

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.; AND JAY  
FARRALES,

Appellants,

vs.

JACK CHERNIKOFF; AND ELAINE  
CHERNIKOFF,

Respondents.

No. 70164

FILED


MAY 30 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until June 8, 2018, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas  
Richard Harris Law Firm  
Charles Allen Law Firm  
Marquis Aurbach Coffing