```
the Patriots. I'm a Michigan fan.
 1
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: This guy up here, he's say oh, come on
 3
    now.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Tom Brady's the man.
 5
     favorite job was photographer for the Grand Canyon Airline
 6
     Tours at the Boulder City airport.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: You actually fly up in the
8
     [indiscernible]?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah. I got to take
10
    pictures of people in the canyon and stuff like that.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: So you fly around with them?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah. It depends, like
13
     sometimes we just took them in front of the helicopter,
14
     sometimes we went into the canyon with them.
15
               MR. CLOWARD: How did you get that job?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: My wife worked there, so
17
     I just -- she pretty much hooked it up.
18
               MR. CLOWARD: Sounds like a pretty cool job.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.
               MR. CLOWARD: And then something outside of work or
20
21
     family that you're passionate about.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I'm a family man, so I
23
    like hanging out with my brothers and just taking them out,
24
    playing football. Hanging out with my mom. It's just
```

something I like to do.

	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9

favorite job.

MR. CLOWARD: How many other brothers have you got?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I've got two brothers
and no, three brothers and two sisters.
MR. CLOWARD: You're closer with your brothers?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.
MR. CLOWARD: You have the one that's younger, you
have the older ones too?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: No, I'm the oldest. My
little brother, my littlest brother is the handicapped one and
my middle brother is the one that lives with me.
MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Ms. Beckum, 146. Public
figure outside of family.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I would say my president
because he's really strong. He has made like a difference and
I just like the change that has came from it, with like the
medical programs and stuff. Like I said, I work for welfare
and I know a lot of people weren't before able to get medical.
I like what has — the changes that has came with the
different programs and policies.
MR. CLOWARD: Preexisting conditions no more an
issue for folks. That's a good thing.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Right, and it's
affordable.
MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for that. Tell me your very

	10
	11
0	12
000429	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19

	PROSE	ECTIV	VE JUR	OR NO	. 146	5:	I wou	ıld	say	the	e DMV.
You know,	a lot	of	people	like	the	DMV	but	IJ	Like	it	because
it's alway	/S SO	busy	. I l	oved .	it.	I lo	oved	it	ther	œ.	
Honestly,	it wa	ıs my	favor	ite j	ob.						

MR. CLOWARD: I actually met somebody at the DMV once who was the nicest person I've ever dealt with and I actually -- we email back and forth. She's super nice.

That's good. I didn't think I'd ever hear anybody say that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I know a lot of people think that. But like most of the people there are nice, so it was -- you get those few people, but I loved my job there.

MR. CLOWARD: Something you're passionate about outside of work or family.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Shopping and eating. I like to try like different foods. So me and my family, we like to go out of town a lot and just try different food from different cultures.

MR. CLOWARD: What's your favorite food?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I like Thai food.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Strobeck, 138, public figure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I don't really believe in public figures. There's a bunch of people I look up to, but anyone that serves our country or any police officers, anyone protecting the people.

```
1
              MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Tell me about your
 2
    very favorite job.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: My very favorite job
 4
     would probably be the one I have now. Just because most of it
 5
    is just me BSing with a bunch of people and getting paid.
6
              MR. CLOWARD: I used to work at a body shop and we
 7
    had this [indiscernible] guy come and [indiscernible]. It was
8
    not always a good thing because we'd buy more than you could
9
     afford.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Exactly.
11
              MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. And then the last one was
12
     something you're passionate about.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Golfing.
14
              MR. CLOWARD: Are you any good?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I'm all right.
16
              MR. CLOWARD: What's your handicap?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Now? Four.
17
18
              MR. CLOWARD: Really? So you're good.
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I'm all right.
20
              MR. CLOWARD: No, that's good. I wish I could --
21
     yeah, that's good. Thank you.
22
              Ms. Smith, 137, public figure.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I don't really have a
23
24
    public figure.
25
              MR. CLOWARD: Nobody at all that you look up to and
```

1	admire, not one person?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No. Not outside of
3	family, no. Because public figures are just like me. They're
4	humans, just like everybody else.
5	MR. CLOWARD: Fair enough. Tell me about your very
6	favorite job you've ever had.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: As of right now, my
8	current job in special procedures, just because it to
9	answer question number three also, I'm passionate about
10	learning new things. So I just started three months ago and
11	so right now it's my most favorite job. Impacting people's
12	lives.
13	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Ms. Scheeler, 133, public
14	figure.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: The new Pope.
16	MR. CLOWARD: Made a difference already.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I think he's a man of
18	the people and I admire that.
19	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Tell me your favorite job
20	you've ever had.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I was secretary to the
22	editor of a newspaper for four years and it was fascinating.
23	MR. CLOWARD: What did you like about it? What was
24	fascinating?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, I thought my boss

000432	

1	was a genius and fascinating. We saw we had a lot to do
2	with politicians all the time and I found them interesting
3	people to study.
4	MR. CLOWARD: They were trying to influence you guys
5	what to write or?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Exactly and stories they
7	had and advertising they wanted.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you very much. And then
9	something you're passionate about outside of work or family.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Probably reading and
11	travel.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Where's your favorite place to travel?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: My favorite well, one
14	of my favorite places was Maine.
15	MR. CLOWARD: Really? What about it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It's quieter and the
17	people are friendly.
18	MR. CLOWARD: Slower pace?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yeah, and it's
20	beautiful. And the seafood was great.
21	MR. CLOWARD: I'll bet. Did you get some lobster?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, yeah.
23	MR. CLOWARD: I have a friend from Maine and every
24	time she goes up there she posts on Facebook these huge
25	lobster feasts that they have.
I	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Lobster rolls.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Hinds, 171, public figure.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I don't have an
4	individual. I'm kind of like Mr. Strobeck, I admire like
5	groups of people, firefighters and of course our veterans.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Fair enough. Tell me your very
7	favorite job.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: The one I have now. I
9	love being a federal employee.
10	MR. CLOWARD: It's good to have a job that you like.
11	Good for you. And then something outside of work, outside of
12	family that you're passionate about.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I enjoy going to pow
14	wows and riding motorcycles.
15	MR. CLOWARD: What kind of pow wows do you go to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: The
17	MR. CLOWARD: Native American pow wows?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right. I didn't know
19	anybody else had pow wows. Yeah, Native American pow wows.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Tell me about that.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: It's the singing and the
22	dancing, the regalia. I really enjoy, it's amazing.
23	MR. CLOWARD: You actually ride the motorcycles?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.
25	MR. CLOWARD: What do you ride, street bike or road

```
1
    bike or --
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I have a Harley.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: That's awesome. What year?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I just bought it. It's
 4
 5
    a 2015.
6
               MR. CLOWARD: Soft tail, hard tail?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: It's a road bike.
8
               MR. CLOWARD: That's great. Good for you.
9
     careful out there, though.
10
               Mr. Colyar, 172, public figure.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I'd have to say my
12
    family's pastor. I've always been able to talk to him.
13
               MR. CLOWARD: Your what?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Family's pastor for our
15
    church.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: And who is that, is that local here?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah, over in Boulder
    City.
18
19
               MR. CLOWARD: How come?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Just always go and talk
21
    to him, hang out with him. He's always just a genuine person.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible]
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah.
23
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Cool. Tell me about a favorite job
25
    that you've had, very favorite of all time.
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I really enjoy driving a
 2
     forklift. It's just fun, goes fast, fly around corners, scare
 3
    people.
 4
               MR. CLOWARD:
                            [indiscernible] don't do that. All
 5
     right. Thank you. And then last thing, something outside of
 6
     work or outside of family that you're passionate about.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I like to go fishing.
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: Fly fishing, bait fishing?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: All kinds. Deep sea
10
     fishing mostly.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: What's your very favorite, though?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Deep sea.
13
              MR. CLOWARD: Do you get to do that often?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Me and my dad do it once
15
    a year.
             It's our father/son bonding time.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm going up to Alaska the first time
17
     this summer.
                   I cannot wait.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: It should be good.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Ms. King, 177, public figure.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I'd say First Lady.
21
     always helping someone, just like she's always helping
22
     someone.
23
              MR. CLOWARD: [indiscernible] impact on you and so
24
    forth?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes.
```

```
1
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me something that you're -- your
 2
    very favorite job. You've had quite a few.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes. Phlebotomy.
               MR. CLOWARD: That's right now what you're --
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Drawing blood, yes, sir.
 6
               MR. CLOWARD: Are you feeling okay?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes, sir. You want me
8
    to take your blood?
9
               MR. CLOWARD: Oh, no. And you actually take the
10
    blood.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Are you one of the ones that gets it
13
    right the first time or are you one of the ones that takes
    like four times to --
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: They tell me I'm the
16
    best.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: All right. And then something that
18
    you're passionate about outside of work or family.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Traveling.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: What are your favorite places to
21
    travel?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Back home to New
23
    Orleans.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: I spent two years there.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I just came from Mardi
                         KARR REPORTING, INC.
```

```
1
    Gras.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: Gained 70 pounds. That's a true
 3
     story.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Mardi Gras will do that.
 4
 5
               MR. CLOWARD:
                            [inaudible]
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yeah, that's New
 7
    Orleans.
8
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you very much. Ms. Perez, 178.
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I want to say the
10
    president of Uruguay, president of the people. I like him,
11
    the way he rule his country.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I like what I do as of
14
    now.
15
               MR. CLOWARD: Is that your very favorite job? It
16
    can be any job.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No, this the one I like
    so far, yes. It's testing slot machines. And what I do
18
19
    outside, I like soccer.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: That's your passion?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yes. Thank you.
22
    Tindall, badge 181.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: My public figure I look
24
    up to is President Obama. Reason being is he took over the
25
    country when the country was really in bad shape and I feel
```

```
3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

sport.

like he's done a really good job turning the country around into a positive direction, considering all the problems and opposition he had to face. I admire his strength and his leadership.

MR. CLOWARD: Great. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: My favorite job is my current position at the psychiatric hospital. I like helping people and I really enjoy working with my co-workers. And what I really enjoy is sports, you know, like sporting events. Like a lot of times I like going to like major sporting events with my son or a family member and kind of watching sports at the highest level.

MR. CLOWARD: What's your favorite sport?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Football is my favorite

MR. CLOWARD: NFL or NC --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Probably NFL.

MR. CLOWARD: Your favorite team?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Raiders. It's getting better.

MR. CLOWARD: It is. Thank you very much.

Appreciate it. Ms. Simms, 221. How are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Fine. And you?

MR. CLOWARD: I'm good. I'm a little hot up here,
I'm starting to sweat.

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I feel you.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me a public figure you admire.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I don't really have one.
 4
     Within my family I could tell you, but public figure, I don't
 5
     have one.
 6
               MR. CLOWARD: Nobody you really look up to?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No.
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: Fair enough.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: And what was the other
9
10
    question?
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Your very favorite job you've ever had
12
    and why.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Wow. That would
13
14
    probably be the cleaning service I work for, Pink Ladies. And
15
    because my boss, we interact so much every day, we
16
     communicate, she needs to know something she asks me and we
17
    became really close friends, so that would be my best and I
18
    enjoy going there every day.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Makes a difference, doesn't it?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yeah, it does. And as
21
     far as what I do outside --
22
               MR. CLOWARD: Something you're passionate about.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I'm not -- I stays home.
24
    I love staying at home. So if it's something outside the
25
    house, I'm not passionate. I can tell you about inside that
                         KARR REPORTING, INC.
```

```
1
    I'm passionate about, because that's what I do, I stay home.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: Do you do certain things in the home
 3
     that you enjoy?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I like to cook, bake,
 5
    you know, stuff like that.
 6
               MR. CLOWARD: Are you a good cook?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Well, most people think
8
         They want me to bake them something or cook something.
     SO.
               MR. CLOWARD: That's usually a good sign from where
9
10
     I stand. What's your specialty? Hopefully not cherry pie.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Cheesecake and banana
12
    pudding and peanut butter cookies and chocolate chips. You
13
    name it. That's my passion, I stay home all the time.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: I didn't get this big by not eating,
15
     so I can enjoy all those things that you just mentioned.
16
     Thank you very much.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: You're welcome.
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Morgan, 216.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I'd say my favorite
20
     public figure is the Las Vegas Mayor, Carolyn Goodman, and
21
     then her predecessor, her husband. After the recession
22
    happened here is when I first moved down here and it was hard
23
    to get jobs and construction was dead and it seemed like
     [indiscernible] C-SPAN and like the local and she would fight
24
25
    through all the bylaws and to get people back to work to get
```

```
1
    more into the community to help everybody out.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible] great job. Tell me a
 3
     little bit about something, your very favorite job you've ever
 4
    had.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I'd probably say the
 6
     current one. I work at a thrift store in Henderson, Savers.
 7
     I get to meet a lot of people from around the community. See
8
    the same 50 faces all the time, but a lot of interesting stuff
9
     comes in, whether furniture or clothes or books. I like old
10
     things, antiques and vintage stuff. Usually they all have a
11
    story.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: And then something outside of work or
13
    family that you're passionate about.
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I like movies. Probably
15
     spend like 10, 12 hours a day either watching movies, reading
16
     about films, the history of films or blogging about them.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible]
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Clockwork Orange, 12
19
    Angry Men and North by Northwest.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: Awesome. Thank you very much, Mr.
21
    Morgan.
22
               Jaruwan, I can't pronounce your last name, so just
23
    194. May I use your first name, Jaruwan?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Jaruwan, yes.
25
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me a public figure that you
```

```
1
    admire.
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: The president because I
 3
     love my king, my country, yeah. Second question?
 4
               MR. CLOWARD: The second question is your very
 5
    favorite job you've ever had.
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I used to work in the
 7
     supplier in the hospital. I loved that job.
8
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. That was before you moved here,
9
    right?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Then tell me something outside of work
12
    or school -- work or family that you're passionate about, you
13
    really like.
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I like road trips. I
15
    love sightseeing.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Do you have a particular place you
17
     like to go or just anywhere, spontaneous?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I would love to see New
19
    York and [indiscernible] because I've never been there.
20
    Closer, just only here, maybe on the south. As far as used to
21
    be to Mississippi, road trip from here.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: How long did that take?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: We just -- we didn't --
24
    take like two days, but we leave here seven in the morning and
25
    make it there like one o'clock afternoon the next day.
```

```
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
```

MR. CLOWARD: That's some long driving. Thank you very much. Pass that over, we'll finish off and I'll be done. Mr. Rivera, 198. How you doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Good.

MR. CLOWARD: Public figure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Michael Jackson, all day, every day. I mean, I wouldn't be doing what I do if it wasn't for him. He's inspirational, motivational, like he cares about the world. And then my job I wouldn't consider it a job, it's my passion and I'm lucky to do it, is dance. I've been doing it all my life. I've had great opportunities to meet people, do music videos, a lot of gigs. And I'm excited because the kids I teach are invited to Ellen next month, so I'm excited to bring them.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you get to go with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah, so I'm excited to do that. But yeah, that's it, dance. One thing I love is teaching kids because just inspiring them just makes me feel amazing.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing. Mr. Shakespear, 204.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Public figure I'd say

Chef Gordon Ramsey. I know, he's not exactly — doesn't seem

like the nicest person. But I read a lot of things about him

and the reason why he acts the way he does is he strives for

perfection. In my work life and stuff like that, that's something that I've tried to do when I'm developing a team or whatnot is striving for the perfect team. I don't tell them all the things that he tells them to go do, or I'd get fired. But that's who I think is a decent person.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Your very favorite job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Logistics at Target. I

did that for about four years. I had a really great team to

work with and to manage. I loved the fast pace momentum of

it. Only reason I quit doing it is, you know, your knees

start to give out after lifting 100-pound boxes repetitively

day after day.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And then something outside of family or work that you're passionate about.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Basically fun facts, TV, music or movies. Find out why certain things are done in certain ways or certain people died this day or certain people were born this day, stuff like that.

MR. CLOWARD: Maybe like you'd be a good Jeopardy -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I could probably tell
you a couple different things and you wouldn't know where that
came from or what it's about.

MR. CLOWARD: Random facts.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Carvalho, 210.

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I'm a huge baseball fan
 2
     and I really like Hunter Pence. I got 21 stitches trying to
 3
    do what he did.
 4
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me about that.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: We play adult softball,
6
     coed, and he does this pancake move where he'll run and slide
7
     to catch the ball. Yeah, the ball caught my face.
8
               MR. CLOWARD: Twenty-one stitches.
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yeah.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: You can hardly notice it, though.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Thanks. Makeup. My
12
     favorite job is United Airlines, just being able to travel and
13
    help others with their travel arrangements. That was fun.
    And then I ended that teaching, so that was cool.
14
15
               MR. CLOWARD: I meant to ask you, what island did
16
    you live on?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Oahu.
               MR. CLOWARD: Had a friend that lived on
18
19
     [indiscernible] for a couple years.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Oh, wow.
21
               MR. CLOWARD: And then Hunter Pence, is that the
22
     [indiscernible]
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: He's unorthodox.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you very much. Ms. Brown,
25
    you're the last, 212.
```

```
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: The last. That's not nice. I think my favorite public person probably Maya Angelou. She's a writer, she was an activist, she's a fighter, she's great. [inaudible] another reason I was into her.

MR. CLOWARD: Your favorite job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: My favorite job is what I'm doing now, the insurance company, billing. You help people every day. Everybody go through something so they call, help them out, payment arrangement [inaudible].

MR. CLOWARD: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: My pastime, I paint.

MR. CLOWARD: Really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible]

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Black and whites. I love to do black and whites. I use oils sometimes, it just depends on what I'm painting and how I feel that day.

MR. CLOWARD: What's your favorite subject matter to paint?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: There's -- it's whatever's on my mind that day that I'm paining. It's not like I go and draw something and then paint it. It's get the brush and go at it.

MR. CLOWARD: More of an emotion.

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Yes.
 2
              MR. CLOWARD: That's great. Thank you very much for
 3
     sharing that.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: You're welcome.
 4
 5
              MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I'm just going to confer
6
     with counsel to make sure there's nothing else and I think I'm
 7
     done.
8
              THE COURT: Okay.
9
              MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, we're good. Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Why don't we give the jury a
11
     10-minute break before we start defense's voir dire.
12
              Again, don't talk about the case, don't read about
13
     the case, don't form or express an opinion about the case.
14
     We'll see you back at 11:40, please.
15
            (Prospective jury panel recessed at 11:27 a.m.)
16
               THE COURT: As a quick matter, counsel, this morning
17
     we received a letter regarding Jeannie Poggenpohl, badge
18
    number 357. I guess her mom's on dialysis and she has to
19
    transport her mother to and from dialysis. So she's requested
20
     that she be dismissed. She's not even in this group, she's
21
     way over here. I don't think we'll even get to her.
22
              MR. ALVERSON: We'll stipulate to that.
23
              MR. CLOWARD: We'll stipulate.
24
              THE COURT: All right. So we'll let her go via
25
    stipulation. Jason, would you let her know? She needs to go
```

```
1
    to jury services.
 2
               Counsel, I'll see you in 10 minutes.
 3
            (Court recessed at 11:28 a.m. until 11:40 a.m.)
 4
         (Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)
 5
               THE COURT: All right. So I've been giving some
 6
     thought to the issue that was brought to my attention before
 7
     we started today which had to do with the use of unstipulated
8
     documents in opening. I'm not going to allow it. The bottom
9
     line is this. Despite what may have been the he said, she
10
     said, whatever, the bottom line is that at one point the
11
     documents were stipulated to, the stipulation was withdrawn,
12
    we do not have stipulated documents as of this time. And I
13
     think given the fact that defense counsel relied upon that in
14
    preparing their opening, I'm not going to allow it at this
15
    point.
16
               So you guys, if you want, you can try to reach a
17
     stipulation over lunch, but that's how it stands at this time.
18
               MS. SANDERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Fair enough, Judge.
20
               THE COURT: We'll bring the jury in now.
21
           (Prospective jury panel reconvened at 11:41 a.m.)
22
               THE COURT: Okay. I think I have everyone. Counsel
     for the defense, if you'd like to start.
23
24
               MR. ALVERSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
25
               THE COURT: Yes. Wherever you're most comfortable,
```

sir.

MR. ALVERSON: Good morning. Let me reintroduce myself. My name is Bruce Alverson. I've been practicing law here for over 40 years, grew up in Henderson in the '50s, a long-time resident and it's a pleasure to come before you folks.

Let me get one thing out of the way right away. We do not intend to lie to you. I don't know what that was all about, but we do not intend to lie to you because we don't need to.

If Judge Miley instructs you that you're only to follow the law for the facts that comes to you from the witness chair, does everybody agree to follow that?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Do you all understand how unfair and how unreliable it would be to try to rely on something that didn't come to you from the witness chair? And if Judge Miley, once she instructs you on the law, even if you think it's the craziest law you ever heard of, will you agree to follow the law that's given to you?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Does everybody agree to that?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Let's talk a little bit about why that's important. You've heard that we are a nation of laws,

we follow the rule of law. But that only works if we agree to follow the same laws that everybody else does. Does everybody agree with that? You see other countries, they don't follow the law, Iraq, chaos. Do you understand that? Does everybody agree to follow — let's see a show of hands — does everybody agree to follow the law that the Judge gives whether they agree to it or not?

And if Judge Miley instructs you in this case to use your common sense, will you agree to do that?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: All right. Now if Judge Miley instructs you that sympathy for either party is not to play a role in the case in your decision making, will you agree to that?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: This is a serious case, it's a very serious case and everybody sympathizes with the family.

There's no question about that. We get sympathy from our friends, from our family, everybody in this courtroom gets sympathy. But do you understand that in our society, in our legal society, we base cases, the decisions on cases based on fault or legal terms like negligence or proximate cause.

You'll hear about that. But basically, whose fault was it.

Do you agree that that's a good way to decide? And if that is what our laws have determined, that we define cases by fault,

do you understand what role sympathy would have in maybe compromising fault when you're deciding a case? Do you understand how that could happen?

Let's go through — let me give you an example.

Completely made up. Mr. Jones is involved in an automobile accident, it's his fault. I want you to assume that. And he sustained about \$200 worth of damage. He goes to court and you're seated on the jury. Would anybody here have a problem ruling against Mr. Jones knowing that he would not be able to recover his \$200? Does anybody here have trouble ruling against Mr. Jones saying no, it was your fault, we're not paying you any money? Let me see a show of hands of people who would rule against him. Even if it was his fault, there's nobody here that would rule against Mr. Jones? Maybe I didn't make that very clear.

Mr. Jones was involved in an accident. He was the one that caused the accident, but yet he sued. So he sued. Would anybody here have a problem with saying no, Mr. Jones, you're not getting \$300 because you caused the accident? Agreed?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Let's change the facts just a little bit. Same facts except he incurred a whiplash case. He incurred \$10,000 in expenses. Would anybody here hesitate to rule against Mr. Jones knowing that he would have to absorb

		/
		8
		9
	1	0
	1	1
	1	2
	1	3
	1	4
	1	5
	1	6
	1	7
	1	8

1	the loss of \$10,000? Would you vote against him? Is there
2	anybody that would not vote against him?
3	Let's change it just a little bit more. Let's say
4	that Mr. Jones incurred \$100,000 in damages. Let's see a show
5	of hands of the people who would vote against Mr. Jones
6	knowing that he would have to sustain the \$100,000 loss
7	himself. Is it getting a little harder?
8	Ms. Beckum, did I see your hand go up? Would you
9	think about voting for him even though
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I was confused about the
11	question, that's why I didn't raise my hand.
12	MR. ALVERSON: I'm sorry?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I was more confused
14	about how you were saying it, that's why I didn't raise my
15	hand.
16	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Would you be willing to vote
17	against Mr. Jones even though he would have to absorb the
18	\$100,000 loss?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No.
20	MR. ALVERSON: Do you understand what I'm asking
21	you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: 146, sorry. You're
23	saying no, I wouldn't vote for him.
24	MR. ALVERSON: You would not vote for him. Okay.
25	Let's make it a lot tougher. Same facts. Mr. Jones had a

```
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
```

catastrophic injury. He's disabled, he has several million dollars in medical bills. Is there anybody here who would vote against Mr. Jones in that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I have a question, though.

MR. ALVERSON: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: [indiscernible]

MR. ALVERSON: I'm just making it up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Who is he suing?

MR. ALVERSON: He is suing the other driver.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Oh.

MR. ALVERSON: He's suing the other driver. Mr. Shakespear, 204.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Just like the example he gave yesterday, talking about the other parties and stuff like that, how is it fair [indiscernible] running the red light across town, you know. If he's the one that caused the injuries, he takes full responsibility for it. That's why we have the health and auto insurance to help us out with some of that. But he doesn't have a right to say oh, I pulled out in front of you, you smacked into me and T-boned me and now I'm crippled for the rest of my life. How is it that person's fault when they were not at fault?

MR. ALVERSON: Does the term personal responsibility come to mind?

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yeah, personal
 2
    responsibility.
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: Is that what we're talking about?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: And conscious mind.
 4
 5
               MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Colvar, where do you fit it on
6
           172. Personal responsibility, how do you feel about
 7
     that?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: If you cause something,
9
     then you should take responsibility for it.
10
               MR. ALVERSON: And Mr. Burr, how do you feel about
11
     that? Mr. Burr is 214.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: The same way. I feel if
13
     it's your fault you've got to own up, man up.
14
               MR. ALVERSON: Did you understand the reason that --
15
     I may have stumbled over the facts and not made them very
16
     clear to start with. But the purpose of that is to determine
17
    how much sympathy may have some impact on determining fault.
18
    The more seriously injured somebody is, maybe you cut them a
19
     little bit more slack when determining their fault. So would
20
    everybody be able to put sympathy aside in determining this
21
     case? Let's see a show of hands.
22
               And if Judge Miley instructs you that bias towards
23
    one party or another should play no part in your decision
24
    making, would everybody agree to follow that?
```

JURORS: Yes.

25

MR. ALVERSON: Let's see a show of hands. One of the things we have here is a corporation and a lot of times people are biased against corporations. If Judge Miley says that you are to judge the corporation in the same fashion that you do an individual, would everybody agree to follow that rule?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Let's talk a little bit about a corporation and what a corporation is. You understand that corporations can only act through its employees, through people? I think a lot of you work for corporations and the corporation is nothing more than a piece of paper that's filed with the Secretary of State. That's a corporation. It operates through its people. Can we agree on that?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: And so at times when we talk about these faceless corporations doing things, it isn't a faceless corporation. They're doing things through employees. Do you agree with that? That piece of paper at the Secretary of State's office isn't doing anything. Now I bring that up because this is an accident, an incident that occurred on the bus. Faceless corporation has nothing to do with this. It was an employee, Jay, you'll hear from him. He was the driver. And you are being asked by the plaintiffs to determine if Jay caused Harvey's death by what he did or

```
15
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

didn't do. That's the issue. Not some big corporation. You are being asked did Jay cause Harvey's death.

MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I'm going to just ask to approach.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)

MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible] violation of [inaudible] basically instructing the jurors on what the law is going to be. I never [inaudible] what they're going to be asked to do. He's basically instructing them on the law and then asking them to deliberate on an issue [inaudible]. [inaudible] is clear, you cannot voir dire touching on prospective verdicts -- prospective jury instructions. 770 [inaudible] you cannot ask them [inaudible] instructions touching upon the law and that's what he's doing and he's not allowed to do that. He's not allowed to say this is what the Judge is going to tell you, this is what the plaintiffs are trying to prove, will you do X, Y and Z.

So there's actually two violations. Number one, he's instructing them on the law. That's a violation of 770. And 770 goes you cannot ask the jurors to deliberate on a hypothetical set of facts. [inaudible] asking the jurors these types of questions. [inaudible] the law requires that I'm going to have to find Jay at fault or the corporation at fault. That's your job. And I never told them that's what

```
1
    I'm going to ask them to do. That's what he's trying to do
 2
     and it's inappropriate. It's a violation of 770.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
 4
               MR. ALVERSON: I'm not instructing them as to the
 5
     law. That's exactly [inaudible] employee of the corporation
 6
     [inaudible] That's exactly what happened on the bus that day
 7
     and the jurors are entitled to know that. They're entitled to
8
     know that [inaudible] for his action or inaction, not the
9
     corporation.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: [inaudible]
11
               MR. ALVERSON: Well, maybe [inaudible]
12
               MR. ALVERSON: [inaudible] instruction on what I'm
13
     supposed to prove or what I [inaudible] --
14
               THE COURT: I honestly just took it as explaining
15
     what the case is about. I made the assumption you were not
16
    going to ask the next question, ask them to give a preliminary
17
    ruling.
18
               MR. ALVERSON: Of course not.
19
               THE COURT: Obviously, that violates the rules.
20
               MR. ALVERSON: Of course not.
21
               THE COURT: But, I mean, so long as it's just --
22
     because there's already been an explanation of what the case
23
    is about at the very beginning. I mean, if you're stopping
24
    where you're stopping, then I don't have a problem with it.
25
                       (End of bench conference.)
```

MR. ALVERSON: The other question [inaudible] Jay is from the Philippines. I can't imagine anybody would have a bias against Jay because he's from the Philippines. Does anybody have a bias against Jay because he's from the Philippines?

JURORS: No.

MR. ALVERSON: What I have done is I made a little bit of a chart here and we'd like to say there are two different ways of deciding a lawsuit or making decisions in general. One is with your head. In this case if you're using your head, using the facts, the law, your common sense. The other is deciding the case with your heart and that's where the sympathy and the bias come in. Can I see a show of hands, would everybody agree to decide this case with their head?

JURORS: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: You see how unfair it would be if you decide a case with your heart. I think that you know by now that this case is a death resulting from choking that occurred on the bus. He was eating some food. He was a passenger on the bus. Let's see a show of hands how many people have eaten while driving in their car, not while they're driving, but as a passenger. Have any of you eaten while you're in the car driving? How about flying in an airplane, were you eating flying in an airplane?

Did you consider that to be a dangerous thing to do,

may increase your chance of choking because you were eating in a public [indiscernible] someone else? Let's see a show of hands of people who think they increase the chance of choking by being a passenger and eating.

Ms. Brown, 212. Why do you think that would not increase the chance of choking? Just talk a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: The car is in motion, but, I mean, I'm not being bounced around and I'm still [indiscernible]. It wouldn't be any different to me from probably eating at a table.

MR. ALVERSON: And let's see, Mr. Morgan, 216. What are your feelings about that? Do you see any increased risk of choking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Only slight if there was like a car accident, but just driving, no. I'm not a medical expert, so I don't know how you would choke. You could be upside down, eat upside down like you're on a monkey bar or something or drink, you're not going to choke. You know, it goes down, your muscles, you know, it goes down. I just don't know how you'd choke.

MR. ALVERSON: In terms of choking, do you see any difference between being a passenger in a vehicle and sitting in a chair in a restaurant in terms of increased risk of choking?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No, not if you're
2	wearing your seatbelt or if you're, you know, as long as
3	you're not
4	MR. ALVERSON: Not being bounced.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah. Because you could
6	do the same thing on a table at McDonald's. You could bounce
7	around or fall off the table or something.
8	MR. ALVERSON: And what about we've all seen
9	these water bottles that have the spill-proof lids on them.
.0	Does anybody see an increased risk of choking by drinking a
.1	bottle of water that doesn't have a spill-proof top on it?
.2	Does anybody here see an increase in risk of choking because
L3	it doesn't have a spill-proof?
.4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah.
.5	MR. ALVERSON: On the basis of what?
L6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: That one has a cap so
L7	the spill-free one, you can only get a little bit of the
L8	liquid out. If it doesn't have a cap you can literally just
.9	guzzle it so your risk will increase a little bit if it goes
20	down the wrong tube or something.
21	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Does anybody else agree with
22	that? What if there was a rule that said no eating on the bus

MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Does anybody else agree with that? What if there was a rule that said no eating on the bus and you violated that rule by eating? Would that be a dangerous violation that could cause harm to you? Mrs. Carvalho, 210. Why would that be a serious issue, a chance of

choking just because it was a rule?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: It's a rule but you still couldn't [indiscernible] yourself if you're doing something against what you're being told.

MR. ALVERSON: I'm sorry, I didn't understand that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: If you're telling me I can't eat on your bus and I choose to eat on your bus, then that's me. I did something that you [indiscernible], you know, your rules on that bus.

MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Ms. Scheeler, 133. How do you feel about that? If there — let's give two scenarios. One scenario is that I think we've all agreed that if you eat on the bus you're not at a greater risk for choking than anyplace else. But if there's a rule that says don't eat on the bus, does that put you at greater risk for choking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I was brought up in an era where if it said don't walk on the grass, you don't walk on the grass.

MR. ALVERSON: Okay. All right. Mr. Strobeck, 138. What is your feeling on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I don't think eating or drinking in a vehicle really will affect you choking at all. If you're going to choke, you're probably going to choke anyway no matter where you are. If it does say do not eat or drink on the bus, there's probably a reason they don't want

```
1
    you to eat or drink on the bus. Mostly, probably because of
 2
     spilling. But if there's a rule then that is self-inflicted.
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: So you should obey the rules.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: You should obey the
 4
 5
    rules, yes.
6
               MR. ALVERSON: Let's see. 159, Mr. Layola. How do
 7
    you feel about that?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I feel the same way.
9
    Rules are on the bus, there's guidelines you have to follow
10
     because there must be safety hazards that was probably
11
     discussed [indiscernible]. But the other sense, choking can
12
    happen anywhere.
13
               MR. ALVERSON: And you can choke on a bus whether
14
     there was a rule against eating that you were violating or
15
    there was no rule. Would you agree with that?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: [inaudible]
17
               MR. ALVERSON: All right. Anybody else have any
18
    different takes on this? We talked a little bit about choking
19
    a little bit earlier and we heard from Mr. Burr and Mrs.
20
    Beckum about their experiences with a choking brother and
21
     child. But what are some of the other experiences -- what are
22
    some of the experiences some of the other have of even -- and
23
    I'm not talking about choking that requires a Heimlich or
24
    anything like that, but just choking and having difficulty
25
    getting your breath. We've all experienced that ourselves,
```

family members or sitting next to somebody in a restaurant
that's choking and finally gets their breath and everybody
else was relieved. What describe Mr. Tindall, 181,
describe the behavior, the emotions that somebody does when
they're choking. Is it a frantic movement? Is it animated?
Describe it for me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I would think it would be like, kind of like frantically [indiscernible] you know, kind of gasp for air, hit your chest, maybe hitting the back of your neck, something, you know, trying to get it out.

MR. ALVERSON: Something that would be very observable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Right.

MR. ALVERSON: And this is -- Mrs. King, 177, what is your feeling about how someone would manifestate their choking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Frantic and trying to save themselves and trying to do the choking motion to show someone else that they need assistance.

MR. ALVERSON: And we've all seen this, haven't we, at one time or another? Has anybody seen someone choke that appeared that they were not under any kind of a frantic movement or stress? Has anybody ever seen that? Would most of you agree with me that not being able to breathe is one of the worst feelings imaginable? And you kind of want to do

anything to be able to breathe?

Now we talked -- Mr. Burr, 214, you talked a little bit about giving the Heimlich to your brother. How did you know he was choking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Because he was grabbing his throat trying to cough it up and just like frantically moving around.

MR. ALVERSON: Was it pretty obvious what was going on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: It was pretty obvious.

MR. ALVERSON: And he was obviously still conscious?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah. He was turning like blue and red in the face.

MR. ALVERSON: And how many comps did you have to give the Heimlich --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Just two.

MR. ALVERSON: Two? And did it come right out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: Was it something that was lodged -- would you have any idea how far it was lodged?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I think it was still like maybe at the bottom of his trachea.

MR. ALVERSON: And Mrs. Beckum, your son had, what did you say, a ball in his mouth? Mrs. Beckum, 146. Were you able to see the ball?

7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah, it was like at the back of his throat so I was hitting his back to push air forward then [inaudible] mouth and pulled it out.

MR. ALVERSON: You knew exactly what you were dealing with at that point.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: And how old was your child?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He's five.

MR. ALVERSON: At that time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He was three.

MR. ALVERSON: Same as Mr. Burr described, did he have frantic motions and so forth?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He jumped up and he was trying to get it out [inaudible].

MR. ALVERSON: What are — what I want to do is ask your experiences, if anybody has been around someone when they've had a heart attack or a stroke. Can I see a show of hands of anybody who's been around — whoa, okay. Let's start in the back, Mrs. Scheeler, 133. Describe for me the situation.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It was my husband about 20 years ago. He came home from work and his back was bothering him, but he assumed — he was a truck driver, Teamster, so he assumed he just, you know, just one of those things that day. He just got weaker and we kept insisting —

	9
	10
	11
0	12
000466	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19

his son was there with me, our son. We kept insisting that he didn't look so great and we finally threw him in the Jeep and took him to the hospital.

MR. ALVERSON: Was he acting frantically or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No. Heart attacks don't always work that way.

MR. ALVERSON: Heart attacks are kind of more subtle, aren't they?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes.

MR. ALVERSON: On strokes, the same thing. Have you had any knowledge of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: My mother-in-law has had four or five strokes recently. And that's a little more -- I mean, heart attacks can, you can drop to the ground, but other heart attacks, you know, you feel it in your arm, your neck and it could take all day before you realize, if you're lucky.

MR. ALVERSON: Who else raised their hand along the top row? Mrs. Smith, did you raise your hand? Would you — Mrs. Smith, 137. What is your experience with heart attack victims?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Like she said, basically it depends on what level. People that have heart attacks just [inaudible] people like grab their chest, they break sweats, it's evident. Stroke patients are, some of them slump over.

1	I've had patients that are more in a dream-like state.
2	They're trying to say something but their face is like they're
3	sleeping or they're trying to [inaudible].
4	MR. ALVERSON: Who else raised their hand? Mr.
5	Strobeck, did you raise your hand?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yeah. My grandma had a
7	heart attack. It was pretty nonchalant. There was no, you
8	know, crazy acting or anything. She kind of just fell back
9	and she had 24-hour care so they took care of her right there.
10	MR. ALVERSON: So frantic movements or anything like
11	that.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No.
13	MR. ALVERSON: Who was the next one on the top row?
14	Mr. Burr, 214.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: My uncle had a stroke
16	and he just slumped over in the bathroom. Nobody really knew
17	what was going on, we just called the ambulance right away.
18	MR. ALVERSON: Anybody try CPR or anything like
19	that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah. I tried CPR but
21	we didn't know what was going on. The ambulance got there and
22	they took care of it.
23	MR. ALVERSON: So if somebody just slumps over you
24	don't know what the issue is.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.

1	MR. ALVERSON: Would that be fair to say?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.
3	MR. ALVERSON: Going on along the top row, anybody
4	else? Mr. Layola, did you raise your hand?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No.
6	MR. ALVERSON: I'm sorry. Let's start with Ms.
7	Chaisuriya, 194.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
9	MR. ALVERSON: Have you had any experience with
10	people with heart attacks or strokes?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I never seen, just only
12	heard, like passed out, no breathing, but I never seen.
13	MR. ALVERSON: Is that consistent with what you're
14	hearing the other folks describe?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
16	MR. ALVERSON: Who else along the second row raised
17	their hand about having some knowledge of people with heart
18	attacks or strokes? Down on the front row? Ms. Brown.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Five years ago I had a
20	stroke, not bad. I called an ambulance myself, took me to the
21	hospital and they put me on a machine and told me I was having
22	another one right then. I was in pain but I didn't move or
23	anything. I was sitting there looking at them like you're
24	kidding, because I there's some shooting numbness, but
25	maybe I got there fast enough before anything happened I

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

felt	the	pair	1 50	I'd	already	called	an	ambulance	for	myself	to
come	and	get	me.								

MR. ALVERSON: Doesn't sound like you lost consciousness.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: I did not lose consciousness, not one time, no, I did not. I did not.

MR. ALVERSON: Who else? Mr. Shakespear, I think you raised your hand, 204.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: My grandpa and my ad both passed away from heart attacks.

MR. ALVERSON: Were you present?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I was present at both of them.

MR. ALVERSON: [inaudible]

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: We were chopping down firewood up on Cedar Mountain in Utah and my grandpa dropped to the floor. My aunt performed CPR. We got him to the hospital. His heart had exploded. So he had already had three open heart surgeries, so the scar tissue just gave way.

MR. ALVERSON: No preindication anything was going to happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I think he knew. He was really gray. He called all of us, talked to us on the phone like two weeks prior and very attentive. I think he knew it was time. And he wanted — and looking back on it, it looks

C	\supset	
C	\supset	
C	\supset	
4	4	
-	7	
C	\supset	

11)	te he	wanted	his	fami	ly a	round	wher	ı it	happ	pened.	. Ar	nd wi	nen
my	fathe	r pass	ed at	way I	was	actua	ally	the	one	that	did	CPR	on
hin	n:												

MR. ALVERSON: And was it the same thing, kind of a spontaneous like slumping over?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: No. He was actually asleep and he went — he had diabetes and went into kidney and liver failure, was on dialysis. Heart health is not exactly too great in our family. So my mom went and got into bed, he was ice cold.

MR. ALVERSON: Just died in his sleep.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: He passed away in his sleep, yes. But same thing with how my grandpa was, he, looking back on it, he acted like he knew something was going to happen, like he knew his time was done.

MR. ALVERSON: For those of you that talked to me about seeing strokes and the heart attacks, would you agree that the demeanor of the person when that is going on is far different than somebody who's choking and the franticness? Would everybody agree with that?

The evidence will show that this accident or this incident occurred at eight o'clock in the morning, approximately eight o'clock on a Friday, Friday morning, commute traffic that's going on. How many of you have heard or read in the paper about buses getting into traffic

accidents? We see that quite a bit, don't we? How many of you read about people on a bus choking to death? Has anybody ever heard or read about anything like that? Has anybody ever heard or read about anybody on the bus having a stroke?

If — would it be fair to say that it's more common for a bus to get into an accident with the traffic than it is for somebody to have a choking episode or a heart attack on the bus? Would everybody agree with that?

Given that, where should the driver's attention be directed? Should eyes be on the road or should he be looking in the back for someone having a heart attack or choking, that none of us have ever heard happen on a bus before? Who thinks his eyes should be on the road?

I'm going to kind of do a similar thing that was done earlier but I have different questions for each of you to ask. My questions are going to be — I'd like to go one by one. Maybe you can hand the microphone back to Mrs. Scheeler, 133. And I'm going to ask you a couple questions maybe that wasn't covered during the other examination. I'm going to ask each of you and you can be thinking about it ahead of time. If you have any bumper stickers, what do they say? How do you get your news? Is it newspapers, and if so, which ones are they? TV, what TV news stations do you watch? I'm going to start with you on something else. I have not heard the Valley Times mentioned in years and years.

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I know.
 2
               MR. ALVERSON: Was the boss you worked for Paul
 3
     Brown?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes.
 5
               MR. ALVERSON: I knew Bob. And that is where
 6
     [indiscernible] Day got his start, as I recall.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes.
 8
               MR. ALVERSON: Was that the late '70s or the '80s,
 9
     something like that?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Late '70s, early '80s,
11
     yeah.
12
               MR. ALVERSON: That was a fun newspaper.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, it was. That's why
14
     it was fun working there.
15
               MR. ALVERSON: Did I understand that your first
16
     husband was a doctor?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, my first husband
18
     was a doctor.
19
               MR. ALVERSON: Was that here in Las Vegas?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, he was a doctor in
21
     L.A.
22
               MR. ALVERSON: The last name didn't match up with
23
     anything. Okay. Do you have bumper stickers?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No.
25
               MR. ALVERSON: Okay. How about --
                         KARR REPORTING, INC.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I like a clean car.
2	MR. ALVERSON: Pardon me?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I like a clean car.
4	MR. ALVERSON: How do you get your news?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: We take the daily paper
6	and I watch Fox 5.
27	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. And for the national shows as
8	well, watch Fox 5?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Uh-huh.
10	MR. ALVERSON: Ms. Smith, 137. How about you,
11	bumper stickers?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
13	MR. ALVERSON: And how do you get your news, TV,
14	newspaper?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: TV and online.
16	MR. ALVERSON: And online, where do you generally
17	go?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Either like CNN News or
19	sometimes I'll see local news online.
20	MR. ALVERSON: Do you watch Fox News?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Not unless it's on in
22	the break room at work. Other than that, no.
23	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Mr. Strobeck, 138. I have
24	down here how long have you been with Snap-On Tools?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: A little over a year.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 97

1	MR. ALVERSON: And how long were you the manager of
2	some pizza places in Reno?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: A little over two years.
4	MR. ALVERSON: What was the motivation for coming to
5	Vegas from Reno?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I got offered a job at
7	Staples salesman and it fell through because they had my age
8	wrong. So I got offered a job at Snap-On Tools.
9	MR. ALVERSON: How about you, do you have bumper
10	stickers?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Absolutely not.
12	MR. ALVERSON: And what about your news sources?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I do, I still look at
14	the paper but I just normally watch Fox News on TV before I go
15	to work.
16	MR. ALVERSON: Ms. Beckum, bumper stickers?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No.
18	MR. ALVERSON: News source?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I watch it usually
20	well, I follow it on Facebook, so Channel 13 and Channel 8.
21	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Paula Francis just announced
22	her retirement, 30 years.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah. It's crazy.
24	MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Burr, 214, bumper stickers?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: No bumper stickers.

	l
1	MR. ALVERSON: And news source?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I usually just watch
3	Bloopers on YouTube.
4	MR. ALVERSON: Bloopers?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah, that's about it.
6	MR. ALVERSON: All right. Mr. Laury, 152. Let me
7	see if I have any notes on you. Bumper stickers?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, I don't.
9	MR. ALVERSON: News source?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I usually get it online.
11	MR. ALVERSON: What online do you do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Usually I just go to my
13	phone and usually look up any news that's available, not like
14	necessarily one designated site I go to.
15	MR. ALVERSON: Just a general broadcast type.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
17	MR. ALVERSON: Ms. Martinez, 156, bumper stickers?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Just one, it's Life and
19	Travels dot com. It's my brother's website.
20	MR. ALVERSON: What kind of business is that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: He just went traveling
22	around the world for a little bit and he was posting
23	information on his website about his travels.
24	MR. ALVERSON: What about your news source?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Fox 5, 8, 13, whatever's

```
1
    on Facebook.
 2
               MR. ALVERSON: Kind of spread it around a little
 3
    bit.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Yeah.
 4
 5
               MR. ALVERSON: All right. Mr. Layola, 159.
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I have zero bumper
 7
     stickers on the car. And I get my news by watching Fox 5 in
8
    the morning.
9
               MR. ALVERSON: Let's just hand the microphone down.
10
    Ms. Chaisuriya, 194. Do you have bumper stickers?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No, I don't.
12
               MR. ALVERSON: How do you get your news source?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Whatever they turn on in
14
     the break room, like she said, and sometimes like Facebook,
15
    from a friend post, reading.
16
               MR. ALVERSON: Do you watch TV at home, watch the
17
    news at home?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Not much because I work
19
    two jobs.
20
               MR. ALVERSON: Okay.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: When it's break time,
22
    just online.
23
               MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Morgan, 216.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I don't have any bumper
25
    stickers, no. In the morning time I watch, I believe it's Fox
```

```
1
    More, like for entertainment. And at night I watch, I think
 2
    it's Dave [indiscernible]. He's on Channel 8. Then I watch
 3
     Jessica Moore, I think she's on 3. Those are the only two I
 4
     know.
 5
               MR. ALVERSON: All right. Ms. Simms, 221.
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I have no bumper
 7
     stickers. I watch Channel 8, 13 and 3 for my news source.
8
               MR. ALVERSON: All right. Mr. Tindall, 1818.
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: For my news source I
10
     watch Fox 5, CNN and Internet. And then I have no bumper
11
     stickers.
12
               MR. ALVERSON: All right. Ms. Perez, 178.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No bumper stickers and I
     watch Fox and Internet and some of the apps on the phone for
14
15
    the news.
16
               MR. ALVERSON: Ms. King, 177.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No bumper stickers. I
     watch Channel 5 and on Facebook on the Internet.
18
19
               MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Colyar, 172.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I've got a bumper
21
     sticker on my buddy's band.
22
               MR. ALVERSON: What does it say?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: [indiscernible]
24
               MR. ALVERSON: I'm sorry?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I have a bumper sticker
```

1	of my buddy's band and it's [indiscernible] is the name of the
2	band. And then for news it's just whatever is playing in the
3	break room.
4	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Ms. Hinds, 171.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I don't have any bumper
6	stickers and I don't have a news source.
7	MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Rivera, 198.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: No bumper stickers and I
9	get all my news from Facebook.
10	MR. ALVERSON: Okay. Mr. Shakespear, 204.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: No bumper stickers,
12	Channel 8, Channel 13.
13	MR. ALVERSON: Ms. Carvalho, 210.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: No bumper stickers and
15	Facebook.
16	MR. ALVERSON: And Ms. Brown, 212.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: No bumper stickers.
18	Review Journal on Facebook and CNN at work, they play it on
19	the screens above us.
20	MR. ALVERSON: One last series of questions. Mr.
21	Strobel, 138. You were asked a series of questions yesterday
22	and it had to do with some preconceived ideas about people
23	receiving money in lawsuits and maybe you even thought they
24	were suing a little bit too much [indiscernible]. I'm going
25	to revisit that with you.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Sure. It's Strobeck.
 1
 2
               MR. ALVERSON: What did I say?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Strobel, something like
 4
    that.
 5
               MR. ALVERSON: Earlier I asked if you would follow
6
     the facts and the law that was given to you in the case and
    you said you would. Would you do that even if it conflicted
7
8
     with the earlier feelings that you have?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes, sir.
10
               MR. ALVERSON: If you were one of the parties to
11
     this case, would you like to have a juror that would be
12
     willing to set aside any preconceived ideas about how
13
     something should be and decide the case according to the facts
14
     and the law as the Judge instructs?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
16
               MR. ALVERSON: You would do that for -- and you
17
     would do the same thing for these folks?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
               MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Morgan, 216, same questions. You
19
20
     expressed some concern about the frequency of lawsuits and
     that type of thing. You agreed as well, I think, that you
21
22
    would be willing to follow the facts and the law. Would you
23
    be willing to do that and set aside your preconceived ideas
24
    about lawsuits and decide this lawsuit strictly on its facts
25
    and its law, ignoring all the other things that you may have
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I would try. I mean, it would be hard, you know, because it is — it's almost trying to relearn something. You know, you have an idea in your head. It's almost like you're fighting yourself. You're like okay, this person said I had to follow this way, but, you know, I believe this way morality wise or just something you were brought up wise. So, you know, I'm not saying I couldn't do it, but it would be difficult to just kind of throw it all away, whatever you've already felt or learned or known or thought of.

MR. ALVERSON: Well, we need you to be [indiscernible] and you're the only one that can tell us whether you can do it or not. So would you be able to — the same question I just asked. If you're one of the parties, would you want a juror who would be willing to decide the case strictly on the facts and the law in this case and not on some prenotion that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: You would want that but you can't always guarantee that. I mean, you can't force someone to think or behave a certain way. You just kind of hope that they hear the facts and they, you know, they agree with whatever lawyer or whatever person is stating it, witnesses. But in the end you cannot force someone to believe or think or act a certain way.

MR. ALVERSON: So it doesn't sound like you're unequivocal about this. It sounds like you have some reservations about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I don't necessarily have reservations, I just haven't heard any other facts that would so far change my already previous opinions.

MR. ALVERSON: Well, we haven't had any facts of the case yet.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Then, yeah, exactly.

MR. ALVERSON: So maybe I'm asking the same question, but let me try to do it another way. Would you be willing to keep an open mind and when the facts start coming in use only those facts that come in and the law that's coming in to decide this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah, I think you have to.

MR. ALVERSON: So you'd be able to do that. All right. Mr. Burr, 214. You also expressed some reservations, so kind of the same question to you. I noticed too, it said that you would be willing to decide this case on these facts and this law and set aside — would you be willing to do that and set aside any preconceived notions you may have based upon lawsuits that we all read about in the newspaper and frankly, we're all sick of hearing about them? Would you be willing to do that?

```
000482
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.
2	MR. ALVERSON: Do you have any hesitation about
3	that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Huh-uh.
5	MR. ALVERSON: You have to answer out loud.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: No.
7	MR. ALVERSON: Mr. Colyar, 172, same thing. You
8	expressed some reservations earlier. I understand, because I
9	get bombarded with more of these offbeat cases and things that
10	most people don't. But would you commit to deciding this case
11	on the facts in this case and the law in this case and set
12	aside any preconceived notions of other things?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah.
14	MR. ALVERSON: Do you have any hesitation
15	whatsoever?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.
17	MR. ALVERSON: And Ms. Smith, 137. Same question to
18	you. Would you be willing to decide this case on these facts
19	and this law and set aside any preconceived ideas that you may
20	have had from other sources? Would you be able to do that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I would be willing to
22	try.
23	MR. ALVERSON: Well, let me when you say you'd be
24	willing to try, how could I get a little bit more definitive
25	answer?
I	

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I don't know. 2 MR. ALVERSON: Let me put it this way. I've asked 3 some of the other folks about that. If you were one of the 4 parties to this lawsuit, would you want a juror who would set 5 aside any preconceived ideas and judge your case simply on the 6 facts and the law in your case? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yeah, I would hope so. 8 MR. ALVERSON: And would you be willing, as a juror, 9 would you be willing to do that same thing for these folks? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Again, yeah, I will try. 11 I mean, you -- the same thing that Mr. Morgan, your life 12 experience, things may come up that will base your decision 13 on. 14 MR. ALVERSON: One of the things that you can use in 15 basing your decision is common sense. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Correct. 17 MR. ALVERSON: What I'm talking about is basing the 18 decision on all of these reports of frivolous lawsuits -19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Oh, yeah. 20 MR. ALVERSON: Those are things I want to ask. 21 you could put those away and use the facts, the law and your 22 common sense. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yeah. 24 MR. ALVERSON: Can you do that? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.

```
1
               MR. ALVERSON: Have any hesitancy?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: Your Honor, I would pass for cause.
 4
               THE COURT: Actually, counsel, may I see you guys
 5
     based upon an earlier issue we had?
 6
               (Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
 7
               THE COURT: There's so many of you. All right.
8
     I'll make a further record at the break on everything. Okay?
9
     The only one I'm going to allow as far as the challenge for
10
     cause, I'm going to allow Caleb Morgan. I don't feel that it
11
     is unequivocal that he can put any biases or prejudice aside.
12
    I'm not going to allow for the others, but I will make a full
13
    record at the break. So at this time, let's go ahead and
14
    replace Mr. Morgan and we can just voir dire him.
15
                       (End of bench conference.)
16
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morgan, I'm going to ask
17
     that you please stand and move to the back of the room.
18
    you call the next person, please?
19
               THE CLERK: Badge number 223, Freddy Acuna.
20
               THE COURT: Hi, sir. So you're going to be the one
21
     that gets all the questions right now. Have you ever been a
22
     juror before?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223:
24
               THE COURT:
                           When were you a juror?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: [inaudible]
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Was it here in Las Vegas?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. And how many times have you been
 4
    a juror?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Once.
 6
               THE COURT: Was it civil or criminal?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Criminal.
8
               THE COURT: And don't tell me what the verdict was,
9
    but did the jury reach a verdict?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223:
11
               THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Have you been a party to a
14
     lawsuit, either -- well, have you been part of a lawsuit,
15
    either as a party such as a plaintiff or a defendant or as a
16
    witness?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No.
18
               THE COURT: As you've heard some discussion today,
19
     can you follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even
20
    if it differs from your personal conceptions of what you think
21
    the law ought to be?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
22
23
               THE COURT: Are you employed?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: What do you do?
```

```
000486
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I'm a certified
2	hemodialysis tech.
3	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Since 1982.
5	THE COURT: That's quite a while.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
7	THE COURT: So did you have to have special
8	schooling, training for that position?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Just biology, sciences
10	and then was trained in house in the hospital.
11	THE COURT: So did you have to take college courses
12	in biology and science?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And then you received additional
15	on-the-job training?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
17	THE COURT: And do you receive like additional
18	certification
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Oh, yes. Now you have
20	to be certified. Back then you didn't have to.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So do you have to do say
22	continuing education?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. You have to have
24	40 CUs every four years and you have to reapply. If you don't
25	have the CUs, the 40 CUs in the four years, you have to retake
I	I

1 the examination. 2 THE COURT: Wow. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Which you don't want to 4 do it. 5 THE COURT: You've been doing this a long time. Did 6 you have any jobs prior to that one? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I was a tech aide before 8 that, which is precursor to being a dialysis tech. 9 THE COURT: It helps you do what you do now? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: A little bit. What I do 11 now is patient care. That tech aide was just helping out in 12 the [indiscernible], setting up the dialysis machines, taking 13 patients from the floor back and forth, not direct patient 14 care like what I'm doing now. But that was only like six 15 months. 16 THE COURT: When you say direct patient care, so 17 when a patient's on dialysis, tell me exactly what you do when 18 they're having their dialysis treatment. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Actually, I do what --20 it's acute dialysis which is hospital based. So the company 21 that I work for, we cover 14 hospitals here in Las Vegas. So 22 we do bedside dialysis. So when a doctor writes an order, we 23 go bedside to do that dialysis. 24 THE COURT: So you -- I don't really know how the 25 dialysis ---

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Well, in each of the hospitals we have a storeroom. In the storeroom we have a dialysis machine and supplies. So when we get to the hospital we get the order and in the order there's a certain amount of hours, how much fluid to be removed. Then we speak with the nurse, get report. Then we go to the patient's bedside. We assess the patient, see if it's okay to do the patient because sometimes their blood pressure might be too low so we cannot do it. Then we take the machine, we set it up. It consists of a dialysis machine, blood lines, a filter. So what we do is we removed the waste products and excess fluid from the patient because their kidneys are not working at that point. So everything is done bedside with a mobile dialysis unit — I mean dialysis machine I should say.

THE COURT: So before getting — before working with dialysis patients, what did you do? What was your prior job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: That was it.

THE COURT: Are you married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.

THE COURT: Does your wife work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.

THE COURT: What does she do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: She's a nurse.

THE COURT: Okay. What type of nurse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: A dialysis nurse. She

```
00048
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

actually teaches the patients and their family members how to do hemo home dialysis. So she trains them and then she's on call in case they have a problem, but she's like an educational R.N. THE COURT: That's how you met? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. THE COURT: Do you have children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. THE COURT: How many? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: We have three and they're from previous marriages. THE COURT: Are they minors or adults? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Adults. THE COURT: Do they work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. THE COURT: What do they do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: My son is a chef for Disney World. My stepdaughter's a nurse and my other stepdaughter is in her last year of college. She's studying business administration, hospital administration. THE COURT: All right. So the one that's a nurse, does she work here in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes, Desert Springs Hospital. THE COURT: So what kind of work does she do?

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Floor nurse.
 2
               THE COURT: How long have you been in Clark County,
 3
    Nevada?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Thirteen years.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. I'm going to turn
6
     you over to the plaintiff for some questions.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Okay.
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: How are you, Mr. Acuna?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Fine.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm just going to ask you a few
11
     questions here. I actually like Mr. Alverson's board over
12
     there. I'm going to go use that.
13
               MR. ALVERSON: Don't erase what's on there.
              MR. CLOWARD: No, I won't. Let me take a picture of
14
15
    it.
16
               Remember how I talked about cherry pie and I don't
17
     like cherry pie and my Aunt Nancy, who actually got sued by
18
     someone who came into their store and slipped and fell.
19
    Remember that discussion?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223:
21
               MR. CLOWARD: So let's just say this was a slip and
22
     fall case and my Aunt Nancy was sitting on the jury. Do you
23
    think she'd be more willing to decide the case using her head
24
    or her heart?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Her heart.
```

```
2
    that way, she actually got sued. Kind of like me with cherry
 3
    pie, just don't like it. So if I was asked to judge it I'd
 4
    really -- I could say yeah, I can be fair, I can be impartial,
 5
     I can set all this aside. But at the end of the day I'm a
6
    human being. You agree with that, right?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
8
               MR. CLOWARD: Do you think it would be harder for me
9
    to judge a cherry pie contest fairly than chocolate cake?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Do you think it's fair for the
12
     contestants to have people who are deciding their life that
13
     already have a view, one side or the other?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No.
15
               MR. CLOWARD: It's not fair, is it?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: It's not fair.
17
              MR. CLOWARD: It's not a fair fight, is it?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Being brutally honest with me, it's
20
    not a fair fight, is it?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: It's not.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: So what I want to ask, it's really
23
    important to me, because we are all humans. We're human.
24
    We're going to make decisions with our hearts. That's not how
```

MR. CLOWARD: She's had that experience, she's felt

1

25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

it's supposed to happen. We're supposed to make decisions

```
000492
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are reason, logic, based on facts, not emotion. So I have to know on behalf of Jack and on behalf of Elaine, my clients, was there anything that was said yesterday about the money that in the back of your mind you're just thinking to yourself, you know what, here's another greedy lawyer coming in here, trying to get something for nothing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No, I never think that I'm most impartial about things. I don't think that way. Just different things that come up and you cannot, you way. know, say it's all going to be like this. You have to be impartial about things. You have to hear things out first before you make a decision. It can't be like that. MR. CLOWARD: Are you willing to give me that opportunity? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Sure. MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Strobeck, I have to ask you. You told me it wouldn't be fair to have --MR. ALVERSON: Objection, Your Honor. I think the questions are just supposed to be directed to Mr. Acuna. THE COURT: They are because --MR. CLOWARD: I never passed, Judge. I never passed for cause. MR. ALVERSON: Whoa, wait a minute. THE COURT: Hold on, counsel. It's limited to the new juror.

```
1
               MR. CLOWARD: That's fine. You agree it should be
 2
     [indiscernible], right?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
               MR. CLOWARD: Let me ask you about the training, the
 4
 5
     different things like that. Do you have any training doing
 6
     the dialysis?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. You were talking
8
     about CPR, we have to be CPR trained every two years. We also
9
     have -- how to draw blood. Or now, we weren't certified
10
     before, but now we are certified to draw blood. And we go
11
     through courses every year and testing every year.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: What do you think about that training?
13
     Does it bother you? Do you think it's important?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I think it's important
15
     because I've been doing it for so many years, it's just like
16
     automatic for me. You know how to do, what to look for in a
17
    patient, the patient is bottoming out, they're in distress.
18
     Sometimes as far as knowing the rules and regulations, you
19
     know, you have to go through those.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: It's important to kind of keep you --
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Up to date, yeah.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Alverson asked some good questions
23
     about choking versus heart attacks and different things like
24
    that. Have you got any experience with that?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I've had some patients
                         KARR REPORTING, INC.
```

expire during dialysis in an ICU setting. But they were already towards the end of their life and this was the last thing.

MR. CLOWARD: That would be kind of tough. I'm sorry to hear about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Oh, yeah, yes. Pretty tough. Once you've been doing it, kind of, you know already when you're there. You see this patient is maxed out on every medication you can think of and here I come with dialysis. You're kind of like stressing the body out but the doctor still writes the order to do it and you have to do it. It's something you almost kind of expect, almost, that something's going to happen. But doctor's orders, you have to do it.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. That wouldn't be fun.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Oh, no, it's never fun. Oh, it's never fun, no. Because they call a code and code team comes. You're due in dialysis. You have to remove the machine, throw it to the side, everybody comes, starts doing CPR. They do everything to revive the patient, but still not enough.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I appreciate your discussion on these issues. I'm going to ask you a couple of additional questions. You have children, you have three adult children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: When did you guys get married?

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Eight years ago.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: And I'm assuming you had two
 3
     stepchildren and one --
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yeah, yeah, both. A
 5
    previous marriage, my son and then hers from a previous
 6
    marriage.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: And when you had experience driving
8
    your son around?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
10
              MR. CLOWARD: He'd be in the back?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Did you ever have to look up in the
13
    rearview mirror to kind of say hey, stop --
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yeah, stop jumping
15
    around.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: -- doing this, stop doing that.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
17
18
               MR. CLOWARD: You're okay to look in the rearview
19
    mirror, glance up like they teach us when you get your
20
    driver's license. You know, look left, look right, look to
21
     the rear and then back to the front.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.
23
               MR. CLOWARD: That's pretty normal, right?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes, because you don't
25
    want them opening the window too while you're driving. You've
```

got to see what they're doing.

MR. CLOWARD: Like when you checked on your son like that, glance up in the mirror, you're not like putting something in front of you to say I'm not going to look ahead while I do this. You look to the left, you look to the right, you look in the rearview and then back front.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that doing that is dangerous?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No, I think that's natural because while you're driving you have to look up front, you have to look out your side view mirror. And while you're looking back, it's kind of --

MR. CLOWARD: You want to see the cars that are behind you if they're doing something unsafe.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Especially if they're tailgating you, yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: You agree that there's nothing unsafe about that, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No.

MR. CLOWARD: It's what we're trained when we go get our driver's license at the DMV.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes, defensive driving.

MR. CLOWARD: Is there anything that you, any views that you have -- some other jurors were brutally honest and I

appreciate them telling me that it wouldn't be fair, just wouldn't be fair to have them on a jury. And I appreciate when jurors are up front and telling me, you know, maybe I might decide something with my heart. Is there anything that's been said by either me or the defense that you think that you know what, you're probably going to decide this with your heart rather than using the facts, the law and common sense.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I would use my head.

MR. CLOWARD: Both sides, you're not going to feel

11 sympathetic toward one side or toward the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No emotion because I have to hear both sides first of all before I make a decision.

MR. CLOWARD: Like, for instance, you're not going to be sympathetic to Mr. Farrales because he's also a human being, he's not a corporation. This is a living, breathing individual sitting right there. It's unfortunate for him as well. I mean, there's no question about it. It's a sad situation for Mr. Farrales as well. Are you going to decide this using sympathy because he is a human being or are you going to be willing to look at the facts and if he broke rules, then he's going to be responsible? If First Transit broke rules, then they're going to be responsible. You're going to be willing to listen to the facts and the evidence and the law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: I have to follow the 1 2 facts and the law. 3 MR. CLOWARD: Are you willing to do that? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yes. 5 MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Do you have any experience 6 working with individuals that have disabilities or 7 schizophrenia, mental retardation? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yeah. I've done every 9 kind of patient you can think of on dialysis and you have to 10 kind of treat them a little different, with more patience. 11 MR. CLOWARD: Do you have any -- are there any of 12 those experiences that you had that maybe, you know, you might 13 be more sympathetic or maybe, you know, the client was 14 frustrating to you. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Like I say, it comes 16 with my job. All these years with dialysis patients, most of 17 the time they have kind of a short fuse. Since we do bedside 18 dialysis and we're in the actual patient room with them, like 19 five hours on an average, so we're there next to them, 20 monitoring them. And you really have to have a lot of 21 patience. Let's just say that. 22 MR. CLOWARD: But you haven't had any bad 23 experiences or good experiences that are going to make you 24 sway it one way or the other? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Not as far as -- because

some of those patients can become violent, so you have to know how to not go against what they're actually saying, you have to let them talk. That's the way you have to treat them. You have to treat them gently and try not to go really against, even though you might be against what they're saying. You really have to — but nothing as far as violence. They might curse once in awhile, but.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Okay. And I think there were two jurors, Ms. Beckum and Mr. Burr, two jurors that actually had experience with somebody choking. You know, it sounded like the rest of the folks maybe have seen things on TV or had people that were kind of choking. But those two actually had an experience firsthand where they saw somebody and they were choking, unable to breathe and they had to help them out. Have you actually had any firsthand, like where you see somebody — and I'm not talking like, you know, kind of that stuff, you know, or that kind of a — I'm talking like somebody that is choking to death. Have you had any experience with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: No. No, I haven't.

MR. CLOWARD: So do you know actually how to identify when somebody is literally choking to death right in front of you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Oh, yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me about that.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Arms, they'll become in distress. They'll turn colors. I mean, anything to get what is stuck in there.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me how you have learned that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: When we do, when we go for CPR training we have to watch videos, we have to take a test, we have to demonstrate on an adult mannequin, a child mannequin and a baby. So we have to know how to do chest press, back press, all of that. So in the videos it shows that.

MR. CLOWARD: Part of the training is to kind of recreate and reenact what it looks like.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Yeah. And then we have to do the thrust also on a team mate.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Let me ask a question. I'll use this chair. Imagine that somebody is in a seat on a bus and they're seat belted in. So really not able to get up and move. Have you received any training on how somebody belted into a seat would let somebody know that they're choking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 223: Not really. I mean, it's usually they're standing up because they're trying to get it out of their throat. We also learn how to do the self-Heimlich where, you know, if you're choking yourself you can put your stomach right on top and you can actually, if you bounce yourself on there, you can do it.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

```
MR. CLOWARD: Tell me some views. I've got people that are nodding and saying, yes, but I'd like to hear, I guess, the views on that. Tell me. Please talk to me.
```

Can I start with you?

1 2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Brown, 212, tell me how you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: I would not run the light. You never know what's going to come flying down at you. So if you're running the light, even if you're just putting yourself in danger, you're not. Because if someone comes down that street, you're putting their life in danger as well. It says stop. Stop. That's what you do.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Anyone agree, disagree with that?

Mr. Shakespear, 204, you're -- you're shaking your head.

And then I'm going to -- I'd like to go back and talk to you, Ms. Hinds.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: You mentioned professional drivers. You're licensed by a company to obey the laws and rules, and even if you maybe — even if you don't have a load of people, you're still responsible for carrying that — that vehicle, being licensed by the state, you know, and everything. So you're not just putting your own self in danger. You're putting anybody that might be slipping —

KARR Reporting, Inc.

1 2

zipping down that street on a green light in danger.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Hinds, can you tell me what you're feeling. I think you were nodding your head.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Oh, right. I was -- I was agreeing with Ms. Brown. It's just that traffic light was identified for some hazard. That's why you can't make a right on red. Maybe you don't see it. Maybe you don't understand it, but it's there for a reason, and I think you need to respect the reason and the law. Like she said, you're not just putting yourself at risk. You're putting anybody else on the street at risk. Whether you're a professional driver or not, you need to stop.

MR. CLOWARD: It's important for everybody. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: I'll tell you all because I almost did it one time. The reason that they have it is because you can't see the cars coming down the road. I've seen other people that are trying to do it, and they about --

Can I -- can I talk to you, Ms. Beckum? I wanted to get back to you before I don't -- so I don't forget. What -- what do you think about the -- the money and all that discussion that we had up front?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I -- it's -- it's understandable. I agree on both sides because when you lose a

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
loved one, you know, you want a peace of mind, and you want —
you want to have your justice. You want, you know, your
justice. You want, you know — you want — you want — you
want some kind of — not even necessarily monetary, you know,
but you want a peace of mind about it. So at least if from the
situation the company brings in more safety procedures or
whatever from it, and honestly most of the time people learn
when it hits them hard in the pocket. So but then at the same
time it's just like you can't put a price on, you know, a life.
So I see it both ways honestly.

MR. CLOWARD: Fair to say you're willing to let both
of us prove our case?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.

Your Honor, can I approach on one issue really quick?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

1 2

(Conference at the bench begins.)

MR. CLOWARD: I'm -- I'm going to want to make a challenge on probably four or five jurors, and my -- I guess my concern is is if in the event that the Court allows Ms. Sanders to try and rehab them or if they're excused tomorrow we'll be wasting time. It would be better to get the people that are actually --

THE COURT: Well, who do you want to let go? MR. CLOWARD: Give me one moment.

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
MR. ALVERSON: Strobeck.
 1
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: What's that?
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: Strobeck.
 4
               THE COURT: 138?
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
               THE COURT: Do you agree, Mr. Alverson?
 6
 7
               MR. ALVERSON: Pardon me?
 8
               THE COURT: Do you want to rehab him or --
 9
               MR. ALVERSON: Yes, I do.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Who else?
10
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
12
               MR. ALVERSON: Your Honor, let me -- I know what he's
     trying to do. He's trying to save him time from coming back,
13
     but I would prefer to rehab them during my voir dire than
14
15
     indirect.
16
               THE COURT:
                           I would --
17
               MR. ALVERSON: Because it does, you know, dovetail
18
     with a lot of things I would be talking about.
19
               THE COURT: I agree. I just want to make sure
20
     that -- the only way I would let someone go at this point
21
     without letting you try to rehab him is if it's stipulated.
22
               MR. ALVERSON: Okay.
23
               THE COURT: And just so I can make a list, who else?
24
     Strobeck?
25
               MR. CLOWARD:
                            183, Tobin.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           Oh, Ms. -- yes. She doesn't like judges
 1
 2
     though.
              I think she's fine with you guys.
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: We could probably stipulate to the
 4
     woman who hates everybody.
 5
               THE COURT: You want --
 6
               MR. CLOWARD: Two, one --
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. Do you want to stipulate
 8
     to Tobin?
 9
               MR. ALVERSON: Which one --
               THE COURT: She's the lady who works at Neiman's, who
10
     doesn't like the judicial system.
11
12
               MR. ALVERSON: Yes. Yes, I would stipulate to her.
13
               THE COURT: Okay.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: And then 216, Morgan; 214, Burr; 172 --
15
               THE COURT: Hold on. 216, Morgan.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Oh, sorry. 214, Burr.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. CLOWARD: 172, Colyar; 177 --
18
19
               Who is that, King?
20
               -- King -- sorry.
21
               THE COURT: Well, we're going to have to -- okay.
22
     Other than Tobin, do you stipulate to any of those, or do you
23
     want a chance to rehab them?
24
               MR. ALVERSON: Just rehab them.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. So did we get them all?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
MR. CLOWARD: One more, 137, Smith.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: And then I think we -- we told you
 4
     Strobeck already, right, 138?
 5
               THE COURT: Yes. Okay. So at this point, the only
 6
     one I'm going to let go is Tobin.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
 8
               THE COURT: And then we'll address the other ones
 9
     after you've had a chance to voir dire them.
10
               MR. ALVERSON: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.
13
               THE COURT: Let me just get Tobin up to -- the new
14
     person up to speed real quick, and I'll hand it back to you.
15
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. And then -- and then at
16
     4:45?
17
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
18
19
               THE COURT: We just have to have them off the clock
20
     at 5 -- before 5.
21
               Okay.
                      Thank you.
22
                     (Conference at the bench ends.)
23
               THE COURT: Okay. At this time, I'm going to ask
24
     Ms. Jean Tobin, Badge No. 183, to stand up and move to the back
25
     of the room.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
Jason, please get her seated.
 1
 2
               And may I have the next juror?
 3
               THE CLERK: Essie Gant-Simms, Badge No. 221.
 4
               THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Simms. I just have a few
 5
     questions for you. We have to get you up to speed with all the
     other jurors sitting over there. Ms. Simms, have you ever been
 6
 7
     a juror before?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, I haven't.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right. And have you ever been
     involved in a lawsuit, either as a party, a plaintiff, a
10
     defendant or a witness?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No.
13
               THE COURT: And can you follow this instruction:
14
    you're selected as a juror, the Court will give you the law at
15
     the very end of the case? Can you follow all instructions of
16
     the Court on the law even if they differ from your personal
17
     conceptions of what you think the law ought to be?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Thank you. And are you employed?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Retired.
21
               THE COURT: What did you retire from?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Cleaning.
23
               THE COURT: Did you work for a business?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes, I worked for Pink
25
     Lady Cleaning Company for 13 years.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          How long?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Thirteen years.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. And prior to that job where did
 4
     you work?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I worked at the Golden
 6
    Nugget in housekeeping for five. Before they changed the name
 7
     I worked at Barbary Coast.
 8
               THE COURT: In housekeeping?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes. And before that --
 9
     they changed the name -- I worked at Paradise in housekeeping.
10
11
                           All right. Any other jobs?
               THE COURT:
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Oh, wow.
13
               THE COURT: More?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I worked at the Best
14
15
     Western McCarran Inn in the laundry for, what, five years, and
16
     I worked at the airport cleaning out airplanes for about six
17
     months or so.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Anything else that I missed?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, I can't think of
20
     nothing.
21
               THE COURT:
                           And are you married?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes.
23
                          Does your husband work?
               THE COURT:
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes, he does.
25
               THE COURT:
                           What does he do?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: He works for VFW, Post 8,
 1
 2
     maintaining the building.
 3
               THE COURT: VFW?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Post 8.
 5
               THE COURT: Was he in the military?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, he had relatives in
 7
     there.
 8
                                 And do you have children?
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Two.
 9
               THE COURT: Adults?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Yes, they are.
12
               THE COURT: And what do they do for a living?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Well, my daughter she
14
     work at a rental agent at the airport, a rental car agent.
                                                                  She
15
     sells -- sells for them. My son, he works at the -- at a
16
     rental company at the airport, a rental car company.
17
     return, sign the cars back in.
18
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Thank you. How long have you
19
     been in Clark County, Nevada?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Fifty plus years.
21
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much, Ms. Simms.
     turn you back over to Mr. Cloward.
22
23
               MR. CLOWARD:
                            Thank you, Judge.
24
               How are you, Ms. Simms?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I'm fine.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: What do you think about this process so far?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I'm not -- I'm just kind of -- as far as the money issue, like everybody say, I agree with you can't put no price on any one life, which is a hard thing to do, and of course, the money I see is all the time where something happen, and everybody want to sue, sue, and to me --

THE COURT: Hey, ma'am, can you put the microphone up to your mouth. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Oh. And to me it seemed like it's -- everybody's all about money, you know, and let's just say it. You know, that's the way I feel.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me more about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Like I said, well, every time I looked, there's some suing for money, and, like, you can't put life -- and if you sue for money, to me, it seem like if you spend it or buy it's a reminder of how you got it, and I don't, you know -- I don't see that, you know. That's how I feel about it. Okay. I mean, you know, seem like everything is a reminder, you know, of how you got it. So.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you -- do you have some examples of cases you think that, you know, are --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, it's just that I just watch a lot of news and stuff, and I have my own opinion and

```
2
```

views on about it, but that's the way I -- I feel about it, you know.

MR. CLOWARD: Well, let me ask you, the fact that my clients have brought a lawsuit, it -- do you kinda -- tell me what -- tell me how you feel about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: I mean, it's like if they -- I mean, if that's what they want to do for peace of mind, fine, you know. Everybody have a different way of handling stuff, you know, but it seem like everybody's all about money to me, you know, but if that's what they want to do, you know, fine.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you feel that most lawsuits that are brought are about, you know, frivolous and that kind of stuff?

I mean, do you have a view one way or another about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, I don't, not really.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: No, I don't, not really I don't.

MR. CLOWARD: How do you feel about the safety rules?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: Oh, I'm a very cautious

person. You know, you don't run a red light. If you have to

sit there 30 minutes you wait because I have got hit by

somebody runnin a red light, and, you know, I had to be off of

work and stuff behind, and I almost got hit a second time, but

I stayed at my light. So, you know, I'm all about safety.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. I wanted to just ask, you know, you raise a point about, you know, everybody's

```
kind of suing, and --
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: It seems that way.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: You know, every time I
 5
     turn around, it seems that way, you know.
               MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
 6
 7
               Who else here feels that way, that kind of that's
 8
     just -- our society is just -- please raise your hand if you
 9
     feel that way. Please raise it high so I can -- I can see it.
     I'd like to talk to each of you.
10
               Mr. Morgan, tell me --
11
12
               And this is 216.
13
               And before I leave, Ms. Simms, thank you for talking
14
     to me for a minute. You're -- what do they say -- out of the
15
     frying pan and into the fire, and so I appreciate it.
16
     you.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 221: You're welcome.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Morgan, tell me why you feel that
18
19
     way.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Well, you just watch the
21
     TV, and every five minutes a commercial comes on for some sort
22
     of lawyer, especially in Las Vegas, you know. It's, like, you
23
     know, you get in a wreck, you know. You call so and so.
24
     There's like dozens and dozens of them, and it's just kind of
25
     become the norm where -- and I don't even think people -- it's
```

1

2

3

4

5

25

their first initial reaction is to sue. They probably are just later told to do that, you know, for other various reasons, but that's just kind of our society nowadays is to, you know, compensate you somehow whether for loss of your personal, you know, vehicle, your health or your job or something. Everybody wants to be compensated for a grievance.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you feel like there's a -- you know, like, if a lawsuit is filed here in Clark County it's automatically headed that way, or like is it -- is it something for nothing kind of deal, or do you think that there are some lawsuits that are -- that are okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Oh, absolutely. I mean, like I said, there's always gray areas and everything. I mean, someone can always file a lawsuit and then later, you know, take it down, take it away, you know, because they realize that sometimes people file too soon out of really, really bad emotions or strong emotions, and then later they realize, okay, maybe we shouldn't have done that.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that in addition to there being -- well, okay. Thank you. I'm going to --

Who else had their hand raised?

Will you pass that back there. Let's do --

Mr. Burr, 214, tell me your thoughts on this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I mean, he pretty much took the words out of my mouth with it. I mean, every time I

1 2

turn around there's a commercial on TV or, like, I watch Court TV, too, and everybody is suing everybody. You know, it's just like -- it's normal now.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that the majority of the lawsuits are frivolous plaintiffs, or do you think that the majority of lawsuits are frivolous defenses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I mean, from the most part, I think it's the plain -- I think it's -- I mean, I don't really understand that question, but I think people are, like, asking money for, like, small things, like -- like, if you get in a wreck, you need a check. Like, that's -- that's like the main one, you know. Like, it's, like money was the main sell in that phrase.

MR. CLOWARD: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: You know, it's, like, that's directly with they go for. It's not about, like, emotions or feeling or -- or the loss. It's just about the money.

MR. CLOWARD: Yes. Okay.

Who's heard of, like, the McDonald's case? Everyone heard about that?

Your hand went up the fastest. Can we bring that down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Sorry that was, like, my favorite one I learned in school. That was, like, the first

```
thing I learned in school on day one.
```

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me -- tell for the rest of us who maybe don't know about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: From what I remember, the old lady was ordering coffee at McDonald's, and she burned her tongue, and she burned it pretty severely, but she ended up winning, like, millions. I don't know how much, but it was a lot. So that's why now you see McDonald's says, Cautious -- or caution, hot coffee, but I was just, like, man that's crazy. Like, I want to sue. Like, I don't know. I just thought about it. What's wrong with my Coke? I don't know. I was just thinking, like, I, like, it's crazy.

MR. CLOWARD: He works that Coke -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Oh, my bad. Sorry.
MR. CLOWARD: He don't want to hear about that.
Thank you.

You know, a lot of times in a case like that, you know, it's obvious right off the bat. I read an article saying somebody sued the dry cleaner in New York for, like, \$50 million for a lost pair of pants. You know, some cases you can tell right off the -- right off the bat that it's frivolous. The plaintiff's being frivolous.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Same thing, like, one I -- one I remember, like, Mariah Carey was, like, suing UPS because they lost her dress, and it was, like, a ridiculous

KARR Reporting, Inc.

amount. I was, like, Mariah, you got money.

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: In addition to there being, like, frivolous plaintiffs out there, do you think that there are also maybe defendants that, you know, they put defenses that are frivolous, or do you think it's just one-sided?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: From what I've seen, it looks one-sided, like it's plaintiffs that start it.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.

Can I come back to you, Mr. Strobeck, 138. You're kind of nodding in agreement. Can you tell me how you feel about this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I just think it's funny about the McDonald's thing because I remember the Red Bull lawsuit, how someone sued them for millions of dollars because it didn't give them wings, and so now they can't -- that's just -- that's just kind of humorous to me.

But again back to what he was saying is I think everyone now is just, like, looking to lawyers to get that extra penny in their pocket instead of actually looking at the justice of it.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that there's -- there's, I guess, a majority that are -- tell -- tell me what you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Just from what I've seen, and again, I'm not a judge, so I don't know, but I would say 95 percent of cases are probably just for money, and I'm not

```
saying that there's not good people out there that do want to
get justice for what happened, but there is a line that you
cross when you ask for a certain amount of money.
```

MR. CLOWARD: So your view is that 95 percent of the lawsuits are probably kind of frivolous; they're just trying to get some money. The other 5 percent maybe have a little bit of merit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.

1 2

Who else agrees with that or similar to that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Agree that 95 percent

MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Morgan, please can I -- tell me how you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Well, I just agree with that. I don't necessarily -- I'm not saying it's 95 percent, but I agree that a lot -- most of the time that they -- most likely it's frivolous, and so -- but I think that's just a starting point. Those are just -- because if you only ask for, you know, a couple hundred dollars, you're most likely not going to even go to trial or, you know, hire a lawyer, but if you're asking for millions or hundreds of thousands, you're -- you're going to get your voice heard. You're going to get the opportunity, at least get that. So.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Can we pass the microphone

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
2
               When I asked about frivolous lawsuits and stuff, I
 3
     believe you had your hand raised.
 4
               And, Ms. Smith.
 5
               Tell me how you feel about it.
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, I think there are a
 7
     lot more than there used to be, and I think the country has
     kind of gone sue happy, and they also sue the person who drove
 8
 9
     the car, who made the car. I mean, it -- it's just -- some of
     the lawsuits just amazed me, and as far as McDonald's goes, I
10
     don't think she should have been awarded all that money.
11
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: There you go.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: Well, I think most people would agree
15
     with you on that case.
16
               Yes, sir.
17
               Thank you.
               Ms. Smith, what are you thinking about this whole
18
19
     thing?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: It just depends on -- it
21
     really depends on what your morals, your values are. It's up
22
     to that person.
23
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Do you think that a majority of
24
     lawsuits, like Mr. Strobeck, you know, 95 percent of them
25
     are -- are frivolous -- or not frivolous --
```

I'd like to hear from Ms. Scheeler, 133.

1

I don't think you said frivolous. You said 95 percent of the people that are just trying to get some money. The other 5 percent maybe are -- have merit; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Do you agree with that, or do you think that the majority of them are --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I feel like the world we live in today people want money. I won't say 95 percent of them, but there is a lot of people that do things geared behind money.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Anyone else? I think there were some other folks that had their hands raised. And now I -- I should have written them down. Who else had their hands raised?

Ms. Hinds, did you have your hand raised when we were talking about frivolous lawsuits and the majority of lawsuits being filed, kinda people trying to get something for nothing, that kind of thing? Do you feel that way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: A little bit.

MR. CLOWARD: Say more, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Well, I -- mine -- most of my thoughts come from fear of being sued. I don't intend to do anything wrong. I never -- you know, I'm not going to do something stupid to hurt somebody, but that doesn't mean

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
1 something may happen.
```

MR. CLOWARD: Somebody might try and sue you anyway? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yeah. And I'm too old to start over, can't be doing that. So most of my perceptions are from fear.

MR. CLOWARD: All right. Your Honor, I'm at about to a spot where it's a good place to break.

THE COURT: Do you want to go a little bit longer?

MR. CLOWARD: Yes. I planned on 4:45. That's what
the marshal told me.

THE COURT: Just another 10 minutes or so.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Okay.

Sometimes when we have to have a hard conversation we avoid that. So I didn't want to get into this one because it's just such a political -- politically -- you know, politically charged discussion, but I do want to talk about it.

You know we hear on the radio, we hear on TV and stuff, there are these social campaigns where you see the Black Lives Matter campaigns, the Police Lives Matter campaigns. You see, like, the All Lives Matter campaign, and, you know, you have a lot of different groups of folks that are upset. They feel like their voices aren't being heard.

And my question is are there certain lives that are

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
more important than others? Anybody think that? Anybody feel that way?
```

(No response.)

MR. CLOWARD: What about individuals who have a disability? Are their lives as important? As relevant?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: Does anyone disagree with that?

(No response.)

MR. CLOWARD: Is there anyone here that feels that way, that if somebody -- you know, maybe they're mentally disabled, their life is not as important?

(No response.)

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Without getting into the specifics, you'll hear that my clients' son, Harvey Chernikoff was mentally disabled, and when I was raised, you did not use the R word. You did not say retard, retarded, mentally retarded. You just didn't say those words. It's not what -- you know, you just don't say that.

Harvey's technical diagnosis was a mild mental retardation, and so you may hear some — you know, you may hear family members, you may hear Neil or his parents refer to that diagnosis. You may hear, you know, Ms. Sanders or maybe some of the folks that come in and talk about that use those words. I just want to make sure that that's going to be okay. Nobody's going to, you know, be upset with me or Mr. Allen or

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
Neil or the defense if those words are spoken.
 1
 2
               Everyone give us permission to talk about this case,
 3
     because that's what this case is about?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JURORS:
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.
 6
               Before we move on, I wanted to just find out though
 7
     who here who has experience working with folks -- maybe they
     have a family member; maybe they've had a job; maybe they've,
 8
 9
     you know, had a friend that has a disability, a mental
     disability. Does anyone here? Can we get those -- I want to
10
     talk to each of you, and I'm going to -- I'm going to actually
11
12
     write everyone -- write this down because it's important for me
13
     to --
               Can you do that, Alison?
14
               Will you please all raise your hands one more time,
15
16
     and I'll call them out just so that --
17
               Ms. Braiser, make sure that I call on Ms. Hinds, 171;
     Ms. Scheeler, 133; Mr. Strobeck, 138; Ms. Tamara Beckum, 146;
18
19
     Mr. Burr, 214; Mr. --
20
               Is it -- Tindall, correct?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181:
                                          Yeah.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: -- 181.
23
               Anyone else? And any experience? Maybe you were a
24
     peer tutor in high school, like I was. Maybe you, you know, or
25
     your neighbors, brothers, sisters, whatever. Anybody else have
```

```
any experience? I want to talk to each of you about that.
 1
 2
     one else?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Just being around them,
 4
     is that what your question is?
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Well, maybe if you've had more --
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Or associated with them?
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: -- more intimate -- you know, like, I
 8
     was a peer tutor in high school. So I -- I actually got to
 9
     work directly with folks with -- with disabilities.
10
               THE COURT RECORDER: Who was that, Mr. Cloward?
11
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry.
                                        What was that?
12
               THE COURT RECORDER: Who asked that question?
13
               MR. CLOWARD: Oh, that was Ms. Carvalho, 210.
14
               Yes. So, I mean, like, I -- that's -- you know, I
     had a class, and that's what I would do. So maybe a little bit
15
16
     more experience than just, you know -- do you have any
17
     experience with that?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Not in a long-term but,
19
     you know, we come across a lot being on the -- my daughters
20
     play softball.
21
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: So we come across a lot
22
23
    of children with disabilities, but, I mean, not in -- where
24
     we're associating with them constantly.
25
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Ms. Hinds, 171, let's start with
```

1 2

you. Tell me -- I guess, first off, what is your experience that you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: My niece has autism, and she lives at home with her parents. She's in her 40s, just, you know, a family member. So, you know, they -- my sister and brother-in-law, you know, they take her out when they can. You know, there sometimes when they can't, but they have to have -- people who are -- who Lori knows come and stay with her if they want -- if David and Sue want to go out, they need a special person to come stay with Lori, not just anybody. It has to be somebody who can work with somebody with autism, and somebody Lori kind of knows a little bit, somebody she's familiar with. Yeah. Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: And that's your niece? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: Is -- is -- is the fact that your niece, you know, that she has that disability, is that going to make you be more sympathetic to my clients? Because it's important for First Transit to have a fair trial, too.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right.

MR. CLOWARD: So if you feel like that would make you more sympathetic to my clients, that's not fair to them, and that's something that we, you know, we should know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I don't know if that would make me more sympathetic. It would depend on -- on the

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
facts. I mean, just right off the bat, just emotionally, no, it's not going to make a difference, but once I hear facts and stuff I may, you know, be real emotional one way or the other.
```

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think it's a possibility that maybe you might be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: It's a possibility. It would be a possibility.

MR. CLOWARD: But as you sit here today, just the fact that you just -- knowing that my clients' son had mild MR, that's not going to, you know, you're not already leaning toward their side; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And then, Ms. Scheeler, 133, I'm going to try and go off of memory here of who raised their hands, but let's go with you next.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, my 14-year-old grandson is autistic.

MR. CLOWARD: And can you tell me a little bit about his specific disability. Where -- where on the spectrum?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, he'll -- he'll never have a normal life. He's a wonderful child and very loving, but I don't foresee him ever going to college or getting married or -- you know, he will always be in the care of his parents, and as she mentioned just a bit ago, he's always with a family member.

And he's in a special school, which is just for autism, and his mother — they live in California. His mother had to fight for two years to get him into the special school because before that he was in regular school, which was a nightmare and, yeah, that's —

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: And I guess the school that they -they understand a little bit better how to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, they're all trained. I mean, yes, it's an excellent facility. It's a shame there aren't more of them.

MR. CLOWARD: And that's been helpful? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Same question with -- that I asked
Ms. Hinds. The fact that your son -- or your grandson -- I'm
sorry -- your grandson struggles with that, and that's
something that your daughter deals with and that you deal with
that, is that going to make you feel more sympathetic to my
clients and not be as fair to the defendants and not give them
an opportunity to present a case? Because we don't want
emotion to come into this decision-making process.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, I -- I think I, like she said, I think I need to know what the facts are, you know, with, you know -- was he left -- should he have been attended by somebody in his family? I don't even know, maybe he was. I don't know. So the facts would -- but I wouldn't -- I mean, it

```
wouldn't steer me one way or another because he was mildly --
 1
     what word are we using for this?
 2
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Mild MR.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: MR, exactly.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Well, thank you for sharing.
 6
     appreciate it.
 7
               Ms. Smith, 137, did you have your hand raised to
 8
     comment?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: I got it wrong. Okay.
11
               I know -- you didn't -- you had -- I thought you had
12
    your hand raised, Mr. Strobeck?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: One of my -- one of the
     captains on the wrestling team -- I don't know what she has
14
15
     exactly, but his twin sister is completely disabled. She has
16
     to be under surveillance 24 hours a day, and I know that just
17
     the effect that that puts on the family, they don't leave her
18
     side at all, no matter what the situation is. So maybe when
19
     everyone would go out on a Saturday or we'd go to a dinner with
20
     the whole team or anything like that they'd have to stay and
21
     watch their sister and make sure she's okay. So I know that
22
     made a huge effect on their life.
23
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So that was your wrestling
24
     captain's sister?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Uh-huh.
```

```
MR. CLOWARD: What weight class did you wrestle?
 1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: 103.
 2
 3
              MR. CLOWARD: So you've grown since then?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: A little bit.
 4
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Anything about that experience that's
     going to -- (unintelligible)?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No.
 8
              MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor --
 9
               THE COURT: I think it is a good time, Counsel.
10
     Thank you.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the
     jury, obviously we haven't selected a jury yet. All of you are
13
     going to have to come back tomorrow at 9:30 in the morning.
14
15
     is anticipated we will have a jury selected sometime hopefully
16
     in the morning hours of tomorrow.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm about done.
18
               THE COURT: You're about done, okay.
19
               So what I'm going to ask you to do is please come
20
    back at 9:30. Jason will give you instructions about getting
21
     into the building, parking and all that good stuff. Look and
22
     see where you're seated. You'll have to sit in the exact same
23
     seats tomorrow.
24
               You cannot talk about the case. You cannot research
```

this case. You cannot form or express an opinion on the case.

```
We'll see you tomorrow at 9:30. Thank you.
 1
 2
               (Panel of prospective jurors exiting 4:53 p.m.)
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Judge.
 4
               THE COURT: Yes?
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Are you going to excuse the jurors
 6
     today?
 7
               THE COURT: No, Jason will excuse them. It's usually
 8
     what he does. He's just going to keep it on the QT.
 9
               All right. Just, Counsel, just to let you know, I
     don't know if either one of you were going to order a
10
11
     transcript, but we have a very poor recording system in this
12
     courtroom. So bench conferences, even though the recording
     system is on during bench conferences, it is not of sufficient
13
    quality to transcribe. So if there's something that you need
14
15
     to make a record of, please do so at a break.
16
               All right. I know that Mr. Alverson is going to --
17
    Ms. Sanders is going to voir dire some of these individuals.
18
     Is there anything else we need to address before we go off the
19
     record?
               MR. CLOWARD: No.
20
                                 We -- we would like to formally
21
     state the reasons why we're -- we're challenging the jurors
22
     that --
23
               THE COURT: Yes, why don't we go ahead and do that.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Okav.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
```

```
MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I'll just run through these real quick, Judge.
```

THE COURT: Start with Strobeck, please.

MR. CLOWARD: Ms. -- Mr. Strobeck?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Mr. Strobeck, he said 95 percent of cases are just for money, 95 percent being frivolous. He said he wouldn't -- he actually admitted he would not be fair to be on a jury. It's not worth ruining someone's life just because you want to sue for money.

Moving on to Mr. Morgan, 216, he indicated that most of the time lawsuits are frivolous. Mr. Morgan indicated that he personally thought that the amount of money is just wrong, is what he said, that he could never agree with the amount of money that was being discussed.

Mr. Barr --

THE COURT: Burr.

MR. CLOWARD: -- 214, indicated that he did not think an amount should be that high, that it's just, quote, Way too much, that, quote, Everyone is suing everyone.

Juror No. 172, Colyar, is that, quote, A lot of greed out there, feels like Strobeck. It wouldn't be fair to him on the — to have him on the jury. He actually admitted that it would not be fair to have him on the jury.

King, he said that he had a problem with my clients

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
being here to sue -- or I mean Ms -- Ms. King. I don't -- I probably -- actually I'm not going to move on King because I don't think she said enough that would qualify her, not yet.
```

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CLOWARD: 137, Smith, she flat out said she would not want someone with her frame of mind on the jury and that you just cannot put a price on life, and then those are -- those are the challenges we have at this time, Your Honor.

We would also, I guess, just like to point out just that the case law of Jitnan versus Oliver and also Presidio versus State indicate that unless a juror is unequivocal about their ability to be fair and impartial it's really — it's not appropriate to allow them to stay on the jury, and my fear is is that if Mr. Alverson is allowed to get up after I'm done and ask the questions of, quote, Well, can you be fair, can you set these things aside, studies have shown that the jurors — they're all going to say, well, yeah, I can follow the law, but the problem is is based on Jitnan in V Oliver and Presidio V State then that means that the jurors are being unequivocal — I mean they're equivocating vacating because they've told me, I can't be fair. It wouldn't be fair to have me on here. I can't be fair, and then Mr. Alverson gets up there and says — or Ms. Sanders — I don't know —

Who's doing voir dire?

MR. ALVERSON: You have to wait until tomorrow to

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
find that out.

MR.
```

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Fair enough.

Whoever for the defense is doing the voir dire -- it could even be Ms. Hyson too, you know.

MS. HYSON: Get a little bit of credit.

MR. CLOWARD: If the jurors get up there, and they state another opinion, well, now they're being equivocal.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: And so the fact that they've already stated one side they — they should be stricken, and there's case law that our Nevada Supreme Court cites. The — they cite to Bryant versus State, which is — or Thompson versus State citing Bryant versus State. You know, you need to look at the — the whole body of — of the case — or, I mean, of the comments that these folks made, and they've already said that they can't be fair. So any — any sort of rehabilitation, you look at that together, they're being equivocal on their ability.

So anyway, with that, we'll -- I'll sit down, Judge. I could talk forever.

THE COURT: Great. I had already indicated I was going to allow the defense to do some voir dire.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

THE COURT: I do have some concerns because obviously they've got to be able to consider a range of damages from

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
zero, up, and however, a lot of the jurors, like Strobeck and
 1
     Morgan, they may have indicated they think a lot of cases are
 2
 3
     just for money, but they did indicate that there are some cases
 4
     that may have some validity. So I think it is entirely
 5
     appropriate for -- to allow defense counsel to go into that
 6
     further, and I will defer ruling on your requests for challenge
 7
     for cause until after defense's voir dire.
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
 9
               THE COURT: Correct. Is there anything else tonight?
10
               MR. ALVERSON: Not on our behalf, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
12
     tomorrow.
13
               MS. SANDERS:
                             No, Your Honor.
                             Thanks, Judge.
14
               MR. CLOWARD:
15
               MS. SANDERS:
                             Thank you.
16
             (Proceedings recessed for the evening 4:59 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
                                      153
```

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

04/19/2016 03:10:15 PM

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

JACK CHERNIKOFF, CASE NO A-13-682726 DEPT NO. XXIII ELAINE CHERNIKOFF, Plaintiff, VS. FIRST TRANSIT INC., Defendant. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2016

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: BENJAMIN P. CLOWARD, ESQ.

CHARLES H. ALLEN, ESQ. ALISON M. BRASIER, ESQ.

For the Defendants: LEANN SANDERS, ESQ.

KIMBERLEY A. HYSON, ESQ. J. BRUCE ALVERSON, ESQ.

RECORDED BY MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2016, 9:47 A.M.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. It looks like everyone is present. What are the issues we need to address?

MS. SANDERS: Two things, Your Honor, and this just needs to be addressed before we have openings. Last week counsel sent a list to Your Honor and to us with certain parts of deposition testimony from Jennifer McKibbins and Jay Farrales that they would like to I guess either read or play for the jury in opening statements.

I'm not sure if they're actually going to use all of those, but several of the sections that they had marked at least were objected to in the deposition. So we would just need to have Your Honor look at those and make a ruling on the objections before they would be allowed to use that in —

THE COURT: Are there a lot of them?

MS. SANDERS: There are a lot of them, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SANDERS: You did send that to the Judge?

THE COURT: I've been sick since Friday.

MR. CLOWARD: I understand, Your Honor. We could narrow that significantly. The more important issue is we want to show the jurors certain things during the opening, demonstrative evidence, as well as exhibits that one, jointly

been agreed to — and then there's one exhibit that has not been jointly agreed to, which we believe the foundation will be laid. That's the other issue. I can live without the deposition transcripts and the clips if the Court doesn't have time to rule on it. But the more important thing for us is to be able to show a little bit of what the evidence will show demonstratively.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Sanders is correct, we'll have to have a hearing to determine which part — if you cannot agree to the parts of the deposition there are objections, we will have to have a hearing. Perhaps we'll have time to do it after we select a jury. I imagine we're going to be probably until lunchtime to select a jury and for me to be able to read the instructions to them and then we should have some time maybe while the jury is at lunch. How many exhibits have you not agreed to?

MS. SANDERS: May I address that first, Your Honor?

We had — as of last week, we had an agreement for joint

exhibits. We had a discussion with Mr. Cloward last week

about whether or not we would be in agreement to use those

jointly stipulated exhibits in our openings. We had a

disagreement, I'm not going to get into the details of that,

but Mr. Cloward withdrew on Tuesday all of his stipulations to

the joint exhibits. So at this point we have no stipulated

exhibits at all.

This morning — so I had prepared my opening without any exhibits based on our understanding that we did not have any longer any joint stipulated exhibits. This morning I had a conversation with Mr. Cloward and he wanted to reinstate the stipulated exhibits; however, wanted to now use those in his opening. And I told him, you know, we don't have an agreement for stipulations. If the effect is you're just going to sandbag us with using exhibits when we don't have an agreement to do that in opening.

So our position is at this point that we don't have any stipulated agreement for exhibits and that we would need to lay a foundation. And if they're not joint stipulated exhibits, they don't get used in opening.

MR. CLOWARD: In response, the problem that happened is we did have a joint agreement on stipulated documents. But then Ms. Hyson, and I don't think this was anything intentional, I'm not saying that at all, there were some documents that were redacted from one of the exhibits. In a panic, I'm looking through the old set versus the new set that she substitutes out and she has now redacted documents that are, in my view, shouldn't have been redacted.

So I said hey, look, you know, you've redacted some documents, I can't agree to anything now because I don't know whether you're redacting documents that should be in there. I believe this is an overredaction and until I have the chance

to sit down and actually look at what's been redacted, what shouldn't be.

So the conversation this morning was it appears that there's only the one exhibit that had the overredaction, so everything else is good to go. But I've been completely up front with Ms. Sanders. The conversation on Friday was I'm going to show them documents, I'm going to talk to the Judge about the documents and I tried to obtain an agreement for her to allow me to show that the — what our whole case revolves around, the employee manual, their employee manual. That's the one document that she wouldn't agree to stipulate to.

So I was trying on Friday to get her to agree hey,
I've agreed to everything that you want, just please agree to
this one document that I want. She wouldn't give me the
agreement so I — but I still told her hey, look, I'm going to
talk to the Judge, I'm going to still show this in opening,
you know, I'm going to show this, I'm planning on showing it.

So to suggest that I'm trying to snowball her or sandbag her, I was very clear from the beginning I plan on showing the jurors these to educate them. Some jurors are visual learners, some jurors are audio learners. It's been my experience that when you can show the documents, that the jurors are able to follow along better. And like Judge Williams says, look, if you show a juror a document and then you don't get it in in your case in chief, well then you're

the dummy that shouldn't have shown it and the defense is going to hammer you over the head with that. So if you want to take the risk and show them a document, do it at your own peril.

So I think that we should be able to show the documents that we reasonably believe will be admitted into evidence. And importantly, both Mr. Farrales and Ms.

McKibbins were questioned about the document that I intend to — questioned at their deposition and it was attached to the deposition, portions of it, and that's the document that I would like to show to the jurors, that and the video clip of the incident itself. Those are really the two documents that I plan to show. The one document that they were questioned about in their deposition and then the video of this incident itself.

Other than that, I don't have a whole bunch of documents and I would withdraw, I mean, if it makes Ms. Sanders feel any better, I'll withdraw the portions of the clips that I wanted to show if we can get an agreement on these two issues.

THE COURT: Okay. So you want to show the employee manual and clips of the --

MR. CLOWARD: One page of the employee — I'm sorry, Judge. One or two pages of the employee manual, not the whole thing. It's like three pages and I believe all three pages

that I intend to show were discussed at the depositions of either Ms. McKibbins or Mr. Farrales. And then, we'd like to show the video of the actual incident itself.

THE COURT: All three hours of it?

MR. CLOWARD: No, no, no. Like 10 minutes.

MS. SANDERS: The problem I have with that, we never did stipulate to the one thing he does want to show. Under the rules, unless he lays a foundation or it's a stipulated exhibit, then it doesn't come into opening. I don't have a problem — I don't know what demonstrative things he wants to show. I mean, demonstrative don't go to the jury, they're just demonstrative. So I don't necessarily have a problem with that. But I can show Your Honor the email I received from him just a couple of days ago saying exactly that based on the — I'm no longer willing to agree to any exhibits —

MR. CLOWARD: Based on the overredaction. Read the first part so she has the context.

MS. SANDERS: I'm surprised — yeah. Also, due to the overredaction that's occurred in this case, I'm withdrawing my stipulation to any exhibits in this case. Underline any. All exhibits will need to be introduced one at a time. I was surprised that relevant documents were removed from the supposed joint exhibits. Based on the overredaction I'm no longer willing to agree to any exhibits. And that is and has been our understanding going forward since this email

1 was sent on February 15th. So at this point we don't have an 2

agreement for any joint exhibits.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as well.

Like I said, I had prepared my opening with the idea that we were not going to be using any exhibits. At this point, you know, getting a phone call at 7:30 in the morning to say oh, well, I'm willing to do this but only if we can use it in opening. I'm not willing to agree to that.

MR. ALLEN: If it please the Court, if I may. Charles Allen, Your Honor. I was a part of this conversation, heard it over the speakerphone and I got on the conversation with Ms. Sanders and I wanted a time to put this on the record. In that conversation she told me that she would agree to the joint documents and we came to an agreement about that. And then we talked about some other issues.

MS. SANDERS: I was willing to agree to it subject to our prior agreement that we not use those in opening. And I was very clear with Mr. Allen at the end of our conversation when it was clear that that was not going to be the understanding, that I was no longer willing to stipulate to have the exhibits in if, in fact, he was going to insist that it was now still okay to use those in opening. And I made that very clear to both of them.

MR. ALLEN: And I'm --

MS. SANDERS: Mr. Alverson was a witness on my side

0	12
000385	13
01	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20

	MR. ALVERSON: I heard it word for word, four Honor.
	MR. ALLEN: I'm fair with that, Your Honor, I just
wanted to	make sure that was clear. I thought I heard her say
she would	agree to them.
	THE COURT: Okay. So defense is objecting to these

claiming in the deposition they came in, correct?

MS. SANDERS: It is on an exhibit list, Your Honor, we just had not stipulated ever to allow it in without foundation.

MR. ALLEN: If I may, Your Honor --

THE COURT: I really can't believe you guys can't reach an agreement on some of these things. It's going to prolong the trial immensely because I'm sure some of these items are going to be utilized by both sides.

MR. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor, and that's why I want to understand is it just for the opening that you have the objection but after the opening we can use stipulated exhibits.

MS. SANDERS: After the opening I don't — then we're on even terms. I don't really have a problem reinstituting the stipulated exhibits. That's why we do it in the first place.

THE COURT: So as we stand now we have no stipulated agreements. You guys are going to have to lay the foundation for every piece of evidence you're going to introduce in this

```
trial. Is that where we stand?
```

MS. SANDERS: That's where we stand.

THE COURT: It's going to prolong everything.

MS. SANDERS: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: It's going to prolong everything but that's not the conversation we had this morning. The conversation we had this morning was I've had a chance now to look at the documents, I understand what's been overredacted. We are going to agree, and this is exactly what I told Ms. Sanders, we're going to agree on every joint document except for the overredacted one. On that one we're going to double check it together to make sure that it's an appropriate document before it goes back to the jury. So there's nothing that should be in there that has been removed, nothing that's in there that shouldn't be in there that's in there.

That was the limitation, that we're reaching agreement on stipulating to everything that we previously agreed to except for this one document that was overredacted because now I've had a chance to go through and see. So that one specific document, just that document, we're still going to agree that that will be a joint exhibit, but we're going to go through it together to make sure that it's in there in its entirety.

THE COURT: So the problem is this. Ms. Sanders, apparently, if I'm hearing her correctly is not willing to

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

stipulate to any documents at this time, which means I agree with her as far as not having them in opening. You're going to have to lay a foundation for all of these. So you're not having them in openings. Perhaps you can reach an agreement with her between now and openings as these documents. I mean, I understand her frustration to a certain extent in that both of you are preparing this case under a certain assumption and then at the last minute you changed your mind, which you're entitled to do, but it also affected the way the defense was preparing their opening.

MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I mean, these are documents that are going to come in, it's the video, the video of the incident.

THE COURT: They're only going to come in if you lay the appropriate foundation now unless you stipulate to them. My suggestion would be that you guys stipulate to all the ones you're going to jointly utilize or the ones you don't believe there's a valid objection to. It will speed the trial along. But I cannot force you guys to stipulate to evidence. I mean, if you aren't going to stipulate, then the only thing I can do is you guys can put your witnesses on and lay the appropriate foundation for introduction of the evidence.

MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I think that it can also be -- it can be used as a demonstrative. Just because we show it to the jury doesn't mean that it's evidence. And she has

the opportunity at the end of the case, and we're talking about four documents. We're talking about four pages of information. And if I'm unable to lay the foundation, then that sets up a great close for her. You know, Mr. Cloward stands up here in opening and he does this, this and this, yet look at the exhibit binder, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Let me think it over. I mean, here's my problem. I do see the defense's perspective in that I do feel like they've been sandbagged. I mean, these are things people usually agree to and they stay with the agreement.

MR. CLOWARD: Can I share my point of view on it?

THE COURT: I can't — I don't know what — I wasn't involved in the conversations between the two counsel. I mean, everyone said the same thing in that you had an agreement up until as late as last week and you didn't have an agreement as of this week and you don't have an agreement as of now.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

THE COURT: So --

MR. CLOWARD: And I guess I just want the Court to at least see from my side of things because I believe that they sandbagged me. I told Ms. Sanders on Friday very clearly I plan on showing these things, I plan on talking to the Judge about it, I plan on having these in my openings. Very clearly I told her these things. And then all of a sudden on Tuesday,

on Tuesday I find out the documents have been removed from the exhibits that I agreed to. So I'm panicked thinking well, what else has been removed. I'm looking at this and I understand I'm not — I don't think it was intentional at all. I'm not suggesting that — Ms. Hyson's standing up — I'm not suggesting that at all. But that's what caused me to have the knee jerk reaction of well, hold on now. If you're taking stuff out before we can go to the court and say this is stipulated, we've got to take another look at this.

MS. HYSON: Your Honor, if I may respond to the issue about the redaction.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HYSON: I provided Mr. Cloward with the exact
Bates stamped pages of the documents we were going to redact,
which was pursuant to a motion in limine order over a week
ago. So he had that information much before he pulled back on
the agreement for the stipulated exhibits. So to say that we
sandbagged him by taking these documents out or redacting them
is just untrue because we were forthright about what
redactions were made to the documents and, in fact, provided
him page by page page numbers. So he was aware.

MR. CLOWARD: In response to that, the Bates labels that she provided were to documents --

THE COURT: Okay. Let me think about it, whether I'm going to let you use them in openings. Again, I'm not

0
Ō
O
$\tilde{\omega}$
\approx
$\overline{}$

in, please.

going to get involved as far as the disagreement you guys
are having, in my opinion, it's how you guys choose to
practice law and that's not for the Court to jump into. I'm
not going to get into a he said, she said. But I will think
about whether or not I'll allow you to use them. I would
suggest you guys stipulate to documents; otherwise, this trial
is going to go longer than necessary.

Is there anything else we need to address before we bring the jury in?

MS. SANDERS: No, Your Honor, I don't think so.

THE COURT: All right. Then let's bring the jury

And just so you're aware, this is my Marshal's daughter. She's just watching, if you guys don't mind.

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Counsel, make yourself comfortable.

Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. As you probably recall, yesterday you guys were sworn in as potential jurors, so you are still under oath at this time. When we left off Mr. Cloward had some questions for everyone. If you have any additional questions, please continue.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Judge.

Good morning. Hope everyone had a good night last night. The next thing I wanted to talk about was whether anybody here has ever had to hire a specialist or someone with

```
4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

24

25

I know.

1

2

3

```
special training to take care of their children or anything
along those lines? Anybody that's had that experience? I
think somebody indicated that their I think sister or daughter
had to hire help. It was one of the individuals that their
daughter had schizophrenia [inaudible] autism. Was that you?
Can you tell me about that?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: 171, Hinds. I really
don't know anymore than what I told you because I wasn't
involved in helping her find anybody. She already has people
she knows.
         MR. CLOWARD: Okay. How did she go about I guess
finding those folks?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I don't know what she
does.
         MR. CLOWARD: And it's your sister --
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Niece.
         MR. CLOWARD: -- so it's your sister's daughter.
And she has folks that come in and help her with that if she
needs to go with her and her husband; is that --
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right.
         MR. CLOWARD: Can you just tell me a little bit more
about that? I just wanted to find out a little bit more about
that.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: You just said everything
```

```
000392
```

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Does your — and I'll go back to Ms. Scheeler, 133. I'm really nervous all of a sudden. I don't know. It's like what happened. Yesterday I felt just fine and now all of a sudden I get up here and geez, Louise. Sorry. I'm mumbling around. Ms. Scheeler, tell me about your granddaughter. Does your daughter have to sometimes have help to come in and so forth?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: My grandson, yes. They have --

MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No problem. California is one of the better states to be in if you have an autistic child because there are so many government programs and they have helpers. And my daughter relies on family mostly, but also outside help. As I said, he's in a special school.

MR. CLOWARD: When she relies on outside help, tell me about that. What is -- like who sometimes will help?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: You mean people she has to hire?

MR. CLOWARD: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Okay. My grandson's been in programs since he was three. And as I said before, he was in normal schools up until two years ago. He's 14. And he had in California what they call a shadow who goes to school with him every day. She, my daughter-in-law wanted him

to be in a special school where he's just with trained experts as to how to deal with autism. Nine years ago somebody who worked with him in the program helps, comes about three or four times a week and he stays, he takes my grandson to like the park or the zoo or to computer school. It gives my daughter—in—law a four or five—hour break so that she can run errands and take my granddaughter places and things like that.

MR. CLOWARD: I'm assuming that your daughter has to trust that the person that's help with your grandson knows what they're doing, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, absolutely. You know, they trust him emphatically. I met him and I know him personally, talked to him.

MR. CLOWARD: Is there — I mean, is there anybody here that thinks that Ms. Scheeler's daughter is wrong to trust someone else to watch her grandson, her son while she does other things? Is there anyone that feels that way? Should Ms. Scheeler's daughter be able to hire somebody that specializes in certain things and trust that that person will do what they're hired to do?

Can I have you talk, Mr. Morgan? Do you have a comment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I was just thinking that regardless of a disability or not, like say you had a — anybody who has a kid would drop their kid off at school or

daycare, they have to trust the teachers, the principals, the caregivers, you know. No matter what the situation is, if you feel like they're not going to protect your child or family member, then you're going to have an issue or problem. So regardless of a disability or not, you're going to try to hopefully find as much information as possible to just drop your kid off to a complete strangers.

MR. CLOWARD: Assuming that the appropriate homework is done to determine whether somebody is specialized, you're not just dropping your child off with a stranger, like you say. Should members of society be able to — members of the community be able to trust that other people will do their jobs and do what they're supposed to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah, but, you know, it's not always a guarantee. You kind of have to have a little bit of faith and hope, you know. You do all that you can do and then just hopefully, everything works out. And if you see a problem, then you deal with that issue.

MR. CLOWARD: Who here agrees or disagrees, has some additional thoughts. I'd like to hear from some other people. Please talk to me. Pass it back there to Ms. Beckum, 146. Thank you, Ms. Beckum.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I think it is good to have someone who specializes in something, especially when you have a disabled child, just because they're able to deal with

them at a different level than someone who's not experienced with dealing with someone who has different types of disabilities so they're not scared or afraid or whatnot.

MR. CLOWARD: In Ms. Scheeler's daughter's situation, does anyone expect that Ms. Scheeler's daughter is required to be with her son 24/7?

mean, as a parent you try to be with your child as much as you can, but you want to — you don't want to take away from your other children and your family. So, you know, having a break or being able to do other stuff and having that extra help, it does help.

MR. CLOWARD: Does anyone think that, that, you know, if you're a parent of a child with a disability that you have to be with that child 24/7 for the rest of your life?

Does anybody — please raise your hand if you feel that way.

That's an important — anybody feel that way? Everyone agrees that all parents can trust that if they do their homework and they entrust their children with somebody that that individual will do their job. Does everyone agree with that? Thank you.

I'm getting close to being done, so I just have a couple other things. One thing I think I bombed at yesterday was the discussion of the frivolous defenses, frivolous cases. Mr. Strobeck, you talked about how the majority of cases in your view are somebody's just trying to get something for

nothing. And I talked, and I asked some questions about frivolous defenses. And what I wanted to know was is it okay — you know, I think everyone can agree it's not okay for a plaintiff or anybody in the community to come into court and try to get something that — something for nothing. Everybody agrees with that, right? Everyone agree with that? Okay.

Doesn't do any of us any good to have folks like that.

But the other question that I had is we're coming up on the Nevada caucuses here and you see all these ads and you've got one guy saying this and then two years later it's something completely opposite. You have another individual saying one thing and then two years later it's something else. It's like everybody's saying whatever they want to say to get voted, to get voted in. And my question is, is what's good for the goose good for the gander. Is it okay for a defendant to come into a case and say whatever it takes to avoid being responsible? Is that okay?

Is it okay for, you know, you hear the cases of somebody rear ends somebody and then they get sued and then that person comes into court and says oh, the person backed into me, it was their fault. They rear—ended me, they backed into me. Is that okay? Can I have someone talk to me about that, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Why not? I mean, they're defending themselves. I mean, I'm not going to sit

here and lose, so I'm going to say something to save my ass.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Mr. Rivera, I guess in your view it would be okay for a defendant if they got sued to say anything it took to not have to have responsibility; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah, I mean, they all do it.

MR. CLOWARD: Who here agrees with Mr. Rivera?

Please talk to me. Thank you very much. Mr. Morgan. Mr.

Rivera, thank you for your honesty. I appreciate it. Thank

you. I always love to hear these things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: You were saying what's fair. It doesn't really — it depends on whose opinion of what fairness is. I mean, both sides have — want to win. Whether it's them or the lawyers, they're going to do or say whatever's in the — whatever they can get away with in the way of the laws to win their argument or win their case. So whether you say it's fair or I say it's fair, it's really up to the judge or people in the court to decide that. You can't say well, that's not fair because they're trying to fight for their livelihood or for the money, it's not for really — it's not really a morality thing, it's what's going to — as long as you're not breaking the law, then I say why not.

MR. CLOWARD: Well, do you think that it's good for the community for people to come in and basically say whatever

they want in court, whether it's the truth or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Well, no. Obviously, you're under oath so you should always say the truth. But if you could make your case and you have your right to your opinions, you have the right to free speech, right to say or do whatever you want to the point of the law.

MR. CLOWARD: I appreciate you [indiscernible], Mr. Morgan. I want to just follow up on this a little bit. We talked about, you know, how some lawsuits, like the McDonald's lawsuit, that's not good for the community to have somebody come into court and file the lawsuit and get paid millions of dollars for spilling coffee that everyone should know is hot. So I want to -- I'm just asking the flip side. Is it good for our community to have a defendant come in and basically lie about things or say things that aren't true in order to protect themselves? Is that okay? Is that good for our community, bad for our community, does it really matter? How do you all feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Probably bad for the community but then again, court is almost like a game in a sense. You know, you try to one up the other, you know. No one's perfect, everybody's trying to win for whatever reason. You know, their own reasons. Obviously, you shouldn't lie in court or be dishonest, but, you know, if you're going to get away with it then you're going to try to do whatever you can

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Mr. Burr, you raised your hand too. Can you tell me, how do you feel about this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I think everybody has their side. Like the defense probably believes that they're, they did everything they could and I believe the plaintiff thinks they didn't do everything they could. So, in their opinion they're right and then the plaintiff's opinion, they're right as well.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you agree that there can only be one actual true version of what happened, though?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah, I think so. I think there's always just one truth, but each person has a different story to tell.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Who here also has some feelings about this? Is it a good thing for the community, a bad thing for the community if people come in and say whatever they have to to avoid maybe being responsible for something? Can you pass that up to Ms. Brown, 212?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: There's three sides to a story. It's going to be the plaintiffs, the defendants and the straight truth. You'll probably never get that truth out in the courtroom. That doesn't necessarily mean one side is lying or the other side is lying, it's just their version of

3 they're going to present a side, we won't never know what 4 actually happened, but both sides are going to tell it how 5 they felt like it happened. 6 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: That's my opinion. 8 MR. CLOWARD: What if the evidence came out of the 9 case that one side was not being truthful and it's obvious, 10 but that's their position? Is that a good thing, bad thing? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: It's a bad thing. 12 Aren't there penalties for lying in court? 13 MR. CLOWARD: Who else here has feelings about this 14 that they'll please share -- I mean, why is it a bad thing? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: It's a bad thing to lie about anything. If you're here to present a case, present it 16 17 to the best of your ability in the most honest way as 18 possible. You can get your story across and prove your point, but you don't have to lie about it. You just don't have to 19 20 lie about it. Just be honest.

the truth. I don't know if it hurts the community if it's not

fair, it's just that's life. You're going to present a side,

1

2

21

22

23

24

25

agree that's how it should be? Anyone other than Mr. Rivera or in addition to Mr. Rivera that thinks that you know what, if you get sued you can say whatever you want to try and get out of it? Anyone else share that? Did I understand that's

MR. CLOWARD: Who here agrees with that? Everyone

how you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Anyone agree -- I always -- I don't ever want to misstate what somebody says.

They teach you that's the worst thing to say [indiscernible].

Anyone agree with that position, that it's okay for a party to come in and say whatever it takes to win? Can you tell me,

Ms. Hinds, 171, why not?

prospective Juror No. 171: It just seems once you open that door then for anybody to say whatever they want, whether it's the truth or not. Once you've opened that door you'll never find the truth. I don't think it's okay, but kind of along the same thing as Mr. Rivera, I think at times it does happen. It happens.

MR. CLOWARD: It happens but you're not of the position that that's okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Correct.

MR. CLOWARD: Anybody of the position that it's okay for parties to come into court and say whatever it takes to avoid responsibility? Anyone else? Please be brutally honest. Anyone else? Thank you very much.

Okay. The reason, one of the reasons why I didn't mean to suggest or imply that your niece has schizophrenia, that's another thing that was on my mind. It was something else that I wanted to talk to you guys about. The evidence

will be that the party also had a diagnosis, in addition to mild mental retardation or also known as mild MR, he had a diagnosis of schizophrenia. So I wanted to talk to some folks about that, whether they have any experience. Mr. Tindall, 181, you actually work in a mental health facility; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: That's correct.

MR. CLOWARD: Can we talk to you for a minute about that? Tell me a little bit about your job, what you do and so forth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I just provide direct care for clients with mental disabilities. We deal with schizophrenia, we deal with — sometimes we have drug addiction, drug addicts. We also have bipolar and sometimes we get autistic patients too.

MR. CLOWARD: The question that I have is again, it's kind of a broad question, do folks with mental disorders like those, psychiatric issues, do they have the same rights? You know, we talked about the black lives matter, we talked about the police lives matter, we talked about the all lives matter. Should they be treated any differently?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No. They have the same rights as everyone else.

MR. CLOWARD: Does everyone feel that way? Is there anyone that feels — that doesn't feel that way, that feels

you know what, maybe folks with schizophrenia, you know, they don't — anyone feels that way? By a raise of hands, if you agree that folks with things like bipolar depression, schizophrenia, multiple personality disorders, whatever, have the same rights and everyone else, please raise your hand if you feel that way. If you don't, please don't raise your hand. Everyone feel that way? Okay.

Is there anyone here that has had a bad experience with somebody with either a disability, whether that be like mild retardation or with schizophrenia or anything like that, anything that I've told you about my client that you're already maybe leaning a little bit towards the defense?

Anybody at all? No hands. All right.

Is there anything that I have said to this point or that Mr. Allen has said or that anybody, any of us have said or that — maybe you've seen my clients now, you've seen Jack, you've seen Elaine, you've seen Neil, anything that anybody has done that you're already, you know, maybe I look like a brother—in—law that you just can't stand, you're going to hold that against me. Anything at all?

Ms. Smith, I know I asked you a lot of questions yesterday. I feel bad about that. I was worried, I hope I didn't upset you. Anything I said yesterday — okay. I'm sorry. I know I kind of picked on you a little bit yesterday. I'm sorry about that. Just want to make sure that I

1 understood you.

When I start going through the outline like this then that means I'm getting close. One of the things — you know, Mr. Allen, Charles Allen, my co-counsel — Charles, why don't you stand up. Thank you, Charles. Charles was flown in here from Atlanta. He's not a local lawyer, he's flown in all the way from Atlanta to help with this case. Anybody that doesn't like Georgia, anything like that? Will you hold that against him? Anyone that's like, you know, we talked about football the other day. The Judge, she went to A&M and her husband is a Longhorn and I can imagine how contentious that might be. I mean, anybody that's a die hard Alabama fan is going to hold that against Mr. Allen? All right.

Is there anybody here that has any experience with choking? Anybody here had a close call themselves that they almost choked to death or know somebody, a family member that choked? Anybody here that's had that happen to them? Okay.

Ms. Beckum, 146; Mr. Burr, 214. Before we get to anybody else, please raise your hand if you've had any experience with this. Anyone else? Okay. Ms. Beckum, tell me about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Well, my son, he put a ball in his mouth and he was choking on it. So I had to like stick my whole hand in his throat to just basically get it out. But it got out, but he was little. He's always putting something somewhere.

3	CPR. But I think maybe the Heimlich then, but I didn't
4	remember anything. I was just trying to react quick to get it
5	out.
6	MR. CLOWARD: And you actually were able to take
7	some steps and by doing something you were able to get it out.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
9	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. I'll bet that was
10	pretty scary. Mr. Burr, tell me what your experience is.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: My baby brother, he was
12	choking on some food and I performed the Heimlich maneuver on
13	him.
14	MR. CLOWARD: Did you have training on the Heimlich?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah. I'm CPR
16	certified, AED certified and I stay with that.
17	MR. CLOWARD: Was it hard? Tell us about the
18	Heimlich. Was it a hard thing to do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: No, it's pretty simple.
20	You just pretty much hug them and you put your knuckle into
21	their sternum and you just
22	MR. CLOWARD: That's it.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: apply pressure.
24	Pretty much.

25

MR. CLOWARD: Had you had any training to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: In like high school,

```
successfully perform that?
1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: He's okay now?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: How old is he?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: He's 18 now.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: How old are you?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I'm 25.
9
               MR. CLOWARD: I've always got to ask brothers, you
10
     know, who's tougher out of the two of you.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: It's obviously me.
12
               MR. CLOWARD: My brother's older but I'm tougher
13
     than he is. Unless you ask him, and then he says -- anyway,
14
     thank you. I appreciate that. Anyone else have any choking
15
    experience or first aid experience, Heimlich maneuver
16
     experience, anything like that? Anyone at all? Ms. Smith?
17
     Can you tell me about that?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Well, I'm CPR certified
19
    because I work at the hospital.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: Is that something that I guess your
21
     employer trained you on?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Correct.
23
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me a little bit about that.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: So every two years you
25
    have to be recertified doing CPR and like he said, AED class.
```

1	MR. CLOWARD: You also learned the Heimlich
2	maneuver?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
4	MR. CLOWARD: How long is that training? Is it long
5	or short?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: A few hours, like two
7	hours.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And how did they go about
9	training you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: At my facility they do
11	an online course and then you also have to go in for another
12	hour doing a manual course.
13	MR. CLOWARD: I guess, does your work have, you
14	know, like an employee handbook of policies that kind of help
15	you to know what you're supposed to do and so forth?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
17	MR. CLOWARD: You have to sign off that you received
18	those things?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Correct.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Anyone else have training like that at
21	their job? Thank you very much. Mr. Tindall, 181. And that
22	was Ms. Smith, 137.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: My training is similar
24	to Ms. Smith's. It's every two years we've got to well,
25	every two years we have to recertify on CPR and AED. And

000408	

1	we're trained to do the Heimlich. We usually have to go in
2	for like maybe eight hours in one day and then we're
3	recertified on that. And it's, all the training is we have
4	to go to a classroom.
5	MR. CLOWARD: Does your work tell me again, you
6	worked at the Southern Nevada Adult Mental
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Health Services, yeah.
8	I work for the psychiatric hospital, state psychiatric
9	hospital.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Do they give you like an employee
11	handbook that goes with
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Oh, yeah. There's like
13	procedures and stuff and handbooks and you can look it up.
14	MR. CLOWARD: That make you sign off on that
15	[indiscernible]
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I haven't had to use it
17	since I've been there, but I've been trained on it.
18	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Layola, 159, I
19	think you work in a pharmacy. Do you guys have any sort of
20	training like that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: For our pharmacy, we

mainly, you know, medication. So we don't have interaction directly with patients.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you have the training on the things

KARR REPORTING, INC.

don't -- we deal directly with patient contact. So it's

```
12
15
16
17
18
```

that you do, though?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yes. You have to be certified, pass the exam, be registered in the Board of Pharmacy, basically.

MR. CLOWARD: Is it important you think for your company to require certain things of you? Is it important for them to train you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159:

MR. CLOWARD: Does everyone think that? Why is training important?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Training's important because you want to be an expert in your field and, you know, CPR certified. If someone is choking or, you know, you can save their lives basically.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Who here else I guess has an opinion on training, whether it's important, whether it's not important, whether it's a good thing, bad thing? You're kind of like my go-to guy. What do you think about things, about training, whether it's important? Mr. Morgan, 216. I think you worked at -- you said you worked at Walmart at one point? I'm sure they had some training for you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No, they did not, I don't believe. I took a health class in high school and I played football and baseball all my life. You take lots of health classes and you learn about the Heimlich maneuver and

CPR. I never had to actually do it, but I would say it's important for a business that deals directly with like medicine or athletics or if you're around the disabled, young kids or elderly, to know, to be trained to a certain extent that you could have some assistance.

MR. CLOWARD: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Well, anybody you see in trouble you want to be able to help them to the best of your ability. You — how should I phrase this? You see someone choking, hopefully you kind of could figure out even if you never had some training. But say if you're in a hospital and you're choking there, you would expect a nurse or someone in there, a staff member, to know what they're — how to do their job. But I couldn't say that for any certain practice or field. I can't necessarily assume or make sure they're required to know a [indiscernible] or trained. That's my opinion.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Morgan indicated he felt like he thought it would be important for certain types of jobs to have training, people in the health industry or people in sports, people working with disabilities. Who here agrees with that or disagrees with that? Thank you. Ms. Scheeler, 133. Ms. Scheeler, tell me your views or your thoughts on that, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, I understand in

```
certain positions people should be trained, whether it's

doctors, nurses, whatever. But isn't it the state and cities

place to put these things in effect if that's what it's all

about? I mean, shouldn't these rules be made by our

government?

MR. CLOWARD: What do you think about that?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I don't know. I'm just saying. Why hasn't anybody noticed, you know, and provided that training? I mean, to me it seems like something the government would control. They control our food and what we drink and eat and sugar and whatever. So that's my opinion.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Who here agrees or disagrees with that? Ms. Scheeler I guess thinks it's a good idea for some of these companies, like Mr. Tindall, Mr. Layola, you know, to have certain policies and procedures in place. Who here thinks that's important? Who here thinks it's not important unless the government tells you to do it? Any views on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well here's a view for you. We all have to wear seatbelts, it's a requirement. We can get tickets for not having it. Our insurance is raised. But we have school buses that have no seatbelts and they say it's too expensive. So I rest my case. I don't understand it.

MR. CLOWARD: Is that a good thing or a bad thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I think it's a bad thing but it's not for me to decide. I would think that the government once again would protect their children.

MR. CLOWARD: For instance, you raise a good point and talk about seatbelts. There's a statute in Nevada, you know, NRS, whatever the statute is that says you've got to wear the seatbelt. Well, what if I walked out to the third floor here, on the third floor you can see down and you see people coming into the courtroom and stuff and I get a tennis ball and I think it's going to be funny and throw it. I mean, I'm sure there's no rule, no law that says, no NRS statute that says hey, don't throw a tennis ball on the third floor of the courtroom. But if I do that somebody could get hurt. So, I mean, is it — do we always need to have a specific governmental rule to tell us what we should and shouldn't do or what's the smart thing to do or not to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, the government seems to think so. I think seatbelts for children in a bus is more important than how much sugar you intake, because people are going to intake it whether you tell them to or not.

MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Scheeler. Ms. Hinds, you kind of looked like you had some thoughts on that one.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I think the government has way too much say in what we do already. I think it's up

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CLOWARD: What about companies? Do you think it's okay for companies to have specific training, policies and procedures or do you think that companies should be told by the government what to do? Or do you think that companies can be trusted to have specific policies in place and so

to the individual to monitor themselves.

forth? How do you feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I think companies should monitor themselves.

MR. CLOWARD: Who here -- okay. Mr. Shakespear, 204. Tell me how you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Most companies are governed by the government anyway. You have OSHA, you have all these various different federal laws and guidelines that you have to go by. So a lot of companies already have to comply with the government so they don't get sued or stuff doesn't happen to them. So a lot of the guidelines that all these guys are talking about, I'm sure there's some government official somewhere that said you have to have this type of thing to be regulated.

MR. CLOWARD: How do you feel, Ms. Carvalho, 210? What do you think about of this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I think it depends on like truck drivers -- sorry, school bus drivers or transporters for children, adults or whatever it may be, I

feel they should have some kind of certification or knowledge of how to do simple tasks, like CPR or — I mean, you're responsible for all these children or people. You have to somehow know something. You're not just driving a vehicle to take them here, take them there because anything can happen to these people.

MR. CLOWARD: Who here agrees with that? Raise your hand if you agree with that. I'm assuming if you're not raising your hand you disagree? Raise your hand if — one more time. Raise your hand if you agree with that. Okay.

Mr. Strobeck, you don't agree with that. Tell me why.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: It's not that I don't completely agree with that, it's just I believe if my son was disabled or something along those lines and I was taking him to a program and I was worried about him choking or different medical trainings, then I would do the research before I would take my son there to understand that everyone would be qualified to take care of my son. Do I think that everyone should have training? Absolutely. I think they should all be trained, especially in different medical fields like some of the people said they're in.

MR. CLOWARD: Assuming that you did the appropriate homework and you trusted whoever was selected to take care of your son in the example you gave, are you okay to trust them to do their job or do you still have an ongoing responsibility

to follow him around and make sure that they do their job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: If I do my research

right and I take my son there and I'd expect them to be able

to take care of my son. It's Strobeck, 138.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Everyone agree with that? Anybody disagree with that? Everyone agree? Everyone agree with that? Okay. Ms. Scheeler, I saw you didn't raise your hand in agreement with Ms. Carvalho. Can you tell me —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: To some extent I agree.

But, I mean, I'm not positive, but I'm not sure if any of our

taxicab drivers know CPR and they usually don't even know

where the street is. So, you know, I have had to take cabs.

I could choke and I'm sure they wouldn't know what to do. I

don't know.

MR. CLOWARD: But let me ask a question. Does it matter when you're comparing a company that holds themselves out as specializing in a certain area, like we're specializing in transporting folks with disabilities versus a taxi driver that's just saying I'd take anybody? Does it matter if one company holds themselves out like Mr. Shakespear and Mr. Morgan talked about? You know, they're holding themselves out as that versus the taxi driver. Does that change the analysis at all for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, I just wonder who is overseeing all of these things. If that's the case for the

company, why didn't somebody notice or put this into effect before now?

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Kind of like I guess with your grandson's schools. Do the schools that specialize in that, do they maybe have a little bit more responsibility than the regular schools that don't specialize in handling —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Absolutely, but most people who are involved with autistic children aren't in the right state or the right programs and they're put in the mainstream. That's not right but it happens.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Thank you. Can I hear from you, Mr. Colyar, 172? I haven't heard much from you. What do you think about all this discussion of training and everything that's been discussed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I think, like in my job, we always have to have certain people who are certified to have CPR for different shifts. That way if somebody by any chance were to fall, have a heart attack or anything like that, there are people there that can do it. But not all of the employees are certified. So I think it is to each employer to make sure that there's a provided person to be able to help on different shifts, but it doesn't necessarily mean that every single person would have to be certified.

MR. CLOWARD: Like, for instance, if an employee is maybe -- they're never going to be around another individual,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

they sit in a computer room all day and they don't they
never have any interaction, maybe there wouldn't be the same
requirement for that person versus an individual who's helping
with folks every single day all day. Do you agree with that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes. At the same time,
there are some employees that are going to be around other
employees who would be able to probably want to know a little
bit about how to assist them if something were to happen.
MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Ms. King, what do you
think about all this? I haven't talked to you much.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: It's just like
lifeguards. If you want to work around a pool and things you
should know your job. If you're going to save lives and you
want that position, then you should learn CPR, learn how to
swim and everything as well.
MR. CLOWARD: That's a great analogy. If you're
going to be a lifeguard you'd better know how to swim, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes.
MR. CLOWARD: Everyone agree with that? That's a
very insightful comment. You've got six diplomas. You've
been trained in a lot of different areas, haven't you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes, sir.
MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Can we move right on over?
We haven't heard much from you either, Ms. Perez, 178.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I agree with most of the

~	×
6	i
7	
8	n
9	
10	d
11	
12	m
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	У

things everybody said. It depends where your area of
expertise, where you're at, if you require training to help
people that need the help. Other positions doesn't require
that because we're not handling with special people. So I
don't think it's for most of the companies require because
it's a different case.

MR. CLOWARD: Maybe like a carpenter, mechanic might not have the same --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah. So it's different.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. May I confer with my co-counsel for one moment?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Judge. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Do you have additional questions?

MR. CLOWARD: Yes, a few more. Who here — raise your hand if you have a job that you have an employee handbook, like policy — raise your hand, please do. If you've got a job that has a handbook, you know. Fair to say if you didn't raise your hand you don't? Thank you.

We've covered everybody that has any training with CPR, with Heimlich maneuver, with basic first aid. Have we covered everybody? Anybody that has that training that I didn't get to talk to? I want to make sure I talk to everyone. Okay.

So for those of you who raised your hand with the handbook, can I see those hands one more time? I would like to ask just a few of you a couple questions. Mr. Shakespear, tell me a little bit about the handbook that you have, that your employer has.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: It basically goes over safety, protocols, what to do in a situation, what not to do in a situation and standard procedures. Basic stuff for your job title.

MR. CLOWARD: Does it require you to know what's in the handbook?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: That and a lot more.

MR. CLOWARD: Do they test you on that ever? Make you sign off that you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Safety is reviewed at least once every two months.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Why do you think they do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Well, part of the safety — I'm not at the distribution center a lot, but part of the safety is what should you do if a forklift's coming your way? Get out of the way. It's basic, simple stuff of what if a — what if gas is spilled, what if antifreeze is spilled, what if this happens or that happens. So it's basically them seeing if you know what you're supposed to know and making sure you

know what you're supposed to if you don't.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you very much. Mr. Colyar, you also raised your hand. Your company also has a handbook they make you follow and understand; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah. And then every quarterly we have to go over certain key points with our managers and they have to scan our badges, make sure they went over, just to make sure we have our different training in how to lift, how to move stuff, safety. Just kind of the normal things.

MR. CLOWARD: Why I guess in your view does your company do that? Is that to bug you or is that to help you be a better employee?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Kind of make sure everybody stays on the same track so that if one employee says oh, I didn't know that, they don't get in trouble because they already went over the training. So they do know, all the employees are on the same page.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Ms. King, you also had your hand raised. Does your company have some policies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: We print out the paperwork and we sign it and we go over it every three months, if I'm not mistaken.

MR. CLOWARD: If there's ever like an update, are you told about that?

I work the

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes, and they put it in
 2
    the package.
 3
              MR. CLOWARD: Make you sign off on things?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes, sir.
 4
 5
              MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Everyone else have that
 6
     same experience? Anyone have a different experience than
7
     that? Anyone with a different experience? Ma'am, up on the
8
     back there, Ms. Martinez, 156. Tell me about your experience,
9
    please.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: We have a handbook, but
11
    honestly, I've never even read it. I just look at what I need
12
    and that's about it.
13
              MR. CLOWARD: Tell me more about that. How come you
    don't read it?
14
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I don't -- I mean, I
16
    don't know. It's not really necessary I guess.
17
     front desk so it's not really needed. It's not like I have to
18
    do anything with the safety or anything like that.
19
              MR. CLOWARD: But your job gave you the handbook
20
    nevertheless?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
22
              MR. CLOWARD: Did they tell you that you had to know
23
    those things?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: They told us to read it,
    but it's -- nobody ever follows up so, I mean, as far as I
25
```

know nobody reads it.

2

bad thing?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: It's a bad thing. mean, I'm sure there are things that people should read in the handbook, but unless required to do it nobody's really going to follow up with that.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that's a good thing or

MR. CLOWARD: Why would it be -- I guess you say you think it was a bad thing. Tell me why you think it's a bad thing that people don't follow through with it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Because like everybody else was saying, there are safety protocols that you may need to follow at some point.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Anyone else have the same experience as Ms. Martinez, 156, that they have a policy and procedure and they just, they don't really read it too much? Anybody else? Okay.

This is kind of the last question that I ask and it's one that I ask each of you. Just want to know a little bit more about you and then I'm pretty much done. And the question is going to be the exact same, so it's kind of like when the teacher gives you the question up on the board, everybody knows it. And it's just simply I would like to know a public figure, other than like your parents or a sibling or something, a public figure that you admire and why. So you

can say the president of the United States or I hear a lot of folks say sports figures or whatever.

Then number two, your favorite job. So we talked, the Judge talked about all your jobs. So tell me your favorite job and why.

And then number three, outside of your family or work, something that you're passionate about. We'll just start with Mr. Layola, 159. We'll start with you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Okay. I guess the public figure for myself is Michael Jordan. I love basketball. The saying goes, I want to be like Mike.

MR. CLOWARD: Still remember, he beat my [indiscernible] Byron Russell's looking back at him.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: That was a classic.

MR. CLOWARD: Not for Utah fans.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: What was the second question?

MR. CLOWARD: Second question is the Judge asked you a lot about your jobs. Tell me about your very favorite job that you've ever had and why.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: For myself, this is my first and only job as a pharmacy tech, so I can't really compare other jobs. But for the most part, I love my job.

MR. CLOWARD: That's good. And then outside of work or your family, something that you're passionate about.

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I'm into sports
 2
     basically, so I love basketball. I constantly try and play
 3
     basketball with friends and family.
 4
              MR. CLOWARD: Pickup games?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yeah.
 5
6
              MR. CLOWARD: Where do you play most of the time?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Desert Breeze Park.
8
              MR. CLOWARD: Is that outside?
9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yeah, outside.
10
              MR. CLOWARD: You also play there? Awesome.
11
    guys ever see each other?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No.
12
13
              MR. CLOWARD: Thank you very much. I appreciate
14
     that. Ms. Martinez, 156. Tell me those three things. Number
15
    one, public figure, someone you look up to.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I don't really have
16
17
     anybody. I don't know.
18
              MR. CLOWARD: [indiscernible] at all?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Nobody really.
20
              MR. CLOWARD: Anyone that you even kind of admire?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Not really, no. Nobody
22
    comes to mind.
23
              MR. CLOWARD: I'm not going to let you off that
24
    easy. I'm going to come back to you.
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Okay. I can answer the
```

```
1
    other questions.
 2
              MR. CLOWARD: Answer the other ones. Please tell me
 3
    your favorite job.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Usher at the Colosseum,
 4
 5
    I get to watch the shows for free, so it's not a bad gig.
6
              MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. That's a pretty good gig,
    actually. Okay. And then something outside of work or family
 7
8
    that you're passionate about.
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Can it be in the past?
10
     I mean, I used to play soccer. I was passionate about that,
11
    but it's been years. Nothing recent.
12
              MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Laury, 152. Haven't
13
    heard from you much. Haven't talked to you too much. Tell me
14
     these things about you.
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I'm also a fan of
16
     basketball. If there's somebody I look up to it would be
17
    Magic Johnson actually.
18
              MR. CLOWARD: Do you [indiscernible]?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
19
20
              MR. CLOWARD: Make you sad that this is Kobe's
21
     farewell season?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes, it is, actually.
23
              MR. CLOWARD: Got to respect him, whether you love
24
    him or hate him, got to respect him. Tell me your favorite
25
    job.
```

000426			

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I'm going to say my
favorite job right now is what I'm doing now, being a bakery
clerk. I would say what makes it enjoyable I don't
necessarily like all things I have to do, but what makes it
fun is the co-workers I work with.
MR. CLOWARD: Makes a huge difference, doesn't it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes, it does.
MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Now, something outside of your
work or family, something that you're passionate about.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I would have to say
writing. I like being able to be creative.
MR. CLOWARD: What kind of things do you write?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I usually write personal
stuff, whether it's like things about my day or make up
certain stories or whatnot.
MR. CLOWARD: Do you keep a good journal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MR. CLOWARD: That's good. A lot of folks our
generation do that. Thank you for sharing that.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: You're welcome.
MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Burr, tell me what you the
number one I've asked these questions so many times.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Public figure.
MR. CLOWARD: Public figure, thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Tom Brady because I love

Case No. 70164

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.; and JAY FARRALES,

Appellants,

VS.

Jack Chernikoff; and Elaine Chernikoff,

Respondents.

Electronically Filed Oct 20 2017 02:56 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL

from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable STEFANY A. MILEY, District Judge District Court Case No. A-13-682726-C

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX VOLUME 2 PAGES 251-500

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG
Nevada Bar No. 2376
JOEL D. HENRIOD
Nevada Bar No. 8492
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
(702) 949-8200
DPolsenberg@LRRC.com
JHenriod@LRRC.com

LEANN SANDERS
Nevada Bar No. 390
ALVERSON, TAYLOR,
MORTENSEN & SANDERS
6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy.
Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
(702) 384-7000
LSanders@AlversonTaylor.com

Attorneys for Appellants

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
1	Complaint	05/31/13	1	1–14
2	Affidavit of Service	06/11/13	1	15
3	Defendants First Transit, Inc., Laidlaw Transit Services, Inc. d/b/a First Transit and Jay Farrales' Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint	06/27/13	1	16–24
4	Demand for Jury Trial	06/27/13	1	25–27
5	Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption	07/23/13	1	28–33
6	Scheduling Order	08/28/13	1	34–36
7	Order Setting Civil Jury Trial	08/30/13	1	37–38
8	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss the Estate of Harvey Chernikoff With Prejudice	04/04/14	1	39–45
9	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Laidlaw Transit Services, Inc. With Prejudice	04/04/14	1	46–51
10	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion for Association of Out of State Counsel Pro Hac Vice	05/29/14	1	52–56
11	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery (Second Request)	08/20/14	1	57–66
12	Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	09/17/14	1	67–68
13	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Complete Discovery (Third Request)	09/29/14	1	69–79
14	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	12/23/14	1	80–84

15	Second Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	01/13/15	1	85–86
16	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery	01/20/15	1	87–95
17	Decision	01/20/15	1	96–97
18	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Punitive Damages Claim	06/05/15	1	98–105
19	Defendants' Pre-Trial Memorandum	06/19/15	1	106–124
20	Plaintiffs' Pre-Trial Memorandum	06/19/15	1	125–132
21	Third Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	06/29/15	1	133–134
22	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Claims for Funeral Expenses	06/30/15	1	135–142
23	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Passenger Transportation Expert, Carl Berkowitz Ph.D.	09/08/15	1	143–149
24	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Items Produced by Plaintiffs After the Close of Discovery	09/08/15	1	150–156
25	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Post-July 2011 Policy Documents and Any References or Testimony Related Thereto	09/08/15	1	157–164
26	Notice of Entry of Order on Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Exclude Postmorten Photographs of Decedent at Trial	09/08/15	1	165–171
27	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part	09/08/15	1	172–179

	and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude Speculation by Lay Witnesses that First Aid Would Have Saved Decedent's Life			
28	Notice of Entry of Order Deferring Ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Impermissible Video	09/08/15	1	180–186
29	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Any Discussion, Mention, Reference, Comments Regarding the Retention of Ned Einstein	09/08/15	1	187–193
30	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Any Reference or Comments that Carl Berkowitz Utilized Ned Einstein's Report	09/08/15	1	194–200
31	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Withdraw Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Seek Exclusion of Any Reference or Comments that the Decedent was Incompetent to Ride the Bus and Any Arguments Regarding Comparative Negligence	09/08/15	1	201–208
32	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Exclude Any Reference of Decedent Obtaining a Drivers License	09/08/15	1	209–215
33	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Reset Trial	09/09/15	1	216–222
34	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 1	02/17/16	1	223–250
			2	251–376
35	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/18/16	2	377–500
	Day 2		3	501–540
36	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/19/16	3	541–750

	Day 3		4	751–770
37	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 4	02/22/16	4	771–908
38	Plaintiffs' Brief re: Common Carrier Jury Instruction	02/23/16	4	909–954
39	Plaintiffs' Bench Brief re: Nevada Pattern Jury Instruction "4NG.45 – Duty to Disabled, Infirm or Intoxicated Person, or Duty to a Child"	02/23/16	4	955–964
40	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/23/16	4	965–1000
	Day 5		5	1001–1084
41	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 6	02/24/16	5	1085–1209
42	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/25/16	5	1210–1250
	Day 7		6	1251–1404
43	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions	02/25/16	6	1405–1445
44	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/26/16	6	1446–1500
	Day 8		7	1501–1615
45	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial	02/26/16	7	1616–1617
46	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 9	02/29/16	7	1618–1716
47	Amended Jury List	02/29/16	7	1717
48	Verdict	02/29/16	7	1718–1720
49	Jury Instructions	02/29/16	7	1721–1750
			8	1751–1763
50	Judgment Upon Jury Verdict	03/08/16	8	1764–1773
51	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/16	8	1774–1785
52	Defendants' Motion for New Trial	03/23/16	8	1786–1827
53	Defendants' Motion to Alter or Amend the	03/23/16	8	1828–1956

	Judgment			
54	Notice of Appeal	04/08/16	8	1957–1972
55	Opposition to Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	04/11/16	8	1973–1979
56	Opposition to Motion for New Trial;	04/11/16	8	1980–2000
	Request to Supplement Opposition When Transcripts are Complete		9	2001–2002
57	Notice of Entry of "Order Granting 'Motion for Stay' and 'Motion for Leave to Supplement Post-Trial Motions Upon Receipt of Trial Transcript'"	04/22/16	9	2003–2007
58	Notice of Entry of "Stipulation and Order Setting Briefing Schedule and Conditional Hearing Date on Post-Judgment Motions"	05/19/16	9	2008–2013
59	Appendix of Exhibits to Supplemented	05/25/16	9	2014–2250
	Motion for New Trial		10	2251–2269
60	Supplemental Motion for New Trial	05/25/16	10	2270–2300
61	Defendants' Supplemented Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/25/16	10	2301–2441
62	Opposition to Motion for New Trial and Supplement Thereto	07/05/16	10	2442–2482
63	Stipulation and Order Extending Plaintiffs' Time to File Supplemental Briefing	07/12/16	10	2483–2484
64	Reply Brief on Motion for New Trial	07/26/16	10	2485–2500
			11	2501–2539
65	Reply Brief on Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/26/16	11	2540–2544
66	Recorders Transcript of Hearing on Motion for Costs and Attorney's Fees; Motion for New Trial (and Motion for Leave to Supplement); Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	08/16/16	11	2545–2588

67	Substitution of Attorney	03/24/17	11	2589–2591
68	Amended Judgment	06/06/17	11	2592–2596
69	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants Motion to Retax; Denying motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and for Sanctions; Denying Farrales' Motion for Fees and Costs	06/07/17	11	2597–2605
70	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	06/07/17	11	2606–2613
71	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for New Trial	06/07/17	11	2614–2623
72	Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment	06/07/17	11	2624–2631
73	Amended Notice of Appeal	06/07/17	11	2632–2686
74	Amended Case Appeal Statement	06/07/17	11	2687–2696

ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
2	Affidavit of Service	06/11/13	1	15
74	Amended Case Appeal Statement	06/07/17	11	2687–2696
68	Amended Judgment	06/06/17	11	2592–2596
47	Amended Jury List	02/29/16	7	1717
73	Amended Notice of Appeal	06/07/17	11	2632–2686
59	Appendix of Exhibits to Supplemented	05/25/16	9	2014–2250
	Motion for New Trial		10	2251–2269
5	Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption	07/23/13	1	28–33
1	Complaint	05/31/13	1	1–14
17	Decision	01/20/15	1	96–97
3	Defendants First Transit, Inc., Laidlaw Transit Services, Inc. d/b/a First Transit and Jay Farrales' Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint	06/27/13	1	16–24
52	Defendants' Motion for New Trial	03/23/16	8	1786–1827
53	Defendants' Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment	03/23/16	8	1828–1956
19	Defendants' Pre-Trial Memorandum	06/19/15	1	106–124
61	Defendants' Supplemented Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/25/16	10	2301–2441
4	Demand for Jury Trial	06/27/13	1	25–27
14	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	12/23/14	1	80–84
50	Judgment Upon Jury Verdict	03/08/16	8	1764–1773
49	Jury Instructions	02/29/16	7	1721–1750

			8	1751–1763
54	Notice of Appeal	04/08/16	8	1957–1972
57	Notice of Entry of "Order Granting 'Motion for Stay' and 'Motion for Leave to Supplement Post-Trial Motions Upon Receipt of Trial Transcript"	04/22/16	9	2003–2007
58	Notice of Entry of "Stipulation and Order Setting Briefing Schedule and Conditional Hearing Date on Post-Judgment Motions"	05/19/16	9	2008–2013
72	Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment	06/07/17	11	2624–2631
51	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/16	8	1774–1785
28	Notice of Entry of Order Deferring Ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Impermissible Video	09/08/15	1	180–186
23	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Passenger Transportation Expert, Carl Berkowitz Ph.D.	09/08/15	1	143–149
71	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for New Trial	06/07/17	11	2614–2623
29	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Any Discussion, Mention, Reference, Comments Regarding the Retention of Ned Einstein	09/08/15	1	187–193
30	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Any Reference or Comments that Carl Berkowitz Utilized Ned Einstein's Report	09/08/15	1	194–200
32	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Exclude Any Reference of Decedent Obtaining a Drivers License	09/08/15	1	209–215
24	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part	09/08/15	1	150–156

	and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Items Produced by Plaintiffs After the Close of Discovery			
25	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Post-July 2011 Policy Documents and Any References or Testimony Related Thereto	09/08/15	1	157–164
27	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude Speculation by Lay Witnesses that First Aid Would Have Saved Decedent's Life	09/08/15	1	172–179
69	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants Motion to Retax; Denying motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and for Sanctions; Denying Farrales' Motion for Fees and Costs	06/07/17	11	2597–2605
70	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	06/07/17	11	2606–2613
10	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion for Association of Out of State Counsel Pro Hac Vice	05/29/14	1	52–56
26	Notice of Entry of Order on Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Exclude Postmorten Photographs of Decedent at Trial	09/08/15	1	165–171
11	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery (Second Request)	08/20/14	1	57–66
16	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery	01/20/15	1	87–95

13	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Complete Discovery (Third Request)	09/29/14	1	69–79
9	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Laidlaw Transit Services, Inc. With Prejudice	04/04/14	1	46–51
22	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Claims for Funeral Expenses	06/30/15	1	135–142
18	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Punitive Damages Claim	06/05/15	1	98–105
8	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss the Estate of Harvey Chernikoff With Prejudice	04/04/14	1	39–45
33	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Reset Trial	09/09/15	1	216–222
31	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Withdraw Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Seek Exclusion of Any Reference or Comments that the Decedent was Incompetent to Ride the Bus and Any Arguments Regarding Comparative Negligence	09/08/15	1	201–208
62	Opposition to Motion for New Trial and Supplement Thereto	07/05/16	10	2442–2482
56	Opposition to Motion for New Trial;	04/11/16	8	1980–2000
	Request to Supplement Opposition When Transcripts are Complete		9	2001–2002
55	Opposition to Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	04/11/16	8	1973–1979
12	Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	09/17/14	1	67–68
7	Order Setting Civil Jury Trial	08/30/13	1	37–38

39	Plaintiffs' Bench Brief re: Nevada Pattern Jury Instruction "4NG.45 – Duty to Disabled, Infirm or Intoxicated Person, or Duty to a Child"	02/23/16	4	955–964
38	Plaintiffs' Brief re: Common Carrier Jury Instruction	02/23/16	4	909–954
20	Plaintiffs' Pre-Trial Memorandum	06/19/15	1	125–132
43	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions	02/25/16	6	1405–1445
45	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial	02/26/16	7	1616–1617
66	Recorders Transcript of Hearing on Motion for Costs and Attorney's Fees; Motion for New Trial (and Motion for Leave to Supplement); Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	08/16/16	11	2545–2588
34	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 1	02/17/16	1 2	223–250 251–376
35	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/18/16	2	377–500
	Day 2		3	501–540
36	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/19/16	3	541–750
	Day 3		4	751–770
37	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 4	02/22/16	4	771–908
40	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/23/16	4	965–1000
	Day 5		5	1001–1084
41	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 6	02/24/16	5	1085–1209
42	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial	02/25/16	5	1210–1250
	Day 7		6	1251–1404
44	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 8	02/26/16	6	1446–1500

			7	1501–1615
46	Recorders Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial Day 9	02/29/16	7	1618–1716
64	Reply Brief on Motion for New Trial	07/26/16	10	2485–2500
			11	2501–2539
65	Reply Brief on Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/26/16	11	2540–2544
6	Scheduling Order	08/28/13	1	34–36
15	Second Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	01/13/15	1	85–86
63	Stipulation and Order Extending Plaintiffs' Time to File Supplemental Briefing	07/12/16	10	2483–2484
67	Substitution of Attorney	03/24/17	11	2589–2591
60	Supplemental Motion for New Trial	05/25/16	10	2270–2300
21	Third Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call	06/29/15	1	133–134
48	Verdict	02/29/16	7	1718–1720

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: It -- it's pretty broad.
 1
 2
     We work with everyone. There's no age group. There's --
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. And to get into this job, did you
 4
    have to have some specialized training?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: We do train.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. What -- tell me a little bit about
 7
     the training.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: They train on the
 9
     different -- there's a medical program, the food program and
10
     the cash-systems program.
               THE COURT: Okay. And prior to working for the State
11
12
    of Nevada, what did you do?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I worked at the DMV,
14
     still with the State of Nevada.
15
               THE COURT: Is that where you started with the State?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes, ma'am.
17
               THE COURT: I was just at the DMV. I think that's
     the starting point for a lot of people. You have to do, like,
18
19
     two years or something there?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Well, you can do six
21
    months, and then you can transfer.
22
               THE COURT: I guess they figure if you can handle the
23
     DMV you can handle any disgruntled individual.
24
               All righty. So how long did you do it at the DMV?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Two years.
```

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
Two years. All right. Prior to that?
               THE COURT:
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I worked at the casino.
 3
               THE COURT: What did you do at the casino?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I was a cage cashier.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. And how long did you do that
 6
     job?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: About six years.
 8
               THE COURT: Anything else?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Before that I was a
10
     teller at the bank.
11
               THE COURT: How long did you do that?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Two or three years.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Anything else?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Before that I worked
14
15
              I think I was working at, like, Nike Town and In-N-Out
     retail.
16
     Burger.
17
               THE COURT:
                          That's a good burger.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: That was like high
18
19
     school.
20
               THE COURT:
                          Good high school job, yeah?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah.
22
               THE COURT: All right. So are you married?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146:
                                          Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Does your husband work?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He was just recently laid
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
off.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: What did he -- where did he work
 3
     previously?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146:
                                           Sprint.
 5
               THE COURT: What did he do at Sprint?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He was a technician.
 7
               THE COURT: And do you have children?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes, two.
 9
               THE COURT: Minors?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
11
12
     County, Nevada?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Twenty-eight years.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
15
               THE COURT RECORDER: Judge, can you hold on for a
16
     minute?
17
               THE COURT: Yes.
               THE COURT RECORDER: I'm going to put a new battery
18
19
     on the microphone because I don't think it's working.
20
               Oh, it's off.
21
               THE COURT: All right. So that moves us on down to
22
     Charlie Nguyen, Badge No. 151.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151:
                                           Yeah.
24
               THE COURT: Sir, are you employed?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm nail tech.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           Sorry?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Nail technician.
 3
               THE COURT: Oh, a nail tech. How long have you done
 4
     that?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151:
 6
               THE COURT: How many years?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I think about for 20
 8
     years now.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. And what's your first
10
     language, Vietnamese?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes, I am Vietnamese.
12
               THE COURT: And how long have you lived in the United
13
     States?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I live in the United
15
     States about 29 year.
16
               THE COURT: All right. And other than nails, did you
17
    have any other jobs?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151:
19
               THE COURT: Are you married?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Actually divorced, just
21
    married.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Do you have children?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah, I have two children
24
     with my ex-wife.
25
               THE COURT: How old are your kids? Are they adults
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
1
     or ·
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: One 22, and one 16.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. The 22 year old, does that one
 4
     work?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: They work in Seattle.
 6
               THE COURT: The Sahara?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: In -- no, Seattle,
 8
    Washington State.
 9
               THE COURT: Seattle. What -- I'm sorry. It's my
    ears. I can't hear you. What does he -- does your child do in
10
11
     Seattle?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I think he goes to school
13
    and then he work over there. So.
14
               THE COURT:
                           And how long have you lived in Clark
15
    County, Nevada?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: (Unintelligible.)
17
               THE COURT: Thank you. Let's move on to John Laury,
     Badge No. 152.
18
19
               Sir, are you employed?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152:
                                          Yes.
21
               THE COURT: What do you do?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I'm a bakery clerk.
22
23
               THE COURT: A what?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Bakery clerk.
25
               THE COURT: Describe that a little bit to me.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Basically I take care of
 1
 2
     the bakery department for Smith's Foods and Drugs.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you cook?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Somewhat.
 5
               THE COURT: Do they trust you to cook or ice?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, they trust me really
 7
     to stock the tables or whatnot and package everything that's
     freshly baked in the morning.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right. It looks like it takes some
10
     artistic skill to do all the other things.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: It does.
12
               THE COURT: How long have you been with Smith's?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Four years.
14
               THE COURT:
                           All right. And have you always been in
     the bakery section?
15
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152:
17
               THE COURT: Did you work in other parts of the store?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes, I worked in the
18
19
     foods department as well.
20
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. And prior to working at Smith's,
21
     what did you do?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I worked for Walmart.
22
23
               THE COURT: What did you do at Walmart?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Grocery sales associate.
25
               THE COURT:
                           How long were you at Walmart?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I was there for a year.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: And prior to Walmart?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I was a case packer for
 4
     Farm Fresh Foods.
 5
               THE COURT: And how long were you there?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: A year and a half.
 7
               THE COURT: And anything else?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No.
 9
               THE COURT: And are you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No.
10
               THE COURT: Children?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152:
                                           No.
13
               THE COURT: And how long in Clark County, Nevada?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Fourteen years.
15
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
16
               All right. Let's move on to Alitzah Martinez, Badge
17
     No. 156.
18
               All right.
                          Ma'am, are you employed?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156:
20
               THE COURT: What do you do?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I work at a doctor's
22
     office during the morning, front desk, and I'm an usher at the
23
     Colosseum at night.
24
               THE COURT: The Colosseum at Caesars?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT: Oh, cool. The doctor's office, what kind
 1
 2
     of doctor?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: It's a specialty practice
 4
     for high-risk pregnancies.
 5
               THE COURT: Did you have to have any medical training
 6
     for that job?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: No.
 8
               THE COURT: And how long have you been with the
 9
     doctor?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: About four years.
10
               THE COURT: All right. And an usher, so do you get
11
12
     to see the shows?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
14
               THE COURT:
                          That's a good benefit. How long have you
15
     been at the Caesars?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: It will be a year in
17
     April.
               THE COURT:
                           And any other jobs?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Before that I worked at
20
     Steinberg Diagnostic.
21
               THE COURT: What did you do at Steinberg?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: At the call center,
23
     making the appointments.
24
               THE COURT: Did you have to have any special training
25
     for that job?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156:
                                           No.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: And how long were you with Steinberg?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I was there for about
 4
     four years also.
 5
               THE COURT: And prior to Steinberg?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Before that I worked at
 7
            It's a retail store.
 8
               THE COURT: Uh-huh. And what did you do there, just
 9
     retail?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Just retail, yeah.
10
11
               THE COURT: And how long were you at BCBG?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I was there may be two
13
     years.
                           All right. Anything else?
14
               THE COURT:
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Before that I worked at a
16
     lawyer's office doing the running.
17
               THE COURT: How long were you there?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Not even a year.
18
19
     in high school.
20
               THE COURT:
                          What lawyer?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Robert Kurth.
21
22
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry, Judge.
                                                 What was it?
23
                             Say it again.
               MS. SANDERS:
24
               MR. ALVERSON:
                              Who?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Robert Kurth.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          I know Mr. Kurth, but I don't recall kind
 1
 2
     of work he does.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I think it's family.
 4
                           Okay. Is that what you worked on
               THE COURT:
 5
     primarily, family?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I'm sorry?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Is that what you worked on, family-type
 8
     cases?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: I just did the running.
10
     I never really dealt with the cases. I just was --
11
               THE COURT: You just went where you were told to go?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: -- running from court to
13
     court, just picking up papers.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Got it. And are you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: No.
15
16
               THE COURT:
                           Do you have children?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: No.
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
18
19
     County, Nevada?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: About 27 years.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22
               Let's move on to Dexter Layola, Badge No. 159.
23
               Mr. Layola, what you do for a living?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I'm a pharmacy technician
25
     at Coram CVS.
```

```
THE COURT: How long have you done that job?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: About 13 years now.
 3
               THE COURT: And you do have to have some training for
 4
     that position, don't you?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: So can you tell me a little bit about
 7
     your training.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: You have to go to a
 9
     school first, which is nine months, and 500 hours of
10
     externship.
               THE COURT: Wow. All right. And prior to working as
11
     a pharmacy tech, what did you do?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Actually that was my
13
14
     first -- first job, yeah.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159:
               THE COURT: Children?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No.
18
19
               THE COURT: How long in Clark County, Nevada?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Since 2001.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22
                      We have to pass it all the way down to
               Okay.
23
    Ms. Denise Hinds, Badge No. 171. She's in the blue shirt.
24
               Okay. Ms. Hinds, are you employed?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes, I am.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I work for the federal
 3
     government.
 4
               THE COURT: What you do?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I am a contract
 6
     specialist.
 7
               THE COURT: Can you tell me a little bit more?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I write contracts for the
 9
    government and spend money.
10
               THE COURT: I don't even know what to say on that
11
     one. All right. So is there a particular division in the
12
     government that you work for?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Right now I work for the
14
    Bureau of Reclamation.
15
               THE COURT: All right. And how long have you been
16
     with them?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Just the Bureau, or the
     federal government? I've been with the federal government --
18
19
               THE COURT: Let's break it down by job within the
20
     government. So how long were you with the Bureau of
21
    Reclamation?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: About a year now.
22
23
               THE COURT: Okay. And then prior to that assignment,
24
     what did you do?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I worked for the
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
Department of Veterans Affairs, same job title.
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                          How long were you with the Department of
 3
     Veterans Affairs?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Two and a half years.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. When you were with the Department
 6
     of Veterans Affairs, did you do the same thing, write
 7
     contracts?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes. Uh-huh.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Did you have to have any special medical
10
     training?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Not medical training, no.
12
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And then prior to the Department
     of Veterans Affairs?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: The Forest Service, the
15
     U.S. Forest Service, same job title.
16
               THE COURT: For how long?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Two and a half years.
                           And before that?
18
               THE COURT:
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I was with the city of
20
     Fort Worth as a contract specialist.
21
               THE COURT: All right. And how long were you with
22
     Fort Worth?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I think about eight
24
     years.
25
               THE COURT:
                           And before that?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I was with the Department
 1
 2
     of Veterans Affairs.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          Again writing contracts?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Am I getting close to the
 6
     end?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yeah, we're -- we're
 8
     pretty close.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                 What else?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Let me think back.
11
     Before that it was with the National Park Service, and that was
12
     contracts as well.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                 Are you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I'm widowed.
14
               THE COURT:
15
                          I'm sorry. Your husband, what did he do
16
     for a living?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: He was a fireman for the
17
18
     City of Fort Worth.
19
               THE COURT:
                           Was that what he did for his career?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
     County, Nevada?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: About a year.
24
               THE COURT: And do you have children?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I have one daughter,
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
adult.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Is she an adult?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.
 4
               THE COURT: What does she do for a living?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: She works for Texas A&M
 6
     as a student advisor.
 7
               THE COURT: That's where I went.
                                                 Believe it or not,
     there's two judges in this Court -- courthouse who went to
 8
 9
     Texas A&M., and I'm married to a Longhorn. So you can imagine
     what that's like.
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Oh, fun at your house
12
     during football season.
13
               THE COURT: Well, at least we don't have the game on
     Thanksgiving anymore.
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Oh, there you go.
16
               THE COURT: Because that was always, you know, quite
17
     the day.
               All right. Well, thank you very much.
18
               Let's move on, Jesse Colyar, Badge No. 172.
19
               All right.
                           Sir, are you employed?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
21
               THE COURT:
                           What you do?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I'm a forklift driver for
23
     Costco wholesales.
24
               THE COURT: How long have you been there?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: About five months.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          It seems like people go there, and they
 1
 2
     never leave.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I was fired probably
 4
     about a year before that and then called to come back.
 5
               THE COURT: Oh, great. So -- okay. So total -- you
     work for Costco now, as a forklift operator?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: And then where did you work before?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Before I worked for
10
     Nellis Auction, an auction company. I drove a truck around and
     picked up furniture and delivered it back to be sold.
11
12
               THE COURT: How long did you do that?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: For about a year.
14
               THE COURT: And then you were at Costco previously?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
15
16
               THE COURT: And then what did you do the last time
17
     you were at Costco?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I was a forklift driver
19
     also.
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                 And prior to that?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I was there for about six
22
     years, and then before that I worked at -- for a Christian
23
     missionary alliance as a missionary in West Africa.
24
               THE COURT: You were a missionary in West Africa?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
All right. What kind of training did you
               THE COURT:
 1
 2
     have for that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Just a little bit of
 4
     school work, learned a little bit of French and then went down
     there and just kind of was -- hung out with the missionaries
 5
     and helped the system and different things.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Did you have to have any type of medical
 8
     training?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I went with a medical
     team, who kind of knew the training for that. I was more the,
10
     Hey, move that, move this.
11
12
               THE COURT: Oh, you didn't have to -- did you have to
13
     ever help with the medical?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I didn't.
14
15
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. And how long did you say you were
16
     in West Africa?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Six months.
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I worked at Boulder City
20
     Golf Course.
21
               THE COURT:
                           What did you do there?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Cart barn.
23
               THE COURT: And how long were you at the golf course?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I want to say about a
25
     vear.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           And prior to that?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I was at Boulder City
 3
     SafeKey for a year.
 4
                           All right. Am I kind of at the end?
               THE COURT:
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: That's the end, yeah.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I'm not.
               THE COURT: Children?
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Nope.
               THE COURT: And how long in Clark County, Nevada?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: My whole life, so 26
11
12
    years.
13
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
14
               Let's move on to Michelle King, Badge No. 177.
15
               Ms. King, are you employed?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes.
17
               THE COURT: What do you do?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Phlebotomist.
19
               THE COURT:
                           Phlebotomist. How long have you been a
20
     phlebotomist?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Eleven years.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. And then I know you have to go
23
     through training for that. Can you tell me a little bit about
24
     it.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I went to school for a MA
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
1
     degree.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. And prior to being a phel -- I
 3
     can't say that today -- phlebotomist, what did you do for a
 4
     living?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I did CNA, physical
 6
     therapy.
 7
               THE COURT:
                           How long did you do physical therapy?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Five months.
 9
               THE COURT: Did you have any special training, or did
10
     you learn on the job?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I did at home, six
12
     diplomas, CNA, physical therapy, medical office assistant.
13
     Then I went to school for my MA degree.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Any other jobs?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
15
16
               THE COURT: And are you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Divorced.
17
               THE COURT: Do you know what your ex-husband does or
18
19
     did for a living?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177:
                                          He's a cook.
21
               THE COURT: Do you have children?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yes.
22
23
               THE COURT:
                          Minors or adults?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: One teen, one adult.
25
               THE COURT:
                           What does the adult do for a living?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Worked at the Rio.
 1
                                                                He's
 2
     in school for physical therapy.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been in
 4
     Clark County, Nevada?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Eleven years.
 6
               THE COURT: How long?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Eleven years.
 8
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. Ms. King, if you'll
 9
     pass on -- pass the microphone down to Sandra Perez, Badge NO.
     178.
10
               Ms. Perez, are you employed?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yes.
12
               THE COURT:
13
                           What do you do?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I'm a test engineer at
15
     Gaming Laboratories International.
16
               THE COURT:
                           I'm sorry. Again, my ears.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Gaming laboratories
18
     International.
19
               THE COURT:
                           And what do you do there?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: We test the slot
21
     machines.
22
               THE COURT: You said you fix them?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Test them.
24
               THE COURT: Test them?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah, we --
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT: Test them --
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: -- certify them for
 3
    multiple jurisdictions.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. So how did you get into that?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: My degree is a computer
 6
     systems engineer.
 7
               THE COURT:
                          Uh-huh.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: So that's why I get the
 9
     job.
10
                           Okay. And how long have you been with
               THE COURT:
     the gaming company?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Three years.
12
13
               THE COURT: Prior to that job?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I work as a data intake
15
     for the court system.
                          We processed data for cases.
16
               THE COURT:
                          How long did you do that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: It was five months.
17
               THE COURT:
                          And before that?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Went to school.
20
                          Okay. And are you married?
               THE COURT:
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No.
22
               THE COURT:
                          Children?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178:
                                           No.
24
               THE COURT: And how long in Clark County, Nevada?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Three years.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right.
 1
 2
    Ms. Perez, please hand that down to Jeffrey Tindall, Badge No.
 3
     181.
               Mr. Tindall, what you do for a living?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I work for Southern
    Nevada Adult Mental Health Services.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: How long have you done that, sir?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Two years.
 9
               THE COURT: And what do you do there?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I'm a mental health tech.
10
     I provide direct care to clients with mental disabilities.
11
12
               THE COURT: And what kind of training did you have to
13
    have for that job?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No training, just
14
     customer service, on-the-job training.
15
16
               THE COURT: Do you have to have any medical
17
    knowledge?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No -- well, yes, I do.
19
     You have to know how to take vitals.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. So can you kind of describe your
21
     job duties a little bit.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Basically sometimes I do
23
     groups for the clients that are there, like information groups,
24
     and other groups --
25
                          Like what type of services are available?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yeah, what -- the type of
 1
 2
    groups I provide are like information on stuff they need to do,
 3
     like, in the hospital because I work for Ross and Neil, the
 4
     psychiatric hospital. So I just -- you know, the groups I
 5
    provide are just information groups, just like what time this
     group is, what time dinner is. You know, I help them with
 6
 7
     their -- you know, with their just ADLs, activities and daily
     living, and stuff like that, and I take them to and from
 8
 9
     recreation groups that the hospital provides.
               THE COURT: Okay. And before that job, what did you
10
11
     do?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I worked for Citibank.
13
               THE COURT: What did you do a Citibank?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I worked as a operation
15
     assistant.
16
               THE COURT:
                          Big change.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181:
                                          Yep.
18
               THE COURT: All right. How long were you with
19
     Citibank?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181:
                                           27 years.
21
               THE COURT: All right. And prior to Citibank?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: And prior to Citibank, I
22
23
     worked for Safeway Foods.
24
               THE COURT: Okay.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: In the produce
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
department.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: How long have you been with Safeway?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Eight years.
 4
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Nothing prior to that.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Does your wife work?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, she's a customer
 9
10
     service rep at Bank One.
               THE COURT: And do you have children?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I have a stepson.
13
               THE COURT: Is he a minor or adult?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: He's an adult.
14
15
               THE COURT: What does he do for a living?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: He's a Senior 3D artist
17
     for Paul Stillman.
18
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
19
     County, Nevada?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181:
                                           29 years.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Tindall, if
22
     you'll pass it on down to Jean Tobin, Badge No. 183.
23
               Ms. Tobin, are you employed?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes, I am.
25
               THE COURT: What you do?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I work for Neiman Marcus.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: How long have you been there?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Three months.
 4
               THE COURT: And prior to that job?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I worked at Costco.
 6
               THE COURT: How long were you with Costco?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I was at Costco for six
 8
    months.
 9
               THE COURT: And what did you do at Costco?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Food demonstration.
10
11
               THE COURT: And prior to that one?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I had my own holistic
13
    health practice.
14
               THE COURT: How long did you have that holistic
    health practice?
15
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I'm sorry?
17
               THE COURT: How long did you have the holistic health
     practice?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Thirteen years.
20
               THE COURT: And to get into holistic medicine, did
21
    you go through some kind of training?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: A lot of seminars and a
23
     lot of classes, yes.
24
               THE COURT: And what type of classes? Did you have
25
     to go through medical training?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No, no medical training.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me a little bit of
 3
     type of classes.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: The classes were centered
 5
    around autism and detoxing the body. I'm also a colon
     hydrotherapist, certified at the instructor level.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Any other type of classes you had
 8
     to take?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No.
10
               THE COURT: All right. So prior to that business,
11
     what else did you do?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: A little bit of real
13
     estate and I owned four pizza restaurants.
14
               THE COURT: And are you married?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I'm divorced.
16
               THE COURT: And what did your spouse do or does he do
17
     if you still know?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I don't know.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. And do you have children?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: How many?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183:
22
                                           Three.
23
               THE COURT: Minors or adults?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Adults.
25
               THE COURT: And what do they do for a living?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: My youngest son just came
 1
 2
    back from a tour in Kuwait, and he's stationed in Colorado
 3
     Springs. My daughter is a teacher at Las Vegas Day School, and
    my oldest son is somewhere out there in space somewhere.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. So you don't have contact with him
 6
     currently?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No, there is no contact.
 8
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
 9
     County, Nevada?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I've been here 15 years.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am. If you could
11
12
     please pass it to Dimna Sosa, Badge No. 188.
13
               Ms. Sosa, are you employed?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes.
15
               THE COURT: What do you do?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Kind of food server in a
17
     pizza place.
18
                          How long have you done that?
               THE COURT:
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Almost six years.
20
                           And prior to that job?
               THE COURT:
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: I got laid off from
     Flamingo in the buffet. I was food server.
22
23
               THE COURT: How long were you with the Flamingo?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Since '95.
25
               THE COURT: Long time. And prior to the Flamingo,
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
where did you work?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: In the buffet.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. So did you have a job before
 4
     working at the Flamingo in the buffet?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: I work for six years --
 6
     six months -- I'm sorry -- at the Burger King.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. And what is your first language,
 8
     Spanish?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Spanish.
10
               THE COURT: And how long have you lived in the United
11
     States?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: 27 years.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. So any
14
     other jobs you haven't told me about?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: No.
16
               THE COURT: Are you married?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: Does your husband work?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: What does he do?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: He works at Flamingo.
22
     He's a casino porter.
23
               THE COURT: All right. Do you have children?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: How many?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Four.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Minors or adults?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Two adults and two
 4
     minors.
 5
               THE COURT:
                          All right. The two adults, what do they
 6
     do for a living?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: The oldest one is nurse
 8
     assistant at Desert Springs, and the other one works at
 9
     Sketcher at Galleria.
10
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: And she's still doing
12
     school.
13
               THE COURT:
                           And then the other two are minors?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Still in school, yeah.
14
               THE COURT:
15
                           Okay. And Ms. Sosa, how long have you
16
     been in Clark County, Nevada?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: 27 years.
18
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right.
19
               If you could pass it to --
     move on.
20
               Jaruwan?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194:
                                           Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Badge No. 194. How do you say her last
23
     name?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194:
                                          Chaisuriya.
25
               THE COURT: Are you Thai?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I am.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: I've been to -- I mean, I recognize it as
 3
     a Thai last name. I just can't ever say it. Nice to meet you,
 4
            Are you employed?
     ma'am.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194:
                                           I am.
               THE COURT: What do you do?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: 21 dealer.
 8
               THE COURT: How long have you done that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Since 2007 until now.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. And prior to that job? What
10
11
     did you do before you were a 21 dealer?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Oh, no. I move here in
13
     2006, and I didn't work about one year.
14
               THE COURT: Did you move from Thailand in 2006?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
15
16
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And what did you do in Thailand?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I used to do in hospital,
     about supply, the tools for the operating room.
18
19
               THE COURT: Did you assist the doctor?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No, I'm not, just help
21
     them, helper for the cleaning, sterilize after they used the
     tool from the -- yeah.
22
23
               THE COURT: Okay. You cleaned the equipment?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Right.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Did you have to have any special
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
medical training?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Not really, but they
 3
     train when you get a job.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yeah.
 6
               THE COURT: How long did you do work in the hospital
 7
     in Thailand?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: A year only, yes.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. And before that, what else
     did you do for a living?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I just study, nothing, go
12
     to school.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                 Are you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I'm divorced.
14
                           Do you have children?
15
               THE COURT:
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: None.
17
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
     County, Nevada?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Ten years.
20
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am.
                                                         Let's move
21
     on. If you could pass it all the way over here at the very
22
     end, Mr. Darrell Rivera, Badge No. 198. It's the gentleman in
23
     the white shirt.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 226: Excuse me, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Yes?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 226: May I briefly use the
 1
 2
     restroom?
 3
               THE COURT: You can go ahead and go, but you have to
 4
     come back, please, and sit in the exact same seat. Thank you.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 226: No problem.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rivera, hi.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Hi.
 8
               THE COURT: Are you employed?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah, I freelance as a
    hip-hop dancer, and I teach at a couple studios around town.
10
11
               THE COURT: How long have you done that?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: About -- this is, like,
13
    my sixth year.
               THE COURT: And before that?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I was a concierge host at
16
     Cosmopolitan, and I was a front desk agent at the Venetian.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Any other jobs?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: No.
18
19
               THE COURT: And are you married?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198:
                                          No.
21
               THE COURT: Children?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198:
                                           No.
23
               THE COURT: How long in Clark County, Nevada?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: 10 years.
25
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. Let's go on to the
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
other Darrell, Mr. Darrell Shakespear, Badge No. 204.
 1
 2
               Mr. Shakespear, are you employed?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yes.
 4
               THE COURT: What do you do?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I'm a sales manager for
 6
     Coca-Cola.
 7
               THE COURT:
                           How long have you done that?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Eight months.
               THE COURT: And prior to that job?
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Eleven years at Target.
               THE COURT: And what did you do at Target?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Logistics, backroom.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  And prior to Target?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I was under age.
15
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                 And are you married?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yes.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Do you have children?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204:
18
                                           Yes.
               THE COURT:
19
                           I mean, sorry.
                                           Let me go back.
20
     your wife work?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204:
                                            No.
22
               THE COURT:
                           Stay-at-home?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: As of a year ago, yes.
24
               THE COURT: Did you have a child a year ago?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yes, ma'am.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
Okay. So you have one child?
               THE COURT:
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: I have two.
 3
               THE COURT: Two children. And are they both minors?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: One, 3, one, zero.
 5
               THE COURT: Oh, newborn, okay.
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Uh-huh.
 7
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
 8
     County, Nevada?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Twenty-eight years.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you very much. If you want to hand
     the microphone to Patricia Carvalho, Badge No. 210.
11
12
               Ms. Carvalho, what do you do for a living?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I do not work since the
13
14
     birth of my 7-year-old child.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. So you work in the home?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yes, just a home mom.
17
               THE COURT:
                           It's a hard job.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: That's a hard job, right.
18
19
               THE COURT: Yes, it sure is. It's a job that doesn't
20
     end even when it gets dark.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: There is no time in and
22
     time out, yeah.
23
               THE COURT:
                           I, know. Okay. So before you worked
24
     within the home, what did you do?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I was a medical assistant
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
for a family practice.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: For how long?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Three years, and then I
 4
     went to school for a year before that.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. So when you were the medical
     assistant, I was going to -- I think you started to tell me.
 6
 7
     You went to school for how long?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: For one year.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: To get your medical assistant degree?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. At the office -- at the medical
11
12
     office, what kind of things did they have you do?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Vitals, check in the
14
     patient to see what's -- what's going on with them that day.
     We also did -- oh, everything, just cleaning wounds, doing EKG.
15
16
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: And then assist -- on
     Wednesdays, we would assist the x-ray technicians that came in.
18
19
               THE COURT: So did you have any training in x-rays?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: No.
21
               THE COURT: And prior to working for the family
22
    practice, did you have a different job?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: We moved from Hawaii.
                                                                   So
24
     in Hawaii I was working for Matson Terminals as a
25
     administration assistant.
```

```
THE COURT: Is that an airline?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: No, it's like the -- they
 3
     import everything from the mainland to Hawaii.
 4
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yeah.
               THE COURT: Okay. How long did you do that?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Maybe five years.
 8
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: United Airlines.
               THE COURT: What did you do at United?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Reservation.
11
12
               THE COURT: For how long?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: About five years.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Are we at the end?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yes.
15
16
               THE COURT: Are you married?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yes.
               THE COURT:
                           What does your spouse do?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: He's a network
20
     engineer -- I went blank -- for MGM Resorts.
21
               THE COURT:
                           And you have one child?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I have three, one adult
23
     and two children.
                       I have two minors.
24
               THE COURT: And what does the adult do for a living?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: He works at Walmart.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
000287
```

```
THE COURT:
                          And how long have you been in Clark
 1
 2
     County, Nevada?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Ten years.
 4
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 5
               All right. Lastly, Ms. Latesha Brown, 212.
               Ms. Brown, what do you do for a living?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: I'm in the billing for
 8
     USAA Insurance, in the auto and property department.
 9
               THE COURT: How long have you been with USAA?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: A year.
10
               THE COURT: Are you from San Antonio?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: No.
13
               THE COURT: You got involved in the company
14
     elsewhere?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212:
15
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Contracted here.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Did you have to have any type of
18
19
     training in insurance to get involved in this?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: No, they trained us.
21
     getting -- trying to be -- get a license right now.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. And you'd be licensed to be -- to
23
     do what?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: I'll be licensed to be a
     insurance agent instead of just in the billing department.
25
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           Oh, okay. And prior to working for USAA,
 1
 2
     what did you do?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Right now, my night -- my
 4
    night shift I'm a banquet server, and I've been doing that for
 5
     five years through Millennium Staffing. Prior to that I did 10
     years off and on as a housekeeper, in between those times a
 6
 7
     stay-at-home mom, and my first job was 15, at Nathan's Hot
 8
    Dogs.
 9
               THE COURT: Oh, those are good. Okay. And are you
10
    married?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: No, ma'am.
12
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Do you have children?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Yes.
13
14
               THE COURT: How many?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212:
15
               THE COURT: Minor or adult?
16
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: 18 on the 5th.
               THE COURT: All right. So still in school?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Yes, on his way to
20
     college.
21
               THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark
     County, Nevada?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212:
                                           33 years.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
25
               Let me just check. I think I have a few more
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
questions for all of you.
```

All right. So I didn't tell you this. If you're selected as a juror in this case, the Court will give you the law, and you must follow the law that the Court gives you, even if it differs from your personal conception of what you think the law is. Can everyone follow that instruction?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Let me make sure I've asked you guys everything I want to ask you.

All righty. Why don't we turn everyone over to the plaintiffs' counsel.

MR. CLOWARD: And, Your Honor, just for timing purposes, how long do you want me to go before we stop for a break? We've been going for about an hour.

THE COURT: Can everyone go another 15, 20 minutes before we take a break, or do we need to stop and take a break now?

You know, go to about 3:15, okay.

MR. CLOWARD: 3:15, okay. Thank you, Judge.

So just to tell everyone kind of what I've got here, I have a seating chart with everyone's name, and to make sure that we hope Ms. Garibay do her job, I'm going to be looking down. When I ask a question, I'm going to say your name and your badge number. That we would make her job as easy as -- as easy as we can.

9

10

11

1 2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 17

The second thing is, before y'all came in, the Judge explained some of the rules of her courtroom, and one of those is that she wants me to focus on just the folks that are seated up here, and so if I ask a general question of everybody, and the folks in the back of the courtroom, it still is very important for us to know how you feel.

I won't be calling on you, but the thing that I do ask you to do is if I ask a question to the group, and it's really important to you, that topic, just make a note so that if you are ever called up here, if I ask, Hey, we've covered some things, is there anything that was said that was important to y'all, and you can say, yeah, you remember when you asked this question and that question, but it's not that I don't want to know what you guys have to say, too, I'm just going to focus on the folks in the box here.

The other thing I'd like to just tell the jurors -potential jurors off the bat is other than that little brief announcement that we gave about the case, we're not allowed to talk about the facts of the case. So I'm going to ask you a bunch of questions about hypotheticals and things like that, but there's a specific reason that I can't ask you about the facts, or I can't tell you about the facts. I just want you to know that up front so that you're not upset with either myself or Ms. Sanders for not telling you about the case during this There will come a time when we're allowed to do that,

```
but we're not allowed to do that during this process.
```

So I've got a question for everybody. If I told you guys that I hated cherry pie, and you guys were all bakers, and you were going to enter into the Clark County pie baking contest, and your specialty, what you were the very best at was speaking cherry pie, would you want to know that about me, that I hate cherry pie?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Yes. Can you tell me, Mr. Rivera, 198, why would it be important for you to know that about me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: So I wouldn't make it.

MR. CLOWARD: All right. What if you didn't have a choice? I mean, it was kind of like --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Oh, then -- if that's out of my hands then, yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: All right. Does everybody agree that it would be important for the folks that were entering the contest, I guess if you will, to know that about the Judge, if the Judge had a view or a feeling about something? Everybody agree that would be — the right thing to do would be for the Judge to say, Hey, you know what, I want to tell you about this. I hate cherry pie? Does everyone agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Are you the only judge? MR. CLOWARD: I'm the only judge.

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

```
MR. CLOWARD: Would it be as important if, say for
instance your entry was maybe a chocolate cake? Would that --
would it be as important for you to know that about me?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: It would still be
```

important, but I think it wouldn't matter.

THE COURT RECORDER: Which juror was that?

MR. CLOWARD: Oh, I'm sorry. And I already forgot
to -- I told you I was going to do that. I'm sorry.

Ms. Carvalho, 210.

THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. The other thing that I wanted to ask about, I have — I have an Aunt Nancy and a mother—in—law Nancy, and my Aunt Nancy was a store owner up in Utah, and she had someone come into the store and slipped and fell down, and they — they sued her. My mother—in—law Nancy, she actually was walking out of the restaurant one time, and she slipped and fell and broke her knee, and she ended up suing the store. Can everyone agree that if this case was about a slip and fall that maybe those two due to their personal experiences and their — their experiences might not be a good fit for that particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Brown, 212, why do you think that maybe for that particular case they might not be a good fit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Could be a little biased.

MR. CLOWARD: Can you use the microphone.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Could be a little biased about the decision they make because they have experienced this situation already.

MR. CLOWARD: Does it make either of them a bad person because they've had those experiences or views?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: No, not at all.

MR. CLOWARD: It doesn't mean they're a bad person, doesn't mean anything. It just means that they've had a different experience. One's had one experience; the other has had another, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Correct.

MR. CLOWARD: Everybody agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. The reason I tell those — those stories off the bat is that, you know, there are a couple of things that are very important for both of the parties in the case, both the Chernikoffs as well as First Transit, and all the attorneys. Everybody wants a fair fight, okay, and the only way that we can have that is if everybody is willing to tell us about their views, their experiences, their core values, their beliefs and to let us determine whether or not you guys would be the right fit for this particular case.

Can everyone see why I might want to know about y'all's experience and why I would want -- that would be

```
3
```

important for me and my clients to know? Does everyone -- can everyone see that?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So I'm going to apologize in advance for asking you a bunch of questions, okay, but I'm going to ask you a bunch of questions.

So who here, I guess, has had any -- any other experience? The Judge kind of talked about lawsuits and things like that, but how do people feel generally, you know, when you -- you heard a little bit about the facts of the case, and the other thing I want to tell you is brutal honesty is very important. What does that mean? What does brutal honesty mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Share your opinion no matter what.

MR. CLOWARD: No matter what.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 212: Your honest true opinion, no matter what.

MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Brown, 212.

Anyone else have a view of brutal honesty, what that means? Anyone else? I can stand up here all day, as long as the Judge will let me. Can I -- can I just have someone else tell me what they think brutal honesty means.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I agree with what she said. 137.

```
MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Smith, 137.
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, it's telling your
 3
     own opinion, regardless --
 4
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137 -- of how anyone feels
 6
     about it.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: Regardless of --
 8
               THE COURT RECORDER: I can't hear her.
 9
               THE COURT: Yes, we need to pass the microphone.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: It's telling your opinion
11
12
     regardless of how anyone feels about it.
13
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Regardless of
14
     whether it might hurt my feelings, regardless of whether it
15
     might hurt the other attorneys' feelings, regardless of whether
16
     it might hurt my clients' feelings, can you agree that's what
17
     was brutal honesty means?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Correct.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: I was taught when you're little, if you
20
     want something, you ask for it. So I'm going to ask everybody
21
    here to be brutally honest with me so that I can do my job for
22
    my clients. Will you all do that for me?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Now I'm going to tell you
25
     something. I'm going to be asking at the end of this for an
```

73

```
amount into the tens of millions of dollars, okay. I want to
 1
 2
     know how y'all are feeling about me even saying that, what
 3
     feelings are stirred up inside when I say that?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: (Unintelligible.)
 5
               THE COURT: We need your name and badge number,
 6
     please.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Darrell Rivera.
 8
               THE COURT: Badge number?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198:
                                           198.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Say more, please.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I don't know, I mean,
13
     that's just how it is nowadays. Like, you slip and fall
     somewhere, and then you find out you can make out that money,
14
     you're going to sue. It happens all the time. I see it.
15
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for being brutally honest.
17
     Say more about how you feel.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I mean, that's pretty
19
               I mean, I'm not saying it's bad or good. I'm just
20
     saying it's how it is.
21
               MR. CLOWARD:
                            Sure.
22
               Sir, Mr. Shakespear, 204 -- Your Honor, would you
23
     like us to pass the microphone each time, or --
24
               THE COURT: I think it would be easier for Maria.
25
               MR. CLOWARD: Let's -- let's do that.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

Mr. Shakespear, 204.

1 2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: You can't put a price on life, but you can put a price on suffering and taking care of your family after you're gone.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Sir, back on the back,
Mr. Strobeck, 138, you're -- you're shaking your head. Tell
me -- tell me your thoughts and feelings.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: The way I was raised, I just see all these different cases. People are just suing money — suing for money because that's just what they want is money. They don't really care about the situation that happened, even though it may be upsetting. They just want money in their pockets, and it's greedy.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Okay. I thank you for sharing that. I appreciate it.

Who else feels like that even if just a little bit, like Mr. Strobeck, 138? Please -- please raise your hand and speak to me.

Thank you. Please.

Okay. So we're going to go with Ms. Hinds, and then Mr. Tindall, and before we move, who else? Who else, even if just a little bit, feels like Mr. Strobeck?

Ms. Hinds, 171, tell me how you feel, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I pretty much agree with Mr. Strobeck. This day and age, it's just become the thing to

```
00029
```

do, and when people mention dollar figures of that amount, I think moneygrubbing, just, I mean, sorry. Things happen. Not everybody gets money for it, you know. So I just find it galling.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing how you feel about this.

Mr. Tindall, tell me how you feel about this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I also agree that things happen, and when sometimes, you know, people — you know, I understand the loss, and I understand it's hard, but there's also a factor in there, too, like let's try to get as much as we can out of this situation, and I feel like a lot of times that's, you know, what people try to do, especially when they tried to sue other people. You know, they go for the largest amount that they can actually get, you know, just to get the most they can, and I think there's, you know, a little bit of, like, just going overboard with it, with the amounts. That's my personal opinion.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Anyone else? Please talk to me.

Ms. Carvalho -- Ms. Carvalho, 210.

Would you pass the microphone, sir.

Please talk to me about this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I think it depends. If you're fighting -- if you're fighting because of the wrongdoing

and the negligence of the situation, and that's what you're fighting for, and of course every problem there's a money sign on it, but if you're doing it to seek justice in what has happened, that could've been prevented, I mean, the money part is there, and whatever they do with it is what they do with it, but to seek justice for the situation, I mean.

MR. CLOWARD: So do you think that -- I guess -- and I want to see if I understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Is it greed? I don't -- I mean, that depends on the person that's -- that's trying to fight against it. Are you doing it for greed because you're mourning? Yeah, it's -- that's not right, but if you're doing it because you need to seek -- so that some -- it doesn't happen to another person, then fight.

MR. CLOWARD: So if I understand you, what you're telling me is that maybe depending on the motive of the reason why, that might make a difference?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing. Could you pass the microphone.

Mr. Strobeck, and Ms. Smith, 138 and 137 and also Ms. Perez, I'd like to hear from you. All three of you were kind of nodding -- nodding your head, and I want to just get your feelings and thoughts on what -- what was just said by Ms. Carvalho.

If they are doing it for justice and protecting the future of other people's lives, then that's a different story, but if there's large dollar amount such as tens of millions of dollars, you don't need tens of millions of dollars to survive after your family member has passed away. MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you have something you'd like to share?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Actually yes, I would.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I agree with that to an

Denise Hinds, Juror 171. My husband died of cancer, lung cancer, and he had been complaining of shoulder pain and being unable to breathe. The doctor never once took an x-ray, and so I considered it medical negligence, but taking the doctor to court and trying to sue would not have brought my husband back, and I don't think it would have made me feel better.

MR. CLOWARD: Let me ask you a question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: This is a hypothetical, but assume for a minute that somebody down the road, maybe it was, you know, your neighbor or someone else that lived in your community, had the same thing happened to them by that same doctor, had you filed a lawsuit to hold the doctor accountable, that by doing that, it didn't happen to the next person, do you think that would be important or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I think that would be

1 2

 important, but I also understand that there would not be any way for me to afford an attorney for that. So I just -- word-of-mouth. If I knew a person was going to that doctor, I would say, You need to find another doctor. He may not be giving you the best care.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: And encourage that person to tell other people.

MR. CLOWARD: What if it was someone that you didn't know? You had no way of knowing, and the only way that that doctor would be held accountable to make sure that that didn't happen to somebody else was to file a lawsuit, raise some attention, shine some light on that issue, do you think that that would change for you? Wouldn't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Maybe. I would have to think about that a little bit.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Please do, and I would like to hear how you feel after.

I want to go to Mr. Tindall first, but I also -- I would like to talk to you, Gayle, 133, and then also Ms. Smith, and let's go with Mr. Tindall first, but I want to -- if it's, okay, can we come back to you? I saw you nodding.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, certainly.

MR. CLOWARD: And it's important for me to feel how you feel about this. I just wanted to make sure it was okay if

```
we go over here first.
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No problem.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I just wanted to kind of,
 5
     like, add to what I said about, like, the -- the exorbitant
     dollar amount that people ask for when they sue. It's kind
 6
 7
     of -- it's, like, I understand that, you know, like, I agree
     with what this gentleman said back here, that you don't really
 8
 9
    need millions and millions and millions of dollars to get
10
     justice, okay.
11
               I also think that being a lawyer, you're trying to
12
     get as much money as you can for your client and your firm.
13
     it's about dollars. You're trying to make money, and that's my
14
     personal opinion. You know, so you guys try to make as much
    money as you can to help, you know, not only your clients but
15
16
     your firm as well, and I just don't feel that all that money is
17
     going to justify anything. That's my opinion.
18
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing.
19
               And I just remembered we didn't come back to you.
20
     I want to come back to you.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Me or her?
22
               MR. CLOWARD: You've got the -- you've got the --
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Okay.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: You're in the hot seat. You've got the
```

25

mic.

How about it?

1 2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: So I feel that money doesn't replace a life. So no dollar amount can change that. I don't think that if you specifically sue someone for a large amount of money that it's going to stop their negligence. If they're a large corporation and they have money, that does not put them out of business sometimes. So that doesn't necessarily stop, like what you were asking this lady, them from being negligent to the next person. It sheds some light. Yeah, it might put them in the news or — but the dollar amount doesn't stop the negligence. So suing for millions of dollars, to me, sometimes people do it because they're hurt, not necessarily because you need to survive or live off of that. They do it based on emotions.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: And sometimes that's not the best thing to do something based on emotions.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.

Ms. Scheeler, 133: Tell me your thoughts on this whole thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, well, I was only shaking my head because of her story, the tragedy of her husband, having symptoms, nobody paying attention, and I was only nodding my head because my first husband was a doctor.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: And the chances of suing

1 2

a doctor are about as close to -- as suing the government. I mean, they close ranks. I don't mean the government, but it's difficult, you know.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: And as far as -- I would have to know the situation to put any price on it, and I think people should be awarded something, but some of the -- some of the amounts are just astronomical. It's just kind of like, you know, who needs \$10 million?

MR. CLOWARD: There is absolutely no question, no question that the amount that we're going to be asking for is a huge amount of money, no question about that. I think everyone -- everyone can agree that the amount that I talked about, the tens of millions, is an astronomical amount of money, but what's really important to find out for me and my clients is the folks that it doesn't matter what we show.

And I can't talk about the facts and the evidence of the case, but it doesn't matter if we showed the most egregious violations of policies known to man, that the evidence supported a verdict in that amount, but because of maybe your views, like me with cherry pie, I'll never like cherry pie. I just think it's not going to happen. I'm 37 years old. It's not going to happen.

And so I wouldn't be -- it wouldn't be fair for me to sit in judgment of a cherry pie or a baking contest if someone

entered that. It just flat out wouldn't be. So what I'm trying to find out is folks' views that really make it unfair for my clients to sit on the — their jury, and that's the — that's the brutal honesty that I'm asking each of you to really truly reach deep inside because I think they have a right to prove their case, and if they prove their case, then they have a right to have a jury that's willing to enter any amount in the verdict if that's what the facts and evidence show.

Same thing with the defense, they have a right if the facts and evidence, like Ms. Sanders said, shows that they're not negligent, they have a right to have a jury that's not going to feel bad because my clients lost a son, and they want -- and a jury wants to award them something, just because they're here. So that's -- that's what I'm asking for is just brutal honesty.

So I guess by a raise of hands, Mr. Strobeck, would it be fair to my clients, based on everything I've told you, based on -- you know, so far, based on your core values, your beliefs, would it be fair to have you on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell -- say more about that, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I think it relates back
to what she was saying.

MR. CLOWARD: And I'm sorry. Can you get that microphone?

```
5
6
7
8
9
10
```

1 2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, I'm -MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.
Mr. Strobeck, 138.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I think it relates back to what she was saying. Her husband passed away. The doctor could've looked at the shoulder, but she didn't go sue them for money because of her loss. Now, she can walk into the hospital and tell the doctor, Hey, this is what happened. I just want to let you know so that you are able to look maybe if someone says a complaint like this again instead of suing the doctor, maybe ruining his life or his family's life just because you're — you want money.

MR. CLOWARD: I truly appreciate your brutal honesty with me. Thank you. It means a lot.

Ms. Smith, 137, would it be fair to my clients, given what I've -- what I've told you and your views on, you know, multimillion, into the millions, would it be fair to have you on this jury for my clients?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: That's the decision for you to make. I mean, for me, I don't have a — I don't know them. I don't have an opinion about them based on what they're asking. I don't know the evidence. So I don't know any of the situation. So that's for you to decide.

MR. CLOWARD: Imagine for a minute that you were my client.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
 1
 2
              MR. CLOWARD: That it's me and you. You were sitting
 3
            I was talking to some folks that thought exactly like
     there.
 4
    you. They were -- they were literally your clone, and you
 5
    heard everything that they said, and I walked back to you, and
     I said -- I said, Ms. Smith, what do you think about these
 6
 7
     jurors? What would you tell me?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: What would I tell you?
 9
              MR. CLOWARD: Brutal honesty. Like if I said,
    Ms. Smith, do we want these jurors with this frame of mind to
10
11
     stand in judgment over our case, this has been going on for
12
    many years --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
13
14
               MR. CLOWARD: This is our day. We only have one
15
     shot, one time in court for this --
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: So if I was them
17
     asking -- if you asked -- say it again.
               MR. CLOWARD: If you were my --
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: If I was them, would you
20
     want them to pick me? No. Or anybody here?
21
               MR. CLOWARD: No, just if someone had the same frame
22
     of mind as you.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
24
              MR. CLOWARD: So you're my client, and I go, and I
25
     ask you, I say, Ms. Smith, this is what everyone, all of these
```

```
jurors have said, how do you feel about them? Do you think we
 1
 2
     ought to leave them on the jury, or do you think we ought to
 3
     try and --
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Some of them, no, I would
 5
    not want them to be up here.
               MR. CLOWARD: If it was your frame of mind.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: If it was my frame of
 8
    mind.
 9
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me why.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: If I was them, I would
10
     want someone that is speaking more so in my favor.
11
12
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: That's what you want.
13
14
     When you come to a courtroom, you want to be -- let's be
15
              Everybody here on each side wants to be the winner.
16
     So you want people that are speaking more towards your side
17
     then versus, you know, the opposing.
18
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.
19
               THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, can we take a little break?
20
               MR. CLOWARD: Yes. Yes.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the
22
     jury, I'm going to ask that you please come back at 3:30. A
23
     few things, look and see where you're seated because you'll
24
     have to sit in the exact same seat when you come back.
25
               Don't talk about the case. Don't form or express an
```

opinion on this case. Don't do any research on the case.

Please come back at 3:30. Thank you.

1 2

And again, the attorneys and the parties, if they see you in the hallway, they'll probably walk away because they cannot talk to you, and please don't talk to them.

(Panel of prospective jurors exiting 3:19 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The jury is out of the room. Before we go off the record, I just want to talk to counsel real quick. I have some concerns on Mr. Charlie Nguyen, Badge No. 151. He's the Vietnamese gentlemen. It does seem that he has some genuine issues. I don't know how much he's understanding, but he certainly has difficulty communicating. Do you want to let him go for cause, or do you want to try to speak with him further?

MR. ALVERSON: I would let him go for cause. Quite frankly, I didn't understand a word he said.

MR. CLOWARD: I agree with that.

THE COURT: I didn't understand much of it either. So we'll let Mr. Charlie Nguyen, Badge No. 151, go by way of stipulation for cause.

The other individual I had some concerns of, and I'm not sure how much she's understanding, and I had a little difficulty understanding her was -- I think it was Ms. Sosa. Let me see. Yes, Ms. Sosa.

MR. ALVERSON: Yes, Ms. Sosa.

```
MS. SANDERS: The Thai.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: She's Badge No. 188.
 3
               MR. ALVERSON: Same thing, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Cloward?
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: It was a language -- language barrier?
 6
               MR. ALVERSON: Yes.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: Yes. That's -- that's.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. So we'll let her go by stipulation
     for cause.
 9
10
               Anything else we need to address before we go off the
11
     record?
12
               MR. ALVERSON: Not on our behalf, Your Honor.
13
               MR. CLOWARD: No, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. When we come back,
15
     we'll give you the new names for those seats.
16
               (Proceedings recessed 3:18 p.m. to 3:31 p.m.)
17
          (In the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)
               THE COURT: All right. Counsel, make yourself
18
19
     comfortable.
20
               At this time, I'm going to ask Mr. Charlie Nguyen,
21
     Badge No. 151, and Ms. Dimna Sosa, Badge No. 188, to stand up
22
     and move to the back of the room.
23
               Jason, please show them where they'll be seated.
24
               And then can the clerk please call the new ones.
25
               THE CLERK: Dale Burr, Badge No. 214, and Caleb
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
Morgan, Badge No. 216.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: All right. My two new gentlemen, have
 3
     either of you been jurors before?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214:
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. And have either of you ever
 7
    been involved in a lawsuit, either as a plaintiff or a
     defendant?
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214:
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No.
10
11
               THE COURT: Have either of you been a witness in a
12
     lawsuit?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214:
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No.
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay. And as you probably heard, if
16
    you're selected as a juror in this case, the Court will give
17
     you the law in this case, and that will be the law you use to
18
     decide the case. Can you follow all instructions of the Court
19
     on the law, even if they differ from your personal conceptions
20
     of what you think the law ought to be?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yes.
23
               THE COURT: Thank you. And starting with Mr. Dale
24
     Burr, Badge No. 214, are you employed, sir?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          What do you do?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I work for AAC, American
 3
     Addiction Center.
 4
               THE COURT: American what?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: American Addiction
 6
     Center.
 7
               THE COURT: What do you do there?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I do porter.
 9
               THE COURT: American Addiction Center, and I heard --
10
     I didn't hear.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Porter and housekeeping
11
12
     and floor technician.
13
               THE COURT: How long have you been there?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: About two years.
15
               THE COURT: And prior to that job?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Huh?
17
               THE COURT: And prior to that job?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I worked for Boulder City
18
19
     Airport.
20
                          What did you do there?
               THE COURT:
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Photographer and
22
     salesclerk.
23
                          How long were you at Boulder City?
               THE COURT:
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Three years.
25
               THE COURT: And prior to that job?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I did freelance cleaning,
 1
 2
     foreclosed homes.
 3
                          And how long did you do that?
               THE COURT:
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: About a year and a half.
 5
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: That's it.
 7
               THE COURT: Are you married?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: Does your wife work?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.
10
11
               THE COURT: What does she do?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: She works at the airport
13
     as well.
14
               THE COURT: Boulder City?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: No, Las Vegas, the
16
     Atlantic terminal.
17
               THE COURT: What does she do there?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: She's a CSR.
18
19
               THE COURT: What's a CSR?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: It's like a reservation
21
     agent.
22
               THE COURT: Oh, okay.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Okay.
24
               THE COURT: And do you have children?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214:
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
THE COURT: How long have you been in Clark County,
 1
 2
     Nevada.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Twenty-five years.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 5
               Let's give the microphone to -- where is my other new
 6
     gentleman?
 7
               There you are. Caleb Morgan, Badge No. 216.
 8
     Mr. Morgan, sir, are you employed?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yes.
               THE COURT: What do you do?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I work for a thrift store
11
12
     in Henderson, Nevada.
13
               THE COURT: A what store?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: A thrift store.
14
15
               THE COURT:
                          Oh, how long have you done that?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Four years.
17
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I worked at Walmart in
18
19
     Seattle, Washington.
20
                          What did you do at Walmart?
               THE COURT:
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I was a grocery store
22
     clerk -- or -- yeah.
23
                          And how long were you with Walmart?
               THE COURT:
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Four years.
25
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I worked at a fast food
 1
 2
     chain.
 3
               THE COURT: And how long were you there?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: For a year.
 5
               THE COURT: And prior to that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No -- no other job
 6
 7
     experience.
 8
               THE COURT: Married?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No, ma'am.
               THE COURT: Children?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216:
11
12
               THE COURT: And how long in Clark County, Nevada?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Five years in April.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
               Mr. Cloward, if you'd like to continue.
15
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               Welcome to Mr. Caleb and Mr. Burr, correct?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yes.
18
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So I'm going to -- I want to
20
     come back to you gentlemen, but before we do that, I wanted to
21
     follow up on -- on the conversation I was having with Ms. Smith
22
    before the break so if you would, sir -- it's Mr. Caleb, right?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes, sir.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: If you wouldn't mind just passing the
25
     microphone back there, we'll go -- and then I went to come and
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
2
               So I guess what I was -- the next question -- I think
 3
     you and I, we finished our conversation, didn't we?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: I asked you whether you felt like it
 6
     would be fair to have you on the jury. What was your response
 7
     again?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Your last question was if
 8
 9
     you asked them -- if I were them, would I pick any of the
10
     jurors here.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: Would you want someone with your frame
12
     of mind?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yeah.
13
14
               MR. CLOWARD: I guess the way that you see things?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: And the answer was, no,
16
     because I said that everybody wants to win, and people would
17
     like someone with that mindset to be more in their favor.
               MR. CLOWARD: Let me ask a question then, and I
18
19
     appreciate your honesty, your brutal honesty.
20
               Mr. Strobeck, I appreciate your brutal honesty so
21
     far.
22
               Is it -- is it the -- I guess the amount that I've
23
     talked about, the amount of money, or is it just that the --
24
     you know what, my clients are here, and they lost their son,
```

talk to you, too.

1

25

KARR Reporting, Inc.

and you feel like maybe, you know what, they shouldn't even be

bringing a lawsuit, no matter what? So I guess is it -- my question is, do you have a problem with the amount that I've talked about, or is the fact that they're even here -- which one of those, I guess, would you have a bigger problem with or any problem?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I -- I previously stated I don't have a problem with the amount, or -- I don't know them. I don't know what they're here for. When -- the initial discussion was how much -- when you said, how do we feel about a large sum.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: You can't put a price on -- everybody has lost a family member. You can't put a price on that.

MR. CLOWARD: If that's their only remedy in this case, they can't -- they can't do anything other than -- than that, how do you -- how does that sit with you? Is it -- I mean, if you were asked -- if you were asked to keep on this and say, you know what, this is the only thing they can do is file a lawsuit --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.

MR. CLOWARD: -- and this is what were asking you to do, would you be able to set aside your views and follow the law, or is it -- is it that, you know, in your mind because of your experiences -- just like cherry pie with me, I don't care

what I'm told. I'm going to not like cherry pie. And so I'm going to -- you know, where does it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: It doesn't affect my decision against them or anything.

MR. CLOWARD: All right. Thank you.

1 2

I never got back to you. Can we -- can we talk to you, Ms. Perez, 178. Tell me how you feel about this whole -- this whole thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: It just feels if this for justice, why not suing someone? (Unintelligible.) I don't know her name, but she said it's the right way. If it's not for the good cause — I mean, you want a neutral juror. That's what you're looking for. So the evidence will show everything, either it's like the wrong thing. Everything will like pointed out. So as long as it's for the good cause, everyone should go to suit. I mean, not everyone has money but still.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing. Sorry it took me so long for me to get to you.

Ms. King, why don't you tell me how you feel. You're sitting right there. Let's put you on the hot seat for a minute.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Well, my sister-in-law's, her daughter, 21 years ago, she passed away, and came back with brain injury, and she didn't want to sue because it didn't -- it wasn't going to bring her daughter back, but her daughter

```
came back with brain injury. She can't walk or talk, but she still have her daughter, but as she looked around and seeing how everyone was telling her sue, she still sued, but she still was angry because it wasn't giving her her daughter that she gave birth to. So, I mean, if I was in that situation, no, I wouldn't sue. It won't bring anyone back, the person you have lost.
```

MR. CLOWARD: Do you have a problem with my clients being here, sitting over here, the fact that they're here with their lawyer -- lawyers? I mean, do you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I'm neutral.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. -- is it Colyar?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Colyar.

MR. CLOWARD: Colyar, 172. That's quite the impressive beard you've got there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Thank you.

MR. CLOWARD: Maybe you and Mr. Caleb can compare notes. Tell me how you feel. How does this whole conversation sit with you? What kind of emotions are swirling around? What things are you wanting to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: You can't put money on life, but I feel that there are times where emotions will get in the way of things. So it's more of how it were to play out and what would happen, more than it would be about the money.

```
MR. CLOWARD: Say more about that. Help me
 1
     understand what -- say more, please.
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I'm just kind of agreeing
 4
     with what she said, and you just can't really put money on
 5
     life.
               MR. CLOWARD: Is it -- I guess do you feel maybe like
 6
 7
    Mr. Strobeck, who, you know, he said it wouldn't be fair to
    have him on the -- on the jury. Do you feel more like him --
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah.
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me why, please.
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I feel like there's a lot
11
     of greed out there, but I don't know. It's just my feeling.
12
13
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Anything else you would want to
     share with me?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Lawyers tend to ask one question after
17
     another after another, and sorry that's kind of how we --
18
                      I appreciate your comments. Thank you.
     that's our job.
19
               Mr. -- oh, Mr.Rivera, right?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.
21
               MR. CLOWARD: 198. Tell me your thoughts on this.
22
    You were raising your hand. You were pretty engaged in the
23
     conversation. Tell me where you fit with this, and can you
24
     grab the microphone.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: With this, like, I don't
```

```
know. To me, if you never mentioned the 10 million, like, a lot of people's views would — all their answers would be different, to be honest, if you never mentioned 10 million. But for me in this type of situation, like you said, if this is your last shot, like, if I lost a family member and this was, okay, my only shot, like, I got to sue, like, I have to get some type of justice, like, I have to get my word across, like, do right by my family, this is my last shot, and I'm going to do it, you know. So that's how I feel.
```

And then I think -- I don't know. I've always been curious because I feel like sometimes the plaintiffs don't come up with the money, like it's more of the lawyer. They're like, You know what, you could get this, like, because I feel like someone won't come up and be, like, I want 10 million. You know, like, the lawyers usually sort that money out. So, I mean, like, some of the people were saying, you want neutral jurors -- jurors. So I think that's how it should be, like, no matter what, at the end of the day, it's what you can prove in court.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: And then if you -- yeah, that's how it should be.

MR. CLOWARD: Do you think it would be -- knowing -you know, I can't obviously crawl inside your mind -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.

```
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
```

1 2

```
MR. CLOWARD: -- and know everything. I do like your shoes though.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Thank you.
```

MR. CLOWARD: But if -- would it be fair to my clients, knowing -- you know, you know what's in -- you know your views.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: And you know how you see things. Would it be fair to have you on this jury for them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I don't know honestly, just because, like, I don't know the facts, or like you said, you can disclose a lot of the things. So I just know a family member passed away, but I just don't know what really happened. So I can't really answer that.

MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Let me ask it this way. Is there anything that's been said this far that in the back of you're mind you're thinking, I don't care what on earth you, Mr. Cloward, and your cocounsel Mr. Allen, and your partner Ms. Braiser, I don't care what you present from the witness stand or otherwise, I'm never getting to where you want me to get because -- I mean, do you feel that way, or are you looking at it more like -- can you tell -- tell me, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: With that, it would

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
depend. Like, it's, like, I can't -- I can't put emotions into
 1
 2
     it. I don't think anyone should. Like, obviously we want to
 3
    be remorseful, but, like, I mean, if the defendant, like,
     showed the evidence where it's, like, you know, he died on his
 4
 5
    own, like, and they weren't part of it, then -- you know, then
    that's where, like, I'm not fair to be, like, a juror for them,
 6
 7
    you know because -- yeah.
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I think -- tell me -- correct me
 8
 9
     if I'm wrong. I think you're willing to give me a chance.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.
10
11
               MR. CLOWARD: You're willing to give me a chance to
12
    prove my case. You haven't -- you haven't made your mind up.
13
     You just don't have enough information.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: Is that fair?
15
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah, definitely.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
               I haven't heard from hardly any of you folks right
18
19
     here. Can we --
```

21

22

23

24

25

Actually, before we go, because I haven't heard from you either. I've heard a little bit, but not a lot. Tell me what -- where does this whole conversation sit with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: It's really all about the facts, like -- like he said. I don't have enough facts to say if it was negligence. I don't have enough facts to say if it

KARR Reporting, Inc.

was just on his own. I don't have -- I don't know what type of state he was in or whatnot. You know, money does play a factor in a lot of things. I mean, I don't think \$10 million is exactly a great price tag, you know, pain and suffering.

It's -- you can't put money -- you can't put money on it.

Do I feel like I could be neutral to tell you, yeah, they were wrong or, you know, it wasn't their fault or whatnot? You know, I'm kind of with the same standpoint as he is.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. I can't really tell you what the laws are and so forth. That's the Judge's job, and I'm going to let her, you know, do that at the end of this, but if you did receive, you know, instruction that, you know, if we proved our case and that money damages were something that you would be required to figure out and talk to your fellow jurors, is that something that you're willing to do, or are you telling me, you know what, it's impossible to put the value on human life, and I'm not willing to — to do it? Or you just saying, you know, it's — it's kind of this nebulous concept, and it might be hard, but I'd want to hear more facts before I could do it? What are you saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: If you could prove your case and prove it well, then, yeah, I mean, I would side -- I would side with those who have lost -- lost their son or, you know, stuff like that. So that's -- that's where -- that's where I stand. I need more facts, and I need more evidence,

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
and good evidence.
 1
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And for the record, I don't
 3
     think we --
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Darrell Shakespeare, 204.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: 204. I appreciate it. Thank you.
    please pass that back. Let's hear from some of the folks over
 6
 7
    here.
               Tell me what -- how do you feel -- Ms. Martinez, 156?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
 9
10
               MR. CLOWARD: How do you feel about this whole
     conversation?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 156: Pretty neutral.
13
     like they said, no type of money is going to bring a family
14
     member back, but if justice is what makes you feel better at
15
     the end of the day, then why not go for that.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. Sir, is it -- is it Laury?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
               MR. CLOWARD: 152?
18
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
20
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me, where does all of this sit
21
     with you? What's going on in your mind? When you heard me,
22
     and the first thing I said, you know, into the tens of millions
23
     of dollars, what was it that you were thinking to yourself?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Oh, well, you know
25
     inclined to agree with her, too, you know. There is no price
                          KARR Reporting, Inc.
```

```
you can put on a life. You know, once it's gone, it's gone.
 1
 2
    But, you know, I think the money thing is probably, you know,
 3
    plaintiffs' concern really. I don't think really -- I mean,
     there is a lot of greed out there, but I don't think it's
 4
 5
     nothing for, you know, anybody to judge or whatever. You know
     what I mean? I mean, it's something that will be there to
 6
 7
    maybe help them in the long run, but I think even they know it
     won't replace what they lost.
 8
 9
               MR. CLOWARD: Do you have a view one way or another
     as to what you would be willing, I mean, as far as -- are you
10
     going to allow me to prove my case?
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
13
```

MR. CLOWARD: Sir, let's -- Mr. Burr, one -- excuse me -- 214, tell me, how does this sit with you, all this conversation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I mean, I don't -- I don't really know if you can put a price on a life, but I don't think that's what they're trying to do. I think they're trying to make their pain ease a little bit and get through their crisis at hand right now. So, I mean, I don't know if it would be that high of an amount would be appropriate, but I think a little something would help them at ease through their crisis.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me -- tell me more about that. What do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Like, for example, they

KARR Reporting, Inc.

wasn't expecting the death. They wasn't -- they didn't plan ahead of time to save money or, like, funeral costs and stuff like that. So, like, maybe, like, some money would ease their pain financially and emotionally, but I don't think that it should be that high of an amount.

1 2

MR. CLOWARD: So it's fair to say that -- that -- do you feel that it doesn't matter what the evidence is, you could never enter an amount into the verdict into the tens of millions? Or is it something you just say, you know what, I don't really know much about it; it sounds like a lot; from what I know I would only -- it would be much lower?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I think, yeah, it would be, like, much lower, like, maybe just pay for, like, the funeral arrangements or, you know, like, just to give them something to ease their pain, but I think that's way too much in my opinion.

MR. CLOWARD: If I were to prove that that's the -whatever the amount is, and I'm not, you know, saying what the
amount is, I'm just saying, you know, at the end of this, I'm
going to ask for a large amount, but if at the end of this, if
I prove that's the amount that the evidence shows is deserved
and what it needs to be, will you let me -- I mean, would you
be able to enter that into the verdict, let me prove my case,
or are you to the point where it's just, like, you know what,
I -- there's no way I would award a hundred thousand dollars?

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
I don't care what the evidence is. That's just how I feel. Please be brutally honest with me, sir.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: If you could prove that that's what it costs and the damages made and emotionally, yeah, I would put that in. I would agree to it.

MR. CLOWARD: So you're -- you're willing to let me prove my case, fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.

Sir, Mr. Latoya (sic), 159, let's -- let's hear from you, and then we'll go -- we'll just kind of snake around, and then we'll -- we've talked with Mr. Tindall in a little bit, but please tell me how -- how you feel about all of this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I pretty much agree with everyone else's here, you know, their statements. You can't really put a price on life, but once given your chance to prove your case with the facts, the evidence, then we can go from there, you know, as far as the viewpoints of the plaintiff and the defendant.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Are you -- if the evidence showed -- we prove our case, and we prove that -- that First Transit was negligent, really negligent, if we showed that, are you -- are you willing to enter an amount into the verdict form to reflect what the evidence shows, or are you -- when you say, you know, you can't put a value on life, are you going to say,

```
well, you know, what, they're negligent, but I'm not going to
 1
 2
     award anything because, you know, I don't think you can put a
 3
     value on life? I mean, where does it sit with you?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I mean, you can't really
 5
    put a price on life, but if they want to sue for a certain
     amount, like 10 million, that's, you know -- that's their
 6
 7
     choice, but like I said, you have to prove the facts.
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: You know, prove your
10
     case. That's your --
11
                            So you're -- if I understand, you're
               MR. CLOWARD:
12
     willing to let me prove my case?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yeah.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: You're not saying that it wouldn't be
15
     fair to have you on the panel, are you?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I'm willing to have you
17
     guys prove your case first.
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.
18
19
               Ms. Chaisuriya, 194?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
21
               MR. CLOWARD: What -- how do you think about all this
22
     conversation and everything that's been said?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yeah, I agree with them,
     and for me, I think we don't want this happen. We don't want
24
25
     to go to the court and ask money for and that. We don't want
```

the loss the family, but it's -- who knows that lost the one whom take care everybody, the whole family. That's the -- what you call it -- they are the one bring income to the house, important, but like you said, you have to prove, and you're asking a lot of money they can have for you. What you going to do for them? You just accept what you have to.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for sharing.

1 2

Mr. -- excuse me -- Caleb. I've said your last name, like, four times already. I've got too much writing on the paper there. Mr. Caleb, 216, tell me where you sit with all this. What are you thinking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I think the amount of money is irrelevant. I mean, I personally think it's wrong for that amount of money, but I think it's more of a scare tactic for the company they're going up against, just to get the word out, just to let everybody know that they were negligent. They were in the wrong, that — so no one else has to go through what they're going through.

I — I would probably never agree with the amount of money. You said tens of millions. So it's probably going to be 40, \$50 million, you know, but then again it's not my — I am not in control of the law or the bylaws of all that, and so they're probably not moneygrubbing. You know, they just want to get some justice. They just want to make sure that their

KARR Reporting, Inc.

voices are heard.

MR. CLOWARD: Well, let me ask you because you said kind of a scare tactic. I want to follow up on what -- what you've just told me and see if I understand it. Do you think that, I guess, companies would listen if people came into court and jurors just said either negligent or not negligent and never got to the money? The money was never even discussed. Do you think that corporate America would listen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No.

MR. CLOWARD: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Because it wouldn't be a headline in the paper. It wouldn't be a headline online. People really wouldn't follow the story, follow the -- so they would feel no responsibility for that, you know, or they would feel no fear towards, you know, oh, you know, I'll save you if you're suing for 10 million. They'll probably want to settle. You know, it's okay. Well, we don't want to give up that amount of money, and it's going to be on all of the headlines, and so we're going to -- you know, we'll give them a hundred thousand, and hopefully they're happy. We're happy. You know, it would still be a knock on their company, you know, but it won't be as big of a tragic incident.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for sharing. I just have one final question. I haven't given you an amount. If -- are you willing to let me prove the case, or are you -- are you already

KARR Reporting, Inc.

```
kind of closed off --
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: If the money --
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: I never gave you -- and so that to me
 4
     implies that it doesn't matter what I prove to you.
 5
     fair to my clients to have you on -- on the jury --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: With only the facts, the
 6
 7
    minor facts that have been given, I would -- I would disagree
     with money. I probably would never agree with 30, 40 million,
 8
 9
    but that's probably not the sole point of this trial. So, you
10
     know.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: And so if I understand you, just based
12
     on the very limited -- and I didn't -- I haven't said anything
13
     that I think First Transit did either right or wrong.
14
     haven't given you any facts. You agree with that, right?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: So your comments are based on just the
17
     sole, little teeny bit; is that fair?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: That's fair.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Will you let me prove my case to you,
20
     and if the amount that should be entered into the verdict, if I
21
     prove that, are you willing to do that? Will you let me prove
22
     the case?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I suppose, yeah.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Ms. Tobin, 183, fair to say that you
25
     don't like any of the lawyers? You don't like lawyers?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No, I do not.
 1
 2
              MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I've got to ask you a real
 3
     critical question.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Okay.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Even though you don't like the legal
 6
    process
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Correct.
 8
              MR. CLOWARD: -- even though, you know, you don't --
 9
     you don't like the process at all --
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: It has its value.
11
               MR. CLOWARD: -- would you be able to sit, you know,
12
     fairly to both sides and kind of dislike both of us, but let us
13
     prove the case, or is it, you know what, you're just not going
14
     to be a good fit in this case because you just don't like
     lawyers? You don't like the process? You just --
15
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No, for me it would all
17
    be based on intent.
18
               MR. CLOWARD: Tell me -- say more, please.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Okay. For me, intent is
20
     why the lawyers represent the plaintiff -- I mean the
21
     defendant, the plaintiff. It depends on -- I can't sit in this
22
     mother's shoes. I am a mother, but for her, the value is
23
     there, and I respect that, but her intent and the lawyers'
24
     intent in this case concerned me.
25
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. What about the intent of the
```

```
defense?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: That concerns me too.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Let's -- let's be -- let's be realistic
 4
           We're trying to obtain money. They're trying to save
 5
     money.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183:
 6
                                           Sure.
 7
               MR. CLOWARD: Right?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183:
 9
               MR. CLOWARD: It's what it boils down to.
     calling things like they are, being brutally honest, right?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Correct.
11
12
               MR. CLOWARD: So the question I have is, are you
13
     willing to listen to the facts and the evidence, or is your
     experience that, you know what, you're just frustrated with the
14
15
     process, and one of us is going to get punished because of the
16
    bad experience that you had with what sounds like some real
17
     crappy lawyers?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.
19
               MR. CLOWARD: Would it be fair to both of us to keep
20
     you on the jury? Please level with me on this, brutally
21
    honest.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I don't think that I can
23
    understand the intent that would be brought forth in the
```

testimony. I don't think you can get to know someone in three

to five days, to know what their intent is and what they --

24

25

```
who they are as a person and their morals.
 2
               MR. CLOWARD: If you never -- if -- if because of
 3
     that, because it's not -- we're not here for as long as maybe
 4
     it might take, if the intent never comes out --
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: That's possible.
 6
               MR. CLOWARD: If the intent never comes out, are you
 7
     able to sit in this case and be fair to the parties, to both of
     the parties in this case, or is that something that is so
 8
 9
     important that you would not be able to make a decision no
    matter what if you weren't given that piece of information?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: It's important to me, and
12
     would I be able to ascertain that through the course of the
13
     trial, I can't answer, yes, and I can't answer no.
14
               MR. CLOWARD: If you were not able to ascertain that,
15
    you know, if that information never came out, would you still
16
     sit and fairly look at the facts of the case or not?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: I would be willing to do
     that. I don't know what my final decision would be.
18
19
               MR. CLOWARD: I'm not asking for a -- one way or the
20
     other.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Okay.
22
               MR. CLOWARD: I can't -- I can't ask you to do that.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Okay.
24
               MR. CLOWARD: Neither of us want you to like, hey,
25
     tell me how you're going to rule. I don't want that.
```

```
not fair.
 1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: No. No. And I'm not
 2
 3
     trying to do that. I'm just trying to express the fact that
 4
     for me it's about intent.
 5
               MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: What is the intent on
 7
    both sides?
 8
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you very much.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Uh-huh.
 9
               MR. CLOWARD: I appreciate it.
10
11
               All right. Your Honor, I need to just get a little
12
     drink of water; is that okay?
13
               THE COURT: Sure.
               MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So now I want to -- I want to
14
15
     just get a raise of hands before we move on. This is
16
     obviously, you know, an important topic. Does anyone else have
17
     any -- any feelings that they want to share with me on this
18
     before we kind of move into the next area if I didn't get to
19
     you? Maybe you weren't able to share something that you wanted
20
    to or --
21
               Mrs. Hinds, I think you said you were going to think
22
     about -- think about it and tell me how you feel. Do you
23
     remember that?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.
25
               MR. CLOWARD: Have you had a chance to think a little
```

```
bit more about it?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I'm still not sure.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: So the next thing I wanted to talk
 4
     about is just, you know, rules, and the importance of rules,
 5
     and I want to see how people feel about that.
               I live -- I live up by Floyd Lamb State Park.
 6
 7
     off at Durango and 95 to go home, and when I get off the
     Durango exit, there's a stop light there, and it says, No
 8
 9
     turning right except for on a green light, and it's always
     annoying to me because everywhere else in town, you pull up to
10
     a red light, and you can turn right after you look left, but
11
12
     this one says, No right turn, except for on green.
               So my question is, if I pull up to that, and it's
13
14
     3 a.m., and I look left, there's nobody coming, is it okay for
15
     me to just kind of do a California stop and sneak through that
16
     stop light and head on down the road?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, but everybody does it.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Yeah.
18
19
               MR. CLOWARD: You're saying no, Mr. Shakespear, 204.
20
     Can you --
21
               Where's that microphone?
22
               Tell me why not. Why or why not, I mean, how do you
23
     feel about it?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 204: Rules and guidelines are
25
     there for a reason. I mean, they don't just apply 9 to
```

```
00033
```

```
5 or 6 a.m. to midnight. If it's 3 o'clock in the morning,
 1
 2
     they're there for a reason.
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Who here agrees with Mr. Shakespear on
 4
     that? Raise your hand if you agree.
 5
               Raise your hand if you disagree, if you think, you
     know what, it's -- it's 3 a.m. Nobody's -- it's not going to
 6
 7
    hurt anybody. You're, okay, to do it.
 8
               Nobody?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: A little.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: A little. Tell me -- tell me a little
11
     bit about that.
12
               THE COURT: Who was the person who last answered?
13
               MR. CLOWARD: Oh, I'm so sorry. It's Mr. Caleb, 216.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: It's Morgan.
14
15
               THE COURT:
                          Mr. Morgan.
16
               MR. CLOWARD: Is it Morgan Caleb, or Caleb Morgan?
17
     wrote it down wrong. I'm sorry. I've been calling you
    Mr. Caleb this whole time. I feel bad about that now.
18
19
     Mr. Morgan. I was kind of thinking, you know, Caleb, that's a
20
     first name, not a last name. I'm sorry about that.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I believe the -- you
22
     should follow the rules and the letter of the law, but in
23
     certain situations, if you feel that you can get away with it,
24
     I wouldn't have a problem with it, but you assume all
```

KARR Reporting, Inc.

responsibilities if something did happen, if there was an

3 4 5

1 2

question.

accident or something like that. So you have to take, you know -- there's never going to be a policeman every corner forcing you to obey all the laws. You kind of -- kind of have to take it upon yourself.

Now, do I believe if, say, if you're running a red light, obviously not, but say if you did, then, you know, you assume all responsibilities for your actions, but it's not me necessarily to judge you, you know, because the situation could be different. There has to be gray areas, but --

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Who here -- who here agrees with Mr. Morgan that you know what, it's you can kind of -- you can kind of -- it's gray?

Will you pass that back. I'd like to hear from Mr. Strobeck, 138.

Tell me your -- your thoughts on that, Mr. Strobeck, and then we're going to come --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I agree with him. I think laws are laws, and they should be followed, but if you were going to ask me if I would run a red light at 3 a.m. when there was no cars, absolutely I would run the red light.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Well, thank you hold on. Before we move, what part of town do you live in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Blue Diamond, El Capitan. MR. CLOWARD: I'm just teasing. Let me ask you a

```
Let's come back to you, Mr. Strobeck.
```

Let me just ask a question. Does it change -- does it change your analysis if let's say I've got my 7-year-old daughter in the back -- back of my car? Does that change things at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Why?

1 2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Well, if I'm running the red light, I'm putting my own life in danger. If I'm running a red light with my 7-year-old daughter in the car, I'm also threatening her life.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Who here agrees or disagrees with that? Someone's -- can we get a position on both sides?

Ms. Beckum, 146. Ms. Beckum, I don't think I've talked to you about the money either.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: You haven't. That's fine.

MR. CLOWARD: No, trust me. It's important. I want to talk to you about it, but let's -- first off, tell me about this, and then we can come back to that in a minute.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I agree. I agree that people drive safer when they -- when they know, like, it's kids or elderly involved or just when it's something more at stake. Most of the time at night it is a lot of accidents because people are thinking like that. They're thinking nobody's here.

KARR Reporting, Inc.

Let me just go ahead and go. So there are a lot of traffic violations and accidents at night.

So, I mean, I wouldn't do it personally just because I have the mindset of a mother, and I always think about, you know, my kids and just affecting other people, but, yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for sharing.

Mr. Burr, 214, you also had your hand raised. Tell me how you sit on this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: I agree fully with both of them as well. If you know -- if you know that there's kids involved or elderly involved, you're not going to do it, but if -- if it's just you, you're going to do it because it's annoying and it shouldn't be there.

MR. CLOWARD: It's okay maybe if you're alone, but not if you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 214: Yeah.

MR. CLOWARD: Who here else has some views on that and whether it's less important, more important, that kind of thing?

The way this is supposed to work is y'all are supposed to talk to me. It's a bad -- it's -- the lawyer isn't doing a very good job if he's doing all the talking. Charles was going to pull me aside at the end and say, hey, you know, kick me in the behind if I'm doing all the talking.

```
So anyone else have some views on that? Anybody care
 1
 2
     to share?
 3
               MR. CLOWARD: Please, Ms. Tobin, 183, please tell me
 4
     how you feel about that.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Okay. For me personally,
 6
     it would depend on where I'm at. If I'm downtown, I'm not
 7
     going to stop, and I'm going to run the risk of the policeman
     stopping me, and I'll just state my position. I did it because
 8
 9
     I didn't want to be at a red light for three or four minutes
    with the potential of being accosted in some way.
10
               MR. CLOWARD: So it's a safety issue for you maybe?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: Yes.
12
13
               MR. CLOWARD: But if you're, like, in -- you're,
14
     like, up in --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: In Summerlin, yeah, not a
16
     problem.
17
               MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. If you're up in, like,
    Pioche or somewhere like that, they probably don't have stop
18
19
     signs up there.
20
               Let me ask you one more question, take it one -- one
21
     step further. What if it's, like, a professional driver? So
22
     if it's like a, you know, taxi driver, does that change the
23
     analysis?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, of course. They don't know
25
     how to drive.
```