PAGE NO. Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 03/24/2016...... 244-245 Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of CCSD Records filed 03/07/2016 136-137 Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of CCSD Records filed 03/07/2016 142-143 Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of CCSD Records filed 03/07/2016 146-147 Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/24/2016.... 241-243 Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation Motion to Discharge Mr. Kevin Speed as Attorney of Record Pursuant to Nevada RPC 1.16 filed

1	Notice to Place on Calendar filed 09/17/2013	035
2	Notice to Place on Calendar filed 10/01/2013	036-044
3	Order filed 03/09/2016	150-152
4 5	Order Denying the Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Contive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence filed 03/17/2015	
6	Renewed Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence filed 03/18/2016	Assault 163-167
8	Reporter's Transcript of Unconditional Waiver of Preliminary Hearing heard 12/06/201	
9	Second Amended Information filed 03/29/2016	266-271
10	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/22/2015	121-130
11 12	State's Bench Memorandum in Support of Precluding Hearsay Pursuant to NRS 51.	255 file 272-274
12	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 11/12/2014	095-102
13	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine filed 03/23/2016	223-227
15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's A Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence filed 11/12/2014	4
16 17	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Sever Counts Relating to Different Vict 03/23/2016	ims filed
18 19	State's Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Viction Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence filed 03/23/2016	
20	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 05/01/2015 1	08-120
21 22	Supplemental Points and Authorities Regarding Evidentiary Hearing on Defendant's Madmit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allega Theory of Defense Evidence filed 02/23/2015	ition and
23	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/04/2016 1	31-135
24	Verdict filed 04/04/20163	305-307
25	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>	
26 27	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 03/29/20164	160-643
28	///	

1	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Two: Marning Portion Only	
2	Jury Trial—Day Two: Morning Portion Only Date of Hrg: 03/30/2016	
3	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Two: Afternoon Portion Only	
4	Date of Hrg: 03/30/2016	
5	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Three	
6	Date of Hrg: 03/31/2016	ļ
7	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Four	
8	Date of Hrg: 04/01/2016	
9	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Five	
10	Date of Hrg: 04/04/2016	
11	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued	
12	Date of Hrg: 12/27/2013	
13	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued	•
14	Date of Hrg: 01/02/2014	
15	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,	
16	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 12/16/2013	
17	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
18	Date of Hrg: 10/15/2014	
19	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
20	Date of Hrg: 05/27/2015	
21	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
22	Date of Hrg: 10/07/2015	
23	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
24	Date of Hrg: 12/30/2015	İ
25	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
26	Date of Hrg: 03/16/2016	
27	<i>''</i>	
28	<i>///</i>	

1	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual	
2	Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/27/2014	
3	Transcript of Proceedings,	
4 5	Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 12/01/2014	
6 7	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion for Discovery	
8	Date of Hrg: 12/03/2014	
9	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual	
Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion for Disco Date of Hrg: 12/10/2014		
11	Transcript of Proceedings,	
12	Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion for Discovery	
13	Date of Hrg: 03/05/2015	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Sever Counts Relating to Different Victims; Defendant's Renewed Motion to Admit Evidence of Alleged Victim's Ability to Contrive a Sexual Assault Allegation	
15	and Theory of Defense Evidence; Defendant's Motion in Limine (for an Order Excluding	
16	Impermissible Evidence) Date of Hrg: 03/28/2016	
17	Transcript of Proceedings,	
18	Request Date of Hrg: 01/22/2014	
19	Transcript of Proceedings,	
20	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 05/23/2016	
21	Transcript of Proceedings,	
22	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 06/13/2016	
23	Transcript of Proceedings,	
24	Status Check: Availability of Witnesses for 3/5 Hearing Date of Hrg: 02/04/2015	
25	Transcript of Proceedings,	
26	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 02/17/2016	
27	Transcript of Proceedings,	
28	Status Check: Transcript Date of Hrg: 03/23/2016	
	i l	

trial court at any time prior to trial despite any Eighth Judicial District Court rule (e.g. E.D.C.R. 3.20) which the government might attempt to utilize to bar this action. Just as the Nevada Supreme Court may consider, sua sponte, Constitutional issues that were not preserved during trial, the district court may also consider issues that would affect the Constitutionality of the trial or criminal proceeding. McCullough v. State, 99 Nev. 72, 74 (1983). A Constitutional issue may be raised at any time. Egan v. Teets, 251 F.2d 571, 576 (9th Cir. 1957); also see, NV. Const. art. 1, sec. 18.

The United States Supreme Court has held that that it shall "...[continue to apply] the rule to suppress evidence from the prosecution's case where evidence of a Fourth Amendment violation has been substantial and deliberate." Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154, 98 S.Ct. 2674, 2684, 57 L.Ed.2d 667 (1978); Stone v. Powell, 428 U.S. 465, 492, 96 S.Ct. 3037, 3051, 49 L.Ed.2d 1067 (1976). Standing to invoke the rule is typically limited to cases in which the prosecution seeks to use the fruits of an illegal search or seizure against the victim of police misconduct, as is clearly true in the instant case. Rakas v. Illinois, 439 U.S. 128, 99 S.Ct. 421, 58 L.Ed.2d 387 (1978); Brown v. United States, 411 U.S. 223, 93 S.Ct. 1565, 36 L.Ed.2d 208 (1973); Wong Sun v. United States, 371 U.S. 471, 491–492, 83 S.Ct. 407, 419–420, 9 L.Ed.2d 441 (1963).

The <u>Franks</u> case also instructs that even though the finding of probable cause by a magistrate will be given great deference by a reviewing court, said deference should not be taken as a prohibition against questioning the veracity of the statements on which the probable cause determination was based, 438 U.S., at 165, 98 S.Ct., at 2681; and that suppression is the appropriate remedy if the magistrate or judge in issuing a warrant was misled by information in an affidavit that the affiant knew was false or would have known was false except for his reckless disregard of the truth. <u>Id.</u> To exclude evidence under <u>Franks</u>, the defendant must, at a hearing,

meet two requirements: first, the defendant must establish by a preponderance of the evidence that a false statement knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard for the truth, was included by the affiant in the warrant affidavit. Second, with the affidavit's false material set to one side, the affidavit's remaining content must be shown to be insufficient to establish probable cause.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

Mr. Williams is confident that upon review by this Court, Your Honor will find that Detective Flink, at best, made statements in her affidavit to obtain a buccal swab from the Defendant that recklessly disregarded the actual circumstances and features of the allegations of abuse that she clearly knew of at the time of the affidavit; or that she, at worst, knowingly lied to Judge Sciscento on 19 September, 2013. Exhibit A.

First, it is important to note that Detective Flink made no claims in her affidavit that DNA was needed to corroborate the allegations made by the older girl, Amia, as it was clearly noted for the court that Amia's story of alleged abuse involved only sucking her breasts over a year prior. Obviously there exist no exigent circumstances that would require the issuance of a warrant for the collection of DNA from the Defendant going towards any allegations made by Amia as any suspected biological evidence stemming from any such far-past incident(s) would be long gone. Therefore, all parts of the affidavit having to do with not only Amia, but also with the other children in the house who either: 1) made no allegations of abuse or 2) claimed that they were unable to see anything when they "...looked under the door" to see what was going on with Tyana, can be easily excised and set aside as having no bearing on the justice court's decision.

Turning to what was represented in the affidavit as Tyana's alleged claims of anal rape, it is absolutely clear that Detective Flink had been made to understand that Tyana did not make an allegation involving recent anal penetration. When Detective Flink interviewed Anesah at Sunrise Hospital, the women's conversation turned specifically to what words Tyana typically used when

talking about her genitals. At that point, the detective also asked Anesah directly, "...and are you taking 'butthole' to mean, 'vagina,' or are you taking it to mean, 'anus'?" when questioning the children's mother about the allegation of abuse that was supposedly the most recent-in-time, or more importantly, that which prompted Anesah to alert the police on 7 September, 2013. Ms. Hasan's response was to say, "...I'm thinking it means...I'm...I think she means vagina." This, however, was not how the allegation of the latest abuse was described to Judge Sciscento, in that the detective totally skipped over the mother's critical point of clarification. Detective Flink failed to provide those much needed details in the beginning of the affidavit where she talks about her conversation with Anesah at the hospital with the court, leaving the justice of the peace with the erroneous impression that Mr. Williams "...put his 'thing' in [Tyana's] 'butthole' last night when Anesah was in the bathroom," and that [anal rape] had happened many times before. How Detective Flink's recounting, a knowingly false and purposefully deceptive, of this very specific, extraordinarily egregious and even more profoundly disturbing criminal act — repeated sodomy with a minor child — would be unfairly inflammatory and overwhelmingly compelling to what should have been an impartial magistrate needs no expatiation.

Additionally, Detective Flink also knew that the likelihood of any usable evanescent biological evidence positively linking Mr. Williams to the allegations of abuse being made by Tyana remaining extant was virtually ZERO. At page 4 of the application, the detective clearly and specifically spells out the objective of her affidavit to the justice of the peace, which was: "...to obtain Williams' DNA to test against the sexual assault kit obtained from Tyana. Based on the above, your affiant believes that Williams' DNA may be found in or on Tyana." However, any DNA that may have been left "...in or on Tyana" had to have been of the highly fleeting "...touch" variety, and Detective Flink knew this because Tyana had clearly stated, during her

interview with Flink on 7 September, 2013, at Sunrise Hospital, that nothing ever came out of the Defendant's penis. JF: ...and then, so, what happens? Does something happen at the end? TH: Yes. JF: What happens? TH: ...and then, he lift (sic) up his underwear... I mean his pants... JF: He...he zips up his [pan]...does anything ever come out of his penis? TH: No. JF: No. OK.

Based on this clear exchange that the detective had with a very calm Tyana, who was encouraged to provide as much detail in describing her story of abuse as she could – twelve days before the application for the search warrant was made – we can fairly ascertain that Detective Flink knew full well that there would likely be no remnant semen "...in or on Tyana," seeing how the detective was clearly fishing for a response from the child that would have indicated that ejaculation occurred. But again, as was with the glossing over of the details that would have made the allegations of anal rape incredible, here, Detective Flick chose to leave out the part where the alleged-victim child made it clear that there had not been any times in her story of on-going sexual abuse where anything came out of the Defendant's penis. Certainly, this would have been an important fact for a magistrate to consider in weighing on the decision whether to issue the search warrant. Unfortunately, Judge Sciscento was not provided with even that minimal level of candor.

CONCLUSION

By knowingly and purposefully omitting crucial details in her application for telephonic search warrant, Detective Flink manipulated Judge Sciscento into having issued a warrant, which, if said wrongful application therefor is allowed to go unpunished, will serve to allow the government to utilize "poisoned fruit" at trial and gain an unfair advantage in the determination of guilt, thereby robbing Mr. Williams of his protections under not only the Fourth Amendment, but also of his Sixth Amendment right to a fair trial. As such, the Defendant asks that all the evidence concerning the DNA analysis that was conducted under LVMPD event number 130907-2553 be excluded following an evidentiary hearing.

DATED this 25 day of March, 2016.

PHILIP J. KOHN

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

KEVING SPEED, #889

Deputy Public Defender

Exhibit A

EV# 130907-2553

The following is the transcription of the recorded Application for Search Warrant between affiant, Detective J. Flink, (JF) and Judge J. Sciscento (JS).

- JF: Judge, I'm sorry, how do you pronounce your last name?
- JS: Scisciento.
- JF: Sciscento. Okay. Judge Sciscento, for the record this line is being recorded. Do I have your permission to continue?
- JS: Yes you do.
- JF: This is Detective Jessica Flink, P#6272 of the Sexual Assault Section. I am making an application for a Telephonic Search Warrant pursuant to N.R.S. 179.054. I am talking to Judge Sciscento and the date is 09-19-2013 and the time of this call is 1552 hours. Judge, could you please swear me in?
- JS: Uh yes. Is your right hand raised?
- JF: Yes it is.
- JS: Are you sure the information you're about to give me is true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
- JF: Yes it is.
- JS: Okay, you may proceed.
- JF: Judge, my application is as follows: I, Detective Flink, P#6272, am employed by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and have been so employed for a period of 14 ½ years. I am currently assigned to the Sexual Assault Section. I've been assigned to this detail for 5 ½ years. I am presently investigating the crime of Sexual Assault Victim Under 14 which occurred al—oh shoot, sorry. Judge, I'm sorry. I don't have the home address. It occurred at the suspect's home address and I don't have it in my notes. May I proceed? It's just a DNA and he's in custody.
- JS: Oh yeah if he's in custody that's okay--

EV# 130907-2553

JF:	Okay, all right.
JS:	but

JF: Got—yeah, I gotcha. Which occurred in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada on or about 2200 hours on the 6th day of 2013. That there is probable cause to believe that certain property hereinafter described will be found at the following described premise at to wit: The person of Greg Williams, ID#1593456 who is currently in custody and located at 330 S. Casino Center Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106.

The property referred to and sought to be seized consists of the following:

1. Buccal swabs for DNA laboratory analysis collected from the suspect.

The items sought to be seized constitute evidence which would tend to show the identity of persons responsible for the crimes of Sexual Assault Victim Under 14. As set forth in this affidavit and support of the assertation to constitute the existence of probable cause, the following facts are offered:

On 09-07 of 2013, a 12 year old Amia Hasan and 10 ten year old Tyana Hasan, 7 year old James Moody and 11 year old Demarius Hasan came to their mother. Aneesah Hasan and told her that they needed to talk to her and asked her to come to another bedroom. James told his mother yest-that the, that on the 6th they were playing in the bedroom when Aneesah's boyfriend, Gregory Williams came in the room and told Tyana to come with him. He closed the door behind them and told them not to come out of the room. James said that he was trying to look under the door in the living room to see what they were doing but could not see. James had asked his sister later what had happened and Tyana told him that Gregory was touching her. Amia also admitted that a year ago Gregory had touched her breast and they all decided to go tell their mother. Aneesah would later tell me that Tyana had admitted to her that Gregory put his "thing" in her "butthole" last night when Aneesah was in the bathroom. Tyana also told her that he has—this has happened many times before. Gregory was in the apartment at the time of this disclosure. Rather than confront him Aneesah secretly called the police because she said that Gregory is abusive and she did not want to get hit. Police officers arrived, Gregory was taken into custody. All of the children were transported to Sunrise Hospital. Your affiant along with Detective Tennant. P#6763 responded to Sunrise to speak with the children. Your affiant first spoke with Amia. Amia was visibly scared and was tearing up before we even started

EV# 130907-2553

talking. You affiant asked her to tell me what had happened and she said that a year ago Gregory had put her in the corner. He told her to lift her shirt which she did. She said he began sucking on her breast. She said that she was very scared and started cry. She said that it went on for a few minutes and then he stopped and told her to go back into the bedroom. She said that the next day he lifted her shirt on his own exposing her breast. She said he stopped, looked at her and asked her, what? She told him that she was scared and he dropped her shirt and walked away. Amia said that was the last time that anything had happened. At the end of the interview she asked if Gregory was going to jail. You affiant asked she wanted him to go to jail or did she want him to come home. She started crying and said she wanted him to go to jail because she was very afraid of him. \cdot - Your affiant then spoke with Tyana. $\,\,$ Tyana told melast night when she was in the bedroom with all the kids and her mother was in the bathtub, she said Gregory came into the room and told her to come with him. He told the other kids to stay in the room. She said they went into the living room and he motioned with his finger for her to pull down her underwear. Your affiant asked her how she knew the hand gesture meant to pull down her underwear and she says that he has done it many times before. She said he told her to sit on the edge of the couch which she did. Once there she said he removed his penis from his zipper and knelt down on the floor in front of her. She demonstrated this to me by getting up from her chair and kneeling in front of it. She said that he put his penis into her vagina, the area between her legs and that it hurt. Your affiant asked her if he did anything else and she said that he stroked his penis with his hand back and forth. She demonstrated this to me by simulating male masturbation with her own hand in front of her. Tyana said that this has been happening two to three times a week for the past two years. She said with the exception of the first time it has only, it has only ever been penis to vagina. She said that the first time it happened he rubbed his penis between her butt cheeks causing her pain. interviewed brother, James Moody, and James told him that Gregory came into the bedroom last night and told Tyana to come with him and close the door behind them. He said that he looked under the door to see what they were doing and he said they were in the living room and he saw them sit on the couch. He said he could not see anything else. You affiant interviewed Kayla Moody who also corroborated that Gregory had come into the room and took Tyana out. She said that she tried to look under the door also but could not see anything. Tyana said that she asked Tyana what had, what they had done and that Tyana had told her that they had eaten cake. Brother Demarius also said that Gregory came and took Tyana and that he tried to follow to go to the bathroom but that Gregory told him to go away. Demarius said he has seen Gregory kiss Tyana on the cheek near the mouth on another occasion. Tyana was provided with an exam which

EV# 130907-2553

had negative physical findings but swabs were taken. An attempt was made to interview after Miranda, there were a few minutes of denials and then he asked for an attorney and the interview was concluded.

Your affiant request this search warrant to obtain William's DNA to test against the sexual assault kit obtained from Tyana. Based on the above, your affiant believes that William's DNA may be found in or on Tyana. Your affiant is therefore seeking court authorization to obtain a Buccal swab samples from the presence of saliva in a medically acceptable manner for testing and analysis, specifically two cotton swabbings from the cheek areas inside the mouse, inside the mouth from the body of Gregory Williams, ID #1593456.

In my experience it is possible though rare that the subject of this search may refuse to cooperate in the manner necessary to collect the biological evidence pursuant to this warrant. I therefore request that if necessary, myself or other officers may use the minimum amount of force necessary to restrain the subject to obtain the samples in the safest and most humane manner possible.

Where, uh, sorry. Your affiant further request that this affidavit be sealed by the court for the following reason: That this is a sexual assault case with sensitive potentially embarrassing information of the victim who is a juvenile. Williams is in custody and would have no safe means of securing an affidavit which could potentially result in Tyana's information being revealed. It would be intentionally, it could be intentionally or accidently shared or left out where other inmates may access it. Therefore, you affiant request this document to be sealed until the time of this honorable court sees fit to make it available to defense council.

Judge, this ends the probable cause detail. Do you want me to read the duplicate original search warrant?

- JS: No, you don't need to.
- JF: Judge, do you find that probable cause exist for the issuance of this search warrant?
- JS: Yes I do.
- JF: Do you authorize the search warrant to be sealed pending further order of this honorable court?

EV# 130907-2553

JS:	Yes I do.
JF:	Do I have your permission to fix the duplicate, to affix your name to the duplicate search warrant?
JS:	Yes you do.
JF:	The application and the signing of the search warrant were witnessed Detective Santarossa, P#6930. Thank you, sir.
JS:	All right. Thank you very much.
JF:	Bye, bye.
This tat 11	transcription has been typed by Antoinette Turner, P#5173, on September 20, 2013 00 hours and is true and accurate.
Antoi	nette Turner, P#5173
	ving reviewed this transcription, affirm that it is true and correct.
Dete	ctive J. Flink, P#6272
Certi	fication:
warra Dete	ng read the transcription of the recorded application for the telephonic search ant issued by this Court on September 19, 2013, under Event #130907-2553 with ctive J. Flink, P#6272, as affiant, and having reviewed the application, it appears that canscription is accurate.
Judg	e Sciscento
JF:at	

LYMPD EVENT # 130987 - 2553

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT DUPLICATE ORIGINAL SEARCH WARRANT

STATE OF NEVADA) ss: C 0 c c . \2/1= 4.5 20 4 ~~
COUNTY OF CLARK	SS: GREG WILLIAMS ID# 1593456
The State of Nevada, OFF. FG. V. cause to believe that certain	to any Peace Officer in the County of Clark. Proof having been made before me be by oral statement given under oath, incorporated by reference, that there is probable evidence, to wit:
2. Blood, body fluids, t	out not be limited to Sheets, bedding, pillows, mattresses. bedy parts, hairs, fibers, fingerprints, and other trace evidence that the recovery of applete crime seene analysis with measurements.
Buccal Swabs for Di	NA Laboratory Analysis collected from the suspect IA Laboratory Analysis collected from the suspect. Ibs for DNA Laboratory Analysis collected from the suspect.

Articles of personal property which would tend to establish the identity of persons in control of said premises or in control of specific areas within the premises where items set forth above are located such as; canceled mail envelopes, rental agreements and receipts, utility and telephone bills, prescription bottles, vehicle registration, vehicle repairs, insurance and gas receipts. Items which tend to show a possessory interest in the items sought such as photographs and undeveloped film, diaries or letters.

Is presently located at: 330 S. CASINO CENTER BLUD.

LAS VEGAS, NU 89101

CLANK COUNTY DEFENTED CENTER

2 The person of GREG WILLIAM IOF 1593456

and as I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that said property is located as set forth above and

.LVMPD EVENT # 130907-2583

that based upon the oral statement of DET FLLL that there is sufficient grounds for the issuance of the Search Warrant.

You are hereby commanded to search forthwith said premises for said property, serving this warrant at any hour of the day or night, and if the property is there to seize it, prepare a written inventory of the property seized and make a return to me within ten days.

DATED this 19	day of 5	FOTEM	361 2013	at 1600	hours.
Judge's name (affix	ed by officer) T	1062	SCISCI	110	 '
Signed by D27.	Fank	P# <u>(02</u>	2 acting up	pon oral authoriza	ation of Judg
Witnessed by D		25A	P# <u>(0930</u>	<u>.</u> .	
Endorsed this	day of		_, 200		
			·-		
Judge				·	

Page ____ of ___ /30907-2553

RETURN

Must be made within 10 days of issuance of Warrant)

The Search and Seizure Warrant authorizing a search and seizure at the following described location(s):

GREG WILLAMS IVE 159345 P	
	
was executed on 9/19/13	
was executed on	
A copy of this Inventory was left with _ GREG LITLIAms	
Copy of this inventory was left with	
(name of person of 'at the place of search')	
The following is an inventory of property taken pursuant to the warrant:	
Description	
BUCEAL SWAB	
This inventory was made by: J. Flank (0272	
SHANF, (0727	
(at least two officers including affiant if present, it person from whom property is taken it present include that person.)	

NOTICE OF MOTION TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the above and foregoing Motion on for hearing before the Court on the 28th day of March, 2016, at 8:00 AM DATED this _____ day of March, 2016. PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER KEVIN C. SPEED, #8895 Deputy Public Defender RECEIPT OF COPY RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Motion to Suppress is hereby acknowledged this ____ day of March, 2016. CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ORIGINAL

1

AINF

STEVEN B. WOLFSON FILED IN OPEN COURT 2 Clark County District Attorney STEVEN D. GRIERSON Nevada Bar #001565 CLERK OF THE COURT 3 MARY KAY HOLTHUS Chief Deputy District Attorney MAR 29 2016 4 Nevada Bar #003814 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 THE STATE OF NEVADA. Case No: C-13-294607-1 11 Plaintiff, 12 Dept No: VIII 13 GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS. SECOND AMENDED #1593456 14 Defendant. INFORMATION 15 16 STATE OF NEVADA SS. 17 COUNTY OF CLARK STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State 18 of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court: 19 That GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS, the Defendant above named, having 20 committed the crimes of LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 21 (Category A Felony - NRS 201.230) and SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER 22 FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366) in the manner 23 following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or between January 1, 2011 and September 6, 24 2013, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect 25 of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of 26 Nevada. 27 28 C-13-294607-1 Amended Information W:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

COUNT 1 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: AMIA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his mouth and/or tongue to kiss and/or lick and/or suck the breast(s) of the said AMIA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 2 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: AMIA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant lifting the shirt of the said AMIA HASAN exposing her breast(s), with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 3 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the buttock(s) of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 4 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

W:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

COUNT 5 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 6</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 7 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 8 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the

W:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 9 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 10</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 11 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

28 //

W.\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN. against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 13 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 14 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

24 // //

//

II

//

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

W:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

COUNT 15 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar#001565

BY

STACEY NOLLINS Chief Deputy District At

Nevada Bar #005391

DA#13F14720X/hjc/SVU LVMPD EV#1309072553 (TK11)

W;\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-AINF-(WILLIAMS_GREG)-001.DOCX

1	MEMO FILED IN OPEN COURT			
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT			
3	# STACEY L. KOLLINS APR 0.1.2018			
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391 200 Lewis Avenue By Jene M. Calley			
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 TENA JOLLEY DEPUTY (702) 671-2500			
6	Attorney for Plaintiff			
7				
8	DISTRICT COURT			
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)			
11	Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-13-294607-1			
12	-vs- DEPT NO: VIII			
13	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,			
14	#1593456			
15	Defendant.			
16	STATE'S BENCH MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PRECLUDING HEARSAY PURSUANT TO NRS 51,255			
17	TURSUANT TO TRES 51,255			
18	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney,			
19	through STACEY L. KOLLINS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and hereby submits the			
20	attached Points and Authorities in Support of Precluding Hearsay Pursuant to NRS 51.255.			
21	This opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the			
22	attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if			
23	deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.			
24	///			
25				
26	///			
27	C - 13 - 294607 - 1 MEMO Memorandum			
28	4538074			

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

LEGAL ARGUMENT

NRS 51.255 states:

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

51.255 Learned treatises

To the extent called to the attention of an expert witness upon cross-examination or relied upon by the expert witness in direct examination, a statement contained in a published treatise, periodical or pamphlet on a subject of history, medicine or other science or art, is not inadmissible under the hearsay rule if such book is established as a reliable authority by the testimony or admission of the witness or by other expert testimony or by iudicial notice.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the Memorandum of Points and Authorities above, the State moves for an order by the Court precluding the admission of any expert testimony based upon unreliable authority as inadmissible hearsay pursuant to NRS 51.255.

DATED this day of April, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

STACEY L. KOLLÍNS Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #003814

27

28

w:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-MEMO-

2(Williams Gregory Hearsay Memo)-001.docx

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing, was made this _____ day of March, 2016, in person, in OPEN COURT, to: KEVIN C. SPEED, DPD BY Employee of the District Attorney's Office /SVU 3(Williams_Gregory_Hearsay_Memo)-001.docx w:\2013\2013F\147\20\13F14720-MEMO-

26

27

28

FILED IN OPEN COURT

APR 0 4 2016

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,

-VS-

GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Defendant.

CASE NO:

C-13-294607-1

VIII DEPT NO:

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. I)

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from the evidence.

You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the instructions of the Court.

instructions to the Jury

INSTRUCTION NO.

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.

-15 --16

2.

An information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt.

In this case, it is charged in a Second Amended Information that the Defendant, on or between January 1, 2011 and September 6, 2013, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: AMIA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his mouth and/or tongue to kiss and/or lick and/or suck the breast(s) of the said AMIA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 2 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: AMIA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant lifting the shirt of the said AMIA HASAN exposing her breast(s), with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 3 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the buttock(s) of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 4 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 5 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

Q

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 6</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 7 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the

intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 8</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 9 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 10 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 11 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA

HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 13 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 14 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HASAN, a child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said TYANA HASAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said TYANA HASAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 15 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there, wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: TYANA HASAN, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his penis to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area of the said TYANA HASAN, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not the Defendant is guilty of one or more of the offenses charged.

Each charge and the evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately. The fact that you may find a defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the offenses charged should not control your verdict as to any other offense charged.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done.

Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in the case.

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

You are here to determine the guilt or innocence of the Defendant from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt or innocence of any other person. So, if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the Defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or more persons are also guilty.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to Gregory Williams on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

_ .

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled.

You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may reject it, if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound.

A person who subjects a minor under fourteen to sexual penetration, against the minor's will or under conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should know that the minor is mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of his/her conduct, is guilty of sexual assault with a minor under fourteen.

"Sexual penetration" includes penetration however slight. Sexual penetration includes sexual intercourse, in its ordinary meaning, by placing the penis in the genital opening. Evidence of ejaculation is not necessary.

Physical force is not necessary in the commission of sexual assault. The crucial question is not whether a person was physically forced to engage in a sexual assault but whether the act was committed under conditions in which the defendant knew or should have known, the person was incapable of understanding the nature of the act.

INSTRUCTION NO. <u>(3</u>

A person is not required to do more than her age, strength, surrounding facts and attending circumstances make it reasonable for her to do to manifest opposition to a sexual assault or lewdness.

There is no requirement that the testimony of a victim of sexual assault or lewdness be corroborated, and her testimony standing alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a verdict of guilty.

INSTRUCTION NO. 15

Where multiple sexual acts occur as part of a single criminal encounter a defendant may be found guilty for each separate or different act of sexual assault and/or lewdness.

Where a defendant commits a specific type of act constituting sexual assault and/or lewdness, he may be found guilty of more that one count of that specific type of act of sexual assault and/or lewdness if:

- 1. There is an interruption between the acts which are of the same specific type,
- 2. Where the acts of the same specific type are interrupted by a different specific type of sexual assault/lewdness, or
- 3. For each separate object manipulated or inserted into the genital or anal opening of another.

Only one sexual assault and/or lewdness occurs when a defendant's actions were of one specific type of sexual assault and/or lewdness and those acts were continuous and did not stop between the acts of that specific type.

Any person who willfully commits any lewd or lascivious act, other than acts constituting the crime of sexual assault, upon or with any part of the body of a child under the age of 14 years, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust or passions or sexual desires of that person or of that child, is guilty of lewdness with a minor.

The law does not require that the lust, passions or sexual desires of either of such

persons actually be aroused, appealed to, or gratified.

To constitute a lewdness with a minor under the age of 14 it is not necessary that the bare skin be touched. The touching may be through the clothing of the child.

Lewdness with a child under the age of 14 years requires an act upon or with the body of a child under the age of 14 years, but does not require physical contact between the perpetrator and the victim.

--

Where a child has been the victim of sexual assault with a minor under the age of 14 and/or lewdness with a minor under the age of 14, and does not remember the exact date of the act, the State is not required prove a specific date, but may prove a time frame within which the act took place.

Consent in fact of a minor child under fourteen years of age to sexual activity is not a defense to a charge of Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

Ĥ

In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of punishment, as that is a matter which lies solely with the court. Your duty is confined to the determination of the guilt or innocence of the Defendant.

i

When you retire to consider your verdict, you must select one of your member to act as foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson here in court.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

If, during your deliberation, you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again portions of the testimony, you must reduce your request to writing signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given you in the presence of, and after notice to, the district attorney and the Defendant and his/her counsel.

Playbacks of testimony are time-consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a playback, you must carefully describe the testimony to be played back so that the court recorder can arrange his/her notes. Remember, the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence.

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

GIVEN

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

		CLERK OF THE COURT	
1	DISTRICT COURT	APR 0 4 2016	
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	1/2 C. A.	
3	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	KERI CROMER, DEPUTY	
4	Plaintiff, CASE NO.	C-13-294607-1	
5	v. DEPT. NO.	VIII	
6	GREG WILLIAMS,		
7	Defendant.		
8			
9	<u>verdict</u>		
0	and the Defendant (REGORY ANTHONY	
1	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS, as follows:		
2			
3	COUNT 1 - Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14	•	
4	☐ Not Guilty		
5	⊠ Guilty		
6	COUNT 2 – Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14		
7	☐ Not Guilty		
.8	⊠ Guilty	•	
9	or the American		
20	COUNT 3 - Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14	•	
21	☐ Not Guilty	•	
22	🛛 Guilty		
23	COUNT 4 - Sexual Assault with a Minor Under Fourteen Years o	f Age	
24	□ Not Guilty		
25			
26	⊠ Guilty		
27		·	
28		:-19-294607-1 /ER Jerdiet	
		536584 	

,	COUNT 5 - Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14
2	□ Not Guilty
3	汉 Guilty
4	COUNT 6 - Sexual Assault with a Minor Under Fourteen Years of Age
5	Not Guilty
6	⊠ Guilty
7	COUNT 7 - Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14
9	☐ Not Guilty
10	🔀 Guilty
11	COUNT 8 - Sexual Assault with a Minor Under Fourteen Years of Age
12	□ Not Guilty
13	☑ Guilty
14	a curry
15	COUNT 9 – Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14
16	☐ Not Guilty
17	🔀 Guilty
18	COUNT 10 - Sexual Assault with a Minor Under Fourteen Years of Age
19 20	🖄 Not Guilty
21	☐ Guilty
22	Child Haday the Age of 14
23	COUNT 11 – Lewdness with a Child Under the Age of 14
24	Not Guilty
25	☐ Guilty
26	COUNT 12 - Sexual Assault with a Minor Under Fourteen Years of Age
27	🛛 Not Guilty
28	☐ Guilty

•
1
enter
SON
1 4 5

JOC

2

3

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Electronically Filed 06/23/2016 07:57:04 AM

Alun J. Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

CASE NO. C294607-1

DEPT, NO. VIII

GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS #1593456

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)

The Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNTS 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 & 15 — LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 201.230; and COUNTS 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 & 14 — SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of the crimes of COUNTS 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 & 9 — LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 201.230; and COUNTS 4, 6 & 8 — SEXUAL.

23

24

25

26 27

28

ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366; thereafter, on the 13TH day of June, 2016, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel, KEVIN SPEED, Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense(s) and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment Fee, Restitution in the amount of \$3,976.80, and a \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers, plus a \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS; AS TO COUNT 2 - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS, Count 2 to run CONCURRENT with Count 1; AS TO COUNT 3 - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS, Count 3 to run CONCURRENT with Counts 1 and 2; AS TO COUNT 4 - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FOUR HUNDRED TWENTY (420) MONTHS, Count 4 to run CONSECUTIVE to Counts 1, 2 and 3; AS TO COUNT 6 - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FOUR HUNDRED TWENTY (420) MONTHS, Count 6 to run CONSECUTIVE to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4; AS TO COUNT 8 -LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FOUR HUNDRED TWENTY (420) MONTHS, Count 8 to run CONSECUTIVE to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6; with ONE THOUSAND TEN (1,010) DAYS credit for time served. COUNTS 5, 7 and 9 - DISMISSED. Defendant's AGGREGATE TOTAL sentence is LIFE with a MINIMUM of ONE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY (1,380) MONTHS.

FURTHER ORDERED, a SPECIAL SENTENCE OF LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of imprisonment, probation of parole. In addition, before the Defendant is eligible for parole, a panel consisting of the Administrator of the Mental Health and Development Services of the Department of Human Resources or his designee; and a psychologist licensed to practice in this state; or a psychiatrist licensed to practice medicine in Nevada must certify that the Defendant does not represent a high risk to re-offend based on current accepted standards of assessment.

ADDITIONALLY, the Defendant is ORDERED to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

DATED this 2/5T day of June, 2016.

DOUG SMITH \

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 4

NOAS
PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER
NEVADA BAR No. 0556
309 South Third Street, Suite 226
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4685

CLERK OF THE COURT

5

4

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

7

8

9

10

6

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Attorney for Defendant

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C-13-294607-1

٧.

DEPT. NO. VIII

11 GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

13

14

15

16

12

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO. VIII OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Greg Williams, presently incarcerated in the Nevada State Prison, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 23rd day of June, 2016, whereby he was convicted of Cts. 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 & 9 - Lewdness With a Child Under the Age of 14 (Category A Felony) and Cts. 4, 6 & 8 - Sexual Assault With a Minor Under Fourteen Years of Age (Category A Felony) and sentenced to \$25 Admin. Fee; \$250 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment fee; restitution of \$3,976.80; \$150 DNA analysis fee; \$3 DNA collection fee; Ct. 1 - 120 months to Life in prison; Ct. 2 - 120 months to Life in prison; Ct. 2 to run concurrent with Ct. 1; Ct. 3 - 120 months to Life in prison; Ct. 3 to run

concurrent with Cts. 1 and 2; Ct. 4 - 420 months to Life in 1 prison; Ct. 4 to run consecutive to Cts. 1 2, and 3; Ct. 6 - 420 2 months to Life in prison; Ct. 6 to run consecutive to Cts. 1, 2, 3, and 4; Ct. 8 - 420 months to Life in prison; Ct. 8 to run consecutive to Cts. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6; 1,010 days CTS. 5 Aggregate total sentence is Life with a minimum and 9 dismissed. 6 of one thousand three hundred eight (1,380) months. 7 sentence of Lifetime Supervision is imposed to commence upon 8 release from any term of imprisonment, probation or parole. addition, before the Defendant is eligible for parole, a panel 10 Administrator of the Mental Health the consisting of 11 Development Services of the Dept. of Human Resources or his 12 designee, and a Nevada State licensed psychologist or psychiatrist 13 must certify that the Defendant does not represent a high risk to 14 re-offend based on current accepted standards of assessment. 15 Additionally, the Defendant is ordered to register as a 16 offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within 48 hours after any 17 release from custody. 18

DATED this 15th day of July, 2016.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

/s/ Audrey M. Conway By: AUDREY M. CONWAY, #5611 Deputy Public Defender 309 S. Third Street, Ste. 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685

26 27

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

Cts. 5, 7

DECLARATION OF MAILING

Public Defender's Office, hereby declares that she is, and was when the herein described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the 15th day of July, 2016, declarant deposited in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada v. Gregory Anthony Williams, Case No. C-13-294607-1, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Gregory Anthony Williams, c/o High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89070. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on the 15th day of July, 2016.

/s/ Carrie M. Connolly
An employee of the Clark County
Public Defender's Office

1	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING
2	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing
3	was made this 15th day of July, 2016, by Electronic Filing to:
4	District Attorneys Office E-Mail Address:
5	
6	PDMotions@clarkcountyda.com
7	Jennifer.Garcia@clarkcountyda.com
8	Eileen.Davis@clarkcountyda.com
9	
10	/s/ Carrie M. Connolly
11	Secretary for the Public Defender's Office
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 16, 2013

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 16, 2013

10:30 AM

Initial Arraignment

HEARD BY:

De La Garza, Melisa

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level

Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Monique Alberto

RECORDER:

Kiara Schmidt

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Speed, Kevin

Walsh, Jessica A.

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for State of Nevada

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed requested matter be continued to the special calendar. COURT SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

12/27/13 10:30 A.M. ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED (LLA)

PRINT DATE:

12/16/2013

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

December 16, 2013

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 27, 2013

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 27, 2013

10:30 AM

Arraignment Continued

HEARD BY: De La Garza, Melisa

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level

Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

RECORDER: Kiara Schmidt

PARTIES PRESENT: Luzaich, Elissa

Speed, Kevin

State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney for the State of Nevada

Public Defender

Plaintiff

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed advised Deft. changed his mind about accepting the negotiations and would plead not guilty. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 1/2/14 9:30 AM

PRINT DATE:

12/27/2013

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

December 27, 2013

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 02, 2014

C-13-294606-1

State of Nevada

Drego Arce-Camargo

January 02, 2014

9:30 AM

Arraignment Continued

HEARD BY:

De La Garza, Melisa

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level

Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Roshonda Mayfield

RECORDER: Kiara Schmidt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Abbatangelo, Anthony L

Attorney for the Defendant

Arce-Camargo, Drego

Defendant

Campbell, Cara L.

Attorney for the State

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following representations made by counsel, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED as requested by defense. Further, the state is to have an amended information prepared for the next court date issued.

BOND

1/16/14 9:30 A.M. ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED (LLA)

PRINT DATE:

01/06/2014

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

January 02, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 22, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

January 22, 2014

8:00 AM

Request

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia; Keri Cromer/lg

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Fattig, John T

Speed, Kevin

State of Nevada Williams, Greg Anthony Attorney

Attorney Plaintiff

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendant's presence WAIVED.

Upon the request of State and there being no opposition from Mr. Speed, COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

10/15/14 8:00 A.M. CALENDAR CALL

10/20/14 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE:

01/23/2014

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

January 22, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 15, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

VS

Greg Williams

October 15, 2014

8:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER: Jill

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Holthus, Mary Kay

Speed, Kevin

State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney

Attorney Plaintiff

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed advised not ready for trial due to a conflict and stated Defendant waived his speedy trial right. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

5/27/15 8:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

6/1/15 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE:

10/15/2014

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

October 15, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 27, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

October 27, 2014

8:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Jones, Tierra D.

Speed, Kevin

State of Nevada Williams, Greg Anthony Attorney

Attorney Plaintiff

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

Mr. Speed stated Ms. Holthus would like to request additional time to respond his motions and he had no opposition to a continuance. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

11/24/14 8:00 AM DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

PRINT DATE:

11/04/2014

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

October 27, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 01, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 01, 2014

8:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Hojjat, Nadia

Holthus, Mary Kay

State of Nevada Williams, Greg Anthony Attorney

Attorney

Plaintiff Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for the presence of Mr. Speed.

12/3/14 8:00 AM DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIMS' ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

PRINT DATE:

12/01/2014

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

December 01, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 03, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 03, 2014

8:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Holthus, Mary Kay

Speed, Kevin State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney

Attorney Plaintiff Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

As to Deft's Motion to Admit Evidence, COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED as to Brady and Statutory discovery issues.

Court ruled upon the Specific Requests as follows:

- 1. The complete Clark County School District Student files for Tyana Hasan, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 2. The complete Clark County School District student files for Amia Hasan, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 3. The complete Clark County School District student files for James Moody, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

PRINT DATE:

12/09/2014

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 03, 2014

- 4. The complete Clark County School District student files for Kaylah Moody, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 5. The complete Clark County School District student files for Demarious Hasan, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 6. Any and all information from the Clark County Department of Family Services, The complete Clark County School District student files for James Moody, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 7. Any medical, mental health or school counseling records, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 8. Any LVMPD records, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED; DENIED as to notes.
- 9. Any and all records or information concerning financial benefits, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 10. Information concerning the criminal history in this or any other federal or state jurisdiction, COURT ORDERED, DENIED as OVERBROAD; counsel may review file.
- 11. Evidence to include any DNA analyses and or medial reports, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.
- 12. Recordings of any intercepted telephone communications (jail calls) between the Defendant and any party, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED; counsel may subpoena records.
- 13. Copies of any intercepted written communication alleged to have been made by Mr. Williams, COURT ORDERED, GRANTED.

COURT ORDERED, Requests 1-7 to be submitted to the court for in-camera review.

As to Motion to Admit Evidence, following arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for decision; Court to review Summit case.

CUSTODY

12/10/14 8:00 AM DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE

PRINT DATE:

12/09/2014

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 03, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 10, 2014

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 10, 2014

8:00 AM

Motion to Admit Evidence

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Holthus, Mary Kay

Speed, Kevin State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney

Attorney

Plaintiff . Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court advised Summitt was informative and goes against counsel's argument. The testimony in Summitt entered around the child's prior similar sexual experience. Court noted we are not in that situation; in Summitt the child had no prior sexual experience and the purpose of testimony in Summitt was to challenge the victim's credibility. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Speed advised he merely wanted to retain the right to argue about the mother's sexual activity if presented these complaining witnesses as girls who are too young to have any idea about the things they are describing, unless the acts alleged in this case actually happened to them. Mr. Speed stated that if the State argued this, he would inquire as to the children seeing their mother participate in certain activities; there would be few questions. Counsel stated in a sexual assault case, the only thing they have to show is the word of the complaining witness and trying to get to the truth. Ms. Holthus stated the mother's sexual activities only becomes relevant if the children were aware and if it contributed to the allegations in this case; otherwise it was impermissible character evidence. Ms. Holthus requested a hearing outside the presence of the jury to determine if there was any relevance. Court advised in balancing the probability versus the prejudicial effect the Court believes a hearing is necessary. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for hearing. Court instructed counsel to meet to secure

PRINT DATE:

12/19/2014

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 10, 2014

the witnesses and SET matter for a status check regarding the availability of those witnesses. Ms. Holthus stated she will be filing a second brief regarding the hearing comparing it to Miller.

CUSTODY

2/4/15 8:00 STATUS CHECK: AVAILABILITY OF WITNESSES FOR 3/5/15 HEARING

3/5/15 8:00 AM HEARING: DEFT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGE VICTIM'S ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT

PRINT DATE:

12/19/2014

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 10, 2014

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

February 04, 2015

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

February 04, 2015

8:00 AM

Status Check

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Glasgow, Justin

State of Nevada Sweetin, James R

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney

Plaintiff **Attorney**

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Pursuant to representations of Mr. Sweetin, COURT ORDERED, hearing date of March 5, 2015 STANDS. Mr. Glasgow stated he will notify Mr. Speed.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

02/04/2015

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

February 04, 2015

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 05, 2015

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

VS

Greg Williams

March 05, 2015

8:00 AM

Hearing

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Holthus, Mary Kay

Speed, Kevin State of Nevada Attorney Attorney Plaintiff

Williams, Greg Anthony Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Holthus stated she spoke with Mr. Speed yesterday to figure out what the issues were and where they were going with today's hearing. State advised her investigator contacted the mother a couple of months ago to confirm her availability for today's hearing. Upon inquiry, mom informed her investigator she worked at an ice cream shop. Counsel stated it was her understanding from mom's statement she had not worked in the industry; therefore, that was enough of a witness. Ms. Holthus stated she spoke with mom again a couple of days ago and asked if she had ever worked in the sex industry and she stated she used to; however, the children were never aware of it. State advised that was the only relevance if the kids were aware of anything. At that time it was their position to bring the kids in to see what they knew, if anything, and then for the court to determine if a Petrocelli hearing was necessary. State advised she contacted mom again last night regarding today's hearing and at that time she was informed Mr. Speed and his investigator went to the school yesterday and pulled the children out of class, without her permission and interviewed them, and according to the email from the school Mr. Speed was also provided with their school records. Mr. Holthus argued this was borderline custody interference. Mr. Holthus provided emails to the Court. Court admonished Mr. Speed and advised he cannot do that; the Court will have to speak with Chief Judge. Court expressed concerns that counsel misrepresented himself to the school. Mr. Speed stated he signed in at the administration desk identifying that he was with the Public Defender's Office.

PRINT DATE:

03/19/2015

Page 1 of 3

Minutes Date:

March 05, 2015

Discussions between court and counsel. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Speed stated he did not have any witnesses. COURT ADVISED, if there were no witnesses Motion DENIED. Mr. Speed requested an opportunity to present a defense that would show these children had prior sexual knowledge.

Court referenced school emails and stated it appears from the email counsel represented that he was from Metro. Mr. Speed advised he presented his badge and nowhere on that badge does it say Metropolitan Police Department. BRIEF RECESS.

Ms. Speed advised there are members of Mr. Williams' extended family in court this morning and the Defendant has asked that the exclusionary rule be invoked. Court advised it would consider it. Court advised it was a little troubled that Mr. Speed's investigator just spoke to the people he just asked to remove. Investigator McAllister SWORN and TESTIFIED as to what he told the family members in the courtroom.

Statements between Court and counsel regarding second email. Court advised it appears from the email the school assumed counsel was a Metro officer. If counsel led them to believe he was an officer and allowed this to go forward without clearly stating he was a public defender, that would be wrong. Court advised if it had more evidence it would go to the State Bar; the way this was handled was not correct. However, does not believe it rises to a level where it thinks there is an ethics violation. Colloquy regarding the office of the public defender and their investigative methods. State advised the primary reason for bringing this to the Court's attention was the girls thought they were speaking with an officer. Colloquy regarding policies and procedures of the public defender's office. Mr. Richards present from the Public Defender's Office Statement by Mr. Richards. Ms. Holthus expressed concerns regarding policies. Mr. Richards stated this issue has come up before and has been addressed it, and they will continue to address it.

Mr. Speed provided the Court with the school records which the court previously granted for in camera review. Mr. Speed advised he instructed the administration to seal the records for the Court's review. Court reviewed records in camera and stated they were attendance records and grades and advised they were not relevant. Colloquy. COURT ORDERED, based on previous motion, records are not relevant and ORDERED, Motion DENIED. As to CPS records, Ms. Holthus will follow up with requested records.

Court advised this was a cautionary motion to cross examine the children; a Petrocelli hearing was not required. Mr. Speed stated this was not a bad acts motion only to ask what the children had access to in the home; if they witnessed the mother working in the sex industry. Court believes the hearing needs to go forward with children. Colloquy.

Upon court's inquiry, Mr. Speed's Investigator stated the interview was recorded. Court instructed Investigator McAllister to make a copy and provide it to the State. Statement by Investigator McAllister. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Speed stated there were questions asked specifically about sexual business and what they knew about their mother and this type of activity Ms. Holthus stated she had a hugh problem with that. Court advised that affects the whole hearing and does know how

PRINT DATE: 03/19/2015

Page 2 of 3

Minutes Date:

March 05, 2015

this can be fixed. Mr. Speed requested the court rule in their a favor allowing them to present a defense in how the children obtained their knowledge. Ms. Holthus argued if the recording of the children was not relevant then does not know if it would be necessary to put the kids up. Opposition by Mr. Speed. COURT ORDERED, Motion to go into sexual content with children, DENIED. Mr. Speed requested the Court withhold its ruling until it hears the recording. COURT ADVISED, counsel may file a motion.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 03/19/2015

Page 3 of 3

Minutes Date:

March 05, 2015

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

May 27, 2015

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

May 27, 2015

8:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY:

Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D

COURT CLERK: Louisa Garcia

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Speed, Kevin State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney

Attorney **Plaintiff**

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed advised not ready to proceed as they just received DNA discovery that needs to be reviewed by an expert. Upon inquiry, Defendant waived his speedy trial rights. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY .

10/7/15 8:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

10/12/15 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE:

05/28/2015

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

May 27, 2015

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 07, 2015

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

October 07, 2015

8:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: April Watkins

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Speed, Kevin State of Nevada

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney for Pltf.

Attorney for Deft.

Plaintiff

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Speed advised matter is not overflow eligible, counsel is not ready to proceed and will need a later start time than October 12, 2015. Further, Mr. Speed advised there are new witnesses that need to be interviewed.

MATTER RECALLED:

Ms. Kollins advised offer at this time is withdrawn. State offered attempt lewdness and attempt sexual assault with the State retaining the right to argue. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

12/30/15 8:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

1/11/16 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE:

10/13/2015

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

October 07, 2015

PRINT DATE: 10/13/2015

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

October 07, 2015

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 30, 2015

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

December 30, 2015

8:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley (tmj)

Tennifer Kimmel

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Kollins advised that Mr. Speed contacted her last week requesting underlying DNA data, which was provided as soon as she got it; that recalculations were provided at the last calendar call; and expects Mr. Speed will need a continuance of the trial. Mr. Speed requested a continuance of the trial in order to have an expert to review the DNA data. COURT ORDERED, Trial dates VACATED and RESET in the ordinary course. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Status Check SET regarding trial readiness.

CUSTODY

2/17/16 8:00 AM STATUS CHECK: TRIAL READINESS

3/16/16 8:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

3/28/16 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE:

12/31/2015

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date: December 30, 2015

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

February 17, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

February 17, 2016

8:00 AM

Status Check: Trial Readiness

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

Kollins, Stacy L.

Attorney for State

PRESENT:

Speed, Kevin C.

Attorney for Deft.

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

Williams, Greg Anthony

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed announced ready for trial. State advised it should be ready and that it had not received expert notice from Mr. Speed yet.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

02/23/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

February 17, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 16, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

 $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{s}$

Greg Williams

March 16, 2016

8:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Kollins indicated she received a call from Mr. Speed last week regarding the Clark County School Records he had requested, which Ms. Kollins just received those records, that during a previous hearing March 5, 2016 the Court took the school records acquired by Mr. Speed and his investigator and deemed those irrelevant. She also learned that when this Court denied a motion for the childrens' basis of sexual knowledge, a hearing was had where Mr. Speed and his investigator interviewed those kids out at their schools and those interviews were recorded and have never been produced to the State. Additionally the Child Protective Services (CPS) records were recently dropped off to Chambers for an in-camera review.

Ms. Kollins announced ready for trial, however wanted to make all concerned aware that she is set already for trial in another case in Department 20 the week prior to this trial setting which may continue into the Monday start date of this trial and requested a start date of March 29, 2016. Mr. Speed stated the audio of those two interviews were sent to Ms. Kollins' office yesterday. Court noted it had not had an opportunity to review the CPS records. COURT ORDERED, Trial RESET to March 29, 2016, and DIRECTED Mr. Speed to make sure the copies of the audio recordings had been delivered to Ms. Kollins. Mr. Speed acknowledged and indicated he would confirm the delivery.

PRINT DATE:

03/17/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

March 16, 2016

CUSTODY

3/29/16 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL (FIRM)

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date: March 16, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 23, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

. VS

Greg Williams

March 23, 2016

8:00 AM

Status Check: Transcript

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Shelley Boyle

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney for State

Attorney for State Attorney for Deft.

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following extensive colloquy and argument regarding transcripts outstanding from both the Deft. and State, at the Court's suggestion, the parties agreed to meet for a trail review to exchange the required transcripts. Colloquy regarding the upcoming Motions. Following argument and colloquy regarding constitutional issues, COURT DENIED Mr. Speed's oral request to file a Motion to Suppress on an Order Shortening Time in open court; the State can file an opposition, Mr. Speed can submit the Motion to Chambers with an Affidavit explaining why the Motion is so late.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

03/28/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

March 23, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 28, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

March 28, 2016

8:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Shelley Boyle

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

Attorney for State

Attorney for State

Attorney for Deft. Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE (FOR AN ORDER EXCLUDING IMPERMISSIBLE EVIDENCE)...DEFT'S MOTION TO SEVER COUNTS RELATING TO DIFFERENT VICTIMS...DEFT'S RENEWED MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIMS' ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE

Deft's Motion to Discharge Mr. Kevin Speed as Attorney of Record Pursuant to Nevada RPC 1.16. and Deft's Motion to Suppress Evidence Related to DNA Analysis FILED IN OPEN COURT

DEFT'S MOTION TO DISCHARGE MR. KEVIN SPEED AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD PURSUANT TO NEVADA RPC 1.16

COURT NOTED, Deft's Motion is late. Statement by Deft. COURT ADMONISHED Deft. anything he says may be used against him. Deft. ACKNOWLEDGED Court's admonishment, and continued his statement. Deft. advised he did not wish for Mr. Speed represent him any further, noted issues in receiving discovery, and argued his perceived issues. COURT NOTED Deft. can submit the Motion, though it is late. Ms. Kollins argued Deft, tried to negotiate the deal offered by the State, then declined the State's offer. COURT reviewed Deft's Motion, ORDERED Motion DENIED, and

PRINT DATE:

04/05/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

March 28, 2016

ADVISED the trial will start tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. Colloquy regarding the State's offer to Deft, and the possible sentence imposed if Deft. is found guilty; the State put their current offer on the record. MATTER TRAILED, for Deft. to discuss the offer with counsel.

MATTER RECALLED, Deft's. father, Elder Melvin Samuels present. All parties present as before. Mr. Speed advised following discussions regarding the State's current offer, Deft's best option is to proceed to trial. COURT NOTED, trial begins tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Speed advised the trial is expected to last 5 days, Ms. Kollins advised the State has 10 witnesses, Mr. Speed advised he expects a minimum of 3 witnesses. Colloquy regarding the filing of expert witness notices.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE (FOR AN ORDER EXCLUDING IMPERMISSIBLE EVIDENCE)

Mr. Speed argued in favor of the Motion. Argument by Ms. Kollins. COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED IN PART, DENIED IN PART; GRANTED, as to the domestic violence. DENIED, as to suspicious behavior.

DEFT'S MOTION TO SEVER COUNTS RELATING TO DIFFERENT VICTIMS Mr. Speed argued in favor of the Motion. Argument by Ms. Kollins. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED.

DEFT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE RELATED TO DNA ANALYSIS Mr. Speed argued in favor of the Motion. Argument by Ms. Kollins. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED as to both victims; if something comes up that appears relevant, the parties are to approach the bench first.

COURT INFORMED counsel Jury Instructions are due no later than 1 p.m. Wednesday.

DEFT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE RELATED TO DNA ANALYSIS Mr. Speed argued in favor of the Motion. Argument by Ms. Kollins. Mr. Speed provided a copy to the Court. COURT ORDERED, RULING DEFERRED, pending review.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 04/05/2016

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

March 28, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 29, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

March 29, 2016

9:30 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley (tmj)

Shelley Boyle

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Ross, Katrina Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- Second Amended Information FILED IN OPEN COURT.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS:

Mr. Speed requested suppression of the DNA evidence. Court DENIED Mr. Speed's request.

PROSPECTIVE JURORS PRESENT and SWORN. Introductions and opening remarks presented by the Court, followed by introductions of counsel. Voir dire oath administered. Voir Dire of panel commenced.

Tena Jolley no longer present. Shelley Boyle present. Jury Admonished and excused for recess.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Colloquy regarding Voir Dire of the Venire panel and the Court's procedures. Mr. Speed argued for the dismissal of potential juror's badge #69 and badge #18. Argument by Ms. Kollins. Court DENIED Mr. Speed's request. Colloquy regarding the Venire panel representing a cross section of the

PRINT DATE:

05/10/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date: March 29, 2016

community.

JURY PRESENT.

Void Dire resumed. COURT ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the Venire Panel for the EVENING RECESS, and ORDERED, Trial CONTINUES.

CUSTODY -

03/30/16 9:30 AM TRIAL CONTINUES

PRINT DATE: 05/10/2016

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date: March 29, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 30, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

March 30, 2016

9:30 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

Jill Jacoby RECORDER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Ross, Katrina Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS:

Ms. Kollins indicated there were portions of the 9-1-1 tape that Mr. Speed had raised an objection to and the State had agreed to redact portions of the transcript. Mr. Speed indicated he had not been able to review it and when he did, any objections would be brought to the Court attention. Mr. Speed further raised an objection on the State's Peremptory Challenge was race based per Batson. Ms. Kollins indicated it was Mr. Speed who removed the first African American and that there was no pattern exhibited by the State. Court reminded counsel to be careful in the future.

PROSPECTIVE JURORS PRESENT:

General Voir Dire continued with peremptory challenges and excusals for case. Jury panel selected and sworn; balance of the jury panel thanked and excused. COURT ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the jury for LUNCH RECESS.

JURORS PRESENT:

Second Amended Information read to the jury. Opening Statements by counsel. Testimony and Exhibits presented. (See Worksheets).

PRINT DATE:

05/10/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date: March 30, 2016

COURT ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the jury for the EVENING RECESS. COURT ORDERED, Trial CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

03/31/16 9:30 AM TRIAL CONTINUES

PRINT DATE: 05/10/2016

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date: March 30, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES March 31, 2016

C-13-294607-1 State of Nevada vs Greg Williams

March 31, 2016

9:30 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Ross, Katrina Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Exclusionary Rule INVOKED.

JURY PRESENT:

Testimony and exhibits presented. (See Worksheets.) COURT ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the jury for the EVENING RECESS.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Objections put on the record by counsel. Mr. Speed argued the State's expert conflates two different values, the random match and source probability; the State's reference to skin cells, not the epithelial; and requested a curative instruction. COURT DENIED request. COURT ORDERED, trial CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

04/01/16 9:00 AM TRIAL CONTINUES

PRINT DATE: 05/10/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

March 31, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

April 01, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

April 01, 2016

9:00 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

Debbie Winn

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Ross, Katrina Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- State's Bench Memorandum in Support of Precluding Hearsay Pursuant to NRS 51.255 FILED IN OPEN COURT.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Court advised Defendant of his right not to testify. Juror #10 questioned outside the presence of the other jurors then left the courtroom to join the rest of the jurors. Arguments by counsel put on the record. COURT FOUND Ms. Robertson is an expert in her field and will be allowed to testify.

JURY PRESENT:

Mr. Speed requested that a witness in the courtroom be removed pursuant to the exclusionary rule; witness removed. Further testimony and exhibits presented. (See Worksheets.) State RESTED. Defense RESTED. Court ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the Jury for the EVENING RECESS. COURT ORDERED, Trial CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

05/10/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date: April 01, 2016

04/04/16 9:30 AM TRIAL CONTINUES

PRINT DATE: 05/10/2016

Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: April 01, 2016

April 04, 2016 COURT MINUTES Felony/Gross Misdemeanor State of Nevada C-13-294607-1 Greg Williams

April 04, 2016

9:30 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES PRESENT: Kollins, Stacy L. Rhoades, Kristina A.

Ross, Katrina

State of Nevada Williams, Greg Anthony

Speed, Kevin C.

Attorney for State

Attorney for State Attorney for Deft. Attorney for Deft.

Plaintiff Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY Jury Instructions and Verdict Form settled on the record.

JURY PRESENT

Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Court instructed the jury. Closing arguments by Ms. Rhoades and Mr. Speed. Court admonished and excused the jury for recess. Closing arguments by Ms. Kollins. Clerk administered oath to Marshal and Department Judicial Executive Assistant. Alternates #13 and 14 revealed, thanked, and excused by the Court. At the hour of 1:31 PM, the jury retired to deliberate. At the hour of 3:57 PM the jury returned with the following verdict:

COUNT 1 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 2 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 3 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 4 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: GUILTY

PRINT DATE:

04/04/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

April 04, 2016

COUNT 5 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 6 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: GUILTY

COUNT 7 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 8 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: GUILTY

COUNT 9 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: GUILTY

COUNT 10 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: NOT GUILTY

COUNT 11 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: NOT GUILTY

COUNT 12 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: NOT GUILTY

COUNT 13 LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: NOT GUILTY

COUNT 14 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE: NOT

COUNT 15 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14: NOT GUILTY

Jury polled. Court thanked and excused the jury. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for sentencing; Deft. REMANDED into the custody of the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) WITHOUT BAIL.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

04/04/2016

5/23/2016 - 8:00 AM - SENTENCING

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date: April 04, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

May 23, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

VS

Greg Williams

May 23, 2016

8:00 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

RECORDER: Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacy L.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

PD/Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Speed requested the matter be continued one week to give him an opportunity to review letters written by family members. There being no objection by the State, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 6/13/16 8:00 AM

PRINT DATE:

05/26/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date: May 23, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

June 13, 2016

C-13-294607-1

State of Nevada

Greg Williams

June 13, 2016

8:00 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

RECORDER:

Jill Jacoby

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Kollins, Stacey L.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Speed, Kevin C.

Williams, Greg Anthony

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

DA/Attorney for State of Nevada

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFT. WILLIAMS ADJUDGED GUILTY of COUNTS 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 9 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 (F) and COUNTS 4, 6 and 8 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (F). Court noted it had received more letters of support than any other case in his 22 years on the bench. Mr. Speed presented additional letters. All letters of support were marked as Court's Exhibit 1 (see worksheet). Matter argued and submitted. Statement by Defendant. Court stated there were limitations on what a judge can due as far as minimum and maximum sentences and ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, a \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, a \$3.00 DNA Collection fee, a \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment fee, and RESTITUTION in the amount of \$3,976.80, Deft. SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as to COUNT 1 to a MINIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE; as to COUNT 2 to a MINIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE, to run CONCURRENT with COUNT 1; as to COUNT 3 to a MINIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE, to run CONCURRENT with COUNTS 1 and 2; and with RESTITUTION as to COUNTS 1, 2 and 3, in the amount of \$3,976.80; as to COUNT 4 to a MINIMUM of FOUR HUNDRED AND TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1, 2 and 3; as to COUNT 6 to a MINIMUM of FOUR HUNDRED AND

PRINT DATE:

06/20/2016

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date: June 13, 2016

TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1, 2, 3 and 4, as to COUNT 8 to a MINOR HUNDRED AND TWENTY (120) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE, to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6; with ONE THOUSAND TEN (1,010) DAYS credit for time served; COUNTS 5, 7 and 9 are DISMISSED. Defendant's AGGREGATE TOTAL SENTENCE is a MINIMUM of ONE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY (1,380) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of LIFE in the NDC.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED Defendant to register as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within 48 hours after sentencing. ADDITIONALLY COURT ORDERED, a special SENTENCE OF LIFETIME SUPERVISION IMPOSED to commence upon release from any term of probation, parole or imprisonment.

BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: Following the hearing Court clarified that the above statutory fees are to be included.

PRINT DATE: 06/20/2016

Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: June 13, 2016

Electronically Filed 08/30/2016 12:28:59 PM

TRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
DISTRICT	COURT
	•
CLARK COUN	IT, NEVADA
·	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C-13-294607-1
Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
VS.	(ARRAIGNMENT HELD IN DEPT. LLA)
GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
Defendant.	
RECODE THE HONORARIE MELISA	_) De la garza Hearing Master
INITIAL ARR	
APPEARANCES:	
For the State	JESSICA WALSH, ESQ.,
Torato State.	Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.,
•	Deputy Public Defender
	. "
DECODDED BY: KINDA SCHMIDT COL	IRT RECORDER
RECORDED BT. RIARA SCHWIDT, COC	on neodiver
	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS, Defendant. BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELISA MONDAY, DECE RECORDER'S TRANSCI INITIAL ARR APPEARANCES: For the State:

MONDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2013 PROCEEDINGS THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Greg Williams, C294607. Are you going to be here on the 27th? MR. SPEED: Yes. THE COURT: All right. December 27th, 10:30. All right. Thank you, . 9 sir. (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.) ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber

IKAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

3

1

5 6

7

8

9

10

VS.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

) CASE NO. C-13-294607-1

Plaintiff, DEPT, VIII

(ARRAIGNMENT HELD IN DEPT. LLA)

GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELISA DE LA GARZA, HEARING MASTER FRIDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2013

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

ELISSA LUZAICH, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KIARA SCHMIDT, COURT RECORDER

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2013

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

|| | | | | |

III

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Greg Williams, C294607. He is present in custody. Mr. Speed is here on his behalf. Good morning, counsel.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, your Honor. Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams who is present in custody. This was the time set for the entry of his guilty plea, however, Mr. Williams has informed us that he is deciding to plead not guilty and proceed to trial.

THE COURT: Okay. So we can just set this for anytime next week. Since it's a not-guilty it really doesn't need to be on the special calendar. So do you want me to still --

MR. SPEED: Thursday, the 2nd.

THE COURT: Because of the holidays you want me to give it like --

MR. SPEED: I'll be in court on the 2nd.

THE COURT: -- two weeks?

MR. SPEED: I'll be in court on the 2nd.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's do the 2nd, then.

THE CLERK: At 9:30?

THE COURT: 9:30.

THE CLERK: January 2nd, at 9:30.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Speed.

-2-

MR. SPEED: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

* * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 08/30/2016 01:42:07 PM

TRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CASE NO. C-13-294607-1 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, DEPT. VIII 9 Plaintiff, (ARRAIGNMENT HELD IN DEPT. LLA) 10 VS. GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS, 11 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELISA DE LA GARZA, HEARING MASTER THURSDAY, JANUARY 02, 2014 15 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED 17 18 19 APPEARANCES: CARA LEA CAMPBELL, ESQ., 20 For the State: Chief Deputy District Attorney 21 KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ., For the Defendant: 22 Deputy Public Defender 23 24

RECORDED BY: KIARA SCHMIDT, COURT RECORDER

THURSDAY, JANUARY 02, 2014

2

3

3

4

b

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

..

20

you?

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Greg Williams, C294607-1.

He is present in custody. Mr. Speed is here on his behalf. Counsel?

MR. SPEED: Good morning, your Honor. Kevin Speed for

Mr. Williams. This was the time set for arraignment. The State was in the process of preparing and filing an amended Information.

MS. CAMPBELL: Looks like we did file it already. I will hand Mr. Speed two copies of it.

MR. SPEED: If the Court will give me just a moment.

THE COURT: Sure. You want to go through that with your client? I can trail it for a minute.

MR. SPEED: Just making sure that the number is correct, and it is.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SPEED: I've received a copy of the amended Information.

We'd waive reading.

THE COURT: All right, sir. You understand the charges against

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: How do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: You do have a right to a trial within 60 days. Do you want to waive or invoke that right?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Waive.
2	THE COURT: Ordinary course.
3	THE CLERK: Calendar call is April 9 th , 8:00 a.m. Trial date,
4	April 14 th , 9:30 a.m., Department 8.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
6	MR. SPEED: Thank you, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Speed
8	(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)
9	* * * *
10	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
11	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
12	The state of the s
	1 January
13	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16 17	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16 17 18	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:32:24 PM

1	RTRAN	Streen to Column
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5		CT COURT
6	CLARK COU	INTY, NEVADA
7		\
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	}
11) }
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
13	Defendant.	}
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	AS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY,	JANUARY 22, 2014
16		OF PROCEEDINGS
17	REC	QUEST
18	ADDEADANCEO.	
	APPEARANCES:	· .
19	For the State:	JOHN FATTIG, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
20		
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
22		
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COUR	RT RECORDER

- 1	
1	WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 22, 2014 AT 8:30 A.M.
2	
3	MR. SPEED: Your Honor, I have one other, State v Greg Williams.
4	THE COURT: What page?
5	MR. SPEED: I'm not sure, it doesn't appear
6	THE MARSHAL: I didn't see it on calendar.
7	MR. SPEED: It doesn't appear on calendar. I received a setting slip from the
8	District Attorney's office.
9	THE CLERK: It just didn't make it on the calendar.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. FATTIG: Looks like it was like a late add, maybe, but it didn't make the
12	calendar
13	MR. SPEED: You got it.
14	MR. FATTIG: I do have the file.
15	THE COURT: C294607, Greg Williams.
16	MR. SPEED: He may not be present, Your Honor. We ask the Court to set
17	this on its calendar to continue the trial date.
18	THE COURT: Any objection by the State?
19	MR. SPEED: This was the State's request, Your Honor.
20	MR. FATTIG: Yeah. No
21	MR. SPEED: And we have no objection to it.
22	THE COURT: Oh, you have no objection?
23	MR. FATTIG: We would just ask for a written acknowledgment from the

Defendant.

25

THE COURT: All right. Reset the trial date. Ordinary course, out of custody.

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:37:29 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun & Lamm
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRI	CT COURT
6	CLÁRK CO	UNTY, NEVADA
7)
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	
11		
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
13	Defendant.	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGL	AS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY,	OCTOBER 15, 2014
16		OF PROCEEDINGS DAR CALL
17	CALLIN	DAN CALL
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	MARY KAY HOLTHUS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COUR	T RECORDER

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2014 AT 8:10 A.M.

2

3

4

5

6

_

_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. Gregory Williams.

You ready to go to trial?

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, we're waiting for Ms. Holthus from our office on this case.

MR. O'BRIEN: Similar for us, we have a specialty attorney.

THE COURT: You're waiting for Holthus to come in and defend it?

MR. O'BRIEN: Similar, not the same.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then we can't go through overflows because that one -- that's the one that's going. We'll pass up the rest of calendar calls.

[Matter trailed at 8:10 a.m.]

[Matter recalled at 9.27 a.m.]

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams who is present, in custody. This is the time set for calendar call and the announcement of readiness here. Unfortunately, I am not ready to proceed to trial in Mr. Williams' case. I have another trial pending in Department 3 that was sent to overflow last Friday. We couldn't find an available courtroom so we're going back to Department 3 tomorrow to see if we can have better luck in Department 17 on Friday.

And in addition to that, I have two motions to file.

THE COURT: I don't -- I don't show that he waived his right, but did he waive his right to a speedy --

MR. SPEED: He has.

	II · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	MS. HOLTHUS: What is it, May 27 th ?	
2	THE CLERK: Correct.	
3	MS. HOLTHUS: Thanks.	
4	[Proceeding concluded at 9:29 a.m.]	
5		
6		
7.		
8		
9		
10		
11		
1,2		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual	
22	recording in the above-entitled case.	
23	Jil Jacoby Jil Jacoby	
24	Court Recorder	

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:41:37 PM

1	RTRAN	Stun J. Etunn
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4	DISTRIC	OT COURT
5	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
6)
7	STATE OF NEVADA,	(CASE NO. C294607
8	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
9	vs.	
10		
11	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
12	Defendant.	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	MONDAY, OC	TOBER 27, 2014
15		F PROCEEDINGS
16	ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SE	FEVIDENCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S XUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION
17		DEFENSE EVIDENCE ION FOR DISCOVERY
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	For the State:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.
21		Deputy District Attorney
22	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
23	,	Deputy Public Defender
24	·	
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER

MONDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2014 AT 9:11 A.M.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, Your Honor, Kevin Speed with the Public Defender's office. We represent Mr. Williams who is present, in custody.

These are both Mr. Williams' motions for discovery and a motion in limine to present evidence of the alleged victim's ability to contrive a sexual assault allegation. I understand that Ms. Holthus is going to be the prosecuting attorney in this case, and she's asked for a little bit more time --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: -- to respond to both of these. So we don't have any problem with resetting this hearing for a couple of weeks, unless the Court is --

THE COURT: Two weeks or three weeks?

MR. SPEED: -- ready to rule on the discovery motion.

Two weeks is fine.

THE COURT: Two weeks.

MR. SPEED: If the Court's ready to rule on the discovery motion, I don't see too much opposition to that one.

THE COURT: Let's pass it two weeks.

MR. SPEED: That's fine.

THE COURT: All right.

THE CLERK: November 10th at 8 a.m.

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, just for clarification, is the two-week date for the hearing or is that when you want or response?

THE COURT: I want your response before that.

1	MO JONEO OL
'	MS. JONES: Okay.
2	THE COURT: And then we can argue it in two weeks.
3	MS. JONES: Okay.
.4	THE COURT: The trial's not till May.
5	MR. SPEED: That's correct.
6	THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's pass it 30 days and then your your
7	opposition is due in two weeks, that'll give you an opportunity to respond to it.
8	MR. SPEED: That sounds good. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE CLERK: November 24 th .
11	MS. JONES: Is the hearing?
12	THE CLERK: Yes.
13 -	MS. JONES: Okay.
14	[Proceeding concluded at 9:12 a.m.]
15	
16	
17.	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Jell Jacoby
24	Court Recorder
	·

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:46:37 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun J. Lhum
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4	DISTRIC	CT COURT
5	CLARK COU	INTY, NEVADA
6)
7	STATE OF NEVADA,	() CASE NO. C294607
8	Plaintiff,) DEPT. VIII
9	vs.	
10		
11	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
12	Defendant.	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	MONDAY, DEC	CEMBER 1, 2014
15	TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADMIT	F PROCEEDINGS
16	ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SE	XUAL ASSAULT ALLEGATION
17	•	DEFENSE EVIDENCE ION FOR DISCOVERY
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	For the State:	MARY KAY HOLTHUS, ESQ.
21		Chief Deputy District Attorney
22	For the Defendant:	NADIA HOJJAT, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
23		Deputy Fubile Determen
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER

MONDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2014 AT 9:01 A.M. 3 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, this is Ms. Holthus's case. She came in but she was waiting for Mr. Speed to get here. MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor --7 THE COURT: And I don't see Speed. 8 MS. HOJJAT: If we could trail this. 9 THE COURT: We'll pass it for Speed. 10 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: That's fine. 12 [Matter trailed at 9:01 a.m.] 13 [Matter recalled at 9:49 a.m.] 14 THE COURT: And Mr. Speed still hasn't come? 15 MS. JONES: He has not, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. Present, in custody. Mr. Speed's 17 not here. 18 This is -- we have a motion for discovery. 19 MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, given that Mr. Speed's not here and I'm not 20 the attorney of record, could we possibly pass all these till Wednesday? 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you very much. 23 THE COURT: But if he's not here then, you'll have to argue them.

MS. HOJJAT: Very well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks.

24

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:51:27 PM

1	TRAN	Alm & Comm
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5		
6	DISTRIC	T COURT
7	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
8)
9	STATE OF NEVADA,	()) CASE NO. C294607
10	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. VIII
11	VS.	
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
-13	Defendant.	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, DE	ECEMBER 3, 2014
16	TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADMIT	
17	ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASS	SAULT ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF
18	DEFENDANT'S MOTI	
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	For the State:	DANIEL E. WESTMEYER, Esq.
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		MARY KAY HOLTHUS ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
23	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
24		Deputy Public Defender
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, Court Re	ecorder
		•

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2014, AT 9:00 A.M.

2

3

4

5

_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

THE COURT: Are we ready on Williams; Gregory Williams?

MR. SPEED: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You ready?

MR. SPEED: Yes.

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

MR. WESTMEYER: I'm sorry Your Honor, we're waiting for Ms. Holthus on

this.

THE COURT: Oh sorry.

MR. WESTMEYER: My apologies.

[Matter trailed at 9:00 a.m.]

[Matter recalled at 9:10 a.m.]

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

MR. SPEED: Good morning Your Honor, Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams, who is present in custody. These are our motions for discovery and our motion to admit evidence to show that the complaining witnesses in this case had the ability to contrive their allegation of sexual abuse. I think it would be easiest for the Court this morning, and if pleases Your Honor, to begin with the motion for discovery.

THE COURT: All right, and you're -- of course you'll have *Brady* and statutory will be ordered. Your personal requests are the specific requests will start on page --

MR. SPEED: Page eight of our motion.

THE COURT: Page eight of your motion. Number one, you want the complete Clark County School District School District student files for Tyana Hasan.

MS. HOLTHUS: One through five are all her school records that are not --

THE COURT: Pardon?

MS. HOLTHUS: One -- his request one through five are all for school records that aren't within our possession. If they become in our possession obviously we'll turn them over, otherwise --

THE COURT: How -- why do you need those records?

MR. SPEED: We have to be able to cross-examine the complaining witnesses in this case. The two primary complainants are Tyana and Amia Hasan. The third student that I have listed in item number five is Demarious Hasan. He is the girls' brother who claims to have witnessed some of the allegations or incidents of sexual abuse that the two girls complain about in the house.

By examining their school records we're able to paint -- and in cross-examination of these complaining witnesses, who are all teenagers at this point I believe except Tyana who may be 12. She's going on her teenage years. They're all middle school aged students. We'd be able to paint a more accurate picture of these complaining witnesses as children who can contrive a sexual assault allegation, who have experienced talking about or discussing the kinds of issues that they will be giving testimony on in front of the jury. The State would probably try, in all likelihood, to paint these complaining witnesses as sexual innocence, people who are unable to describe or talk about the kinds of things that they will talk about in front of the jury unless they actually happen. Our defense to that will be if you look at these children's history from their school records, their interactions with their teachers, with their peers, we can show that these aren't the innocent babes that the State will in all likelihood try to present them as being.

THE COURT: It sounds to me like you already have the records --

MR. SPEED: I don't.

THE COURT: -- if you can make that firm of a statement that we're going to show that.

MR. SPEED: Well what happens normally in these kinds of cases, Your Honor, is that after we receive these records from the school district and we see suspensions for fighting or for taking naked pictures and sending them to the boys on the middle school basketball team and things like that, we're able to present the kinds of --

THE COURT: You're just generally saying that that's the kind of information you want. Why can't you use subpoen power to go after them and subpoena them?

MR. SPEED: Because when we issue --

THE COURT: They're really not in the State's control. These aren't like police records or CPS records --

MR. SPEED: Well they're not -- but --

THE COURT: -- they're from the school district.

MR. SPEED: The school district is a government agency and our case law shows -- our case laws reveal that the State, the DAs office, is deemed to be in constructive possession of these kinds of records when they belong to the school district.

In addition to that, when we issue subpoenas to agencies like the Department of Family Services or the school district we're usually met with a reply letter from the DAs office, from the district attorney's office, saying that they will not comply with subpoenas issued by the public defender's office or a defendant in a criminal case, and we have to secure a court order demanding that those agencies

2.

 turn over that information.

MS. HOLTHUS: I have never heard of such a thing. I mean we generally do get them, the DFS records and CPS records, we submit them for in camera inspection. I don't see any reason why he couldn't get a court order for in camera inspection of school records if that's what he wants.

He's looking for a fishing expedition for impermissible character evidence, I mean everything he's articulated here, but assuming -- I don't necessarily -- but he can do a court order and I would ask that you review them and determine if there's anything that's relevant to this case. I don't think any of that is, but.

MR. SPEED: Well how about we do this then. We'll issue a subpoena to the school district. If they return the information to us or if they provide the information to us then the issue is resolved. If they respond with the letter that we've been receiving these last few months then we'll come back to the Court for an order.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. HOLTHUS: And obviously we would get copies of whatever they get. Are you going to do it for in camera inspection or no?

MR. SPEED: Well the school records we'll try to get those immediately. The issue of admissibility and discovery I believe are two different issues. If we receive the school records but there's something in them that the Court might find objectionable then obviously we can't just dive head long into the trial and say this is what we're going to present to the jury.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. SPEED: There would be an objection and all those things would be resolved before trial.

THE COURT: All right, so one through five is denied so that you can seek subpoena through subpoena power to get them, and if there's a problem we'll put -- you can put it back on calendar and we'll deal with it.

MR. SPEED: That's fair enough.

THE COURT: Number six, any and all information from family services.

Yeah you can have family services. You'll have to get them to submit to the Court.

The Court will review them in camera.

MS. HOLTHUS: Okay.

MR. SPEED: Let me prepare and order specifically addressing item number six because again I've dealt with the Department of Family Services, with Child Protective Services, hundreds of times in the last decade. I know that they're going to respond with that letter.

THE COURT: Run it by the prosecutor --

MR. SPEED: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- and then get it to me.

Seven, any medical or mental health or school counseling records.

Okay that's probably part of -- part of five.

MR. SPEED: For item number seven I think I can get counseling records in items one through five if they have met with the school counselors, but if the children have been undergoing any counseling, anything that's been provided by the state for example that's part of their victim witness assistance program, that's where item number seven becomes more pertinent.

MS. HOLTHUS: And that's all privilege. If that's what he's seeking to get through items one through five then I would ask that we do it by way of court order for in camera inspection because school districts, I don't know if they are, but they

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

MS. HOLTHUS: Will do.

THE COURT: Everything except the investigative notes unless they want to

should not be spitting out kids' counseling records pursuant to defense attorneys' subpoenas. And so to be cautious then I would ask that the whole thing be done by way of court order. He can just do a series of orders for in camera inspection to the school district and then way if he wants the counseling records then those come in through -- I mean it's just completely unfair that defense attorney gets the kids' counseling records.

MR. SPEED: Well the counseling records, school records, disciplinary records are three different items again, Your Honor. So I don't want the State to say --

THE COURT: You prepare the order and make them all for in camera inspection and send them directly to the Court.

MR. SPEED: For item number seven?

THE COURT: All of them. One through five; six is coming to the Court, and seven as well. Yeah they all are granted with those caveats.

MR. SPEED: But just so my notes are clear, Your Honor, for one through seven defense will prepare an order, submit a copy to the DA for her review for the agencies in question to submit all of the records to the Court for an in camera review?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SPEED: Number eight, police records, that should be pretty easy. The State can get those from the police department. What we don't have right now in initial discovery I'm certain that Ms. Holthus can obtain from the police department and turn over to us.

turn them over I suppose.

MR. SPEED: Well we need those investigative notes too, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't give investigative notes unless you can show me some case law that says why they're important. Generally notes are --

MR. SPEED: They're going to be relevant to our investigation here, especially if the detectives have talked to the complaining witnesses and the story that they told on the night that they were interviewed or the afternoon that they were interviewed is different from what we have in the final report that was submitted.

THE COURT: Number eight you have is granted, any LVMPD records, photographs, audio recordings and video recordings of event number 1309072553. Thank you.

MS. HOLTHUS: And obviously if I find investigative notes that are exculpatory or any impeachment --

THE COURT: You'll turn them over.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- under Brady or Giglo I'll turn them over.

THE COURT: Number nine, any and all records or information concerning financial benefits, fees or reimbursements, payments for other services for the witnesses are granted.

And information concerning the criminal history or any other federal or state jurisdictions for Anesah Hasan, here's the -- that one's a bit overbroad because --

MR. SPEED: I know they can't provide the NCICs to me. I've dealt with Ms. Holthus and the other members of the special victim's unit before. If they can have it prepared so that I can review it when we have our file review, that will be sufficient.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Granted with that understanding that you don't get a copy but you can look at theirs.

Number 11, evidence to include any DNA analysis or medical reports relating to any medical or forensic examinations conducted on the person or defendant of -- by police officers, detective or staff or police department personnel.

MR. SPEED: Those are buccal swabs --

MS. HOLTHUS: That's fine.

MR. SPEED: -- urinalysis, things like that that were conducted in the detention center.

THE COURT: That's granted. Now they can get them without a search warrant.

Twelve, recordings of any intercepted telephone communications between the Defendant and any party where Mr. Williams is speaking on telephones.

MS. HOLTHUS: He can subpoen a them as well. If I end up subpoen aing them and get -- have them I will turn them over, but he has the same --

THE COURT: He can subpoena them as well.

MR. SPEED: Well we can subpoen them, Your Honor, but the police department ignores those if the DAs office --

MS. HOLTHUS: The jail won't.

MR. SPEED: Or I'm -- the jail, the police department, either one, they're under the offices of the sheriff so if we send a subpoena to the detention center it will be a police department letter that we receive in return.

THE COURT: Get what you can, Ms. Holthus, on that if they're using them and provide them to the Mr. Speed.

24

25

MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah I always provide them if I get them. I just don't want to, with all due respect, have to do his discovery job.

MR. SPEED: Well she's not doing my discovery job. We don't have --

THE COURT: You have the jail. You'll -- you have to have request them if you're going to use them in trial.

MS. HOLTHUS: Right.

MR. SPEED: Well even if she's not going to use to them, Your Honor, if they're --

THE COURT: Then we have a battle.

MR. SPEED: If there are communications where he's talking about the case and the DAs office comes into possession of those, if there are statements made by Mr. Williams I think we're entitled to hear those because whether or not they --

THE COURT: If the State gets them, yeah. What if they don't --

MR. SPEED: Whether or not they become useable at trial will be a different issue that will probably --

THE COURT: But if they don't hear them how are they -- how are they going to know unless they listen to it?

MS. HOLTHUS: I guarantee that if he sends --

MR. SPEED: Yes, she'll listen to them.

MS. HOLTHUS: If he sends a subpoena over there they'll send them to him.

THE COURT: Okay, you do the subpoena. If you have a problem then come back and talk to me.

Thirteen, copies of any intercepted or written communication listened to or made by Mr. William; granted.

MS. HOLTHUS: As to number 13, I'm just asking are -- is defense aware of

23

24

25

something? Is there something I should be looking for?

THE COURT: Sounds like it to me. I'd be looking at all the written communications.

MR. SPEED: I know that letters from the jail are often intercepted. Again, this is based on --

MS. HOLTHUS: I don't know of any, I was just wondering if he knew that.

MR. SPEED: This is based on over a decade of experience and what happens is when we show up at calendar call or at the eve of trial and we're picking our jury a stack of letters from the jail where my client or a former client is begging the complaining witnesses' parents forgiveness for acts unspoken of before end up on my table.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: I don't want that to happen in this case.

THE COURT: All right. All right now the second motion?

MR. SPEED: This is out motion to admit evidence of the complainant's ability to contrive their allegation of sexual assault.

Again, we have two complaining witnesses, two primary complaining witnesses in this case, Tyana --

THE COURT: How old are they?

MR. SPEED: Tyana I believe now is 13 and Amia is 12. Who's the older? Amia is older, so she's 13; I believe Tyana is 12 now.

MS. HOLTHUS: They were 12 and 10 when they disclosed initially --

MR. SPEED: Right.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- in 2013.

MR. SPEED: The disclosures are over a year old at this time and when the

disclosures were made the children were describing incidents that were supposed to have happened at least a year prior to they're finally telling their mother that something has happened. In this case we have an extraordinary set of circumstances in that these are very young children, middle school aged yes, but still children of tender years who but for the State's argument is probably going to be but for the Defendant actually doing the things that he's accused of doing in this case there would be no way for two girls of this age to describe the kinds of species of abuse that they talk about here unless it actually -- unless it actually happened.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We believe that because of their mother, Anesah's job as a sex industry worker, she sold sex toys on line from her home. She participated in the production of pornographic and semi pornographic films inside the home all in front of the children in the children's presence, we believe that that is how these two girls are able, and their brother, are able to describe incidence of sexual abuse with such graphic and particularly gross detail. They did not happen. Mr. Williams is innocent of the charges, but we're going to have a trial where two young girls are going to say terrible things happened to them and we're going to probably have a jury who believes, like the State will try to present, that there's no way for these girls to be able to say these kinds of things unless something untoward happened in the house. Well something untoward was happening in the house. Their mother was irresponsible with how she chose to earn her living in front of the children. And we believe that we should be able to present evidence on that fact because it goes to the credibility of the complaining witnesses in a case where there is no physical evidence, no corroborating witnesses other than the brother who has the same motivation for having Mr. Williams ejected from the house as the two girls do and there's nothing to prove that these incidence of touching for the most part

happened other than the girls' testimony.

MS. HOLTHUS: What he's trying to do is twist impermissible character evidence into a *Summit* argument as to the law. He just wants to throw on that mom is a -- well a slut basically, and she's out there doing all these horrible things. She's a bad person and therefore her kids are bad.

There is actually no evidence -- he's not even really offering you any -- to show that these kids were exposed to any, that they had ever been previously abused by anyone. The child in *Summit* was six years old and she was describing acts of fellatio. That's a far cry coming into -- it might be one thing you come into a jury and how does a 6-year-old know anything about fellatio? But I am not straight faced, in 2014, and argue to a jury that this 12 and 13, or 11 and 12-year-old kid don't know about boobie licken' and even intercourse at this point. I mean these kids are old enough to have a million bases of knowledge.

There is nothing out there. He's just throwing this out there to say I want paint mom as a bad person. These children have never said we saw anything from mom. These children have never said that this is their basis for knowledge. There's no indication of this anywhere in the record. It's simply him wanting to put on this impermissible character evidence of this mom.

MR. SPEED: Well it's not going to be in the record at this point, Your Honor. That's why we're asking the Court to allow us to present the evidence. Obviously the State and the police department don't know about these things yet because they didn't live in the home with Mr. Williams and Anesah, Ms. Hasan. These are things that came to our knowledge on information, and believe, after speaking with our client. We have a clear more accurate picture of what was going on in the house when these girls were making -- made their allegations against our client

than the State and the police department do. There's no way for the police department, the detectives who investigated the case, to have known what Ms. Hasan was doing when she showed up at their office saying my ex-boyfriend has been molesting my children.

THE COURT: Except for your client which is self-serving statements of course.

MR. SPEED: Well it's going to be, Your Honor, but --

THE COURT: Except for your client's statements you have no proof. Huh?

MR. SPEED: It's going to be, but how else would anyone know what was going on in this house if you don't ask the Defendant, the complaining witnesses, or the complaining witnesses' mother?

THE COURT: Well if the witnesses said -- said my parents told me that I had to watch this pornography, there you got witness talking to a counselor or something or somebody, we know about that.

MR. SPEED: Right. Those aren't the facts in this case.

THE COURT: But you don't know. What you're -- no, what you're saying is this is what happened in the house because the Defendant told me it happened that way. We don't have proof of that.

MR. SPEED: Well we're not going to have proof of that, Your Honor. We can't prove something like that in the future unless we're allowed to present evidence of it, and no one knows what happens in the house. No one knows what happened between the complaining witnesses and Mr. Williams except the three of them. What we're trying to do in our defense, and what the Supreme Court has said we're entitled to do, is present as full and complete of a defense as possible under the constitution, under Mr. Williams' right to receive a fair trial, his right to due

process of law. And the *Summit* case says that if we're not using a complaining witness or a wittness' in the case prior sexual history for a general allegation of chastity or unchastity we can show that the complainants -- child complainants in this case have the ability to contrive their allegation of sexual abuse using the circumstances under which they were growing up, or like in the *Summit* case in particular, a prior incident of sexual abuse that had been suffered by the complainant in that case.

Here Ms. Holthus says that she's not going to straight faced present evidence in front of a jury that says children know about fellatio but they have a million bases of knowledge for intercourse and boobie liking. Well I think the distinction between those three acts of sexual conduct are a distinction in degree only. It's not something that we can say well if you know about fellatio but you don't know about intercourse then obviously sexual abuse must have occurred in the house because there's no way for a child to know about one thing as opposed to the other. That's a disingenuous argument from the State and I'm surprised that Ms. Holthus is making it this morning.

What we're trying to do is show, again, that these children had the ability to make up these allegations because they are patently untrue and the reason that they could --

THE COURT: I want to read the Summit case --

MR. SPEED: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Give me a week --

MS. HOLTHUS: Let me just clarify because he doesn't understand my argument. What I'm saying is in the *Summit* case, when the Supreme Court allowed the evidence of the prior sexual conduct of the victim, it was a 6-year-old

 child who was alleging that this perpetrator committed the fellatio on her. They sought to introduce evidence that she had previously been molested by someone who also -- well had her commit fellatio on him I guess really is more accurate -- but it was the same thing with the fellatio. And so because -- what they said is because the child -- the similarity of the acts and the age of the child -- six is pretty much -- should be a sexual innocence.

MR. SPEED: That's the language that is used in some -- let me direct the Court --

THE COURT: I'm going to read Summit and I'll have a decision on that in a week.

MR. SPEED: Your Honor, 101 Nev. P.159, specifically at page 164: A defendant must be afforded to show by a specific incident of sexual conduct that the prosecutrix has the experience and ability to contrive.

MS. HOLTHUS: And --

MR. SPEED: And that's from the Summit case and it's as clear as anything.

MS. HOLTHUS: And just to be clear, Summit was rape shield. I'm also talking about impermissible impeachment evidence. He does not have a nexus at this point --

THE COURT: No I understand. I mean --

MS. HOLTHUS: -- that anything she did had any impact on the kids. And certainly before any of that went to a jury I think he needs to prove that by some evidence.

MR. SPEED: Well let's be clear, Your Honor, this isn't an impeachment issue. I'm not talking about impeaching the complaining witnesses or their mother. To say that this is an impeachment argument or I'm trying to introduce

11

13

24

25

///

///

[Proceeding concluded at 9:33 a.m.] ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:54:55 PM

1	1 DIDANI	Alun to Chum
,	1 RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
2		
3	3	
4	4	
5	DISTRICT COU	RT
6	CLARK COUNTY, N	EVADA
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, Vs. GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS, Defendant. BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SM. WEDNESDAY, DECEMBRI	
6 7 8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROD DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDE ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL A AND THEORY OF DEFENS	NCE OF ALLEGED VICTIM'S ASSAULT ALLEGATION
9	APPEARANCES:	
20	1 of the otate.	MARY KAY HOLTHUS, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
22		KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECO	RDER
- 1	- 41	

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2014 AT 8:17 A.M.

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

Are we ready on that? I know it's a specialty team.

MR. CICHOSKI: Page 4?

MS. KILLER: We're waiting for Ms. Holthus.

MR. CICHOSKI: Holthus? Not here.

MS. KHAMSI: Oh, yeah, Mr. Speed is going to be on his way.

THE COURT: Who is? Speed?

MS. KHAMSI: Mr. Speed.

THE COURT: All right. Have a seat. Thanks.

[Matter trailed at 8:17 a.m.]

[Matter recalled 8:45 a.m.]

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

Did you have two cases or are you just here on the Williams case?

MR. SPEED: Just the one, Your Honor, the Williams case. We're here to hear the Court's ruling on our motion --

THE COURT: Well, 1 --

MR. SPEED: -- to admit other evidence.

THE COURT: You know Summit, Summit is pretty informative, actually, it goes against your argument at one point. Testimony centered around a prior similar sexual experience. You see, we're not in that situation. There wasn't a prior sexual experience that a child had, it was the child -- the mother sold sex toys, if you will. The purpose of the testimony in Summit was to challenge the victim's credibility by dispelling any inferences which the jury might have drawn from a six year old who

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

was unable to describe occurrences. And the youth in this one is like 12 now; isn't that right?

MR. SPEED: That's right.

THE COURT: All right. Page -- well, under footnote -- or not footnote, Headnote 4, this Court must balance the probative nature versus the prejudicial effect. Really what the mom sold was, that's -- I think it's admissible. You know, but the question is going to be how far can you go in arguing that that influenced the little girl?

MR. SPEED: Only so far -- and my thought about this was along this wise, Your Honor, only so far as the State would be willing to present these complaining witnesses as young girls who wouldn't have any idea about the things that they're describing but for the Defendant's actual guilt. We want to be able to say that, no, no, no, these aren't 10-, 11-, 8-, 9-, 10-year-old little girls who've only been watching Dog with a Blog and My Little Pony for all of their lives. They've actually seen their mother participate in --

THE COURT: Dog with a Blog?

MR. SPEED: Yeah, I understand it's pretty popular. They've seen their mother participate in these --

THE COURT: Never heard of it.

MR. SPEED: -- kind of activities and do these kind of things, say these kind of things when she's working on the computer and they've been present to hear it and have absorbed some of it to the point where if a policeman --

THE COURT: Well, I think that's your position. You should argue that if that's what you feel like you should argue.

MR. SPEED: If we can.

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 THE COURT: I don't think it violates the rape shield law.

MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I think more accurate -- the rape shield we gave you more as by analogy that there is protection for a person's sexual history.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HOLTHUS: It's more of a relevant inadmissible character evidence.

That's where it kind of comes in. It's kind of other bad acts by this witness, if you will, moral or whatever.

THE COURT: Moral. But, you know, it is what it is.

MS. HOLTHUS: Well, but there's a lot of things that are that we don't get to the jury because of the prejudicial value.

THE COURT: I don't --

MS. HOLTHUS: What I would at least ask you to do Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HOLTHUS: Like we do in *Miller* and *Summit*, have a hearing outside the presence to determine if there's any relevance. I don't disagree on some level that if the mom is doing things in the home and the kids are watching and they have -- get some sexual knowledge from that, that's the only arguable relevance and that's what he's arguing. But if there is no evidence of that, then it's purely thrown to the jury to just make mom look bad and it's impermissible character evidence. So I would ask that prior to --

THE COURT: So --

MS. HOLTHUS: -- the trial --

THE COURT: Let me ask him, Mr. Speed, who do you have you want to put on the stand?

MR. SPEED: Well, they're going to have to present the complaining

witnesses, he has the right to confront them.

THE COURT: You plan --

MR. SPEED: So it would be the children themselves.

THE COURT: Well, you still have to prove some elements if you're going to go this -- this is like -- and I don't mean at trial, necessarily, but in a hearing, you might have to put somebody on. But it's a lot like self-defense. If you're going to say self-defense, you have to admit you were there and you pulled the trigger. But you were afraid for your life. And if you don't take the stand, I -- you know, they pretty much have to take the stand and admit or you have to admit as defense attorney that he was there.

MR. SPEED: No, this is a little bit different from a self-defense type case because the crimes in question are a little bit different. In a sex offense -- or in a self-defense case, we have a complaining witness who has visible signs of some sort of conflict. He was punched, he was shot, he was stabbed by the defendant. And the defendant is claiming yes, I did stab this person, I did punch this person --

THE COURT: Right

MR. SPEED: -- I did shoot this person but I did so because that person posed a threat to me. In a sex assault case, the only thing that we have to show that the Defendant may or may not have done something is the word of the complaining witness. That's what distinguishes this from that kind of a defense.

THE COURT: So are you going to grill the -- is your intent to grill her -- MR. SPEED: Grill? Whoa, Your Honor, that's a difficult choice of words. I'm dealing with little children here. I won't be grilling them as much as I would be trying to get to the truth.

THE COURT: About the sex toys or do you want to just argue with the

mother about that and bring in the evidence through the mother?

MR. SPEED: It's not a grilling about the sex toys issue, Your Honor. I don't want the jury to be taken down that kind of a primrose path, this isn't a trial about how good of a mother, the mother of the complaining witnesses is. And I know that the State is very sensitive to that kind of thing. Our only point in introducing anything related to what mom does for a living is to show that these girls can, they are able to contrive a story of sexual abuse. Not because the Defendant did anything but because this is the kind of thing that they see in their home every night.

THE COURT: Yeah, but who are you going to talk to about that? Are you going to really talk to the girls about it when they're on the stand or are you going to talk to mom about it?

MR. SPEED: It probably wouldn't be too many questions, Your Honor. It's one of those things where if we ask --

THE COURT: That you want to argue to the jury, look, mom was selling these toys --

MR. SPEED: Right.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- and the kids watched it. Or they didn't, we don't know.

MR. SPEED: Right. And -- and --

MS. HOLTHUS: That's my take --

THE COURT: See, I don't know how you can have a hearing about this.

Who are you going to put on?

MS. HOLTHUS: We do --

MR. SPEED: The witnesses can -- the witnesses can --

MS. HOLTHUS: We do it all the time.

MR. SPEED: Hold on. Hold on, Mary Kay.

The witnesses could be dishonest. This is one of those things where if one of the girls says I've never seen my mom do anything like that, then it would be us trying to impeach her using collateral evidence.

THE COURT: Doing selling --

MR. SPEED: We wouldn't be allowed to do that.

THE COURT: Sell -- see, what I'm concerned with is you're going to get into the sexual acts rather than what mom was doing.

MR. SPEED: Right. If the children are dishonest about it, then we would probably be stuck with it at trial. The questions would be: Doesn't your mom do this? Or doesn't your mom do that? Haven't you seen this kind of thing? Haven't you heard --

MS. HOLTHUS: See --

MR. SPEED: -- this kind of language? If the child says -- or both of the children say no, we haven't, then we're stuck with that.

THE COURT: See, and I don't -- I don't know that we can put the kids on for that.

MS. HOLTHUS: We absolutely can. Because here's -- like I said --

THE COURT: Want to put -- no, I mean at a pretrial hearing.

MS. HOLTHUS: We do it. We do it like --

THE COURT: Do you want to do it?

MS. HOLTHUS: -- in *Miller* and some hearing --

MR. SPEED: Well --

MS. HOLTHUS: -- well, it could be his --

MR. SPEED: It's our call, Your Honor. And --

MS. HOLTHUS: It's my turn. I was quiet for your turn, please.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPEED: Well, the Court is asking if we want to do it and this is our motion.

THE COURT: I'll come back. I'll --

MR. SPEED: I don't think it's necessary to do that.

THE COURT: Well, they do.

MS. HOLTHUS: Here's what I'm saying is, he's --

THE COURT: And the Supreme Court has cautioned us -- is cautioning the courts in these types of cases that you may have to do a hearing. I want to hear from the State.

MS. HOLTHUS: He is saying upon information and belief, he's just throwing that -- I don't know. I don't know what mom's engaging, I don't know what's going on in the home. He hasn't presented any evidence, though, to suggest that he wants to show it for their ability to contrive, which is really a credibility. Really what he wants to do is say that mom is a whore and she's exposing these kids to this lifestyle --

MR. SPEED: Well, I object to that, that's not what I want to do.

MS. HOLTHUS: That --

MR. SPEED: And no one would call --

MS. HOLTHUS: I'm --

MR. SPEED: -- the complaining witness's mother in this case a whore or slut or anything like that.

MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I'm telling you --

THE COURT: She was selling -- she was selling things. You're going to try to paint a picture of mom that she's selling these things and it has affected these little girls.

11

10

12

13

14 15

...

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLTHUS: My infor -- my understanding is it's more than just selling sex toys, it's a whole lifestyle that only becomes relevant, if it's relevant at all, if the children were aware of it and it someone contributed to the allegations in this case. Otherwise, it's purely impermissible character evidence, which is definitely left out. So what we do in *Miller* hearings and whatnot is you don't just get to go in front of the jury and say: Isn't it true that your mom was having sex with a strange man and you stood by the edge of the bed? And then -- I mean, that's out there as though there's something there and you can't unring that bell regardless of what the kid says.

THE COURT: Balancing the probability versus the prejudicial effect, I believe a hearing is necessary. And we'll have a pretrial hearing. The trial is currently set for June 1st, the hearing could be March or April, your choice.

MR. SPEED: Let's go March.

THE COURT: March?

MR. SPEED: The sooner, the better, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: Do you want it on a regular --

THE COURT: No, we'll do it on a Thursday.

THE CLERK: On a Thursday?

THE COURT: That we don't have a trial.

THE CLERK: March 12th at 8 a.m.

MS. HOLTHUS: Court's indulgence.

MR. SPEED: And it'll be our responsibility to secure these witnesses?

THE COURT: No, State will.

MR. SPEED: There may be some people, then, that we'll have to call, Your

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You meet with Ms. --

MS. HOLTHUS: I'll call my people, he calls his people. I mean, I'm going to call -- I've got the --

THE COURT: I want you to meet with them.

MR. SPEED: Well, all the people are the same --

THE COURT: They're all the same people.

MR. SPEED: -- that's the thing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLTHUS: Actually, you know what, Judge, I'm going to file a second brief regarding the hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLTHUS: Comparing it to Miller and what the standards are --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- and how it's done. And then we can address at that point. if that's all right with everyone.

THE COURT: And meet with Mr. Speed. If you have a conflict on you want somebody subpoenaed and she's not going to subpoena them, then you -- you'll have to put it -- we'll put it back on.

The hearing's the 12th?

THE CLERK: Actually, it's going to be the 5th, March 5th.

THE COURT: March 5th. And then the end of February, in the middle of February, we will have a status check on whether you got the witnesses you want, Mr. Speed. And that you guys have met together and we're ready for the hearing. That will just be a status check and you can come in and say, yeah, we're ready for

1	MS. HOLTHUS: Thank you.		
2	MR. SPEED: Thank you, Your Honor.		
3	THE COURT: Thanks.		
4	[Proceeding concluded at 8:57 a.m.]		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	ATTEMPT TILL IN THE LIBERT Day to built, and someonth, bronnessing of the middle friend		
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual recording in the above-entitled case.		
22	Jil Jacoby Court Recorder		
23	Jil/Jacoby / Court Recorder		
24			

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 02:58:47 PM

1	RTRAN Stum & Lamin
2	CLERK OF THE COURT
3 -	
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	OLATA COOTTI, NEVADA
8	STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff, DEPT. VIII
10	vs.
11	}
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,
13	Defendant.
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2015
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS CHECK: AVAILABILITY OF WITNESSES FOR 3/5 HEARING
17	STATUS CHECK. AVAILABILITY OF WITHLOOLS FOR 3/3 HLANING
18	APPEARANCES:
19	For the State: JAMES SWEETIN, ESQ.
20	Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant: JUSTIN R. GLASGOW, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
22	Deputy 1 dolic Defender
23	
24	
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2015 AT 8:07 A.M. 2 THE COURT: C294607, page 3, Gregory Williams. MS. JONES: Your Honor, that's Ms. Holthus's case. 5 MR. GLASGOW: We have a special PD as well coming down for that case. THE COURT: I think we need to have a meeting with the PD and the DA to 7 get people here on time, mostly with the PD. Your guys are never here. 8 MR. GLASGOW: I'm here, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I know you are, but --10 MR. GLASGOW: I've got a couple of these --11 THE COURT: -- somebody needs to talk to -- go get me my phone. It's on 12 my desk. I'll call the PD. 13 [Matter trailed at 8:07 a.m.] 14 [Matter recalled at 8:41 a.m.] 15 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. 16 MR. SWEETIN: I'm not sure who defense counsel on this is, I'm covering this for Ms. Holthus. It was --17 18 THE COURT: It's a status check on witnesses that are going to be available 19 for the March 5th hearing. 20 MR. SWEETIN: It's my understanding that our witnesses are available. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. GLASGOW: Your Honor, it's Mr. Speed's case, I don't know if he's --23 he's been notified that we have court this morning, he should be on his way. 24 THE COURT: You know what? I'm going to have to have a talk with Phil. I

can't -- it just seems like more and more I'm saying to the PDs, where's your --

1	where's your attorneys? Where's your attorneys? DAs are sitting over there
2	waiting. But I've got attorneys here. And that's how we practice law is showing up
3	in court.
4	MR. GLASGOW: I understand, Your Honor, I mean this is
5	THE COURT: I'm not trying to be ugly about this.
6	MR. GLASGOW: Yeah. And I' know it's
7	THE COURT: But they've got to the specialty teams have got to be here.
8	They know that I start at 8:00. They should come here first.
9	MR. GLASGOW: I understand that, Your Honor, there just might be multiple
10	departments he might have to be at this morning.
11	THE COURT: All right. So the hearing is going forward on March 5 th . Just let
12	Mr. Speed know that. They're available. We'll have that March 5 th hearing.
13	MR. GLASGOW: I'll let him know. He can put it on calendar if there's any
14	kind of problem he has with it.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	MR. SWEETIN: Thank you, Judge.
17	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: 8:00 still?
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	[Proceeding concluded at 8:43 a.m.]
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Jill Jacoby
24	Court Recorder
25	

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 03:02:09 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun to Chum
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRIC	T COURT
6		NTY, NEVADA
7		
8	STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. VIII
0	vs.	
1		
2	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
3	Defendant.	
4	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
5	WEDNESDAY	, MAY 27, 2015
6		<i>F PROCEEDINGS</i> OAR CALL
7	OALLIND	AR OALL
8	APPEARANCES:	
9	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER
		.1 .

1 WEDNESDAY, MAY 27, 2015 AT 9:08 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. MS. KOLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor, Stacey Kollins on behalf of the 5 State. 6 THE COURT: Number 1. MR. SPEED: And Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ready to go to trial. Number 1, Monday, 9:30. 9 MR. SPEED: Can't go, Your Honor. We are not ready to go to trial. We just 10 received some very important DNA discovery that we need to have reviewed by an expert. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. SPEED: According to the report that we received initially, there's genetic 14 material that has been found --15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. SPEED: -- that we cannot explain without the assistance of an expert. 17 THE COURT: All right. Has he waived his right to a speedy trial? 18 MR. SPEED: He has. 19 THE COURT: All right. Ordinary course. 20 MR. SPEED: If it's acceptable with Court, the State and I were looking at the second week in October, I believe it's October 17th. MS. KOLLINS: October 12th. 22 23 MR. SPEED: October 12th. 24 III

11

21

25

1	THE CLERK: October 12 th ? October 12 th , 9:30.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Good.
3	THE CLERK: Calendar call is October 7 th .
4	[Proceeding concluded at 9:09 a.m.]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Jil Jacoby Jil Jacoby
24	Court Recorder
25	

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 03:06:31 PM

1	RTRAN	Alm & Lamm
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRICT	COURT
6		
7	CLARK COUN	IY, NEVADA
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
10	Vs.	
11	\	
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
13	Defendant.	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS	E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, OC	OTOBER 7, 2015
16	TRANSCRIPT OF CALENDA	
17	OALLIO)	
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT F	RECORDER

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2015 AT 7:52 A.M.

2

3

.

5

^

٠

7

U

9

10

11

12

13

14

. .

15

16

17

18

40

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

It doesn't appear, Mr. Speed, that this is overflow eligible.

MR. SPEED: It is not, Your Honor. That was one of the messages that I received from Ms. Kollins, they are not prepared to go to overflow. And one of the reasons that I am not announcing ready this morning is I will need a start later than the -- I think it's October 12th start that we're originally set for right now. There are some new witnesses that have to be interviewed that Mr. Williams has just made our office aware of.

If the Court is going to be in another trial --

THE COURT: Yeah, for the next two or three weeks.

MR. SPEED: -- that's probably good for us. That's good for us.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: That gives me more time and I'm sure it gives the DA more time also. I believe she's in a trial in another department, in Judge Leavitt's department, beginning of the 19th.

THE COURT: When's our next status -- or our next criminal stack?

THE CLERK: January.

THE COURT: There's got to be one before December, isn't there?

THE CLERK: December is your civil.

THE COURT: Is there a criminal stack between now and the end of the year?

THE CLERK: October 12th through November 13th.

THE COURT: January. Yeah, let's set it in January.

THE CLERK: Calendar call will be January 13 at 8 a.m. Your jury trial will be

right to argue. He understands that's withdrawn.

1	THE COURT: There are 53 counts in this this and he's rejected two counts.		
2	MS. KOLLINS: That is correct. Two 2 to 20s that has been rejected, Your		
3	Honor.		
4	THE COURT: All right. And that's no longer available?		
5	MS. KOLLINS: No, sir.		
6	THE COURT: All right. So when would you like it set for trial?		
7	MS. KOLLINS: I can do the 11 th of January or I can do end of February.		
8	THE COURT: Yeah, we can do it the11th		
9	MS. KOLLINS: And there's DNA so it'll take the week. Okay.		
10	THE CLERK: Your calendar call will be December 30 th .		
11	THE COURT: Make sure it gets done.		
12	THE CLERK: At 8 a.m. Your jury trial is January 11 th at 9:30.		
13	MS. KOLLINS: Can we move that calendar call till after the first of the year?		
14	THE COURT: That's when I'm hearing calendar calls.		
15	MS. KOLLINS: Okay. That's fine.		
16	THE COURT: Just let me just make notes, please.		
17	MS. KOLLINS: Sure. Thank you.		
18	THE COURT: Thanks.		
19	[Proceeding concluded at 8:12 a.m.]		
20			
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual		
22	recording in the above-entitled case.		
23	Jill Jacoby Jill Jacoby		
24	Court Recorder		

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 03:09:40 PM

Ì		4.0
1	RTRAN	Alm to Chum
2	·	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4		
5	DISTRIC	T COURT
6	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
7)
8	STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	
1		
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
13	Defendant.	
4	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
5	WEDNESDAY, DE	ECEMBER 30, 2015
16	l ·	F PROCEEDINGS OAR CALL
17	CALEND	AR CALL
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER
		-1-

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2015 AT 8:22 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams. MS. KOLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 MR. SPEED: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams who is present, in custody. 7 MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, Mr. Speed contacted me last week and told me he wanted the underlying DNA data. We had not had that discussion before. I provided that to him as soon as I could get it. The recalculation was submitted to 10 him last calendar call. I don't think he can be ready if he can't endorse an expert. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Are you asking for a continuance? 12 MR. SPEED: I am, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Gosh. 14 MR. SPEED: I have to have one. I don't know DNA from Dutch cleanser. I 15 have to have an expert look at this stuff and tell me --16 THE COURT: All right. All right. 17 MR. SPEED: -- what we'll be talking about for a week and a half. 18 THE COURT: All right. Ordinary course. 19 THE CLERK: Calendar call March 16 at 8 a.m. Jury trial, March 28 at 9:30. 20 THE COURT: And February -- around the middle of February, we'll have a 21 status check on your trial readiness. THE CLERK: February 17th at 8 a.m. 22 23 THE COURT: Thanks. And I need Mr. Speed to approach the bench.

[Bench Conference Begins]

You can come, too.

24

	!		
1	MS. KOLLINS: Can I double check this trial date really quick, please, with my		
2	calendar?		
3	THE COURT: Yeah.		
4	MR. SPEED: This one?		
5	[Bench Conference Concludes]		
6	[Proceeding concluded at 8:24 a.m.]		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual recording in the above-entitled case.		
22	Quel Jacoby		
23	Jil/Jacoby /		
24	Court Recorder		

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 04:08:09 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun to Comme
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRIC	T COURT
6	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
7	,	
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	
1 1)
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	Defendant.	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, FE	BRUARY 17, 2016
16		F PROCEEDINGS TRIAL READINESS
17	STATUS CHECK.	TRIAL READINESS
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER
		1-

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2016 AT 8:22 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams, page 9. MS. KOLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor, Stacey Kollins on behalf of the 4 5 State. MR. SPEED: And Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams, Your Honor, who is present, 6 7 in custody. 8 THE COURT: Are you ready for trial? MR. SPEED: We should be ready by next month. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MS. KOLLINS: State --THE COURT: You've got all of discovery, you'll be ready to go. Trial date is 12 March 28th, calendar call March 16th. Thank you. 13 MS. KOLLINS: I should be ready. I've not received his expert notice. 14 THE COURT: He'll provide you whatever is statutory, I'm sure. 15 MR. SPEED: Certainly, Your Honor. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 [Proceeding concluded at 8:23 a.m.] 18 19 20 I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual 21 recording in the above-entitled case. 22 23 24 25

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 04:11:51 PM

1	RTRAN	Stun & Chum
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRICT C	OURT
6		
7		1 1400 42 1001 1
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	
11		
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,	
13		
14	1	
15	-	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PI CALENDAR	
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RE	CORDER

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 16, 2016 AT 8:26 A.M.

 MS. KOLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor, Stacey Kollins on behalf of the State. Your Honor, if I might --

THE COURT: C294607, Gregory Williams.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, Your Honor, Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams who is present, in custody.

MS. KOLLINS: If I might approach. Mr. Speed contacted me last week and said this Court had ordered Clark County School District records. I just received those. I went back and looked at a previous hearing, however, that was had on March 5th of 2015 where this Court took school records acquired by Mr. Speed and his investigator and deemed those irrelevant. I'm a little confused by the juxtaposition between those two orders.

The second thing for today is I learned that when this Court denied a motion for the children's basis of sexual knowledge, a hearing was had where Mr. Speed and his investigator interviewed those kids out at their schools. And those interviews were recorded. Those have never been produced to the State.

THE COURT: Mr. Speed.

MR. SPEED: Your Honor, we sent the audio disk of our interviews with the two complaining witnesses to Ms. Kollins's office yesterday. They should be either in her mailbox or en route to her office right now.

MS. KOLLINS: I also dropped with your office the CPS records which Mr. Speed indicates you ordered.

THE COURT: Yeah, I have to look at them. I have not had an opportunity to review those.

that today. MS. KOLLINS: Okay. And if I don't receive the audio tapes by the end of the week, I will just e-mail the department, because I did not receive them yesterday. THE COURT: All right. Make sure that's done, would you? MR. SPEED: I sent them thousand miler, I'll check on them when I return to the office. 7 MS. KOLLINS: And the only other -- the only other thing I need to tell the Court, if for some reason my other trial is going to go longer, I will contact you by Friday. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MS. KOLLINS: It's the best I can do. 12 THE COURT: Stay in touch with Mr. Speed as well. 13 MS. KOLLINS: Sure. MR. SPEED: And what the Court has our school records now? These are 14 school district --15 16 MS. KOLLINS: These are the ones that you sent me an e-mail saying the 17 Court ordered their dissemination. 18 MR. SPEED: I see. 19 MS. KOLLINS: Those are not in my custody, but as a friend of the court, I 20 obtained them as to not delay this trial any further. 21 You made a ruling previously that the records, the school district records that were obtained by Mr. Speed were irrelevant and you were not going to 22 23 disseminate them. So. 24 111

25

III

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
2	MS. KOLLINS: Thanks.		
3	[Proceeding concluded at 8:30 a.m.]		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual		
22	recording in the above-entitled case.		
23	Jell Jacoby Jill Jacoby		
24	Court Recorder		
25			

Electronically Filed 09/16/2016 04:14:47 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun to Comm	
2		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	·		
4			
5	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7			
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C294607	
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII	
10	vs.		
11			
12	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,		
13	Defendant.		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23, 2016		
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT		
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the State:	STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.	
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney	
21		KRISTINA A. RHOADES, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
22			
23	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender	
24		· -	
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER		

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23, 2016 AT 8:26 A.M.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

THE COURT: And then we put on calendar for Mr. Speed and Ms. Holthus another matter.

MS. KOLLINS: Kollins.

THE COURT: Oh. Why did I think it was Ms. Holthus?

MS. KOLLINS: This --

THE COURT: She's in the e-mail chain at some point, I think.

MS. KOLLINS: You know, this case was hers previously.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, Your Honor, Kevin Speed for Greg Williams, bar number 8895. Mr. Williams is present, in custody

THE COURT: All right.

MS. KOLLINS: Stacey Kollins and Kristina Rhoades on behalf of the State. 5391.

MS. RHOADES: 12480.

THE COURT: All right. This was placed on calendar, I believe, to address the issue of the transcripts of the interviews.

MR. SPEED: Right.

MS. KOLLINS: That's correct. My understanding -- first of all, when I looked back on this case and there was a motion for basically a *Summit* hearing and not knowing that there were other issues surrounding that when we pulled that transcript I learned that there was a whole issue about the children being interviewed at the school, et cetera. We brought that up at calendar call.

THE COURT: Right. And I had a chance just to look through the transcript in

front of Judge Smith about that.

MS. KOLLINS: Right. And back on -- I discovered and I think we addressed this at calendar call a week and a half ago. On March 15th of 2015, Mr. Speed was supposed to have this transcript. Well, I didn't get a copy of this till Friday. I have no transcripts. This is something he's going to use to impeach at trial. And it's virtually --

THE COURT: You can't -- I mean, let's face it, I don't think -- I think we can all agree you can't use the disk. It would be difficult to use the disk to impeach somebody at trial.

MR. SPEED: Right. Right.

THE COURT: So we need a transcript.

MS. KOLLINS: Right. So I don't have -- I mean, this is his investigation, his evidence, it should not -- the onus should not be on us to have it transcribed by Monday. I don't have anyone to do that. So that needs to be --

THE COURT: How long are these interviews?

MS. KOLLINS: An hour and 32 minutes and -- or an hour and 17 minutes and 32 minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Speed, what's the plan?

MR. SPEED: I can probably get our disk transcribed by Monday, that shouldn't be much of a problem.

THE COURT: 1 --

MR. SPEED: But we also have three State's witnesses' interviews that need to be transcribed. I only have a CD containing the recorded audio interviews of those. And I need those. So I'm glad the department raised this issue with us because both of us have some work to do.

-3-

MS. KOLLINS: Certainly.

is that correct?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPEED: Tuesday, yes. We have -- I have a number of motions on calendar for Monday at 8:00.

THE COURT: Well, Judge Smith will be back Monday.

MR. SPEED: He won't be back Monday?

THE COURT: He will be back.

MR. SPEED: He will be back Monday.

THE COURT: So he'll be able to hear all of those motions. If there's an issue with transcribing or something like that, you can raise that in front of Judge Smith on Monday.

MR. SPEED: Okay.

THE COURT: But as of right now we'll anticipate that you'll be going forward and everything will be getting transcribed. Obviously, once each thing is done, turn it over to the other side right away.

MR. SPEED: Right.

THE COURT: And I don't know that there's anything else we needed to go over today.

MR. SPEED: I have an additional motion to suppress and since we have three on Monday, this one is of a constitutional dimension. I was going to ask Judge Smith to issue an order shortening time so that we can have that one added to the slate of motions that we have on Monday.

Is it possible to have this Court do that or?

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. Why, I mean, it's way -- it's --

MS. KOLLINS: It's past calendar call.

THE COURT: -- way outside of the rules to be filing a motion to suppress this late.

. MR. SPEED: Yes, but --

THE COURT: Now, I can't speak for Judge Smith, but I think he probably would say it's untimely.

MR. SPEED: Right.

THE COURT: So unless it's the result of new discovery or something like that, I don't know why a motion to suppress would just be being filed now after the calendar call, outside of the 15 days.

MR. SPEED: We make a point in our motion that it is of a constitutional dimension, and we are allowed to raise those at any time prior to trial.

MS. KOLLINS: Well, and my position is this, these last slew of three motions, while I'm responding to them in writing, those were also untimely, those were filed after calendar call, those were not filed with an order shortening time, those were just placed on the Court's calendar without notice to the State that they would be on in five business days. You know, we get 10 days to respond, that's the rule. You don't file motions in limine after calendar call. You don't walk in here and say oh, it's of constitutional dimension so I get to do it at the last minute.

THE COURT: Well, I just note all motions to suppress pretty much are of -- I mean, it's going to be the Fifth Amendment, it's going to be the Fourth

Amendment --

MS. KOLLINS: Right.

THE COURT: -- it might be the Sixth Amendment. So they all concern, for the most part, constitutional issues.

MR SPEED: Right.

THE COURT: You know, there's nothing unique about a motion to suppress having -- being, you know, of constitutional dimension.

III

III

MR. SPEED: Well, the fact that we can raise them at any time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you know what I'm saying? All of them are, they're all Fourth, Fifth, or Sixth, mainly Fourth and Fifth Amendment issues. So, you know.

MS. KOLLINS: And I apologize for interrupting. I can just tell you that this e-mail came out at 11 minutes to 5 p.m. last night. There was no attachment of the motion. I'm objecting to the Court issuing an order shortening time for, you know, two days for us to respond in writing. So, and I agree with the Court that it's untimely. If Judge Smith wants to allow it, though.

THE COURT: Well, my inclination is to, you know, again, I can't speak for Judge Smith. I think he would say it was untimely. So here's the deal. Without reviewing it, I'm not going to say you can file it in open court this morning and direct the State to file an opposition. You're certainly welcome to submit it to chambers with your affidavit stating why it's so late and why you need it on an order shortening time. And then that will be reviewed. But just on the spur of the moment to say, oh, yes, you can file it after calendar call on Wednesday, I'm not inclined to do that. Like I said, you know --

MR. SPEED: Then we'll prepare the affidavit.

THE COURT: And I think that's probably consistent with what Judge Smith would do.

MR. SPEED: Like the transcript, that's easy enough, Your Honor, we'll do that.

1	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. Judge Smith will see you guys on
2	Monday.
3	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.
4	[Proceeding concluded at 8:34 a.m.]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Jil Jacoby
24	Court Recorder
!	d

Electronically Filed 10/03/2016 04:56:03 PM

1	RTRAN Atum X. Communication				
2	CLERK OF THE COURT				
3					
4	DISTRICT COURT				
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
6	CLAIM GOUNTI, NEVADA				
7	STATE OF NEVADA,				
•) CASE NO. C294607 Plaintiff,				
8	DEPT. VIII				
9	VS.				
0					
1	GREGORY ANTHONY WILLIAMS,				
2	Defendant.				
3	\\				
4	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
5	MONDAY, MARCH 28, 2016				
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER COUNTS				
7	RELATING TO DIFFERENT VICTIMS DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF				
18	ALLEGED VICTIMS' ABILITY TO CONTRIVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT				
19	ALLEGATION AND THEORY OF DEFENSE EVIDENCE DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE				
20	(FOR AN ORDER EXCLUDING IMPERMISSIBLE EVIDENCE)				
21					
22	SEE PAGE 2 FOR APPEARANCES				
23					
24					
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER				

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	For the State: STACEY L. KOLLINS, ES Chief Deputy District Attor		
3	·	KRISTINA A. RHOADES, ESQ.	
4		Deputy District Attorney	
5	For the Defendant:	KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ.	
6		Deputy Public Defender	
7 8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14		•	
15	·		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		·	

MONDAY, MARCH 28, 2016 AT 8:09 A.M.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: What about Gregory Williams, are we ready on the Williams case? Page 6.

MR. GLASGOW: Your Honor, that's Mr. Speed's case, I apologize.

THE COURT: All right, we'll wait till Speed gets here.

MS. MISHLER: And, Your Honor, either Ms. Kollins or Ms. Rhoades will be appearing as the DA on that case.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GLASGOW: Thank you.

[Matter trailed at 8:10 a.m.]

[Matter recalled at 8:57 a.m.]

MR. SPEED: C294601, Gregory Williams.

MS. KOLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor, Stacey Kollins and Kristina Rhoades on behalf of the State.

MR. SPEED: Good morning, Kevin Speed for Mr. Williams who is present, in custody. My cocounsel for the trial will be Katrina Ross. I represent her for the record. She will be appearing tomorrow.

THE COURT: The motions are a bit late.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Trial's to begin in the morning.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor.

THE COURT: He wants to talk to you, Kevin.

MR. SPEED: I believe he wants to talk to the Court, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry, Your Honor, but I haven't spoken in 30 months.

THE COURT: You would have all of this discovery over there and I know

nobody here would do it, but they would go through your stuff when you're not in

24

^

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

that cell and they'd say -- and then they call their detective and they say, hey, guess what? Williams just confessed to me. If you'll help me, I will testify against Mr. Williams.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that point.

THE COURT: That's why discovery -- I would never let discovery go over to the -- to the prisoners when I was --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- a public defender because they'd always turn around bite us in the patootie.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, everybody else besides anybody has a client as Mr. Speed and one other public defender gets their discovery. And everybody has it in the unit.

THE COURT: I'm telling you --

THE DEFENDANT: Everybody.

THE COURT: -- it is not advisable, but go ahead. Keep going.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: If you want.

THE DEFENDANT: This is -- this is beyond ineffective counsel. I have never seen an investigator in my case, never talked to an investigator. And if there is one, I've never been told what he has done or done -- and or did or did not do.

On Friday, March 25th, 2016, that was just this Friday, at approximately 2 p.m., Mr. Speed called me and told — at my unit on the blue phone, the attorney phone, and told me I have until 3 p.m. that same day to accept the deal that he did not know the details of. He wasn't even sure what the details were on the deal that I had to accept by 3 p.m., one hour later. All right.

 This goes beyond the scope of ineffective counsel. He -- when I sit there and tell him things of my case, he negates them and tells me straight out, no, you're not going to use this. No, we're not going to investigate this. No.

THE COURT: Well the attorney has the right. The -- that's why we have attorneys. Attorneys have the right to control the trial in the courtroom.

THE DEFENDANT: The --

THE COURT: That's their right. You haven't gone to law school. You don't -you haven't tried other cases. Mr. Speed is one of the most educated trial attorneys
we have. One of the better trial attorneys. He's tried more cases than most people
have, this type.

THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: It takes a specialty and he's there.

THE DEFENDANT: He refuses everything I've said. Every single thing.

Total, in total. Everything. You can't tell me that everything I say is totally negative, not possible. And then you just refuse to even get it or look for it. I've had my people call and he never answers any calls back. Finally, I think he answered one out of the entire two and a half years. Sent him a letter, he ignored that. Sent him evidence, he ignored that. He has a history of this conduct with a lot of inmates and inmates sit there and turn around and terminate him because of this conduct.

Because he will not let you participate in your own trial or defense. Basically he just wants you to take a deal or he sells you a case of there's no hope. There's nothing, you're doomed. And that what he has been doing is throwing negative, it's doomed, you're over. Either that or take this deal.

THE COURT: Sometimes, Mr. Williams -- I don't know the facts of the case. Sometimes that's all you've got is a defense attorney.

3

6

/ 8

a

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

THE DEFENDANT: Well I would like a track attorney and him to be dismissed and I have this motion right here to sit there, submit to you today.

THE COURT: You can submit whatever you'd like. It's a bit late, but.

THE DEFENDANT: I know. I've been trying my best to sit there and work with him, but he will not work with me. He just say keeps telling me no, no, no, to everything.

MS. KOLLINS: If I might, Your Honor. Very briefly.

THE COURT: Yeah. State.

MS. KOLLINS: Mr. Williams waived to take a deal two years ago. He's tried to negotiate that deal to get something better. He backed out of that deal. That deal's not on the table anymore. The deal that they want is not on the table. There's DNA in this case, there's a kid. They've known that. We had calendar call two weeks ago. I'm not obligated to sit on a case for three years, get these kids all ready for trial and give him something better. So that's kind of where we are.

MR. SPEED: I'm sorry to interrupt, Your Honor. With the Court's permission, may I be at ease for a moment, I have to make a few notes in the file.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SPEED: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. The motion to discharge Kevin Speed as attorney of record, even though it was filed today, State -- State hasn't seen the motion. It just follows what Mr. Williams just said. But I'm not inclined to do it. I'm inclined to start the trail in the morning at 9 -- 9:30. I hope we can get started at 9:30.

MS. KOLLINS: Does that mean --

THE COURT: You're entitled to a good lawyer and Mr. Speed's one of the best. You're entitled to a zealous -- a zealous representative and he is a zealous

o

•

representative. And he has an investigator and we're going to go to trial starting in the morning. I mean, you waived to take a deal couple of years ago and you decided not to and that's your right. They're not going to make a better offer or any -- I don't see any offer.

MR. SPEED: While the Court is discussing negotiations on the record, the offer that was conveyed to Mr. Williams at the beginning was to plead guilty to two counts, two Category B offenses with the State stipulating or not opposing concurrent time between the two counts. Afterward, that increased to stipulate to two counts Category B offenses. Stipulate to a term of imprisonment of 8 to 20 years with the State asking for consecutive time between the two counts. I believe that that was diminished somewhat after communications with Ms. Kollins and Ms. Rhoades to two counts, stipulate to 8 to 20, right to argue. After our brief exchange of emails on last Friday after Ms. Kollins completed her trial in the other department, I believe it was in Department 20, we started negotiation again. And there was some confusion about the final state of the State's offer.

I would ask the State to place that on the record so that Mr. Williams is clear about what it is that we're either rejecting or deciding on between now and 9:30 in the morning. Or --

THE COURT: All right. And --

MR. SPEED: -- if that offer is off the table completely.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. The lewdness with a child under the age of 14, the range of punish of that is?

MS. KOLLINS: Ten to life, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ten to life. And sexual assault with a minor under 14?

MS. KOLLINS: 35 to life, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. SPEED: Those are both correct, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I have a --3 THE COURT: So he's looking at 28 lewdness counts which would be 280, if convicted, 280 years to life, 280 lifes. And the other, 875 years on the low end. 6 THE DEFENDANT: 1 --7 THE COURT: You don't want -- didn't want the 8 to 20. And I understand, 8 you don't -- I'm not trying to talk you into this. I'm just -- let me hear what, if the -- if the State were to offer today, what would you offer today? 10 MS. KOLLINS: Today after gearing these kids up for trial, I'd offer him a 10 to 11 12 life. THE COURT: One 10 to life? 13 MS. KOLLINS: I mean, here's the situation, Your Honor --14 THE COURT: And he's looking at -- if -- a thousand years to life. 15 MR. SPEED: Your Honor, my advice to him would be if those are his 16 17 options --THE COURT: You go talk to him. Don't talk out here. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Can I ask --19 MS. KOLLINS: I just want to -- I just want to make a record. Because it 20 sounds like we've been disingenuous in our exchange. We have not. He waived up 21 for a deal before the DNA came back. We have DNA in this case all over this kid. 22 So giving him two 8 to 20s was a gift. 23 THE COURT: All right. So right --24

MS. KOLLINS: So --

25

Raise your hand, Mr. Sanders, please.

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

made that representation this morning that there is DNA all over this kid. I would

MR. SPEED: -- Ms. Robertson, the DNA Analyst who says and the State has

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

2425

disagree with that, but depending on what she says, I don't see us calling any more than three, potentially.

MS. KOLLINS: And they have not filed a witness notice.

MR. SPEED: We have filed a witness notice, Your Honor. It's on file --

MS. KOLLINS: Your expert --

MR. SPEED: -- with the Court.

MS. KOLLINS: -- notice you filed?

MR. SPEED: I didn't say anything about an expert.

MS. KOLLINS: Well.

THE COURT: He didn't say anything about expert. We'll deal with that as we get to it.

All right. Tomorrow morning at 9:30.

MS. KOLLINS: All right.

MR. SPEED: All right. Now as far as our pretrial motions, there's a couple of things. The Court is familiar with the interview that I and my investigator conducted with the two complaining witnesses at Roy Martin Middle School. We have been able to have a transcript of that interview produced. The State has been provided with a copy of it. I think it's appropriate at this point to provide Your Honor with one because the contents of this interview have a direct and significant bearing on our renewed motion to allow Mr. Williams to present evidence of the Complainant's ability to contrive a sexual assault allegation. And if I may approach, I do have a copy of that for Your Honor.

MS. KOLLINS: And as long as it remains a Court's exhibit and doesn't get marked and get back to a jury --

THE COURT: It won't get back.

MS. KOLLINS: -- in some fashion.

THE COURT: I can't --

MR. SPEED: Also during --

THE COURT: -- rule on it until I read it, but.

MR. SPEED: Also during that interview, I believe it was Tyana was asked to draw her understanding of the floor plan of her apartment. I do have a copy of that. I'll give that to the State now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SPEED: We can begin with the motion in limine for an order excluding impermissible evidence. I did receive a copy of the State's opposition there. I believe that we're in agreement on one of the two points. That is that the State should not be allowed to introduce any evidence of any allegations of past domestic violence committed against the mother of the Complainant, Aneesah Hasan.

As far as Item Number 1, what we're asking the Court for an order excluding any testimony about suspicious conduct occurring some six months or possibly a year before September of 2013, the key incident in this case. In their opposition, they do cite that the charging document has a timeframe that begins in September of, I believe, 2011. And on that, then I do believe that it weakens our motion, the position that we stand on there significantly.

But as far as Count 2 -- or Item Number 2 in our motion, the State does concede that any introduction of evidence pertaining to domestic violence or allegations of domestic violence would be inappropriate unless Mr. Williams somehow opens the door to that.

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, I understand what the parameters are regarding domestic violence. The child is going to say she was scared to tell. And we don't

have to delve into why she was scared to tell. As to --

THE COURT: How old is she?

MS. KOLLINS: She's 12 now.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: One's 12 and one's 14, I believe, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KOLLINS: If I could finish. As to the prior conduct of the Defendant carrying that kid in a room away from the other kids, it made the other children suspicious. I absolutely disagree with that. Because that is conduct that is within our timeframe. Because Mr. Speed's going to come in here and say people were home when this happened. Well, they were home, but he secluded that girl. So, you know, that is part and parcel of our case in chief and our evidence and our burden. So I disagree with the characterization that that can't come in as prejudicial. That is observation of things that happened in the home and he's going to come in here and say, well everybody was home, nothing could have happened. So as to the domestic, I get it, but as to the other, absolutely disagree.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: And perhaps I wasn't clear on that, Your Honor. We are in effect conceding Item Number 1.

Item Number 2 I believe that the State made clear in its opposition that they would not delve into any allegations of domestic violence.

I would want to -- I want to put the Court on notice here that if the mother, Aneesah Hasan testifies, there is a 9-1-1 call where she makes statements to the dispatcher about him having, he'll kick my ass, or he's been abusive before. If that testimony comes out or if that evidence is played for the jury, we'd ask that

21

22

23

24

25

those portions be redacted and that this Court, on the heels of this Court entering an order, granting in part and denying in part the motion in limine. Denying as to Item Number 1, granting as to Item Number 2, except if the situation arises where Mr. Williams opens the door.

MS. KOLLINS: Well, and as to the 9-1-1 tape. I don't think that that necessarily implies prior domestic violence. Just he's going to be so pissed, he's going to act out and he's going to react. So I don't think that implies previous domestic issues.

MR. SPEED: I believe the statement is something to the effect, if he's done this before, he's been abusive, is there anyway somebody can come here because I know he's going to beat my ass. Or something like that.

MS. KOLLINS: I'll listen to it again.

THE COURT: You listen to it, but it is what it is. The jury has the right to hear the entire case. Unless --

MR. SPEED: Except if -- except if there is a prior bad act mentioned.

THE COURT: Unless the prior bad act has nothing to do with it and has been ruled on.

MR. SPEED: And it does not. Any allegations of domestic violence against the mother of the Complainants doesn't have anything to do with our case.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

MS. KOLLINS: No, sir.

MR. SPEED: That's it on that one.

THE COURT: Okay. Now what?

MS. KOLLINS: So is it granted as --

MR. SPEED: Granted in --

4

MS. KOLLINS: -- to domestic violence --

THE COURT: Granted. Granted.

MS. KOLLINS: -- or denied as to the suspicious behavior?

THE COURT: Yes, the suspicious behavior is admissible.

MR. SPEED: The second is our motion to sever counts relating to the two complaining witnesses, Your Honor. We believe that these allegations do not form a common plan of scheme and that the allegations made by Amia are not or would not be cross-admissible with the allegations that were made by Tyana. And we would submit it on our filing there.

MS. KOLLINS: And the State absolutely disagrees. We have new legislation where other sexual conduct is admissible for propensity. Moreover, these two kids were in the same house, same residence, same offender. And as Mr. Speed knows by the information he elicited in his own interviews that the same conduct was committed on both Tyana and Amia. However, because that -- the touching the breasts was not disclosed regarding Tyana earlier, that's not charged in the information. But they would absolutely by cross-admissible.

MR. SPEED: Well because, and just briefly in opposition to that, Your Honor, because there is new legislation, the Supreme Court has not overruled *Petrocelli*. We still have controlling case law with respect to the admission of prior bad acts and whether or not two acts, even though they're similar, they may bear some similarities to one other, whether or not they constitute a common plan or scheme. And in this case it's clear that the two acts do not. In order for the State to show that these are a common plan or these acts constitute a common plan or scheme, they have to give proof or show proof that in the commission of one act, the Defendant had the specific intent to commit the other act at some later point in time. And

there's no way that they can show that based on what we've heard from in our interviews with the two complaining witnesses. So we would ask the Court to grant our motion.

MS. KOLLINS: Our response to that is that's an absolute convolution of the law. There's no requirement that I prove that if I have sex acts committed on one kid, I have to prove that at that time he has the intent to commit or create a common scheme and plan regarding a second victim. That is not the law. That's a convolution of the state of the law, honestly. I'm moreover disappointed that we're entertaining these after calendar call --

THE COURT: They're not timely, but I was off last week. And so that's why I made sure they were on today. And I agree with the State that they will be allowed. I'm not going to sever the victims. I'm denying the -- that motion.

MR. SPEED: Third, Your Honor, we've asked the Court to allow us to admit evidence of the Complainant's ability to contrive a sexual assault allegation under *Summit*.

The Court indicated earlier that it had not had an opportunity to read the transcripts or listen to the audio CD that we submitted earlier. It is clear, however, that the two girls did know, or at least one. The older child did know -- had first-hand knowledge of her mother's employment as a sex worker. She knew that her mother was a porn star. In fact, she said as much in our interview with my investigator in front of the vice principal of her middle school. We asked what mommy does for work. Her answer was, she's a porn star. And she told us what porn star meant.

So we believe that based on that, based on the children having knowledge what their mother does or did at the time, we believe that they would have the ability to contrive something like this.

We want to prevent the State from making a sexual innocent argument which is actually what Detective Flink did when she was investigating the case in her interrogation of Mr. Williams. She tried to use the idea of, well, how could an 11 year old make up something like this if it didn't happen? An 11-year-old girl doesn't know about these kinds of things. Our argument in opposition to that is, yes, they do. These particular 11 year olds do know about this kind of thing.

THE COURT: You've argued this before.

MR. SPEED: Right.

THE COURT: And I --

MR. SPEED: And the Court said that we could raise a motion after it's seen the interview.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. KOLLINS: And my position is this, you had ten days to revisit this for a motion to reconsider back in March of '15. There's no way we should be entertaining this now. The child that he speaks about that knew that the mother was involved in some pornography, she's the one without the DNA. So she's not the one with the DNA. So we're talking about two counts of lewdness for sucking her breasts and that just undermines the whole case. I mean, it's not relevant. We haven't had a hearing. The first -- the child with the DNA on her body does not know anything about what mom does. And, again, we've already heard this motion and it's been previously denied.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: Then perhaps we should revisit the motion to sever if the State is conceding that the child who makes the allegations who does not have what the State believes is DNA all over, but does have knowledge of mom's history in the sex

22

23

24

25

industry or her employment in the sex industry, these two cases, the two allegations should not be tried together because we have two significantly different -- differently situated complaining witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KOLLINS: And I disagree. They are close in time and place --

THE COURT: You know, it's close in time and place and I'm going to allow that in. Thanks. See you in the morning at 9:30.

MS. KOLLINS: You're going to allow the pornography questioning in? Or you're going to keep the cases together?

THE COURT: I'm keeping the cases together.

MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Are you going to allow him to question on the second victim having a basis of knowledge of sexual -- I mean, we haven't even really had a hearing. We haven't had this kid in here to talk about what she knows. This --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPEED: We have the transcript from the interview, Your Honor. It's very clear what the children know.

THE COURT: This is the one -- is that the one where you snuck into the school?

MR. SPEED: I didn't -- hold on. I see the Court's laughing about that one. We didn't sneak --

THE COURT: They thought you were --

MR. SPEED: -- into the school.

THE COURT: Not necessarily, but they thought you were a police officer because you showed a badge.

MR. SPEED: They should not have thought that, and we went through --

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

THE COURT: Shouldn't have but --

MR. SPEED: -- that with Ms. Holthus.

THE COURT: -- you didn't do anything to clear it up.

MR. SPEED: I presented my badge that says Deputy Public Defender. They made a copy of it. I spoke to not only the assistant principal but the principal as well with my investigator. Both of us had badges. They made copies of both and we showed those to Your Honor.

And to get back to the pressing issue here, we aren't asking to be allowed to just ask questions willy-nilly about what these girls know. The way that we were left after our hearing last year was that we were not allowed to question these young ladies about their ability to contrive. And the Court denied our motion on that.

Seeing now that we know that they did have knowledge of mom's employment in the sex industry --

THE COURT: You say they. You keep saying they. And --

MR. SPEED: At least one. At least one of the children had knowledge of mom's employment in the sex industry. We should be allowed to question them about that in order to present the most complete --

THE COURT: Not them. That's --

MR. SPEED: One of them. At least one of them about that to present the most complete defense that's available to us under *Summit*. Or at least the State should be prevented from making a sexual innocent argument.

MS. KOLLINS: Okay. He did that interview prior to coming into Court last time so nothing has changed. The only thing that's changed is he's been forced to transcribe it. The child had the same knowledge and it was previously denied on

days.

′

exactly the facts we have here. Nothing is --

MR. SPEED: Because the Court hadn't heard the transcript.

MS. KOLLINS: I didn't interrupt you, Mr. Speed. It's going to be a long four

THE COURT: He tried to.

MR. SPEED: Right.

MS. KOLLINS: Really?

He had this information the last hearing. He knew that it was only one kid. And Your Honor denied it because it's inappropriate. There is no causal connection between seeing pornography and reporting getting your breasts licked.

MR. SPEED: The causal connection that we're trying to bring to the Court's attention is the complaining witnesses, or at least one, to be specific, their ability to contrive a sexual assault allegation. Or to prevent, inversely, to prevent the State from saying or witnesses from the State from saying that these girls are -- were 11 and 12 years old at the time. There's no way that they could describe incidents of sexual abuse this way unless the defendant actually did these things to them. Children of these tender years are sexually innocent. They don't know about this kind of thing unless they're describing something that happened to them. Under --

MS. KOLLINS: Again --

MR. SPEED: -- Summit, the Supreme Court had said that a Defendant has the right not to introduce evidence that speaks to their chastity or their sexual character, but to introduce evidence of their ability to contrive something like this so that the State doesn't present a case to the jury that says, well, ladies and gentlemen, the only way that an 11- or a 12-year-old girl would know about something like this is if it actually happened. Our defense to that is, no, that's not

MS. KOLLINS: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm not opposed to holding that off to listen to what is being said. Because 52 counts, there's going to be a lot of testimony.

MR. SPEED: If the Court would allow me to point its antenna in this direction, the State would probably try to make some sort of sexual innocent argument here. And, again, I know that in her interrogation of Mr. Williams, Detective Flink asked him questions in order to elicit a confession from him that made lots of hay about these wouldn't know how to do something like this. How can you deny these things have happened when I've got an interview with an 11-year-old girl who says that this happened, and that happened, and that happened? Eleven-year-old girls don't know how to describe things like that unless you're guilty of doing it. And it's part and parcel of a detective's investigation into a suspect, or interview with a suspect.

What we're trying to prevent is the State from making that kind of interrogation technique the underlying theme of the trial here by presenting Tyana and Amia, or at least in the situation, Amia — Amia, sexual innocence. People who don't know how to make up an allegation like this unless it actually happened to them.

MS. KOLLINS: And, Your Honor, I would point you to one Tyana doesn't know anything about it. And when you read the statement by Amia, she knows her mom does pornography, but she also says, if you read her statement, that everything she knows about body parts that don't get touched is from fifth grade. So she's had Sex Ed already.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KOLLINS: So that is also an equal explanation for her basis of knowledge under *Summit*.

analysis. We believe that this motion touches and concerns a constitutional right, a

25

23

24

25

very important constitutional right that Mr. Williams has. I believe that Detective Flink was at least misleading, at worst outright dishonest with Judge Sciscento when she applied for the warrant to secure his buccal swab or secure his DNA sample in the detention center. I would have filed it last week during our calendar call. Judge Adair was sitting in for the Court and did not allow us to file it at that time. Because, again, this is a motion that touches and concerns a constitutional issue, we believe that we are on firm footing with our case law in that we can file it at any time prior to trial or during trial when we realize that something like this is happening. And --

THE COURT: File it.

MR. SPEED: -- if I may approach,

THE COURT: File it and I'll --

MR. SPEED: -- I do have it.

THE COURT: -- look at it.

MR. SPEED: The State has received a copy of it and Ms. Kollins signed off on the receipt of copy, but acknowledged in --

THE COURT: File it and I'll --

MR. SPEED: -- signing it, that she would disagree with the --

THE COURT: -- look at it.

MR. SPEED: -- any order shortening time.

MS. KOLLINS: Yeah.

THE COURT: File it and I'll look at it.

MS. KOLLINS: Mr. Speed never applied for an order shortening time, even though we were here last week.

THE COURT: All right. Just file it and I'll look at it.

MS. KOLLINS: 1 -- did -- Mr. Speed, did you also provide the Court a copy of

the search warrant that you were --2 MR. SPEED: Yes. MS. KOLLINS: -- attacking? MR. SPEED: The application for the search warrant. MS. KOLLINS: Well the application, the warrant that was signed, and the return, I think would probably be appropriate. 6 MR. SPEED: You got, included in the motion, Your Honor, as an exhibit is the 7 application and the return. 9 THE COURT: All right. MR. SPEED: I believe it would be unnecessary to include the warrant 10 because obviously Detective Flink did receive the buccal swab. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. [Colloquy on a separate case, Case Number C290115] 13 MS. KOLLINS: And, Your Honor, you heard that we may need through 14 Monday, right? 15 16 THE COURT: Yeah. MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Thank you. 17 18 THE COURT: We'll get through it. MR. SPEED: I will send the Court -- before you guys leave, I'll send the Court 19 another copy of the questions that the Court asked us the last time that we 20 appeared here for trial with Ms. Ross, coincidentally, if we had questions that we 21 would like the Court to ask the venire panel before we begin. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. SPEED: I do have a copy of that. I'll email it to the Court's law clerk. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25

1	MS. KOLLINS: Are you going to share that with me, Mr. Speed?				
2	THE COURT: The State has that as well, if you want to submit questions.				
3	MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.				
4	[Proceeding concluded at 9:43 a.m.]				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual				
22	recording in the above-entitled case.				
23	Jill Jacoby J				
24	Court Recorder				

TRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C294607 6 Plaintiff, DEPT. VIII 7 VS. 8 9 GREG ANTHONY WILLIAMS, 10 Defendant. 11 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 TUESDAY, MARCH 29, 2016 14 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **JURY TRIAL - DAY 1** 15 **VOLUME I** 16 APPEARANCES: 17 18 STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ. For the State: Chief Deputy District Attorney 19 KRISTINA A. RHOADES, ESQ. 20 **Deputy District Attorney** 21 KEVIN C. SPEED, ESQ. For the Defendant: 22 KATRINA M. ROSS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders 23 RECORDED BY: SANDRA PRUCHNIC, COURT RECORDER 24 TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGELSON, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER 25

Volume I - Page 1

WITNESS INDEX

'	· ·		•
2	STATE'S WITNESSES	VOLUME	<u>PAGE</u>
3	ANEESAH HASAN		٠
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades		38
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed	.	55 64
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades Examination by the Court (Jury Questions)	11 <u>.</u> 11	64 73
6	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Speed	ij	74
7	JAMES MOODY		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins		80
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Ross		91
9	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	H H	94
10	Recross-Examination by Ms. Ross	II	96
11	KAYLA MOODY		
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades		99
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed		109
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades		113
13	Recross-Examination by Mr. Speed	1	114
14	Examination by the Court (Jury Questions)		115 116
	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Rhoades	и . Н	116
15	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Speed Examination by the Court (Jury Questions)		117
16	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Rhoades	ii	117
'	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Speed	ï	118
17	Further Follow-up Examination by Ms. Rhoades	II	119
18	TYANA HASAN		
19	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins	Ш	7
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed	Ш	44
20	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	III 	56
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Speed		61
	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	.	64 65
22	Jury Questions by the Court	 	65 66
22	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	111 111	66 67
23	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Speed Jury Questions by the Court	111 	68
24	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins		69
	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Speed		71
25	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	III	72

Volume I - Page 2

1	STATE'S WITNESSES	VOLUME	PAGE
2	AMIA HASAN		
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades	III	75
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Ross		96
4	Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades		108 109
5	Recross Examination by Ms. Ross		111
J.	Jury Questions by the Court		111
6	Further Recross Examination by Ms. Ross		11,
7	DEMARIUS HASAN		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades	Ш	112
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed	Ш	119
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades	III	125
9	Recross Examination by Mr. Speed		127
10	Jury Questions by the Court		127
	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades	Ш	128
11	CASSANDRA ROBERTSON		
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins	Ш	129
	Direct Examination (Cont.) by Ms. Kollins	IV	28
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed	IV	45
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	IV	89
, -	Recross Examination by Mr. Speed	IV	101
15	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	IV	106
40	Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Speed	IV	109
16	Examination by the Court [Jury Questions]	IV.	109
17	Follow-Up Examination by Mr. Speed	IV	110
	Examination by the Court [Jury Questions]	١V	111
18			
19	JESSICA FLINK	IV	113
	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades	IV	121
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Speed Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades	١V	122
24	Redirect Examination by Mr. Recross Examination by Mr. Speed	ίV	123
21	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Rhoades		124
22	dittier Redirect Examination by Ma. Wildados	. •	- -
23	TYLER BURGESS		
23	Direct Examination by Ms. Rhoades	IV	126
24	Cross Examination by Ms. Ross	IV	132
25		·	•

1	STATE'S WITNESSES		VOLUME	PAGE
2	THERESA VERG	ARA		
3		mination by Ms. Kollins	· IV	134
ں 	Cross Exar	mination by Mr. Speed	IV N	150
4		xamination by Ms. Kollins	IV IV	152 153
5		on by the Court [Jury Questions] Examination by Mr. Speed	ίV	154
6	GRISELDA CAM	IPBELL		
7		mination by Ms. Kollins	IV	155
	Cross Exa	mination by Ms. Ross	IV	167
8		EXHIBIT INDEX	·	
9		L/(11011 111021		DAGE
10	NUMBER		VOLUME	<u>PAGE</u>
11	STATE'S EXHIBITS			
40	2	Audio CD 9-1-1 Tape		53
12	3	Buccal Swab of Gregory Williams	 	135 136
13	4	Sexual Assault Kit of Tyana Hasan Buccal Swab	· III	145
	4A	— -·	;;; 	145
14	4B	Vaginal Swabs Rectal Swabs		145
15	4C 4D	Oral Swabs		145
-	4D 4E	Finger Swab	iii	145
16	4E 4F	Underwear	III ·	145
17	4G	Paperwork	III	145
''	4H	Debris	HI	145
18	41	Miscellaneous	111	145
	5	Age Chart - Tyana Hasan	Ш	9
19	6	DNA Chart: Vaginal Swabs -	III	151
20		Epithelial/Sperm	111	150
	7	DNA Chart: Rectal Swabs -	Ш	156
21		Epithelial Fraction DNA Chart: Rectal Swabs -	[]]	156
22	8	Sperm Fraction	•••	
23	10	DNA Chart: Stain 1	Ш	165
		(Underwear - Epithelial)	111	105
24	11	DNA Chart: Stain 1	Ш	165
25	12 and 13	(Underwear - Sperm) Charts of Stain 2 (Item 1.6.2)	IV	33
		Volume I - Page 4		

1	NUMBER		VOLUME
2	14 and 15	Results (Item 1.6.3)	IV
3	9	Chart of Fingernail Clippings	IV
4	16	Cassandra Robertson's	IV
5	1	Full Report Map of Juan Garcia	IV
6	17	Apartment Complex Campbell's Documentation	IV
7 ·		of SCAN Exam	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	:		
15			
16			
17			
18		•	

Volume I - Page 5

<u>PAGE</u>

TUESDAY, MARCH 29, 2016 AT 9:50 A.M.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

۵

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

24 25 [Outside the presence of the prospective jury]

THE COURT: All right. Since this was re -- there's a second amended information, will that reduce the amount of time?

MR. SPEED: If he is convicted at trial.

THE COURT: Yeah. If he's convict -- not of that. I mean, to put the trial on?

MR. SPEED: Oh, no, no, no. No. It will not.

THE COURT: It'll still go into next week?

MR. SPEED: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Go get the jury, Tom.

You'll each have nine peremptories. 13 and 14 will be the alternates. And I guess for the record I need to ask, Mr. Williams, you don't want to accept a negotiation, is that right?

MS. ROSS: Sorry, Your Honor, I was talking to him.

THE COURT: You don't want to accept a negotiation?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't think I do.

THE COURT: Pardon? I can't hear you.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't think I do.

THE COURT: Okay But your attorneys have talked to you about. They're obligated to talk to you when an offer is made and so I'm just protecting the record.

What was the offer made, Mr. Speed?

MR. SPEED: Your Honor, the State proposed that our client plead guilty pursuant to the *Alfred* decision to one count of either -- one count of lewdness with a child under the age of 14 that carries a ten to life term in the Department of

Corrections, plus an additional count of perhaps attempt lewdness, a Category B offense that carries a two to twenty year term.

THE COURT: All right. And you don't want to accept those, is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Your attorneys have answered all your questions regarding negotiations to your satisfaction? You're satisfied with their services to this point?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Oh, is there anything you want to argue about that DNA issue?

MR. SPEED: We do have that final motion. I'm glad the Court reminded us of that. We will submit it on what we have. The State has received a copy. I don't know if Ms. Kollins or Ms. Rhoades has prepared a written opposition to that or not, but I believe that our position is pretty clear -- clearly set out in the motion.

THE COURT: I've read through it. I read the application by Detective Flink to Judge Sciscento. Based upon what I have read, I believe that it is -- it was allowable. I was not -- I didn't find any difficulty in her seeking a local swab search warrant, getting it, and go from there. So your motion to suppress is denied. And it's probably late in any event.

Mr. Williams, you don't have to stand. You can sit down if you want.

THE DEFENDANT: Me?

THE COURT: Yeah, if you want.

MR. SPEED: And, Your Honor, after the marshal brings in the venire panel, would the Court give us a few minutes to do some mathematical calculations?

Howard Brooks, the Court knows him very well, will have our hides if certain

procedures aren't followed with respect to making possible Batson challenges. THE COURT: Yes. 2 [Pause in proceedings] THE COURT: There's a jury instruction that I give. It's pretty new and I've only used it once but you can look at it. It's about implicit bias. 5 If either of you have an objection you can voice it. 6 MR. SPEED: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Yeah. We don't have to worry about that until we do jury 8 instructions, but that was proposed at a judicial conference I went to and it's pretty much on the edge of providing this type of an instruction. 10 [Pause in proceedings] 11 MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: Charlotte Bible? 13 MS. KOLLINS: I know her. 14 THE COURT: Is the --15 MS. KOLLINS: Metro. 16 THE COURT: Isn't she the attorney for --17 MS. KOLLINS: For Metro. 18 THE COURT: -- Metro? 19 MS. KOLLINS: Yes, sir. 20 Can we just talk a couple moments about scheduling? 21 I'm talking to myself. 22 MR. SPEED: I'm sorry, honey, what happened? 23 MS. KOLLINS: I just wanted to discuss scheduling a little bit. Like what hours 24 we were going to be here, what we had going on --25

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Volume I - Page 9

[Colloguy between Counsel]

პ

And be seated.

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, this is Case C294607, State of Nevada versus Gregory Anthony Williams. The record will reflect the presence of the State's attorneys, the Defendant, his attorneys, all officers of the Court. Parties have announced ready.

Ladies and gentlemen, you're in Department VIII of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the state of Nevada. My name is Doug Smith and I am the presiding judge. I had to borrow somebody else -- somebody borrowed my notes. So I am the presiding judge and you have been summonsed today to serve as jurors in a criminal case.

Let me introduce my staff. This is Tina Jolley, she's my court clerk. She will keep track of everything that goes on in court, witnesses and exhibits. Sitting next to her is Sandra Pruchnic. Did I get it -- no, Pruchnic. Pruchnic.

THE COURT RECORDER: Pruchnic.

THE COURT: Pruchnic. She is helping us out just for today. She's a court recorder and she's recording everything. So we don't say uh-huh or uh-uh or nod our head or shake our head, please. Oh, and the marshal is Tom Lemke. If you want to communicate with the Court you communicate through the marshal, you don't communicate directly with the Court.

Let me give you a couple other things. If you're in the hall during a break or when you come in in the morning, only talk to people a blue badge on. That will designate that they are jurors and you won't be messing up somebody else's trial if you talk to somebody that happens to be a witness in the case. So don't talk to anyone.

If you see one of us in the hallway, even if it's getting on the elevator,

don't ask us to push the buttons. Don't think that we're -- they are instructed by me not to even speak to you so that doesn't mean that the parties are impolite or rude, they're following the Court's order because it may have an appearance of being kind to each other and in the past they've argued that that has tainted the jury. So don't even talk to us if you're there. Reach around us and push the button on the elevator.

Is there anyone that knows the individuals that I've introduced in court?

Periodically, you'll see my law clerk come in. His name is Alan Miller. Anybody know Alan?

This is a trial that will probably last until Monday they tell me. We'll have a full day every day. We'll be done by 4:30 or 5:00 every day. When I take a break, generally it's not an hour -- if I say an hour, it's generally under an hour I'm back on the bench because I feel that your time is important. I believe in our system of government and that is that good citizens have the -- and we expect citizens to sit as jurors and so I try not to waste your time.

The State will introduce themselves and they'll give you the names of witnesses that may be called. Not all of them will be called generally. They'll tell you a little bit about the case.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning. My name is Kristina Rhoades. This is Stacey Kollins. We are Prosecutors. We work for the State of Nevada. And the State of Nevada in this case has brought charges against Gregory Williams. He's charged with several counts of lewdness with a child under the age of 14 and several counts of sexual assault with a minor under 14 years of age. The time period that we're talking about is January 1st, 2011 through September 6th, 2013.

12_.

And there's two named victims in the lewdness with the child counts that is Amia Hasan and Tyana Hasan. Amia is now 15 years old. She was between 10 and 12 at the time of the alleged crimes. Tyana is now 12 years old and she was between 7 and 10 years old at the time of the alleged crimes. Tyana is also the named victim in the sexual assault counts. Amia and Tyana are the daughters of Aneesah Hasan.

Aneesah Hasan was in a dating relationship with Mr. Williams during this time period. They were all living together in the location that you're going to hear about is the Juan Garcia Gardens apartment complex. It's at 2851 Sunrise, near 20th Street and Sunrise Avenue. Anessah's three other children also lived in the apartment; Demarius Hasan, who's now 13 years, Kayla Moody, 11, and James Moody, who is now 9 years old.

In order to prove these charges we'll be presenting evidence and testimony of witnesses. You are not going to hear from all of the witnesses that I read, but just listen to the names and pay attention, let us know if you know anybody because you might hear about them if you don't hear from them at the witness stand. And those include Annesah Hasan, Amia Hasan, Tyana Hasan, Demarius, James, and Kayla.

From the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Detective Jessica Flink, Detective Richard Tenant, Officer Tyler Burgess, Cassandra Robertson, Kim Murga, Kellie Gautheir; they are all forensic scientists in the DNA division of Metro.

From Sunrise Hospital, Doctor Theresa Vergara and Griselda Campbell who is a registered nurse there. You may hear from a custodian of record of Metro, custodian of record of Sunrise Hospital, from the District Attorney's Office, Craig Faber, who is an investigator with our office, Sonya Lucero, and Katina Sanders-

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bowie; they are victim witness representatives from our office.

And from Roy Martin Middle School, Mary Hafner who is the principal there and Amada Lebkowicz. I believe that's how you pronounce it. She's the assistant principal there. Thank you all very much.

THE COURT: Is there anyone that knows the Prosecutors? Besides you. We'll get to you in a minute. Anybody know?

All right. Ms. Bible, you're the attorney for the police department.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 015: Correct.

THE COURT: You guys -- do you guys want her to be examined? Do you want her to at least stay on?

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, I prefer that we just release her. She just appeared for me in a case, so.

MR. SPEED: We concur with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SPEED: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're free to go. Thanks. Thank you. Sorry.

As she's leaving, what we're doing is we're trying to get 14 people, that's 12 jurors and two alternates, that are unbiased and have the appearance of -- and Mrs. Bible may be unbiased but her appearance would lead one to think. So we need to fill that spot.

THE CLERK: Timothy O'Reilly, Badge 0025. Go to Seat Number 8.

THE COURT: Somebody knows me? Who knows me?

THE MARSHAL: Stand up, tell us your name and the last four of your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: My name and the what?

THE MARSHAL: Last four of your number.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: All right. My name is Rebecca	
2	Mullen and the last my numbers are 34, 0034.	
3	THE COURT: How do you know me?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: You try you were the judge on a	
5	case involving my son, which is now under appeal.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Does either party have a do you want to leave her or	
7	and ask questions?	
8	MS. KOLLINS: None by the State, Your Honor. Thank you.	
9	THE COURT: Defense?	
10	MR. SPEED: None by the Defense.	
11	THE COURT: You're free to go. Thank you. Thank you for your candidness	
12	If you'll check in with the Jury Commissioner on the third floor, please.	
13	THE MARSHAL: Pass that back, please.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: I'm Juror Number 0013 and I	
15	THE COURT: What's your name?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: Daphne Brownson.	
17	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: And I know two of the named	
19	witnesses from the prosecuting, Mary Hafner and Amanda Lebkowicz.	
20	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that association that would bias	
21	you towards or against the Defendant or the State?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: Not necessarily.	
23	THE COURT: You won't call them and say hey, I'm on this jury.	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: No, I'm not	
25	THE COURT: Tell me this.	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: personal friends with them. I just
2	have a working relationship with them.
3	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 013: I'm an administrator for Clark Count
5	School District.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
7	MR. SPEED: Your Honor, we would ask that the Court release Ms.
8	Brownson. If she's an administrator with the school district, I believe that her
9	relationship with the two name witnesses
0	THE COURT: I understand.
1	MR. SPEED: might be too close.
2	MS. KOLLINS: I'll submit it.
3	THE COURT: All right. You're free to check in with the Jury Commissioner.
4	Thank you for your answers.
15	THE CLERK: Melissa Yadao, Badge 0026. Seat Number 6.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Juror Number
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: 0038, Mike Lichwa and I know
19	THE COURT: And who do you know?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: one of the witnesses one of the
21	nurses, Ms. Campbell.
22	THE COURT: How do you know Ms. Campbell?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: She helped my father-in-law in the
24	nurse
25	THE COURT: All right. So you don't you're not on a social basis with her?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: We've seen her a couple times, but no.

THE COURT: All right. You wouldn't call her and say hey, what really happened here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: No. Not necessarily, no.

THE COURT: And you wouldn't -- you will keep an open mind on the evidence -- what we want is everyone to listen to the testimony and look at the exhibits you see inside these four walls. That's all. Don't make a decision on -- so if you bring your everyday common sense with you, but don't bring any evidence in and say --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: No bias, so.

THE COURT: Pardon?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: No bias.

THE COURT: No bias. Okay. Cool, thanks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 049: Hi, good morning. Jeremy Boerner, 0049. Also with Clark County School District, I'm a special education administrator. Two of the schools that I consult with and support are Roy Martin and Sunrise Acres Elementary. So I'm familiar with Mary Hafner and --

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to excuse you as well based --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 049: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- on the same argument before. Do either party have a problem with that?

MR. SPEED: No, Your Honor.

MS. KOLLINS: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Check in with the Jury Commissioner.

All right. Anybody got airplane tickets to leave town in the next four days? You got to give me your itinerary. I once had someone raise -- say oh, I have a flight out but couldn't give me a copy of the ticket or the itinerary and I had to do an order to show cause. You got it on your phone. She can show you.

MS. KOLLINS: I can't even hear him.

THE COURT: You have the itinerary with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Well, I have -- I'm going to Phoenix Grand Prix. I have tickets for it this Saturday.

THE COURT: So when do you leave for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Friday morning.

THE COURT: You got to send me the itinerary you're going down there for or schedule -- or your hotel room or something that shows me that, okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Tickets?

THE COURT: Tickets is good.

MS. KOLLINS: I apologize, could we get a badge number? I couldn't hear.

THE COURT: Yeah, just a minute. We're getting there.

Tell me your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 050: My badge number is --

THE COURT: Last three or four numbers.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 050: 050.

THE COURT: And your name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 050: Jaclyn Hafter.

THE COURT: And you have plane tickets?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 050: Yes, to New York.

THE COURT: New York.

·	FROSPECTIVE SONON NOMBER 050. 165.
2	THE COURT: I like New York. Why would you go in this weather? But
3	anyway. You're free to check in with the Jury Commissioner. They may be able to
4	have you on a day or two trial. Okay.
5	All right. None on this side? Tell me your name and your badge
6	number.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Mike Liberty, 0075.
8	THE COURT: And you have tickets to the
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Well the tickets are waiting for me in
0	Phoenix but I guess I could get a copy.
1	THE COURT: You'll have to send them then when you get there.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay.
3	THE COURT: Please don't make me do it.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Would a copy suffice?
15	THE COURT: A copy's fine.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay.
17	THE COURT: You got to show me proof, otherwise I get upset. And if you
18	get mad at me I'm Judge Bixler.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay. And how much time do I have
20	to present that?
21	THE COURT: Just get them to me.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay.
23	THE COURT: If you get them to me before Wednesday next week is fine.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Otherwise I'll have a place for you.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Hi. My name is Henry. My number
3	is 0062 and I have a doctor appointment tomorrow.
4	THE COURT: Tomorrow you have a doctor's appointment. What time?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Tomorrow, 10:00 a.m.
6	THE COURT: What for?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: My heart is hurts and then the
8	THE COURT: Your heart?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Yeah. And then I got a high blood
10	sugar and blood pressure.
11	THE COURT: All right. Send me a copy of your doctor's report.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: I got a doctor
13	THE COURT: You got it. Show it to Tom.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: in my phone.
15	THE MARSHAL: That's fine.
16	THE COURT: What would we do without cell phones?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: I turned it off so I got to
18	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I wasn't saying that. Make sure they're
19	turned off. I had one go off the other day and who's is oh, it was mine.
20	Verizon works better in the courtroom.
21	[Colloquy between The Marshal and Prospective Juror Number 062]
22	THE COURT: Okay. Just send me a copy. Get when you get in there
23	have the doctor send me something that you're there for your heart.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Okay. So I'm going to ask him
25	for

THE COURT: Yes. If it's just a check-up, I would make you stay, but for heart, you got to go take care of that. Take care of your ticker. You got his name, yes, attorneys? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 060: Melissa Bechtler, 0060. I'm still breastfeeding my child.

THE COURT: That's more information than I need.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 060: I have a child that relies on my food supply.

THE COURT: I hear -- I understand that. That's what that meant.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 060: They said to be honest, so I'm just --

THE COURT: I know, I know.

MS. KOLLINS: Look how red he is.

THE COURT: Okay. Go. Does either party have a problem with that?

MR. SPEED: No. Your Honor.

MS. KOLLINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Hi. My name is Valeria Pineda,
Badge Number 0067, and I'm a full-time student and I work about 35 hours a week
so it's kind of hard to --

THE COURT: You know what, I know you're a student, probably true. Don't take that into consideration. Small businessmen have to be here. They get mad at me all the time. This is your right to be -- you tell the professors to write to me -- they can text to me and I'll come have a talk with them if they have an issue for sitting as a juror. But thank you for telling us.

14

13

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Okay.

THE COURT: And that -- I guess that for everyone, if you think you might say something, you probably should. I don't always follow that -- the directive of kicking you off but at least you've made us known it. Yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: Hello. My name's Ricardo Guerrero, Badge Number 0021. I don't have a plane ticket but I do have plans to go surprise one of my best friends in California for her 30th birthday this weekend. And I'm taking Friday off from work and I'll be gone the whole weekend. I could provide proof of the time --

THE COURT: Okay. You provide me proof.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: -- requested off.

THE COURT: Provide me proof.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: Would I be able to send that to you because I can't access it from my --

THE COURT: Yes. Tom will give you a fax number. Understand that this is all recorded and if you don't provide it, then we have an avenue to bring you in and talk to you about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: Yeah, no worries. I'm definitely truthful and --

THE COURT: Oh, it's a worry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: -- I will provide that to you.

THE COURT: Fax it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 021: Thank you, sir.

THE CLERK: Deborah Boynes, Badge 0027.

THE COURT: Come on up, Ms. Boynes.

25

Okay. Ms. -- oh, one more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 010: Your Honor, My name's Mark Dulaney, Badge Number 010, and my wife is very sick. I'm her caregiver. I do have a doctor's note from Dr. Duke that provide then -- the provider for her.

THE COURT: All right. Show -- do you have that note with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 010: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Show it to Tom.

Okay. Go ahead and check in with the Jury Commissioner.

THE CLERK: Gregory Nelson, Badge 0028. Seat Number 4.

MR. SPEED: Your Honor, if I may ask the Clerk, what seat did Badge Number 027 replace? I don't have it.

THE CLERK: 11.

MR. SPEED: 11. Thank you.

THE COURT: Tell me your name and your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Your Honor, my name is Rick Perelman, Badge Number 0008.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I'm a UPS driver and I work off my overtime. I work like four hours overtime a day and I got a lawsuit several years ago for \$50,000 that I'm trying to pay back and my overtime is pretty detrimental to me being able to survive to get all payments while paying this \$50,000 lawsuit back.

THE COURT: Does either party --

MS. KOLLINS: The State will submit it, Your Honor.

MR. SPEED: As will the Defense.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and check with the Jury Commissioner. If I

1	Okay. Anymore? No hands. Mr. Speed on, goodness gracious, we
2	got two more hands.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: I'm sorry for the lateness. Roberto
4	Juarez, Badge Number 012. I'm not sure if this applies, I just want to ask.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: I do have a job interview Thursday
7	and just got laid off Monday. Not yesterday but a week before yesterday. And with
8	rent coming up I'm trying to get employed ASAP.
9	THE COURT: The day after tomorrow.
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: Yeah, Thursday. So I wasn't sure if
1	should say something about it or but I figured better safe than sorry.
2	THE COURT: Where do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: I don't right now. I got laid off.
4	THE COURT: What kind of jobs do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: I was bartending. I'm getting
6	interviewed for a call center job.
17	THE COURT: Problem with
18	MR. SPEED: If the Court could ask what time? What time is your interview,
19	sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: I think it's at 2:00 p.m., Thursday.
21	THE COURT: That's right in the middle of our trial. All right. You're excused
22	Thank you.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: Thank you, sir.
24	THE COURT: Check with the Jury Commissioner.
25	THE CLERK: David Risner.

1	THE COURT: There's a couple more over here on the right, Thomas.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 074: I don't know if it was just a travel
3	arrangements but my name is David Jacobson, Juror 0074. I'm a former police
4	officer. So I just thought I'd bring that to the Court's light.
5	THE COURT: Okay. With Metro?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 074: No, not Las Vegas. Back in Illinois.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: My name is Rita Decelles, 0084. I
9	knew Jeremiah Mazo. He lived right next door to me and he was he's in prison
0	now for lewdness as a teacher. And I'm not sure I can be bias.
1	THE COURT: You mean unbiased.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: I don't know if I can do this.
3	THE COURT: You don't think you can be fair.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: No, I don't. I saw him I mean, it
5	was awful.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Speed, what's your desire on that?
7	MR. SPEED: May we approach, Your Honor?
8	[Bench Conference Begins]
19	MR. SPEED: What's that name she called? Are you familiar with that name?
20	MS. KOLLINS: Yeah, it's mine.
21	THE COURT: No, it's a school teacher.
22	MS. KOLLINS: It was mine.
23	THE COURT: She prosecuted.
24	MR SPEED: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: And she lived next door to him.

1	MR. SPEED: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Is that all right?
3	MR. SPEED: That's fine.
4	MS. KOLLINS: Yeah.
5	[Bench Conference Concludes]
6	THE COURT: All right. You're excused. Check in with the Jury
7	Commissioner.
8	[Bench Conference Begins]
9	MS. KOLLINS: I kind of need to run through the people that are in the box
10	again because while I was moving the intern you guys put two people up there and
11	lost track. Please. Thank you.
12	MR. SPEED: I'll let you see my notes.
13	THE COURT: Too bad. Come over and look at his notes.
14	MR. SPEED: I'll let you see mine.
15	[Bench Conference Concludes]
16	THE COURT: All right. Let's see who we got in the box now. Tina will read
17	the names for you.
18	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
19	THE CLERK: Seat Number 1 is Dennis Wakakuwa.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE CLERK: Number 2 is Dante Garcia, Badge 0007. 3 is 0030, Muluwork
22	Demessie.
23	THE COURT: Okay. If this isn't you let us know. A lot of movement's taken
24	place so far.
25	THE CLERK: Seat Number 4 is Gregory Nelson, Badge 0028.

 THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: 5 is David Risner, 0036. 6 is Melissa Yadao, Badge 0026. 7 is Geri Kodey, 0014.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: 8 is Timothy O'Reilly, 0025. 9 is Candace Jorgensen, 0018.

10 is Margaret Tyree, 0020. 11, Deborah Boyns, 0027. 12, Cedric Willis, 0022. 13, Ryan Williams, 0023. 14, Laura Garcia, 0024.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm all -- did I have you introduce yourselves?

MR. SPEED: You have not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Please do.

MR. SPEED: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, this week you're going to work with us in our representation of Mr. Gregory Williams. The State read some pretty terrible things that they're accusing him of doing but we're going to try to show that he is innocent of those charges. My name is Kevin Speed, I'm going to be his attorney. My Co-Counsel is Katrina Ross. In addition to the witnesses that were listed for you by the State we intend to call Mr. and Mrs. Melvin and Linda Sanders.

THE COURT: Does anyone here know those names? Those attorneys or any of the names that they've read? Seeing no hands.

Now if I could have everybody stand because we're going to start questioning you even more intently, but I need to put you under oath right now. If you'll stand. Either swear or affirm.

[The Clerk Swears in the Prospective Jury]

THE COURT: Thank you. We're about to commence the examination of the prospective jurors in this case. During the process you'll be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror. The Court, the lawyers,

•

J

and all persons involved in this case are deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of 14 open-minded people who are completely neutral and who have no bias or prejudice towards or against either side.

In order to accomplish this, it is necessary for us to ask some questions. The attorneys, if they choose will also be given that opportunity. It's not our desire to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives. Although some of the questioning may at times seem a little personal, our only objective is to determine whether there is any reason why any of you cannot sit as fair and impartial jurors in this case.

It is important that you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all questions we are about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and then subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as jurors. Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial and not based upon any preconceived prejudice or bias.

And I'm going to start the questioning. Is there anyone in here that is not a citizen of the United States? If you're not a citizen of the United States you cannot sit as a juror. Raise your hand. All right. Thank you.

Or if you're convicted felon and your civil rights have not been restored, you can't sit as a juror. Tom, in the back.

THE COURT: Tell me your name and the last three numbers of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 108: Oscar Montano, 0108.

THE COURT: All right. And you're a convicted felon?

 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 108: Yes.

THE COURT: For what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 108: Making a false statement on a federal form with connection to a firearm.

THE COURT: And you have not had your civil rights restored? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 108: I have no idea.

THE COURT: Okay. You should probably check on that if you haven't. You'll want to do that. All right. I'm going to excuse -- since we don't have a positive verification that your civil rights have been restored, I'll excuse you as a juror. Thank you.

I'm going to ask some general questions before I start asking individuals. Is there anyone in here that thinks they may be acquainted with the Defendant? Raise your hand. No.

Is there anyone here that thinks that they may have read, seen, or heard anything about this case prior to coming into court today, before hearing anything about the evidence? Okay. Seeing no hands.

Let me just say this too. There'll be some questioning is about whether you watch CIS [sic] or those kinds of shows. This is real life, this isn't that.

Everybody knows you can't solve a crime in 20 minutes, don't you? That's what those shows are based on. They're not real. So Crime Scene Investigation, NCIS, I don't know the -- I don't watch them so -- just understand this is real life here.

Is there anyone here who English is not their first language? Seeing -- okay. All right.

Oh, okay. I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Amharic. I speak Amharic. My first

1	language is not English.
2	THE COURT: Is what?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Amharic.
4	THE COURT: Pardon?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Amharic, it's called.
6	THE COURT: Can you understand what's going on?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: You're okay with that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: [No audible response - nods head
10	yes].
11	THE COURT: Is that yes?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Don't nod, don't shake. Got to say because she's taking
14	down everything down we say.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: I mean, I do understand.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: But there are terms that I can't
18	understand.
19	THE COURT: All right. And, you know, we can work around that as well.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Okay.
21	THE COURT: I'll let the attorneys ask some questions should they need to
22	Thank you. And the lady down the front, the front row. You get exercise for you,
23	Thomas.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: I'm Korean so my first language is
	U.C. and an a definite the control of the control o

1	she's been in Las Vegas probably the last 20 years.
2	THE COURT: Was it reported to the police?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do you feel that the police properly addressed the issue?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I was here when it happened so I
6	don't really know what the circumstances were as far as what the police did.
7	THE COURT: All right. So you didn't go to court with her or
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No, I she was living back in New
9	York and I was here. But she lives here now and I've been dealing with
10	THE COURT: She does have anxiety, but do you believe she was treated
11	fairly by the criminal system?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: The person was never apprehended
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: So I really don't know the details of
15	what happened in the court.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I don't know if I can be unbiased in
18	this kind of case.
19	THE COURT: So you would hold what happened to your sister against the
20	Defendant?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Well the not knowing what this
22	case was, it's possible.
23	THE COURT: Does either party have a question for this potential juror?
24	MS. KOLLINS: Well, Your Honor, I you know, the State would just ask if the
25	Court could ask him whether he could separate the facts of this case, knowing that

1	this case that we're going to
2	THE COURT: Well that's what I I sort of asked him and he said no.
3	MS. KOLLINS: Then I'll submit it.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Speed.
5	MR. SPEED: We would ask the Court to excuse Mr. Burkhardt for cause.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Jason Reta, Badge Number 48. I've
8	got a niece and nephew that suffered abuse and sexual abuse from their mother's
9	live-in boyfriend prior to them
10	THE COURT: Here in Las Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Was the matter called into the police and reported?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: That I'm not sure of. It came out
14	after they were taken into CPS custody in Arizona.
15	THE COURT: When was that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: When did they go into CPS custody
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Six, seven years ago.
19	THE COURT: So you did you so it wasn't immediately reported.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: It was not immediately reported. It
21	wasn't reported until the CPS custody
22	THE COURT: Has it been reported to the police?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Yes.
24	THE COURT: The police investigating or have they?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: That I'm not sure because they

would -- CPS would not give us that information.

THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial to the Defendant in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: I'd do my best.

THE COURT: And separate what happened to your family -- I mean, he didn't --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Sure.

THE COURT: -- do it. It wasn't him.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Sure.

THE COURT: And that just kind of segways into this. As Mr. Williams sits here, he's innocent. Does anybody have a problem with that? Nobody's heard any evidence at all. If you were asked to deliberate you would have to vote innocent because as he sits here he's innocent. Understand that? Anybody have a problem with -- that the State -- okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I have a problem.

THE COURT: All right. The State is obligated to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the guilt of a Defendant. All right. Go ahead and have a seat for just a second. Get that mic up here Tom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: The number one most despicable thing a person can do is --

THE COURT: Oh, absolutely.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: -- hurt a child. I don't want to look at it, I don't want hear the Prosecutor's side. I could never be unbiased for that. That is my number one thing. I'll serve on another case --

THE COURT: Tell me your name and your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: -- but I can't do this one.

2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: My badge number is 27 and the last
3	name is B-O-Y-N-S.
4	THE COURT: So the you're excused. Check in with the Jury
5	Commissioner. Tom, you call down and have her put on a civil case. Preferably a
6	construction defect that will last six months. All right.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Your Honor, my badge number is
8	36. Name, David Risner. My wife and I both were sexually abused growing up, but
9	we never actually filed any charges just due to the fact that you get it's family
0	members and all that.
1	THE COURT: The police were never called, never turned in?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: Would you hold that against the Defendant?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Like you said, he's innocent until
5	proved guilty.
6	THE COURT: Do you have a question Ms. Ross?
7	MS. ROSS: No. Just waiting to fill Seat 11, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Fill Seat 11.
9	MS. ROSS: Ready to write.
20	THE CLERK: Michael Lichwa, Badge 0038.
21	THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone else that raised their hand?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: Scott Richardson, Badge Number
23	42. For a couple years when I was little, I had a babysitter that did things.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: I had a between ages of seven

THE COURT: Tell me your name and your badge number.

21

22

23

24

25

and nine, I had a babysitter that did things to me

THE COURT: Okay. Abuse you. Okay. Were the police called?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: No, sir. I didn't tell my parents until I was 20.

THE COURT: Will you hold that against the Defendant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: Possibly.

THE COURT: You understand he didn't do it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: Lunderstand.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 042: I -- it's extremely --

THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you.

MR. SPEED: That was 67, Your Honor?

MS. ROSS: No. 42.

THE COURT: No.

MR. SPEED: 42.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 040: So my badge number is 40, 4-0. My name's Sandra Weaver. I don't know if this applies or not but my husband was continuously abused by a priest growing up.

THE COURT: All right. You feel you can separate that from the evidence that you'll hear in this case if you're chosen as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 040: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. You understand as he sits here today -- actually they don't have to do anything. These attorneys could sit and read magazines and they don't have to present any evidence, they don't have to ask a question, they don't have to do anything. Does anybody have a problem with that? That if the State

1	MR. SPEED: May I ask Ms. Lipsman a question, Your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	MR. SPEED: Ms. Lipsman, do you believe that because of your employment
4	you cannot be fair and impartial in this case or you were disclosing that for the
5	Court's edification?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 051: That's correct.
7	MR. SPEED: We'll submit it, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Who's the mic go to now? Name and badge
9	number, please.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Christine Johnson, 0059.
11	THE COURT: All right. And what did you want to bring to the Court's
12	attention.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Well I you asked, I have to tell you
14	that I was sexually assaulted?
15	THE COURT: When?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: A long time ago.
17	THE COURT: Police called?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Were you generally satisfied with how they handled the case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Was that here in Las Vegas?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: Where was it?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: It was in another state. I was
25	lactually put in protective custody, sir.

THE COURT: Will you separate what happened to you -- and I don't mean to be indulging in -- I apologize. But do you -- can you separate what happened to you from the facts of this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Absolutely.

THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 059: Absolutely.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Hello. 0067, again. Not me personally, again, but I did have a family member that was allegedly molested when she was very young. I don't know whatever happened with that. I don't know if the police were called. That information was withheld from me because I was very young. I just felt the need to --

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: Myrna Spader, 0073. I have a brother who was convicted of sexually molesting a child and spent six years in prison here in Nevada.

THE COURT: Would you hold that against the State or the Defendant in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: No, I would not.

THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial and listen to all of the evidence and make a decision from what you see and hear in this courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Questions by either party?

MS. KOLLINS: I'll reserve for later, Your Honor.

MR. SPEED: No questions, Your Honor. We'd reserve for later also.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone here who has been or has a close

relationship to someone that has been accused of a sex crime? Other than this young lady here? You're working this one, girl. All right. Tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Hello, 0067. I do have a -- kind of a distant uncle that was accused of a crime and is currently serving time in prison in another state.

THE COURT: Okay. You have to separate what happened to him with this case, you understand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Yes. Terence Barnes, Badge Number 0083. Yes, I have a cousin and a brother-in-law, both have been accused of -- well both have been convicted of sexual assault in Texas. Both are in Huntsville Prison right now. So I don't know if that --

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: -- counts as being --

THE COURT: Will you hold that against the State or the Defendant in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Yeah, I kind of already -- kind of see it as being hard to be impartial because they both were against my little cousins, so I mean, it's kind of --

THE COURT: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: -- hard for me to be impartial in this particular case.

THE COURT: All right. I'll have the attorneys ask you some questions in a minute.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	CDECODY WILLIAMS
3	GREGORY WILLIAMS,) No. 70868
4	Appellant,)
5	vi.)
6 7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8) Respondent.
9	
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME II PAGES 251-500
11	PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT
14	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	supreme Court on the zero day of, 201\$. Telectronic service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	ADAM LAXALT HOWARD S. BROOKS STEVE WOLFSON AUDREY M CONWAY
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	GREGORY WILLIAMS NDOC # 1161737
25	c/o ELY STATE PRISON
26	PO Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301
27	BY WWW.
28	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office