1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
2				
3				
4	LONNIE LYNN SWEAT,	No. Electronically Filed		
5	Petitioner,	(District US 125, 2016398547- p.m.) (Justice Fracie Koldindeman Clerk of Supreme Court		
6	v) Clerk of Supreme Court		
7	V.)		
8	THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE,)		
10	JODGE,)		
11	Respondents,			
12)		
13	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party In Interest.))		
14)		
15	DETITIONED (CADDEND)	Y TO WDIT OF MANDAMIC		
16	PHILIP J. KOHN	<u>X TO WRIT OF MANDAMUS</u> STEVEN B. WOLFSON		
17	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155		
18	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT		
19	, , , ,	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street		
20		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538		
21		Counsel for Respondent		
22				
23	·			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	INDEX LONNIE LYNN SWEAT	
2	LONNIE LINN SWEAT	PAGE NO
3	Amended Information filed 06/15/16	013-015
4	Criminal Complaint filed 05/09/16	001-003
5	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed 07/01/16	016-024
6	District Court Minutes from 06/09/16 through 08/08/16	041-046
7	Information filed 06/08/16	009-010
8	Justice Court Minutes through 06/07/16	004-008
9	Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings filed 08/01/16	038-040
0	Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 06/14/16	011-012
1	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed 07/18/16	031-037
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Plea filed 07/15/16	025-030
3		
14		
15		
16		
17		
8		
19		
20		
21		·
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP GOUNTY, NEVADA

2 THE STATE OF NEVADA, NAY -9 3

Plaintiff, JUSTICE COURT LAS VEGAS HEVADA

16F07438X CASE NO:

LG -VS-

DEPT NO: 10

LONNIE LYNN SWEAT #1973400,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crimes of BATTERY CONSTITUTING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (Category C Felony - NRS 200.481, 200.485.1C, 33.018 - NOC 50239), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or about the 7th day of May, 2016, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of a person with whom Defendant was formerly in a dating LASHAWNDA JAMES, relationship with and with whom he formerly resided with and/or shares a child in common, by grabbing the said LASHAWNDA JAMES and/or throwing her down to the ground, said Defendant having committed the offense of Battery Constituting Domestic Violence at least two times within seven (7) years immediately preceding the date of the principle offense or after the principle offense charged herein, to-wit:

Date of Offense: April 19, 2011

Conviction: May 1, 2012, Case No. 11F07717X,

Justice Court, Las Vegas Township, Clark County, State of Nevada

Date of Offense: September 6, 2010 Conviction: September 9, 2011, Case No. Cl025301,

Las Vegas Municipal Court, Clark County, State of Nevada.

///

/// 25

26 ///

///

27

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

/// 28

Criminal Complaint

W:\2016\2016F\074\38\16F07438-COMP-001.DOCX

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

05/09/16 Carrolf

16F07438X/rmj LVMPD EV# 1605073029; 1605063641 (TK4)

NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234]

Defendant or attorney of record:

NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses:

TO:

<u>NAME</u>

ADDRESS

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF

Communication Bureau

Law Enforcement Agency - Clark County, Nevada

These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses noted in the discovery or other documents provided.

DATED May 9, 2016.

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS

Court Minutes



Result: Signing Completed

PC16F07438X

Department: 3

State of Nevada vs. Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

5/9/2016 7:30:00 AM 48 Hour Probable Cause

Review

PARTIES PRESENT:

Judge:

Tobiasson, Melanie A.

PROCEEDINGS

Hearings:

5/10/2016 8:30:00 AM: 72 Hour Hearing

Added

Events:

Probable Cause Arrest Documents

Probable Cause Found

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$15,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$15,000.00/\$15,000.00 Total Bail

Court Minutes

Department: 10



16F07438X

State of Nevada vs. Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Lead Atty: Public Defender

5/10/2016 8:30:00 AM Initial Appearance (In

Result: Matter Heard

Custody)

PARTIES

Attorney

Public Defender

PRESENT:

Defendant

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Judge:

Tobiasson, Melanie A.

Prosecutor:

Luzaich, Lisa

Court Reporter:

McCord, Donna

Court Clerk:

Carrera, Socorro

PROCEEDINGS

Attorneys:

Public Defender

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Added

Hearings:

5/24/2016 10:00:00 AM: Preliminary Hearing

Added

Events:

Initial Appearance Completed

Advised of Charges on Criminal Complaint, Waives Reading of Criminal Complaint

Public Defender Appointed

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$15,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$15,000.00/\$15,000.00 Total Bail

Court Minutes

Department: 10



L006553839

16F07438X

State of Nevada vs. Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Lead Atty: Public Defender

3, 24, 20.

5/24/2016 10:00:00 AM Preliminary Hearing (In

Result: Matter Continued

Custody)

PARTIES PRESENT:

Attorney

Public Defender

Defendant

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Judge:

Tobiasson, Melanie A.

Prosecutor:

Trippiedi, Hagar

Court Reporter:

McCord, Donna

Court Clerk:

Carrera, Socorro

PROCEEDINGS

Hearings:

5/31/2016 8:30:00 AM: Order to Show Cause

Added

6/7/2016 10:00:00 AM: Preliminary Hearing

Added

Events:

Bustos Motion by State to Continue - Granted

Objection by defense - Motion Granted.

Motion by Defense for an O.R. Release

Motion Denied.

Order to Show Cause

filèd in open court as to Lashawnda James.

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$15,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$15,000.00/\$15,000.00 Total Bail





16F07438X

Department: 10

State of Nevada vs. Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Lead Atty: Public Defender

5/31/2016 8:30:00 AM Order to Show Cause (In

Result: Matter Heard

Custody)

PARTIES PRESENT: Attorney

Public Defender

Defendant

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Judge:

Tobiasson, Melanie A.

Prosecutor:

Portz, Nick

Court Reporter:

McCord, Donna

Court Clerk:

Carrera, Socorro

PROCEEDINGS

Events:

Order to Show Cause

Lashawnda James present in the courtroom.

Victim admonished to appear at the preliminary hearing date.

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$15,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$15,000.00/\$15,000.00 Total Bail

Future Court Date Stands

6/07/2016 at 10am (Preliminary hearing

Department: 10

Court Minutes



16F07438X

State of Nevada vs. Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Lead Atty: Public Defender

6/7/2016 10:00:00 AM Preliminary Hearing (In

Result: Bound Over

Custody)

PARTIES

Attorney

Public Defender

PRESENT:

Defendant

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Judge:

Tobiasson, Melanie A.

Prosecutor:

Smith, Tyler McCord, Donna

Court Reporter: Court Clerk:

Carrera, Socorro

PROCEEDINGS

Events:

Admonishment of Rights - BDV

Signed in open court.

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$15,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$15,000.00/\$15,000.00 Total Bail count 1

Not in custody

Counts: 002: count 2

Unconditional Bind Over to District Court

Review Date: 6/8/2016

Defendant unconditionally waives right to Preliminary Hearing. Defendant Bound Over to District Court as

Charged. Defendant to Appear in the Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom A.

District Court Appearance Date Set

Jun 9 2016 10:00AM: In Custody

Case Closed - Bound Over

Judgment Entered

Charges:

002: Domestic battery, first offense

Plea/Disp:

001: Dom battery, (3+) [50239]

Disposition: Waiver of Preliminary Hearing - Bound Over to District Court

002: Dom battery, (1st) [50235]

Plea: Nolo Contendere

Disposition: Guilty as Charged

Sentence: Misdemeanor Sentence

Credit for Time Served

6/7/2016 -

Satisfied (6/7/2016)

LVJC_RW_Criminal_MinuteOrder

Case 16F07438X Prepared By: carrs

6/7/2016 1:40 PM

1	INFM	Alma to Comme	
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney	CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	Nevada Bar #001565 TYLER D. SMITH	•	
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7 8		CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C-16-315552-1	
11	-vs-	DEPT NO: XXIII	
12	LONNIE LYNN SWEAT,		
13	#1973400,	INFORMATION	
14	Defendant.		
15	STATE OF NEVADA)		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK ss.		
17	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Att	orney within and for the County of Clark, State	
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of	of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:	
19	That LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the		
20	crime of BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (Category C Felony - NRS		
21	200.481 - NOC 50214), on or about the 7th day of May, 2016, within the County of Clark		
22	State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and		
23	provided, and against the peace and dignity of	of the State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully,	
24	and feloniously use force or violence upon th	e person of another, to-wit: LASHAWNDA	
25	///		
26	<i>III</i>		
27	<i>///</i>		
28	<i>III</i>		
	II	,	

1	JAMES, by grabbing the said LASHAWNDA JAMES and/or throwing her down to the		
2	ground, resulting in substantial bodily harm to LASHAWNDA JAMES.		
3	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
5			
6	BY July and		
7	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870		
8	Nevada Bar #011670		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	•		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	16F07438X/td/dvu		
28	16F07438X/td/dvu LVMPD EV#1605073029; 1605063641 (TK4)		

1 NWEW STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 TYLER D. SMITH Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #011870 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 Plaintiff. 10 CASE NO: C-16-315552-1 11 -VS-LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, 12 DEPT NO: XXIII #1973400, 13 Defendant. 14 NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES 15 [NRS 174.234(2)] 16 LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, Defendant; and TO: 17 KENTON EICHACKER, Deputy Public Defender, Counsel of Record: TO: 18 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 19 NEVADA intends to call the following expert witnesses in its case in chief: 20 GREENE, ELYNNE, P #4959, Victim Advocate for the Las Vegas Metropolitan 1. 21 Police Department or Designee, is an expert in domestic violence and will testify about the 22 counterintuitive behavior of victims of domestic abuse, the reasons therefore, and other related 23 topics. Her testimony may include, but is not limited to, the cycle of domestic abuse; the effects 24 of power and control stratagems on the behavior of victims; coping and avoidance mechanisms 25 employed by victims; and the tendency of victims to delay reporting, minimize abuse, recant 26 prior statements, and vacillate affections toward their abusers. 27 These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information or 28

W:\2016\2016F\074\38\16F07438-NWEW-(SWEAT__LONNIE)-001.DOCX

II:	
1	Indictment and any other witnesses for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert
2	Witnesses has been filed.
3	The substance of each expert witness' testimony and a copy of all reports made by or
4	at the direction of the expert witness has been provided in discovery.
5	A copy of each expert witness' curriculum vitae, if available, is attached hereto.
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
7	Nevada Bar #001565
8	BY Who mill
9	TYLER D. SMITH Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870
10	Nevada Bar #011870
11	
12	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
13	I hereby certify that service of Notice of Expert Witnesses, was made this 14th
14	day of June, 2016, by facsimile transmission to:
15	KENTON EICHACKER, Deputy Public Defender FAX #702-366-1911
16	√
17	BY: Alexan Dadwar
18	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	td/dvu

ORIGINAL

	A 1 1/41 A 17 TE TE T	M	
1 2 3 4	AINF STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 TYLER D. SMITH Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870	FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT JUN 15 2016	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	ROSHONDA MAYFIELD, DEPUTY	
7 8	DISTRIC	CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-16-315552-1	
11	-VS-	DEPT NO. XXIII	
12	LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, #1973400,	AMENDED	
13 14	Defendant.	INFORMATION	
15	STATE OF NEVADA) ss:		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK)		
17		orney within and for the County of Clark, State	
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority		
19	ll	efendant(s) above named, having committed the	
20		OMESTIC VIOLENCE (Category C Felony -	
21		50239) , on or about the 7th day of May, 2016,	
22	within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes		
23		st the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,	
24	did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person		
25	of LASHAWNDA JAMES, a person with w	hom Defendant was formerly in a dating	
26	///		
27	/// C-16-316652-1		
28	/// Amended information 4655948		
		3	

1			
1	relationship with and with whom he formerly resided with and/or shares a child in commo		
2	by grabbing the said LASHAWNDA JAMES and/or throwing her down to the ground.		
3	STEVEN B. WOLFSON		
4	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
5	DV (W) Smith		
6	BY / O/ C/ / C///O/ C		
7	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870		
8	·		
9	DO NOT REA	D TO THE JURY	
10	Said Defendant having committed the offense of Battery Constituting Domestic		
11	Violence at least two times within seven (7) years immediately preceding the date of the		
12	principle offense or after the principle offense charged herein, to-wit:		
13	Date of Offense: April 19, 2011		
14	Conviction: May 1, 2012, Case No. 11F07717X, Justice Court, Las Vegas Township, Clark County, State of Nevada		
15	Date of Offense: September 6, 2010 Conviction: September 9, 2011, Case No. C1025301,		
16	Las Vegas Municipal Court, Clark County, State of Nevada.		
17			
18	Names of witnesses known to the Dis	strict Attorney's Office at the time of filing this	
19	Information are as follows:		
20	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS	
21	ASHENFELTER, DEBORAH	District Attorney Investigator	
22	BODDIE, CHRISTOPHER M.	LVMPD #8914	
23	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	CCDC	
24	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS	
25	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD RECORDS	
26	HOGANS, DWAYNE	District Attorney Process Server	
27	JAMES, LASHAWNDA RANEA	C/O DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
28	PATTERSON, DEBRA	District Attorney Process Server	

RODRIGUEZ, JAVIER

District Attorney Process Server

DA#16F07438X/td/dvu LVMPD EV#1605073029; 1605063641 (TK4)

PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 1 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 CLERK OF THE COURT Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 2 $(702) 4\overline{5}5-4685$ 3 Attorney for Defendant 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO. C-16-315552-1 Plaintiff, 8 DEPT. NO. XXIII 9 DATE: July 13, 2016 LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, TIME: 9:30 a.m. 10 Defendant. 11 12 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS 13 COMES NOW, the defendant, LONNIE SWEAT, by and through KENTON 14 EICHACKER, Deputy Public Defender, and hereby requests the charge of Battery Constituting 15 Domestic Violence be dismissed, since proceeding to trial on those charges would violate the 16 17 Double Jeopardy clause. 18 This Motion is based upon the Double Jeopardy clause of the United States and Nevada 19 Constitutions and all the papers and pleadings on file herein, as well as any time permitted by the 20 court for oral argument. 21 DATED this 1st of July, 2016. 22 PHILIP J. KOHN 23 CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 24 By /s/ Kenton Eichacker_ Kenton Eichacker, #13114 25 Deputy Public Defender 26 27

STATEMENT OF CASE

On May 10, 2016 Mr. Lonnie Sweat was arraigned on a charge for Battery Constituting Domestic Violence (NRS 200.481, 200.485.1C, 33.018 – NOC 50239) based on a single event occurring on May 7, 2016. Mr. Sweat received the Criminal Complaint and the matter was set for a Preliminary Hearing.

On June 7, 2016 Mr. Sweat unconditionally waived his right to a Preliminary Hearing. Negotiations were entered into where Mr. Sweat would plead to a fictitious charge in District Court to a Battery Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm (NRS 200.481 – NOC 50214) and Mr. Sweat would plead to a misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence charge in Justice Court. On June 7, 2016, the Honorable Judge Tobiasson adjudicated Mr. Sweat guilty of a misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence. Mr. Sweat was sentenced that same day and the misdemeanor was closed. Mr. Sweat was told when he gets to District Court he can go through with the negotiations, but if he decides he doesn't want the negotiations he can go still go to jury trial (PHT, page 4, lines 2-5). However, Mr. Sweat was told that if he did not go through with the negotiations, he would not return to Justice Court for a Preliminary Hearing and that his misdemeanor domestic violence charge would stand (PHT, page 4, lines 5-7).

On June 15, 2016 Mr. Sweat decided that he did not want to go forward with the negotiations. The State then filed an Amended Information with the Court charging Mr. Sweat with one count of felony Battery Constituting Domestic Violence.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Proceeding to trial on the felony Battery Constituting Domestic Violence charge against Mr. Sweat violates the Double Jeopardy clause of the United States and Nevada Constitutions, because Mr. Sweat already has a Battery Constituting Domestic Violence conviction on his record for the same course of conduct. Mr. Sweat was adjudicated and sentenced to a misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence on June 7, 2016 for events arising from a single incident on May 7, 2016. The State is now seeking to try Mr. Sweat for a felony Battery Constituting Domestic Violence charge arising from the same single incident on May 7, 2016 that was the basis

¹ "No person shall be subject to be twice put in jeopardy for the same offense." N.R.S. Const. Art. 1 §8(1).

for his plea on June 7, 2016. Pursuant to the Double Jeopardy clause, a defendant cannot be prosecuted a second time for the same offense after conviction.

The only difference between a misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence and a felony Battery Domestic Violence lies in the criminal penalties and punishments under NRS 200.481. Since no additional facts are needed to convict Mr. Sweat of Battery Constituting Domestic Violence the two are considered the "same offense" for Double Jeopardy purposes. Therefore, Mr. Sweat cannot now be tried for felony Battery Constituting Domestic Violence without violating the Double Jeopardy clause of the United States and Nevada Constitutions.

ARGUMENT

LONNIE SWEAT'S MISDEMEANOR CONVICTION BARS PROSECUTION OF THE FELONY OFFENSE.

No person shall be "subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb.\(^1\)" U.S. Const. Amend. V. The Double Jeopardy clause applies to the States through the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Benton v. Maryland, 395 U.S. 784, 89 S.Ct. 2056 (1969). The theory that a person should not be tried or punished for the same crime twice dates back to common law England. United States v. Wilson, 420 U.S. 332, 339-40, 95 S. Ct. 1013, 1020, 43 L. Ed. 2d 232 (1975). When a defendant has been convicted and punished for a specific crime, principles of fairness and finality demand he not be subjected again for the same offense to the strains of trial and punishment. Ohio v. Johnson, 467 U.S. 493, 498-99, 104 S.Ct. 2536, 2541 (1984); Serfass v. U.S., 420 U.S. 377, 388, 95 S.Ct. 1055, 1062 (1975); Wilson, 420 U.S. at 343.

The Double Jeopardy clause affords three protections to defendants; 1. it protects against a second prosecution for the same offense after acquittal; 2. it protects against a second prosecution for the same offense after conviction; 3. it protects against multiple punishments for the same offense. *Ill. v. Vitale*, 447 U.S. 410, 415, 100 S.Ct. 2260, 2264 (1980); *Wilson*, 420 U.S. at 343; *Williams v. State*, 118 Nev. 536, 548, 50 P.3d 1116, 1124 (2002); *State v. Lomas*, 114 Nev. 313, 315, 955 P.2d 678, 679 (1998).

I. Lonnie Sweat's conviction of the misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence protects against a second prosecution for the same offense after conviction.

The prosecution of the greater offense is prohibited after a conviction for a lesser offense. See Ohio v. Johnson, 467 U.S. 493, 501, 104 S.Ct. 2536, 81 L.Ed.2d 425 (1984) ("[T]he Double Jeopardy Clause prohibits prosecution of a defendant for a greater offense when he has already been tried and acquitted or convicted on the lesser included offense."); Illinois v. Vitale, 447 U.S. 410, 421, 100 S.Ct. 2260, 65 L.Ed.2d 228 (1980) ("[A] conviction on a lesser-included offense bars subsequent trial on the greater offense."); Brown v. Ohio, 432 U.S. 161, 169, 97 S.Ct. 2221, 53 L.Ed.2d 187 (1977) ("Whatever the sequence may be, the Fifth Amendment forbids successive prosecution and cumulative punishment for a greater and lesser included offense.").

The United States Supreme Court has held that the Double Jeopardy clause prohibits a defendant from being prosecuted on a greater offense after he has already been tried and acquitted or convicted on the lesser included offense based upon the same original act. *Johnson*, 467 U.S. at 501; *Brown*, 432 U.S. at 168; *Wilson v. Czerniak*, 335 F.3d 1151, 1154 (9th Cir. 2004). Nor may a defendant be prosecuted on a lesser offense after he has already been tried and acquitted or convicted on a greater offense. *Brown*, 432 U.S at 168. The order of prosecution is immaterial. Id. Regardless of the sequence, the Double Jeopardy clause prohibits successive prosecution and cumulative punishment for a greater and lesser included offense. *Id.* at 169.

Mr. Sweat has been adjudicated and sentenced for a misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence conviction. The facts that gave rise to Mr. Sweat's misdemeanor conviction are the same that are being used as the basis for the felony Battery Domestic Violence charge. The only difference between the misdemeanor charge and the felony charge are the criminal penalties and punishments under NRS 200.481. Mr. Sweat is not charged with two distinct offenses.

CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reasons, Mr. Lonnie Sweat requests that the Battery Constituting Domestic Violence felony charge against him be dismissed because to proceed on that charge would violate his Constitutional rights under the Double Jeopardy clause.

Dated 1st day of July, 2016.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By /s/ Kenton Eichacker
KENTON EICHACKER #13114
Deputy Public Defender

5.

NOTICE OF MOTION 1 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff: TO: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the 3 above and foregoing Motion on for hearing before the Court on the 13sth day of July, 2016 2016, 4 at 9:30 a.m. 5 DATED this 1st day of July, 2016. 6 PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER By /s/ Kenton Eichacker 8 Kenton Eichacker, #13114 Deputy Public Defender 10 11 12 13 14 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE 15 I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing Motion was served via electronic e-16 filing to the Clark County District Attorney's Office at motions@clarkcountyda.com on this 1st 17 18 day of July, 2016. 19 By: /s/ Egda Ramirez 20 Employee of the Public Defender's Office 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

EXHIBIT A

TRAN

.2

Э.

4

5.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

.14

45

16

17

18

19

-26

21

22

23

24

ż

ġ

5

ĸ

₿

9

ÌΟ

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN THE JUSTICE'S COURT OF LAS VEGAS TORNSHIP COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF HEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

vs.

LONNIE LYNN SHEAT,

Defendant.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY BEARING AND BATTERY DOMESTIC

VIOLENCE PLEA.

BEFORE THE HOMORABLE MELANIE A. TOBIASSON JUSTICE OF THE PRACE

TUESDAY, JUNE 7, 2016

AFFEARANCES:

For the State:

TYLER SHITH Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

KENTON EICHACKER. Deputy Public Defender

Reported by: Donna J. McCord, CCR #337

LAS VEGAS, HEVADA, JUNE 7, 2016, 10:00 A.M.

1

. 2

3

4

5

8

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

ťΨ

20.

-21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

À

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negotiations?

4.4 6 9 6

THE COURT: 16E07438X, Lonnie Sweat is present in custody. What's the status? MR: EICHACKER: The matter is negotiated,

YOUR HONOI. THE COURT: All right: What are the

MR. EICHACKER: With the Court's permission roday Mr. Sweat will be unconditionally waiving his right to a preliminary hearing. He'll go to District Court and plead guilty to a battery. resulting in substantial bodily harm and to a battery dimestic violence misdemeanor. The State will make no recommendation at sentencing. And I believe that's it.

THE COURT: All right: Do you want him toplead to the misdemeanor here?

MR. SMITH: 1 prefer he plead to the misdemeanor today.

MR. EICHACKER: Okay, I need to do an admonishment.

MR. SMITH: And we can just give him

credit for time served.

THE COURT: I'll trail that for you to do the admonishment.

MR. EICHACKER: Thank you;

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Other matters on calendar heard.)

THE COURT: 16F07438X, Lonnie Sweat is present in custody. What's the status? Oh, we already know, okay. It's an unconditional waiver. You're going to plead in District Court to a battery with substantial bedily harm. The State will make no recommendation up there and here you'll plead to a misdemeanor with credit for time served/ do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'em.

THE COURT: Do you understand when you unconditionally waive your right to a preliminary hearing on the felony charge you're giving up that right forever?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURCE At a preliminary hearing you'd have the right to confront the State's witnesses, You also would have the right to testify and present your own evidence. You're giving up those rights as well; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, me am.

THE COURT: When you get up to District Court you can go through with the negotiations. If you decide you don't want to you can still take the felony charge to trial, you just won't come back here for a preliminary hearing and your plea to the misdemeanor domestic violence charge will stand; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. It appears to me from the complaint on file than crimes have been committed, to wit; Count 1, battery domestic violence third offense, and the defendant having unconditionally waived his right to a preliminary hearing, I hereby order said defendant be held to answer to said charge in the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, State of Nevada at the following date and time.

> THE CLERK: June 9th at 10:00 a.m. THE COURT: All right; and the complaint

will be amended to add a Count 2, misdemeanor demestic violence. I have a form entitled, "Battery Demestic Violence Admonishment of Rights?" Did you mond this?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma am.

THE COURT: Did you understand it? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: And is that your signature? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand the 5 consequences for a second or third conviction for 5 domestic violence? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: "Do you want me to go over-9 those with you? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: No, matam. 12 THE COURT: All right. Knowing those how do you wish to plead to the charge of battery. 13 domestic violence? THE DEFENDANT: No contest: 15 THE COURT: Parties stipulate to the facts 16 17 and relationship? MR. ETCHACKER: Yes, your Honor. MR, SMITH: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. Based on those 20 21 attpulations I'll accept the no contest ples and 22 adjusticate you guilty of the misdemeanor. I'll sentence you to credit for time served on that count 23 and that part of the case will be closed, okay? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

Electronically Filed 07/15/2016 03:41:17 PM

1 2 3 4 5	OPPS STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 K. NICHOLAS PORTZ Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012473 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	Alm A. Lauren CLERK OF THE COURT
7	Thiomby 101 Transmit	
8	DISTRIC CLARK COU	T COURT NTY, NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
10	Plaintiff,	
11	-VS-	CASE NO: C-15-310905-1
12	ANTHONY TAYLOR, #1967798,	DEPT NO: XIX
13 14	Defendant.	
15	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDA	ANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW PLEA
16. 17	DATE OF HEAR TIME OF HEA	ING: May 16, 2016 RING: 8:30 AM
18	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada	, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County
19	District Attorney, through K. NICHOLAS	PORTZ, Deputy District Attorney, and hereby
20 `	submits the attached Points and Authorities in	Opposition to Defendant's Motion To Withdraw
21	Plea.	
22	This Opposition is made and based upo	on all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the
23	attached points and authorities in support her	eof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if
24	deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.	
25	<i>III</i>	
26	///	
27	/// ///	
28		

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 21, 2015, the State filed a Criminal Complaint in Justice Court charging Anthony Taylor ("Defendant") with: Count 1 - Battery Constituting Domestic Violence -Strangulation (Category C Felony - NRS 200.481, 200.485, 33.018) and Battery Constituting Domestic Violence (Misdemeanor - NRS 200.485(1)(A), 200.481(1)(A), 33.018). Defendant and State entered into negotiations. On November 19, 2015, pursuant to a negotiation reached with Defendant, Defendant pled guilty in Justice Court to Count 2 of the Criminal Complaint and received credit for time served. On that same day, also pursuant to negotiations, Defendant unconditionally waived his right to a preliminary hearing on Count 1 and was bound over to district court.

On November 20, 2015, pursuant to negotiations, the State filed an Information in District Court charging Defendant with Attempt Battery with Substantial Bodily Harm (Category D Felony/Gross Misdemeanor - NRS 200.481, 193.330). On November 23, 2015, the State filed a Guilty Plea Agreement ("GPA") signed by Defendant. Pursuant to the GPA, the State had no opposition to Defendant's own recognizance release after entry of guilty plea in district court. The State retained the right to argue for felony treatment and the terms and conditions of probation. On the same day, Defendant, who was in custody, was arraigned, canvassed and pled guilty pursuant to the GPA before the Honorable Melisa De La Garza. Pursuant to the agreement, Defendant was released on his own recognizance. Sentencing is currently set for May 16, 2016.

On April 20, 2016, Defendant filed a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. The State's Opposition follows.

ARGUMENT

DEFENDANT IS NOT ENTITLED TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA I.

Nevada Revised Statute 176.165 states:

Except as otherwise provided in this section, a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty or nolo contendere may be made only before sentence is imposed or imposition of sentence is suspended. To correct manifest injustice, the court

after sentence may set aside the judgment of conviction and permit the defendant to withdraw his plea.

Before sentencing, "[a] district court may, in its discretion, grant a defendant's motion to withdraw a guilty plea for any 'substantial reason' if it is 'fair and just'" to do so. Woods v. State, 114 Nev. 468, 475, 958 P.2d 91, 95 (1998); NRS 176.165. A guilty plea is presumptively valid; the burden is on the defendant to show that the plea was not voluntarily entered. Bryant, 102 Nev. 272; see also Wingfield v. State, 91 Nev. 336, 337, 535 P.2d 1295, 1295 (1975).

Moreover, a court should not invalidate a plea as long as the totality of the circumstances, as shown by the record, demonstrates that the plea was knowingly and voluntarily made and that the defendant understood the nature of the offense and the consequences of the plea. State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097, 13 P.3d 442, 448 (2000). In addition, when a guilty plea is accepted by the trial court after proper canvassing as to whether the defendant freely, knowingly, and intelligently entered his plea, such plea will be deemed properly accepted. Baal v. State, 106 Nev. 69, 72, 787 P.2d 391, 394 (1990). However, the failure to conduct a ritualistic oral canvass does not require that the plea be invalidated. State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097, 13 P.3d 442 (2000).

Defendant argues that this Court should allow him to withdraw his guilty plea because, since he has been released from custody, the named victim has allegedly provided a recantation of the allegations of domestic violence. Defendant claims that he entered the plea because "it was his belief that it was his best option at the time of his preliminary hearing..."

Defendant's Motion, p. 3. First, the State would not be surprised that a man with a history of pandering and domestic violence was able, once he was out of custody, to get his victim to sign an affidavit. Second, this is not grounds for withdrawal of a plea as an alleged recantation after the fact had no bearing on whether his plea was "freely, knowingly and intelligently" entered at the time of his canvassing. Defendant is blatantly exploiting the terms of a negotiation that included his release from custody at entry of plea to back out of his agreement without any legally justified basis.

It is *Defendant's burden* to show that his plea was involuntary. As outlined above, an after the plea recantation has no bearing on the voluntariness of his plea. Thus, Defendant's motion must be denied. Moreover, Defendant was fully canvassed at his plea, which belies any suggestion that he involuntarily entered his plea. Defendant acknowledged having read the GPA with his attorney, fully understanding the GPA, signing the GPA, and acknowledged understanding the crime he was pleading guilty to, that he was not forced to plead guilty, that he was pleading guilty f his own free will, and that he was aware of the sentencing and fine ranges associated with that crime. See Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re: Initial Arraignment (Nov. 23, 2015), pp. 2-5. Defendant also acknowledged feloniously using fore or violence on the named victim, Chantel Linear, and he waived all defects in the pleading. Id. at 6.

Furthermore, the Guilty Plea Agreement ("GPA") Defendant signed is replete with evidence that Defendant understood the terms of his guilty plea, the charges he was pleading to, the sentencing range associated with that charge, and that had discussed with his attorney the consequences stemming therefrom.

Specifically, in signing his GPA Defendant expressly acknowledged that he was pleading to Attempt Battery with Substantial Bodily Harm (Category D Felony/Gross Misdemeanor – NRS 200.481, 193.330). See GPA p. 1. Moreover, the record bellies any assertion by Defendant that he entered his plea involuntarily. In the signed GPA, Defendant acknowledged the following:

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

3

4 5

6

8. 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement.

GPA, p. 5. There is simply no evidence to suggest that the plea was involuntary.

Defendant has failed to show that his plea was not made knowingly and voluntarily, nor has he given this Court any "substantial reason" that it would be "fair and just" to allow him now to withdraw from the negotiations. Accordingly, Defendant's motion should be denied.

II. IF DEFENDANT IS ALLOWED TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA, DEFENDANT SHOULD BE REMANDED AT THE ORIGINAL BAIL SETTING

Defendant has exploited his OR Release to obtain an alleged signed recantation of the victim to use to withdraw his plea. While this is not a valid ground to withdraw his plea, the plea agreement Defendant seeks to back out of included an OR Release. If Defendant, who has received the benefit of his negotiation, is permitted to withdraw is plea, the parties should be placed back in their original positions. This includes Defendant's remand into custody with bail set at the amount at the time of his release. Defendant will be facing mandatory prison if the original charges are reinstated and he has already pled guilty and been adjudicated of BDV (misdemeanor) in this case. He will clearly be a flight risk. The fact that he has apparently reached out to his victim since his release from custody to get an alleged recantation shows he will also prove a risk to the victim's safety. His criminal history similarly suggests he will be a risk to the safety of the victim and the community at large.

///

| ///

]//

| | ///

///

///

1	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	
2	Based on the foregoing points and authorities, the State respectfully requests that the	
3	Court deny Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Plea.	
4	DATED this 13th day of May, 2016.	
5	Respectfully submitted,	
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	
7	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	
8	W/NZ	
9 10	BY K. NICHOLAS PORTZ Deputy District Attorney	
11	Nevada Bar #012473	
12		
13	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION	
14	I hereby certify that service of State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion To	
15	Withdraw Plea, was made this 13th day of May, 2016, by facsimile transmission to:	
16	TRAVIS SHETLER, ESQ. FAX #702-866-0093	
17	FAX #702-866-0093	
18	BY: Thousan Solven	
19	Theresa Dodson Secretary for the District Attorney's Office	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	KNP/td/dvu	

Electronically Filed 07/18/2016 09:41:25 AM

1	OPPS Ann & Chum		
`	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	CLERK OF THE COURT	
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
3	TYLER D. SMITH Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870		
4	200 Lewis Avenue		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
8		NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11.	-vs-	CASE NO:	C-16-315552-1
12	LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, #1973400	DEPT NO:	XXIII
13	#1973400 Defendant.		
14	Detendant.		
15	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEF	ENDANT'S MOTI	ON TO DISMISS
16	DATE OF HEARI	NG: JULY 27, 201 ARING: 9:30 AM	.6
17	I IME OF HEA	IRING: 9:30 AM	
18	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada	a, by STEVEN B.	WOLFSON, Clark County
19	District Attorney, through TYLER D. SMITH	H, Deputy District A	Attorney, and hereby submits
20	the attached Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.		
21	This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the		
22	attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, it		
23	deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.		
24	<i> </i>		
25	<i>III</i>		
26	· ///		
27	///		
28	<i> </i>	•	•

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On May 9, 2016, Lonnie Lynn Sweat, hereinaster "Defendant," was charged by way of Criminal Complaint with Battery Constituting Domestic Violence (Category C Felony). See Exhibit 1. Defendant's preliminary hearing was originally scheduled for May 24, 2016, however it was continued to June 7, 2016. On June 7, 2016, pursuant to negotiations with the State, Defendant agreed to waive his right to a preliminary hearing on the Felony Domestic Violence Count. In District Court, Defendant would plead to Battery Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm with the State making no recommendation at sentencing. In addition, Defendant would plead guilty to an added Count 2, misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence and receive credit for time served on Count 2. RT p. 3.

The following are relevant portions of the colloquy which took place during the Justice Court's canvass of Defendant:

MR. EICHACKER: With the Court's permission, today Mr. Sweat will be unconditionally waiving his right to a preliminary hearing. He'll go to District Court and plead guilty to a battery resulting in substantial bodily harm and to a batter domestic violence misdemeanor. The State will make no recommendation at sentencing. And I believe that's it.

THE COURT: All right. Do you want him to plead to the misdemeanor here?

MR. SMITH: I prefer he plead to the misdemeanor today.

MR. EICHACKER: Okay, I need to do an admonishment.

MR. SMITH: And we can just give him credit for time served.

THE COURT: I'll trail that for you to do the admonishment.

(Other matter on calendar heard.)

THE COURT: ...It's an unconditional waiver. You're going to plead in District Court to battery with substantial bodily harm. The State will make no recommendation up there and here you'll plead to a misdemeanor with credit for time served; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: When you get up to District Court you can go through with the negotiations. If you decide you don't want to you can still take the felony charge to trial, you just won't come back here for a preliminary hearing and your plea to the misdemeanor domestic violence charge will stand; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. RT p. 2-4.

The Court then added a Count 2 misdemeanor battery constituting domestic violence and accepted Defendant's no contest plea. The Court then sentenced him to credit for time served. RT p. 4-5. See Exhibit 2. Defendant also executed an Admonishment of Rights. See Exhibit 3.

ARGUMENT

The Double Jeopardy Clause protects against three separate abuses: a second prosecution for the same offense after acquittal; a second prosecution for the same offense after conviction; and multiple punishments for the same offense. Williams v. State, 118 Nev. 536, 548 (2002). Defendant in this instance claims that by accepting a negotiation offered by the State in Justice Court in which he agreed to plead guilty to a Battery Constituting Domestic Violence (m) in Justice Court and a Felony in District Court, he may withdraw from that agreement and prevent the State from prosecuting him on the original charges. Thereby, essentially successfully duping the State into providing Defendant with a misdemeanor conviction with no additional punishment in a case where it was contemplated by both parties he would be pleading to a felony. Based upon the arguments below, Defendant's argument is clearly in error.

I

DEFENDANT'S MOTION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE HE IS BEING PROSECUTED FOR TWO SEPARATE OFFENSES

As noted above, the Justice Court orally amended the criminal complaint to include a Count 2 - Battery Constituting Domestic Violence. Defendant waived his right to a preliminary hearing on Count 1 and entered a guilty plea to Count 2. In effect, Defendant's

waiver and guilty plea was a concession that he was charged with two separate and distinct offenses. While this issue doesn't seem to have been addressed in the current factual scenario of a negotiated plea agreement contemplating pleas in two separate courts, the United States Supreme Court has provided some guidance.

In <u>United States v. Broce</u>, 488 U.S. 563, 109 S.Ct. 757 (1989), per a negotiated agreement with the prosecution, two defendants pled guilty to two counts of conspiracy. Subsequently, both defendants attempted to withdraw their pleas arguing that only one conspiracy existed and that double jeopardy required that the conviction and sentence on the second count be set aside. <u>Id.</u> at 565. The lower court found there was factually only a single conspiracy and therefore dismissed the second count. <u>Id.</u> at 568-569.

The Supreme Court reversed and reinstated the defendants' convictions and sentences for the second count of conspiracy. It held that a "guilty plea is more than a confession which admits that the accused did various acts...It is an admission that he committed the crime charged against him." <u>Id.</u> at 569 (internal citations and quotations omitted). Moreover, "[b]y entering a plea of guilty, the accused is not simply stating that he did the discrete acts described in the [charging document]; he is admitting guilt of a substantive crime." <u>Id.</u> Defendant also cannot challenge the additional offense based upon the existing record. <u>Id.</u> at 576.

In this case Defendant's plea of guilty to the added Count 2 of the criminal complaint was an admission that he committed that specific charge against him. Moreover, that guilty plea in conjunction with his waiver on Count 1 served as a concession that Count 2 constituted a separate and distinct offense. "Just as a defendant who pleads guilty to a single count admits guilt to the specified offense, so too does a defendant who pleads to two counts with facial allegations of distinct offenses concede that he has committed two separate crimes." Id. at 571 (emphasis added). While in this case Defendant has not admitted to committing two separate crimes, as he only followed through with his agreement to plead to one, he has admitted to at least being charged with two separate crimes. The added Count 2 had "facial allegations" of a distinct offense. Id. As such, Defendant is not currently facing prosecution for an offense of which he has already been convicted. Defendant had the opportunity to reject the State's offer

and demanded a trial on the felony count. Instead, at that time he chose to accept the State's offer of pleading to an added misdemeanor Count 2 in Justice Court and waive his preliminary hearing on the original Count 1. As such, he relinquished his entitlement to challenge the separate counts as a violation of double jeopardy. See <u>Id.</u>

ĬΤ

EVEN IF THE COURT FINDS THE INSTANT CASE RAISES A PLAUSIBLE DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAIM, DEFENDANT HAS WAIVED ANY CLAIMS

While double jeopardy is a constitutional right, a defendant in a criminal proceeding can agree to waive said right. See Ricketts v. Adamson, 483 U.S. 1, 107 S. Ct. 2680 (1987). The defendant in Ricketts had entered into an agreement with the prosecution to testify against two other defendants in a murder case in exchange for a plea to a lesser offense. He testified during trial and was subsequently adjudicated, sentenced and began serving a prison term of 48-49 years. Id. at 3. The convictions for the two defendants he had testified against were then reversed. Id. at 4.

The State sought Ricketts' testimony a second time for the retrial of the other two defendants. However, Ricketts refused to testify and even invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege against self incrimination when placed on the witness stand. <u>Id.</u> at 5. Thereafter, the State filed a new information charging Ricketts with the original charge of First Degree Murder. He was convicted at trial and given the death penalty. <u>Id.</u> at 7.

The defendant challenged his conviction federally. An en banc 9th Circuit Court of Appeals reversed his conviction on the grounds that it violated double jeopardy. <u>Id.</u> The Supreme Court reversed the 9th Circuit and held:

The State submits, however, that respondent's breach of the plea arrangement to which the parties had agreed removed the double jeopardy bar to prosecution of respondent on the first-degree murder charge. We agree with the State.

<u>Id.</u> at 8. It's also important to note that the Court did not find it significant that "double jeopardy" was not specifically waived by name in the agreement. <u>Id.</u>

The Court went on to state:

At the plea hearing, the trial judge read the plea agreement to respondent, line by line, and pointedly asked respondent whether he understood the provisions...The terms of the agreement could not be clearer: in the event of respondent's breach occasioned by refusal to testify, parties would be returned to the status quo ante, in which case respondent would have no double jeopardy defense. The approach taken by the Court of Appeals would render the agreement meaningless: first-degree murder charges could not be reinstated against respondent if he categorically refused to testify after sentencing even if the agreement specifically provided that he would so testify, because under the Court of Appeals view, he never waived his double jeopardy protection.

Id. at 11-12 (emphasis added).

Here, the record makes it clear that the agreement between the parties was that Defendant would waive his right to a preliminary hearing on the original felony charge and plead guilty to an added count of Battery Constituting Domestic Violence in Justice Court, after which he would plead guilty to an amended count of Battery Resulting in Substantial Bodily harm in district court. RT p. 2-3. The record further makes it clear that the agreement was that should he change his mind regarding the negotiations, he may take the original felony charge to trial and the misdemeanor conviction would stand. RT p. 4. Defendant made it abundantly clear that he understood and agreed with the negotiations. This, just as in Ricketts, carried with it a clearly implied double jeopardy waiver should double jeopardy even be implicated. To hold otherwise would "render the agreement meaningless" and allow Defendant to achieve a clear windfall of walking away with nothing more than a misdemeanor conviction with a sentence of credit for time served by making a personal decision to back out of negotiations. "The Double Jeopardy Clause...does not relieve a defendant from the consequences of his voluntary choice." Id. at 11 (quoting United States v. Scott, 437 U.S. 82, 88-89 (1978)).

CONCLUSION

As Defendant was charged with separate and distinct offenses, continued prosecution will not violate the Double Jeopardy Clause. Moreover, even if the Court finds that double

While no written plea agreement was filed at this point, the Sate submits that is irrelevant. The terms of the agreement and Defendant's understanding of those terms were clearly laid out on the record.

1	jeopardy is implicated in this case, Defendant has clearly waived his double jeopardy		
2	protections. Defendant should not get a complete and total windfall of only being convicted		
3	of a misdemeanor by making the choice of breaching an agreement with the State and failing		
4	to follow through with negotiations. Based on the foregoing arguments, Defendant's motion		
5	should be DENIED.		
6	DATED this 14th day of July, 2016.		
7	Respectfully submitted,		
8 9	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar#001565		
10 11	BY /ylu () Smith		
12	TYLER D. SMITH Deputy District Attorney		
13	Nevada Bar #011870		
14	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION		
15	I hereby certify that service of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, wa		
16	made this \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
17	KENTON EICHACKER, Deputy Public Defender FAX #702-366-1911		
18			
19	BY: Design Dellar Theresa Dodson		
20	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	TD\$/td/dvs		

1 PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 CLERK OF THE COURT 2 KENTON G. EICHACKER, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER **NEVADA BAR NO. 13114** 3 PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Telephone: (702) 455-4685 5 Facsimile: (702) 455-5112 Attorneys for Defendant DEPARTMENT XXIII 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NE 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 CASE NO. C-16-315552-1 Plaintiff. 10 DEPT. NO. XXIII 11 LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, DATE: August 8, 2016 12 TIME: 9:30 a.m. Defendant, 13 14 MOTION FOR STAY OF DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS 15 COMES NOW, the Defendant, LONNIE LYNN SWEAT, by and through KENTON G. 16 EICHACKER, Deputy Public Defender and hereby request an order granting a stay of proceedings 17 for the defense to pursue a Petition for a Writ of Mandamus and/or Prohibition from the Supreme 18 Court of Nevada. 19 This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 20 attached Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion. 21 DATED this 1st day of August, 2016. 22 PHILIP J. KOHN 23 CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 24 25 /s/ Kenton Eichacker KENTON G. EICHACKER, #13114 26 Deputy Public Defender 27 28

DECLARATION

KENTON G. EICHACKER makes the following declaration:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am a Deputy Public Defender for the Clark County Public Defender's Office appointed to represent Defendant Lonnie Lynn Sweat in the present matter;
- 2. On July 27, 2016, this Honorable Court heard arguments and denied the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Due to Double Jeopardy.
- 3. I am requesting a stay of the District Court proceedings so the Nevada Supreme Court can grant clarification on the potential double jeopardy issue. As the District Court pointed out there is little guidance in the case law regarding this issue.
- 4. I am requesting a stay because the trial date in this case is quickly approaching. The trial is currently set for August 15, 2016.
- 5. I am more than 18 years of age and am competent to testify as to the matters stated herein. I am familiar with the procedural history of the case and the substantive allegations made by The State of Nevada. I also have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein or I have been informed of these facts and believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045). EXECUTED this 1st day of August, 2016.

/s/ Kenton Eichacker
KENTON G. EICHACKER

NOTICE OF MOTION CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff: TO: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the above and foregoing MOTION on for hearing before the Court on the 8th day of August, 2016, at 9:30 a.m. DATED this 1st day of August, 2016. PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER By: /s/ Kenton Eichacker KENTON G. EICHACKER, #13114 Deputy Public Defender CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE I hereby certify that service of the above and forgoing MOTION was served via electronic e-filing to the Clark County District Attorney's Office at motions@clarkcountyda.com on this day of August, 2016 By: /s/Egda Ramirez An employee of the Clark County Public Defender's Office

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

June 09, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

Lonnie Sweat

June 09, 2016

10:00 AM

Initial Arraignment

HEARD BY: De La Garza, Melisa

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Kristen Brown

RECORDER:

Kiara Schmidt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Eichacker, Kenton G.

Public Defender Sweat, Lonnie Lynn Attorney for the Defendant

Attorney Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputized Law Clerk, Matthew Bunnett appearing for the State.

Mr. Eichacker requested matter be CONTINUED to review the plea agreement with the deft., COURT SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

6/15/16 10:00 AM ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED (LLA)

PRINT DATE:

06/15/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

June 09, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

June 15, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

Lonnie Sweat

June 15, 2016

10:00 AM

Arraignment Continued

HEARD BY: De La Garza, Melisa

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Roshonda Mayfield

RECORDER:

Kiara Schmidt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Eichacker, Kenton

Einhorn, Kelsey

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Attorney for the Defendant

Attorney for the State

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

DEFT. SWEAT ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for trial.

CUSTODY

8/10/16 9:30 A.M. CALENDAR CALL (DEPT. 23)

8/15/16 1:00 P.M. JURY TRIAL (DEPT. 23)

PRINT DATE:

06/20/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

June 15, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 13, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

Lonnie Sweat

July 13, 2016

10:30 AM

Motion to Dismiss

Defendant's Motion

to Dismiss

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12C

COURT CLERK: Katherine Streuber

Maria Garibay RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Eichacker, Kenton G.

Rhoades, Kristina A.

Public Defender

Attorney for the State

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Defendant

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- Statement by counsel. Ms. Rhoades advised deputy did not receive motion and requested two week continuance in order to file a response. Argument by counsel. Argument by the State. Court stated this is absolutely right avenue, however, ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

07-27-16 9:30 AM DEFT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

PRINT DATE:

07/18/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

July 13, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 27, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

 $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{s}$

Lonnie Sweat

July 27, 2016

1:55 PM

Minute Order

Minute Order Re:

Deft's Motion to

Dismiss

HEARD BY: Miley, Stefany

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12C

COURT CLERK: Katherine Streuber

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The Nevada Supreme Court has held that plea agreements are subject to contract principles. State v. Crockett, 110 Nev. 838, 842, 877 P.2d 1077, 1079 (1994). Court FINDS Deft. violated spirit of the negotiations. COURT ORDERS, State to place matter back on calendar with Justice Court case number to withdraw adjudication on Misdemeanor.

CUSTODY

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed via e-mail to Deputy District Attorney Tyler Smith and Deputy Public Defender Kenton Eichacker. 07/27/16 kls Flagged for follow up.

PRINT DATE:

07/27/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

July 27, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 27, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

Lonnie Sweat

July 27, 2016

9:30 AM

Motion to Dismiss

Defendant's Motion

to Dismiss

HEARD BY: Miley, Stefany

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12C

COURT CLERK: Katherine Streuber

RECORDER:

Maria Garibay

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Eichacker, Kenton G.

Smith, Tyler D.

Public Defender

Attorney for the State

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court noted motion was based upon double jeopardy. Argument by counsel noting the State wanted the misdemeanor entered separately. Court stated counsel understood it would be a misdemeanor and felony and noted it did not comply with spirit of negotiations. Further argument by counsel pointing out Deft. entered fictitious plea to misdemeanor and noted they would proceed on the felony. Argument by the State regarding Broce case, noted count was added to Complaint in Justice Court and pointed out that they are distinct charges. Additional argument by counsel stating there are no separate elements of facts. Further argument by the State. Court advised it would render a decision via minute order.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

08/08/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

July 27, 2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

August 08, 2016

C-16-315552-1

State of Nevada

Lonnie Sweat

August 08, 2016

9:30 AM

Motion For Stay

Defendant's Motion

for Stay of District **Court Proceedings**

HEARD BY: Miley, Stefany

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 12C

COURT CLERK: Katherine Streuber

RECORDER:

Maria Garibay

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Eichacker, Kenton G.

Heap, Hilary

Public Defender

Attorney for the State

Sweat, Lonnie Lynn

Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. FURTHER, matter SET for status check. Trial date VACATED.

CUSTODY

10-10-16 9:30 AM STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT DECISION

PRINT DATE:

08/22/2016

Page 1 of 1

Minutes Date:

August 08, 2016

AV THE SOTTEME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
LONNIE LYNN SWEAT,) No. (District Ct. No. C-16-315552-1)
Petitioner,) (Justice Ct. No. 16F07438X)
V)
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT	
JUDGE,)
Respondents,)
and)
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Real Party In Interest.)
	IX TO WRIT OF MANDAMUS
Clark County Public Defender	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT
	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
·	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
	Counsel for Respondent
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevad	
Supreme Court on the 24 th day of August, 2016. Electronic Service of the foregoin	
document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:	
ADAM LAXALT	KENTON EICHACKER HOWARD S. BROOKS
SIEVEN S. OWENS	HO WIND O. DICOOKS
	Petitioner, v. THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, Respondents, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party In Interest. PETITIONER'S APPENDIPLICATION PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Attorney for Appellant CERTIFICATION IN CLASSIFICATION IN C

1	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy		
2	thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:		
3	HONORABLE DISTRICT STEFANY MILEY		
4	DISTRICT COURT, DEPT. XXIII 200 LEWIS AVENUE		
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
6			
7			
8	BY /s/ Carrie M. Connolly		
9	BY <u>/s/ Carrie M. Connolly</u> Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	, ·		