

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL SARGEANT,
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF
OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
Appellant,
vs.
HENDERSON TAXI,
Respondent.

No. 70837

FILED

DEC 14 2016

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

On November 23, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation to extend the time to file the opening brief by 90 days. We elect to treat the stipulation as a joint motion for an extension of time, and we grant the motion. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from the due date established by the rule). Appellant shall have until February 27, 2017, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1).

No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature] C.J.

cc: Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas

16-38769