IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DENNIS KOGOD,

V.

Appellant/Cross-Respondent,

Supreme Court No Flectronically Filed
Jah 16 2018 03:30 p.m.
District Court Case Nizabeth 489 Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE REPLY BRIEF TO ANSWERING BRIEF ON CROSS-APPEAL

Respondent/Cross-Appellant, GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD ("Gabrielle") hereby moves for a thirty (30) day extension of time from the date of filing this Motion under NRAP 26 for filing the Reply Brief to Answering Brief on Cross-Appeal ("Reply Brief") to the Appellant/Cross-Respondent's Reply Brief on Appeal and Answering Brief on Cross-Appeal. The Reply Brief was due by December 29, 2017. With a thirty (30) day extension from the date of filing this Motion, the Reply Brief will be due by February 15, 2018. This is the first request for extension for the Reply Brief.

NRAP 31(b)(3) states in relevant part as follows:

- (3) Motions for Extensions of Time. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.
- (A) Contents of Motion. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
 - (i) The date when the brief is due;

- (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 5-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
- (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;
 - (iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary; and
- (v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.
- (B) Motions in All Appeals Except Child Custody, Visitation, or Capital Cases. Applications for extensions of time beyond that to which the parties are permitted to stipulate under Rule 31(b)(2) are not favored. The court will grant an initial motion for extension of time for filing a brief only upon a clear showing of good cause. The court shall not grant additional extensions of time except upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need.

On August 23, 2017, Gabrielle filed her Amended Answering Brief and Amended Opening Brief on Cross-Appeal. Dennis' Reply was due by September 23, 2017. On September 6, the parties stipulated to extend Dennis' combined Reply and Answering Brief by 30 days, making it due by October 23. On October 9, Dennis filed a Motion with this Court seeking a 53-day extension to file his Reply and Answering Brief on Cross-Appeal, making it due by December 15. Dennis essentially received <u>83 days</u> to file his Reply brief.

On December 15, Dennis filed his Reply brief that is 72 pages long. After Dennis' brief was filed, due to the Christmas and New Year's holidays, Gabrielle's counsel was unable to file the brief by the deadline. Gabrielle's counsel has been working diligently on the Reply brief and it is near completion. The Reply requires extensive research and review of the lengthy transcripts from the proceedings that lasted for four (4) full days.

Indeed, the Order that is being appealed by both parties is itself 114 pages long due to the 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 11 12 13

16 17

14

15

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

numerous issues that were litigated and ruled and due to the several years of evidence that was presented by the parties in support of each of their positions. The Trial also included testimony from numerous witnesses and experts, including the submission of various deposition transcripts into evidence. This case was highly contentions and involved considerable research, numerous motions and multiple judgments from those motions that caused the district court to enter the Order that is being appealed. The issues raised on cross-appeal are primarily legal issues as applied to the facts of this case. While the attorneys for the Respondent are diligently working on the Answering Brief, due to the complexity of this case, the time it has taken to go through the research on some very complex law involving alimony, unequal division of assets and fees as set forth above, and the time it has taken to review and identify the relevant portions of the trial transcripts, Respondent seeks an additional 30 days from the date of filing this Motion to allow her time to file her Reply Brief. This motion is being submitted in good faith, and without the intent to cause undue delay in the appeal.

day of January 2018.

RADFORD J. SMITH, CHARTERED

RADFORD J. SMITH, ESQ.

Nevada State Bar No. 002791

GARIMA VARSHNEY, ESQ.

Nevada State Bar No. 011878

2470 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 206

Henderson, Nevada 89074

Attorney for Respondent/Cross-Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the day of January 2018, I served a copy of this Motion for Extension of to file the Reply Brief upon all counsel of record by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address:

Daniel Marks, Esq.
Law Office of Daniel Marks
610 South Ninth Street
Las Vegas NV 89101
Attorney for Dennis Kogod

An Employee of Radford J. Smith, Chartered