Honorable Kenneth C. Cory, of the Eight Judicial District Court, Department I, issued the order being appealed.

### 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

### Appellant:

State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board.

### Counsel for Appellant:

Gregory L. Zunino

Bureau Chief

Donald J. Bordelove

Deputy Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General

555 E, Washington Ave., Ste. 3900

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Telephone: (702) 486-3420

Email: dbordelove@ag.nv.gov

# 4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent: (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):

Education Support Employees Association Francis C. Flaherty, Esq. Sue S. Matuska, Esq. Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 Telephone: (775) 885-1896

Email: fflaherty@dyerlawrence.com

International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 Kristin L. Martin, Esq. McCracken, Stemerman & Holsberry 1630 Commerce Street, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Clark County School District Scott Greenberg, Esq. 5100 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89146

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

26

27

28

International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 ("Local 14") and the Clark County School District were necessary parties to the Petition for Judicial Review before the Eighth Judicial District Court because Local 14 and the District were a party to the administrative proceeding before the EMRB. See NRS 233B.130(2) (petitions for judicial review must name as respondents all parties of record to the administrative proceeding).

Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not 5. licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):

All attorneys identified above are licensed to practice law in Nevada.

Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the 6. district court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the district court.

Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on 7. appeal:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the 8. date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

Appellant was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court: 9.

The initial Petition for Judicial Review was filed on March 19, 2016.

Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district 10. court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

In its Petition for Judicial Review, Education Support Employees Association ("ESEA") challenged an order issued by the Employee-Management Relations Board ("EMRB") on January 20, 2016, wherein the EMRB determined that the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 ("Local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14") is entitled to act the exclusive bargaining agent for non-teacher support staff employed by the Clark County School District ("CCSD"). ESEA had formerly acted as the exclusive bargaining agent for the CCSD employees in question.

The issue before the court was whether, following an election pursuant to NRS 288.160 and NAC 288.110(10), the EMRB was required to leave ESEA in place as the bargaining agent for CCSD employees even though the election returns demonstrated overwhelming support for Local 14. ESEA argued, among other things, that the election was without force and effect because the election returns failed to prove with mathematical certainty that Local 14 is supported by a majority of all potential voters, as opposed to a majority of those who actually cast votes in the election. The EMRB maintains that the election was well attended and "demonstrates" overwhelming support for Local 14, albeit not to a mathematical certainty.

According to NAC 288.110(10), an employee organization is entitled to official recognition when an election conducted by the EMRB "demonstrates" that the organization enjoys the support of a majority of the members of a bargaining unit. The plain meaning of NAC 288.110(10) contemplates an election at which a winner is declared in reference to the number of votes cast. As such, based on the results of a second run-off election conducted by the EMRB pursuant to its statutory discretion under NRS 288.160, the EMRB properly determined that Local 14 is entitled to act as the bargaining agent for the bargaining unit formerly controlled by ESEA.

On May 17, 2016, the District Court entered an Order Granting ESEA's Petition for Judicial Review (the "Order"), thereby nullifying the results of the election at which Local 14 was chosen to replace ESEA as the bargaining agent for the CCSD employees in question. A true and correct copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference. The EMRB now appeals.

Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or 11. original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

This case arises from a struggle between ESEA and Local 14 for control of a bargaining unit consisting of CCSD employees. The underlying dispute between ESEA and Local 14 was previously

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the subject of an appeal and writ proceeding to the Supreme Court as follows: (1) Education Support Employees Ass'n v. State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board et al., Docket Nos. 42315 and 42338; (2) International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 v. Education Support Ass'n et al., Docket No. 51010; (3) The State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board v. The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada et al., Docket No. 62719. Although this appeal concerns the conduct and outcome of an election that had not taken place when these other matters were decided, this appeal has facts in common with the other matters. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: 8 12. This case does not involve child custody or visitation. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of 10 13. 11 settlement: This case involves statutory interpretation, and therefore, it does not appear that there is a 12 13 possibility of settlement. DATED this 24th day of June, 2016 14 15 ADAM PAUL LAXALT 16 Attorney General 17 /s/ Donald J. Bordelove By: 18 Gregory L. Zunino Bureau Chief 19 Donald J. Bordelove Deputy Attorney General 20 21

Attorneys for the State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General
and that on the 24 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2016 I served the foregoing Amended Case Appeal Statement, via US
Mail addressed as follows:
Francis C. Flaherty, Esq. Sue Matuska, Esq. Dyer Lawrence Flaherty Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703
Scott Greenberg, Esq. Clark County School District 5100 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Kristin Martin, Esq. McCracken Stemmerman & Hoslberry 1630 S. Commerce St., Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

/s/ Marilyn Millam
An Employee of the Attorney General's Office

## **EXHIBIT 1**

**EXHIBIT 1** 

NOE 1 FRANCIS C. FLAHERTY Nevada Bar No. 5303 2 SUE S. MATUSKA Nevada Bar No. 6051 3 DYER, LAWRENCE, FLAHERTY, DONALDSON & PRUNTY 4 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896 telephone 5 (775) 885-8728 facsimile 6 fflaherty@dyerlawrence.com 7 Attorneys for Petitioner 8 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 EDUCATION SUPPORT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, Case No. A-15-715577-J 11 an employee organization 12 Dept. No. I Petitioner, 13 vs. 14 STATE OF NEVADA, LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD, 15 an agency of the State of Nevada; INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF 16 TEAMSTERS LOCAL 14, an employee organization; and CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, 17 a county school district, 18 Respondents. 19 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 17, 2016, the Court in the above-entitled matter

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 17, 2016, the Court in the above-entitled matter entered its Order Granting Petition for Judicial Review. A true and correct copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

DATED this 17th day of May, 2016.

DYER, LAWRENCE, FLAHERTY

DONALDSON & PRUNTY

Francis C. Flaherty Nevada Bar No. 5303 Sue S. Matuska Nevada Bar No. 6051 Attorneys for Petitioner

### 1 I hereby certify pursuant to NRCP 5(b) that I am an employee of DYER, LAWRENCE, 2 FLAHERTY, DONALDSON AND PRUNTY and that on the 17th day of May, 2016, I caused a 3 true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING 4 **PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW** to be deposited in the U.S. Mail, first-class postage 5 prepaid and to be sent electronically to each of the following: 6 **EMRB** 7 2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 203 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 8

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

- emrb@business.nevada.gov Bsnyder@business.nevada.gov
- 10 Kristin L. Martin, Esq. McCracken, Stemerman, Bowen & Holsberry 11 1630 Commerce Street, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, NV 89102 12
- klm@dcbsf.com 13

9

17

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- S. Scott Greenberg, Esq. 14 Office of General Counsel Clark County School District 15 5100 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89146 16
  - sgreenberg@interact.ccsd.net
- Gregory L. Zunino, Esq. 18 Bureau Chief Attorney General's Office 19 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701 20
- gzunino@ag.nv.gov 21
  - Donald J. Bordelove Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1068
  - dbordelove@ag.ng.gov

Debora McEachin

Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896 2805 Mountain Street

### **EXHIBIT 1**

. 13 Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896 

**EXHIBIT 1** 

Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896 ORDR
FRANCIS C. FLAHERTY
Nevada Bar No. 5303
SUE S. MATUSKA
Nevada Bar No. 6051
DYER, LAWRENCE, FLAHERTY,
DONALDSON & PRUNTY
2805 Mountain Street
Carson City, Nevada 89703
(775) 885-1896 telephone
(775) 885-8728 facsimile
fflaherty@dyerlawrence.com

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 $\Pi$ 

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Alun J. Lauren

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EDUCATION SUPPORT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, an employee organization

Attorneys for Petitioner

Case No. A-15-715577-J

Petitioner,

Dept. No. 1

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA, LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD, an agency of the State of Nevada; INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS LOCAL 14, an employee organization; and CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a county school district,

Respondents.

### ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Petitioner Education Support Employees Association's ("ESEA") Petition for Judicial Review, filed January 20, 2016, came before the Court on April 20, 2016. Respondent State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board ("the Board") and the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 ("Local 14") filed separate oppositions. ESEA was represented by Francis C. Flaherty, Esq., who appeared before the Court. Local 14 was represented by Kristin L. Martin, Esq. and Thomas Pitaro, Esq., and the Board was represented by Gregory Zunino, Esq., Bureau Chief of the Office of Attorney General, who all appeared before the

Court. The Clark County School District ("the District") is represented by S. Scott Greenberg, Esq., who did not file a responsive pleading or appear before the Court at this particular hearing.

The Petition for Judicial Review challenged the Board's 2016 Board Order wherein the Board certified the results of a second runoff representation election between ESEA and Local 14 based on a majority-of-the-votes-cast standard and declared that Local 14 would become the recognized bargaining agent of the support staff employees of the District. ESEA argued that the Board had no authority to hold such second runoff election to be determined by a majority of the votes cast because of two prior Nevada Supreme Court Orders in this case. Local 14 and the Board argued that the Supreme Court orders are not controlling, do not limit the EMRB's discretion to resolve the good-faith doubt about whether ESEA or Local 14 has majority support that caused the EMRB to order an election, and that exceptions, including for "manifest injustice", to the law of the case doctrine apply.

III111 ///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

/// 17

/// 18 III19

20 21 III

III

III22

111 23

111 24

111

25

26

27 28

See Education Support Employees Ass'n. v. Employee-Management Relations Board, Docket Nos. 42315/42338 (December 21, 2005) ("2005 Order"); International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14 v. Education Support Employees Ass'n., Docket No. 51010 (December 21, 2009) ("2009 Order").

ſ.

2

3

Having considered the pleadings and arguments of counsel presented at the April 20, 2016, hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Petition for Judicial Review is GRANTED, and the 2016 Board Order is VACATED.
- 2. The matter is remanded to the Board to make the determination as to what, if any, further action is appropriate.

DATED this 4 day of My, 2016.

Submitted by:

DYER, LAWRENCE, PLAHERTY, DONALDSON & PRUNTY

By: <u>Is/ Francis C. Flaherty</u> Francis C. Flaherty Nevada Bar No. 5303 Sue S. Matuska Nevada Bar No. 6051 Attorneys for Petitioner