IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

COREY THOMAS BARNETT,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Docket No. 71132

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction Following a Jury Trial and Verdict Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Douglas Smith, District Judge Case No. C-16-312887-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Vol. 2 of 2

BEN NADIG Nevada Bar No. 9876 **LAW OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.** 324 S. 3rd St. #200 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 545-7592

Counsel for Appellant

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

Description	Vol.	Pages	Date
Indictment	1	1-3	2/24/2016
Amended Indictment		4-5	4/7/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 1	1	6-217	4/11/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 2	2	1-128	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Controlled Substance)	2	129	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Firearm)	2	130	4/12/2016
Transcript: Sentencing	2	131-44	6/15/2016
Judgment of Conviction	2	145-46	7/21/2016
Notice of Appeal	2	147-48	8/19/2016

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

Description	Vol.	Pages	Date
Amended Indictment	1	4-5	4/7/2016
Indictment	1	1-3	2/24/2016
Judgment of Conviction	2	145-46	7/21/2016
Notice of Appeal	2	147-48	8/19/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 1	1	6-217	4/11/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 2	2	1-128	4/12/2016
Transcript: Sentencing	2	131-44	6/15/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Controlled Substance)	2	129	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Firearm)	2	130	4/12/2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 26 of April, 2017, I served this document on the following:

Name Address

Steven B. Wolfson, Esq. Steven S. Owens, Esq. Clark County District Attorney's Office Via eFlex 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155

Adam Laxalt, Esq. Nevada Attorney General's Office Via eFlex 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701

/s/ Ben Nadig

BEN NADIG Nevada Bar No. 9876 **LAW OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.** 324 S. 3rd St. #200 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 545-7592

Attorney for Appellant

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, this document contains no social security numbers.

 /s/ Ben Nadig
 4-26-17

 Ben Nadig
 Date

		10/24/2016 09:55:51 AM
1	TRAN	Alm A. Chrim
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5		
6	DISTRIC	T COURT
7	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
8		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C312887
10	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
11	vs.	
12	COREY THOMAS BARNETT,	
13		
14	Defendant.	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16	TUESDAY, A	PRIL 12, 2016
17		
18	JURY TRI	F PROCEEDINGS AL - DAY 2
19	VOLU	JME II
20	APPEARANCES:	
21	For the State:	COLLEEN BAHARAV, ESQ. MICHAEL GILES, ESQ.
22		Deputy District Attorneys
23	For the Defendant:	BEN NADIG, ESQ.
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER
	Volume	II - Page 1
		ING & TRANSCRIPTION rande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

INDEX OF WITNESSES

2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	FOR THE STATE:	
4	CHRISTOPHER DEANG	
5	Direct Examination by Attorney Giles Voir Dire Examination by Attorney Nadig	10 36
6	Direct Examination Continued by Attorney Giles	36
7	Cross-Examination by Attorney Nadig Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles	46 62
	Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig	70 7.4
8	Jury Questions by the Court Further Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles	74 74
9	Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig	74
10	Jury Questions by the Court Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig	75 75
11	Jury Questions by the Court	76
12	Further Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles Jury Questions by the Court	76 77
13	Further Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles	77
14	Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig Jury Questions by the Court	77 78
	Further Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles	78
15	Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig	79
16	REBEKAH ALTIZER	
17	Direct Examination by Attorney Baharav Cross-Examination by Attorney Nadig	80 99
18	Redirect Examination by Attorney Baharav	101
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Volume II - Page 2	

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

INDEX OF EXHIBITS PAGE FOR THE STATE: Evidence Bag 13A Narcotics 13B NIK Receipt Photograph - Vehicle Judgment of Conviction Volume II - Page 3

1	Tuesday, April 12, 2016 at 10:18 a.m.
2	
3	[Outside the presence of the jury]
4	THE COURT: We are print it's rolling.
5	MR. NADIG: Okay. Your Honor, last night after Mr. Barnett went back to
6	CCDC, the State presented an another offer. They said if he pled to the
7	prohibited person and possession charge, they would retain the right to argue. It
8	would not be contingent on any of his other cases. They would dismiss the PCS
9	case. Mr. Barnett asked for the Shannon West program and he said he would
0	stipulate to whatever habitual treatment should he mess up. The State rejected tha
1	so based upon that Mr. Barnett would like to go forward, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Let's see the jury instructions. Do we have them?
3	MS. BAHARAV: Yes.
4	[Colloquy between counsel]
5	MS. BAHARAV: May I approach?
6	THE COURT: Yeah. What are those two?
7	MS. BAHARAV: The I put
8	THE COURT: Or those three or four or five or six.
9	MS. BAHARAV: So the the one that was up was the implicit biases one, so
20	I'm putting that back now because he agreed where it goes, and then these are the
21	ex-felon in possession instructions.
22	THE COURT: Okay, so we don't want to give those right now.
23	MS. BAHARAV: No. We don't.
24	THE COURT: All right, are you ready?

Volume II - Page 4

MR. NADIG: Yes, Your Honor.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BAHARAV: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, jury instruction number 1 is the -- is the introduction and it's number 1. Number 2, if in these instructions. Number 3, an indictment is a formal method. Number 4, except as other authorized by law. Number 5, you are instructed that methamphetamine is a controlled substance. Number 6, the law recognizes two types of possession. Number 7, the Defendant's knowledge that the substance. Number 8, constitute the crime charged. Number 9, Defendant is presumed innocent. Number 10, you're here to determine the guilt or innocence of the Defendant. Number 11, the evidence which you are to consider. Number 12, do not decide the case on implicit bias. Number 13, credibility or believability. Number 14, the -- the witness has special knowledge.

And you -- are you going -- have you decided whether you're testifying or not?

MR. NADIG: He's -- I've spoken with my client about his Fifth Amendment rights, Your Honor. He is declining to testify at this point.

THE COURT: Is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. So 15 will be it is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial he may not be compelled to testify. Sixteen, although you are consider. Seventeen, in your deliberation. Eighteen, when you retire, select one of your members. Nineteen, the playback. Twenty is now you'll listen to arguments of counsel.

The State is familiar with jury instructions 1 through 20?

MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the Court giving those

Volume II - Page 5

1	instructions?
2	MS. BAHARAV: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any others to propose?
4	MS. BAHARAV: Just the ex-felon in possession, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: That's separate. We'll have to do that separately.
6	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor. So no, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: You're familiar with the verdict form?
8	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And you're satisfied with the verdict form?
10	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Defense, you're familiar with jury instructions 1 through 20?
12	MR. NADIG: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Satisfied with them?
14	MR. NADIG: I am, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any more to propose?
16	MR. NADIG: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: And you've reviewed the verdict form?
18	MR. NADIG: I have, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Satisfied with that?
20	MR. NADIG: I am.
21	THE COURT: All right. Now let's look at the proposed firearm by an ex-felor
22	We'll just adapt the first set with that and then add these?
23	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.
24	MR. NADIG: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So an indictment is but a formal method will be 21.
	Volume II - Page 6

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	THE COURT: This
2	MS. BAHARAV: The title page.
3	THE COURT: The title page.
4	MR. NADIG: If you feel I I don't feel
5	THE COURT: I don't know.
6	MR. NADIG: it's necessary.
7	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah, you can take it off.
8	THE COURT: I'll take it off.
9	MS. BAHARAV: That's fine.
0	THE COURT: Where is he?
1	THE MARSHAL: He was pushing his chair out to walk here when I left.
2	THE COURT: Go get your handcuffs out and go get him.
3	THE MARSHAL: Here he is.
4	[Colloquy between the Court and Law Clerk]
5	[Recess taken at 10:28 a.m.]
6	[Proceedings resumed at 10:34 a.m.]
7	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
8	[Jury in at 10:34 a.m.]
9	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
20	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury?
21	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.
22	MR. NADIG: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for being
24	prompt. We even got a defense attorney here on time. Not you. Her.
25	Call your next witness.
	Volume II - Page 9

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

Volume II - Page 16

1	further inve	stigation.
2	Q	Okay. At some point was Corey Barnett searched?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did you observe that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay, who conducted the search?
7	A	Officer Fernandez.
8	Q	And so you were still in your capacity as a cover officer?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Were you able to see Mr. Barnett's person when the search was being
11	conducted?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did you observe anything that was found on Mr. Barnett?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	What did you see?
16	A	There were it was drugs that was recovered from his right front coin
17	pocket.	
18	Q	Okay. And did you ultimately take possession of those drugs?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. We're going to come back to that in a second. Let me back up
21	to the silver	firearm. At some point did you go into the vehicle?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Was the why why would you go into the vehicle at that point?
24	A	The conduct an inventory search of the vehicle.
25	Q	Under what circumstances would you conduct an inventory search of a
		Volume II - Page 21
	1	

Volume II - Page 24

1	projectile leaves the barrel.		
2	Q	So that moves back and forth?	
3	А	Correct.	
4	Q	And when you pulled on that and tried to remove the magazine, that's	
5	when you r	ealized this was not a real firearm?	
6	A	Correct.	
7	Q	Prior to that were you operating under the assumption there were two	
8	actual live f	irearms in the vehicle?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	MR.	GILES: Court's brief indulgence.	
11	BY MR. GII	LES:	
12	Q	Okay, so let's go back to the drugs.	
13	MR.	GILES: May I approach the Clerk, Your Honor?	
14	THE	COURT: Yes.	
15		[Colloquy between counsel]	
16	BY MR. GII	LES:	
17	Q	Before we do that, let me back up so I don't forget to come back to it.	
18	We talked i	n great detail about the Airsoft firearm. What what kind of we keep	
19	saying it's r	not a real firearm. When you say Airsoft firearm, what does that mean?	
20	Α	Airsoft is it's it's actually a sport people play. It it shoots pellets,	
21	plastic pelle	ets.	
22	Q	Okay. So it's really a BB gun?	
23	Α	Yes.	
24	Q	But in all other respects it operates as a firearm?	
25	A	Yes.	
		Volume II - Page 25	

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

2 AA 027

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

Volume II - Page 29

1	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah.	
2	THE COURT: All right.	
3	MS. BAHARAV: Okay, thanks.	
4	[Bench conference ends at 11:03 a.m.]	
5	BY MR. GILE	ES:
6	Q	Okay, Officer Deang
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q ·	on the outside of the evidence bag
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q ·	does it appear that anybody else opened that after you put it into
11	evidence?	
12	MR. NADIG: And Your Honor, for the record, that's	
13	MS. BAHARAV: Thirteen.	
14	MR. NADIG: 13?	
15	MR. GILES: Thirteen.	
16	THE COURT: Thirteen.	
17	MR. GILES: Thirteen.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19	BY MR. GILES:	
20	Q	Would you look at that and and tell me who else may have opened
21	and resealed that package?	
22	Α	The lab technician.
23	Q	Okay. And is that pretty standard?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q.	And you weren't there when the lab technician opened it, correct?
		Volume II - Page 35

1	BY MR. GILES:	
2	Q And every officer has	
3	THE COURT: Over the	
4	Q a P number, correct?	
5	THE COURT: Over the objection	
6	A Correct.	
7	THE COURT: of the defense it'll be admitted.	
8	[State's Exhibit 13A admitted]	
9	MR. GILES: Thank you, Your Honor.	
10	BY MR. GILES:	
11	Q And so that's one of the ways in addition to event number you track by	
12	P number so you know who did what?	
13	A Yeah, who identify who handled the property, who was (indiscernible	
14	anything.	
15	Q Okay. Would you open 13B, the NIK test receipt there? Does that	
16	appear to be the same document you filled out on September 25th?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Okay, let's talk a little bit about NIK testing because	
19	THE COURT: Any objection to its admission?	
20	MR. NADIG: No. No.	
21	THE COURT: It'll be admitted.	
22	[State's Exhibit 13B admitted]	
23	MR. GILES: Thank you, Your Honor.	
24	BY MR. GILES:	
25	Q Let's talk a little bit about NIK testing. What is NIK testing?	
	Volume II - Page 37	

A It's a test to identify whether drugs are real or not.

Q I believe you previously testified you were certified to do NIK testing in June of '07?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And did you conduct the NIK test in this case?

A Yes.

Q Would you walk the ladies and gentlemen of the jury through how you would go about determining that a substance was in fact at least presumptively a narcotic?

A Well, if we find a narcotic, we -- we test it. When I have it, we have a scale and we weigh it. We weigh it by its gross weight by leaving it inside the package and in this case it was 4.1 grams gross so is the -- the item itself, the narcotic, and then the baggies that it was in is -- which is the total weight.

From there there's a -- a test. It's a small little vial. We take a piece -- just a very, very small piece which we have little -- small little spoons or sticks at the station that are -- that are clean and we take just a little sample and we put inside of that -- that vial, the test package. And then there's other vials in there that contain like a -- a chemical. The instructions are actually on the sheet on how to do it, so we pretty much break those vials according to the list and then it -- it changes colors. So if -- if the -- if the narcotic is positive, it'll -- it changes to a certain color and this color is brown for the first test. There's two tests for methamphetamine.

Q And what is the second test?

A It's -- it's another test just to pretty much set the foundation that it is meth and then it -- that color turns blue.

Q Okay. And did you conduct both of those tests on the 13A?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And you said you you did that at the station?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Not out in the field by the van?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. And at the conclusion of that test, what does the results tell you?
7	A	It's positive.
8	Q	Positive for?
9	A	Methamphetamine.
10	Q	Okay. Do you fill out any other forms
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	in addition to that one?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	Now, you said it was 4.1 grams gross weight.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	What is when you weigh weighed 13B, did you take the drugs out
17	of the pack	aging?
18	A	No, we left them in the packaging.
19	Q	And the scale you used is what kind of scale is it?
20	A	It's a electronic scale at our substation.
21	Q	Okay. And that's provided to you by LVMPD?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. So you weighed it in the packaging?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Would it be a surprise if it weighed less when it was just the drugs?
		Volume II - Page 39

21

22

23

24

25

Q What's a narcotics kit?

A A narcotics kit could be a range of things. It could be spoons -- just paraphernalia pretty much that people use for drugs. It could be spoons, it could be needles, it could be -- it could be the cotton they use to filter the -- certain narcotics with, it could be pipes for smoking different types of drugs.

Q And you -- did you have some reason to believe there might be a narcotics kit or a pipe in the vehicle?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And did you impound that -- you -- I said you did impound that, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Now, on the impound sheet, why do you write a separate report for the items that are impounded?

A To -- pretty much for chain of custody and for evidence to identify the items that we took in as evidence and to document what we have.

Q At some point did you become aware that there might have been an error in the impound sheet in this case?

A Yes.

Q What was that error?

A I -- on -- on the -- the packaging I wrote -- no, it was -- it was the -- wasn't packaging, it was the -- the property report I wrote marijuana instead of methamphetamine. We're just -- I'm kind of in cruise control just trying to get paperwork done. They're kind of similar long words, marijuana, methamphetamine, so I -- I accidentally wrote marijuana.

Q When you became aware of that, did you do anything?

1	Q	Did the clothing he was wearing appear to fit?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Were the pants he was wearing, did they appear to be men's pants?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And did they appear to be appropriately sized for him?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	The vehicle. Do you know what happened the vehicle?
8	A	Yes, we impounded the vehicle.
9	Q	And is it still in impound?
10	A	I believe the registered owner took took it out of impound.
11	Q	And I believe you said the registered owner was?
12	A	Corey's mother.
13	Q	Okay. Were you able to determine his home address during the arrest?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Do you remember what that address was?
16	A	I remember the street. I don't remember the numbers off top my head,
17	but the stre	et is Summersweet.
18	Q	Okay. And do you remember the registered address of the vehicle?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And what was that?
21	A	I don't I don't remember the number. It's Summersweet.
22	Q	Okay.
23	A	I'd have to look in my report.
24	Q	Was there any reason during your investigation believe that the vehicle
25	wasn't regis	stered to his mother?
		Volume II - Page 44

1	A	No.
2	Q	Okay.
3	MR.	GILES: May I approach, Your Honor?
4	THE	COURT: Yes.
5	BY MR. GI	LES:
6	Q	If I was to show you the impound sheet, would that refresh your
7	recollection	n as to the specific address?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Look at that and look up at me when you're done.
10	Α	Okay.
11	Q	Okay. Did that help you refresh your recollection?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	What address is the vehicle registered to?
14	A	9540 Summersweet.
15	Q	And is that also Mr. Barnett's registered address?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Okay.
18	MR.	GILES: Court's brief indulgence.
19		[Colloquy between counsel]
20	BY MR. GILES:	
21	Q	So Lincoln Valley. You said that's in Southeast Area Command?
22	A	South Central Area Command.
23	Q	South Central Area Command.
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Is that Las Vegas or Henderson?
		Volume II - Page 45

1	А	Las Vegas.
2	Q	Okay. Would you work in Henderson?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	I mean it's Clark County. I can I can go police there too but yeah.
6	Q	Okay. So but Lincoln Valley Road is in Las Vegas, Nevada, Clark
7	County?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And the vehicle that you saw Mr. Barnett driving the week
10	previously,	was it the same vehicle you saw on September 25th?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And this incident happened on September 25th, 2015?
13	А	Yes.
14	MR.	GILES: Brief indulgence. Nothing further. We'll pass the witness.
15	THE	COURT: Cross.
16	MR.	NADIG: Thanks, Your Honor.
17		CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. NA	ADIG:
19	Q	Morning, Officer, how you doing?
20	A	Hello.
21	Q	You doing all right?
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	Okay. So you had met Corey prior to this date?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay, and and there was a traffic stop same car?
		Volume II - Page 46
	Ī	

1	Q	Walk up to Officer Fernandez, correct?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Okay, and he is standing where?
4	А	He's actually in the vehicle.
5	Q	In his car?
6	A	Officer Fernandez?
7	Q	Yes.
8	А	Yeah, yeah.
9	Q	Okay, so Officer Fernandez is in his car.
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. So you approach, he relays information to you, have person and
12	warrant, just need backup.	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. So at that point in time you both approach the car?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay, and Officer Fernandez goes on the driver side?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And you go on the passenger side.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Now, when you stand at the passenger side relative to the door,
21	where are	you standing? Are you standing directly in Mr. Barnett's line of sight or
22	are you sta	nding behind him?
23	A	Behind him.
24	Q	Okay. And does Mr. Barnett roll his window down for you?
25	А	I don't recall if it was already I I want to say it was I I don't recall
		Volume II - Page 50
		GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadana Driva, Casa Granda, A.Z. 85104 (623) 203-0240

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

Volume II - Page 51

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

1	MR.	NADIG: Okay.
2	BY MR. NA	ADIG:
3	Q	So no idea where those things were located, correct?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And no photographs of those?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. Now, possession of a hypodermic device is a misdemeanor, is it
8	not?	
9	A	It's not illegal anymore.
10	Q	Okay. Possession of a drug kit would be illegal, correct?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	That would be possession of drug paraphernalia which is a
13	misdemear	nor as well, correct?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	Okay. Now ultimately one person was arrested, correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Who was that person?
18	A	Corey Barnett.
19	Q	Okay. So Miss. Allen was not arrested?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Okay. There was a drug kit that was found?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And she admitted that there was a speed pipe?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. But she was not arrested?
		Volume II - Page 60

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

2 AA 066

1	to either side.	
2	MR. GILES: May I approach, Your Honor?	
3	THE COURT: Yes.	
4	BY MR. GIL	LES:
5	Q	I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed 14. Would
6	you look at that briefly?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you recognize what's in that photograph?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Is that what is that?
11	A	Oh, it's it's a photograph of the scene of the of the vehicle and our
12	patrol vehicle.	
13	Q	Okay, does it fairly and accurately represent that vehicle from that
14	angle?	
15	A	Yes.
16	MR.	GILES: And we've shown to the defense, Your Honor. May I move for
17	admission of State's 14.	
18	MR. I	NADIG: No objection, Your Honor.
19	THE	COURT: Be admitted.
20		[State's Exhibit 14 admitted]
21	MR.	GILES: May I publish?
22	THE	COURT: Yes.
23	BY MR. GIL	ES:
24	Q	Okay. So this is the passenger side of the from the front passenger
25	corner, corr	rect?
		Volume II - Page 67

1	А	A Correct.			
2	Q	Q Okay, it's just when you arrived it was in the car, correct?			
3	А	A Correct.			
4	Q	And it was on the driver side?			
5	А	Correct.			
6	Q	And that was where Miss. Allen was sitting?			
7	А	A Correct.			
8	Q	Okay. Additionally, Mr. Giles brought up the fact that you do have a lot			
9	of discretion, correct?				
10	А	Correct.			
11	Q	Okay, you can choose to and this is for misdemeanors, correct? For			
12	felonies that discretion goes out the window.				
13	А	A Correct.			
14	Q	But for a misdemeanor, you have the discretion where whether to			
15	charge somebody or not, correct?				
16	A Yes.				
17	Q	Okay, and even with Miss. Allen's admission even with her warrant you			
18	decided not to charge her?				
19	A Correct.				
20	MR. NADIG: Nothing further.				
21	MR. GILES: We have nothing further, Your Honor.				
22	THE COURT: Jury have any questions this officer? Write your name down.				
23	[Colloquy between the Marshal and Jury]				
24	THE COURT: I'll have the attorneys in the back hall.				
25	[Court and counsel exit courtroom at 11:43 a.m.]				
		Volume II - Page 73			

1	A	Correct.		
2	Q	Okay. Who took the photos?		
3	Α	Another officer, Officer Fryman (phonetic).		
4	Q	Okay.		
5	THE	COURT: When you did inventory the vehicle, did you find the pipe		
6	referred to	by Ashley Allen?		
7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall off top my head. I would have to look narcotics			
8	kit. We im	pounded everything and because if they're hypo devices we have to put		
9	it in a special container, so I would have to look inside that that the kit.			
10	THE COURT: State.			
11	MR. GILES: Nothing, Your Honor.			
12	THE COURT: Defense.			
13	MR. NADIG: If I may, Your Honor?			
14	THE COURT: Yeah.			
15	[Colloquy between counsel]			
16	FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION			
17	BY MR. NA	ADIG:		
18	Q	Would looking at your impound report refresh your recollection as to		
19	whether you impounded the pipe or not?			
20	Α	Cor		
21	MR.	NADIG: May I approach?		
22	THE	COURT: Yeah.		
23	THE WITNESS: No.			
24	BY MR. NA	ADIG:		
25	Q	Okay. So does reviewing that refresh your recollection?		
		Volume II - Page 75		
		GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ, 85104 (623) 203-0240		

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	A	Yes.		
2	Q	Okay. Did you ever find a pipe?		
3	A	No, the pipe yeah, the pipe's not in the narco kit.		
4	Q	Okay.		
5	Α	Wasn't found.		
6	MR. N	IADIG: Nothing further.		
7	THE (COURT: What was Mr. Barrett's (sic) reaction when the drugs were		
8	found?			
9	THE WITNESS: I I don't I I guess just kind of like I'm trying to think o			
10	away to describe the reactions, kind of like we found drugs. It's nothing overly			
11	overly exciting, like they don't pretty much say anything just kind of like you you			
12	found something on me.			
13	THE COURT: Okay. State?			
14		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
15	BY MR. GIL	ES:		
16	Q	Would a good definition be resigned?		
17	MR. N	IADIG: Objection; calls for speculation.		
18	THE	COURT: Sustained.		
19	THE \	WITNESS: Yes.		
20	MR. G	SILES: Okay, hold a minute.		
21	THE	COURT: Strike that.		
22	MR. N	IADIG: Move to strike, Your Honor.		
23	MR. G	SILES: Can't answer the question, the Judge sustained		
24	THE \	WITNESS: Oh.		
25	MR. G	SILES: the objection.		
		Volume II - Page 76		

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	THE WITNESS: Oh.				
2	MR. GILES: So let me rephrase that.				
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.				
4	BY MR. GILES:				
5	Q When the drugs were found, did Mr. Barnett's head move up or down?				
6	A I don't I don't recall off top my head.				
7	Q Safe to say you just weren't looking for that, you didn't see anything				
8	A Correct.				
9	MR. GILES: Nothing further.				
10	THE COURT: Defense.				
11	MR. NADIG: Nothing further, Your Honor.				
12	THE COURT: Okay. When asked for his ID, where was it?				
13	THE WITNESS: He he verbally identified himself.				
14	THE COURT: State?				
15	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION				
16	BY MR. GILES:				
17	Q Let me clarify. On which incident did he verbally identify himself, Officer				
18	Deang?				
19	A In this incident, the September incident.				
20	Q Okay. So to you he verbally identified himself?				
21	A Correct.				
22	Q Okay. And you do not recall receiving a plastic ID?				
23	A Correct.				
24	MR. GILES: Nothing further.				
25	FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION				
	Volume II - Page 77				

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	А	Yes.			
2	Q	And the gun?			
3	А	Yes.			
4		[Colloquy between counsel]			
5	BY MR. N	ADIG:			
6	Q	And the Airsoft gun?			
7	А	Correct.			
8	Q	Okay.			
9	MR. NADIG: Nothing further, Your Honor.				
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Officer. You can go.				
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.				
12	THE COURT: You guys need a break? See no hands.				
13	Call your next witness.				
14	MS. BAHARAV: State calls Rebekah Altizer.				
15	THE MARSHAL: Rebekah. Right through here. Come on up here, please.				
16	Remain standing, raise your right hand till she swears you in, please.				
17		REBEKAH ALTIZER			
18	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]				
19	THE CLERK: Okay, once you're seated please state and spell your full name				
20	for the record.				
21	THE WITNESS: My name is Rebekah Altizer, R-e-b-e-k-a-h A-l-t-i-z-e-r.				
22	MS.	BAHARAV: May I proceed?			
23	THE	COURT: Yeah.			
24		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF REBEKAH ALTIZER			
25	BY MS. BA	AHARAV:			
		Volume II - Page 80			
	***************************************	GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION			

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

Q	All right.	So we alread	ly talked	about you	ır training	and e	xperience.	
want to foc	us your att	ention now a	little bit o	on the lab	itself, oka	y?		

- A Okay.
- Q You've been there now for three years. Is it fair to say that you're familiar with the policies and -- and the procedures in place at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And specifically within the lab?
 - A Correct.
- Q So we've already talked a little bit about a technical review and an administrative review, but when you're assigned a case specifically, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what the process is for that?

A When I'm assigned a case, I will call up evidence from the main evidence vault. It's transferred to the evidence vault at our forensic lab. It's there that I will pick up the evidence and it will be put into my custody. I will then take the evidence back to my workstation. I check that all of the labels and seals are intact to ensure that I have chain of custody. I will then open up the evidence and take an inventory of what's inside, and as long as everything is accounted for and is correct, I will begin my analysis.

- Q So if something on a piece of evidence that you receive kind of raises a red flag, you would -- would you continue to look into that evidence?
- A Depending on what it was I would definitely notify my manager and if it was significant, then we may re-package the evidence and send it back to the vault. Again it just depends on the situation.
 - Q Is it fair to say that the lab is responsible for assisting other agencies in

25

Α

1	Q	And did that match your visual check of 13A?				
2	A	Yes, it did.				
3	Q	Now I want you to put down 13B and let's take a look at 13A which is				
4	the narcotics themselves. So in in regards to the narcotics, is this how they					
5	appeared to you when you pulled them out of the package?					
6	A	There was one plastic bag that was knotted. In order to do my analysis				
7	I undid the knot and I have placed a tape flag on it. And then there was another					
8	package that was in a plastic bag and the ends were kind of burned or seared					
9	together and I had to cut open that package and I repackaged it into a laboratory					
10	plastic bag.					
11	Q	Okay, can you hold that item up and show the jury exactly which item				
12	you had to put the tape on?					
13	A	This one was the knotted plastic bag and I've just placed a tape seal to				
14	hold in the contents. And then this bag was seared together and I've repackaged it					
15	into a laboratory plastic bag.					
16	Q	Now the items that you're holding in your hand, do those appear to be				
17	the exact narcotics that you reviewed in this case?					
18	A	They do.				
19	Q	And do they appear to be the exact narcotics you put back into the bag				
20	after your review?					
21	A	Yes.				
22	Q	So we've now talked a little bit about you getting assigned the case by				
23	your manager, calling up the evidence, having it brought to you. Once you received					
24	the evidence, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you did exactly					

Volume II - Page 92

So I start my analysis by taking a net weight. That would be a weight

need to be concerned about with -- regarding weight?

With the plus or minus .006 grams, it's an uncertainty of measurement. Basically each balance that we use in the laboratory we have determined a range of certainty and that's just associated with the weight so it's --

So is it like an average of -- of all of the scales that you might have in the lab that that 00.0 -- wait, .006 is the uncertainty that could happen?

It's not an average of all the balances. Each uncertainty of measurement is calculated for each specific balance. It kind of takes into account conditions like humidity, air drafts, where a weight is placed on the balance, as well as other factors like the -- the external vendor's calibration that they do once a year. And so for this particular balance that I used in this case, the actual uncertainty of measurement is plus or minus 0.003 grams. I have to double that because I took

- Okay. So if we're talking by .006, is that a large amount?
- Can you give an explanation as to how big that really is?
- So let's say that a sugar packet that you have at the restaurant weighs about one gram. The .006 grams would be six individual sugar crystals.
- So when your weight is 2.05 grams plus or minus this .006, it could be plus point -- those six sugar crystals, or in this case methamphetamine, or minus six crystals of methamphetamine?
 - Correct.

23

24

25

- So it's a small amount? Q
- It's a small amount. Α
- Now, I -- I said methamphetamine and is that because the -- the peek --Q

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

Volume II - Page 96

So on -- do you recall what date you actually conducted the tests in this

25

Q

1	Q	Okay, and to your knowledge there was no DNA requested in this test?			
2	A	Not for this item, no.			
3	Q	And if there was, it would be listed on that evidence sheet, correct?			
4	A Correct.				
5	Q	Okay.			
6	MR. NADIG: Nothing further, Your Honor.				
7	MS.	BAHARAV: May I ask one question?			
8	THE	COURT: Uh-huh.			
9	MS. BAHARAV: Well it's maybe it's two parts.				
10	THE COURT: Nope, you can only ask one.				
11	MS. BAHARAV: Then I'll ask a				
12	THE COURT: I'm kidding.				
13	MS. BAHARAV: really long question.				
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION				
15	BY MS. BAHARAV:				
16	Q Ms. Altizer, is it fair to say that you didn't respond to the scene in this				
17	case?				
18	A	I did not.			
19	Q	So you would have no way of knowing if these narcotics were found in			
20	the Defendant's pocket?				
21	A	I would not know that.			
22	Q	Okay. Thank you.			
23	THE COURT: Any further?				
24	MR.	NADIG: No, Your Honor.			
25	THE COURT: Jury have any questions this witness?				
		Volume II - Page 101			

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seeing no hands. You're free to go, ma'am.

MS. BAHARAV: State does not have any additional witnesses so we'll be resting at this time.

THE COURT: All right. State's rest their case in chief. Do you have any witnesses to call?

MR. NADIG: I do not, Your Honor. So the defense would rest.

THE COURT: All right, let's take a five-minute recess and then we'll have closing arguments. I'll read the jury instructions to you.

MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.

THE COURT: So during -- during this recess, you're admonished not to talk or discuss the -- among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or read or watch or listen any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, radio or the internet, or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial till the case finally submitted to you. Take five minutes, please.

THE MARSHAL: Folks, leave your notebooks on your chairs. Come on out.

[Jury out at 12:17 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right, do we need the -- the computers, are you just going to argue or what?

MR. NADIG: I believe that Ms. Baharav said she has a PowerPoint.

MR. GILES: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GILES: We have a PowerPoint for closing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Five minutes.

having drugs in your pocket. Sometimes that's what happens. But in Nevada, having drugs in your pocket is a crime.

On September 25th, 2015, located at 8274 Lincoln Valley Street here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, Officers Fernandez and Deang came into contact with the Defendant. He was sleeping in a vehicle that they knew that belonged to him. His girlfriend was in the driver seat. They pulled them out of the car because she had a warrant. Ultimately they conducted a search upon seeing firearms in the vehicle. And they found drugs in the Defendant's pocket.

It's that simple. He was wearing pants that fit him. He appeared to be wearing male pants. Didn't appear to have put anything into his pocket right before then, but even if he had it wouldn't matter, because sometimes it's just as simple as having drugs in your pocket.

They approached the Pontiac. They found the firearm on the floorboard. They found the Airsoft gun on the other floorboard. Both of them had access to both of these weapons. They pulled them out of the vehicle. It is what it is.

In Nevada, the State has a couple of things that we must prove. We have obviously a burden beyond a reasonable doubt and I'll show you instruction nine which deals with reasonable doubt. But the State must demonstrate in every case that a crime was committed and that the defendant committed the crime.

Obviously, narcotics is a crime. We're going to talk about that in a minute, but the question really is who committed the crime. And that's instruction 10. I want you to focus on that when you're talking with each other in the back.

A lot of things were brought up about Ashley Allen. Ashley Allen was in the driver seat. Ashley Allen said that there might be a pipe in the vehicle, although

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 |

 a pipe wasn't found. Ashley Allen was the one talking to the officers. But Ashley Allen's not on trial. And instruction 10 deals with exactly this scenario. If Ashley Allen's not on trial, even if she could have been convicted of a misdemeanor, even if she had a pipe, even if she had a warrant, it doesn't matter because instruction 10 says you're here to determine the guilt or innocence of this defendant from the evidence in this case. You're not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt or innocence of any other person. So even if you believe that she should have been arrested, even if you believe that she should have been cited or arrested for possessing that narcotics kit, you have to focus on him and whether or not the evidence in this case shows that this defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

And ladies and gentlemen, we submit to you that the Defendant is guilty of possession of controlled substance. Like we said, sometimes case is just as easy as having drugs in your pocket. But we still want to talk about possession of controlled substance and that's demonstrated in instruction number 4 which you'll have before you as well.

Instruction number 4 provides the elements of the crime. Except as authorized by law, there's no allegation here that this is medical methamphetamine, it is unlawful for any person to knowingly or intentionally possess a controlled substance. We don't have to show a specific quantity. We just have to show that it was -- that it was a controlled substance, that he unlawfully had dominion and control over the controlled substance, that he had knowledge of its presence, and that he had knowledge of its nature as a controlled substance.

Instruction number 8 I believe or 7 deals with whether or not the Defendant had possession of the controlled substance and you can base that upon your recollection of all the facts. You can look at everything in this case and

determine whether or not this defendant had possession of that controlled substance and whether or not he knew that it was a controlled substance.

Obviously, methamphetamine is a controlled substance. The analyst told you that here today probably about a half an hour ago. So we already know that it's a controlled substance. The question then becomes did the Defendant have possession of it.

Instruction number 6 is going to be important. Instruction number 6 deals with possession. In Nevada, the law of possession. In this case it's obvious that the Defendant had the drugs in his pocket, but the law doesn't just recognize actual possession which we submit to you that would be an example of. It also recognizes constructive possession. A person who knowingly and has direct physical control over a thing at any given time is in actual possession of it. If a person has drugs in their pocket, they actually possess those drugs.

But even if you find that she gave him the narcotics, even if that is something that you find to be reasonable, instruction number 6 has to deal with that. It recognizes that possession may be sole or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole.

We would submit to you that he had sole possession of those narcotics. They were in his pocket when he was placed into handcuffs. But even if you find that Ashley Allen handed him those drugs, he can still be found guilty of possession of controlled substance because at that point possession is joint.

That's it, ladies and gentlemen. That's -- that's really what it is.

Instruction number 9 I want you to pay close attention to and I want you to -- to think of a few things when opposing counsel gets up here to talk to you.

Ladies and gentlemen, instruction number 9 is reasonable doubt and a

1
 2
 3

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. NADIG: I got it, I'm loud.

Volume II - Page 107

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

reasonable doubt is one based upon reason. You're all smart people, you all have common sense and you must bring your everyday common sense and judgment as rational people to the jury room.

Reasonable doubt is such -- is that you must feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge and if you do not, then there -- or if you do, sorry, there's a reasonable doubt. They don't allow us to question this. I'm going to ask that you read instruction number 9, but I want you to know that you must also be focusing on whether or not it's actual doubt, not mere possibility or speculation.

And these are some things I want you to keep in mind, this last sentence that I have highlighted for you. Is it reasonable to believe that Ashley Allen -- that those were her drugs? Is it reasonable to believe that the Defendant was wearing Ashley Allen's pants? Is it reasonable to believe that even though he's the only person that had narcotics on him, that they're Ashley Allen's narcotics? Is it reasonable to believe that if -- even if Ashley Allen handed him those drugs, he had no idea that that was methamphetamine and he put them in his pocket anyway? Is that reasonable?

And we submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that it's not. This is a case, ladies and gentlemen, about finding drugs in the Defendant's pocket in pants that appeared to be male pants, in pants that he was wearing, in pants that he appeared to be wearing when officers arrived.

Ladies and gentlemen, we have demonstrated to you that the Defendant is guilty of possession of controlled substance and we ask that you find him guilty. Thank you.

Thank you, Your Honor.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. NADIG:

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This is the time that I talk about before you -- those of you who have kids, this is the time where the kids are fighting. Okay, and it's your job to decide what they're saying.

Now Ms. Baharav got up and if you listened one of the questions I asked you during jury selection was are you going to believe them more than me because I am a defense attorney. Okay, and all of you said that no, you would hold each argument equally. But what Ms. Baharav did is she focused on reasonable doubt and what she said was reasonable doubt, reasonable doubt and what the defense is arguing is not reasonable doubt. Well, I haven't argued anything. Okay.

So am I going to argue reasonable doubt? No. What I'm going to argue is the evidence that you have before you, the testimony you have before you. That's what I'm going to talk about. Let's talk about that and additional to that, Ms. Baharav brought up the fact that Ashley is not on trial. Okay, one of the reasons Ashley's not on trial is because the officers didn't charge her with any crimes.

An additional reason is -- is Mr. Barnett was charged with a crime. But did Ashley play a role in this? What occurred? What do we know? We know Ashley had a warrant. We know that Ashley said she had a pipe, it's a misdemeanor. We know she had previous contact with the police and they didn't know she had a warrant then, but now she has a warrant and she's been stopped.

The State would like you to believe that I'm suggesting that she handed him the drugs in the car. I'm not necessarily saying that, but if you have a warrant for your arrest, where you going to stash the drugs? Are you -- and you live in your

a

car. Are you going to stash the drugs on you? No. You're going to think oh, Corey doesn't have a warrant. So I'll put them on Corey's clothes. That's one option, but that's what the evidence suggests.

What I want you to do is look at instruction number 3, and I promise it'll be the only instruction I have you guys look at. If you look at number 3, there's the -- on line 8, it says knowingly or intentionally possess a controlled substance. We don't know if Corey intentionally or knowingly possessed a controlled substance. We know a controlled substance was found on him, but we don't know if he knew it was there.

We don't know if Miss. Allen put the drugs on him because she was in a warrant and she wanted to avoid going in warrant. We know that she (sic) let her go. We know that Corey did have the drugs in the coin part of his pocket. Okay. But we don't know how they got there. We don't know if he was aware of them being there.

We also don't know was there any DNA on the bag? We don't know, they didn't test it for DNA so was he aware that it was there? That is what this case turns on. The drugs were in his pocket, but was he aware of it?

He did not admit to methamphetamine use. Miss. Allen admitted that she's a speed addict. She also was in warrant. So was he even aware of it? We don't know. And based upon that lack of knowledge, I would ask you to find Mr. Barnett not guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Thank you.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. GILES:

Mr. Nadig just told you what we don't know. So let's talk about what we

do know. We do know the van is registered to Corey Barnett's mother. We do know he told Officer Deang the week before it's his car that he uses almost exclusively. We do know he was driving the vehicle the week before that.

Was Ashley Allen in the car? Yes, she was. Apparently they were together for some period of time.

We also know that there were lots of bags in the car, men's clothes, women's clothes. They're living out of the car. And there was a narco kit. There was a narco kit in the car; needles, tweezers, some other items. It was in evidence. It is still in evidence. It was not charged. Possession of narcotic paraphernalia was not charged. Officer Deang and Officer Fernandez both told you when it comes to misdemeanors they have a lot of discretion.

What's important? Take those items off the street or charge the person. They get to make that call. They chose not to charge Ashley. That's not relevant, because as Ms. Baharav told you, this case -- sometimes it really is as simple as there's drugs in his pocket.

Now, Mr. Nadig just asked you to believe that Ashley put those drugs on him somehow. Handed them to him, put them in his pocket without his knowledge and he didn't know they were drugs. They're together. If she's a speed addict, he knows she's a speed addict. They're together.

She says there is a pipe in the car. No pipe ever found. Not that we know of. Found items in his car. A narco kit. Found 2.405 grams or less of methamphetamine. Forensic scientist told you it tested as methamphetamine. Came out of his pocket. No question he was in possession of a controlled substance.

I'd like to finish by asking you to pay attention to a few of the same jury

instructions Ms. Baharav brought up. This is instruction 4. There are three requirements:

That the person unlawfully had dominion and control over the substance, wouldn't have matter if it was in the car or in his pocket where it was because it was his car. He had dominion and control.

That he had knowledge of its presence. Again, use your common sense. We'll talk about that in a second. It's in his coin pocket in his pants. I know at the beginning Mr. Nadig asked somebody a question, you ever put somebody's coat on and stuck your hand in there -- this isn't a coat thrown on a bed at a party on New Year's Eve where you get confused about what's in the pocket or whose coat it is. These are Mr. Barnett's pants that he was wearing the whole time the officers were in contact with him.

And finally, that he had knowledge of its nature as controlled substance. You get to take all of the facts presented to you, the way they were packaged, where they were located, the other items in his car. He knew they were drugs. He had dominion and control.

Again there's two kinds of possession. And we don't even need to get to constructive possession in this case because he had actual physical possession. Those drugs came out of his pocket during a search. They weren't found under the floorboards of the car in the back seat in a box. They were found in his pocket. He had them.

And whether or not Miss. Allen was charged with a crime, that's instruction number 10. She's not sitting here on trial today. Corey Barnett is on trial for the drugs that were found in his pocket. Not Ashley Allen for the misdemeanor warrant that she may have had at that time that the officers both told you we have

discretion on it, we chose not to arrest her, we let her go.

Instruction 11 is very important. Instruction 11 tells you there's two kinds of evidence. Judge described it with a snowfall outside. You walk outside, suddenly there's snow all over the place, there wasn't snow when you walked in, in the morning, it's snowed. You didn't see it snow. You can't point to when it snowed. But the snow didn't just magically appear.

In this case, the actual physical possession, officers saw that. They found the drugs in Corey Barnett's pocket. Whether or not he was in the passenger seat or the driver seat, whether or not there was other clothes, whether or not Ashley had a pipe or was a speed addict, you can take those things into account. Not only because that is the evidence before you, but because that's what he would have known. Remember he was with her a week prior. He was with her on September 25th. He would have known -- even if, as Mr. Nadig says -- suggests, she handed him those drugs or put those drugs on him, he would have known about those drugs and he was in possession of those drugs.

And finally, when you go back, I want you to take your common sense. That's instruction 16 and this one's really important. We don't ask you when you come in here as jurors to take everything you know or everything you've experienced in life and throw it over your shoulder. That's why you're here because you look at the evidence that gets presented. You take that knowledge, that evidence in the back and you reach a conclusion. I'm going to ask you to use your common sense.

What does common sense tell you? That Corey Barnett had two and a half grams of methamphetamine in his pocket, he knew it was methamphetamine and he knew it was there, or a female in his car who's a speed addict that he never

1	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Downstairs.				
2	THE COURT: Okay.				
3	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Just we'll get him down there.				
4	THE COURT: I I don't tell you how to run things I don't think it'll be long.				
5	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Okay. No, we'll be ready, we'll be ready.				
6	[Recess taken at 12:59 p.m.]				
7	[Proceedings resumed at 1:57 p.m.]				
8	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.				
9	[Jury in at 1:58 p.m.]				
10	THE COURT: Counsel approach the bench, both of you.				
11	Nadig, come on.				
12	[Bench conference begins at 1:58 p.m.]				
13	THE COURT: Is he going to take the stand in this? Do I have to huh?				
14	MR. NADIG: No.				
15	THE COURT: We need to make a record that afterwards that we talked				
16	about it.				
17	[Bench conference ends at 1:58 p.m.]				
18	THE COURT: All right. The record reflect the presence of the Defendant, his				
19	attorney, the Deputy District Attorneys for the State and all 12 members of the jury.				
20	Who is the jury foreperson?				
21	JUROR NUMBER 3: I am, Your Honor.				
22	THE COURT: Have you reached a verdict?				
23	JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes, we have.				
24	THE COURT: Is it unanimous?				
25	JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.				
	Volume II - Page 114				

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	instruction 21.
2	THE COURT: I'll just read it. It's all right.
3	[The Clerk read the amended indictment aloud]
4	MS. BAHARAV: And Your Honor, the State does have to present a piece of
5	evidence if we may.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. BAHARAV: May I approach your clerk?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you. We're waiving our opening. I don't know if Mr.
10	Nadig wants to make an opening.
11	THE COURT: You want to make an opening statement?
12	MR. NADIG: No, I'll just argue, Your Honor.
13	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Go ahead.
15	MS. BAHARAV: The State has marked as Proposed Exhibit 15 a judgment o
16	conviction certified from the clerk of court here in the Eighth Judicial District in Case
17	C273458, the judgment of conviction being for attempt possession of controlled
18	substance. The Defendant was adjudicated guilty under the felony of that statute
19	and this occurred on August 19th, 2011. We move to admit the certified judgment of
20	conviction at this time.
21	MR. NADIG: No objection, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. It'll be
23	MR. NADIG: It's a self-authenticating document.
24	THE COURT: It'll be admitted.
25	[State's Exhibit 15 admitted]

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BAHARAV: Thank you. And with the admission of this document and the testimony already presented, the State would rest.

THE COURT: Do you have any --

[Colloquy between counsel and the Defendant]

THE COURT: I'm wait -- we're -- yeah. They've rested their case.

MR. NADIG: Oh, okay. Defense rests as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me -- we'll adopt the jury instructions -- I'm not going to read all 20 jury instructions again, but I do have three or four to read to you.

[The Court read the other instructions to the jury]

THE COURT: And you'll -- you have those jury instructions as well as the verdict form.

Argument

MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

BY MS. BAHARAV:

Ladies and gentlemen, in the first phase of our trial there was a lot of testimony regarding the firearm that was brought -- that was found by the officers in the vehicle. The firearm, while located under the driver seat, also had an Airsoft gun located underneath the passenger seat. But the evidence that was really helpful and telling with regards to the Defendant's possession of that firearm was the evidence from Officer Fernandez when he was questioning Miss. Allen with regards to that firearm. Miss. Allen became angry, upset, looked at the Defendant and said, you're really going to let me go down for this, really?

Now aside from that statement, we also have testimony that the car itself belonged to the Defendant, it was ultimately released to his mother, that it's

Volume II - Page 118

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

probable that they were living out of that vehicle. So I ask you, ladies and gentlemen, it is most likely that the Defendant knew that that firearm was in the vehicle. It is actually beyond a reasonable doubt likely that the Defendant knew that that firearm was in that vehicle and the Defendant is a person prohibited from possessing firearms within that vehicle. We ask that you find him guilty of the charge of possession of firearm -- ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person because as you recall, possession may be joint, it may be constructive, it may be actual, but if any of those three things are present, the Defendant has possessed that firearm. And we submit to you even if Ashley Allen possessed it too, this Defendant is guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. NADIG: Thank you.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. NADIG:

Ladies and gentlemen, in the earlier case we had a situation where there was something in his pocket. Okay? But here we have something where a situation -- there is a weapon found and it's in the driver seat. Miss. Allen is in the driver seat. Mr. Barnett is not in the driver seat. The State and the officers couldn't tell you how long the weapon was in there, where it came from, who it belonged to -- they can't tell you anything, but they simply want to say because it was in the vehicle you have to attribute it to Corey Barnett. That is not true.

It's with Miss. Allen on her side of the car. He was on the other side of the car. They would have you believe because he can reach down and then kind of grab it that that would be constructive possession. We don't know, A, if Corey knew about it. We don't know if it was his gun. No DNA, there's no prints, there's no

 nothing. Okay? The only thing we know is it was found in Miss. Allen's possession and for those reasons I would ask you to find Mr. Barnett not guilty.

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. GILES:

Ladies and gentlemen, first I'd like to thank you for taking the time and working with us. Get you out of here really quick, but I know on behalf Ms. Baharav and myself, this doesn't work without you, so we appreciate you being here.

Again, let's talk about the things we do know. We do know that this is Corey Barnett's vehicle. He was in it in the driver seat a week before. The officers saw no reason to look in the car that day, but we know he drives that car. He told the officer it's my car, it's primarily my car. And he was living out of the car, essentially. Bags of clothes, his and hers.

This isn't a coin. This isn't cap to a pen that slid under the seat. This is a firearm that was under the seat where it could be observed by casual observation from the outside. Not only that, there was a fake firearm on the other side of the vehicle. You can use your common sense on this one, too.

We're not asking you to reach a conclusion that is disproportionate or out of joint with the facts. Facts are Corey Barnett drove this vehicle, Corey Barnett was in this vehicle, he's a convicted felon who has given up his right to possess firearms by law and there was a firearm, very large firearm that was in that vehicle within easy reach of either the driver or the passenger. That is constructive possession.

We don't have to prove when the firearm went into the car, just that it was there. Corey Barnett was in the vehicle, the firearm was in the vehicle under circumstances that a reasonable person could conclude he knew that firearm was

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-024

Volume II - Page 121

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

23

24

25

MR. NADIG: That would be an assumption.

MS. BAHARAV: Is that a basis for -- oh I see what you're saying. I -- is that a basis for finding guilty as instruction one -- 21 only states and references the Smith & Wesson? So the instruction -- ah. So their issue is they want to know whether or not if he -- if he -- since he's not charged with the firearm under his -- under his seat, if they can find him guilty on instruction 21 because instruction 22 says that that's also a firearm, and that's uncharged conduct. So I would direct them back to instruction 21 and -- and then you can also direct them to the possession instruction 2 if you like.

MR. GILES: Yeah. Yeah, because that's what they're -- they're asking about the -- the Airsoft gun, can they just reach out and find --

THE COURT: That's actually a firearm.

MR. GILES: It is, but we didn't charge --

MS. BAHARAV: It is, but we didn't charge it.

THE COURT: I mean even the paintball gun is -- is a firearm.

MR. NADIG: Uncharged --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. BAHARAV: Yeah, it's uncharged so instruction 21 and the possession instruction.

THE COURT: I'm just going to write a -- a thing back saying the Court refer you to jury instructions 21 and the possession or --

MR. NADIG: Twenty-two and --

MR. GILES: Twenty-one and --

MS. BAHARAV: I think it's --

THE COURT: Court is not at liberty to supplement --

1	MR. NADIG: Supplement.			
2	THE COURT: the evidence.			
3	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah.			
4	MR. GILES: Yeah, 21 says that the .22 is a firearm.			
5	MR. NADIG: Twenty-one and 22.			
6	MS. BAHARAV: Twenty-one and 23 and			
7	THE COURT: Twenty-one and 23?			
8	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah, 21 and 23.			
9	[Recess taken at 2:23 p.m.]			
10	[Proceedings resumed at 2:35 p.m.]			
11	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.			
12	[Jury in at 2:36 p.m.]			
13	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.			
14	THE COURT: The record reflect the presence of the Defendant, his attorney			
15	the Deputy District Attorneys for the State and all 12 members of the jury. Has the			
16	jury reached a verdict?			
17	MS. KILLEBREW: Yes, Your Honor.			
18	THE COURT: Unanimous?			
19	MS. KILLEBREW: Yes, Your Honor.			
20	THE COURT: Hand it to Marshal, please.			
21	Clerk will now read the verdict out loud and inquire poll the jury.			
22	THE CLERK: District Court Clark County, Nevada. The State of Nevada,			
23	Plaintiff, versus Corey Thomas Barnett, Defendant. Case Number C312887.			
24	Department Number VIII. Verdict. We the jury in the above-entitled case find the			
25	Defendant, Corey Thomas Barnett, as follows: Count two, ownership or possession			
	Volume II - Page 124			

1	of firearm by prohibited person. Guilty of ownership or possession of firearm by				
2	prohibited person. Dated this 12th day of April 2016, Antonia Buzunis-Killebrew.				
3	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this your verdict as read?				
4	THE JURY: Yes.				
5	THE CLERK: So say you one so say you all?				
6	THE JURY: Yes.				
7	THE CLERK: And poll?				
8	THE COURT: Please.				
9	THE CLERK: Juror Number 1, is this your verdict as read?				
10	JUROR NUMBER 1: Yes.				
11	THE CLERK: Juror Number 2, is this your verdict as read?				
12	JUROR NUMBER 2: Yes.				
13	THE CLERK: Juror Number 3, is this your verdict as read?				
14	JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.				
15	THE CLERK: Juror Number 4, is this your verdict as read?				
16	JUROR NUMBER : Yes.				
17	THE CLERK: Juror Number 5, is this your verdict as read?				
18	JUROR NUMBER 5: Yes.				
19	THE CLERK: Juror Number 6, is this your verdict as read?				
20	JUROR NUMBER 6: Yes.				
21	THE CLERK: Juror Number 7, is this your verdict as read?				
22	JUROR NUMBER 7: Yes.				
23	THE CLERK: Juror Number 8, is this your verdict as read?				
24	JUROR NUMBER 8: Yes.				
25	THE COURT: The Clerk				

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Juror Number 9, is this your verdict --

THE COURT: Oh.

THE CLERK: -- as read?

JUROR NUMBER 9: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror Number 10, is this your verdict as read?

JUROR NUMBER 10: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror Number 11, is this your verdict as read?

JUROR NUMBER 11: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror Number 12, is this your verdict as read?

JUROR NUMBER 12: Yes.

THE COURT: The Clerk will now record the verdict in the minutes of the court.

Ladies and gentlemen, as you know, the right to jury -- trial by jury is one of our basic fundamental constitutional guarantees. I firmly believe in that right and that is the right of every person accused of a crime to be judged by fair and impartial jury. But to have a fair and impartial jury you have to have jurors that are willing to sit and I firmly believe that this is an important part of our life here in the United States and it's your opportunity to participate in the judicial process. Most people don't want to become involved. That's why I'm so pleased that 12 men and women have been so willing to give their valuable time. You've been most attentive and most conscientious. On behalf of counsel, the parties, the Eighth Judicial District Court, I wish to thank you for your careful deliberation in the case.

The question now may arise as to whether you can talk to other persons regarding the matter. I advise you that you may if you wish talk to other persons and discuss your deliberation which you gave in the case. You are not

1	THE COURT: Is that what you want?			
2	MR. NADIG: Please.			
3	THE COURT: All right.			
4	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.			
5	THE COURT: So we'll set it down for sentencing for			
6	MR. NADIG: June 6th.			
7	MS. BAHARAV: Eight a.m.			
8	THE COURT: June 6, 8 a.m.			
9	MR. NADIG: Yes.			
10	THE COURT: Oh, we already did it. Okay.			
11	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.			
12	THE COURT: It made me nervous when Wolfson walked in.			
13	MS. BAHARAV: Oh hey, how are you?			
14	THE COURT: We're done.			
15	[Proceedings concluded at 2:41 p.m.]			
16	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual			
17	proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.			
18	Than I Land Steamen			
19	Tracy A. Gegenheimer, CER-282, CET-282			
20	Court Recorder/Transcriber			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	Volume II - Page 128			

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

			·	
1	VER			
2				
3		F	ILED IN OPEN COURT	
4	STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT			
5	DISTRICT COURT APR 1 2 2016			
6	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA	Vario	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	BY,_	KERI CROMER, DEPUTY	
8	Plaintiff,		1.588	
9	13		C-16-312887-1	
10	COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka Corry Thomas Barnett,	DEPT NO:	VIII	
11	Defendant.			
12	VERDIC	<u>T</u>		
13	We, the jury in the above entitled case,	find the De	fendant COREY THOMAS	
14	BARNETT, aka Corry Thomas Barnett, as follows:			
15	COUNT 1 – POSSESSION OF CONTROLLED SU	JBSTANCE		
16	(Please cheek the appropriate box, select on	ly one)		
17	Guilty of POSSESSION OF CO	ONTROLLE	D SUBSTANCE	
18	☐ Not Guilty			
19				
20	DATED this 12th day of April, 2016			
21		1 /		
22	(i.Killibrin)			
23	FOREPERSON			
24 25		/		
26				
27	C – 16 – 312887 – 1 VER			
28	Verdict 4539064			
20				

,			
1	VED		
2	VER FILED IN OPEN COURT		
3 4	STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT		
5	DISTRICT COURT APR 1 2 2016		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA BY, CLY CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7	KERI CROMER, DEPUTY		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, Case No: C-16-312887-1	ŋ	
9	Plaintiff, Case No: C-16-312887-1 Dept No: VIII		
10	\		
11	COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka Corry Thomas Barnett,		
12	Defendant		
13			
14			
15	VERDICT		
16	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant COREY THOMAS		
17	BARNETT, aka Corry Thomas Barnett, as follows:		
18	COUNT 2 – OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON		
19	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)		
20	Guilty of OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON		
21	PROHIBITED PERSON ☐ Not Guilty		
22			
23	DATED this 12th day of April, 2016		
24 25			
26	G. Killibern		
27	C-16-312887-1		
28	Verdict 4539085		

Electronically Filed

			02/10/2017 05:09:53 PM	
1	RTRAN		Alun D. Chum	
2			CLERK OF THE COURT	
3				
4				
5	DISTRICT COURT			
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
7)		
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	/)) CASE NOS.	C306032	
9	Plaintiff,)	C312887	
10	VS.) DEPT. VIII		
11) }		
12	COREY THOMAS BARNETT	,		
13	AKA CORRY THOMAS BARNETT,) }		
14	Defendant.	ý		
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	•		
16	WEDNESDAY, JUNE 15, 2016			
17	TRANSCRIPT O DEFENDANT'S MOTION T			
18	APPOINTMENT OF ALTER DEFENDANT'S PRO SE MO		,	
19	AND APPOINTMENT OF ALTE			
20	APPEARANCES:			
21	For the State:	COLLE	EN BAHARAV, ESQ.	
22		Deputy	District Attorney	
23	For the Defendant:		ELLE T. NGUYEN, ESQ. MIN J. NADIG, ESQ.	
24			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
25	RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARDSON,	, COURT RECO	RDER	

24

25

-2-

that paperwork here. A letter -- he sent a letter to the Court, he wanted -- and he

didn't put in the letter any conflict, but he said he felt more comfortable with an

African-American attorney and he wanted me to appoint an African-American

attorney. He already had -- Ms. Nguyen, you're the second attorney already on this case. And he has two cases and he wants -- in both cases, he wants an African-American.

And then I believe it was May 17th, he sent me another letter and realized that it sounded racial, that first letter. In fact, he says that in the letter. I'm going to file the letters with this sentencing.

And he said that sound -- I'm not getting a fair representation, I need an African-American attorney. And then -- and then he files two motions for ineffective assistance asking for an African-American attorney.

I reviewed this with my chief judge. I reviewed this with Drew Christensen. Drew Christensen said no, we're not starting that process. And it appears to me that his motion is racially motivated. It isn't the ineffective assistance but he -- it looks like it progressed with the letters and he realized that he had to say ineffective assistance so that he could alter who is handling the sentencings.

And so that -- based upon that, in an abundance of caution, the Court has denied the motion to dismiss counsel and appoint alternate counsel based on that chain of letters and motions.

MS. NGUYEN: And, Your Honor, for the record, I did speak with Mr. Barnett about those motions. It was his intention to withdraw those motions that had previously and letters that had previously been given to the Court. He's willing to say that on the record.

THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Barnett?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. NGUYEN: So we are prepared.

THE COURT: Now let me hear from the State. Sorry.

MS. BAHARAV: Oh. Thank you.

Your Honor, although the Defendant failed to appear for his

Presentence Investigation Report, the State is not -- still not seeking habitual
criminal treatment in Case C306032. But the State is going to ask that the Court
impose an underlying -- an actual sentence of 28 to 72 months, the maximum
sentence allowed under conspiracy to commit robbery.

The Court has had the opportunity to look at Ms. Nguyen's letter and it's well taken, maybe he didn't have the opportunity to go to regimental discipline at first. But we can't look at that prior history in a vacuum and not look at what he's been doing since he picked up this conspiracy to commit robbery in March of 2015.

September of 2015, the Court heard the trial that related to these charges. He had a gun in a car as well as narcotics. So now we've got a guy who's out pending sentencing, failed to go get his PSI done, but out pending sentencing, and here he is again holding a firearm and narcotics. He knows he's not supposed to have guns, he's got three prior felonies, but he gets out on that case and ultimately decides on November 5th, 2015 to commit a robbery of two people he's known since high school.

So he goes into the house with those two people, he knows that they have firearms, they've shown him the firearms before, he breaks into their safe. Based upon the fact that our victim in that case had the key to the safe in his wallet which the Defendant took, takes four different firearms from that location, initially tried to play it off like maybe he was getting robbed, too, but ultimately was the person that allowed the other two individuals with firearms to come into that particular residence.

So we've got a guy in March who's now conspiring to rob somebody, and a guy in November doing the exact same thing. He's not learning from any mistakes. I don't care if it's a drug-induced situation that's making him go out there and get more firearms that he knows he's not supposed to have. But he's getting more and more dangerous because now he's robbing people that he knows. It's not a crime that they won't be able to prove, they can point him out, they've known him since high school.

It's not a situation that the State can't win, the State has 13 felony charges in that particular case. This guy's a danger to the community, Your Honor, and the State submits that on the case involving the conspiracy to commit robbery, he deserves the maximum sentence which is 28 to 72.

He has 194 days credit in that case.

THE COURT: Before your attorney has an opportunity to speak, what have you got to say, Mr. Barnett?

THE DEFENDANT: Um --

MS. NGUYEN: Your Honor, he does have some prepared words here. So I know he wants to say --

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Read into the mic.

THE DEFENDANT: I want to begin by expressing to you exactly how much being able to gain sobriety has meant to me. I've used this time to my benefit. I've been able to fully analyze my prior poor choices, behaviors and mistakes, if you will, and how I tried to justify them while under the influence and even justifying my drug influence at that -- when in actuality, the only thing that manifested in my drug-induced lifestyle was negligence of my legal affairs and most importantly, I've

neglected my responsibility as being productive as well loyal to myself.

I believe that with sufficient stipulations, I can prove myself salvageable. I also believe that with a change of environment outside of a 24-hour city, I can adapt and adjust to a slower, more beneficial pace and lifestyle necessary for myself such as drug treatment, furthering my education, and employment. With the proper tools, I believe I can redeem myself and prove myself successful and trustworthy.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel.

MS. NGUYEN: Your Honor, I understand just looking at the Court and knowing how this Court works. You're probably not inclined to give him probation. I did make that request because I know that it is something that's important to Corey. I think more important is the information that was contained in that as mitigation in not only this sentence but possibly a sentence that he will have just after me with Mr. Nadig.

As you can tell, he has a long history with drugs, drug abuse and I think that's indicative in not only this case, his past criminal history, Mr. Nadig's case, and potentially the case that he is awaiting a trial on in September. I would ask the Court to take those things into consideration.

I know in talking to Mr. Barnett, he's kind of been all over the place as indicated by the various motions and things. And I think until recently, he hasn't had that clarity. You could see today sometimes people will come in here and they're easily set off by statements made by the District Attorney and they spend their opportunity to speak with the Judge to sit there and justify their behavior and their actions and their past and try to fight that out with the Court and react to it. I think it says a lot to his maturity and the sobriety that he's gained over the last couple of months being in custody that he's spent his time talking to you about his future. He

understands that he's potentially looking at prison time today and a lot of that prison time.

I would ask the Court to take into consideration some of the family, medical, drug-induced history that I indicated in the PS -- or my sentencing memorandum into account here in his sentence. And also possibly, if you are inclined to sentence him to prison, recommend that he be eligible for treatment while in the prison facility.

While it's easy to say that he's going to remain sober because his lack of opportunity to use is more difficult, I think gaining those skills so that when he does get of custody, because eventually he will get out of custody, he's young enough that he will have a life in front of him at some point, that he has the skills to stay clean, stay sober. And take advantage of any program that he might have available to him in the prison so when he comes out, he can maintain that promise and that statement that he's made to himself and that he told the Court about being a productive member of society.

With that, I'd submit.

THE COURT: Thanks. The problem is Mr. Barnett has to live with the consequences of his action. As pointed out, he's been convicted of three prior felony convictions, been to prison three times. He violated probation and was revoked. He's violated parole and was revoked.

Based upon the nature of this crime and the facts surrounding this crime, you are adjudged guilty of conspiracy --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, can I address the probation violation? THE COURT: No. No, you're done. Thanks.

Conspiracy to commit robbery, a felony. Together with \$25

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24

25

administrative assistant fee, a \$3 DNA assessment, the \$150 was taken in 2011, a \$250 indigent defense fee, you're sentenced to 28 to 72 months in Nevada Department of Corrections.

Credit for time served 194 days.

Mr. Nadig, we now are on C312887 and I will adopt the same comments about the pro se motions that were filed by Mr. Barnett about dismissing counsel and appointment of alternate counsel. And we're going to file those letters. They were here and we're going to file them in A and a copy of them will be attached to B as well, marked as Court's exhibits.

All right. Does State wish to be heard in C312887?

MS. BAHARAV: The State's already addressed many of the concerns the State has about the Defendant's prior history, but in this case, we did actually file a notice to seek habitual criminal treatment. And today the State's going to ask the Court to adjudicate the Defendant on Count 2 under the small habitual criminal treatment.

THE COURT: Have you filed those?

MS. BAHARAV: No, I wanted to inform the Court what I had in front of me.

THE COURT: Show them to Mr. Nadig.

MR. NADIG: And I've seen them, for the record, but Your Honor, but I will look at them again.

MS. BAHARAV: And just for the record, Your Honor, they're judgments of

MR. NADIG: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. BAHARAV: Oh, thanks.

9

8

10

10

12

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

They're Judgments of Conviction in Case C233068 for placing graffiti on or otherwise defacing property. C237756 for conspiracy to commit robbery. As well as C273458 for attempt possession of controlled substance.

It's my understanding the Defendant was convicted of all of these crimes in the graffiti and the conspiracy robbery in 2007 and the attempt possession of controlled substance was in 2011. And those are Judgments of Conviction form the Eighth Judicial District Court.

THE COURT: It appears that they are certified copies of conviction and they meet the statute under the habitual criminal --

MS. BAHARAV: 207.010.

THE COURT: Right. And --

MS. BAHARAV: You'll file those with the clerk?

THE COURT: I'm going to file them with the clerk but I want to talk to -- I want Mr. Nadig to talk -- I guess you should address the habitual before we go through the sentencing.

MR. NADIG: If you'd like, Your Honor. If you look at --

THE COURT: I think so. I think the --

MR. NADIG: Okay. It's up to Your Honor. I've reviewed those, Your Honor.

With the exception of the conspiracy to commit robbery, which I believe was Ms. Nguyen's case, if you look at the other two charges, they're drug --

MS. BAHARAV: Oh, no, that's from before.

MR. NADIG: Oh, was it?

MS. BAHARAV: Yeah.

MR. NADIG: Okay. So that's earlier in 2007. I apologize.

Mr. Barnett clearly has a drug problem, Your Honor. He is an individual

6

8

7

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

24

who for whatever reason can't get away from drugs. And while they are certified copies of convictions, what they show is they show a history of an underlying condition and that underlying condition is drug use. The two charges are nonviolent, the conspiracy to commit robbery. I don't know the facts of that case so I can't address if that was a violent crime or not. Usually based on the charge, it's a violent crime. But Mr. Barnett is a person who makes incredibly bad choices and he hasn't learned. And I understand that's why you sentenced him.

THE COURT: Well, I want him to speak now. If you've talked --

MR. NADIG: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- talked enough about this certified -- you have seen them?

MR. NADIG: I have.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Barnett, do you have anything to say about C312887?

THE DEFENDANT: Um --

THE COURT: Possession of controlled substance and possession of firearm by a prohibited person.

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I guess I just want to address the letter I read to you again as just I feel if I'm able to address this drug issue, I shouldn't -- I shouldn't be too much of an issue as I have been in the past or in the future. That's pretty much it.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks.

Counsel.

MR. NADIG: Well, I think Ms. Baharav -- do you want her to make her sentencing argument?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. BAHARAV: It's okay. Thanks, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the reason the State's seeking small habitual criminal treatment is now the Court's adjudicated him even have a fourth felony which is conspiracy felony robbery. And as I noted before, he's now going to the point where he's robbing his friends of their own firearms.

So a person who's out there stealing firearms repeatedly, possessing firearms while on probation is a public safety risk. And as the Court already noted, he does have a parole violation which indicates that even once he has the opportunity to be clean in custody for a period of time, he comes out and goes right back to the same stuff.

I'm actually going to ask that the Court only adjudicate under the small habitual criminal treatment.

THE COURT: That's all I was considering.

MS. BAHARAV: Okay. Well, that's all I'm requesting anyway. But I'm asking for that only on Count 2.

Count 1, as the Court's well aware, was possession of controlled substance. It was originally charged as trafficking in controlled substance. But once the weight came back, it was well under the four grams. So for that, the State's only requesting 12 to 30 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. And we have no opposition to concurrent with Count 2. But as with regards to Count 2, the State's going to request that the Court impose the sentence of 8 to 20 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. We're going to ask that this case run consecutive to C306032 to provide the state of Nevada and the citizens of the state of Nevada with a longer period of time while the Defendant's out of -- away. And he has 167 days credit for time served.

THE COURT: Now that she has spoken, is there anything you want to say?

THE DEFENDANT: If I could address the probation violation and the parole violation?

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: Probation violation, I was never even out of custody, I never gained a probation officer.

And the parole violation, I understand I got violated but it was a -- it was a case where I -- I -- I reached out to my parole officer, I stated that I wasn't working at the time. She told me that once I found employment -- or I'd be on a curfew or whatnot, House Arrest or curfew until I received employment and that I would have to bring proof of employment. I brought proof of employment. I ended up getting later pulled over and violated for -- in the computer it showed that I wasn't off House Arrest. But I did get proof of employment. I wasn't under the influence. And that's what I got violated for.

Those are the two things I wanted to address.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Nadig.

MR. NADIG: Your Honor, I know this Court's posture. Here's the thing. When all's said and done, the question is is Mr. Barnett a redeemable human being? And I would argue to you that he is. He understands the nature of his actions. Clearly he did not understand them prior to this process. In going through the trial, in going through the case that Ms. Nguyen took over from Mr. Nelson, Mr. Barnett has realized that he is, for lack of a better term, a mess. He's a person that couldn't stay clean, couldn't stay out of trouble, and it led him to the position he's in now. Obviously with Ms. Baharav recommending or requesting a 12 to 36 on the first case, I would not oppose that.

MS. BAHARAV: 30, 12 to 30.

MR. NADIG: 12 to 30, sorry.

As to the second case, I know this Court is looking at the small habitual and I think the small habitual is fair. I would ask not for the 8 to 20, I would ask for the 5 to 12 ½. Your Honor will do whatever you think is appropriate but I truly believe that Mr. Barnett is a redeemable human being and he can learn with the time he has in prison how to become a productive member of society.

He's committed most of these crimes while he was young. He's 28 years old now and I'm hoping by the time he gets out in his mid-30s or late 30s that he will be able to be a productive member of society. He appears to be going in the right direction but only time will tell, Your Honor.

And I'll submit it on that.

THE COURT: Thank you. And technically I could find you -- there's enough evidence here to find you as a large habitual. But as I told -- told you that I was only considering the small habitual and the State even argued for the small habitual.

Based upon the evidence and the argument, you are found to be a habitual criminal under the small habitual statute NRS 207.010(a).

As to possession to controlled substance, Count 1, you're found guilty.

Together with the \$25 administrative assessment fee, a \$3 DNA assessment, again, the \$150 was taken in '11, \$250 indigent defense fee. You're sentenced on Count 1 to 12 to 30 months.

On Count 2 as a small habitual, you are sentenced to 96 to 240 months concurrent to Count 1.

This case will be consecutive to C306032 with credit for time served of 167 days.

1	And, again, we are filing with both cases the letters that Mister and
2	the motions that Mr. Barnett has filed with the Court. Thank you.
3	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Oh, and
5	THE CLERK: Did you give credit for the first case?
6	THE COURT: Yeah. 194 days.
7	He only pled on your case on C306032 one count, the other two counts
8	have to be dismissed. The
9	MS. BAHARAV: Oh, yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: burglary and robbery.
11	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	And, yes, there was credit on that C306032 of 194 days.
14	MS. BAHARAV: Yes. Thank you.
15	[Proceeding concluded at 9:01 a.m.]
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Jill Jacoby Jill Jacoby
24	Court Recorder

JOC

Alun D. Comm

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

CASE NO. C312887-1

DEPT. NO. VIII

COREY THOMAS BARNETT aka Corry Thomas Barnett #1981260

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION
(JURY TRIAL)

The Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 – POSSESSION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (Category E Felony) in violation of NRS 453.336, COUNT 2 – OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 202.360; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of said crimes; thereafter, on the 15th day of June, 2016, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel BENJAMIN NADIG, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

| •

2

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

26

25

27

28

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses, and guilty under the SMALL HABITUAL Criminal Statute as to COUNT 2, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, and a \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment Fee, plus a \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - TO A MAXIMUM of THIRTY (30) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWELVE (12) MONTHS; AS TO COUNT 2 - TO A MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of NINETY-SIX (96) MONTHS, Count 2 to run CONCURRENT with Count 1, sentence to run CONSECUTIVE to case C306032; with ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-SEVEN (167) DAYS credit for time served. DNA Analysis Fee is WAIVED.

DATED this 21 day of June, 2016.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1	NOASC BEN NADIG Navada Stata Ban Na 2076	Alm D. Lahrum
2	Nevada State Bar No. 9876 LAW OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	324 South Third Street, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
4	P: (702) 545-7592 F: (702) 382-6903	
5	Attorney for Corey Thomas Barnett	
6	IN THE EIGHTH JUDIC	CIAL DISTRICT COURT
7	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Case No. C-16-312887-1
9	Plaintiff,	Dep't No. XXI
10	vs.	
11	Corey Thomas Barnett, #1981260,	NOWYCE OF A PREAT
12	Defendant.	NOTICE OF APPEAL
13		
14	Notice is hereby given that Corey Thor	nas Barnett, defendant in the above-entitled
15	action, appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevad	la from the Judgment of Conviction filed July
16	21, 2016.	
17	TO A FE	
18	DAI	ED this 18 of August, 2016.
19	/~ / T	Dan Na dia
20	BEN	Ben Nadig NADIG
21	LAW	da State Bar No. 9876 OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.
22	Las V	South Third Street, Suite 200 Vegas, NV 89101
23	Atto	rney for Corey Thomas Barnett
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I hereby certify that I am a person competent to serve papers, that I am not a party t		
3	the above-entitled action, and that on August 18, 2016, I served the foregoing document or		
4	Steven B. Wolfson, Esq.		200 Lewis Avenue
5	Steven S. Owens, Esq.		Las Vegas, NV 89155
6	Clark County District Attorney's Office		Via fax: (702) 477-2957
7			
8			
9		/s/ Ashton Lindsay AN EMPLOYEE OF THE	
10		LAW OFFICE OF BENJA	MIN NADIG, CHTD.
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
· 25			
26		AFFIRM <i>A</i>	ATION
27		Pursuant to NRS I affirm that this document contains	
28		/s/ Ben Nadig Ben Nadig, Esq.	08-18-16 Date

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

COREY THOMAS BARNETT,

Electronically Filed Apr 27 2017 08:33 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Docket No. 71132

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction Following a Jury Trial and Verdict Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Douglas Smith, District Judge Case No. C-16-312887-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Vol. 1 of 2

BEN NADIG Nevada Bar No. 9876 **LAW OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.** 324 S. 3rd St. #200 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 545-7592

Counsel for Appellant

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

Description	Vol.	Pages	Date
Indictment	1	1-3	2/24/2016
Amended Indictment	1	4-5	4/7/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 1	1	6-217	4/11/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 2	2	1-128	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Controlled Substance)	2	129	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Firearm)	2	130	4/12/2016
Transcript: Sentencing	2	131-44	6/15/2016
Judgment of Conviction	2	145-46	7/21/2016
Notice of Appeal	2	147-48	8/19/2016

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

Description	Vol.	Pages	Date
Amended Indictment	1	4-5	4/7/2016
Indictment	1	1-3	2/24/2016
Judgment of Conviction	2	145-46	7/21/2016
Notice of Appeal	2	147-48	8/19/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 1	1	6-217	4/11/2016
Transcript: Jury Trial Day 2	2	1-128	4/12/2016
Transcript: Sentencing	2	131-44	6/15/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Controlled Substance)	2	129	4/12/2016
Verdict (Possession of a Firearm)	2	130	4/12/2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 26 of April, 2017, I served this document on the following:

Name Address

Steven B. Wolfson, Esq. Steven S. Owens, Esq. Clark County District Attorney's Office Via eFlex 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155

Adam Laxalt, Esq. Nevada Attorney General's Office Via eFlex 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701

/s/ Ben Nadig

BEN NADIG Nevada Bar No. 9876 **LAW OFFICE OF BENJAMIN NADIG, CHTD.** 324 S. 3rd St. #200 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 545-7592

Attorney for Appellant

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, this document contains no social security numbers.

 /s/ Ben Nadig
 4-26-17

 Ben Nadig
 Date

Electronically Filed 02/24/2016 12:06:10 PM

1 IND STEVEN B. WOLFSON **CLERK OF THE COURT** Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MICHAEL GILES Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010051 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 5 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, C-16-312887-1 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO: DEPT NO: 11 XXI -VS-COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka, 12 Corry Thomas Barnett, #1981260 13 INDICTMENT Defendant. 14 STATE OF NEVADA 15 SS. COUNTY OF CLARK 16 The Defendant above named, COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka, Corry Thomas 17 Barnett, accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crimes of TRAFFICKING IN 18 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (Category B Felony - NRS 453.3385.1 - NOC 51156) and 19 OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON (Category B 20 Felony - NRS 202.360 - NOC 51460), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of 21 Nevada, on or about the 25th day of September, 2015, as follows: 22 **COUNT 1** - TRAFFICKING IN CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 23 did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and knowingly or intentionally possess, either 24 actually or constructively, 4 grams or more, but less than 14 grams, to-wit: approximately 4.1 25 grams of Methamphetamine, or any mixture of substance consisting of approximately 4.1 26 grams containing the controlled substance Methamphetamine. 27 . 28

W:\2015F\144\63\15F14463-IND-001.docx

COUNT 2 - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON

did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under his custody or control, a firearm, to-wit: a Smith and Wesson .22 caliber firearm bearing Serial No. UBF3139, the defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2011, been convicted of Attempted Possession of a Controlled Substance, in Case No. C273458, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, a felony under the laws of the State of Nevada.

DATED this <u>33</u> day of February, 2016.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010051

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

1	Names of Witnesses and testifying before the Grand Jury:
2	DEANG, CHRISTOPHER, LVMPD# 9876
3	FERNANDEZ, JUAN, LVMPD# 9843
4	
.5	Additional Witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
6	ALLEN, ASHLEY, c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
7	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, CCDC
8	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS
9	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD RECORDS
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	15AGJ120X/15F14463X/ed-GJ
27	LVMPD EV# 1509250889
28	(TK12)

Care Care Care Care 1 **AIND FILED IN OPEN COURT** STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 2 STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT 3 **COLLEEN BAHARAV** APR 0.7 2016 Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #11777 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-16-312887-1 11 -VS-DEPT NO: XXI 12 COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka, Corry Thomas Barnett, #1981260 13 **AMENDED** Defendant. INDICTMENT 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA) ss. 16 COUNTY OF CLARK The Defendant above named, COREY THOMAS BARNETT, aka, Corry Thomas 17 Barnett, accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crimes of POSSESSION OF 18 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (Category E Felony - NRS 453.336 - NOC 51127) and 19 OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON 20 (Category B Felony - NRS 202.360 - NOC 51460), committed at and within the County of 21 Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 25th day of September, 2015, as follows: 22 COUNT 1 - POSSESSION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 23 willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and knowingly or intentionally possess a 24 controlled substance, to-wit: Methamphetamine. 25 26 COUNT 2 - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under 27 his custody or control, a firearm, to-wit: a Smith and Wesson .22 caliber firearm bearing Serial 28

w:\2015\2015F\144\63\15F14463-AIND-(Barnett_Corey)-001.docx

	<u>. * </u>
1	No. UBF3139, the defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2011, been convicted of
2	Attempted Possession of a Controlled Substance, in Case No. C273458, in the Eighth Judicial
3	District Court, Clark County, a felony under the laws of the State of Nevada.
4	DATED this Alberta day of March, 2016.
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
6	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001665
7	
8	BY COLLEGEN BAHABAY
9	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #11777
10	Nevada Dai #11///
11	
12	Names of Witnesses and testifying before the Grand Jury:
13	DEANG, CHRISTOPHER, LVMPD# 9876
14	FERNANDEZ, JUAN, LVMPD# 9843
15	
16	Additional Witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
17	ALLEN, ASHLEY, c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
18	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, CCDC
19	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS
20	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD RECORDS
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	15 A CI 1 20 X / 1 CE 1 A A CO X / 11 / 15 2
27	15AGJ120X/15F14463X/mlb/L-2 LVMPD EV# 1509250889
28	(TK12)

		10/24/2016 09:53:44 AM
1	TRAN	Alun D. Chrim
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5		
6	DISTRIC	T COURT
7	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
8		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C312887
10	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
11	vs.	
12	COREY THOMAS BARNETT,	
13		
14	Defendant.	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16	MONDAY, A	PRIL 11, 2016
17	TRANSCRIPT O	F PROCEEDINGS
18	JURY TRI	AL - DAY 1
19		UME I
20	APPEARANCES:	
21	For the State:	COLLEEN BAHARAV, ESQ. MICHAEL GILES, ESQ.
22		Deputy District Attorneys
23	For the Defendant:	BEN NADIG, ESQ.
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER
	Volume	I - Page 1
		ING & TRANSCRIPTION rande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

INDEX OF WITNESSES PAGE FOR THE STATE: JUAN FERNANDEZ Direct Examination by Attorney Giles Cross-Examination by Attorney Nadig Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig Jury Questions by the Court Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig Jury Questions by the Court Further Redirect Examination by Attorney Giles Further Recross Examination by Attorney Nadig Volume I - Page 2 GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

INDEX OF EXHIBITS PAGE FOR THE STATE: 911 Call Photograph Volume I - Page 3 GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify and if your attorney specifically requests, the Court will give an instruction encompassing the following, quote: The law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the failure of a defendant to testify, end quote. Do you -- do you have any questions about your rights?

THE DEFENDANT: The only question I have about my rights is at this point would I be able to exercise my *Faretta* rights?

THE COURT: We'll go through a *Faretta* canvas. You're further advised that if you have a felony conviction and more than 10 years has not elapsed from the date you've been convicted or discharged from prison, parole or probation, whichever is the latter, and the defense has not sought to preclude that coming before the jury and you elect to take the stand and testify, the Deputy District Attorneys, in the presence of the jury, will be permitted ask you the following: One, have you been convicted of a felony; two, what was felony; and three, when did it happen? However no details may be gone into.

You want to --

THE DEFENDANT: So --

THE COURT: -- you want a Faretta canvas, do you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and I have -- I have one quick question. That motion in limine was --

THE COURT: Let me see the motions.

THE DEFENDANT: That motion in limine was to suppress all -- all prior drug convictions. I have three specific in there --

THE COURT: Hold on, let me look at your motions.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	[Pause]
3	THE COURT: All right. Is this the only motion he's filed?
4	MR. NADIG: I handed two, Your Honor.
5	THE CLERK: Two.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Two motions, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Oh, here's the second one. Sorry. All right, the motion to all
8	right, first let me give you the Faretta canvas.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: It's advisable that you don't represent yourself. You
11	understand that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: What's your educational background?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I graduated high school.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever studied law?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No I haven't, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Have you ever represented yourself in a criminal action?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
19	THE COURT: Do you have any health problems?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
21	THE COURT: Are you taking any medication?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for mental illness?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No.
25	THE COURT: Is anyone threatening you in a way to waive your right to an
	Volume I - Page 7

1	THE DEFENDANT: the PCS
2	THE COURT: I I didn't ask you.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. That's fine.
4	THE COURT: What are the defenses to those two charges, possession and
5	possession with a firearm by an ex-felon?
6	MS. BAHARAV: Do you need us to leave for this part?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Say again.
8	THE COURT: Pardon?
9	MS. BAHARAV: Do you want us to leave for defense, Your Honor? I don't
10	know
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MS. BAHARAV: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Yeah, just you guys can go.
14	[Deputy District Attorneys out at 10:54 a.m.]
15	THE DEFENDANT: Can you can you repeat the question, please?
16	THE COURT: What what are the defense possible defenses to those two
17	charges? Let's start with possession of controlled substance.
18	THE DEFENDANT: The offenses? The defenses?
19	MR. NADIG: The defenses.
20	THE COURT: Okay, possession of controlled substance, what's the defense
21	THE DEFENDANT: I do not have that answer, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Pardon?
23	THE DEFENDANT: I do not have that answer.
24	THE COURT: How you going to defend yourself if you don't have the
25	answer?
	Volume I - Page 9

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I -- I still wish to represent myself.

THE COURT: What's the defense to possession of firearm by an ex-felon?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not have the answer to that one. I will admit that.

THE COURT: What are the possible penalties for possession of controlled substance?

THE DEFENDANT: That I don't know, but for prohibited possession of firearm believe it carries a 1 to 10 or 1 to 15.

THE COURT: Nah, I think -- I don't think it does. That's a little high.

MR. NADIG: Pretty sure it's 1 to 6.

THE COURT: Both of them are 1 to 6, aren't they?

MR. NADIG: I think it's 1 to 4 on the PCS.

THE COURT: All right. What -- what are the legal elements of each charge?

THE DEFENDANT: There's 12 of them as to each charge. Twelve peremptory challenges.

THE COURT: You have no idea what you're doing. You still want to represent yourself?

THE DEFENDANT: I -- I've studied the canvas and --

THE COURT: I just asked you what the legal elements for possession of controlled substance and possession of firearm are and you said -- you answered something different.

MR. NADIG: And Your Honor, for the record, I discussed with him yesterday morning that there were less elements than 12 as related. Additionally that there were not 12 peremptory challenges. Mr. Barnett disagreed with me.

THE COURT: Do you realize that if you're found guilty of these crimes, it may result in an order of sentence be served consecutive, one after another? Do you

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

MR. NADIG: He requested the minutes --

25

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

MS. BAHARAV: Okay.

THE COURT: He had a motion to shield. There were two misdemeanors he said didn't want to come up and one possession with controlled subs (sic) with intent to sell. And I've assured him that unless he takes the stand and testifies, no felonies can come in.

MS. BAHARAV: Right. And actually he wasn't convicted of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell --

MR. NADIG: It was -- it was attempt PCS.

MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. BAHARAV: -- and that's the underlying allegation in the ex-felon in possession charge.

THE COURT: Okay. So none -- but none of the felonies can come in, none of the drug cases can come in if you don't take the stand. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And then -- so I'm not -- not granting that motion. I just read to you what the law is and the law is that it doesn't come before --

THE DEFENDANT: All right, thank you.

THE COURT: -- the jury.

Now the motion to dismiss -- it is respectfully requested This Honorable Court to grant this motion to dismiss. In Justice Court 12, it was conveyed to Defendant that if he accepts the notice to seek indictment for Case 312887, prohibited person possession of firearm and trafficking, they would then be dismissed in Justice Court. Defendant was under the impression he was going before the Grand Jury and had no more dealings with Justice Court. However,

Defendant was later informed by counsel that his case was brought before the Grand Jury. Counsel refused to provide Defendant with transcripts of Grand Jury and additional discovery. Also counsel failed to inform Defendant of his right to face the Grand Jury. Therefore, Defendant respectfully requests This Honorable Court dismiss this case -- violation of due process.

Reason I brought you guys back in I want you to address those issues. MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor. This exact matter was actually brought up before Judge Kephart I believe last week wherein the Defendant indicated that he did not really want to testify at the Grand Jury, he just wanted to be there to observe the entire proceedings. As the notice of intent to seek indictment indicates, the Defendant is not entitled to be present at the Grand Jury unless he intends to testify, which he'll then have to make a waiver of his -- of his right to remain silent. He would be in the room, his attorney could sit next to him, but he could -- he would be responsible for answering only the questions asked by the prosecution, as well as any of the grand jurors that might have been present in the room that wanted to ask questions. He would not be permitted to present any evidence that wasn't exculpatory or that wasn't valid evidence, and so that information was explained to him in District Court Department XIX.

With regards to this particular case, Your Honor, this case was dismissed on February 9th, 2016 by Elana Graham from our office. It was dismissed because witnesses failed to appear. *Marcum* was served at that particular point in time.

THE DEFENDANT: And after that --

MS. BAHARAV: Then --

THE COURT: Quiet.

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BAHARAV: I'm not done, sir.

At that particular time *Marcum* was served. The State went to the Grand Jury on February 23rd, 2016. We did not receive any information that the Defendant wanted to testify at the Grand Jury and of course, he would not be permitted to sit there and watch as the State presented evidence. As this Court's aware, it's a secret proceeding and only those that are being questioned at the time are -- are allowed to be present and observe the proceedings.

Once the indictment was returned, it came before Judge Adair and that's the -- that's the status of the case as it is now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything you want to say?

MR. NADIG: Your Honor, for the record, I explained to him what *Marcum* was. I explained to him that -- and -- and this was on the February 9th date. I explained that they filed *Marcum* because notices didn't show up. They can indict him. If they do, he can testify, but he doesn't want to testify. Okay.

Now, I believe Mr. Barnett disagrees with me; that he is confusing this case with his other matter that is in Justice Court 12 that has not yet been dismissed. That case was to be dismissed pursuant to his agreement to the charges which I believe are in front of Your Honor and are subject of an evidentiary hearing next week.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NADIG: So I believe that he is confusing --

THE COURT: That's one in front of Judge Goodman.

MR. NADIG: Okay.

MS. BAHARAV: No, there --

THE COURT: That has now come to me.

1	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, sir, we did.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And you don't want a negotiation, sir?
3	THE DEFENDANT: No.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Barnett? Speak out, please.
5	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, I don't.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
7	[Recess taken at 12:43 p.m.]
8	[Proceedings resumed at 1:02 p.m.]
9	THE COURT: Are you guys ready?
10	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, sir.
11	MR. NADIG: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Bring the jury in, Tom.
13	You have each have five
14	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
15	THE COURT: four preempts, one for
16	MR. NADIG: Alternate.
17	THE COURT: alternate.
18	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
19	THE COURT: Alternates will be 13 and 14.
20	[Prospective jury in at 1:04 p.m.]
21	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
22	THE COURT: All right. This is Case Number it's coming to me just a
23	second C312887, State of Nevada versus Corey Barnett. The record will reflect
24	the presence of the State's attorneys, the Defendant and his attorney. Also present
25	are all officers of the court. Parties have announced ready.

You are in Department VIII of the Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada. My name is Doug Smith. I am the presiding judge. You've been summonsed here today to serve as jurors in a criminal case and you'll hear a little bit more about that in a minute.

I'll introduce my court staff. To my right is Keri Cromer. She's the Court Clerk. She'll take down everything in court, swear people in, so speak up so that she can hear. And Jill Jacoby is the Court Recorder. Tom Lemke is the Marshal. And periodically a young man will come in and his name is Alan Miller.

Does anybody know the court personnel as I've introduced them? Seeing no hands.

I have to read this because someone borrowed my other one. All right, counsel -- well let me ask a couple quick questions before we introduce. Does anyone here -- some of this sounds personal. We're not trying to get personal. It's just that we're trying to find 14 people that are fair and impartial both to the State and the Defendant.

Let me just tell you as the Defendant sits here, he's not guilty. He and his attorney can sit there and read Cosmopolitan if they want to, if I permitted that. They don't have to introduce evidence, they don't have to question anyone, they don't have to do anything. Does anybody have a problem with that?

The State has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt of each element of the crime charged and they'll talk about that and I'll give you instructions and I -- and I tell the -- all because I'm going to ask everybody questions. They're going to start now and they're -- they may seem a little personal, but I -- I don't mean to.

First of all, is there anyone here who is not a citizen of the United

1	States? Because you have to be a citizen the United States to sit as a juror. Seein
2	no hands.
3	Is there anyone here is a convicted a felon and haven't had their civil
4	rights restored, if you'll raise your hand?
5	Okay, Tom.
6	Tell me your name and your badge number.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: David Nida, and my badge number
8	is 103884908.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: My badge number 00 080257.
11	THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry, I have to ask you what felony you were
12	convicted of?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: Conspiracy to commit robbery.
14	THE COURT: How long ago?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: It's been about 15 years.
16	THE COURT: Here in Nevada?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Prosecuted by our District Attorney?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Have you had your civil rights restored?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: No.
22	THE COURT: Should work on that. You can get it restored and then you
23	can
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: I I didn't know that, I'm sorry.
25	THE COURT: then you can vote and other things. All right, you can't sit.
	Volume L. Page 26

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

You'll have to go. If you'll go down talk to the jury commissioner, I'd appreciate it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay? Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 257: Thank you.

THE COURT: I don't mean to ask embarrassing questions.

Counsel for the State will now introduce themselves and their witnesses, explain the nature of the case and tell the jury the names the witness -- witnesses they believe may be called.

MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Colleen Baharav and I, along with Michael Giles, represent the State of Nevada in the State of Nevada versus Corey Thomas Barnett. The State has alleged that on or about the 25th day of September 2015, here in the county of Clark, State of Nevada, the Defendant committed the following crime: Possession of controlled substance in that he did therein there willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and knowingly or intentionally possess a controlled substance; to wit, methamphetamine.

To prove the case to you all, either today or -- or throughout today and tomorrow, the State intends to call some of the following witnesses. Please listen up to see if you recognize any of these names. Juan Fernandez is an officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Christopher Deang is also an officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Rebekah Altizer is a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and potentially Ashley Allen (phonetic).

The Defendant has pled not guilty to this charge and that's where we stand now. Thank you.

THE COURT: Does anyone here know the DAs or any of the names of the police or witnesses? Seeing no hands.

Mr. Nadig.

MR. NADIG: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Ben Nadig. My client's name is Corey Barnett. The State is here to prove that he possessed methamphetamine. We believe there's a question as to whether he even knew he had methamphetamine on his person and the only people I -- the only person we may call is Mr. Corey Barnett.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anyone know those witnesses? Or the attorneys? Thank you.

Now, the questioning of the jury will begin under oath. The Clerk -- if you'll all stand, raise your right, either affirm or swear that you'll follow the rules.

[The prospective jury panel was sworn in by the Clerk]

THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated.

All right, now we will be asking questions bearing on your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror. The Court, the lawyers and all persons involved in the case are deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of 14 open-minded people who are completely neutral and who have no bias or prejudice towards or against either side. In order to accomplish this, it is necessary for me to ask some questions. The attorneys, if they choose, will be given that opportunity as well. Is not our desire to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives, although some of the questions may at times seem quite personal. Our only objective is to determine whether there's a reason why any of you cannot sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case.

It is important that you know the significance full -- of full and complete

disclosure and honest answers. That's kind of what voir dire means is to tell the -- to speak the truth. And that's what this is called, this is voir dire of the jury.

I caution you not to hide or withhold anything you might indicate a bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're released as jurors. Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial and not based upon any preconceived prejudice or bias.

I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you. At some point during the process of selecting the jury I may ask the attorneys to ask some questions.

There are two types of challenges, for cause and preemptory challenges. It's really not necessary to understand fully what they are, but challenge for cause means that a juror has been excused because they -- their answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate they would be difficult in giving a fair and impartial hearing on the case. A preemptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without having to give a reason. Please don't be offended should you be excused by either challenge procedure. They're simply a part of the procedure designed to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government.

Are any of the -- I -- I've asked if any of them were acquainted with the attorneys. Is there anyone here that -- I believe some police officers are going to testify -- that will believe the police officer just because they're a police officer?

You will? Tell me your name and your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Gina Davis.

24

25

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Davis.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Badge number 080023.

THE COURT: Okay. And you'll believe a police officer just because they're a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Yeah, I think they have severe background checks and have an oath to the law. I believe them over a witness. I have recent experience of a witness from a car accident April 1st completely changing their story and lying, as well as the witness in their car. So I would definitely believe a police officer --

THE COURT: Talk into the mic.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: I would definitely believe a police officer over a witness.

THE COURT: Okay. What if during the examination you didn't think the police officer was telling exactly what happened? You would still believe them just because they wear a uniform?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: I -- I believe they -- over a witness? Yes, because --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: -- of their background and what -- the schooling and the intensive background checks that go into a police officer obtaining their job.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: And like I said, recent experience

1	just April 1st
2	THE COURT: Right.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: from a car accident I have the
4	paperwork completely changing their story under oath with the insurance
5	company on the recording, so
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: I have a serious distrust for
8	witnesses as well.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: I'm just being honest.
1	THE COURT: No, I appreciate that.
2	Either party have a problem with me excusing her?
3	MS. BAHARAV: Not from the State.
4	MR. NADIG: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. You're excused. Thank you. Check in with jury
6	commissioner. Perhaps she can put you on a civil trial. Tell her that's what I said.
7	Hopefully it's not a construction defect. Those are six-month trials.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	Fill that spot.
21	THE CLERK: Okay. Next up we have badge 197, Jason Gunderson.
22	THE MARSHAL: Hey Jason, right up here, please.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Tom. I'm sorry, but there was another witness
24	that answered yes to that question.
25	THE COURT: Yeah, I
	Volume I - Page 31

1 AA 036

things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 204: Not really. They didn't really go after much, they just took a report and said good luck.

THE COURT: Okay. Will you hold that against the Defendant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 204: No.

THE COURT: Let me -- let me read this jury instruction to you that you'll probably get at the end: Do not decide this case based on implicit biases -- and it's something that someone talked about Black guys did this. As we discuss -- as we discuss in jury selection, everyone, including the Judge, has feelings, assumptions, perceptions, fears, stereotypes that could be determined as implicit bias that we may not be aware of. These hidden thoughts can impact what we see and hear, how we remember what we see and hear, and how we make important decisions. Because you are making very important decision in this case, I strongly encourage you to evaluate the evidence carefully and to resist jumping to conclusions based on personal likes or dislikes, generalizations, gut feelings, prejudices, sympathies, stereotypes or biases. The law demands that you return a just verdict based solely on the evidence, your individual evaluation of the evidence, your reason and common sense and instructions. Our system of justice is counting on you to render a fair decision based on the evidence and not on bias.

That's all we're asking so I appreciate your response. Will you be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 204: I will give it my best effort.

THE COURT: All right.

[Colloquy between the Court and Law Clerk]

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Debra Ann Chapa, number 208. I

Volume I - Page 43

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

(623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

9

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

18

17

1920

21

23

22

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Jason Gunderson, 197. As a teenager, I shined a laser pen in a diesel truck that was carrying some sort of weapons and got pulled over and put in handcuffs for that, but I -- I don't -- they never charged me with anything. So I don't know if that -- pertinent or not.

THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. The jury is the trier of the facts in this case. You'll listen to the evidence, determine what the facts are. I am the trier of the law. I'll tell you what the law is. It would be an abuse of your oath as a juror to make a decision on anything other than what I tell you, even if you think the law is wrong. Is there anybody got a problem with that raise your hand? Oh good.

THE MARSHAL: I got one hand just went up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 461: My name's Jesus Rubio, badge number 461. So does our decision impact his -- if he were to be found guilty, for example, for his sentencing?

THE COURT: No. You can't even talk about it. I'll give you a jury instruction on that. Sentencing is entirely up to the Court. You can't even talk about that in the jury room if you're selected.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 461: So we can find him guilty for something and if we don't agree with the sentencing possibilities, that's a problem --

THE COURT: You can come and watch the sentencing. I'm the trier that determines what sentence occurs. Yeah. You as a jury can't even consider that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 461: That's not fair.

THE COURT: That's the law.

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

THE COURT: How long have you lived in Las Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Since 1989
THE COURT: Are you married?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Yes, I am.
THE COURT: Do you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: I do.
THE COURT: What do you do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: I'm electrician.
THE COURT: Union?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: No, non-union.
THE COURT: Does your wife work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: She's a stay-at-home mom. I
consider that working.
THE COURT: How old are you children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Eight
THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: I do not.
THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: I have.
THE COURT: Where?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Here in Clark County.
THE COURT: When?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: I would say probably four years ago.
THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Criminal.
Volume I - Page 49

1	at the Wynn.
2	THE COURT: Children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: One with her, yes.
4	THE COURT: How old?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Twelve.
6	THE COURT: I guess I should have asked you this, too. Do you have any
7	background in law or law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: You have any background in law or law enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: You you did ask me that.
11	THE COURT: I did ask?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 197: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: That's I'm old. You ever sat as a juror before?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Where?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Here in Las Vegas.
17	THE COURT: When?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: 2001. I just looked it up.
19	THE COURT: Crivil or crivil or ciminal?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes, criminal.
21	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Criminal.
23	THE COURT: Without telling us a verdict, were you able to reach a verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Were you the jury foreperson?
	Volume I - Page 51

1	impartial?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 093: No.
3	THE COURT: You ever sat as a juror before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 093: No.
5	THE COURT: You want to sit on this jury?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 093: If it works out that way.
7	THE COURT: All right, if you pass that mic over.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: My name's Ernesto Velasco.
9	THE COURT: Do you work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Yes.
11	THE COURT: What do you do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Food runner at Delmonico
13	Steakhouse.
14	THE COURT: You married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Spouse work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Yes.
18	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Chipotle Mexican Grill.
20	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: No.
22	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: No.
24	THE COURT: And children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: Yes.
	Volume I - Page 53

1	THE COURT: Ages?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: He's three year old.
3	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
4	impartial to both parties in this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 185: No.
6	THE COURT: Pass that mic over.
7	MR. NADIG: Did you ask about law enforcement?
8	THE COURT: I did.
9	MR. NADIG: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Dale Gordon.
11	THE COURT: Do you work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No.
13	THE COURT: Retired?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Long time.
15	THE COURT: From what?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Electrical contractor.
17	THE COURT: You married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No.
19	THE COURT: Children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Five.
21	THE COURT: Ages?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Oh, 49 to 39.
23	THE COURT: Any of them in law or law enforcement?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Not on that end.
25	THE COURT: Have you have you any experience in law or law
	Volume I - Page 54

1	enforcement?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No, I've never been called as a juro
5	THE COURT: Are you can you think of any reason you would not be fair
6	and impartial to both parties in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No. I've had I've known my share
8	of cops and the honesty factor I've I've known my share of them. They're just like
9	the next man. They're no different so
10	THE COURT: You'll listen to them and listen to all of the evidence
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: That's correct.
12	THE COURT: before you make a decision?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: That's correct.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'll pass that mic over.
15	No, you. Yeah, you, ma'am.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I
17	THE COURT: Pardon?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I didn't raise my hand.
19	THE COURT: No, I'm asking questions.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Oh, I'm oh, I'm so sorry.
21	THE COURT: Tell me your name.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Kelly Fernandez, badge number
23	187.
24	THE COURT: Do you work?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I do.
	Volume I - Page 55

1 AA 060

1	THE COURT: What do you do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I'm the store manager here at
3	Macy's Fashion Show.
4	THE COURT: Are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Spouse work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Retired.
8	THE COURT: From what?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Was owned his own business,
10	mechanic.
11	THE COURT: Children?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Ages?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Thirty and 24.
15	THE COURT: Either of them in law enforcement
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: No.
17	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Absolutely not.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: No, I have not.
21	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
22	impartial to both parties
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: No.
24	THE COURT: in this case? Okay. Thanks.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Your turn.
	Volume I - Page 56

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Igor Vidovic.
2	THE COURT: Do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Butler.
6	THE COURT: Where?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: MGM.
8	THE COURT: Have you are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Spouse work?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Yes, she does.
12	THE COURT: What what does your spouse do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: She's a paralegal.
14	THE COURT: For whom?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: What's the office? Can't think of it
16	now. It's the same building as God. I'm drawing a blank. Give me a second I'll -
17	I'll get to that.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Office on Rancho and Charleston.
20	THE COURT: Do they do civil or criminal?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: She does insurance, she does
22	personal injury, she she does a little bit of everything
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: and she did construction defect a
25	while back.
	Volume I - Page 57

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Jonathan Clayton.
2	THE COURT: Do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: I'm a trooper for the highway patrol.
6	THE COURT: Are you married?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: No.
8	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: No.
10	THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Yes.
12	THE COURT: You trust cops?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Yes.
14	THE COURT: I I guess I shouldn't have asked that question. If you guys
15	get mad at me, I'm Jim Bixler. I can say that because he was my first judge.
16	You'll listen to all the evidence to make a decision?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: I will.
18	THE COURT: But mostly you don't do drug investigations? Mostly you do
19	traffic?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Mainly traffic. Up north we did
21	drugs. I was stationed along I-80
22	THE COURT: Okay, you did interventions or
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: for a drug detail in Winnemucca.
24	THE COURT: All right. But you'll base your decision here on what you you
25	hear and see in this courtroom?
	Volume I - Page 59

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. If you pass that mic over?
3	Tell me your name.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Grecia Bermudez.
5	THE COURT: Do you work?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What do you do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: I'm an insurance agent.
9	THE COURT: Are you married?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Spouse work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: He's a waiter.
15	THE COURT: Children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Yes, two.
17	THE COURT: Ages?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Six and five months.
19	THE COURT: Have you any background in law or law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
21	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
23	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
24	impartial to both parties in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
	Volume I - Page 60

1	THE COURT: All right. Pass that mic over.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Denise Culley-Miller, badge number
3	192.
4	THE COURT: Do you work?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What do you do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: I'm a plumbing dispatcher.
8	THE COURT: For whom?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: A. A. Cassaro Plumbing.
10	THE COURT: You married?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes, sir
12	THE COURT: Spouse
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: 24 years.
14	THE COURT: Spouse work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes, he's a business owner.
16	THE COURT: Kind of business?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: We do union labor for the
18	conventions.
19	THE COURT: Have you children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: I have one son, 29.
21	THE COURT: In law or law enforcement?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No, sir.
23	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No. I'm testing for PBX operator
25	right now. Applying in April.
	Volume I - Page 61

1	THE COURT: With
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: LVMPD.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.
5	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
6	impartial to both parties in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Absolutely not.
8	THE COURT: All right. If you pass that mic down.
9	Tell me your name.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Esther Arnell.
11	THE COURT: Do you work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: I'm a stay-at-home mom.
13	THE COURT: Do you have any background in law or law enforcement?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: No.
15	THE COURT: Do you married?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Spouse work?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: He's a chef.
21	THE COURT: Where?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Smith's.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever sat a juror before?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Where?
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
2	THE COURT: Do you have children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Where?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: In California.
8	THE COURT: When?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: About three years ago.
10	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Civil.
12	THE COURT: You understand civil you just tilt the scales one way and and
13	you win.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes.
15	THE COURT: But in criminal it's it's unanimous. Do you understand that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes. I also sat through a criminal
17	case. I had a nephew that was murdered. So I sat through three criminal cases
18	THE COURT: And so you came to court and and watched the
19	proceedings?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Was that here?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No, in Los Angeles.
23	THE COURT: All right. Was there anything about that experience that would
24	prejudice you against the Defendant or the State?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Not that experience, but I do also
	Volume I - Page 64

1	MR. NADIG: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. NADIG: I'd ask to excuse, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: We'll talk. Do you have any questions for her?
5	MS. BAHARAV: I don't I'm actually not objecting. She indicated she'd have
6	a hard time with both parties, so we're ask that she's excused as well.
7	THE COURT: Stipulated?
8	MR. NADIG: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse you. Maybe you can get on a jury civi
10	jury, short short trial. Thank you.
11	THE CLERK: Okay, next up we have badge 198, Olga Pinto.
12	THE COURT: All right, tell me your name.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Olga Pinto.
14	THE COURT: Do you work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What do you do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: I work for Credit One Bank.
18	THE COURT: Are you married?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Spouse work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.
22	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: He works at Sunset Station, he's a
24	waiter.
25	THE COURT: Have you children?
	Volume I - Page 66

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes, three.
2	THE COURT: Ages?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Twenty-two, 17 and 11.
4	THE COURT: Any of them in law or law enforcement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: No.
6	THE COURT: Above 11 of course. Do you have any background in law or
7	law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: No, I don't.
9	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: I was in California, but as soon as I
11	got in they took me out of the panels.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: So
14	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
15	impartial to both parties in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: No.
17	THE COURT: All right. If you'll pass that over to your left.
18	Tell me your name.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: Brian Morachis.
20	THE COURT: Do you work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: Yes.
22	THE COURT: What do you do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: I'm a regional manager for a
24	Japanese industrial electronics company.
25	THE COURT: Are you married?
	Volume I - Page 67

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: No.
2	THE COURT: Do you have children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever do you have a background in law or law
5	enforcement?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: No.
7	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: No.
9	THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial to both parties in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. If you'll pass that mic over.
12	Tell me your name.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Bryce Parker.
14	THE COURT: Do you work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What do you do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: I'm a sales trainer with Mattress
18	Firm.
19	THE COURT: Are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: No.
21	THE COURT: Children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: No.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any background in law or law enforcement?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: No.
25	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
	Volume I - Page 68

Volume I - Page 70

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

25

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1 AA 076

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

contractor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: I retired many, many years ago.

MS. BAHARAV: How long did you do that, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: I retired when I was 31.

MS. BAHARAV: Well done. Jealous. Okay, so what have you been doing since you -- retiring, travel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Fishing.

MS. BAHARAV: Also well done. I just -- I have nothing else to add to that. That's amazing. You heard some of the questions I asked earlier. Specifically with regards to narcotics, do you think that the government if -- if the government's decided that something's a crime, especially if it's possession of narcotics, do you think the government should stay out of it or do you agree that if they say it's a crime, it's a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No, I think it's got to be stopped. I'd turn in my own grandkids for doing drugs, so --

MS. BAHARAV: All right. Knowing that this is a narcotics case, however, are you going to hold that personal view you have about turning them in against the Defendant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Like I say, I'm -- I'm a hundred percent anti-drug -- old timer.

MS. BAHARAV: All right. If the Judge instructs you on the elements of the crimes and the State fails to meet their burden, you have any problem finding the Defendant not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No.

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Haven't had -- haven't had an opportunity to sit on one before. I mean it's -- it's our civil (sic) responsibility.

MS. BAHARAV: It -- indeed it is and without you we can't actually go forward with any of the constitutional requirements, so we're thankful that you're here. If you want to pass it over now, thank you, ma'am.

Mr. Vidovic?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Yes.

MS. BAHARAV: Did I say that correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Vidovich (phonetic).

MS. BAHARAV: Vidovich. H. You said that you are a butler at the MGM.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Yes.

MS. BAHARAV: So you probably come into contact with some crazy requests sometimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Very often.

MS. BAHARAV: You understand that -- you even talked a little bit about this earlier, specifically with regards to my narcotics question. You understand that if the State of Nevada has determined that this is a crime that if you're instructed that it's a crime, would you have any problem finding the Defendant guilty if we prove it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: No, facts won't lie.

MS. BAHARAV: Okay. And you understand too that as he stands right now he's not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: I understand that.

MS. BAHARAV: If I were just to talk to you all, then rest my case and present no evidence, he's still not guilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: I understand.

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

1	crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 189: No.
3	MS. BAHARAV: All right. Thank you, sir. Pass it down.
4	Ms. Bermudez? Did I say that correctly?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: (No audible response.)
6	MS. BAHARAV: Great. Ma'am, you indicated that you work for an insurance
7	company?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Uh-huh.
9	MS. BAHARAV: And usually you're at work probably now, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Uh-huh.
11	MS. BAHARAV: Knowing that we'll hopefully be done either today or
12	tomorrow with evidence, do you have any issues kind of sitting here and listening to
13	all of the evidence that's being presented?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
15	MS. BAHARAV: Any of the questions I asked previously to the other panel
16	members that you wanted to talk about?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
18	MS. BAHARAV: Well now I got to pick on you then because you didn't pick
19	one of your own. Anyone in your family ever been accused of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: No.
21	MS. BAHARAV: Anyone in your family ever been the victim of a crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Yes.
23	MS. BAHARAV: And what was that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 190: Sexual assault.
25	MS. BAHARAV: Do you know if that case went to trial?
	Volume I - Page 87

Metropolitan Police Department that you'll hold against the Defendant here today?

25

1	have some some children?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Two.
3	MS. BAHARAV: How old are they?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Fifteen and 16.
5	MS. BAHARAV: Okay. So if you have to be here till five or so, they're okay
6	on their own for a little bit?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Yeah.
8	MS. BAHARAV: All right. Hopefully not much past five, but just to make sure
9	that that it shouldn't be a problem
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: They have their dad.
11	MS. BAHARAV: Oh good, okay. Now with regards to the questions I've
12	already asked, do you watch the show CSI?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: I used to a long time ago till the one
14	guy left and then it got stupid.
15	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah, right? I know. It's okay. They they always leave.
16	But you understand that all the things I've been saying I don't need to reiterate
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Right.
18	MS. BAHARAV: a million times. Anything about the stuff that we've been
19	talking about earlier that you kind of want to talk about in regards to the show CSI?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: No, I mean fact nonfiction is
21	nonfiction and fiction is fiction and anything on TV is fiction so I wouldn't expect rea
22	police officers to do the same thing that fictional story written police officers do just
23	like I wouldn't expect real people to do the same things that book people do.
24	MS. BAHARAV: Right. So you have no issues whatsoever if the State
25	doesn't present DNA or fingerprint evidence?

25

that you want to answer?

1	great.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: Not for you, yeah.
3	MS. BAHARAV: Yeah. All right, sir, thank you. Want to pass it down?
4	Mr. Parker?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Yep.
6	MS. BAHARAV: How are you, sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Good.
8	MS. BAHARAV: So I've asked a lot of questions, I say it every time. Anyon
9	in your family ever been accused of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: No.
11	MS. BAHARAV: Anyone in your family ever been the victim of a crime?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Yes.
13	MS. BAHARAV: What crime is that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: My parents' house was vandalized
15	by a neighbor who was I guess schizophrenic and he threw a big jar of drugs
16	through of marijuana through their front front window and I had to come and
17	respond to it because they were all at work, but that's really it.
18	MS. BAHARAV: They didn't want to share his drugs, he was just trying to
19	vandalize
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: No, they were very serious about
21	getting them all out of the house and everything so
22	MS. BAHARAV: Good plan.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Yeah.
24	MS. BAHARAV: Good plan. Did the police respond to that location?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 196: Yes.

22

23

24

25

into a few things.

MR. NADIG: You're not that old, you're only 68.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Well, thank you very much.

MR. NADIG: There's an attorney practicing who I swear she's close to 80 so yeah, you're not even close. But is sitting through a criminal trial going to bring up any negative emotions for you based on your personal experiences?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No, not emotions.

MR. NADIG: Okay. Now is -- if you were sitting in that chair, would you want yourself as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: If I were in his chair?

MR. NADIG: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Probably not.

MR. NADIG: Okay. And why not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Probably -- it's a drug case and like I said, I am so anti-drug it's -- I had a brother that -- that lied to me about being in it and he was in it severely and like I said, I'd turn in my own grandkids.

MR. NADIG: Uh-huh. But at the same time if it's -- if the State fails to meet their burden irrespective of what the crime is, are you going to have a problem saying not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: No, I -- if they can't prove it, the shadow of the doubt is innocence before guilt so --

MR. NADIG: Okay, and are you going to hold them to a lesser standard simply based on the fact that it's a drug case? Are you going to give them more credit because it's drug case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: You know I might listen to them

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more. Yeah -- got a bad spot in my heart for it.

MR. NADIG: Okay, and -- and so you feel potentially just based on your life experience that you may not -- this may not be the best jury for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Like I said, I wouldn't --

MR. NADIG: You wouldn't want yourself as a juror if you were sitting in that chair.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Correct, yeah.

MR. NADIG: Okay, which is fair and I thank you for being honest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 186: Yeah.

MR. NADIG: All right. Can we pass to Ms. Fernandez?

You don't like people asking you questions, do you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I didn't say I didn't.

MR. NADIG: I just got that sense so I'm just -- all right. One of the things is in -- in picking a jury, both sides, Ms. Baharav, Mr. Giles and myself, we're going to want individuals to be on the jury and what -- what we mean by that is people who stand by their own convictions. Okay, and so the question I would ask you, are you a go along get along type of person or are you the type of person if you believe my client is not guilty, you have no problem holding out if 11 other people say you're crazy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: I would hold out and let people think I'm crazy.

MR. NADIG: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 187: Again, sit and listen to all the evidence but no, I'm not a go along just to go along. I'll make my own decision and stand by those convictions.

22

23

24

25

MR. NADIG: Some of those ladies are mean, I'm just saying.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: I'm not mean.
MR. NADIG: Okay. But here's the thing. You're going to be working or

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: If I pass the test.

MR. NADIG: Okay, if you pass the test. Would you, if you feel the State fails to meet their burden, feel comfortable telling the people you're potentially working with that yes, I was on a jury and I found somebody not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: I would have no problem with that at all.

MR. NADIG: Okay, so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Facts are facts.

MR. NADIG: So the potential to be employed by the agency that you'll be hearing from is not going to color your view of the testimony in any way, shape or form?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.

MR. NADIG: No. Okay. Then let's pass the mic. Actually I'll grab it from you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: For Esther.

MR. NADIG: Here you go, Esther.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: Thank you.

MR. NADIG: Now, Esther, in your situation you believe that there are certain physical issues that would necessitate you not wanting to be on the jury. Fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 193: It's just physically hard to go up and down the ramp so if the case were to take several days, I would have to make some

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You guys have any problem with that?

MS. BAHARAV: Well, Your Honor, on principle I don't, but I think he was responding to counsel's question saying I'd be crazy to keep you because you could have inherent biases so I think that's (indiscernible) --

MR. NADIG: But I followed up with additional questions that would suggest that he was biased (indiscernible) --

MS. BAHARAV: I'll submit it.

THE COURT: I'll kick him (indiscernible) --

MR. NADIG: Okay, and then --

THE COURT: I think that --

MR. NADIG: -- one more.

MS. BAHARAV: The drug guy? Mr. Gordon?

MR. NADIG: The 68-year-old guy.

MS. BAHARAV: Mr. Gordon, 186.

MR. NADIG: Yeah.

THE COURT: Which one?

MR. NADIG: One eighty-six, Mr. Gordon. He was the gentleman who said that he was very anti-drugs and he said that he would be more inclined to believe the State because of the nature of the charge.

MS. BAHARAV: I think the record that he made is sufficient to kick him, to be honest.

THE COURT: I don't think that's enough. Think he said he would be fair and impartial. But he's anti-drug. I mean if they weren't in his pocket and he didn't know they're in his pocket he wouldn't find him guilty of it.

MR. NADIG: But at the same time he definitely said he would defer to the

guilty as of right now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: I understand that, yes.

MR. NADIG: Okay, and you have no problem with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: No.

MR. NADIG: Okay, are there any issues with drugs that would lead you to hold me to any burden or the State to a lesser burden? Meaning --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: No.

MR. NADIG: Okay. I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me read some instructions if you all listen to these, we're -- we're going to start our peremptory challenges now.

Ladies and gentlemen, you're admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact related to this case as his or her own knowledge and if any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that they or another juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, they'll disclose that situation to myself in the absence of the other jurors. That means that if you learn during the course of the trial that you were acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses and you have not previously told me of that relationship, you must declare that fact to me and you communicate that through the Marshal.

During the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides and court personnel other than the Marshal are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

You're admonished additionally that you're not to visit the scene of any acts or occurrences made mention of during the trial unless specifically directed to do so by the Court.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Ages?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Twenty-two, 18, 17 and 11.
4	THE COURT: Either of them or any of them law or law related?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: My oldest daughter's husband's
6	going to law school. The Fall.
7	THE COURT: Where at?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: USC.
9	THE COURT: What she (sic) studying?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: They're they're just going to law
1	school. I don't know yet.
2	THE COURT: First year?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Everything they're making their mind mush.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: I'm excited for him. It's fun.
6	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: No.
8	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Where?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Here.
22	THE COURT: When?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Four or five years ago.
24	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: Criminal.
- 1	ī

1	MR. NADIG: But you don't know if you can?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: I'm I'm going to focus and get
3	MR. NADIG: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 201: get through it.
5	MR. NADIG: And I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thanks.
7	Tell me your name?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Rita Brodish.
9	THE COURT: Do you work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Yes, I do for
11	THE COURT: What
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: the Clark County School District.
13	THE COURT: What do you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: I'm a special education teaching
15	assistant.
16	THE COURT: Have you are you married?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Yes, I am.
18	THE COURT: Spouse work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Yes, he's a salesman.
20	THE COURT: For what?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Heavy duty truck parts and he also
22	sells real estate.
23	THE COURT: Have you children?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Ages?
	Volume I - Page 129

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Thirty-two and 26.
2	THE COURT: Either of them in law enforcement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you any background in law or law enforcement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: No.
6	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Yes, I have about
8	THE COURT: Where?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: 12 years ago here
0	THE COURT: Here?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: and it was a car theft case
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: and we did reach
4	THE COURT: So it's criminal.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: a decision. Yes, criminal.
6	THE COURT: And you reached verdict. Were you the verdict jury
7	foreperson?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: No, I was not.
9	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that would prejudice
20	you against the Defendant or the State?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: None at all.
22	THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 202: Absolutely.
24	THE COURT: State?
25	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, sir.
	Volume I - Page 130

a

introduction of the evidence which the party making the statement intends to produce.

The State will -- will introduce evidence in support of the State's information. This is called the State's case in chief.

After the State presents evidence, Defendant may, if they choose, present evidence but is not obligated to do so. This would be this -- the Defendant's case in chief.

If the Defendant presents evidence, the State may present rebuttal evidence. If the State presents rebuttal evidence, the Defendant may present surrebuttal evidence. That just means more evidence.

After evidence I will instruct you on the applicable law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions which I will read to you. After the evidence, regardless of any of the -- any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given to you by me.

After the instructions in the -- on the law are read to you, each party has the opportunity to present closing arguments in support of their case. What is said in closing arguments is not evidence, just as what is said in opening statements is not evidence. The arguments are designed to present to you the contentions of the party as to what the evidence has shown or what inferences may be drawn from the evidence.

You'll be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify. You're not encouraged to ask a large number of -- of questions because that is primary responsibility the attorneys. Only a limited number of questions may be posed by jurors and you will not be allowed to become

1	the third attorney or to advocate a certain position with your questions and they'll
2	happen like this: They'll be done with that witness, examining the witness and I'll
3	say turn to the jury and say does the jury have any questions of this witness.
4	You'll write your question down with your seat number, not with your badge number.
5	Give it to Tom raise your hand. Tom will come pick it up. And I'll take the
6	attorneys out in the back hall and we'll talk about them.
7	We would thank and excuse Denise Miller. Thank you for your time.
8	And Crystal Hutchison. Thank you for your time.
9	THE CLERK: Okay, next up badge 203, John Money.
10	And badge 204, Guy Belding.
11	THE COURT: Do you work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: Yes, Your Honor, I do.
13	THE COURT: What do you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: I'm a registered nurse.
15	THE COURT: Where at?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: At Mountain Edge Hospital.
17	THE COURT: Are you married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: Yes, I am.
19	THE COURT: Spouse work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: No, she's disabled.
21	THE COURT: Okay, I'm sorry. Do you have any background in law or law
22	enforcement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: No, sir.
24	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 203: Yes, I have.

1	THE CLERK: Okay, next up badge 206, Kenneth Kenny.
2	THE COURT: Do you work, Mr. Kenny?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: Yes, I do.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: I'm a treasurer at Southwest Gas
6	Corporation.
7	THE COURT: Are you married?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Spouse work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: I'm sorry?
11	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: No, she does not.
13	THE COURT: Do you have children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Ages?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: Twenty-three and 18.
17	THE COURT: Either of them in law or law enforcement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: No, I do not.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: No.
23	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
24	impartial to both parties in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 206: Yes.

witnesses and I'll take them out and read them. I have the discretion to preclude individual jurors from asking an excessive number of questions.

Questions may be asked both lawyers -- after both lawyers have finished questioning the witness only and only at that time. The State will call a witness and conducts a direct examination. Defense then has the opportunity conduct cross-examination. May go back and forth until I direct you to ask a question if you should so desire.

You should write your question down with your juror number on a full -you don't have do it your juror number, your seat number. It's changed. After the
attorneys are finished, I will look to the jury again and see if there's a question
before I release the witness.

Any questions you pose must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. All questions must be directed to the witness and not to the lawyers or to myself as the Judge.

After you have indicated that you have a question, the Marshal will pick up your question, give it to me and I'll consult with the attorneys to determine if your question is legally proper. Only questions permissible under the rules of evidence will be asked and jurors should not draw any inferences or conclusions if a question they have submitted is not asked. If I determine that your question may not properly be asked, I will -- or if I -- if I determine that your question may properly be asked, I'll ask it of the witness and the attorneys will be allowed follow-up as necessary.

Until this case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the jury room.

We would thank and excuse Guy Belding. Thank you for your time.

1	And Jason Gunderson. Thank you for your time.
2	THE CLERK: Okay, next badge 207, Blanca Londona, and 208, Debra
3	Chapa.
4	THE COURT: All right, tell me your name.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Blanca.
6	THE COURT: Do you work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Yes.
8	THE COURT: What do you do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Calvary Chapel Spring Valley
10	facilities, the apartment
11	THE COURT: Are you married?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
13	THE COURT: Do you have children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Five boys.
15	THE COURT: Ages?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Twenty-six, 25, 24, 23 and 13 year
17	old.
18	THE COURT: Any of them in law or law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any background in law or law enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Where?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Here in Nevada.
	Volume I - Page 144

1	THE COURT: When?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Two years ago.
3	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: I don't know if it was
5	THE COURT: What kind of case was it?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: It was sexual harassment.
7	THE COURT: Okay, that would be civil. And you only have to by a
8	preponderance of the evidence prove that, but here it has to be unanimous. You
9	understand that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay, can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
12	impartial to both parties?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
14	THE COURT: State?
15	MS. BAHARAV: Hi.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Hi.
17	MS. BAHARAV: Did you hear my questions about the show CSI?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: Yes.
19	MS. BAHARAV: Do you watch that show?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
21	MS. BAHARAV: Are you a person that will require fingerprints and DNA in a
22	case before you can find a person guilty?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 207: No.
24	MS. BAHARAV: You'll be able to listen to the evidence that's presented and
25	make a decision?

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

2

MR. NADIG: Are we ready? Is it on?

3

THE COURT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NADIG: Okay. Your Honor, for the record, I felt that Mr. Gordon should

be passed for cause or removed for cause. He stated that he would pay attention or he would essentially hold the State to a lesser standard. I then went into a series of additional questions. Ms. Baharav agreed with me that he should be removed for

cause. Your Honor overruled the both of us.

MS. BAHARAV: Based upon the record that he -- was made, the State shared some concerns about his -- his comments regarding the ability to be fair and impartial. That's why we agreed with counsel that perhaps he could be kicked. The Court didn't see that that way and that's the Court's prerogative. The Court's been doing this longer so -- he was ultimately challenged anyway at peremptory challenge and so he's not on the panel any longer.

THE COURT: I believed he said he would be fair and impartial and he'd make a fair decision, and so I did not grant that challenge for cause. As well -- let me see if I can find that lady. We did have an African American lady. I think it was Vonte Williams.

MS. BAHARAV: Yes.

THE COURT: That -- that you both stipulated to remove.

MS. BAHARAV: We did.

MR. NADIG: Yes, there is no Batson issue as to that -

THE COURT: No.

MR. NADIG: -- whatsoever, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

1	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you. Anyone else? No. Okay.
2	[Recess taken at 3:20 p.m.]
3	[Proceedings resumed at 3:34 p.m.]
4	THE COURT: Are you guys ready?
5	MS. BAHARAV: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Bring them back in.
7	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
8	[Prospective jury in at 3:36 p.m.]
9	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
10	THE COURT: All right. Who's got the mic? Okay. Tell me your name?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Debra Ann Chapa.
12	THE COURT: Do you work?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What do you do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: I'm an RN.
16	THE COURT: Where at?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: San Martin.
18	THE COURT: San Martin Hospital or San Martin, the island?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No, San Martin the hospital.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No, divorced.
22	THE COURT: Children?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Ages?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Forty-two and 40.
	Volume I - Page 149

1	THE COURT: Either of them in law or law enforcement?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any background in law or law enforcement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No.
5	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Where?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Back in Michigan.
9	THE COURT: When?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Probably 22, 23 years ago.
11	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: Criminal. We reached
13	THE COURT: Without telling us if you reached a verdict, did you reach a
14	verdict?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: We did.
16	THE COURT: Were you the jury foreperson?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No.
18	THE COURT: What kind of case was it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: It was a theft.
20	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
21	impartial to both parties in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 208: No.
23	THE COURT: State.
24	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.
25	Ma'am, has anyone in your family ever been accused of a crime?
	Volume I - Page 150

MR. NADIG: All right. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Good.

If you cannot hear a witness, please raise your hand as an indication, or if you have to have a bathroom break -- we've already done that because someone raised their hand. Just let Tom know. I have a tendency not to watch the jury so -- we'll -- we'll try and take intermittent breaks as the Court sees fit, usually about every 90 minutes or so. For the most part I have no objections to jurors bringing drinks into the courtroom or snacks. Some people have a need to do that. If you need to stand up, go ahead and stand up to stretch.

Your purpose as jurors is to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you're the sole judge of the facts. You determine the facts from the testimony you hear and other evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence. It is especially important that you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously, for ordinarily there is no means of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by the jury.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence.

We would thank and excuse Igor Vidovic. Thank you for your time.

Good luck with your wife.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 188: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, next up we have badge 371, Elena Martinez.

THE COURT: Do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: What do you do?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: I'm a registered dental assistant.
2	THE COURT: Where at?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: At Thunderbird Dental and Dental
4	Care International.
5	THE COURT: Are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Spouse work?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: He works at Hershey Masonry.
11	THE COURT: Have children?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: Yes, we have a four-year-old and a
13	two-year-old.
14	THE COURT: Neither of them are in law or law enforcement?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: I mean they play cops and things
18	and stuff but
19	THE COURT: Do you do you have any background in law or law
20	enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: No.
22	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: No, first time.
24	THE COURT: Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
25	impartial to both parties in this case?
	Volume I - Page 155

1 AA 160

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1	MS. BAHARAV: Do we know who the alternates are going to be?
2	MR. NADIG: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Fifteen, 14.
4	MS. BAHARAV: I'll look. Thanks.
5	[Bench conference ends at 3:47 p.m.]
6	[Pause]
7	THE COURT: We would thank and excuse Elena is it Nunez?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 371: Martinez?
9	THE COURT: Martinez. I couldn't I can't read the writing, sorry.
10	And Kelly Fernandez. Thank you for your time.
11	THE CLERK: Next, badge 597, Estella Carillo.
12	Okay, and next, 646, Camila Santiago.
13	THE COURT: All right, tell me your name.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 597: Estella Carillo.
15	THE COURT: Do you work?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 597: No, sir. I'm retired.
17	THE COURT: From what?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 597: I'm sorry?
19	THE COURT: What did you retire from?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 597: I used to work for the City of San
21	Antonio. I worked with the health department.
22	THE COURT: Are you married?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 597: I'm a widow.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Do you have any background in law or law
25	enforcement?
	Volume I - Page 161

1	THE COURT: Are you married?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Spouse work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: He sells life insurance.
7	THE COURT: Children?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: Expecting.
9	THE COURT: Ages?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: I am expecting.
11	THE COURT: Oh, you're expecting. I thought you said two.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: Yeah, I'm pregnant.
13	THE COURT: Sorry.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: First.
15	THE COURT: Remember Judge Bixler is the name to be mad at. Do you
16	have any background in law or law enforcement?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: No.
18	THE COURT: Ever sat as a juror before?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: No. First time.
20	THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial to both parties in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: Yes.
22	THE COURT: State.
23	MS. BAHARAV: You heard my questions about the CSI show. Is there
24	anything about those questions that you kind of want to talk about now?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: No
	Volume I - Page 166

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 646: No.

MR. NADIG: Okay. I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Okay, we have a jury. Do they just go home?

THE MARSHAL: Yes.

THE COURT: Do they leave their badge?

THE MARSHAL: They leave their badge with me and they go home.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your time. You didn't waste your time. I believe in our system. I have a very strong feeling that this is the only way citizens get to participate in the government truly and this is really the only way you get to participate in the judicial branch. And I feel very strongly in that, that if good citizens as yourselves don't participate, our system won't work and it does work. Thank you so much. You guys are all free to go.

[Prospective jurors excused at 4:00 p.m.]

THE COURT: If you folks will stand and raise your right hand to be sworn in.

Sorry, I -- just raise your hand.

[The jury panel was sworn in by the Clerk]

THE COURT: Okay. Be seated.

All right. Let me read some of this other to you. The parties may sometimes present objections to the evidence and the testimony. It's the duty of the lawyer to object to what they don't believe should be presented and I may sustain those objections. If I do, you're to disregard the evidence. I may even find it necessary to scold an attorney, which I've done in the past. Please don't have any ill feeling toward the Defendant or the State or the attorney. It's just my obligation.

Anything you've seen outside the courtroom is not evidence if it's specific facts to this case. Again, you may see my staff or others out in the hall.

1 || 2 || 3 || 4 ||

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

Don't talk to them. When you get on the elevator, don't even -- if you're on the same elevator, don't even ask them to push buttons, just reach around them. They're not being antisocial, they're following my directive as not talk to you because to -- to even say good morning may -- may be considered by some as influencing your verdict.

You must not be influenced by any degree of personal feeling or sympathy for or prejudice against the State or the Defendant. Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial considerations.

Now, you can use -- there are two types of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is what a person sees or hears or does.

Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts if proven -- proven evidence. And for instance, if we came in today and -- and it is dry around the valley and we went out tonight and there's three foot of snow all over the whole valley, you can assume that it snowed. That's circumstantial evidence that it snowed.

If we're standing outside and it falls on us, then we -- we know it snowed. That's direct evidence. You can give such weight to the evidence as you -- as you wish.

Just let me remind you don't talk to each other about the case until it's finally submitted to you back in the jury room. Anyone else includes members of your family or friends. You can tell them you're on a criminal case but that's all you need to tell them.

Don't let anyone talk to you about the case or anything that has to do with it. Don't read or watch news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or anyone has anything do -- most important, which is violated all the time, don't jump on the internet to do any personal research or Ask

1	Jeeves. Please don't communicate or publish anything about this case on any
2	social media cites like Facebook, Instagram or the like.
3	State, are you ready? Oh wait.
4	MR. GILES: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: We have to read the Information.
6	MS. BAHARAV: Yes.
7	[The Clerk read the Information aloud]
8	THE COURT: That would be Steven Wolfson.
9	THE CLERK: What did I say?
10	THE COURT: Griersent (phonetic).
11	THE CLERK: Oh. Steven Wolfson, Steven B. Wolfson
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	THE CLERK: Clark County District Attorney.
14	THE COURT: I still wanted to get back to him, but I was in trouble.
15	State.
16	MR. GILES: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE
18	BY MR. GILES:
19	You've just heard on September 25th of last year, Corey Barnett
20	interacted with law enforcement. Day started pretty normal. Individual left their
21	house out on Lincoln Valley Road in the south valley, saw a vehicle that didn't look
22	right. Called in, said hey there's a vehicle that doesn't look right, can you send a
23	unit around?
24	You're going to hear from Officer Fernandez that he responded to the
25	8200 block of Lincoln Valley Road and saw a white Pontiac it's debatable whethe

4 5

6

7

8

10

9

12

11

13 14

1516

17

19

18

21

22

20

23 24

25

it's an SUV or a van, but it's a bigger vehicle. He pulled behind it. He walked up to the window and there was a female, Ashley Allen, sitting in the driver seat and the Defendant, Corey Barnett, was sitting reclined in the passenger seat.

Officer Fernandez will tell you that they appeared to have been waking up from being asleep; that he asked Ms. Allen some questions, she provided him with her ID. He went back to the car and he ran that ID. Happens all the time.

He noticed that she had an electronic warrant. It's a notification in the system that says she may have an issue, we need to talk to her a little more.

Because there were two of them and one of him, he called for backup and Officer Deang arrived shortly thereafter. They again walk up to the side of the vehicle and you'll hear each of them tell you what they saw.

They ask the individuals to get out of the car, walk back to the front of the patrol car. Again, pretty standard stuff.

And Officer Deang will tell you that he looked inside the vehicle from outside the vehicle and he saw what was plainly obvious to him is a firearm on the floor of the vehicle. At that point he placed the Defendant and Miss. Allen into handcuffs to conduct an investigation. He also searched the Defendant.

Now, Officer Fernandez did that search and he's going to tell you that in his coin pocket he found two little baggies of white crystalline substance. That's what this case is about, possession of controlled substance. From inside Corey Barnett's coin pocket, two small bags. You're going to see those bags.

You're going to hear Forensic Scientist Rebekah Altizer tell you that she tested that white substance and it came back as methamphetamine. Going to ask you to pay close attention to the timing, close attention to who could see what and give each individual piece of evidence your attention.

At the end of the presentation of evidence after you've heard Officer Fernandez, Officer Deang and Forensic Scientist Altizer testify, the State is going to ask you to find Corey Barnett guilty of possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. NADIG: Thank you.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. NADIG:

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. The reason the officers were called out, the evidence will show, is that there were individuals who appeared to be sleeping in a car. When they arrived on the scene, you'll -- the evidence will also show that it appeared that the individuals were living out of the car. There were multiple bags in the car. There appeared to be clothes and things of that nature.

During the course of the investigation, Mr. Barnett was placed in handcuffs, Mr. Barnett was found to have something in his coin pocket. Now, there is a question as to whether those were jeans that belonged to Mr. Barnett if he simply pulled them out of the car, but the question is, is was he aware of it and I ask you simply to listen to what the officers say, listen to the testimony and then make your own decision.

THE COURT: Call your first witness, State.

MR. GILES: State calls Officer Fernandez.

MS. BAHARAV: May I approach your clerk and retrieve evidence? Thanks.

THE MARSHAL: Right through here, please. Raise your right hand and remain standing until she swears you in.

1	investigation discovered underneath the front passenger side of the vehicle.		
2	Q	And did you see that yourself?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	MR.	GILES: May I approach, Your Honor?	
5	THE	COURT: Yes.	
6	BY MR. GILES:		
7	Q	This is State's Proposed 12. Would you look at that real quick?	
8	A	(Witness complies.)	
9	Q	Okay. Do you recognize what's in that photograph?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Is is it what is it a photograph of a purported firearm?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Does it fairly and accurately represent what you saw that day?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	MR. GILES: Move to admit.		
16	MR. NADIG: No objection.		
17	THE COURT: Be admitted.		
18		[State's Exhibit 12 admitted]	
19	MR. GILES: Move to publish.		
20	THE COURT: Publish.		
21	BY MR. GILES:		
22	Q	Okay, now, you said this was an Airsoft firearm. Were you able to tell it	
23	was an Airsoft firearm just by looking at it?		
24	Α	No.	
25	Q	In fact, how did you come to learn it was an Airsoft firearm?	
	Volume I - Page 189		
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		

1	you, did	did Miss. Allen agree to speak with you?	
2	А	Yes, she did.	
3	Q	Were you trying to determine where the firearms came from?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Had you run the firearm in a database to see if it was registered to	
6	anybody?		
7	А	Initially at that point, no.	
8	Q	Did you ultimately run it in a database?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	Was it registered to Miss. Allen?	
11	A	No.	
12	Q	Was it registered to Mr. Barnett?	
13	A	No.	
14	Q	Okay. And in talking to Miss. Allen, did did she in general deny that	
15	the firearm was hers?		
16	MR. NADIG: I'm going to object as to hearsay, Your Honor.		
17	THE COURT: Sustained.		
18	BY MR. GILES:		
19	Q	Were you able to determine if Miss. Allen was the owner of the firearm?	
20	MR. NADIG: I'm going to object as to asked and answered.		
21	THE COURT: Overruled.		
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I was able to determine that it was not hers.		
23	BY MR. GILES:		
24	Q	What was her demeanor when you were questioning her?	
25	A	I would say she was cooperative on speaking with me and at certain	
		Volume I - Page 193	

1	A	I did not.
2	Q	Do you have discretion to decide who to arrest on those kinds of
3	warrants?	
4	A	l do.
5	Q	And did you and you said you ultimately wound up arresting Mr.
6	Barnett?	
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And transported him to Clark County Detention Center?
9	A	That's correct.
10	MR.	GILES: Court's indulgence.
11		[Colloquy between counsel]
12	BY MR. GILES:	
13	Q	Let me back you up slightly to when you approached the vehicle. When
14	you first walked up, was Miss. Allen wearing clothing?	
15	A	She was.
16	Q	Was Mr. Barnett wearing clothing?
17	A	Yes, he was.
18	Q	And did you have to tell them to get dressed in order to get out of the
19	vehicle?	
20	A	No.
21	Q	Did Mr. Barnett get in the back of the car and get different clothes out of
22	a bag?	
23	A	No.
24	Q	Did the clothing he was wearing appear to fit him?
25	A	Yes.
		Volume I - Page 196
	I	CALEDIDAY DEDODTING & TRANSCOLUTION

1	BY MR. GILES:		
2	Q	Have you had a chance to review those?	
3	A	Yes, I have.	
4	Q	What what does that appear to be? Did is this a a document that	
5	would have been drafted when the vehicle was impounded?		
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Is it a document you're familiar with?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	And it refreshed your recollection as to registered owner of the vehicle?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Who was the registered owner of this white van?	
12	A	Irene Barnett.	
13	Q	And do you remember the address it was registered to?	
14	A	Not the numbers, but it was Summersweet Court.	
15	Q	And do you remember what address was on Mr. Barnett's ID that he	
16	gave you?		
17	A	Not the exact numbers but Summersweet Court.	
18	Q	Okay. Just so we're clear, when you searched Corey Barnett, you	
19	found the drugs, the purported drugs that you believed was methamphetamine in		
20	the coin pocket of the pants?		
21	A	Correct.	
22	Q	And the pants were on his person?	
23	A	That's correct.	
24	Q	And he didn't change into those clothes as he was getting out of the	
25	car?		
	Volume I - Page 198		
	•		

1	A	No.
2	MR.	GILES: I have nothing further, Your Honor. Pass the witness.
3	THE	COURT: Cross.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. NA	ADIG:
6	Q	Good afternoon, Officer Fernandez. How you doing?
7	A	Pretty good.
8	Q	Good.
9	A	Good afternoon.
10	Q	Now, just to clarify, you're a Detective?
11	Α	That's correct.
12	Q	Okay, were you a Detective in September of 2015?
13	Α	I was not.
14	Q	Okay. So this is a recent promotion?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Congrats.
17	Α	Thank you.
18	Q	So initially you receive a call, correct?
19	Α	That's correct.
20	Q	And the correct the call is essentially suspiciously a couple people
21	are sleepin	ng in the car?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	Okay. So you arrive there about eight or nine in the morning, right?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	By yourself?
		Volume I - Page 199

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1	A That's correct.
2	MR. NADIG: Okay. Nothing further.
3	MR. GILES: Very briefly, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GILES:
7	Q Miss. Allen was helpful, but she never said there was a firearm in the
8	car, did she?
9	A She did not.
10	Q Okay. And you didn't find any narcotics on her person, did you?
11	A I did not.
12	Q And in your training and experience, is it common to find multiple
13	people who are all drug addicts together?
14	MR. NADIG: Objection.
15	MR. GILES: I'll rephrase.
16	BY MR. GILES:
17	Q Drug users?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And again, the van belonged to Miss. Allen or Mr. Barnett?
20	MR. NADIG: Objection.
21	A It came back to Irene Barnett.
22	THE COURT: Well
23	MR. GILES: Okay.
24	MR. NADIG: Withdraw my objection, Your Honor.
25	BY MR. GILES:
	Volume I - Page 205

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1 AA 211

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

Volume I - Page 209

Volume I - Page 211

1	THE MARSHAL: Take the blue tag with you. The only thing you're leaving
2	here is the notebook and a pen.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
4	[Jury out at 4:56 p.m.]
5	THE COURT: Okay, the jury's out, doors are closed. 10:30 for them but
6	10:00 for you guys so we can settle jury instructions. So I need him here at 10.
7	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. BAHARAV: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: See you guys tomorrow.
11	[Proceedings concluded at 4:56 p.m.]
12	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
13	proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
14	- Train a Leacher
15	Tracy A. Gegenheimer, CER-282, CET-282
16	Court Recorder/Transcriber
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Volume I - Page 212