IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DITECH FINANCIAL LLC F/K/A GREEN TREE SERVICING, LLC, Appellant, vs. SANFORD BUCKLES, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND OTHERS SIMILARLY

SITUATED,

Respondent.

No. 70475

SEP 0 1 2016 CLERKION SOPREMAN CLERKION SOPREMA COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS

Respondent has filed a motion to associate attorney Abbas Kazerounian of the Kazerouni Law Group, APC, pursuant to SCR 42. Attached to the motion to associate Mr. Kazerounian is a verified application, certificates of good standing from the State of California, the State of Michigan, the State of Illinois, the State of Texas, the District of Columbia, and the State of Washington, and a statement pursuant to SCR 42 from the State Bar of Nevada. The State Bar of Nevada's Rule 42 statement indicates that Mr. Kazerounian has applied once to appear in Nevada courts within the past 3 years. See SCR 42(6) (stating that repeated appearances by any person pursuant to this rule shall be cause for denial of the motion). Accordingly, we grant the motion to associate. SCR 42(8). Mr. Kazerounian shall be permitted to appear on behalf of respondent in this matter. Nevada attorney Michael Kind shall be responsible for all matters presented by Mr. Kazerounian in this appeal. See SCR 42(14)(a) (requiring the Nevada attorney of record to be responsible for and actively participate in the representation of a client in these proceedings); NRAP 25(a)(5) (requiring all documents submitted to the supreme court for filing to include the original signature of at least one

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA attorney of record who is an active member of the State Bar of Nevada); NRAP 46(a)(3) (requiring Nevada counsel to sign all briefs, be present during oral argument, and be responsible for all briefs and matters presented by foreign counsel).

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until September 23, 2016, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas Elizabeth A. Hamrick Brooks Hubley LLP Haines & Krieger, LLC Kazerouni Law Group, APC

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA