IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD.

Appellant,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

EDUCATION SUPPORT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 14 and CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT.

Respondents.

Supreme Court No. 70586

District Court Case No. A-15-Alectronically Filed Jul 08 2016 10:00 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

OPPOSITION TO INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 14'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OPENING AND REPLY BRIEFS

COMES NOW Respondent Education Support Employees Association ("ESEA"), by and through its attorneys and files its Opposition to International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 14's ("Local 14") Motion for Leave to File Opening and Reply Briefs. This Opposition is based on Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure ("NRAP") 27(a)(3), the legal argument contained herein, the exhibit attached hereto, and the pleadings on file in this matter.

As set forth in ESEA's Objection to Modification of Caption, filed concurrently herewith and attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, Local 14 is neither an "appellant" nor a "respondent" in this matter. It is not an appellant because it did not file a timely notice of appeal. It is not a respondent because it does not "contend against the appeal." *See* Black's Law Dictionary (4th ed. 1968) at 1476. It was a respondent in the district court action below because NRS 233B.130(2)(a) requires a petitioner filing a petition for judicial review to name all parties of record to the administrative hearing. ESEA was the petitioner in the matter below and because Local 14 was adverse to ESEA's position, ESEA was required to name

it as a respondent. Simply because Local 14 was a respondent below, however, does not mean that it is a respondent in this appeal. Further, the fact that the Board filed an Amended Case Appeal Statement that purported to add Local 14 as a respondent does not affect its status in this case. Local 14 does not "contend against the appeal;" it contends in support of the appeal. Therefore, it is not a respondent.

In this appeal, Local 14's interests are not adverse to the interests of the Appellant. The appeal seeks a reversal of the District Court's order, which would have the effect of reinstating the Board's order, meaning that Local 14 would replace ESEA as the exclusive bargaining agent, which is the result that Local 14 desires. If Local 14 were a party to this appeal it would have been as an appellant. It could have filed its own notice of appeal. Also, with the Board's agreement, Local 14 could have filed a joint notice of appeal with the Board, proceeding as a single appellant, see NRAP 3(b)(1). If either of these had occurred, Local 14 would have been entitled to file an opening and reply brief. However, neither of these occurred. A timely notice of appeal is jurisdictional. Zugel v. Miller, 99 Nev. 100, 101, 659 P.2d 296, 297 (1983); Mahaffey v. Investor's Nat'l Security Co., 102 Nev. 462, 464, 725 P.2d 1218, 1219 (1986). Local 14 is attempting to make an end run around the Nevada Rules of Appellate procedure and the cited caselaw. Because Local 14 failed to file a timely notice of appeal, not to mention pay the required fees, Local 14 is not an appellant and has no right to file an opening or reply brief in this matter.

/// /// ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9681-588 27

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

RESPECTFULLY, therefore, ESEA moves the Court to:

- 1. If the Court agrees with ESEA's Objection to Modification of Caption resulting in Local 14's removal from the caption as a respondent, deny Local 14's motion for leave to file Opening and Reply briefs as moot on the basis that Local 14 is not a party to this action; or
- 2. If the Court rejects ESEA's Objection to Modification of Caption resulting in Local 14's status as a respondent in this matter, deny Local 14's Motion for Leave to File Opening and Reply briefs and order a briefing schedule that allows Local 14 to file a single brief and that allows ESEA to respond to that brief.

DATED this Ell day of July, 2016

DYER, LAWRENCE, FLAHERTY, DONALDSON & PRUNTY

By

Francis C. Flaherty Nevada Bar No. 5303

Sue S. Matuska

Nevada Bar No. 6051

2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896 telephone (775) 885-8728 facsimile fflaherty@dyerlawrence.com

Attorneys for ESEA

Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that pursuant to NRAP 25(b) and (c) on the *\textsty \text{day of} day of July, 2016, the undersigned, an employee of Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty, electronically filed the foregoing OPPOSITION TO INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 14's MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OPENING AND REPLY BRIEFS with the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, and a copy was served by the following method of service:

```
method of service:

| X_BY MAIL |
| BY PERSONAL SERVICE |
| X_BY E-MAIL |
| BY FACSIMILE |
| BY MESSENGER SERVICE |
| to the following:
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 EMRB 2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 203 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104

17 emrb@business.nevada.gov Bsnyder@business.nevada.gov

> Kristin L. Martin, Esq. McCracken, Stemerman, Bowen & Holsberry 1630 Commerce Street, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, NV 89102

klm@dcbsf.com

S. Scott Greenberg, Esq. Office of General Counsel Clark County School District 5100 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89146

sgreenberg@interact.ccsd.net

/// ///

					1
					2
					3
					4
					5
					6
					7
					8
					9
				1	0
				1	1
				1	2
				1	3
				1	4
				1	5
				1	6
				1	7
				1	8
y				1	9
Prun				2	0
n &				2	1
aldsc				2	2
Don)3		2	3
erty,		897(2	4
Flah	Street	vada		2	5
ence,	tain S	, Ne	968	2	6
Jawr	uno J	City	185-1	2	7
Dyer, Lawrence, Flaherty, Donaldson & Prunty	2805 Mountain Street	Carson City, Nevada 89703	(775) 885-1896	2	8

Gregory L. Zunino, Esq. Bureau Chief Attorney General's Office 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701
gzunino@ag.nv.gov
Donald J. Bordelove Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1068

 $\underline{dbordelove@ag.ng.gov}$

Debore McFachin