1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: You're not going to have to come
3	back to this wonderful place, at least right away.
4	If any of you want to stand up for a second,
5	go ahead.
6	MS. ELCANO: I just wanted to confirm,
7	Exhibit G was entered, as in Greg; correct?
8	THE COURT: Yes. I have it marked as being
9	admitted, yes.
10	MS. ELCANO: Thank you. I just wanted to
11	double-check.
12	THE COURT: Actually, the clerk is a better
13	source of information on this than I am. I put X's next
14	to what I think is admitted.
15	MS. ELCANO: I call Erika Meszaros, Your
16	Honor.
17	
18	ERIKA MESZAROS,
19	having been first duly sworn,
20	was examined and testified as follows:
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. ELCANO:
24	Q Good afternoon. Could you please state your

name and spell your name? 1 My name is Erika Meszaros, E-r-i-k-a 2 M-e-s-z-a-r-o-s. 3 Who is your current employer, Ms. Meszaros? Washoe County Department of Social Services. 5 And approximately how long have you been Q. 6 employed there? I have been there -- it will be eight years 8 next month. 9 And in what capacity are you presently 10 11 employed? I am currently in the Emergency Response 12 Unit. It's an assessment unit. 13 14 What are your responsibilities as an ERU worker or an Emergency Response Unit worker? 15 It's to respond to reports received by the 16 agency, typically after-hour reports based on the 17 immediate need for us to go out and intervene. 18 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I don't know 19 who is or isn't a witness in this case, so --20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, Your Honor. I'm a 21 22 chief deputy public defender. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'm just making sure

because -- I'll leave it up to the parties if someone

assessment and evaluations of families and cases.

a lot of child-age development type of trainings, we do a lot of substance abuse trainings, effects of substances on children.

1.2

Q And I want to turn specifically to the Emergency Response Unit process. When typically -- and you kind of answered this -- does an Emergency Response Unit worker get involved in a case?

A We are typically involved after hours, which is usually after 5:00. If it's an immediate response needed, which is a P-1 response needed on a report that's come into the department, or if there's not -- if the day workers are all out and there's a need for us to respond, that they will then give us the report.

At times, too, we go out on cases that day workers have responded to but have not made contact with the person, and because -- because of the child's age or because of the circumstances, they want us to go back out and check in the evenings.

Q What is the difference between an Emergency Response Unit worker and an assessment worker?

A Emergency response is just that; we go out, we look at the situation currently happening at that point, and we make contact with the family, and we assist in putting in measures to resolve the situation or to

change the situation or to assess the situation, and if nothing is happening, then nothing's happening.

We then come back, we input that information into our program, our UNITY program, and then the case, the next day, is then assigned to an assessment worker that further assesses the situation or assesses the family's needs.

- Q So would it be fair to say that you're involved in a case for a very short amount of time and then the continual assessment or investigation is completed by an assessment worker?
 - A Correct.

- Q And what specifically are you trying to determine when conducting your investigation?
- A The immediate safety factors or safety threats on a child.
- Q Were you involved with an investigation regarding Ethan Hunt-Taylor?
 - A Yes, I was.
 - Q When, approximately, were you involved?
 - A July 11, 2014.
 - Q And why did you become involved?
- A Our agency had received a report with regards to allegations of neglect based on the environment and

the condition of the home at the time for Ethan.

- Q Did anyone assist you in this investigation?
- A Yes. Typically, with emergency response, we have partners, and my partner that night was Mr. Eddie Martinez.
- Q How old was Ethan or what was his date of birth, if you recall?
- A His date of birth is January 1, 2014, so at that time he was about six months old.
 - Q And who is Ethan's mother?
 - A Jacqueline Guerrero.
 - Q And what was this report coded as?
 - A It was coded as a P-2.
 - Q Which gave you how long to respond?
- A It gave us approximately 24 hours. However, with emergency response, when we get a P-2 coding, we have to respond that day.
- Q What did you do first when you received this report?
- A At that time I do believe Ms. Guerrero was visiting with her other children, so we asked her to come into our office, and we addressed the issue with her in person, letting her know that there was that the agency had received a report with allegations of —

concerns for her residence, that we needed to go out and check her residence.

- O And you keep saying "we." Who is "we"?
- A Myself and Mr. Martinez as well as our supervisor, Janet Higgins.
- Q And what was Ms. Guerrero's response to you when you had these discussions with her?

A She was very -- she was upset. She was willing to allow us to come to her residence but was very upset that we were going to remove her child from her at that point.

Q And where was Ethan?

- A Ethan was with her.
- Q Okay. What steps did you take after you spoke with Ms. Guerrero?

A We made a plan with regards to taking her home. We were going to transport her and Ethan because they had walked to the agency, so I believe that Mr. Hunt-Taylor had brought the keys to the residence for her. We transported the family back to the residence in order to be able to get -- gain access into the home to be able to assess the environment.

- Q And, again, you said "we." Who is "we"?
- A Myself and Mr. Martinez.

When you refer to "her," I just need to be 0 1 2 clear. 3 Mrs. Guerrero. And then, finally, you said you transported 4 the family back home. 5 Who did that include? 6 Ms. Guerrero, Mr. Taylor-Hunt [sic], and Α 7 Ethan. 8 Thank you. 9 0 When you arrived at the family residence, 10 where was it located? 11 2071 Fourth Street, and it was Trailer No. 12 45. 13 What did you observe when you went into the 14 0 residence? 15 Entering into the residence, there was quite 16 a bit of clutter outside of the residence, small things 17 on the ground, things -- there was a broken window, which 18 we had talked to Mr. Taylor-Hunt [sic] about. He talked 19 about having -- he believed that somebody had thrown a 20 rock in it and that he was going to fix it. 2.1 Walking into the home, we noticed that one of 22 the sliding -- the glass door was broken, so it was kind

of -- they had tried to fix it and boarded it.

2.3

Q I don't mean to interrupt, but can you just tell me specifically what you observed? You just said "we observed," and I just wanted to make sure it's what you observed.

2.2

Talso walked into the kitchen -- so the trailer's a fairly small area. We walked in -- I walked into the living room area. To the right is the kitchen area. There was two refrigerators at that time, which was explained to us that they were in the process of emptying one fridge into the other, and so only the freezer worked in the one fridge and the other one wasn't yet plugged in.

There was clutter on the countertops, there was grime on the countertops, dishes that were overflowing. There was, like, a steak knife that was sitting outside on the counter. I don't know if it was a steak knife, but there was some sort of knife out on the counter.

There was clutter on the desktop, and on the floor it was -- it was a bit grimy. There was small particles consisting of, like, loose change, small debris, bottle caps from, like, plastic bottles. There was plastic bottles, there was some trash, and there was piles of clothing in the living room area.

And as you walked into the back bedroom, the bed had some clothing in it, baby's -- where the baby slept, which was a playpen, appeared to be clean, free of clutter, appeared to have clean linen in it.

- Q Do you recall whether you smelled anything?
- A I do not recall that, no.

Q And upon your arrival and walking through the house, what happened next?

A We had a discussion with regards to what -the areas of the home that needed to be rectified. It
was a fairly small residence, and, therefore, the thought
was that it was easily remedied.

It appeared that Mr. Hunt-Taylor had begun sweeping while Ms. Guerrero was with us at the office, so there was piles of garbage that had already been swept up, so we discussed about picking those things up and washing dishes and making sure the fridge got transferred over, to kind of lessen the clutter in the residence because it was so small.

- Q Did you identify any other concerns in speaking with Ms. Guerrero?
- A We didn't. Ms. Guerrero was very fearful of coming into the residence, so the tour of the home was mostly conducted by Mr. Hunt-Taylor. Ms. Guerrero kind

of remained outside.

Eventually we did talk to her enough to say, "We're not removing your child at this point, but we do need you to come in so we can show you what needs to be rectified."

So she did come in, and she at that point did point out -- we did point out to her -- I did point out to her the areas that needed to be rectified.

- Q What were those areas specifically?
- A Mostly what's on -- like knee level down, the ground stuff, things that -- garbage, the particles, the dishes. We also talked about the dishes and the fridge.
 - Q Why knee level down?
- A Because Ethan, who was six months, was not yet mobile through the residence. However, it was only a matter of time before he was out of that playpen and everywhere else.
- Q And were you aware of an open case involving Ms. Guerrero?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And what role, if any, did that play in your investigation?
 - A For myself, it did not.
 - Q And did you offer any services to the family

to address these issues that you identified?

- A At that point we did not. I did not.
- Q And why was that?

- A Because it is an open case, and so part of our job is to go back and explain to the worker that has the open case what services we thought may be needed in the residence.
- Q And what additionally did you discuss with Ms. Guerrero or the family regarding the future process?
- A We advised that it is an open case, it was an open investigation, that there would be an assessment worker assigned.

At that point we had already -- we already knew who the assigned worker was, and we let her know that the assigned worker, Denise Tyre, would be coming back out to assess the situation, so she needed to remedy the areas we discussed.

- Q Did you take pictures of the residence during your investigation?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Can you turn to Exhibit Z, as in zebra, please.
- What are those?
- A So the first picture is when we initially

1	arrived. That's my partner, Mr. Eddie Martinez, walking
2	in front of me, looking at the garbage on the ground and
3	just it was just kind of to give
4	MR. ELKINS: Objection to the
5	characterization. The photograph speaks for itself.
6	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, perhaps I should
7	THE COURT: She can give a general
8	description. Instead of her describing the pictures, she
9	can describe what she saw.
10	BY MS. ELCANO:
11	Q Are these the pictures you took during the
12	investigation? If you can review all of them and make
13	sure they are and they accurately represent what you saw.
14	A Yes. Yes, they are.
15	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit Z,
16	as in zebra, is admitted into evidence.
17	I can have her walk through each picture if
18	there is an objection, but in the interest of time, I
19	was
20	THE COURT: So you're moving to admit Z?
21	MS. ELCANO: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	MR. ELKINS: No objection.
24	THE COURT: Z is admitted, and that would be

1 of 24 to 24 of 24. 1 (Petitioner's Exhibit Z was admitted.) 2 BY MS. ELCANO: 3 And, Ms. Meszaros, was Ethan removed? No, he was not. 5 Α 6 And why not? Because at that time Ethan wasn't mobile. 7 Α 8 believed the home was easily rectified or could be easily remedied, and he spent most of his time in the playpen 9 that was clean. So at that time there wasn't anything 10 imminent about where he was at. 11 When you say "imminent," what are you 12 referencing? 13 Anything that was clearly visible or clearly 14 definable that would cause him to be injured or harmed, 15 because he was kept in a playpen. 16 17 Did you complete a NIA? 0 18 Α No, I did not. And why not? 19 Because I'm emergency response, and for me it 20 Α was just to go out and assess the current situation that 21 22 was occurring, the current report. And would -- I think you indicated an 23

assessment worker was assigned to this case. Could that

1	assessment worker complete the NIA?
2	A Yes, they would.
3	Q And who was the assigned assessment worker?
4	A Denise Tyre.
5	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions.
6	THE COURT: Pass the witness?
7	MR. ELKINS: Okay, Judge.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. ELKINS:
11	Q So, Ms. Meszaros, was it your job to
12	substantiate or unsubstantiate the report?
13	A No, it was not.
14	Q Okay. So if I understand you correctly, you
15	inspected the home based upon the report, and you found
16	that there was no basis for removing the child at that
17	time because the child's area was clean and free of
18	hazards?
19	A Correct. The area he was confined to, yes.
20	Q And then you had a conversation with the
21	parents, and you said you had some concerns about other
22	areas of the home that needed to be cleaned up; is that
23	right?

24

A

Correct.

- Q Do you have the photographs in front of you?

 A I do, sir.
 - Q So would you go to, 7, 8, 9 -- 7, 8 and 9.

 Those would be photographs of dirty dishes;
 is that correct?
 - A Dirty dishes, grimy countertops, correct?
 - Q So this is the sink area?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Photograph 10 appears to be a large pile of canned food; is that right?
 - A Correct.

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q I take it there were no cupboards in the kitchen; is that right?
- A I believe they were -- the cupboard was being utilized for a different type of storage.
- Q So this would be food stacked on a counter; is that right?
 - A And a knife, correct. Food stacked on a counter and a knife.
- Q Okay. Do you recall the dimensions of the trailer, approximately?
 - A I don't.
- Q You said it was very small, though; correct?
- 24 A It was small living quarters, yes.

O If you go to Photograph No. 5, on the floor 1 there appears to be a bowl of cat food; is that right? 2 3 Correct. And Photograph No. 12, I believe the cat is 4 5 in the picture. Correct. 6 Α And Photograph No. 12, it appears that a 7 table has been placed in front of the television; is that 9 right? 10 Correct. Essentially keeping the television from 11 moving, I assume; right? 12 I have no idea. 13 Α MS. ELCANO: Objection. She doesn't know why 14 15 the table was placed there. MR. ELKINS: I don't know if she does or not, 16 17 Judge, but --THE COURT: That's what she said, she doesn't 18 know, so that's fine. Move on. 19 2.0 BY MR. ELKINS: Also in that photograph I see something pink. 21 It looks like -- is that a child's kitchenette --22 23 Α Yeah. -- against the wall? 24

And then there's a piece of luggage in the 1 foreground; is that correct? 2 Uh-huh. 3 Α And what is the red tank? Do you know what 4 that is to the right of the luggage? 5 Looks like a Dirt Devil. 6 So it's a vacuum cleaner? 7 Correct. Α And then in the upper left-hand corner of the 9 photograph, I see what appears to be -- is that some kind 10 of a receptacle for an infant? 11 Like a walker, yeah. 12 Α It's been a while, so --13 Yeah, it's a walker. 14 And then does it look like a sippy cup and 15 some objects used for feeding a child there? Is that 16 1.7 what that is? I believe it's a sippy cup. There's a better 18 picture of that on No. 14. 19 There it is. Absolutely. Thank you. 20 If you look at 14, this object which contains 21 the child's -- it looks like a stuffed animal; is that 22 correct? 23

Α

24

Uh-huh.

And this is something the child sits in; is 1 that right? Probably not at six months, though? 2 3 Α Correct. And there's some kind of, it looks like, 4 bedding in this? Is that a blanket, maybe? 5 I'm not sure what was stuffed in there. 6 Does that look dirty to you, the blanket or 7 whatever that is? The blanket, no. The stuffed animal, a 9 little bit, but not the blanket. 10 The stuffed animal, okay. 11 12 And the child's seat itself, doesn't it look clean? 13 14 Yes, it does. Α And is that a -- it looks like a blackboard 15 behind the -- to the right? Is that a blackboard? 16 17 That's what it looks like, yes. On the next photograph, No. 15, we see what 18 looks like a counter, and on the counter appears to be a 19 20 diaper or diapers in the foreground? 21 A Uh-huh. And then there appears to be a Tigger doll? 22 Q Uh-huh. 23 Α

Do you know, in the -- there's a tied, it

24

Q

looks like, plastic bag. 1 Uh-huh. Α 3 Do you have any idea what it contained? I don't. It doesn't appear to be trash, does it? 5 6 I don't recall. Α 7 But there's no -- I mean, there's nothing 8 coming out of it? 9 Α It's tied up. 10 The photograph speaks for itself. 11 In photograph 16 I see what looks like 12 something that a child pushes that makes noise; is that 13 correct? 14 Α Correct. 15 And then another piece of luggage, it looks 16 like? 17 Correct. 18 It looks like maybe a pair of camouflage 19 pants on top or sweater or jacket or something and then 20 linens; is that right? 21 Α Correct. 22 Then to the right of that I see a number of 23 children's videotapes; correct? 24 Α Correct.

1	Q In the next photograph, No. 17, there's a
2	broom, and it looks like some objects that have been
3	swept up into the corner; is that correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Photograph 18, it looks like the bathroom
6	counter; am I correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And doesn't that look clean to you?
9	A Cluttered.
10	Q But clean?
11	A Yes.
12	Q The next photograph appears to be the
13	bathroom floor.
13 14	bathroom floor. Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been
14	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been
14 15	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it?
14 15 16	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that.
14 15 16 17	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that. Q Do you see any grime in the tub in this
14 15 16 17	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that. Q Do you see any grime in the tub in this photograph?
14 15 16 17 18	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that. Q Do you see any grime in the tub in this photograph? A I see grime on the wall.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that. Q Do you see any grime in the tub in this photograph? A I see grime on the wall. Q Are you talking about on the interior of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Looking at the tub, it looks like it's been cleaned, doesn't it? A I can't recall that. Q Do you see any grime in the tub in this photograph? A I see grime on the wall. Q Are you talking about on the interior of the shower?

1	you recall specifically that that was grime?
2	MS. ELCANO: Objection. She already
3	identified it as grime.
4	MR. ELKINS: Judge, it's cross-examination.
5	THE COURT: Do you recall what it was?
6	THE WITNESS: I don't recall what it was.
7	MR. ELKINS: Okay.
8	THE COURT: Move on.
9	BY MR. ELKINS:
10	Q The next picture, No. 20, shows the toilet,
11	and the lid is closed.
12	Does the toilet appear dirty to you?
13	A No.
14	Q Number 22, this is the Pack 'n Play?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Now, do you know, is that color balance
17	accurate there or is that the cell phone; do you know?
18	MS. ELCANO: Objection. How
19	MR. ELKINS: Let me rephrase the question.
20	BY MR. ELKINS:
21	Q You saw the Pack 'n Play?
22	A Correct.
23	Q You saw the colors?
24	A Yes.

Were those stripes in the Pack 'n Play itself 1 or is that from a phone? 2 Where are you talking about? See on the mattress there looks like stripes? Q I don't have that on my pictures, so --5 Α Then that explains that, doesn't it? It's probably the printing. 7 Α Thank you very much. So the Pack 'n Play is clean? You had no 9 concern with --10 Yeah. I had no concern. 11 The next picture depicts some linen on the 12 bed and some clothes in the hamper? That's 23. 13 Correct. 14 Those don't look dirty, do they? They don't 15 look soiled? 16 The clothes -- the hamper -- I didn't smell 17 them and I didn't touch them, so I do not recall. 18 Looking at them, do they look soiled to you? 19 I couldn't tell. 20 MR. ELKINS: I don't have any further 21 questions. Thank you. 22 1//// 23 11111 24

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. ELCANO:
3	Q So, Ms. Meszaros, obviously you didn't remove
4	Ethan?
5	A No.
6	Q Obviously there were portions of the house
7	that you were okay with, but there were obviously areas
8	that you were concerned about?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And why were you concerned?
11	. A Because the at Ethan's age, while he was
12	not mobile, he was coming up on being mobile, and there
13	were things that he could grab, get into. Plastic bags,
14	the things on the floor are all choking hazards for a
15	child of his age, so those were the concerns.
16	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions.
17	Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Is this witness excused?
19	MS. ELCANO: I would request that she is.
20	I'm unaware as to whether or not she has been subpoenaed.
21	MR. ELKINS: No, we didn't subpoena her,
22	Judge.

Let's go ahead and take a five-minute recess,

THE COURT: You're permanently excused, then.

1	then we'll come back and finish.
2	MR. ELKINS: Judge, can we have ten so I can
3	visit the facility?
4	THE COURT: We'll come back at 10 after.
5	(A recess was taken.)
6	THE COURT: Case No. FV14-03897 in the matter
7	of the Taylor children, four of them. The parties are
8	present with their clients.
9	Ms. Elcano, your next witness.
10	MS. ELCANO: Denise Tyre, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: How are we doing on time?
12	MS. ELCANO: We'll see.
13	
14	DENISE TYRE,
15	having been first duly sworn,
16	was examined and testified as follows:
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. ELCANO:
20	Q Good afternoon. Can you please state and
21	spell your name?
22	A Denise Tyre, T-y-r-e.
23	Q And your first name, how do you spell that?
24	A Denise, D-e-n-i-s-e.

1	Q	Thank you.
2		And who is your current employer?
3	A	Washoe County Department of Social Services.
4	Q	Approximately how long have you been employed
5	there?	
6	A	Just over two years.
7	Q	And in what capacity are you presently
8	employed at	Washoe County Department of Social Services?
9	A	I'm an assessment social worker.
10	Q	How long have you been employed as an
11	assessment n	worker?
12	А	Just over two years.
13	Q	Where were you employed prior to Washoe
14	County Depar	rtment of Social Services?
15	А	U.S. Bank.
16	Q	Can you please describe your educational
17	background :	for the court?
18	A	I have a bachelor's degree in social work.
19	Q	Are you a licensed social worker?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Please describe your job duties and
22	responsibil	ities as an assessment worker.
23	А	I receive allegations of abuse or neglect,
24	and I respon	nd and make contact with families and assess

Hunt-Taylor --

I -- I'm sorry. Α 1 Go ahead. 2 I believe it was Alicia Kraft. 3 Α Thank you. 4 Was ERU involved in that investigation? 5 They assisted me in making contact with the 6 family. 7 Who was that worker? Erika Meszaros and Eduardo Martinez. 9 Thank you. 10 0 And why did you become involved in this case? 11 We received a report regarding Ms. Guerrero 12 Α and Mr. Hunt-Taylor's home and the safety of Ethan in 13 that home. The report alleged that the home environment 14 was dirty or unsafe, that there was moldy food in the 15 refrigerator that was disconnected, was what was in my 16 17 report. And did you go out and investigate that 18 report? 19 I did. 2.0 Α You did? 21 On the 11th of July I attempted at the home 22

and was unable to make contact, so I requested the

after-hours crew, which would be Erika Meszaros and

23

Eduardo Martinez, would initiate contact for me. 1 What occurred after Ms. Meszaros and 2 Mr. Martinez went out to the home in regards to your investigation? 4 I followed up the next day. 5 A And what did you do? 6 I met with Ms. Guerrero in the home as well 7 as Ethan in the home and followed up in initiating my assessment. 9 What day was that, approximately? 10 0 I believe it was the 12th. 11 12 0 Of? July 2014. 13 A And what did you observe when you were there 1.4 on July 12, 2014? 15 A I observed, in regards to the home, the home 16 to be messy. I observed no direct safety threats at that 17 time. 18 And where was this home located? 19 It was in a mobile home park off of Fourth 20 Street. 21 What did you do after you met with the family 22 on July 12th? 23

24

A I continued to assess the family and provide

1 services throughout my investigation. Did you complete a NIA in regards to the July 2 incident? 3 Α Yes. 4 5 And approximately when did you complete that 6 NIA? I believe it was September 7, 2014. 7 Can you please turn to Exhibit H, as in 8 9 Henry, in that exhibit book. What is that document? 10 11 Α That's the NIA that I completed. And it was created on what day? 12 Q August 24, 2014. 13 Α What was the conclusion of your NIA? 14 0 The conclusion of this NIA was that there was 15 Α 16 no impending danger and that Ethan was safe. Did you author this document? 17 Yes. 18 Α And can you review it and determine if it's a 19 true and accurate copy of the NIA that you authored? 20 21 Α Yes. MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit H, 22 23 as in Henry, is entered into evidence. 24 THE COURT: Any objection?

1	MR. ELKINS: Judge, will you just give me a
2	minute?
3	Judge, same objection as with regard to the
4	other exhibits as well.
5	THE COURT: With the same ruling, H is
6	admitted.
7	(Petitioner's Exhibit H was admitted.)
8	BY MS. ELCANO:
9	Q And, Ms. Tyre, when you were completing this
10	investigation, were you aware of an open case?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And who did the open case involve?
13	A Ms. Guerrero's two older children.
14	Q Would it be possible it included three older
15	children?
16	A Yes, three older children is true.
17	Q Those were obviously Ethan's siblings; is
18	that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You indicated that Ethan was not removed and
21	there was no impending danger found.
22	Did you offer Ms. Guerrero and the family any
23	services?
24	A Yes

Q What services were those?

A On the 31st of July, a domestic violence incident occurred between Ms. Guerrero and Mr. Hunt-Taylor --

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. It's hearsay in another form.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, we do have the criminal records which have been admitted into evidence and demonstrate that Mr. Hunt-Taylor was convicted of the domestic violence incident. I can limit Ms. Tyre's testimony as to the information she received from Ms. Guerrero, however.

THE COURT: That's fine, then. Objection is overruled. Proceed how you said you were going to proceed.

BY MS. ELCANO:

1.0

Q So, Ms. Tyre, if I could clarify, you indicated that additional services were offered to the Guerrero family and that there was a domestic violence incident.

How did you become aware of that domestic violence incident?

- A We received a report to the agency.
- Q And did you discuss this with Ms. Guerrero?

1	A I did.
2	Q What did Ms. Guerrero inform you of?
3	A She informed me that the domestic violence
4	incident did happen, and at that point she was eligible
5	for services and funding through a program a VOCA
6	program, which are service monies directly applicable
7	to domestic violence victims.
8	THE COURT: What kind of program?
9	THE WITNESS: It's called VOCA, Victims of
10	Crime.
11	THE COURT: V-O-C-A?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	So at that point those were additional monies
14	that she had not been eligible for until that day.
15	BY MS. ELCANO:
16	Q I want to back up a little bit to your
17	conversation with Ms. Guerrero specifically surrounding
18	the domestic violence incident.
19	Who did Ms. Guerrero identify as the
20	aggressor or the
21	A Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor.
22	Q And what did Ms. Guerrero indicate to you
23	occurred?

A To my recollection, she was pushing a

stroller with Ethan in it, and he had struck her in some way, and that she contacted the police and pursued him being arrested for that.

Q And was an investigation opened as a result of this?

A No. Because I already had an investigation -- are you talking about with CPS?

Q Yes. In regards to the domestic violence?

A I already had an open investigation, so it was just kind of lumped in all together.

Q Did Ms. Guerrero indicate to you whether or not Mr. Hunt-Taylor was arrested as a result of this incident?

A Yes.

Q What did she say?

A He was arrested.

Q So you indicated -- if we can kind of go back to the services issue, what additional services did you offer to the family given the domestic violence incident as well as the investigation from July 12th?

A Throughout my investigation I identified that Ms. Guerrero struggled with housing. VOCA will pay for a deposit and the initial fees to get into an apartment, and so those were monies that were available to us after

the domestic violence incident. So we attempted to use the VOCA funding along with Section 8.

Her Section 8 had come up and she now had turned to being qualified for Section 8, so I assisted in helping her find -- helping her to try to find an apartment. I also provided multiple bus passes to Ms. Guerrero, I provided her with some supplies when she needed them, and at that time -- at this time that's all I can recall.

Especially after that domestic violence incident,

Mr. Hunt-Taylor and she were residing together, and so
after that she was -- she was trying to find somewhere
else to live, so she was living in between different
friends' houses, family, and we were really trying to
help her become independent so that she and Ethan could
have a place to reside.

- Q And you keep using the pronoun "she." Who is "she"?
 - A I'm sorry. Ms. Guerrero.
 - Q Thank you.

 Additionally, Ethan was residing with

Ms. Guerrero during this whole time?

- A During this whole time, yes.
- Q So you indicated you attempted to help Ms. Guerrero obtain an apartment.

Was she successful in obtaining an apartment?

A No.

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

Q And why not?

A In order to obtain the funding, a lease needed to be submitted to be able to get the check cut, and unfortunately that lease was never submitted.

- Q To your knowledge had Ms. Guerrero obtained a lease?
 - A No.
 - Q And so what happened next at this point?

A As part of this investigation, we're required and standard procedure is to make at least two in-home contacts to see the children. I was attempting to make my final in-home contact with Ms. Guerrero and was unsuccessful in doing so.

Eventually I was able to have contact with her on September 10, 2014, in which she reported to me that she had no diapers left and was in desperate need of diapers. So I told her I would buy her diapers as long as we could have the arrangement that I was allowed to

bring them to her personally and conduct that last home visit so that we could just close out that investigation from July 11th.

Q I'm sorry. I want to back up.

So you indicated she had not -- Ms. Guerrero had not obtained a lease. Do you know why she hadn't obtained a lease?

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. Obviously calls for hearsay.

MS. ELCANO: It doesn't obviously --

THE COURT: It depends on how she knows that.

If it was from Ms. Guerrero, then it's an exception to

the hearsay. How did you know that she was unable to --

THE WITNESS: Ms. Guerrero had actually reported to me that she was living in that apartment, so I attempted a home visit on September 5, 2014, to which I viewed the inside of the apartment to be completely vacant.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q I'm sorry. What do you mean? What apartment? I think --

A The apartment that she was supposed to obtain using the VOCA funds, she had indicated to me that she did turn in the lease and that she was living there, so I

attempted a home visit, and that is when I learned that she actually wasn't living there.

Q So let me back up a little bit.

Where was that alleged apartment located?

- A It's at the Bicentennial apartment complex.
- Q Did you ever see a lease provided?
- A No.

Q And so kind of back me up.

I think where we last left off, you indicated the struggle with housing and the services and you were trying to help Ms. Guerrero get a lease, and then I asked if she had gotten a lease, and you said no.

So kind of what happened in between that period, if you can explain the whole housing story based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero and your personal knowledge?

A In the agency, a lot of times the social worker isn't the person that actually obtains these kinds of services and fills out the forms. We have people who support and help us with that.

At this point we were utilizing the victim's services program through Reno Police Department, and so if she had obtained the lease, it wouldn't have been turned in to me.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22

23

24

1

So I was talking with Ms. Guerrero to find that information, and she indicated to me that she did obtain the apartment, and she gave me an address, which on the 5th I attempted a home visit. I looked in the windows because they were open, and the apartment was completely vacant, at which point I was approached by the apartment manager.

Q And through your investigation were you able to establish whether or not Ms. Guerrero lived there?

MR. ELKINS: Objection. She's already testified as to what her personal knowledge was, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm assuming, based on what she's testified to this point, she wasn't living there.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q So moving forward, I think you were indicating that you basically -- you and Ms. Guerrero agreed that you would provide or you were going to provide diapers and close the case and meet with Ms. Guerrero for the very last time?

- A Yes. The 10th was my last contact with her.
- Q So what happened at that point?

A I set up an appointment on the 10th. We set an appointment for me to meet, where she gave me an

address of where she was living, and that was, again,
another motel complex off of Fourth Street. No. 38 was
the number she had given me. I set an appointment with
her, I told her I'd be out around noonish because I
needed the morning to get the diapers and I would bring
them to her directly, and she said, "Okay. I'll meet you
there."

- Q And who is "she" again?
- A Ms. Guerrero.

- O And what occurred at noon?
- A I arrived at the home and was met by another person who had resided in the home.
 - Q And the home was located where?
- A It was off of Fourth Street. And I want to say it's the Red Lion Motel complex, No. 38.
 - Q And what happened next?
- A I spoke with the lady who lived there.

 Unfortunately, she didn't speak very much English, so I called my office and asked for someone to translate over speaker phone.
- Q So without going into detail as to what was relayed to you, did you ever speak with Ms. Guerrero again?
 - A Yes. That following -- the following

1 afternoon, the same afternoon.

- Q Were you able to meet Ms. Guerrero at that apartment complex?
 - A No.
 - Q Did she show up?
- A No.

- Q And what explanation did Ms. Guerrero give you?
- A She said she hadn't been there, she was staying at her cousin's house for the night because, if I can recall, she was in the hospital or somebody related to her was in the hospital. However, she had never met me there.
- Q And were you able to determine whether or not Ms. Guerrero resided at the motel?
 - MR. ELKINS: Objection. Calls for hearsay.
- $\label{eq:ms.elcano:} \text{MS. ELCANO: I'm asking whether she was able}$ to determine it. I can ask the basis.
- MR. ELKINS: A yes-or-no answer I have no objection to, Judge.
- THE WITNESS: I did actually go in the home, the No. 38 off Fourth Street, and was able to view multiple items of clothing that I've seen Ms. Guerrero wear in the past. I was able to confirm with the person

1	that lived there that that was where she was staying.
2	MR. ELKINS: Objection to the statement of
3	another person that
4	THE COURT: That's hearsay.
5	You saw her clothes there, though?
6	THE WITNESS: I saw her clothes there. I saw
7	Ethan's belongings there.
8	BY MS. ELCANO:
9	Q So based on what you saw in the motel room,
10	did you believe Ms. Guerrero was residing there.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Thank you.
13	So what happened next? You spoke to
14	Ms. Guerrero, I believe, on September 11th. Am I correct
15	in the timing?
16	,A Yes.
17	Q What happened at that juncture?
18	A Prior to that, during the day, I had obtained
19	a warrant to place Ethan into custody due to the
20	condition of that home.
21	Q And the condition of which home when you
22	state
23	A The home that she didn't meet me at
24	Q And that was the Red

The Red --1 Α 2 -- Lion? THE COURT: Number 38. 3 THE WITNESS: Number 38. 4 5 BY MS. ELCANO: And so can you please describe what you saw 6 0 in No. 38? 7 There was animal feces in the room that I was 8 Α able to identify her clothing and items in. There was 9 dirty diapers throughout the room. At this point Ethan 10 was walking because I had had contact with him up until 11 that point and had seen him do so, and those kinds of 12 issues -- the clutter, the dirty diapers, the animal 13 feces, and the animal urine, the, you know, poorly 14 patched together sleep setting -- would pose a risk to a 15 child of Ethan's age at the time. 16 So let's go back to what you observed. 17 Do you recall whether there was an odor? 18 19 Α Yes. Can you describe that odor to the Court? 20 It smelled like urine or feces, like a cat 21 Α 22 box. And I think you kind of went into this, but 23

just to draw this together, why did those conditions pose

a safety concern to Ethan?

A Ethan was mobile at that point, and when kids are mobile and able to walk, their safety is extremely affected by their environment. They pick things up, put things in their mouth, they step in things.

O So what was --

A They can easily contract diseases that way.

And so at that point, with his age, that vulnerability, a home that would be in that kind of condition would pose a safety threat to a child.

- Q Did you take pictures of the home?
- 12 A Yes.

Q Could you please turn to Exhibit FF, which is Frank Frank, in that.

Do you recognize these documents, if you could flip through them and tell me?

- A Yes.
- Q Can you please tell the Court generally what these are pictures of?
- A This is the room that Ms. Guerrero was living in with Ethan.

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge, to the conclusion. She can describe what this is a photograph of. I object to her conclusion.

1	MS. ELCANO: What conclusion?
2	MR. ELKINS: That Ms. Guerrero was living
3	there, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Let's go ahead and get the
5	testimony. If she hasn't established that she's living
6	there, then these pictures are irrelevant.
7	MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. What did you say,
8	Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Go ahead and ask her
10	Did you take these pictures?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Do they truly and accurately
13	represent what you saw?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.
16	
	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. I just kind of want to walk through these
17	I just kind of want to walk through these
17 18	I just kind of want to walk through these pictures, and I apologize, I guess these are not
17 18 19	I just kind of want to walk through these pictures, and I apologize, I guess these are not THE COURT: Do you want to move for admission
17 18 19 20	I just kind of want to walk through these pictures, and I apologize, I guess these are not THE COURT: Do you want to move for admission of these pictures?
17 18 19 20 21	I just kind of want to walk through these pictures, and I apologize, I guess these are not THE COURT: Do you want to move for admission of these pictures? MS. ELCANO: I was going to identify the

THE COURT: Any objection?

1.2

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I don't believe I've heard a foundation, so I'd object at this point just on foundation grounds until I've heard it.

THE COURT: Well, she took them and she said they truly and accurately represent what she saw, so they're admitted. FF is admitted.

(Petitioner's Exhibit FF was admitted.)

THE COURT: We've kind of laid the ground rules today on a lot of my -- how I'm going to rule on things. The reason I asked how we're moving along is, if we're not moving along very well, then I'm going to start -- I'm going to start moving along myself.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q If you could turn to the second picture -- and I apologize, these are not numbered, so I'm going to hold them up just to make sure we're all on the same page.

THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead and number these.

MS. ELCANO: Okay. I just don't know if there's --

THE COURT: Here, let's make sure everybody's on the same page. That was good, the way you had them

before.
MS. ELCANO: I apologize. I think this says
"Back Room" on it. Maybe they all do.
THE COURT: So that's
MR. ELKINS: They all say that.
THE COURT: They all do.
MS. ELCANO: I can just hold them up as we
go, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The problem is that doesn't help
the record any.
What's the first one you see? Describe it to
me.
THE WITNESS: It's a door that's just cracked
open.
THE COURT: That's No it's FF-1.
MS. ELCANO: FF-1, sure.
THE COURT: How many are there total?
MS. ELCANO: I believe there are 14.
THE WITNESS: I have 14.
THE CLERK: 14.
THE COURT: What do you see in the second
picture?
THE WITNESS: It's the entryway
THE COURT: What's right at the top?

1	THE WITNESS, A diagon boy
	THE WITNESS: A diaper box.
2	THE COURT: That's FF-2.
3	The third picture?
4	THE WITNESS: It's a picture of a whole room
5	with a window at the top.
6	THE COURT: And the baby box is in the
7	middle?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: That's 3.
10	4, what do you see in 4?
11	THE WITNESS: A chair.
12	THE COURT: With a fan at the bottom?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: That's 4.
15	THE WITNESS: Then baby box on the left with
16	the fan on the right.
17	THE COURT: 5. The next one?
18	THE WITNESS: A diaper and the baby box at
19	the top.
20	THE COURT: 6.
21	THE WITNESS: Is a shelving with baby food at
22	the top.
23	THE COURT: And the box, again, at the
24	bottom?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: 7?
3	THE WITNESS: This one is shelving.
4	THE COURT: Not very well focused.
5	THE WITNESS: No, not focused.
6	THE COURT: 8? Next one?
7	THE WITNESS: Baby box at the top, shoes to
8	the right.
9	THE COURT: 9?
10	THE WITNESS: This one's not very well
11	focused. It looks like clothing.
12	THE COURT: 10?
13	THE WITNESS: It's a TV.
14	THE COURT: 11?
15	THE WITNESS: Chair to the left, looks like a
16	bin or basket to the right.
17	THE COURT: 12?
18	THE WITNESS: This is shelving with food on
19	it.
20	THE COURT: 13. And obviously the last one
21	is 14. So we've got them.
22	Go ahead, Ms. Elcano.
23	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. I
24	appreciate that assistance.
4	appreciace chac assistance.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Could we turn to or could you please turn to 14, Ms. Tyre? Can you please describe to the Court what's there?

A This is an entryway to a room, a picture of the carpet with multiple urine stains on it, a dirty diaper, and what looks to be a torn-up trash bag.

Q And 3 of 14, if you could please describe what you see there?

A This is a room, picture of a room, in its entirety with a bed and a window.

Q And 4 of 14, please?

A This is, if you work your way from the bottom up, shoes on the bottom, some urine stains on the carpet. This is animal feces over to the left, the bottom left, and a blanket folded on top up there. Looks like there's a fan in the window also on the ground.

O And 5 of 14?

A This is a closer-up picture of that feces that's on the ground as well as some urine stains, a pillow on the ground that has urine on it.

Q And if you could turn to 7 of 14, so I'm having you skip one.

Can you please identify that?

A At the top here, this is baby food. It looks like rice cereal, formula. And then towards the bottom is a bed where people would sleep. This is just --

Q Then if you could turn to 12 of 14, is that a close-up as well?

A This is a pile of clothing and looks like linens and a pillow. Looks like there's feces on the towel right there, food on the ground underneath the chair.

Q You testified you believe this is where Ms. Guerrero was residing; is that correct?

A Yes.

1.5

Q What was the basis for that belief?

A The day before, this is the address that she gave me, that she told me that she was living in a room in this house. This room that has pictures -- that the pictures are taken in, if you look at Exhibit FF-5, those are shoes that I've seen Ms. Guerrero wear on multiple occasions. If you look on FF-10, those are items of clothing that I had seen her wear during -- on multiple occasions.

The food located in FF-7, at the top is food that would be age-appropriate for a child that was Ethan's age at the time, who was nine months, food that I

1	had seen Ms	. Guerrero have and feed Ethan in the past.
2		Additionally, the landlord of the home told
3	me that she	
4		MR. ELKINS: Objection.
5		THE WITNESS: Oh, that's hearsay.
6		THE COURT: That's hearsay, yeah.
7	BY MS. ELCAN	10:
8	Q	Had Ms. Guerrero provided any other
9	alternative	residences to you?
LO	А	No.
L1	Q	So no other addresses?
L2	А	No.
L3	Q	So you indicated, I think, based on the
L4	condition of	the home, a warrant was obtained?
L5	А	Yes.
L6		MS. ELCANO: And, again, Your Honor, in
L7	Exhibit B th	nere is a copy of the warrant.
18	BY MS. ELCAN	10:
L9	Q	What was the basis for the warrant?
20	А	Due to the living environment posing a safety
21	threat to Et	chan.
22	Q	Did you execute this warrant?
23	А	I did.
_л	_	And where was Ms Guerrero and Ethan when you

executed this warrant?

A She was staying at a woman named -- she was at a woman named Miss Stalker's home.

- Q And what occurred when you arrived?
- A I informed Jackie, or Ms. Guerrero, outside that we had a warrant to place Ethan in custody. In explaining why, I told her that the home, the address which she gave me and told me that she was staying, was absolutely filthy.

She replied to me that she hadn't had a chance to clean it, that she had been busy, and at that time Ms. Stalker was not permitting to let me in her residence to obtain custody of Ethan, so I contacted Reno Police Department to assist me.

Q And you indicated that Ms. Guerrero was at the Stalker home.

What day was this?

- A That was on the 11th of September.
- o of?
- A 2014.
- Q And did you have any indication that Ms. Guerrero was residing there?
- 23 A No.
- Q Did she tell you she was?

A She did later but not at the time that I was there.

- Q And approximately when did she allege she was residing with the Stalkers?
 - A During the protective custody hearing.

MS. ELCANO: And, Your Honor, Ms. Stalker is, I believe, going to be a witness, so I would like to ask Ms. Tyre the conversation that she had with Ms. Stalker as opposed to calling her as a rebuttal witness, if the Court would permit me to.

MR. ELKINS: I object. I object. I have no idea what she's going to say with regard to whatever it is Ms. Tyre is giving this anticipatory testimony about. I don't know if it will actually be admissible based upon her testimony, so it's -- it's just improper, Judge.

MS. ELCANO: I believe the basis is alleged in the trial statement as well as in the opening statement that Ms. Stalker will specifically testify to the fact that she was --

THE COURT: I'm going to allow her to testify about what Ms. Stalker said to her, but if it's not -
I'll admit it subject to it being tied up by the testimony of Ms. Stalker, and if we don't have that, then I will not consider it.

1 MS. ELCANO: Thank you. MR. ELKINS: Judge --2 THE COURT: I can choose the order --3 MR. ELKINS: I don't doubt that, but I would 4 like to be heard on this point. 5 THE COURT: You can go ahead and make your 6 7 record. MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge. 8 First of all, I obviously don't know what 9 Ms. Tyre is going to say, but, secondly, the testimony 10 11 would only be admissible presumably if it were in direct contradiction to something that the witness Ms. Stalker 12 testified about. 13 14 THE COURT: I agree. 15 MR. ELKINS: And so there's no way to know, obviously, that that's going to be the case. Whatever 16 17 she's going to say here I know is going to be prejudicial to my client, otherwise it wouldn't be asked. 18 THE COURT: Not always. 19 MR. ELKINS: I'm prepared to gamble on that, 20 21 Judge. And I submit to you, given the record at this 2.2 juncture, that the prejudice significantly outweighs any 23

probative value that it has if the only purpose is to

avoid inconveniencing the witness by having her come back for a few minutes to give rebuttal testimony. I mean, she's an employee of the agency, she's accessible to the district attorney's office, so under these circumstances, Judge, we would ask that she not be permitted to anticipate testimony because the prejudicial effect will be significant, I think, to my client.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. The

Court can decide the order of testimony. There's also a

presumption that I have sense enough -- I shouldn't say

"sense enough" -- I have the ability to set aside

evidence I've allowed in if it turns out to be improper,

and if this isn't tied up by subsequent testimony by

Ms. Stalker, then I'll ignore it and strike the

testimony, so --

MR. ELKINS: So my legal objection is there's no foundation.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. And I don't necessarily disagree with you about whether -- I don't want this witness to have to come back unless something -- and, again, I am allowed to decide how the trial proceeds, so I can allow witnesses out of order, and that's kind of what we're doing here.

So go ahead. The objection is overruled.

I'll allow her testimony, but it would be admitted 1 subject to it being tied up by Mrs. Stalker, and if this 2 were a jury trial, I would absolutely not let it happen, 3 but it's not, and I can ignore it if I don't hear something from Ms. Stalker that's substantially similar. 5 Go ahead. 6 7 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MS. ELCANO: 8 9 Did you speak with Ms. Stalker? Very briefly. 10 What was your conversation with Ms. Stalker? 11 My interaction with Ms. Stalker was very 12 chaotic and threatening. She's the reason I called Reno 13 Police Department --14 MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. 15 Nonresponsive. The question was, what was the 16 17 conversation? THE WITNESS: My conversation was extremely 18 19 limited with her. 20 BY MS. ELCANO: Let's first talk about, what did you discuss 21 with Ms. Stalker? 22

I discussed allowing us to enter the home.

And would she allow you into the home?

23

24

Α

1	A No.
2	Q Did you ask if Ms. Guerrero resided with her?
3	A No.
4	Q Did Ms. Stalker indicate to you that
5	Ms. Guerrero was presently residing with her?
6	A No.
7	Q Did Ms. Stalker indicate that Ms. Guerrero
8	could reside with her if she wanted to?
9	A No.
10	Q And Ms. Stalker's demeanor, would you please
11	describe that to the Court.
12	A She was angry, cussing at me. It was all
13	very it was very chaotic. It's difficult to describe.
14	Q So you indicated that you contacted the Reno
15	Police Department.
16	Were you eventually allowed into the home?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what occurred when you were allowed into
19	the home?
20	A I continued to talk with Ms. Guerrero about
21	the reasons for removal and serve her with a warrant, and
22	then I took custody of Ethan.
23	Q At any time while you were in the home did

Ms. Guerrero indicate, "This is where I sleep. This is

where I live"? 1 2 Α No. Did you observe anything of Ms. Guerrero's in 3 the home? 4 I found one Pack 'n Play that was -- Ethan 6 was in the Pack 'n Play when I was there. And did you go through the whole home? 7 Yes. I looked in every room. 8 How many bedrooms? 9 It was a two-bedroom apartment, I believe. 10 And did anyone reside, to your knowledge, 11 with Ms. Stalker? 12 Yes. 13 Α 14 0 Who? I believe she had children of her own and 15 possibly another male. There was quite a few people in 16 the home that didn't live there when I was there. 17 And what occurred after -- at that juncture 18 were you able to obtain Ethan and take him into custody? 19 20 Α Yes. And what occurred next? 21 I transported him to the foster home. 22 Α And what foster home was that? 23 0

I believe her name is Sandra Matute.

24

Α

Were any other children placed with 1 Ms. Matute at that time? Yes. Ms. Guerrero's three other children are 3 placed with her. 4 Aside from the services you've already 5 discussed, were any additional services offered to 6 Ms. Guerrero? 7 Not by myself. 8 To your knowledge, was Ms. Guerrero employed based on your conversations with her? 10 Not to my knowledge. Α 11 To your knowledge, based on your 12 conversations with Ms. Guerrero, how was she meeting the 13 basic needs of Ethan and herself? 14 She had initially been meeting the basic 15 needs through Mr. Hunt-Taylor, and then --16 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm objecting because I 17 don't know the basis of the witness's knowledge. 18 MS. ELCANO: I asked based on her 19 conversations with Ms. Guerrero. 20 MR. ELKINS: Thank you. 21 MS. ELCANO: That was part of the question. 22 THE WITNESS: She had reported to me that she 23

was initially meeting the needs based on Mr. Hunt-Taylor,

1	and the	en at	the time, after the domestic violence
2	incide	nt, s	he had told me that her current boyfriend was
3	helping	g her	meet the basic needs of the house. Of Ethan,
4	I'm so:	rry.	
5	BY MS.	ELCA	NO:
6		Q	I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last part.
7		А	Of Ethan.
8		Q	Was Ms. Guerrero's boyfriend identified by
9	her?		
10		A	Not to me. I knew his first name, but I
11	didn't	know	any other information.
12		Q	How did you obtain his first name?
13		A	She told me.
14		Q	And what was that?
15		A	Alberto.
16		Q	So was there a Present Danger Assessment
17	complet	ted b	y you in this case?
18		A	Yes.
19		Q	Can you please turn to Exhibit K, as in
20	Kit-Kat	t?	
21			Can you please identify that document?
22		A	This is something that's completed in our
23	system	that	's called a Present Danger Assessment.
24		Q	And did you author this document?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And what was the conclusion of your Present
3	Danger Asse	ssment?
4	А	That the present danger was identified for
5	Ethan.	
6	Q	And because of that present danger, what
7	occurred?	
8	А	He was placed into custody.
9	Q	Thank you.
10		And did you complete a Safety Plan
11	Determinati	on in this case?
12	А	Yes.
13		THE COURT: Are you going to move for the
14	admission o	f K?
15		MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. Thank you. I was
16	powering th	rough.
17		I would request that Exhibit K be admitted
18	into eviden	ce.
19		THE COURT: Same objection?
20		MR. ELKINS: Same objection, Judge.
21		THE COURT: Same ruling. Exhibit K is
22	admitted.	
23		(Petitioner's Exhibit K was admitted.)
24	/////	

1	BY MS. ELCANO:
2	Q Did you complete a Safety Plan Determination
3	in this case?
4	A Yes.
5	Q When approximately did you do so?
6	A I think it was probably September 28th or
7	thereafter.
8	Q Can you please turn to Exhibit O?
9	What is that document?
10	A This is a Safety Plan Determination.
11	Q And what was the conclusion from your Safety
12	Plan Determination?
13	A That Ethan was not safe in his home.
14	Q What was the basis of that conclusion?
15	A That Ms. Guerrero did not have a permanent
16	home, that she was unable to maintain a permanent home
17 ,	for she and Ethan, and that we had put reasonable efforts
18	into trying to assist her with that.
19	Q Did you author the Safety Plan Determination?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And is it a true and accurate copy?
22	A Yes.
23	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit O
2.4	is entered into oxidence

	THE COURT: Any objection?
	MR. ELKINS: Same objection, Judge.
	THE COURT: Same ruling. Exhibit O is
admitted.	
	(Petitioner's Exhibit O was admitted.)
BY MS. ELCAI	NO:
Q	Did you complete a Safety Plan in this case?
А	Yes.
Q	Can you please turn to Exhibit M, as in Mary.
	Can you please identify that document?
A	This is a Safety Plan.
Q	Did you author this document?
A	Yes.
Q	Is it a true and accurate copy?
А	Yes.
	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit M,
as in Mary,	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit M, is admitted into evidence.
as in Mary,	
as in Mary,	is admitted into evidence.
as in Mary,	is admitted into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection?
as in Mary,	is admitted into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ELKINS: Same objection.
as in Mary, BY MS. ELCAN	<pre>is admitted into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ELKINS: Same objection. THE COURT: Same ruling. M is admitted. (Petitioner's Exhibit M was admitted.)</pre>
	<pre>is admitted into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ELKINS: Same objection. THE COURT: Same ruling. M is admitted. (Petitioner's Exhibit M was admitted.)</pre>
	BY MS. ELCAI Q A Q A Q A

who is the foster parent and who would provide for his 1 2 basic needs. Did you complete a NIA in this case? 3 Α Yes. Can you please turn to Exhibit I? 5 Q What is that document? 6 This is the NIA that I completed. 7 So you authored this? 8 Q I did. 9 Is it a true and accurate copy? 10 Yes. 11 Α Was there maltreatment found in this case? 12 Yes. 13 Α So was it substantiated? 14 Yes. 15 And what was the basis of the maltreatment? 16 Neglect, specifically environmental neglect. 17 Can you explain to the Court a little bit 18 more what environmental neglect is? 19 When a child is found in a home in which the 20 environment poses a safety to the child, we substantiate 21 for environmental neglect because a parent allowed their 22 child to reside in that home. 23

24

MS. ELCANO: I would request Exhibit I is

1	admitted into evidence.
2	THE COURT: Any objection?
3	MR. ELKINS: Same objection.
4	THE COURT: Same ruling. I is admitted.
5	(Petitioner's Exhibit I was admitted.)
6	BY MS. ELCANO:
7	Q Ms. Tyre, did you complete Conditions for
8	Return?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And could you please turn to Exhibit Q, as in
11	question.
12	What is that document?
13	A This is a Conditions for Return document.
14	Q Did you author that document?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Is it a true and correct copy?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what were the conditions for return
19	identified?
20	A That Ms. Guerrero would be willing to work
21	with Washoe County Department of Social Services, that
22	she would continue to provide her address and allow
23	access to wherever she had been residing, that there ==
24	that Ms. Guerrero and Mr and/or Mr. Robert

```
Hunt-Taylor would be planful about using resources and to
      properly provide for basic needs, that Ms. Guerrero was
 2
      in a home that Ethan could reside with her, that there is
 3
      no indication that unknown persons are frequenting in and
      out of the home. Those are the ones that apply to
 5
      Ms. Guerrero.
 6
 7
                  Thank you.
             Q
                  MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit --
 8
                  Was that already admitted, Q?
                  THE COURT: I think Q has already been
10
11
      admitted.
                  MS. ELCANO: Sorry. I'm getting tired.
12
                  THE COURT: I keep saying the clerk knows.
13
      That has an X through it.
14
15
      BY MS. ELCANO:
                  Was your investigation concluded at this
16
17
      point?
                  Yes.
18
             Α
                  And was the case transferred to someone else
19
20
      as a result?
21
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Who was the case transferred to?
22
             0
                  Malia Seronio.
23
             Α
                  And is she present here today?
24
```

1	A Yes.
2	Q And can you please identify her?
3	A She is right here (indicating).
4	Q Thank you.
5	MS. ELCANO: I believe those are all the
6	questions I have at this juncture, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Pass the witness?
8	MS. ELCANO: Yes.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. ELKINS:
12	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Tyre.
13	Let's go back to Exhibit H, the NIA that you
14	created. I call your attention to page 2.
15	This is the letter relating to your
16	investigation of July 11th.
17	A Yes.
18	Q With regard to the domestic violence
19	incidents that you referred to, it was your conclusion,
20	was it not, that the child was not placed at risk as a
21	result of that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. Thank you.
24	And on July 11th Ms. Guerrero lived in the

trailer on Fourth Street with Mr. Hunt-Taylor; was that 1 your understanding? 2 3 Α Yes. And you went there? 5 Α Yes. And that was the same trailer that had been 6 visited either the same day or day before by 7 Ms. Meszaros? Yes. Α In your Safety Plan Determination you 10 indicated one of the conditions of return was that -- or 11 one of the issues, obstacles, to return was that 12 Ms. Guerrero did not have a permanent home; correct? 13 14 Α Right. 15 So is it fair to say your understanding was that that room that you saw was not her permanent 16 17 residence; correct? Throughout my investigation, yes. 18 Let's look at those photographs. 19 Let me just ask you -- I think they're ZZ --20 FF. 21 Α FF, there it is. 22 0 First of all, before we go there, the woman 23

who resided there, she was elderly; right?

1	A She was.
2	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm elderly, too.
3	THE COURT: Experienced.
4	BY MR. ELKINS:
5	Q In fact, she was very old, wasn't she?
6	A Yes, she was old.
7	Q And did you ever see her move from the couch?
8	A She answered the door.
9	Q Did she appear to be infirm?
10	A The contact I had with her, she was moving.
11	Q Was she sighted?
12	MS. ELCANO: Objection. I don't know who
13	"she" is.
14	MR. ELKINS: The woman who was present in the
15	trailer when you arrived.
16	MS. ELCANO: Can we have a name or can we
17	identify this alleged woman?
18	BY MR. ELKINS:
19	Q Did she give you a name?
20	A I don't recall.
21	Q How superannuated was she?
22	A I mean, it's difficult from just my contact
23	with her, but she I don't think she had 20/20 vision,

but she could see me and look at me when I talked to her.

```
She was able to get up and answer the door, she walked
1
     back and forth in the hallway a couple times, but she was
2
     very elderly.
3
                  Okay. So she wasn't spry, by any means;
4
5
      correct?
             Α
                  No.
6
                  And she was, as you said, very elderly.
7
             Q
                  There was a dog? Did you see the dog?
                  I did not see the dog.
9
             A
                  Did you see evidence of the dog?
10
             0
                  I saw evidence of the dog.
11
                  You don't know where the dog was?
12
             0
                  No.
13
             Α
                  Ms. Tyre, you never saw Ethan in this
14
                Is it a trailer or a room or --
15
      trailer?
                  It was a room in a trailer.
16
                  So not a hotel or motel?
17
18
             Α
                  No, no, no.
                  But a trailer?
19
20
                  Yes.
             Α
                  So you never saw Ethan there, did you?
21
             0
22
             Α
                  No.
                  You never saw Ms. Guerrero there?
23
24
             A
                  No.
```

And, in fact, isn't it true that you believe 1 that Ms. Guerrero was avoiding you? 2 At that time, no. 3 Well, did you come to determine or decide 4 that she was probably avoiding you? 5 I believed she was before, but she gave me 6 her address and set an appointment with me. 7 But before you went to this trailer, you believed she was avoiding you; is that correct? 9 Yes, uh-huh. 10 Did you tell the judge who issued the warrant 11 that you had never seen Ms. Guerrero or Ethan at that 12 13 room? I don't recall exactly what I said. 14 15 I'm going to show you what has been marked -- I call your attention to FF-4, which sounds 16 like a fighter jet but is actually a photograph. 17 In FF-4, that depicts -- let me ask you this: 18 What were the dimensions of this room? 19 10-by-14. 20 Α So it wasn't very big? 21 22 Α No. Looking at FF-4, FF-5, could a person 23

reasonably walk around in that room? Is there room to

0

walk? 1 Yeah. I walked in there. 2 Well, is it fair to say that most of the 3 floor is covered with, looks like, linens and a box, 4 diapers, some paper? 5 6 Well the diapers I brought. 7 I'm sorry? 0 I brought the diapers. 8 Α This box with the child's picture on it? 9 Yes. Remember, the agreement was I was going 10 11 to bring her diapers. I see. 12 So I brought those. 13 14 So that box you put in the room? I didn't. The lady who you're talking about, 15 the elderly lady, put them there for me. 16 I see. So how did that come about? You went 17 18 to the door and gave her the box? 19 Α Uh-huh. 20 And she --And she turned around and put it in there. 2.1 22 Then she came back to the door. 23 How far -- I'm sorry to interrupt you. 24 Just -- I mean, just turned not very far, two

steps, three steps. 1 This room is two steps from the door? 2 Uh-huh. 3 So she put the box in there, and then you 4 followed her in; is that correct. 5 Yeah. Well, I talked with her outside for 6 quite some time first. 7 Is it fair to say, Ms. Tyre, it would be 8 difficult to move around in that room with all of the 9 10 clutter? I don't know that I would say that. If it 11 was clutter that was hard like tables or things that you 12 wouldn't really be moving. You can step on a pillow. 13 But most of the floor is covered with, it 14 looks like, some kind of linens; is that right? Or 15 different objects; correct? 16 Yes. 17 Α If you look on the floor, there are obviously 18 dog feces; correct? 19 Are you looking at FF-5 still? 20 I'm looking at -5, yes. 21 0 22 Α Okay. Yes. Do you see dog feces? 23 0

24

Α

Yes.

It looks like a dog or some kind of animal 1 has urinated on the carpet? 2 Yeah. Urine was everywhere. 3 Did you ask -- well, you didn't see a dog? No. 5 Α Do you see anywhere in these photographs or 6 did you see anywhere in the room one of those things that 7 the kid sits in that looks like a saucer. A bouncy chair? 9 A bouncy chair, did you see anything like 10 11 that? 12 Α No. Did you see any push toy thing that a child 13 might push around? 14 No. 15 Α Did you see any children's toys at all? 16 There was, throughout the clutter, children's 17 items, yeah. 18 Did you see a toy? Can you point that out to 19 20 me? There's a teddy bear on the bed. 21 Α Let me just make it a little bit simpler. 22 When you went to the trailer on the 11th, did 23

you see items that belong to a child? Did you see a

1 bouncy chair? Yes. MS. ELCANO: Objection. Asked and answered. 3 MR. ELKINS: No. This is a different 4 5 location. 6 MS. ELCANO: I apologize. THE WITNESS: I don't specifically recall a 7 bouncy chair, but I believe there was toys, yeah. 8 9 BY MR. ELKINS: Toys and other objects belonging to a child, 10 for a child; correct? 11 12 Yes. Did you see any of those things in this room? 13 14 Α No. The food items that appear in -7 on the 1.5 16 shelf, those are things that don't spoil; correct? Or if they do, it's over a long period of time? 17 Yes. 18 Α Did you check to see in the refrigerator --19 the trailer, did it have a refrigerator? 20 I didn't look for a refrigerator. 21 22 So you don't know if there was any food items that a child would eat in the refrigerator or anything 23 like that? 24

A Right. 1 So the items that you believe belong to 2 Ms. Guerrero are the shoes on -5; is that correct? 3 Yes. Α 4 5 Q And anything else? The clothing in the closet. Α 6 Let's go to Photograph No. 10. There are -- the photograph, would it be fair 8 to say, is not that clear? 9 No, it's not that great. 10 It appears that there are a number of empty 11 hangers in there; is that correct? 12 I see some. 13 In fact, there are what, three or four 14 shirts, maybe, hanging up there? 15 Uh-huh. Α 16 17 And that's it? That's what I see, yeah. Α 18 When you went to the Stalkers' and -- so you 19 eventually got inside even though there was resistance; 20 correct? 21 Uh-huh. 22 Α Let me ask you this: When you first got 23

there, who met you at the door?

	97
1	A Ms. Stalker.
2	Q And so Ms. Guerrero did not come to the door?
3	A She did not.
4	Q How did you learn that Ms. Guerrero was
5	within?
6	A I asked for her.
7	Q And did she come to the door at that point?
8	A Yes. She stepped outside with me.
9	Q There came a time when you got into the
10	Stalker residence; correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Was it clean?
13	A It wasn't unsafe.
14	Q Okay. So it was normal?
15	A Normal.
16	Q - And within a room, a bedroom, in the Stalker
17	residence there was a Pack 'n Play; is that right?
18	A No. It was like in the kitchen/dining area.
19	Q Okay. It was folded up?.
20	A No. Ethan was, I believe, standing in it
21	when I got there.
22	Q So the child was in a Pack 'n Play in the
23	Stalker apartment?
24	A Uh-huh.

answered that question. Let's move on.

2.4

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q Ms. Tyre, was there any reason that you could see that leaving the child and the mother at the Stalker residence would present a risk in terms of the environment?

A I wasn't sure that they could stay there:

Q Let's assume for the sake of argument, since you're not going to be re-called, that she could stay there. Was there anything about that residence that posed an environmental risk that you could see?

MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for speculation. Ms. Tyre has testified that --

THE COURT: Well, it's a -- you're right, it's a speculative question, but I let her testify about Stalkers' and now we've got Stalker here.

Answer the question.

THE WITNESS: I didn't see any supplies for a child beyond a Pack 'n Play. So at that point I would say that that would long term be a risk of safety. There wasn't any food there for him, no clothing, no diapers, no wipes.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q How long did you spend in the Stalker residence?

1	A About 20 minutes.
2	Q Did you inspect the cupboards?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you open the refrigerator?
5	A No.
6	Q So you don't know what was in the cupboards,
7	do you?
8	A Like I said, the only thing that I could see
9	was a Pack 'n Play.
10	Q But there were cupboards, were there not?
11	A There was.
12	Q But my question was environmental.
13	Was there anything environmentally about the
14	apartment where Ethan was found that would pose a risk to
15	him?
16	A Not at that moment.
17	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I have no further
18	questions.
19	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, if we may continue
20	just so we can finish up tonight, I just have a few.
21	THE COURT: How long do you think we'll be
22	here?
23	MS. ELCANO: I hope not more than five or ten
24	minutes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.1

BY MS. ELCANO:

Ms. Tyre, you were asked about a permanent residence. How would you define a permanent residence?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

A Somewhere where you can stay longer than a few days or a few weeks.

Q And why was a permanent residence an issue with Ms. Guerrero?

A Because in my experience and time that I had spent with her, there was nowhere that she had stayed for more than a few weeks.

Q Was the permanent residence the only issue or concern at the time of removal?

A No.

Q What were the other concerns?

A As part of my NIA, I identified that

Ms. Guerrero lacked skills and motivation in order to

parent and keep a child safe, and the reason I marked

that impending danger was because we had offered her

services and services, and they weren't followed through.

Had she followed through with services that we offered

her, she would have had a permanent residence that was

paid for by Section 8 housing. However, she lost the Section 8 housing because she didn't follow through.

So as far as an assessment of parenting in general, it's difficult to provide more services than most clients get because of her unique situation and all the services she qualified for and have a client not take advantage of even one of those services.

In order to parent a nine-month old, even just a nine-month old, you need to have motivation enough to fill out a lease and turn it in when someone is offering you hundreds of dollars of free money and would, you know, complete the issues that we were identifying in the home.

Q Where had, based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero and your personal observations, had Ms. Guerrero resided prior to this Fourth Street residence?

A She had resided with Mr. Hunt-Taylor. I think at one point she had reported to me she resided with her father.

Q And in regards to Mr. Hunt-Taylor, where was that?

A That was a different trailer off of Fourth Street.

Q And at any point did you discuss with Ms. Guerrero why she no longer resided there?

A It was after the domestic violence incident, and although Mr. Hunt-Taylor was in jail, he was the reason that they could provide for that residence, and so she was unable to sustain it because she couldn't pay for it, essentially.

Q To your knowledge, based on observations or discussions with Ms. Guerrero only, what happened to the contents of that prior residence with Mr. Hunt-Taylor?

A My knowledge was that she never returned back there after the domestic violence incident.

Q And when you went to remove Ethan, what was Ms. Guerrero's reaction?

A I initially, you know, asked her about the condition of the place. I said, "Why didn't you meet me?" You know, that would be what I first asked her.

And she had said that there was something happening, that, you know, she had been in the hospital, she was not feeling well.

And then I asked her, you know, "Why does -- why does that room look like that? Why is it like this?"

And she said, "Well, I didn't have time to

clean. I, you know, have been distracted with being sick, and so I just came to my cousin's house because they were watching Ethan while I was in the hospital."

Q You testified that there was no environmental risk at this residence with the Stalkers, so why did you remove Ethan?

A I had received a report in July about

Ms. Guerrero in the home environment. We have history
that she struggled to maintain a safe home environment.

I poured as many resources as I could think of and that I could come up with to try to keep Ethan in her care.

At that point it's unmanageable by the agency when we have to be hunting her down, finding her every week, checking the home all the time. It's not manageable for us to do that. And when I walked into this room, it was clear to me, from what I could see and my experience, that she was staying there, and the information that I was able to collect from other people -- that's how we do our investigations -- that she was living there, that she had been there.

MR. ELKINS: Objection. Objection. I move to strike that.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, this is the basis for her conclusion and removal.

THE COURT: I agree. The objection is overruled.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: That was my assessment.

I was conducting, at the time that I went to this home, my last home check just to check it off the box and close the case. In no way was I anticipating to have to remove Ethan, in no way was I wanting to remove Ethan, but at that point we didn't have another choice.

We couldn't allow Ethan to go back to that home. We couldn't control where Ms. Guerrero was staying because she was so uncooperative with me up until that time. The only place she would meet with me was in the agency, and at that point I couldn't risk that she would return back to this house or that she even had anywhere to go with Ethan.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q And Ms. Guerrero never indicated to you that she was living with the Stalkers?
- A No. Not until the protective custody hearing.
 - Q Which was approximately how many days later?
- A Within 72 hours.
 - Q And you also indicated that you didn't look

1	at the cupboards. Why not?
2	A Because it wasn't a home I did an
3	investigation on. I don't have the ability to look in
4	the cupboards or closets.
5	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions.
6	
7	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ELKINS:
9	Q VOCA, you said that they would pay the
10	deposit and fees; correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you know what Ms. Guerrero's source of
13	income was as of August of 2014?
14	A She did not have a source of income.
15	However
16	Q She didn't have any public assistance, did
17	she?
18	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Could you let her
19	answer the question?
20	MR. ELKINS: I believe I got an answer,
21	Judge.
22	MS. ELCANO: Cutting her off doesn't
23	THE COURT: Okay. Let's finish up here so we
24	can all go home for the day.

BY MR. ELKINS: 1 2 Was she getting any public assistance? Yes. Section 8 had approved her and was 3 going to pay for her residence. 4 How does Section 8 work; do you know? 5 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I would object to 6 7 this being outside of the redirect. This was asked on direct, it was not asked on cross, and it was not 8 mentioned on redirect. 10 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 11 Go ahead. 12 THE WITNESS: Ms. Guerrero told me that 13 Section 8 was going to pay the majority portion of her rent and that Alberto was going to provide the rest of 14 15 the money. BY MR. ELKINS: 16 Do you know how Section 8 works? 17 18 I believe I have an understanding. 19 Okay. Are you aware that it required a \$300 20 deposit? 21 Α Yes. 22 And a \$75-, I think, -a-month utility fee? 0 23 Α Yes. 24 Isn't it also true that as of August of 2014 0

Ms. Guerrero was time limited and had to sit out in her 1 2 TANF benefits? Did you know that? 3 MS. ELCANO: Objection. That's way out of 4 the scope. 5 MR. ELKINS: Judge, she just testified about 6 her income. 7 THE COURT: Objection overruled. Finish it 8 up. 9 THE WITNESS: I did know that. 10 BY MR. ELKINS: 11 0 You did know that? 12 Α Yes. 13 So you knew she did not have any TANF 14 benefits? 15 Yes. 16 So had she obtained the VOCA residence, how 17 would you expect her to pay the rent? 18 It's not a VOCA residence. 19 I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that. Had VOCA paid a deposit, right, how would she 20 21 have paid the rent? 22 Majority of the time VOCA will continue to 23 pay rent for up to three years six months. In addition, Ms. Guerrero asked -- I talked with Ms. Guerrero multiple 24

times about her finances, how she was going to provide

for basic needs. She told me that Alberto was supporting

her and paying her -- and would pay the assisted rent.

Q So you knew that she had no public assistance and she would have to rely on Alberto, presumably, if she's going to pay rent for the residence that VOCA got her; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. When you went to that room, your intention was to drop off the diapers and close the case; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Doesn't that mean that up to that point you had decided that the child was essentially safe in the care of his mother?

A Yes.

MR. ELKINS: I don't have any further questions, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. I would request that Ms. Tyre is excused. Again, I'm not certain if opposing counsel has --

THE COURT: Okay. You're excused. The record should --

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry, Judge. I apologize.

We have no further need for Ms. Tyre. Thank you.

THE COURT: So you're free.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Real quickly -- well, actually, unless there's something more on the record we need to -- let's let these people leave.

How are we doing on time?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I think we're doing relatively well. I am hopeful that the -- Dr. Aberasturi and Dr. Rogina, their reports have been, I believe, agreed to be admitted. They've also been identified as experts, and it's my understanding that there will be no objection to them being called as experts in their fields, so I anticipate that their testimony will be brief in the sense we won't have to go through their qualifications as well as getting the documents into evidence.

I do anticipate a few more witnesses,
obviously. I'm hopeful that I can conclude by Wednesday
afternoon at the latest. That's my goal. I think that a
lot of the exhibits are in at this point, which tends to
be where I think we're having the biggest hiccup in terms
of objections and whatnot, so I do hope that --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know -- I don't have control over the space that I'm occupying to do this.

How do you feel? If she's done by Wednesday, are we going to be done by Friday at 5:00?

MR. ELKINS: I think so, Judge.

THE COURT: Friday at noon?

MR. ELKINS: Friday at noon?

THE COURT: Because we can -- even though I have to drive from Carson City, I can start earlier, and I can -- I don't like to go later, but we could take just an hour for lunch instead of an hour and a half.

I don't know if there's a courtroom available for me next week if we go over, so --

MR. ELKINS: I have to say, Judge, that my client will testify, and her testimony could take some time, so obviously we don't want to foreshorten her testimony because it's very important.

THE COURT: No. No.

MR. ELKINS: Many of the witnesses on our list have actually already testified. Ms. Menesini was on our list, Ms. Kraft, Ms. Tyre. Those witnesses have already testified.

THE COURT: You all think about it tonight

1	STATE OF NEVADA)) ss.
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE)
3	
4	I, PEGGY B. HOOGS, Certified Court Reporter
5	in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken by
7	me at the time and place therein set forth; that the
8	proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and
9	thereafter transcribed via computer under my supervision;
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcription of the proceedings to the best of my
12	knowledge, skill and ability.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative
14	nor an employee of any attorney or any of the parties,
15	nor am I financially or otherwise interested in this
16	action.
17	I declare under penalty of perjury under the
18	laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing statements
19	are true and correct.
20	Dated this 10th day of September, 2015.
21	
22	Peggy B. Hoogs
23	Peggy B. Hoogs, CCR #160, RDR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 22nd day of July 2016. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> Tyler Elcano, Deputy District Attorney Washoe County District Attorney's Office

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by providing a copy to:

Jacqueline Guerrero.

John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office

1	-000-
2	RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, AUGUST 31, 2015; 1:21 P.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	THE COURT: This is Case No. FV14-03897 in
6	the matter of the parental rights as to the Taylor
7	children. There's four of them. The parties are present
8	with their clients.
9	Ms. Elcano, your witness.
10	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	MR. ELKINS: Sorry, Judge. May I be heard
12	briefly
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	MR. ELKINS: since I have an existing
15	objection.
16	First of all, I want to clarify that there
17	were two discovery submissions. One was in April, one
18	was in July. In the July submission, on pages 2715 to
19	2755, there were the results or the documents relating to
20	these 2009-2010 unsubstantiated reports. So Ms. Elcano
21	is absolutely correct, she did include that in discovery.
22	I have found it and reviewed it.
23	THE COURT: I assume everybody tells me the

truth unless it's proven otherwise, so that's fine.

MR. ELKINS: And I did tell Ms. Elcano. I

just don't know why I didn't find the original.

THE COURT: I can't believe you'd miss pag

2.0

THE COURT: I can't believe you'd miss pages in 2,000 documents. That was the good thing about -- I don't know when you started, but we didn't have the amount of paperwork we have now. I'm kind of glad it's not too far until I die, and then I don't have to worry about it anymore.

MR. ELKINS: I can remember large tables covered with books.

I would like to be heard further, though, Judge, on this evidence, if you would:

I'd like, first of all, to be able to voir dire the witness on what an unsubstantiated report means because I think it goes to its probative value as opposed to its potential prejudice to my client.

THE COURT: I'm not so sure I want to hear about -- the fact there was one, I guess, but I don't want to hear much more than that.

MR. ELKINS: If that's how you rule, Judge.

THE COURT: Because reports that weren't unsubstantiated, then I don't ==

MR. ELKINS: If that's your ruling --

THE COURT: Out of an abundance of caution,

I'm not sure I should be hearing facts that weren't substantiated. It's fine if they were and, as a result, you decided that your danger assessment -- it was part of what caused you to make a danger assessment, that's fine, but I'm really troubled, even when I was in your position, Ms. Elcano, as a district attorney, listening to -- sort of like the DEA agents that were always telling me that everybody was -- they had informant information. That's kind of what unsubstantiated reports are. So unless it's absolutely necessary and you can give me a good reason for it --

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I was merely trying to provide the history briefly associated and just establish the fact that there had been prior reports, they were unsubstantiated, and they were here today.

THE COURT: And we're not going to go into what those unsubstantiated reports were --

MS. ELCANO: No, I wasn't planning on doing that.

THE COURT: That's fine, Because that goes to some limited degree into her basis for opinions that she has, but it's -- you know, just like I used to tell the DEA agents, if you get more than informant information about it, then I'll listen to you about this particular

person, but until then I'm going to assume he's just as innocent as everybody else I know. The same thing with unsubstantiated reports; they're not even worth the paper they're written on most of the time. So go ahead. BY MS. ELCANO: So let me back up a little bit, Ms. Menesini. So at the time you became involved in October of 2012, were you aware of any history prior with this 9 family and Social Services here in Washoe County? 10 Α Yes. 11 12

And how many reports had there previously been that you're aware of?

We had received three previous Α investigations.

- And were they substantiated?
- Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

And can you briefly tell the Court what substantiated versus unsubstantiated is in terms of reports being received by Social Services?

Unsubstantiated means that the allegations of the report were found to be untrue. Substantiated means allegations of the report were found to be true.

Why did you initially become involved with

this family in 2012?

1.3

2.1

A We had received a report that alleged concerns about lack of housing for the children.

Q And what occurred upon receipt of this report in terms of your assessment and investigation?

A When I received it, I did my normal investigation and assessment. We found that the allegations of the report were found to be unsubstantiated, which means untrue.

- Q And were the children removed?
- A No.
- Q And why not?
- A Because the children were assessed as safe because of the family finding another housing option for the children.
- Q So can you walk me through your investigation a little bit? I think you testified that there was an allegation they didn't have housing, so what happened once you went out to investigate that?
- A When I went out, I typically meet with the family and meet with the children. At that time they were being kicked out of a shelter place. However, they were able to get into another shelter, so we just kind of monitored it and provided some services as needed.

1	Q And what services were provided to this
2	family?
3	A We provided them with a Section 8 housing
4	list. We also provided them with some funding in trying
5	to get into their own apartment, as well as the local
6	resources that we have here in Reno.
7	Q And who were the children involved in this,
8	just to back up a little bit?
9	A The children that I was involved with in
10	October was Nathan, Kayleigh, and Roberto.
11	Q And was Ms. Guerrero a part of this
12	investigation?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And who else? Was there a father present
15	or
16	A Mr. Robert Taylor.
17	Q Did you complete a NIA?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And can you please briefly summarize the
20	conclusions of your NIA?
21	MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. This is an
22	unsubstantiated report we're talking about.
23	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
24	THE COURT: Well, it must have been. You

didn't remove the kids. 7 2 allegations were found to be untrue. 3 4 5 were safe. 6 out of --8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: That is correct. We found the

THE COURT: So that was your NIA?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And we found out the kids

THE COURT: See, you almost objected your way

MR. ELKINS: Well, Judge, I would object going into the details is my objection. We know that already.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, as the testimony will show, there are several investigations that Ms. Menesini was involved in that led eventually to the removal of the children in this particular case. I think that this provides context to the issues the family was struggling with. It also provides context to the decision of Ms. Menesini and her assessment and conclusions as a part of her assessment. I think it shows there was this couple-month period, so --

THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

BY MS. ELCANO:

What were the conclusions of your NIA?

1	A From the NIA from October of 2012, the
2	children were found to be safe.
3	Q Okay. And could you please turn to
4	Exhibit C, as in Charlie, in that binder. Can you please
5	identify that document?
6	A It's called the Nevada Initial Assessment.
7	Q Did you author this document?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Can you review the document and determine
10	whether it's a true and correct copy of the Nevada
11	Initial Assessment that you completed in October of 2012?
12	A That is correct.
13	MR. ELKINS: Judge, can you just give me a
14	minute with Ms. Elcano?
15	(A discussion was held off the record.)
16	BY MS. ELCANO:
17	Q Ms. Menesini, the date and time that's up in
18	the right-hand corner, can you please explain what that
19	is to the Court?
20	A The date and time is when the document was
21	printed out.
22	MR. ELKINS: Thank you.
23	BY MS. ELCANO:
24	Q So it has no relation to when the document

was created; is that correct? 1 2 That is correct. A 3 So when was this document created? 4 On April 14, 2013. And can you explain that to the Court, 5 because you identified this as your October NIA, so why 6 7 is there the discrepancy in those dates? When doing this assessment of the family, Я 9 usually we work with families for about 45 days, give or take. During this investigation we had three from 10 October 2012, November 2012, and December of 2012, so we 11 12 were working with the family, and then again, due to high caseloads, we did not create this until April 14, 2013. 13 14 Thank you. Is this a document you create and 15 maintain in the normal course of business? 16 Α Yes. 17 MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit C 18 is admitted into evidence, Your Honor. 19 MR. ELKINS: Objection to foundation, Judge. 20 THE COURT: This is a report prepared by you; 21 right? THE WITNESS: That is correct. 22 23 THE COURT: In regards to the investigation 24 you made of the family?

1	THE WITNESS: Correct. From October 2013.
2	MR. ELKINS: May I voir dire, Judge?
3	THE COURT: Go ahead.
4	
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. ELKINS:
7	Q Ms. Menesini, the information contained in
8	this report includes information or statements that are
9	not based upon your personal knowledge; is that correct?
10	A My personal knowledge?
11	Q Yes.
12	A This is information gathered throughout my
13	assessment.
14	Q And it includes statements made by others to
15	you?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q And many of the others who made statements to
18	you were not employees of your agency; correct?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q They didn't have any duty to report to you,
21	did they?
22	A That is correct.
23	Q Also, this report was unsubstantiated; is
24	that right?

A That's correct.

2.0

2.2

Q Which means that you found essentially that the allegations were not true, as you've testified to?

A That's correct.

MR. ELKINS: I renew my objection, Judge.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, pursuant to NRS 51.155, records, reports or statements that are done in a civil case as factual findings resulting from an investigation made pursuant to and authority granted by law are admissible but for hearsay. Additionally, pursuant to NRS 51.135, memorandum, report, record or compilation of data in any form for acts, events, conditions, opinions or diagnosis made at or near the time or from information transmitted by a person with knowledge, all in the course of a regularly conducted activity shown by testimony, are admissible as well.

THE COURT: Well, again, things that are contained in the report that are from her personal observations I'll admit for the truth of the matter asserted. Other matters I'll admit because she used it to generate the report, but it's hearsay and it could be multiple hearsays. It won't be admitted for the truth of the matter asserted. It will be admitted simply for the purpose of the conclusions she drew from the information

she gained. 1 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: To that degree it's overruled. 3 The objection is overruled. 4 And I've got this book full of exhibits. I'm 5 not going to look at an exhibit until you've moved and 6 had it admitted, so right now the only ones that I will look at are the ones I've already admitted. So go ahead. 8 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 And to be clear, I would -- I don't know that 10 we formally requested that the ones stipulated to by 11 opposing counsel be admitted, which I think would be A, 12 alpha; B, boy --1.3 THE COURT: I've admitted those already. 14 MS. ELCANO: Perfect. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 16 Then I won't go through those. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 (Cont'd) 19 BY MS. ELCANO: 20 So when did you become involved with this 21 family again after October? 22 After the October 2012 report, we received 23

another report in November 2012.

1	Q And what was the basis of that report?
2	A Concerns with lack of housing for the
3	children.
4	Q And what occurred per your report? What
5	steps did you take?
6	A The same steps: Meeting with the family,
7	meeting with the children, meeting with collaterals to
8	gather information, and making an assessment based on
9	that.
10	Q And what did you find throughout your
11	assessment?
12	A Throughout the assessment, that the family
13	still had adequate housing and that the children were
14	found to be safe.
15	Q Did you offer any additional services to this
16	family?
17	A Due to the fact that we had an additional
18	open case with the family from October, we still provided
19	the same services. They just continued on from October.
20	Q And those services, I think you testified,
21	were focused on housing and obtaining housing; is that
22	correct?
23	A That is correct.

Q And were there any other concerns that you

had during this assessment?

A During the November assessment, no, we did not, except -- well, except for Roberto's schooling.

- Q And can you provide a little more information what you mean by "Roberto's schooling"?
 - A When speaking to his school counselor -- MR. ELKINS: Objection.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection on the same basis. I won't consider it hearsay for truth of the matter asserted. I will assert it -- I will consider it for what she did as a result of it.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: When speaking with the school counselor, she just expressed concerns with Roberto's attendance.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q And specifically what were those concerns?
- A That he missed approximately 45 days.

 However, the school did allow him to miss days of school and pass it because the family they considered to be transient due to housing.
- Q Did you complete a Nevada Initial Assessment for this particular report?

A Yes.

Could you please turn to Exhibit D, as in 1 David, in the binder in front of you? Do you recognize that document? 3 Α Yes. Can you please tell the Court what that document is? 6 It's called a Nevada Initial Assessment. 7 What was the date this was completed? 8 It was completed on November 14, 2012. 9 Actually, that was no present danger. I apologize, Your 10 Honor. It was last modified on April 19, 2013. 11 12 And, again, the date and time up in the right-hand corner? 13 That is when the document was printed off. 14 Α And did you author this document? 15 Yes. 16 Α Can you please review it and let me know if 17 it's a true and accurate copy. 18 A Yes. 19 And what was the conclusion of this Nevada 20 Initial Assessment? 21 22 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm just going to obviously restate my previous objection as to these, and 23

I assume the ruling would be the same?

1	THE COURT: It is.				
2	MR. ELKINS: However, I would like some				
3	additional voir dire, if you don't mind, Judge.				
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.				
5	Any objection to him voir-diring?				
6	MS. ELCANO: No. I wasn't finished but				
7	THE COURT: Hopefully, what we're going to				
8	end up with here is that this is the first witness, and				
9	this first day we're setting the ground rules, and after				
10	that we're going to be moving along quicker. Even though				
11	I said I don't have anything else to do, I have other				
12	things to do, like painting and putting a roof on my				
13	house, but go ahead.				
14	MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry, but if I don't insert				
15	a timely objection				
16	THE COURT: You're right, you're right.				
17					
18	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION				
19	BY MR. ELKINS:				
20	Q Ms. Menesini, you said this document was last				
21	modified on April 19th of 2013; correct?				
22	A Correct.				
23	Q So does that mean there are entries in this				

document that were not made at or about the time of your

investigation but made subsequently? 1 Α Yes. 2 Can you identify which of the entries were not made in November but were made five months later? 4 MS. ELCANO: If I may back up, Your Honor, I 5 believe Ms. Menesini explained on the last document that 6 it was created in April but related to a particular 7 incident in October. I'm not certain if that's the same case here. If we could ask her about that first, I think 9 that answers the question. 10 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 11 MR. ELKINS: I'm not sure I understand the 12 answer, Judge. I'm sorry. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 (Cont'd) 16 17 BY MS. ELCANO: So, Ms. Menesini, you indicated that the date 18 this NIA was created was April 14, 2013, and it was last 19 modified on April 19, 2013. However, the investigation 20 was done on November 13, 2012. 21 Why is there a discrepancy in those dates?

22

23

24

Α

this family, having a previous case from October 2012,

Like I said previously, due to working with

having the open report in November 2012 and having an 1 additional one after, we were still doing the assessment, 2 and, again, due to our high caseloads, unfortunately, we could not get that done within the 45 days per our 4 policy. 5 MR. ELKINS: Further voir dire, Judge? 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. ELKINS: Thank you. 9 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 10 11 BY MR. ELKINS: 12

Q So are you saying, Ms. Menesini, that you actually created this document in April of 2013?

A That's correct.

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q So this document was created about five months after the investigation; the October document was created about six months after the investigation; is that correct?

A That's correct.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I object on the grounds that these are not contemporaneous entries in a business record by a person with a duty to make those entries.

Five and six months' delay.

THE COURT: In light of the fact that I

didn't let them in -- I didn't admit it under the
business records exception, but under the exception that
it's her opinion --

How do you keep track of something five, six months later?

THE WITNESS: What we end up doing is we still have contact with the families. When we had our investigation in December, we again have about 45 days, give or take. Once there was no concerns due to the allegations of being found unsubstantiated, it was just a matter of having the time to put in our assessments and create that and complete that.

THE COURT: Do you take notes that you refer back to later on when you make these reports up?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We do have case notes that we also enter into our UNITY program.

THE COURT: Your objection is noted. It's overruled.

Go ahead.

MS. ELCANO: Based on that, I would request that Exhibit D is admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: I'm not referring to either one.

You have moved to admit C or D or any of the other -
MS. ELCANO: I apologize. I thought that I

requested to admit C, Your Honor.

2.0

THE CLERK: You did.

MS. ELCANO: I would request C and D.

MR. ELKINS: Clarification, Judge?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ELKINS: Not other than the ones that I've already made.

So my understanding is that Your Honor is accepting these documents to the extent they reflect

Ms. Menesini's personal observations and that the balance of the documents which are not -- as to which she's not a percipient witness are admitted as the basis for opinion but not for the truth.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

THE COURT: And if, subsequent to all of this, someone else comes in and testifies about their personal knowledge as something that's hearsay to her, then I would consider it. So I assume there's other people who you got information from that are going to testify in this case? So C and D are admitted --

MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- for those limited purposes.

(Petitioner's Exhibits C and D were

1	admitted.)
2	THE COURT: Which one are you referring to
3	now?
4	MS. ELCANO: I was looking at D, as in David.
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	(Cont'd)
8	BY MS. ELCANO:
9	Q What was your conclusion for the Nevada
10	Initial Assessment for the incident in November of 2012?
11	A That the allegations of the report were found
12	to be unsubstantiated and that the children were safe.
13	Q And what was the basis of that conclusion?
14	A That the family was able to have adequate
15	housing.
16	Q And then when did you become involved with
17	this family again?
18	A When we received a report in December 2012.
19	Q And what was the allegation or the report?
20	A There were allegations that the family did
21	not have adequate housing for the children.
22	Q And what occurred as a result of that third
23	report regarding this family?
24	A The third report was found to be

10

11

12 13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

unsubstantiated because the family was able to find adequate housing. Therefore, the children were safe.

And what steps did you take to investigate this allegation?

Meet with the family, meet with the children, speak with collaterals.

And where was the family living at this time?

With regards to the December 2012, they were residing in one of our family shel- -- well, one of our shelters. Due to their funding, the family was not kicked out, but the doors were closed. The family was able to stay with a family member. However, there was an incident where that family no longer wanted the family there. We were able to put them in a motel for two weeks until they were able to get into their own apartment, which we assisted financially.

Were any additional services offered to this family at that time?

Due to the family still working with us from the October report and November report, our services just continued from those two months.

- And could you turn to Exhibit E as in echo. Could you please identify that document?
- Nevada Initial Assessment. Α

1	Q And did you complete this Nevada Initial			
2	Assessment?			
3	A Yes.			
4	Q · And what incident was this Nevada Initial			
5	Assessment in reference to?			
6	A With regards to the report received December			
7	2012.			
8	Q And, again, when was this document created?			
9	A It was created April 14, 2013.			
10	Q And you previously testified as to the			
11	difference in dates on a previous NIA. Is that the same			
12	case here?			
13	A Yes.			
14	Q Okay. Did you author this document?			
15	A Yes.			
16	Q Could you please review it to ensure it's a			
17	true and correct copy?			
18	A Yes.			
19	MS. ELCANO: I would request, Your Honor,			
20	that Exhibit E is admitted into evidence.			
21	MR. ELKINS: Subject to the same objections			
22	and limitations, Judge.			
23	THE COURT: E is admitted on the same basis			
0.4				

1 (Petitioner's Exhibit E was admitted.) 2 BY MS. ELCANO: And I may have asked this question, so I 3 4 apologize if I'm asking you to reiterate, but was maltreatment found at the conclusion of this NIA? 6 It was unsubstantiated. 7 Thank you. And when did you become involved in this case for a fourth time? 8 9 On April 22, 2013. Α 10 And why did you become involved? 11 A higher agency received an allegation or a Α 12 report alleging that the family did not have adequate 13 housing, and our emergency response workers went out on 14 or about April 19, 2013. 15 What happened at that juncture? 16 At that juncture then the children were 17 removed due to inadequate housing. 18 Where were the parents -- what parent or who 19 was involved, I quess? Let's start there. 20 It was Ms. Jacqueline Guerrero, the mother; 2.1 Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor, the father; and at that time the 22 three children was Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan. 23 And where was the family residing at the time

24

of this report?

- A To be honest with you, I do not know.
 - Q You indicated that the children were removed for lack of adequate housing. Do you know -- can you explain on that?

A When reviewing the previous report from April 19, 2013, the family was being evicted and had exhausted all the resources locally with regards to housing, and therefore the children were removed.

- Q Was a shelter a possibility?
- A No.

Q And why not?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, at this point I'm going to object because I do not believe this witness testified -- is testifying from firsthand knowledge. She's essentially, I believe, restating to the Court what she learned by reviewing the document which has been offered in evidence.

THE COURT: I'm not sure what the objection is.

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry, Judge. That she's not competent to testify to these matters absent the document itself, which is the evidence.

THE COURT: Again, so you're saying that she didn't --

MR. ELKINS: She has no personal knowledge, 1 Judge. That's my objection. 2 THE COURT: Did you prepare the document --3 are you referring to the document? 4 THE WITNESS: What they're referring to is, I 5 was not the worker who did remove the children. It was 6 our emergency response workers and it was after hours. I 7 was assigned the case on the next following business day. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. ELCANO: 10 And did you complete an investigation in this 11 case? 12 Α Yes. 13 And who did you meet with to complete this 14 15 investigation? I met with Ms. Jacqueline Guerrero and 16 17 Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor. And what did you discuss with Ms. Guerrero? 18 I spoke with Ms. Guerrero with regards to 19 housing as well as the allegations and the reasoning why 20 her children were removed. 21 And can you inform the Court specifically 22 what Ms. Guerrero indicated to you? 23

24

Ms. Guerrero indicated that she did have a

place where her and Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor were staying with friends. However, those friends did not want to work with our agency.

Q Did she indicate anything else regarding the eviction?

A She just indicated that they were evicted due to nonpayment.

MS. ELCANO: I guess to respond to the objection, Ms. Menesini did an independent investigation after the case was assigned to her and corroborated the information that was obtained at the time of the removal by the Emergency Response Unit workers, so she is able to testify from personal knowledge.

THE COURT: I think she just did.

You're the one that decided to remove them?

THE WITNESS: No. Our Emergency Response Unit workers had removed them.

THE COURT: But they're just sort of like -
I can't remember what they call that in the

hospital -- they're the emergency room and you're the

room upstairs.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So you decided, based on your discussions with her and your investigation, the kids

1	were going to be continue to be removed.			
2	THE WITNESS: That is correct.			
3	THE COURT: Okay. What more do we need to			
4	talk about?			
5	BY MS. ELCANO:			
6	Q Did you discuss anything additionally with			
7	Ms. Guerrero?			
8	A Just with regards to the reasoning why her			
9	kids were in our care and going to our protective custody			
10	hearing as well as visitation.			
11	Q What relatives did you contact regarding			
12	possible safety planning?			
13	A I did not contact any other relatives. I was			
14	not on scene that day. However, when we do remove			
15	children			
16	MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. Unresponsive.			
17	THE COURT: Ask her another question.			
18	BY MS. ELCANO:			
19	Q Why not? Why didn't you contact any			
20	relatives?			
21	A I did not contact any relatives with regards			
22	to can you ask that again?			
23	Q Let me back up.			
24	During the time of your investigation, did			

you contact any relatives?

- A I did not.
- Q And why not?

A When speaking with Ms. Guerrero as well as Mr. Hunt-Taylor, they had indicated they did not --

MR. ELKINS: Objection as to what

Mr. Hunt-Taylor said, Judge.

THE WITNESS: When speaking with

Ms. Guerrero -- I apologize -- she had indicated she

would not provide us with any other information except

with a previous relative who they were staying with on

the previous report from December 2012. When speaking

with that relative from December 2012, she had indicated

that she did not want the family nor the children in that

home.

The other only individuals we had were where Ms. Guerrero was staying, who had indicated to Ms. Guerrero that they did not want to work with our agency, so we did not look at them as a possible placement option.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q Did you speak with the children at all during your investigation?
 - A I met with them. Due to the ages of Nathan

and Kayleigh, they could not provide a statement because they were 2-1/2 and 1.

Q To your knowledge where was Ms. Guerrero employed during the time of the removal and throughout your investigation?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm sorry. We object unless we know the basis of the witness's knowledge.

THE COURT: How would you know that?

THE WITNESS: By speaking with Ms. Guerrero.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ELKINS: I withdraw the objection.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: When speaking with Ms. Guerrero initially, she did not have employment. However, she was then seeking employment through a previous employer that she worked with.

BY MS. ELCANO:

1.

Q To your knowledge what other sources of income did Ms. Guerrero have at that time?

A When speaking with Ms. Guerréro, she had indicated that she was receiving assistance through Nevada State Welfare to include TANF, which is like a cash assistance, as well as food stamps and Medicaid for the children.

THE COURT: When you say "TANF," is that 1 State, or who gives that, Washoe County, the State, 2 federal? THE WITNESS: I believe it is federal. 4 state has it. 5 THE COURT: It's like AFDC? 6 MR. ELKINS: Judge, we will be calling 7 someone from Nevada State Welfare who could probably 8 answer that question. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 11 BY MS. ELCANO: And do you know -- based on your knowledge, 12 approximately how much income did this amount to for the 13 14 family? In speaking with Ms. Guerrero, she had 15 indicated that was approximately around 500. 16 \$500? 17 0 18 A Dollars. MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry. \$500 how often? 19 THE WITNESS: Per month. 20 MR. ELKINS: Thank you. 21 22 BY MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. The Court's indulgence. 23 0 You testified previously that since October 24

you had been offering services to this family in an attempt to help them secure and maintain housing.

What additional services were offered or == let me back up. Sorry. I'm sorry. Just strike that. I'll just move on.

What additional concerns or safety concerns were identified throughout your investigation?

A With regards to the April 2013, we were just concerned with regards to the inadequate housing as well as Roberto's schooling because he had missed quite a bit of school.

Q When speaking with Ms. Guerrero, was budgeting at all discussed?

A It was with regards to where her income was -- where her income went when she had received the cash assistance from the state welfare.

- Q And what explanation, if any, was provided?
- A She could not provide me with one.
- Q What additional steps that we haven't already discussed were taken to complete your investigation or assessment in this particular case?

A After this assessment was done, we did transfer the case to a permanency worker for ongoing services.

What additional steps did you personally take, if we haven't gone over them already? 2 I think we went over them. 3 Okay. Thank you. Did you complete a -- bear with me one 5 second. Did you complete a safety -- I'm sorry, I'm 6 turned around -- a Safety Plan Determination in this 7 8 particular case? Α Yes. And what were the conclusions of your Safety 10 Plan Determination? . 11 12 Α That the --MR. ELKINS: Objection. The objection, 13 Judge, is that we're referring currently to a document, 14 and so my objection is essentially best evidence. 15 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 16 Go ahead. 17 BY MS. ELCANO: 18 What were the conclusions of your Safety Plan 19 Determination? 20 21 That we identified impending danger, threats, with regards to the children and that the children were 22 unsafe in the care of Ms. Guerrero. 23

And what was that impending danger, threat?

1	A We identified two of them, which was
2	inadequate housing as well as the lack of motivation with
3	regards to Roberto missing several days of school.
4	Q And could you please turn to Exhibit N, as in
5	Nancy? Can you please identify that document?
6	A It's called a Safety Plan Determination.
7	Q And when was this completed?
8	A On April 24, 2013.
9	Q Did you author this document?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Could you please review it and let the Court
12	know if it's a true and correct document or copy of your
13	Safety Plan Determination.
14	A Yes.
15	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit N,
16	as in Nancy, is entered into evidence, Your Honor.
17	MR. ELKINS: Voir dire, Judge?
18	THE COURT: Pardon me?
19	MR. ELKINS: May I voir dire?
20	THE COURT: Go ahead.
21	
22	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ELKINS:
24	O Ms. Menesini, when did you complete this

That is correct.

Α

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I have the same objection as I had before with regard to the NIA. I have no objection to the extent that the document reflects statements that the witness may have relied upon to make an assessment. I do object to those statements being admitted for the truth if they're not within her personal knowledge.

2.1

2.3

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I would then resubmit, pursuant to NRS 51.155, it's not hearsay. In a civil case, factual findings resulting from an investigation made pursuant to authority granted by law, social workers are granted by law the authority to make these investigations and

THE COURT: Their conclusions I can definitely consider. I can consider those matters of their own personal knowledge, and the fact that she's based it on hearsay from other people, I can't consider their hearsay for the truth of the matter asserted, but I can certainly consider she's entitled to make a conclusion from her investigation and discussion with people.

So it's being admitted on the same basis that the other ones were admitted, which is, as to her personal knowledge, that's admitted for the truth of the

1	matter asserted. As to matters that are hearsay, she can			
2	use those to reach her conclusions, and her conclusion is			
3	definitely for the truth of the matter asserted. So			
4	that's the basis upon which it looks to me a whole			
5	bunch of stuff is going to be admitted here, so it's			
6	admitted.			
7	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.			
8	THE COURT: Again, what was that?			
9	MS. ELCANO: It was N, as in Nancy, Your			
10	Honor.			
11	(Petitioner's Exhibit N was admitted.)			
12				
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
14	(Cont'd)			
15	BY MS. ELCANO:			
16	Q Did you complete a Safety Plan in this case?			
17	A Yes.			
18	Q And what were the conclusions of your Safety			
19	Plan?			
20	A That the children were found to be safe with			
21	foster parents.			
22	Q And who was the foster parent?			
23	A I do not remember.			
24	Q Could you please turn to Exhibit L, as in			

clear with that. Not to say anything bad about the 1 Nevada Supreme Court. I would never say that. 2 Go ahead. 3 BY MS. ELCANO: 4 Thank you. 5 Q You testified, in regards to your Safety 6 Plan, you concluded the children were safe in foster 8 care. What was the basis for that answer? Α The reason they were safe in foster care is 10 that Ms. Guerrero could not provide adequate housing; 11 therefore, we had to provide that adequate housing for 12 13 them. THE COURT: And they probably got Roberto to 14 15 school. 16 THE WITNESS: That is correct. THE COURT: This is starting to take on a 17 repetitive nature. Go ahead. 18 BY MS. ELCANO: 19 What are conditions for return? 20 Basically a tool we set up to identify what 21 needs to be done in order for the children to be 22

reunified with their parents when they're safe.

Q Were conditions for -- excuse me.

23

1	Were conditions for return identified in this
2	particular case?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Could you please turn to Exhibit P, as in
5	Paul.
6	THE COURT: Now, this one you had no
7	objection to being admitted; right?
8	MS. ELCANO: Was P already admitted?
9	THE COURT: I think so. That's what I have.
10	MS. ELCANO: I apologize.
11	MR. ELKINS: I think that's correct, Judge.
12	BY MS. ELCANO:
13	Q Okay. Can you briefly explain to the Court
14	what the conditions were for return in this particular
15	case?
16	A To have and maintain basically a stable home
17	where the children can go to.
18	Q Thank you.
19	And then did you complete a NIA for this
20	fourth investigation?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q And when did you complete this NIA,
23	approximately?
2.4	A Within approximately 10 to 15 days after the

1	children were in our care.					
2	Q And can you please briefly summarize the					
3	conclusion of the Nevada Initial Assessment?					
4	A From the NIA from April 2013, it was assessed					
5	that the children were un were unsafe in the care of					
6	Ms. Guerrero as well as Mr. Hunt-Taylor.					
7	Q And the basis?					
8	A Was due to inadequate housing.					
9	Q Could you please turn to					
10	THE COURT: And they weren't taking Roberto					
11	to school?					
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.					
13	BY MS. ELCANO:					
14	Q Could you please sorry.					
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.					
16	BY MS. ELCANO:					
17	Q Could you please turn to Exhibit, I believe,					
18	E, as in echo. Or F, I'm sorry, as in Frank.					
19	Can you please identify this document?					
20	A A Nevada Initial Assessment.					
21	Q Did you author this document?					
22	A Yes.					
23	Q And could you please review it and let the					
24	Court know if it's a true and correct copy.					

A Yes. 1 MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit F, 2 as in Frank, is admitted into evidence, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. ELKINS: Same objection, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Same ruling. MR. ELKINS: Thank you. 7 (Petitioner's Exhibit F was admitted.) BY MS. ELCANO: 9 And what additional steps which we haven't 10 already discussed were taken to complete your assessment 11 in this particular case? 12 THE COURT: For the record, F is admitted. 13 14 I'm sorry. MS. ELCANO: Thank you. I apologize. 15 THE WITNESS: We have the same steps as I 16 indicated before with the previous reports. 17 BY MS. ELCANO: 18 And I think you testified you spoke with the 19 mom, Roberto, and you investigated those types of things. 20 Anything else that you did? 21 22 Α No. Okay. And what happened, seeing as -- did 23

this conclude your assessment?

That is correct. 1 Α And what occurred next? 2 The case was transferred to a permanency 3 worker for ongoing services. Who was that permanency worker it was 5 transferred to? 6 Ms. Rocio. 7 Α Was a petition filed in a dependency matter 8 in court? That is correct. 10 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, just to be clear for 11 the record, Exhibit A contains the Protective Custody 12 Order Petition and other certified documents associated 13 with the dependency case, just so you're aware of the 14 petition that was being referenced in that line of 15 16 questioning. I have no additional questions at this 17 18 juncture. THE COURT: Exhibit C is a Nevada Initial 19 Assessment dated 4/14/2014; Exhibit F is April 19, 2013. 20 So there was one prepared on the 14th and one on the 21 19th; is that --22 23 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

1////

BY MS. ELCANO: 1 Do you want to explain why that occurred? 2 The one that was prepared on April 19, 2013, 3 Α was with regards to the removal from the April 2013 4 5 report. MS. ELCANO: And I believe Exhibit F says it 6 was created on April 24th. April 19, 2013, is the date 7 the case was assigned to an investigative worker. 8 THE COURT: Well, on your description of 9 10 exhibits, you've got April 19th, so --11 MS. ELCANO: That was an error, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's why I was asking that 12 13 question. MS. ELCANO: I apologize. That table of 14 15 contents --THE COURT: So F --16 MS. ELCANO: It was created on April 24, 17 2013, in regards to the April 19th investigation. 18 THE COURT: Looks like it was completed 19 April 19th and concluded April 24th. That's fine. 20 MS. ELCANO: There are a lot of dates on 21 these documents, which make it confusing. If you would 22 like me to kind of walk through one Nevada Initial 23 Assessment to clarify the dates before I move on --

- 1	
1	THE COURT: No. We can do that at the end.
2	MS. ELCANO: Okay. I'm happy to do so.
3	THE COURT: Do you pass the witness, then?
4	MS. ELCANO: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins.
6	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ELKINS:
9	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Menesini. How are you?
10	A I'm good. How are you?
11	Q Good.
12	By the way, the permanency worker that you
13	passed the case, is she present?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Can you identify that person?
16	A Ms. Rocio Lopez.
17	Q Let me see if I understand.
18	You had, all together, four investigations
19	between October of 2012 and April of 2013 of
20	Ms. Guerrero; is that correct?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q And during those investigations did you have
23	an opportunity to visit Ms. Guerrero and see her

children?

1	A That is correct.
2	Q Do you recall where it was that you saw
3	Ms. Guerrero in October?
4	A I met Ms. Guerrero at my office as well as we
5	were able to get her into a different shelter.
6	Q So did you ever actually go to the place that
7	she was living in October?
8	A She was currently residing at the Prayer
9	House. I did not because she was kicked out of there.
10	THE COURT: You said October. You mean
11	October
12	THE WITNESS: 2012. I apologize.
13	MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge. I'll try to
14	be clear about that.
15	BY MR. ELKINS:
16	Q All right. In October of 2012.
17	Did Ms. Guerrero tell you that she had
18	exhausted her time at the Prayer House?
19	A When speaking with Ms. Guerrero, that's what
20	she had indicated.
21	Q And did she tell you how long she had been
22	there?
23	A I do not recall.
24	Q Four months, does that refresh your

It's called the Family Promise. 1 And her residence at that shelter, was it 2 0 adequate? 3 Yes. Did you see the children? 5 0 Yes. Α Was there anything that you observed about 7 the children that caused you any concerns? 8 There were no concerns with the children's safety. We did discuss about Roberto's attendance, but 10 we were working with Ms. Guerrero on that. 11 Let me just address that for a second. Q. 12 How old was Roberto at that time? 13 Approximately 5. Α 14 Okay. And where was he attending, as you 15 0 understood it? 16 I do not recall what school. 17 Α Well, do you recall what grade? 18 Q He was in kindergarten. 19 Α Okay. How long have you been an assessment 20 worker within the state of Nevada? 21 Within the state of Nevada, I've been an 22 assessment worker since 2005. 23

O So for 10 years?

ll ll			I I
1		A	That's correct, approximately.
2		Q	And during that 10-year period of time, have
3	you had occa		asion to assess truancy?
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	And are you familiar with the rules and
6	regulat	ions	regarding school attendance?
7		A	I am familiar with it, yes.
8		Q	And at what age is a child required to attend
9	school	in th	ne state of Nevada?
10		A	Six.
11		Q	So, in fact, Roberto was not actually
12	require	ed to	attend school, being five; correct?
13		A	Per law, but also he had an Individual
14	Educati	ion Pi	lan in place.
15		Q	Was he required to attend school?
16		A	By law, no.
17		Q	Thank you.
18			Also, with regard to his not going to school,
19	to kind	derga	rten, did that in any way make him unsafe?
20		A	No, it did not.
21		Q	Thank you.
22			And then there's a report of December of
23	2012?		
24		A	That is correct.

- Q Do you remember the date of that one?
- A I believe it was December 12, 2012.
 - Q And did you have an opportunity to visit with Ms. Guerrero in her place of residence at that time?
 - A Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q And where was she residing?
- A She was residing at Family Promise. However, they had shut down due to lack of funding, and then her and the children moved with her mother, and unfortunately she didn't want to, so we put her up in a motel for two weeks just to get her into her own apartment.
- Q Were you instrumental in getting her into the motel and then into her apartment?
 - A That is correct.
- Q What assistance did you provide, for example, to get her into the motel?
 - A The funding.
 - O And do you recall what motel it was?
- A I do not.
 - Q Okay. And the family was there for, you said, two weeks?
- A For approximately two weeks, until they could get into their own apartment.
 - Q So the family found an apartment?

Correct. 1 Α Did you visit the apartment? 2 I had a previous worker do a home visit just 3 to provide, and I had met with them as well. 4 And who was that; do you know? Her name was Tanya Defehr. 6 Α So you personally did not see the apartment? 7 Correct. 8 Did you have a conversation with Ms. Guerrero 9 about the apartment? 10 I did speak with her, yes. 11 And did she tell you how it was being paid 12 for? 13 She -- well, she had indicated she moved in 14 because we were able to help with the funding. 15 And can you tell me specifically what 16 assistance you provided to enable the family to move into 17 18 the apartment? We have a program called the LITFH funding, 19 which basically helps individuals move into an apartment 20 or pay power bills or whatever it is, so we are able to 21 22 assist. And do you know the amount? 23

I do not.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: How do you spell what you just
2	said?
3	THE WITNESS: LITFH. It's L-I-T-H
4	MR. ELKINS: It's L-I-T-F-H, Judge.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: What's that an acronym for; do
7	you know?
8	THE WITNESS: I do not, Your Honor. It's my
9	understanding it's just a funding.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins?
11	MR. ELKINS: Sorry, Judge. Family Housing I
12	would guess would be the last two. I don't know what the
13	L-I-T stands for.
14	MS. ELCANO: I believe it's Low-Income
15	something Family Housing something.
16	THE COURT: All it means is LITFH.
17	MR. LOPEZ: Low-Income Temporary Housing
18	Fund.
19	MR. ELKINS: That sounds absolutely correct.
20	BY MR. ELKINS:
21	Q Do you know, Ms. Menesini, how much the rent
22	was on that apartment?
23	A I do not.
24	Q You had testified that it was your that

Ms. Guerrero told you that her income at that point in 1 time was provided by TANF? 2 That is correct. 3 In the amount of approximately \$500 per month? 5 That's correct. 6 Did the agency determine whether or not this 7 apartment was sustainable based upon the TANF grant? 8 It was also that Ms. Guerrero was employed, had a previous job that she went back to, so between that 10 and the funding, they were able to sustain it. 11 So that's what Ms. Guerrero told you, that 12 she was employed? 13 That she was able to get her job back. 14 Yeah. Do you recall where? 15 16 Α I believe it was Harrah's. Which is a casino? 17 0 That is correct. Α 18 Okay. So at the time she moved with her 19 family into the apartment that you assisted to obtain, 20 your understanding was she was employed, and you gave her 21 family some money to get into the apartment; correct? 22 Correct. 23 A

24

There came a time in April of 2013 where,

once again, you were called to investigate the family; 1 2 correct? Correct. And, once again, the issue was housing, 4 inadequate housing; correct? 5 Correct. 6 But you didn't actually participate in that 7 investigation? In other words, you weren't present at the time of removal; is that right? 9 I was not present during the time of removal. 10 So you didn't actually see the residence? 11 I did not. 12 Α Okay. Am I correct in understanding that 13 shortly after the removal you spoke to the mother, 14 Ms. Guerrero, about the circumstances? 15 That is correct. 16 And did she tell you that the property owner 17 had demanded a sum of money? 18 That is correct. 19 Do you recall how much? 20 I do not. 21 Α Does the number \$200, would that refresh your 22 recollection? 23 MS. ELCANO: Objection. I don't think you

can refresh recollection by testifying on the record. I 1 think you need an actual document to do it accurately. 2 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I can do that. I'm just 3 4 trying to save time. THE COURT: I mean, if you made some reports, 5 6 would it be in your reports? 7 THE WITNESS: That's correct. THE COURT: Do you have those reports there? 8 9 THE WITNESS: I have them right here. THE COURT: Just ask her to look at the 10 reports, and she can come up with an amount. 11 MR. ELKINS: I understand, Judge. Let me see 12 if it's --13 14 THE COURT: While you're doing this, this TANF, again, is that -- I guess you said you're going to 15 call somebody and ask this question. Is that state, 16 17 federal? THE WITNESS: It's federal. All 50 states 18 19 have it. THE COURT: And a lot of these reports that 20 you're doing, are those ones required by the federal 21 government because of grants they get from the federal 22 government? 23

THE WITNESS: To be honest with you, I do not

know what we get grants for. I believe it's just within our own agency that came up with this tool. THE COURT: But this NIA, that's statewide? THE WITNESS: Yes. The State, in our rural areas as well as Washoe County, we've adopted this as a tool. MS. ELCANO: I believe Ms. Lopez has a little additional information on the TANF, Your Honor, if you'd like. I don't want to speak --

MR. ELKINS: Judge, if you don't mind -THE COURT: I can wait. That's fine. I'm

just trying to --

MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- to relate this to my time as a welfare hearings officer. So, again, it was 40-some-odd years ago. I used to fly all around the state of California and hear appeals from denials of claims for assistance there, so --

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q I'm going to show you a document -
Judge, how would you like me to handle

documents used to refresh recollection? Do you want them

marked or --

THE COURT: We probably should have -- be

MR. ELKINS: No, Judge. These are not in evidence. These are documents that were provided to me 3 in discovery, which have not been offered. THE COURT: Mark them for purposes of 5 identification now, and then if they're later 6 admitted -- mark them for purposes of identification now. 7 MR. ELKINS: Shall we call this 8 Respondent's A for purposes of identification? 9 THE COURT: That's fine. 10 THE CLERK: It needs to be next in order. 11 will be Respondent's Exhibit 7. 12 MR. ELKINS: Respondent's 7 for 13 identification. 14 THE COURT: And at this time for purposes of 15 identification --16 This is a two-page document, MR. ELKINS: 17 Judge. Obviously I'm not going to read from it. 18 would be page 13 and 14 of 206 UNITY notes dated 19 April 23rd of 2013. The author I will establish. 20 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I think we have to 21 establish that actually reviewing the UNITY notes would 22 refresh her recollection. I mean, there's a procedural 2.3

sure to refer to the -- has it already been admitted?

1

24

way of refreshing recollection that's not being followed

Ιt

```
1
     here.
                  THE WITNESS: I can tell you, Your Honor, it
2
     is in my NIA. I was refreshed.
 3
                  MR. ELKINS: There you go.
 4
                  THE WITNESS: I'll save you all the trouble.
 5
                  THE COURT: Do you need 7?
 6
                  MR. ELKINS: We do, Judge. I may refer to
7
      it.
8
9
                  THE COURT: Mark it for purposes of
      identification.
10
                  MR. ELKINS: Thank you.
11
                  (Respondent's Exhibit 7 was marked.)
12
     BY MR. ELKINS:
13
                  Can you tell me, Ms. Menesini, whether the
14
     mother mentioned an amount of money that was in dispute?
15
                  Approximately $200.
16
                  I'm sorry?
17
             Q
                  $200.
18
             Α
19
                  $200, okay.
                  Did she tell you what efforts she had made to
20
     come up with the money?
21
                  She explained it to our previous workers on
22
23
      scene that night.
                 When you had that conversation with her, did
24
```

she explain to you what she had done? 1 Yes. 2 Α What was that? That she would go and give plasma to get 4 money. 5 And what did you understand that to mean? 6 That she voluntarily went to go give plasma Α 7 to get a certain amount of money to help towards --8 What is plasma? 9 I wish I actually knew. No. Plasma is just 10 like donating blood. 11 And did she indicate how much, if any, money 12 she raised by doing that? 13 Seventy dollars. 14 And did she tell you when she did that? 15 I don't recall when she told me, but 16 apparently she informed --17 No. Sauce for the goose is sauce for the 18 19 gander. THE COURT: Well, if she doesn't object, then 20 there's no --21 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I'd like to request 22 that the document is closed, and that being the notes 23

that were given to Ms. Menesini are taken back.

THE COURT: That's fine. 1 BY MR. ELKINS: 2 Thank you. 3 0 So my understanding is she told you, despite giving blood, they weren't able to pay the motel bill; is 5 that correct? 6 That's correct. 7 In your previous visits with Ms. Guerrero and 8 her children and their father, did you ever see the 9 parents with the children? 10 MS. ELCANO: Objection. Vague. "Previous 11 visits"? 12 BY MR. ELKINS: 13 During your investigations from October of 14 2012 through April of 2013, did you ever have occasion to 15 see Ms. Guerrero, the father and the children together? 16 Yes. 17 Α On how many occasions would you say? 0 18 I do not recall. 19 Α Can you give me an estimation? 20 0 I can give you an estimation. 21 Α Was it -- I'm sorry. 2.2 0 At least, approximately, ten plus. 23 Α More than ten times? 24 0

1	A Yes.	
2	Q On those ten occasions did you observe the	
3	parents caring for the children?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And can you describe your observations?	
6	A I had no concerns with how they were around	l
7	the children with the previous reports.	
8	Q Did they seem to meet the children's needs	
9	appropriately?	
10	A As far as the housing and the food, yes.	
11	Q Did you ever see any inappropriate	
12	interaction?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Did they seem to be attached to their	
15	children?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q On the occasions that you saw the children,	
18	did they seem healthy?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Were their clothes clean?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Did the children well, withdraw.	
23	During your investigation, aside from	
24	contacting Roberto's school, was there any other child	in

the home of school age?

1.6

A Kayleigh was in what's called a Child Find at one of the elementary schools because she qualified for the service.

- Q And did you have an opportunity to contact that Child Find school?
 - A I don't recall if I did or not.
- Q Do you recall whether you recorded anywhere or whether you would have recorded anywhere a contact of that kind during your investigation?
- A I do have it documented in the NIA section about Kayleigh and why she qualified for this service through Child Find at the elementary school.
- Q Would you have documented anywhere any contact with Kayleigh's Child Find school?
 - A I do not recall.
- Q Do you recall whether, in the course of your investigation -- let me ask you this: In the course of your investigation did you keep notes?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What kind of notes did you keep?
- A They're called case notes that document my interaction with the family or who I spoke to.
 - Q And where would you enter those notes?

- 1	
1	A Into our computer system called UNITY.
2	Q Okay. So if you had had contact with the
3	Child Find program, would that have been entered in
4	UNITY?
5	A It would be entered in UNITY if I had contact
6	with the school.
7	MR. ELKINS: Sorry, Judge.
8	THE COURT: I'm surprised with 3,000 pages
9	you can't find it quicker.
10	MR. ELKINS: I'm almost there.
11	THE COURT: That's what we have Bates stamps
12	for.
13	MR. ELKINS: Judge, the public defender's
14	litigation support is not adequate.
15	THE COURT: Do you want to take a break?
16	THE WITNESS: No. Just looking at the time.
17	MR. ELKINS: I would like to show the witness
18	a document which we can deem marked Petitioner's 8
19	Respondent's 8.
20	MS. ELCANO: Objection. What's the purpose
21	of this?
22	MR. ELKINS: The purpose would be to refresh
23	her recollection as to whether or not she had contact
24	with the early

MS. ELCANO: She's never testified that 1 review of the UNITY notes would refresh her recollection. 2 MR. ELKINS: I guess that's what we'll have 3 to find out, Judge. THE COURT: We'll go about another 15 5 minutes, and then we'll take a break for everybody's 6 benefit. 7 MR. ELKINS: May I? 8 THE COURT: Only for purposes of 9 10 identification. 11 MR. ELKINS: Correct. (Respondent's Exhibit 8 was marked.) 12 MS. ELCANO: I object to it being provided to 13 the witness. She hasn't testified that review of her 14 UNITY notes would refresh her recollection. There's a 15 process to refresh recollection which is consistently not 16 17 being followed here. 18 MR. ELKINS: Judge, if a witness knew in advance that her recollection would be refreshed, it 19 seems to me she wouldn't need to have her recollection 20 refreshed because she'd know it existed. 21 THE COURT: Did you write down in your notes 22

THE WITNESS: I do not recall if I did or

23

24

if you went to the school?

not. However, I did write in a NIA that she attended a 1 program at the school through Child Find because she qualified for services. 3 THE COURT: Ask her if those are her notes, I 4 5 quess. 6 BY MR. ELKINS: I'll show you what's been marked as 7 Respondent's 8 for examination. 8 Can you tell me if you recognize that 9 10 document? Yes. This is a maltreatment answer for the 11 12 NIA. And did you write that note? 13 It's authored by myself. It's a transfer Α 14 summary, yes. 1.5 So the answer is yes? 16 Yes. 17 A Can you look at that and see whether that 18 refreshes your recollection regarding =-19 MS. ELCANO: Objection. You're not supposed 20 to review a document to determine if it refreshes your 21 recollection. The way it goes is, "Would review of your 22 UNITY notes refresh your recollection? If so, here's a

copy." You don't get to review it and then determine

23

whether or not it refreshes your recollection. 1 THE WITNESS: It's a copy of the NIA. 2 THE COURT: Do you have the name of the 3 school? THE WITNESS: With regards to Kayleigh? 5 THE COURT: Kayleigh, yes. 6 MS. ELCANO: I would request that the witness 7 8 does not read the note, Your Honor. BY MR. ELKINS: Do you recall the name of the school? 10 With regards to Kayleigh, no. 11 Α THE COURT: Did you write it down somewhere? 12 THE WITNESS: I do not recall if I did or 13 not. 14 BY MR. ELKINS: 1.5 Let me ask you this: Have you indicated that 16 in the NIA there's a statement regarding Kayleigh's Child 17 18 Find placement? There is a statement in the NIA indicating 19 that she attended the program. 20 So if you looked at the NIA, that would 21 presumably refresh your recollection as to whether you 22 23 had contact with the Child Find program? A I don't know if it's -- I would have 24

24

THE COURT: If you took that exhibit back --

```
MR. ELKINS: Here, Judge. I'll just leave it
1
     with the clerk.
2
                  THE COURT: Because the clerk's ==
                  MR. ELKINS: I don't want them to get lost.
                  THE COURT: And you're a lot better than I
 5
 6
     am.
                  So show her and give us an exhibit number.
 7
     BY MR. ELKINS:
 8
                  Would you look at Exhibit F.
                  THE COURT: What do you call that, something
10
     Find?
11
                  THE WITNESS: It's called a Nevada -- oh, the
12
     program is called Child Find.
13
                  THE COURT: Find, F-i-n-d?
14
                  MR. ELKINS: That's correct.
15
                  THE COURT: Is that all one word, Childfind?
16
                  THE WITNESS: Two words.
17
     BY MR. ELKINS:
18
                 Let me direct your attention to page \(^4\) of
19
     Exhibit F in evidence.
20
                  THE CLERK: I'm sorry?
21
                  MR. ELKINS: Page 4 of Exhibit F, as in
22
23
      Frank.
              THE COURT: This has already been admitted, I
24
```

believe. 1 MR. ELKINS: That's correct. BY MR. ELKINS: 3 Ms. Menesini, looking at that, does that 4 refresh your recollection regarding whether or not you 5 spoke to anyone from the Childfind program regarding 6 7 Kayleigh? Yes. Α 8 Did you? 9 I spoke with her teacher. 10 Based upon that conversation, did you have 11 any concerns regarding Kayleigh's education? 12 No. The teacher expressed no concerns. 13 Α Or her attendance? 14 No concerns. 15 16 Q Thank you. Can I close this? 17 Α 18 Yes. MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I just would object 19 to those as to hearsay. I believe that they're already 20 taken into evidence in the sense of the document being 21 admitted. However, because they are her statements, they 22 can be used in the way that they've already been 23

admitted. However, Ms. Menesini was providing hearsay.

1	THE COURT: Well, the ultimate question is,
2	did you have a concern about her education? The answer
3	is no, so let's move on.
4	MR. ELKINS: I have no further questions,
5	Judge.
6	THE COURT: Pass the witness?
7	MR. ELKINS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Redirect.
9	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. ELCANO:
13	Q Ms. Menesini, what is Child Find?
14	A It is a program that assesses a child's
15	developmental needs and to help provide services to get
16	them back on target.
17	Q Back on what? I'm sorry.
18	A Milestones. Back developmentally on target.
19	Q Target. Thank you. I couldn't hear that.
20	Approximately how old is a child when they
21	can qualify for Child Find, the Child Find program?
22	A Two to five.
23	Q And why was Kayleigh involved in Child Find?
24	MR. ELKINS: Objection. Calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: I didn't hear -- what?

MR. ELKINS: Hearsay, Judge. She doesn't have personal knowledge of why the child was in Child Find, Judge.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Based on your knowledge, did you ever discuss with Ms. Guerrero why Kayleigh was in Child Find?

A They had -- Ms. Guerrero put her in Child Find to just assess and get her the services, extra services, as needed.

Q And why was Kayleigh put in Child Find, based on the information you received from Ms. Guerrero?

A Just some concerns with her developmental target, where she was at. It was assessed she was 25 percent delayed, but Ms. Guerrero was able to get her into a program to work on that.

Q You indicated that Roberto had missed a considerable number of school days. I believe you testified 45 or more.

Why was it concerning that Roberto was not attending school?

A When speaking with the school, they had indicated that due to the absence --

1	MR. ELKINS: Objection. I'm sorry. Are we
2	asking why the witness was concerned or are we asking for
3	the truth of the statements that are being provided to
4	her?
5	MS. ELCANO: I was asking why the witness was
6	concerned, based upon her investigation, that Roberto was
7	not in school.
8	THE WITNESS: His attendance was
9	MS. ELCANO: We have to let the judge rule.
10	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
11	THE COURT: That new question rephrased the
12	question, so go ahead and answer.
13	THE WITNESS: His attendance was affecting
14	him academically.
15	BY MS. ELCANO:
16	Q In what way?
17	A He was behind in his speech and language.
18	Q And I kind of just wanted to back up a little
19	bit.
20	You began or you were first involved with the
21	Guerrero-Hunt-Taylor family in October of 2012 up through
22	April of 2013; correct?
23	A That is correct.

Q When you first became involved with this

family in October of 2012, where was the family living? 1 They were residing in one of our shelters. 2 And which shelter was that? 3 The Prayer House. 4 And were they continuing to reside there when 5 you got involved in October? No, they were not. 7 And why not? Q MR. ELKINS: Objection. Hearsay. 9 MS. ELCANO: This is based on her 10 investigation and our fact finding. I don't think --11 THE COURT: The problem is, her knowledge is 12 based on what somebody else told her. 13 BY MS. ELCANO: 14 Did you speak to Ms. Guerrero regarding why 15 they were no longer residing at her house? 16 Yes. 17 Α And what did she indicate to you? 18 Indicated that they had their own -- a 19 certain amount of time that they could be in there and 20 that they were already done. 21 And from Prayer House, where did this family 22 move? 23 To the Family Promise. 24 Α

1	Q And in speaking with Ms. Guerrero,
2	approximately when were they no longer residing at Family
3	Promise?
4	A It was approximately around December 2014.
5	Not because they were being kicked out, but because
6	Family Promise no longer had funding so they had to close
7	their doors.
8	Q So from Family Promise, where did this family
9	next move?
10	A They were going to reside with the maternal
11	grandmother. Unfortunately, that was not an option. We
12	then put them in a motel for approximately two weeks
13	until they could get into their own apartment.
14	Q And when, approximately, did they move into
15	their own apartment?
16	A Approximately in January.
17	THE COURT: Of what year?
18	THE WITNESS: 2013.
19	BY MS. ELCANO:
20	Q Thank you.
21	And when did this family no longer reside in
22	that apartment?
23	A I do not know.
24	Q In April of 2013 where was the family

residing? 1 In a motel. Α So it's accurate to say that the family went 3 from the Prayer House to the Family Promise, to a motel, 4 to an apartment, to a motel --5 That is correct. 6 -- over the course of your investigation? 7 So is it accurate to say there were five 8 separate residences from October of 2012 through April of 9 2013 that you are aware of? 10 That is correct. 11 MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions, 12 Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Recross? 14 MR. ELKINS: No. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: The way I work this, you get two 16 shots and that's it. 17 MR. ELKINS: Judge, if I don't use my shot 18 this time, can I save it for another witness? 19 THE COURT: No. 20 MR. ELKINS: I have no further questions. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I would request that 23

Ms. Menesini is released from her subpoena.

MR. ELKINS: Yes. We did subpoena her, but I 1 don't have any further questions. 2 THE COURT: But you can stay and watch now 3 that you're released. MS. ELCANO: To be clear, she's also released 5 from the opposing counsel's subpoena as well? 6 7 MR. ELKINS: Yes, Judge. I just said that. MS. ELCANO: The next witness, I would call 8 Alicia Kraft. 9 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take our 10 15-minute break here. 11 (A recess was taken.) 1.2 THE COURT: This is Case No. FV14-03897 in 13 the matter of the parental rights as to the Taylor 14 children. There's four of them. The parties are present 15 with their clients. 16 17 Ms. Elcano, go ahead. MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. I would 18 19 call Alicia Kraft. THE COURT: We'll probably take a real short 20 recess in about an hour just to stretch our legs. 2.1 22 1/1// 1//// 23 24 1/1//

1	ALICIA KRAFT,
2	having been first duly sworn,
3	was examined and testified as follows:
4	•
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. ELCANO:
7	Q Good afternoon. Can you please state your
8	name and spell your name for the Court?
9	A Alicia Kraft, A-l-i-c-i-a K-r-a-f-t.
10	Q Who is your present employer, Ms. Kraft?
11	A Washoe County Department of Social Services.
12	Q In what capacity are you presently employed
13	there?
14	A I am an assessment worker.
15	Q How long have you been employed by Washoe
16	County Department of Social Services?
17	A Four years.
18	Q And how long have you been employed as an
19	assessment worker?
20	A Four years.
21	Q Where were you employed prior to Social
22	Services?
23	A I was employed with Washoe County Juvenile
24	Services.

What were your job responsibilities while 1 employed there? 2 I was classified as a tracker, and I helped 3 manage probation caseloads. 4 Please describe your educational background. 5 I have a bachelor's degree in social work and 6 a minor in substance abuse from University of Nevada, 7 Reno. 8 Are you a licensed social worker? 9 Yes. Α 10 Could you please briefly describe your job 11 duties and responsibilities as an assessment worker? 12 As an assessment worker, I am assigned cases 13 or investigations of child abuse and neglect. I meet 14 with families and determine whether abuse or neglect has 15 occurred. 16 And, generally, what is the purpose of 17 completing an assessment or investigation? 18 To determine whether a child is safe or 19 unsafe in their current living conditions and whether or 2.0 not they are to remain in the home. 21 Were you employed by Washoe County Department 22 of Social Services on January 2nd of 2014? 23

24

Α

Yes.

1	Q	And in what capacity were you employed?
2	A	As an assessment worker.
3	Q	Were you involved in a case involving Ethan
4	Hunt-Taylor	? _{:{}
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And why did you become involved in this case?
7	A	I was assigned to the investigation on
8	January 2nd	regarding allegations of inadequate housing
9	as well as	possible substance exposure to the infant.
10	Q	What was the report coded as?
11	A	A P-2, to my knowledge.
12	Q	Which means you have how long to respond?
13	A	Twenty-four hours.
14	Q	And who was Ethan's mother or who is Ethan's
15	mother?	
16	A	Jacqueline Guerrero.
17	Q	Do you see Jacqueline Guerrero present here
18	in the cour	troom today?
19	A	I do.
20	Q	Could you please point to her?
21	A	She's sitting at the table (indicating).
22		MS. ELCANO: I would request
23		MR. ELKINS: Indicating the respondent.
24		THE COURT: We don't need to identify her

anymore. Just use her name. 1 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 BY MS. ELCANO: 3 Who is Ethan's father? Robert Hunt-Taylor. 5 And how was that determined? 6 0 7 It was reported that they were living together at the time of conception, and they both 8 reported that he was the father. 9 And does Ethan have any siblings? 10 To my knowledge, he has three. 11 12 Who are they? 0 I do not recall their names. 13 14 And where were Ethan's siblings placed at the time of this investigation? 15 To my knowledge, they were in family foster 16 care with Washoe County Department of Social Services. 17 What role, if any, did the fact that three 18 19 other children were in the care and custody of Social Services play in your investigation? 20 It is taken into consideration when I do 21 review any family history or historical history we may 22 have with the family. It is -- we are required to do a

brand new assessment on any new child and any new

23

allegations.

Q And can you please describe to the Court what you did first once you received this report?

A Upon receiving the report, I first called
Renown Medical Center to find out a discharge date for
Ethan. I then called the apartment manager and I asked
about the parents' current living situation.

Q What did you do next?

A I then went and met with Ms. Guerrero and Mr. Hunt-Taylor at Renown hospital, as well as Ethan.

Q And in regards to your conversation with Ms. Guerrero, what was discussed?

A It was discussed that they had been locked out of their apartment --

Q I'm sorry. Who is "they"?

A Ms. Guerrero and Mr. Hunt-Taylor.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm sorry to interrupt. The witness can be instructed simply to state what the mother said.

MS. ELCANO: I asked in regards to the conversation as to Ms. Guerrero, what was disclosed. I think that was limited in my questioning.

MR. ELKINS: I agree. I just want to make sure the witness understands it.

MS. ELCANO: That's what I asked. 1 BY MS. ELCANO: 2 I'm sorry. You just testified that 3 Ms. Guerrero and Mr. Hunt-Taylor were locked out of their apartment? 5 Correct. Α 6 And what else did Ms. Guerrero state to you? 7 She had reported that she had not made any 8 alternative arrangements for shelter for herself or Ethan. She did provide me with a number for a friend of 10 hers that -- possibly that her and the baby could stay 11 12 with them. And what did you do next? 13 I then staffed the case in regards -- for a 14 warrant due to the inability to meet basic needs because 15 they had no plan. 16 And when you say you staffed a case, what 17 does that mean? 18 I reviewed the case with my supervisor and 19 other administration. 20 Okay. And did you obtain a warrant? 21 I did. 22 Α MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I would request that 23

the Court -- this has already been admitted as Exhibit B.

There's two different Exhibits A and B. These children 1 are under the same case. They break them down into juvenile dependency by A, B, C, and D. Because Ethan 3 came into care at a different time, there are different 4 pleadings, so they're under B as to Ethan and A as to the 5 older three children because they were removed at the 6 same time. And B was already admitted into evidence. It 7 is certified copies of the documents as related to Ethan 8 9 only. MR. ELKINS: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Wouldn't those already be 11 documents before this Court? 12

MR. ELKINS: Yes.

THE COURT: So they're already part of the court file, I would assume. So go ahead.

BY MS. ELCANO:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- O When was Ethan born?
- A To my knowledge, January 1st of 2014.
- Q And when was this investigation occurring?
- A January 2nd.
- Q And you indicated Ethan was at Renown. He was obviously just born there?
- A Correct.
- Q So -- I'm sorry -- you obtained a warrant; is

that correct?

1.5

2.4

A I did.

Q . And what occurred after you obtained that warrant?

A I had placed a call to Ms. Guerrero and discussed that we would be placing Ethan into protective custody due to the inability to meet basic needs and no stable income at that point.

- O What was Ms. Guerrero's reaction?
- A She became very upset, crying. I then received a call from her about 15 minutes later.
 - Q And what was disclosed at that juncture?
- A Stating that she had reached out to her father, Francisco Guerrero, and he was willing to have her and the child move in with him with no end date discussed and was willing to help her financially provide for the child as well as provide the housing.
- Q And what occurred next given you received that information?
- A Again, I staffed that with my supervisor as well as other administration, and it was determined at that point that Ms. Guerrero had the basic needs for her child. I did a home visit to the family residence, Mr. Guerrero's home, and I also provided a Pack 'n Play

for Ms. Guerrero. She did have all the other supplies needed at that time. 2 Was Ms. Guerrero employed --3 THE COURT: You provided what, a Pack 'n 4 5 Play? THE WITNESS: A Pack 'n Play, a crib for the 6 It's a portable crib. 7 baby. THE COURT: How do you spell that? 8 THE WITNESS: P-a-k apostrophe-n P-l-a-y 9 [sic], I believe. 10 MS. ELCANO: It's kind of like a playpen, but 11 they break down really easily so you can put them on your 12 shoulder and carry them and them put them back up. 13 THE COURT: I have a little five-year-old 14 granddaughter, but I don't have to worry about stuff like 15 that with her. I just give her back to the parents when 16 I get tired of her. I don't even know if they had them 17 when I had my daughter 35 years ago. 18 Go ahead. 19 BY MS. ELCANO: 20 Was Ms. Guerrero employed at the time of your 21 investigation? 2.2 Α No. 23

24

And what were the safety concerns identified

- as a basis for your warrant? 1 The inability to meet the basic needs in 2 regards to the housing and a stable source of income. 3 So was Ethan removed? 4 No, he was not. 5 6 'And why not? There was -- Jacqueline -- Mr. Guerrero had 7 helped rectify the present danger at that point by 8 providing a house for Jacqueline and the baby as well as 9 financial support to her. 10 Did you complete a Nevada Initial Assessment? 11 Yes. 12 And do you know approximately when? 13 I believe it was on or about July -- excuse 14 me -- January 29th. 15 Could you please turn to Exhibit G, as in 16
 - A Uh-huh.

Gregory?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- O What is that document?
- A A Nevada Initial Assessment.
- Q Did you author that document?
- A I did.
 - Q And can you review it and let the Court know if it's a true and accurate copy.

1	A Yes.
2	MS. ELCANO: I would request that Exhibit G,
3	as in Greg, is admitted into evidence, Your Honor.
4	MR. ELKINS: Same objection as to the other
5	documents, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Same ruling. G is admitted.
7	(Petitioner's Exhibit G was admitted.)
8	BY MS. ELCANO:
9	Q Ms. Kraft, what was your conclusion in the
10	NIA?
11	A My conclusion determined that Ethan was safe
12	at this time at that time due to Jacqueline having
13	housing for him as well as all the necessary supplies at
14	that time.
15	Q And where was Jacqueline or Ms. Guerrero
16	pardon me residing prior to moving in with her father,
17	to your knowledge?
18	A To my knowledge, they were in the Linden
19	Street apartments. I do not recall the exact address.
20	Q Do you know or did you discuss with
21	Ms. Guerrero why they were no longer living at the Linden
22	apartments?
23	A I did.
24	Q And what information did Ms. Guerrero provide

1	to you?	
2	A She had not paid rent, and they had	
3	approximately \$1400 in back charges that needed to be	
4	paid.	
5	Q So she was being evicted?	
6	A Yes.	
7	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions at	
8	this time, Your Honor. Thank you.	
9	THE COURT: Pass the witness?	
10	MS. ELCANO: Yes, Your Honor.	
11	MR. ELKINS: Thank you.	
12		
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
14	BY MR. ELKINS:	
15	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Kraft.	
16	A Good afternoon.	
17	Q Did you visit Ms. Guerrero and her baby in	
18	the hospital?	
19	A I did.	
20	Q Did you see Ms. Guerrero interact with the	
21	baby?	
22	A I did.	
23	Q Did you have any concerns about the way she	
24	interacted with the baby?	

24

Do you have any idea where the statement

"Jacqueline has a history of drug use" came from? To my knowledge, I don't recall. 2 Did you have any information that Jacqueline 3 was using drugs? 4 At that time I did not. 5 Α Do you have any idea how that statement made its way into the document? 7 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I think this is 8 based on a confidential reporting party, so I just want 9 to be clear that the source of this information cannot be 10 revealed. 11 THE COURT: Is that true? 12 THE WITNESS: Our reporting parties are 13 confidential, yes. 14 15 THE COURT: But do you have any knowledge that Jacqueline has a history of drug use? 16 THE WITNESS: I believe that was reported to 17 me, but I did not put it in there and I don't recall who 18 19 reported that. 2.0 BY MR. ELKINS: Do you know the source of the information? 21 I don't recall. 22 Α Yes or no? 23 Q

24

Α

No.

Q Okay. Is there anything --

THE COURT: Let me make this observation. If I'm reading this, if I haven't heard any evidence of that, this is the kind of stuff that -- it's like I used to tell the DEA; if you have direct evidence of something like this, then -- you know, I don't -- informants might cause them to act, it's not going to cause me to believe anything unless someone comes into this courtroom and says they have information that she's used drugs. So that's what I was trying to explain before. So if I read that and I haven't heard any evidence, I'm going to ignore that.

MR. ELKINS: I'm just trying to emphasize, Judge, that --

THE COURT: That's fine. I just want to make it clear, I'm not going to -- because I'm letting stuff come in, and if she had knowledge and said it, then that's evidence, but because it shows up on a report -- and I don't care what source it is -- unless the source comes into court and says it, I'm not going to base anything on that.

MR. ELKINS: I just wanted to clarify, Judge, that she was not the source of this information, that we obviously don't know who the source of that information

was nor do we have any reason to believe it's reliable. Point being that there's stuff in here that's just that, stuff.

In any event --

THE COURT: I haven't read anything in the trial statements or anything else I've read to indicate that Ms. Guerrero has a problem with drugs.

MR. ELKINS: Correct. It makes one wonder how that would get into the report.

THE COURT: It's the same thing with -- I keep using the DEA as an example, but it happens all the time, which is why, unless I have someone that comes in here and testifies to personal knowledge of something -- and it's not carelessness or anything else like that, it just ends up in these things -- and that's why I'm saying, unless I've got testimony from someone who has personal knowledge, things like this that show up in reports don't mean anything to me at all.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q Just to put this to rest, did the hospital indicate to you any information that would lead you to be concerned that Ms. Guerrero was using drugs?

MS. ELCANO: Objection. Hearsay. 1 MR. ELKINS: No, Judge. Her conclusions in terms of safety. 3 MS. ELCANO: I think it could be asked -- the 4 question could be rephrased to encompass that. 5 MR. ELKINS: Let me rephrase the question. 6 BY MR. ELKINS: 7 Based upon your investigation, did you have 8 any concerns about drug use by Ms. Guerrero? 9 Α No. 10 Thank you. 11 0 Did there come a time, Ms. Kraft, when you 12 visited Ms. Guerrero and the baby, Ethan, at her father's 13 14 residence? 15 Yes. 16 And can you just tell me what your observations were about the environment, the child, 17 etcetera? 18 I made a home visit to the paternal -- the 19 maternal grandfather's home. Jacqueline was present. I 20 believe Robert was present that day as well. The home 21 had no health or safety hazards. Jacqueline had her own 22

room set up with appropriate sleeping arrangements for

Ethan in that Pack 'n Play, she had appropriate supplies

23

at that time, and we just discussed her working her 1 2 current case plan. Do you recall the room? 3 MS. ELCANO: Objection. Vaque. What room? 4 5 BY MR. ELKINS: Do you recall the mother's room? 6 7 Α Yes. Can you just describe it for the judge? 8 You walked in, there was a bed for herself 9 and a small area for the Pack 'n Play, there was clothes 10 11 in the closet, and she had appropriate diapers and supplies for Ethan at that time. 12 Was it clean? 13 Α Uh-huh, yes. 14 Was it organized? 15 16 Α Yes. Did the baby appear healthy? 17 0 Yes, sir. 18 Α And did you see Ms. Guerrero interact with 19 0 the baby? 20 The baby -- excuse me. Ethan was sleeping at 21 Α 22 that time. Sorry. And you said this was a trailer? 23

It was a -- yes. It was a trailer park, yes,

24

Α

1 a mobile home.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q Was that the only time that you visited
 Ms. Guerrero in her home with the baby?
 - A I visited on January 3rd and then again, I believe, on or about the 23rd of January.
 - Q And were your observations the same on both occasions?
 - A Yes.
 - Q So within that three-week period, the room was still clean, organized?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Baby seemed healthy?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And on both occasions he was sleeping?
 - A The day he came home he was sleeping, and the day I went he was sleeping.
- Q Okay. Based upon your investigation, did you have any concerns about Ms. Guerrero's -- your
- 19 investigation -- any concerns about Ms. Guerrero's
- 20 parental capacity?
- MS. ELCANO: Objection. "Parental capacity,"
- I think that's really vague. I think it also calls for a
- 23 medical --
- MR. ELKINS: Judge, I believe we've already

had a witness testify about the term "parental capacity." 1 MS. ELCANO: We have not had a witness --THE COURT: Well, did you have any concerns 3 about her as a parent? 4 THE WITNESS: At that time during my 5 investigation, she was acting appropriate with Ethan. 6 did discuss her current open case and how she needed to 7 address her case plan, but at that time I did not have 8 concerns with her and Ethan. MR. ELKINS: Okay. No further questions, 10 Judge. 11 THE COURT: A petition was filed with a 12 warrant; is that right? 13 THE WITNESS: A warrant was obtained and it 14 was not executed. It was --15 THE COURT: I don't know your guys' 16 procedure. Do you usually file a petition before you == 17 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, we attempt to get 18 warrants in the event that we can. If --19 THE COURT: What process do you get --20 MS. ELCANO: So the way -- legally, the way 21 it would work is you try to get a warrant if you can. 22 From there you go to a protective custody hearing, which 23

is an emergency hearing that has to --

THE COURT: What documents do you file to get a warrant?

MS. ELCANO: You go in front of the judge and fill out an order that gets signed, so the only document you're going to get from a warrant is a signed warrant.

THE COURT: You don't have to submit an affidavit or --

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$ ELCANO: No. The social worker comes and testifies with an attorney.

THE COURT: This is the juvenile master?

MS. ELCANO: Warrants are not in front of the masters, they're only in front of judges, so we typically go in front of Judge Walker, if he's available, or other family court judges. And if a warrant is obtained and a child is removed from care, a protective custody hearing is held.

THE COURT: In front of a judge or in front of a master?

MS. ELCANO: It can be in front of either.

And from the protective custody hearing,

if -- that's the period on which the NIA is being

completed, and if it's determined that a child is unsafe

if returned to their parents or needs to remain in the

care and custody of Social Services, at that juncture a

petition is filed, and it's usually over about a 12- to 1 14-day period. 2 MR. ELKINS: Pass the witness, Judge. 3 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. ELCANO: 6 7 So I just wanted to clarify a few things. You testified that at the time you received 8 the report there were allegations of drug use or 9 Correct. 10 What specifically were the allegations 11 related to substances? 12 MR. ELKINS: Objection. 13 14 MS. ELCANO: Basis? MR. ELKINS: Hearsay. 15 THE COURT: I don't --16 17 MS. ELCANO: I think she's already testified as to she received a report with concerns regarding the 18 19 mother's ability to provide a home as well as substance 20 abuse. THE COURT: Is that what you testified? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 23 BY MS. ELCANO:

When you receive a report as an assessment

worker, do you have an obligation to investigate that 1 2 report? Yes. And are there times when you find that that 5 report may not be valid? Α Yes. 6 And in this particular case, in regards to 7 the allegations of substance abuse, did you find any 8 9 basis for those? 10 Α No. Did you find any basis for Ms. Guerrero's 11 inability to provide a stable home for her child 12 initially? 1.3 Yes, initially. 14 And you talked briefly about the fact that 15 you did discuss with Ms. Guerrero her case plan and 16 service agreement associated with her other children in 17 care; correct? 18 Correct. 19 And what specifically did you discuss with 20 Ms. Guerrero? 21 That it was coming up, you know, on a year 22

and to ensure that she's following through with her

permanency worker and following through with her case

23

1 plan.

Q And to your knowledge was she doing so?

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. This is --

MS. ELCANO: Can we have a basis for objections so I can respond appropriately?

MR. ELKINS: Yes. My objection is that the witness does not have personal knowledge of these matters which, as she's already said, cover the period of a year.

MS. ELCANO: She's testifying as to the conversation with Ms. Guerrero she had.

THE COURT: She testified as to conversations she's had with Ms. Guerrero. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: And Ms. Guerrero just expressed frustration in regards to her Children's Cabinet worker, who was not providing things she felt that she needed.

THE COURT: What's a cabinet worker?

THE WITNESS: Children's Cabinet is an agency that is contracted with our agency, and they provide different services to the families.

THE COURT: Okay. And I want to apologize to the parties. I'm not familiar with the programs in Washoe County as compared to I am familiar with Carson City, I'm familiar with Storey County, and there are a lot fewer programs. We have a Children's Cabinet in

Carson City -- maybe not -- maybe that's a museum, I don't know, but -- so some of these, I have to have some more description of what we're talking about because I think sometimes you all are used to talking about Children's Cabinet, and then you don't explain it, and unless I ask, I wouldn't know.

So it's just an agency that's just for children, helps families with children?

THE WITNESS: Correct. They have a lot of resources. They can provide parenting classes --

THE COURT: Is that something people get referred to or is it something that people can go and avail themselves of?

THE WITNESS: Both.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I will be calling a Children's Cabinet worker, and I think perhaps some of the permanency cases involved in this case can shed a little light. This was a SAFE-FC case, which you'll hear about, which in turn enables the family to access Children's Cabinet, so hopefully that will get cleared up as we progress.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Did you discuss anything additionally with Ms. Guerrero regarding her case plan and her open case?

- 1	
1	A Not that I can recall:
2	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions.
3	Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins.
5	
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. ELKINS:
8	Q Do you recall when she said she was evicted?
9	A I do not.
10	Q The date of the eviction?
11	A Yes. Actually, it was that day that I made
12	contact with her.
13	Q So the day after she gave birth?
14	A That would be correct.
15	MR. ELKINS: No further questions, Judge.
16	Thank you.
17	THE COURT: You can step down.
18	Is this witness excused?
19	MS. ELCANO: I would request that she is.
20	However, I do believe she obtained a subpoena from
21	opposing counsel, and I'm not certain
22	MR. ELKINS: She's relieved from the
23	subpoena, Judge. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: So you're released and completed.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO: R.T., K.G-T., N.H-T., AND E.H-T., MINOR CHILDREN,

No. 70210 Electronically Filed Aug 01 2016 11:16 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

JACQUELINE GUERRERO,
Appellant,
vs.
WASHOE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL SERVICES,
Respondent.

Appeal from an Order Terminating Parental Rights in FV14-03897 The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada Honorable William A. Maddox, Senior District Judge, Family Division

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME TWO

JEREMY T. BOSLER

Washoe County Public Defender

JOHN REESE PETTY Chief Deputy

350 South Center Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 11130

Reno, Nevada 89520

Attorneys for Appellant

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS

Washoe County District Attorney

TYLER M. ELCANO

Deputy District Attorney

One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor

P.O. Box 30083

Reno, Nevada 89520

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Amended Petition to Terminate Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on July 17, 2015
2.	Errata and Reply <u>filed</u> on October 19, 2015 1JA 127
3.	Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on April 18, 2016
4.	Notice of Entry of Order <u>filed</u> on March 21, 2016 1JA 152
5.	Order Appointing Counsel <u>filed</u> on January 22, 2015 1JA 11
6.	Order Terminating Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on March 21, 2016
7.	Petition to Terminate Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on October 24, 2014
8.	Petitioner's Opposition <u>filed</u> on October 19, 2015 1JA 114
9.	Petitioner's Trial Brief <u>filed</u> on October 12, 2015
10.	Stipulation and Order <u>filed</u> on August 28, 2015 1JA 26
11.	Summation <u>filed</u> on October 13, 2015
12.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 1 held on August 31, 2015
13.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 2 held on September 1, 2015
14.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 3 <i>held</i> on September 2, 2015
15.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 4 held on September 3, 2015

	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 5 <i>held</i> on September 4, 2015	6JA 1232
17.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 6 <i>held</i> on September 15, 2015	7JA 1469

1	CODE: 4185 PEGGY B. HOOGS, CCR #160
2	Peggy Hoogs & Associates 435 Marsh Avenue
3	Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 327-4460
4	Court Reporter
5	
6	SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
8	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM A. MADDOX, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
10	TERM: R. TAYLOR, K. GUERRERO- Case No. FV14-03897
	TAYLOR, N. HUNT-TAYLOR, E. HUNT-TAYLOR, Dept. No. 2
11	E. HONI-IAILON, Bept. No. 2
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	p.
16	TRIAL
17	DAY 1
18	Monday, August 31, 2015
19	
20	
21	2
22	
23	
24	Reported By: PEGGY B. HOOGS, CCR 160, RDR, CRR

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Petitioner Washoe County Dept.	TYLER ELCANO, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 1 South Sierra Street, 4th Floor
3	of Social Services:	Reno, Nevada
4	For the Respondent	LEE ELKINS, ESQ.
5	Jacqueline Guerrero:	350 South Center Street
6	*	Reno, Nevada
7	Also Present:	JACQUELINE GUERRERO MALIA SERONIO ROCIO LOPEZ
8		ROCIO LOPEZ
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	ē.	
15		a a
16		<u> ja</u>
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

INDEX

_		
2	WITNESSES FOR THE STATE	PAGE
3	ANDREA MENESINI	75
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Elkins	106 108
5	Direct Examination (Cont'd) by Ms. Elcano Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Elkins	112
6	Direct Examination (Cont'd) by Ms. Elcano Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Elkins	113 114
7	Direct Examination (Cont'd) by Ms. Elcano Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Elkins	117 130
8	Direct Examination (Cont'd) by Ms. Elcano Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano	133 141 166
9	ALICIA KRAFT	
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins	173 184
11	Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano Recross-Examination by Mr. Elkins	194 198
12	ERIKA MESZAROS	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins	199 213
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano	222
15	DENISE TYRE Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano	223
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano	266 280
17	Recross-Examination by Mr. Elkins	285
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	EXHIB	ITS	MRKD	ADM
2	Petiti	ioner's Exhibits		
3	A	Protective Custody Order, petition, Master's Findings and		26
4		Recommendations, and Orders from JV13-00206A, B, C		
5	В	Warrants, Protective Custody		26
6		Order, Petition, Master's Findings and Recommendations and		
7		Orders from JV13-00206D		
8	С	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 4/14/13		116
9	D	Nevada Initial Assessment dated		116
10		11/13/12		
11	E	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 12/12/12		120
12	F	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 4/24/13		138
14	G	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 1/2/14		183
15 16	Н	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 7/11/14		229
17	I	Nevada Initial Assessment dated 9/11/14		264
18	K	Present Danger Plan dated 9/11/14		260
19	L	Safety Plan dated 4/30/13		134
20	M	Safety Plan dated 10/1/14		262
21		C		133
22	N	Safety Plan Determination dated 4/24/13		133
23	0	Safety Plan Determination dated 9/29/14		262
24				

1	EXHIB:	ITS	MRKD	ADM
2	Petit:	ioner's Exhibits (Cont'd)		
3	Р	Conditions for Return dated 4/30/13		26
4 5	Q	Conditions for Return dated 10/1/14		26
6	Т	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated 5/8/13		26
7 8	Ū	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated		26
9	V	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated		26
10	W	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated		26
12	Х	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated		26
13 14	Y	Child Welfare Case Plan Report dated		26
15	Z	Pictures (24) of Guerrero Residence on 7/11/14		212
16 17	ВВ	Psychological Evaluation prepared by Julius Rogina, Ph.D. dated		26
18		1/14/15		0.6
19	CC	Psychological/Neuropsychological Report prepared by Suzanne Aberasturi, M.D. dated 2/27/15		26
20		Aperasturi, M.D. dated 2/2//13		
21				
22				
23				
24		*		

- 1				
1	EXHIB	ITS	MRKD	ADM
2	Petit	ioner's Exhibits (Cont'd)		
3	DD	Application for Temporary and/or Extended Order for Protection		26
4		against Domestic Violence, Temporary Order for Protection		
5		Against Domestic Violence, Order for Hearing to Extend, Modify or		
6		Dissolve the Protection Order Against Domestic Violence in		
7		FV14-03323		
8	EE	Copies of Criminal Conviction for Robert Hunt-Taylor, 14CR-13691,		2 6
9		15CR-10668		2.4.4
10	FF	Photos dated 9/11/14		244
11	GG	Photos of children	27	
12				
13	Respo	ndent's Exhibits		
14	7	Notes of Social Worker dated 4/23/13; pages 13 & 14 of 2016	154	
15	8	Notes of Social Worker dated	160	
16		4/24/13; page 19 of 206		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24		· ·		

1	-000-	
2	RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, AUGUST 31, 2015; 9:07 A.M.	
3	-000-	
4		
5	THE COURT: This is Case No. FV14-03897 in	
6	the matter of the parental rights as to Roberto Taylor,	
7	Kayleigh Guerrero-Taylor, Nathan Hunt-Taylor, and Ethan	
8	Hunt-Taylor, minor children.	
9	Can the parties identify themselves and who	
10	they represent? And we'll start with, I guess, the	
11	district attorney's office.	
12	MS. ELCANO: Good morning, Your Honor.	
13	Tyler Elcano, Deputy District Attorney, on behalf of	
14	Washoe County Department of Social Services. With me	
15	from the department today are Rocio Lopez and Malia	
16	Seronio, and I can spell the names.	
17	THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and do	
18	that.	
19	MS. ELCANO: Rocio is R-o-c-i-o, Lopez,	
20	L-o-p-e-z.	
21	THE COURT: I'm older, so it takes you've	
22	got to be a little slower. R-o	
23	MS. ELCANO:c-i-o.	

THE COURT: -- -i-o.

- 1	
1	MS. ELCANO: And Lopez is L-o-p-e-z.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. ELCANO: And Malia
4	THE COURT: And yours is Elcano, E-l-c-a-n-o?
5	MS. ELCANO: Correct.
6	And then Malia is M-a-l-i-a S-e-r-o-n-i-o.
7	THE COURT: I'll just call you Ms. Rocio and
8	Ms. Lopez. How does that sound?
9	MR. ELKINS: Good morning, Judge. My name is
10	Lee Elkins. I'm with the Washoe County Public Defender's
11	office, and I represent the mother in this case,
12	Jackie Guerrero, who is present and seated to my right.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Are the parties I think
14	we have one pending matter before the Court, a motion to
15	dismiss and/or continue; is that correct?
16	MR. ELKINS: That's correct, Judge.
17	We filed that motion, I think, August
18	THE COURT: August 21st.
19	MR. ELKINS: 21st, 10 days before trial,
20	not including the well, including weekends.
21	The reason we think it's appropriate, Judge,
22	is simply because it's what's required by statute. It's
23	also, by the way, required by local rules.
24	There was an amended petition filed in this

case on the 17th of July. There had been a bench bar with Judge Walker where this was pointed out, so the amended petition, having been filed after that, it seems to me there's really no reason why the pleading doesn't conform to the statute which says that, if it doesn't, a continuance is appropriate.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The reason for it, of course, Judge, is jurisdictionally, where a child has been in foster care in another place, it is possible that there's a home state problem under the UCCJEA. We, as the respondent, obviously don't have access to those records. We have no way of determining whether there was a court order. We don't have access to child protection records in other jurisdictions.

We know that the oldest child was born in California, Long Beach. We know that -- I think -- it was only one of the children was born in Arizona, I think, but they are children born in other jurisdictions, and all we're asking for is that the pleadings conform to the statutory requirements so we know there are no impediments to jurisdiction. So that's the reason we filed the motion.

THE COURT: Ms. Elcano.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

I would like to point out, first of all, Your Honor, that this motion was not timely filed. It was filed on the 21st. However, in accordance with NRCP 10 as well as the Washoe District Court Rules 12(2), I have 10 days to file an opposition, not including weekends and/or holidays. It was filed on Friday. My opposition would be due this coming Friday, which is why one has not been filed.

Additionally, the parties did agree that all pretrial motions would be filed on the 17th of this month, and the motion to dismiss is being filed today.

Additionally, a motion and order shortening time could have been requested in this particular case. That was not done, so it's not quite certain why the delay in filing this was done. The motion -- pardon me -- the original petition was filed in 2014. It was amended. However, the petition was amended back on July 17, 2015, and here, over a month later, we are receiving this motion to dismiss. It appears that this motion was not filed in good faith and was filed to delay the proceedings here today.

Additionally, nothing — I was not contacted in an effort to resolve this issue to see if it could be done without motion practice.

Opposing counsel was appointed to represent Ms. Guerrero on January 22, 2015. Additionally, you know, this is being filed almost eight months after that time. We have fully complied with the UCCJEA. Pursuant to NRS 125A.385, each party -- not just us, but each party has an obligation in its first pleading or in an attached affidavit to provide information that is reasonably ascertainable under oath as to the child's present address or whereabouts and where the child has lived during the last five years.

Washoe County Department of Social Services has stated in its petition to terminate parental rights, in the amended petition, personal knowledge as to where the children resided at the time of their removal and subsequently thereto. Washoe County Department of Social Services has no personal knowledge as to where these children resided prior to that. They are required to sign an affidavit under oath under penalty of perjury as to personal knowledge. While they do have some information that was provided by Ms. Guerrero in this particular case, they do not have actual knowledge of the addresses where Ms. Guerrero resided or the time frame by which — where they resided.

In fact, the most appropriate person and as

also contemplated under the law to provide this information would be Ms. Guerrero. Ms. Guerrero equally has that responsibility to provide this information when filing a pleading.

1.4

answer, a denial in this particular case entered in court, there has been a settlement conference statement, there has been a trial statement, there has been a motion filed, and to date Ms. Guerrero has not provided this information to the Court, and she is the individual who has this personal knowledge. She can also get copies of the Child Protective Services records if she were to request them. In fact, it would be easier, probably, for her to get them than our agency.

Therefore, I would argue that Washoe County

Department of Social Services has provided in the

pleadings the information it had as to the whereabouts of

the children, where they were living while Social

Services has been involved in this case.

I would also make the argument that opposing counsel does not come with clean hands and that laches would attach in this case.

Additionally, Your Honor, the purpose of the UCCJEA is to ensure that this Court has jurisdiction over

these children and that competing custody orders are not ordered. There's nothing to indicate that a previous custody order was entered in this particular case or that an issuance of a termination order would compete with that custody order.

The Court clearly has jurisdiction in this matter. The children have been residing here in Washoe County in excess -- or since, I believe, 2011 or sooner -- pardon me -- later. Additionally, Ethan was born here, he's only resided in this area, and I believe the home state requirement would be met.

Finally, I do not believe that dismissal is appropriate or warranted at this particular time. I've already touched on the untimeliness of the motion as it was filed. Additionally, if there is a deficiency, I think it could be cleared up by the statements being made on the record and we can proceed as to trial today.

If the Court would like, I'm happy to file anything additionally to clear up what my agency has personal knowledge of in this particular case. There's absolutely no prejudice here. The information that opposing counsel is saying that we should have provided was known by his client. It could have been easily ascertained by his client and provided to the Court.

Additionally, there is absolutely no argument that jurisdiction is inappropriate in this particular court.

2.2

If the case were dismissed today, Washoe
County Department of Social Services would be forced to
merely refile the petition that's already filed and move
forward with trial and reset again. I don't think that
would be the most judicially or the most economical for
the judicial time already allotted to this case, as well
as the agencies already involved.

THE COURT: Mr. Elkins, do you have a response?

MR. ELKINS: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

pages of UNITY notes which we had to go through. In the process of going through the UNITY notes, we discovered statements that my client, when she was 17 and pregnant with the oldest child, had been in foster care. My client has no way of knowing, at the age of 17 and being subject to a dependency case, what orders were entered, whether it was a petition filed by CPS in Long Beach, California, and to say that she could obtain those records with a release or signature I don't think is correct because they are confidential records.

I also believe, Judge, that in the UNITY

notes there is a reference to the Washoe County CPS having communications with the State of California regarding records, so that's clearly something that's more easily accessible to them.

As far as the statute goes, it says in the first pleading -- we didn't actually move to dismiss the original pleading following the bench bar conference I talked about. When the new pleading was filed in July, we thought the motion was appropriate.

We actually haven't technically filed a pleading here, but even if you consider a trial statement or a settlement statement a pleading, which I don't think is correct, my client just doesn't have access to that information. She was 17 years old, and, I mean, the legislature enacts these requirements, obviously, for substantive reasons, to make sure there are no jurisdictional barriers. It seems to me the burden is on the petitioner to do that.

So I've basically spelled it out as best I can, what we have access to, what we don't have access to. It seems to me, Judge, it's not unduly burdensome to file an affidavit of what the agency knows about prior proceedings, and as far as the timeliness of the motion or a request to foreshorten the time, we actually did

file that. It's actually -- I think it was filed today, only because we didn't have a response, and there actually is no -- when you're talking about --

2.2

THE COURT: What did you file today?

MR. ELKINS: A request to expedite the answer. And I say -- in the paper, Judge, I say, you know, we're going to trial today; this is an issue that needs to be resolved before we commence, and, therefore, I think that that would be a reason to get an answer. So, yes, we filed it actually -- it was docketed today, and we filed it, I think, on Friday.

But in any event, it's a pleading requirement, Judge, and the statute clearly says that the Court may grant a continuance or they may file affidavits stating what they know about prior proceedings. There is a factual basis for it, which we've laid out, and as I said, in 750, I think, pages of UNITY notes, we discover this when she's 17 years old.

So I deny it's in bad faith. That's the reason why it was filed when it was filed, and had the district attorney's office wanted to answer or even just file a supplemental affidavit from the agency caseworkers, saying, "This is what we know," that would conform to the UCCJEA. I don't think that's so

burdensome, frankly, Judge. So thank you.

observation about my judicial philosophy as a district court judge, and that is, that my job is to make a record and as reasoned a decision as I can, and then after that the Supremes can do whatever they want to. I've been upheld and I've been reversed, and that's pretty much it. I don't like having cases remanded back to me to hear for a second time, so that's why I always try to make a very good effort.

In this case I do agree -- I read the stipulation that you guys signed that all dispositive motions be filed by the 17th, so this motion is untimely. However, I am not going to base my decision on that.

I don't think we need to dismiss and/or continue this matter. What we can do is at some point you can, over the course of this proceeding -- or we can leave the record open once we've concluded, before I make my decision, and potentially reschedule this for a later time, but if there's any information you haven't provided to Mr. Elkins that you should have or, arguably, someone could find that you should have, then give it to him. But, again, it's not -- it's not grounds for dismissal and I'm not going to continue this matter. There isn't

any reason why we can't proceed today.

And, again, I'll leave -- I'll give you an opportunity, Mr. Elkins -- and, again, in line with what I just said about my philosophy -- throughout the course of this proceeding, any time you want to make a record, then -- because this is not a jury trial and you don't have to worry about stopping or whatever other problem you might have -- so you make a note to bring this back up after we've heard all of the evidence if it's going to make any difference. Based on what I've read, I'm almost 99.9 percent certain that I have jurisdiction over this matter, so it's not really a jurisdictional issue.

So for the record, the motion to continue is denied, the motion to dismiss is denied, but we can revisit it.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you. And the only thing I would say is we would be satisfied with an affidavit conforming to the statute.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

THE COURT: And, Ms. Elcano, again, in line with the idea that -- and I'm certain, just because it seems to always happen, the Nevada Supreme Court is going to be looking at this, so if you want to file a written

response, like you said, you have until this Friday, I suppose, so that's fine. Just for you to make your record.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

1.5

2.1

THE COURT: Even though I think probably you've made it already anyway, but the safest course of conduct would be to file a written one. My suspicion is the purpose of that is to ensure discovery and so that you're aware of -- plus, as you already brought up, to ensure the Court has jurisdiction.

Anything else pre- -- okay.

I've read your trial statements. I don't know if -- if you want to make opening statements, you can. If you want to waive those and just proceed to the evidence, that's fine, too.

What do you want to do, Ms. Elcano?

MS. ELCANO: I would like to make an opening statement, Your Honor, and I would also like to invoke the rule of exclusion at this juncture.

THE COURT: Is there anybody here that's a witness?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ ELCANO: I have two possible potential witnesses I'm going to ask to leave.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, we would also invoke the

rule of exclusion, and the two women sitting at the table with Ms. Elcano are witnesses. This is an agency that has -- I don't know -- 150 employees.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, they are parties to these proceedings. Ms. Lopez is a social worker for the three older children. Ms. Seronio is the social worker for Ethan, the youngest child. They will be called as witnesses, as will Ms. Guerrero, according to opposing counsel. I have a right to have a representative present for me. They are the two social workers assigned to this case.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, this is -- the party in interest is the agency, not the individual social workers, and the agency obviously has many employees and should be represented by someone who doesn't actually have -- is not a percipient witness and isn't going to be testifying. Just like with any organization, for a corporation, they would have a representative here who is not going to be called as a witness, and the Washoe County Department of Social Services shouldn't be treated any differently. It's, frankly, prejudicial to my client to have the principal witnesses be able to sit and listen to each other's testimony.

I'm not suggesting they would act in bad faith, but it's hard not to be influenced by what you've heard, and since there are other representatives of the agency who are not percipient witnesses who will not be called to testify, we would ask that someone else be present to represent the agency.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, the practice has always been to have a person or persons present who are knowledgeable with the particular case. That's typically the social worker assigned to the particular case. If this were going to be an issue, it was never brought to my attention. No arrangements were made for an additional person from Social Services to be present here today. That has not been the practice ever. This is my representative.

THE COURT: You know, it's been a while. If I could figure out a way to get --

I got a number for this computer to get on, but I don't know how to use it and -- can I get on the Internet with this one here?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: You know, if I knew how to do it, it would be fine, but I don't.

What is that statute?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do either of you know the statute?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm looking it up.

MS. ELCANO: I believe it's 50, Your Honor.

MS. ELCANO: .155.

THE COURT: 50.155, okay.

MS. ELCANO: Specifically, subsection 2(b) does not authorize the exclusion of an officer or employee of a party which is not a natural person designated as its representative by its attorney.

THE COURT: Mr. Elkins, do you have any response to that?

MR. ELKINS: Yes, Judge. That's not our argument. The argument is that there are other representatives who are not witnesses who could be present, so I don't think that section specifically addresses our objection.

I mean, we don't have any objection to an officer or employee of the Washoe County Department of Social Services being present, obviously. It is just that we object to the presence of witnesses.

MS. ELCANO: And, specifically, that section says that the exclusionary rule does not apply to an individual who has been designated as a representative of the agency by their attorney, which was just done so

here.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to -again, if the Supreme Court decides I'm wrong, then
you're going to have to do this case all over again, but
I'm going to overrule the objection.

I think the exception in this case to the rule of exclusion is they're both officers and employees of a party, which is not a natural person designated as its representative by its attorney. I also suspect that they're persons whose presence, because they are the workers themselves, who are essential to the presentation of Washoe County --

MR. ELKINS: -- Department of Social Services.

THE COURT: I'm used to CPS, so this is --

THE COURT: Years and years and years ago I did a child abuse case for Mills Lane across the hall when there used to be a courtroom over there, in front of Judge Torvinen. It was a child abuse and neglect case, and I think you had Washoe Social Services then, too. So that would have been 1983, so when Mills first got to be elected D.A.

MS. ELCANO: That works for us.

So for both reasons I'm going to overrule the

objection. I'll allow those people to stay.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, then I have another request. My concern, of course, is having one witness hear the other testify.

THE COURT: I can see that -- maybe what we can do is exclude -- when each of them are testifying, exclude the other one.

Do you have any objection to that?

MS. ELCANO: That would be fine, Your Honor.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: And, you know, the purpose of the rule, like you say, is to ensure that witnesses aren't listening to each other and conforming their testimony to each other's testimony. So I would think that by doing that, it would accomplish that. Otherwise they're here and they can assist her in the presentation of the witnesses otherwise.

So I will grant your objection to that degree. When each of the parties are testifying, the other one will be excluded.

Anything other pretrial?

MS. ELCANO: Nothing from us, Your Honor.

MR. ELKINS: Well, actually, Judge, just to move things along, there are certain exhibits. I had a

chance to look at them, and I think I got them on 1 Thursday, and we're prepared to stipulate to the admissibility of some of these exhibits just to save 3 time, if Your Honor wants me to do that now or I can do that later. It's up to you. THE COURT: Okay. You can do that. 6 We have State's Exhibits what, five pages of 7 them? 8 MR. ELKINS: Something like that, yeah. 9 THE COURT: A through --10 MR. ELKINS: EE, Judge, or maybe more. 11 EE. Double GG because they added THE COURT: 12 13 a couple. MR. ELKINS: Correct. We are prepared to 14 stipulate to the admissibility of P, Q --15 THE COURT: Just a second. Again, keep in 16 mind I'm not as quick as I used to be. 17 So let's move along like -- I don't know if I 18 like the term "senior." I like "experienced." P --19 MR. ELKINS: P and Q we have no objection to. 20 Also, T through Y, the case plans. We also have no 21 22 objection to BB or CC. THE COURT: BB as in boy? 23

24

MR. ELKINS: Correct. And CC as in child.

We have no objection to DD and we have no 1 objection to EE. Let me back up a little, Judge. Sorry. 2 I have no objection to A and I have no 3 objection to B. 4 THE COURT: B as in boy? 5 MR. ELKINS: Correct. So to the extent that 6 7 that saves time. THE COURT: Okay. So, then, for the record, 8 based on the representations by Mr. Elkins on behalf of 9 his client, A, B -- B as in boy -- P as in people, Q, T, 10 U, V, W, X, Y, BB, CC, DD, EE are admitted. 11 12 (Petitioner's Exhibits A, B, P, Q, T, U, V, W, X, Y, BB, CC, DD, and EE were admitted.) 13 MR. ELKINS: And finally, Judge, we were just 14 given, on Friday, photographs of the children which are 15 being offered as -- I'm not sure -- maybe GG. 16 17 THE COURT: FF and GG. MR. ELKINS: I don't know about FF, Judge. 18 Let me just look at this and see if it's on here. 19 GG, Judge. 20 MS. ELCANO: GG is photographs of the 2.1 22 children. MR. ELKINS: GG. I have no objection to GG 23

24

either.

THE COURT: So FF and GG? 1 MR. ELKINS: No, not FF. Just GG, Judge. 2 THE COURT: So it's admitted also 3 (Petitioner's Exhibit GG was marked.) MR. ELKINS: Thank you. And my suggestion 5 would be, Ms. Elcano, move for admission of them 6 regardless just so you don't get confused. 7 MS. ELCANO: I would at this point, Your 8 I know we haven't really started, but I can wait 10 also. THE COURT: Another preliminary matter, I 11 suppose. We have a father in this case. Do I need to 12 canvass him or anything? 13 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, we reached an 14 agreement with Mr. Hunt-Taylor in this particular case. 15 He has agreed to relinquish his parental rights in the 16 event that Ms. Guerrero's parental rights are terminated 17 through these proceedings or, alternatively, if a 18 relinquishment is obtained. 19 THE COURT: Do I need to canvass him or 20

anything?

21

22

23

24

27

MS. ELCANO: He already was appointed

counsel, Your Honor. That agreement was reached with

Jenna Garcia of the alternate public defender's office.

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

24

MR. ELKINS: They do have the order, Judge, so he should be produced.

THE COURT: And if you've asked that he be produced Thursday, then let's make sure that we think about it Wednesday.

MR. ELKINS: I believe it was for Thursday.

I can double-check. It might have been Wednesday, Judge.

I want him to be produced, obviously, timely without

delaying the proceedings, and therefore, if necessary, he

can be continued. So I may have made it for Wednesday in

anticipation of Thursday, but I'm sorry I don't have the

order with me.

THE COURT: I just went through this down in Pahrump on a trial I did down there. We ended up having to let the jury sit there for two or three hours over the course of two days because the prison and the jail couldn't get it together. So I don't -- to the degree -- and then again, you know, I'll make one observation. I'm a senior judge, so I can take all the time I want doing this because I get paid by the hour, so if -- not that I'm encouraging you to extend the period of time because I've got other things to do, but --

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I actually thought that maybe you had the heat turned up in here to make things

go a lot more quickly.

THE COURT: You say that. And if somebody knows how -- you know, I'm pretty impervious within about 10 degrees on either side, so if someone's hot or it's uncomfortable, bring it to my attention.

The other thing is -- the only reason we do

the "All rise" is to bring everybody to attention. I'm

relatively informal. We need some of the court

formalities because that's what moves this along, but if

you want to stand up, stand up, if your back's hurting or

something, and I might do that. I quit smoking in

2000 after I had a heart attack, and I'm still chewing

the Nicorette Gum. So if anybody wants to chew gum, you

can chew gum too. And I drink coffee all the way

through. If you've got coffee, that's okay with me. I

don't like shorts in my courtroom, but other than that,

I'm pretty easygoing, and especially, you know, the more

we can -- the more informality can lend to the speedy

processing of this proceeding, I'm all for it.

So anything else?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, could I ask the clerk to check on the date of the order? I'm sorry.

THE CLERK: That's okay. The Order to Produce Prisoner indicates September 3rd at 9 a.m. to

testify, which is Thursday.

MR. ELKINS: That's Thursday morning. So hopefully the timing will be --

THE COURT: That's fine.

That's another thing. If you've got a notice that you've got some expert witnesses that you're going to call, so if you need to -- if you have just lay witnesses that are relatively accessible and you have a specific time wanted to put an expert on, I'm not the brightest person in the world, but I can interrupt one witness and you can -- because I know sometimes your expert's time is a little more valuable than other people's time. So if that's the situation, just let me know.

MS. ELCANO: We may have a few of those as well as we have a translator set for Wednesday afternoon for the prospective adoptive mother in this particular case.

MR. ELKINS: We have a supervisor from Nevada State Welfare scheduled to testify, and so we would like to get her on when she's available, seeing how it goes.

THE COURT: As long as you guys agree on it, there's no objection to it, then let me know so I don't get too confused.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you. It will certainly be on our case, so...

2.4

THE COURT: As long as that's the case and you guys do what's convenient for you without inconveniencing the court reporter or the clerk too much.

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry, Judge. We have permission to bring coffee into the courtroom?

THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. That's what keeps me awake, and water. No alcohol. I think that would be inappropriate. I say that. Some of those old judges I practiced in front of when I first started I'm pretty sure took a lot on at lunch and in the morning.

In any event, are we ready?

MS. ELCANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Elcano, go ahead.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

Good morning, Your Honor. We are here today seeking the parental rights of Jacqueline Guerrero, the biological mother of four children -- Roberto Taylor, Kayleigh Guerrero-Taylor, Nathan Hunt-Taylor, and Ethan Hunt-Taylor -- because Ms. Guerrero has consistently shown, for the past two years, an intentional and conscious failure to follow through with addressing any of the reasons which have brought these children into the

care and custody of Washoe County Department of Social Services.

At the beginning of this case and still today, Ms. Guerrero has failed to effectively address her lack of income, her lack of stable housing, her lack of protective capacities, and her outstanding mental health issues.

Based on Ms. Guerrero's failure to follow through with the required actions to address these reunification barriers, Washoe County Department of Social Services is seeking the termination of her parental rights to ensure the best interests of Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan, and Ethan are met by freeing them for adoption by Sandra Matute and allowing Ms. Matute to continue to provide a safe, stable, loving, and permanent home to these four children.

THE COURT: Real quickly. Do I care where the kids go if I terminate the parental rights?

MS. ELCANO: I do think it's something that needs to be considered, Your Honor. Based on the statutes that I will go through, because these children have been out of care and placed in an adoptive home for so long, pursuant to NRS 128. --

THE COURT: It's the section that talks about

it being a presumption?

MS. ELCANO: It's not a presumption, Your
Honor, but if a child has been placed in a foster home
with the ultimate goal of having the child's foster
parent adopt the child, the Court shall consider certain
aspects, and I believe it's --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. ELCANO: -- 128- -- I'm sorry. For whatever reason I don't have it cited here.

THE COURT: It's likely I'm going to ask for posttrial briefs in this case, so if you don't have it at your beck and call, it's not a big deal.

Go ahead.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Although parental rights are fundamentally protected, the State has a compelling interest in assuring abused and neglected children achieve safe, stable, and permanent home environments with which to be reared, and that's Vincent L. G. vs. the State of Nevada Division of Child and Family Services, 120 Nev. 422.

The paramount consideration of the Adoption and Safe Families Act, or ASFA, and Nevada law is the safety of a child. The standard of proof in termination trials is clear and convincing evidence. The standard of

proof is categorized as a medium standard. It is higher than preponderance of the evidence but less than the rigorous standard of beyond a reasonable doubt. Clear and convincing evidence is characterized as substantially more likely than not that it is true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pursuant to NRS 128.105, to terminate a parent's parental rights, it must be shown, by clear and convincing evidence, two things. The first is that it's in the best interest of the children that the parental rights are terminated. The second is parental fault. Parental fault is demonstrated if the conduct of the parent rises to the level of at least -- at least, just one parental fault ground -- of the following: Abandonment; neglect; unfitness of the parent; failure of parental adjustment; risk of serious physical, mental or emotional injury to the child if the child were returned to or remains in the home of his parents; token efforts by the parents to reunify, to support or communicate with the child; to prevent the neglect of the child; to avoid being an unfit parent; or to eliminate the risk of serious physical, mental or emotional injury to the child.

Pursuant to NRS 128.190, two rebuttable presumptions apply if a child has been placed outside of

his or her home in excess of 14 of any consecutive -- of any 20 consecutive months. If a child has been placed outside of his home for this duration of time, pursuant to NRS 128.109(1)(a), it must be presumed by the Court that a parent has demonstrated only token efforts to care for the child under NRS 128.105(f)(2). Thus, absent the provision of evidence to overcome this presumption, the second prong required to terminate parental rights is met.

Pursuant to NRS 128.190, it must also be presumed by the Court that the best interests of the child must be served by the termination of parental rights. Again, absent the provision of evidence to overcome this presumption, the first prong required to terminate parental rights is met.

So in other words, it is presumed that there was parental fault and that it's in the best interest of a child to terminate parental rights if the child has been in care 14 out of the last 20 months.

Neither the best interest nor the token-effort presumption -- so neither of these presumptions -- may be overcome or affected by evidence or argument of failure of the State to provide services to the family. Additionally, pursuant to NRS 128.109, if

a parent fails to comply substantially with the terms and conditions of a plan to reunite the family, within six months after the date on which the child was placed or the plan was commenced, whichever occurs later, that failure to comply is evidence of failure of parental adjustment as set forth in NRS 128.105(2)(d) and meets the second prong of parental fault in order to terminate parental rights.

NRS 128.014 defines a neglected child as a child who lacks the proper parental care by reason of the fault or habits of his parent; whose parents neglect or refuse to provide proper or necessary subsistence, education, medical or surgical care, or other care necessary for the child's health, morals or well-being; and whose parents neglect or refuse to provide the special care made necessary by the child's physical or mental condition; or a parent who engages in a situation dangerous to the life or limb, or injurious to health or morals of the child or others. It must be emphasized that a parent's neglect need not be willful.

In accordance with NRS 128.018, an unfit parent is a parent who, by reason of the parent's faults or habits or conduct towards the child, fails to provide a child with the proper care, guidance and support.

When determining if a parent neglected a child or is an unfit parent to the child -- and this is pursuant to NRS 128.106 -- the Court shall consider, without limitation, emotional illness, mental illness or mental deficiency of the parent which renders the parent consistently unable to care for the immediate and continuing physical or psychological needs of a child for an extended period of time; repeated or continuous failure by the parent, although physically and financially able, to provide for the child with adequate food, clothing, shelter, education or other care and control necessary for the child's physical, mental and emotional health and development; an inability of appropriate public or private agencies to reunite the family despite reasonable efforts on the part of the agencies.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of a parent, the court, in determining whether parental rights should be terminated, shall consider the services provided or offered to the parent or his parents to facilitate reunification of the child; the physical, mental or emotional condition or needs of the child; the effort the parent has made to adjust their circumstances, conduct or conditions to make it in the child's best

interests to return the child to his parent's home; and whether additional services would be likely to bring about lasting parental adjustment, enabling a return of the child to the parents within a predictable period.

Finally, Your Honor, if a child is placed in a foster home with the ultimate goal of having the child's foster parent adopt the child, as we discussed a moment ago, the court shall consider whether the child has become integrated into the foster family to the extent that the child's familial identity is with that family and whether the foster family is able and willing to treat the child as a member of the family permanently.

Additionally, the Court shall consider the love, affection and other emotional ties existing between the child and the parents and the child's ties with that foster family; the capacity and disposition of the child's parents from whom the child was removed compared to that of the foster family to give the child love, affection and guidance, and to continue the education of the child. The capacity and disposition of the parents from whom the child was removed as compared with that of the foster family to provide the child with food, clothing and medical care and to meet other physical, mental and emotional needs of the child; the length of

time the child has resided with the foster family in a stable, satisfactory home; the permanence as a family unit of the foster family; the moral fitness, physical and mental health of the parents from whom the child was removed as compared to the foster family; the experiences of the child in the home, school and community, both with the parents from whom the child was removed and the foster family; and any other relevant factors.

In the present case, Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan were removed from the care and custody of Ms. Guerrero on April 19th of 2013. You will hear testimony that Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan were removed because Ms. Guerrero could not provide for the basic needs of her children, including stable housing and income. In fact, despite having governmental benefits, Ms. Guerrero was unable to sufficiently explain where the income had gone or appropriately budget to meet the basic needs of her children.

In addition, Washoe County Department of Social Services was informed the family had exhausted all public housing resources, including shelters, due to theft allegations as well as failure to comply with policies of the shelters.

Finally, Washoe County Department of Social

Services learned Roberto had missed in excess of 45 days in school and was suffering from speech and language delays. These circumstances resulted in a finding that, pursuant to NRS 432B.330(2)(b), Ms. Guerrero, a person responsible for the welfare of these children, failed, although financially able to do so or having been offered services to do so, to provide for the basic needs of her children. Additionally, Washoe County Department of Social Services substantiated Ms. Guerrero for the neglect of Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan.

This removal and the disclosures made at the time clearly identified the safety barriers to the placement of the children home with Ms. Guerrero and the issues that Ms. Guerrero had to address to safely reunify with her children. You will hear testimony that will identify these, including obtaining and maintaining stable and appropriate housing, obtaining and maintaining employment or some sort of governmental benefits by which to have stable income to meet the children's needs, budgeting appropriately to ensure the children's needs are met, prioritizing the children to ensure that they attend appointments and go to school.

As the case progressed, three additional safety barriers became very apparent, and you will also

hear testimony regarding Ms. Guerrero's unaddressed mental health issues, Ms. Guerrero's inability to demonstrate appropriate protective capacities in regards to the romantic relationships that she enters into, which include domestic violence, demonstrating a consistent ability to follow through with actions to address and remedy these issues.

On or about January 1, 2014, Ms. Guerrero gave birth to her fourth child, Ethan Hunt-Taylor. Ethan was not initially removed from the care of his mother.

Washoe County Department of Social Services attempted to work with Ms. Guerrero to see if she could effectively provide for the basic needs of her child and care for him. However, you will hear testimony that it became apparent that Ms. Guerrero could not do so.

Consequently, on or about September 11, 2014, Ethan was removed from Ms. Guerrero's care because of an unsanitary home and Ms. Guerrero's inability to provide safe, stable housing and for the basic needs of Ethan.

You will hear testimony that despite being offered countless services to address these safety barriers and significant parenting needs, Ms. Guerrero has consistently failed to demonstrate any progress towards resolving these barriers. Moreover, you will

hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero has not consistently or substantially engaged in services and has failed to demonstrate any behavioral changes indicating an ability to safely and appropriately care for these four children.

You will hear testimony that throughout the life of this case Ms. Guerrero has not lived in one place for more than a month or two. In fact, at the time of the removal of the three older children, Ms. Guerrero had exhausted all public housing options.

You will hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero did obtain residences throughout the last two years of her cases. However, she was unable to maintain these residences because she could not pay utility bills or rent. You will hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero failed to follow through on obtaining Section 8 housing even after being given a voucher and approval to do so. You will also hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero believed an unsanitary residence with animal feces and choking hazards was appropriate, not only for herself, but that of a vulnerable six-month-old infant.

You will hear testimony finally that

Ms. Guerrero is presently without a residence, let alone
a stable one.

The evidence will show that Ms. Guerrero was

unable to maintain a job throughout the life of this case for more than several weeks. Ms. Guerrero never had stable employment in the last two years, and you will hear testimony that while Ms. Guerrero was able to get jobs, she would eventually quit them or not be able to maintain them.

Testimony will be presented demonstrating that Ms. Guerrero was unable to obtain the necessary benefits to provide for her children. In fact,
Ms. Guerrero is not eligible for food stamps based on allegations of fraud. Additionally, Ms. Guerrero failed to take the necessary steps to follow through with required actions to maintain welfare benefits. Today Ms. Guerrero, again, is not employed and cannot provide for the basic needs of her children financially.

You will hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero has unaddressed mental health needs. Ms. Guerrero had three evaluations done throughout the life of the case. The first was with Brianna Carter, which was a psychosocial evaluation which recommended individual therapy.

Ms. Guerrero also completed -- did not fully complete but attended one session for a psychological evaluation with Dr. Rogina. Dr. Rogina, again, recommended individual therapy as well as possible medication.

Ms. Guerrero did complete a psycho- -- pardon me == a psychological/neuropsychological evaluation with Dr. Aberasturi. Again, Dr. Aberasturi recommended one-on-one counseling as well as medication evaluation.

Ms. Guerrero was diagnosed with anxiety disorder and several other things throughout the life of this case. Despite these diagnoses, Ms. Guerrero has failed to effectively engage in any one-on-one counseling. Ms. Guerrero attempted to start counseling with Brianna Carter, Dr. -- pardon me -- Ms. Buttacavoli, Mr. Gossett, and Ms. Orlich, and failed to consistently attend appointments and was eventually discharged from treatment with any of these providers because she would not consistently follow through and engage in services with them. Today Ms. Guerrero's mental health issues remain unaddressed.

Finally, you will hear testimony that

Ms. Guerrero has failed to demonstrate appropriate

protective capacities in regards to her children and the

men she is romantically involved in. The father of these

children, Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor, was arrested at one

point throughout this case for domestic violence.

Ms. Guerrero was identified as the victim of this. While

Ms. Guerrero did initially get a temporary protection

order, she, after that order was extended, began to engage in a relationship again with Mr. Hunt-Taylor and even requested that she could visit with her children and Mr. Hunt-Taylor at Social Services. Social Services had to inform Ms. Guerrero that this was not appropriate because there was an extended protection order in place.

1.3

2.0

Mr. Hunt-Taylor is currently incarcerated on battery, unrelated charges to that.

THE COURT: So that's not domestic battery; it's something else?

MS. ELCANO: He is incarcerated on a separate charge of battery at this time, yes.

MR. ELKINS: May I, Judge? Having nothing to do with my client.

MS. ELCANO: Currently Ms. Guerrero has indicated that she's engaged in a relationship with Alberto Vazquez. You will hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero has identified Mr. Vazquez as verbally abusive and is aware of his criminal history. Additionally, Mr. Vazquez was arrested at one point throughout this case for engaging in battery with Mr. Hunt-Taylor. Thus, Ms. Guerrero has failed to demonstrate an ability to protect her children and put their safety over her own dating choices.

You will hear testimony that Roberto,

Kayleigh, and Nathan have been placed outside of

Ms. Guerrero's home for 28 of the last 28 consecutive

months. Given that, the presumption pursuant to

NRS 128.109 applies, and it is presumed that Ms. Guerrero

has only demonstrated token efforts to care for Roberto,

Kayleigh, and Nathan, which meets the prong of parental

fault in this particular case.

In addition to the presumption, there is more than sufficient evidence as just summarized to find beyond clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Guerrero neglected Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan, and Ethan. As Ms. Guerrero is an unfit parent, she's failed to make any parental adjustments, there is risk of serious mental, physical and emotional injury to the children if they were returned to her care, and Ms. Guerrero has made only token efforts to reunify with her children. Therefore, you will hear sufficient evidence to meet the first prong of parental fault.

In regards to the best interests element as to the children, you will hear testimony that the termination and adoption of these children is in their best interests. You will hear testimony that the children require a safe, loving, and, most importantly, a

testimony that the children require a caregiver who can ensure their emotional, psychological, educational, and special needs are consistently addressed. You will hear testimony that Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan and Ethan are very bonded with their prospective adoptive family, including Ms. Matute and her two sons. You will hear testimony that the children refer to Ms. Matute as "Mama" and that the four children and Ms. Matute's sons interact as if they were all siblings.

You will hear testimony that Ethan has been placed in the care of Ms. Matute for more than half of his life and recognizes Ms. Matute as his mother. You will hear testimony from Sandra Matute, the prospective adoptive mother, that she loves Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan, and Ethan and wants to be their forever home.

I would request that there are no sighs done by Ms. Guerrero.

MR. ELKINS: I don't think -- whatever moans my client might have been making, Judge, were not loud enough to interrupt the district attorney. I'll ask her to try to refrain.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't want to hear -- if I hear it, then it's too loud.

MR. ELKINS: Right.

MS. GUERRERO: I apologize.

THE COURT: It's inappropriate to respond to what's going on in the courtroom.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ELKINS: Some things are just reflexive. I don't think it's intentional.

THE COURT: Well, she needs to unreflex, then, if she's going to make observations loud enough for somebody to hear about what's going on.

MS. ELCANO: Ms. Matute will testify about the children's involvement in her family, their involvement in daily activities, and how the children are thriving in her home. You will hear testimony how Ms. Matute gets all of the children ready in the morning. So this is six children that she has. Three go to one school and two go to another school, and how she manages to get her biological sons to school as well as Kayleigh, Roberto, and Nathan to school all in a timely manner.

You will hear testimony of what steps

Ms. Matute takes to ensure all of the developmental needs

of the children are met while ensuring the children make

it to after-school activities.

Finally, Ms. Matute will testify that finances are not a concern and that these four children

will be able to grow up in a loving, healthy, and stable home where their needs are met.

You will hear testimony that Ms. Guerrero does visit with her children twice a week currently and she consistently attends those visits. However, those visits are required to be on the property of Washoe County Department of Social Services to ensure that Ms. Guerrero does not allow the children to be around inappropriate individuals, including Mr. Vazquez, her boyfriend.

Additionally, you will hear testimony that

Ms. Guerrero, on numerous occasions, has been warned

about inappropriate comments being made to her children

regarding the foster home as well as Ms. Matute. The

evidence will show that after visits the children have

difficulty dealing with these inappropriate comments and

that Washoe County Department of Social Services does not

believe that it's in the best interests of the children

to continue to have contact with Ms. Guerrero.

As previously stated, Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan have been placed in foster care for 28 of the last 28 consecutive months. Consequently, the presumptions pursuant to NRS 128.109(2) also apply to show that it is in the best interests of these three children that

Ms. Guerrero's parental rights are terminated.

Even if this presumption were overcome, there is more than ample testimony you will hear, as above stated, demonstrating that there is clear and convincing evidence that it is in the best interests of these four children that the parental rights are terminated.

Likewise, while the presumption does not apply to Ethan, given that he has been in the care of Ms. Matute in excess of half of his life and recognizes Ms. Matute as his mother, there is clear and convincing evidence demonstrating termination is in his best interest.

In conclusion, Washoe County Department of Social Services is requesting this Court terminate the parental rights of Jacqueline Guerrero pursuant to NRS 128. The testimony and evidence presented will show beyond clear and convincing evidence that not only is there parental fault by Ms. Guerrero, but also that it is in the best interests of these children that Ms. Guerrero's parental rights are terminated and that they be free for adoption by Ms. Matute.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Real quick, can I consider the children separately? Because in this case it appears

that we have three children that were taken out, when, in April of 2013, but this Ethan wasn't taken out until what, September of 2014?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, the distinction I would make is the fact that Ethan -- the presumptions don't apply to Ethan because he has only been in foster care, I think, for almost 12 months, so the presumption would not apply to Ethan in particular.

THE COURT: So it would be a lawful result in this case if I terminated the three older children and not the youngest?

MS. ELCANO: I think that the presumptions apply to the three oldest children, so I think those presumptions need to be overcome. However, it is our position that there is clear and convincing evidence, regardless of the presumptions, that all four of these children should be free for adoption based on

Ms. Guerrero's failure to address these issues and that it is in the best interests of all four of these children to maintain a home together, to continue to be together and grow up as a family.

THE COURT: Well, Ethan is what? He was

MS. ELCANO: January 1, 2014.

THE COURT: And then wasn't taken out for 1 2 nine months? MR. ELKINS: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And he's been in --MS. GUERRERO: -- care for 11 months. 5 MR. ELKINS: Don't speak, please. 6 THE COURT: So I really do have -- I mean, there's really kind of two cases here to a certain 8 degree. MS. ELCANO: In the sense of the presumptions 10 applying, yes, Your Honor, there is. 11 THE COURT: So we need to address that as we 12 go along. 13 We can take our break now or we can take it 14 after your statement, whichever you want. 15 MR. ELKINS: Well, Judge, I tend to be 16 long-winded. You know, this is --17 THE COURT: That's bad news. 18 MR. ELKINS: I know. I'm sorry, Judge, to 19 inform you of that. At least I got it out there. 20 This is a termination of parental rights 21 case, Judge, and you know what the Supreme Court of 22 Nevada has said basically about that. I do have quite a 23

bit to say as an opening. I'd also like a few minutes to

speak to my client before we open, so if you want to take a recess --

THE COURT: Just so you all know, I typically take a recess at 10:30 and recess from noon to 1:30, and then we come back at 1:30, and depending on what's going on -- because this is a bench trial, we'll try to take a couple breaks in the afternoon.

MR. ELKINS: I guarantee you that I cannot open in ten minutes, so it's up to you, Judge.

THE COURT: We'll take a break now.

Any time I say "typically," there's no -- we can work it to where it works for us, so we'll be in recess for 15 minutes, until 10:30.

(A recess was taken.)

THE COURT: This is Case No. FV14-03897 in the matter of the parental rights as to the Taylor minor children. The parties are present with their attorneys.

Mr. Elkins, your opening statement.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge.

May it please the Court, counsel, you know, the law presumes, actually, that children should be raised by their parents. That's why we're here, because the State has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence there are reasons to separate this

mother from her natural children, and we suggest, Judge, that poverty should not be the reason for that.

2.0

I submit to you that this is a -- is going to be -- prove to be a difficult decision because you have a parent who has lived in poverty throughout the life of these children, who has struggled to get out of poverty unsuccessfully, and I think that you will find that, largely, the causes of her children being in care and not being able to be released to her were due to those circumstances, and so, frankly, Judge, I don't envy you in having to make this decision.

Obviously whatever presumptions the legislature has imposed here cannot be conclusive because that would be unconstitutional, so whatever presumption there may be with regard to the older children, we would argue, first of all, that it will be rebutted, but, secondly, obviously it's a presumption that Your Honor does not have to apply because it's a permissive inference. Otherwise, as I've said, it wouldn't pass constitutional muster.

There were statements in the opening statement by the district attorney which I think you will find will not be supported by the evidence, but I will reserve those for summation.

Jackie Guerrero is 30 years old, and when she was 17 she gave birth to her oldest child, Roberto, in Long Beach, California, and the two of them were in foster care briefly. She had to quit 11th grade to help take care of her four younger siblings, and unfortunately she lived in a home with an abusive mother.

2.2

Mr. Hunt-Taylor is the father of all of her children, and he was 19 at the time they lived in Long Beach. His uncle asked him to go to Havasu, Arizona to help care for his grandmother, and so he and Jackie went there with their oldest, Roberto, and lived there for two and a half years, and during that time Kayleigh and Ethan [sic] were born, and they had jobs, the children had adequate medical care, they had a stable place to live, and all of the necessary capacity that a parent requires.

Unfortunately, the grandmother passed away, and they made the decision to move to Reno where Jackie's mother, Maureen, resided. And so when they came here in 2012 they initially lived with Maureen and her children.

Her difficulties really began there because when she relocated from Arizona she had a SNAP case, and someone apparently used her SNAP benefits. The case wasn't closed. She opened a SNAP case here in Nevada.

THE COURT: What's SNAP?

MR. ELKINS: That's food stamps.

And, therefore, she got sanctioned. She could have either paid back apparently the food stamp benefits that were used or she had to sit out from food stamps for ten years. So immediately she acquired this debt, which was only one of a number of debts.

They had to leave Maureen's home because of conflicts. They believe that it was actually her mother who called CPS initially, saying that they didn't have any place to live.

In fact, they went to a family shelter,

Family Promise -- actually, they went to more than one
shelter, but you will hear, I think, testimony that they
were required to leave the shelter because of rule
violations. Rule violations, as I understand it, Judge,
were that they were time limited and they didn't get
work, so they couldn't stay in the family shelter.

So after they were time limited from the family shelter, they went to, I think, Family Promise and they went to other shelters, but shelters don't keep families indefinitely, of course.

There was a situation where they were in a shelter where a cell phone went missing. Apparently

Mr. Hunt-Taylor was found in possession of the cell phone, which Ms. Guerrero was not aware of, and she was permitted to stay with her children. Mr. Hunt-Taylor had to leave. So she wasn't evicted with her children.

2.4

The last shelter they stayed in, Judge, they stayed, literally, to the last day when the shelter itself closed for lack of funding, so they had no choice. They did, however, receive a donation of furniture from that shelter, and they found an apartment, and I think — with the assistance, actually, of CPS, I think there was a deposit made on that apartment.

At the time Ms. Guerrero was working at Harrah's doing housekeeping. Mr. Hunt-Taylor was working as well. There's a worker from CPS, Ms. Menesini, who was making home visits, finding the home appropriate. The children were left in that home. The case was on the verge of closing, actually, and she had unsubstantiated several reports regarding the inability of the parents to meet the children's basic needs just prior to April 19th of the year 2013.

What happened in the interim, Judge, is

Ms. Guerrero lost her job, literally, on Christmas Eve at

Harrah's in 2012. Shortly thereafter Mr. Hunt-Taylor

lost his job, though he did get another job waving a sign

for Liberty Tax, and, of course, that ended at the end of tax season in 2015, actually in March, I think.

So unable to pay the rent, they lost that apartment because they lost their jobs. They then moved to a hotel after briefly staying with the mother, again, the grandmother, and that's where this case began.

That's where the removal occurred, from the hotel on April 19th.

My client states that the manager of the hotel told them they would charge \$175 a week. Their TANF cash benefits were \$513. So if you do the math, they're in the hole already. Then apparently he tells them it's actually \$200, and I think they had been there since mid-March.

And what they do, Judge, is that they sell their blood for \$70 to try to make up the difference. They not only do it then, they do it throughout the life of the case. You will hear testimony that the Children's Cabinet worker advises them to sell plasma to make up the difference of the shortfall in their income.

In any event, on April 19th the emergency workers from children's services show up, they look at the motel, they don't find anything wrong with the room, nothing wrong with the kids, but the parents say -- you

know, they say, "Well, are you going to be able to pay?" and they say, "We don't have the \$200." So since the agency determines that they don't -- they aren't able to meet their children's needs for shelter, they were removed, the three kids, though you will hear from my client that her father, who lives in Reno, would have been willing to take her and the children in.

Unfortunately, that didn't occur and the children have been in foster care ever since.

1.3

So children's services tells the parents the children are being removed because they don't have any shelter. So by May 1st, Judge, within two weeks, the parents find an apartment. They manage to put down, I think, a \$300 deposit, and I think the rent is something in the neighborhood of \$450, but now they have -- having lost their previous apartment, they have in storage children's clothes, bunk beds, toys, and so they're paying for the storage, they're trying to pay for this apartment, and they're not getting work, and, again, the cash benefit at this point is 500 and, I think, 13 dollars a month.

So the agency's response essentially through Children's Cabinet, even though I have to say that they were generous, they donated furniture to the parents,

they visited the home, and for the most part, for the seven months that the parents had this apartment -- well, actually not quite seven -- well, yeah, seven, from May of 2013 through the end of the year they had this apartment, and for the most part, particularly in the early stages of their lease, people would go to the home, they would find that there was no problem with it, and, in fact, by July they were having weekend overnight visits in that home.

2.3

Children's Cabinet was also generous in the sense that when Ms. Guerrero had a job interview, they would give her a \$50 voucher to get clothes for her interview. So the Children's Cabinet was very supportive in many ways. Unfortunately, they also would sit down with Ms. Guerrero and say, "Okay. Let's work out a budget." And of course, Judge, you can only divide \$513 so many ways, and it's not going to ever become more than that.

They did have food stamps, Hunt-Taylor and their kids in particular -- Hunt-Taylor, not the kids because they weren't in the home -- but, still, it was clear to the Children's Cabinet worker -- for example, in one month, Judge, they had \$16 left over after paying their rent. So they attempted as best they could to hold

onto this apartment. Mr. Hunt-Taylor was on call for SK Foods, they were getting TANF, they were selling plasma, and, as I said, they managed to keep the apartment for about seven months. They fell behind in their utility payments and their power was cut off.

Once the power was cut off, the children could no longer visit in the home, and for a while they were able to visit in the community, but they didn't really have any funds to do anything, and ultimately, in a dispute over whether they were timely for visits, the foster mother and the agency decided to bring the visits back to the agency.

And so as time went on, they lost their ability to spend time with their kids, their access to the children became more and more restricted, and it became harder and harder for them to maintain this apartment which they had obtained because the agency had taken their children because they didn't have any place to live.

After the children had been in care for six months, the food stamp benefits were cut to almost nothing. So they're living in this apartment with no power, so they have no hot water. They have no way to really effectively do dishes. It's very difficult to

shower. At one point, I think, ironically, Judge, they're offered a vacuum cleaner, presumably not a battery-operated vacuum cleaner. It doesn't do much good without power.

Eventually Robert Hunt-Taylor is not able to sell his plasma anymore because he got sick, so he can't get money by doing that. Jackie is pregnant. She goes to job interviews, and at least on one occasion she thinks she has the job, but they say, "We'll have to talk to our supervisor because you're pregnant." Ultimately she wasn't able to find employment until Nathan was born, and that was on January 1st of 2014. It just so happens that as she was giving birth, they were being evicted, literally.

so after she gives birth to Nathan, they have no place to live. The agency actually got a warrant to take Nathan in custody, but fortunately Jackie was able to stay with her father and his wife and kids in a trailer on Fourth Street, which, actually, Judge, she probably could have done in April of 2013 had she been given the opportunity.

Nathan -- I'm sorry -- Ethan -- excuse me -the youngest child, Ethan, remains in her care for seven
months. So Ethan stays in his mother's care until

September 11th of 2014 with the help of her father, her stepmother, because when she's living with her father and stepmother, she has childcare. And so they're in this trailer on Fourth Street, her father's having his own financial problems contributing \$200 a month to their rent. Ultimately Mr. Hunt-Taylor decides that it's a better idea to buy a dilapidated trailer, to spend -- the trailer cost a thousand dollars -- to pay \$500 down and to rent the lot for \$450 in the same park where the maternal grandfather was living, and they move in there with Nathan.

CPS comes out, they see the trailer, they decide that the family can stay there despite it having some plumbing issues, having no working stove, because it's an infant and there are no -- the situation in the trailer does not present environmental hazards.

They get a roommate by the name of Albert to help them with expenses, and Mr. Hunt-Taylor becomes jealous of Albert. They get into a conflict, and in late July of last year, Mr. Hunt-Taylor engages in an argument with Jackie and he punches her in the eye, gets arrested. Ultimately he's convicted, and I think he spends two months in jail.

The upshot of that, Judge, is that the

trailer was in Mr. Hunt-Taylor's name, and therefore she loses the trailer, and at that point her father is not in a position to assist, and so she has to find a place to live.

At the same time -- this is, as I said, late July of 2014. In Nevada, as you may know, there's a rule that you can receive TANF benefits for 24 months, and after that you have to sit out for 12 months. Virtually at the same time she's assaulted by Mr. Hunt-Taylor and she gets her TPO, etcetera, he goes to jail, she loses her residence, she gets sanctioned, she has a sit-out period from TANF. Now she's got no home and literally no income, no cash benefits, though she does have Ethan in her care, so she has a little money there. I think, if I'm not mistaken, it might be \$170 a month or something.

So she does manage to find a residence with Maribel and Troy Stalker. First she stays in a room in a trailer in the vicinity of where her father lived. She also had a dog. And the trailer, I think, was occupied by an elderly woman, an elderly Spanish-speaking woman. She leaves that, goes to stay with the Stalkers, leaves the dog there.

Children's services is -- wants to come and see her, Denise Tyre. She's actually about to close

Ethan's case. I'm sorry, Judge. If I confused Ethan and Nathan, I apologize. Yes, it's Ethan's case, the youngest. She's about to close the case. She goes to this trailer where the dog is left, and it's a mess, it's a disaster, the room is a disaster, but my client is staying with the Stalkers.

So they find her at the Stalkers. The Stalkers' home is perfectly fine. The child has a place to sleep there, a Pack 'n Play as it was. The Stalkers tell CPS, "She can stay with us. It's okay."

Now, Ms. Tyre has obtained a warrant based upon her observations in this room, and you'll see photographs, and, yes, it wasn't appropriate, but that's not where she's living. So the Stalkers tell CPS, "She can stay with us with the baby. It's not a problem."

They remove the baby, and they get an order placing the baby in care, and that baby has remained in care ever since.

So now she's got no benefits. The child's in care. On September 11, 2014, she actually goes to Renown for depression as a result of this. The father's in jail. So she's really bereft, Judge. She's left with nothing.

Also, during the course of the case, early

on, in the first meeting with children's services,

Ms. Guerrero tells them, "You know, when I was a kid I

was on medication briefly and suffered from depression."

And the workers would see her in meetings and she would

just cry, she would cry, and she would tell them that she

was depressed.

So the issue became, really, is it a situational depression, which wouldn't be surprising? Is it a medical condition? So despite knowing these things, the agency doesn't actually -- well, they send her to an MFT intern at one point who says she suffers from anxiety.

They don't actually get a credentialed evaluation until December of 2014 by Dr. Rogina, and he says, you know, she suffers from anxiety, depression, she needs medication. Then in January -- he also suggests that maybe they should do a neuropsych.

So in January she goes to Dr. Aberasturi, gets a neuropsych. Dr. Aberasturi says she suffers from major anxiety, she needs medication, she should have DBT, dialectical behavioral therapy, but not until she gets medication. She needs medication.

No referral is made. She has Amerigroup

Insurance. Very difficult to find a psychiatrist that

will pay -- will take Amerigroup. She does -- she never, never gets a referral from the agency for medication, ever.

THE COURT: I read that in the pretrial memorandums. This Amerigroup, is that ObamaCare insurance or is that --

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm out of my --

THE COURT: -- or a state --

MR. ELKINS: I think it's a state insurance plan.

THE COURT: Is it the -- is that anything that Social Services provides?

MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, Medicaid has different kinds of insurance, Medicaid. We have a fee for service, Amerigroup. There's several others. It's Medicaid. That's what it is. They're different kinds.

MR. ELKINS: So the short answer to your question is, it's not provided by Social Services.

THE COURT: Years and years and years ago I
was a welfare hearings officer for the State of
California. I worked for the Department of Benefit
Payments, but this was over -- almost, what, 40 years ago
now, and so some of the -- some of the concepts have
changed some. But TANF, is that the same as AFDC?

MR. ELKINS: Yes, it used to be AFDC.

THE COURT: Aid to Families with Dependent

Children.

2.2

MR. ELKINS: So you're well-versed, then, in this narrative.

THE COURT: Except for the terms you all use.

And I think the provision of services has changed some,

too, since --

MR. ELKINS: Well, I think the timing --

THE COURT: -- 1976.

MR. ELKINS: And the time limitations, it's an innovation.

In any event, so they filed the termination on the oldest kids in January before they even get the recommendation, I think, from Dr. Aberasturi or, if they've gotten it, they certainly haven't implemented it. So this termination petition initially is filed before these services are even identified, much less provided, despite the fact they had more than sufficient reason to make those referrals.

Now, they'll argue, well, reasonable efforts doesn't matter. It's -- you know, the statute says that even if they don't make reasonable efforts, we can still terminate. That's the legislature's decision. That's

true, they could or you could, but the statute also says that if it appears that there are services that could be provided that would assist the parent to be able to meet the children's needs, that's a compelling factor that you have to consider.

So we would argue, while that may be true, that strict reasonable efforts analysis at least on some grounds, not all grounds, may not be necessary, it's also true that if there are services that would permit the family to remain intact, the Court has to take that into account.

In any event, as it so happens, and one of the reasons I think that you'll find that Dr. Aberasturi made this diagnosis, in October of 2014 Ms. Guerrero finds work at Motel 6 -- this is after she's been time limited in her welfare benefits -- and she finds work as a desk clerk, and she's working there for a month.

Alberto comes around, and he's causing trouble for her, so her anxiety is elevated. And then one day her till comes up short because a customer gets the wrong change apparently, and she panics. She panics and she doesn't go back to work.

And now the agency, I think, takes that as meaning, well, you know, she just didn't want to work,

but Dr. Aberasturi says no, there's another reason that's fundamental, which is that she's overwhelmed by her anxiety, and unless she has this medical support, that's going to happen. So they certainly know that by January, Judge, when they get that evaluation.

1.5

So Ms. Seronio, who is actually a newly hired social worker, we submit simply wasn't able to find competent medical assistance for Ms. Guerrero. They referred her to various places, Judge, but none of them was adequate to meet her needs. They referred her to MFTs or, you know, therapists, but Dr. Aberasturi very clearly states that none of that's going to work without medication.

Ultimately, in May of 2014, Judge, about three months ago, she gets in with a DBT specialist, but even then she doesn't have the medication. Nonetheless, in June Ms. Guerrero will tell you that she went to her ob-gyn and she said, "You know, they tell me I need this medication. Can you help me out?" And he does provide her with antianxiety medication.

And in June of 2015, Judge, through her ob-gyn, without CPS assistance, she gets herself into CAAW, which is the Committee to Aid Abused Women, she goes to her DBT, she finds another job as a hotel maid

despite the fact that she's pregnant, and during that period of time she's highly functioning, she's doing great.

As it turns out, she's exposed to chemicals as a maid which give her an adverse reaction, and so she says to them, "You know, I can't do this. I'm pregnant." And they say, "Well, we'll give you another job," but they don't, and so she's not reassigned.

And once again she's at CAAW, which is the Committee to Aid Abused Women. They have a time limit. She has to leave. So she has to leave CAAW, again, she's unemployed, she's still in her sit-out period, so she's got no income, and there it is.

So we submit that what the evidence will show is you have a woman in dire poverty who has issues that are identified to the agency that are not addressed.

Instead, they talk to her about budgeting money she doesn't have. You know, they're concerned about whether her apartment is clean, which is fair, but it's certainly not a safety issue.

As far as employment goes, I mean, we know that she's disabled and can't work or gets a job and it's hard to hold onto it without the necessary support services. Then to say, "Well, you can't keep a job," it

seems to me, Judge, is only to address part of the issues.

As far as the children's best interest goes, Judge, it's not surprising, of course, that young children who have been with their foster parent for some time are attached to her. We would want them to be attached to her, obviously, but it seems to me that while the best interests of the children is obviously an important factor and has to be up front, it's clear here, I think you'll find, that the oldest child is depressed about the prospect of never seeing his mother again, to the point where the social worker recommends he go to counseling, and he's really the only one able to articulate how he feels.

This mother has consistently visited these children. Her parenting skills were observed at various times by various people to be competent, she's attached to her children, and with the necessary supportive services, she can be a perfectly adequate mother to them, but she needs the support of a good counselor, as Dr. Aberasturi says, medication, and then, of course, financial support.

So we submit to you, Judge, that it would not be in these children's best interests for you to exercise

1	your discretion in the manner you're being asked, that
2	with the necessary support, Ms. Guerrero will be able to
3	care for her children, and this is not a case in good
4	conscience where this mother's parental rights should be
5	terminated.
6	Thank you very much.
7	THE COURT: You referred to somebody named
8	Albert?
9	MR. ELKINS: Aberasturi. She's the
10	neuropsychologist doctor.
11	MS. ELCANO: Mr. Vazquez. I think Alberto is
12	referencing Alberto Vazquez.
13	THE COURT: Who is the boyfriend?
14	MS. ELCANO: Who is the current boyfriend,
15	yes.
16	MR. ELKINS: Well, we deny that, Judge, but,
17	yes, he was the roommate. Remember I said they had to
18	get a roommate to hold onto the trailer?
19	THE COURT: Robert Hunt-Taylor is the father?
20	MS. ELCANO: The legal father.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. ELKINS: Thank you for your time, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Are you prepared to proceed with

your first witness?

1	MS. ELCANO: I am, Your Honor. I would call
2	Andrea Menesini, please.
3	THE COURT: Just so you all know, I'm not
4	ignoring you when I'm doing this with my computer up
5	here. That's how I take notes even though my fingers are
6	too big for this laptop.
7	Go ahead and be sworn, please.
8	
9	ANDREA MENESINI,
10	having been first duly sworn,
11	was examined and testified as follows:
12	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. ELCANO:
15	Q Good morning. Could you please state and
16	spell your name for the Court?
17	A Andrea Menesini, A-n-d-r-e-a, last name is
18	M-e-n-e-s-i-n-i.
19	Q And who is your present employer,
20	Ms. Menesini?
21	A Washoe County Department of Social Services.
22	Q In what capacity are you presently employed
23	by Washoe County Department of Social Services?
2.4	7 I'm considered an assessment worker.

And how long have you been employed there? 1 I'm sorry. At Washoe County. 2 I've been employed this go-round since August 3 2010. And how long have you been employed as an 5 assessment worker specifically since August of 2010? 6 Since August 2010. 7 Where were you employed prior to Washoe 8 County Department of Social Services? 9 The Division of Child and Family Services in 10 11 Fallon, Nevada. And what is that? 12 It's the same thing as Washoe County 13 Department of Social Services except for the rural areas 1.4 of Nevada. 15 And what were your duties while employed in 16 Fallon DCFS? 17 I was an assessment worker. 18 Can you please briefly provide your 19 educational background? 20 I have a bachelor's degree in social work. 21 Are you a licensed social worker? 22 That is correct. 23 A What special training, if anything, have you

24

undergone while employed at Washoe County Department of Social Services?

1.4

2.4

A I'm required to have, I believe, 32 credits of social work every two years for my license. I've been trained in anything from child abuse to sexual abuse, law enforcement, a bunch of stuff.

Q And you said you're an assessment worker with Social Services. What exactly is an assessment worker?

A An assessment worker is where we assess allegations of child abuse and neglect and assess the safety of a child.

Q So what are your job responsibilities as an assessment worker?

A To assess the child to make sure the child is safe, provide resources for families, and that's about it.

Q And once your assessment is complete, what type of a worker is the case assigned to at that juncture?

A That depends.

Q Okay. If the child is taken into care and your assessment is completed, what type of a worker?

A The case will then transfer to what's called a permanency worker.

- 2 a case in the event that a child is removed?
 - A Per policy, if a child is removed, the case is likely transferred within 10 to 15 days.
 - Q Can you please briefly describe to the Court what steps are taken to complete an investigation or an assessment from start to finish?

A Initially, when we receive a report, we will review the allegations of that report, we will look up a history, if there's any history of that family, we will staff with a supervisor if needed. We will then meet with the child based on the age, do an interview with that child, interview with parents, interview with collaterals, such as daycare providers, teachers, neighbors, families, to gather information to have an assessment of that family.

- Q Do you typically go to the family's home?
- A Yes.

Q And I want to back up.

You talked about a report. Who initially reports or where can reports come from?

A Reports can be from anyone, from mandated reporters to individuals who just wanted to call who have concerns with the family.

report? 2 The initial intake workers will take the 3 information, and then it will go to an intake supervisor 4 and coded. 5 So when you talk about codes, what do you 6 mean by that? 7 We base it on a Priority 1, which is 8 immediate response, an emergency, up to three hours; we 9 have a Priority 2, which is where we go and have 24 hours 10 to meet with the family; or a Priority 3, which we have 11 up to 72 hours to start our investigation of that family. 12 Q And you also previously testified that you 13 look at history. 14 Can you explain to the Court what you mean by 15 16 "history"? If there's any history that has been received 17 by our agency in the past, if we've had any previous 18 investigations or previous information-only reports, just 19 concerns of the family that have been reported to us 20

1

before.

21

22

23

24

And when a report comes in, who takes that

A The purpose of it is to assess the child's

completing this assessment or investigation?

So, then, what is the general purpose of

safety, if the child is safe in that home or not.

Q And what factors, generally, do you consider or take into consideration to determine if a child is unsafe in the custody of his or her parents?

A Depends on the child's vulnerability, the child's age, the developmental status of them, whether or not the family is able to provide the basic needs, the family is able to provide for the safety of that child.

Q And what is the Emergency Response Unit or the ER Unit?

A Our Emergency Response Unit is a unit that goes out and responds to immediate emergencies that occur. They also work different shifts, which they work from noon till 10 o'clock p.m. Our ERU also receives different referrals to meet with families after 5 o'clock from the assessment worker who is unable to meet with that family.

Q So when typically does an emergency response worker get involved in a case?

A If they get a report and they have to have an immediate response, they're the ones who are out there assessing them.

Q So is it fair to say they also are involved if it's after hours or the agency is unable to respond at

that particular time?

Δ

- A That is correct. As well as weekends.
- Q Okay. If an emergency response unit worker -- pardon me -- initially responds to a case, how do you ever get involved in that case as an assessment worker?
- A It will be reassigned the following business day by the intake supervisor.
- Q So who would be responsible for completing the investigation or assessment if the ER Unit was initially involved in the case?
 - A The assessment worker who was assigned.
- Q As an assessment worker, would you complete -- pardon me. You just said the assessment worker is assigned. I'm sorry.
- You talked about a case transferring from an assessment worker to a permanency worker. What generally are the responsibilities of a permanency worker?
- A To provide ongoing services for that family and to work on their reunification if possible.
- Q What written reports are prepared when you complete an investigation?
- A The written reports would be case notes, a NIA, we provide case plans, we provide safety plan

determinations.

- Q And as an assessment worker, would you be responsible for drafting all of those written reports?
- A We are responsible for all those except for one section of the case plan. We are only responsible for the well-being of the child.
- Q You talk about case notes. So what service are case notes entered into?
- A It's called -- our program is called UNITY, which is a statewide computer system that each division of child and family services have access to.
 - Q What is the Present Danger Assessment?
- A It's assessing the safety of the child in the immediate present, the here and now of that child.
 - Q And who typically drafts that?
- A Depends. It's either the assessment worker who's out on scene or, if it's our Emergency Response Unit workers, if they're out there, then they will go ahead and provide that.
- Q And what determinations are made from a Present Danger Assessment?
- A Whether or not that child is safe at that present moment.
- 24 · Q What is a present danger plan?

A Present danger plan is a tool that assessment workers or ER workers use. It's a written form where we come up with a safety plan of a child in or out of home placement.

Q So what do you mean by "enter"? If you can kind of clarify what an in-home placement might be and an out-of-home placement might be.

A An in-home placement would be, for example, a neighbor, a family friend or a family member who can come into the home and be that safety provider; and if it's out-of-home, we are then looking at removing a child from that home, placing them with a family member, a friend, a neighbor or even a foster home.

Q And you referenced a NIA or a Nevada Initial Assessment: What is a Nevada Initial Assessment?

A It's an assessment over the entire family of information we gather through our investigation.

Q And what are the different areas evaluated in a Nevada Initial Assessment or a NIA?

A We have several components. First one is what we consider maltreatment, which is just basically an allegation from the report that we receive. The second component is what we consider the nature portion, which is basically having different interviews with the family,

the children, any collaterals that we speak with in gathering information of the reasons why the allegation in the report was received.

We do have a section on child functioning, which is basically an overview of the child, any concerns developmental-wise, schoolwise, medical-wise.

We have a section about adult functioning, which is usually a summary of an adult, if they're in a relationship, for how long, any domestic violence. We talk about if they have a job, able to provide the basic needs, just a brief summary of that. They also talk about discipline, how they discipline or the different discipline techniques that they use for that child, as well as their parenting in general, just basically how they view themselves as a parent, how they view their child as a parent.

And the last section is just whether or not that child is considered safe or not.

Q So what determinations are made from a Nevada Initial Assessment?

A Whether or not that child is considered safe in the home. If we've identified any what we call impending danger threats, which is ongoing risks within the family, we identify those throughout our assessment.

Q How long do you typically have to complete a NIA or a Nevada Initial Assessment? Pardon me.

A That depends. Per county or per policy, it's within 45 days. Due to high caseloads, sometimes it's a little after 45 days. If it is with regards to a child being taken into our care, as I previously said, we usually transfer that case within 10 to 15 days per county policy.

Q And how long, just to kind of go back, do you have to complete a Present Danger Assessment?

A Assessment is completed right then and there with the child.

Q What occurs if impending danger is found?

A When impending danger threats are identified, we do -- it depends. If at that moment the child is currently in our physical care and impending danger threats have been identified, it will then be staffed with a permanency worker, so the case will then be transferred to a permanency worker for ongoing services.

Q What is the difference between present and impending dangers?

A Present danger is the here and the now. We have an immediate emergency danger of that child.

Impending danger threats is ongoing risks of that family

that poses safety to that child.

2.2

- Q What is a Safety Plan Determination?
- A A Safety Plan Determination is basically a tool that we use to identify the impending danger threats and how we are going to mitigate those impending danger threats with the family.
 - Q And what is a Safety Plan?
- A A Safety Plan is basically where we sit down and it's a written tool that we use depending on where that child is placed. Either with a foster home or if it were placed with relatives, we come up and use those individuals who are then going to say, "Yes, I can care for the child. I can provide the basic needs and provide that safety for that kid."
- Q Were you involved in a case involving Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan?
 - A Yes.
- Q And when did you first become involved in this case?
- A I first became involved with the removal of this case on or about April 22, 2013, with regards to their removal.
- Q And had you been previously involved with this family?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	But the children had never been removed from
3	the care and	d custody of Ms. Guerrero; is that correct?
4	А	That is correct.
5	Q	And approximately how old was Roberto at the
6	time of the	removal?
7	А	Approximately 5-1/2.
8	Q	And how old was Kayleigh?
9	А	Kayleigh was 2.
10	Q	And Nathan?
11	А	Approximately 1, 1-1/2.
12	Q	And who is the natural mother of these
13	children?	
14	А	Ms. Jacqueline Guerrero.
15	Q	And do you see her present here today?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And could you please identify her?
18	А	She's sitting right there (indicating).
19		THE COURT: Let the record reflect that she's
20	identified t	the mother of the children in this case.
21		MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MS. ELCAI	NO:
23	Q	And who is the father of the children?
24	А	Mr. Robert Hunt-Taylor.

1	Q How is paternity established as to Mr. Robert
2	Hunt-Taylor?
3	A Through an affidavit of paternity that he
4	signed as well as being on the birth certificate.
5	Q I believe you said you first became involved
6	in October of 2012; is that correct?
7	A Prior to the removal, yes.
8	Q And in October of 2012 was there any prior
9	history with the County regarding this family?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And can you please provide what that history
12	was, briefly?
13	MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. Hearsay.
14	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, she has knowledge of
15	the history as it was provided to her as a basis of her
16	investigation.
17	THE COURT: Is she going to testify as an
18	expert?
19	MS. ELCANO: No.
20	THE COURT: Are there other people who are
21	going to come in and testify as to this first
22	involvement?
23	MS. ELCANO: No.
24	THE COURT: What would be the exception,

then?

2.1

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I believe the information is documented in -- well, I can get it in other ways. I'll go ahead and move on.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Was there any -- pardon me.

What role does prior history play in an investigation?

A It does provide us with information of the family dynamics beforehand. However, just based on prior history, we still have to do another assessment when we receive a new report.

THE COURT: You know, I'll let her go into the prior history because that is a basis for some of the actions that I assume she takes.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I assume it's not being admitted for the truth?

THE COURT: That's what I was going to say.

It's not being admitted for the truth of the matter

asserted. It is to form a foundation for acts she takes

later on, I assume.

So I would assume this prior stuff is, at least in some part, used by you to take actions that you take; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That is correct, Your Honor. 1 MR. ELKINS: Also, Judge, we haven't received 2 any information about this, no records. This is a 3 complete surprise to me. THE COURT: I don't know what discovery 5 you've got here, but --MS. ELCANO: UNITY notes --7 THE COURT: -- but why wouldn't you have 8 9 gotten --MS. ELCANO: UNITY notes were provided. 10 MR. ELKINS: UNITY notes, Judge, but they 11 didn't go back to where she's talking about. 12 THE COURT: 2012? 13 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I would also argue 14 that his client has knowledge of this. 15 THE COURT: See, I don't understand why 16 you -- why you wouldn't provide -- I mean, are there 17 records of this? 18 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, the children were 19 not removed. I believe UNITY notes as far back as 2012 20 were provided. 21 MR. ELKINS: That's true, Judge, but 22 not -- they were provided, I believe, beginning in -- I 23

think the earliest was in October.

	William Control of the Control of th
1	THE COURT: That's what they just said, first
2	involvement, October 2012.
3	MR. ELKINS: But my understanding is she's
4	being asked to testify to something that occurred prior
5	to that time.
6	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
7	THE COURT: Prior to October 2012?
8	THE WITNESS: That was the question that was
9	just asked.
10	MR. ELKINS: I have no documents relating to
11	that.
12	THE COURT: What was the question again?
13	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I had asked and I
14	apologize, I'm trying to run through the discovery
15	because I thought it was provided this far back. I had
16	asked the previous history that Ms. Menesini was aware of
17	at the time that she became involved in this case in
18	October of 2012.
19	I'm sorry. The Court's indulgence.
20	THE COURT: That's fine. I get paid by the
21	hour.
22	MS. ELCANO: Then I'll take a little longer.
23	THE COURT: When I was sitting as a regular

judge, I had lots of things to do. Now that I'm retired,

not to say I want this to go forever, but I'm not in as 1 big a rush as I used to be. 2 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I believe UNITY 3 notes were provided back to 2009. MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry? 5 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and figure out 6 what they are. You two can decide. We don't want to go 7 back any further than that if we can help it because it's 8 not really fair to them. MS. ELCANO: Yes. The UNITY notes that were 10 provided to opposing counsel, I believe, began in 2009. 11 They certainly encompass 2010, yes, they do. 12 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I will go -- I didn't 13 actually bring the UNITY notes with me, but that's not my 14 15 recollection. THE COURT: Is she looking at -- are you 16 looking at what you gave him on your computer? 17 MS. ELCANO: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Why don't you take a look at it. 19 How far back? 20 MS. ELCANO: It's with the packet I gave to 21 both counsel. They started on September 17, 2009. 22

MR. ELKINS: When did you provide that?

MS. ELCANO: That was the last big packet

23

that was provided, I believe, in -- like in June.

2.0

MR. ELKINS: Judge, again, I'm prepared to look at the discovery I got, but I don't recall anything prior to 2012. If I'm mistaken, I'm mistaken.

THE COURT: Based on counsel's representation, I'll let -- how far back do you go with this case?

THE WITNESS: I initially became involved in October 2012. When I received that first report, we did look at previous history just to see if we had any.

THE COURT: How far back did the previous history which you looked at go?

THE WITNESS: We had three reports from 2009 till now.

THE COURT: And tell me again what this UNITY thing is or whatever you're talking about.

THE WITNESS: UNITY is a statewide computer system where each agency of child and family services can look up, so if a family moves from Washoe County to another county, we can have access to see if they've had any history within the counties in the state of Nevada.

THE COURT: That doesn't include any other states, just Nevada?

THE WITNESS: Just Nevada.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, when I got the notes I organized them by year, and for whatever reason there are no 2009 or '10 notes in what I have. Now, if Ms. Elcano wants to show those to me, if we want to take a brief recess, I'll review them. That's okay.

2.0

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, they were provided. I'm not certain what happened, but I can tell you that over 3,330 pages of discovery was provided at one point, which included, I think, close to a thousand pages of UNITY notes which began in 2009.

So they were released on the system pursuant to our stipulation in a timely manner, and they included this. This is the document specifically that was released through our system to opposing counsel.

Also, there is further documentation in there as to the particular reports that were called in, and those go back as to 2009, so there's numerous documents that reference --

THE COURT: How long do you need to look at them? Ten minutes?

MR. ELKINS: I can look at what's on her computer if you'd like, Judge, but I'd rather actually look at the physical documents that I have, but I don't mind looking at what's on the computer. It may be

THE COURT: Let's take a 5-minute -- we'll 1 come back at 20 to 12:00. 2 3 MR. ELKINS: Maybe ten minutes. (A recess was taken.) 4 MR. ELKINS: If I may address the Court, 5 6 Judge. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELKINS: For whatever reason -- and I 8 don't doubt Ms. Elcano's good faith -- but the documents 9 that I downloaded from our electronic discovery system do 10 11 not include these 2009 notes. There are 33 pages of 12 them. If you will give me until after lunch, I'll look them over and I'll be prepared to proceed. 13 THE COURT: Okay. We can come back -- we can 14 come back at 1:15, so we'll take our lunch break now. 15 16 MR. ELKINS: I appreciate that, Judge. I would ask that I get a hard copy of just 17 18 these 33 pages. MS. ELCANO: I can go back to the office and 19 20 print them out, I guess. MR. ELKINS: Or attach them to an e-mail. 21 THE COURT: I have a thumb drive if you want 22 to put them on that. 23

MS. ELCANO: I don't think I can e-mail

```
from -- I can put them on a thumb drive, I guess. I
1
     can't e-mail from here because my e-mail won't work, but
2
     I could try putting them on a thumb drive. I guess I
 3
      could extract --
                  MR. ELKINS: I appreciate that, Judge.
                                                            Thank
 5
 6
      you.
                  (The midday recess was taken.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```