

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL KIRSCH; AND SIU YIP,
Appellants,

vs.

PETER G. TRABER; JAMES C. CZIRR;
JACK W. CALLICUTT; GILBERT F.
AMELIO; KEVIN D. FREEMAN;
ARTHUR R. GREENBERG; ROD D.
MARTIN; JOHN F. MAULDIN; STEVEN
PRELACK; HERMAN PAUL
PRESSLER, III; DR. MARC RUBIN;
AND GALECTIN THERAPEUTICS,
INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION,
Respondents.

No. 70854

FILED

DEC 06 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Appellants have filed a motion for leave to file a notice of supplemental authorities. NRAP 31(e). Respondents oppose the supplemental authorities on the ground that appellants improperly raise new issues. Appellants have filed a reply, and argue that this court has recognized that a party may raise constitutional issues for the first time on appeal. Having considered the arguments of the parties, we direct the clerk of this court to detach the supplemental authorities from the motion and to file them separately. However, we remind appellants that “[a]rguments not raised before the appropriate administrative tribunal and in the district court normally cannot be raised for the first time on appeal.” *See Carrigan v. Comm’n on Ethics*, 129 Nev. 894, 905 n.6, 313 P.3d 880, 887 n.6 (2013).

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: The Weiser Law Firm, P.C./PA
Lee, Hernandez, Landrum, Garofalo
Lifshitz & Miller
Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd.
The Weiser Law Firm, P.C./CA
King & Spalding LLP
Kaempfer Crowell/Las Vegas