

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DENNIS KOGOD,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.

GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

DENNIS KOGOD,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.

GABRIELLE CIOFFI-KOGOD,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 71147

No. 71994

FILED

JAN 25 2018

FRIZARD, H.A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondent/cross-appellant has filed an untimely motion for an extension of time (48 days) to file the reply brief on cross-appeal. A motion for an extension of time must be filed on or before the due date for the brief. NRAP 31(b)(3). Although respondent/cross-appellant acknowledges this rule, she fails to offer any explanation for the untimely filing of the motion. Moreover, given the limited purpose of a reply brief, *see* NRAP 28(c), we are not convinced that respondent/cross-appellant demonstrates good cause warranting the requested extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Respondent/cross-appellant shall have 11 days from the date of this order to file and serve the reply brief on cross-appeal. Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Law Office of Daniel Marks
Radford J. Smith, Chartered