he would talk to him.
Q. When you had this conversation with Ray several weeks before, why did you have the conversation with Ray?
A. Because I felt it better for a male to be talking to a male about it, than me to be approaching Mr. Farmer.
Q. Was it also because Ray was a relief charge nurse?
A. No.
Q. But nevertheless, Ray was a relief charge nurse?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, a relief charge nurse is not always
the charge nurse?
A. Correct.
Q. It's just when the charge nurse is not
```

there, he becomes the relief charge nurse?
A. Right.
Q. Now, is that because the charge nurse is
absent, or for example because the charge nurse has
gone to lunch, or something like that?
A. No. The permanent charge nurse is not
scheduled that day.
Q. Okay. But you knew at the very least when
you had these discussions with Ray, several weeks
prior to the Denise Hanna discussion, that Ray was a
relief charge nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. He may not have been at the time, but he
certainly was a relief charge nurse, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So l'd like to know a little bit more about the discussion you had with Ray several weeks before.
A. Okay.
Q. So I want to talk about that for right now, okay?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. You said that he was overly attentive --
that Farmer was overly attentive with female
patients?

```
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A. Yes.
Q. Now, you had not seen him assault anyone, correct?
A. No, not at that point.
Q. You had not seen him rape anyone?
A. No.
Q. Now, you said that he would go into rooms
of patients and you weren't there, and close the door?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. How did you know that --

MR. SILVESTRI: Hold on. Ma'am, you
have to answer out loud.
MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, l'm sorry.
MR. SILVESTRI: "Yeses" and "nos,"
because the court reporter will have a difficult time with that.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
MR. SILVESTRI: So can we get a clear answer on these? I'm sorry.

MR. MURDOCK: No, no. That's okay. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Now, when you said that he would go into rooms of patients and close the doors -- you witnessed that, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was one of the things you
complained to Ray about, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you go to Ray about that? Not personally Ray, but why did you go to anybody about that?
A. I went to Ray about it because I trusted him. I knew that he would keep it in confidence between he and I, and I knew that he would follow through and speak with him, as I asked him to.
Q. Sure.
A. And he had a little bit of authority, being that he was a relief charge nurse. It gave him a little bit more leverage.
Q. Sure. Did you ever go to speak with the patients of the rooms that Mr. Farmer was in?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I didn't want to accuse somebody falsely of something, and I didn't want to raise any red flags with patients.
Q. Okay. But yet you were concerned about

Farmer?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he also in rooms with patients with the lights out? Is that what I heard you say, or not?
A. No, I never said that.
Q. Okay. That's somebody else.

So he would walk into rooms, close
the door?
A. Yes.
Q. That's not appropriate for a CNA, is it?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead
and answer, if you know.
THE WITNESS: It's inappropriate for a male patient to be in a room with a female patient alone with the door closed.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Why is that?
A. Because of the fact that allegations can be made and people can be accused of things that may or may not be true.
Q. It's not just allegations can be made, things can actually happen, right?
A. Yes.

MR. SILVESTRI: Ms. Reporter, can you
read back the last answer? Actually, the last question and answer.
(The following was read:)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & "Q. Was he also in rooms with patients with the & & with Ray, or both? Page 27 \\
\hline 2 & lights out? Is that what I heard you say, or & 2 & A. I believe both of us, but I know with me. \\
\hline 3 & not? & 3 & Q. And why do you believe both of you? \\
\hline 4 & A. No, I never said that. & 4 & A. Because all the nurses were talking about \\
\hline 5 & Q. Okay. That's somebody else. So he would & 5 & it together. It wasn't just me. It wasn't just Ray. \\
\hline 6 & walk into rooms, close the door? & 6 & All the nurses were concerned. \\
\hline 7 & A. Yes. & 7 & Q. When you say "all the nurses" -- all the \\
\hline 8 & Q. That's not appropriate for a CNA, is it? & 8 & nurses on the shift? \\
\hline 9 & MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead & 9 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 10 & and answer, if you know. & 10 & Q. Can you identify some of them? I know it's \\
\hline 11 & THE WITNESS: It's inappropriate for a & 11 & been a long time, but could you identify some of \\
\hline 12 & male patient to be in a room with a female & 12 & them, at least by first name? \\
\hline 13 & patient alone with the door closed. & 13 & A. Gina, Kim -- \\
\hline 14 & Q. Why is that? & 14 & MR. SILVESTRI: Kim? \\
\hline 15 & A. Because of the fact that allegations can & 15 & THE WITNESS: Kim. \\
\hline 16 & be made and people can be accused of things & 16 & MS. HALL: Can you just keep your voice \\
\hline 17 & that may or may not be true. & 7 & a a little bit? It's hard for us to hear you down \\
\hline 18 & Q. It's not just allegations can be made, & 18 & here. \\
\hline 19 & things can actually happen, right? & 19 & THE WITNESS: Okay. \\
\hline 20 & A. Yes." & 20 & MS. HALL: Thank you. \\
\hline 21 & BY MR. MURDOCK: & 21 & THE WITNESS: I worked with Karen, but \\
\hline 22 & Q. And your answer was? & 22 & I don't recall if we had any conversations about it \\
\hline 23 & A. Yes. & 23 & or not. \\
\hline 24 & Q. When I say "things can actually happen," & 24 & BY MR. MURDOCK: \\
\hline 25 & that would include sexual assaults, correct? & 25 & Q. Okay. \\
\hline & Page 26 & & Page 28 \\
\hline 1 & A. Yes. & 1 & A. I don't recall any other names, it was so \\
\hline 2 & MR. BEMIS: l object to form. & 2 & long ago. \\
\hline 3 & BY MR. MURDOCK: & 3 & Q. Okay. Suffice to say, if I would have \\
\hline 4 & Q. And that's one of the reasons why male CNAs & 4 & aken your deposition much closer in time to the \\
\hline 5 & should not be in female patients' rooms with the door & 5 & vents occurring, you would have been able to give me \\
\hline 6 & closed, correct? & 6 & e names, correct? \\
\hline 7 & MS. HALL: Objection. Lacks & 7 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 & undation. Speculation. & 8 & Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about Kim -- \\
\hline 9 & MR. BEMIS: Join. Go ahead and answer. & 9 & Qecifically Kim, and then we'll get to the other \\
\hline 10 & THE WITNESS: Correct. & 10 & eople. \\
\hline 11 & BY MR. MURDOCK: & 11 & But Kim -- you had a discussion \\
\hline 12 & Q. Apparently another nurse also discussed & 12 & with her about Ray? \\
\hline 13 & Mr. Farmer with you, is that correct, prior to the & 13 & A. About Ray? \\
\hline 14 & Denise Hanna situation? & 14 & Q. I'm sorry. That was bad. That was bad. \\
\hline 15 & A. Not to my knowledge. & 15 & (Discussion off the record.) \\
\hline 16 & Q. There was a nurse by the name of Kim, and & 16 & BY MR. MURDOCK: \\
\hline 17 & my understanding is that you told Detective Saunders & 17 & Q. You were telling me about conversations you \\
\hline 18 & that Kim relayed some concerns to you also, and that & 18 & had with Kim about Steven. \\
\hline 19 & would have been the same concerns that Ray had said & 19 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 20 & about his actions were suspicious, especially with a & 20 & Q. Tell me about those conversations. \\
\hline 21 & female patient? & 21 & A. I don't remember exact details, just the \\
\hline 22 & A. Yes, I do recall that now. & 22 & fact that I had shared these same concerns l've \\
\hline 23 & Q. Okay. And who was Kim? Do you remember? & 23 & already voiced, with her, and she felt the same way. \\
\hline 24 & A. Just a staff nurse there in the ER. & 24 & Q. So in other words, he was being overly \\
\hline 25 & Q. And Kim had had a conversation with you or & & attentive with female patients? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. Yes.
Q. And you both were suspicious about him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when I just asked you about being suspicious about him, what were you suspicious of?
A. I think "uncomfortable" is a better word than "suspicious."
Q. Okay. And the reason I'm using the word "suspicious," to be honest with you, is because you
used it in your conversation with Detective Saunders.
A. Okay.
Q. You said that Kim relayed some concern to
you, the same concerns that Ray had said, about his
actions were suspicious, especially with female patients.

So what were you trying to convey when you used the word "suspicious" in that context?
A. That there could be some actions happening by Mr. Farmer that were inappropriate.
Q. Looking back at it, was it just that he was overly attentive with female patients, or was it a certain type of female patient? In other words, was it a type of female patient who maybe couldn't complain?
A. I didn't notice that.
Q. Okay. Now, you also said that all of the nurses were talking about it.
A. Yes.
Q. So it was pretty common knowledge over at Centennial Hills Hospital, right?
A. Yes.

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And the conversations that you had with
these other nurses were basically all the same, that
he was overly attentive with female patients,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That he was acting at least in a suspicious manner?
A. Yes.
Q. And that he was -- what was the word you wanted to use besides "suspicious?"
A. "Inappropriate."
Q. "Inappropriate." Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was all related to the same thing, where he would go into female patients' rooms and close the door, correct?

Page 29
A. Correct.
Q. That he would be overly helpful with putting certain devices on, is that correct?
A. Yes.

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.

\section*{BY MR. MURDOCK:}
Q. And those devices were basically -- it
wasn't blood pressure cuffs, correct?
A. No.
Q. It wasn't taking someone's temperature, right?
A. Right.
Q. It was a medical device whereby he would be able to see female private areas. Would that be correct?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go ahead and answer, if you know.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. And that would include heart monitors?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was a discussion the other day about whether it's a 3-lead heart monitor, a 5 -lead heart monitor, or a 12.

Page 32
When you saw him be overly
attentive regarding these heart monitors, was it with
a 3, a 5, a 12 -- all?
A. 5.
Q. It was a 5 ?
A. It was a 5 .
Q. So with a 5-lead heart monitor, where would
the monitors be placed?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Go
ahead.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Or actually they're not monitors. They're --
A. Patches.
Q. The patches. Where would those patches be placed?

MR. BEMIS: Same objection. Answer, if you can.

THE WITNESS: There's 2 leads that go under both clavicles. There is a lead that goes on both sides.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. The ribs?
A. Yes, on the ribs.
Q. Okay.

```

least several weeks before, that's when the
suspicions started -- where he would be in rooms
alone with patients, where he was gone for extended
periods of time when he was transporting patients,
and where he would always offer to help female
patients, as opposed to male patients, correct?
A. Correct.
MR. BEMIS: | object to form.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And in terms of the gone extended periods
of time with transporting patients, that would have
been with females patients, correct?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Calls
for speculation.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And the being in rooms alone -- again, that
would be with female patients, as opposed to male
patients, correct?
MR. BEMIS: Same objection. Go ahead
and answer.
THE WITNESS: I can't say that it
wasn't ever with a male patient, but we noticed it
more with female patients.

```
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BY MR. MURDOCK: 1
    Q. Okay. And when you say "we," who is "we?"
    A. The nursing staff.
    Q. Now, who was your charge nurse on the
nightshift? Did you have one?
    A. We had a couple of them.
    Q. Who were they?
    A. One of them was Amy.
    Q. Amy who?
    A. I don't remember her last name.
    Q. Okay.
    A. And the other one was Danielle, and I don't
remember her last name.
    Q. Okay. And then the relief would have been
Ray?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Were Amy and Danielle made aware of these
    suspicions that were had by the nursing staff about
Steven?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Calls
for speculation. Answer, if you know.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
    Q. In other words, did they know?
    A. I don't know.
Q. I mean if everybody was talking about it,
would you assume they knew?
MR. BEMIS: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I don't know what they
knew.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. In other words, I'd have to ask
them, right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You didn't have any specific conversations with Amy or Danielle that you recall, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You did have specific conversations with Ray though, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would have been before the
incident with Denise Hanna, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, after the incident with Denise Hanna, you worked at Centennial for about another year or
so. Would that be right?
A. Sounds about right.
Q. And my understanding is that at some point, I want to say -- I could be wrong, but in the summer of 2009, you were terminated by Centennial, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In between the time you were terminated and the Denise Hanna incident, do you recall having any conversations with anybody at Centennial Hills Hospital regarding Steven Farmer? MR. BEMIS: Besides what she already testified to?

MR. MURDOCK: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Again, all the nursing staff was talking about it, following the case. So I
may have. I don't remember any specific conversations with people, but it's possible.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Were you ever called in, for instance by risk management, to discuss what you had witnessed with Mr. Farmer?
A. No.
Q. Did any lawyers ever speak with you
regarding Mr. Farmer?
A. No.
Q. When was the first time a lawyer ever spoke
with you regarding Mr. Farmer?
A. When I was subpoenaed for his criminal

1 trial.
Q. And before then no lawyers, like for
instance Mr. Bemis -- he never called you?
A. No.
Q. And nobody from risk management had called you?
A. No.
Q. Now, your discussion with Amy Bochenek --
that was after the Denise Hanna incident, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said that occurred that day of the

Denise Hanna incident, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. After that day, speaking with Amy Bochenek,
did you speak with anybody else regarding Mr. Farmer,
in terms of administrators or administration at
Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Okay. When you spoke with Amy Bochenek, did you tell her that the nursing staff had all been talking about Steven Farmer?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Is that something that you believe that
it's more likely than not that you did?
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A. I can't recall. I can't answer that.
Q. When Mr. Farmer was arrested, that didn't come as a complete shock or surprise to you, did it?
A. No.

MS. HALL: Objection. Lack of
foundation.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. And it didn't come as a complete shock or surprise because you already basically had these suspicions, correct?

MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. You can go ahead.
A. Correct.
Q. And these are the suspicions that you had voiced to Ray and others, correct?

MR. BEMIS: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Now, do you remember Kim's last name?

MR. SILVESTRI: Who?
MS. HALL: Kim.
THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I'm sorry.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Do you remember, was she an ER nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. When you said the nursing staff all knew, was that the nursing staff down at the ER?
A. Yes.
Q. Because that's basically who you had contact with, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that was the nursing staff on your shift?
A. Correct.
Q. So in other words, it wouldn't be up in the med-surg units, right?
A. No.
Q. That would just be down in the ER?
A. Correct.
Q. Had you ever heard about an incident whereby Mr. Farmer was thrown out of a room of a female patient in the med-surg unit, when he was acting as a sitter for an elderly woman?
A. No.
Q. Had you ever heard that there were screams coming from the room, and the nursing staff there didn't lend any credence to what she was alleging?
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MR. BEMIS: I object to form. MS. HALL: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: No.

\section*{BY MR. MURDOCK:}
Q. Do you know a Nurse Murray -- Christine Murray? Does that name sound familiar at all?
A. No.

MR. MURDOCK: Let's mark this. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked.)
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 --

MR. MURDOCK: It's the statement of Margaret Wolfe. I figured you should have it. BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Ms. Wolfe, I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.

This is the transcript of your statement, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said you had seen this before, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When was the last time you saw this?
A. Yesterday.
Q. And prior to then, do you recall seeing it?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Have you ever listened to the transcript
itself?
A. No.
Q. Was it recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact that's what the transcript comes
from, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did this interview take place?
A. At an Einstein bagel shop right near the

Centennial Hospital.
Q. Do you know how Detective Saunders got your name?
A. Yes. I contacted him.
Q. And why did you contact him?
A. Because I was disturbed over the incident
that I saw with Ms. Hanna and wanted it to be brought to his attention.
Q. Because they had put out like a community-wide thing about the assault, is that correct? Were you aware of that?
A. Yes.
Q. And had you seen that?
A. No.
Q. But you knew Farmer was arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. And you wanted to let them know that there were other issues potentially, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what time approximately the

Denise Hanna incident was?
A. It was in the early morning hours.
Q. Okay.
A. Possibly around 3:00 a.m. I'm not saying that to be exact, but early morning hours.
Q. Was Marcia Petersen your nurse? Do you remember Marcia Petersen?
A. I don't know that name.
Q. Okay. Was Ms. Cagnina your nurse?
A. My nurse?
Q. I'm sorry. Did I say that the last time,
too?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me start over.

Were you Marcia Petersen's nurse?
A. No.
Q. You don't even know that name, do you?
A. No.
Q. Were you Ms. Cagnina's nurse?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who Ms. Cagnina is?
A. No.
Q. You were Denise Hanna's nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. When this incident occurred with Ms. Hanna, how come you didn't go speak with Ms. Hanna?
A. I didn't want to alert her to something that she may have perceived differently.

I did go into the room, and there was what I perceived as eye contact between her and I, knowing that something had just happened that shouldn't have. But she did not say anything to me about it, and I didn't want to raise concerns to her if it was not an issue to her.
Q. But you did go speak to Ray about it?
A. Yes.
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know why it was done so early?
A. It was after I got off my shift.
Q. Okay. I need to ask you a personal question.
A. Yes.
Q. And I promise you, this will probably be the only personal question I will ever ask you. Have you ever been sexually assaulted?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it before this incident?
A. Many years.
Q. Okay. If you turn to page 2, the detective asked you, "What do you do for Centennial Hills
Hospitai?" And your answer was, "I'm an ER nurse."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that the truth?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't lie to the cops when you told
them that, right?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And then as you go down the line it
says, "And what was Steven Farmer's job?" Your answer was, "He was a CNA contracted out through an agency."

Did you lie to the police there?
A. No.
Q. How did you know he was contracted out through an agency?
A. It was just common knowledge with us there that he was an agency CNA, and not a staff CNA.

I don't know how that information
got relayed. We know in the hospital which employees
are agency and which employees are staff.
Q. Agency people back then at Centennial Hills Hospital -- did they have separate badges?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that how you figured out he was an agency nurse?
A. Possibly.
Q. But even that separate badge said
"Centennial Hills Hospital" on it, correct?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: Correct.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Was it the night of the 14th going into the day of the 15 th that the incident with Ms. Hanna occurred?
A. Yes, the early morning of the 15 th.
Q. Okay. If you would turn to page 8 in the

Voluntary Statement, there's a question in the middle
of the page. It starts with, "Did a -- excuse me."
It states, "Um, has uh, anybody
else in the ER room that you've worked with, ever
come to you, or have you ever talked to anybody that
shared similar concerns that you do about
Mr. Farmer?"
And your answer was, "Um, the same
nurse, Ray Sumera, had told me another time that
he -- to watch him around my female patients."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you lie to the police when you said that?
A. No.
Q. Were you being honest and truthful?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me what Ray told you.
A. That's basically all he told me. There was
not much more to that conversation.
Q. Well, apparently Ray told you that you
should watch him around your female patients. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Ray say anything else about that? In
other words, did he say he was being overly
attentive, the same things you've already told us?
A. I don't recall the specifics of anything else he said. Just that he did tell me that.
Q. Okay. And that was at some point in time several weeks prior to the Denise Hanna situation, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that's when he told you, at the very least, to watch Mr. Farmer being around your female patients, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was something specifically that Mr. Sumera told you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you go down, here's what he said and maybe this will refresh your recollection.

He states, "That he was concerned because he" -- meaning Mr. Farmer -- "was very overly
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attentive with female patients, and very anxious to
connect them to the monitors and disconnect them from
the monitors, which would require him" -- meaning
Mr. Farmer -- "to reach into their clothing."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So let's talk about that for a
second.

\section*{So at some point several weeks}
prior to the Denise Hanna situation, Mr. Sumera came to you and told you to watch Mr. Farmer around your female patients, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And he told you that he was concerned because Mr. Farmer was overly attentive with female patients, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he told you that Mr. Farmer was very anxious to connect them to the monitors, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you say "connect them to the monitors," was that the heart monitors that we talked about before?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{A. Yes. Page 53} \\
\hline 2 & Q. The 5 leads? \\
\hline 3 & A. Correct. \\
\hline 4 & Q. And also disconnect them from the monitors? \\
\hline 5 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 6 & Q. And that's the same heart monitors, the 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{leads, correct?} \\
\hline 8 & A. Correct. \\
\hline 9 & Q. And of course that would require him to \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{10 reach into their clothing, correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 A. Yes.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12 Q. Do you remember Julie's last name?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{13 A. No, Idon't.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 Q. Okay. If you tur} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15 asks you basically if there's anything that he might} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{16 have forgotten to ask about Mr. Farmer, and you state} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 in the middle of the page about Farmer,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{18 very suspicious in his activities.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{19 into rooms with} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 when he was not asked to." Correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{21 A. Correct} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22 Q. You didn} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{23 them that, correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{24 A. No.} \\
\hline 25 & Q. And by the way, going back to page 8 for a \\
\hline & 1 second, to that long sentence in the middle of the \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2 page -- when you told the police that Ray Sumera had} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3 told you that you should watch your female} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{4 around Farmer, because Ray was concerned that Farmer} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5 was very overly attentive with female patien} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{ry anxious to connect them} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{disconnect them from} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{the police} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9 correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{10 A. Correct.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 Q. You were bein} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12 the police, correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{13 A. Yes.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 Q. If you turn to page 10 , in the middle of} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15 the page the detective asks you, "If you had to give} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{16 me an estimate, how many times would you say that} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 you've seen him walk into female patients' rooms} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{18 where the door is closed, but there's no need for him} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{19 to be in that room?" Do you see that?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 A. Yes.} \\
\hline 21 & Q. And your answer was, "Multiple times. \\
\hline 2 & couldn't put a number on it." is that correct? \\
\hline 23 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 24 & Q. You weren't lying to the police when you \\
\hline & said that, correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. No.
Q. You were being honest and truthful, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You said something on page 11 I'd like to ask you a few questions about.

There's a question towards the
middle of the page -- towards the bottom, I guess.
It says, "Okay. Okay." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It says, "Do you harbor any -- do you have
any personal gain by coming forward to me with this information?"

That's the detective asking,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you answered, "No, I don't. In fact, I" -- something -- "fear that I could possibly get in trouble with my job if I were to give out information, you know, regarding or against" -blank -- "with my patients."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. I don't know what the blanks say. Do you know what the blanks say, or do you recall what you
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were telling the police?
A. I would probably have been referring to HIPAA information.
Q. Because you spoke with the police?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were concerned about HIPAA about going to the police, correct?
A. Not necessarily the police. I was concerned just about violating HIPAA laws by speaking with anybody about my patient.
Q. Okay. Prior to going to the police, did you ask anybody if you could go to the police, or would you be violating HIPAA?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Why didn't you?
A. Well, I did feel it was safe to speak with
the police, and I wasn't giving specific information
on her medical condition or things like that.
Q. Right. You were giving specific
information regarding a crime that you believe had
been committed, correct?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
THE WITNESS: Right.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Now, then the detective, as you go along on
page 11, starts talking about other people that youg 5 had discussed this with prior to the Denise Hanna situation several weeks before, and you talk about Julie specifically. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- oh, no, actually you don't. Because Julie didn't work the nightshift with you, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. In fact I'm reading that wrong here.

He asked you about Julie. You
didn't tell him about Julie, right?
A. (No response.)
Q. If you look at the question, it says,
"Okay, and that would be Ray Sumera. Did Julie say
she had any concerns?" Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said, "Julie hasn't worked with
him, because she works on dayshift." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were being honest and truthful
there, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But then as you go along, you were trying
to think up I guess people's names for the detective,

\section*{correct?}
A. Yes.
Q. And then you state -- it's on the top of page 13.

You state, "There's one other
nurse that had come to me, that I'm trying to
remember her name, that had told me some of the same
things."
And then you asked him to turn off
the recorder for one second so you could think about
it, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you nervous with the recorder being on?
A. I was just nervous in general. Not so much
with the recorder, but yes, I was nervous.
Q. So you just couldn't think of certain
things real fast, right?
A. Right.
Q. But then it came to you, like it does, and
you came up with Kim, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you state down towards the middle of the page -- the detective asks you, "And she" -meaning Kim -- "relayed some concern to you also?"

25
A. Okay.
Q. So take your time, and if you want l'll
give you a pen and -- well, actually just bend the
page. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Take your time.

MR. MURDOCK: We can go off the record. (Recess.)

\section*{BY MR. MURDOCK:}
Q. Ms. Wolfe, you have now had an opportunity to review your voluntary statement in full, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything at all in the voluntary statement that you believe is wrong?
A. No, but there was a contradiction to something that was said earlier regarding the dates --
Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of when it happened.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. You had said the 14 th to the 15 th, and it was actually the 15 th to the 16 th.
Q. Okay. Is that something you specifically
```

remember, or is it something that --

```
A. Something I read in here.
Q. Something you read in here?
A. Yeah.
Q. So in here it says the 15 th to the 16 th?
A. Yes.
Q. But it in actually it was the 14th to the

\section*{15th?}

MR. BEMIS: I object to form. It
misstates her testimony.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. I'm trying to figure it out. I'm not trying to --
A. No, I believe the dates in here are correct, the 15th and 16th.
Q. Okay.

MS. HALL: I believe she said one of your questions said the 14th and 15th.

MR. MURDOCK: Oh, okay. So my question was wrong.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. But anything in the statement itself, outside of my question?

MR. SILVESTRI: So that would mean it would be 3:00 a.m., or thereabouts, on the 16 th?
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\section*{THE WITNESS: Correct.}

MR. MURDOCK: Okay.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.

\section*{BY MR. MURDOCK:}
Q. So outside of my question being wrong, is
there anything wrong in the statement itself,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything in Plaintiff's Exhibit 1
that you lied about?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything in Plaintiff's Exhibit 1
you weren't being honest and truthful about with the police?
A. No.
Q. Okay. This morning before the deposition was started -- right when the deposition was started actually, you gave an oath.
A. Yes.
Q. And you gave an oath to tell the truth, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that oath mean to you? MR. BEMIS: I object to form. Calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer.

BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. What does it mean to you? MR. MURDOCK: Not to you, John. THE WITNESS: I take it very seriously.
That the information I give needs to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that I'm not to lie about anything.
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. Okay. When you gave the statement to the police, even though you weren't put under oath, is that the same standard you held yourself to?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. MURDOCK: I have nothing further at this time.

However, for the record, no matter
what happens to the rest of this deposition, I'm not closing this deposition.

Because just for the record, I don't believe that Mr. Bemis can act as your counsel in this matter. I believe he's got a hell of a conflict of interest at this point in this case. Whether or not he continues as counsel in the entire case is up for discussion.

That being said, if need be we'll
have to get a court order, and potentially, if
allowed, come back and ask you questions about your conversations with Mr. Bemis.

But that being said, I'll leave it to Mr. Silvestri.

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Ms. Wolfe, my name is Jim Silvestri. I represent American Nursing Services.

Why were you terminated from Centennial Hills?
A. I had brought my daughter into work with me one night. I knew it was very busy that time of year, and I didn't want to call off and leave them in a bad situation.

We had rooms in the back that were not being used, and she was -- you know, old enough that she didn't have to be watched continually.

I just put her in one of those rooms to keep an eye on her throughout the night, instead of leaving her home alone.

And she started getting sicker
throughout the night. One of the doctors had suggested giving her a bag of fluid.

A. Yes.
Q. Anybody else?
A. Karen Evanston.
Q. Anybody else?
A. That's all that I can recall right now.
Q. What are your outside activities? Do you
belong to any groups or organizations?
A. I was very active in my church for awhile.

Not so much right now, but I was very active.
Q. Which church is that?
A. The LDS Church, Mormon.
Q. Were you active with any children or youth groups at that church?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm not in the LDS Church, but in my church I'm involved in some youth groups and we go through some youth training -- youth protection training.

Are you familiar with any type of youth protection training?
A. I am, but I have not had any.
Q. Have you had any youth protection training through any other group?
A. No.
Q. A lot of the questions that you were asked
about whether it's appropriate or not for a male nurse or a male CNA to be in a room of a female patient alone -- a lot of those questions sometimes spoke about the door being closed and the door not being closed.
A. Yes.
Q. I've got a couple questions to ask you about that.

Is it appropriate for a male nurse
or a male CNA to be alone with a female patient with
the curtain closed?
MR. BEMIS: I object to form.
Incomplete hypothetical. Answer, if you know.
THE WITNESS: It would be similar to
the door being closed.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. And is it my understanding that at the ER
at Centennial Hills in or around January through May
of 2008 -- at least in those dates, some of the rooms
had doors, and some had just curtains. Is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. If an alarm goes on because a lead is
detached or is not working properly, with respect to
a patient that has, as you talked about, a 5-lead
monitor on a patient, and that alarm goes off -- do
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1 to?
you know if that alarm registers anywhere, other than on the little machine where the leads are attached

MR. BEMIS: Incomplete hypothetical.
Are you talking about in the ER specifically?
MR. SILVESTRI: Let's start there, with
the ER.
BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Do you know if that's registered anywhere,
like in a patient's chart, or at the nurses' station?
A. At the nurses' station there's usually a central monitor. Not always.
Q. Well, sometimes the alarm might be going off in a patient's room, and if somebody is not right there they're not going to fix it right away. And that's why I want to know, is that alarm registered anywhere else in the ER?

MR. BEMIS: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: The alarm itself? BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Yeah.
A. No.
Q. is there some other notification though that the nurses' station would get that a lead was not attached properly, or was somehow improperly
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working?
A. The alarm doesn't necessarily mean that a lead is not attached properly. It just means there's an arrythmia that needs to be addressed, which could be caused from a lead not being attached properly.
Q. Okay.
A. The alarms are very loud, so you would hear it outside of the room.
Q. Is there any other mechanism though that registers that problem or that issue?
A. Sometimes there's central monitors, that an alarm would go off on that central monitor at the nurses' station as well.
Q. Do you know if that central alarm goes off if it's registered in a patient's chart?
A. Occasionally the monitor will print out a strip of what the rhythm is, and that could be placed into the patient's chart.
Q. And it would print out this arrythmia?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if it's the same system up on the 6th floor of Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. I do not know.

MR. BEMIS: Objection. Foundation.
12

MR. BEMIS: We'll read and sign.
MR. MURDOCK: Very good.
(The deposition concluded at 11:03 a.m.)
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mprangleahoslaw.com
ibemisahnslaw.com
Attorneys for Defendants
Centemial Hills Hospital and
Universal Health Services, Ino.

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{Clark County, nevada}

MISTY PETERSEN, AS SPECLAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF JANEDOE,

\section*{Mantif,}
vs.
VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEMCLC, a Nevada limited liability company, d/b/a CENTENNIAL HLLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER: UNIVERSAI HEALTH SERVICES, INC, a Delaware corporation; AMERICAN NURSING SERVICES, INC., a Louisiana corporations: STEVEN DAIE FARMER, an individual; DOES I through \(X\) inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through \(X\), inclusive,

Defendants.
DEFENDANT VALLEY HEAETHSYSTEM, LLCS RESPONSESTOMLANTHP'S LEVENTHSETOF INTERROGATORUS


COMES NOW, Defendant, VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LLC, by and through theis counsel of record, the law firm of AALL PRANOLE \& SCAOONVELD, LLC, and herety mrovides the following responses to Plaintiff's Wleventh Set of Interrogatories:

\section*{INTERROAGORIES}

\section*{INTERROGATORY NO. \(18:\)}

Please state when you received the LVMPD Statment of Margaret Wolfe.

\section*{RESPONSE NO 18:}

Objection. This Interrogatory seeks information protected by the attomeynclient privilege. Without waiving said Objection, this Answering Defendant has only leamed of the LVMPD Statement of Margaret Wolfe through counsel.

\section*{INTERROGATORY NO. 19.}

Please state when you first became aware that Margaret Wolle had spoken with the LVMPD regarding Steven Farmes.

RESPONSE NO. 19:
See Response No. 18.

\section*{HTERROGATORYNO. 20 :}

Pease identify who transported Jane Doe from the Bmergency Room at Centemial Hills to her room on the 6 th flom on May 13, 2008.

RESPONSE NO. 20 :
Please see CHH00217-222, CHH00212, CHH00197-201.
INTERROGATORY NO. 21 :
Please state exacty where Steven Farmer was assigned to be by Centennial Hills Hospital Trom May 13, 2008 through May 16, 2008.

\section*{RESPONSENO. 21 :}

May 13,2008-Steven Farmer was not on schedule to work at Centemial Hills Hospital
May 14, 2008 - Steven Farmer was scheduled to be in the Emergency Department from 7:00 pim -7:00 am the following moming. At 2130, Nr. Famer was floated to the 6 floor.

May 15, 2008 ... Steyen Fomser was scheduled in the Energency Room at Centennial Hills Hospital from 7:00pm - 7:00 am the following morning.

May 16,2008-Steven Famer was continuing fis May 15,2008, shift untl 7:00 am.

\section*{INTERROGATORY NO. \(22:\)}

Pease staie the exact whereabouts of Steven Farmer at Centennial Hills Hospital from May 13, 2008 through May 16. 2008.

\section*{RESPONSE NO. 22:}

Objection. This hterrogatory is vague as "exact whercabouts," vague, overbrond and undaly burdensome. Without waiving said Objection, please see Response No. 21, and \(\mathrm{CHI} 00322-325\).

DATED this \(12^{\text {th }}\) day of Jme, 2015.

\section*{HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC}

By:
Ss' John Bemis
MICHAELE PRANGLE ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8619
IOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9509
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD LLC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Atorneys for Defendonts
Centmial Hills Hospilal and
Universal Health Services, Inc.

\section*{VERIFICATION}

\section*{STATE OF NEVADA )}

COUNTY OF CLARK )
AMANDA BELL, being first duly swom and upon her oath, deposes and says:
That she is the Risk Analyst at Centennial Mills Hospital Medical Center, a named Defendant in the foregoing District Court action;

That she has read the foregoing Responses to Plaintiff's Eleventh Set of Intertogatories, knows the contents thereof, and the same is true to the best of her knowledge, except as to those maters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, she believes them to bo true. Information for these answers was gathered from various departments and individuals throughout Centemial Hills Hospital. Amanda Bell, Risk Analyst, of Centennial Hills Hospital reviewed same, and is signing these documents in her capacity, based upon information and belief that the information contained herein is accurate.

DATED this \(\} 2\) day of June, 2015.


AMAKDA BELL
RISK ANALYST:
Subscribed and swom to betore me this -


\section*{CERTIFICATE OR SERYICE}

I GEREBY CRRTIFY that 1 am an employee of HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD LLC: that on the \(12^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 205 , I served a true and comed copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT VALEEY HEALTH SYGTEM, LEC'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTFFFS ELEVENTH SET OF INTERROGATORTES via ESGervice on Wiznet pursuant to mandatory NEFCR 4 (b) to the following parties;

Rober E Murdock, Esq. MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Athorneys for Planifff
S. Brent Vogel, Esq.

LEEWIS BRISBOIS BISCAARD \& SMITH
6385 South Rainbow Blvd, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89118
-and-
James P.C. Silvestri, Esq.
PYATT SILVESTRI
701 Bridger Ave., Suite 600
Las Vegas NV 89101
Aluomess for Defendant
American Nurath Services, Ino.

Eckley M. Keach, Esc.
ECKIEY M, KEACH, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Allorieys for Plaintiff
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
CARROL, KELXY, TROTTER, FRANZEN, MCKENNA \& PEABODY 8329 W. Sunset Road, Suite 260
Las Vegas, NV 89113
Atiomeys for Defendont
Sieven Dale Farmer Attomeys for
Defendant
Steven Dale Farmer

Iv: Diand Cox
An employee of HALL PRANOLE \(\&\) SCHOONVELD, LLC



COMES NOW, Defendant, VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LLC, by and through their counsel of record, the law firm of HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC, and hereby provides the following responses to Plaintiff's Tenth Set of Interrogatories:

\section*{INTERROAGORIES}

\section*{INTERROGATORY NO. 17:}

Identify any and all persons present at the meeting between Renato Sumera and Centennial Hills Hospital after Farmer was arrested.

\section*{RESPONSE NO. 17:}

Objection. This Interrogatory is irrelevant. Counsel of record met with Mr. Sumera following Mr. Farmer's arrest. Former Centennial Hills Hospital Risk Manager, Janet Calliham, and her staff provided introduction and left the meeting prior to any substantive discussion.

DATED this \(10^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2015.

By:
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC

MICHAELE:PRANGLE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8619
JOUN F. BEMIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9509
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Attorneys for Defendants
Centennial Hills Hospital and
Universal Health Services, Inc.

\section*{VERIFICATION}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
STATE OF NEVADA & ) ss: \\
COUNTY OF CLARK
\end{tabular}

AMANDA BELL, being first duly sworn and upon her oath, deposes and says:
That she is the Risk Analyst at Valley Health System, LLC d/b/a Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center, a named Defendant in the foregoing District Court action;

That she has read the foregoing Responses to Plaintiff's Tenth Set of Interrogatories, knows the contents thereof, and the same is true to the best of her knowledge, except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, she believes them to be true. Information for these answers was gathered from various departments and individuals throughout Centennial Hills Hospital. Amanda Bell, Risk Analyst, of Centennial Hills Hospital reviewed same, and is signing these documents in her capacity, based upon information and belief that the information contained herein is accurate.

DATED this 10 day of June, 2015.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10 day of June, 2015. Norarande PuBLIC in and for said County of Clark and State of Nevada


\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC; that on the \(10^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2015, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing

DEFENDANT VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LLC'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S
TENTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES via E-Service on Wiznet pursuant to mandatory
NEFCR 4(b) to the following parties:
Robert E. Murdock, Esq. MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
521 South Third Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiff
S. Brent Vogel, Esq.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH
6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89118
-and-
James P.C. Silvestri, Esq.
PYATT SILVESTRI
701 Bridger Ave., Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Defendant
American Nursing Services, Inc.

Eckley M. Keach, Esq.
ECKLEY M. KEACH, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
CARROL, KELLY, TROTTER, FRANZEN, MCKENNA \& PEABODY
8329 W. Sunset Road, Suite 260
Las Vegas, NV 89113
Attorneys for Defendant
Steven Dale Farmer Attorneys for
Defendant
Steven Dale Farmer


4818-4405-5844, v. 1

\section*{TAB 62}

Subject: Cagnina v. ANS/Steve Farmer et al.
Date: Thu, 09 Apr 2009 15:55:03-0700
From: "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
To: roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us
Attachments: cagnina mot compel 20090409154419.pdf
Stacey,
It was a pleasure speaking with you today. Attached is the Motion to Compel Mrs. Cagnina filed against Metro seeking the statements, DNA/Rape kit records, etc. It is set before the Discovery Commissioner on 4/22 at 10:00 a.m. Mrs. Cagnina's depo remains set for 4/28 at 9:00 a.m. at Hall, Prangle\&Schoonveld, 777 N. Rainbow Blvd., \#225. Mr. Cagnina's depo is the same day at 1:00 p.m. I would appreciate copies of any statements, medical records, etc., that you have that may help our investigation. I am happy to share whatever information I can. Thank you.

Yours truly,
S. Brent Vogel

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
400 South Fourth Street
5th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702.693.4320 - Direct
702.893.3383 - Main
702.893.3789-Facsimile
bvogel@lbbslaw.com
www.lbbslaw.com
THIS INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND PROTECTED FROM dISCLOSURE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING IS STRICTLY prohibited. If you thinkthat you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mall THE SENDER AT bvoqel(g)lbbslaw.com.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Brent Vogel [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2009 2:19 PM
To: Jane Everitt
Subject: Steven Farmer
Jane,
This is to follow up on our phone conversation this afternoon and the voice mail message I just left for you. Are you and Stacey available this Wednesday, \(9 / 16\) at \(2: 00\) p.m. to meet with Michele Simmons from American Nursing? She can meet you at my office at that time. Thank you.

Yours truly, S. Brent Vogel, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP 400 South Fourth Street

5th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702.693.4320 - Direct
702.893.3383 - Main
702.893.3789-Facsimile
bvogel@lbbslaw.com
www.lbbslaw.com
THIS INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND PROTECTED FROM
DISCLOSURE. IF YOU ARE NOTTHE INTENDED REQPIENT, ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING IS STRICTLY
PROHIBITED. IF YOUTHINK THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE E-MAIL THE SENDER AT bvogel@lbbslaw.com.
Please consider the environment before printing this \(e\)-mail

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Mon, 14 Sep 2009 14:42:54-0700
From: "Jane Everitt" <everitil@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@1bbslaw.com>
Cc: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Hello Brent,
I spoke with Stacey and Wednesday at 2:00 will work fine for both us. We will meet at your office. Thank you very much for your assistance.

Jane

Subject: Steven Farmer
Date: Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:10:54-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@.co.clark.nv.us>
Cc: "Bob McBride" <bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com>. "Brent Vogel" <bvoqel@lbbslaw.com>

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so I'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.
Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384 .6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 20:16:12-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: '"Dave Ferrainolo'" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2010 8:22 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Re: Steven Farmer
I will try you tomorrow around 3

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 21:32:23-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: "'Dave Ferrainolo'" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Perfect.

Subject: Cagnina (Steven Farmer)
Date: Mon, 1 Feb 2010 23:47:43-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Attachments: Pep Notice. Roxanne Caqnina.004.doc: Pep Notice. Scott Caqnina.004.doc
Stacey:
Here are the dep notices for Roxxanne and Scott. They are set for the 10th starting at 9 am .
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipientor an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.
Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.
So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and

CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?
Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384 .6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying ofthis message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\section*{Subject: RE: Cagnina}

Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:48:16-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. =)

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:49 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Perhaps, although the rules in Florida will be no work after 6 pm.

\section*{Subject: RE: Cagnina}

Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:50:44-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dfeirainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Even better!

Subject: Steven Farmer - Cagnina matter
Date: Wed, 28 Apr 2010 17:22:24-0500
From: "John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us
Cc: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Attachments: Pltfs Odd to Centennial Hills' Mtn to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time 04-27-10.pdf

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

As you know, the Steven Farmer criminal trial was recently continued. Based upon a protective order we have in the civil case against Centennial Hills, we have been unable to conduct any discovery regarding the criminal investigation. As such, we moved to have our trial continued (scheduled for August 2, 2010) Plaintiffs counsel has opposed this motion and seeks a countermotion to open discovery in the criminal matter. As we have no standing to bring up the due process violations this would cause, I wanted you to be aware of this issue. Additionally, I wanted to make sure you knew that the hearing is in chambers.

Thank you for your time. Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us at 889-6400.

Sincerely,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipientor an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 9:03 AM
To: bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com; Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Cagnina
Bob and Stacy:
I am attaching Plaintiffs counter-motion to open discovery in Mr. Farmer's criminal case. It is being heard in front of the Discovery Commissioner this Friday at 9:30 a.m.

We moved the hearing from Walsh to Bonnie as Dave had a conversation with Bonnie for another issue and she intimated she would kick the trial and not allow the discovery to be opened. I wanted you to be aware of this, especially in light of the recent Doe hearing where Bonnie would not allow Murdoch to conduct discovery until the criminal trial is over.

Call me with any questions
Thanks,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 12:37 PM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Thanks. Haven't connected (telephonically) with the DNA expert yet! I confess that because I begin trial next week, I've been scarce around here. Will let you know when I do.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 16:27:33-0500
From: "John Bemis" <JBemis(5)HPSLaw.com>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Stacey:
Have you had an opportunity to discuss this case with your expert?
Our civil trial was continued today, and will be contingent on the criminal trial.
Thanks for your time,
John

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Sun, 23 May 2010 15:23:26-0700
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountvNV.gov>
To: '"John Bemis"' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
I haven't yet. We keep playing phone tag, and I am in trial now. I promise after the trial (a week long event) I will call him and then you. Sorry to keep you hanging. Judge hasn't decided what to do about severance yet. A representative from Hyman's firm always shows up when we argue motions though.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2010 5:35 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis; Mike Prangle
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Stacey:
I hope all is well with you. Plaintiff's counsel in our Civil Case, Neal Hyman tells us that the prosecution won their motion to consolidate all cases against Farmer. Can you tell us if that is true. Mr. Hyman also says that the prosecutor is confident that decision will force a plea from Mr. Farmer. I certainly understand that you cannot reveal any of your conversations with Mr. Farmer or your strategy, but any info you can give us would be appreciated.

Thanks. Dave

\section*{Subject: RE: Steven Farmer}

Date: Mon, 12 Jul 2010 10:15:48-0700
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: "'Dave Ferrainolo"' <dferrainolo@HPSI_AW.COM>
It is partially true that the State won motion to consolidate. All cases will be tried together except the Frances Farmer (Steven's gal pal at Rawson Neal). Frankly, Co-counsel and I have always felt it would be difficult to show the weakness of the other (non-Cagnina) allegations w/out reference to the fact that the others were working under the impression that a dangerous "rapist" was in their midst. (The media stories, the police interrogations of patients of Farmer...) So, while it will definitely be difficult to win a case involving 5 accusers, it will allow us to show the jury how Cagnina's story snow-balled as well. Please don't tell anyone this, but I don't know if Farmer will ever plead to anything. I can't blame him and don't. That being said, even before the State won the motion to consolidate (actually we lost motion to sever) the DA was talking an offer of a non-life sentence for Farmer. Not sure what he was considering. We offered DA two Gross Misdo's b/c he would get time served. He refused that. Other than that, maybe they'll offer
him a 2 to 20 or two 2 to 20 's. Farmer will NOT accept that. If they come to a Coercion, then we may have to push him to accept that. It's a 1 to 5 .

\section*{Subject: Steven Farmer}

Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 16:40:07-0500
From: John Bemis <JBemis(5)HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>

\section*{Hi Jeff,}

Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV89107
Phone: (702)889-6400
Fax: (702)384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipientor an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or returne-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 07:50:57-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningjs@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

\section*{Hi John:}

Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty.
That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.
JSM

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 10:03 AM

To: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Thanks Jeff,
I appreciate the update. Currently, is it looking like the November trial setting is a go?
Have a nice day,
John

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 10:20:49-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maninois@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
well, so far it's a go, however, we are still waiting for the DNA to be retested. That was at the DA's request and was recently litigated. So depending on timing and results, and possible need for our own expert to review the results... but I'll keep you updated when I know for sure.
have a good weekend
JSM

Subject: Steven Farmer matter
Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 19:29:00-0500
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Hi Jeff,
I see that Mr. Farmer's criminal trial is continued until March of 2013. Can you give me any update?

Thanks,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV89107
Phone: (702)889-6400
Fax: (702)384-6025

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2012 18:54:14-0500
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Hi Jeff,
I hope all is well. I wanted to follow up on this matter with the new criminal trial date. Do we know whether the retesting of the DNA has been completed? do we know any results?
Is there anything going on in this matter or is it just waiting for trial?
Please let me know if there is anything we can help you with.
Thanks and have a nice evening,
John

\section*{Suibject: RE: Steven Farmer}

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2012 07:58:56-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.gov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

\section*{Hi John:}

No results yet, testing still not done. Still trying to find a common ground between Steve and DA for negotiations, so might need your help there once we find a reasonable deal. Otherwise just waiting on trial date. I'm sure myself and Jane (investigator) will be contacting you soon for clarification on some of this discovery we have.

Thanks for all your help and interest. I will keep you updated.

Jeff

From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.ne〉>
Date: January 16,2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis(g>,ClarkCountvNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall <Heather(a).memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

\author{
Bob \\ Robert C. McBride, Esq. \\ Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride \\ 2012 Hamilton Lane \\ Las Vegas, NV 89106 \\ 702-367-1234(0) \\ 702-367-1978(f) \\ 702-285-7315 (c) \\ bob@memlaw.net
}

The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure bylaw. If you are Not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule"and the "HIPAA Security Rule"and TitleXIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob - Jeffs in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.
Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here-whatever is easiest for you and everyone else. Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

\author{
Amy A. Feliciano \\ Deputy Public Defender \\ Clark County Public Defender's Office \\ (702) 455-5733 (direct) \\ (702) 366-9370 (fax)
}

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:06:00-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@lmemlaw.net>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
c. Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. "JBemis@HPSLaw.com"
<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. "bvoael@1bbslaw.com" <bvoqel@lbbslaw.com>
Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that Iam sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:09:09-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa(5)ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'Bob McBride" <bob@memlaw.net>
r.Jeffrey Maninao <maninais@ClarkCountvNV.QOV>. "JBemis@HPSLaw.com"
c: <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. "bvoaekailbbslaw.com" <bvoael(3)lbbslaw.com>
Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either9 or 10 works for us what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone.
I really appreciate the help!

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:10:54-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
c Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov> "JBemis@HPSLaw.com"
<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. "bvoael@lbbslaw.com" <bvoqel@lbbslaw.com>
Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? Is that good for you Brent and John?
Bob

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Bob McBride; Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?
Thanks,
John

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:21:52-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@.HPSLaw.com>. Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maninais(3>ClarkCountvNV.qov>. "bvoaek3llbbslaw.com" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>

10 is perfect for us. We are missing almost all of the civil filings - the Responses to RFPDs, Answers to Interrogatories (if any), depos (we only have a few transcripts), etc. My secretary can access some docs on Odyssey and is working on getting what's been filed for me. Let's talk on Monday and I'll let you know what depos we have and what we don't and we can talk about if you have other things we don't have (and vice versa)
Also, we don't have Cagnina's records from when she was Legal 2000'd, her Monte Vista records, the records from when she OD'd and was in a coma, and the records from Las Vegas Recovery Center. If you have those, that would shortcut so much for us.
Thank you so much, everyone.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:23:33-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com> c Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.gov>. "bvoael@lbbslaw.com"
<bvoqel@1bbslaw.com>
Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>. Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>
Ok. See everyone here. We will gather what we have for you.
Bob

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:25:10-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>. 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com> c. Jeffrey Maninao <maninais(S>ClarkCountvNV.qov>. "bvoael@lbbslaw.com" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>.
Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>. Heather Hall <Heather(5)memlaw.net>
Thank you so much. See you on Monday.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Thu, 17 Jan 2013 00:23:30 +0000
From: "Vogel, Brent" <bvoqel(5).lbbslaw.com>
John Bemis'<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>. Amy Feliciano
<iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
c. Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.gov>. "Brookhyser, Amanda"
<abrookhvser@1bbslaw.com>. "Etienne, Nicole" <netienne@lbpslaw.com>
10:00 works for me.
Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \&SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Main: (702) 893.3383|Direct: (702) 693.4320|Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvoael@lbbslaw.conn http://www.lbbslaw.com

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:08 PM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help.
I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI... the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.
My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me any time.
Bob -1 hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John -1 know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you. Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you. Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thanks Amy,
Iam putting all the depositions on a disk and getting the video depo of Scott copied for you. I will also be putting all the divorce files on a disk, including the settlement information. I am working with our nurses (in house) to get expert names for you. I am in mediation all day, so I won't be able to get thtat to you until tomorrow.
I would appreciate the Peterson, Cagnina and Francis Rose police files.
I am going to be going through my record summaries and get everything I have to you.
Thanks, and don't hesitate to ask if you need anything.
Have a great day,
John

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 11:18:58-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa(5?ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox(5).HPSLaw.com>
You are the best. Thanks so much.
I'll get together the police files for those cases. Do you have their voluntary statements to the police or do you
need those too?

From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Amy Feliciano; Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thank you!
I like to have all the police reports please.
Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
BRISBOIS LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \&SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Main: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 |Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvoael@lbbslaw.com http://www.Ibbslaw.com

\section*{Subject: RE: Farmer}

Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 11:27:58-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To "Vogel, Brent"' <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>. Heather Hall <Heather(@memlaw.net>. 'Bob McBride<bob@memlaw.net>. 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis(5>ClarkCountvNV.ciov>
Will do. Same cases? And do you have the voluntary statements to police or do you need them?

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:35 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
We don't have any police information

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan-2013 11:40:17-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>
I'll get you the voluntary statements too.

Subject: Re: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 14:47:03-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
"Vogel, Brent" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>. Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>. Bob McBride
Cc: <bob@memlaw.net>. John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com> Jeffrey Maningo
<maninais@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Can I have all of those as well please. Thanks
Bob
Sent from my iPhone 6.

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 14:49:50-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'Bob McBride' < bob@memlaw.net>
Cc "Vogel, Brent" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>. Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>. John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>

Will do.

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 3:23 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Heather Hall; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis; Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Re: Farmer
Thanks Amy. I will be back to the office most likely on Friday and I will try to get a hold of you then or next week. Let us know if you need anything further.

\section*{B.}

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net

\section*{Subject: RE: Farmer}

Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 15:25:37-0800
From: Amy Feliciano<iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>
c Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>. "bvoqeKgilbbslaw.com" <bvoqel@1bbslaw.com>.
John Bemis
<JBemis@HPSL.aw.com>. Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Thanks so much. I really appreciate it. I'll touch base with you next week.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 2:58 PM
To: Bob McBride; Heather Hall; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: Farmer criminal docs
Hi All - the Farmer criminal docs and audio files are ready and on a disc. They will go out in the mail tomorrow, and you should have them by Monday. If you would like to send a runner over to our office to pick them up so you can have them faster, please let me know. Thanks.

Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer criminal docs
Date: Thu, 31 Jan 2013 15:11:02-0800
From: Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
T. 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>. John Bemis
<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. "bvoqel@lbbslaw.com" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>
That works for us. Thanks for doing this!

From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 11:31 AM
To: AmyFeliciano; Amy Feliciano
Cc: bob@memlaw.net; John Bemis (JBemis@HPSLaw.com)
Subject: Steven Farmer adv. State of NV
Importance: High
Amy,
We received this Opposition to the Motion to Continue Trial filed by Ms. Cagnina s counsel. I
wasn't sure if it was provided to you.
Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \&SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Main: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 |Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvoQel@lbbslaw.com http://www.lbbslaw.com

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer adv. State of NV
Date: Fri, 22 Feb 2013 12:53:44-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: "Vogel, Brent" <bvoqel@lbbslaw.com>
"bob@memlaw.net" <bob@memlaw.net>. "John Bemis (JBemis@HPSLaw.comV
Cc: <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Thanks so much. We haven't seen it yet, but I believe it's on it's way to us. Thanks for sending.

From: Crystal L.Marven rmailto:marven@morrissullivanlaw.coml
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw.net: jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte
Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
7P/D3010/2009174 12:58:
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Dear Counsel:
Attached please find the Report and Recommendation Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorneys Office on an OST for your review and approval.
Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.
Thank you,
Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
<image001.jpg>
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
California Office:
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511 . Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible rmailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM1
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.Marven; Heather@memlaw.net: ibemis@HPSLaw.com:
barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis,
I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L King Blvd., LV 89106. I understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to
advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862.
Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records?
Thank you,
Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

\author{
From: Crystal L. Marven \\ Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM \\ To: 'Charlotte Bible' \\ Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'ibemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman; \\ 'Heather@memlaw.net' \\ Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.
Thank you,
Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
<image001.jpg>

Nevada Office:
2441W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511 . Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com1
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors.
We are revising the \(\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{R}\) and will re-circulate for signature.
Neal
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234

\section*{California Office:}

9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600

From: Charlotte Bible rmailto:C9479B@LVMPP.COM1
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven
Cc. Barter Pace; ibemis@HPSLaw.com: Heather@memlaw.net

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Okay. I will watch for it.
Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Neal K. Hyman rmailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com1
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Charlotte Bible; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; ibemis(g)HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Counsel:

We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.
Regarding Mr. Farmer's videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PD's and DA's Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon. If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hvman@moiTissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure bylaw as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopieror other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which maybe available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature thatmay be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

Subject: Fwd: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:24:42-0700
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: "Neal K. Hyman" <hvman(3>morrissullivanlaw.com>
John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. BrentVogel <bvoqel@1bbslaw.com>. Heather Hall<Heather@memlaw.net>. Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>

Neal,
While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.
I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob
```

Robert C. McBride, Esq. Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 ( $f$ )
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorneyclient privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified thal any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you havereceived this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail.
Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

```

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:29:48-0700
From: "Neal K. Hyman" <hvman@morrissullivanlaw.com>
To: Bob McBride bob@memlaw.net John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Amy Feliciano
<iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.Qov>. Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maninqis@>ClarkCountvNV.aov>. Brent Vogel <bvoaek5).lbbslaw.com>.
Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>. Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>
Bob,
Again with the snotty attitude? Are you available or not? If not please provide alternate dates.

\section*{Thank you.}

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
California Office:
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
Telecopier: (858) 566-6602
hvman@moiTissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by
anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure bylaw as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopieror other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which maybe available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature thatmay be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:44:06-0700
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: "'Neal K. Hyman"' hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa(a)ClarkCountvNV.qov>. Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maninQis@ClarkCountvNV.QOV>. Brent Vogel <bvoqeka>lbbslaw.com>.
Heather Hall<Heather@.memlaw.net>. Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>
Neal,
Really, Neal? Forgive me for pointing out the obvious to you in my last email. I just don't understand why it has been so hard for you to simply abide by common professional courtesy and at least include my office (as well as Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel) in your plan to schedule the deposition of our client. Especially given the last experience where you failed to serve our office with a courtesy copy of the Motion to Compel Mr. Farmer's deposition last year.
Ms. Hall and I are are set to start a trial in front of Judge Cory on May 20.1 will check with the court and opposing counsel in that case to see if they anticipate the trial date continuing into the next week. I will also consult with Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel on their availability and I will let you know. Thanks for your prompt inquiry.
Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq. Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney client privileged or protecled from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

Subject: Re: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:54:17-0700
From: "Neal K. Hyman" <hvman@morrissullivanlaw.com>
To: Bob McBride bob@memlaw.net John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Amy Feliciano
<iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.gov>. Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maninqis(a>ClarkCountvNV.qov>. Brent Vogel <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>. Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>. Allie Hanson <Allie(5>memlaw.net>.
<caanina@morrissullivanlaw.com>

Ok, sounds good. Please note that our firm discovery cut-off is May 31,2013, and Mr. Bemis may be unavailable the week of May 20, and he has two depositions in this case set for May 1617. Please let me know this week so we can notice it when everyone can attend.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
MORRIS, SULLIVAN
\& LEMKUL LLP
NEVADA OFFICE
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
702 939-5234
702939-5235 (fax)
hvman@jnorrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
CALIFORNIA OFFICE
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92131
858 566-7600
858 566-6602 (fax)
Sent from my iPad

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Wed, 8 May 2013 08:21:57-0700
From: Bob McBride < bob@memlaw.net>
To: "'Neal K. Hyman"' hvman@morrissullivanlaw.com John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Amy Feliciano<iohnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.gov>. Jeffrey Maningo <maninais@ClarkCountvNV.gov>.
Cc: Brent Vogel <bvogel(5>Jbbslaw.com>. Heather Hall <Heathen@memlaw.net>. Allie Hanson<Allie@memlaw.net>.caqnina@morrissullivanlaw.com
<caqnina@morrissullivanlaw.com>
Mr. Hyman,
Please be advised that the May 30 date for Steven's deposition will work, as my trial should be over by \(5 / 27\) at the latest. Please provide me with a copy of the Notice of Deposition at your convenience. Thanks.

\section*{Bob}

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)

\section*{bob@memlaw.net}

The information contained inthis e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected
from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as 'the FITECH Act".)

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. rmailto:lasveqasiustice@aol.com1
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 11:06 AM
To: bvoqel@lbbslaw.com: abrookhvser@lbbslaw.com; kwebster@hpslaw.com;
ibemis@hpslaw.com; Bob McBride
Cc: keachmurdock@qmail.com
Subject; Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Be advised that \(I\) am in the process of setting the deposition (and serving subpoenas in New Orleans) of Connie Brown and Johnette Spellman. Once they are served, i will not be willing to change the deposition date because I am going to stick by the served subpoena so there won't be any issues. So, if they can't make it that day, or your schedules change and you can't make it, I am letting you know in advance that we won't be making any changes.
This being said, if there are any dates in the next 30-45 days where you absolutely cannot make it, let me know by Monday. Similarly, if Ms. Brown and Ms. Spellman wish to have input, I will do so, but I will ask that they allow you to simply accept the subpoena and that they agree to be bound by that subpoena.
Thank you.
Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell

From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: 'Robert E. Murdock, Esq.' <lasveqasiustice@aol.com>: bvogel <bvoqel@lbbslaw.com>: abrookhyser
<abrookhvser@lbbslaw.com>; kwebster <kwebster@hpslaw.com>; jbemis
<ibemis@hpslaw.com>
Cc: keachmurdock <keachmurdock@qmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 23, 2013 9:42 am
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer

\section*{Rob,}

Given the recent passing of Ms. Peterson, and Mr. Vogel's Suggestion of Death recently filed, can you advise me of your plan to either Amend the Complaint or refile a Wrongful Death

Action (if that is the plan) on behalf of Ms. Peterson's heirs? I am assuming that since nothing has been filed as of yet, that the deposition of Steven that you had noticed to take place this Wednesday, \(9 / 25\), will not be proceeding on that date. Please let me know so we can make arrangements for another date for Steven's deposition after the pleadings have been cleared up. Thanks.

\section*{Bob}

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained inthis e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: John Bemis rmailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com1
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Robert E. Murdock, Esq.; Bob McBride; bvoqel@lbbslaw.com: abrookhvser@lbbslaw.com:

\section*{Ken Webster}

Cc: keachmurdock@amail.com
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
It is my understanding that there is nojurisdiction over the deceased. As such, the action is suspended until substitution occurs or the deadline for substitution expires. Walker v. Burkham \(68 \mathrm{Nev} 250,229\) P.2d 158. Substitution of the parties is essential for the action to go forward. Until substitution is ordered, no party can move in the case.

From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw. net>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. <lasveaasiustice@aol.com>: bvogel <bvoael@1bbslaw.com>: abrookhyser <abrookhvser@Ibbslaw.com>; Ken Webster <kwebster@HPSLAW.COM> Cc: keachmurdock <keachmurdock@qmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 23, 2013 10:36 am
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
This would seem to provide additional reason to continue Mr. Farmer's deposition until these issues have been resolved.
Bob

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. [mailto:lasvegasjustice@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 11:27 AM
To: Bob McBride; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com; kwebster@HPSLAW.COM
Cc: keachmurdock@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
I have reviewed the case that John provided and I disagree with his read of the case. The case involved a judgment and not discovery. What would happen, for example, if the party would die and a discovery date was pending? Under John's premise, the date would simply be "tolled". To me, this doesn't make much sense. Most important, the case does not stand for that proposition. Moreover, a motion for protective order is needed and I have not seen one yet.
The issue regarding criminal counsel is simply not one that I care about. If Bob was not in the case, then, I think the individual should have some counsel at the deposition, or at least be advised that they should have counsel. However, there certainly is no requirement that Farmer have both criminal and civil counsel present.
However, rather than arguing about this bit of flotsam, I will continue the depos until such time as the Substitution is entered. I will be filing it this week on an OST.
But, let me caution all of you. Once the substitution is entered, I will set the depositions immediately. There will be no more delays in this case. There will be no more discovery continuances without a protective order. Whether farmer has counsel or not, whether ANS has correct 30 (b)(6) witnesses or not, whether UHS personnel are involved in SEC meetings or not, is all meaningless at this point. Our case will take precedent unless a Protective Order is issued.
All parties could simply move forward with these depositions this week. But, you want another delay. So be it. But, there will be no more delays.
```

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada }8910
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell

```

Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2013 11:43:34-0700
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
'"Robert E. Murdock, Esq.'" <lasveaasiustice@aol.com>. "JBemis@HPSLaw.com" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. "bvoael@lbbslaw.com" <bvoael@lbbslaw.com>. To: "abrookhvser@lbbslaw.com" <abrookhvser@lbbslaw.com>, "kwebster@HPSLAW.COM"
<kwebster@HPSLAW.COM>
"keachmurdock@amail.com" <keachmurdock@qmail.com>. "Jeffrey Maningo Cc: fmaninais@ClarkCountvNV.gov)" <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. Allie Hanson <jAllie@memlaw.net>. Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>

Rob,
Thanks for your email. While I understand with your different interpretation of the case cited by John, I, on the other hand (and not surprisingly to you, I am sure) agree with Mr. Bemis' interpretation of the case. However, I appreciate your agreement to continue the deposition of Steven under the circumstances. And while I know you don't care about the participation of Mr . Farmer's criminal counsel at his deposition, I would simply ask, as a professional courtesy, that you provide me some dates that you would like to take Steven's deposition in the near future so that I can coordinate not only my availability to attend, but also, at least one of his criminal counsel. My calendar has been filling up with dates for depositions in other matters, where discovery dates are closing, and I need to be able to clear a date to attend. As you are well aware, I have, at all times, provided you with professional courtesy in agreeing to forego unilaterally noticing your former client, Ms. Peterson's deposition, as well as her children, when there was no requirement for me to do so, and all I would ask is that you allow me the same professional courtesy in return. I appreciate this courtesy in advance. Thank you very much.

\section*{Bob}

\section*{Robert C. McBride, Esq.}

Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234(0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)

\section*{bob@memlaw.net}

The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic Communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164. the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. fmailto:lasveqasiustice@aol.com1
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 11:51 AM
To: bob@memlaw.net: bvoqel@lbbslaw.com: abrookhvser@1bbslaw.com: Ken Webster; John Bemis
Cc: keachmurdock@qmail.com
Subject: Re: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Bob,
The deposition is going forward. As you know, Rule 25 provides for 90 days for the filing from the date of the Suggestion of Death. An amendment per Rule 25 will be filed within the time
frame. There is no "stay" of discovery within those 90 days per any Rule that I know of. As you know, a wrongful death case is a different animal and the children have two years from the date of the death to file a lawsuit.
Regardless, I will be taking Mr. Farmer's deposition on Wednesday just as I will be taking the \(30(b)(6)\) tmrw of ANS and other depositions in the next short while.
I look forward to seeing you.
Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell

Subject: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:07:39-0800
From: Jane Everitt <everitil@ClarkCountvNV.qov>.
To: "'ibemis@hpslaw.com'" <ibemis@hpslaw.com>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. "Ryan J. Bashor"
<bashorri@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Good morning Mr. Bemis,
As you probably know we are preparing for the Farmer trial, which begins on February 3. Jeff Maningo and Ryan Basher are the attorney's that are defending Mr. Farmer.
We recently discovered that Marcia Peterson killed herself. Ryan has asked me to get in contact with you regarding Peterson and her death. We are asking if you could share with us any information you have on her mental health prior to her suicide and of her death. Also, if you have any documents (medical records, etc.) that pertain to her mental health and suicide. Any of this would be very helpful to us.
Please feel free to call myself, Ryan or Jeff at anytime. My office number is 455-0586, Ryan's is 455-0086 and Jeffs is 455-4228.
Thank you in advance,
Jane Everitt
Investigator
Clark County Public Defender

Subject: Re: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:13:00-0800
From: John Bemis < JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jane Everitt <everitil@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>. "Ryan J. Bashor"
<bashorri@ClarkCountvNV.oov>

I don't have any medical records regarding suicide but all obits and paperwork mention her ongoing seizures
I will call you when I'm done with deposition or in morning tomorrow
Sent from my iPad

Subject: RE: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:23:26-0800
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>. Jane Everitt <everitil@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Cc: "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorri@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Hi John. I think we just need confirmation that it was suicide. Not worried about the seizures.
Thanks
JSM

Subject: RE: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:25:38-0800
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maninqis@ClarkCountvNV.qov> Jane Everitt
<everitil@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Cc: "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorri@ClarkCountvNV.qov>
Definitely a suicide
Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S 4 , an AT\&T 4G \(1 /\) TE smartphonc

\section*{TAB 63}

\section*{Offeeof the Puble Defender}
 (1002) 4554685 : \(7702456512:\)
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\section*{TAB 64}

NEO
WILL A. LEMKUL, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 006715
NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 005998
MORRIS, SULLIVAN \& LEMKUL LLP
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Facsimile: (702) 939-5235
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

ROXANNE CAGNINA, an individual, Plaintiff,
) NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER RE: DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS
vs.
CASE NO.: A570756
DEPT.NO.: XXVII
VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM LLC dba Centennial Hills Hospital Medical Center; a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

PLEASE TAKENOTICE that on May 3, 2013, the Court entered an Order adopting the findings of the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations which was signed on May 3, 2013. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

DATED this \(6^{\text {th }}\) day of May, 2013.

MORRİS, SULLIVAN \& LEMKUL
/s/ Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
By:
WILLA. LEMKUL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006715 NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ
Nevada Bar No. 005998
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Suite 120 Henderson, NV 89052 Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF E-SERVICE AND E-FILING}

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b) I certify on this \(6^{\text {th }}\) day of October, 2013, I e-filed and served the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER RE: DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS on all parties to this action by way of e-service and e-filing through Wiznet.com to the following recipients on the E-Service Master List fo Case:

Hall Prangle \& Schoonveld
Contact Email
Diana Cox dcox@hpslaw.com.
John F. Bemis, Esq. jbemis@hpslaw.com
Morris Sullivan \& Lemkul
Contact Email
Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
Neal K. Hyman, Esq. hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
/s/Crystal L. Marven
By:
An employee of Morris, Sullivan \& Lemkul LLP

John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Fax (702) 384-6025
Attorneys for Valley Health Systern, LLC

Barter Pace, Esq. Jaclyn Booth, Esq.
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
200 E. Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Fax: (702) 477-2958
Attorneys for the State of Nevada
Charlotte M. Bible
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT.
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Fax: (702) 828-3191
Attorney for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILLE AND MAILING}

I do hereby certify that on May 6, 2013, I served a copy of the following document(s):
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER RE: DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATIONS by e-mail and enclosing the same in a sealed envelope upon which
first-class postage was fully prepaid addressed to the following:

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
MANDELBAUM, ELLERTON \&
McBRIDE
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Fax: (702) 367-1978
Civil Attorney for Steven Farmer
(Dismissed Party)
Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Case No. A570756
Philip J. Kohn, Esq
PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
309 S. Third Street, \#226
Las Vegas, NV 89155-2610
Fax: (702) 380-3029
Criminal Attorney for Steven Farmer

\section*{EXHIBIT 1}

\section*{ORIGINAL}

DCRR
WILL LEMKUL, ESQ.; NV Bar No. 6715
NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ.; NV Bar No. 5998
MORRIS, SULLIVAN \& LEMKUL LLP
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 120
Las Vegas, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Roxanne Cagnina

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
ROXANNE CAGNINA, an individual,
Plaintiff,
vs.
Case No.: A570756
Dept. No.: XXVII
I.

\section*{FINDINGS}

After full briefing and oral argument on Apri1 3, 2013, Plaintiff's and Valley Health System, LLC's ("Valley Health) Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and the Clark County District Attorney's Office on an Order Shortening Time is GRANTED.

\section*{II.}

\section*{RECOMMIENDATIONS}

\section*{IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED AND ORDERED:}
1. Plaintiff's and Valley Health's Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and the Clark County District Aftorney's Office on an Order Shortening Time is GRANTED; and the stay of discovery related to the criminal action involving Steven Farmer entitled State v. Farmer, Case No. C245739 ("Criminal Action") is lifted, and counsel must proceed with the civil case;
2. All discovery concerning the Criminal. Action is subject to the Protective Order previously entered on September 17, 2009, which remains in full force and effect; all Las Vegas Metrópolitan Police Department depositions and transcripts; and Mr. Farmer's deposition and transcript, must be kept under seal; and all documents relating to the Criminal Action must be kept as confidential;
3. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department will promptly produce its entire criminal file in the Criminal Action to Plaintiff and Valley Health; and
4. Mr. Farmer's deposition will proceed in this civil case and Ms. Hall will defend him; Ms. Hall must be noticed of Mr. Farmer's deposition; Mr. Farmer cannot assert Fifth Amendment rights in certain portions of his deposition, however, some questions must be answered, or Mr. Farmer will be subject to the consequences; all counsel must review the Fifth Amendment.

\section*{IT IS FURTHER HEREBY RECOMMENDED AND ORDERED:}

Mr. Hyman will prepare the report and recommendations, and all counsel of record and with an interest in this matter will approve as to form and content.

DATED this \(\qquad\) day of April 2013.

Respectfully submitted by MORRIS, SULLLIVAN \& LEMKUL LLP

By:
WILI A, LEMKUL, ESQ.


State Bar No. 006715
NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ.
State Bar No. 005998
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Attorneys for Plaintiff Roxanne Cagnina

Approved as to form and content:
CLARK COUNTYD.A.'S OFFICE

By:
BARTER PACE, Chief Dep. D.A.
State Bar No. 004353
200 E. Lewis
Las Vegas, NV 89101.
Attomeys for Non-Party State of Nevada
Approved as to form and content:
DOUGLAS C. GILIESPIE, SHERIFF
Approved as to form and content:
MANDELBAUM, SCHWARZ, ELLERTON \& McBRIDE

\section*{By:}

ROBERT C. McBRDE, ESQ.
State Bar No. 007082
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Civil Attorneys for Non-Party Steven Dale
Farmer

By:
CHARIOTTE M. BIBLE, Assistant General Counsel
State Bar No. 002751
400 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Attorneys for Non-Party Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.
IT IS FURTHER HEREBY RECOMMENDED AND ORDERED:
Mr. Hyman will prepare the report and recommendations, and all counsel of record and with an interest in this matter will approve as to form and content.
DATED this \(\qquad\) day of April 2013.

Respectfully submitted by
MORRIS, SULLIVAN \& LEMKUL LLP

By:
WLILA. LEMKUL, ESQ.
State Bar No. 006715
NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ.
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State Bar No. 005998
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Attorneys for Plaintiff Roxanne Cagnina

Approved as to form and content:
CLARK COUNTYD.A.'S OFFICE

By,
BARTER PACE, Chief Dep. D.A.
State Bar No. 004353
200 E. Lewis
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Non-Party State of Nevada
Approved as to form and content:
DOUGLAS C. GILLESPIE, SHERIFF

By:
CHARLOTTB M. BIBLE, Assistant General Counsel
State Bar No. 002751
400 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Attorneys for Non-Party Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.



\section*{NOTICE}

Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(d)(2), you are hereby notified you have five (5) days from the date you receive this document within which to file written objections.

Pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.34(f) an objection must be filed and served no more than five (5) days after receipt of the Discovery Commissioner's Report. The Commissioner's Report is deemed received when signed and dated by a party, his attomey or his attorney's employee, or three (3) days after mailing to a party or his attomey, or three (3) days after the clerk of the court deposits a copy of the Report in a folder of the party's lawyer in the Clerk's office. See E.D.C.R. 2.34(f).

A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:
Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant at the following address on the \(\qquad\) day of , 2013.
\(\qquad\) Flaced in the folder of Plaintiff's/Defendant's counsel in the Clerk's office of the
\(\qquad\) 2013.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC \\
\hline 2 & Case No. A570756 \\
\hline 3 & ORDER \\
\hline 4 & The Court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared by the \\
\hline 5 & Discovery Commissioner and, \\
\hline 6 & The parties having waived the right to object thereto, \\
\hline 7 & No timely objection having been received in the office of the Discovery \\
\hline 8 & Commissioner pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.34(f), \\
\hline 9 & Having received the objections thereto and the written arguments in support of said \\
\hline 1.0 & objections, and good cause appearing, \\
\hline 11 & AND \\
\hline 12 & \(\qquad\) IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and \\
\hline 13 & Recommendations are affirmed and adopted. \\
\hline 14 & IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and \\
\hline 15 & Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following matter. \\
\hline 16 & (attached hereto) \\
\hline 17 & IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's Report \\
\hline 18 & is set for \(\qquad\) , 2013, at \(\qquad\) a.m./p.m. \\
\hline 19 & DATED this 3
\(\qquad\) day of \(\qquad\) May 2013. \\
\hline 20 & sancy batilx \\
\hline 21 & DISTRICT JUDGE \\
\hline 22 & \\
\hline 23 & \\
\hline 24. & \\
\hline 25 & \\
\hline 26 & \\
\hline 27 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TAB 65}

SPECIFIC CRIME：SEXUAL ASSALT：OPENAND GROSSLEWDNESS DATE OCCURRED：

TIME OCCURRED：
LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE：
CLARK COUNTY

NAME OF PERSON GIN STATEMENT：MURRAY CHRISTINE


BEST PLACE TO
CONTACT：
BEST TIAETO CONTACT：

The following is the transcription of a lape－recorded interview conducted by Detective M．
Saunders，P昔 6076，LVMPD Sexual Assault Detail，on 06／13／2008 at 0635 hours．
Q．Good morning，Operator，this is Detective M．Saunders，S－A－U－N－D－E－R－S．A conducting one taped interview reference event number 080516－1021．This interviews taking place al 6900 North Durango Las Vegas，Nevada 89149. Centennial Hilts Hospital, sixth floor, um, nurse, nurses supervisors room. A it is approximately 0635 hours on the thirteenth of June, 2008. Present for this interview um, last name of Murray, M-U-R-R-A-Y, first name of Christine, C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-E. Date of birth of 04-0 - or, 04/20/1950, a address of 3350 North Durango Drive \#1, 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129. She has a contact phone number of 734-a 624-2755. Is that information true and correct?
A. Um-hum, yes it is.
Q. Okay. And is it alright If I call you Christine or -
A. Chris is fine.
Q. Chris is fine. okay.
A. Um-hum.
Q. Um, Chris, I=m here to speak to you aboul an ongoing investigation that I have um, a reference a CNA that a was artested out of this hospital. Are, are you familiar with what lom talking about?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. And do you know the name of that individual?
A. Yes.
Q. That CNA?
A. Steve Farmers.

\title{
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EVENT \#:080586-1021
STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, CHRNSTME
Q. Okay. And I wanna direcl your attention back to the sixteenth of May, a 2008. Were you working that night?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. In which capacily were you working?
A. Registered nurse.
Q. Okay.
A. On the seventh floof.
Q. On the seventh floor. And that, that particular morning did you have a patient brought up from the ER by the name of Roxanne Cagnina (phonetic)?
A. Yes, I cid.
Q. Okay, and do you remember, by chance, what room number she went into?
A. I believe il was 727 .
Q. 727. okay, il could of been 725?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, 725, okay. Um, when she was brought up, um, can you, can you explain to me the, the details um, of the first time that you had contact with her. As, as best you remember.
A. With her?
Q. Well, yes, weall, we=ll get back to Mr. Fammer.
A. Okay.

EVENT H:0805t6-1021
STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, CHRISTINE
Q. But we just, like what time was she brought up to the floor, thal you remember?
A. She came up to the floor about four-twenty. The first that I saw her was when myself and the CNA, Corrine, walked in. We had been told that she had, had seizures. So we wanted to a pad the bed ralis. We found um, Mr. Farmer, walking her into the bathroom. We said, we would take il from there and he left immediately. And we walked her back, back to the bedroom. Back a to the bed, after.
Q. Okay. Did she say anything to you after Mr. Farmer teff? Did she appear distraught, distressed, anything?
A. She seemed confustd. A when somebody has a lof of a drugs in them -
Q. Unn-hum.
A. -a like that. Um, she did say, when we were walking her back, from the bathroom to the bed, are, is it all girls here? And we said, yes. And she said, oh good, I wanna pull my gown this way so when I sil down, I donst choke myself. Which a lot of people do.
Q. Okay.
A. And so we said, oh yes, ites all girls here, you go right ahead. And she did and then she gol into bed.
a. Okay. Um, when she was first brought, you say she got in, in about four-twenty. Was that the first time you physically saw her or did you see him like coming off the elevalor with her at four-fwenty?
A. No, that was the first time I saw her, in her room, was the first time I saw her.
Q. Okay. Saw her, okay. And how did you know that she was a put into her room? She was brought-
A. Because - well, I was standing in another patients room, speaking to the family members.
Q. Unohum.
A. Mr. Farmer came into the other patients room and iold me that the palient he had jusi brought up and put in a room twenty-five was um, on a lot of drugs, pain killers and such and that she was kinda loopy and that she wouldnat notice if I didnat come right over there to see her.
Q. Okay. And is that common? Has he, has he ever -
A. 1.
Q. - done something like that before?
A. I had never had him do that before.
Q. Okay, and how long would you say, I know you didnel work directly with him, but how. often, a how long have Mr. Farmer - had you seen Mr. Farmer at the hospital?
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\section*{CONFDENiliki}

EVENT 4:080516-1021
STATEMENT OF: MAURRAY, CHRISTINE
A. Itd say for at least two months on and off, you know, cause we work different days but lad seen him around quite a bit.
Q. Right. Okay, so head boen there awhile?
A. Yea.
Q. And that was the first time he ever made a comment to you like that about a -
A. Yes.
Q. -a patient.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, did you find that add?
A. I found that strange, first of all, cause their not supposed to just walk into another patients room. Youspe not supposed to walk into a patients room unless you heve business in there. And he didnat have business in there, he could of told that to my charge nurse.
a. Okay. Um, at um, okay and he told you \(\qquad\) that, that she=s ready, ready lo go be seen and then when you went in, um, in the room thalas when you found him about to take her to the bathroom and you guys -
A. He actually was walking her into the balhroom.
Q. Okay. Was she exposed in any way?
A. No.

EVENT :080516-1021
STATEMENT OF: MUFRAY, CHRISTINE
Q. Okay. Um, and that was at, you said aboul four-wenty.
A. Unh-hum.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
0. And what time, do you, do you recall what time that you annotated on your notes, for arrival?
A. A around a quarter to five.
Q. Okay. And is, would this um, lemgonna show you this paper right here and it=s a - ites \(a_{1}\) looks like nurses notes.
A. Umhum.
Q. Itas um, given to me by Centennial Hills. It says, 0445 a, patient to floor on stretcher, A vi.., \(\qquad\) -
A. Vital signs stable.
Q. Okay. Heavily sedated, needed assistance to walk to balhroom, stated my headache is still not gone.
A. Umhum.
Q. Okay. Alright. Now, did she disclose or she say anything to you at that time about anything that \(a_{1}\) a might of happened to her or occurred?
A. No.
Q. And did she seem distressed or scared?

STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, GHRISTINE
A. No, she seemed, the thing that she seemed the most was distress cause her headache. She had come in with a headache, shead had it for a couple days. And that was the one thing, she said it doesnat seem to matter what their, they=re giving me, ill=s not getting rid of this headache.
Q. Okay. Alright. So, um, you had made a comment to me earlier that a - when you were \(\qquad\) the gur.., the gurney was outside the door.
A. Um-hum.
Q. Can you explain that to me? What, what was the -
A. When he came to me and 1 finished talking to the patienls.
Q. Um-hum.
A. The other palients and a it took me, \(I=d\) say, three to five minutes to finish up there and walk around where her room was.
Q. Um-hum.
A. It was on the other side. And I noticed his gumey was still there, which surprised me because our transport peopla usually bring the person up. Get them into the bed as quickly as possible, and then get back downstairs because we don=t have a fot of transporters and we, their usually called on their walkie talkies, like come on down. Weave got somebody else to transport. So you usually donat see a gumey and a trans.., and a transporter hanging around.
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EVENT \(\#:\) O80546-1021
STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, CHRISTINE
Q. Okay.
A. So when we walked in and we saw him, and we said, we would take over from here - a Corrine and I, the CNA. He um, disoppeared. He like grabbed the gurney and went.
Q. Okay. Cause normally he did, he wouldn=t of even been there, he would of already -
A. Right, right, he would of gotten her into the bed, handed her the call light, and showed her how to use it, and been gone.
Q. Okay. And was that um, and that was at about, what time do you think. four-twenty?
A. Probably about, yea, around four-twenty.
Q. Okay. Um, lets see, \(\qquad\) . Um, as. far as, well, \(\qquad\) well, back to that. Did um, at about seven a.m, did you go and check on the patient again?
A. No. I had been in there around six-thity. A I was trying to find oul if she had had a seizure, I was trying to a anticipate what her - um, her needs were for the next shift when they were coming on.
Q. Yea.
A. If she needed anymore medicine of if she could have anymore medicine. At about six-thity, she seemed like she was kind of dozing off so, I didnat wanna interrupt six-ithity and I, I did nol see - I was nol looking toward her room.
Q. Okay,
A. The majority of my patients were on the other side.
Q. Okay.
A. So, I really didnat ch... Ifgured she had gone to sleep. We weren=t gonna disturb her.
O. Okay. Do you ever recall seeing Mr. Farmer back up on the floor, anytime betwreen six-thirty, seven \(0=\) clock?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Okay.
A. But then I wasn \(=\) t looking for him.
Q. Did, has any other nurses or anyone else said anything to you that they saw him at about seven orciock, standing in her room?
A. No.
Q. Walked in on, \(\qquad\) -.
A. Nobody mentioned that to me.
Q. Okay. Um, did - when did you first find out about the allegations of that, the patient
- Roxanne Cagnina, had against Mr. Famer?
A. When I woke up the next day and there were a couple messages from you, on my phone, and I called you because of course, the first thing I thought was my daughters, my granddaughter.
Q. Okay.
A. Those were the things I thought because nobody had the courtesy from here, to call and say that something had happened and they had given you my telephone number. Which I was really upset about.
Q. Okay. Youare -
A. Not that I gave you the number -
Q. Right.
A. but that they didnat call me and tell me so I.
Q. That they didn=i bother io no..., notify you on -
A. Yea.
Q. -on what was happening.
A. Yea.
Q. Did um, okay. On a - did MAs. um, Cagnina, at any time, make any disclosures to you about anything that Mr. Farmer had done to her?
A. No, she did not.
Q. Okay. Alright. And can, what are - well let me, let me back up. You had made a comment earlier um, that he seemed to be very um, attentive.

\section*{STATEMENT OF: MLIRRAY, CHRISTINE}
A. Un-hum.
Q. Can you, can you go over those details with me. What, what was it that you o..., you observed about um, Mr. Farmer?
A. Well, when he brought a patient up, if they had to have a \(\qquad\) on, he would offer to put the \(\qquad\) on. Which means of course, you know, behind the chest and a they have to go on the rib cages. So of course, on women ites usually, you have to move the breast to pul the, underneath the breast and stuff. He would always say, oh lell do that for you, you know, and you do what you have to do. He was always very complementary to everybody. He was always willing to do something extra if you wanted to. Very um, you know, just very helpiul. He just wouldn \(=1\) -
Q. Did it seem to be more for female patients or any patient?
A. Actually, I think it was more for female patients.
Q. Okay, and when you say he had to put on the to.. \(\qquad\) -
A. Um-hum.
Q. how many, how many points of um, these, these leads?
A. Theress five leads.
Q. Theress five leads.
A. On our portable monitors, \(\qquad\) .
Q. And there=s, so one on basically on top of a, a below the clavicle -
A. Right here.
Q. -above, above the breast.
A. Yea, by the belly area here.
Q. And then two, one under each side of the breast -
A. Right.
a. and then one in between the breast.
A. Right.
O. Okay. And he always seemed more than willing to -
A. Oh, lall put that on for you, yup.
Q. Did um, as far as his job, um, a is - where a CNA is concerned, does that nomally does, when somebady puts on the \(\qquad\) , is that usually conduc.., um, completed by a nurse?
A. No. we do have the CNA \(=\mathrm{s}\) do that.
0. \(\qquad\) (inaudible, both talking).
A. So, see thates not really out of the realm of his responsibilities.
Q. Unhum.
A. So, nobody thought anything of it.

EVENT H:080516-1021
STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, CHRISTINE
Q. Okay. Would, does CNA duties change from floor to floor? Like if somebody, like would ER have their own set of, of things that CNAas can do and things that they can=t as compared to a CNA that was assigned to a, a floor for recovery?
A. Ithink they would, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. lam not positive.
Q. Um-hum.
A. Because I=ve never worked ER. Um, but i would think they would because thatss the first assessment is to \(\qquad\) first everything that their coming in and their usually pretty serious down there.
Q. Oh, okay. Um, can you think of anything else thal I didn=l ask you or I might not be aware of that you feels important, that might assist me in my investigation or somelhing thal I need to be made aware of?
A. The only thing I can think of like I sald, is the older lady that he did the one to one sittings with.
Q. Um-hum.
A. Which means that the doctor ordered for soxnebody to be in the room with her at all times. He was in there, on the evening shift, it was dark because he has the lights out. The door was closed. Which usually for a one to one, I, if I had been the
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EVENT म.080396-1021
STATEMENT OF: AURRAY, CHRISTINE nurse, which I wasnat. I would want the door open. I wanna see what=s going on. But we did hear her yelling. I donzt want you by me, get outta here. And we thought, shees a little crazy.
Q. Um-hum.
A. She=s a litile crazy, old lady, that=s why she has the sitter.
Q. Umbhum.
A. So we oidn=t put any credence into what she was saying.
Q. Okay. Do you remember when that occurred?
A. I donat.
Q. Okay. Before or after this incident, that weare talking about?
A. Before. Before.
Q. Okay. A couple of weeks, couple of days?
A. A it had to be more toward the beginning of when we opened up because it was on the sixth foor here and we didn=t open the seventh floor untll about two in a hal. three months after we opened. So, obviously, it have to be probably in February or March, something like that.
Q. Okay. Do you remember what she was um, in for, what that viettm .
A. I donal know.
Q. -or \(\qquad\) the patient. \(\qquad\) -
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EVENT \#:0806t6-1021
STATEMENT OF: MURRAY, CHRISTINE
A. Cause I, you know what, I never had her as a patient.
Q. Okay.
A. So.
Q. Um, ever observe or see anything else thal just didnat seem right with you? \(A\) anything that Mr. Farmer ever did that was, a out of the scope or reaim of his duties or anything else that just appeared - professional. From, from your professional opinion and, and your knowledge of the, the nursing field. Of something that he wasnet doing that was correct with palients?
A. No. But you know what, I didnel pay much attention to him.
Q. Okay. Alright. Is there anything else?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Operator, this will end the interview. The lime is approximately 0649 hours, on the \(13^{\text {th }}\) of June, 2008. Same people present, same location. Thank you.

\footnotetext{
THIS VOLUNTARY STATEMENT WAS COMPLETED AT 6900 N. DURANGO ON THE \(13^{\text {th }}\) DAY OF JUNE, 2008 AT 0649 HOURS.
MS:sI
}

\section*{TAB 66}

SPECIFIC CRIME: OPEN 2 GROSS LEWDNESS
DATE OCCURRED:
TME OCCURRED:
LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:
GITY OF LAS VEGAS
CLARK COUNTY
NAME OF PERSON GIVING STATEMENT: MARGARET WOLFE

DOB: 08/11/70
RACE:
HEIGHT:
HAIR:
WORK SCHEDULE:
HOME ADORESS:
WORK ADORESS: CENTENNIAL HILIS HOSPITAL
BEST PLACE TO CONTACT:
best time to contact:

SOCIAL SECURITY\#:
SEX: F
WEIGHT:
EYES:
DAYS OFF:
HOME PHONE:
WORK PHONE: 629-1211

The following is the transcription of a tape-recorded interview conducted by DETECTIVE M. SAUNDERS, P\# 6076, LVMPD SEXUAL ASSAULT Detail, on MAY 30, 2008 at 0758 hours.
Q. Hello operator. This is Detective M. Saunders, P\# 6076, dictating-or not dictating. I'm sorry. Uh, having one taped interview reference event number 080516-1021. This interview is taking place at 8775 West Deer Springs Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89149. The time is approximately 0758 hours on the \(30^{\text {th }}\) of May, 2008. Present for this interview, last name of Wolfe, W-O-L-F-E, first name of Margaret, M-A-R-G-

A-R-E-T. Her date of birth is \(06 / 11\) of 1970. She has a work phone number of 629 -
1211. Is that information true and correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is it all right if I call you Margaret?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Margaret, um, I was talking to you a liftle while ago about an incident that you're aware of that occurred at your hospital, uh, and you work at Centennial Hills Hospital. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And where do, where, what do you do for uh, uh, Contennial Hills Hospital?
A. I'm an E.R. nurse.
Q. And were you working on the day of uh, or the, the evening shift or graveyard shift of... Uh... May \(15^{\text {th }}\) to May \(16^{\text {th }}\) ?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. And was there somebody that worked with you or was assisting, I should say, in the E.R., by the name of Steven Farmer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what was Steven Farmer's job?
A. He was a CNA. Contracted out through an agency.
Q. And what would you say his job duties were?
A. Um, he was to assist the nurses with um, patient care, taking them to the bathroom. uh, possibly changing their clothing, cleaning any uh, messes that patient, you know, may have. Uh, just, just general assistance in patient care. Transporting patients to and from different units.
Q. Okay. Um...and did you know Mr. Farmer?
A. Just through work.
Q. Just through work. Okay. Um...i want to direct you back to-on that specific in-uh, specific night there was a young lady that was brought into the E.R. that I believe, um, um, Nurse Goodheart, uh, Karen Goodheart worked on, and uh-or was assigned to and her name was um...Roxanne Ca-uh, Cagnina. Was that-does that name sound familiar?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Um, are you familiar with the circumstances with Mr. Farmer and his arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. Um, that night-uh, let me back up. There was-while I was talking to you um, you relayed some information to me that you saw something one night-on that same night. It was the \(15^{\text {th }}\), that you felt was inappropriate and, and suspicious and can you tell me about what that situation was again.
A. Yes. I had a patient that was um...brought in...by ambulance and uh, she was laying on the gurney, uh, in a gown that opened from the front. She was brought
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EVENT \#: 080530-2056 (Reference 080516-1021)
STATEMENT OF: MARGARET WOLFE
from a Quick Care. Our gowns open in the back, but um, this gown happened to open in the front and \(\qquad\)
Q. Okay.
A. ___um, he went into her room for uh, no apparent reason. The call light was not on. The alarms on the monitor were not going off and I was standing right outside the patient's room. So he had no reason to have gone in there.
Q. And she was your patient?
A. Yes, she was.
Q. Okay. And he was not assigned to her?
A. No, he was not.
Q. Okay.
A. He's just assigned to the general E.R.
Q. Okay.
A. Not to specific patients.
Q. Okay.
A. Um, so he went into the room, opened her gown, fully, underneath the sheets. Uh. he put his hands inside of her gown. I didn't see what he was touching but to me it looked like he pretended like he was uh, checking the monitor wires that were on her to see if there were placed correctly which again, he would have absolutely no
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EVENT H: 080530.2056 (Reference 080516-1021)
STATEMENT OF: MARGARET WOLFE
reason to do that and in fact it's out of his scope of practice to bechecking-monitor placements. Um-
Q. What was the... what was this um, this patient, um, what was her mental status? Was she awake, asleep, was she--
A. She was awake and alert.
Q. Um, was she on any medications?
A. I don't recall if I gave her any morphine or not.
Q. Okay.
A. Um, if she had any medication it would have been morphine.
Q. Okay. And what would-uh, I don't know if you can tell me or not, do you remember what she was brought in for?
A. Ido.
Q. Okay. I don't know-what, you know, never mind. We won't-I don't need to know that. Do you remember what the patient's name was?:
A. It was Denise Hanna.
Q. Denise Hanna. Do you remember how that's spelled?
A. The last name is H-A-N-N-A. The first name is D-E-N-I-S-E.
Q. Okay. And how old was she?
A. Uh, approximately thirties or forties.
Q. Thirties to forties? Okay. And white female, black female, Hispanic?
A. White famale.
Q. White female. Okay. Okay. And so...did Ms. Hanna say anything to you or...
A. I was out, outside of the room, but within view, um, at the time. She didn't say anything but she quickly closed her gown and appeared very uncomfortable about what had just happened. Um, when I had gone back into the room, she didn't say anything about the situation but uh, continued to just act in a way that she was uh. very self conscious about uh, being covered up.
Q. Okay. Now you say that he put his hands, ._. he opened up the front of her gown but he put his hands under the sheets. Were there sheets still laying over the top of her?
A. He lifted the sheet up and opened her gown, so the sheet wasn't touching her but it was lifted up over the top of her body.
Q. Okay. So were her breasts completely exposed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And could you see her breasts-
A.
Q. -from where you were at?
A. Not from where I was at but the view he was standing at, she would have been completely exposed.
Q. She was completely exposed to him?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And he did this ..um...under the pretense that, that you could assu--that you assumed by what you could see what he was doing, like wanting to check wires?
A. Right. The heart monitor.
Q. The heart monitor. Okay. And where would the probes, so to speak, be placed on a, on a female patient, um, that had this type of monitor hooked up to them?
A. There's five leads. Two of them go underneath the clavicle, midline underneath the clavicle. One of them goes, um, approximately between the breasts and then there's two that would be on the ribs, kind of on the sides, that would be on a woman, usually fall underneath her breasts.
Q. Okay. So it'd be just, just under the-to, \(\qquad\) to the right and the left of the breasts?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Um...did he say anything to you, did he say anything to you when uh, when he came out of the room?
A. No, he did not. He walked away.
Q. Did he immediately go to another soom or what did he-did you see where he went to or what he did?
A. Ididn't see where he went after that.
Q. Okay. Um, and, and Ms. Hanna never said anything to you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did anybody, um...did you talk to anybody about this?
A. I did. I told another male nurse. His name is Ray Sumera.
Q. Okay.
A. And I asked him if he would taik to him about \(i t\), which he told me he did.
Q. Okay. Did Ray say, did Mr. Sumera say anything about what uh, Mr, Farmer's response was to him?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Did uh...excuse me. Um...has uh, anybody else in the E.R. room, that you've worked with, uh, ev-ever come to you or have you ever talked to anybody that shared similar concerns that you do about Mr. Farmer?
A. Um, the same nurse, Ray Sumera, had told me um, another time that he-to watch him around my female patients. That he was concerned because he was very, overly attentive with female patients and very anxious to um to connect them to the monitors and disconnect them from the monitors, which would, um, require him to reach into their clothing.
Q. Okay. Had, had anybody else ___ had you talked to anybody else aboui Mr. Uh, Mr. Farmer?
A. I told Julie, who is the nurse I gave report to that morning, about the situation that happened with Ms. Hanna.
Q. Okay. And again, all this occurred on the \(u\), morning of the \(16^{\text {th }}\) ?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. Okay. Um...was uh, Ms. Hanna, um, released from E.R.-did she go home or was she admitted to the hospital?
A. She was admitted to the hospital but she was released um, later that day.
Q. Later that day. Okay. Um...can you think of anything that uh, might be beneficial that I might have forgot to ask to something that you feel is important that I need to know?
A. He was just very suspicious in his activities. Um, such as going into rooms with doors closed with female patients when he was nol asked to.
Q. Is that against protocol?
A. It's not against protocol. It's just unusual-
Q. It's just unusual.
A. -for a CNA to do something like this.
Q. Okay.
A. Typically, if they enter a room, it's because a call light is on or um, a nurse has asked them to go in there. Especially when a door is closed, it's um, very atypical for somebody, even another nurse, just to walk into that room when there's no need for it.
Q. Okay. Wh-are the door normally closed? What would be a reason that they would close doors?
A. If there was um, some sort of exam going on.
Q. Okay.
A. Um... if there was a procedure going on that was exposing the patient or a patient would be uncomfortable about. Uh, if a patient was sleeping.
Q. Okay.
A. Typically the doors stay open and um, curtains, often times, are closed, but doors typically stay open.
Q. How...if you had to give me an estimate, how many times would you say that you...you've seen him walk into rooms, for female patients, where the doors are closed but there's no, no need for him to be in that room?
A. Multiple times. I couldn't put a nurnber on it.
Q. Okay. Um...have you ever had any conversations or talked to Mr. Farmer, before?
A. Um, just in general-
Q. Just \(\qquad\)
A. -course of work.
Q. Okay. Um...and you said that he just-these, these actions that he was doing is what made uh...it, it was of a concern and made you suspicious?
A. Yes.
Q. Had there been anything else or had any other patients ever come forward to you \(\qquad\)
on anything else, um, anything that Mr. Farmer had ever done that seemed inappropriate?
A. Not any other patients. No.
Q. patients? But, but uh, some-Mis. Hanna's the only, only one that, that
\(\qquad\) to mind with you, where you actually observed him do something that, as you put it, was beyond his scope?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Um...okay. And then you understand that Mr. Farmer is the subject of an investigation right now, into \(a_{1}\) a sexual assault?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. Okay. Okay. Do you harbor any...do you have any personal gain by coming forward to uh, with-to me with this information?
A. No, I don't. in fact um, I \(\qquad\) fear that I could possibly get un, in trouble with my job if I were to give out information uh, you know, regarding-against \(\qquad\) with my patients.
Q. Okay. Um, anything else you can think of that might be of uh, useful information to me to assist me in my investigation?
A. Um, nothing that comes to mind other than, like ! said, there were other people that had um, told me that they had the same-
Q. Ex-expressed concerns?
A. Yeah. Concerns about him.
Q. Okay. And that would be Ray S-Sumera. Did Julie say she had any concerns?
A. Julie hasn't worked with him because she works on the day shift.
Q. Okay.
A. So um, she wouldn't normally be able to observe-
Q. Okay.
A. -any actions of his.
Q. Okay. And was he primarily just E.R. help? Did he ever go to any other areas of the hospital and assist there instead?
A. He worked on multiple floors.
Q. He worked multiple floors?
A. He was not specifically assigned to the E.R. every night.
Q. Okay. All right.
A. Sometimes he was um, assigned to be what's called a sitter, with um, psychiatric patients that are not medically cleared, if they are transferred up to the medical floor and are a flight risk or need to be observed um, for suicide precautions, things like that. Then they're um...they're put on a one on one sitter situation where he would be alone, watching that patient.
Q. Gotcha. Okay. All right. Well, um, anything else?
A. There's one other nurse that had come to me that I'm, I'm trying to remember her \(\qquad\) name, that had told me some of the same things. Um...if you could turn it off one second and give me a second to think \(\qquad\)
Q. That, that's all right. What we'll do, we'll uh, what we'll do is, we'll go ahead and terminate this interview. Uh-
A. Kim. That's her name. Kim.
Q. Kim?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And where, where does Kim work?
A. She works in the E.R. as well.
Q. She works in the E.R. as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And she, she um, relayed some concern to you also?
A. The same concerns that Ray had said about uh, his actions were suspicious. especially with a female patient.
Q. Was-and, and is Ray a nurse also or is he a-
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. He's a nurse and occasionally works as a charge nurse.
Q. Okay. Okay. Um...okay. Anythingelse?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. That you can think of? If I needed to speak with you about anything further, would that, would that still be possible?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Operator, this will end the interview. The time is \(u\) h... 0811 hours on the \(30^{\text {th }}\) of May, 2008. Same people present. Same location. Thank you.

\title{
THIS VOLUNTARY STATERFENT WAS COMPLETED AT 8775 WEST DEER SPRINGS ROAD, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149, ON THE \(30^{\text {TH }}\) DAY OF MAY, 2008 AT 0811 HOURS.
}

MS:gm

\section*{TAB 67}

MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8619
JOHN F. SEMIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9509
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LDC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
702-889-6400 - Office
702-384-6025 - Facsimile
mprangle@hpslaw.com
ibemis@hpslaw.com
Attorneys for Defendant
Centennial Hills Hospital

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}

JANE DOE,
Plaintiff,
vs.
VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER; UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMERICAN NURSING SERVICES, INC., a Louisiana corporation; STEVEN DALE FARMER, an individual; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through \(X\), inclusive,

Defendants.
JANE DOE,

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}
CASE NO. A595780
DEPT NO. II
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \cdot 2+-13 \\
& 9: 00 \\
& \text { Wise Anew. }
\end{aligned}
\] through its attorneys, HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC, hereby files its Motion for

DEFENDANT VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEMS, LLC d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
COMES NOW, Defendant, VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEMS, LLC d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER, (hereinafter "Centennial Hills Hospital") by and


Protective Order to prevent the deposition of John Bemis, Esq., counsel for Centennial Hospital.
This Motion is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the following points and authorities and oral argument of counsel at the time of hearing in this matter.

DATED this \(19^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2013.

By:
HALEPRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
Nevada/Bar No. 8619
JOHN/F. BEMIS, ESQ.
Newada Bar No. 9509
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Attorneys for Defendant
Centennial Hills Hospital

\section*{NOTICE OF MOTION}

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, and good cause appearing therefore,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing DEFENDANT VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEMS, LLC d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME shall be heard on the 24 day of \(\mathrm{July}, 2013\), at the hour of \(9: 00\) before the Discovery Commissioner.

DATED this \(19^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2013.

By:


MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
I.

\section*{INTRODUCTION}

The allegations contained within Plaintiff Jane Doe's Amended Complaint arise out of an alleged sexual assault committed by Defendant Steven Famer occurring at Centennial Hills Hospital. On June 3, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel served John F. Bemis, Esq. with a notice and subpoena for his deposition. See Notice of Taking Deposition of John F. Bemis, Esq. and

Subpoena Re: Deposition, attached hereto as Exhibits \(A\) and \(B\) respectively. Mr. Bemis is and has at all times been counsel of record for Centennial Hills Hospital, a defendant in this action.

Prior to the noticing of the deposition, Plaintiff's counsel, Robert e. Murdock, Esq. informed Mr. Bemis that he intended to depose Mr. Bemis regarding the date and time Mr . Bemis met with Defendant Steven Farmer's criminal counsel.

If this is the purpose of the deposition, the information would be irrelevant, and solely for the purposes of annoyance, harassment, embarrassment and undue expense. As such, a protective order under NRCP 26(c) is warranted and the deposition of counsel for this Defendant, Mr. Bemis, should be precluded.

\section*{II.}

\section*{LEGAL ARGUMENT}
A. This Deposition is Irrelevant and for the Purpose of Harassment and Undue Expense, thus a Protective Order should be issued Pursuant to NRCP 26(c) and Clus Vista Financial Services, LLC.

Although generally, NRCP 26(a) permits a deposition be taken of "any person", this broad rule is limited by NRCP 26(c). NRCP 26(c) states that a protective order may be issued to "protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense..."

The Nevada Supreme Court recently addressed the exact issue before the Court today. In Club Vista Financial Services, LLC v. Eighth Judicial District Court, counsel noticed the deposition of opposing counsel for the purposes of determining the facts that supported the underlying complaint based on deposition testimony from the parties that they were unfamiliar with the allegations within the Complaint. Club Vista Financial Services, LLC v. Eighth Judiciad

District Court, 276 P.3d 246, 247-248 (Nev. 2012). The District Court permitted the deposition and the parties took the matter to the Supreme Court on a Writ of Mandamus.

The Supreme Court stated that forcing opposing counsel to act as a witness "has long been discouraged and recognized as disrupting the adversarial nature of our judicial system." Id. at 249. The Court went further to discourage this practice: "In particular, requiring attomeys to participate in such a manner may increase the time and costs of litigation, create delays to resolve work-product and attomey-client objections, distract the attorney from representation of the client, and prevent clients from openly communicating with their attorneys." Id.

Thus, the Court adopted a three-factor test which the party seeking the deposition has the burden of proof:
> "(1) no other means exist to obtain the information than to depose opposing counsel; (2) the information sought is relevant and nonprivileged; and (3) the information is crucial to the preparation of the case."

Jd. at 250. The Court went further and stated: "in the absence of these conditions, a party should not be permitted to depose an opposing party's attorney..." Id. In this evaluation, the Court stated that the lower court should consider whether the attorney is actually a percipient witness to the facts that give rise to the litigation. Id. Even if the party seeking the deposition is able to establish the factors above, (which the Supreme Court describes "so remarkable") "the district court should provide specific limiting instructions to ensure that the parties avoid improper disclosure of protected information.

In the instant case, Plaintiff cannot demonstrate the three factor test. If as assumed, Plaintiff intends to seek the time and date that counsel for the Defendant met with coDefendant's criminal counsel only, this information is clearly irrelevant and has nothing to do with the preparation of the Plaintiff's case. Counsel of record conducting an investigation after
the commencement of litigation is of no relevance to the underlying facts of the litigation and is clearly being used as a means to harass the Defendant and cause undue expense. The only purpose this information could serve is exactly the purpose the Nevada Supreme Court was attempting to prevent: "such depositions could provide a back-door method for attorneys to glean privileged information about an opponent's litigation strategy from the opposing attomey's awareness of various documents." Id.

Therefore, as the deposition of John F. Bemis, counsel of record for Defendant Centennial Hills, is irrelevant, is unrelated to the underlying facts of the complaint, and is for purpose of harassment and undue expense, a Protective Order should be granted pursuant to NRCP 26(c) and Club Vista Financial Services v. Eighth Judicial District Court.

\section*{III.}

\section*{CONCLUSION}

Based upon the foregoing, Defendant Centennial Hills Hospital respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter a Protective Order preventing the deposition of counsel of record for Centennial Hills Hospital, John F. Bemis, Esq.

DATED this \(19^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2013.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC

By:


Pgop K of 7

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD LLC; that on the \(19^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2013, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEMS, LLC d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER in a sealed envelope, via US Mail, first class postage pre-paid to the following parties at their last known address:

Robert E. Murdock, Esq. Murdock \& Associates, Chtd. 520 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff
S. Brent Vogel, Esq. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH 6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Attorneys for Defendant American Nursing Services, Inc.

\author{
Eckley M. Keach, Esq.
} Eckley M. Keach, Chtd. 520 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Robert C. McBride, Esq. MANDELBAUM ELLERTON \& MCBRIDE 2012 Hamilton Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Attorneys for Defendant Steven Dale Farmer


An employee of HALL PRAMGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC

ExHBII \(A\)

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 4013
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702-384-5563
Eckley M. Keach, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 1154
ECKLEY M. KEACH, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702-384-5563
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{DISTRICT COURT} CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline JANE DOE, ) & CASE NO. 09-A-595780-C \\
\hline ) & DEPT.NO. II \\
\hline Plaintiff, ) & \\
\hline & \\
\hline vs. ) & NOTICE OF TAKING \\
\hline ) & DEPOSITION OF \\
\hline VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM LLC, a Nevada ) & JOHN F. BEMLS, ESQ. \\
\hline limited liability company, d/b/a CENTENNIAL ) & \\
\hline HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER; ) & \\
\hline UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC., a ) & \\
\hline Delaware corporation; AMERICAN NURSING ) & DATE: June 24, 2013 \\
\hline SERVICES, INC., a Louisiana corporation; ) & TIME: 9:30 a.m. \\
\hline STEVEN DALE FARMER, an individual; DOES 1 ) & \\
\hline through X , inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS ) & \\
\hline I through X , inclusive, ) & \\
\hline & \\
\hline Defendants. ) & \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TO: ALL DEFENDANTS HEREIN; and}

TO: THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD
Pursuant to Rules 26 and 30 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, please take notice that on Monday, June 24, 2013, at 9:30 a.m. at Murdock \& Associates, Chtd., 520 South

Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101, Plaintiff will take the deposition of John F. Bemis, Esq.
Said deposition will be taken by stenographic and video tape means before a notary public, or before some other officer authorized by law to administer oaths. Testimony shall continue from day to day until completed. You are invited to attend and cross-examine.

DATED this \(3^{\text {rd }}\) day of June, 2013.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD. ECKLEY M. KEACH, CHTD.
/s/Robeit E. Murdock
Robert E. Murdock Bar No. 4013
Eckley M. Keach Bar No. 1154
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attomeys for Plaintiff

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF MAILING}

The undersigned hereby declares she is an employee of Murdock \& Associates, Chtd. and that on June 3, 2013 she deposited a true copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ. in the United States mail, postage fully prepaid, addressed as follows:

John F. Bemis, Esq.
Hall Prangle \& Schoonveld, LLC 1160 North Town Center Dr., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride 2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
S. Brent Vogel, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard \& Smith 6385 South Rainbow, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118
/s/ Karen A. Kilmartin An employee of Murdock \& Associates, Chtd.

EXHBIT B

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 4013
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702-384-5563
Eckley M. Keach, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 1154
ECKLEY M. KEACH, CHTD.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702-384-5563
Attorneys for Plaintiff

JANE DOE,
DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
vs.
SUBPOENA RE: DEPOSITION

CASE NO. 09-A-595780-C DEPT.NO. II
Plaintiff,

VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER; UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMERICAN NURSING SERVICES, INC., a Louisiana corporation; STEVEN DALE FARMER, an individual; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through \(X\), inclusive,

Defendants. )

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO:
JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ.
Hall Prangle \& Schoonveld, LLC
1160 North Town Center Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED that all and singular business and excuses set aside, you appear and attend on the \(24^{\text {th }}\) day of June, 2013 at 9:30 a.m., at the law offices of Murdock \& Associates, Chtd., 520 South Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada. You are required to bring with you at the time of your appearance any items set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto. If you fail to attend, you will be deemed guilty of contempt of court and liable to pay all losses and damages caused by your failure to appear and in addition, forfeit the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00).

DATED this \(3^{\text {rd }}\) day of June, 2013.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES, CHTD. ECKLEY M. KEACH, CHTD.
/s/ Robert E. Murdock
Robert E. Murdock Bar No. 4013
Eckley M. Keach Bar No. 1154
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EXHIBIT A}

\section*{SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS}

Under NRCP 45, this Subpoena Duces Tecum requires that you permit inspection and copying of the documents and/or objects as defined and described below.

\section*{DEFINITIONS}

\section*{A. The following definitions apply to this subpoena:}
1. The term "CONCERNING" means relating to, referring to, describing, evidencing, or constituting.
2. The term "DOCUMENT" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a), including, without limitation, electronic or computerized data compilations. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.
3. "Medical and/or Hospital" records include, but are not limited to, records maintained by or on behalf of treating physicians, radiologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, chiropractors, and any practitioner of the healing arts by any institution providing medical care, including drug or alcohol rehabilitation centers.
4. The term "PERSON" is defined as any natural person or any legal or informal entity, including, without limitation, a firm, association, organization, partnership, business, trust, corporation or public entity.
5. "YOU" or "YOUR" refer to and include JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ. and, where applicable, your officers, directors, employees, partners, corporate parent, subsidiaries or affiliates.
6. The phrase "YOUR POSSESSION OR CONTROL" extends to any document in the possession, custody or control of YOU or any of YOUR present or former agents, employees, accountants, attorneys and/or representatives. A DOCUMENT or thing is deemed to be in YOUR possession, custody or control if it is in the physical custody of any other PERSON and YOU: (a) own such DOCUMENT in whole or in part; (b) have a right by contract, statute or otherwise, to use, inspect, examine, or copy such DOCUMENT on any terms; (c) have an understanding, express or implied, that YOU may use, inspect, examine or copy such DOCUMENT on any terms; or (d) are, as a practical matter, able to use, inspect, examine or copy such DOCUMENT.
B. The following rules of construction apply to this subpoena:
1. The terms "all" and "each" shall be construed as all and each.
2. The connectives "and" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the discovery request all responses which might otherwise be construed to be outside of its scope.
3. The use of the singular form of any word includes the plural and vice versa.
4. If HIPAA or other privacy rules are claimed, please remove all last names of patients.

DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED
None.

\section*{TAB 68}

DCRR
MICHAEL E. PRANGLE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8619
KENNETH M. WEBSTER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7205
JOHN F. BEMIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9509
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
702-889-6400 - Office
702-384-6025 - Facsimile
mprangle@hpslaw.com
kwebster@hpslaw.com
jbemis@hpslaw.com
Attorneys for Defendant
Centennial Hills Hospital

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

JANE DOE,

CASE NO. A595780
DEPT NO. II

\section*{APPEARANCES:}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Plaintiff: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Robert Murdock, Esq. \\
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Defendant: \\
Centennial Hills Hospital
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Kenneth Webster, Esq. \\
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
\end{tabular} \\
Defendant: \\
American Nursing Services, Inc. & \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
Amanda Brookhyser, Esq. \\
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Defendant: \\
Steven Dale Farmer
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Robert McBride, Esq. \\
MANDELBAUM, ELLERTON \& MCBRIDE
\end{tabular} \\
& I.
\end{tabular}

\section*{FINDINGS}

This matter having come on for hearing before the Honorable Discovery Commissioner, Bonnie Bulla on Defendant Valley Health System, LLC d/b/a Centennial Hills Hospital's Motion for Proiective Order to prohibit Plaintiff from deposing defense counsel, John Bemis, Esq.

The Honorable Discovery Commissioner finds the applicable test for this question is found in Club Vista Financial Services, LLC v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 276 P.3d 246, 247-248 (Nev. 2012). The three-factor test which the party seeking the deposition must demonstrate requires:
"(1) no other means exist to obtain the information than to depose opposing counsel; (2) the information sought is relevant and non-privileged; and (3) the information is crucial to the preparation of the case."

The Honorable Discovery Commissioner finds Plaintiff does not meet her burden of proof regarding the Club Vista factors. First, other means are available to obtain the information regarding how many times and on what dates Hospital counsel met with or spoke to defendant

Farmer's criminal counsel, including an affidavit or declaration. Second, the information regarding the number and timing of conversations between Hospital counsel and defendant Farmer's criminal counsel is not relevant to the current litigation and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The information could potentially be privileged as work product, but the fact remains that it is still not relevant. Finally, the information sought is not crucial to the preparation of the case by Plaintiff's counsel in the civil case.

\section*{II.}

\section*{RECOMMENDATIONS}

Based upon the findings above, the Honorable Discovery Commissioner recommends the following:
1. Defendant's Motion for Protective is GRANTED.



DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

Respectfully submitted by:
Approved as to form and content:


Kenneth M. Webster, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7205
Hall Prangle \& Schoonveld, LLC
1160 N. Town Center Dr., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Attorneys for Defendant
Centennial Hills Hospital

Amanda Brookhyser, Esq.
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard \& Smith 6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Attorney for Defendant American Nursing Services, Inc.


\section*{NOTICE}

Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 (d) (2), you are hereby notified you have five (5) days from the date you received this document within to file written objections.

Pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.34(f) and objection must be filed and served no more than five (5) days after receipt of the Discovery Commissioner's Report. The Commissioner's Report is deemed received when signed and dated by a party, his attorney or his attorney's employee, or three (3) days after mailing to a party or his attorney, or three (3) days after the clerk of the court deposits a copy of the Report in a folder of a party's lawyer in the Clerk's office. See E.D.C.R. 2.34(F).

A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:
Mailed to Plaintiffs/Defendant at the following address on this \(\qquad\) day of
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) , 2013.

Placed in the folder of Plaintiff's/Defendant's counsel in the Clerk's office on the \((0\) day of August, 2013. STEVEN D. GRIERSON


\section*{ORDER}

The Court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared by the Discovery Commissioner and,

The parties having waived the right to object thereto,
\(\qquad\) No timely objection having been received in the office of the Discovery Commissioner pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.34 (f).

Having received objections thereto and the written argument in support of said objections, and good cause appearing.

AND
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations is affirmed and adopted,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and
Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following manner.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and
Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following manner. (attached hereto)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's Report is set for \(\qquad\) , 2013, at \(\qquad\) \(: \quad\) abm. DATED this \(19^{\text {th }}\) day of Angus 2013.


\section*{TAB 69}

\section*{Rule 3.3. Candor Toward the Tribunal.}
(a) A lawyer shall not knowingly:
(1) Make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the tribunal by the lawyer;
(2) Fail to disclose to the tribunal legal authority in the controlling jurisdiction known to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel; or
(3) Offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false. If a lawyer, the lawyer's client, or a witness called by the lawyer, has offered material evidence and the lawyer comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to the tribunal. A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence, other than the testimony of a defendant in a criminal matter, that the lawyer reasonably believes is false.
(b) A lawyer who represents a client in an adjudicative proceeding and who knows that a person intends to engage, is engaging or has engaged in criminal or fraudulent conduct related to the proceeding shall take reasonable remedial measures, including, if necessary, disclosure to the tribunal.
(c) The duties stated in paragraphs (a) and (b) continue to the conclusion of the proceeding, and apply even if compliance requires disclosure of information otherwise protected by Rule 1.6.
(d) In an ex parte proceeding, a lawyer shall inform the tribunal of all material facts known to the lawyer that will enable the tribunal to make an informed decision, whether or not the facts are adverse.
[Added; effective May 1, 2006.]
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Hospital Medical Center and Universal
\end{tabular} & IX & 26 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA1590- \\
AA1821
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Health Services, Inc.'s Motion for \\
Reconsideration of this Court's November \\
4, 2015 Order filed December 2, 2015
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Reply in Support of Motion for \\
Reconsideration filed December 4, 2015
\end{tabular} & X & 28 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA1825- \\
AA1838
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Reply to Defendant Valley Health System, \\
LLC d/b/a Centennial Hills Hospital \\
Medical Center and Universal Health \\
Services, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's \\
Motion for NRCP 37 Sanctions filed May \\
21, 2015
\end{tabular} & III & 15 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA0490- \\
AA0565
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Reply to Defendants' Oppositions to \\
Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment \\
Re: Liability filed November 21, 2014
\end{tabular} & II & 8 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA0117- \\
AA0343
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary \\
Hearing and Motions held on August 28, \\
2015
\end{tabular} & VI \& & 22 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA0949- \\
AA1175
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with \\
Prejudice filed February 29, 2016
\end{tabular} & X & 31 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA1848- \\
AA1853
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Transcript of Proceedings - Defendant \\
Valley Health System LLC d/b/a Centennial \\
Hills Hospital Medical Center and Universal \\
Health Services, Inc.'s Motion for \\
Reconsideration of this Court's November \\
4, 2015 Order
\end{tabular} & XVII & 83 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AA3252- \\
AA3305
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TAB 61}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Subject: & Cagnina v. ANS/Steve Farmer et al. \\
Date: & Thu, 09 Apr 2009 15:55:03-0700 \\
From: & "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com> \\
To: & roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us \\
Attachments: & cagnina mot compel 20090409154419.pdf
\end{tabular}

Stacey,
It was a pleasure speaking with you today. Attached is the Motion to Compel Mrs. Cagnina filed against Metro seeking the statements, DNA/Rape kit records, etc. It is set before the Discovery Commissioner on 4/22 at 10:00 a.m. Mrs. Cagnina's depo remains set for 4/28 at 9:00 a.m. at Hall, Prangle \& Schoonveld, 777 N. Rainbow Blvd., \#225. Mr. Cagnina's depo is the same day at 1:00 p.m.
I would appreciate copies of any statements, medical records, etc., that you have that may help our investigation. I am happy to share whatever information I can. Thank you.

Yours truly,
S. Brent Vogel

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMTH LLP
400 South Fourth Street
5th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702.693.4320 - Direct
702.893.3383 - Main
702.893.3789 - Facsimile
bvogel@lbbslaw.com
www.lbbslaw.com
THIS INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU THINK THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE E-MAIL THE SENDER AT bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Subject: & Cagnina v. ANS/Steve Farmer et al. \\
Date: & Thu, 09 Apr 2009 15:55:03-0700 \\
From: & "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com> \\
To: & roundtsa@co.clark.nv,us \\
Attachments: & cagnina mot compel 20090409154419.pdf
\end{tabular}

Stacey,
It was a pleasure speaking with you today. Attached is the Motion to Compel Mrs. Cagnina filed against Metro seeking the statements, DNA/Rape kit records, etc. It is set before the Discovery Commissioner on 4/22 at 10:00 a.m. Mrs. Cagnina's depo remains set for 4/28 at 9:00 a.m. at Hall, Prangle \& Schoonveld, 777 N. Rainbow Blvd., \#225. Mr. Cagnina's depo is the same day at 1:00 p.m.
I would appreciate copies of any statements, medical records, etc., that you have that may help our investigation. I am happy to share whatever information I can. Thank you.

Yours truly,

\section*{S. Brent Vogel}

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLLP
400 South Fourth Street
5th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702.693.4320 - Direct
702.893.3383 - Main
702.893.3789 - Facsimile
bvogel@lbbslaw.com
www.lbbslaw.com
THIS INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU THINK THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE E-MAIL THE SENDER AT bvogel@lbbslaw.com.
51 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Mon, 14 Sep 2009 14:42:54-0700
From: "Jane Everitt" <everiti@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
Cc: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Hello Brent,
I spoke with Stacey and Wednesday at 2:00 will work fine for both us. We will meet at your office. Thank you very much for your assistance.

Jane
From: Brent Vogel [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2009 2:19 PM
To: Jane Everitt
Subject: Steven Farmer
Jane,
This is to follow up on our phone conversation this afternoon and the voice mail message I just left for you. Are you and Stacey available this Wednesday, 9/16 at 2:00 p.m. to meet with Michele Simmons from American Nursing? She can meet you at my office at that time. Thank you.

Yours truly,
S. Brent Vogel, Esq.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLLP
400 South Fourth Street
5th Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702.693.4320 - Direct
702.893.3383 - Main
702.893.3789 - Facsimile
bvogel@lbbslaw.com
www.lbbslaw.com
THIS INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENIIAL, AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU THINK THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE E-MAIL THE SENDER AT bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

\author{
Subject: Steven Farmer \\ Date: Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:10:54-0600 \\ From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM> \\ To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us> \\ Cc: "Bob McBride" <bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com>, "Brent Vogel" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
}

Hi Stacey:
Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit dificult to get in touch with so l'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictiy prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3 ? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer

Hi Stacey:

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so I'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 20:16:12-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>

\footnotetext{
Im really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.
}

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so l'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 20:16:12-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: '"Dave Ferrainolo"' <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>

I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as \(I\) see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

\footnotetext{
From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer
}

Hi Stacey:

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so I'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.

David P. Fexrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient (s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\section*{Perfect.}

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2010 8:22 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Re: Steven Farmer

I will try you tomorrow around 3

From: Stacey Roundtree
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Sent: Sun Jan 31 22:16:12 2010
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer

I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so I'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 21:32:23-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Perfect.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]

Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2010 8:22 PM
To: Stace Roundtree
Subject: Re: Steven Farmer
I will try you tomorrow around 3

From: Stacey Roundtree
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Sent: Sun Jan 31 22:16:12 2010
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Stacey:
Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so l'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\author{
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer \\ Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 21:32:23-0800 \\ From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV, gov> \\ To: "'Dave Ferrainolo" \lldferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
}

Perfect.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2010 8:22 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Re: Steven Farmer

I will try you tomorrow around 3

From: Stacey Roundtree
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Sent: Sun Jan 31 22:16:12 2010
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer

I'm really swamped right now, but \(I\) wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what \(I\) understand to be the findings, so I'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer

Hi Stacey:

Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so I'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her \(I\) would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Re: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 22:22:14-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us

I will try you tomorrow around 3

From: Stacey Roundtree
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Sent: Sun Jan 31 22:16:12 2010
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
I'm really swamped right now, but I wanted to speak to you about the DNA which is very ambiguous as I see it. It's rather complicated for me to explain what I understand to be the findings, so l'd rather talk to you personally. I have court tomorrow morning (Monday) a hearing which may go into the afternoon, but not all day. Would you like to call me in the later p.m. around 3 ? Or another day this week is fine too. My cell number is 235-2694.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 1:11 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: Bob McBride; Brent Vogel
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Stacey:
Hope all is well with you. Just wanted to let you know that Christine Murray (the former nurse of Centennial Hills who received Cagnina from Farmer on the floor after the alleged assault occurred) is being deposed right now. I think she has a lot of information that will help you. She is a bit difficult to get in touch with so l'd be happy to share the details with you when you get some time.

Also, I have a question. Can you tell me where there was any DNA evidence to support the claims of Cagnina? With her assertion that he was licking his fingers and using them on her I would have expected to see some DNA. Can you let me know?

Thanks.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Cagnina (Steven Farmer)
Date: Mon, 1 Feb 2010 23:47:43-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Attachments: Dep Notice. Roxanne Cagnina.004.doc; Dep Notice. Scott Cagnina.004.doc
Stacey:
Here are the dep notices for Roxxanne and Scott. They are set for the \(10^{\text {th }}\) starting at 9 am.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Cagnina (Steven Farmer)
Date: Mon, 1 Feb 2010 23:47:43-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Attachments: Dep Notice. Roxanne Cagnina.004.doc; Dep Notice. Scott Cagnina.004.doc

\section*{Stacey:}

Here are the dep notices for Roxxanne and Scott. They are set for the \(10^{\text {th }}\) starting at 9 am.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanentiy destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. \(=\) )

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina

Hi Stacey:

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.

Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\footnotetext{
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:48:16-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM> someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. =)

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina
Hi Stacey:
}

Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.
Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world bui I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\section*{Subject: Cagnina}

Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 16:58:55-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Cc: "John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

Hi Stacey:
Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.
Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:48:16-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "'Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>

Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. \(=1\)

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina

Hi Stacey:

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.

Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but \(I\) would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think thexe are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient (s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Even better!

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:49 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: RE: Cagnina

Perhaps, although the rules in Florida will be no work after 6 pm .

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 10:48 PM
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Subject: RE: Cagnina

Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. \(=\) )

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.

Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major
contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attomey-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this
document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:50:44-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
To: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Even better!

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:49 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Perhaps, although the rules in Florida will be no work after 6 pm .

\author{
From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us] \\ Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 10:48 PM \\ To: Dave Ferrainolo \\ Subject: RE: Cagnina \\ Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. \(\Rightarrow\) )
}

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.
Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.
So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal
case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384 .6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 20:50:44-0800
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: '"Dave Ferrainolo"' <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>

Even better:
```

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:49 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: RE: Cagnina

```

Perhaps, although the rules in Florida will be no work after 6 pm.

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 10:48 PM
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Subject: RE: Cagnina

Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you oper in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. =1

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina
Hi Stacey:

Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.

Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create
reasonable doubt. Pximarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.

David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225

Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 22:48:35-0600
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAWV.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Perhaps, although the rules in Florida will be no work after 6 pm .

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 10:48 PM
To: Dave Ferrainolo
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Thanks for keeping me in loop. When you open in FLA, are you going to have a SEX TEAM? If so, I know someone who's interested, and would LOVE to re-locate. \(\Rightarrow\) ) ,

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis
Subject: Cagnina
Hi Stacey:
Sorry for all the confusion today. I hope it was helpful to attend the depositions.
Do you think the criminal trial will go in March? Also, I am not going to claim any expertise in the criminal world but I would like to try to find a time that I either come to meet with you or you come to meet with me to go over some of the testimony in this case. I think there are major contradictions in Roxanne's story that just might create reasonable doubt. Primarily, Roxanne says she reported the incident immediately to the first person she saw. However, I have a nurse Christine Murray and a CNA Corrine Brown who testified that Farmer reported that he had dropped the patient off and she was in the bathroom. When they went to her room she was in fact in the bathroom. They helped her back to her bed. The nurse, Christine Murray did her admission assessment (takes 15 to 20 minutes and requires her to talk to the patient during that time). Nurse Murray asked specifically about incidents of domestic abuse which Roxanne denied. So at least two people interacted with her after Farmer left and she did not report the incident or seem traumatized.

So, in terms of my civil case they will have to choose a path that I suspect they will have to choose in the criminal case. Either Roxanne's version of events is so off because the nurse and CNA are liars and the medical records are fabricated or her version of events is so off because she was under the influence of a lot of medications. (The ER nurse told me she felt like Roxanne was a drug seeker and did have a good amount of pain killers on board). But, if the events are so off because she was doped up, isn't it just as likely that she was so doped up she dreaming or hallucinated the incident which is why she reported it around 7 am, after she woke up?

Let me know if you have any time the begging of March or whenever it is most helpful to you in the criminal case.
David P. Ferrainolo, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Steven Farmer - Cagnina matter
Date: Wed, 28 Apr 2010 17:22:24-0500
From: "John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us
Cc: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Attachments: Pltf's Opp to Centennial Hills' Mtn to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time 04-27-10.pdf

\section*{Hi Stacey:}

As you know, the Steven Farmer criminal trial was recently continued. Based upon a protective order we have in the civil case against Centennial Hills, we have been unable to conduct any discovery regarding the criminal investigation. As such, we moved to have our trial continued (scheduled for August 2, 2010) Plaintiff's counsel has opposed this motion and seeks a countermotion to open discovery in the criminal matter. As we have no standing to bring up the due process violations this would cause, I wanted you to be aware of this issue. Additionally, I wanted to make sure you knew that the hearing is in chambers.

Thank you for your time. Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us at 889-6400.

\section*{Sincerely,}

John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Steven Farmer - Cagnina matter
Date: \(\quad\) Wed, 28 Apr 2010 17:22:24-0500
From: \(\quad\) John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us
Cc: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
Attachments: Pltf's Opp to Centennial Hills' Mtn to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time 04-27-10.pdf
Hi Stacey:
As you know, the Steven Farmer criminal trial was recently continued. Based upon a protective order we have in the civil case against Centennial Hills, we have been unable to conduct any discovery regarding the criminal investigation. As such, we moved to have our trial continued (scheduled for August 2, 2010) Plaintiff's counsel has opposed this motion and seeks a countermotion to open discovery in the criminal matter. As we have no standing to bring up the due process violations this would cause, I wanted you to be aware of this issue. Additionally, I wanted to make sure you knew that the hearing is in chambers.

Thank you for your time. Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us at 889-6400.
Sincerely,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e -mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Wed, 19 May 2010 10:37:20-0700
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "'John Bemis'" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

Thanks. Haven't connected (telephonically) with the DNA expert yet! I confess that because I begin trial next week, I've been scarce around here. Will let you know when I do.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 9:03 AM
To: bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com; Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Cagnina

Bob and stacy:

I am attaching Plaintiff's counter-motion to open discovery in Mr. Farmer's criminal case. It is being heard in front of the Discovery Commissioner this Friday at 9:30 a.m.

We moved the hearing from Walsh to Bonnie as Dave had a conversation with Bonnie for another issue and she intimated she would kick the trial and not allow the discovery to be opened. I wanted you to be aware of this, especially in light of the recent Doe hearing where Bonnie would not allow Murdoch to conduct discovery until the criminal trial is over.

Call me with any questions

Thanks,

John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

\footnotetext{
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient (s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivexing it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.
}

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Fri, 21 May 2010 16:27:33-0500
From: "John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Stacey:
Have you had an opportunity to discuss this case with your expert?
Our civil trial was continued today, and will be contingent on the criminal trial.
Thanks for your time,
John

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 12:37 PM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Cagnina
Thanks. Haven't connected (telephonically) with the DNA expert yet! I confess that because I begin trial next week, l've been scarce around here. Will let you know when I do.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 9:03 AM
To: bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com; Stacey Roundtree

\section*{Subject: Cagnina}

Bob and Stacy:
I am attaching Plaintiff's counter-motion to open discovery in Mr. Farmer's criminal case. It is being heard in front of the Discovery Commissioner this Friday at 9:30 a.m.

We moved the hearing from Walsh to Bonnie as Dave had a conversation with Bonnie for another issue and she intimated she would kick the trial and not allow the discovery to be opened. I wanted you to be aware of this, especially in light of the recent Doe hearing where Bonnie would not allow Murdoch to conduct discovery until the criminal trial is over.

\section*{Call me with any questions}

Thanks,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889 .6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Cagnina
Date: Sun, 23 May 2010 15:23:26-0700
From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "'John Bemis"' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

I haven't yet. We keep playing phone tag, and I am in trial now. I promise after the trial (a week long event) I will call him and then you. Sorry to keep you hanging. Judge hasn't decided what to do about severance yet. A representative from Hyman's firm always shows up when we argue motions though.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2010 2:28 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Subject: RE: Cagnina

Stacey:

Have you had an opportunity to discuss this case with your expert?

Our civil trial was continued today, and will be contingent on the criminal trial.

Thanks for your time,

John

From: Stacey Roundtree [mailto:roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 12:37 PM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Cagnina

Thanks. Haven't connected (telephonically) with the DNA expert yet! I confess that because I begin trial next week, I've been scarce around here. Will let you know when I do.
```

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 9:03 AM
To: bob@mandelbaumschwarz.com; Stacey Roundtree
Subject: Cagnina
Bob and Stacy:
I am attaching Plaintiff's counter-motion to open discovery in Mr.
Farmer's criminal case. It is being heard in front of the Discovery
Commissioner this Friday at 9:30 a.m.

```

We moved the hearing from Walsh to Bonnie as Dave had a conversation with Bonnie for another issue and she intimated she would kick the trial and not allow the discovery to be opened. I wanted you to be aware of this, especially in light of the recent Doe hearing where Bonnie would not allow Murdoch to conduct discovery until the criminal trial is over.

Call me with any questions

Thanks,

John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD, LLC
777 North Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
702.889.6400 (office)
702.384.6025 (fax)

NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\author{
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer \\ Date: Mon, 12 Jul 2010 10:15:48-0700 \\ From: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> \\ To: "'Dave Ferrainolo"' <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
}

It is partially true that the State won motion to consolidate. All cases will be tried together except the Frances Farmer (Steven's gal pal at Rawson Neal). Frankly, Co-counsel and I have always felt it would be difficult to show the weakness of the other (non-Cagnina) allegations w/out reference to the fact that the others were working under the impression that a dangerous "rapist" was in their midst. (The media stories, the police interrogations of patients of Farmer...) So, while it will definitely be difficult to win a case involving 5 accusers, it will allow us to show the jury how Cagnina's story snow-balled as well.

Please don't tell anyone this, but \(I\) don't know if Farmer will ever plead to anything. I can't blame him and don't. That being said, even before the state won the motion to consolidate (actually we lost motion to sever) the DA was talking an offer of a non-life sentence for Farmer. Not sure what he was considering. We offered DA two Gross Misdo's b/c he would get time served. He refused that. Other than that, maybe they'll offer him a 2 to 20 or two 2 to \(20^{\prime} s\). Farmer will NOT accept that. If they come to a Coercion, then we may have to push him to accept that. It's a 1 to 5.

From: Dave Ferrainolo [mailto:dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM]
Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2010 5:35 PM
To: Stacey Roundtree
Cc: John Bemis; Mike Prangle
Subject: Steven Farmer

Hi Stacey:

I hope all is well with you. Plaintiff's counsel in our Civil Case, Neal Hyman tells us that the prosecution won their motion to consolidate all cases against Farmer. Can you tell us if that is true. Mr. Hyman also says that the prosecutor is confident that decision will force a plea from Mr. Farmex. I certainly understand that you cannot reveal any of your conversations with Mr. Farmer or your strategy, but any info you can give us would be appreciated.

Thanks. Dave

Subject: Steven Farmer
Date: Sun, 11 Jul 2010 19:35:11-0500
From: "Dave Ferrainolo" <dferrainolo@HPSLAW.COM>
To: "Stacey Roundtree" <roundtsa@co.clark.nv.us>
Cc: "John Bemis" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "Mike Prangle" <mprangle@HPSLAW.COM>

Hi Stacey:
hope all is well with you. Plaintiffs counsel in our Civil Case, Neal Hyman tells us that the prosecution won their motion to consolidate all cases against Farmer. Can you tell us if that is true. Mr. Hyman also says that the prosecutor is confident that decision will force a plea from Mr. Farmer. I certainly understand that you cannot reveal any of your conversations with Mr. Farmer or your strategy, but any info you can give us would be appreciated.

Thanks. Dave

Subject: Steven Farmer
Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 16:40:07-0500
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

\section*{Subject: RE: Steven Farmer}

Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 07:50:57-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Hi John:
Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty. That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.

JSM
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 2:40 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 10:20:49-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
well, so far it's a go, however, we are still waiting for the DNA to be retested. That was at the DA's request and was recently litigated. So depending on timing and results, and possible need for our own expert to review the results...
but l'll keep you updated when I know for sure.
have a good weekend
JSM

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 10:03 AM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Thanks Jeff,
I appreciate the update. Currently, is it looking like the November trial setting is a go?
Have a nice day,
John

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 9:51 AM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Hi John:
Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty. That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.

JSM
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 2:40 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2012 12:02:43-0500
From: John Bemis < JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Thanks Jeff,
I appreciate the update. Currently, is it looking like the November trial setting is a go?
Have a nice day,
John

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningj@@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 9:51 AM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Hi John:
Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty. That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.

JSM

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 2:40 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Ce: Diana Cox
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and \(I\) wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blid., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: Steven Farmer matter
Date: Mon, 30 Jul 2012 19:29:00-0500
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Hi Jeff,
I see that Mr. Farmer's criminal trial is continued until March of 2013. Can you give me any update?
Thanks,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e -mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2012 18:54:14-0500
From: John Bemis < JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Hi Jeff,
I hope all is well. I wanted to follow up on this matter with the new criminal trial date. Do we know whether the retesting of the DNA has been completed? do we know any results?

Is there anything going on in this matter or is it just waiting for trial?
Please let me know if there is anything we can help you with.
Thanks and have a nice evening,
John

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningjs@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 12:21 PM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
well, so far it's a go, however, we are still waiting for the DNA to be retested. That was at the DA's request and was recently litigated. So depending on timing and results, and possible need for our own expert to review the results...
but I'll keep you updated when I know for sure.
have a good weekend
JSM
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 10:03 AM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Thanks Jeff,
I appreciate the update. Currently, is it looking like the November trial setting is a go?
Have a nice day,
John

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 9:51 AM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Hi John:

Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty. That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.

ISM

\section*{From: John Bemis [mailto:]Bemis@HPSLaw.com]}

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 2:40 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2012 07:58:56-0700
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Hi John:
No results yet, testing still not done. Still trying to find a common ground between Steve and DA for negotiations, so might need your help there once we find a reasonable deal. Otherwise just waiting on trial date. I'm sure myself and Jane(investigator) will be contacting you soon for clarification on some of this discovery we have.

Thanks for all your help and interest. I will keep you updated.
Jeff
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 4:54 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
I hope all is well. I wanted to follow up on this matter with the new criminal trial date. Do we know whether the retesting of the DNA has been completed? do we know any results?

Is there anything going on in this matter or is it just waiting for trial?
Please let me know if there is anything we can help you with.
Thanks and have a nice evening,
John
```

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.qov]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 12:21 PM
To: John Bemis
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer

```
well, so far it's a go, however, we are still waiting for the DNA to be retested. That was at the DA's request and was recently litigated. So depending on timing and results, and possible need for our own expert to review the results...
but l'll keep you updated when I know for sure.
have a good weekend
JSM

\footnotetext{
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 10:03 AM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
}

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer
Thanks Jeff,
I appreciate the update. Currently, is it looking like the November trial setting is a go?
Have a nice day,
John

\section*{From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningjs@ClarkCountyNV.gov] \\ Sent: Friday, June 01, 2012 9:51 AM \\ To: John Bemis \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer}

Hi John:
Spoke with Bob McBride yesterday, he said he would fill you in. If you don't hear from him, please let me know and I will get you updated. Short version, however, is that Steve is NOT currently planning on pleading guilty. That information, provided by Roxanne Cagnina's counsel, is incorrect.

\section*{JSM}

\section*{From: John Bemis [mailto:]Bemis@HPSLaw.com]}

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 2:40 PM
To: Jeffrey Maningo
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: Steven Farmer
Hi Jeff,
Please recall that I represent Centennial Hills Hospital related to civil allegations stemming from the alleged conduct of Mr. Farmer. We have a status check in our case coming up and I wanted to see if you could give me a brief update on what is going on in the criminal matter.

Thank you for your time,
John F. Bemis, Esq.
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
777 N. Rainbow Blvd., STE 225
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Phone: (702) 889-6400
Fax: (702) 384-6025
NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be attorney-client communication, and as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and permanently destroy all original messages. Thank you.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:06:00-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: \(\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov> } \\ & \text { <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "bvogel@llbbslaw.comis }\end{aligned}\)

Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob
```

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial

```

Hi Bob - Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

\author{
Amy A. Feliciano \\ Deputy Public Defender \\ Clark County Public Defender's Office \\ (702) 455-5733 (direct) \\ (702) 366-9370 (fax)
}

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.ner>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entily named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby nolified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Nole: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rute" and the "HIPAA Securily Rule" and Titte XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride
Subject: farmer
Thanks.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:09:09-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa(@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "JBemis@HPSLaw.com"
Cc: <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Importance: High

Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob - Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

\section*{From: Jeffrey Maningo}

Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

\section*{Bob}

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw,net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "ihe HITECH Act".)

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride
Subject: farmer
Thanks.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:10:54-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "JBemis@HPSLaw.com"
<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? Is that good for you Brent and John?
Bob

From: Amy Felliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

\author{
From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net] \\ Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM \\ To: Amy Feliciano \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial \\ Importance: High
}

Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob
From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV,gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob-Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to
meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net> Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial

Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net

The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) andior entity named above. It may contain information that is altomey-client privileged or prolected from disclosure by law. If you are nol the intended reciplent of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

\author{
From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov] \\ Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM \\ To: Bob McBride \\ Subject: farmer
}

Thanks.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:21:52-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
10 is perfect for us. We are missing almost all of the civil filings - the Responses to RFPDs, Answers to interrogatories (if any), depos (we only have a few transcripts), etc. My secretary can access some docs on Odyssey and is working on getting what's been filed for me. Let's talk on Monday and I'll let you know what depos we have and what we don't and we can talk about if you have other things we don't have (and vice versa).

Also, we don't have Cagnina's records from when she was Legal 2000'd, her Monte Vista records, the records from when she OD'd and was in a coma, and the records from Las Vegas Recovery Center. If you have those, that would shortcut so much for us.

Thank you so much, everyone.

From: John Bemis [mailto:]Bemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Bob McBride; Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbșlaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?

Thanks,
John

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Amy Feliciano'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? Is that good for you Brent and John?

Bob

\section*{From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov] \\ Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM \\ To: Bob McBride \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial}

Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Importance: High

Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Ce: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob - Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

\section*{Sent from my iPhone}

Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningjs@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The informalion contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is altomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mall, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have recelved this communicalion in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delele the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title Xill of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Acl".)

\author{
From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov] \\ Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM \\ To: Bob McBride \\ Subject: farmer
}

Thanks.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 16:15:38-0600
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.ner>, Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?

Thanks,
John

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Amy Feliciano'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? is that good for you Brent and John?

Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.corn; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can heip us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

\author{
From: Bob McBride [mallto:bob@memlaw.net] \\ Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM \\ To: Amy Feliciano \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial \\ Importance: High
}

Amy,

Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob-Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the \(03 / 04 / 13\) trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

\section*{Amy A. Feliciano}

Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

\section*{Sent from my iPhone}

Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

\section*{Bob}

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is altomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communicalion or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Nota: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Tille XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride
subject: farmer
Thanks.
```

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:23:33-0800
From: Bob McBride [bob@memlaw.net](mailto:bob@memlaw.net)
To: 'Amy Feliciano' [johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov), 'John Bemis' [JBemis@HPSLaw.com](mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com)
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo [maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov), "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" [bvogel@lbbslaw.com](mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com),
Allie Hanson [Allie@memlaw.net](mailto:Allie@memlaw.net), Heather Hall [Heather@memlaw.net](mailto:Heather@memlaw.net)

```

Ok. See everyone here. We will gather what we have for you.
Bob
```

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:22 PM
To: 'John Bemis'; Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial

```

10 is perfect for us. We are missing almost all of the civil filings - the Responses to RFPDs, Answers to Interrogatories (if any), depos (we only have a few transcripts), etc. My secretary can access some docs on Odyssey and is working on getting what's been filed for me. Let's talk on Monday and I'll let you know what depos we have and what we don't and we can talk about if you have other things we don't have (and vice versa).

Also, we don't have Cagnina's records from when she was Legal 2000'd, her Monte Vista records, the records from when she OD'd and was in a coma, and the records from Las Vegas Recovery Center. If you have those, that would shortcut so much for us.

Thank you so much, everyone.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Bob McBride; Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?
Thanks,
John

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Amy Feliciano'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? Is that good for you Brent and John?
Bob

\author{
From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov] \\ Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM \\ To: Bob McBride \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
}

Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Importance: High
Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob
From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob - Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

\section*{Amy A. Feliciano}

Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the indlidual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delele the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Acl".)

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maninqis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride

\section*{Subject: farmer}

Thanks.

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Date: Wed, 16 Jan 2013 14:25:10-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>, 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@|bbslaw.com>, Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>

Thank you so much. See you on Monday.

From: Bob McBride [mallto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:24 PM
To: Amy Feliciano; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; Allie Hanson; Heather Hall
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Ok. See everyone here. We will gather what we have for you.
Bob
From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:22 PM
To: 'John Bemis'; Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 is perfect for us. We are missing almost all of the civil filings - the Responses to RFPDs, Answers to Interrogatories (if any), depos (we only have a few transcripts), etc. My secretary can access some docs on Odyssey and is working on getting what's been filed for me. Let's talk on Monday, and I'll let you know what depos we have and what we don't and we can talk about if you have other things we don't have (and vice versa).

Also, we don't have Cagnina's records from when she was Legal 2000'd, her Monte Vista records, the records from when she OD'd and was in a coma, and the records from Las Vegas Recovery Center. If you have those, that would shortcut so much for us.

Thank you so much, everyone.
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Bob McBride; Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?
Thanks,
John

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Amy Feliciano'

Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10 ? Is that good for you Brent and John?
Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV, gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Importance: High
Amy,
Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob
From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob - Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind
coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliclano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

\section*{Sent from my iPhone}

Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& MicBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entily named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Nole: This elecironic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

\footnotetext{
From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride
Subject: farmer
}

Thanks.

\author{
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial \\ Date: Thu, 17 Jan 2013 00:23:30 +0000 \\ From: "Vogel, Brent" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com> \\ To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.nel>, Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "Brookhyser, Amanda" <abrookhyser@!bbslaw.com>, "Etienne, Nicole" <netienne@lbbslaw.com>
}

10:00 works for me.


Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
BISGAARD 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 , Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
2 SNITI ! LIf: Main: (702) 893.3383 | Drect: (702) 693.4320 | Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvogel(olbbslaw.com hitp://www,Ibbslaw.com
ATLANTA • BEAUMONT • CHARLESTON • CHICAGO - DALLAS • FORT LAUDERDALE • HOUSTON • LA QUINTA • LAFAYETTE • LAS VEGAS • LOS
ANGELES • MADISON COUNTY• NEW ORLEANS • NEW YORK • NEWARK • ORANGE COUNTY • PHOENIX • SACRAMENTO • SAN BERNARDINO - SAN diego - SAN FRANCISCO - SEATTLE - TAMPA - TEMECULA • TUCSON

The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mail the sender at bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Bob McBride; Amy Feliciano
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; Vogel, Brent
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
10 sounds just fine for me. Are there any documents that are needed?
Thanks,
John

From: Bob McBride [malito:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Amy Feliciano'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Amy,
Why don't we shoot for 10? Is that good for you Brent and John?

Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:09 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial

Thanks so much, Bob. Monday morning at your office is perfect. Either 9 or 10 works for us - what does everyone else prefer? And we have retained the Petersen expert (Higelin), but we need additional experts that hopefully you can help us with. Thanks so much - and just let me know what time works the best for everyone. I really appreciate the help!

\author{
From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net] \\ Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:06 PM \\ To: Amy Feliciano \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com \\ Subject: RE: Steven Farmer criminal trial \\ Importance: High
}

Amy,

Thanks for the quick response. Absolutely! We would be happy to help out in any way we can. Mr. Bemis has been involved with the Cagnina case after the Plaintiff's attorney, for some reason, dismissed Steven and his employer, so he has the most information about her that I am sure he will gladly share. I previously gave Jeff the name of our expert for the Petersen (Doe) case. I thought he had retained her already. All of us are available to meet Monday morning if that works. I would suggest my office which has plenty of room and is easily located behind UMC hospital, off Tonopah. 2012 Hamilton Lane. What time works? 9? 10? Let us know.

Thanks.
Bob

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: FW: Steven Farmer criminal trial
Hi Bob-Jeff's in trial right now on another case, so I told him I would get back to you. I'm the second chair on this case and am entrenched in nothing but this file right now trying to get everything up to speed. As of right now, we are hoping to be ready for the 03/04/13 trial setting, but there's a lot left to do. I would really like to meet with you and the others in the civil case to talk about about the status of our file. We are missing a lot of documents, have witnesses to interview, and experts to retain, and it would be great if you and the others could help us out with that.

Do you have time for a meeting early next week with us and the the others in the civil case, and do you mind coordinating the meeting? I'm available any day next week, anytime, except for early Wednesday morning. Jeff may or may not be finished with trial and able to join us. We can come to your office or we can all meet here whatever is easiest for you and everyone else.

Please let me know if we can meet up next week. Thanks so much.

\author{
Amy A. Feliciano \\ Deputy Public Defender \\ Clark County Public Defender's Office \\ (702) 455-5733 (direct) \\ (702) 366-9370 (fax)
}

From: Jeffrey Maningo
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Subject: Fwd: Steven Farmer criminal trial

\section*{Sent from my iPhone}

Begin forwarded message:
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
Date: January 16, 2013 12:58:43 PM PST
To: 'Jeffrey Maningo' <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
Subject: RE:Steven Farmer criminal trial
Jeff,
Just wondering if Steven's trial is still going next month, or if it is being continued. Any brief update that I can pass along to the others in our civil case? When you get a chance. Thanks!

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereio, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are nol the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibiled. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Nole: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Jeffrey Maningo [mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Bob McBride
Subject: farmer
Thanks.

Subject: RE: Farmer
```

Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 11:18:58-0800
From: Amy Feliciano [johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov)
To: 'John Bemis' < JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Diana Cox [DCox@HPSLaw.com](mailto:DCox@HPSLaw.com)

```

You are the best. Thanks so much.
I'll get together the police files for those cases. Do you have their voluntary statements to the police or do you need those too?

\section*{From: John Bemis [mallto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]}

Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thanks Amy,
I am putting all the depositions on a disk and getting the video depo of Scott copied for you. I will also be putting all the divorce files on a disk, including the settlement information. I am working with our nurses (in house) to get expert names for you. I am in mediation all day, so I won't be able to get thtat to you until tomorrow.

I would appreciate the Peterson, Cagnina and Francis Rose police files.
I am going to be going through my record summaries and get everything I have to you.
Thanks, and don't hesitate to ask if you need anything.
Have a great day,
John

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:08 PM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)
```

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 11:27:58-0800
From: Amy Feliciano [johnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.gov](mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountvNV.gov)
To: '"Vogel, Brent" [bvoge!@lbbslaw.com](mailto:bvoge!@lbbslaw.com), Heather Hall [Heather@memlaw.net](mailto:Heather@memlaw.net), 'Bob McBride'
[bob@memlaw.net](mailto:bob@memlaw.net), 'John Bemis' [JBemis@HPSLaw.com](mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com)
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo [maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov)

```

Will do. Same cases? And do you have the voluntary statements to police or do you need them?

\author{
From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com] \\ Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:25 AM \\ To: Amy Feliciano; Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; 'John Bemis' \\ Cc: Jeffrey Maningo \\ Subject: RE: Farmer
}

Thank you!
I like to have all the police reports please.
Brent Vogel, Esq.
BRISBOIS
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
BISGAARD
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
3. Smb: 1 : Maln: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 | Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvogel@|bbslaw.com hitp://www.lbbslaw.com
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The information contained in this message may be privileged, conficiential and protected from cilsclosure.
If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mail the sender at bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:08 AM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; Vogel, Brent; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and l'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 11:40:17-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: 'John Bemis' < JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>
I'll get you the voluntary statements too.

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:35 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
We don't have any police information

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:19 PM
To: John Bemis
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
You are the best. Thanks so much.
I'll get together the police files for those cases. Do you have their voluntary statements to the police or do you need those too?

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thanks Amy,
I am putting all the depositions on a disk and getting the video depo of Scott copied for you. I will also be putting all the divorce files on a disk, including the settlement information. I am working with our nurses (in house) to get expert names for you. I am in mediation all day, so I won't be able to get thtat to you until tomorrow.

I would appreciate the Peterson, Cagnina and Francis Rose police files.
I am going to be going through my record summaries and get everything I have to you.
Thanks, and don't hesitate to ask if you need anything.
Have a great day,
John

To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's basetally my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 13:14:30-0600
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>
Thanks Amy,
I am putting all the depositions on a disk and getting the video depo of Scott copied for you. I will also be putting all the divorce files on a disk, including the settiement information. I am working with our nurses (in house) to get expert names for you. I am in mediation all day, so I won't be able to get thtat to you until tomorrow.

I would appreciate the Peterson, Cagnina and Francis Rose police files.
I am going to be going through my record summaries and get everything I have to you.
Thanks, and don't hesitate to ask if you need anything.
Have a great day,
John

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:08 PM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My. work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and l'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 13:35:09-0600
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Diana Cox <DCox@HPSLaw.com>
We don't have any police information

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:19 PM
To: John Bemis
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
You are the best. Thanks so much.
I'll get together the police files for those cases. Do you have their voluntary statements to the police or do you need those too?

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Diana Cox
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thanks Amy,
I am putting all the depositions on a disk and getting the video depo of Scott copied for you. I will also be putting all the divorce files on a disk, including the settlement information. I am working with our nurses (in house) to get expert names for you. I am in mediation all day, so I won't be able to get thtat to you until tomorrow.

I would appreciate the Peterson, Cagnina and Francis Rose police files.
I am going to be going through my record summaries and get everything I have to you.
Thanks, and don't hesitate to ask if you need anything.
Have a great day,
John

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:08 PM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going
through the file.
My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office (702) 455-5733 (direct) (702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: Re: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 14:47:03-0800
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> "Vogel, Brent" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, Bob McBride
Cc: <bob@memlaw.net>, John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>

Can I have all of those as well please. Thanks
Bob
Sent from my iPhone 6.

On Jan 22, 2013, at 11:28 AM, "Amy Feliciano" <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:
Will do. Same cases? And do you have the voluntary statements to police or do you need them?

From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Amy Feliciano; Heather Hall; 'Bab McBride'; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thank you!
I like to have all the police reports please.
<l--[if lvml]--><image002.jpg><!--[endif]->Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Main: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 | Fax: (702) 893.3789
buogel@lbbslaw.com htto://www.lbbslaw.com
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The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have recelved this e-mall message in error, please e-mall the sender at bvogel@lbbsiaw.com.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:08 AM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; Vogel, Brent; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the
meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as l've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.

Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office (702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 14:49:50-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>
"Vogel, Brent" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, John Bemis
<JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Will do.

From: Bob McBride [mallto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 2:47 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Vogel, Brent; Heather Hall; Bob McBride; John Bemis; Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Re: Farmer
Can I have all of those as well please. Thanks

Bob
Sent from my iPhone 6.

On Jan 22, 2013, at 11:28 AM, "Amy Feliciano" <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:
Will do. Same cases? And do you have the voluntary statements to police or do you need them?
From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Amy Feliciano; Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: RE: Farmer
Thank you!
I like to have all the police reports please.
<image002.jpg>Brent Vogel, Esq.
Las Vegas Administrative Partner
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 , Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Maln: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 | Fax; (702) 893.3789
bvonel@libsslaw,com htto://www.lbbslaw.com
ATLANTA 4 BEAUMONT 4 CHARLESTON :! CHICAGO II DALLAS FORT LAUDERDALE 1.1 HOUSTON i L LA QUINTA II LAFAYETTE -
LAS VEGAS :I LOS ANGELES 11 MADISON COUNTY:' NEW ORLEANS IA NEW YORK ‥ NEWARK IT ORANGE COUNTY I PHOENIX ! I
SACRAMENTO :- SAN BERNARDINO \(\because\) SAN DIEGO \(\square\) SAN FRANCISCO SEATLLE : TAMPA : TEMECULA i: TUCSON
The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure.
If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mall the sender at bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:08 AM

To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; Vogel, Brent; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.

Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

\author{
Subject: RE: Farmer \\ Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 15:25:37-0800 \\ From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> \\ To: 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net> \\ Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
}

Thanks so much. I really appreciate it. I'll touch base with you next week.
```

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 3:23 PM
To: Amy Feliciano
Cc: Heather Hall; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; John Bemis; Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Re: Farmer

```

Thanks Amy. I will be back to the office most likely on Friday and I will try to get a hold of you then or next week. Let us know if you need anything further.
B.

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorneyclient privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

On Jan 22, 2013, at 11:07 AM, Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:

Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as I've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.

Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and l'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

\section*{<Sorenson DNA.pdí><State's Fifth Supplemental Wit List.pdf><Master List of Witnesses.doc>}

Subject: RE: Farmer
Date: Tue, 22 Jan 2013 19:24:51 + 0000
From: "Vogel, Brent" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
To: 'Amy Feliciano' <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.nel>, 'Bob McBride' <bob@memlaw.net>, 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Thank you!
I like to have all the police reports please.

ATLANTA • BEAUMONT • CHARLESTON • CHICAGO - DALLAS • FORT LAUDERDALE • HOUSTON • LA QUINTA • LAFAYETTE • LAS VEGAS • LOS
ANGELES - MADISON COUNTY - NEW ORLEANS • NEW YORK • NEWARK - ORANGE COUNTY • PHOENIX • SACRAMENTO - SAN BERNARDINO - SAN DIEGO - SAN FRANCISCO - SEATTLE - TAMPA - TEMECULA • TUCSON

The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure.
If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mall the sender at bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 11:08 AM
To: Heather Hall; 'Bob McBride'; Vogel, Brent; 'John Bemis'
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo
Subject: Farmer
Hi All - It was a pleasure meeting with everyone yesterday, and I really appreciate your time and all of your help. I'm attaching a copy of the Sorenson DNA report, the State's Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, and the list of witnesses that I compiled (Heather printed this out at the meeting for us). Just FYI. . . the list of witnesses that I compiled is still in a very rough state - it's bascially my thoughts and notes made as l've been going through the file.

My work contact info is below, and my cell is (702) 465-7365. Please don't hesitate to contact me anytime.
Bob - I hope that your brother is okay, and I'm sending my best wishes to you and your family.
John - I know you wanted police reports - do you need them for all six cases (including Frances Rose) or just for the Marcia Peterson case? Let me know what you need, and I'll get it to you.

Also, if anyone else needs police reports, etc., please let me know, and I will get them to you.
Thank you so much.
Amy A. Feliciano
Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) \(366-9370\) (fax)

Subject: RE: Farmer criminal docs
Date: Thu, 31 Jan 2013 15:11:02-0800
From: Heather Hall < Heather@memlaw.net>
To:
'Amy Feliciano'<johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>, John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>

That works for us. Thanks for doing this!

From: Amy Feliciano [mailto:johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 2:58 PM
To: Bob McBride; Heather Hall; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com
Subject: Farmer criminal docs
Hi All - the Farmer criminal docs and audio files are ready and on a disc. They will go out in the mail tomorrow, and you should have them by Monday. If you would like to send a runner over to our office to pick them up so you can have them faster, please let me know. Thanks.

\section*{Amy A. Feliciano}

Deputy Public Defender
Clark County Public Defender's Office
(702) 455-5733 (direct)
(702) 366-9370 (fax)

Subject: Read: RE: Farmer criminal docs
Date: Thu, 31 Jan 2013 15:19:43-0800
From: "Amy Feliciano" <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "Heather Hall" <Heather@memlaw.nel>

Your message
To: Amy Feliciano; Bob McBride; John Bemis; bvogel@lbbslaw.com Subject: RE: Farmer criminal docs
was read on 1/31/2013 3:19:43 PM

Subject: RE: Steven Farmer adv. State of NV
Date: Fri, 22 Feb 2013 12:53:44-0800
From: Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "'Vogel, Brent"' <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
Cc: \(\quad\) "bob@memlaw.net" <bob@memlaw.net>, "John Bemis (JBemis@HPSLaw.com)" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

Thanks so much.: ' We haven't seen it yet, but I believe it's on it's way to us. Thanks for sending.

From: Vogel, Brent [mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com]
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 11:31 AM
To: Amy Feliciano; Amy Feliciano
Cc: bob@memlaw.net; John Bemis (JBemis@HPSLaw.com)
Subject: Steven Farmer adv. State of NV
Importance: High
Amy,
We received this Opposition to the Motion to Continue Trial filed by Ms. Cagnina 5 counsel. I wasn!"t sure if it was provided to you.

BRISBOIS LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP
BISGAARD 6385 S . Ralnbow Bivd., Suite 600 , Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
\(\therefore\).1:T. . |i. Maln: (702) 893.3383 | Direct: (702) 693.4320 | Fax: (702) 893.3789
bvogel@lbbslaw.com hitp://www.lbbslaw.com

ATLANTA i: BEAUMONT : CHARLESTON - CHICAGO :- DALLAS I! FORT LAUDERDALE • HOUSTON I LA QUINTA !: LAFAYETIE \(\cap\) LAS VEGAS iI LOS ANGELES : MADISON COUNTY! NEW ORIEANS I NEW YORK : NEWARK : ORANGE COUNTY - PHOENIX = SACRAMENTO I SAN BERNARDINO:SAN diego san francisco .. seattle '. TAMPA temecula tucson

The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure.
If you are not the intended reciplent, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mall message in error, please e-mall the sender at bvogel@lbbslaw.com.

\section*{LEWIS \\ BRISBOIS \\ BISGAMID}

Subject: Steven Farmer adv. State of NV
Date: Fri, 22 Feb 2013 19:31:06 +0000
From: "Vogel, Brent" <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>
To: \(\quad\) "Amy Feliciano (Amy.Feliciano@clarkcountynv.gov)" <Amy.Feliciano@clarkcountynv.gov>, "Amy Feliciano (johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov)" <iohnsoaa@ClarkCounlvNV.gov>
Cc: "bob@memlaw.net" <bob@memlaw.net>, "John Bemis (JBemis@HPSLaw.com)" <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>

Amy,
We received this Opposition to the Motion to Continue Trial filed by Ms. Cagnina:" \({ }^{\text {s }}\) counsel. I wasnrit sure if it was provided to you.

\author{
LFWIS Brent Vogel, Esq. \\ LEVIS Las Vegas Administrative Partner \\ BRISBOIS LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD \& SMITH LLP \\ BISGAARD 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 , Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 \\ A) SMIT: IIF: Maln: (702) 893.3383| Direct: (702) 693.4320 | Fax: (702) 893.3789 bvogel@lbbslaw.com hitp://www.lbbslaw.com
}
 ANGELES \(\square\) MADISON COUNTYT NEW ORLEANS \(\Pi\) NEW YORK 7 NEWARK II ORANGE COUNTY: PHOENIX - SACRAMENTO \(I\) SAN BERNARDINO :-: SAN DIEGO = SAN FRANCISCO - SEATTLE I TAMPA :- TEMECULA - TUCSON

The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from discosure.
If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.
If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mail the sender at bvogel@lbsslaw.com.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Okay. I will watch for it.

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors. We are revising the R\&R and will re-circulate for signature.

Neal
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

California Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 1209915
Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
Henderson, NV 89052
San
Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (702) 939-
5234
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (702) 939-
5235 Telecopier: (858) 566-6602
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other
than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Crystal L. Marven
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM
To: 'Charlotte Bible'
Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'jbemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman;
'Heather@memlaw.net'
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq. <image001.jpg>

\author{
Nevada Office: \\ California
}

Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 1209915
Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
Henderson, NV 89052 San Diego,
CA 92131
Telephone: (702) 939-
5234
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (702) 939-
5235 Telecopier: (858) 566-6602
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by
persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received thls transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.
Marven; Heather@memlaw.net; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; barter.pa ce@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis, I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L. King Blvd., LV 89106.
I understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862. Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records? Thank you,

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

\footnotetext{
From: Crystal L.
Marven [mailto:marven@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw, net; ; ibemis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte
Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
}

Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Dear Counsel:
Attached please find the Report and Recommendation
Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorneys Office on an OST for your review and approval.

Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.

\section*{Thank you,}

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
<image001.jpg>
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Nevada Office: & California \\
Office: & \\
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120 & 9915 \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300 & \\
Henderson, NV 89052 & San Diego,
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

CA 92131
Telephone: (702) 939-
5234
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (702) 939-
5235 Telecopier: (858) 566-6602
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digltal or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Subj & gnina v. Valley Health System, LLC \\
\hline Date: & Mon, 6 May 2013 10:24:42-0700 \\
\hline From: & Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net> \\
\hline To: & "Neal K. Hyman" <hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com> \\
\hline Cc: & John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Amy Feliciano <johnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Brent Vogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net> \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Neal,
While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c) bob@memlaw.net

The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorneyclient privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

\footnotetext{
From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Charlotte Bible; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; ibemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Counsel:
We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our
}
discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.
Regarding Mr. Farmer's videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PD's and DA's Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon.

If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, Califormia or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

\author{
From: Chariotte Blble [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM] \\ Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM \\ To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven \\ Cc: Barter Pace; ibemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net \\ Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

Okay. I will watch for it.

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors. We are revising the R\&R and will re-circulate for signature.

\section*{Neal}

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hvman(amorrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Ilegal interception of this communication is a violation of II U.S.C. \(\$ 251\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Crystal L. Marven
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM
To: 'Charlotte Bible'
Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'ibemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman; 'Heather@memlaw.net'
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
W6, \%

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. § 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or
electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.
Marven; Heather@memlaw.net; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis, I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L. King Blvd., LV 89106. I understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862. Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records? Thank you,

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Crystal L. Marven [mailto:marven@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw.net; ibemis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

\section*{Dear Counsel:}

Attached please find the Report and Recommendation Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorney's Office on an OST for your review and approval.

Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.

\section*{Thank you,}

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.


\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be avallable pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended reciplent or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. § 2511 . Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:29:48-0700
From: "Neal K. Hyman" <hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com>
To: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Brent Vogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>

Bob,
Again with the snotty altitude? Are you available or not? If not please provide alkernate dates. Thank you.
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:25 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: Fwd: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Neal,
While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob

\author{
Robert C. McBride, Esq. \\ Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride \\ 2012 Hamilton Lane \\ Las Vegas, NV 89106
}

702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorneyclient privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Charlotte Bible; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

\section*{Counsel:}

We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.

Regarding Mr. Farmer's videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PD's and DA's Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon.

If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven
Cc! Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Okay. I will watch for it.
Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors. We are revising the R\&R and will re-circulate for signature.

\section*{Neal}

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, Califormia or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Crystal L. Marven
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM
To: 'Charlotte Bible'
Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'jbemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman; 'Heather@memlaw.net'
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.

Thank you,


\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.
Marven; Heather@memlaw.net; ;bemis@HPSLaw.com; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis, I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L. King Blvd., LV 89106. I understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862. Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records? Thank you,

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Crystal L. Marven [mailto:marven@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw.net; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

\section*{Dear Counsel:}

Attached please find the Report and Recommendation Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorney's Office on an OST for your review and approval.

Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.

\section*{Thank you,}

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. § 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.
```

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:44:06-0700
From: Bob McBride [bob@memlaw.net](mailto:bob@memlaw.net)
To: "'Neal K. Hyman'" [hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com](mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com)
John Bemis [JBemis@HPSLaw.com](mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com), Amy Feliciano [iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov), Jeffrey
Ce: Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV. gov>, Brent Vogel [bvogel@lbbslaw.com](mailto:bvogel@lbbslaw.com), Heather Hall
[Heather@memlaw.net](mailto:Heather@memlaw.net), Allie Hanson [Allie@memlaw.net](mailto:Allie@memlaw.net)

```

Neal,
Really, Neal? Forgive me for pointing out the obvious to you in my last email. I just don't understand why it has been so hard for you to simply abide by common professional courtesy and at least include my office las well as Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel) in your plan to schedule the deposition of our client. Especially given the last experience where you failed to serve our office with a courtesy copy of the Motion to Compel Mr. Farmer's deposition last year.

Ms. Hall and I are are set to start a trial in front of Judge Cory on May 20.1 will check with the court and opposing counsel in that case to see if they anticipate the trial date continuing into the next week. I will also consult wiith Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel on their availability and I will let you know. Thanks for your prompt inquiry.

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto. is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, plaase notify the sender immediately by replying to this \(e\)-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164. the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Titte XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Acl, known as "the HITECH Acl".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Bob,
Again with the snotty attitude? Are you available or not? If not please provide alternate dates. Thank you.
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, Califomia or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\$ 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:25 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: Fwd: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Neal,
While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorneyclient privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and

164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mallto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Charlotte Bible; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Counsel:
We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.

Regarding Mr. Farmer's videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PD's and DA's Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon.

If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235

\section*{California Office:}

9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (858) 566-6602
hyman(a)morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, intermet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.
```

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

```

Okay. I will watch for it.
```

Charlotte M. Bible
Assistant General Counsel
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

```
(702) \(828-3310\) (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors. We are revising the \(R \& R\) and will re-circulate for signature.

Neal
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hymanomorissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\$ 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Crystal L. Marven
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM
To: 'Charlotte Bible'
Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'jbemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman; 'Heather@memlaw.net'
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

Nevada Office:

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235 marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopler or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be avallable pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.
Marven; Heather@memlaw.net; ;bemis@HPSLaw.com; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis, I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L. King Blvd., LV 89106. \(I\) understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862. Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records? Thank you,

\author{
Charlotte M. Bible \\ Assistant General Counsel \\ Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department \\ 400 Martin L. King Blvd. \\ Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 \\ (702) 828-3310 (office) \\ (702) 828-3191 (fax)
}

\footnotetext{
From: Crystal L. Marven [malito:marven@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw.net; 这emis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

\section*{Dear Counsel:}

Attached please find the Report and Recommendation Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorney's Office on an OST for your review and approval.

Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.

Thank you,
Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq,


\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235

\section*{marven@morrissullivanlaw.com}

California Office:
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (858) 566-6602

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\S 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

Subject: Re: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 10:54:17-0700
From: "Neal K. Hyman" <hyman@morrissullivanlaw, com>
To: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Jeffrey
Cc: Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Brent Vogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall
<Heather@memlaw.net>, Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, <cagnina@morrissullivanlaw.com>
Ok, sounds good. Please note that our firm discovery cut-off is May 31, 2013, and Mr. Bemis may be unavailable the week of May 20, and he has two depositions in this case set for May 16-17. Please let me know this week so we can notice it when everyone can attend.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
MORRIS, SULLIVAN
\& LEMKUL LLP
NEVADA OFFICE
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
702 939-5234
702 939-5235 (fax)
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
CALIFORNIA OFFICE
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92131
858 566-7600
858 566-6602 (fax)
Sent from my iPad
On May 6, 2013, at 10:43 AM, "Bob McBride" <bob@memlaw.net> wrote:
Neal,
Really, Neal? Forgive me for pointing out the obvious to you in my last email. I just don't understand why it has been so hard for you to simply abide by common professional courtesy and at least include my office (as well as Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel) in your plan to schedule the deposition of our client. Especially given the last experience where you failed to serve our office with a courtesy copy of the Motion to Compel Mr. Farmer's deposition last year.

Ms. Hall and I are are set to start a trial in front of Judge Cory on May 20.1 will check with the court and opposing counsel in that case to see if they anticipate the trial date continuing into the next week. I will also consult wiith Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel on their availability and I will let you know. Thanks for your prompt inquiry.

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq. Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride

2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mali, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immedialely by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the originat including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereol. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rute" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Bob,
Again with the snotty attitude? Are you available or not? If not please provide alternate dates. Thank you.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of email, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\quad 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

\footnotetext{
From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:25 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: Fwd: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

Neal,

While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

\footnotetext{
From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Charlotte Bible; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; ibemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

\section*{Counsel:}

We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.
Regarding Mr. Farmerl Is videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PDI. Is and DAI Is Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmerlis civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon.
If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
300
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\square 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:56 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman; Crystal L. Marven
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw,com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Okay. I will watch for it.

\author{
Charlotte M. Bible \\ Assistant General Counsel \\ Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department \\ 400 Martin L. King Blvd. \\ Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 \\ (702) 828-3310 (office) \\ (702) 828-3191 (fax)
}

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Crystal L. Marven; Charlotte Bible
Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather@memlaw.net
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Unfortunately the Discovery Commissioner kicked back the signed R\&R with procedural errors. We are revising the R\&R and will re-circulate for signature.

Neal
Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120

\section*{California Office:}

9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste.
San Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
Telecopier: (858) 566-6602

300
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
San Diego, CA 92131
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\square 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Crystal L. Marven
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 4:33 PM
To: 'Charlotte Bible'
Cc: 'Barter Pace'; 'ibemis@HPSLaw.com'; Neal K. Hyman; 'Heather@memlaw.net'
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Ms. Bible:
Attached please find your signature block revised with your correct address. Please sign and mail back the original signature page as soon as possible.

Thank you,

\author{
Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq. \\ <image001.jpg>
}

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
300
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmiltal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 u.s.C. \(\square 2511\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or
electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Charlotte Bible [mailto:C9479B@LVMPD.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Crystal L.
Marven; Heather@memlaw.net; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Mr. Hyman and Mr. Bemis,
I was out of the office last Thursday and Friday and just reviewed the Report and Recommendation. Is it possible to change the address in the signature block? LVMPD is not at 400 Stewart any more. We are located at 400 Martin L. King Blvd., LV 89106.
I understand the Discovery Commissioner approved the taking of the deposition of Steven Farmer. I wanted to advise you that the Clark County Detention Center will not transport an inmate for a civil deposition. You will need to make arrangements with Captain Michael See to coordinate the date and time for the deposition at CCDC. The contact number for Captain See is 671-3862. Additionally, after the Report is signed, would you please contact me about the logistics of the production of the records? Thank you,

Charlotte M . Bible
Assistant General Counse!
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 Martin L. King Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
(702) 828-3310 (office)
(702) 828-3191 (fax)

\footnotetext{
From: Crystal L. Marven [mailto:marven@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Heather@memlaw.net; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Charlotte
Bible; barter.pace@clarkcountyda.com
Cc: Neal K. Hyman
Subject: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
}

\section*{Dear Counsel:}

Attached please find the Report and Recommendation Re: Joint Motion to Compel Production of Documents from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. and the Clark County District Attorney口s Office on an OST for your review and approval.

Please sign as soon as possible as we need the original signatures back promptly.

\title{
Thank you, \\ Crystal L. Marven, Paralegal to Neal K. Hyman, Esq. \\ <image001.jpg>
}

\section*{Nevada Office:}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
300
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
marven@morrissullivanlaw.com

\section*{www.morrissullivanlaw.com}

Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. \(\mathbf{2 5 1 1}\). Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Date: Wed, 8 May 2013 08:21:57-0700
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net>
To: '"Neal K. Hyman"' <hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com> John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Amy Feliciano <iohnsoaa@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>,
Cc: Brent Vogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>, Allie Hanson <Allie@memlaw.net>, "cagnina@monissullivanlaw.com" <cagnina@morrissullivanlaw.com>

Mr. Hyman,
Please be advised that the May 30 date for Steven's deposition will work, as my trial should be over by \(5 / 27\) at the latest. Please provide me with a copy of the Notice of Deposition at your convenience. Thanks.

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq. Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attomey-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby nolified that any dissemination, distribulion or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-maii. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:54 AM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson; cagnina@morrissullivanlaw.com
Subject: Re: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Ok, sounds good. Please note that our firm discovery cut-off is May 31, 2013, and Mr. Bemis may be unavailable the week of May 20, and he has two depositions in this case set for May 16-17. Please let me know this week so we can notice it when everyone can attend.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{MORRIS, SULLIVAN}

\section*{\& LEMKUL LLP}

\section*{NEVADA OFFICE}

2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Suite 120
Henderson, NV 89052
702 939-5234
702 939-5235 (fax)
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
CALIFORNIA OFFICE
9915 Mira Mesa Blvd., Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92131
858 566-7600
858 566-6602 (fax)
Sent from my iPad
On May 6, 2013, at 10:43 AM, "Bob McBride" <bob@memlaw.net> wrote:
Neal,
Really, Neal? Forgive me for pointing out the obvious to you in my last email. I just don't understand why it has been so hard for you to simply abide by common professional courtesy and at least include my office (as well as Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel) in your plan to schedule the deposition of our client. Especially given the last experience where you failed to serve our office with a courtesy copy of the Motion to Compel Mr. Farmer's deposition last year.

Ms. Hall and I are are set to start a trial in front of Judge Cory on May 20. I will check with the court and opposing counsel in that case to see if they anticipate the trial date continuing into the next week. I will also consult with Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel on their availability and I will let you know. Thanks for your prompt inquiry.

Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client
privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibiled. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and priniout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Securily Rule" and Tille XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "lhe HITECH Act".)

From: Neal K. Hyman [mailto:hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Bob McBride
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie Hanson
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Bob,
Again with the snotty attitude? Are you available or not? If not please provide alternate dates. Thank you.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.
Nevada Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 120
Blvd., Ste. 300
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 939-5234
7600
Telecopier: (702) 939-5235
6602
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

\footnotetext{
From: Bob McBride [mailto:bob@memlaw.net]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 10:25 AM
To: Neal K. Hyman
Cc: John Bemis; Amy Feliciano; Jeffrey Maningo; Brent Vogel; Heather Hall; Allie
}

Hanson
Subject: Fwd: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC
Neal,
While I think it is great that John Bemis "approved the date" for Mr. Farmer's deposition on May 30, as you know, Mr. Bemis doesn't represent Mr. Farmer. It would be nice for you to work with our office toward a convenient date for the deposition of my client. At the very least, check with my schedule, or Ms. Hall's since you correctly point out that Mr. Farmer's civil counsel must be there. And please be so kind as to include me on any emails having to do with this deposition in addition to Ms. Hall. Thanks.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

Cc: Barter Pace; jbemis@HPSLaw.com; Heather Hall; cagnina; Shawn Morris
Subject: RE: Cagnina v. Valley Health System, LLC

Counsel:
We are told that the Judge has the R\&R regarding Metro criminal records and, if not already signed, it should be signed today. Ms. Bible, please confirm the Metro file is ready for us to pick up. Our discovery cut-off for all criminal and other matters is \(5 / 31\) so we need the Metro file ASAP.

Regarding Mr. Farmers videotaped deposition, it will be noticed for \(5 / 30\) in the Clark County Detention facility (we need the R\&R to notice it). Mr. Bemis approved the date. The PDs and DAs Office are invited to attend, and Mr. Farmers civil counsel must be there. A notice will go out soon.

If you have any questions, please call us. We look forward to hearing from you.

Neal K. Hyman, Esq.

\section*{Nevada Office:}

California Office:
2441 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Ste. 1209915
Mira Mesa Blvd., Ste. 300
Henderson, NV 89052
San
Diego, CA 92131
Telephone: (702) 939-

\section*{5234}

Telecopier: (702) 939-
5235
Telephone: (858) 566-7600
hyman@morrissullivanlaw.com
www.morrissullivanlaw.com
Notice: This transmittal is directed only to the above named addressee or addressees. It is not to be read by anyone else. Its contents may be protected from disclosure by law as privileged or confidential. The use of e-mail, internet, intranet, telecopier or other electronic transmission does not waive or intend to waive this or any other protection which may be available pursuant to Nevada, California or Federal law. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient or recipients is prohibited. Illegal interception of this communication is a violation of 11 U.S.C. 2511. Nothing in this message is to be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that may be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. If you received this transmission in error, please contact the sender, delete the material from any computer and permanently discard any printed or duplicate version of the material. Thank you for your cooperation.

\author{
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer \\ Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2013 11:43:34-0700 \\ From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.net> \\ "'Robert E. Murdock, Esq."' <lasvegasjustice@aol.com>, "JBemis@HPSLaw.com" \\ <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, "bvogel@lbbslaw.com" <bvogel@libbslaw.com>, \\ To: "abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com" <abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com>, "kwebster@HPSLAW.COM" \\ <kwebster@HPSLAW.COM> \\ "keachmurdock@gmail.com" <keachmurdock@gmail.com>, "Jeffrey Maningo \\ Cc: (maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov)" <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Allie Hanson \\ <Allie@memlaw.net>, Heather Hall <Heather@memlaw.net>
}

Rob,
Thanks for your email. While I understand with your different interpretation of the case cited by John, I, on the other hand (and not surprisingly to you, I am sure) agree with Mr. Bemis' interpretation of the case. However, I appreciate your agreement to continue the deposition of Steven under the circumstances. And while 1 know you don't care about the participation of Mr. Farmer's criminal counsel at his deposition, I would simply ask, as a professional courtesy, that you provide me some dates that you would like to take Steven's deposition in the near future so that I can coordinate not only my availability to attend, but also, at least one of his criminal counsel. My calendar has been filling up with dates for depositions in other matters, where discovery dates are closing, and I need to be able to clear a date to attend. As you are well aware, I have, at all times, provided you with professional courtesy in agreeing to forego unilaterally noticing your former client, Ms. Peterson's deposition, as well as her children, when there was no requirement for me to do 50 , and all I would ask is that you allow me the same professional courtesy in return. I appreciate this courtesy in advance. Thank you very much.

Bob
Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& MicBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (o)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net

\begin{abstract}
The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is striclly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please nolify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIlt of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)
\end{abstract}

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. [mailto:lasvegasjustice@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 11:27 AM
To: Bob McBride; JBemis@HPSLaw.com; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com;
kwebster@HPSLAW.COM
Cc: keachmurdock@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
I have reviewed the case that John provided and I disagree with his read of the
case. The case involved a judgment and not discovery. What would happen, for example, if the party would die and a discovery date was pending? Under John's premise, the date would simply be "tolled". To me, this doesn't make much sense. Most important, the case does not stand for that proposition. Moreover, a motion for protective order is needed and I have not seen one yet.

The issue regarding criminal counsel is simply not one that \(I\) care about. If Bob was not in the case, then, \(I\) think the individual should have some counsel at the deposition, or at least be advised that they should have counsel. However, there certainly is no requirement that Farmer have both criminal and civil counsel present.

However, rather than arguing about this bit of flotsam, I will continue the depos until such time as the Substitution is entered. I will be filing it this week on an OST.

But, let me caution all of you.
Once the substitution is entered, I will set the depositions immediately. There will be no more delays in this case. There will be no more discovery continuances without a protective order. Whether farmer has counsel or not, whether ANS has correct \(30(\mathrm{~b})(6)\) witnesses or not, whether UHS personnel are involved in SEC meetings or not, is all meaningless at this point. Our case will take precedent unless a Protective Order is issued.

All parties could simply move forward with these depositions this week. But, you want another delay. So be it. But, there will be no more delays.

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell
-_Original Message-_-_
From: Bob McBride <bob@memiaw.net>
To: 'John Bemis' <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>; Robert E. Murdock, Esq. <lasvegasjustice@aol.com>; bvogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>; abrookhyser <abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com>; Ken Webster <kwebster@HPSLAW.COM>
Cc: keachmurdock <keachmurdock@gmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 23, 2013 10:36 am
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
This would seem to provide additional reason to continue Mr. Farmer's deposition until these issues have been resolved.

Bob
From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 10:32 AM
To: Robert E. Murdock, Esq.; Bob McBride; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com; Ken Webster Cc: keachmurdock@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer

It is my understanding that there is no jurisdiction over the deceased. As such, the action is suspended until substitution occurs or the deadline for substitution expires. Walker v. Burkham 68 Nev 250, 229 P. 2 d 158. Substitution of the parties is essential for the action to go forward. Until substitution is ordered, no party can move
in the case.

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. [mailto:lasvegasjustice@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 11:51 AM
To: bob@memlaw.net; bvogel@lbbslaw.com; abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com; Ken Webster; John Bemis
Cc: keachmurdock@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Bob,
The deposition is going forward. As you know, Rule 25 provides for 90 days for the filing from the date of the Suggestion of Death. An amendment per Rule 25 will be filed within the time frame. There is no "stay" of discovery within those 90 days per any Rule that I know of. As you know, a wrongful death case is a different animal and the children have two years from the date of the death to file a lawsuit.

Regardless, I will be taking Mr. Farmer's deposition on Wednesday just as I will be taking the 30(b)(6) tmrw of ANS and other depositions in the next short while.

I look fonward to seeing you.

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell
-...-Original Message.---
From: Bob McBride <bob@memlaw.nel>
To: 'Robert E. Murdock, Esq.' <lasvegasjustice@aol.com>; bvogel <bvogel@lbbslaw.com>; abrookhyser
<abrookhyser@lbbslaw.com>; kwebster <kwebster@hpslaw.com>; jbemis <ibemis@hpslaw.com>
Cc: keachmurdock <keachmurdock@gmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 23, 2013 9:42 am
Subject: RE: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Rob,
Given the recent passing of Ms. Peterson, and Mr. Vogel's Suggestion of Death recently filed, can you advise me of your plan to either Amend the Complaint or refile a Wrongful Death Action (if that is the plan) on behalf of Mis. Peterson's heirs? I am assuming that since nothing has been filed as of yet, that the deposition of Steven that you had noticed to take place this Wednesday, \(9 / 25\), will not be proceeding on that date. Please let me know so we can make arrangements for another date for Steven's deposition after the pleadings have been cleared up. Thanks.

Bob

Robert C. McBride, Esq.
Mandelbaum, Ellerton \& McBride
2012 Hamilton Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-367-1234 (0)
702-367-1978 (f)
702-285-7315 (c)
bob@memlaw.net

The information contained in this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is confidential and is intended only for use by the individual(s) and/or entity named above. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any disclosure of the contents of this communication to others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail. Please then delete the original including all attachments and any copy of any e-mail and printout thereof. Thank you. (Note: This electronic communication complies with current provisions of CFR Parts 160 and 164, the "HIPAA Privacy Rule" and the "HIPAA Security Rule" and Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, known as "the HITECH Act".)

From: Robert E. Murdock, Esq. [mailto:lasvegasjustice@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 11:06 AM
To: bvogel@lbbslaw.com; abrookhyser@llbbsiaw.com; kwebster@hpslaw.com; jbemis@hpslaw.com; Bob McBride
Cc: keachmurdock@gmail.com
Subject: Doe v. UHS, Centennial, ANS and Farmer
Be advised that 1 am in the process of setting the deposition (and serving subpoenas in New Orleans) of Connie Brown and Johnette Spellman. Once they are served, i will not be willing to change the deposition date because I am going to stick by the served subpoena so there won't be any issues. So, if they can't make it that day, or your schedules change and you can't make it, 1 am letting you know in advance that we won't be making any changes.

This being said, if there are any dates in the next 30-45 days where you absolutely cannot make it, let me know by Monday. Similarly, if Ms. Brown and Ms. Spellman wish to have input, I will do so, but I will ask that they allow you to simply accept the subpoena and that they agree to be bound by that subpoena.

Thank you.
Robert E. Murdock, Esq.
MURDOCK \& ASSOCIATES
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-384-5563
702-384-4570 fax
702-497-7560 cell

\section*{Subject: Marcia Peterson}

Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:07:39-0800
From: Jane Everitt <everifil@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: "'ibemis@hpslaw.com"' <ibemis@hpslaw.com>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorri@ClarkCountyNV.gov>

Good morning Mr. Bemis,
As you probably know we are preparing for the Farmer trial, which begins on February 3. Jeff Maningo and Ryan Basher are the attorney's that are defending Mr. Farmer.

We recently discovered that Marcia Peterson killed herself. Ryan has asked me to get in contact with you regarding Peterson and her death. We are asking if you could share with us any information you have on her mental health prior to her suicide and of her death. Also, if you have any documents (medical records, etc.) that pertain to her mental health and suicide. Any of this would be very helpful to us.

Please feel free to call myself, Ryan or Jeff at anytime. My office number is 455-0586, Ryan's is 4550086 and Jeff's is 455-4228.

Thank you in advance,
Jane Everitt
Investigator
Clark County Public Defender

Subject: Re: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:13:00-0800
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw;com>
To: Jane Everitt <everiti|@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorri@ClarkCountyNV.goy>
I don't have any medical records regarding suicide but all obits and paperwork mention her ongoing seizures

I will call you when I'm done with deposition or in morning tomorrow
Sent from my iPad
On Jan 21, 2014, at 11:07 AM, "Jane Everitt" <everitil@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:

Good morning Mr. Bemis,
As you probably know we are preparing for the Farmer trial, which begins on February 3. Jeff Maningo and Ryan Basher are the attorney's that are defending Mr. Farmer.

We recently discovered that Marcia Peterson killed herself. Ryan has asked me to get in contact with you regarding Peterson and her death. We are asking if you could share with us any information you have on her mental health prior to her suicide and of her death. Also, if you have any documents (medical records, etc.) that pertain to her mental health and suicide. Any of this would be very helpful to us.

Please feel free to call myself, Ryan or Jeff at anytime. My office number is 455-0586, Ryan's is 455-0086 and Jeff's is 455-4228.

Thank you in advance,
Jane Everitt
Investigator
Clark County Public Defender

Subject: RE: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:23:26-0800
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>, Jane Everitt <everiti@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorri@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Hi John.." I think we just need confirmation that it was suicide. Not worried about the seizures.
Thanks
JSM

From: John Bemis [mailto:]Bemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:13 AM
To: Jane Everitt
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; Ryan J. Bashor
Subject: Re: Marcia Peterson
I don't have any medical records regarding suicide but all obits and paperwork mention her ongoing seizures
I will call you when I'm done with deposition or in morning tomorrow
Sent from my iPad
On Jan 21, 2014, at 11:07 AM, "Jane Everitt" <everitil@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:

Good morning Mr. Bemis,
As you probably know we are preparing for the Farmer trial, which begins on February 3. Jeff Maningo and Ryan Basher are the attomey's that are defending Mr. Farmer.

We recently discovered that Marcia Peterson killed herself. Ryan has asked me to get in contact with you regarding Peterson and her death. We are asking if you could share with us any information you have on her mental health prior to her suicide and of her death. Also, if you have any documents (medical records, etc.) that pertain to her mental health and suicide. Any of this would be very helpful to us.

Please feel free to call myself, Ryan or Jeff at anytime. My office number is 455-0586, Ryan's is 455-0086 and Jeff's is 455-4228.

Thank you in advance,
```

Jane Everitt
Investigator
Clark County Public Defender

```

Subject: RE: Marcia Peterson
Date: Tue, 21 Jan 2014 11:25:38-0800
From: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>
To: Jeffrey Maningo <maningis@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, Jane Everitt <everitil@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorrj@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Definitely a suicide

Scnt via the Samsung GALAXYS 4, an ATET 4G I.TE smartphone
.------- Original message
From: Jeffrey Maningo <maningjs@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Date: 01/21/2014 11:23 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: John Bemis <JBemis@HPSLaw.com>,Jane Everitt <everitjl@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Cc: "Ryan J. Bashor" <bashorrj@ClarkCountyNV.gov>
Subject: RE: Marcia Peterson

Hi John. I think we just need confirmation that it was suicide. Not worried about the seizures.

Thanks
JSM

From: John Bemis [mailto:JBemis@HPSLaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 11:13 AM
To: Jane Everit
Cc: Jeffrey Maningo; Ryan J. Bashor
Subject: Re: Marcia Peterson

I don't have any medical records regarding suicide but all obits and paperwork mention her ongoing seizures

I will call you when I'm done with deposition or in morning tomorrow
Sent from my iPad

On Jan 21, 2014, at 11:07 AM, "Jane Everitt" <everitil@ClarkCountyNV.gov> wrote:

Good morning Mr. Bemis,

As you probably know we are preparing for the Farmer trial, which begins on February 3. Jeff Maningo and Ryan Basher are the attorney's that are defending Mr. Farmer.

We recently discovered that Marcia Peterson killed herself. Ryan has asked me to get in contact with you regarding Peterson and her death. We are asking if you could share with us any information you have on her mental health prior to her suicide and of her death. Also, if you have any documents (medical records, etc.) that pertain to her mental health and suicide. Any of this would be very helpful to us.

Please feel free to call myself, Ryan or Jeff at anytime. My office number is 4550586, Ryan's is 455-0086 and Jeff's is 455-4228.

Thank you in advance,

Jane Everitt
Investigator
Clark County Public Defender

\author{
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA \\ Electronically Filed \\ Aug 162016 09:32 a.m. \\ Tracie K. Lindeman \\ Clerk of Supreme Court
}

VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, d/b/a CENTENNIAL HILLS HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER; AND UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Appellants,

VS.

\section*{ESTATE OF JANE DOE, BY AND THROUGH ITS SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR, MISTY PETERSON,}

Respondent.
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\section*{CHRISTINE MURRAY,}
having been called as a witness and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

> (Prior to the commencement of the deposition, all counsel present agreed to waive statements by the court reporter pursuant to Rule \(30(b)(4)\) of the NRCP.)

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Good afternoon. My name is Neal Hyman. I'm here on behalf of the plaintiff in this case called Cagnina versus Valley Health System, ILC, I think.
A. Okay.
Q. Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record.
A. Christine Murray, M-U-R-R-A-Y.
Q. And what is your current employment?
A. I'm a registered nurse.
Q. Is that with Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. No.
Q. Is there a certain place that you're primarily working at?
A. Yes. North Vista Hospital.
Q. You don't happen to know the address, do you?
A. No. It is on Lake Mead. I know that. It's in North Las Vegas.
Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay. So what I'll do is I'm going to go over a series of ground rules, admonitions that kind of explain the deposition process.

The first is there's a court reporter you can see to your right taking down everything I say and everything you say.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. This will basically be question and answer, and the important thing is not to talk over each other. So please let me finish what I'm saying, pause a second or two, that will give your
attorney a chance to object if he wants to, and then answer, and this will avoid us talking over each other. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. And there is a tendency sometimes where the witness will anticipate the answer to my question before I'm done and they start to automatically answer, so just try not to do that. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q.

You understand that you've been placed under oath. This would be just like testifying in a court of law.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And obviously for not telling the truth, there will be a perjury penalty.

Do you understand that?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Can you think of any reason why you wouldn't be able to offer your best testimony here today?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You're not under the influence of anything? You got enough rest and all that?
A. Absolutely.
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Q. With this type of deposition, it's pretty fact driven. You're just being produced as a fact witness. Earlier we had sort of designated -or didn't designate you but identified you as a person most knowledgeable for the hospital. Now I've been told that you're not a person most knowledgeable of the hospital so, I guess, are you clear that you're just basically here as a fact witness?
A. Sure.

MR. HYMAN: And then obviously for record purposes, any of the PMK subjects that you were identified for, I'll reserve my right to take a person most knowledgeable deposition on that.

MR. FERRAINOLO: That's fine.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. The incident we're going to be talking about occurred in May of 2008, and I'll ask you some questions about what you remember about that. Obviously memories fade, so I don't want you to guess or speculate. If you can give me your best estimate, that would be great.

We only want what's in your personal knowledge so, say, if somebody told something to you or you read something or you saw something, that's
all personal knowledge. We just don't want to get into the whole hearsay, so-and-so told so-and-so something.

Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And if at any point you don't understand my question or you want it read back, we can do any of those options, but it's important for you to understand the question.

Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at the conclusion of the deposition, they will put together a deposition booklet. It's a transcript which will have everything I asked you and everything you answered, and you have an opportunity to make changes to that.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I would just caution you, if it's any sort of substantive change, say, for example, if today in this case -- if today you said you saw the plaintiff on May 18 and then when you get the transcript you said, oh, it was actually the 16th, that's a substantive change. If it's just simply correcting, you know, the spelling of a name or something, that's not that big of a deal.

Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. So what I will do is I kind of want to start at the beginning. It's typical in a deposition to sort of go over a witness's background, so I just kind of want to start a little bit with where are you from? I mean, what state were you born in?
A. Michigan.
Q. And with the last witness, she moved around quite a bit.

So was there any states in between
Michigan and Nevada that you've lived in?
A. Before. I came from Michigan to Nevada.
Q. Okay.
A. But before that, I did live in South

Carolina for about six months.
Q. Okay. And did you go to high school in Michigan?
A. Yes.
Q. Graduated?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go to any post high school. education in Michigan?
A. That's where I got my nursing degree

Erom.
Q. You recall the school, obviously. What was the --
A. Henry Ford Community College.
Q. And so that was a degree in nursing?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ever get licensed to be a
nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. Like a registered nurse?
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. In Michigan?
A. In Michigan and Nevada.
Q. Do you recall, like, a year when you got that in Michigan?
A. 2004 .
Q. And did you ever work as a registered nurse in Michigan in any sort of health facility?
A. Yes.
Q. A hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. I worked at Garden City Hospital.
Q. And were you assigned to any specific departments of the hospital?
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A. I worked on the third floor, which was joint and hip replacement.
Q. Did you ever work -- actually, I won't ask you this one.

Well, have you ever worked in an \(E R\) as a nurse?
A. No.
Q. In the Garden City Hospital, did you ever work, like, on an admissions floor where patients were being admitted?
A. Yes.
Q. And at some point, did you go from Michigan to South Carolina?
A. I went from Michigan to South Carolina, then back to Michigan and got the degree, and then out to Nevada.
Q. Okay.
A. So I was not an RN when \(I\) was in South Carolina.
Q. And so what was the purpose of moving to South Carolina?
A. My parents had retired there, and my father had dementia, and my mother was terminally ill from cancer.
Q. Okay. So you went there for family
reasons and then went back to Michigan?
A. I brought my mother back to Michigan to die.
Q. Okay. Sorry about that. But you didn't work as a nurse in South Carolina?
A. No.
Q. And you haven't been licensed in South Carolina?
A. No.
Q. And when was it that you moved from Michigan to Nevada?
A. In 2006 .
Q. Do you remember the month?
A. June.
Q. And what prompted a move to Nevada?
A. My daughters and my granddaughter lived here, and they kept asking me to come out and live by them.
Q. Was there any job reasons why you moved to Nevada?
A. No. It was just they knew that St. Rose was building a new hospital, and they said there were plenty of jobs available.
Q. So St. Rose in Henderson?
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A. Uh-huh.
Q. And there's different campuses. Do you remember, was it the old Henderson one?
A. No. I was at the Siena campus.
Q. And when you came to Nevada, was it something where you could just use your Michigan license and transfer it over?
A. No. I had gotten my Nevada license.
Q. So you actually, like, took a test or something?
A. No. You can fill out -- they have a certain set of forms, and you get your fingerprints taken and all that stuff, and you send everything in. And then they check your records, and then they send you your license.
Q. Okay. So other than submitting the paperwork and whatnot, you didn't have to take new courses or anything?
A. No, huh-uh.
Q. And at the Siena campus, were you assigned to certain areas of the hospital?
A. To the joint and hip replacement.
Q. Did you ever work in, like, you know, just admissions from the \(\mathbb{R} R\) or anything like that?
A. There? Yeah, because you always have
overflow patients, you know, patients that they need beds for and you don't have as many hip or knee replacements as you have beds, so they'll send you some, so yeah.
Q. And at the \(5 t\). Rose campus -- or hospital, did they offer you any sort of internal nursing courses that they offered or essentially just your normal courses you take to maintain your license?
A. Well, there was a three-day course on the knee and hip replacement, which I took. And other than that, we have to keep our continuing education credits going. You know, you have to have 25 every two years.
Q. Okay.
A. So that's mostly on computer.
Q. And I'm leading up to where you ended up at Centennial Hills Hospital. Was that directly from st. Rose?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. The uh-huhs don't really come up on the record.
A. Yes.
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Q. Do you recall when that was?
A. I opened with Centennial Hills. I was in their opening group of nurses.
Q. And from --
A. I believe that was January 2007 -- '8.
Q. 7 or '8?
A. '8.
Q. 2008; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that something whexe they were, like, advertising positions or anything?
A. Yes, they had a large half a page ad in the paper, and it was closer to where I was living.
Q. And I kind of went through this with the last witness that was here, but have you always worked directly as an employee for health facilities as opposed to sometimes, you know, you work through an outsourcing type company?
A. Agencies?
Q. Right.
A. I've worked for agencies for part-time.
Q. Do you recall the names of any of those?
A. I only really worked for one. God, I can't remember the name of it. I can't remember. I haven't worked for them for two years. But they
specifically provide nurses for MountainView and the other groups that are -- the other hospitals that are in that group. That's the only people they provide nurses for.
Q. Okay. But at least for st. Rose, you were a direct employee of theirs?
A. Yes.
Q. And same thing with Centennial Hills?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Have you ever heard of a company called American Nursing Services?
A. No. What is American Nurses?
Q. It was a party in this case, but it's an outsourcing company, essentially.
A. Oh .
Q. Okay. So are you familiar at all with the facts that are alleged in this case, what the plaintiff is saying happened at Centennial Hills Hospital?
A. I believe I am.
Q. Okay. The plaintiff is Roxanne Cagnina. Does that name ring a bell?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you remember when she was a patient, I guess, at Centennial Hills Hospital in
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May of 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. And specifically, I think it was around May 15, she was admitted into the emergency room. Do you recall anything like that?
A. I'm not exactly sure what the date was. I know it was around that time of the year, you know, the late spring, early summer.
Q. Okay. And you would have been working not in the emergency. You were on the admissions floor?
A. Yes.
Q. And at least the way we understand the facts is she was taken to the seventh floor. Is that where you were?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you assigned to any other floors but seven at that time?
A. I was -- I wasn't assigned -occasionally they would pull us down to six, because six and seven both were med-surg floors, but we got the joint replacements on seven mostly.
Q. Okay. And when you said med -- is that, like, med surgeries?
A. Medical-surgical, yes.
Q. So for -- say in Roxanne Cagnina's case, where she was admitted to the ER for seizures, and my understanding is that she was admitted to the hospital for, I don't know, maybe just observation, is that where you would go?
A. You mean to seven?
Q. Right, seven.
A. If six didn't have -- if six's beds were filled or they didn't have enough nurses and we had beds open and nurses weren't up to their max, then yes.
Q. But six would be the first place to go?
A.

It's --
MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I'm just trying to figure, like, does it really matter? Is it different services on the sixth floor?
A. Not really. Not really.
Q. We have some medical records, and I can show them to you if we need to, and I don't even know if they would give you the answer to this. But do you have a recollection of how busy the seventh floor was when Roxanne Cagnina was taken to the
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seventh floor? I mean, was there -- you know, were you over capacity or did -A. No.
Q. -- You have enough nurses working?

I mean, do you remember anything
about -- was it inordinately not busy?
A. No. I would say it was a typical night.
Q. And do you recall the time period? Would this kind of carry over into the early hours of the morning when she --
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, it sounds to me like you're answering most of this from memory, so you can kind of xemember this incident?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is there a reason why it's more memorable to you? Is it because of what's ultimately being alleged that happened or --
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A.

Well, also because -- well, this gets into the whole thing, but because I was told nothing about this and when \(I\) came in the next evening, I was told -- I said, what happened to the patient? Did she go home?

And all the sudden, oh, you know, we put her under another name. We put her on another floor, blah, blah, blah, blah.

And I'm like, wow, okay.
Q. Okay. So we'll kind of just keep taking this in sequence, then, and I'll show you the medical records if you need that. But have you reviewed, like, either the medical records or anything to get ready for this deposition?
A. Outside of here?
Q. I mean other than -- I haven't showed you anything yet so I mean --
A. No.
Q. You haven't, like, say in the last couple weeks gone through the medical records or anything?
A. Oh, God, no, huh-uh.
Q. And as far as things specifically related to this case, like the complaint or there's been some discovery that's been exchanged, you haven't seen anything like that?
A. No.
Q. No witness statements or reports or
anything?
A. No.
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Q. Nothing. Okay.

So let's take this apaxt a little bit. I understand that she was in the emergency room, at least based on the last witness that testified, sometime on May 15, I think around 8:20-ish P.M., and then stayed in the \(E R\) probably for a period of about six hours and was ultimately -- sometime around 2:30, 2:45 A.M. on the 16 th, the process was started to send her to the seventh floor. Does that sound right?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: You know, yeah, you'd have to check the form, because we don't see -- we don't get told any of that. We just get told we're getting a patient.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And when you say "the form" -- because, you know, we have these medical records which we went through in detail with, you know, the ER witness.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I pulled out a few documents that I thought had to do with sending in a request or notice to the seventh floor, you know, a patient is coming here. Are those the type of things you're
talking about?
A. The type -- the thing that they send that they fax up is just a basic -- what they call SBAR sheet, which just tells you, you know, basics on the patient and what room they're going to.
Q. Okay. So there wouldn't be any, I guess, per se, emergency department room records or notes or anything that you would be that concerned with --
A. Huh-uh.
Q. -- at that point?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So I'm going to show you this document that -- we refer to this number at the bottom corner here.
A. Okay.
Q. CAG -- I'li omit the zeros -- 57. This is an emergency department fax report.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And that's taken from the medical records we got in this case.
A. Right. This is what we call, like, an SBAR report. It shows you all -- these are just things you would basically need, you know, so that when the patient came up, you could have the room
ready for her. Do they need a pump? Do they need this? You know, do they need SCD stock? What do they need and a basic idea of this. That's it.
Q. Do you know who fills this form out? Is that done either by you or the seventh floor or the ER department?
A. Oh, no, this would be the ER. Somebody down in ER would do this.
Q. Okay. And is there anything on here that indicates who actually filled this thing out or whose handwriting that might be?
A. No, there's nothing here. It's just -they have -- there's no nurse thing. There's no charge nurse. There's no nothing. Just basics.
Q. Well, it's obviously too legible for a doctor.
A. That's true. It probably is either the nurse or the charge nurse who is, you know, sending the patient up.
Q. Can you -- do you see anything on here -- and specifically, I guess I'll target you to the top of the document -- indicating the date and time of when this would have been prepared or sent to the seventh floor?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: On here it just is telling you 2:45 in the morning that this was written or started. It could have just been started at that time.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And then are you familiax with the process of -- okay, someone in the ER fills this out.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Then what do they do? They fax that somewhere?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Yes?

MR. FERRAINOLO: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: Oh, I was just going to
say they should fax it to the floor.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And one thing we went over with the last witness who was -- I think it was Ms. Goodhart from the ER. Do you know who that is?
A. No.
Q. We were talking a little bit about, you know, the information on the room numbers.
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A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you can see here, there's -- it looks like 717 and then below it 725.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes.

Do you know why there would have originally been one room number and then it was switched to 725?
A. Well, the charge nurse has to tell whoever calls from ER what room they're going to put the patient in, so possibly this person -- you know, maybe the 715 -- maybe she realized that that wasn't clean. Maybe housekeeping didn't come up and get it clean yet and wasn't, you know, in shape for a patient. Maybe she didn't think and -- and something else was going on.

These are all single rooms so -- the only thing \(I\) can think of is that maybe the 715 [sic] was, you know, not cleaned up yet from the patient 'cause -- yeah, 'cause sometimes these rooms sat dirty for, you know, a couple hours, depending on how big the housekeeping staff was, if they couldn't get up there 'cause they have to do the ER, and all the floors. So -- and maybe she just said, okay, well, that one is still dirty, we better send
them over to this one. That's the only thing I can think of.
Q. Okay. Just to be clear, then --
A. That's not fact or knowledge. That's just what I have seen them do before.
Q. Just to be clear, then, you mentioned the charge nurse. Are we talking about the charge nurse from the seventh floor?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Would somehow either -- on the phone, I'm presuming, talk to \(\mathbb{R}\) ?
A. Yes.
Q. And give them the room number?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the ER would input the room number, which in this case we have two different room numbers, so maybe there was a follow-up phone call or something; right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. There could have been, yes.
Q. Okay. And then this is presumably faxed to the seventh floor.
A. Yes.
Q.

So there's already been some sort of
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dialogue between the seventh floor and the ER before this is faxed.
A. Yes.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And let's just assume that this time is correct, 2:45 when this was written or they put the date on the top.
A. Yes.
Q. Is there ever a lag time between, you know, the person's in the \(E R\) to when they actually get up to the seventh floor?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objection.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: I was just going to say, it -- I would not know. The only thing I could say is if they brought somebody in, you know, through the ER that needed emergency care or something and this person who was filling this out had to go help -- I don't know. I have no idea. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And before we move off of this form, obviously there's lots of things noted on here, but at the bottom, it says other pertinent info. There's an asterisk, and it says seizure
precautions, I and 0.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you know what that would be telling people on the seventh floor?
A. Yes. Seizure precautions means that you have to pad the bed rails so that if the person had a seizure in the bed, they wouldn't hurt themselves on the railings.
\(I\) and \(O\) means they want intake and output, what they take in, fluids and food-wise, and what they would urinate or if they had a bowel movement.
Q. Okay. And so then assuming everything goes to plan, by the time the patient is transferred up to the seventh floor, the room is ready, and all of these instructions are already in place?
A. Well, you get this. The nurse gets a copy of this; and then you look at that. And then you take -- you have to go by the doctor's orders, but this gives you an idea of what kind of meds she was given down there, which is important because the way she came up.
Q. Does anything on this form indicate to you that someone in particular, you know, a type of hospital employee, should be transporting this
patient to the seventh floor, such as a nurse or somebody specific due to her medical condition?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Who -- based on your knowledge, who -- what type of employees can transport this type of patient up to the seventh floor?
A. People hired for transportation purposes. A nurse can. Usually we don't have time to do that. Even a doctor can, not that they ever would.
Q. So, like, a nurse's assistant or a CNA?
A. A nursing assistant, yeah, she could. I mean, nobody -- nobody is going to stop if you want to jump in and do more work than you're supposed to be there for.
Q. Now, you said "she." What about a male nurse's assistant?
A. We had those, yes.
Q. So the fact that this was a female patient who was obviously on various medications suffering from seizures being admitted to the hospital, do you think that some sort of female transporter should have been used?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I'll go with him. I -- to
me, they hire who they can get, and it's really -from what I've seen, it's better to have men because they can move the bodies around better. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And if I submitted to you just a couple hours ago, Ms. Goodhart testified that she was the RN that was working kind of in the area of Mr. Farmer and she initially was going to take Roxanne Cagnina up to the seventh floor and was told by somebody not to and to have Mr. Farmer do it, the CNA, does that all sound okay?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. All right. And you wouldn't have any knowledge about who might have given that instruction or why?
A. All right. You're asking me if I know why somebody would say to the nurse, don't you take her up, let Mr. Farmer take her up?
Q. Right. Do you have any knowledge of why that decision was made?
A. Not really. I don't know why, other than nurses are important. They have to stay there where the patients need them.
Q. Okay.
A. They want you on the floor so you can do stuff. They don't want you transporting people. That's why they hired transportation.
Q. Based on the type of work you've done in hospitals and at Centennial Hills Hospital, do you work alongside nurse's assistants and CNAs that often?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you kind of know what their general duties are?
A. I was a CNA, so yes.
Q. Okay: And so safe to assume it does not involve medical treatment to patients.
A. No.
Q. That's more for nurses and doctors?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it include, you know, getting somebody a blanket, just making sure they're comfortable, that kind of thing?
A. Yes.
Q. And in certain circumstances, could it involve some sort of medical treatment? I mean, like, providing them with a catheter or IV or something?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And at least based on this case, you're not aware of any reason why a certified nurse's assistant should have been treating this patient at all?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I'm going to show you another document, and this was produced by Valley Health in this case. It says \(\mathbb{E D}\) transport log 1. This is also from -actually, that's not from the medical records but --

MR. FERRAINOLO: Can we go off the
record for a second?
MR. HYMAN: Sure.
(A discussion was held off the record.)

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Have you seen this type of document before?
A. No.
Q. It says at the top Centennial Hills \(E R\) admit log.
A. Yes.
Q. I guess, if you had to venture a best
estimate, would you say this is an ER document? A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Looking just at this top block which only pertains to Roxanne Cagnina -- I could kind of go through the different boxes with you, but if you just glance through there, does that tend to provide you with some information about when timing-wise she was in the ER, when they, you know, submitted for her to be admitted and when the room was ready and that kind of stuff?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. So why don't we just, like, take these boxes part by part. Actually, I think I have a copy. So if we look at the top left box, there's a box that says disposition time, 2:30. I guess that's in the morning; right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on top of that, you can see the date. It says May 16, 2008; right?
A. Yes.
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Q. So this is the early hours of the morning on the 16 th .
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what disposition time is referring to?
A. No, I don't.
Q. I don't think anybody does.

But now 2:30 is 15 minutes before -remember the eaxlier form we looked at that said 2:45 A.M.?
A. Yes.
Q. So, I mean, I guess your best estimate -- do you have one that it's -- it's all part of this initial time of when this fax was being genexated?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Or at least the process is being initiated to send her to the seventh floor; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Below that, it says bed type, and it's got a number. Does that mean anything to you?
A. No.
Q. Then we move to the second box over on
the top. It says time super was called. Would that be the supervisor?
A. Yes, the house supervisor.
Q. And do you know if that's referring to someone on the seventh floor?
A. No. That would -- that would be the house supervisor. They usually stay down in the ER, but they travel around to each floor at night and see how things are going until -- see what -- you know, what's going on, what beds are available, how many people they can take, how many nurses they have, what the staffing situation is.
Q. okay. And for this instance, you don't know who that person would have been on this day?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay. Then below that box, it says time super called back. This must be a very efficient employee 'cause it's the same time; right?
A.
I guess, yes.
Q. Do you know the significance of that time, why they would be calling back or --
A. No.
Q. Then the next box over on the top says inpatient bed number 717. So what does that mean?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: It looks like they're saying that's the bed that they intend that patient to go to.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And then if we look back at the earlier document we were talking about, remember how there was the two numbers, there was 717 --
A. Yes.
Q.

I think you thought it looked like 715, but it's probably 717 and then 725; right?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Right.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Are you, like, familiar, sitting here right now, with the way the seventh floor is laid out?
A. Yes.
Q. And the sequence of the different rooms?
A. Yes, I believe I can remember that.
Q. Maybe we don't need to have you try to draw a map. If we can just have you testify to it. But is 717 in the near vicinity of 725 ?
A. Let me think.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
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BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. If you think drawing a map would help, you could do that.
A. No. It would be about eight rooms' difference, because each room is a single room. So you would have 17 more toward the front -- toward the nurses station, and then 25 was more toward the elevators, toward the back.
Q. And that was leading to my next question, because obviously the way we understand this case is that Mr. Farmer took Miss Cagnina out of the ER on a roller bed or gurney, whatever you're going to call it, to the elevators up to the seventh floor.
A. Yes.
Q. So let's follow that through. If you take the elevators up to the seventh floor, is there a nursing station around?
A. Around the elevators themselves?
Q. Right.
A. There's one a short distance but not right there. I mean, you wouldn't step off the elevator and see the nurses station, no.
Q. So not necessarily in complete visibility?
A. There's people walking around all the time.
Q. Let me ask you this. If you were at the nurses station --
A. Yes.
Q. -- could you see somebody -- a patient being taken up through the elevator?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No, no.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Is there more than one nurses station on this floor?
A. There is two nurses stations, but both of them face this way. And the elevators come up like this, and they go off that way.
Q. And if you took the elevator up and were going to wheel somebody to room 717, would you go past the nursing station?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: No. 717 or 725 where she went?

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. No, I was first asking you about the 717, which is written here for some reason.
A. Oh, you know, I'm not sure. I can't
tell you exactly.
Q. Would your answer be the same about 725? Would you go past a nursing station?
A. No, you would not.
Q. And as far as you can recall, these rooms are basically comparable. I mean, there's nothing significantly different about the rooms?
A. No, there is not.
Q. Now, the next box over from the 717 on this log says time to floor, 3:51. Do you know any reason why we have a discrepancy here between 2:45 on the fax sheet and 3:51 on the time to floox?

MR. FERRAINOLO: I'll object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. 'Cause, I mean, just based on these numbers, we're looking at about an hour.
A. Uh-huh.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objection. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Is that something that happens to where somebody might be ready to be admitted to a room but stays in the RR for an hour?

MR. FERRAINOIO: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I have no idea, 'cause we don't ever see these up on the floor, so I would have no idea what time was there. I just would get a copy of this. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. And you know, we do have a report that I'm going to be showing you that actually probably was prepared by you which has some other times, and we can compare them to these.

But you'd agree, at least based on this log, they're saying time to floor at 3:51?
A. Yes.
Q. And then almost done here. There's a box that says copy initials AD. Do you know what that would mean?
A. I have no idea.
Q. And then bed request, and there's a number 7. Do you know why somebody would want to specify that?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Do you know what, like, bed request number 7 means?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
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THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I mean, you're not aware of the beds being numbered or something?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No. The only thing I know is the rooms are numbered.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay.
A. There's one bed in each room so --
Q. I'm assuming they don't stiok seven beds in a room; right?
A. God, no.
Q. 'Cause the next one is 8 so --
A. Not yet.
Q. The last box over says reason for delay, and we've got the Midas touch stamp. Do you know what that means?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. It's probably not a muffler; right?
A. Probably not.
Q. But you don't see any notation of some reason for there being a delay.
A. No.
Q. I mean, nothing is written there? Nothing written there other than Midas?
A. No, huh-uh.
Q. And then just a couple other things. It's noted diagnosis, seizure, headaches; right?
A. Yes.
Q. All the way over at the left, it shows -- it says time late, something, ED bed or something, 2036. That would be -- was that 8:00 P.M. or 8:30 P.M. or something?
A. Yes.
Q. On May 15, 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. So that seems to be indicating when she was actually taken to the ER.
A. Yes.
Q. Let's try to Einish this puzzle we've got here.

Now I'm going to show you a report which I pulled out of the medical records we got in this case, and it's CAG 109 through 115. And it says Centennial Hills Medical Center visit record.
A. Uh-huh.
Q.

Is that something you're familiar with?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this document?
A. This is the admissions assessment. We go through several different areas that we have to talk to the patient and get information from him or her, plus, like, the vital signs when they're admitted and different things that they tell us so we know how to care for them. You know, if they're -- if they're a diabetic, if they have, you know, a family history of -- all that type of stuff.
Q. And can you tell, either from this document or your own knowledge, were you somehow assigned to this patient? Were you, like, the registered nurse assigned to Roxanne Cagnina?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And is it more that you were assigned to the patient or the room, or how does that work?
A. You get -- when you come in to start the shift, you get \(X\) number of patients, maybe five, maybe six, and then say there's four of you and three of you have six. Well, then -- and one of you has five. Well, the one who has five is going to get the next person that comes up.
Q. And does it matter at all what their condition is? I mean, let's say if she was in here
for something else, would a different RN get her, ox does it matter?
A. No.
Q. And then can you tell from this document timing-wise what's the earliest time notation in here that shows, you know, you -- you saw Roxanne Cagnina?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: There's no time here when
I saw her. This is when I did the -- the form. That's when I did this on the computer. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So other than these two forms that we've just been spending some time talking about, I mean, is there a document that would give us a time of when exactly she was taken up to the seventh floor?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Is there some sort of, I guess, policy in place to where, when someone is transporting a patient from the ER to the seventh floor, the first thing they do is go check in somewhere?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q.
So basically, assuming Steven Farmer was
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the transporter here, he could go up to the seventh floor and just wheel her right into the room?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. But he would have to take her medical records to the nurses desk, which, if the unit clerk -- you know, put down the time.
Q. So you're saying the records that he would have brought up from the gR?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I mean, is there ever a situation -- let's just play this out -- that Mr. Farmer, say, comes up to the seventh floor, wheels her into the room for a period of time, never checks in with anybody, and just leaves?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And how do we know that that didn't happen?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because we have paperwork. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Which paperwork are you talking about?
A. The -- all the \(E R\) information.
Q. Okay. If I showed you the medical records, would you think you'd find something in there that would show the first time somebody on the seventh floor was actually attending to Roxanne Cagnina?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I mean, I guess, what would be the first thing that would happen? Would a nurse like yourself do her charts or do vitals or something on her?
A. You -- when your patient comes to the floor, usually the first -- if you don't see them come off the elevator, the first thing would be when the transporter brings all of their paperwork up and sets it on the desk. Then you would go back to the room, and you would talk to the patient.

The CNA would come in and do the vital signs. We'd make sure that they were -- if they were not NPO that they had, you know, fluids and that. Then you'd go back, and you'd look at the orders that were sent from the ER, what -- how you were supposed to handle the patient's care. Then you would take your computer, and you would go back
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to the room, and you would do this admissions.
Q. Okay. And so when this fax -- let's just assume that it was sent up to the seventh floor -- comes into the seventh floor, who typically sees this?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: The unit clerk.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Is that just -- what does that person do? They're just assigned to do paperwork or something?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, I mean, is the purpose of this to, I mean, put the seventh floor on notice that someone is coming?
A. No. They know somebody is coming. It's to give you -- it's -- instead of giving an oral report like one nurse gives to another when a shift is changing, this is what the nurse from -- the nurse or the charge nurse from down in the ER sends up to you, because the nurses don't come with the patient.
Q. Do you know if there would be any sort of confirmation on the seventh floor that this fax, you know, was received, like a confirmation sheet or
something?
A. I have no idea.
Q. And I guess what I'm just trying to figure out is say Mr. Farmer is bringing the patient up and does not immediately check in with the nurses station. The first time anybody such as an RN is going to know that the patient is there is when Mr. Earmer brings the paperwork to them?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Or if you see them get off the elevator.
Q. And then let's just assume a lengthy period of time went by and Mr. Fanmer never brought the paperworik. Sooner or later, somebody is going to have to say where's the patient; right?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, is there --
A. They would probably call down to ER and ask, I thought you were sending \(X\) patient up here. Did something happen or has something gone wrong? Sometimes they find out that the patient's condition is worse and then send them over to, like, ICU or --
Q. Do you have any estimate of what that length of period of time is? Is it a half an hour? An hour?
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MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: There's no time. It just -- it just depends on how things are happening. If the ER is busy, it could take longer. It's not --

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. So if this form was sent up at 2:45, and let's say Mr. Fammer didn't bring the paperwork over to the - you know, the nurses station until an hour or longer later, you don't think that would have been enough time for somebody on the seventh floor to have called down to the ER? MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I have no idea at all. It's all in the hands of the charge nurse. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And do you know who the charge nurse was when this -- when you were receiving this patient?
A. You know, I don't offhand.
Q. Would that be indicated, do you think, in the records here somewhere?
A. I don't know because there's no reason for us to put who the charge nurse is on the admissions or anything.
Q. And just, I mean, offhand fxom memory,
can you think of possible people that could have been the charge nurse?

MR. FERRAINOLO: I'll object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No, I really can't. They changed quite a bit. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. While I'm on the subject, these are some progress notes, and it's dated June 16, 108 , and this is already at 9:15 in the morning. Do you know if that would have been somebody that came in after you?
A. No, not as a nurse. Everything we did was on computer. I wouldn't write this stuff out.
Q. I mean, based on the date and when this was filled out, do you have any idea why this was prepared?
A. This is progress note -- this would be the doctor or somebody. It's not a nurse. It's not nurses, 'cause everything we do was charting on the --
Q. And those initials, do those mean anything to you?
A. No. Maybe the CNA. I don't -- no, the CNA is on the computer too. Unless that was a --
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the only other people who use those kind of things are the agency nurses.
Q. What do you mean by. -- oh, the - what do you mean by agency nurse?
A. If you don't have a large enough staff of nurses, they call an agency and get some in. They were not trained to use our computers. They were not given the passwords to the computers and that, so they were given this type of sheet to fill out for their charting rather than computerized.
Q. Okay. So under admission assessment, it's got your name, Christine Murray; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it has a title here called charted activities.
A. Yes.
Q. And then we've got, like, three different -- well, same dates but three different times.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Then it says status unfinished. Explain to me what are the dates or times supposed to represent?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: The only -- I'm not sure
what this means here, scheduled, unless that's when they put in the -- the computer, the system, that this was when they had called about where they were going to send the patient. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And that time is what?
A. \(2: 42\).
Q. Okay. And then what's the next one over?
A. This one is when I started my assessment at 4:50, and this is when I -- I assume I finished it and, therefore, all this information would be effective, I assume, because we were never told about these. We -- I've never seen this before.
Q. Okay. So, I mean, is the information that you gathered somehow taken by somebody else and imputted into this report?
A. No.
Q. So how does --
A. You take the computer into the patient's room and ask them the questions as the computer brings them up.
Q. And would that also be how these times got inputted?
A. Well, they automatically -- when you put
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the computer on and you go to this screen, they automatically have a time on them and you -- you don't change that.
Q. Okay. So if we take this middle time, the 4:50 --
A. Yes.
Q. What I'm trying to get at is is that a way we can say when you were attending to Plaintiff Cagnina?
A. No. This can say when I started her assessment.
Q. Okay.
A. That doesn't mean that's the time she arrived at the floor.
Q. Okay. What would you personally have done before beginning the assessment with her?
A. Well, we got her into bed. We made sure her IV was okay, hung any IV fluids. She had to have her vital signs taken. You have to do a physical assessment to make sure that there are no wounds, no breakdown, anything like that.

Then you have to go and check the orders to make sure that there's something -- is there something that has to be done immediately for that patient per the doctor's orders.

Then when you're done with all that, then you go take your computer in, and you talk to the patient. And you bring up this screen, this admission screen, and you start asking her questions.
Q. Okay. If we look -- see in the middle of this first page here, it says arrival data, and it says patient arrived onto unit 5-16-2008 at 4:45 A.M. Is that -- what does that mean, patient arrived onto unit?
A. That means when she came up.
Q. So at 4:45 A.M. is what this is saying.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you don't have any reason to disagree with that?
A. \(4: 45\)-- that --
Q. Let me just clarify something.
A. I'm trying -- I'm trying to think.
Q. You said when the patient came --

MR. FERRAINOLO: Hold on, hold on. I
think she's still answering.
THE WITNESS: That could have been a discrepancy on my part, 4:45. It could have been a
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little earlier, because I had to check the orders and everything. I really -- that could have been just an estimate.

When you see somebody come up and you're walking them back to the thing and you -- you know, your times get a little -- you're not on the dot. The only thing I would say is on the dot would be here, because this is done by computer. This is probably my generalized time. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I mean, can you give me an estimate of how long it would take you, once you make initial contact with the patient, to do everything you just stated, do the -- You know, the vitals and all that?
A. The vitals -- okay. The CNA does the vitals. When we came in, we walked her from the bathroom, 'cause Mr. Farmer had her in there. And he left 'cause he had to get back down to the ER. We walked her back. We got her settled.

They had the -- she already had the machine in there, so she took the vitals. I talked to her for a few minutes. She was complaining of a headache. I went back to check her orders, see if she could have anything, and probably maybe 20 minutes tops.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Farmer saying anything significant to you?
A. When we saw him in the bathroom with her?
Q. Really at any point. I mean, do you see him numerous times during this?
A. I saw him twice.
Q. So I mean, did he say anything to you about his transporting of her, her condition or anything?
A. He came over -- I was in another patient's room. He stopped at the door and said I brought your patient up. She's in 25.

I said, okay. Then I went to get something for this patient.

Then I went back around this way, and I saw the gurney was still there, which \(I\) was kind of surprised, about because our transporters usually -you know, they know that they need to get back down as fast as possible. So I stepped inside with the CNA, and we saw him helping her in the bathroom. He said she had to go really bad. I helped her go -helped her take her to the bathroom.

We said, okay. Well, you can go ahead and go.
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He said great, took his thing and went. That was it.
Q. And have you, prior to this incident -or this time with Roxanne Cagnina, ever seen Mr. Farmer before?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times?
A. I don't know. He worked several times. He worked quite a bit there.
Q. Like, tell me about the times you saw him. I mean, what was the circumstance? What was he doing?
A. Just bringing people up to the floors, dropping them off. He was a sitter one time for about a half hour, which meant -- or one on one, they call it, where you sat in there while the patient was in bed because the patient had problems. I saw him off and on. I certainly didn't count how many times.
Q. And you can't think of anything that he might have said that you thought was strange for some reason?
A. No. I thought it was very nice that he came around and told me that he dropped her off, because a lot of the transporters just put the
patient in there, drop off the paperwork, and they're gone.
Q. At this point when you were dealing with Mr. Farmer, did you know anything about his background or where he came from?
A. No.
Q. Did you know if he was an actual employee of the hospital or an outsourced employee?
A. I didn't know.
Q. Is it safe to say that when people are working at Centennial Hills Hospital, I mean, just by looking at them, you can't tell if they're an actual hospital employee or an outsourced employee?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: No. I don't -- it
depends. Some of them have badges. Everybody has a badge. So if it's a hospital badge, then they work for the hospital. And if it's an agency badge, then they work for an agency but -BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So they actually wear an agency badge?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So say if there was an outsourced agency American Nursing Services, they would wear that badge?
A.
Yes.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And do you know if he was wearing that kind of badge?
A. I have no idea 'cause I didn't even know his name.
Q. But take yourself back to when this was going down. You didn't know -- in your mind, you weren't saying, like, oh, he's an outsourced employee or he's this hospital's employee? I mean, you never really thought about that?
A. No, 'cause we -- when this hospital opened, we had a lot of outsourced employees.
Q. But I mean, it's just as plausible as not that he could have been working as an employee for Centennial Hills Hospital, according to you.
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. I mean, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. There was nothing that you thought of that said that he's not a Centennial Hills Hospital employee?
A. No, huh-uh.
Q. And you'd seen him before, so it seemed
like he had been there for a while?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of any policies of the hospital that were in effect during this time period, May 2008, such as a written policy on how to handle this -- this sort of transport from the ER to admissions, something in writing?
A. No. Most of our policies are in a policy and procedures handbook, but they're not something you, you know, memorize or anything. If you need to go look at them, you go and look at them.
Q. And do you recall this -- I guess, even if it wasn't written, but the standard protocol for transporting a patient from the RR to the seventh floor, was it anything diffexent than how you've just explained this probably went down?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So in other words, I mean, you're not aware of a policy where you go from the \(\operatorname{FR}\), the transporter goes and directly checks in with somebody at the nurses station versus just going on their own to the hospital room?
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MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. Not to my knowledge. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. And if at some point there was an actual written policy, you're not aware of one existing, are you?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. And you don't know what would have prompted that if there is one?
A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any sort of policies the hospital has on, you know, looking out for potential assaults or sexual assaults, whether it be comitted by an employee or somebody else?
A. I'm not aware of any.
Q. Would you feel that that's, I mean, I guess, part of your job, to be, like, looking around to make sure that even -- if it is another employee, that they're not doing anything improper to the patient?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I'm sure if you saw something improper, you would tell somebody immediately, yes, 'cause the care of the patients is
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first.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Based on -- and I'm not talking about what went on in the ER but exclusively related to the seventh floor, we know Mx. Farmer transported Mr. Cagnina up to the seventh floor.
A. Yes.
Q. Would there be anything in the medical records or something in writing indicating that Mr. Farmer did do this, he did transport her, he did take her into the room, you know, anything in writing showing that he did those sexvices?
A. Not to my knowledge. The only -unless, you know, it showed that he was brought in to work in the ER as a transporter that night. That would be the only thing I would know. It's not something we put in their admissions.
Q. So there's nothing that, say, in your records on the seventh floor or her charts or whatever ends up being her file to indicate at this time, Mr. Farmer transported this patient up here?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Okay. So after you performed your sexvices that are indicated in this visit record -you know, last entry shows 5:18 in the morning --
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Yeah, 5:18 in the morning. Did you leave shift while she was still in her room, or did you stay on shift through her entire stay at the hospital?
A. No. I -- I left at 7:30 in the morning. When I came back the next day at 7:00 in the evening, she wasn't in the room. I asked, you know, what had happened to her, had she gone home?

They said no, that she had -- there had been an accusation of sexual harassment and she had been put on another floor under another name to protect her.
Q. Okay. And then, I mean, is this the end of the story, or did you -- I mean, there was obviously a police investigation.
A. Yes.
Q. Were you intexviewed by the police?
A. Yes. I was interviewed by a detective twice.
Q. Did you personally fill out a statement? Like, did you do your own written statement --
A. No.
Q. -- or did they just ask you questions?
A. They just asked me questions.
Q. And did you say anything different really than what you've just talked about here?
A. No.
Q. And then once you were -- like, learned of this, did anything -- like, did you start rehashing everything that went through and, I mean, did anything click, like, oh, yeah, \(I\) did see this happen or this seems suspicious or --
A. No.
Q. Do you know what happened to Mr. Farmer after you -- the last time you saw him on the seventh floor? Do you know if he went back to the ER Or --
A. I don't know for certain. I assume he went back. He took the gurney. I assume that because he was on the shift and that's where he was, but I can't say that that's exactly -- that that's what he did, you know, with any certainty.
Q. And you weren't at the hospital when any of the sexual assault stuff was first reported?
A. No, I was not.
Q. And do you know just either by talking to people, I mean, who -- who the players were that first responded to that? I mean, I think there was an initial nurse's assistant or something that made contact with Roxanne when she reported it.
A. I guess that was the -- the day shift
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CNA when they went in to take -- 'cause they would come in earlier than the nurses, and they -- she went in and took vitals. They took vitals, you know, for the day shift, and I'm assuming that's who it was. I can't say for certain but --
Q. okay. But you don't know a name of somebody?
A. No, huh-uh.
Q. Do you recall if Mrs. Cagnina had any of her personal possessions with her when you first saw her on the seventh floor, like purse or clothing or something?
A. I don't remember, to tell you the truth. The CNA would go through the bags that were sent up -- the hospital bags, you know, that were sent up, and they would fill out a sheet, and that should be in her records of whatever she brought up with letter.
Q. Okay. And when you first encountered her, she was in a hospital gown?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if she had any undergarments on?
A. You know, I can't remember, to tell you the truth. I'm inclined to say she did, but I can't
be absolutely certain.
Q. Would that be more a common practice of the \(E R\), to let someone keep their undergarments on?
A. Usually, yes.
Q. And are you aware of any other
information that after Mr. Farmer left initially, you know, you said he took the gurney and presumably went back to the ER -- aware of him coming back up to the seventh floor for any reason?
A. No, I did not see him anymore.
Q. And you haven't made any contact with Mr. Farmer, I mean, since this whole deal?
A. No.

MR. HYMAN: I think that's all I have. Thanks.

THE REPORTER: Did you want to order a transcript?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Yes, E-Tran.
(The proceedings were adjourned at 2:02 P.M.)
* * * * *
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\hline certify 66:1 & 64:1,14 & confirmation & DAVE 2:9 & 43:1 50:18,18 \\
\hline \[
67: 6,15
\] & CNAs 30:7 & 46:24,25 & day 34:14 62:5 & \(59: 1662: 24\) \\
\hline chance 5:1 & College 9:4 & contact 54:13 & 3:25 64:4 & direct 15:6 \\
\hline change 7:19,23 & come 11:18 & 63:24 65:11 & 6:2 & directly 13:18 \\
\hline 52.3 66:2 & 13:23 24:13 & contained 66:18 & deal 7:25 65:12 & 14:16 59:23 \\
\hline changed 49 & 37:14 42:18 & continuing & ng & dirty 24:21,25 \\
\hline changes 7:16 & 45:15,19 46:21 & 13:12 & ciare 66.15 & disagree 53:17 \\
\hline changing 46:19 & 54:4 64:2 & contractor & declare 66:15 & discovery 19:20 \\
\hline charge \(22: 14,18\) & comes 42:23 & 67:16 & Defendant 2:7 & discrepancy \\
\hline 24:9 25:7,7 & 44:13 45:13 & copy 27:18 & Defendants 1:15 & 38:11 53:25 \\
\hline 46:20 48:15,17 & 46:4 & 32:1739:4,14 & degree 8:25 9:5
10.15 & discussion 31:16 \\
\hline :23 49:2 & comforta & corner 21:15 & 10:15 & disposition \\
\hline charted 50:15 & 30:2 & corporation 1:8 & delay 40:16,25 & 32:1833: \\
\hline charting 49:20 & coming 20:25 & 1:9,11,12 & dementia 10:23 & distance 36:21 \\
\hline 50:10 & 46:15,16 65:8 & correct 26:7 & department & DISTRICT 1:1 \\
\hline charts 45:11 & commencement & 66:18 & 21:7,18 22:6 & doctor 22:16 \\
\hline 61:19 & 3:9 & corrected 66:19 & departments & 28:9 49:19 \\
\hline check 12:14 & commencing & correcting 7:24 & 9:25 & doctors \(30: 16\) \\
\hline 20:13 43:23 & 67:7 & corrections & depending 24:21 & doctor's 27:19 \\
\hline 47:5 52:22 & committed & 66:17 & depends 48:3 & 52:25 \\
\hline 54:1,23 & 60:15 & counsel 3:10 & 57:16 & document 21:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 22:22 31:9,19 & 15:6 27:25 & exchanged & 26:13 28:24 & 34:5,835:16 \\
\hline 32:1 35:7 42:2 & 34:18 57:8,8 & 19:20 & 29:12 31:6,13 & 36:14,1737:12 \\
\hline 42:12 43:4,15 & 57:13,13 58:11 & exclusively \(61: 4\) & 32:11 33:16 & 38:10,12 39:2 \\
\hline documents & 58:11,16,23 & Executed 66:21 & 35:1,12,25 & 39:11 43:16,22 \\
\hline 20:22 & 60:15,19 67:16 & existing 60:7 & 37:8,19 38:13 & 44:2,13 45:4 \\
\hline doing 56:12 & employees \(28: 5\) & explain 4:17 & 38:20,25 39:20 & 45:14 46:4,4 \\
\hline 60:20 & 58:14 & 50:21 & 39:25 40:5,19 & 46:14,24 48:12 \\
\hline door 55:12 & employment 4:1 & explained 59:17 & 43:8,17 44:16 & 52:14 59:16 \\
\hline dot 54:6,7 & encountered & E-Tran 65:18 & 44:21 45:6 & 61:5,6,19 \\
\hline draw 35:22 & 64:19 & & 46:6 48:1,13 & 62:10 63:10 \\
\hline drawing 36:2 & ended 13:17 & F & 49:3 50:24 & 64:11 65:9 \\
\hline Drive 1:20 & ends 61:20 & face 37:14 & 53:22 57:14 & floors 16:17,21 \\
\hline driven 6:2 & entire 62:3 & facilities 14:16 & 58:2 59:18 & 24:24 56:13 \\
\hline drop 57:1 & ENTITIES 1:13 & facility 9:18 & 60:1,22 65:18 & fuids 27:10 \\
\hline dropped 56:24 & entry 61:25 & fact 6:2,3,8 25:4 & figure 17:17 & 45:21 52:18 \\
\hline dropping 56:14 & ER 10:5 12:24 & 28:19 & 47:4 & follow 36:16 \\
\hline due 28:2 & 17:2 20:6,19 & facts 15: & fill 61:20 & follows 3:8 \\
\hline duly 3:767:9 & 22:6,7,8 23:7 & 16 & fill 12:11 50:9 & follow-up 25:17 \\
\hline duties 30:11 & 23:22 24:10,23 & fade 6:20 & 62:19 64:16 & food-wise 27:10 \\
\hline & 25:11,15 26:1 & familiar 1 & filled 17:9 22:10 & Ford 9:4 \\
\hline E & 26:11,18 31:22 & 23:6 35:15 & 49:16 & foregoing 66:16 \\
\hline [ 2:15,21 & 32:1,834:7 & 41:25 & filling 26:19 & form 17:14 \\
\hline earlier 6:3 33:9 & 36:12 38:24 & family 10:25 & fills 22:4 23:7 & 20:11,13,17 \\
\hline 35:6 54:1 64:2 & 41:16 43:22 & 42:10 & financially 67.18 & 22:4,25 23:12 \\
\hline earliest 43:5 & 44:9,25 45:23 & frar 19:18 38:5 & find 45:2 47:21 & 26:4,22 27:23 \\
\hline carly 16:818:9 & 46:20 47:18 & Farmer 1:12 & fine 6:15 & 28:24 29:12 \\
\hline 33:1 & 48:4,12 54:18 & 29:8,10,20 & fingerprints & 31:632:11 \\
\hline ED 31:11 41:9 & 59:6,15,22 & 36:11 43:25 & 12:12 & 33:9,16 35:1 \\
\hline education 8:24 & 61:4,15 63:11 & 44:13 47:4,8 & finish 4:24 41:18 & 35:12,25 37:8 \\
\hline 13:13 & 65:3,8 & 47:13 48:8 & finished 51:11 & 37:19 38:14,25 \\
\hline effect 59:4 & ESQ 2:3,9 & 54:17 55:1 & first 3:7 4:18 & 39:20,25 40:5 \\
\hline effective 51:13 & essentially \(13: 7\) & 56:5 57:4 61:5 & 17:12 37:23 & 40:19 43:8,10 \\
\hline efficient 34:17 & 15:14 & 61:10,21 63:8 & 43:22 45:3,9 & 43:17 44:16,21 \\
\hline eight 36:4 & estimate 6:22 & 65:6,12 & 45:14,15 47:6 & 45:6 46:6 48:1 \\
\hline either 19:8 22:5 & 32:1 33:13 & fast 55:20 & 53:761:1 & 48:7,13 49:4 \\
\hline 22:17 25:10 & 47:23 54:3,11 & father 10:23 & 63:18,22 64:10 & 50:24 57:14 \\
\hline 42:11 63:20 & evening 18:23 & \(\operatorname{fax} 2: 6,1221: 3\) & 64:19 & 58:2 59:18 \\
\hline elevator 36:23 & 62:6 & 21:18 23:10,18 & five 42:19,22,22 & 60:22 67:11 \\
\hline 37:7,16 45:15 & Everybody & 33:14 38:12 & floor 10:1,9 & forms 12:12 \\
\hline 47:11 & 57:16 & 46:2, & 16:11,14 17:19 & 43:13 \\
\hline elevators 36:8 & exactly 16:6 & faxed 25:22 26:2 & 17:25 18:1 & FOUNDATION \\
\hline 36:13,17,19 & 38:1 43:16 & feel \(60: 17\) & 19:3 20:9,24 & 1:10 \\
\hline 37:14 & 63:15 & female \(28: 19,22\) & 22:5,24 23:18 & four 42:20 \\
\hline emergency 16:4 & Examination & FERRAINOLO & 25:8,23 26:1 & front 36:6 \\
\hline 16:10 20:3 & 2:183:16 & \(2: 96: 1517: 14\)
20.1122 .25 & 26:12 27:4,15 & further 67:15 \\
\hline 21:7,18 26:18 & examined 3:7 & \(20: 1122: 25\)
\(23: 12,1626: 4\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 28: 1,6 ~ 29: 9 \\
& 30: 233: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \(G\) \\
\hline employee 14:16 & example 7:19 & 23:12,16 26:4 & 30:2 33:20 & G \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Garden 9:23 & 29:6 & health 1:8,10 & 24:21 29:6 & important 4:23 \\
\hline 10:8 & gotten 12:8 & 3:20 9:18 & 33:1 & 7:8 27:21 \\
\hline gathered 51:16 & GOVERNME... & 14:16 31:10 & house 34:3,7 & 29:24 \\
\hline ge & 1:13 & heard 15:10 & housekeeping & improper 60:20 \\
\hline generalized 54 & gown 64:20 & hearsay 7:2 & 24:13,22 & 60:24 \\
\hline generated 33:15 & Graduated 8:21 & held 31:16 & hwh-uh 12:19 & incident 6:17 \\
\hline getting 20:15 & granddaughter & help 26:20 36:2 & 19:17 21:10 & 18:14 56:3 \\
\hline 30:18 & 11:17 & helped 55:22,23 & 41:4 58:24 & inclined 64:25 \\
\hline give 4:25 6:21 & great 6:22 & helping 55:21 & 64:8 & include 30:18 \\
\hline 17:23 25:13 & ground 4:16 & Henderson 2:5 & hung 52:18 & including 66:17 \\
\hline 43:15 46:17 & group 14:3 15:3 & 11:25 12:3 & hurt 27:7 & inclusive 1:14 \\
\hline 54:11 & groups 15:2 & Hen & Hyman 2:3,3,18 & independent \\
\hline given 27:2 & guess 6:7,21 & high 8:18,23 & 3:17,18 6:11 & 67:16 \\
\hline 29:16 50:8,9 & 15:25 21:7 & Hills 1:7 2:7 4:3 & 6:16 17:16 & indicate 27:23 \\
\hline gives 27:20 & 22:21 31:25 & 13:18 14:2 & 20:16 23:5,14 & 61:20 \\
\hline 46:18 & 32:18 33:12 & 15:8,18,25 & 23:19 26:5,21 & indicated 48:20 \\
\hline giving 46:17 & 34:19 43:20 & 30:631:22 & 29:4,14 31:8 & 61:24 \\
\hline glance 32:6 & 45:9 47:3 & 41:23 57:11 & 31:15,18 32:14 & indicates 22:10 \\
\hline go 4:16 8:5,18 & 59:13 60:18 & 58:17,23 & 33:18 35:5,14 & indicating 22:22 \\
\hline 8:23 10:12 & 63:25 & hip 10:2 12:22 & 36:1 37:10,22 & 41:15 61:9 \\
\hline 17:5,12 18:25 & gurney 36:12 & 13:2,11 & 38:16,21 39:5 & individual 1:4 \\
\hline 26:14,19 27:19 & 55:17 63:13 & hire 29:1 & 39:22 40:2,8 & 1:12 \\
\hline 28:25 31:13 & 65:7 & hired 28:7 30:4 & 40:21 43:12,19 & INDIVIDUALS \\
\hline 32:5 35:4 & & history 42:10 & 44:18,23 45:8 & 1:12 \\
\hline 37:15,17 38:3 & & hold 53:22,22 & 46:8 48:6,16 & influence 5:23 \\
\hline 42:4 43:23 & H2:21 & home 18:25 62:7 & 49:7 51:5 & info 26:24 \\
\hline 44:1 45:17,22 & half 14:12 47:24 & Horizon 2:4 & 54:10 57:20 & information \\
\hline 45:25 52:1,22 & 56:15 & hospital 1:7,9 & 58:3 59:20 & 23:25 32:7 \\
\hline 53:2 55:22,22 & HALL 2:9 & 2:7 4:3,76:5,7 & 60:4 61:2 & 42:5 44:25 \\
\hline 55:24,25 59:11 & hand 67:21 & 9:20,23,25 & 65:14 & 51:12,15 65:6 \\
\hline 59:11,22 64:14 & handluook 59:9 & 10:8 11:23 & & initial 33:14 \\
\hline God 14:23 19:17 & handle 45:24 & 12:21 13:6,18 & & 54:12 63:23 \\
\hline 40:13 & 59:6 & 15:19,25 17:4 & ICU 47:22 & initially 29:8 \\
\hline goes 27:1 & hands 48:15 & 27:25 28:22 & idea 22:3 26:20 & 65:6 \\
\hline 59:23 & handwriting & 30:6 57:8,11 & 27:20 34:1 & initials 39:14 \\
\hline going 4:15 6:17 & 22:11 & 57:13,17,18 & 38:15 39:1,3 & 49:22 \\
\hline 13:13 21:5,13 & happen 4:8 & 58:13,17,23 & 39:16,21 40:20 & initiated 33:20 \\
\hline 23:17 24:10,16 & 44:20 45:10 & 59:4,25 60:13 & 45:7 47:2 & inordinately \\
\hline 26:15 28:13 & 47:20 63:6 & 62:3 63:17 & 48:14 49:16 & 18:6 \\
\hline 29:8 31:9 34:9 & happened 15:18 & 64:15,20 & 58:6 & inpatient 34:24 \\
\hline 34:10 36:13 & 18:18,24 62:7 & hospitals 15:2 & identified 6:4, & input 25:15 \\
\hline 37:17 39:7 & 63:8 & 30:6 & ill 10:24 & inputted 51:17 \\
\hline 41:20 42:22 & happening 48:3 & hospital's \(58: 11\) & immediately & 51:24 \\
\hline 47:7,14 51:4 & happens 38:22 & hour 38:18,24 & 47:5 52:24 & inside 55:20 \\
\hline 58:9 59:24 & harassment 62:9 & 47:24,25 48:10 & 60:25 & instance 34:13 \\
\hline Good 3:18 & headache 54:23 & 56: & impartiality & instruction \\
\hline Goodhart 23:21 & headaches 41:6 & hours 18:9 20:7 & 67:20 & 29:17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline instructions & 21:24 22:2,4 & leave 62:1 & maintair 13:8 & memories 6:20 \\
\hline 27:16 & 22:18 23:22,25 & leaves 44:15 & ing \(30: 1\) & memorize 59:10 \\
\hline intake 27:9 & 24:6,11,14,19 & left 32:17 41:8 & male 28:16 & memory 18:13 \\
\hline intend 35:3 & 24:21 26:11,16 & 54:18 62:4 & map 35:22 36:2 & 48:25 \\
\hline interested 67:18 & 26:17,20 27:3 & 65:6 & matter 17:18 & men 29:2 \\
\hline internal 13:6 & 27:24 29:18,23 & legible 22:15 & 42:24 43:2 & mentioned 25:6 \\
\hline interviewed & 30:10,18 32:8 & length 47:24 & max 17:10 & Michigan 8:9,13 \\
\hline 62:16,17 & 33:4 34:4,10 & lengthy 47:12 & Mead 4:10 & 8:14,19,24 \\
\hline investigation & 34:14,20 37:25 & letter 64:18 & mean 8:7 17:6 & 9:12,13,15,18 \\
\hline 62:14 & 38:10 39:6,14 & let's 20:2 26:6 & 18:1,5,12 & 10:13,14,15 \\
\hline involve 30:14,23 & 39:18,23 40:6 & 36:16 41:18 & 19:11,12 28:13 & 11:1,2,12 12:6 \\
\hline involved 67:17 & 40:17 42:8,8 & 42:25 44:12 & 30:23 33:12,23 & Midas 40:17 \\
\hline IV 30:24 52:18 & 42:10 43:6 & 46:2 47:12 & 34:25 36:22 & 41:3 \\
\hline 52:18 & 44:7,19 45:21 & 48:8 & 38:6,17 39:15 & middle 52:4 \\
\hline J & 46:16,23,25 & Liability 1:8 & 40:3 41:2 & 53:6 \\
\hline & 47:7 48:9,17 & license 12:7,8 & 42:25 43:14 & mind 58:9 \\
\hline January 1:18 & 48:19,22 49:10 & 13:9 & 44:11 45:9 & minutes 33:8 \\
\hline 3:1 14:5 67:7 & 54:5,14 55:19 & licensed 9:7 11:8 & 46:13,14 47:17 & 54:22,25 \\
\hline Jennifer 1:25 & 55:19 56:8 & 67:5 & 48:25 49:15,22 & month 11:14 \\
\hline 67:4,25 & 57:4,7,9 58:4 & Limited 1:8 & 50:3,4 51:15 & months 8:17 \\
\hline job 11:20 60:18 & 58:9 59:10 & LINE 66:2 & 52:13 53:9 & morning 18:10 \\
\hline jobs 11:24 & 60:9,13 61:5 & little 8:6 20:2 & 54:11 55:5,8 & 23:2 32:19 \\
\hline joint 10:2 12:22 & 61:11,14,16,25 & 23:24 54:1,6 & 56:11 57:11 & 33:2 49:10 \\
\hline 16:22 & 62:6 63:8,10 & live 8:16 11:18 & 58:11,15,19 & 61:25 62:1,4 \\
\hline jump 28:14 & 63:12,16,20 & lived 8:13 11:17 & 59:21 60:17 & mother 10:23 \\
\hline June 11:15 49:9 & 64:4,6,15,22 & living 14:13 & 62:12,13 63:4 & 11:2 \\
\hline K & 64:24 65:7 & LLC 1:8 3:20 & 63:21,22 65:12 & Mount \\
\hline :3 & now & & mea & 15:1 \\
\hline \[
13
\] & & 39:1 & 39:24 40:18 & move 11:16 \\
\hline 65:3 & 2:12 & long 54:12 & 51:1 53:11 & 26:22 29:3 \\
\hline & 43:18,24 59:19 & longer 48:4,10 & mea & 33:25 \\
\hline & 60:3 61:13,22 & look 27:18 32:17 & med 16:23,24 & moved 8:10 \\
\hline kind 4:17 8:3,6
14:14 18:9,13 & knowledgeable & 35:6 45:22 & medical 1:7,9 & 11:11,20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14:14 18:9, } 13 \\
& 10.57 .0
\end{aligned}
\] & 6:5,7,14 & 53:6 59:11,11 & 2:7 17:21 19:7 & movement \\
\hline 29:7 30:10,20 & L & looked 33:9 & 0:18 & 27: \\
\hline 32:5,10 50:1 & lag 26:10 & & & muffer 40.22 \\
\hline 55:17 58:5 & laid 35:16 & 38:18 57:12 & 41:21,23 44:5 & Murray 1:17 \\
\hline knee 13:2,11 & Lake 4:10 & 60:13,18 & 45:1 61:8 & 2:173:5,25 \\
\hline knew 11:22 & large 14:12 50:5 & looks 24:3 35:2 & Medical-surgi.. & 50:12 66:14,25 \\
\hline know 4:8,10 & Las 1:21 2:11 & lot 56:25 58:14 & 16:25 & 67:7 \\
\hline 7:24 12:23 & 3:14:11 & lots 26:23 & medication & M-U-R-R-A-Y \\
\hline 13:1,13 14:17 & late 16:8 41:9 & Louisiana 1:11 & 28:20 & 3:25 \\
\hline 16:7,8 17:4,15 & law 2:3 5:13 & LST 1:24 & meds 27:20 & \\
\hline 17:23 18:1 & leading 13:17 & & med-surg 16:21 & N \\
\hline 19:1 20:12,18 & 36:9 & M & memorable & N 2:15 \\
\hline 20:19,24 21:4 & learned 63:2 & machine 54:21 & 18:17 & name 3:18,23,24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 7:24 14:24 & 34:24 39:18,24 & 38:13,25 39:20 & 40:9 44:4,11 & 57:1 \\
\hline 15:22 19:2 & 42:19 & 39:25 40:5,19 & 45:1 46:2 & parents 10:22 \\
\hline 50:12 58:7 & numbered 40:4 & 43:8,17 44:16 & 47:10 48:7 & Parkway 2:4 \\
\hline 62:10 64:6 & 40:7 & 44:21 45:6 & 50:11 51:8,15 & part 32:16,16 \\
\hline names 14:22 & numbers 23:25 & 46:6 48:1,13 & 52:4,12,15,18 & 33:14 53:25 \\
\hline Neal 2:3,3 3:18 & 25:1735:8 & 49:3 50:24 & 53:6 54:15 & 60:18 \\
\hline nealhyman@l... & 38:18 & 57:14 58:2 & 55:14,24 58:21 & particular 27:24 \\
\hline 2:6 & numerous 55:6 & 59:18 60:22 & 60:5 61:23 & parties 67:17 \\
\hline near 35:23 & nurse 4:2 9:8,10 & objection 26:13 & 62:12 64:6,19 & party 15:13 \\
\hline necessarily & 9:18 10:6 11:5 & 38:20 60:1 & old 12:3 & part-time 14:21 \\
\hline 36:24 & 22:13,14,18,18 & observation & omit 21:17 & passwords 50:8 \\
\hline need 13:1 17:22 & 24:9 25:7,8 & 17:4 & once 54:12 63:2 & patient 15:25 \\
\hline 19:7 21:24 & 27:17 28:1,8 & obviously 5:15 & ones 9:22 & 18:24 20:15,24 \\
\hline 22:1,1,2,3 & 29:19 42:14 & 6:11,20 9:2 & open 17:10 & 21:5,25 22:19 \\
\hline 29:25 35:21 & 45:10 46:18,19 & 22:15 26:23 & opened 14:2 & 24:11,15,20 \\
\hline 55:19 59:11 & 46:20,20 48:15 & 28:20 36:10 & 58:14 & 27:14 28:1,6 \\
\hline needed 26:18 & 48:17,23 49:2 & 62:14 & opening 14:3 & 28:20 31:5 \\
\hline Nevada 1:2,7,9 & 49:13,19 50:4 & occasionally & opportunity & 35:3 37:6 42:5 \\
\hline 1:21 2:5,113:1 & murses 14:3 15:1 & 16:20 & 7:16 & 42:13,17 43:22 \\
\hline 8:13,14 9:13 & 15:4,12 17:9 & occurred 6:18 & opposed 14:17 & 45:13,18 46:22 \\
\hline 10:16 11:12,16 & 17:10 18:4 & offer 5:20 13:6 & options 7:8 & 47:4,7,15,19 \\
\hline 11:21 12:5,8 & 29:24 30:16 & offered 13:7 & oral 46:17 & 48:18 51:4 \\
\hline 67:2,5,22 & 34:11 36:7,23 & offhand 48:19 & order 65:16 & 52:25 53:3,8,9 \\
\hline never 44:14 & 37:4,11,13 & 48:25 & orders 27:19 & 53:21 54:13 \\
\hline 47:13 51:13,14 & 44:6 46:21 & office 67:22 & 45:23 52:22,25 & 55:13,15 56:17 \\
\hline 58:12 & 47:5 48:9 & Offices 2:3 & 54:1,23 & 56:17 57:1 \\
\hline new 11:23 12:17 & 49:20 50:2,6 & oh 7:22 15:15 & originally \(24: 7\) & 59:15 60:21 \\
\hline nice 56:23 & 59:24 64:2 & 19:1,17 22:7 & output 27:10 & 61:21 \\
\hline night 18:7 34:8 & nurse's 28:11,17 & 23:17 37:25 & Outside 19:10 & patients 10:10 \\
\hline 61:15 & 30:731:4 & 50:3 58:10,18 & outsourced 57:8 & 13:1,1 29:25 \\
\hline normal 13:8 & 63:23 & 63:5 & 57:13,23 58:10 & 30:14 42:19 \\
\hline North 2:10 4:7 & nursing 1:11 & okay 3:22 4:15 & 58:14 & 60:25 \\
\hline 4:11 & 8:25 9:5 13:7 & 5:3,4,9,10 8:15 & outsourcing & patient's 45:24 \\
\hline notation 40:24 & 15:11 28:12 & 8:18 10:17,25 & 14:18 15:14 & 47:21 51:20 \\
\hline 43:5 & 36:18 37:18 & 11:4 12:16 & overflow 13:1 & 55:12 \\
\hline mote 49:18 & 38:3 57:24 & 13:15 15:5,16 & o00 3:3 & aus \\
\hline noted 26:23 & 0 & 15:21,24 16:9 & P & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { enalty 5:16 } \\
& \text { 66:15 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline motes 21:8 49:9 & O27:1,9 & 19:4,5 20:1 & pad 27:6 & Pennsylva \\
\hline \[
67: 10,13
\] & oath 5:12 & 21:6,13,16 & page 2:16,22 & 1:10 \\
\hline notice 20:24 & object 5:1 17:14 & 22:9 23:7 & 14:12 53:7 & people 15:3 27:4 \\
\hline 46:14 & 20:11 22:25 & 24:25 25:3,10 & 66:2 & 28:7 30:3 \\
\hline NPO 45:21 & 23:12 26:4 & 25:22 27:13 & paper 14:13 & 34:11 37:1 \\
\hline NRCP 3:14 & 28:24 29:12 & 28:4 29:11 & paperwork & 9:1 50:1 \\
\hline number 2:22 & 31:6 32:11 & 30:1,13 31:2 & 12:17 44:22,24 & 56:13 57:10 \\
\hline 21:14 24:7 & 33:16 35:1,12 & 32:3,15 34:13 & 45:16 46:10 & 63:21 \\
\hline 25:13,16 33:23 & 35:25 37:8,19 & 34:16 39:6 & 47:8,14 48:9 & performed \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 61:23 & possibly 24:11 & purpose 10:20 & 18:16 31:3 & replacements \\
\hline period 18:8 20:6 & post 8:23 & 46:13 & 37:24 38:11 & 13:3 16:22 \\
\hline 44:14 47:13,24 & potential 60:14 & purposes 6:12 & 40:16,25 48:22 & report \(21: 18,23\) \\
\hline 59:5 & practice 65:2 & 28:8 & 53:16 56:22 & 39:6 41:20 \\
\hline perjury 5:16 & PRANGLE 2:9 & purs & 65:9 66:2 & 46:18 51:17 \\
\hline 66:16 & pr & p & reasomably & reported 1:25 \\
\hline person 6:5,6,14 & 27:1,5 & put 7:13 19:1,2 & 67:19 & 63:18,24 67:6 \\
\hline 24:11 26:19 & prepared 22:23 & 24:10 26:7 & reasons 11:1,20 & reporter 3:13 \\
\hline 27:6 34:14 & 39:8 49:17 & 44:746:14 & recall \(9: 2,14\) & 4:18 65:16 \\
\hline 42:23 46:9 & present & 48:23 51:2,25 & 14:1,22 16:5 & 67:1,5 \\
\hline 67:18 & presuma & 56:25 61:17 & 18:8 38:5 55:1 & reports 19:23 \\
\hline personal 6 & 25:22 65: & 62:10 & 59:13 64:9 & represent 50:23 \\
\hline 7:1 64:10 & pre & puz & received 46:25 & equest 20:23 \\
\hline personally 52:15 & 25:11 & P.M 1:19 3 & receiving 48:18 & 39:17,23 \\
\hline & pr & 20:5 41:11, & recollectio & requested 67:14 \\
\hline person's 26:11 & & 65:20 & 17.24 & ser \\
\hline & & 0 & & responded \\
\hline phone 25:11,17 & 67:8 & & 13:24 31:14,17 & 63:22 \\
\hline phone 25:11,17 & probably 20:6 & question 4 & 41:23 61:24 & 5t:24 \\
\hline physical 52:20 & 22:17 35:1 & 5:7 7:7,9 32:12 & records 12: & tired 10:22 \\
\hline place 4:5 17:12 & 39:8 40:22,23 & 36:10 & 17:21 19:7,8 & view 67:14 \\
\hline 27:16 43:21 & 47:18 54:9,24 & questione & 19:15 20:18 & viewed 19:8 \\
\hline placed 5:11 & 7 & 67:20 & 21:7,21 31:12 & Ridge \(2: 4\) \\
\hline plaintiff 1:5 2 & problems 56:17 & questions 6:1 & 41:21 44:6,8 & ight 4:19 6:13 \\
\hline 3:197:21 & procedures 59:9 & 51:21 53:5 & 45:2 48:21 & 14:8,20 17:7 \\
\hline 15:18,21 52:8 & proceeding & 62:22,23 & 61:9,19 64:17 & 20:10 21:22 \\
\hline plan 27:14 & 67:1 & quite 8:11 49 & refer 21:14 & 25:18 29:15,18 \\
\hline plausible 58: & proceedin & 56:9 & referring 33:5 & 29:21 32:19,24 \\
\hline play 44:12 & & R & 34:4 & 33:20 34:18 \\
\hline players 63:21 & process \(4: 17\) & \[
\text { railings } 27
\] & reflected 66:17 & 35:11,13,16 \\
\hline please 3:23 4:24 & 20:8 23:7 & railings 27:8 rails 27:6 & registered 4:2 & 36:20,22 40:12 \\
\hline plenty 11:24 & prod & \begin{tabular}{l}
rails 27:6 \\
Rainbow 2:10
\end{tabular} & 9:10,17 42:14 & 40:22 41:6 \\
\hline plus 42:6 & produced 6 & Rainbow 2:10 & rehashing 63:4 & 44:2 47:15 \\
\hline PMK 6:12 & & RDR 1:25 67:25 & related 19:19 & 50:12 58:19 \\
\hline point 7:6 10:12 & pr & read 6:25 7:7 & 61:4 & 15:22 \\
\hline \(1155: 5\) & 49:18 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 66:19 } \\
\text { ready } 19: 922: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & relationsh & RN 10:18 29:7 \\
\hline 57:3 60:5 & prompted 11:16 & ready 19:9 22:1 27.1532 .10 & 67:19 & 43:1 47:6 \\
\hline police 62:14,16 & & 27:15 32:10 & relative 67:15 & OE 1:13 \\
\hline policies 59:3,8 & &  & member 6:19 & oller 36:12 \\
\hline 60:13 & protocol 59:14 & realized 24:12 & 11:14 12:3 & room 16:4 20:3 \\
\hline policy 43:20 & provide 15:1,4 & eally 13:23 & 14:24,24 15:24 & 21:5,7,25 \\
\hline 59:5,9,22 60:6 & 32:7 & & 18:5,14 33:9 & 23:25 24:7,10 \\
\hline positions 14:11 & providing 30:24 & \[
49.554 .255 .5
\] & 35:7,20 64:13 & 25:13,15,17 \\
\hline possessions & &  & 64:24 & 27:15 32:9 \\
\hline 64:10 & pulled 20:22 & & eplacement & 36:5,5 37:17 \\
\hline possible 49:1 & 1:2 & 62:25 & 10:2 12:22 & 38:23 40:10,12 \\
\hline 55:20 & pump 22:1 & cason 5:1 & 13:11 & 42:17 44:2,14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 45:18 46:1 & 53:4 & 22:24 25:8,23 & situation 34:12 & stafining 34:12 \\
\hline 51:21 55:12 & se \(21: 7\) & 26:1,12 27:4 & 44:12 & stamp 40:17 \\
\hline 59:25 61:11 & second 4:25 & 27:15 28:1,6 & six 8:17 16:20 & standard 59:14 \\
\hline 62:2,6 & 31:14 33:25 & 29:9 33:20 & 16:21 17:8,12 & start 5:7 8:4,6 \\
\hline rooms 24:17,20 & see 4:19 20:13 & 34:5 35:16 & 20:7 42:20,21 & 42:18 53:4 \\
\hline 35:19 36:4 & 22:20 24:2 & 36:13,17 43:16 & sixth 17:19 & 63:3 \\
\hline 38:6,7 40:7 & 32:23 34:9,9 & 43:22 44:1,13 & six's 17:8 & started 20:9 \\
\hline Rose 11:22,25 & 36:23 37:6 & 45:4 46:3,4,14 & somebody 6:24 & 23:3,3 51:10 \\
\hline 13:5,19 15:5 & 39:2 40:24 & 46:24 48:12 & 22:7 26:17 & 52:10 \\
\hline Roxamme 1:4 & 45:14 47:11 & 59:15 61:5,6 & 28:2 29:10,19 & state 3:23 8:7 \\
\hline 15:21 17:1,25 & 53:6 54:4,23 & 61:19 63:10 & 30:19 37:6,17 & 67:2,5,22 \\
\hline 29:9 32:4 & 55:5 63:5 & 64:11 65:9 & 38:23 39:18 & stated 54:14 \\
\hline 42:14 43:6 & 65:10 & sexual 60:14 & 45:3 46:16 & statement 62:19 \\
\hline 45:4 56:4 & seen 19:21 25:5 & 62:9 63:18 & 47:14 48:11 & 62:20 \\
\hline 63:24 & 29:2 31:19 & shape 24:14 & 49:11,19 51:16 & statements 3:12 \\
\hline Rule 3:14 & 51:14 56:4 & sheet 21:4 38:12 & 54:4 59:24 & 19:23 \\
\hline rules 4:16 & 58:25 & 46:25 50:9 & 60:15,24 64:7 & states 8:12 \\
\hline S & 46:5 & 64:16 & ner 47:14 & station 36:7,18 \\
\hline S 2:21 & 27:5,7 & 46:18 62:1,3 & sort 6:3 7:19 8:5 & 37:18 38:3 \\
\hline safe 30:13 57:10 & seizures 17:2 & 63:14,25 64:4 & 9:18 13:6 & 47:6 48:10 \\
\hline sat 24:21 56:16 & 28:21 & short 36:21 & 25:25 28:22 & 59:24 \\
\hline saw 6:25 7:20 & send \(12: 13,15\) & show 17:22 19:6 & 30:23 43:20 & stations 37:13 \\
\hline 43:6,10 55:3,7 & 13:3 20:9 21:2 & 21:13 31:9 & 46:23 59:6 & status 50:21 \\
\hline 55:17,21 56:10 & 24:25 33:20 & 41:20 45:3 & 60:12 & stay 29:24 34:7 \\
\hline 56:18 60:23 & 47:22 51:4 & showed 19:11 & sound 20:10 & 62:2,3 \\
\hline 63:9 64:10 & sending 20:23 & 45:1 61:14 & 29:11 & stayed 20:6 \\
\hline saying 4:25 & 22:18 47:19 & showing 39:7 & sounds 18:12 & stays 38:24 \\
\hline 15:18 35:3 & sends 46:20 & 61:12 & South 8:16 & stenographic \\
\hline 39:11 44:8 & sent 22:23 45:23 & shows 21:23 & 10:13,14,18,21 & 67:10,13 \\
\hline 53:12 55:1. & 46:3 48:7 & 41:9 43:6 & 11:5,8 & step 36:22 \\
\hline 58:10 & 64:14,15 & 61:25 & so-and-so 7:2,2 & stepped 55:20 \\
\hline says 26:24,25 & sequence 19:6 & sic 24:19 & specific 9:24. & Steven 1:12 \\
\hline 31:11,22 32:18 & 35:19 & Siena 12:4,20 & 28:2 & 43:25 \\
\hline 32:24 33:22 & series 4:16 & signature 66:20 & specifically \(15: 1\) & stick 40:11 \\
\hline 34:1,16,24 & services 1:10,11 & significance & 16:3 19:18 & stock 22:2 \\
\hline 38:10 39:14 & 15:1117:18 & 34:20 & 22:21 & stop 28:13 \\
\hline 40:16 41:9,22 & 57:24 61:12,24 & significant 55:2 & specify 39:19 & stopped 55:12 \\
\hline 50:21 53:7,8 & set 12:12 67:21 & significantly & speculate 6:21 & story 62:13 \\
\hline SBAR 21:4,23 & sets 45:17 & 38:7 & spell 3:24 & strange 56:21 \\
\hline SCD 22:2 & settled 54:19 & signs 42:6 45:20 & spelling 7:24 & stuff 12:13 30:3 \\
\hline scheduled 51:1 & seven 16:18,21 & 52:19 & spending 43:14 & 32:10 42:10 \\
\hline school 8:18,23 & 16:22 17:6,7 & simply 7:23 & spring 16:8 & 49:14 63:18 \\
\hline 9:2 & 40:11 & single 24:17 & SS 67:2 & subject 49:8 \\
\hline SCHOONVE... & seventh 16:14 & 36:5 & St 11:22,25 13:5 & subjects 6:12 \\
\hline 2:9 & 17:24 18:1 & sitter 56:14 & 13:19 15:5 & submitted 29:5 \\
\hline screen 52:1 53:3 & 20:9,24 22:5 & sitting 35:15 & staff 24:22 50:5 & 32:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline submitting & 42:5 45:18 & 25:2 28:22 & touch 40:17 & tуpe 6:1 14:18 \\
\hline 12:16 & 53:2 & 32:16 33:7 & trained 50:7 & 20:25 21:2 \\
\hline substantive 7:19 & talked 54:21 & 35:10,24 36:2 & transcribed & 27:24 28:5,6 \\
\hline 7:23 & 62:25 & 45:2 48:11,20 & 67:10 & 30:5 31:19 \\
\hline sudden 19:1 & talking 5:2 6:17 & 49:1 53:20,23 & transcript 7:14 & 33:22 42:10 \\
\hline suffering 28:21 & 21:1 23:24 & 56:20 63:22 & 7:22 65:17 & 50:9 \\
\hline Suite 1:20 2:4 & 25:735:7 & 65:14 & 67:12,14 & typewritten \\
\hline 2:10 & 43:14 44:24 & third 10:1 & transcription & 67:11 \\
\hline summe & 61:3 63:20 & thought 20:23 & 66:16,18 67:13 & typical 8:4 18:7 \\
\hline super 34:1,17 & target 22:21 & 35:10 47:19 & transfer 12:7 & typically 46:4 \\
\hline supervisor 34:2 & tell \(24: 938: 1\) & 56:21,23 58:12 & transferred & \\
\hline 34:3,7 & 42:7,11 43:4 & 58:22 & 27: &  \\
\hline supposed 28:14 & 56:10 57:12 & three 42:21 & transport 28:5 & uh-huh 4:21
7.179 .1112 .1 \\
\hline 45:24 50:22 & 60:24 64:13,24 & 50:17,18 & 31:11 59:6 & 7:17 9:11 12:1 \\
\hline sure 6:10 16:6 & telling 5:15 23:1 & three-day 13:10 & 61:10 & 13:20,22 15:9 \\
\hline 30:19 31:15 & 27:3 & time 16:7,18 & transportation & 20:21 21:19 \\
\hline 37:25 45:20 & tells 21:4 & 18:8 22:23 & 28:7 30:4 & 23:9 24:1,4 \\
\hline 50:25 52:17,20 & tend 32:6 & 23:4 26:6,10 & transported & 25:19 27:2 \\
\hline 52:23 60:19,23 & tendency 5:5 & 27:14 28:8 & 61:5,21 & 32:20 38:19 \\
\hline surgeries 16:24 & terminally 10:23 & 32:18 33:4,14 & transporter & 41:24 50:20 \\
\hline surprised 55:18 & test 12:9 & 34:1,16,18,21 & 28:23 44:1 & 53:13 57:22 \\
\hline suspicious 63:6 & testified 3:7 20:4 & 37:2 38:10,12 & 45:16 59:23 & wh-huhs 13:23 \\
\hline switched 24:8 & 29:6 & 39:3,11 41:9 & 61:15. & ultimately \(18: 18\) \\
\hline sworn 3:7 67:9 & testify 35:22 & 43:5,9,14,15 & transporters & 20:7 \\
\hline system 1:8 3:20 & 67:9 & 44:7,14 45:3 & 55:18 56:25 & ndergarments \\
\hline 51:2 & testifyin & 47:6,13,24 & transporting & 64:22 65:3 \\
\hline & testimony 5:20 & 48:2,11 51:6 & 27:25 30:3 & 11 \\
\hline T & 66:18 . & 52:2,4,13 54:9 & :21-55:9. & 0 \\
\hline T 2:21 & Thanks & 56:4,14 59:4 & 59:15 & 8:1 16:13 20:3 \\
\hline take 6:13 12:17 & theirs 15:6 & 61:21 63:9 & travel 34 : & 36:10 \\
\hline 13:8 20:2 & thing 4:2315:8 & times 39:9 50:19 & treating 31:4. & undėrstanding \\
\hline 27:10,19 29:8 & 18:22 21:2 & 50:22 51:23 & treatment 30:14 & 17:3 \\
\hline 29:19,20 32:15 & 22:10,13 23:20 & 54:6 55:6 56:7 & 30:23 & unfinished \\
\hline 34:11 36:17 & 24:18 25:1 & 56:8,10,19 & true 22:17 66:17 & 50:21 \\
\hline 44:5 45:25 & 26:16 30:20 & timing-wise 32:8 & 67:12 & unit 44:6 46:7 \\
\hline 48:4 51:20 & 40:6 43:23 & 43:5 & truth 5:15 64:13 & 53:8,10 \\
\hline 52:4 53:2 & 45:10,15 54:5 & title 50:14 & 64:25 67:9 & UNIVERSAL \\
\hline 54:12 55:23 & 54:7 56:1 & today 5:21 7:20 & try 5:8 35:21 & 1:10 \\
\hline 58:8 61:11 & 61:16 & 7:20 & 41:18 & urinate 27:11 \\
\hline 64:1 & things 19:18 & told 6:6,24 7:2 & trying 17:17 & use 12:6 50:1,7 \\
\hline taken 1:18 4:12 & 20:25 21:24 & 18:22,24 20:14 & 47:3 52:7 & usually 28:8 \\
\hline 12:13 16:14 & 26:23 34:9 & 20:14 29:9 & 53:20,20 & 34:7 45:14 \\
\hline 17:25 21:20 & 41:5 42:7 48:3 & 51:13 56:24 & twice 55:7 62:18 & 55:18 65:4 \\
\hline 37:7 41:16 & 50:1 & top 22:22 26:8 & two 4:25 13:14 & \\
\hline 43:16 51:16 & think 3:21 5:19 & 31:22 32:3,17 & 14:25 25:16 & V \\
\hline 52:19 & 16:3 20:5 & 32:23 34:1,23 & 35:8 37:13 & alley 1:8,9 \\
\hline talk 4:23 25:11 & 23:21 24:15,18 & tops 54:25 & 43:13 & 3:20 31:10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline various 28:20 & 58:1063:17 & worse 47:22 & \(1516: 420: 5\) & 5-16-2008 53:8 \\
\hline Vegas 1:21 2:11 & West 1:20 2:4 & wouldn't 5:20 & 33:8 41:13 & 5:1861:25 62:1 \\
\hline 3:14:11 & we'lll 19:5 & 21:6 27:7 & 1632:24 49:9 & \(5721: 17\) \\
\hline venture \(31: 25\) & we're 6:17 20:14 & 29:15 36:22 & 16th 7:22 20:8 & 7 \\
\hline versus 3:20 & 38:18 & 49:14 & 33:2 & \\
\hline 59:24 & we've \(40: 17\) & wounds 52:21 & 1640 1:20 & 7 14:6 39:18,24 \\
\hline vicinity 35:23 & 41:1843:13 & wow 19:4 & \(1736: 6\) & 7:0062:5 \\
\hline visibility \(36: 25\) & 50:17 & Write 49:14 & 187:21 & 7:30 62:4 \\
\hline visit \(41: 23\) 61:24 & whatnot 12:17 & writing 59:7 & & 702.384.6025 \\
\hline Vista 4:7 & wheel \(37: 17\) & 61:9,12 & 2 & \(2: 12\) \\
\hline vital 42:6 45:19 & 44:2 & written 23:2 & 2:0265:20 & 702.889.6400 \\
\hline 52:19 & wheels 44:14 & 26:7 37:24 & 2:30 20:8 32:18 & 2:11 \\
\hline vitals 45:11 & WHEREOF & 41:2,3 59:5,14 & 33:8 & 702.939.5234 \\
\hline 54:14,15,16,21 & 67:21 & 60:6 62:20 & 2:42 51:7 & 2:5 \\
\hline 64:3,3 & witmess 2:16 3:6 & 67:11 & 2:45 20:8 23:2 & 702.939 .5235 \\
\hline \[
\text { | vs } 1: 6
\] & 5:6 6:3,9 8:10 & wrong 47:20 & 26:7 33:10 & 2:6 \\
\hline & 14:15 17:15 & & 38:11 48:8 & 715 24:12,18 \\
\hline W & 19:23 20:4,12 & X & \(2054: 25\) & 35:10 \\
\hline waive 3:11 & 20:20 23:1,13 & X 2:15,21 42:19 & 2004 9:16 & \(71724: 334: 24\) \\
\hline walked \(54: 16,19\) & 23:17,21 26:15 & 47:19 & \(200611: 13\) & 35:8,11,23 \\
\hline walking 37:1 & 28:25 29:13 & Y & \(200714: 5\) & 37:17,20, \\
\hline 54:5 & 31:7 32:13 & \(\underline{Y}\) & 20086:18 14:8 & 38:9 \\
\hline want 6:20,23 7:1 & 33:17 35:2,13 & yeah 12:25 13:4 & 16:1 32:24 & 725 24:3,8 35:11 \\
\hline 7:7 8:3,6 \(27: 9\) & 37:9,20 38:15 & 18:15 20:12 & 41:13 59:5 & 35:23 37:20 \\
\hline \(28: 13\) 30:2,3 & 39:1,21 40:1,6 & 24:20 28:12 & 2010 1:183:1 & 38:2 \\
\hline 39:1865:16 & 40:20 43:9,18 & \(32: 1358: 18,20\)
\(60 \cdot 262.163 .5\) & 66:21 67:7,23 & 7772:10 \\
\hline wants 5:1 & 44:17,22 45:7 & \(60: 262: 163: 5\)
year \(9: 1416: 7\) & \(203641: 10\)
\(2252: 10\) & 8 \\
\hline Wasn't 16:19 & 46:7 48:2,14 & years 13:14 & & 8 14:5,6,7 40:14 \\
\hline 24:12,14 59:14
62:6 & 49:5 50:25 & years 14.25 & 24412.4
\(2513: 1436: 7\) & |8:0041:11 \\
\hline 62:6 & 53:24 57:15 & 14.25 & 25 13:1436:7
55:13 & 8:20-ish \(20: 5\) \\
\hline way \(16: 1327: 22\) & 59:19 60:2,23 & Z & 271:183:167 & 8:3041:11 \\
\hline \(35: 1636: 10\)
\(37 \cdot 14,1541.8\) & 67:8,21 & zeros 21:17 & 271.183 .167 & 89052 2:5 \\
\hline \(37: 14,1541: 8\)
\(52: 855: 16\) & witness's \(8: 5\) & & 3 & 89107 2:11 \\
\hline 52:8 55:16 & words 59:21 & \# & 32:18 & \\
\hline wear 57:21,24 & work9:17 10:3 & \#422 1:25 & \[
3: 51 \text { 38:10,12 }
\] & 9 \\
\hline wearing 58:4 & 10:9 11:5 & & 3.51 38:10,12
\(39: 11\) & 9:1549:10 \\
\hline weeks 19:15 & 12:23 14:17 & 0 & & \\
\hline went 10:14,25 & 28:14 30:5,7 & 0849:9 & & \\
\hline 11:1 14:14 & 42:17 57:17,19 & & 4 & \\
\hline 20:19 23:20 & 61:15 & 1 & & \\
\hline 37:21 47:13 & worked 9:23 & 11:13,13 31:11 & & \\
\hline 54:23 55:14,16 & 10:1,5 14:16 & \(101: 13,14\) & \[
53: 25
\] & \\
\hline 56:1 59:17 & 14:21,23,25 & 10941:22 & 4:50 51:11 52:5 & \\
\hline 61:463:4,10 & 56:8,9 & 11541:22 & \[
422 \text { 67:25 }
\] & \\
\hline 63:13 64:1,3 & working 4:6 & 117315B 1:24 & & \\
\hline 65:8 & 16:9 18:4 29:7 & 12:59 1:19 3:2 & 5 & \\
\hline weren \({ }^{\text {¹ }}\) 17:10 & 57:11 58:16 & \(1202: 4\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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AMY BOCHENEK - 3/10/2010
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Page 2 & & Page 4 \\
\hline 1 & APPEARANCES: & & 1 & LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; MARCH 10, 2010 \\
\hline 2 & For the Plaintiff: & & 2 & 9:02 A.M. \\
\hline 3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
NEAL K HYMAN, ESQ. \\
The Law Offices of Neal Hyman
\end{tabular} & & 3 & -000-: \\
\hline 4 & 2441 West Horizon Ridge Parkway & & 4 & \\
\hline & Suite 120 & & 5 & AMY BOCHENEK, \\
\hline 5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Henderson, Nevada 89052 \\
702.939.5234
\end{tabular} & & 6 & having been called as a witness and having been \\
\hline 6 & 702.939.5235 Fax & & 7 & first duly swom, was examined and testified as \\
\hline 7 & neallyman(lawyerinvegas.com & & 8 & follows: \\
\hline & For Defendant Centennial Hills Hospital Medical & & 9 & (Prior to the commencement of \\
\hline 9 & Center: \(\quad \vdots \quad\) a & & 10 & the deposition, all counsel \\
\hline 9 & \begin{tabular}{l}
DAVE FERRANOLO, ESQ. \\
HALL PRANGLE \& SCHOONVELD
\end{tabular} & & 11 & present agreed to wave \\
\hline 10 & 777 North Rainbow Boulevard & & 12 & statements by the court \\
\hline & Suite 225 - & & 13 & reporter pursuant to \\
\hline 11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Las Vegas; Nevada } 89107 \\
& 702.889 .6400
\end{aligned}
\] & & 14 & Rule 30(b)(4) of the NRCP.) \\
\hline 12 & 702.384 .6025 Fax & & 15 & \\
\hline 3 & dferrainolo@hpslaw.com & & 16 & EXAMINATION \\
\hline 14 & & & 17 & BY MR. HYMAN: \\
\hline 15 & & & 18 & Q. Good morning. \\
\hline 16 & & & 19 & A. Good morning. \\
\hline 18 & & & 20 & \\
\hline 19 & & & 21 & \\
\hline 20 & & & & If of the plaintiff in this civil action \\
\hline 21 & & & 22 & entitled Cagnina versus Valley Health System, et al. \\
\hline 22 & & & 23 & Would you please state your full iname \\
\hline 23
24 & & & 24 & and spell your last name for the record. \\
\hline 25 & & & 25 & A. Yes. Amy Bochenek, B-O-C-H-E-N-E-K. \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{27}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Q. And what is your current employment? \\
A. Centennial Hills Hospital. \\
Q. And do you know the business address? \\
A. \(\quad 6900\) North Durango Drive, 89149 . \\
Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? \\
A. Yes. \\
Q. Approximately how many times? \\
A. Once. \\
Q. Do you recall how long ago that was? \\
A. It was in the last year. \\
Q. Do you recall the circumstances, like what type of case it was? \\
A. It was a prior health care facility case similar to this, PMK situation. \\
Q. Did it involve a case where someone was suing the hospital for negligence? \\
A. It involved - it never went to -- it was dropped, but it was a deposition that was preparing for a potential case, a sưit against a hospital. \\
Q. I guess I'm just trying to figure out if any of the facts in that case were remotely similar to this case. \\
A. Completely different No, completely
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & Page 3 & & \\
\hline 1 & INDEX & & & \\
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\hline 3 & AMY BOCHENEK & & & \\
\hline 4 & Examination by Mr. Hyman & & & \\
\hline 5 & & & & \\
\hline 6 & & & & \\
\hline 7 & INFORMATION REQUESTED & & & \\
\hline 8 & Page Line & & & \\
\hline 9 & 4319 & & & \\
\hline 10 & 451 & & & \\
\hline 11 & & & & \\
\hline 12 & & & & \\
\hline 13 & EXHIBITS & & & \\
\hline 14 & Number Page Description & & & \\
\hline 15 & (None) & & & \\
\hline 16 & & & & \\
\hline 17 & & & & \\
\hline 18 & & & & \\
\hline 19 & & & & \\
\hline 20 & & & & \\
\hline 21 & & & & \\
\hline 22 & & & & \\
\hline 23 & & & & \\
\hline 24 & & & & \\
\hline 25 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

different.

```
Q. What I'll do is I'm just going to go over some admonitions just to be cautious, make sure you know the deposition process.

As you can see, we're silting here in a conference roonı. You've been placed under oath, and you'll be testifying here today as if you were in a court of law.

\section*{Do you understand that?}
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And I'll just get this one out real quick It's important not to talk over each other, so please let me finish what I'm saying before you start to talk, because otherwise, the court reporter can't get it all down. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. And then audible responses are appreciated such as yes or no or elaborate on an answer. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you think of any reason why you wouldn't be able to testify here today? Lack of sleep? Under the infiuence of something?
A. No.
Q. Otler than just not wanting to.

\section*{A. Only Starbucks.}
Q. You understand that you were placed under oath and would face the penalty of perjury for not telling the truth?

> A. Yes
Q. Upon completion of the deposition, you'll be provided with a booklet which is essentially the transcript. You may not, if you waive that right, but if you have the opportunity to review it, you can make changes. I would just caution you if it's any sort of substantive change such as, you know, a date or a fact that's important to this case, I can bring that up later at trial, that you changed your testimony.

Do you understand that?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. So you've been -- or we noticed the deposition here today, and you've been designated under various categories as a person most knowledgeable, which is kind of a term of art in legal cases. It doesn't necessarily mean you're the person with the most knowledge, but Valley Health Systems has designated you on three categories, and I just want to go over those.

\footnotetext{
A. . Ulh-huh.
}
Q. Do you recall the year you graduated?
A. \(\quad 90\).
Q. Are you from California? Were you born
there?
A. No.
Q. Where were you originally born?
A. Saratoga Springs, New York.
Q. And obviously I don't want to get into your whole childhood but --
A. We will be here till lunch.
Q. Right. But you moved to California, attended high school, and completed high school in California?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it fair to say you didn't have any
kind of work history in New York?
A. Correct.
Q. Then when you graduated high school, did you go to any higher education?
A. I went to nursing school in California.
Q. Do you recall the year?
A. I attended from '93 to ' 95 , I believe, first in community college and then continued through a program that actually was out of New York until'99 to extend my degree.
A. Correct.
Q. And then subsequentiy, you obtained some other licenses?
A. Correct, a registered nursing license.
Q. When did you get that?
A. I believe '99.
Q. Was that also in Califorinia?
A. I was licensed in California. My degree was through Excelsior College in Albany, New York, but I was licensed in California, both LVN and RN licenses.
Q. And then tell ine a little bit about the community college you attended.
A. As far as --
Q. Well, that was separate from the nursing school?
A. Correct. No. Mount Jacinto College was the nursing program, the LVN progiain.
Q. So it's one and the saine?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you obtain any degrees other than a nursing degree?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever work either as a nurse or in the health care industry in California?
Q. So let's take this apart here.

So you first attended nursing school?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the name?
A. Mount Jacinto College -- it's

J-A-C-I-N-T-O -- in San Jacinto, California.
Q. And were there a series of classes that you had to take to complete that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me about how long that took
A. It was a two-year program, associate degree program.
Q. So you went straight through and got your degree?
A. Yes.
Q. At that point, did you obtain any nursing license?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whem you obtained that?
A. Would have been in 1995. I believe May or June. I'm not exactly sure of the month. My initial license was as an LVN, licensed vocational nurse.
Q. So that was your first license?
A. Yes.
Q. Where?
A. Hemet Valley Medical Center. I actually worked for Valley Health Systen. It was not this Valley Health System. It's a different -- same name but it was a group of three hospitals, Hemet Valley Medical Center, Moreno Valiey Medical Center, and Menifee Valley Medical Center, M-E-N-I-F-E-E. And \(I\) worked in two out of the three, at Menifee Valley and Heinet Valley: I worked.- when I was in nursing school, I started working as an assistant there in 1994, and then when I graduated from nursing school, I moved to a nursing role and worked there until the end of ' 99.
Q. Just start -- tell me your first, I guess, role as -- was your first assignment as a registered nürse?
A. At that hospital, I worked as a CNA initially when I was still in nursing school.
Q. And are you aware of, like, what were the, I guess, job descriptions of a CNA when you were doing it?
A. I couldn't tell you what the description said now. I could tell you what my work role was.
Q. What did it inclide?
A. Assisting patients, vital signs, taking then to the restroom, bringing them water, blankets, basically activities of daily living, transports, ambulating, repositioning patients, bathing.
Q. Were you assigned to an emergency room at that point?
A. I started in a medical unit, med-surg
unit.
Q. Did you ever progress to ER?
A. As a nurse, I did.
Q. Were you aware of any requirements for a CNA to be able to work in an emergency room?
A. It varies from department to department.

Some emergency departments use a technician role and some use a CNA rọle and some use both. But usually the basic requirement is a CPR card and then on-the-job training.
Q. So you weren't aware of any sort of, like, one-year requirement for acute hospital --
A. No. That would vary depending on the facility.
Q. And then did your nursing school qualify you to be a CNA automatically?
A. . I think after the second semester, we could take the test, so it was part of our -- part
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of our training. I believe it was after two semesters, you could sit for the state CNA board, and that's what I did. I didn't attend a separate CNA class. It was part of our curriculum.
Q. And then at some point, you served as a registered nurse in California?
A. Correct, at the same facility when -when I completed my RN degree, \(I\) just moved from an LVN position into an RN position in the emergency departnient. So I worked under both titles in the same department, first one, then the other.
Q. So you were working as a registered nurse in the emergency room.
A. Correct, by the time I left, yes.
Q. And at some point, did you go from California to Nevada?
A. I went from California to Montana, Helena.
Q. Do you recall the year?
A. That was in January of 2000 , right at the beginning of the year.
Q. What prompted that move?
A. Relocated. Both my hushand and I had job offers there.
Q. Was your husband at all in health care?
A. No.
Q. And what sort of work did you do in Montana?
A. The same type of work I was recruited there to work as a critical care float nurse. I worked between the ED, the emergency room, and the critical care unit at a hospital. And I only did that for a short time and was promoted into management.
Q. Do you recall the name of the hospital?
A. St. Peter's Hospital in Helena, Montana.
Q. And then what did your promoted position involve?
A. Initially I was director of patient care services where I had responsibility for the house supervisors and the float pool staff, and then I moved into a director of surgical pediatrics and oncology, three different inpatient units, and maintained patient care services as well.
Q. So did your job ever involve supervising emergency room enployees?
A. Not at that time, no.
Q. At either the hospitals in California or in Montana, did you ever come into association with what's referred to as agency type employees, not
direct-hire employees?
A. In Montana, no. In California, it was rare. In Montana, we on occasion would have a -well, a traveler, which is similar to an agency staff, but it's not day - it's a long-term contract type position.
Q. And so when was it that you moved away from Montana?
A. In 2004.
Q. And where did you go?
A. To Rockford, Illinois.
Q. And what was the reason?
A. I was recruited there for a different management position.
Q. Different hospital?
A. Yes. It was Rockford Memorial Hospital.
Q. And what was your job duty?
A. Initially I was hired to manage pediatrics and the pediatric intensive care unit, and I only did that for a few months. I was promoted to senior manager of children's services and then senior manager of emergency and nursing services.
Q. So there you had the opportunity to oversee and observe emergency room employees?
A. Correct.
Q. And when did you move away from that state?
A. In September 2007 when I moved here.
Q. So September 2007, you moved to Nevada?
A. Yes.
Q. And what prompted that move?
A. My father became ill.
Q. Your husband moved with you?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point, did you obtain any work in Nevada?
A. I was hired to work for Centennial Hills before I moved.
Q. And tell me about that. How did you find Centennial Hills?
A. I basically looked for management positions, and I applied for two different positions here in town, both emergency services based. I was offered both, and I chose Centennial Hills. It was, you know, a hospital that wasn't open yet, something I hadn't done.
Q. And did you know anything about, you know, the owner or manager of Centennial Hills Hospital, what the company was?
A. No.
Q. The name Valley Health System, LLC, were you ever aware of that?
A. I was aware of Valley Health System, but it was a different Valley Health System, so no.
Q. So at some point after you started working for Centennial Hills Hospital, did you understand it was part of a Valley Health System?
A. When I was hired, I understood that, and when I was going through the interview process, I understood that.
Q. Do you recall when Centennial Hills Hospital actually opened to the public?
A. January of 2008.
Q. So for a period of, what, three, four months, you were working at the hospital before it was opened?
A. We were in temporary offices for, I guess, four or five months prior. We opened at the end of January. So we worked out of temporary offices developing policies, hiring staff until we could occupy the building.
Q. Were you involved in hiring any staff?
A. I hired all the staff for the emergency department.
Q. And that would be related to direct hires?
A. Correct.
Q. . At this point, did you have any
interaction with, say, agency employees or any --
A. No.
Q. You didn't have any role in making decisions on that?
A. We didn't hire any agency staff before we opened. The need for agency staff came after opening and finding that our volumies exceeded what was predicted and what we had staffed for. We needed to use short-term help so we could open more positions and bring more full-time nurses in to cover the need.
Q. We've had a PMK in this case, person most knowledgeable, on human resources who talked about sort of what Centennial Hills Hospital looked for in its employees when it was hiring and that. You've just testified that you had some involvement in hiring people for the ER.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me to some degree how are you familiar with those policies, practices, and procedures? Like, what do you look for?
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A. Personally or -- our culture as an organization was to find what we call right fit applicants, so customer service, experience, if they had the whole picture, but customer service skills, flexibility, adaptability, the ability to work in a new building where we didn't quite know, you know, exactly what we would receive as far as customer base.
Q. Were you actually involved in, say, if there was an applicant, going over their application?
A. HR would -- human resources would initially screen the applications and then send them to me.
Q. Would that include an agency hire?
A. No. Agency staff do not apply through the traditional methods. They don't submit applications. It's a different process.
Q. Are you familiar with a company called American Nursing Services?
A. I know the name.
Q. Prior to working at Centennial Hills Hospital, did you ever hear of them?
A. No.
Q. Sometime while you were working at

Centennial Hills Hospital, did you learn of them?
A. Yes. In addition to the emergency department, I had responsibility for the nursing office which oversaw the placement of agency staff.
Q. So you have the understanding that

American Nursing Services was one company that Centennial Hills Hospital used to get agency employees?
A. Correct, through our Broadlane contract.
Q. And what do you know about this

Broadlane contract?
A. I know that VES works with Broadlane that coordinates a group of agency companies that provide temporary staff.
Q. Are you aware of any other agency companies other than American Nursing Services that Centennial Fills Hospital used?
A. There are multiple. I couldn't really tell you the names.
Q. In your eyes, is there a distinction between an agency employee versus a direct hire? In other words, are they treated differently at the hospital?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Im not sure what you're

I'll let you answer that if you know what ANS does.

THE WITNESS: I know that we require
background checks on all of our employees. I can't
speak to how that company performs theirs. I just
background checks on all of our employees. I can't
speak to how that company performs theirs. I just know that that's part of our process.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And you know, you personally wouldn't have been involved in, say, like, calling references or doing those things.
A. Outside of our employees, no. And even
n our employees, I wouldn't do that. That's a
A. Outside of our employees, no. And even
on our employees, I wouldn't do that. That's a human resources function.
Q. Now, getting more into the facts of this specific case, are you familiar with a former agency employee named Steven Farmer?
policies and procedures.
A. Correct.
Q. And you would expect that if there was a company like American Nursing Services and they were doing a background screening search on a potential agency employee, they should meet the same standards as the hospital?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form. I
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asking.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I guess I'm just saying in your eyes, is there a distinction between an agency employee and a direct hire as the way they're treated by either yourself or other staff at the hospital?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer? MR. FERRANOLO: You can. If you can. THE WITNESS: Well, I think they're treated the same. If you're asking how their coworkers treat them or how a manager would treat them, they're treated as a nurse or a CNA, whatever their role is. They have the same expectations for performance, and they're held to the same standards.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. I'm not trying to get into what people actually do, but I'm saying an agency employee should be treated just like any other employee.
A. Correct.
Q. And should be held to the same
qualifications and standards?
A. Correct.
Q. And should have to abide by the same
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A. Yes.
Q. Prior to this lawsuit, I mean, were you aware of him as an agency employee?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about when was your first encounter with him.
A. I couldn't give you a date. I could just tell you that I met him on several occasions while he was working in the facility, most often at shift change, because he typically worked night shift.
Q. And what was his shift? Where did he work?
A. Where -- time or location?
Q. Let's start with the basics. What was his, I guess, job title?
A. CNA.
Q. And as far as you know, that was always his job title?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you involved personally to any degree in reviewing his file before he was hired?
A. No.
Q. Would you have ever had the opportunity to see his file?
A. I could have if I had a reason to ask for it, but in this case, I did not.
Q. And for someone like Mr. Farmer who was a CNA, would he be -- strike that.

For someone like Mr. Farmer who was a CNA and who was also an agency employee, would he have a set position in the hospital somewhere where he was going to always work?
A. No.
Q. So he would just sort of float around where the need was?
A. We would secure agency staff on a shift-by-shift or need-by-need basis. So they are not employed by our facility, and they are not arranged in advance.

We would assess our needs for the upcoming shift, and if our needs exceeded the number of nurses or CNAs that we had as our own employees, then we would call the agencies to see what staff were available. So it was not an in-advance -- it's not an in-advance process for any agency staff that work on a daily basis.
Q. Would it literally be a situation where every day he showed up, he could be somewhere else?
A. We would arrange where he was going
ahead of time, so we would call the agency and say we need two CNAs for med-surg and one for the emergency room department, and they would provide us with staff that had already submitted, you know, complete files to us and met the requirements, and then we would place them.

We would say CNA A can go to med-surg. CNAB would go to the emergency department. So it would change based on the need. So he could work in different locations, yes.
Q. So it would be fair to say that at least prior to Mr. Farmer coming on for a shift, if you needed a CNA in the emergency room, the agency would know that and they would provide you with a CNA that could work in the emergency room?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're asking.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. l guess what I'm trying to say is if a

CNA, to work in the emergency room, has to have certain knowledge, would the agency know that in advance to get you the right CNA to work in the emergency room?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: A CNA can work in multiple departments. They perform the same tasks. It's different than a nurse.

A nurse that cares for patients, we may ask of certain skill sets, and a nurse may be able to work in one area but not another.

But assisting patients to the restroom, transporting patients, getting patients water, those tasks are the same regardless of what unit they are placed in.
BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. So you're not aware of any policy or recommendation that a CNA that's going to work in the emergency room should have at least a year of experience doing that?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall when Mr. Farmer would have started working at the hospital? Like month or year?
A. I think February.
Q. Of 2008 ?
A. Correct. Couldn't tell you exactly when.
Q. And was it a situation, up till this incident that occurred, which is around - it's
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May 15, 2008 - was he pretty much working there daily?
A. I couldn't answer that question.
Q. If the time sheet records from American Nursing Services indicated that he was basically working there daily with the exception of a few days, would you dispute that?

MR. FERRAINOLO: I would object to form. THE WITNESS: I just can't answer because I don't know how many shifts he worked. I can tell you that he worked during that time at our facility, but I don't know how many shifts. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So you don't know if he worked at other facilities.
A. Correct. His agency would know that.
Q. And that leads me to my next question. Are you aware of there being any sort of \(\log\) or records at the hospital that would show that Mr. Farmer was working there on any given day?
A. We maintain records because we pay for agency staff because they're not on our payroll. So we track where we place staff, and we also then submit that to accounting so that they can pay the agencies for the staff that they've submitted to us.
Q. Is there any sort of \(\log\) that, say, Mr. Farmer, when he shows up, would have to sign in or something?
A. No, not that I'm aware of.
Q. So how does it actually get recorded in the hospital's records? Who does that? MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: A staffing coordinator maintains those records.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And how would they know that Mr. Farmer was there on a certain day?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: Our process is to obtain agency staff and then decide where we're placing them at the start of the shift. We have house supervisors that are in-house 24 hours a day that oversee how we place patients or how we place nurses and patients. Sometimes that may change if the needs on one unit decrease and the needs on another unit increase.

If we discharge multiple patients off one area and have admissions in another, they may move staff, but they track that information for the staffing coordinator so that we can pay
appropriately out of the right cost centers.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Do you know where those type of records would be located?
A. I know that we maintain a spreadsheet in the staffing office, and I know that accounting keeps records of their own. I can't speak to where or what type.
Q. And based on the fact that this occurred in May 2008, which was a little over a year and a half ago, would those records still exist?

\section*{A. I believe so.}
Q. I'll just submit to you that we've been trying to get those records in this case and they haven't been produced, so if there's any way you can assist Mr. Ferrainolo in obtaining those, would you do so?
A. I will try.
Q. I believe there's a court order requiring it so --

So when you were, I guess, having dealings or encountering Mr. Farmer, did you know anything about his background?
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A. No. } \\
& \text { Q. I mean, you didṇ't even know, like, you }
\end{aligned}
\]
know, if he had been a CNA in California?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with him, him saying anything specific to you about anything?
A. Most of our conversations were just basic greetings in passing, hi, how was your night? We didn't really have any personal conversations.
Q. Before May of 2008, were you ever able to observe him working?
A. Not directly, no.
Q. In May of 2008 , were you assigned to any degree to the emergency room?
A. I was director of emergency services at that time.
Q. So when Plaintiff Cagnina was brought to the ER in an ambulance, I believe it was on May 15, 2008, were you working?
A. It would depend what time she came in. I worked day shifts then.
Q. And this is from my memory, but my understanding is she came in in the later evening, maybe around 8:00 P.M.
A. So I would not be in the building at that time.
Q. I'm not going to ask you about what an attorney has talked to you about or shown you, but have you reviewed any documents such as medical records or something related to this case?
A. Not anything recently. I think I've seen some parts of the medical record after the incident but not anything recently.
Q. So sitting here just out of personal knowledge, do you know which nurses were on shift in the ER when Plaintiff Cagnina was admitted?
A. I know it involved -- with this case, I recall that Karen Goodhart was there, Ray Sumara, and I believe Darby Curlee was our charge.
Q. What was that name again for the charge nurse?
A. Darby. His real name is Carlton Curlee, C-U-R-L-E-E. He goes by Darby.
Q. Is that person still working at the hospital?
A. Yes. There would be more nurses on.

Those are just the names that 1 know.
Q. In an emergency setting, assuming

Mr. Farmer was assigned to the emergency room, who would supervise Mr. Farmer on any given day?
A. The charge nurse.

made the decision that Plaintiff Cagnina was going to be admitted to the hospital. You know, it was in the early hours of the morning, so at least probably for a day.

Do you know who was involved in making the decision of having Mr. Farmer transport her?
A. Making the decision to transport to the loor?
Q. Right. Like, actually using Mr. Farmer to take Plaintiff Cagnina to the seventh floor.
A. Well, that is the role of the support staff in the emergency department.
Q. And by support staff, that could include various types of workers?
A. Emergency department technicians or CNAs. Those are the two support staff roles that we have.

\section*{Q. Not nurses?}
A. Nurses may transport at times as well.

If another person is not a arlable or theres a the patient is an ICU patient, then a nurse would accompany the patient.

But for medical patients, which this patient was, it was standard for the patient to be
transported by basic level staff. So in the emergency department, that would be a CNA or an ED tech, and house-wise, it could be a transporter. During the daytime hours, we have transporters, but at night, we do not.
Q. Are you aware of any sort of hospital policy that would take into consideration that Plaintiff Cagnina was female and was medicated and was suffering from seizures and that perhaps a female transporter should be used?
A. No.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. So there's actually no policy on that.
A. No.
Q. Is there any sort of policy, say, if somebody is suffering from seizures and they're going to be admitted, that, like, an RN accompany the patient?
A. Policies aren't based on their diagnosis. It's based on their acuity. And the fact that the physician admitted this patient to a medical floor, that would mean that she was a stable patient. If she needed a higher level of care, the physician would have admitted her to our
intermediate care or the intensive care unit, and then that would require a nurse's presence.
Q. Are you familiar with the way the different floors are set up at this hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. So the seventh floor, what type of patients go there?
A. Medical patients.
Q. And what about the sixth floor?
A. Medical patients.
Q. So someone like Plaintiff Cagnina being admitted for seizures or observation from the emergency room could just as equally go to the seventh floor as the sixth floor?
A. Correct.
Q. Any other floors?
A. Right. Now we have an eighth floor that we've opened since, but at the time, we only had the sixth and the seventh floors opened. And on occasion, if those floors are full; we may place those patients into an overflow status on the fifth floor, not because they require critical care but because that happens to be where an open bed is.
Q. And were you involved to any degree in the decision of, you know, which room she was going
to be sent to?
A. No.
Q. Have you had the opportunity to review -- there's a couple documents that most of the witnesses in this case have been shown, but there's an emergency department fax report, and then there's an ED admit log.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Have you seen either one of these for this case?
A. I believe I have.
Q. Recently?
A. Not recently.
Q. I'm going to show you the first one, which is CAG -- I'll omit the zeros -- 57. So it's emergency department fax report. Just take a second to look at that.

You've seen this type of document before?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you seen this specific document before?
A. I believe I have after the case.
Q. So after the report of sexual assault.
A. Correct.

\section*{Page 38}
Q. You can see at the top here, there apparently are two room numbers. One looks like it was 717, and then there's 725 .
A. I see that.
Q. If I submit to you she was ultimately transferred to 725, can you think of any reason why 717 would be on there?
A. That would tell me that at some point, the room may have changed or the nurse wrote down the wrong number. The admit log is where they write down the initial room so --
Q. I mean, is that something that commonly happens?
A. It can.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It looks like the room changed, from what I see there.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. I'm going to show you that one in a second, but I'm just trying to get at the reason. You can't tell me why that room changed?
A. It can be a lot of different things. I can give you examples, but \(I\) couldn't tell you what happened on this night.

The examples may be that one room is not
yet clean or that a patient was projected to move out of a room and did not. Sometimes the nurses -the charge nurse on the floor will decide to place patients in certain locations. For example, an elderly patient at risk for falls, they may want to put closer to the nurses station and move younger patients into different rooms. So there's a lot of reasons that they would change rooms.
Q. So if we look at the ED admit log, which is ED transport \(\log -I^{\prime} l l\) omit the zeros -1 , disregard the prior two boxes which are different patients, but if we look at the top box, there's a series of little boxes here. You can see those apparently four on top, four on the bottom?
A. Yes.
Q. So it looks like we have a disposition time of 2:30 A.M. on -- that would be May 16, 2008?
A. Yes. This is the working log for the unit coordinator, which is our secretary in the department. And what this does is it helps them track the process of admission. So the disposition time is the time the physician wrote the order for admission.
Q. And then you can see where it says inpatient bed number 717.
-
A. Ican
Q. So that at least evidently was the initial bed -- or room she was going to go to?
A. Right.
Q. And then there's a box there that says time super called back, 2:30 A.M.
A. That would be the house supervisor. That just means they called the house supervisor to let them know. Those three times are the same. So they called the house supervisor to let them know that they had an admission, and they got a hold of the house supervisor at that time.

Sometimes you'll see a different time in those boxes because they'll call and leave a voicemail if the house supervisor is on another line, and then the house supervisor will call back, and they'll note different times in those boxes.
Q. So who -- is it someone in the ER that would call the house supervisor?
A. The unit coordinator, the secretary.
Q. And in this case, do you know who that would have been?
A. I do not.
Q. But would that person have been provided with some information from the staff at the ER?
A. The process is the physician writes an order to admit. The order goes into our rack for the unit coordinator to process. They would then look at the chart see an admission order to a certain level of care, which in this case is a med-surg -- that's what the MS stands for -- 23-hour observation, meaning an observation stay, not an inpatient stay.

They would call the house supervisor and let the house supervisor know this information, that they have a patient this age, this sex, this diagnosis, being admitted to this physician and that status, med-surg observation. And the house supervisor would take that information, and then they would contact the charge nurse on the inpatient units wherever beds were available and find a place for that patient.
Q. So in this case on this day, do you know who the house super was?
A. I do not. It doesn't denote that on this form.
Q. Would there be any record that would show that?
A. We could look at our staffing records to find out who was on.
Q. I'm just going to leave a blank in your deposition transcript. Would you be able to easily locate that person's name?
A. Yes.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Hold on.
It's Lori Wescout. We've already
deposed her.
THE WITNESS: This is the night shift
house supervisor. I don't know who it was.
MR. HYMAN: You could find out, though?
MR. FERRAINOLO: Yeah, we can check, but
I was under the assumption it was Lori Wescolt.
THE WITNESS: Lori came in at 7:00 A.M.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. I'll just leave a blank in your
transcript. When you get it, if you could insert
the name, I'd appreciate it. Okay?
A. Yes.

INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED: \(\qquad\)
路

\section*{BYMR. HYMAN:}
Q. And then so you said that the house
super would contact the charge nurse on the seventh floor?
A. I don't know if she would contact just that floor. She would look at where her beds were available in house, and then she would have options. So if we had beds on both six and seven, she may call both. If we only had beds on the seventh floor or if that seemed to be the most appropriate place to admit, she may just call that charge nurse.

But she calls wherever the beds are available and then negotiates the room placement based on the age of the patient, you know, what rooms are available, the activity of that unit.
Q. Do you know who the charge nurse would have been on the seventh floor that would have been able to provide this room number?
A. Not by name, no.
Q. Is there some record that would show whe that was?
A. Our staffing records would show that as well.
Q. I'm going to do the same thing, leave a blank in the deposition transcript. Can you easily find that person's name --
A. Yes.
Q. - and then insert it?
A. Yes.

BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. Then there's a box that says time room was assigned, at 2:35 A.M.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So at least as of 2:35 A.M. on May 16,

2008, Plaintiff Cagnina was assigned Room 717?
A. That's what that looks like.
Q. Then there's a box that says time to floor, 3:51 A.M.
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Who provides the information so that that can be inserted?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: There can be a lot of different ways that that happens. Sometimes the nurse may say I'm getting, you know, Mrs. Smith in bed 8 ready to go upstairs and the unit coordinator will note that time so they know that that process
is complete. Sometimes they may not say anything until they're rolling down the hall with the patient.

But just because this isn't an official, you know, part of the record -- it's just a working log for the unit coordinator to know what they have open and what they have closed. So you would have to talk to the people that night to find out what that meant.
BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. There's a box here that says copy initials AD. Do you know what that means?
A. Every admission, we make a copy of the face sheet -- not the face sheet, the admitting order, and that gets sent to admitting so they can enter the status in the computer system. It's part of processing the admission.
Q. And then you might be the first witness that knows this. Do you know why there's a seven under bed request?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Nobody knows.
A. Nobody knows. I really don't.
Q. Then there's a box here that says reason
for delay, and there's just a stamp that says Midas.
A. Right. They're using that. They're not using that to note delays. Midas is our ED log, and that's part of the process of closing out our ED log.

So the secretary, who it looks like is Eva Degre, has stamped that they've completed the Midas, so they've completed that paperwork. It's a computer process, but it's, like, their closure of that chart --
Q. Okay.
A. -- in the computer.
Q. Okay. Now, you just said Eva --
A. That's what those initials look like to me, Eva Degre, the secretary.
Q. So she's the secretary that would have been inputting this information?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know if she still works at the hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you spell her last name?
A. D-E-G-R-E.
Q. And it's fair to say that there's
nothing indicated in this box showing that there was a reason for delay?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 50 & & Page 52 \\
\hline 1 & A. No, no. We always call them. & 1 & documented entry. \\
\hline 2 & Q. So assuming that this was faxed at & 2 & Q. And then you see this box down here \\
\hline 3 & 2:45 A.M. or thereabouts, can you think of any & 3 & where there's an asterisk, and it says seizure \\
\hline 4 & reason why the seventh floor wouldn't be aware that & 4 & precautions? \\
\hline 5 & Plaintiff Cagnina was coming up around 2:45 A.M.? & 5 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 6 & A. Well, the 2:45 to me would not mean it & 6 & Q. And then I and \(O\). \\
\hline 7 & was faxed then. That would tell me that's when the & 7 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 8 & nurses started filling out that sheet. I don't & 8 & Q. What does that mean? \\
\hline 9 & think you can connect one with the other. & 9 & A. That's letting the receiving nurse know \\
\hline 10 & Q. It would be fair to say, though, that & 10 & that this patient should be on seizure precautions \\
\hline 11 & this sheet would have to be faxed before the patient & 11 & and to observe for seizures and be aware of \\
\hline 12 & was transported. & 12 & potential for injury if they should have a seizure, \\
\hline 13 & A. Correct. It would be sent prior. & 13 & and \(I\) and \(O\) is to monitor intake and output so that \\
\hline 14 & Q. Is there any time frame? I mean, do & 14 & on the floor, they would need to track the amount of \\
\hline 15 & they need to give the seventh floor enough time to & 15 & fluid they're taking in and how much they're putting \\
\hline 16 & get the room ready? & 16 & out. \\
\hline 17 & A. We don't fax and send the patient until & 17 & Q. So would these instructions cause the \\
\hline 18 & the room is ready, so that's where the delay often & 18 & seventh floor to do anything differently to get a \\
\hline 19 & occurs. We may be assigned a room number, but the & 19 & room ready for this patient? \\
\hline 20 & room is not clean or the nurse isn't ready for the & 20 & A. They should prepare seizure pads. \\
\hline 21 & patient. So the patient is in holding status until & 21 & Q. So then just back to the sequencing of \\
\hline 22 & we know we can bring them back. & 22 & this fax sheet, I understand that you said that it \\
\hline 23 & That may be the house supervisor calling & 23 & would be faxed. Somebody from the ER would call the \\
\hline 24 & down to the emergency room department saying, you & 24 & seventh floor to confirm that they got it. \\
\hline 25 & know, this patient is going to go to Room 717 but & 25 & A. Correct. \\
\hline & Page 51 & & Page 53 \\
\hline 1 & I'll let you know when they can go. Something & 1 & Q. Would there be any written record of \\
\hline 2 & obviously happened because we have this patient & 2 & that either in a log or a fax confirmation sheet? \\
\hline 3 & going to a different room. So between the time the & 3 & A. Not all the time. It would depend on \\
\hline 4 & room was initially assigned and the time the patient & 4 & the murse. The nurse may write in their note that \\
\hline 5 & went up, it tells me that something happened that & 5 & they've called, but it's our standard process, so I \\
\hline 6 & required a room change. & 6 & think few nurses would document that interaction. \\
\hline 7 & So it's not until they know that the & 7 & If they call and the receiving unit says they \\
\hline 8 & noom is ready and the nurse is ready that they fax & 8 & haven't received the fax, then they refax it. \\
\hline 9 & that report and call and make sure the nurse has & 9 & That's why we have that verification before the \\
\hline 10 & received it, and then they take the patient, because & 10 & patient goes. \\
\hline 11 & this piece of paper would have to include anything & 11 & Q. Then are you aware of any policies, \\
\hline 12 & up to the point of transfer. That's why you & 12 & practices, or procedures of the hospital concerning \\
\hline 13 & wouldn't want to fax it before the patient actually & 13 & the transport process? Say someone like Mr. Farmer \\
\hline 14 & is ready to go. & 14 & is going to transport Plaintiff Cagnina up to the \\
\hline 15 & Q. And if the last notations in any sort of & 15 & seventh floor. I mean, what's the process for that? \\
\hline 16 & medical records in the ER show that Plaintiff & 16 & A. At the time of this incident, the \\
\hline 17 & Cagnina was being attended to sometime around & 17 & process would have been for -- from the emergency \\
\hline 18 & 3:25 A.M., are you saying that this fax sheet could & 18 & room to the floor? Is that what you're asking? \\
\hline 19 & have gone all the way up until that last time she & 19 & Q. Right. What would he need to do? \\
\hline 20 & was being -- & 20 & A. He would be asked by someone to \\
\hline 21 & A. Well, it could have gone after. I mean, & 21 & transport a patient to a certain room, so usually \\
\hline 22 & the last note in the record would be the last & 22 & that's a primary nurse that would say my patient in \\
\hline 23 & pertinent piece of information worth documenting, & 23 & Room 10, Mrs. Smith, is ready to go. She's going to \\
\hline 24 & but that doesn't mean that the patient rolled up at & 24 & 615. Either can you prepare her to go, which may \\
\hline 25 & 3:26. It just means that that was the last & 25 & mean get all her belongings together and on the bed, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
you know, make sure that her things go with her, her water pitcher, the chart, or sometimes the nurse may have done that for the tech that's transporting and have it all ready and just ask them to transport, so it depends on what needs to be done.

And then that person would go to the bedside and finish up anything that needed to be finished up, make sure they had copies of the record that goes with the patient, make sure they have patient's belongings that came in with the patient, check the room for anything that's missed, tell the patient what's going on, transport the patient to the floor. And once they get up to the floor, then they would settle the patient in the room, make sure the necessary equipment was in the room, let the nurse know they were there, and attend to any needs that they might -- the patient might have.

So standard process is to orient the patient to the room, meaning here's your call light, this is how you operate it. This is how your television works. This is where your, you know, telephone is and the things that a patient needs to know about their new setting. Seeing if they need to use the restroom, seeing if they're in any pain, do they have a pillow on the bed, are they warm
elevators.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. Are the elevators open to the public?
A. There are public elevators on the front of the building, so they're accessible because they're all in the hospital, but they're intended for staff use.
Q. So, I mean, can you say with confidence that you know which bank of elevators would have been used by Mr. Farmer?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are only for employees?
A. They're intended to be employee elevators. They're not as pretty, and they're in the back of the hospital, but they're accessible to everybody. They're not, you know, badge accessed or anything like that. But they're the elevators that you pass, and the only elevators that take you directly from the emergency department to the tower.
Q. And you may not know this, but you don't happen to know if there's any security cameras around those elevators?
A. I don't know that answer.
Q. So then assuming he takes one of those elevators that you just described up to the seventh
enough, and taking care of any of those needs and identifying any needs for equipment that you might have to have.

Typically, the transporter will clear the gurney. They bring the patients up on an ER gurney that has linens and things on it. They'll get that linen to a linen hamper so they only bring down the empty gurney and report off anything that's changed, if patient needs medication or if anything has happened en route, and then return to the unit.
Q. So in this instance where Mr. Farmer takes Plaintiff Cagnina up to the seventh floor, he would need to use elevators?
A. Correct.
Q. And you're familiar with the way those are set up?
A. Correct.
Q. And so even though there's more than one elevator, we're talking about a single bank of elevators he could have used?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: There are two sets of elevators, but the elevators between the emergency department and the floor, it's one group of three elevators that are staff elevators or employee
floor, are you familiar with the way the seventh floor is laid out?
A. Yes.
Q. Would Mr. Farmer have to go past any sort of nursing station?
A. The elevators drop you off in the middle of the unit. It's a long unit. The elevators come up centrally. I can't think of exactly where 725 is to see if he would have to walk directly past one of the stations or not.
Q. Okay.
A. But it would drop him off in the middle of the unit.
Q. So when this incident occurred, when Mr. Farmer comes up through the elevator, was there any sort of policy or practice that he should go check in immediately with the nurse?
A. There was not.
Q. Do you know why that is?
A. Ido not.
Q. Would that ever be the situation, where a transporter would go and check in with the nurse before going to the room?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: There could probably be a
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hundred different situations. The patient may need something immediately, or it might be more appropriate to just settle the patient first and notify the nurse that the patient is there.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So you're not aware of any, I guess, concern of the hospital that the nurse station or charge nurse on the seventh floor be immediately notified when that patient is brought up there?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I know that our process is to notify when we arrive to the floor and to get assistance to help transport patients when needed, but there isn't -- there wasn't a policy at that time that defined that. It was just the practice. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So there is at least the possibility that a transporter could go up to the seventh floor, bypass the nurses station, go to a room with the patient, and stay in there for a lengthy period of time before anybody knows?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: They would usually tell somebody when they arrive, and the floor would know that they were on their way up because they would
have called to make sure that the fax came in and told them they were transporting the patient. So the ideal situation is that people are expecting that patient.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And so you're not aware of, when this incident occurred, there being any sort of, like, sign-in \(\log\) in place where the transporter would come and, like, sign that he went up there?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of there being some sort of policy like that now?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
Subsequent remedial measure.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Yes, there is.
BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. And do you know why there is that policy now?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: We just thought it would be good practice to have that documentation. BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. Was it related to this case?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. We've had other concerns about wanting to make sure that at least two people were in the room to have a handoff at the bedside, so it was to improve our handoff practice.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. Not entirely related to trying to avoid potential abductions?
A. No.

MR. FERRAINOLO: Sante objection. THE WITNESS: No.

\section*{BYMR. HYMAN:}
Q. So it had purposes other than, say, security?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. There is a best practice move to do something called an SBAR handoff. That's like a person-to-person handoff, so that anything that's changed in patient condition is passed on. So we've implemented bedside rounds at shift change and, you know, done a person-to-person handoff, so it's been part of that process.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. I'm going to show you -- this is from the medical records of Plaintiff Cagnina. At the
top, it says Centennial Hills Medical Center visit record. It's CAG 109 through 115. Have you seen that before?
A. I believe I have.
Q. Recently?
A. Not recently.
Q. So at the top here, you can see where it says admission assessment, and then it says Christine Murray.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Do you know who Christine Murray is?
A. Not personally, no.
Q. Based on this, does it indicate to you that she would have been the RN assigned to Plaintiff Cagnina?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: It would tell me she's the
RN that did the admission assessment, that documented the admission assessment. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. And at the top here under charted activities, there's a couple entries, and under a column that says scheduled, it says May 16, 2008 at

\section*{2:42 A.M. Do you know what that means?}
A. I don't know where that pulls from, but I would think that once they roll that patient into an inpatient status, it would trigger in the system.
Q. So the 2:42 A.M. -- I mean, going back to the ER fax sheet that said 2:45 A.M., would you correlate these two times together?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I would not. I would
correlate it more with the admission process -- the computer admission process, that that patient has been entered as an inpatient in the system.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So at least based on this, as of 2:42 A.M., hospital records were showing Plaintiff Cagnina was admitted and was going to a hospital room?
A. Was in an inpatient status. They may not have identified the room at that time, but that would mean that they were showing in the system as an inpatient status, and inpatients require an admission to be completed within 24 hours. So it would, you know, trigger a prompt.
Q. And then the next column says performed, and it has May 16, 2008 at 4:50 A.M.

\section*{A. Uh-huh.}
Q. Do you know what that means?
A. That would tell me that's when she started her documentation, and this would tell me that's when she completed her documentation. So she was performing the computer entry between these two times.
Q. And do you know when Christine Murray or Nurse Murray would have been first handling Plaintiff Cagnina?
A. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't know that. This just tells when she documented her admission assessment.
Q. If you look kind of midway down this first page, CAG 109, there's arrival data, and it says patient arrived onto unit 5-16-2008 at 4:45 A.M.
A. I see that.
Q. Would you have any reason to disagree with that?
A. Well, I think you'd have to talk to

Christine, but it would be hard for me to understand that that happened in five minutes, that she was already performing an admission assessment in five minutes' time.
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Q. Who would have provided the information here for the arrival data?
A. You would have to ask her.
Q. You don't know?
A. I don't know where she would get that.

I mean, she entered that number, so I don't know why
she would put that number:
Q. My question is, would Christine Murray have entered this information?
A. Correct. She documented this, yes. It's a manual entry.
Q. So is it something where she's entering it into a computer?
A. Yes.
Q. So once she enters it, boom, it's in the system?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it generates this report?
A. Correct. This is a printed part of the computer documentation, what you're seeing.
Q. Backtracking just a second, when we took the deposition or when I took the deposition of Nurse Goodhart -- I can't think of her first name.
A. Karen.
Q. Karen Goodhart. I was asking her the
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question about who made the decision to have Mr. Farmer transport Plaintiff Cagnina to the seventh floor, and she testified that originally she was going to do it and had started to do that and was told not to by somebody, and she didn't know who that was, but she said it could have been another nurse or a supervisor. Do you know who that would have been?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know why somebody would have told her not to transport?
A. That wasn't our normal process, for nurses to transport medical patients. I know that Karen had transferred to our facility from a sister facility where they had had some cutbacks and had eliminated support staff in their emergency department. So I know she was used to having that help.
Q. But as you testified earlier, I mean, there's nothing wrong with an RN transporting someone.
A. No. It's just not the way that we do it, because it allows the nurses to care for the more critically ill patients in the emergency department. If a nurse transports a medical patient
to the floor, then for that length of time, which could be, you know, 10 minutes, 30 minutes, however long, then they're away from the rest of their patients.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge as to how busy the ER was on this night that Plaintiff Cagnina arrived?
A. Personally, no.
Q. Or do you have any personal knowledge of how busy the seventh floor was?
A. Personally, no. I can tell you that the fact that we were holding patients that night would lead me to believe it was busy throughout.
Q. Okay. But you don't know that?
A. Holding patients meaning not able to move them immediately. I don't know it personally because I wasn't there.
Q. How do you know that she wasn't able to be moved immediately?
A. Because of the delays in time that we encountered. You know, we had patients that night -- I remember that morning coming in, and there were still patients holding in the emergency department that had not been placed.
Q. Back to the ER with someone like
the patient keep lier undergarments on?
A. It would depend on why they presented. Anytime that we think we'll be doing a chest \(x\)-ray, then you have to remove bras because the metal hooks or any kind of metal in the bra will interfere with the \(x\)-ray. So it is pretty uncommon in the emergency -- or common in the emergency department, if a patient is a workup, meaning that they're going to be admitted or need system testing, then they would allow their underwear to stay on and have a gown and no bra.
Q. And would it be permitted to let the patient keep, like, say, personal belongings, like a cell phone, purse?
A. Yes, for routine patients. If they're a psychiatric patient, then we remove those items for safety.
Q. Do you know if there would have been any policy or practice to -- say before Plaintiff Cagnina was getting ready to be transported up to the seventh floor, would there have been, like, a last set of vital signs taken?
A. There would have been a last set of vital signs at some point. It doesn't have to be immediate. It's depending on the acuity of the

Plaintiff Cagnina, I mean, presumably when she's first taken in, is one of the first orders of business to take her vital signs?
A. Taken into the emergency department or taken into the inpatient unit?
Q. No, the emergency room.
A. Correct, that would be part of her triage.
Q. Okay. So, I mean, shortly after she's brought in by the EMTs, some nurse or doctor is going to take her vitals?
A. Or a tech or CNA. That's within all their scopes.
Q. Are you aware of whether Mr. Farmer would have served any role like that with Plaintiff Cagnina?
A. He could have. I couldn't answer that.
Q. Do you know who would have been responsible for, I guess, taking Plaintiff Cagnina's clothing and putting her into a robe?
A. Again, it could be either a tech or a CNA or a nurse. That's within all of their scope.
Q. . So it could have been Mr. Farmer?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know if there's any policy to let
patient. A medical patient -- their routine for vital signs is once a shift in the emergency room. We do them more often just because that's what we do.
Q. I guess another way of asking it is, I mean, would that be done just almost immediately before she's transported?
A. Not necessarily. It would depend on the acuity. If it was a critical care patient, then yes. A medical patient, because on the floor, they only get vital signs once every 12 hours. Then once they get admitted to that status, they may take one set of vitals, and that will be it for several hours because they're now on the every-12-hours pattern.
Q. And then say when she's taken to the seventh floor, let's say her first encounter with the nurse, is that one of the first things that's done is vital signs?
A. It would depend on the nurse's practice. When they do the admission assessment, they may do their assessment. The vital signs are done every 12 hours there. I believe it's 7:00 and 7:00 or 8:00 and 8:00, around that time. So if it was close to this point, they may do their admission assessment and have the vitals pick up with the next
set, or they may take them themselves.
Q. If Mr. Farmer performed vitals either in the ER or on the seventh floor, would that nake it into a medical record?
A. They should be documented if vital signs are taken.
Q. And would it indicate that he took them?
A. If he documented them, yes.
Q. So if I submit to you that Mr. Farmer's name shows up nowhere on any niedical record, would that indicate to you he did not take vitals.
A. It would indicate that he didn't
document his vital signs.
Q. So you're saying he could have taken vital signs and not documented it.
A. Correct.
Q. But that would be against policy or practice?
A. It wouldn't be good practice. The other thing that could happen is a tech could perform vital signs and the nurse could document them. They should be documented.
Q. Based on your understanding of what was going on in the ER with this patient and
Mr. Farmer's role, would you expect his name to show
up somewhere in the medical records?
MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: It would depend on what he did and what was documented.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. But at least some other witnesses testified that the only way something makes it into a medical record is if the person doing something writes something in?
A. If they document it themselves, yes. Or a nurse may document posterior splint applied by Bobby Brown, ED tech. So nurses may document that a support staff performs a task as well, with their name appearing in the medical record, or the support staff can document it themselves.
Q. So let's just subtract vital signs from this question. Is there something else that a CNA like Mr. Farmer would be doing in the ER that would make it into a medical record?
A. Anything they do, they could enter into the medical record. It would depend on their practice. If they perform a 12 -lead EKG, they could go and document that they performed a 12-lead EKG. More often, the nurse will document that because they're charting everything.
8
910

So they may have support staff hook somebody up on the vital signs machine and hit the button to start it, but the nurse is documenting their assessment, and they're writing down the vital signs, and they're writing down things as they go because they have the chart.
Q. So if Mr. Farmer in the ER was adjusting Plaintiff Cagnina's blanket and doing things of that nature, would that make it into a medical record?
A. Not necessarily, because every action with a patient isn't documented. It's the pertinent ones, so changes in status, medications, procedures. But bringing somebody a blanket, those are things that we do. They're nice things to do, but you don't write every interaction down.
Q. So sitting here today, knowing what you know based on the facts in this case, would you testify here today that the seventh floor should have been waiting for and expecting Plaintiff Cagnina from the ER?
A. I can't say that. I don't know what was going on on that floor, if they were with other patients or if other patients had more urgent needs.
Q. But at least based on what we talked about with the fax confirmation sheet and the ER's
18
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BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. It seems to me that the hospital would be concerned that if there's a patient that's supposed to be coming up there and she's not, did something either happen to that patient or is she not coming up there?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: They wouldn't expect the patient until that last moment where, you know, just knowing that you're going to get a patient but the room is not clean or the nurse isn't ready yet, they wouldn't be expecting a patient until the faxed report came and the nurse says we're bringing the patient up.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. But at least based on this emergency fax sheet which gave the seventh floor instructions to get the room ready for a seizure patient --
A. Correct.
Q. -- this room should have been set up and ready for a seizure patient before Plaintiff Cagnina was brought up?
A. You would assume that.
Q. Okay. So now we're getting toward the actual report of this -- you know, the alleged
sexual assault. So off the record, I think
Mr. Ferrainolo said that you might be a percipient witness related to what happened after the incident was reported.
A. There were some things that I had responsibility for because our chief nurse was not in the building that day.
Q. So what's your recollection of -- I
mean, what was your first information that there was a report of a sexual assault?
A. I received a phone call from the staffing office, and they told me that police were in the building investigating alleged assault claims by a patient. And I reported down to the staffing office, and they did tell me that the complaints were regarding Steve Farmer and that there was already -- people had already been notified. There was an investigation going on. Risk had been notified. The right people were involved.

I went to administration to try to find out what I needed to do because I was covering for the chief nurse, and I placed a call to her. And she called me back, and we discussed the need to notify the nurse agency that employed Mr. Farmer and then to notify the state board of nursing.

And so I performed those things. I
called the nursing agency that employed him, and I let them know that there had been allegations of an assault and that we needed to make them aware and have him labeled as someone that would not return to any of our facilities. They seemed very surprised, you know, by this, and I ended that call.

And then I also notified the state board of nursing. They asked me for some information on this person because they weren't our employee, you know, where did they work and what was the specifics, and I supplied them with that so that they could complete - because the CNAs are licensed by the state board in this state -- so that they could start their investigation as well.
Q. So you said that you notified -- or you were covering for -- was it the chief nurse?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. Carol Butler.
Q. And then do you recall who you spoke with at the agency?
A. The supervisor. I don't know the name.
Q. Obviously you went through some --I mean, did you go through his records to find out who
the agency was?
A. We know - we track who works for who, so the staffing office told me his agency is American Nursing or whatever the agency company was and they gave me the number to call, and \(I\) called and asked for the supervisor on duty.
Q. Okay. And I mean, I previously asked you if you knew anything about Mr. Farmer's background. That would include you didn't know that he had a prior incident through ANS in another hospital where alleged patient abuse occurred?
A. I did not. I don't believe that was disclosed to us.
Q. Or that he was actually marked as do not return at a facility he worked at just prior to Centennial Hills Hospital?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Right. I do not.
BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. Is that something you would have wanted to know?

\section*{A. Absolutely.}
Q. You initially said that you were
involved in hiring emergency room staff. I mean, is that sort - something you'd be concerned about?
A. Absolutely.
Q. I mean, to the level of saying that you wouldn t want him working there?

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Well, we require background checks and performance evaluations and complete files from the agencies before we utilize any of their staff, so we would have been provided with inaccurate records if that -- if what you're saying is true, because we had all that information. BY MR HYMAN:
Q. And I mean, would it be fair to say that you'd expect an agency like American Nursing Services to provide you with that type of information?
A. That's our requirement. Before staff work in the building, we have to have a complete file before they can work. That would include copies of their license, and then we additionally verify the license through the state board ourselves. It would include copies of any required certifications for nurses. It would include completion of a background check by the agency, their employment information, including, you know, whatever training they had done, and then we do our
own shift evaluations when they come into the building.
Q. And I think you stated earlier, I mean, at least somebody at Centennial Hills Hospital would review his employee file?
A. That's part of the process for bringing an agency in, so the staffing office collects all that information. Once that is complete, meaning we have all those required pieces of information, then they can come in and work at our facility, and then we do what we call performance evaluations for agency staff. So they would be scheduled for a shift. The supervisor on shift completes that evaluation, sends it to the nursing staff - the staffing office.
Q. Would anybody at Centennial Hills Hospital, say, take its own action to check out on Mr. Farmer, like, say, contact references?

MR. FERRANOLO: Object to form. We're outside the scope. She's not the human resources person.
BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So when you were first made aware of this complaint for sexual assault, do you recall who it was that notified you?

\section*{A. I believe it was the staffing}
coordinator on - I can't remember who was on duty, but it was the staffing coordinator that day that called me.
Q. It wouldn't have been Lorraine Wescott?
A. No, it wasn't Lori.
Q. But if presumably Lorraine Wescott was the first supervisor-type employee to speak with Plaintiff Cagnina, she would have then reported it higher up the chain?
A. By the time I was notified, Lori had already reported it. I believe that it had been reported before \(I\) arrived at the building that morning. So by the time it was reported to me, they already were investigating the incident, and police were already on-site.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge that Mr. Farmer was gone a long period of time when he was in the ER and transporting the patient to seventh floor?

MR. FERRANOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I do not.

\section*{BYMR. HYMAN:}
Q. If Nurse Goodhart told the police that she thought he was gone a long time, do you know
anything about that?
A. I do not. I know that part of what I was told was that he was looking for an IV pole, which is not uncommon.
Q. Who told you that?
A. I believe it was Karen.
Q. And do you know why he would have been looking for an IV pole?
A. If patients go up with \(\Gamma\) fluids, the ER gurneys have poles attached to the beds, and then when we move the patient to an inpatient bed, they don't have that pole anymore because it's physically attached to the emergency department bed. So they need a place to hang the fluids. If there's not an IV pole in the room, they would have to locate one.
Q. But you don't know if that's really the reason Mr . Farmer was gone a long time?
A. I can't speak to that. I can't even speak that he was gone a long time.
Q. When this incident occurred, are you aware of any written policy that existed regarding people that report sexual assault, I mean, what the hospital needs to do?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Were you ever interviewed by the police?
A. I received a call from a female detective later the afternoon the day that the concerns came forward, and it was -- it ended up directed to me. I'm not sure who she called initially. And she was asking if we knew --

MR. FERRAINOLO: Hold on, hold on.
```

BY MR. HYMAN:

```
Q. Don't tell us what she said.
A. Sorry.

MR. FERRAINOLO: There's a court order in place that says we can't get into any of that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

\section*{BY MR. HYMAN:}
Q. I was going to ask you, did the police contact you, and if so, did you actually fill out, like, a written statement?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if the hospital has any policy of, when somebody reports a sexual assault, to contact the police?
A. I don't know -- I'm not familiar with that policy to speak to it.
Q. And did you have any involvement in trying to locate Mr. Farmer, see if he was still in the hospital premises when this occurred?

police were already in or out or on scene.
Q. Okay. And do you have any personal knowledge of who contacted the police?
A. What I was told is that when Lori

Wescott met with the patient and was told the concerns of the patient, that the patient said they were already en route, because she had called her husband, who was an officer.
Q. But this is just what somebody told you?
A. That's what -- yes, that's what I heard.

That's my knowledge.
Q. Okay.
A. By the time I arrived, like I said, they were already on scene.
Q. You would be, I mean, against, let's say, a hospital employee discouraging a patient from reporting sexual assault? MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We're required to report. We're mandated reporters under our licenses. We're mandated reporters of any type of abuse. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. So making a statement to the effect of you can report this if you want a couple weeks from

MR. FERRANOLO: What was the question?
MR. HYMAN: If she had any involvement in trying to locate Mr. Farmer or see if he was on the premises when this occurred.

THE WITNESS: Because that's the other question.

MR. FERRAINOLO: You can answer that. BY MR. HYMAN:
Q. This is just your personal involvement.
A. The call that I received from the
detective -- I mean, can I answer that?
Q. Without saying anything that he said to you, I mean, did you personally look for him?

MR. FERRAINOLO: I think to answer that, she has to tell you about her conversation with the detective is what she's saying.
BYMR. HYMAN:
Q. Okay. Let me ask this a different way.

It sounds to me like your knowledge of this occurred well after Miss Wescott became aware of it; right?
A. I believe it was within the hour. I don't know. I wouldn't say well after. I understand it was, you know, 7:30-ish, and I think I came on scene at about 8:00, and at that time,
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now would be inappropriate?
A. It would be --

MR. FERRAINOLO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be against our license. A nurse is obligated to report it themselves, regardless of whether a patient wants to report it or not.

MR. HYMAN: That's all I have. Thanks. THE REPORTER: Did you want to order a transcript?

MR. FERRAINOLO: I'll take an E-Tran, please.
(The proceedings were adjourned
at 10:19 A.M.)
* * * * *
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. The hospital and myself.
Q. Right. And --
A. I think the last name was --
Q. Go ahead.
A. -- Rodriguez.
Q. Yeah, it was.

And Ms. Rodriguez sued you --גgain, you said it
was an EEOC deal. It was out of the hiring, actually like a
Family Medical Leave Act case.
A. Correct. She had exhausted her Family Medical

Leave Act, and her employment was ended.
Q. Allegedly.
A. Correct.
Q. That case is settled, is my understanding.
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Okay. You actually gave a deposition in that case?
A. I did.
Q. Do you remember who you were represented by?
A. I don't. Hospital attorney. If you said it, I
would say, "That's it."
Q. I know. But it's the hospital labor attomey.

It's different than these guys. It's...
Okay. When was the depo prior to that?
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A. Prior to that was for Rockford Health System.
Q. Okay. Is that -
A. In Illinois.
Q. In Illinois?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And why were you deposed in that?
A. I was a person of most knowledge related to EMTALA procedures.
Q. Okay.
A. Prior, I was the director of Emergency Services
there.
Q. That's not that Valley Health System?
A. No. Valley Health System -
Q. That's a different -
A. -- is a local version of UHS.
Q. No, no. I know. But you worked for -- My
understanding is you worked for another place called Valley
Health --
A. Yes.
Q. - that is completely different than this Valley

Health.
A. Yes, in Califomia.

25 Q. Right. Okay. That was in California. I forgot.
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1
2 questions.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
MR. PRANGLE: Just wait till he finishes before you
start.
Q. Okay. So you were deposed as a PMK regarding

EMTALA. And then prior to that, when were you deposed?
A. I believe that that is all of them.
Q. Okay. So three.
A. To my knowledge.
Q. Okay. So this is your fourth.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I understand that.

Well, you are a nurse. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were licensed in the state of Nevada at one point in time. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you still licensed there?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. You gave up your license?
A. I am licensed in New Mexico now.
Q. Did you give up your license in Nevada?
A. I did not renew my license.
Q. And as a -- because you didn't renew your license,
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you are no longer licensed in Nevada. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Where do you work here in New Mexico?
A. I work for Presbyterian Healthcare Services,
Q. Prior to starting the deposition -- Well, strike that.

When did you move to New Mexico?
A. In February of 2012.
Q. Now, are you a -- at Presbyterian, are you like the director of Emergency Services or anything there?
A. I'm an administrator for them.
Q. What do you do?
A. I have responsibility for their emergency
departments, urgent cares, and a separate corporation that we own called Albuquerque Ambulance Services.
Q. So my understanding is that Presbyterian Healthcare

Services here in New Mexico is fairly -- it's basically a
fairly big conglomerate --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of various hospitals, care units. Is that true?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, I know you were deposed in the Cagnina
matter, and Mr. Ferrainolo represented you in that case. Do
you remember Mr. Ferrainolo?
A. Yes.
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\footnotetext{
Q. A tall, kind of ugly guy.
A. I don't know that I could tell you what he looks like.
Q. Okay.
A. But I remember him.
Q. Okay. And that was in -- that was in 2010. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I've gone through here, and I'm going to try
my best not to just, you know, go over and over and over
everything. There's no point to that. But I do have some
questions.
When was the - After you were deposed by Mr. Neal
Hyman in the Cagnina matter, when was the time after that that
you spoke with counsel in this case? In other words, did you
have a meeting after the deposition?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. Did you have any contact with the law
firm -- Well, strike that.
When did you next have contact with the law firm?
A. I don't know if I had contact with that lawyer specifically. I --
Q. Not with the lawyer. With the law firm.
A. I was contacted by a law firm representing

Centennial. I don't know if it was the same attorney. I had
WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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1 2 Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And right after that, you blocked me.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you -- I don't exactly recall when that was.
A. I don't, either.
Q. But, nevertheless, would you agree with me right
after that is probably when you had the next contact with this law firm?
A. It was prior to that, in the --
Q. Prior to that. Okay.
A. A few weeks prior to that.
Q. Okay.
A. We were discussing dates of availability.
Q. Okay. Outside of speaking with counsel, in the
last year have you spoken to anybody about this case?
A. No.
Q. When I say "this case," I mean the Farmer incident as a whole.
A. My husband, after being contacted for the deposition.
Q. Okay. And what's your husband name?
A. Matt Blasing.

WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES

\section*{Page 10}
already moved here. They were asking about bringing me out for
a trial, and I was notified that that wasn't necessary or there
was some kind of resolution, so I -- I didn't have any direct
conversations --
Q. Okay.
A. -- after that.
Q. But at that point in time, you advised them that
you were living in New Mexico. Is that correct?
A. Correct. They called my cell phone.
Q. So it would've been after 2012. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Well, after February of 2012.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know exactly when.
Q. But you don't know exactly when.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And when was the next time you spoke with this law firm?
A. I don't know that I've had any other conversations
after that until I was contacted by this office for this
deposition.
Q. Okay. And when was that?
A. I believe we started communicating in June, early

June, maybe. I could -- I have e-mails but...
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Q. And what does Matt do?
A. He's a photographer.
Q. And outside of speaking with your husband, did you
speak with anybody else?
A. I let my boss know that I would be deposed today
and would be missing work.
Q. Okay, Anybody else?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. In other words, did you make any phone --
what I'm really interested in is, did you make any phone calls
to anybody from Centennial Hills or any people you used to work
with, things like that?
A. I don't really talk to anyone from Centennial Hills, so no.
Q. Okay.
A. My only conversation about this deposition has been
with family, work, and with the attomey.
Q. Is there anybody you do maintain friendships with
from Centennial Hills, from those days?
A. Social media --
Q. Yeah, yeah.
A. -- relations, but not anyone that I've spoken to.
Q. Who would that be?
A. Well, there's probably dozens of people on social
media that I'm still connected with in that -- in that manner.
WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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Page 26
A. I worked whenever needed in those days.
Q. Right. It wasn't like you just worked the day
shift. You could have worked any night shift you wanted.
A. If needed.
Q. Right. Because if you were needed to be there, you
were needed to be there. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. While you were at Centennial Hills Hospital, did
the State ever come in and find that the hospital was deficient
in any way?
A. I don't -I I don't know that I would have that
knowledge, to specifics.
Q. Well, do you remember that?
A. State? I remember several State visits and Joint

Commission visits, but the details of the findings, I couldn't
tell you.
Q. Okay. Did the Joint Commission ever come in --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and find that you were deficient in certain
areas?
A. The Joint Commission came in. The specifics of the results, I couldn't tell you.
Q. Did the Joint Commission come in after the Steven

Farmer incident?
A. I don't recall the timing of the dates. Joint
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Commission comes into hospitals on a regular basis.
Q. Okay. What about the State?
A. Same. Regular and unannounced.
Q. Did you notify the State of Nevada about the Steven

Farmer incident?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. So you were the director of the Emergency

Department. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you were moved up to chief of - well, chief
nursing officer. Right?
A. Yes, interim.
Q. What do you mean, "interim"?
A. I was assigned as interim when Carol Butler left
the organization:
Q. Okay.
A. It was temporary.
Q. And how long was that?
A. I don't know the exact dates. Maybe a year and a
half, if I had to guess on the timing, before I moved to
Summerlin.
Q. Do you remember what year you moved to Summerlin?
A. I went to Summerlin in September of 2010.
Q. Outside of being deposed in the Cagnina matter,
have you ever given a statement to anybody about what occurred?
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A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. In other words, did you give a recorded
statement to anybody?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you ever give a written statement?
A. Not -- As part of an investigation, I'm not sure if
-- I don't know that I ever wrote anything down.
Q. Okay.
A. I know that we had discussions, internal
discussions about the incident, following.
Q. Okay. And who did you have internal discussions
with?
A. Carol Butler, Quality and Risk.
Q. Who was at Quality and Risk?
A. I believe that was Janet Callahan and Yvette Wilson at the time.
Q. Okay. Any other people that you had discussions
with?
A. Carol and I had discussions with employees after
the incident.
Q. Where did those discussions take place?
A. In the hospital.
Q. I understand that. Where?
A. But I couldn't tell you specifically.
Q. Was it in a conference room?
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1 Q. How long afterwards would you have had that \\
2 meeting?
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline 3 & A. Most likely, immediately. \\
\hline 4 & Q. Okay. Now, the Margaret Wolfe meeting took place a \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5 couple of months later. Right?} \\
\hline 6 & A. I don't know the exact date. I just -- \\
\hline 7 & Q. No. \\
\hline 8 & A. -- know it was after. \\
\hline 9 & Q. I know. \\
\hline 10 & A. But within a couple of months is fair. \\
\hline 11 & Q. Within a couple of months. \\
\hline 12 & A. Uh-huh. \\
\hline 13 & Q. When did -- Did you have a discussion with Carol \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 Butler about the Margaret Wolfe meeting?} \\
\hline & A. I'm sure that we did. I can't tell you specifics. \\
\hline 16 & Q. Why did you meet with Carol -- with Margaret Wolfe? \\
\hline 17 & A. What I remember is that we were made aware that \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{18 Margaret had expressed concems, and we were following up to} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{19 find -- it was new information to us, so we were both following} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 up to get more information and making sure that people knew} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{21 what the proper channel would be if they had concerns in the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22 future.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{23 Q. In fact, my understanding is that you became aware} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{24 that a -- that Margaret had spoken with the police about the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{25 situation. Is that right?} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
name of Christine Murray?
A. I don't.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't remember who that is.
Q. Margaret Wolfe told the police on May 30th, 2008,
that -- about conversations she had with Mr. Ray Sumera. Do
you remember that?
A. I remember that, yes.
Q. Okay. Did you ever confirm that with Mr. Sumera?
A. Carol and I, I believe, spoke to Ray.
Q. And Ray confirmed it, I assume.
A. Ray remembered having a conversation with Margaret,
but the content was different.
    Q. Okay. Did you ever speak to Margaret about that?
In other words, did you confront her with that and say, "Well,
wait a minute. Ray says you had a different conversation"?
    A. I believe that we did, but I don't know the
specifics.
    Q. Okay. And, of course, did you make a report of it?
    A. Report?
    Q. Well, she lied to you.
    A. I think we --
        MR. PRANGLE: Well, that's argumentative.
    Q. I don't know. If she lied to you or -- I mean, she
told you something that Ray didn't remember.
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A. That sounds familiar, but I couldn't tell you specifics
Q. Right. And as a -- as a matter of fact, you became
aware, and this was back in -- In between May of 2008 and
August of 2008, you became aware that there actually was a
police report, where Margaret spoke to the police. Right?
A. I don't remember the specifics.
Q. Well --
A. I became aware that she had shared information. I
don't know that \(I\) had knowledge of the police report or not.
Q. Okay. Did you ever ask for a police report?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever read a police report?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. It's possible you did? You just don't know?
A. I don't recall ever seeing a police report related to this case.
Q. Okay. Well, that's funny, because I understand
that you had a meeting with several of the nurses where you
actually went over some of the police reports. Do you remember
that?
A. I remember meeting with the nurses about how to escalate concerns, but I don't remember ever having copies of police reports or sharing them.
Q. Okay. Do you remember meeting with a nurse by the
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1 A. I didn't say she said something that Ray didn't 2 remember. I said that the content was different in each of their stories.
Q. Okay. So which one was telling the truth?
A. I wouldn't know --
Q. Did you ever determine that?
A. - that. I wasn't there. I wouldn't know that. I
wasn't there.
Q. Did you ever investigate it?
A. We talked to them both, yes.
Q. And tell me about who you talked to and how you
talked to them. Tell me about that.
A. What I remember is that we talked to Ray, and Ray
remembered having a conversation with Margaret, but it was more about her not being happy that Steven Farmer was doing tasks without being directed to do so, and she wanted to know when someone was doing something for any patient that was in her care, and that she was also concemed about making sure that doors or curtains -- I'm not sure which -- were closed for privacy. And I believe that Ray had a conversation with Steve about the privacy piece. Margaret's version was different than that.
Q. What was Margaret's version?
A. Margaret said that she expressed concerns that

Steven Farmer seemed to seek out duties with females and was WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES

1 overeager and that she felt uncomfortable. I'm using my own
words, but that's paraphrasing.
Q. No, I understand.

Margaret also told you that Ray Sumera had come to
her with concems?
A. I don't know if she told us that or that was the
report, I can't remember which, but that was a difference in their stories, as well.
Q. Well, what do you mean, "that was the report"? What report?
A. I don't know if that was what she told law
enforcement or if that was what she told Carol. We got the story kind of secondhand.
Q. Well, how do you know she told law enforcement if you never saw the report?
A. It got back to --
Q. How did it get --
A. -- us somehow and --
Q. -- back to you?

MR. PRANGLE: Hold on. Hold on.
A. I can't remember the specifics. MR. PRANGLE: Hold on. Let her --
Q. How did you find out before the report?

MR. PRANGLE: -- finish the answer. When that's
done --
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A. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, you testified earlier
today you've never seen a report, but now you know about the
report.
A. I still haven't seen it.
Q. So tell me when the first time you found out about the report.
A. I couldn't tell you the details. I don't know if

Margaret came and told us or if someone else did, but somehow
it got back to us that Margaret had shared concerns with law
1 enforcement. I don't -- I don't know that details were shared
initially. And then we started talking about it with the team.
Q. Was that before you met with her in between May and August?
A. We became aware of it and then met with her, yes.
Q. Okay. But you -- as you sit here today, you have
no idea how you became aware of it?
A. I can't remember. I don't want to guess.
Q. I don't want you to guess. Okay. So you have no
idea how you became aware of it, but you actually became aware
of the contents of it, as well. Right?
A. I became aware that she shared information.

Contents of the police report, those are two different things
to me.
Q. Well, you were aware, as you just told me before,
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that Margaret was saying that Ray came to her.
A. When she told the story of how she expressed the
concems, yes.
Q. When did she tell you that?
A. When we met with her and talked to her.
Q. Okay. So let's put it this way. As of August of

2008, you, as the Department of Emergency -- as the head of
Department of Emergency Services at Centennial Hills Hospital,
you were aware that Margaret Wolfe was alleging that Ray Sumera
had come to her and expressed concerns about Ray Sumera. Is
that correct?
A. She didn't express concerns about Ray Sumera.
Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Let me restate the
question. That was my bad.
In between May and August 1 of 2008, you, as the
department -- as the head of the Department of Emergency
Services at Centennial Hills, became aware that -- through
Margaret Wolfe that Ray Sumera had come to Margaret Wolfe with
concems about Steven Farmer. Is that correct?
A. I don't remember the details enough to say if I
heard that directly from her or from someone else. What I
remember is that Margaret had expressed concerns to Ray. Who
initiated the conversation, I don't know what I was told initially.
Q. Well, you already -- you just testified somehow you
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were aware that Margaret stated that Ray told her about
concerns. Right? About Farmer.
A. I remember there being several different versions of the story. One was that -
Q. Okay.
A. -- Ray went to Margaret and initiated a
conversation. The other was that Margaret went to Ray and initiated the conversation.
Q. Let's just keep those two for right now. Whatever
it is, okay, those two versions, at least.
A. Yes.
Q. You knew those two versions as of August 1,2008.

Would you agree with that?
A. At some point within a few --
Q. Is that fair?
A. -- months if we say August. I - I can't validate
the date, but that sounds fair, yes.
Q. Okay. When was the first time you spoke with
counsel about this matter?
A. Cagnina case?
Q. Any. I don't care.
A. I don't -- I don't know that I could tell you.
Q. Okay. Did you ever investigate -- You said you
had two different versions here. Did you have each of them,
Mr. Sumera and Ms. Wolfe, write down their respective versions? WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES


```

wasn't very detailed.
Q. Okay.
A. Performance evaluations were annual.
Q. Boy, I -- I don't understand. Maybe I'm just
reading this wrong. Your deposition, back in March of 2010,
talks about employees, agency employees, who were then
scheduled for a shift and then the supervisor on shift
completes the evaluation and sends it to the nursing staff, the
staffing office.
A. That is the paper form that we developed.
Q. Okay.
A. Maybe within the first month or two. I don't know
the exact date.
Q. So, in other words, you'd only evaluate the nursing
staff -- the agency staff once?
A. Once, sometimes more than once, depending on how
they performed.
Q. Okay. How did Steven Farmer get hired?
A. He wasn't hired. He was an agency employee.
Q. Okay.
A. He was hired by his agency.
Q. The agency required that he show up at work at
Centennial Hills. Right? That was his job?
A. That is not correct.
Q. What was the agency's -- What was his job at the
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## agency?

A. His job was to work for the agency and be placed at
various facilities as needed, not necessarily to work at
Centennial Hills.
Q. Oh, no, of course. Of course not. Maybe I
misunder- -- When you say -- Let me go back. Of course.
Mr. Farmer's job was to be a CNA at wherever he was placed.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. When Centennial Hills called the agency,

American Nursing Services, he was to come to Centennial Hills
and do whatever Centennial Hills told him to do there. Right?
A. For a shift?
Q. For a shift.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, my understanding is that agency staff -- Well, strike that.

How did you know who was working for you at a
particular time?
A. The process for -- specific to agency or in total?
Q. Yeah, agency.
A. We had a staffing coordinator that would look at needs for the upcoming shift, along with the house supervisor, and discuss any overages or underages.
Q. Right.
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1 them, or it could be calling in additional staff.
Q. Okay.
A. We would attempt to call in our own and get people
to pick up extra shifts. If they were not able to and we still
had a need, they would reach out to the agencies that we
contracted with and let them know what type of staff we needed.
Q. Right.
A. And then they would supply names of people that we had files created on already.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, Mr. Farmer would have a
file over at Centennial Hills. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that file would include various documents.

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Until that file was complete, though, he was not allowed to work at that hospital. Right?
A. There were some things that we required before they
worked, and that was what made them eligible to be placed.
Things like a background check.
Q. Well, actually, it was the entire file. You had
all these things that were listed, that were required.
A. Yes.
Q. And each one of them was required before he was WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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allowed to work at the hospital. Right?
A. That is not correct.
Q. Okay. What wasn't required?
A. Some of the items that are on our file checklist
are internal documents that they complete after they start
working.
Q. Okay.
A. And some are dependent on the agency providing them
to us prior. I believe the ones listed in the top section are
the ones the agency provides to us, and the ones below that are
things they complete after employment, like an orientation to
the hospital, which they could not complete prior.
MR. MURDOCK: Let's mark this.
(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
Q. I'm showing you what's marked as Exhibit 1.

MR. SILVESTRI: Does it have an identification?
MR. MURDOCK: I'm sorry. Yeah, it does. It's
CHH00326.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
MR. MURDOCK: Yeah. No problem.
Q. This is Steven Farmer's -- the checklist regarding

Steven Farmer. Have you seen that before?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you last see that document?
A. I looked at this today.
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Answer: We would expect that, yes.
Is that true?
A. It sounds like that's what she would expect. In my
experience, they don't always have dates of employment on them.
They send you one to three previous employers, and it's an
example of how people have rated them.
Q. Okay.
A. Their last employer may not have completed an
evaluation and tumed it back in, so sometimes the agency
doesn't have one from each employer, so I don't know that it's
always exactly that, but - but they should send in references
from prior employers.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, when Crystal Johnson,
the staffing coord--- By the way, you were not a staffing
coordinator. Right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Were you in charge of the staffing coordinators?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So when Crystal Johnson testified to this, is she wrong?
A. It think she's saying that's what she would expect.

I don't know that that means that that would always happen.
Q. Well, would you expect that, too?
A. In my experience, it hasn't always been that way,
so I would expect references, but I wouldn't necessarily expect
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the last two. They may not have even received a last employer
reference if they just worked there a shift prior or a week
prior.
Q. Oh. So -- So, in other words, it's up to the
agency.
A. It's up to the agency, yes, to collect --
Q. Okay. But nevertheless --
A. -- the information and send it in.
Q. Okay. Nevertheless, let's put it this way. Let me try and work it a different way.
A. Sure.
Q. In terms of references, that, in -- in Plaintiff's

Exhibit 1 , the references that are there, that's not talking
about personal references. That's talking about job-related
references.
A. That's correct.
Q. Right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you agree with Ms. Johnson, that without
those references being provided, he should not have been
working at Centennial Hills Hospital. Is that correct?
A. If they had the references, they should have
provided them. If it was a new employee, they may not have any
prior references --
Q. I understand.
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A. -- for the agency to provide.
Q. I understand. I'm talking about for the hospital.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay? Just the hospital. Without having those
references, he should not have been working at the hospital.
A. It would be an incomplete file.
Q. And he should not have been working at the

## hospital. Right?

A. It - That - I would -- I would be reaching out to
the agency and asking for the references, yes.
Q. I know. And if they never gave you the references,
he should not have been working at the hospital. Correct?
A. I would agree with that, yes.
Q. Thank you.

Now we get to the good part.
A. Oh, good.
Q. Crystal Johnson testified she never got the
references. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. So the question is, why was he working at the
hospital?
A. I can't answer that.
Q. Okay. Did it just fall through the cracks?
A. It looks like she initialed the line, which is how
we would verify that that line was complete. So I think that
WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES

## that's misleading.

Q. Well, you're going to have to blame Crystal

Johnson --
A. Well --
Q. -- because Crystal Johnson says she didn't receive
them. And, in fact, she says that you made the decision to
bring Mr. Farmer on without those references.
A. I don't recall that.
Q. The hospital, back in February of 2008, was packed,
wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. You needed staff.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. You didn't need staff yesterday. You needed
it today. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. My understanding is that when Mr. Farmer started
working at Centennial Hills Hospital, you were unaware that he
was on "do not return" status from Rawson-Neal?
A. That is correct.
Q. My understanding is that you were unaware that he
had been -- he was alleged to have committed alleged violations
in the Nursing Practices Act as well as abuse of client and/or patient.
A. I was unaware, yes.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. You were unaware of that? | 1 Q. What about indirectly? Did you ever observe him |
| 2 A. Yes. | 2 indirectly? |
| 3 Q. Had Centennial Hills been made aware of that, you | 3 A. I saw him more at the beginning or end of shifts, |
| 4 would not have let him work at that hospital, would you? | 4 that I recall. |
| 5 A. That is correct. | 5 Q. Did you ever observe him working? |
| 6 Q. Did American Nursing Services have a duty to tell | 6 A. Not that I recall, directly. |
| 7 you that? | 7 Q. Did you ever observe him working? |
| 8 A. I believe they did. | 8 A. Not that I recall. |
| 9 MR. MURDOCK: Let's take a break for a few minutes. | 9 Q. Well, I don't understand the difference between |
| 10 (A recess was taken from 2:08 p.m. to 2:17 p.m.) | 10 "directly" and "indirectly." What does that mean? |
| 11 MR. MURDOCK: We're back on. | 11 A. Because I see someone when they start or end their |
| 12 Q. (By Mr. Murdock) My understanding is that you met | 12 shift. They're still at the hospital, in the workplace. But I |
| 13 Steven Farmer a couple times, walked by him a couple times in | 13 don't ever remember seeing him perform his duties. |
| 14 the hall, "Hi. How are you?" that kind of stuff. | 14 Q. Well, look - |
| 15 A. Yes. | 15 A. I'm trying to be honest. |
| 16 Q. Nothing in depth. Right? | 16 Q. I appreciate that. I think you're actually trying |
| 17 MR. PRANGLE: Nothing what? | 17 to parse things, but that's okay, because we can -- we can do |
| 18 MR. MURDOCK: Nothing in depth. | 18 that. |
| 19 A. I didn't know him outside of work, but I saw him | 19 Okay. You're the director of Emergency Services of |
| 20 quite frequently at work. | 20 the hospital. Right? |
| 21 Q. But it was always, "Hi," "Hello." It wasn't | 21 A. Yes. |
| 22 anything in depth. Correct? | 22 Q. I know one of your jobs is to make sure that the |
| 23 A. Not personal stuff, no. | 23 staff that you have working there is good. Right? |
| Q. Okay. Was it professional stuff? | 24 A. Correct. |
| 25 A. Conversation about the șhift, how - how his day WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES | 25 Q. And they're doing their jobs. <br> WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES |
| Page 62 | Page 64 |
| 1 was. | 1 A. Correct. |
| 2 Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're talking about | 2 Q. Not doing anything out of the ordinary. |
| 3 the same Mr. Farmer, because in your deposition in March of | 3 A. Correct. |
| 42010 you seemed to kind of say you met him, "Hi," "Hello." | 4 Q. And one of the ways you would do that is by |
| 5 That was about it. But now you're telling me you actually | 5 observing what's going on. Right? |
| 6 talked about shifts? | 6 A. That's one of the ways. |
| 7 A. I said how his shift was or how his day was. | 7 Q. Okay. In other words, you'd walk around the |
| 8 Q. Okay. | 8 Emergency Department and look at CNA X just to see what -- how |
| 9 A. If you read me what I deposed, I could clarify. | 9 CNA X was doing. Right? |
| 0 Q. When was the last time you read this deposition? | 10 A. Correct. |
| 1 A. I've looked at it since, in the last couple of | 11 Q. Okay. That's what I'm talking about, observing. |
| 2 months. | 12 So let me ask the question again. Before May of 2008, were you |
| Q. When? | 13 ever able to observe Mr. Farmer working? |
| 4 A. But I don't remember the detail. | 14 A. I don't recall ever seeing him directly perform |
| 5 Q. How many times? | 15 work, no. |
| 6 A. Maybe twice. | 16 Q. Do you ever recall indirectly him performing work? |
| Q. Okay. Why? | 17 A. I remember seeing him in the workplace. |
| 8 A. To refresh my mind about what I deposed -- was | 18 Q. Okay. What do you remember seeing him doing in the |
| 9 deposed on before. | 19 workplace? |
| Q. Okay. Now, let's be clear about a couple things. | 20 A. Typically, I would see him at the start or end of |
| Let me just go through here. So if you want to change | 21 shifts. He worked night shifts often. |
| 2 anything, you can. | 22 Q. Right. What did -- Well, he never worked day |
| Before May of 2008, were you ever able to observe | 23 shifts. |
| 4 Mr . Farmer working? | 24 A. And I was primarily days. |
| A. Directly, no. | 25 Q. Right. Okay. What was he doing when you observed |
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3 been incontinent.
Q. Okay. Is there a difference between perineal care and incontinent care?
A. Yes, because you can also perform perineal care if someone inn't incontinent, just as part of their daily hygiene.
Q. Okay. For instance, if someone has a bowel
movement in a bedpan --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you would have to wipe the person.
A. If they require assistance, yes.
Q. Right. Right. Absolutely. Okay. And a CNA,
that's part of their course and scope to do that. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And a CNA, part of their course and scope is
to bathe a patient. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That includes partial or complete bed baths.

Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. About includes tub baths. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That includes showers. Correct?
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A. Correct.
Q. That also includes, as we talked about before, perineal care. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. For example, if you give a bath, then you've got to
dry certain areas. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Private areas?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. That would include the anus. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. On a woman, that would include the labia or vagina.

Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It may also include the breasts. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And CNAs are allowed to do that. That's
part of their course and scope. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That's part of the tasks they would generally be assigned. Right?

MR. PRANGLE: Objection to the form.
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

For men, CNAs are -- part of their course and WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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scope, they can shave somebody with a razor. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. They can do -- CNAs can do mouth care. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. On unconscious and conscious residents. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would include brushing, flossing, and
denture care. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And CNAs could do hair care. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. They could shampoo, brush and comb. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And they could do dressing and undressing of the
patients. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's all part of their course and scope.

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Same thing with nail care. They can do that, too.

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Of course, this all, for the most part, takes place
in the patient's room. Correct?
A. You're reading the scope --
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## Q. Right.

A. -- of a certified nursing assistant.
Q. Yes, Iam.
A. So it depends on the setting in which they work.
Q. Okay. If a CNA is in -- is in a hospital setting.
okay, in order to do these, they'd generally be in the
patient's room. Right? To do these.
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Yeah. I mean, that's -- that's - Look, I
understand a bath may be outside, in a different area, but if
there's a bathroom in the patient's room, okay, and you have to
clean the perineal area of a patient, that's in the patient's
room. Right?
A. I'm not sure what you're asking.
Q. Okay, Let me be kind of specific. It's expected
that CNAs would enter patients' rooms as part of doing their
tasks, all these tasks that I just talked about. Right?
MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form.
MR. SILVESTRI: This kind of -- Let me just insert
an objection, and Ill just be continuing on this on the
questions. It's an incomplete hypothetical, lacks foundation.
MR. PRANGLE: Join.
Q. Go ahead. You can answer the question.
A. Yes.
Q. As you said before, CNAs, part of their course and
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scope is to give bed baths, clean up stool, clean up urine,
also to check monitor leads. Right?
    A. I don't know if that's listed in their State scope,
but --
    Q. Well, let's -- Here, I'll actually -- Let's go to
the State scope again. I'm sorry. I got away from that.
    CNAs, as part of their course and scope, according
to the State Bar -- State of Nevada, which is where Centennial
is, they also do vital signs. Correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. It's part of their course and scope. Right?
    A. Yes.
    Q. That includes temperature. Correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. That includes blood pressure. Correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. That includes apical, a-p-i-c-a-1?
    A. I could look at it and then do --
    Q. I know you could.
    A. -- the whole thing at once.
    Q. I know you could, but let's play my game. Okay?
    A. Apical, yes. That's a cardiac pulse.
    Q. Okay. The heart?
    A. Yes, with a stethoscope.
    Q. Okay. I'm just -- just asking.
        WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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    A. Uh-huh.
        MR. PRANGLE: Leam something?
        MR. MURDOCK: You're a wise guy.
        MR, PRANGLE: You're doing a fantastic job,
    although you're getting a little theatrical.
THE WITNESS: A little?
MR. MURDOCK: Theatrical.
MR, PRANGLE: Save it for the jury.
MR. MURDOCK: Theatrical.
Q. They can do brachial pulses. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. They can do radial pulses.
A. Yes.
Q. They can do oral temperatures. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. They can take axillary --
MR. PRANGLE: Axillary.
A. Axillary.
Q. -- axillary temperatures. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. That's under the arm. Right?
A. They can take all temperatures and all pulses.
Q. Okay. That includes rectal temperatures. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So a CNA, part of their -- the CNA's course and
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scope is to take a rectal temperature. They're allowed to do
that. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. That would involve the insertion of a thermometer
into the anus. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Part of their course and scope is to do
automatic vital sign devices and use those. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Count respirations. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And also tympanic temperatures. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's that little thing you put in the ear.

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. They can also perform EKGs.
A. Yes.
Q. They can also apply monitor leads.
A. Yes.
Q. So let's get back. Do you agree with me that CNAs,
part of their course and scope, they're expected to give bed
baths, clean up stool, clean up urine, and check monitor leads?
Right?
A. Yes.
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Q. But, of course, you'd agree with me that in order
to do all these tasks, whatever task it is, all these tasks we
just went over, that a CNA -- that having contact with a
patient in the patient's room is part of the course and scope
of that CNA's employment.
MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form.
Q. In other words, you've got to -- you've got to have
patient contact to do most of these things. Right?
MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form. Incomplete
hypothetical.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Oh, CNAs, sometimes they're told what to do.

In other words, nurses instruct them what to do. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. But other times, they also can perform tasks within
their scope, the scope we just talked about, independently.
Right?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of -- Well, strike that.

Okay. How did you find out about the Cagnina
issue?
A. I believe I got a phone call that moming.
Q. The chief nurse -- nursing officer was not in the
building that day. Correct?
A. Correct.
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A. It was a small space, with a couple of computers,
where the staffing coordinators were based and where with the
house supervisors worked out of.
Q. Okay. The staffing coordinator, that's Crystal?
A. Correct, she was one of them.
Q. She was one of them.
A. Yes.
Q. So you went down to there, and either Lori -- Lori
was house supervisor?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. And -- And it was confirmed what the
allegations were. Right?
A. I believe by the time that I got there, police were
already on scene.
Q. Okay.
A. And I called Carol.
Q. Okay. And tell me about the conversation you had with Carol.
A. I don't remember the specifics. I just know that I notified her of what was going on.
Q. Then you went to Administration.
A. The staffing office is connected to Administration
there, yes.
Q. Okay. To try to find out what you needed to do,
because you were covering for Carol Butler. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's when you placed the call to her. Right?
A. At around that time. I don't know which I did
first. But, yes.
Q. She called you right back. Right?
A. And we discussed the next steps.
Q. Right. And one of the next steps was to notify the nursing agency.
A. Yes.
Q. And also to notify the State Board of Nursing.

Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you did that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, after you spoke with Carol Butler and after you notified the State Board of Nursing, then what did you do?
A. I think I notified the agency first and then the

State Board. I'm sure I discussed with Risk and Quality,
unless they were already aware, but I don't remember my exact next actions that day,
Q. Did you pull up the procedure for what to do
with -- regarding patient-staff abuse or assaults or anything
like that?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you know that there was one?
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A. I couldn't tell you if there was at the time or

## not.

Q. Then what did you do?
A. I don't remember.
Q. What's the next thing you remember doing in this case? Anything at all.
A. I know at some point in the day -- I know that
people were still looking for -- for Steven Farmer, and I know
at some point in the day I received a call from law
enforcement, and it got directed to me.
Q. Okay. Tell me about that call.
A. I don't know if they had found him yet or not, but I think I just let them know that I had notified the agency and notified the State Board of Nursing.
Q. Well, what did they want?
A. I don't remember at this point.
Q. So then what did you do, if anything?
A. I couldn't tell you. There were multiple people at this point involved, Risk and Quality and media, so it wasn't just a -- just me doing the work.
Q. Did anybody ever go around to the other patients in the hospital and ask them if there had been any issues at all or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. At the time, we were dealing with one allegation, | 1 little background on that. |
| 2 with one patient, and we were investigating that path. | 2 A. Ithink that depending on who you ask and what |
| 3 Q. Right. I understand that. But why didn't you go | 3 point in time, you'll get a different answer. But at that |
| 4 around to the other patients in the hospital just to make sure | 4 time, we were still on paper documentation in the Emergency |
| 5 that, you know, there were no other issues? | 5 Department, so it was common to chart -- everyone could chart |
| 6 A. It's not something that we thought of at the time. | 6 there. But on the inpatient units, they had an electronic |
| 7 We usually go down a path of investigation first, before we | 7 system, and the agency staff may or may not, depending on if it |
| 8 spread out and do something like that. | 8 was their first day and if they had been trained how. So |
| 9 Q. Well, that might've been part of your | 9 that's why you might get variations. |
| 10 investigation, to find out if anybody else was, you know, hurt | 10 Q. Okay. Okay. Oh, that makes sense. |
| 11 or -- | 11 A. They're sitting -- |
| 12 A. It wasn't something we thought of at the time. | 12 Q. That makes sense. |
| 13 Q. Yeah, I understand that. Everything was kind of | 13 A. They're now all on an electronic system. But at |
| 14 fluid. Right? | 14 the time, we were different in the ED than in the inpatient |
| 15 A. Yes. | 15 units. |
| 16 Q. Moving very quickly? | 16 Q. So it's not just -- So actually both could be |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 correct -- |
| 18 Q. You just did what you thought you should do. | 18 A. Correct. |
| 19 Right? | 19 Q. -- in that situation. |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 A. Correct. |
| 21 Q. Right or wrong, you just made judgment calls. | 21 Q. When did you have this meeting with Mr. Sumera, |
| 22 Right? | 22 Ms. Goodhart, and Ms. -- one of the other nurses? |
| 23 A. Sure. | 23 A. Darby Curlee. |
| 24 Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Cagnina? | 24 Q. Darby Curlee. |
| 25 A. Not that I recall. I believe Lori did. WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES | 25 A. In the days following. It would've depended when WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES |
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| 1 Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Cagnina? | 1 they were on shift. |
| 2 A. Not that I recall. | 2 Q. Is there a reason that these individuals weren't |
| 3 Q. Why not? | 3 spoken to immediately? |
| 4 A. Because Lori had already spoken with her, as the | 4 A. I don't -- I couldn't tell you the timing. I don't |
| 5 house supervisor on duty. And then the police were involved, | 5 know how immediate it was. |
| 6 and we were letting them handle the investigation. | 6 Q. When did you become aware as to the exact of the |
| 7 Q. Okay. Mr. Farmer, on the night of the - I think | 7 allegation -- Excuse me. When did you become aware of the |
| 8 it's the 14th/15th -- the day/night thing kind of gets a little | 8 exact allegations of Ms. Cagnina? |
| 9 confusing here -- but he was moved to the sixth floor from the | 9 A. The allegations that morning were related to |
| 10 emergency room. Are you aware of that? | 10 something that took place in the inpatient room, and the |
| 11 A. No. | 11 discussions with the ED staff following were more about were |
| 12 Q. Okay. What is that called when someone moves? | 12 there opportunities leading up to the -- As the investigation |
| 13 A. Like floating? | 13 progressed, there became more questions about how long did it |
| 14 Q. Floating. | 14 take for him to get upstairs and the timeline, so that caused |
| 15 A. Could be. | 15 the need to have further questions. |
| 16 Q. Okay. When does floating happen? | 16 Q. Right. So was it more of a -- you know, over |
| 17 A. If the needs change. If we have an increase in | 17 several days? |
| 18 patients in one area or if something changes and there's more | 18 A. I'm guessing, to be honest. It could've been |
| 19 of a need in that area, then we would send someone. I don't | 1924 hours. It could've been three days. |
| 20 know the details of him being moved that night. | 20 Q. Could you give me your best estimate? |
| 21 Q. Okay. Some nurses have testified -. Well, some | 21 A. I would say within the first couple days would be |
| 22 nurses and some administrators have testified that agency CNAs | 22 reasonable. |
| 23 could not write in the chart, could not use your charting | 23 Q. Okay. Were you part of any peer-review process at |
| 24 system at that point in time. And some of them said they | 24 Centennial? |
| 25 actually could. I'm wondering if you could kind of give us a | 25 A. Like the formal peer-review committees, no. |
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that we're taught in school is to not label, so you have to
never assume.
Q. How do you care for these patients psychologically?
A. Specifically, what are you asking?
Q. The patient comes in, sexual assault, claim of mal assault. Tell me what youdo.
your -- your next step. And in some --
Q. The Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner?
A. Right. And in some areas, those patients are transported to a different facility.
Q. Right. I'm talking you, Amy Blasing. You're the
A. I would care for them like I do any other patient.
Q. How? Explain that.
A. By assessing and identifying what's going on with
Q. How do you assess them?
A. -- providing the resources.
A. Asking questions, performing a physical evaluation.
Q. No, no, no, no. Tell me. Tell me specifically. I
A. Give me a specific patient. I mean, it's...
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Q. Patient $X$. Patient $X$ comes in.
A. There are a million different ways that that path
Q. Okay. Patient $X$ comes in.
A. -- because I can't --
Q. I want to know how you assess the patient. What do
A. I would talk to them, have a conversation.

MR. SILVESTRI: Just a second. Objection. It's

MR. PRANGLE: If you understand it, answer it. If
A. I
because the answer would vary depending on the patient. Every
Q. Who assessed Ms. Cagnina?
A. I don't have that information.
Q. Do you know if she was assessed properly?

MR. PRANGLE: Bob, I'd just object to the
relevance, and we're starting to get into some HIPAA issues

MS. HALL: Join as to relevance.
MR. PRANGLE: And then foundation, since this

MS. HALL: Join.
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| Q. What is the MIDAS system? <br> A. It's an incident-reporting system. <br> Q. And if a nurse or staff witnesses an incident, I <br> guess of any kind, they use the MIDAS system. Right? <br> A. That's what it's for, yes. <br> Q. Okay. So what kind of incidents go into the MIDAS system? <br> A. In a general sense, any incident that could pose <br> risk or has an unanticipated outcome. <br> Q. And at orientation before the hospital opened, I <br> assume you instructed staff about the MIDAS system. <br> A. All of our staff went through a system orientation. <br> I did not conduct that myself. <br> Q. Oh, okay. So as you sit here today, you can't tell <br> me whether or not staff was aware of the MIDAS system and what <br> needed to be put in there or not. Is that right? <br> A. That should have been part of their orientation, but I'm not the best person to speak to that, because that was not my area. We had a Clinical Education Department that did onboarding for all employees. <br> Q. Sure. Okay. Why weren't statements taken, actual written statements taken, of Ray Sumera, Karen Goodhart, and Margaret Wolfe? <br> A. I don't know. I don't know if statements were <br> taken. I just know that I don't have any. <br> WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICE | Karen Goodhart. Darby Curlee. Darby Curlee was, I believe, the charge nurse. So we knew who was working. <br> With the second incident, when we became aware of <br> the other concerns that Margaret expressed, Ray was named in that information, so we talked to the two of them. <br> Q. You said Ray was named in that information. <br> A. That Margaret had shared with him. <br> Q. Okay. <br> A. That was the story that we became aware of. <br> Q. Well, were there any documents, though, that had that? <br> A. Not that $I$ know of. <br> Q. I'm trying to figure out how you became aware of <br> the whole Ray Sumera and Margaret Wolfe drama. <br> A. And I can't recall. It was so long ago, I don't <br> know if it was a phone call or an in-person. I have no idea <br> how that came back to us. It could have been Margaret herself. <br> I don't know. <br> Q. And just so I'm clear, after the whole situation, after your discussions with Mr. Sumera and Ms. Wolfe, neither of them was written up. Correct? <br> A. Not to my knowledge. <br> Q. You didn't write them up? <br> A. Not that - No, not that I know of. <br> Q. You're the director of the Emergency Services. <br> WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICE |
| Q. Well, you didn't take any. <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. You and Carol Butler didn't take any, as far as you <br> know. <br> A. Not that I know of, no. I can't speak for Carol. <br> Q. But you can speak for yourself. <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. And you didn't take any. <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. Did Ray Sumera -- With Cagnina, did Ray Sumera put <br> that incident in the MIDAS system? <br> MR. PRANGLE: I want to just object to foundation <br> as to whether Sumera witnessed anything with Cagnina that would <br> prompt such a report. He was not on the floor. <br> MR. MURDOCK: I understand. <br> A. I can't answer that question. I don't know. <br> Q. Did Margaret Wolfe put anything into the MIDAS <br> system? <br> A. I don't -- I don't know. <br> Q. Did Karen Goodhart? <br> A. I don't know if anyone did. I don't have that <br> knowledge. <br> Q. How did you know who to meet with? <br> A. There were two different topics. The first <br> incident with Cagnina, we knew who the nurse was, which was WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES | A. Right. <br> Q. So you would've been the one to write them up. <br> A. Uh-huh. <br> Q. Right? <br> A. I could have been. Not -- <br> Q. Okay. But you didn't. <br> A. -- the only one. Not that I know of, no. <br> Q. Okay. But you didn't specifically. Right? <br> A. No. <br> Q. You didn't specifically write up Ms. Wolfe. <br> A. Right. <br> Q. Outside of you and Ms. Butler, are you aware of anybody else knowing about the Ray Sumera and Margaret Wolfe <br> discussions? <br> A. I would think Risk and Quality did, but -MR, PRANGLE: Don't guess. <br> A. -- but I'm guessing, so never mind. No -- <br> Q. How would you guess that? <br> A. -- I'm not, because typically that's something that they would be aware of. <br> Q. How would they be aware of it? <br> A. Somebody would notify them. <br> Q. Who? <br> A. It could be many people. <br> Q. Did you? <br> WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES |

A. I don't remember.
Q. Did Carol?
A. I couldn't answer that.
Q. Did you do a MIDAS report?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Should you have done a MIDAS report?
A. Somebody should have --
Q. Did you?
A. -- if they thought there was risk.

The Margaret-and-Ray incident was tough because it really boiled down to different perceptions of a conversation.
Q. Okay. But, nevertheless, it affected patient care. Right?
A. Not that we identified, no. It was, I have a bad
feeling about someone, versus, that's not what she communicated
to me. But there was no action that was identified from that
incident. To my - To my memory, that was what the
conversations were about. There was no allegation of any
wrongdoing. It was, I have a bad feeling. He's putting leads on my patients, which was part of the job. And Ray having a totally different understanding of that exchange.
Q. Actually, let me do this. I'm trying to pull this up. I'm going to have you read the Margaret Wolfe statement. It's PDDISC0162. It's where it starts. Why don't you just take a breeze through that. Take some time. It's 15 pages. I WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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want you to read through there. Okay? Do you know how to work
that?
A. Maybe.

MR. SILVESTRI: Is this the police statement? MR. MURDOCK: Yes.
MS. BROOKHYSER: Can we take a break while she's doing that?

MR. MURDOCK: Of course. Of course. Take a break.
(A recess was taken from 3:10 p.m. to $3: 14$ p.m.)
MR. MURDOCK: Okay. We can go back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Murdock) You've read -- You've now read the Las Vegas Police Department statement of Margaret Wolfe. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the first time you've ever read it?
A. I don't remember reading it before.
Q. That's not what I asked you.
A. I -I -
Q. Is that the first time you've ever read it?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Okay. Is it possible you read it before?
A. Anything's possible.
Q. Well, no, it's not.
A. Yes, it is possible.
Q. Okay. Have you ever been shown this document
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before?
A. Today is the first time that I've read it, to my
knowledge.
Q. I understand that. Have you ever been shown --
A. Not that I remember.
Q. -- this document before?
A. Not that I remember before now.
Q. Has anybody discussed this document with you?
A. I knew of its existence.
Q. And you knew of its existence prior to - and I
think we agreed on this before -- August 1, 2008. Is that
correct?
A. I knew in that first few months after that Margaret
had expressed concerns with the Police Department, yes.
Q. Okay. And you knew of the existence of the
voluntary statements. Correct?
A. I think that's fair.
Q. Okay. During that same time frame? Is that correct? Is that fair?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. Now, the statement goes a little bit further
than what you just said before. Do you agree with that?
MR. PRANGLE: Objection to the form. Vague.
A. What I was telling you before was what I remember
from the conversations with Margaret and Ray, yes.
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Q. Right. Does this refresh your recollection a
little bit about what Margaret was saying?
A. It's more detailed than I remember Margaret sharing 4 with us.
Q. Okay. But does it refresh your recollection as to exactly what Margaret said?
A. Not exactly. What I remember is having a funny

8 feeling about him and being concerned that he was adjusting
leads. This statement has much more detail than that.
Q. Okay. Adjusting leads for medical reasons, or what are we talking about here?
A. Electrodes. Cardiac electrodes for heart
monitoring.
Q. Yeah, I know that. I know that. But -- But when

Margaret was talking to you or when you were talking to
Margaret, did you get the feeling that she was talking about
medical issues or -- you know, in other words, the patient
didn't need the leads?
A. I got the impression that she had a bad feeling
about him, and because of that, she felt uncomfortable with him
adjusting leads on female patients.
Q. Okay. Based on what you just read, which was that
was her statement back on May 30th, 2008, do you know of any
reason she would have told you less than what's in her
statement?
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| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\quad$ MR. PRANGLE: Objection. Calls for speculation. |
| 2 | A. I can't speak for her. |
| 3 | Q. Well, do you know of any reason? 105 |
| 4 | A. Not that I can think of. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And just so I'm clear, absolutely none of |
| 6 | your discussions with Margaret Wolfe or Ray Sumera regarding |
| 7 | this matter, regarding Mr. Farmer, were written down by you. |
| 8 | Is that correct? |
| 9 | A. Not that I remember. |
| 10 | Q. No. |
| 11 | A. I'm doing my best. It was eight years ago, seven |
| 12 | years ago. So not -- not that I recall. I don't remember |
| 13 | taking notes. |
| 14 | Q. Is it your habit to have taken notes - |
| 15 | A. It's not. |
| 16 | Q. -- during these kind of conversations? |
| 17 | A. It's not. |
| 18 | Q. Can you point to any document that you think I |
| 19 | might have a shot about finding something written about these |
| 20 | statements? |
| 21 | A. Not from me. If there were any documents from Risk |
| 22 | or Quality, that's where I would look. |
| 23 | Q. If you had - If you had found out -- If -- Well, |
| 24 | strike that. |
| 25 | WR. MURDOCK: I don't have any further questions at |
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this time.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. SILVESTRI:
Q. Ms. Blasing, my name is Jim Silvestri. I represent

American Nursing Services. I just have a couple follow-up questions.

Do you plan to be in Las Vegas for the trial of
this matter in November of this year?
A. If needed.
Q. Have you been asked?
A. No.
Q. Have you been issued a --
A. Not formally.
Q. Have you been issued a subpoena?
A. No.
Q. Will you show up without a subpoena? MR. PRANGLE: If I ask you to come, will you come? THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. Okay. But right now you have no plans?
A. No.
Q. Specific plans.
A. Just knowing the dates in advance would be helpful.
Q. You were asked about a "do not return" status
issued to Mr. Farmer from a facility called Rawson-Neal. Do
you know anything about the status of that "do not retum"?
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A. I don't know if --

MR. PRANGLE: So aside from anything -
A. So yes, then.
Q. Did you review any documents?
A. No.
Q. So in preparation for today's deposition, let me
just be more specific. Did you review any documents regarding
the "do not return" status --
A. No.
Q. -- whether it be a letter, a report, anything like that?
A. Not at all.
Q. Okay. So fair to say, then, that anything you've
learned about Mr. Farmer's "do not return" status was -- if you
learned anything, that was through counsel?
A. Yes.

MR. SILVESTRI: And are you instructing her not to
answer?
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MR. PRANGLE: As to --
MR. SILVESTRI: I just want to be clear. I
apologize because I spoke over you.
MR. PRANGLE: Sure. If your question is will I say
anything that she and I spoke to, then yes, I would instruct
her not to answer.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.
Q. Are CNAs -- When you worked at Centennial Hills Hospital, CNAs, whether they were hired by the hospital -- I
take it you had some CNAs that were hired by the hospital, were
hospital employees.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And some that were agency CNAs.
A. Yes.
Q. Were they treated differently?
A. In what way?
Q. As to, for example, tasks that they could perform?
A. No.
Q. Is it fair to say that a CNA would generally,
though, be given an assignment by somebody at the hospital
before they performed tasks?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Okay. What kinds of tasks would they be assigned
to do versus what kind of tasks could a CNA just go into a room and do?
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Mr. Farmer know that?
A. Because they have general information about what the patients are there for.
Q. So if he can make a diagnosis and decide what
treatment to render?
A. Not correct.
Q. Not correct. So there would be things, such as a
back rub, which you had testified earlier, that a CNA could do
without instruction or assignment, that, in fact, he can't do.
A. Not necessarily. What that means is you have to
apply common sense. So if a patient has a wound, you wouldn't
rub it.
Q. Right. Right. So - And you expect a CNA to know that.
A. To apply common sense, yes.
Q. Okay. And you trust them, in fact, with your
patients to apply that common sense.
A. The -- The training that you're talking about,
application of a back rub, is part of their license. They are trained to do that.
Q. I realize that. My question is completely
different. And, frankly, throughout this entire deposition,
you're pretty savvy, and you're parsing a lot of questions.
What I want to know is if you had a patient that
had a back rub where -- I mean had a back problem where a back
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rub was contraindicated --
A. Then as a nurse, I would communicate with my CNA --
Q. I didn't ask that. Let me finish my question.

MR. PRANGLE: Let him finish the question.
Q. Can the CNA just go in and give that patient a back rub?

MS. HALL: Object to form. Foundation.
A. It is within their scope to give a back rub.
Q. That's not what I asked you. Answer --

MR. SILVESTRI: Would you read the question back.
(The last question was read aloud by the court reporter.)
A. I would answer yes.
Q. That's not my question, either. The court reporter didn't go back far enough. We'll just rephrase it.

If a patient is in such a condition where a back
rub is contraindicated - for example, they have a back injury
-- can the CNA just decide to go in and say, "Well, let me rub
your back for you"?
A. They should not.
Q. I didn't ask "should." I said "can" they.

MR. PRANGLE: Objection.
MS. HALL: Object to form.
A. They can do anything, but I'm saying they should not.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. Be a violation? | 1 A. They would not. |
| 2 A. It wouldn't be a violation. It wouldn't be the | 2 Q. Even though that might include a back rub? |
| 3 right thing to do. That's not written. It's not part of their | 3 A. Not as a physical therapy order. That would not be |
| 4 policies or training. It's, I mean -- | 4 within their scope. |
| 5 Q. So - But that CNA is left with that discretion, to | 5 Q. Okay. So other than physical therapy, though, a |
| 6 make up their -- his or her own mind whether to do that at | 6 CNA can decide, within his or her discretion at Centennial |
| 7 Centennial Hills Hospital. Is that correct? | 7 Hills Hospital in 2008, to give a back rub? |
| 8 MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Form. Foundation. | 8 A. That's part of their routine training. |
| 9 MS. HALL: Join. | 9 Q. That's within their discretion? |
| 10 A. They have other options. | 10 A. It's part of how you learn -- |
| 11 Q. That's not my question, either. My question was, | 11 Q. Is it within their discretion? |
| 12 it's left up to that CNA's discretion at Centennial Hills | 12 A. Sure. |
| 13 Hospital back in 2008. Right? | 13 Q. Okay. Was it within a CNA's discretion to adjust |
| 14 MR. PRANGLE: Objection to form. | 14 in any way electrical leads? |
| 15 A. I'm not exactly sure what you're asking. | 15 A. Yes. |
| 16 Q. Well, look, we've talked a lot about it. It sounds | 16 Q. Was it within a CNA's discretion to adjust |
| 17 to me like CNAs could run rampant through Centennial Hills | 17 catheters? |
| 18 Hospital -- and I'm certain that's not the case -- and do | 18 A. Adjust in what way? |
| 19 whatever they want to a patient as long as it's on that litany | 19 Q. Touch the catheter and adjust it. I don't know. |
| 20 that Mr. Murdock read to you earlier, without conferring with a | 20 A. They would carry catheters when they're ambulating |
| 21 nurse or a doctor. Is that a fair statement? | 21 a patient. They would take it off the bed and reposition, yes. |
| 22 A. That's a standard CNA scope in any hospital, not | 22 They would not place a catheter, insert or remove. |
| 23 just Centennial Hills. | 23 Q. Okay. What if a catheter came loose? Would they |
| 4 Q. I asked about Centennial Hills in 2008. | 24 reinsert it? |
| 25 A. The things that are part of their daily job duties, WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES | 25 A. They would not. |
| WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COUR REPORING S |  |
| Page 114 | Page 116 |
| 1 that they do not require an order or direction for, yes, they | 1 Q. So they don't have discretion to do that. |
| 2 are able to do those things. | 2 A. That's correct. |
| 3 Q. Okay. So my example was, if you had a patient that | 3 Q. That would need an assignment? |
| 4 had a back injury, a CNA, on that list, is authorized to give a | 4 A. That's not within their scope. |
| 5 back rub. | 5 Q. That would need an assignment? |
| A. I don't think that's a fair statement. | 6 A. They would not perform it. They can't do -- |
| Q. You don't think that's a fair statement? | 7 Q. They couldn't perform it at all? |
| A. It's within their scope. It's something they're | 8 A. It's not within their scope. |
| 9 trained to do. I would expect that they would ask the nurse | 9 Q. Okay. There has been testimony that if a patient |
| 0 for direction. | 10 required cleaning and changing of bedding material due to a |
| Q. Who would be checking on whether they asked the | 11 patient having a bowel movement, that this would -- there has |
| 2 nurse for direction if they weren't assigned that specific | 12 been testimony that this would be a two-person job. Is that |
| 3 task? | 13 your recollection of what procedures were at Centennial Hills |
| A. I'm not sure what the question is now. | 14 Hospital in 2008? |
| Q. I want to know if they weren't assigned it but it's | 15 MR. MURDOCK: Objection. Lacks foundation. |
| 6 in their litany of lists of things they can do, who would know? | 16 Go ahead. |
| A. You don't assign back rubs, ever. This example, | 17 A. It would depend on the situation and the patient. |
| 8 I'm struggling with, because that's not a task that is ordered, | 18 Q. What would depend? |
| ever. | 19 A. If a patient is able to reposition themselves and |
| Q. Any type of body massage is not ordered? | 20 turn side to side, that could be a one-person job. |
| A. Correct. | 21 Q. Okay. |
| Q. Is never ordered? | 22 A. If the person is postsurgical, having pain, very |
| A. Unless it's physical therapy, that's correct. | 23 large or immobile, that could be a two-plus-person job. |
| Q. Okay. Would a CNA be authorized to give physical | 24 Q. What if a patient is immobile, cannot reposition |
| 5 therapy? | 25 themself at all? Would that be a one-person or a two-person |
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    1 job?
A. If they're completely immobile, that would be a
two-person-plus. If they're immobile but can hold themselves
on their sides by holding the side rails, that would be a
one-person job.
Q. I think you were asked this question, but I just
want to make sure. Were you ever -- Did you ever work any of
the floors above the emergency room department at Centennial
Hills Hospital?
A. Not caring for patients, that I remember.
Q. Excuse me.
MR. SILVESTRI: I have nothing further. Thank you.
MS. HALL: No questions.
MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, I kind of do.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. You agree with me that in order to apply skin
lotion or Desitin or anything like that, you've got to have
patient contact. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. So if the CNA determines that they're going to
apply Ben Gay or Zinc Oxide or any of these things that we
talked about, that CNA would have to have patient contact.
Right?
A. Correct.
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Q. You agree with me that in order to apply skin lotion or Desitin or anything like that, you've got to have patient contact. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. So if the CNA determines that they're going to
apply Ben Gay or Zinc Oxide or any of these things that we
A. Correct.
WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES

```

Page 118
Q. And if the patient is lying in the room and the CNA is placing the Desitin or ointment on the patient, the CNA would be required to be in the room with the patient. Right? It's kind of a stupid question.
A. I know. They would be in the --
Q. But do you see where I'm going?
A. If they're applying something in the room, yes,
they're in the room.
Q. Thank you.
A. Is that what you're asking?
Q. That's exactly what I'm asking.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. In other words, he would have to stand
outside the door and reach in.
A. Right.
Q. Right?
A. That would be difficult.
Q. Right, it would be. Okay.

Now, let me ask this other question. I'm going to get made fun of, but I'm going to ask it anyway. Okay. Here's the deal. On men who have a catheter, the catheter enters the penis. Correct?
A. Yes, the urethra.
Q. The urethra. CNAs are not allowed to put in that
catheter. Right?
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A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Now, sometimes a nurse will tape that

\begin{abstract}
catheter to a leg. Correct?
\end{abstract}
A. Sometimes.
Q. Right. And just for comfort purposes more than anything else. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. Having no experience in this -
(Discussion off the record.)
Q. (By Mr. Murdock) Do women have the same type of thing, where sometimes the catheter is taped to the leg? Is that something that happens, just in general?
A. Usually where you see that in either sex is if they have a leg bag, meaning they're walking and their catheter is not attached to the external big bag, but they have a small bag that's attached to their leg.
Q. Okay. What about if they're in bed? You know, sometimes I know nurses tape the catheter to the leg just for comfort purposes --
A. You could do that for either.
Q. - so that it doesn't move.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's for either sex. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If the tape comes off, there's no issue with WILLIAMS \& ASSOCIATES -- COURT REPORTING SERVICES
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the CNA taping that catheter down. Right?

\section*{A. That's correct.}

MR. PRANGLE: And just for clarification, when you
say "the tape" in your question, that's the tape that's on the leg.

MR. MURDOCK: Yeah, absolutely. Absolutely.
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You talked a few minutes ago with Jim about the discretion that a CNA has. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And all the things we talked about before, the

State Board of Nursing CNA guidelines as to what a CNA is allowed, the course and scope of a CNA in these guidelines, that was also true at Centennial Hills back in 2008. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so the CNA had the discretion to perform
any of these skills -- the massage, the applying of lotion, the
perineal care, the incontinent care, everything -- according to
the CNA's own discretion. Correct? In accordance with good medical practice.
A. That's their scope. The --
Q. Right. Right. That's all I'm saying.
A. The only difference is the scope does vary. The
setting in the ED is different on the inpatient side, so some
things don't apply -
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Q. Of course.
A. -- but they still could do it if it did apply, yes.
Q. Right. And when -- Right. So, in other words,
they can do it. They may not necessarily do it.
A. Correct.
Q. It's the difference between "can" and "may."
A. Correct.
MR. MURDOCK: Okay. I have nothing further at this time.
MR. SILVESTRI: I don't have anything further. EXAMINATION
BY MR. PRANGLE:
Q. Just a couple, at the risk of beating a dead horse
about the scope of practice of a CNA and as it relates to
Mrs. Petersen and Mr. Farmer. Do you even know what day
Mr. Farmer assaulted Mrs. Petersen?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know what assignment Mr. Farmer had on the day that he assaulted Mrs. Petersen?
A. I do not.
Q. So do you have any idea whatsoever as to what
tasks were assigned to Mr. Farmer on the day that he assaulted
Mrs. Petersen?
A. I do not.
Q. So we would -- to answer those questions, we would
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have to talk to somebody other than you. True?
A. That'd be good.
Q. Okay. In terms of the direction that a CNA has,
does a CNA have the discretion, consistent with the scope of
practice, to sexually assault a patient?
A. They do not.
Q. Does a CNA have the discretion to stick their thumb
in the anus of a patient?
A. They do not.
Q. Does a CNA have the discretion to stick their
fingers in the vagina of a patient?
A. They do not.
Q. Does a CNA have the discretion to tweak the nipples
of a patient?
A. They do not.

MR. PRANGLE: That's all I have.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. MURDOCK:
Q. How would I know what specific tasks were assigned
to Mr. Farmer?
A. On any given shift?
Q. On any -- On any given shift, how would I know
that?
MR. SILVESTRI: In what department?
MR. MURDOCK: Sixth floor, Emergency Department,
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1 whatever
whatever.
MR. SIL VESTRI: All right.
Q. How would I know?
A. I can't speak to the inpatient side quite as much
as the Emergency Department, but their orientation, that
document that you saw, that goes over what their role is,
and --
Q. No, no, no, no, no, no.
A. -- that's how they're introduced.
Q. No, no, no. No. I'm talking about the specific
tasks assigned. What were they -- What was the very task
assigned on May 14 th, for example? How would I find out what
tasks -- what was the very task assigned on May 14 th?
A. It's not as specific as a task-by-task level. It
would be what department they were in and what the role of a
tech or a CNA was in that department.
So in the Emergency Department, for an example, if they were assigned to -- and it breaks down even more to the
area of the department they're in. If they're assigned to work
with a nurse in triage, their tasks are different than if
they're assigned to float through the Emergency Department
where the patient rooms are.
Q. Okay. And just so I'm clear, you didn't work the sixth floor.
A. Correct.
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Q. So you wouldn't know what specific tasks or what
ery tasks Mr. Farmer was assigned on any nights he was up on
Q. So you wouldn't know what specific tasks or what
very tasks Mr. Farmer was assigned on any nights he was up on the sixth floor. Is that correct?
A. I would not.

MR. MURDOCK: Okay. That's it. I don't have anything.
MR. PRANGLE: We will reserve. We're done.
(The deposition was concluded at 3:41 p.m.)
```
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\section*{RULE 16.1. MANDATORY PRETRIAL DISCOVERY REQUIREMENTS}
(a) Required Disclosures.
(1) Initial Disclosures. Except in proceedings exempted or to the extent otherwise stipulated or directed by order, a party must, without awaiting a discovery request, provide to other parties:
(A) The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have information discoverable under Rule \(26(\mathrm{~b})\), including for impeachment or rebuttal, identifying the subjects of the information;
(B) A copy of, or a description by category and location of, all documents, data compilations, and tangible things that are in the possession, custody, or control of the party and which are discoverable under Rule 26(b);
(C) A computation of any category of damages claimed by the disclosing party, making available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary matter, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered; and
(D) For inspection and copying as under Rule 34 any insurance agreement under which any person carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment which may be entered in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment and any disclaimer or limitation of coverage or reservation of rights under any such insurance agreement.

These disclosures must be made at or within 14 days after the Rule 16.1(b) conference unless a different time is set by stipulation or court order, or unless a party objects during the conference that initial disclosures are not appropriate in the circumstances of the action and states the objection in the Rule 16.1(c) case conference report. In ruling on the objection, the court must determine what disclosures-if any-are to be made, and set the time for disclosure. Any party first served or otherwise joined after the Rule 16.1(b) conference must make these disclosures within 30 days after being served or joined unless a different time is set by stipulation or court order. A party must make its initial disclosures based on the information then reasonably available to it and is not excused from making its disclosures because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures or because another party has not made its disclosures.```

