IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA CASE NO. 70504

Electronically Filed

JAMES NALDER, GUARDIAN AD LITEM ON BEHALF OF UCIDE VANDES: 47 a.m. NALDER; AND GARY LEWIS, INDIVIDUAL Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court Appellants,

v.

UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, Respondent.

APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF REGARDING SECOND CERTIFIED QUESTION OF LAW

Ninth Circuit Case No. 13-17441 U.S.D.C. No. 2:09-cv-01348-RCJ-GWF

· ce

DENNIS M. PRINCE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5092
KEVIN T. STRONG, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12107
EGLET PRINCE
400 South 7th Street, 4th Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Appellants

RICHARD CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13846
CHRISTENSEN LAW OFFICES
1000 S. Valley View Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Attorneys for Appellants

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

NO.	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
1.	Complaint	05/22/2009	1	1. A.App. 0001 - 0010
2.	Assignment	03/26/2013	1	1. A.App. 0011
3.	Satisfaction of June 3, 2014 Judgement	07/01/2014	1	1. A.App. 0012 - 0013
4.	Partial Satisfaction of Judgment	03/05/2015	1	1. A.App. 0014 - 0015
5.	Summons	07/18/2018	1	1. A.App. 0016 - 0019

б

Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR-GWF Document 17-1 Filed 03/18/10 Page 3 of 11

2. That Plaintiff, Gary Lewis, was at all times relevant to this action a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

- 3. That Defendant, United Automobile Insurance Co. (hereinafter "UAI"), was at all times relevant to this action an automobile insurance company duly authorized to act as an insurer in the State of Nevada and doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, of Defendants, DOES I through V and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the Defendants designated herein as DOE or ROE CORPORATION is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to and caused damages proximately to Plaintiffs as herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES I through V and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, when the same have been ascertained, and to join such Defendants in this action.
- 5. That, at all times relevant hereto, Gary Lewis was the owner of a certain 1996 Chevy Silverado with vehicle identification number 1GCEC19M6TE214944 (hereinafter "Plaintiff's Vehicle").
- 6. That Gary Lewis had in effect on July 8, 2007, a policy of automobile insurance on the Plaintiff's Vehicle with Defendant, UAI (the "Policy"); that the Policy provides certain benefits to Cheyanne Nalder as specified in the Policy; and the Policy included liability coverage in the amount of \$1-5,000.00/\$30,000.00 per occurrence (hereinafter the "Policy Limits").

HRISANEN FREE INSEN

.18

7. That Gary Lewis paid his monthly premium to UAI for the policy period of June 30,
2 2007 through July 31, 2007.

- 8. That on July 8, 2007 on Bartolo Rd in Clark County Nevada, Cheyenne Nalder was a pedestrian in a residential area, Plaintiff's vehicle being operated by Gary Lewis when Gary Lewis drove over top of Cheyanne Nalder causing serious personal injuries and damages to Cheyanne Nalder.
- 9. That Cheyanne Nalder made a claim to UAI for damages under the terms of the Policy due to her personal injuries.
- 10. That Cheyanne Nalder offered to settle his claim for personal injuries and damages against Gary Lewis within the Policy Limits, and that Defendants, and each of them, refused to settle the claim of Cheyanne Nalder against Gary Lewis within the Policy Limits and in fact denied the claim all together indicating Gary Lewis did not have coverage at the time of the accident.
- 11. That Plaintiff, Gary Lewis has duly performed all the conditions, provisions and terms of the Policy relating to the loss sustained by Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalder, and has furnished and delivered to the Defendants, and each of them, full and complete particulars of said loss and have fully complied with all of the provisions of the Policy relating to the giving of notice of said loss, and have duly given all other notices required to be given by the Plaintiffs under the terms of the Policy, including paying the monthly premium.
- 12. That Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalder, is a third party beneficiary under the Policy as well as a Judgment Creditor of Gary Lewis and is entitled to pursue action against the Defendants directly under <u>Hall v. Enterprise Leasing Co.</u>, West, 122 Nev. 685, 137 P.3d 1104, 1109 (2006), as well as <u>Denham v. Farmers Insurance Company</u>, 213 Cal.App.3d 1061, 262 Cal.Rptr. 146 (1989).

Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR-GWF Document 17-1 Filed 03/18/10

1

13. That Cheyanne Nalder conveyed to UAI her willingness to settle her claim against Gary

2 Lewis at or within the policy limits of \$15,000.00 provided they were paid in a commercially

3 reasonable manner.

4

That Cheyanne Nalder and Gary Lewis cooperated with UAI in its investigation

including but not limited to providing a medical authorization to UAI on or about August 2,

2007.

14.

6

That on or about August 6, 2007 UAI mailed to Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalders' attorney, 7 15.

. 8 Christensen Law Offices, a copy of "Renewal Policy Declaration Monthly Nevada Personal

Auto Policy" for Gary Lewis with a note that indicated "There was a gap in coverage".

That on or about October 10, 2007 UAI mailed to Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalders'

That on or about November 1, 2007, UAI mailed to Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalders'

That UAI denied coverage stating Gary Lewis had a "lapse in coverage" due to non-

That on or about October 23, 2007, Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalder provided a copy of the

10

11

12

17.

18.

19.

13

14

15

16

17 payment of premium.

18

20. That UAI denied coverage for non-renewal.

complaint filed against UAI's insured Gary Lewis.

attorney, Christensen Law Offices, a letter denying coverage.

attorney, Christensen Law Offices, another letter denying coverage.

19

21. That UAI mailed Gary Lewis a "renewal statement" on or about June 11, 2007 that

20

indicated UAI's intention to renew Gary Lewis' policy.

21

22. That upon receiving the "renewal statement", which indicated UAI's intention to renew

22

Gary Lewis' policy, Gary Lewis made his premium payment and procured insurance coverage

23 with UAI.

24

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Filed 03/18/10 Page 6 of 11 Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR

1 23. That UAI was required under the law to provide insurance coverage under the policy Gary Lewis had with UAI for the loss suffered by Cheyenne Nalder, and was under an obligation to defend Gary Lewis and to indemnify Gary Lewis up to and including the policy

- 4 limit of \$15,000.00, and to settle Cheyyene's claim at or within the \$15,000.00 policy limit
- 5 when given an opportunity to do so.
 - That UAI never advised Lewis that Nalder was willing to settle Nalder's claim against Lewis for the sum of \$15,000.00.
- 8 25. UAI did not timely evaluate the claim nor did it tender the policy limits.
 - 26. Due to the dilatory tactics and failure of UAI to protect their insured by paying the policy limits when given ample opportunity to do so, Plaintiff, Nalder, was forced to seek the services of an attorney to pursue his rights under her claim against Lewis.
 - 27. Due to the dilatory tactics and failure of UAI to protect their insured by paying the policy limits when given ample opportunity to do so, Plaintiff, Cheyanne Nalder, was forced to file a complaint on October 9, 2007 against Gary Lewis for her personal injuries and damages suffered in the July 8, 2007 automobile accident.
 - 28. The filing of the complaint caused additional expense and aggravation to both Cheyanne Nalder and Gary Lewis.
- 29. Cheyanne Nalder procured a Judgment against Gary Lewis in the amount of \$3,500,000.00.
- UAI refused to protect Gary Lewis and provide Gary Lewis with a legal defense to the lawsuit filed against Gary Lewis by Cheyanne Nalder.
- That Defendants, and each of them, are in breach of contract by their actions which include, but are not limited to:

24

Filed 03/18/10 Page 7 of 11 Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR-GWF Document 17-1

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

- Unreasonable conduct in investigating the loss;
- Unreasonable failure to provide coverage for the loss:
- Unreasonable delay in making payment on the loss;
- Failure to make a prompt, fair and equitable settlement for the loss:
- Unreasonably compelling Plaintiffs to retain an attorney before making payment on the loss.
- 32. As a proximate result of the aforementioned breach of contract, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, damages in the amount of \$3,500,000.00 plus continuing interest.
- 33. As a further proximate result of the aformentioned breach of contract, Plaintiffs have suffered anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out of pocket expenses, all to their general damage in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 34. As a further proximate result of the breach of contract, Plaintiffs were compelled to retain legal counsel to prosecute this claim, and Defendants, and each of them, are liable for their attorney's fees reasonably and necessarily incurred in connection therewith.
- 35. That Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty of good faith and fair dealing implied in every contract.
- 36. That Defendants, and each of them, were unreasonable by refusing to cover the true value of the claim of Cheyanne Nalder, wrongfully failing to settle within the Policy Limits when they had an opportunity to do so, and wrongfully denying coverage.
- 37. That as a proximate result of the aforementioned breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, damages in the amount of \$3,500,000.00 plus continuing interest.

-16

Christensen Law West 10;29;39 a.m. 07-21-2009

Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR-GWF Document 17-1 Filed 03/18/10 Page 8 of 11

- That as a further proximate result of the aformentioned breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs have suffered anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out of pocket expenses, all to their general damage in excess of \$10,000.00.
 - 39. That as a further proximate result of the aforementioned breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs were compelled to retain legal counsel to prosecute this claim, and Defendants, and each of them, are liable for their attorney's fees reasonably and necessarily incurred in connection therewith.
 - 40. That Defendants, and each of them, acted unreasonably and with knowledge that there was no reasonable basis for its conduct, in its actions which include but are not limited to: wrongfully refusing to cover the value of the claim of Cheyanne Nalder, wrongfully failing to settle within the Policy Limits when they had an opportunity to do so and wrongfully denying the coverage.
 - 41. That as a proximate result of the aforementioned bad faith, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, damages in the amount of \$3,500,000,00 plus continuing interest.
 - 42. That as a further proximate result of the aformentioned bad faith, Plaintiffs have suffered anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out of pocket expenses, all to their general damage in excess of \$10,000.00.
 - 43. That as a further proximate result of the aforementioned bad faith, Plaintiffs were compelled to retain legal counsel to prosecute this claim, and Defendants, and each of them, are liable for their attorney's fees reasonably and necessarily incurred in connection therewith.

.7

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- 1 That Defendants, and each of them, violated NRS 686A.310 by their actions, including 44. 2 but not limited to: wrongfully refusing to cover the value of the claim of Cheyanne Nalder, 3 wrongfully failing to settle within the Policy Limits when they had an opportunity to do so and wrongfully denying coverage.
 - That NRS 686A.310 requires that insurance carriers conducting business in Nevada adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims arising under insurance policies, and requires that carriers effectuate the prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability of the insurer has become reasonably clear.
 - 46. That UAI did not adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims arising under its insurance policies, and did not effectuate the a prompt, fair and/or equitable settlement of Nalder's claim against Lewis in which liability of the insurer was very clear, and which clarity was conveyed to UAI.
 - 47. That NAC 686A.670 requires that an insurer complete an investigation of each claim within 30 days of receiving notice of the claim, unless the investigation cannot be reasonably completed within that time.
 - 48: That UAI received notice of Nalder's claim against Lewis, at the very latest, on or before August 6, 2007. That it was more than reasonable for UAI to complete its investigation of Nalder's claim against Lewis well within 30 days of receiving notice of the claim.
- 19 49. That UAI did not offer the applicable policy limits.
 - 50. That UAI did failed to investigate the claim at all and denied coverage.
 - 51. That as a proximate result of the aforementioned violation of NRS 686A.310, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, damages in the amount of \$3,500.000.00 plus continuing interest.

24

000,027

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Christensen Law West Filed 03/18/10 Page 10 of 11 Case 2:09-cv-01348-ECR-GWF Document 17-1

1	52. That as a further proximate result of the aforementioned violation of NRS 686A.310
2	Plaintiffs have suffered anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental
3	damages and out of pocket expenses, all to their general damage in excess of \$10,000,00.

- That as a further proximate result of the aforementioned violation of NRS 686A.310, Plaintiffs were compelled to retain legal counsel to prosecute this claim, and Defendants, and each of them, are liable for their attorney's fees reasonably and necessarily incurred in connection therewith.
- 54. That the Defendants, and each of them, have been fraudulent in that they have stated that they would protect Gary Lewis in the event he was found liable in a claim. All of this was done in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights and therefore Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Payment for the excess verdict rendered against Lewis which remains unpaid in an amount in excess of \$3,500,000.00;
- 2. General damages for mental and emotional distress and other incidental damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00;
 - 3. Attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
 - 4. Punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00;

///

///

///

24

23



ASSIGNMENT

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, GARY LEWIS ("LEWIS"), assigns to JAMES NALDER, As Guardian ad Litem for Cheyenne Nalder ("NALDER"), LEWIS' rights that LEWIS has for damages against UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO. ("UAIC"), based upon its failure to negotiate in good faith the claim brought against LEWIS by NALDER. Specifically, that portion of said right or cause of action being hereby assigned pertains to the judgment entered against the undersigned in favor of NALDER in the amount of \$3,500,000.00 the total judgment earning interest at the statutory rate from the date of its entry until the said judgment is paid in full) ("the NALDER Judgment"). As the total amount of the said judgment will not be known until the time it is finally paid given interest continues to accrue, the amount being assigned to NALDER is whatever amount is ultimately recovered that is necessary to satisfy the total NALDER Judgment. The NALDER judgment is at least \$3,495,000.00 in excess of the \$15,000.00 liability limit of the insurance policy with UAIC. LEWIS hereby represents that he was not insolvent at the time of the entry of said judgment and has been damaged thereby, as well as otherwise.

The rights so assigned hereby include all funds necessary to satisfy the Judgment NALDER has against LEWIS including attorney fees, costs, interest, and the like to NALDER in their entirety (hereinafter referred to as "the NALDER Judgment damages"). All rights, interests, and claims to any funds in addition to those necessary to pay the NALDER Judgment damages in full are hereby retained by LEWIS. In the event that this assignment is an improper splitting of LEWIS' causes of actions against UAIC then this assignment shall constitute a full assignment to NALDER of all rights interests and claims LEWIS has against UAIC in their entirety.

If at any point in time, whether prior to or after the date of this assignment, JAMES NALDER, As Special Administrator For the Estate of Cheyenne Nalder is dismissed from the action against UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO., Case No.: 2:09-cv-1348, then this assignment is rendered null and void from its inception.

Dated this 25 day of February, 2010

GARYIEWIS

...

253

1 MATTHEW J. DOUGLAS
Nevada Bar No. 11371
2 ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD
1117 South Rancho Drive
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Phone (702) 243-7000
4 Facsimile (702) 243-7059
mdouglas@awslawyers.com
5

Attorneys for Defendant, United Automobile Insurance Company

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JAMES NALDER, Guardian Ad Litem for minor Cheyanne Nalder, real party in interest, and GARY LEWIS, Individually;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, DOES I through V, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:09-cv-1348

SATISFACTION OF JUNE 3, 2014 JUDGMENT

For and in consideration of the sum of EIGHTY-THREE THOUSAND & NINE HUNDRED & FIFTY-TWO DOLLARS and 82/100 CENTS (\$83,952.82) plus interest in the amount of TWO HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR DOLLARS and 31/100 CENTS (\$254.31) paid to Plaintiffs in the above-entitled action, satisfaction of the judgment by the District Court regarding Attorneys fees, pre-judgment interest and Costs, entered June 3, 2014, *only*, is hereby acknowledged in said action on the 25th day of June, 2014, in favor of UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY. I hereby authorize and direct the Clerk of said Court to enter satisfaction on record of said June 3, 2014 Judgment, only, on behalf of UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, appeal from previously entered October 30,2013 summary judgments to continue.

Page 1 of 2

Page 2 of 2

1. A.App. 0013

```
Case 2:09-cv-01348-RCJ-GWF Document 135 Filed 03/05/15 Page 1 of 2
 1
    THOMAS CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
    Nevada Bar #2326
    CHRISTENSEN LAW OFFICES, LLC
   1000 S. Valley View Blvd.
 3
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
    (702) 216-1471 Phone
    (702) 870-6152 Fax
    courtnotices@injuryhelpnow.com
    Attorneys for Plaintiff,
    JAMES NALDER
 7
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                           FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 9
10
    JAMES NALDER, Guardian Ad Litem for minor
    Cheyanne Nalder, real party in interest, and
11
    GARY LEWIS, Individually;
12
                                                   Case No.: 2:09-cv-1348
          Plaintiffs,
13
    vs.
14
    UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO,
    DOES I through V, and ROE CORPORATIONS
16
    I through V, inclusive
17
          Defendants.
18
19
                      PARTIAL SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT
20
          For and in consideration of the sum of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS and
21
    00/100 ($15,000.00) paid to Plaintiff in the above-entitled action, satisfaction of the
22
    judgment by the District Court regarding the payment of the policy limits on Gary Lewis's
23
24
    implied insurance policy at the time of the accident, only, entered October 30, 2013, is
25
    hereby acknowledged in favor of UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO. I hereby
26
    authorize and direct the Clerk of the Court to enter partial satisfaction on the record of said
27
```



l

October 30, 2013 order, only, on behalf of UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE

•	Case 2:09-cv-01348-RCJ-GWF Document 135 Filed 03/05/15 Page 2 of 2
, 1	COMPANY, appeal from the remainder of the October 30, 2013 summary judgments to
2	continue.
3	
4	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 5 day of February,
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	2015.
7	CHRISTENSEN LAW OFFICES, LLC
9	By: Surt Odudersa In
10	Thomas Christensen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 2326
11	1000 S. Valley View Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107
12	(702) 216-1471 Phone
13	(702) 870-6152 Fax courtnotices@injuryhelpnow.com
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff, JAMES NALDER
15	Submitted by:
16	ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD
17	ATAIN WINNERS SINGROD
18 19	1/4 tanh
20	MATTHEW J. DOUGLAS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1137
21	1117 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, NV 89102
22	Attorney for Defendant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
COTTO	2

Electronically Issued 5/10/2018 3:24 PM

Electronically Filed
7/18/2018 3:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

District Court CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CHEYENNE NALDER,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: A-18-772220-C
vs.) Dept. No.:XXIX
GARY LEWIS,)
Defendant.)

SUMMONS

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiffs against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

GARY LEWIS

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the date of service, you must do the following:
- a. File with the Clerk of the Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court.
- b. Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiffs and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

Issued at direction of:	STEVEN D. GRIFRSON, Clerk	of Court
DAVID A. STEPHENS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00902 STEPHENS, GOURLEY & BYWATER 3636 North Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130	By: DEPUTY CLERK Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-	5/11/2018 Date 1160
Telephone: (702) 656-2355 Facsimile: (702) 656-2776 Attorney for Plaintiff	Alexander Banderas	
NOTE: When service is by publication, add a brief statement 4 (b).	t of the object of the action. See Rules of C	ivil Procedure. Rule
STATE OF <u>California</u>) ss. county of <u>Las Angeles</u>)	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVIC	E
Richard McMillard, be Affiant was and is a citizen of the United State interested in the proceeding in which this Affici	davit is made. That Affiant receive	mes herein rty to or ed,, 20
(Affiant must complet	te the appropriate paragraph)	
1. delivering and leaving a copy with the lat (state address) 733 5. Min	Defendant Gary Lei INESS+a Ave. Glewdora,	WIS CA 91740.
 serving Defendant	n residing at the Defendant's usua	by al place of
serving the Defendant personally delivering and leaving a cop	py at (state address)	by
a. with an agent lawfully designated by	as statute to accept service of proce	, ess;
b. with	, pursuant to	NRS 14.020

as a person of suitable age and discretion at the above address, which address is the address of the resident agent as shown on the current certificate of designation filed with the Secretary of State.

4.	personally depositing a copy in a mail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope postage prepaid (check appropriate method):		
		ordinary mail	
		certified mail, return-receipt requested	
	***************************************	registered mail, return receipt requested	
addr Defe	ess to the Defendant endant's last known address which is	(state address) at the	
SUB	SCRIBED AND SWORN to before n	ne this	
	day of, 20	Sighature of Person Making Service	
Nota	ry Public in and for said County and	State	
Мус	ommission expires:		
(SEA	AL)		
*	Richard McMilland 1605 S. Hoover ST. 7	2003	
	1.05 Angeles, CA	90006	
	(213)352-0533 LA county + 7151		
	LA county + 7/51		

(Use separate proof of service for each person served)

(BRUTANDIZ)	(SIGNATURE)
4	
Date:	Date: 07/27/18
(For California sheriff, marshal, or constable use only)	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
f. Name, address and telephone number and, if applicable, county of regletration and number: Jorge Rivera (Reg# 4690 Los Angeles County) San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 546-6000	5. Fee for service: \$ 6. Person serving: a. California sheriff, marshal, or constable. b. Registered California process server. California process server. d
CCP 416.90 (minor) other: CCP 416.90 (individual) Other:	3. The "Notice to the Person Served" was completed as follows: a. As an individual judgment debtor. b. as the person sued under the ficitious name of (specify): c. on behalt of (specify): CCP 416.10 (corporation) Under: CCP 416.40 (association) CCP 416.40 (association) 4. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party.
dress outside California (by first-class mail, postage prepaid, (CCP 415.40) (Attach signed return recelpt or other	Certified or registered mail service. By mailing to an ad requiring a return receipt) copies to the person served.) Other (specify code section): Other (specify code section):
	serveu, togetrier with two copies of the form of notice and addressed to the sender. (CCP 415.30) (Attach complete
A15.10) association (including partnership), or public entity. By the person served with the person who apparently was in prepaid) copies to the person served at the place where the ervatee, or candidate. By leaving copies at the dwelling if the person served in the presence of a competent member the person served in the presence of a competent member siftee or place of business, at least 18 years of age, who was en mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the er mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the er mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the er mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the er mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid).	charge and thereafter mailing (by first-class mail, postage copies and thereafter mailing (by first-class mail, postage copies were left, (CCP 415.20(a)) C Substituted service on natural person, minor, consider, usual place of business of abode, or usual place of businesse of the place of businesse of the place of pusiness of the place of the p
er (name and title or relationship to person served):	b. by serving c. I by delivery Judgment debtor Other Ot
ses follows:	1. I served the Motice of Entry of Judgment on Sister-State Judgmen a. on judgment debtor (name): GART LEWIS