IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

MARIO LABARBERA, an individual, Appellant,

VS.

WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, Respondent.

No. 71276

Electronically Filed Sep 29 2016 11:56 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

DOCKETING SCHAREMIS NOTE THE COURT CIVIL APPEALS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department 28	
County Clark	Judge Hon. Ronald Israel	
District Ct. Case No. A-14-695025-C		
9 Attornov filipathia de alestina et de		
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	τ:	
Attorney Jeffrey R. Albregts, Esq.	Telephone 702-483-5026	
Firm Jeffrey R. Albregts, LLC		
Address 701 Shadow Lane, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89106		
Client(s) Mario LaBarbera		
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add t the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomp filing of this statement.	he names and addresses of other counsel and canied by a certification that they concur in the	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s):	
Attorney Lawrence J. Semenza Telephone 702-835-6803		
Firm Lawrence J. Semenza, III, P.C.		
Address 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89145		
Client(s) Appellant Wynn Las Vegas, LLC, d/b	/a Wynn Las Vegas	
Attorney	Telephone	
Address		
Client(s)		

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check	k all that apply):	
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:	
	☐ Lack of jurisdiction	
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim	
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute	
☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):	
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:	
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original ☐ Modification	
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):	
5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?		
☐ Child Custody		
☐ Venue		
☐ Termination of parental rights		
	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which	

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: None.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

This is and was an action by the Wynn to collect 12 unpaid gaming Markers from Mr. LaBarbera totaling \$1 million that were executed in a 24 hour span there. Mr. LaBarbera, known to the Wynn to be a compulsive gambler (and indeed registered as one in Italy), was recruited to gamble there by Alex Pariente, but the Wynn refused to produce him to testify in this case. Mr. Pariente was Mr. LaBarbera's host and the individual who conned him in to signing the Markers in question. Mr. LaBarbera does not speak English whatsoever, was inebriated most of the time he gambled at the Wynn, and was clearly a compulsive gambler while gaming there. On public policy grounds alone this verdict should be overturned by this Court.

- **9.** Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. Whether the Wynn is barred as a matter of law or on public policy grounds from enforcing a Judgment based on this jury's verdict against Mr. LaBarbera for breach of contract because it purposefully caused a bench warrant to be issued for his arrest by the Clark County District Attorney's Office for passing bad checks knowing full well that, he not only would be unable to attend his own trial here thereby requiring his counsel to present an "empty chair" defense on his behalf, but the Markers are not actually checks because they cannot be cashed or redeemed according to the testimony of the Wynn's own witness, i.e., Markers are only treated by the Wynn as bad checks if they are not paid as they cannot be negotiated for cash.

(See continuation sheet attached hereto.)

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

None known at this time.

Appellant's Docketing Statement

Continuation Sheet - No. 9

- 2. Whether the trial court erred and/ abused its discretion in not allowing defense counsel to elaborate and explain to the jury why Mr. LaBarbera was not present there for his own trial because of a bench warrant obtained by the Wynn with the Clark County District Attorney's Office for passing bad checks that would have resulted in his arrest if he had entered this country to attend his trial, and the Markers are not actually checks because they cannot be cashed or redeemed according to the testimony of the Wynn's own witness at trial.
- 3. Whether the trial court erred in excluding evidence from the jury of Mr. LaBarbera's gambling sickness or addiction as certified in his home country of Italy, as well as instructing the jury that gambling sickness or addiction is not a defense to gaming debts in Nevada, notwithstanding NRS 463.368(6) because the Wynn uses that defense as a "sword and a shield" contrary to sound public policy.
- 4. Whether the trial court erred in excluding evidence from the jury of Mr. LaBarbera's intoxication while he gambled at the Wynn and executed the Markers presented to him by Mr. Pariente.
- 5. Whether the trial court erred in instructing the jury that Mr. LaBarbera's inability to speak English was not a defense to his execution of the gaming Markers in question given that the Wynn refused to produce Mr. Pariente to testify in this case and he was the only individual who translated anything for Mr. LaBarbera when he was at the Wynn.
- 6. Whether the trial court abused its discretion in awarding attorney's fees, costs and prejudgment interest to the Wynn, too.

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP and NRS 30.130?			
□ N/A			
☐ Yes			
⊠ No			
If not, explain: The issue was not raised by Mr. LaBarbera at trial.			
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?			
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))			
An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions			
A substantial issue of first impression			
🛮 An issue of publi	c policy		
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions			
☐ A ballot question			
pro his the do tra acc	hether it is a denial of Mr. LaBarbera's constitutional right to due ocess to prevent him from personally attending his own trial to defend a liability on gaming debts alleged by the Wynn, as well as to explain to be jury that he is a certified gambling addict in his home country of Italy, es not speak English whatsoever and relied on Mr. Pariente for anslation, was intoxicated the entire time he gambled at the Wynn and, cording to the Wynn's own records, managed to lose \$2 million during a 3 day stay there.		

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

Not applicable.

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 3

Was it a bench or jury trial? Jury trial.

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

No.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	f written judgment or order appealed from August 3, 2016.
If no written judg seeking appellate	gment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for every
N/A	
17. Date written no	otice of entry of judgment or order was served August 10, 2016.
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electroni	.c/fax
18. If the time for f (NRCP 50(b), 52(b)	filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion , or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing Not applicable
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing Not applicable
☐ NRCP 59	Date of filing Not applicable
NOTE: Motions made time for filing P.3d 1190 (201	e pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245 0).
(b) Date of ent	ry of written order resolving tolling motion Not applicable
(c) Date writte	en notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served N/A
Was service	by:
☐ Delivery	
☐ Mail	

19. Date notice of appea	al filed September 9, 2016
If more than one part notice of appeal was f	y has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
Not applicable.	
20. Specify statute or ru	le governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	of the second of
NRAP 4(a)	
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a (a)	r other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review ppealed from:
⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376
☐ Other (specify)	
(b) Explain how each auth	ority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:
This is an appeal from a Ju	udgment on Jury Verdict in the Clark County District Court.

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:
The Wynn Las Vegas, LLC d/b/a Wynn Las Vegas, Plaintiff
Mario LaBarbera, Defendant
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, <i>e.g.</i> , formally dismissed, not served, or other:
Not applicable.
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim. Plaintiff/Respondent: Breach of Contract.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:
N/A

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
N/A
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
⊠ Yes
□ No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
$oxtimes ext{Yes}$
□ No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
Not applicable.
27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- 27
 - The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
 - Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
 - Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
 - Any other order challenged on appeal
 - · Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Mario LaBarbera	Jeffrey R. Albregts, Esq.
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
September 28, 2016 Date	Signature of counsel of record
Clark County, Nevada	
State and county where signed	
CER'	TIFICATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the 29 da	ay of <u>September</u> , <u>2016</u> , I served a copy of this
completed docketing statement upo	n all counsel of record:
☐ By personally serving it upo	on him/her; or
By mailing it by first class r address(es): (NOTE: If all n below and attach a separate	nail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following ames and addresses cannot fit below, please list names sheet with the addresses.)
Lawrence J. Semenza, Esq. M. Lawrence J. Semenza, III, P.C. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite Las Vegas, NV 89145	
Ms. Janet Trost, Settlement Jo 501 S. Rancho Drive, Ste H-56 Las Vegas, NV 89106	
Dated this day	of <u>September</u> , <u>2016</u>
	Signature C Strong

LaBarbera v. Wynn

Nev. Supreme Court No. 71276

EXHIBIT A

COMPLAINT FILED JANUARY 24, 2014

CIVIL COVER SHEET

A- 14- 695025- C XXVI I I

County, Nevada

Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's Office) I. Party Information Mario La Barbera Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): Via Modello 4040, Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): Wynn Las Vegas, LLC d/b/a Wynn Las Vegas Santa Flavia 3131 Las Vegas Blvd. So. Palermo, Italy 90017 Attorney (name/address/phone): Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 Attorney (name/address/phone): Kim Sinatra, 3131 LV Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 II. Nature of Controversy (Please check applicable bold category and Arbitration Requested applicable subcategory, if appropriate) Civil Cases Real Property Torts Negligence Landlord/Tenant Product Liability Negligence - Auto Unlawful Detainer Product Liability/Motor Vehicle Other Torts/Product Liability ☐ Negligence - Medical/Dental Title to Property ☐ Negligence - Premises Liability Intentional Misconduct Foreclosure (Slip/Fall) Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) Liens Interfere with Contract Rights Negligence - Other Quiet Title Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) ☐ Specific Performance Other Torts Condemnation/Eminent Domain Anti-trust Other Real Property Fraud/Misrepresentation Insurance Partition Legal Tort Planning/Zoning Unfair Competition Probate Other Civil Filing Types Construction Defect Estimated Estate Value: Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box) Chapter 40 Summary Administration Transfer from Justice Court General Justice Court Civil Appeal Breach of Contract General Administration Building & Construction Cívil Writ Special Administration Insurance Carrier Other Special Proceeding Set Aside Estates Commercial Instrument Other Civil Filing Other Contracts/Acct/Judgment ☐ Trust/Conservatorships Compromise of Minor's Claim Collection of Actions Individual Trustee Conversion of Property **Employment Contract** Corporate Trustee Damage to Property Guarantee **Employment Security** Other Probate Sale Contract Enforcement of Judgment Uniform Commercial Code Foreign Judgment - Civil Civil Petition for Judicial Review Other Personal Property Foreclosure Mediation Recovery of Property Other Administrative Law Stockholder Suit Department of Motor Vehicles Other Civil Matters Worker's Compensation Appeal III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Counties only.) NRS Chapters 78-88 Investments (NRS 104 Art.-8) ☐ Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business Commodities (NRS 90) Deceptive Trade Practices (NRS 598 Other Business Court Matters Securities (NRS 90) Trademarks (NRS 600A)

See other side for family-related case filings.

signature of initiating party or representative

Electronically Filed 01/24/2014 11:57:00 AM

1	COMP Alm & Lame			
2	Kimmarie Sinatra Nevada Bar No. 8665 CLERK OF THE COURT			
3	Stacie Michaels			
4	Nevada Bar No. 9705 Wynn Las Vegas, LLC			
5	3131 Las Vegas Boulevard South			
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 (702) 770-2112 <i>Direct</i>			
7	(702) 770-1518 Facsimile			
8	Attorney for Plaintiff			
9	DISTRICT COURT			
	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
10	WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC)			
11	d/b/a WYNN LAS VEGAS, A-14-695025-	C		
12	Plaintiff, Case No.: XXVI I I			
13	vs. Dept No.:			
14)			
15	MARIO LA BARBERA, Defendant Defendant			
16				
17				
18	Plaintiff Wynn Las Vegas, LLC d/b/a Wynn Las Vegas ("Wynn") complains against			
19	Defendant Mario La Barbera ("La Barbera") as follows:			
20	PARTIES			
21				
22				
23	the laws of the State of Nevada.			
24	2. Upon information and belief, La Barbera is a resident of the country of Italy.			
25	BACKGROUND			
26	3. On April 1, 2008, La Barbera executed one (1) credit instrument in favor of			
27				
28	Wynn; Document Number 70601126 in the amount of \$120,000.00.			
	I-WLV-12-149			

4. On April 3, 2008, La Barbera executed eleven (11) credit instruments in favor of Wynn totaling \$1,070,000.00 as follows:

No.	Item No.	Amount
1	70602091	\$100,000.00
2	70602095	\$100,000.00
3	70601892	\$100,000.00
4	70601898	\$100,000.00
5	70601900	\$ 50,000.00
6	70601883	\$100,000.00
7	70601886	\$ 50,000.00
8	70601890	\$ 50,000.00
9	70602099	\$100,000.00
10	70602104	\$100,000.00
11	70602124	\$100,000.00

- 5. To date La Barbera has paid \$70,000 and balance of \$1,000,000.00 remains unpaid.
- 6. La Barbera presently owes Wynn \$1,000,000.00 plus its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and interest.
- 7. Wynn employees and/or representatives made attempts to resolve payment of the outstanding amounts, but were unsuccessful.

///

27 /

28 //

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

- 8. Wynn incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 6 as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. In consideration of the \$1,000,000.00 in credit given to La Barbera, which he willingly accepted from Wynn, La Barbera agreed to repay his debt.
- 10. To date and despite repeated demands, La Barbera has refused to repay the \$1,000,000.00 that he owes to Wynn.
 - 11. As a result, Wynn has been damaged in an amount that exceeds \$10,000.00.
- 12. Wynn has been forced to hire an attorney to prosecute this action and therefore seeks recovery of its attorneys' fees and court costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

- 13. Wynn incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 11 as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. By taking the \$1,000,000.00 in credit and refusing to pay the amount despite repeated attempts by Wynn to collect payment, La Barbera has wrongfully exercised dominion and control over Wynn's property.
- 15. La Barbera has exercised this dominion in derogation, exclusion and defiance of Wynn's rights in its property.
 - 16. As a result, Wynn has been damaged in an amount that exceeds \$10,000.00.
- 17. Wynn has been forced to hire an attorney to prosecute this action and therefore seeks recovery of its attorneys' fees and court costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 18. Wynn incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 16 as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Wynn conferred a benefit on La Barbera by providing him with \$1,000,000.00 in credit.
- 20. Given the nature of this benefit, it would be inequitable to allow La Barbera to accept and retain the \$1,000,000.00 in credit without repayment of the value thereof.
 - 21. La Barbera accepted and retained this benefit.
- 22. Because La Barbera has refused to repay the value of this benefit, he has been unjustly enriched in an amount equal to \$1,000,000.00.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 23. Wynn incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 21 as though fully set forth herein.
 - 24. Implied in every contract is the obligation of good faith and fair dealing.
- 25. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by, among other things, failing to pay the value of the credit instruments he executed in favor of Wynn, which was in the amount of \$1,000,000.00.
 - 26. As a result, Wynn has been damaged in an amount that exceeds \$10,000.00.
- 27. Wynn has found it necessary to use the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and seeks reasonable attorney's fees and recovery of court costs.

///

WHEREFORE, Wynn prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$10,000.00;
- Attorney's fees and costs of suit;
- Prejudgment and post-judgment interest on the amounts owed; and
- 4. Any further relief this Court deems proper.

Dated this 24 day of January 2014.

WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC

Stacie Michaels Nevada Bar No. 9705 3131 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

Attorney for Plaintiff

Nev. Supreme Court No. 71276

EXHIBIT B

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FILED

September 16, 2014

Electronically Filed 09/16/2014 03:01:53 PM

1 ANS JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 0066 CLERK OF THE COURT E-mail: jalbregts@nevadafirm.com 3 HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH, PUZEY & THOMPSON 4 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 5 Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, d/b/a WYNN LAS VEGAS, 11 Case No.: A-14-695025-C Plaintiff. Dept. No.: XXVIII 12 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT V. 13 MARIO LA BARBERA. 14 Defendant. 15 16 Defendant, MARIO LA BARBERA, in answer to the Complaint on file herein, hereby 17 admits, denies and alleges, as follows: 18 **PARTIES** 19 Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to 1. 20 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 and therefore denies the same. 21 2. Admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2. 22 BACKGROUND 23 3. Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to 24 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 and therefore denies the same. 25 4. Defendant is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and therefore denies the same.

Admits that Defendant LA BARBERA has paid at least \$70,000 to the Wynn, if

not more over the years, but is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to

and an experience of the following section in the

10315-01/1376998.doc

5.

26

27

1	22. Denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 22.			
2	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
3	(Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)			
4	23. Defendant repeats and realleges as though fully set forth herein his answers to			
5	paragraphs 1 through 22 of the Complaint.			
6	24. Admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 24.			
7	25. Denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 25.			
8	26. Denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 26.			
9	27. Denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 27.			
10	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES			
11	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
12	The claims set forth in the Complaint fail for went or lack of consideration			
13	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
14	The claims set forth in the Complaint are barred by the equitable doctrine of lookes			
15	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
16	Three of the claims set forth in the Complaint are harred by the applicable statute of			
17	limitations Specifically Plaintiff's Second Course of Action for Conversion is borred by the three			
18	year statute of limitations set forth in NDS 11 100(2)(a). Plaintiff's Third Course of Action for			
19	Unjust Enrichment is barred by the four year statute of limitations set forth in NPS 11 100(2)(a).			
20	and Plaintiff's Fourth Cause of Action for Breach Of The Covenant Of Good Faith And Fair			
21	Dealing is harred by the two year statute of limitations set forth in NRS 11 100(4)(a)			
22	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
23	The claims of Plaintiff are harred by the equitable doctrine of estonnel including both			
24	promissory and equitable estoppel.			
25	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
26	The claims of Plaintiff are barred by the equitable doctrine of waiver.			
27	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
28	There is no privity of contract between the Wynn and this Defendant.			
20				

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The alleged credit instruments which Plaintiff seeks to enforce and recover from this Defendant are or were incomplete and therefore unenforceable under Nevada and/or Italian law.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent this Defendant executed any legitimate credit instrument on behalf of Plaintiff, he was fraudulently induced to do so by Plaintiff and, indeed, he does not read or write English.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant, as a resident and citizen of the sovereign country of Italy, is entitled as a matter of law to the protections and privileges of Italian law and citizenship including, without limitation, that gambling markers, instruments or contracts for gambling debt, are unenforceable in Italy as a matter of public policy.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a matter of law, this Defendant has not converted any property of Plaintiff and, indeed, this Defendant has never been in possession of any property of Plaintiff, tangible or intangible, nor does providing someone "credit" create property which could be converted. In other words, credit is intangible at best and does not create rights in property which may be subject to claims for conversion once that credit is not paid. In summary, Plaintiff did not make any kind of loan to this Defendant as no funds were ever exchanged between them.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant has not been unjustly enriched at the expense and to the detriment of Plaintiff and, indeed, the Wynn is out of pocket nothing here.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that the Complaint states any claim in tort against this Defendant, the damages sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were caused by its own errors, acts and/or omissions.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that the Complaint states any claim in tort against this Defendant, the damages sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were caused by the acts, errors and/or omissions of third

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2324

26 27

28

25

parties over whom this Defendant had no authority or control.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that this Defendant executed any credit instruments or markers in favor of Plaintiff, such instruments or markers were procured by Plaintiff from him through a series of misrepresentations by Plaintiff to him including, without limitation, that the markers would not be enforced; that if the markers were enforced, they would be discounted; or if the markers were enforced, Defendant would be able to make payments to Plaintiff over time; and that the instruments or markers were enforceable in Italy (which is not true).

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that this Defendant executed any gaming markers or credit instruments on behalf of Plaintiff, he was not aware of what they were when he executed the same, meaning he mistakenly executed as much at the instance and request of Plaintiff and without any understanding or knowledge of what they were, let alone whether they were enforceable here or in Italy.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Plaintiff are barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff did not properly present any credit instruments or markers to Defendant's bank in Italy and is therefore barred from enforcing the same here or there.

<u>EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

Plaintiff unduly influenced and coerced this Defendant into executing any markers or gaming instruments for its benefit, to the extent that this Defendant actually executed any.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred against this Defendant because Plaintiff exploited him as a sick and compulsive gambler who has no rational or reasoned ability to stop gambling, all of which Wynn knew when it asked Defendant to execute the alleged gaming or credit instruments it seeks to enforce against him herein, but it also used duress, deceit and undue influence in coercing him to execute any credit instruments.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a matter of public policy and law, Plaintiff Wynn is legally and equitably barred from using NRS 463.368 as a shield to its liability for, or to negate affirmative defenses to, its intentional exploitation of Defendant LaBarbera's "mental or behavioral disorder involving gambling," i.e., gambling sickness or addiction, vis a vis unduly influencing and/or coercing him into executing gaming markers or credit instruments including, without limitation, making false promises to him that such instruments would not be enforced; using alcohol and comps to unduly influence or coerce him into executing such gaming markers or credit instruments; having him execute such gaming markers or credit instruments while he was clearly inebriated and/or otherwise impaired; and extending gaming credit to him while knowing full well that he suffered from a "mental or behavioral disorder involving gambling," such as addiction or sickness. In short, although this defense may be barred by statute, the Wynn cannot purposely exploit Mr. LaBarbera's gambling addiction for its own gain and profit and then shield itself from liability for the same pursuant to NRS 463.368.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant has been required to retain an attorney to defend himself and may be entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under Nevada law.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to the provision of Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 11, at the time of filing of the Answer/Amended Answer to the Complaint, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged inasmuch as insufficient facts and relevant information may not have been available after reasonable inquiry, and therefore, Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer to the Complaint to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment, as follows:

1. That Plaintiff WYNN take nothing by reason of its complaint filed against him herein; that this action be dismissed against him with prejudice.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

- For attorney's fees plus costs of suit incurred herein. 2.
- For such other relief as just and proper in the premises. 3.

Dated this 16th day of September, 2014.

HOLLEY PUZEY &

JEFFREY RIVINGS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 2066
400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on the 16th day of September, 2014, and pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Answer to Complaint, postage prepaid and addressed to:

Ms. Stacie Michaels, Esq. 3131 Las Vegas Blvd. So. Las Vegas, NV 89109 Attorneys for Plaintiff

An employee of Holley, Driggs,

Puzey & Thompson