## **EXHIBIT 1**

Docket 71147 Document 2017-01972

	ч.	
1 2 3 4 5	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536; FAX (702) 386-6812 Attorneys for Appellant Elizabeth A. Broy	17 a.m. wn
6 7	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
8 9 10 11	DENNIS KOGOD, Appellant, vs. GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD,	
12 13	Respondent/	
14	STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE APPEALS	:
15 16	COMES NOW the Appellant Dennis Kogod, by and through his attorney Daniel Marks, Esq., and Nicole M. Young, Esq., of the Law Office of Daniel	
10	Marks, and Respondent Gabrielle Cioffi-Kogod, by and through her attorney	
18	Radford J. Smith, Esq., and Garima Varshney, Esq., of Radford J. Smith,	
19	Chartered, and hereby stipulate and agree as follows:	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the appeal and cross-	
21	appeal filed in Dennis Kogod v. Gabrielle Cioffi-Kogod, Supreme Court Case No.	
22	71994 be consolidated into the instant appeal and cross-appeal, Case No. 71147.	
23	1111	
24	////	
25	////	
26	////	
27		
28	////	
		•

-

This consolidation is necessary for purposes of judicial economy because both appeals relate to the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce that is being appealed in Case No. 71147. DATED this 13 day of January, 2017 DATED this 12 day of January, 2017. LAW OFFICE OF DADIEL MARKS RADFORD J. SMITH CHARTERED DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Appellant Nevada State Bar No. 00279 GARIMA VARSHNEY, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 11878 2470 St. Rose Parkway, Ste. 206 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorneys for Respondent 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0536; FAX (702) 386-6812 Attorneys for Appellant <b>IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA</b>	2 p.m. n
8 9 10 11 12 13	DENNIS KOGOD, Case No. 71147 Appellant, vs. GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD, Respondent. /	
14 15	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX	
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	COMES NOW the Appellant DENNIS KOGOD, by and through his counsel, Daniel Marks, Esq., and Nicole M. Young, Esq., of the Law Office of Daniel Marks, and submits his Motion to Extend Time to File Opening Brief and Appendix. The grounds for Appellant's motion are set forth in the following memorandum of points and authorities. DATED this day of January, 2017.	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS DANIEL MARKS, ESO. Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESO. Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Appellant	

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On August 23, 2016, Appellant Dennis Kogod filed his Notice of Appeal, in the instant case, appealing the district court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce. On December 13, 2016, Appellant filed his Notice of Appeal with this Court (Supreme Court Case No. 71994) appealing the district court's "Order From October 18, 2016 Hearing". This is the second appeal relating to district court case *Cioffi-Kogod v. Kogod*, Case No. D-13-489442-D. The first appeal (Supreme Court Case No. 71147) relates to the "Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce" that was entered in the district court case after a bench trial that lasted over three (3) days.

Because both appeals relate to the same divorce action and trial that took place in that action, both Appellant and Respondent stipulated to consolidate the appeals. (*See* Stipulation to Consolidate Appeals, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.) Appellant's Opening Brief and Appendix is due on February 6, 2017.

## II. LEGAL ANALYSIS

Pursuant to the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 26(b)(1)(A), "the court may extend the time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires" for good cause.

In this case, Appellant is seeking an extension of time to file his Opening Brief and Appendix. Based on the Stipulation to Consolidate Appeals, Appellant needs additional time to ensure that all matters as they relate to both appeals are fully briefed for this Court. The Stipulation to Consolidate was filed in the interest of judicial economy. The facts and legal argument as they relate to <u>each appeal</u> are essentially the same, and Appellant does not want to waste this Court's valuable resources filing two (2) separate Opening Briefs for each appeal.

As such, this Court should grant Appellant a sixty (60) day extension of time to file his Opening Brief and Appendix.

1

I.

1	III. CONCLUSION	
2	For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant Appellant's instant	
3	motion for a sixty (60) day extension of time to file his Opening Brief and	
4	Appendix as it relates to both appeals.	
5	DATED this $\underline{19}$ day of January, 2017.	
6	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS	
7		
8	DANIEL MARKS ESO	
9	Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ.	
10	Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street	
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Appellant	
12		ļ
13		l
14		l
15		l
16		4
17		ļ
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20 27		
27		
20		
	3	
	A	, j

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that this motion complies with the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of 32(a)(6) because this motion has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using WordPerfect 11 in Times New Roman style in size 14-point font.

I further certify that this motion complies with the page limitations of NRAP 27(d)(2) because it does not exceed ten (10) pages.

Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this motion, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying motion is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2017.

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS

DANIEL MARKS, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002003 NICOLE M. YOUNG, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 12659 610 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Appellant

1	<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL
3	MARKS, and that on the $1$ day of January, 2017, I did serve by Electronic Filing
4	a true and correct copy of the MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE OPENING
5	BRIEF AND APPENDIX, as follows:
6	Radford J. Smith, Esq. Garima Varshney, Esq.
7	Radford J. Smith, Chartered 2470 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 206
8	Radford J. Smith, Esq. Garima Varshney, Esq. Radford J. Smith, Chartered 2470 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 206 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Counsel for Respondent
9	
10	Danna horas
11	An employee of the LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL MARKS
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	· ·
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5