IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.; and JAY FARRALES.

Electronically Filed Jun 11 2018 01:56 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown

Case No.: 70164 Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellants,

VS.

JACK CHERNIKOFF; and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF,

> Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, the Honorable Stefany Miley

Presiding

Respondents.

MOTION TO EXPEDITE ANY ORAL ARGUMENT HEARING AND DECISION

Richard Harris Law Firm

Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11087 801 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 628-9888 Facsimile: (702) 960-4118 benjamin@richardharrislaw.com

Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Micah S. Echols, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8437 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 mechols@maclaw.com

Charles Allen Law Firm

Charles H. Allen, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice*) 950 E. Paces Ferry Rd., Suite 1625 Atlanta, Georgia 30326 Telephone: (404) 419-6674 Fax (866) 639-0287 callen@charlesallenlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Respondents, Jack Chernikoff and Elaine Chernikoff

Respondents, Jack Chernikoff and Elaine Chernikoff (collectively, "the Chernikoffs"), by and through their counsel of record, Marquis Aurbach Coffing, Richard Harris Law Firm, and Charles Allen Law Firm, hereby move this Court to expedite any oral argument hearing and the decision in this appeal to the extent that this Court's docket allows.

The jury verdict in favor of the Chernikoffs and against Appellants, First Transit, Inc. and Jay Farrales (collectively "First Transit"), was rendered on February 29, 2016, with the judgment entered on March 8, 2016. First Transit promptly appealed the judgment, which was docketed in this Court on April 15, 2016. Since this appeal was docketed, the parties engaged in settlement discussions through the NRAP 16 settlement conference program and completed the post-trial proceedings. The briefing is now nearly complete with First Transit's reply brief currently due on June 8, 2018. Once the briefing is complete, the Chernikoffs move this Court to expedite any oral argument hearing and the decision in this appeal to the extent that this Court's docket allows.

NRAP 2 specifically allows this Court to "expedite its decision." This Court has previously expedited appeals based upon accruing fines and penalties. *See*, *e.g., Board of County Commissioners of Clark County*, 110 Nev. 567, 568–569, 875 P.2d 1045, 1045–1046 (1994). This basis for expediting the appeal would

¹ The March 8, 2016 judgment upon the jury verdict is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

actually favor First Transit since the amended judgment totals \$16,135,787.67 and has already accrued one year of post-judgment interest.² By analogy to NRS 16.025(1) (preferential trial setting due to age),³ the Chernikoffs ask this Court to expedite this appeal. The Chernikoffs are both over 70 years of age, a condition of NRS 16.025(1), and would like to see the end of this litigation sooner rather than later. The Chernikoffs certainly understand the enormous burden of this Court's heavy caseload and, therefore, ask that their request to expedite be granted only to the extent that this Court's docket allows.

Dated this 8th day of June, 2018.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

By /s/ Micah S. Echols
Micah S. Echols, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8437
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Respondents,
Jack Chernikoff and Elaine Chernikoff

² The June 6, 2017 amended judgment upon the jury verdict is attached as **Exhibit 2**.

³ **NRS 16.025(1)**: "Upon the motion of a party to an action who is 70 years of age or older, the court may give preference in setting a date for the trial of the action, unless the court finds that the party does not have a substantial interest in the case as a whole."

Page 2 of 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing MOTION TO EXPEDITE ANY ORAL ARGUMENT HEARING AND DECISION was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 8th day of June, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Joel D. Henriod, Esq. Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq. LeAnn Sanders, Esq. Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq.

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Charles H. Allen, Esq. Charles Allen Law Firm 950 E. Paces Ferry Road NE Suite 1625 Atlanta, Georgia 30326

/s/ Leah Dell

Leah Dell, an employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Exhibit 1

Electronically Filed 03/08/2016 12:09:58 PM

1	JGJV	Alma to Come
2	BENJAMIN P. CLOWARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11087	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	CLOWARD HICKS & BRASIER, PLLC	
	721 South 6th Street	
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 628-9888	
5	Facsimile: (702) 960-4118	
6	Bcloward@chblawyers.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7		
8	CHARLES H. ALLEN, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice) Georgia Bar No. 009883	
9	ALLEN LAW FIRM	
10	400 West Peach Tree Street, Unit 3704	
	Atlanta, GA 30308 Fax (866) 639-0287	
11	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
12		
13	DISTRIC	CT COURT
14	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
15		
16	JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF,	CASE NO. A-13-682726-C DEPT. NO. XXIII
17		
	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT UPON THE JURY
18	vs.	VERDICT
19	TYPE OF THE ANGLE THE LANG	
20	FIRST TRANSIT, INC. JAY FARRALES; DOES 1-10, and ROES 1-10	
21	inclusive,	
22	Defendants.	
23]
24	This action came on for trial before the co	urt and the jury, the Honorable Stefany A. Miley,
25	District Judge, presiding, and the issues having be	en duly tried and the jury having duly rendered its
2627	verdict. ¹	
28		Alm to a second
~ \$	Exhibit 1: Jury Verdict	Non-Jury Disposed After Trial Start Non-Jury Judgment Reached Transferred before Trial Jury Disposed After Trial Start Dispos

² Exhibit 2: Prime Rate as of January 1, 2013

³ Exhibit 3: Affidavit of Service upon the Defendant

-2-

EXHIBIT "1"

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF,

CASE NO. A-13-682726-C DEPT. NO. XXIII

Plaintiffs,

vs.

б

1<u>1</u>

VERDICT FORM

FIRST TRANSIT, INC. JAY FARRALES; DOES 1-10, and ROES 1-10 inclusive,

Defendants.

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

FEB 2 9 2016

KATHERINE STREUBER DEPUT

VERDICT FORM

2	1. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Jay Farrales	
3	was negligent and that such negligence was a proximate cause of the death of Harvey	
4	Chemikoff?	
5	ANSWER: Yes No	
6	2. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant First Transit,	
7	Inc. was negligent and that such negligence was a proximate cause of the death of Harvey	
8	Chemikoff?	
10	ANSWER: Yes No	
11	If you have answered "No" to questions #1 and #2 above, stop here, answer no further	
12	questions, and have the foreperson sign and date this form.	
13	3. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Jack Chernikoff	
14	was negligent and that such negligence was a proximate cause of the death of Harvey	
15	Chernikoff?	
16 17	ANSWER: Yes No No	
18	4. Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Elaine	
19	Chernikoff was negligent and that such negligence was a proximate cause of the death of	
20	Harvey Chernikoff?	
21	ANSWER: Yes No	
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	<u> </u>	

1	5. Using one hundred percent (100%) as the total combined negligence which	
2	acted as a proximate cause of the injuries complained of by Plaintiffs Jack Chernikoff and	
3	Elaine Chernikoff, what percentage of the total combined negligence do you find from the	
4	evidence is attributable to:	
5	Jay Farrales	
6	First Transit, Inc. 100%	
7	Jack Chernikoff & %	
8	Elaine Chernikoff	
9		
10		
11	7. Without regard to the above answers, we find that the total amount of the	
12	Plaintiffs' damages are divided as follows:	
13		
14	Pain and suffering by HARVEY CHERNIKOFF \$ 7.5 million	
15		
16	Grief, sorrow, loss of companionship, Society, comfort, and loss of relationship	
17	suffered by Plaintiffs JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF: \$ 7. \(\text{MILLION} \)	
18		
19	TOTAL \$ 15,000,000	
20		
21	Dated this <u>J9</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u> , 2016.	
22		
23	Freder a Claume	
24	FOREPERSON	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit 2

6/6/2017 3:11 PM Steven D. Grierson 1 Richard Harris Law Firm CLERK OF THE COURT Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 11087 801 South, Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 3 Telephone: (702) 385-1400 Facsimile: (702) 385-9408 4 benjamin@richardharrislaw.com 5 Charles Allen Law Firm 6 Charles H. Allen, Esq. Pro Hac Vice 950 East Paces Ferry Road NE., Suite 1625 7 Atlanta, Georgia 30326 8 Telephone: (404) 419-6674 Facsimile: (866) 639-0287 9 callen@charlesallenlawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs. 10 Jack Chernikoff and Elaine Chernikoff 11 DISTRICT COURT 12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 13 A682726 JACK CHERNIKOFF; and ELAINE Case No.: Dept. No.: IIIXX 14 CHERNIKOFF, 15 Plaintiffs, 16 VS. 17 FIRST TRANSIT, INC.; JAY FARRALES; DOES 1–10; and ROES 1–10, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 AMENDED JUDGMENT UPON THE JURY VERDICT 21 22 This action came on for trial before the Court and the jury, the Honorable Stefany A. 23 Miley, District Court Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and the jury having 24 25 duly rendered its verdict.1 26 27 ¹ Exhibit 1: Jury Verdict. 28 Page 1 of 4

Electronically Filed

1	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiffs, JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE	
2	CHERNIKOFF, have and recover of Defendant FIRST TRANSIT, INC. the following sums:	
3	Pain and suffering by Harvey Chernikoff: \$7,500,000.00	
4		
5	Grief, sorrow, loss of companionship, society, Comfort, and loss of relationship suffered by Plaintiffs, JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE	
6	Plaintiffs, JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF: + \$7,500,000.00	
7	Total Damages \$15,000,000.00	
8		
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Harvey Chernikoff's past damages	
10	shall bear Pre-Judgment interest in accordance with Lee v. Ball, 121 Nev. 391, 116 P.3d 64	
11	(2005) and NRS 17.130 at the rate of 3.50% per annum plus 2% from the date of service of the	
12	Summons and Complaint on June 7, 2013, through the entry of the Judgment on March 8, 2016:	
13	PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST ON PAST DAMAGES: \$7,500,000.00	
14	06/07/13 through 03/08/16 = \$1,135,787.67	
15	[(1,006 days) at (prime rate (3.50%) plus 2 percent = 5.50%)]	
16	[Pre-Judgment Interest is approximately \$1,130.14 per day]	
17	PLAINTIFFS' TOTAL JUDGMENT	
18	Plaintiffs' total judgment is as follows:	
19	Total Damages: \$15,000;000.00	
20	Prejudgment Interest: \$1,135,787.67	
21	TOTAL JUDGMENT \$16,135,787.67	
22		
23	NOW, THEREFORE, Judgment Upon the Verdict in favor of the Plaintiffs are as	
24	follows:	
25	JACK CHERNIKOFF and ELAINE CHERNIKOFF are hereby awarded Sixteen Million,	
26	One Hundred Third-Six Thousand, Nine Hundred Seventeen Dollars and 81/100	
27	(\$16,135,787.67) against Defendant FIRST TRANSIT, INC., which shall bear post-judgment	

1	interest at the adjustable legal rate from the date of the entry of judgment (March 8, 2016) until	
2	fully satisfied. ²	
3		
4	Dated this 6 day of, 2017.	
5		
6	DISTRICT COORT JUDGE	
7	ao V	
8	Respectfully submitted by: JUDGE STEFANY A. MILEY	
9	RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM	
10	did Call and	
11	By K La 9466 fea Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq.	
12	Nevada Bar No. 11087 801 South, Fourth Street	
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 385-1400	
14	Facsimile: (702) 385-9408 benjamin@richardharrislaw.com	
15	CHARLES ALLEN LAW FIRM	
16	Charles H. Allen, Esq. Pro Hac Vice	
17	950 East Paces Ferry Road NE., Suite 1625 Atlanta, Georgia 30326	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Jack Chernikoff and Elaine Chernikoff	
19 20	Juck Chernikojj una Elaine Chernikojj	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

28

The legal interest rate according to NRS 17.130 was 5.50% at the time of the entry of the judgment on March 8, 2016 and has adjusted to 5.75% as of January 1, 2017.

28

³ Defendants believe that this order expresses the Court's reasoning and conclusions. However, defendants do not necessarily agree with, or acquiesce to, the reasoning, findings of fact, or conclusions of law articulated in the order.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **AMENDED JUDGMENT UPON THE JURY VERDICT** was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth Judicial District Court on the 6th day of May, 2017. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows:1

> Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq. bcloward@chblawyers.com April Swanson aswanson@charlesallenlawfirm.com Courtney Christopher cchristopher@alversontaylor.com Daniel F. Polsenberg dpolsenberg@lrrc.com Edward Silverman esilverman@alversontaylor.com e-file efile@alversontaylor.com Jessie Helm jhelm@lrrc.com Joel Henriod ihenriod@lrrc.com Julie Kraig jkraig@alversontaylor.com Kimberley Hyson khyson@alversontaylor.com LeAnn Sanders lsanders@alversontaylor.com Maria Makarova mmakarova@lrrc.com Rosemarie Frederick rfrederick@alversontaylor.com Zdocteam zdocteam@richardharrislaw.com Yolanda Griffin ygriffin@lrrc.com

> > /s/ Leah Dell

Leah Dell, an employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).