Electronically Filed 11/09/2016 03:35:06 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

NOV 18 2016

ORDR

Luis A. Ayon, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9752

MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12489

MAIER GUTTERREZ AYON

8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

Telephone: 702.629.7900

Facsimile: 702.629.7925

E-mail:

laa@mgalaw.com mes@mgalaw.com

7 8

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant West Sunset 2050 Trust

9

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

No 70754

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST, a Nevada Trust,

Plaintiff,

FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a Foreign Corporation; BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a National Association; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company, COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP, a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership STEPHANIE TABLANTE, an individual, X; DOES I through and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,

Counterclaimant,

VS.

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST,

Counter-Defendant.

Case No.: A-13-691323-C

Dept. No.: XXI

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(B) AND TO STAY REMAINING CLAIMS PENDING CONCLUSION OF APPEAL

Hearing Date: October 26, 2016 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.



Mace Gregorian Ason

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,

Cross-Claimant,

VS.

STEPHANIE TABLANTE,

Cross-Defendant.

This matter came on for hearing before the Court on October, 2016 at 9:30 a.m., on plaintiff counter-defendant West Sunset 2050 Trust's ("West Sunset") motion for final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to stay remaining claims pending conclusion of appeal on an order shortening time. West Sunset was represented at the hearing by Margaret E. Schmidt, Esq., of the law firm MAIER GUTIERREZ AYON. The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, and there being no opposition filed pursuant to EDCR 2.20 and 2.23, makes the following findings of facts and conclusions of law:

- 1. This lawsuit involves disputed title to real property, which was purchased at the non-judicial foreclosure of an HOA's lien for delinquent assessments pursuant to NRS 116.3116 et seq.
- On November 6, 2013, West Sunset initiated this litigation, naming New Freedom Mortgage Corporation ("New Freedom"), Nationstar Mortgage, LLC ("Nationstar"), Bank of America, N.A., The Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP ("Cooper Castle"), and Stephanie Tablante ("Tablante") as defendants. The specific causes of action alleged therein were for: (1) declaratory relief/quiet title against all the defendants; and (2) preliminary and permanent injunction against Nationstar and Cooper Castle only.
- 3. On May 20, 2014, Nationstar answered West Sunset's complaint and alleged counterclaims and cross-claims for: (1) quiet title against West Sunset and Tablante; (2) declaratory relief against West Sunset and Tablante; (3) slander of title against Tablante; (4) breach of contract against Tablante; (5) breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against Tablante; and (6) unjust enrichment against West Sunset.
- 4. On July 29, 2015, defaults were entered against Tablante and New Freedom on West Sunset's claims for relief; however, default judgments have not been entered.

5. Cooper Castle was dismissed from the case via an order entered on February 3, 2014.

- 6. On May 22, 2015, West Sunset filed its motion for summary judgment, arguing that West Sunset holds superior title to the defendants and requested that summary judgment be entered in its favor on all causes of action as well as all of Nationstar's counterclaims.
- 7. On June 10, 2015, Nationstar and BANA filed their opposition to West Sunset's motion and counter-moved for entry of summary judgment in favor of Nationstar, arguing in part that the HOA foreclosure sale was void for being unconstitutional and commercially unreasonable.
- 8. Following a hearing on the matter, on February 8, 2016, the Court entered its order denying West Sunset's motion for summary judgment and granting Nationstar's countermotion for summary judgment (the "Order"), which was noticed on February 16, 2016.
- 9. The Court's Order was based in part on its finding that the HOA's agent failed to provide the requisite notices of foreclosure; therefore, BANA and Nationstar's security interest was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure sale.
- 10. On March 4, 2016, West Sunset filed a motion for reconsideration pursuant to NRCP 59(e), which was denied by an order entered on May 31, 2016 and noticed on June 3, 2016.
 - 11. On July 1, 2016, West Sunset noticed its appeal of the Order.
- 12. On August 29, 2016, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an order to show cause as to why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, stating that "it appears that the district court has not entered a final written judgment adjudicating all the rights and liabilities of all the parties, and the district court did not certify its order as final pursuant to NRCP 54(b)."
- 13. In light of the Nevada Supreme Court's order to show cause, West Sunset filed the instant motion, seeking a final judgment as to all of its claims and a stay of any remaining claims.
- Rule 54(b) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[w]hen multiple parties are involved, the court may direct the entry of a final judgment as to one or more but fewer than all of the parties only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay and upon an express direction for the entry of judgment." NRCP 54(b).
- 15. Upon considering a request to certify a judgment based on the elimination of a party, the district court should weigh: (1) the prejudice to that party in being forced to wait to bring its

MARIA GOORANIA AYON

appeal; and (2) any prejudice to the parties remaining if the judgment is certified as final. *Mallin v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 106 Nev. 606, 611, 797 P.2d 978, 981 (1990). If the prejudice to the eliminated party would be greater than the prejudice to the parties remaining below, the court should certify the judgment as final. *Id.*

- 16. In this matter, the Court entered judgment on all of Nationstar's counterclaims/crossclaims as well as West Sunset's claims against Nationstar, thereby completely removing Nationstar from the litigation.
- 17. Moreover, the conclusions arrived at by the Court in denying West Sunset's motion for summary judgment effectively resolved the remaining claim for declaratory relief/quiet title against BANA, New Freedom and Tablante.
- 18. No important issues remain below that must be resolved prior to the Nevada Supreme Court's consideration of the issues on appeal, nor would piecemeal litigation result by certifying the Order as final.
- 19. On the other hand, requiring the parties to continue litigation on such claims whose resolution has already been determined by reasonable inference of the Court's Order would be an inefficient use of judicial resources.
- 20. Thus, taking into account the equities involved, no prejudice will result to the remaining parties if the Order is certified as final, and there exists no reason to make West Sunset wait until the conclusion of the entire case to file an appeal.

Accordingly, for good cause appearing, the Court hereby rules as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that West Sunset's Motion is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Order is amended to include a certification of final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason to delay appellate review and directs that the Order constitute a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b) with respect to fewer than all of the parties in this case.

1	IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that West Sunset's remaining claim for declaratory relief/quiet				
2	title against BANA, New Freedom and Tablante be stayed pending the conclusion of West Sunset's				
3	appeal.				
4	DATED this 1st day of November , 2016.				
5	Maline ader				
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
7					
8	Respectfully submitted,				
9	Maier Gutierrez Ayon				
10	MILLSINT				
11	Luis Ayon, Esq.				
12	Nevada Bar No. 9752 MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12489				
13	8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant West Sunset 2050 Trust				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					



Electronically Filed 11/10/2016 09:59:47 AM

NEOJ Luis A. Ayon, Esq. CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar No. 9752 MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 12489 MAIER GUTIERREZ AYON 4 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 5 Telephone: 702.629.7900 Facsimile: 702.629.7925 E-mail: 6 laa@mgalaw.com mes@mgalaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant 8 West Sunset 2050 Trust 9 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 Case No.: A-13-691323-C WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST, a Nevada Trust 13 Dept. No.: XXI Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15

MORTGAGE

Partnership

ROE

and

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER **GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL** JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(B) AND TO STAY REMAINING CLAIMS PENDING CONCLUSION OF APPEAL

Defendants.

Limited

FREEDOM

CORPORATION, a Foreign Corporation, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a National

Association; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company,

COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP, a

STEPHANIE TABLANTE, an individual,

through

CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Liability

X:

NEW

DOES I

16

17

18

19

20

24

22

23

24

25

26

27

AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS.

ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD. TO:

YOU AND EACH OF YOU will please take notice that an ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(B) AND TO STAY REMAINING CLAIMS PENDING CONCLUSION OF APPEAL was hereby entered on the 9th



day of November, 2016. A copy of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 10th day of November, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

MAIER GUTIERREZ AYON

/s/ Margaret E. Schmidt
LUIS AYON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9752
MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12489
8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant
West Sunset 2050 Trust



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2, a copy of the NOTICE OF ENTRY OF
ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(B
AND TO STAY REMAINING CLAIMS PENDING CONCLUSION OF APPEAL was
electronically filed on the 10th day of November, 2016 and served through the Notice of Electronic
Filing automatically generated by the Court's facilities to those parties listed on the Court's
Master Service List and by depositing a true and correct copy of the same, enclosed in a sealed
envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, in the U.S. Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada
addressed as follows (Note: All Parties Not Registered Pursuant to Administrative Order 14-
Have Been Served By Mail.):

Ariel E. Stern, Esq.
Allison R. Schmidt, Esq.
AKERMAN LLP
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A., and Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant Nationstar Mortgage LLC

/s/ Charity Johnson
An Employee of MAIER GUTIERREZ AYON



Electronically Filed 11/09/2016 03:35:06 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR Luis A. Ayon, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9752 MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 12489 MAIER GUTTERREZ AYON 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 5 Telephone: 702.629.7900 Facsimile: 702.629.7925 E-mail: laa@mgalaw.com mes@mgalaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant West Sunset 2050 Trust 8 9 10 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST, a Nevada Trust, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 NEW : FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a Foreign Corporation; BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a National Association; NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE 16 17 LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company, 18 COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP, a Nevada Limited Liability Partnership 10 STEPHANIE TABLANTE, an individual, DOES I through X; and 20 CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive. 21 Defendants. 22 23 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC. 24 Counterclaimant, 25 VS. 26 WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST, 27

DISTRICT COURT

Case No.: A-13-691323-C Dept. No.: XXI

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(B) AND TO STAY REMAINING CLAIMS PENDING CONCLUSION OF APPEAL

Hearing Date: October 26, 2016 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.



Counter-Defendant.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,

Cross-Claimant,

VS.

STEPHANIE TABLANTE,

Cross-Defendant.

This matter came on for hearing before the Court on October, 2016 at 9:30 a.m., on plaintiff counter-defendant West Sunset 2050 Trust's ("West Sunset") motion for final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to stay remaining claims pending conclusion of appeal on an order shortening time. West Sunset was represented at the hearing by Margaret E. Schmidt, Esq., of the law firm MAIER GUTIERREZ AYON. The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, and there being no opposition filed pursuant to EDCR 2.20 and 2.23, makes the following findings of facts and conclusions of law:

- 1. This lawsuit involves disputed title to real property, which was purchased at the non-judicial foreclosure of an HOA's lien for delinquent assessments pursuant to NRS 116.3116 et seq.
- On November 6, 2013, West Sunset initiated this litigation, naming New Freedom Mortgage Corporation ("New Freedom"), Nationstar Mortgage, LLC ("Nationstar"), Bank of America, N.A., The Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP ("Cooper Castle"), and Stephanie Tablante ("Tablante") as defendants. The specific causes of action alleged therein were for: (1) declaratory relief/quiet title against all the defendants; and (2) preliminary and permanent injunction against Nationstar and Cooper Castle only.
- 3. On May 20, 2014, Nationstar answered West Sunset's complaint and alleged counterclaims and cross-claims for: (1) quiet title against West Sunset and Tablante; (2) declaratory relief against West Sunset and Tablante; (3) slander of title against Tablante; (4) breach of contract against Tablante; (5) breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against Tablante; and (6) unjust enrichment against West Sunset.
- 4. On July 29, 2015, defaults were entered against Tablante and New Freedom on West Sunset's claims for relief; however, default judgments have not been entered.



5.	Cooper Castle was	dismissed from the	case via an order	r entered on February .	3, 2014
----	-------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	---------

- 6. On May 22, 2015, West Sunset filed its motion for summary judgment, arguing that West Sunset holds superior title to the defendants and requested that summary judgment be entered in its favor on all causes of action as well as all of Nationstar's counterclaims.
- 7. On June 10, 2015, Nationstar and BANA filed their opposition to West Sunset's motion and counter-moved for entry of summary judgment in favor of Nationstar, arguing in part that the HOA foreclosure sale was void for being unconstitutional and commercially unreasonable.
- 8. Following a hearing on the matter, on February 8, 2016, the Court entered its order denying West Sunset's motion for summary judgment and granting Nationstar's countermotion for summary judgment (the "Order"), which was noticed on February 16, 2016.
- 9. The Court's Order was based in part on its finding that the HOA's agent failed to provide the requisite notices of foreclosure; therefore, BANA and Nationstar's security interest was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure sale.
- 10. On March 4, 2016, West Sunset filed a motion for reconsideration pursuant to NRCP 59(e), which was denied by an order entered on May 31, 2016 and noticed on June 3, 2016.
 - 11. On July 1, 2016, West Sunset noticed its appeal of the Order.
- 12. On August 29, 2016, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an order to show cause as to why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, stating that "it appears that the district court has not entered a final written judgment adjudicating all the rights and liabilities of all the parties, and the district court did not certify its order as final pursuant to NRCP 54(b)."
- 13. In light of the Nevada Supreme Court's order to show cause, West Sunset filed the instant motion, seeking a final judgment as to all of its claims and a stay of any remaining claims.
- Rule 54(b) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[w]hen multiple parties are involved, the court may direct the entry of a final judgment as to one or more but fewer than all of the parties only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay and upon an express direction for the entry of judgment." NRCP 54(b).
- 15. Upon considering a request to certify a judgment based on the elimination of a party, the district court should weigh: (1) the prejudice to that party in being forced to wait to bring its

MARK COMMUNICATION

appeal; and (2) any prejudice to the parties remaining if the judgment is certified as final. *Mallin v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 106 Nev. 606, 611, 797 P.2d 978, 981 (1990). If the prejudice to the eliminated party would be greater than the prejudice to the parties remaining below, the court should certify the judgment as final. *Id.*

- 16. In this matter, the Court entered judgment on all of Nationstar's counterclaims/cross-claims as well as West Sunset's claims against Nationstar, thereby completely removing Nationstar from the litigation.
- 17. Moreover, the conclusions arrived at by the Court in denying West Sunset's motion for summary judgment effectively resolved the remaining claim for declaratory relief/quiet title against BANA, New Freedom and Tablante.
- 18. No important issues remain below that must be resolved prior to the Nevada Supreme Court's consideration of the issues on appeal, nor would piecemeal litigation result by certifying the Order as final.
- 19. On the other hand, requiring the parties to continue litigation on such claims whose resolution has already been determined by reasonable inference of the Court's Order would be an inefficient use of judicial resources.
- 20. Thus, taking into account the equities involved, no prejudice will result to the remaining parties if the Order is certified as final, and there exists no reason to make West Sunset wait until the conclusion of the entire case to file an appeal.

Accordingly, for good cause appearing, the Court hereby rules as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that West Sunset's Motion is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Order is amended to include a certification of final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason to delay appellate review and directs that the Order constitute a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b) with respect to fewer than all of the parties in this case.

1	IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that West Sunset's remaining claim for declaratory relief/quie					
2	title against BANA, New Freedom and Tablante be stayed pending the conclusion of West Sunset's					
3	appeal					
4	DATED this 1st day of November 2016.					
5						
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE					
7						
3	Respectfully submitted,					
9	Maier Gutierrez Ayon					
10	MILLSIA					
11	Luis Ayon, Esq.					
12	Nevada Bar No. 9752 MARGARET E. SCHMIDT, Esq.					
13	Nevada Bar No. 12489 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue					
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant					
15	West Sunset 2050 Trust					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						

