IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JASON RICHARD LOFTHOUSE, Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

No. 70587

FILED

JAN 2 5 2018

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondent has filed a motion for a second extension of time (45 days) to file the answering brief. In support of the motion, respondent states that it needs additional time to consult with the trial deputy and to finalize a thorough answer to the opening brief. Respondent also notes the appendix consists of 7 volumes, the opening brief is 90 pages, and appellant raises 11 claims.

When we granted respondent's prior motion for an extension of time, we stated that no further extensions of time would be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). We conclude that respondent fails to demonstrate such circumstances here. Accordingly, we deny the motion. Respondent shall have 11 days from the date of this order to file and serve the answering brief. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

• ____, C.J.

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A 🐗 🗫

18.03527

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA