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## ORDER DENYING MOTION

On September 20, 2017, this court denied appellants' motion for an extension of time to file a petition for rehearing because the remittitur had issued and the receipt had been filed by the district court. Appellants have now filed a motion to recall the remittitur and for leave to file a petition for rehearing. Appellants again explain that they did not get notice of the court of appeals' decision until the receipt for remittitur was filed in this court by the district court on August 4, 2017. We note that, contrary to appellants' assertion that the court of appeals' decision was never docketed or noticed in this appeal or on the court's E-Flex system, in fact the order of affirmance was entered on this court's docket on June 29, 2017. The appeal was then transferred back to the supreme court on July 26, 2017, and the remittitur issued the same day. Accordingly, we conclude that appellants have not demonstrated that "inadvertence, mistake of fact, or an incomplete knowledge of the circumstances of the case on the part of the court or its
officers, . . . has resulted in an unjust decision," and we therefore deny the motion to recall the remittitur and for leave to file a petition for rehearing. Wood v. State, 60 Nev. 139, 141, 104 P.2d 187, 188 (1940). It is so ORDERED.
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