IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES NALDER, GUARDIAN AD LITEM ON BEHALF OF CHEYANNE NALDER; AND GARY LEWIS, INDIVIDUALLY,

Appellants,

VS.

UNITED AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY.

Respondent.

No. 70504

FLED

AUG 2 3 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

BERTY CLERK

ORDER REGARDING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the supplemental answering brief regarding the second certified question of law is treated as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). We are not convinced that an extension of 90 days is warranted; accordingly, the motion is granted to the following extent. Respondent shall have until October 19, 2018, to file and serve the supplemental answering brief regarding the second certified question of law. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. Id. Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the supplemental answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

____, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐠

18-33026

cc: Eglet Prince
Christensen Law Offices, LLC
Atkin Winner & Sherrod
Cole, Scott & Kissane, P.A.
Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Pursiano Barry Bruce Lavelle, LLP
Laura Anne Foggan
Boyle Leonard, P.A.
Matthew L. Sharp, Ltd.