J

believe 12 different markers which he failed to repay at the conclusion of his trip in late March, early April of 2008. We believe the evidence in this case will show that. And I thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Does defense wish to present their opening argument or reserve it?

MR. ALBREGTS: I think we'll argue an opening statement, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, you may proceed.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. ALBREGTS:

As Mr. Semenza said, thank you very much. We do appreciate you coming down. One of the things we got to do in this case was go to Italy and take depositions and the most admired thing we're told by the rest of the world of this country is a trial by jury. It doesn't really exist anywhere else in the world so thank you for your time.

Cases are simple and they are complicated. And they're usually simple in their actual construction of what happened and the complicated by the people that participated in that afterwards when we try to explain what happened. That's what happened here. There's some critical facts that I want you to keep in mind what I think will be over the course of the next couple of days, tomorrow and Wednesday. When you listen to the evidence put on by the Wynn against Mr. La Barbera and they basically fall into three categories, but let me run through them with you very quickly.

The first is the markers alleged by the Wynn. Twelve markers in total, which actually total up to be \$1,070,000, but we'll leave that for the evidence and the

witnesses, were allegedly executed by Mr. La Barbera eight years ago, in April 2008. Eight years ago. Recollections are pretty – pretty faint after eight years. The Wynn waited almost six years to sue on those markers and they only claim you'll be adjudicating here is a breach of contract claim. By the way, the statute of limitations on a breach of contract claim in Nevada is six years.

And like life or maybe plays at the Smith Center, there are primary actors in events and then there are peripheral actors. And in this case, this case, the elephant in the room or whatever the metaphor is, ladies and gentlemen, is the two primary actors will not be present here in this courtroom to tell you what happened. And those two primary actors are Mr. La Barbera himself, it's my hope you will learn the reason why when the evidence is presented why he's not here, and an individual named Alex Pariente. And Mr. Pariente was what we call, or what the casino industry calls, the host for Mr. La Barbera and the fellow who spoke fluent Italian and translated for Mr. La Barbera who came here on a junket, some sorts, and spent three days at the Wynn and during that time Mr. Pariente was his host. And this individual, Mr. Pariente, knows more than every witness put together on the Wynn side of the table, but you will not be hearing from him in this trial. Keep that in mind when you listen to the evidence and witnesses.

Mr. La Barbera, what you heard Mr. Semenza read to you from, is there's a dispute in the evidence as there always is in cases or we wouldn't be here taking your precious time and why you fulfill your constitutional duties. But Mr. La Barbera we took his deposition last June in Rome over the course of an entire day. And we went through each single marker. Mr. La Barbera emphatically denied on each single marker that it was his signature there. And you'll have evidence, an argument presented by Mr. Semenza, where he does acknowledge his

signature on some of the credit agreements or applications. And we exchanged discovery during these cases, some of which has already been admitted into evidence by our agreement. And what Mr. Semenza was telling you on his opening statement there is that Mr. La Barbera admitted to some of those discovery responses that — that might be his signature. But make no mistake about it, that's what you're here to decide in this case, whether Mr. La Barbera executed these credit instruments which the Wynn has the burden of proofing as authentic and genuine. And on that note, in the three days he was there, the Wynn is claiming a million dollars here. As I recall from its records which we'll put into evidence here, the total amount lost by Mr. La Barbera, should you come back and agree that he's responsible or liable for these markers for a million dollars is actually two million dollars over that three-day period of time.

Mr. La Barbera, as I told you, won't be present to testify so we will be reading or I will be reading, as if – I don't have a very good Italian accent, joking aside, but I will be reading portions of that deposition to you depending on the Judge's rulings between that time and now. So you will be hearing from Mr. La Barbera, vis-a-vis, the testimony that we elicited from him Rome last June. And I ask you to listen to that testimony and to consider it as worthy and as well as any other testimony you're going to hear here because at least you will hear that much from Mr. La Barbera. You will not hear Mr. Pariente at all.

Thank you for your patience and time. I'll do my best not to irritate you during the next two or three days.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is the plaintiff ready to call their first witness?

MR. SEMENZA: We are, Your Honor. And she should be out there.

	Α	I was the Director of Credit and Collections until about six or eight
mo	nths bet	fore I retired and then they made someone else the Director of
Со	llections	and I was just the Director of Credit.

- Q And who did they make the Director of Collections?
- A Barbara Conway.
- Q Okay. And how long were you the Director of Credit and Collections for the Wynn Las Vegas?
 - A I guess about seven or eight years.
- Q In late March or early April of 2008, was your position the Director of Credit and Collections at Wynn Las Vegas?
 - A I believe so.
- Q And during the course of your employment as a Director of Credit and Collections at Wynn Las Vegas, have your duties changed at all? Or did your duties change at all?
 - A Not really.
- Q Okay. Can you tell the jury what your duties were as the Director of Collections and Credit [sic] at Wynn Las Vegas?
- A As the Director of Collections, I had a credit collection manager who, and I just oversaw the running of the day-to-day things. She took care of all the collections for the people that did not pay their balances, or their outstanding balances. And I, as the in my credit aspect, I oversaw the day-to-day running of the credit department and made credit decisions and worked with the casino hosts who had high-end customers. I reported to the CFO. I reported to the president and I reported to the chairmen on some things.
 - Q And was that in your capacity as the Director or Credit or both as the

23

24

25

		l
1		
2		V
3		N
4		
5		
6		
7		а
8		d
9		е
10		h
11		C
12		W
13		
14		e
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		its
0		
a	Ш	

	Q	And did you have any other positions while you were employed at
Wyr	nn othe	r – other than the Director of Credit and Collection and as a Credit
Mar	nager?	
	Α	No.
	Q	What were your duties, broadly speaking, as a Credit Manager?
	Α	Well I got the department up and running so when we opened. I
appı	roved c	redit lines. I hired employees. I disciplined employees. I made credit
deci	sions.	I worked on special projects and events when we had them to get
ever	rything,	make sure everything was all ready for the event and the customers. I
had	dealing	s with the customers. I would go up on the casino floor and talk to
certa	ain cust	omers, if they were - they had a problem where they wanted to know
why	they di	dn't or did get credit or wanted more credit.
	Q	Okay. And so I understand your testimony, did you actually begin your
emp	loy at V	Vynn prior to it opening?
	Α	Yes.
	Q	And how long was that?
	Α	We opened in April 2005 and I started in November of 2003.
	\circ	And during the period of time that you were employed at Myon prior to

during the period of time that you were employed at Wynn prior to s opening, what did you do there?

Α I wrote policies and procedures for credit. I helped develop the computer system for credit. I hired people. I helped with the design of the office, ordered furniture and all those good things.

Okay. And before you went to work at the Wynn, where were you Q employed?

Α I was employed at Caesars Palace.

A It's – now it's a room key.

Q Was it called a red card at some point?

A Yes, it was.

Q Okay. Am I correct in that the red card is also a patron's room key?

A Yes.

Q And there's some language here at the top, typewritten language. Could you read that into the record, please.

A Once completed, please mail back or fax it to us at 702-770-1580. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call us at 1-866-770-7107. Upon arriving at Wynn Las Vegas, please proceed to the credit desk adjacent to the main cage where you will complete your application. Positive identification will be required to activate your account. Identification should be in the form of a valid driver's license, a state issued ID, passport, or an active military ID. A major credit card is also required as a second ID.

Q And is there information that is requested from the patron below that language?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And does it appear that Mr. La Barbera completed portions of this credit application?

MR. ALBREGTS: Objection. There's no – assuming facts not in evidence in that he completed the application, whose handwriting is on it.

MR. SEMENZA: Already been admitted into evidence.

MR. ALBREGTS: I understand, but that doesn't mean we know whose handwriting is on it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. Lay a foundation.

4 5

6

7

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Okay. And below that, next to the Wynn logo, what information is provided to the right of the Wynn logo?

A So that's the customer's first and last name, their Wynn or red card number, their date of birth, and the amount of credit requested.

Q Okay. There's also quite a bit of typewritten language below the Wynn logo. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Could you please read that into the record for the jury.

Α I give Wynn Las Vegas and its representatives permission to obtain and verify credit information from any source, obtain my credit and employment history and exchange information with others about my credit and account experience with Wynn Las Vegas. I agree not to hold any of these entities responsible or liable for the information released. I agree that Wynn Las Vegas will retain the application whether or not it approves the credit line. Before drawing on my line of credit, if granted, I agree to sign credit instruments, i.e., checks, in the amount of the draw. I authorize Wynn Las Vegas to complete any of the following missing items on these credit items: 1) name of the payee, 2) any missing amounts, 3) a date, 4) the name, account number and address and branch of any banks and financial institutions, 5) any electronic encoding of the above items. This information can be for my – for my account from which I now have or may have in the future, the way to withdraw funds regardless of whether that account now exists and whether I provided the information on the account to the Wynn Las Vegas. I acknowledge that irrespective of any currency exchange laws in the country in which I reside, I have the ability and intent to legally repay any advance of money to Wynn Las Vegas. I also acknowledge that any - that an independent agent collecting front money deposits

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowledge.

Q Okay. Thank you.

A Uh-huh,

Q And below that typewritten language is a signature on the left hand side. Do you know whose signature that is?

including attorney fees and court costs. Wynn Las Vegas, [clears throat], excuse

me, Wynn Las Vegas endorses responsible gaming. We will cancel credit, reduce

your credit line upon your request. If you or anyone you know may have a gaming

problem responsibly - problem gaming responsibly, please call 1-800-522-4700. I

agree to the information set forth above is true and accurate to the best of my

A It's Sidrit and I think it's Maleg [phonetic].

Q Who is he?

A She -

Q Okay.

A -- is a host that works for -- that worked for Alex Pariente in his

department.

- Q And to the right, there's another signature.
- A And that would be the signature of the customer.
- Q Okay. And would Wynn Las Vegas have issued credit to a patron who had not signed a credit agreement?
 - A No.
- Q Let's turn to the next page, Ms. Mele. This one I can blow up for you a little bit. Okay, what is this, Ms. Mele?
- A This is a statement that we have to put on every credit agreement for the customer to sign as requested by gaming the gaming division.
- Q Okay. And so is this a is the credit agreement a two-page document or a one-page document.
 - A It's really a one-page. It's a you flip it over.
 - Q Okay. So is this provision on the back of the credit agreement?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q And could you read this provision into the record, please.
- A Warning. For the purpose of Nevada law, a credit instrument is identical to a personal check and may be deposited in or presented to a bank or other financial institution on which the credit instrument is drawn. Willfully drawing or passing a credit instrument with the intent to defraud including knowing that there are insufficient funds in the account upon which it may be drawn is a crime in the state of Nevada which may result in criminal prosecution in addition to civil proceedings to collect the outstanding debt.
 - Q Okay. And is there a signature below this language?
 - A Yes, there is.

A Yes.

2

Q Do you know what that date is?

3

A 8/29/08.

4 5 Q Okay. Do you know whether Mr. La Barbera was actually on property on August 29th of 2008?

6

A No. No.

7

Q Could I have you go ahead and read into the record the language that is contained – the typewritten language about mid-document.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α Before drawing on my permanent or temporary marker limit, if granted, I agree to sign credit instruments, i.e. markers or checks in the amount of the draw. I authorize Wynn Las Vegas to complete any information on the credit instruments as necess - as is necessary for the instrument to be presented for payment, including. excuse me, including but not limited to name of the payee, a date, the name in the account number, and/or address of any of my banks or financial institutions, electronic encoding of the above otherwise, in other – as otherwise authorized by law. I further authorize Wynn Las Vegas to add information relating to my account which I now have or may have in the future regardless of whether that account now exists or whether I provided the information on the account. I agree that each draw against my marker limit is a separate transaction with Wynn Las Vegas. If I receive funds, i.e. cash, chips, tokens, et cetera, before I execute a credit instrument, I will promptly sign a credit instrument in the amount of the funds provided. I agree that the Nevada law exclusively applies to these transactions. I agree that the exclusive jurisdiction of any dispute arising out of - out of or related to any of the above, shall it be at federal or state court, situated in Clark County, Nevada. In addition to any amounts authorized by Nevada law, I agree to pay all costs for collection including

24 25

1	Q	Okay. Can you identify the date on this request?		
2	A	3/31/08		
3	Q	And what patron is identified?		
4	A	Mr. La Barbera.		
5	Q	And does it identify the credit line increase?		
6	A	Yes, it does.		
7	Q	Okay. Can you identify for – for us what that is?		
8	A	From 400,000 to 500,000.		
9	Q	And, again, is there a signature of a patron identified there?		
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	And do you have an understanding as to whose signature that is?		
12	A	Mr. La Barbera.		
13	Q	And is there a set of initials below Mr. La Barbera's signature?		
14	Α	Yes, Alex Pariente.		
15	Q	Okay. Would a patron be entitled to or be permitted to obtain credit at		
16	Wynn prior to the execution of a credit line increase request that went beyond			
17	essentially what their credit line was?			
18	A	Yes, but it would have to be, as it's said in here, has to be signed -		
19	Q	Understood.		
20	Α	immediately upon presentation.		
21	Q	Okay. Let's turn to the third page. This is WYNN-36. What is this		
22	document?			
23	Α	This is a credit line increase request.		
24	Q	Okay. Can you identify the patron that is listed here?		
25	Α	Mr. La Barbera.		

	11		
1	A	I'm there.	
2	Q	And what is this document?	
3	Α	This is a credit line increase request.	
4	Q	For which patron, Ms. Mele?	
5	A	Mr. La Barbera.	
6	Q	And can you identify the date that this credit line increase request was?	
7	А	4/3/08.	
8	Q	And what account number is listed?	
9	A	3580678.	
10	Q	And whose account do you understand that to be?	
11	Α	Mr. La Barbera's.	
12	Q	And what is this credit line increase request go to – from and to?	
13	Α	It goes from 600 to one million.	
14	Q	Okay. And is this document signed by the patron?	
15	Α	Yes, it is.	
16	Q	And whose signature do you understand this to be?	
17	A	Mr. La Barbera.	
18	Q	And whose initials at the bottom, left-hand side of this document do you	
19	see?		
20	A	Alex Pariente.	
21	Q	And, again, what is your understanding as far as what Mr. Pariente is	
22	doing in initialing this document?		
23	А	He's witnessing Mr. La Barbera sign it.	
24	Q	Now I didn't have you go through and read the language from each one	
25	of these credit line increase requests, but is it your understanding that the - the		

3

4

5 6

7 8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Q Do you know whether this email is - which changed Mr. La Barbera's banking information came after his trip, during his trip or before his trip to the Wynn?

Α It came after his trip. His trip was in March and April.

Q Okay. Ms. Mele, can you identify what a marker is?

Α Marker is a countercheck. It's like a check that you write out of your checkbook for your rent or your mortgage or your car payment. And when it's presented to the bank, it's expected to be paid.

Is it your understanding that a marker is essentially a personal check? Q

Α Yes.

Can you walk me through the process of how a marker generally is O obtained?

Α Yes. The guest or the customer goes to the table, sits down, presents his red card so that they know who he is and they can identify him. And then he says I have a credit line, I'd like a \$5,000 marker. They then take this number that's on this red card and they give it to the - they write up a slip that's a marker request with the customer's name, red card number, and the amount of the request and they hand that to what is called, we used to call them pit clerks, they don't call them that anymore. Pit administrators, excuse me. And they sit in front of the computer and they type in the customer account number and it brings it up and it shows that the customer has an X number amount of credit and they put it in there he wants \$5,000 and they hit the enter key and then it's printed on a machine. The three page - it's a three section, two copy, an original and one copy, document. They take it and they give it to the floorperson. The floorperson then asks the customer to sign it. The top part is the actual marker. And that's dropped into - no, it's not, excuse me, it's given back to the pit clerk and it's held there until the end of the shift. If it's not redeemed

prior to the end of the shift, it's sent to the main cage.

Q Okay. And how is a marker redeemed?

A So if you're sitting in the pit, you can redeem a marker at the table if you win. You can buy it back with your chips and that's why it's kept there in that area where you're sitting. If you get up from the table and it's already been transferred to their – to the main cage, you have to go to the main cage where all the cashiers are, and you tell them you want to buy back your marker. And you give them your red card and you pay it back. You can pay it back with chips, cash, a personal check, chips or cash, make the available – make the amount of the marker available to you immediately. A personal check does not make the amount available to you for, depending upon where you live, three banking – three to five banking days I believe it is or if it's way out of state, it's like seven banking days.

Q And when an individual or patron takes out a marker, is there identity verified in some fashion?

A Their identity is verified by the pit administrator from the picture that's on their passport or their driver's license that's been scanned into the computer. If they have doubts, they would call the floorperson over or the pit manager, and they would all look at it, look at the customer, so forth and so on. They also verify the signature on the marker with the signature that we have scanned into the computer from their credit agreement.

Q Does the floorperson or casino services team lead play a role in verification of identity of a patron when they're taking out a marker?

A I think that they might look at the signature in the computer. I'm not positive.

Q Okay. Does the dealer play a role at all in it?

THE COURT: What?

MR. ALBREGTS: The only other issue besides the jury instructions is we'll have to address the deposition transcript —

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- stuff before I put my case on, that's all.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay.

[Bench Conference ends at 4:59 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our evening recess. We'll have you take, if you will, I guess an early lunch or at least you don't have to come in until, let's make it 12:30. I have morning calendar in the morning. But then from 12:30, other than breaks, we won't take a lunch break. So eat before you come in. And vote.

During this recess, you're admonished, do not talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio or Internet. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Have a good evening.

. . .

25 || .

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
2	
3	[Proceeding concluded at 5:01 p.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	9
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual recording in the above-entitled case.
22	Quain Chappell
23	Judy Chappell Judy Chappell
24	Court Recorder

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: 1 am.
2	MR. SEMENZA: Where are you employed?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: I work for Scientific Games.
4	MR. SEMENZA: That's right. Okay. And what do you do at Scientific
5	Games?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: I'm a customer service manager for
7	a call center.
8	MR. SEMENZA: Can you tell me how that job operates essentially generally
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: It's – we're going through actually
10	several mergers over the last several years. It was Shuffle Master, then Bally, and
11	then Scientific Games bought WMS and then bought all of us. So customers will
12	call in, like Bellagio Casin – you know, all casinos and if something's broke then we
13	page out a technician.
14	MR. SEMENZA: So you are the link between the service team or
15	department –
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Yes.
17	MR. SEMENZA: and the customer. Okay. How long have you been
18	there?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: It'll be 16 – it was 16 years in April.
20	MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And do you have any technical training? Did you go
21	to school to become a -
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Uh-uh.
23	MR. SEMENZA: nurse or a doctor or anything like that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: No.
25	MR_SEMENZA: And is your husband – are you married?

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Is he in the air force or retired from the air force?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Retired from the air force. He was a government contractor and then just recently became a government employee about a year ago.

MR. SEMENZA: How long has he been in service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: In the military?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: He retired in '99 with 22 years.

MR. SEMENZA: Any negative opinions or thoughts about the gaming industry in general.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: No I don't. I – it's been a long time ago, 30 years ago, when I was married once before. My husband was a gambler. That's been so long ago.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any strong feelings about that issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: I did it at one time, you know, but, you know, I'm fine now. You know, I just know how bad it can be.

MR. SEMENZA: And would you be able to put aside those issues you have with your former husband a long time ago and evaluate the evidence that's presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Absolutely.

MR. SEMENZA: In an unbiased and impartial manner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. Have you had any lawsuits personally that you've been involved in?

MR. SEMENZA: How long have you been doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Twenty years at the Monte Carlo and I opened up – my first job in town was the Mirage, then I opened up Treasure Island and MGM. So about 25 years doing the same thing.

MR. SEMENZA: And are you married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No, divorced.

MR. SEMENZA: Divorced. Do you have any negative opinions of Wynn Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Did you have friends or relatives that work at Encore?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Yeah, my brother-in-law, he opened up Wynn and then transferred to Encore when they opened. He's a pit manager.

MR. SEMENZA: And if you're selected as a juror in this particular matter, would you be able to be unbiased and impartial in the evaluation of the evidence as presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Just a moment, Your Honor. I think I'm finished.

Ms. Lucatelli, do you have any strong opinions about Mr. Wynn, Steve Wynn.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No, I just -

MR. SEMENZA: No opinions, good bad or indifferent essentially.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Pass for cause? Does plaintiff pass for cause?

MR. SEMENZA: Just a moment. Yes, Your Honor, may be approach?

THE COURT: Approach.

[Bench Conference begins at 2:07 pm.]

MR. SEMENZA: I think based upon my follow up questioning of Mr. Empaces that there would be a basis to excuse him for cause.

THE COURT: 027?

MR. ALBREGTS: I disagree.

MR. SEMENZA: He did say that he did not know whether he could be unbiased and impartial based upon his feelings concerning the gambling industry and gaming.

THE COURT: I told you that he was – what I wrote only said potentially and he specifically in your first round said not biased, 027. I don't know why you'd want him on, but because he said his friend works for Wynn, but assuming you're objecting.

MR. ALBREGTS: Yeah, no I am. He says – he said that he, you know, it was a personal perception that he didn't impose on anybody else. And I have no –

THE COURT: He says he has a hard time with, I guess, gambling in general, but I'm denying the motion for cause.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that it?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

[Bench Conference ends at 2:09 p.m.]

THE COURT: Other than that, pass for cause? We need it on the record.

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, noting -

THE COURT: With your discussion.

24

25

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, thank you.

THE COURT: Defendants, --

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- you may inquire.

MR. ALBREGTS: Afternoon, I hope you had a good lunch. Let me ask these questions generally for the sake of economy timewise and not to repeat anything that the Judge or Counsel might have asked you so just raise your hand in general if you have an affirmative response to the question.

Is the Wynn or the Encore different or distinguished in any of your minds from any other casino or gambling establishment in Las Vegas? Or is it like every other one? It's not special to anyone? Thank you.

Do any of you speak languages besides English? Hold on. That would be, thank you. Where's the other one? Okay, thank you.

Does anyone here believe that someone coming from another country to the United States should have to speak English? Two people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: To live or just to visit?

MR. ALBREGTS: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: To visit only?

MR. ALBREGTS: No, just somebody coming here from another country whether it be France or Italy or a country that doesn't speak English. Do you feel a person visiting the United States should be –

[Unknown prospective jurors speaking out loud]

MR. ALBREGTS: -- have to speak English. I'm sorry, I'm not talking about immigration, I know it's a political season.

It's okay with all of you if visitors come here and they can't speak

20

21

22

23

24

25

English? Nobody has a problem with that? Okay. I can ask you further questions if you do. No, okay, you're shaking your head no. I'll accept that.

Does anybody feel that if an individual can't speak English while they're here, if whatever happens to them as a consequence is as much as their fault? In other words, that the inability to speak English is no excuse for anything that may happen to them while they're here? You two feel that?

UNKNOWN PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One more time. Say that one more time, please.

MR. ALBREGTS: If somebody were to be involved in a matter that caused some consequence to the negatively as a result of their inability to speak English, in your mind, is that solely their fault?

THE COURT: You're going need – if you're going, ask individual questions – MR. ALBREGTS: I'll do individually. Yeah, no, no. I got --

THE COURT: -- so we can get name and badge number.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- I got the responses. Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate the patience. Excuse me.

I'm not a very good clean-up hitter. I always like to bat first. Excuse me for a moment.

Let me ask it this way, it was a poor question, I apologize. What you'll find out is my client, Mario La Barbera, from Sicily, Italy, doesn't speak English, read or write –

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor -

MR. ALBREGTS: -- comprehend English at all. Does that affect --

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor -

MR. ALBREGTS: -- does that affect your ability to --

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 031: You're welcome. The way that you mentioned that, you know, asking where the bathroom is and in whatever this case is with a large amount of money and asking for money, that's — we're discussing two different things. I can forgive somebody for walking in the ladies restroom not knowing English, but — does that make sense?

MR. ALBREGTS: Yes, sir. Thank you. But otherwise, it matters outside of knowing where the bathroom is more serious matters, that would affect your ability to be impartial and not –

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 031: I believe so. Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, Mr. Epling.

Anybody else here, Mr. Epling's feelings? All right. Could I have the show of those hands again, please.

Court's indulgence, thank you.

Thank you. Have any of you or a family member, close friend, ever had any interaction with the bad check unit of the district attorney's office, Clark County District Attorney's office? Anybody else? Two people. Thank you.

All right. May I specifically question now, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

If I checked the box wrong, I apologize. But I think we'll start with you, Mr. Richter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes.

MR. ALBREGTS: You raised your hand with respect to the English question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: Please - I'm sorry, wait for the microphone, I apologize.

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Will you share your thoughts with us on that, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yeah, like he was saying, I mean, it's one thing to like not – not go in, like, the wrong bathroom, but, like, when it gets something serious, like a large amount of money that – I understand, like, some circumstances, like you can get tricked or stuff like that, but in others, like, you should be able to know, like when it has to do with a large amount of money, should be able to know what you're – what you're doing and what you're saying. And you shouldn't be – still be able to be held accountable for your actions.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you. And Judge Hardy's mom, I didn't – I didn't have you down as raising your hand, was I correct on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: You're correct, but I kind of agree -

THE COURT: Name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: Oh, 005.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, Mrs. Hardy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: I kind of agree with what he said. If you're going to get involved with something that it involves a lot of money, I would think it would be your responsibility to have it interpreted for you.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

Janet.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: I feel the same.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. And what --

THE COURT: Name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Ruiz, 006.

MR. ALBREGTS: And I also had you marked down as speaking another language.

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Uh-huh.

MR. ALBREGTS: Is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Yes.

MR. ALBREGTS: And what language do you speak?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Spanish.

MR. ALBREGTS: Spanish? Any other languages?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: No, that's it.

MR. ALBREGTS: And have you spoken English and Spanish since you can remember?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. Thank you. Pass the microphone to Ms. Couture. Hope I pronouncing that right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Couture, yes, 037. Yes, I feel the same the way. In more serious matters, if you had an accident, had to go to the hospital or in the case of a lot of money involved, if I was in a foreign country, I would want an interpreter. Somebody who spoke my language that could let me know what's going on. If I was negotiating something, I would want to know I completely understood what I would be getting into.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, very much.

Next, which would be Ms. Keisa Jenkins.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 017: Yes, Keisa Jenkins, 0017 [sic].

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, Keisa.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 017: And I agree with everyone else.

Like, if you come to a foreign country, and, you know, you don't know the language,

I understand I would want an interpreter also, but when you're dealing with a large

23

24

25

amount of money, at some point, no matter, money is money. You would know that you're spending, you know. I think you would know. That's my opinion.

MR. ALBREGTS: I appreciate that. If you would pass it to, I think, is it Carolyn?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: Yes.

Did you have -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: Oh.

MR. ALBREGTS: Did you raise your hand too?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: Yes, uh-huh.

MR. ALBREGTS: Oh, I'm sorry. You – oh yes, you're the one that worked for Judge Bennett. I apologize. Go ahead. I'm sorry. What are your thoughts on the matter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: Tourism, gambling is tourism so when people come from another country, they're coming to gamble. And if you're coming to gamble, you know exactly what you're doing. My opinion. If he's coming to gamble, he knows he could win or he could lose and if he loses then you have consequences.

MR. ALBREGTS: So if I understood your answer correctly, Ms. Cherry-Clark, it doesn't matter to you whether he can read, write, speak English or comprehend it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: Pardon me?

MR. ALBREGTS: It doesn't matter to you one way or the other whether Mr. La Barbera –

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: No, because I think they should be able to – they should know what they're doing.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you very much.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: Harner, 0 – 020.

MR. ALBREGTS: Carolyn Hammer [sic].

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: H-A-R-N-E-R.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel -

MR. ALBREGTS: What are your thoughts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: I basically agree with what's been

said.

THE COURT: You stretching?

MR. SEMENZA: No, I'll go ahead and let her finish, but I would like to approach.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: I agree with what's been said.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you for your -

THE COURT: Approach.

MR. ALBREGTS: We need to approach?

[Bench Conference begins at 2:20 p.m.]

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor, I appreciate Mr. Albregts' questioning relating to this; however, there is no defense associated with failure to know the language. There is no defense to failure to read a contract beforehand. So this line of questioning I think is a bit prejudicial because it's not at issue in this case based upon the case law.

THE COURT: Well, if it's your intention, if you're trying to show a bias, you're asking them basically a fact question. And if they decide that they, you know, one way or the other, it's not showing a bias. It's showing their determination of a fact issue.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

25

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

[Bench Conference ends at 2:22 p.m.]

MR. ALBREGTS: Let me ask it to you this way. Can you - can you still weigh the evidence fairly and impartially notwithstanding the fact that Mr. La Barbera doesn't comprehend English?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: My name's Reizian-Terwilleger and my badge number's 023. And I have students who don't speak English and don't understand any of the directions that I give them unless I physically point to what I'm doing and even then, in my opinion, would be really difficult for me to say that he could have possibly known what was going if he doesn't speak English or read or write English and there wasn't an interpreter present. So I would be thinking that no one should have entered into any contract with him of any kind or any kind of that because he couldn't possibly known what he was doing.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you. Would you pass the microphone?

Ms. Bourgon, this is going to seem somewhat unusual, but do we know each other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No.

MR. ALBREGTS: We've never met in the past or been involved in a case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No.

MR. ALBREGTS: It's just my old age then affecting me. Deja vu all over again, I guess. I thought we had, but you're certain of that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Yes.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. All right. Yes, please. Trying to ask the question the right way, not to annoy anybody in the room. It's just simply can you be impartial and unbiased in considering the evidence in this case if you learn that

Mr. La Barbera could not read, write, speak or comprehend English?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: Rogers-Pokorny, badge number 025. I would hope that I can do that.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: It – okay.

MR. ALBREGTS: I think we're supposed to go all the way to the other end first.

THE COURT: It doesn't matter. If you want to go that way, that's fine.

MR. ALBREGTS: All right. Thank you very much. And that would be – name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: 036, Sheila Lucatelli.

MR. ALBREGTS: Sheila Lucatelli.

THE COURT: And ladies and gentlemen, we're not asking you to make a decision or give us an opinion on any of the facts that may or may not, and I say may or may not, because you don't know any of the facts in this case yet. What we're trying to do and certainly what the parties would like to find out is whether or not you have any bias or prejudice, bias is something in favor, prejudice is something against, one way or the other, on any of the issues that might be involved in the case.

We want to know, and some people may or may not be able to be fair and impartial on specific issues because they have prior biases. And that's absolutely legitimate to inquire as to maybe you have come in with baggage that, you know, if it was a criminal case and maybe you're up for, you know, maybe you're – had that crime or had that crime against you. So we want to inquire as to your biases or prejudices. But we're not asking you, and I don't want you, to make a

22

23

24

25

decision as to the facts of the case which of course you don't even know yet. So basically whether or not you can be fair and impartial to both sides just looking at what they are as – as they come in here.

Does that make sense? Do you understand? Any questions? Okay go ahead, continue.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Okay, well, then I don't need to explain. I can be impartial –

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: -- and I've got to see the facts first.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you very much. That's all I'm asking.

Same answer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 035: Yeah. The only thing I would like to say is that working in Bellagio, and I work with – in the high-limit baccarat area, in a casino like Bellagio or Wynn, they've got a whole staff international marketing that looks after people like Mr. La Barbera and Mr. La Barbera is going to have people all around him that is going to speak Italian in whatever dialect, if it's Italy or Sicily, they'll even have dealers dealing to him and supervisors watching the game who will speak Italian. This is something –

THE COURT: Name and badge number, sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 035: -- that the casinos provide.

MR. ALBREGTS: Name and badge number, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 035: Brown, 035.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you very much for your --

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 035: So.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, you may traverse.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Epling, I want to make sure that I understood your last answer to Mr. Albregts' question regarding whether you could be fair and impartial as it relates to individuals that may not speak English or have language issue problems. I want to make sure that I understood your answer correctly. Is your position —

Thank you. I apologize.

-- that you could not be fair and impartial relating to this particular matter based upon an individual that does not speak English or has a different language than others.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 031: Okay, 031, last name Epling. I don't think that I could judge it fairly because we are brought up that absence of knowledge does not presume innocence. We cannot go through a red light and then tell a officer, oh, I didn't see it, I didn't, it's my fault. And I'm looking at this when you're dealing with large amounts of money at your level which I have no concept of, but I'm sure that those people are well cared for.

MR. SEMENZA: Let me ask you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 031: I say, no, no, I'm sorry.

MR. SEMENZA: Let me ask you a different question. If you are, in fact, instructed on the law as it relates to this particular case, would you be able to follow the law in rendering a decision based upon the law that is presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 031: If I was – all right, if you look at the law and only the law and you ignore what was already discussed and you have to make a basis on that, then yes, but personal, you know, the knowledge is not gone.

25

MR. SEMENZA: Understood.

May we approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

[Bench Conference begins at 2:32 p.m.]

MR. SEMENZA: The – Mr. Eplinger's [sic] statement is from consistent with the law. With English or lack of knowing a foreign language or the language is not an excuse. That's not in dispute. I mean, that's essentially what the law is. That's what Mr. Epling and the rest of the jurors are going to be instructed upon. So it is entirely consistent with what the law is, his opinion. And so he did say that he could follow the law which is what he will be instructed on. So I don't think that there is a basis to excuse him for cause.

MR. ALBREGTS: I disagree. I think his -

THE COURT: I understand that. Okay.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- well, his facial expressions along with his answers show that that's going to be a cause and the bias in him and not impartial.

THE COURT: All right. I'm excusing him for cause. He said – he said it would affect his ability to be fair and impartial. Then I think he said I don't think I could judge it fairly. So I'm excusing 027 and 031.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay.

THE COURT: Now we're going to substitute them. Then since it was – you can start because they're new --

MR. SEMENZA: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- and then you'll go to just those two.

MR. SEMENZA: That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay.

24

25

hotel or establishment or casino in town, besides the Wynn Encore?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no. My sister has worked at several different hotels including the Wynn, but I think she's the only person in my family.

MR. ALBREGTS: Do either of you have any negative feelings towards the Wynn, the Encore, or Mr. Wynn himself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

MR. ALBREGTS: Mr. Barnett.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, not as a company or as a industry. I know my sister's had bad experiences, but I think a lot of people have so I can't really put that on the Wynn itself.

MR. ALBREGTS: Would that affect your ability, Mr. Barnett, to be impartial or unbiased in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: No.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. Have either of you ever been a party to a lawsuit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. ALBREGTS: And can both of you be impartial or unbiased with respect to – let me phrase it's the same way I did, I'm sorry, strike that, with respect to Mr. Wynn. Do either of you have any negative feelings that would affect your ability to be unbiased and impartial with respect to Mr. La Barbera if he cannot comprehend, read, or write English?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

25

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you. And I take it neither one of you have ever met Mr. La Barbera, Mario La Barbera.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: Correct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: Correct.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. Have either of you or any member of your family ever had any interaction with the Clark County District Attorney's Bad Check Unit office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. ALBREGTS: Is there anything that either have heard while sitting here during Mr. Semenza's much more competent voir dire as well as my voir dire that would affect either of your ability to be unbiased or impartial in weighing the evidence in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. Ms. Lee, specifically I noticed on the sheet here that you are widowed. Is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: Correct.

MR. ALBREGTS: What did your spouse do while he was alive?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: He was in the armed forces.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. I take it he's never worked for a casino or in the gaming industry --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: Correct.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- or he never did? Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, Ms. Lee. I'll pass for cause.

THE COURT: Plaintiffs, you may inquire of the -

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you for your patience.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. Do either of you have any negative attitudes towards attorneys?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. And have either one of you ever had a negative experience personally at the Wynn?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: The last time I went in there, they kind of got on my nerves.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Could you explain how so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: It was my friend's birthday so went to eat dinner at Prime at Bellagio. And then after that we were going to go to a club at the Wynn. And then for some reason, they stopped us and they were questioning us, I don't know why.

THE CLERK: Name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: That's Lee, 045.

MR. SEMENZA: How long ago was this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: I would say maybe like a year ago.

MR. SEMENZA: And how about you? Any negative experiences at the Wynn personally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: No, 043, no.

MR. SEMENZA: And going back to you, I apologize Ms. Lee, was there

experiences may have been?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: Only that it was very physically – very physically demanding at that location. They're very strict there compared to some of the other properties she's worked at.

MR. SEMENZA: Would what she told you relating to her employment at the Wynn have any impact or affect on your ability to judge the evidence in this case and render a verdict, fairly and impartially?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Have either one of you either worked for a bank or had family members that worked for a bank?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

Would that negative experience, Ms. Lee, that you had at Wynn impact your ability to be fair and unbiased with regard to the adjudication of this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: The truth is I don't really care about the Wynn and I don't care really about the reason is, but it wouldn't bother me if he got the money back or not.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Would that – is, well expanding a little bit on that issue, do you have any biases against Wynn based upon your experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No, not really. Like I said, I don't really care.

MR. SEMENZA: It wouldn't impact your ability to be fair and impartial in any way as a result of your experience, would it?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No. MR. SEMENZA: There's a note on the juror list that identifies that you are or were an accountant, is that correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No, that is not correct. MR. SEMENZA: Have you ever been an accountant? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No, I do not like math. MR. SEMENZA: Was your spouse at all in the financial field? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No, he was not. He was medical. MR. SEMENZA: Medical, okay. How long have you been in Las Vegas. Ms. Lee. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: I moved here in 2011. MR. SEMENZA: Where from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: Originally – well, originally I was born and raised in Orange County, California and then being in the armed forces, we, like, moved around. MR. SEMENZA: Was your husband stationed at Nellis for a period of time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No. MR. SEMENZA: Was he ever stationed here in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: No.

MR. SEMENZA: What branch of the military was he in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: He was in the air force for ten years and he crossed over into the army.

MR. SEMENZA: Have you - either of you been parties to any lawsuits? That question may have been asked and I apologize if it was.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. SEMENZA: Do either of you have any negative feelings relating to gambling, generally speaking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 045: 045, no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 043: 043, no.

MR. SEMENZA: Just a moment, Your Honor. Pass the potential jurors for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we have a panel. Those of you left in the audience, we are not going to need your services. I appreciate you being here all day and participating. You have certainly done your duty the same as everybody else. You didn't get called, but you were here and available so we certainly, I certainly appreciate it, all the parties do. It's part of our system of justice and it is necessary. Even though, you know, some people say, oh, well all I did is sit – sit around and wait, but unfortunately that's how the process has to occur. So in any event, we appreciate your being here. You can either go down to third floor and report to them or do it online. You're excused.

Ladies and gentlemen, right now the parties are going to pass back and forth their peremptory challenge list. I should have said before, I have my cell phone because that's how I communicate with both the marshal sometimes and mostly my office. Sometimes I'll even have to sign a warrant so take a break, et cetera. Plus they've asked me already if we needed more jurors, things like that. I'm not playing Candy Crush on it and you're not allowed to use your cell phones because you're jurors and you can't be doing research or the things that I'm sure you would like to be doing. So while they're doing that, I'm going to go ahead and read you the pretrial instructions.

Each case starts by plaintiff filing a document called a complaint with the clerk of the court. In this complaint, the plaintiff makes certain allegations as to why he or she is suing the Defendant. The document is served on the Defendant and he or she files an answer. In the answer, the Defendant will admit some of the allegations in the complaint and deny others. Let's say we're talking about a motor vehicle collision, both parties may agree as to the date or the time and location of the collision but certainly they may not disagree as to who's at fault. They may disagree as to the amount of the damages. The purpose of a jury trial is to arrive at a resolution of a dispute in a courtroom setting based upon very strict rules of both law and procedure.

All parties to this lawsuit start off on an even playing field. They are even Steven. The plaintiff has what is called the burden of proof. It is the plaintiff's job to show you by the end of the trial that its side of the case is more convincing than that of the Defendant. If you imagine the scales of justice — my staff gave me this — at rest at perfect equilibrium at the start of the trial, the plaintiff must tip these scales in their favor by the end of the trial or the plaintiff cannot win the lawsuit. The burden of proof in a civil case is called preponderance of the evidence. This is different from what I'm sure you've heard about in a criminal case, beyond a reasonable doubt. Preponderance simply means when you stack up all the factors for and against each party, that the scale is slightly heavier on the plaintiff's side. We ask that you do not make up your mind about the ultimate verdict in this case until you have heard all the evidence, all the witnesses.

We ask that you keep an open mind throughout the trial and not begin to make up your mind about what the facts are until you and your fellow jurors go back to the jury deliberation room to deliberate. We ask that you base your verdict

solely upon the evidence brought out at the trial and the law that applies to the evidence and not based upon sympathy or public opinion.

I'll read to the long admonishment. It explains more – more of the details.

Ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of his or her own knowledge. And if any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that he or she has any – or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact or controversy in this case, he or she shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors. What that means is if you find out during the trial that one of the witnesses is your son or daughter's baseball coach and you didn't know his name, but you just called him coach and you find out after he testifies, you must inform the court and you do that by writing it down and giving it to the marshal. The marshal will present it to the court and we will discuss.

During the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, court personnel and the parties, other than the marshal, are not allowed to talk to you. It's not that we're being antisocial, it's simply that we're bound by ethics and the law not to speak to you because to do so might contaminate your verdict. We're not even allowed to say hi to you in the hallway or in the elevators. If you should recognize a witness or be familiar with the facts of the case when the witness is testifying, please write it down and give it to the marshal. You're also admonished not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences in the case. Certainly that's not going to be the issue here.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. At times, I may sustain those objections or direct that

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I've instructed you to disregard. It is the duty of the lawyer to object to the evidence which he or she believes may not be properly offered. And you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes the objections on behalf of his or her client. Throughout the trial, if you cannot hear a question asked by an attorney or an answer given by a witness, raise your hand, wave, speak up. We will ask them to repeat or rephrase the question. Also we do take breaks every hour. I don't make you raise your hand if you need to use the bathroom, but if we took a break or whatever and you need to take another one, just go ahead and raise your hand again. Wave at Steve or myself and we'll take another break. If you wish you may take notes to help you remember what any witnesses said. If you do take notes, please make sure that while you're writing the answer to question number one, question number two isn't being asked and answered and you miss out on the next question. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors when you go back to deliberate.

This case will proceed in the following order. First the plaintiff has the opportunity to make an opening statement outlining its case and suggesting to you what it believes the evidence will be. The Defendant may then make its opening statement or it may reverse it – reserve its right to make an opening statement until after the plaintiff has put on all of its evidence. Opening statements are a synopsis and overview of what the attorney believes the testimony will be. Opening statements of the attorneys are not evidence. After all, the attorneys are not witnesses to any of the facts of this case. The plaintiff will then introduce evidence and call witnesses. At the conclusion of the plaintiff's case, the defense may then

call additional witnesses in rebuttal. After the defense rests, the plaintiff has the right to call rebuttal witnesses. After all the evidence is in, I will instruct you on the law that applies to this case. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these pretrial instructions or in the instructions given to you at the end of the trial, Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be. It would be a dereliction of your duty, a violation of your oath to rule other than based on the law as given to you by the court. After the law - the instructions in the law are read to you, each party has the opportunity to argue orally in support of his or her case. This is called closing argument or summation. What the attorneys say again in closing argument is also not evidence. Since the plaintiff has the burden of proof, the plaintiff gets to argue at the beginning and again at the end of the trial. They get to argue twice. Plaintiff will argue, the defense will argue and then the plaintiff has the opportunity to rebut the Defendant's arguments. After all - both attorneys have presented their arguments, you will retire, select a foreperson and order to arrive at verdict. Faithful performance by you of your duties is vital to the administration of justice.

We need to take a short break so during this — we'll take ten minutes, during this recess, you're once again admonished, do not talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trill, or read, watch or lesson to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio or Internet. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. We'll take 10 minutes.

[Proceeding recessed at 2:58 p.m.]

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CLERK: Okay.

[In the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to thank all of you for participating.

You raising your hand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you have a question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Well, he said – he said to raise my hand if I had anv --

THE COURT: You need -

THE MARSHAL: If you have a question, you -

THE COURT: You need to write it down. So, give her - give her -

THE MARSHAL: I got it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: While you're doing that, I want to – I want to thank everybody for being here. Some of you will not be on the panel and that is not reflect in any way on just about anything. It's only because the parties, for whatever reason, may feel that you're not the right person for this particular case. But you've participated and done so fully. It's – most of the day has gone by and you spent the day here and listened and paid attention. And we all appreciate that. It is important and so the process isn't always the most efficient, but it is the best way we know how to do it.

Did you finish your question?

Thank you.

.15

Okay, the clerk is now going to read the names of the individuals who are dismissed. As I said, I want to thank you. You can either go down to third floor jury services and report to them or do it online that you have been excused.

Go ahead.

THE CLERK: Tyler Richter, badge number 003. Julie Couture, badge number 037. Chelsa [sic] Jenkins – or Kelsa [sic] Jenkins, badge number 017. Marilyn Cherry-Clark, badge number 019. Lori Reizian-Terwilleger, badge number 023. Heather Roger-Pokorny, badge number 025. Joseph Deandrade, badge number 041. Monica Lee, badge number 045. Catherine Brown, badge number 035. Sheila Lucatelli, badge number 036.

THE COURT: Thank you all. Okay, go ahead and reseat them.

THE CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: We basically, you know, want to move you in the center in case we use this. So.

THE CLERK: Mr. Barnett, we're going to have you move to the back on this side. And we're going to have – everybody just move in to sit together. Just move to the center. Yes, that's correct. There you go.

THE COURT: Okay. Swear them in.

THE CLERK: Okay, please rise. Raise your right hand. You and each of you do solemnly swear that you will well and truly try the case at issue a true verdict rendering according to the evidence, so help you God.

Please be seated.

THE COURT: All right. Because somebody needed to take a break, I didn't finish the instructions so let me finish with the instructions before we go into opening statements.

8

7

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Since the plaintiff has the burden of proof, the plaintiff gets to argue to you twice at the end of the trial. Plaintiff will argue, the defense will argue, and then the plaintiff has the opportunity to rebut the Defendant's argument. The evidence you are to consider consists of testimony of witnesses and exhibits admitted into evidence. The term witness means anyone who testifies in person or by way of a deposition and it may include the parties to the lawsuit. A deposition is simply an examination of the witness at a prior date, under oath, with the attorneys present where the testimony is taken down in written format and those written questions and answers will be read to you during the trial. Admission of evidence in court is governed by rules of law. From time to time, it may be the duty of the parties to object and as I said before, it is not for you to make any interpretation of the objections or concern yourself with any of the Court's rulings on the objections.

Further, you must not consider anything which may or may not occur outside the courtroom. That includes anything that the witnesses and/or the parties may do outside the courtroom. While you're in the courthouse, please always wear your badge. Marshal will give you blue badges when you leave on your next break. Those will identify you as jurors in Department 28. You can keep the white ones as a souvenir or whatever. Just remember that while you're in the courthouse, if you're making even idle conversation with someone, you may or may not know whether or not they are a witness in this case. And that is why you should not talk to anyone other than your other fellow jurors in this case and then you're not allowed to discuss this case until you go back to the deliberation room to deliberate. Don't do any Googling or whatever information source you use in order to look up anything regarding this case.

There are two types of evidence in a case, direct and circumstantial.

Direct evidence is testimony by a witness of what they saw or heard or did. 7

Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which if that fact is proven, you can infer the existence of a second fact. In other words, if you go outside and there's water all over the streets, you can infer that it rained. If you go out and it's - that's circumstantial evidence. If you go out and there's rain falling on your head, that's direct evidence that it's raining. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law

permits you to give equal weight to both types of evidence, but it is for you to decide

how much weight to give any particular piece of evidence. Opening statements and

closing arguments, as I said before, are not evidence.

10

11

8

22 23 24

25

21

I may during the trial take notes on a particular issue. You shouldn't pay any attention. It doesn't reflect any particular anything. It means that maybe I'm preparing for legal argument regarding the case. Certainly do not read any articles. I doubt they'll be any news articles on this, but when it comes to the Internet, you never know. Do not make your mind up about what the verdict should be until after you have gone to the jury room to deliberate. It is important throughout the trial to keep an open mind. At the end of the trial, you'll have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have written transcript to consult. Even though we have court recorder, who records all the testimony, it is very difficult and time consuming for the reporter - recorder, excuse me, to do read backs. Therefore, I would urge you to pay close attention to the testimony.

In Nevada, you may, jurors, may ask questions of witnesses. You do so by writing down your question. At the end of the witness' testimony after both sides have gotten a chance to ask all their questions, I'll ask you if you have any questions. You will write them down and present them. Not all questions are

1 a a a a a 5 w 6 w 7 n

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

appropriate to be asked. For instance, hearsay questions, you've heard of that, or whether or not a witness has a criminal conviction, those type questions are never allowed. Once you ask the question or once you write down the question, the attorneys and I will discuss whether or not it's, first of all, an admissible question and whether or not the question may be asked or answered by another more appropriate witness. You should not read into it anything if your question is not asked and do not hold that against anyone if or give that over – give that question over influence in your deliberations.

Okay, that's the opening comments.

Plaintiff, you're ready to give your opening?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE PLAINTIFF

BY MR. SEMENZA:

Good afternoon, again, ladies and gentlemen. Again, my name is L.J. Semenza representing Wynn Las Vegas in this particular matter. At counsel is Jarrod Rickard who we've already introduced and Jennifer Squatritto as well. In the back, Christopher Kircher, who's also one of my partners.

First of all, I'd like to thank you for your service. I understand you all have lives outside of what we're doing here today. I know that the Wynn, Counsel, Your Honor, all of us appreciate your service here today and know that you're going to take this endeavor seriously and honestly and consider all of the evidence before ultimately reaching a verdict in this particular case.

There are lawyers out there that will famously say that certain

25

24

21

22

23

24

25

complicated things are very, very simple. Sometimes they're right and sometimes they're wrong. It all really depends. But I fundamentally believe that this is in fact a very, very simple and straightforward case. The evidence in this case will show that Mr. La Barbera has failed to repay a gambling debt to Wynn Las Vegas. And the amount that Mr. La Barbera owes the Wynn is a flat one million dollars. Mr. La Barbera is from Italy, he's a citizen of Italy and in March, the end of March in 2008, he came to Las Vegas and stayed at the Wynn for a period of time. His stay was from late March to early April of 2008 and he stayed at the hotel during his trip. During that trip, he received various complimentaries from the hotel which we'll hear about, in all likelihood, as part of this particular case. When Mr. La Barbera arrived at the Wynn, I believe it was March 29th, 2008, he provided the Wynn with his passport and Wynn took his passport and made a photocopy of that document and basically placed it into their computer system. And that's something we will take a look at during this - this case. In addition to providing a copy of his passport, Mr. La Barbera also completed a document called a credit application. And on that particular document, Mr. La Barbera identified his name, his address, his profession, his banking information and he signed that credit application seeking a credit line of \$300,000 from the Wynn. After he completed the credit application, Mr. La Barbera then completed what's called a credit agreement. And that credit agreement, again, is a two-page form with certain typewritten language on it that Mr. La Barbera signed in two different places on two different pages. Again, Mr. La Barbera, during that process, identified and wanted to obtain a credit line of \$300,000 which he was given.

While Mr. La Barbera was staying here at the Wynn, in addition to the

credit application, in addition to the credit agreement, he also signed credit line increase request forms that you'll – we'll commonly refer to it as TTOs. TTOs, the acronym is for This Trip Only. And during his trip, he signed various TTOs increasing his credit line at the Wynn from that \$300,000 figure all the way up to one million dollars. In the context of, pardon me just a moment. In the context of his stay here at the Wynn and while he was gambling, Mr. La Barbera executed a whole host of what are called markers. And a marker, the evidence will establish, is essentially looks like a personal check. It's presented to the patron after identification is checked and the identity of the patron is verified. It requests a certain amount of credit. The patron then signs that document and then receives gaming chips in response to the execution of the marker. That's how a patron at the Wynn and other casinos obtain credit and utilize their credit at the casino. I believe that the markers at issue in this case, there are a total of 12 and they were executed during the course of Mr. La Barbera's stay here at the Wynn.

Mr. La Barbera, in is responses to written discovery that was propounded in this particular case, identifies in response to questions posed as to whether he signed these markers or not, identifies when asked did he sign each of these particular markers. Mr. La Barbera identified and I quote: it appears to be this defendant's signature.

What that means essentially is, at least in our viewpoint, Mr. La Barbera acknowledges he signed the various markers at issue in this particular case. The marker, by the way, at issue in this case, pardon me, one of which was taken out on April 1st of 2008, and I believe the remaining markers were all taken out on April 3rd of 2008. Now what happens when a patron takes out a marker at Wynn. A couple of things can happen. So if the patron takes out the marker and receives chips in

2 | H 3 | th 4 | th 5 | a 6 | th

 response, the patron will generally utilize those chips to continue to gamble. Hypothetically speaking, if that patron ends up winning at the table, the patron can then turn around and ask to rebuy that marker, take it back. And in doing so, will then give up a certain amount of chips representing the value of that marker. In addition to that, the patron, if the marker has been transferred over to the cage or at the conclusion of a session by which the patron is playing, the same is true. The patron can go to the cage of the casino and rebuy his marker if he has the chips by which to do so.

Now it's not always the situation where a particular patron will pay in full the value of those markers while he is on property. And in many instances, it is only after the patron has returned home by which the patron then pays back the value of those markers. In this particular case, Mr. La Barbera did not repay the value of that million dollars in markers at the conclusion of his trip. And in fact, he returned to Italy. After which Wynn made repeated attempts to try and collect those amounts that were owed to it by virtue of letters, but Mr. La Barbera refused to pay. What was Wynn left to do? Well, because these are markers and basically negotiable instruments or checks, what Wynn does is it deposits those markers for payment with the particular patron's bank if in fact the patron does not already pay for those or pay those back before doing so. So that's what happened in this particular case. Mr. La Barbera leaves the property, goes back to Italy, requests were made for payment, those were refused essentially. Wynn was then forced to deposit those markers with Mr. La Barbera's bank in Italy and low and behold, all the markers were returned unpaid. And that's the situation we find ourselves here today.

There are a couple other issues that I want to highlight that will be addressed during the testimony here during this trial. One of which is something

called front money account. And a front money account is essentially, for all intents and purposes, a bank account at the casino. You can deposit money into your front money account and you can withdraw money from your front money account. And that's something that we'll discuss in detail during the testimony in this particular case. For example, when Mr. La Barbera arrived at the Wynn, for the first day or two, he won quite a bit of money. And so he took chips and deposited those chips into his front money account. In addition to that, Mr. La Barbera made two wire transfers from Italy into his front money account it went. One of which was for \$400,000, the other was for \$600,000. So in addition to the front money he had on deposit from winning at the Wynn early on, he also had a million dollars resulting from a wire transfer that was made into his front money account. You'll hear about that as well.

Now Mr. La Barbera was quite the gambler and he liked to play roulette. I believe he liked to play single zero roulette which I believe is European and less common here in the United States. But Mr. La Barbera did play roulette quite a bit. And his level of play during his trip here was quite high. Mr. La Barbera wasn't the 5, 10, 15, 20-dollar player that we commonly see at the casino. In fact, you'll hear that Mr. La Barbera's average bet was quite significant, at times exceeding \$50,000 per spin of the roulette wheel. So it's certainly understandable that at the end of Mr. La Barbera's trip, in addition to winning when he got here, in addition to wiring the million dollars into his front money account, he ended up losing. And he ended up losing a million dollars which is what we're seeking here as a part of this lawsuit.

I told you, well, I wanted to keep this short and I am going to keep this short. We believe at the end of the presentation of the evidence that we will have established that Mr. La Barbera owes the Wynn a million dollars, based upon I

MR. SEMENZA: And has she fully recovered? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Her back still hurts, but, yeah. MR. SEMENZA: Any other family members? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No. MR. SEMENZA: Do you believe that you could be fair and impartial in this particular case based upon what you've heard so far? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: I don't know just because for gambling debts in general, I - I have many family and family friends that have struggled with gambling and that, to me, just - just put a sour taste in my mouth, in general, at first. So. MR. SEMENZA: You currently gamble? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No. MR. SEMENZA: And did -- did you identify that you had taken out credit at casinos before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No, not me. MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you. Okay, could I have you pass the mic, please. Thank you. Ms. Hardy, are you currently employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: No. MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you been – are you retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: Tired. No, I haven't worked outside the home. MR. SEMENZA: And you said your husband was Joe Hardy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: And he's a state Senator?

Harrah's, all of the major hotels in town that designers select my products and then once they're installed, my companies pay me a commission. I have one company based in Italy and my other six companies are based in the U.S.

MR. SEMENZA: Is one those companies Targetti?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: No. Barovier & Toso in Murano.

MR. SEMENZA: What company do you – well, what company do you do sales for or are there a whole host of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Well Barovier & Toso is one of my companies in Italy that Wynn Design and Development uses. But Fine Art Lamps in Florida. I have company in Arkansas, Ashley Lighting. One in Oregon, and I've been in sales for about 20 years doing what I do.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And who at Wynn Design Development do you work with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: The design – people in the design department under Roger Thomas.

MR. SEMENZA: Have you had any issues with Wynn Design Development at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Not really. I mean, I make my – I make my income indirectly by, you know, getting our products specified with them. So.

MR. SEMENZA: In addition to other casinos.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: In addition to other casinos.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any bias or prejudices against either

Mr. La Barbera in this case or Wynn?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: No.

22

23

24

25

as sitting on this particular jury, in treating both sides fairly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: No.

MR. SEMENZA: And just because Wynn is a company or a limited liability company, that wouldn't influence your decision making in any way, would it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. If you could pass the mic, please.

Ms. Bourgon?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Uh-huh.

MR. SEMENZA: You work at the credit union.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Correct.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And tell us a little bit about what you do there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I'm an Operations Manager in the back office. I've been there for 15 years. We process, excuse me, ATMs, we do the mail. We also do – I'm the custodian of records so I do all the subpoenas and I am the one will, you know, will represent the credit union. We handle all the online bill pay, return – we don't do return checks, but we do remote deposits when they do their checks through the phones.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I'm also an IRA specialist so we do a little bit of everything.

MR. SEMENZA: Now, do you work for all of the branches here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I work at the main branch at McLeod and Flamingo. We have eight locations, but I'm – we're considered operations support services so we support all the branches for anything request or anything like

that.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Do you have any legal training at all? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Aside from the subpoenas, do you have any daily involvement with issues concerning bounced checks or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: My department does get notified because certain things, like I will have to deactivate them from remote deposit so they can't do that or use the ATMS. So we do work with Loss Prevention when things like that happen.

MR. SEMENZA: And you said your husband was at the airport?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Yeah, he works for Department of Aviation

MR. SEMENZA: How long have you been at the credit union? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Fifteen years.

MR. SEMENZA. And you have served on a jury before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I got picked for a jury, came back the second day and they had solved the case.

MR. SEMENZA: It settled. Thank you. How long has your husband been working at the airport?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: He's almost, let's see, 25 years.

MR. SEMENZA: Specifically what does he do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: What he does, he's an IT Manager, but he's involved in like all the kiosks and he's more of the innovator of touch screens to imporove the airport. Kind of innovation and kind of things like that.

MR. SEMENZA: And boarding passes and all -

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Boarding passes, came up with RFID, the new – the little chips he was involved in that whole thing that started probably like five, six years ago.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Is there any reason that you can think of as to why you might be biased or prejudiced in this particular case as it relates to either the Wynn or Mr. La Barbera?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. If you could pass the mic, I'd appreciate it.

Ms. Rogers-Pokorny?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: And you're dental hygienist?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: I am.

MR. SEMENZA: How long have you been working?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: I've been a dental hygienist for 17 years now. I worked for one gentleman for 16 years and I've worked in my other office for 10.

MR. SEMENZA: Great. And your husband works at the Venetian in IT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: He does.

MR. SEMENZA: What kind of work does he do for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: He is in the group that determines all of their software needs and they head up all the software installations and all of that. My husband's background is in the other side of gaming where they make all the – he's worked in every aspect. Slots, table games, progressives, all of that. He just recently started working for the hotel side and is rounding out his wheelhouse in that department.

MR. SEMENZA: Great. So he does work specifically on gaming and the machines, the slot machines, that sorts of things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: That's part of it, but mostly it's hotel operations at this point. So like the software used for point of service, you know, check in, all of that, banking, accounting.

MR. SEMENZA: Has he ever worked for the Wynn in any capacity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: He has as – he worked for Shuffle

Master. He worked for DEQ. He worked for Aristocrat. He worked for Bally's. And

I believe in all of those instances, he did have contact with people there in selling them. He didn't – he didn't sell equipment or games, but he did have to be in contact with the client for installation training, all of that.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. In your household, is it just you two? Or do you have children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: Oh, it's just the two of us. I got married later.

MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you guys been here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 025: Oh my gosh. My husband was born and raised here so he's been here 53 years. I moved here when I was 5 years old, so I've been here 48 years.

MR. SEMENZA: Great. Just one moment.

Your Honor, do you want to take a break or do you want me to keep going. It's almost noon. I'm happy to continue, if you like.

THE COURT: Well, you can go until noon if that's -

MR. SEMENZA: That's perfect.

THE COURT: -- or did you finish with?

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: There's partnerships and depends. Sometimes it's a direct hire, sometimes it's a partnership, sometimes they are chef ownership.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Do you guys provide food services, tablecloths, what kind of stuff specifically?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Mainly food service but also facility services.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Virtually anything in that arena.

MR. SEMENZA: You provide logistics as far as getting food from one location to the restaurants?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Yes we do.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. That helps. I appreciate it. And I think you had mentioned that you either had some negative experiences with Wynn or something to that effect? Could you just share –

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Correct.

MR. SEMENZA: -- a little bit more on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Several. Just my own experiences and just some of the – I'll say the business practices. I'm not – I don't agree with them.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: In some cases.

MR. SEMENZA: And does that relate to your involvement with the Compass Group and the businesses relating to food?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: More personal.

25

MR. SEMENZA: Of the whole thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: No, she oversees veteran services and the Hiring Our Heroes program nationally.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And do you believe that you could be impartial and unbiased in this particular case in evaluating the evidence and with regard to the Wynn and with regard to Mr. La Barbera?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: I'd say probably not at this point.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you for your honesty.

Mr. Empaces, did I -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Yes, sir.

MR. SEMENZA: -- did I say that correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Perfect.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you. You had mentioned that you had a friend that worked at the Encore.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Are they still employed there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I don't know currently. I haven't spoken to him in a few months. I've been on, basically, traveling for work and he's been kind of doing his own thing, but the last time we did speak he was an employee.

MR. SEMENZA: Last time you did speak he was?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Yes. He was an employee.

MR. SEMENZA: What is he – what did he do or does do for Wynn of Encore?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: He was a busser.

MR. SEMENZA: And you said you are employed by Serco, did I get that

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Serco, Inc., yes.

MR. SEMENZA: What do they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Basically I – they do software.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: And basically they have a parent company out in Europe, I think it is. Not too sure because I've been working for them about a year and change. Don't know too much about their history. Been busy working for them.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: But, yeah. So they're currently located in Reston, Virginia so I'm - basically I'm mobile employee for them.

MR. SEMENZA: Great. And it's primarily IT related?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Yes, sir.

MR. SEMENZA: If you were selected to be on the jury, would you have any biases or prejudices against either of the parties in this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Not necessarily, just gambling in general. Just I've had some family members who've kind of bickered because of it. It's, I mean, as far as, like, to each their own, but as far as I've seen some of the – the nastiness that it can bring out in people. So.

MR. SEMENZA: Is that because of disputes between family members? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Family members, their - I guess their vices towards casinos and gambling in general. So I try personally to stay away from it myself even though I'm may know, like, one of the cowgirls of gambling's kind of interesting. But, you know, I always tell people you leave the

23

24

25

you've been involved with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I've actually been involved with a personal injury lawsuit.

MR. SEMENZA: You as the plaintiff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Me as the plaintiff.

MR. SEMENZA: How long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: About 10 years.

MR. SEMENZA: Was that here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Yes, it was.

MR. SEMENZA: Did that resolve itself, did it settle?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: It settled.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you recall who your attorney was?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Glen Lerner.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any negative feelings about attorneys at all as a result of either that lawsuit or your mother's litigation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I don't have a negative opinion about attorneys.

MR. SEMENZA: And do you have any issues as far as prejudice or bias with regard to either Wynn or Mr. La Barbera in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Before I worked at the Department of Veterans Affairs, I was a floorperson at the Venetian. I worked with a lot of marker players. I worked with that kind of player and so I do think I have a little bit of an opinion regarding that.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. But you think you can put that aside and be impartial with regard to adjudicating this case on the evidence?

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I could try.

MR. SEMENZA: Did you - were you involved with high roller players?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Was that exclusively what you did at the Venetian as a floorperson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Work with high roller players?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: No. Worked with all players.

MR. SEMENZA: All sorts of players.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Correct.

MR. SEMENZA: Lower and high end? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Correct.

MR. SEMENZA: How long did you do that for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I worked in the cas – I worked at the Venetian five years, before that I worked at the Flamingo as a dealer and then down the line.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you. If you could -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: You're welcome.

MR. SEMENZA: -- pass the mic.

THE COURT: Okay, it's 12 o'clock so we'll let you go to lunch. Although I haven't read you the long admonishment, you need to understand that first of all, none of you know really anything about what this case is about and so not only is there no reason to talk about it, but you're not allowed to talk about this case. And that includes with your fellow potential venire person, potential jurors. I tell people and I'll, if you're chosen, I'll read you the speech, but attorneys and certainly

witnesses and parties are not allowed to talk to you. And that means including saying hi in the elevator or acknowledging you at all because that could be interpreted as trying to curry your favor. So when I did trials and I was in the jury because I didn't always know who was there, you have to, you know, look at the floor and don't say hi to somebody not knowing who they are. If you have a badge, you can talk to your fellow jurors but not about this trial. So I'll have you come back at 1:20. Give you a little extra time to get out of here. There's restaurants across the street and Capriotti's is in the courthouse. So.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take this recess until 1:20. During this recess, you're admonished, do not talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio or Internet. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Don't Google, don't go on any information, don't look up the names of the attorneys, don't look up the names of the parties, don't do any research regarding this case. It will not reflect favorably upon you. Don't go on social media, don't – don't put on Facebook something about the trial. It's – we, believe me, we'll find out and it is not a good thing.

So, thank you. Have a good lunch.

[Proceeding recessed at 12:04 p.m.]
[Proceeding resumed at 1:29 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. Anything – any challenges or anybody you've agreed to

23

24

25

let off?

MR. SEMENZA: I haven't talked to Mr. Albregts about it, but I think seat number 11, Mr. Thomas Leary. After I did some follow up questioning of Mr. Leary, I think it is evident that he does, in fact, have some bias.

THE COURT: But first he was, I thought he was biased against your guy, but now it appears he's biased –

MR. SEMENZA: That's why I wanted to ask.

THE COURT: But nobody asked, or you didn't ask, what -

MR. ALBREGTS: The reason was.

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know that if he can't be fair that he needs to say what his reason is. Do you want to traverse him? Outside the presence.

MR. ALBREGTS: I wouldn't mind find the reason because as I understand it's the business practices, it doesn't have to do with gaming. And this is a gaming case, but.

THE COURT: Right. But he did – my quote is the second time he said, I probably not fair, but –

MR. ALBREGTS: He said he had negative feelings about their business practices and when L.J. asked him if he could fair, I thought he said probably not is what I –

THE COURT: So I'll let you traverse him if you certainly want to.

MR. ALBREGTS: I – it's just a question of whether you excuse him for cause or L.J. uses a peremptory challenge so.

THE COURT: Well, at this point, --

MR. ALBREGTS: I doubt he's going to -

THE COURT: -- it's always a question and - but, if you don't think they've

I hope I wrote this down accurately, that – that you just don't approve of the business practices of the Wynn. Is that correct? Is that what you told us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: I said some.

MR. ALBREGTS: Some. Okay. And can you explain to us, and I think you said you had a negative impression of the Wynn because of those business practices.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Correct and personal.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. Can you elaborate on that and tell us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Tell you what?

MR. ALBREGTS: What – give more detail to why you have an negative impression of the Wynn. Let me ask you this –

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Poor experiences, poor service, their way of doing business as a whole. How they hold themselves as a property, they are nonresponsive. Contracts that they put in place with some of the people they know, how they go about doing that. Just their choice of how they choose to do business is not something that I agree with.

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. I appreciate your patience. So based on that, you don't feel that you could be objective and unbiased with respect to listening to this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Not to be fair. I'd put myself in, no, it's just, I don't believe so.

MR. ALBREGTS: That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, approach.

[Bench Conference begins at 1:33 p.m.]

MR. ALBREGTS: I want to keep him.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Bench Conference ends 1:34 p.m.]

THE COURT: Mr. Leary, I want to thank you for being here today.

Appreciate your candor. You know, not everybody's right for every particular case.

So we're going to let you either go down to third floor jury services and report to them or do it on the Internet. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're excused. And please don't talk to any of the other jurors.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Understood.

MR. ALBREGTS: Wish you the best of luck, Mr. Leary.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Empaces. Remember, I can't pronounce it, 027.

MR. ALBREGTS: So Leary's off.

THE COURT: What's your challenge?

MR. SEMENZA: Let me find him. Just a moment. Where is he?

THE COURT: I'll tell you – you can, my notes, friend works for the Wynn, but he specifically said he's not biased.

MR. SEMENZA: My recollection, Your Honor, and I may – okay. My recollection, Your Honor, was that he was essentially a waffler as far as whether he could or could not be impartial relating to this matter. So I'm certainly comfortable based upon your notes and Mr. Rickard's notes that I can flush that out a bit more. So.

THE COURT: That's fine. Yeah, we're not done, but I figured that Mr. Leary since he'd said it twice, it was – might as well replace them now.

Okay, then let's get the rest of them in. We'll replace Mr. Leary.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 019: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you.

And how about Ms. Bourgon.

Pass it down.

When we had talked earlier, you had identified that you in a number of different instances had been a custodian of record. Can you tell me what that means to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: What that means to me is giving the proper documentation wherein the other attorneys requested so they can see exactly what, like, I do, like, statements, check copies. I feel that's my duty to be able to give those documentations to whoever. Like, I do grand juries, I do that too. So I feel that's my duty as working at the credit union, but also the right thing to do.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And the types of subpoenas you got that you respond to as a custodian of record are what? What kinds of subpoenas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: We do grand juries, we do federal. We do, like, cases that are here. So we get all types of requests. We don't do any requests for out of state because it has to be filed in the state of Nevada. But we do, I mean, we do all sorts of that and I also get notarized anything that we send out. And we always send it out like certified or by FedEx, things like that. But, yeah. So we do all kinds of cases.

MR. SEMENZA: Both civil and criminal.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Yeah, both.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you. And then, could you pass the mic down to Mr. – one more time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Deandrade.

MR. SEMENZA: Deandrade. Thank you. And what kind of work do you

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Mostly what I do is I work in, like I said, I work in the marketing department on the technology side. I make sure that our promotions are promoted on our website, in emails. I send out, you know,

MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you been doing that for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: I've been with them for about a year

MR. SEMENZA: And before that, where were you employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Before that, I worked in retail, but I've also worked in the gaming industry. I worked for the Mirage in retail and then

MR. SEMENZA: Do you enjoy your job at Sam's Town?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: 1 do.

MR. SEMENZA: Any issues with your job? No?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: My boss is a little crazy, but it's all

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And you said your significant other is currently in

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Correct.

MR. SEMENZA: Is she in college?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. What's she studying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: She's becoming a certified

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about gaming companies in general?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Any family members that have been?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any negative attitudes or opinions about lawyers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Every now we have to ask. Have you had any family members that have taken out credit at any casinos?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. SEMENZA: Have you ever declared bankruptcy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 041: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you. If you could pass the mic over one.

I wanted to come back to you for just a couple questions. I wanted to make sure I understand what you previously identified as far as whether you think you can be impartial and unbiased in evaluating the evidence in this case. Think you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: After thinking it through, it's not really the – the, you know, the people in this kind of situation, it's basically just my, I think, I don't know, moral ethics kind of deal, me personally. I mean, I don't want to judge anyone else on their whatever they want to do. I just, for me, I've seen, like I said, I've seen the dangers of gambling in general with, you know, my family members. So.

MR. SEMENZA: And would that bias or prejudice that you may have be directed against the Wynn or directed against Mr. La Barbera or do you know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I can see it maybe spill on both

ends.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Potentially depending on how the, you know, the trial goes, whatnot.

MR. SEMENZA: Would you find it difficult to separate your personal opinions from the evidence that is presented in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: If I think about it, potentially, yes. Because I could easily just probably get frustrated on both ends for, you know, both parties kind of being a little negligence on each side in a sense. Like, if you are a person that you know you have an issue, you might want to stop with it, you know. Or on the other end, if you see that someone's having an issue, you might want to tell them, hey, kind of, you know, take it easy or something out of there.

MR. SEMENZA: And I just want to be clear that it would be, am I correct, that it would be your personal feelings that would cause you problems to evaluate the evidence unbiasly or impartially in this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Potentially, yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I mean, I can't say a hundred percent it won't. So.

MR. SEMENZA: You do understand that this is a case involving a gambling debt, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Correct.

25

MR. SEMENZA: All right. Thank you. If you could pass it one down to Ms. Dalv.

I wanted to just ask you a quick follow up question. When you were a floorperson and a dealer at the Venetian, did you enjoy your time there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: No.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Did you – do you have any issues as far as personal biases or prejudices against the Venetian itself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Well, I was laid off from the Venetian so I wasn't – I didn't think very highly of them at that time. By that was a long time ago and, you know.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any negative opinions about the gaming industry in general?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I didn't like working in the gaming industry but as an industry I don't have a problem with it.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you have any issues with or concerns that Wynn is a party to this particular lawsuit.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I have several people I know that work at Wynn.

MR. SEMENZA: Do you like those people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Once your employment at the Venetian ended, you got out of the gaming industry all together?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: I did.

MR. SEMENZA: What was it that you didn't like about the gaming industry generally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Just the way we were treated as employees. You know, if a player was rude to you, you had to take it. It was, you know, and I just didn't feel like it was the right job for me. It was kind of boring after a while.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. If you could pass the mic down.

Ms. Lowe? Did I get —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Consult my notes. Am I correct you're a dental hygienist?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Yes, I am.

MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you been doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Twenty five years.

MR. SEMENZA: And with the same employer? Different employers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Two different employers.

MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you been in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Native.

MR. SEMENZA: Native? Okay, great. And is it your husband that's a commercial helicopter pilot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: And he's on the rigs, oil rigs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: He flies the crews off the shores, the rigs, yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And where does it do that at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: Out of Louisiana.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Does he get home from time to time, I hope.

but, you know, it's a job. They've got to do theirs and, you know, you have your responsibilities. So.

MR. SEMENZA: And do you think you could impartially and unbiasedly serve as a juror in this particular matter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: I think it would be a little bit harder because of my knowledge of gaming procedures, cage procedures, things like that. Just from what I know, there would have to be some really extenuating circumstances to excuse the responsibility and things like that, in my opinion.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Is that something that you could put aside and listen to the evidence and base the decision solely on the evidence relating to this case that is presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: Oh, yeah, I mean, I can listen to it, but like I said, it's just with my – my understanding of gaming laws and cage procedures and stuff, you know, I'd have to see something really, you know, really convincing to kind of lead me to one way or the other, you know what I mean.

MR. SEMENZA: If you're asked to put aside those personal feelings and whatever personal knowledge you may have, is that something that you're going to be capable of doing and just taking in that evidence and rendering a decision based upon the evidence that is put before you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: Yeah.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. Thank you very much.

Ms. Naylor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 034: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. My notes are quite limited with regard to you and I apologize. Are you currently employed?

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor, and Lunderstand that, that's fine. The deposition is a completely different issue that what I'm arguing with you at this point in time. The issue that we're discussing here is the bench warrant and the Register of Actions and whether the Court will allow reference to the criminal proceeding or not. The deposition is a completely different issue as far as what information the Court is going to allow from that deposition to be read into the record. Until - I'm not even talking about that issue at this point in time. The deposition, we do have issues with the deposition, but again that's a separate issue which I can explain -

THE COURT: You're asking, Mr. Albregts, that the bench warrant be admitted as an exhibit?

MR. ALBREGTS: What I'm asking is that you take judicial notice of the fact that there's a bench warrant issued for his arrest. As of March 24th, 2009, it's still outstanding, by Judge Saragosa, Melissa Saragosa. And the - I wanted to offer the bench warrant but you just indicated a moment ago you weren't going to admit that and I respect that ruling but I certainly want to be able to explain to the jury that Mr. La Barbera is not here because of that bench warrant.

MR. SEMENZA: Well, but then Mr. Albregts becomes a witness in the case.

MR. ALBREGTS: Not a witness in the case. You can take judicial notice. Here I have the printout if you want it.

THE COURT: He's arguing, all he's doing is, I assume, it's in the depo that he says he's not here because of a bench warrant.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't believe that's correct, Your Honor.

MR. ALBREGTS: May I - may I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ALBREGTS: That's it. Sorry about the poor quality of copy there.

THE COURT: And as far as if Mr. Albregts chooses to use this as his argument, he's basically waiving – he is waiving any argument he'd have to keep it out. Normally it would be –

MR. ALBREGTS: Correct.

THE COURT: -- kept out as being prejudicial. He doesn't feel – he feels just the opposite that it's not prejudicial. I would caution the defense that generally that is exactly why it's kept out because it is prejudicial and the Supreme Court has deemed it such. However, this is a civil case and if you have some unusual theory that this is somehow going to help him, other than saying he lives in Italy and he can't travel here. But –

MR. SEMENZA: One suggestion I have, Your Honor, is that the issue as far as – and I will concede that the jury is not entitled to make a determination that Mr. La Barbera has done something wrong by his nonappearance at trial. I'll concede that. I think that issue can be addressed with a jury instruction that specifically says the fact that Mr. La Barbera is not present for purposes of this trial cannot be used against him as a basis to determine that he is or is not liable to the Wynn for amounts at issue. I don't know if that gets us anywhere further as far as Mr. Albregts is concerned, but again, it's up to him.

MR. ALBREGTS: Two things, very briefly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: Well, I heard some grumbling over there about the deposition. I mean portion of the deposition. For example, a question from Mr. Semenza, did he, meaning Mr. Pariente, ever explain anything specifically that you believe was false as opposed to just not explaining how credit work. And he gave about payment timescales, for example, I don't recall precisely. Then I sent

4 5

7

6

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20 21

22 23

24

25

him to hell on the phone and sent him to the devil so we never spoke again.

MR. SEMENZA: Where are you looking, Jeff? I'm sorry.

MR. ALBREGTS: I'm sorry. I apol – page 90, 10 through 19. And then apparently Mr. Semenza was going to ask something and interrupt but he didn't, he said, what did, go ahead and Mr. La Barbera said, and then everything happened as you know because in the markers which reached my bank in Italy. I never heard anything about this, I never knew anything about this. I received the District Attorney's notification. And basically a mandate from IRS. That's all I knew.

And it carries on from there. But let me get to the more fundamental issue, Your Honor. I mean, look it, as you said, it's a marker case. It's so secret what we do in this community. It's no secret how other communities view us. It's real simple. The Wynn and the rest of the resorts say that these are checks. negotiable instruments. And they file a complaint with the District Attorney's office when those checks cannot be cashed. And then we come to trial here and the entire case is about the authenticity and the genuineness of these markers that Mr. La Barbera denies his signature is on. That puts directly into issue why Mr. La Barbera is not here and quite frankly the leverage that the Wynn is engaging and which the jury should be able to consider as to not only why Mr. La Barbera is not here, but with respect to all other matters affecting the authenticity and genuineness of those markers. It's absolutely essential. I discussed it at length, you're absolutely correct, Your Honor, about - with Italian counsel, since Mr. La Barbera doesn't speak English, about the prejudice of a jury finding out there's a criminal proceeding against him. But I, you know, weighing everything, considering the case, living with it for two years, I'm going to have to take that risk. I've got to be able to explain to this jury that Mr. La Barbera is not here for a reason

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that reason is there's a bench warrant out for his arrest for the very instrument you're deciding whether they're enforceable against him here or not. That is just essential to this jury's perception of his defense in this case.

Thank you for your patience.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not allowing as an exhibit the – I'm taking judicial notice of the Register of Actions. First of all, it's – it's almost three months old so I suppose we –

MR. ALBREGTS: I can get another one.

THE COURT: -- could verify, but, I – this is a civil action and I don't see the relevance of the potential criminal case. As you know, he is innocent until proven guilty so the mere fact that an arrest warrant was issued is not evidence of anything. The – if he, in his depo, said I can't go to – you can have this back – if he said I can't go to the United States because there's a bench warrant, I'm going to allow that. Once again, that should have been the subject of a motion in limine eight months ago. In any event, I think that covers that issue.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. ALBREGTS: Just so I'm clear, you're taking judicial notice of the bench warrant and with respect to the depo testimony being read in?

MR. SEMENZA: No.

THE COURT: I'm not taking judicial notice -

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay. I thought you --

THE COURT: -- of the bench warrant.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- were taking -

THE COURT: I will allow and we haven't even gotten to the specific

MR. SEMENZA: Correct.

THE COURT: -- but if he says I can't, I didn't, I won't go to the United States because there's a bench warrant and you want to bring that in, I will allow that.

MR. ALBREGTS: Well, I guess I'll reserve my opening and whatnot but I would – I do definitely want to be able to close, closing argument based on his testimony that that's why he wasn't here. It wasn't because of his disregard for or disrespect for our judicial system or this process.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow that.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

MR. SEMENZA: Just so I'm clear, Your Honor, Mr. Albregts would be allowed to identify, based upon the depo transcript, if there's a reference in the depo transcript, that he's not here today because of the warrant.

THE COURT: I don't know what the wording is. I suppose I'll have to – MR. SEMENZA: Because I don't know that there's a reference in the depotranscript.

THE COURT: Well, we'll wait and see.

MR. ALBREGTS: There's a couple of references.

THE COURT: We'll wait and see.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: I assume that'll be the subject when we go to -

MR. ALBREGTS: The other issue, Your Honor, on cross-examination, if he's going to have these witnesses, I mean, I'm entitled to ask them, if he's going to put all these late-disclosed witnesses on, did you turn the matter over to the District Attorney's office. You know, this is a big issue.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't understand how that's all relevant for purposes of the case.

MR. ALBREGTS: Well, it was also an issue in Telfani's [phonetic] case, not that you want to hear about any other case, but apparently based on some experience there, they feel that that's something they don't want to happen here. But I've asked every one of their witnesses, did you turn it over to the District Attorney's why, and it goes right to the heart of the matter here that they claim that these are negotiable instruments and checks. And I have other questions and strategy that I really don't want to prefer to give away. I've not taken depositions, but it goes to the heart of that case and if they feel strongly enough that they refer to the District Attorney's office, then I should be able to explore that here with respect it's on the basis that they believe it's a negotiable instrument, a check.

MR. SEMENZA: Well you can, I mean, I don't know that any of the witnesses are going to be testifying necessarily to whether, I mean, to me that's a matter of law as to whether it's a check or negotiable instrument or something else, so how the Wynn submits a claim to the District Attorney or paperwork to a District Attorney for criminal prosecution based on the bad checks statute has any bearing at all.

THE COURT: Doesn't it go to bias or anything like that. They – this isn't a normal type action –

MR. SEMENZA: Right.

THE COURT: -- where in a theft or whatever, they submit it to the D.A. This is – we are one of the only states that allows the Wynn to submit this to the D.A. for prosecution. And that would bring up prejudice, bias, et cetera. You're saying, you – somehow he should be precluded from even inquiring in that.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't understand how that would raise an issue of bias or

 MR. SEMENZA: Oh, I appreciate that. The issue is essentially this that the Court has determined based upon Motion in Limine Number 3. And as you recall, the Court denied our motion in limine based upon the representations that this case would not be a forgery case. A defense of forgery would not be put forward. So Mr. La Barbera, in this case, is not asserting that the signatures are forged because if he was, it would be his burden to establish that by clear and convincing evidence. In the order –

THE COURT: How?

MR. SEMENZA: Pardon me?

THE COURT: How?

MR. SEMENZA: Because that's the burden as I understand it. That's – that's the –

THE COURT: No, you have the burden to show that he signed these.

MR. SEMENZA: No, no, no. And that's a different issue, Your Honor. If he's asserting the affirmative defense of forgery, then he has the affirmative burden to establish that there was a forgery by clear and convincing evidence. That's my understanding. The issue as far as it —

THE COURT: Based on what law?

MR. SEMENZA: I don't have it front of me, Your Honor. But that's a separate issue from authenticity and genuineness which I acknowledge is our burden. So the Court has already determined that the forgery defense is out. So the issues in this case —

THE COURT: When – when, I don't – that was a motion in limine that –

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, Your Honor, I've got a copy if you'd like one.

MR. ALBREGTS: No, no, no. This is the whole issue, Your Honor, very -

MR. SEMENZA: Hold on, hold on. Let me finish.

MR. ALBREGTS: You interrupt me all the time.

MR. SEMENZA: Well I was making an argument.

MR. ALBREGTS: If I - this will make it very -

THE COURT: Let him make – let him finish. Where's Motion in Limine Number 3, because –

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor, may I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

MR. ALBREGTS: But the whole thing is based upon this one statement in his deposition, Your Honor, that I argue at the motion in limine. And his answer was I don't whether this has been forged, but one thing is certain is that this is not my signature. So we're not arguing an affirmative defense of forgery, we're just reading his deposition testimony to the jury where he denies his signature on each one of the markers. That's —

MR. SEMENZA: Well -

MR. ALBREGTS: -- all that issue's about.

MR. SEMENZA: And, Your Honor, if I can go on. So the order says: it is hereby ordered to judge and decree the plaintiff's Motion in Limine Number 3 to exclude any evidence or argument regarding any alleged forgery is denied as moot. Because Defendant is not going to argue that the signatures on the casino markers are forged and additionally Defendant will not use the word forgery at trial. It is plaintiff's burden at trial to show that the casino markers at issue in this case are authentic and genuine.

Understand. And that's what the Court basically said. So the confusion

or the uncertainty is my mind – in my mind is what are the parameters, what is the scope of the issues concerning authentic – authentication and establishing the genuineness of the documents. Because what Mr. La Barbera has done with regard to the markers, obviously our position is these are the markers and Mr. La Barbera signed them. In Mr. La Barbera's responses to the request for admission, he essentially says these signatures appear to be mine. I'm paraphrasing.

So we have an acknowledgment from him that they appear to be his signature. During his deposition, in part, he says, well, I don't really remember and there is some testimony that is contradictory to his responses in the request for admission. Well as the Court is aware, and I've got that case law here – pardon me, one, just one moment. There it is. There's case law that basically says you can't contradict your own prior testimony essentially to create an issue of fact in the case. And let me cite to that. It's the *Burrell versus Star Nursery, Inc.* case, 170 Fed 3d 951 9th Circuit, 1999. It's in our trial brief which we have provided a copy of which to Mr. Albregts. It says: portions of a nonmoving party's affidavit filed in opposition to summary judgment should be disregarded because they directly contradicted the nonmoving party's earlier deposition testimony and thereby sought to create a sham issue of fact.

Well that's the issue in this case. He's acknowledged through his request for admission that they – the markers appear to bare his signature. Then subsequently in his deposition, he says, well, I don't really know, they're not my signature. He can't do that. And so for purposes of the trial, I don't know what exactly we are required to present as far as the authenticity or genuineness because there is no dispute. There is no material dispute as to the facts based upon Mr. La Barbera's request for admission and testimony.

MR. ALBREGTS: That's not true, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait a second. Wait, go on.

MR. SEMENZA: And Your Honor, and so the point -

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SEMENZA: Just briefly and I'll wrap it up.

THE COURT: You're just repeating yourself. Go on.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. So the issue is, obviously, Your Honor, that that is not an issue of fact, that's an issue of admissibility. Okay, so if the Court allows this information to come in based upon foundation, then there are no issues of fact in this particular case. And the Court is required, then, to render judgment in favor of the Wynn.

MR. ALBREGTS: Meaning why have a trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I get it. Go.

MR. ALBREGTS: Your Honor, if you'll recall, we submitted competing orders for that. I wouldn't sign off from the order they submitted because it's not true or accurate that I said I wouldn't use the word forgery and the reason that's not true or accurate, Your Honor, is because it would have prevented me from reading the following testimony into evidence, page 43, lines 2 through 23. Mr. Semenza's question: again, so I'm clear, and Jeff can object, is the issue with regard to the signature that it does not look like your signature? Or is it your position that this is a forgery?

ANSWER: I don't know whether this has been forged. But one thing is certain that this is not my signature.

Meaning that you did not sign this document?

ANSWER: It's not my signature.

4

5

6

7

•

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

And, again, I think the problem we're having as far as a distinction between whether it looks like your signature or whether you actually signed it.

ANSWER: Once again, this is not my signature.

And it goes on and on. He was emphatic, Your Honor. This is our whole case. And that's, what you just heard was another summary judgment argument and he's known about this for quite a long time. And to do this while the jury is waiting in the hallway seems somewhat inappropriate, disingenuous to me because I also provided these objections, excuse me, deposition transcripts in addition to my objections filed way back in May. So that – that, you know, basically we wouldn't – I wouldn't be able to read any of his deposition testimony into evidence that would be relevant to our defense in this case, Your Honor. That's all.

THE COURT: All right, anything else, Mr. Semenza?

MR. ALBREGTS: No. No, sir. No, sir, Your Honor.

MR. SEMENZA: And just briefly, Your Honor, I mean Rule 36 is mandatory. If the requests are admitted, then they're admitted conclusively for purposes of the case.

MR. ALBREGTS: That's an issue of credibility.

THE COURT: And there's also a case that if they're substantiative, they can be thrown out.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

Judy, I need you to pull the video of the Motion in Limine Number 3.

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor, we do have a copy of the transcript.

THE COURT: You have a copy of the transcript?

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

are here.

23

24

25

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

THE CLERK: It's just a copier problem --

MR. ALBREGTS: Okay.

THE CLERK: -- or something.

MR. ALBREGTS: When you say questionnaire, Your Honor, you want us to look at the info – bio information and stuff.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Assuming you want to. And you remember what I said about I'll go through all of them and talk about their --

[Proceeding recessed at 10:00 a.m.]

[Proceeding resumed at 10:20 am.]

THE COURT: For you guys, we're going to start tomorrow at noon.

THE CLERK: Noon?

THE COURT: We'll ask them to have lunch early and we'll start at noon.

All right. Regarding the Motion in Limine 3, I've read the transcript and I think I was pretty clear. Although Mr. Albregts did say he's not going to mention forgery, I was very clear that it is the plaintiff's burden to show who signed the instrument. That he was, the Defendants are not, if you will, waiving that they do not agree or know who signed the instrument. And when you're presenting, you have a contract, whatever, you need to show that he signed it. He makes the argument, and I can quote from that, if I can find it again, but I clearly stated that although he's not going to use the words forgery —

MR. ALBREGTS: Other than -

THE COURT: -- that we don't know that's his signature for certain. That's a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quote from Mr. Albregts on page 21. And then I went on to say that it's – it doesn't and then there's dot, dot, dot and then I don't know what: they aren't admitted that Mr. Barbera [sic] actually signed them. That's the Wynn's burden of proof to prove that in fact the signatures are Mr. Barbera's [sic]. They're business records that are kept in the ordinary course. Who signed them is Wynn's burden.

Okay. So I think that the actual order is adequate that says that it's denied, but he's not going to use the word forgery and I certainly understand that –

MR. ALBREGTS: Other than reading it from a deposition, Your Honor, that's my only caveat. I'm sorry for interrupting.

THE COURT: Well I don't know if he - he says forgery. Here's -

MR. ALBREGTS: Oh, my client says he wouldn't say it was forged. That's what I just read to you a little --

THE COURT: Okay. Well.

MR. ALBREGTS: -- while ago. So.

THE COURT: So. That is clearly -

MR. ALBREGTS: But the word was used in his deposition is my point, Your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: Well I'm not going to preclude him from saying it's not – he can't say it's his signature. Somebody coming in to say, yeah, I was a pit boss, he signed these, is always important, crucial, when they're at issue. And he clearly has made them at issue.

Okay, we ready?

MR. ALBREGTS: Yes, sir.

MR. SEMENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Bring them in.

23

24

25

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.

All rise for the jury.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Oh, come on, that's pathetic.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. All right, have to make sure you're awake. I understand that it's, you know, Monday morning, but. Good morning, my name is Ron Israel. I am the District Court Judge in Department 28 which is where you are, the Eighth Judicial District Court. I welcome you this morning. We are selecting a jury for a civil case. It is expected to last three or four days, correct?

MR. ALBREGTS: Yes, sir.

MR. SEMENZA: I think three days, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Three days. All right. Just in case it goes a little longer, three to four that makes it safe.

Go ahead and swear the jury in.

THE CLERK: Please rise.

THE COURT: The venire, sorry.

[Court Clerk swears in the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Go ahead and call the roll.

[Court Clerk calls the roll]

THE COURT: Thank you. Ultimately ten of you will be sworn in as jurors.

And a lot of times, you say – may be saying, well, ten, I always thought on a jury, it was a dozen. And that would be true if this was a criminal case. It would probably be a dozen plus some alternates. In this case, we, in Nevada, have eight people on

6

8

9

10 11

13

14

12

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

civil jury trials. We will have two alternates, just in case. So that's where the ten comes in. Before we get further along, I need to ask all of you two questions. And so if any of you need to answer, raise your hand.

First of all, are all of you United States citizens? If there's anybody who is not a United States citizen, please raise your hand. Okay, thank you.

And the second question is if any of you have been convicted of a crime and not had your rights to serve as a juror restored to you, please raise your hand. Is anyone not able to serve on a jury? I don't see any hands.

So the case is expected to be done in three days. And we're obviously hopeful. If it goes four, that would, you know, be a stretch. But it's certainly less than a week so we hope to have you out of here by Wednesday. I want to thank all of you for being here and serving as jurors. I know it's difficult. I know it's inconvenient. I know you're taking time out of your normal schedule. And I know you're also thinking of how you can get out of serving. I was in the Jury Service room approx - about six months ago because I was called. There is no party, there is no population that's excluded from jury service. Doctors, lawyers, judges, and even Supreme Court Justices have served on juries. And so I was down there listening while all of you were thinking of ways to get off of jury service. So I understand that and I do sympathize. It's never easy to take time out your normal schedule. We're all creatures of habit. We all want to do the same thing we do every day, whatever that might be. However, if I ask any of you if you supported our troops overseas, or for that matter, our troops who are in uniform here. I'm sure you would all say how important it is and how you appreciate their service. Well, whether you think it about it or not, they are in the third branch of government. They are in the executive branch, part of the powers of the presidency. They serve.

2 C 3 A 4 C 5 A

The – there is the legislative branch and if you're remembering back from your civics, hopefully you had that in junior high or high school, this is the judicial branch. And you, if you're chosen, and even by being here, you're actually serving the community in the judicial branch of government. And it's very important. If I asked any of you if you thought our system of government was a good one, I'm sure you'd all raise your hands. I don't know of any better system of government in the world. Maybe I'm biased and that's potentially true, but you can, I'm sure you know that, you know, people love to come here and visit and live because we do have a great system.

One of the obligations you have as United States citizens, and there's really only two if you think about. One is to vote and you'll have that opportunity tomorrow, and the other is serving on a jury. Whether it's a criminal case or a civil case, they are both important. If you had a civil dispute, you'd want people who are a cross section of the community. You don't just want necessarily retired individuals who have the ability, if you will, to take the time. You don't necessarily just want unemployed individuals. You want an entire cross section. And that means people, doctors, lawyers, Indian chiefs, whatever it might be, serving on the panel. People from all walks of life. And that means making a sacrifice. And I realize I'm asking you to make this sacrifice. You are privileged that it's only going to be three days. And by the way, tomorrow you get the morning off, both to go vote and because of our calendar. So it's really a really short trial.

So having said that and having hopefully instilled in you the obligation to serve, I'm going to ask all of you and we'll go down the row starting in the back row back here and you need to get the mic. If you don't have the mic or if you can speak up loud enough, you don't need the mic. We pass it around because all too

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

often people are shy. But I'm going to ask is there any reason you cannot serve for the next three days as a juror. Starting in the back row, raise your hand if you cannot serve. I'll need your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: My name is Ramon Contreras. My badge number is zero twelve. And I'm self-employed. I'm the only, you know, one working in my household and I have to work every day to bring my income home. Otherwise, you know, I will not make it.

THE COURT: What do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: Landscape maintenance. So I have customers every day that I have to go to. If I don't go, like today I'm not going, they're going to start calling my house and asking where are you and all that stuff. So that's —

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 012: -- the only reason.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anybody else in the last row?

Front row, raise your hand. Front row. Right in front of you. Go ahead. Name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Susana Barrett, 030. What I do for a living, Your Honor, is I'm a certified lymphatic therapist. And I have a lot of – I had to cancel nine patients today. And three days of not getting therapy, it's going to be really, really bad for them.

THE COURT: Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: I have my own private practice. And whatever overflow – there's only one lymphatic clinic here in town. And if – they're

21

22

23

24

25

booked like four weeks out and these patients have huge, you know, chronic swelling on their heads and their breasts –

THE COURT: There's only one clinic regarding -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Yes. And then they're overflow -

THE COURT: Regarding what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: Lymphedema treatment. It's postoperative after they get surgery done or even just maintenance yearly.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 030: And sixty percent of my clients, Your Honor, is our breast cancer patients.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the front row.

Front row back here, raise your hand.

Back row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 055: Elizabeth Templeton. Badge 055. I got my first summons on – to appear for April 12th. My husband and I have an accounting firm so I had to reschedule so today's my reschedule. But I have – my mom's having eye surgery on Wednesday. She lives in Dallas. So I had made plans to be there for her for that. So that's my conflict.

THE COURT: You have tickets?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 055: Yes.

THE COURT: Bring them? Did you bring your tickets to show us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 055: I don't have my actual tickets. I have the reservation, the printout with the confirmation number and that type of thing so I do have that.

THE COURT: Okay.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Who else in the back row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 049: Dianne Brelo, badge 049. On Wednesday, my husband's a disabled veteran, and on Wednesday he has a visitation for Agent Orange that we planned months ago because of his health. And then on Thursday, I have a mediation with NERC pertaining to my employer.

THE COURT: We won't be going – we'll be done before Thursday. But when is this appointment for –

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 049: Ten o'clock Wednesday morning.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Anybody else? There was another hand, I think.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 048: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Robert Sanders, badge 048. I'm a territory manager for Danaher Corporation, the sole representative for the southern Nevada region. By not – by serving here today, my wife works evenings, she would be no longer going to work during that period. I don't have anyone to watch the children and it would cause financial distress. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Anybody else in the back row? Okay, Counsel, approach.

[Bench Conference begins at 10:40 a.m.]

THE COURT: Okay, the first one I had was Ramon Contreras.

MR. SEMENZA: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: What do you want to do?

MR. ALBREGTS: Defer to you guys.

MR. SEMENZA: I don't have a strong opinion for him. I mean, just because he's self-employed.

THE COURT: And then Dianna Brelo. She had a good excuse.

number 4.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And Wes

And Wendee Lowe, badge number 038, you'll be in seat number 14. Four is against the wall and 14 is in the front row.

I think - are you Julie?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Yes.

THE CLERK: You'll need to go back behind you.

THE COURT: In back.

MR. ALBREGTS: I'm sorry, who's the second one, Kathy?

THE CLERK: Wendee Lowe.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you. Thank you very much.

THE CLERK: Badge number 038.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Where you sit is important because it helps us keep track.

So, ladies and gentlemen, the attorneys are going to introduce themselves and their clients. By the way, the case is entitled Wynn Las Vegas versus Mario Barbera [sic]. And so the attorneys are going to introduce themselves and the members of their firm. They're going to introduce the names of the witnesses and [coughs], excuse me, I'm getting over a cold. So the more I talk, the more I'll be hacking, but I have to be here just like you do. In any event, so I need you to listen to the names of the potential witnesses because afterwards I'm going to ask you whether you know any of the attorneys, whether you know any of the parties, or whether you know any of the witnesses. And I'll ask you as a group so if you do, sometimes it, you know, sometimes depending on the type of the case, you may know somebody. So I will do that. Along with that, the parties will briefly explain what this case is about.

 Plaintiff.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, everyone. My name is L.J. Semenza. I represent the Wynn Las Vegas in this particular matter. At counsel table with me is Jarrod Rickard, who's a partner in my firm, as well as our client representative. Her name is Jennifer Squatritto. And behind her is my other partner, Chris Kircher. The witnesses in this particular case will likely be an individual by the name of Sandy Mele. An individual by the name of Barbara Conway. An individual by the name of Wail Nafei. An individual by the name of Zuleima Shute. A person by the name of Scott Chang. And an individual by the name of Michael Gros. All of those individuals are currently employed by the Wynn, with the exception of Ms. Mele.

And just to give you a brief sense of what this case is about, it is the Wynn's allegations that Mr. La Barbera, the Defendant in this particular case, obtained credit at the Wynn in 2008 and failed to repay a gambling debt. And that gambling debt is in the amount of one million dollars. So with that, that's what this case is about. Those are the witnesses and thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Before you do – just so they remember, does anybody, raise your hand if you recognize any of the attorneys involved in this case so far. I don't see any hands.

Does anybody recognize the witnesses that will be called, potentially called, potential witnesses' names? I don't see any.

Does anybody work for the Wynn or any – or what's the other property? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Encore.

THE COURT: Anybody have any family members that work for the Wynn.

Okay, you'll –

a friend that works for Encore, and --

THE MARSHAL: Can you start all over, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: The Court Recorder can't hear you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I actually have a friend that works at the – or had –

THE MARSHAL: Name and badge number first.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Oh, sorry. John Empaces, 027.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Okay, I actually have a friend that either works or used to work and just recently I think quit the Encore as well. Just wanted to point that out. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Tom Leary, 026. I have a business associate with – associated with both of those hotels.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. You have a business associate or an association?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: No, associate. Business associates.

THE COURT: Okay, explain please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: I know several of the chefs at both the properties. My business partner and associate does business with both those properties in gambling.

THE COURT: Do you think that would prevent you from being fair and impartial to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Potentially.

THE COURT: And that's Mr. Leary, badge 026?

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Correct.

THE COURT: You don't think you could be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: I don't know what the case is about.

THE COURT: Okay. And the same goes for Mr. Empaces. Am I saying that correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Empaces. Close enough.

THE COURT: 027. Could you be a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Again, I don't – I don't know what the jury is, I mean, the case --

THE COURT: You don't even know --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: -- is really about.

THE COURT: -- what the case is about. I understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right.

You making --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: I know there's money involved.

THE COURT: -- note of these so you can inquire further, certainly.

Okay, go ahead and introduce yourself.

MR. ALBREGTS: Yes, sir.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Jeff Albregts. I'm solo, not with a firm right now. And I'm representing Defendant Mario La Barbera. Mr. La Barbera is a citizen of Italy, specifically Palermo, Sicily, Italy and he will not be present during the trial for reasons you may learn later, I hope. Thank you for your time coming down for jury duty. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Thank you. Any of you recognize the Defendant's attorney in

this case? I don't see any hands.

Any of you recognize the name of the Defendant in this case? I don't see any hands.

Okay, so as I told you already we're going to be starting late tomorrow for two reasons. The most important of which, it'll allow you to go vote. But for scheduling, it works out. So what we're going to do is we're going to have you come in at noon and that means you get to — or you should go and have an early lunch.

I'm going to now ask the individuals in the box, and as you can see, it doesn't mean that you're any less important. We will be pulling you and putting you into the box as the case progresses. However, at this point, in order to speed things along, the parties are, along with myself, are going to concentrate on asking questions of the individuals in the box. The parties get, and you may be asking, well, why are there 20. There's eight jurors, two alternates and the parties get five peremptory challenges. So that comes to 20. So we're going to concentrate on asking you questions. And you should have all seen the video which is very good and explains to you about the voir dire process and the questioning. It's not meant to offend you but we do need to inquire as to biases or prejudice and we've already started that process and we'll get into it further during the questioning.

Right now, I'm going to go down the line and ask you some simple questions, background questions. By the time we get to the last person, I guarantee you will have all those questions memorized. First of all, you need your name, your badge number, what you do for a living, where you're employed, if you have a significant other, where they work, what they do for a living. And have you ever served on a juror [sic]? If you served on a juror [sic], I'm going to have some follow up questions and that is whether it was civil or criminal, did you reach a verdict and

25

were you the foreperson.

So I'm sure by the end, you'll have all those, but let's start off with you and your badge number – or you're number one in the spot. So name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Richter, 003.

THE COURT: Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: I work at a store called Popcorn Girl.

And I work there and Green Valley Christian church – Christian Center.

THE COURT: And what do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: At Popcorn Girl, I make the popcorn and at Green Valley Christian Center, we have a program where for the parents and I do the childcare for it where we teach the kids lessons.

THE COURT: Do you have a significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No.

THE COURT: Next. That's how easy it is.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: Hardy, 005. I'm a homemaker. My husband, Joe Hardy, is a State Senator and also a physician at Touro University.

THE COURT: Ever served on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 005: No.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Last name, Ruiz, badge number 006.

THE COURT: What do you do for a living?

MR. ALBREGTS: Jessica Espitia.

25

THE COURT: And were you the foreperson? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 038: No. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Hi, badge number 29, Maureen Daly. I'm currently employed with the Department of Veterans Affairs as a CT Technologist. My husband's retired. He was a police officer with the New York City Police Department. I've never served on a jury. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: John Empaces, badge number 027. I work as a mobile senior IT consultant for Serco Inc. located in Reston, Virginia. I have a wife and I have never served on a jury. THE COURT: What does - does she work in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: No, she's actually a homemaker at PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Tom Leary, 026. I work for Compass Group Food and Beverage. My wife works for the U.S. Chamber. I have [Bench Conference begins at 11:06 a.m.] THE COURT: I, you know, Jessica Esp - whatever, 024, is there a second day on the job.

23

24

25

MR. ALBREGTS: No so many words.

He seems biased to me.

THE COURT: He – all right, we'll – we'll let you inquire further but certainly he's indicated some preliminary problems. Okay, thank you.

MR. ALBREGTS: Thank you, sir.

[Bench conference ends at 11:07 a.m.]

THE COURT: Ms. - maybe, Ms. Espitia?

THE CLERK: Badge 024.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Badge 024, am I saying that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yeah.

THE COURT: Does she have the - give her the -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yeah.

THE COURT: This is your second day on the job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yes.

THE COURT: And I'm somewhat obviously sympathetic that you – this is your first job, did you say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah. How does your employer feel about this? Have they indicated whether, I mean, would you like to return to your job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Wal-Mart, my understanding, has a very liberal policy. They might even pay you while you're here. Some of the major companies do that, but given that this is your – both your first job and your second day on the job, I am concerned, if you will. Do you know what – what did they tell you when you said or,

you know, I have jury duty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 024: I didn't tell them anything. I totally forgot about jury duty until they – yeah. And I can call them, though, and let them know and let them know that I have jury duty.

THE COURT: All right. Both parties are sensitive to the circumstances so given the – given the nature of it, I'm going to thank you for being here and I'm going to excuse you. So either go down to the third floor or you need to – there's a way, I think it's on your to send them an email that you've been excused.

Call the next person.

THE CLERK: Okay. Dawn Bourgon, badge number 039, you'll be in seat number 9.

THE COURT: Does she have the - yeah. Name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Dawn Bourgon, 0039.

THE COURT: Okay. So the test is whether or not the people back here were actually paying attention. So same questions to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I work at Silver State Schools Credit Union as a Operations Manager. My husband works at the airport at the Department of Aviation as a IT Manager. And I have served jury duty, but when we came back the second day, they said it was all finalized though.

THE COURT: It was settled. Very good. You get an A.

Okay, plaintiff, you may inquire.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, do you have any preference as to whether I start with generic questions for the entire panel or individual questions.

THE COURT: Well, questions for the entire panel and if you need to follow

up, then you can ask individual for -MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- people who have --MR. SEMENZA: Good morn -THE COURT: -- answered. MR. SEMENZA: Good morning, again, everyone. What I'd like to do is just ask the generic - some generic questions of the panel and by show of hands if you answer any of the questions yes, I would appreciate that and then we can identify who those individuals are. Have any of you either worked for a bank or have family members that work for a bank? Your Honor, do you want the specific individuals identified? THE COURT: That's up to you, if you want to follow up with them. Other than that, no. MR. SEMENZA: Could you go ahead and re-raise your hands? Could I start with you and identify your name and badge number once again. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Tom Leary, 026. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Maureen Daly, 029. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: Dawn Bourgon, 039. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 017: Keisa Jenkins, 0017. MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 032: Anita Smith-Taylor, 032. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Julie Couture, 037. MR. SEMENZA: Thank you very much. THE COURT: Thank you.

23

24

25

Did you get - can you hear that?

THE COURT RECORDER: Clear.

THE COURT: Okay, as long as you can hear it.

So if you don't have the mic, then you do need to speak up because this is a recording, not a reporting department. Everything is audio visually recorded and so if the mic doesn't pick it up, unfortunately you can see that, well three mics are in the front row and they're kind of generic so you need to speak up if you do not have the mic. When we're asking you questions, we'll hand you the mic.

Go ahead.

MR. SEMENZA: And my next question is, I – I would imagine a great deal will raise their hands as to this question. How many of you have been to the Wynn or Encore before? Basically everyone in the box.

Have any of you had a negative experience at the Wynn or Encore at any point in time? Okay.

And that was Mr. Leary, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Do any of you have any feelings, positive or negative, towards the Wynn or Encore that would affect your ability to impartially sit on this particular jury? Thank you.

I believe Mr. Leary raised his hand and your name, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: John Empaces, 027.

MR. SEMENZA: Did you get that? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 027: John Empaces, 027.

MR. SEMENZA: I believe there was one other hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Julie Couture, 037.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SEMENZA: Okay, thank you.

Under the law, a company or an LLC, like Wynn, is entitled to be treated as the same as a private individual. Would any of you have any problem or difficulty accepting this principle in being a juror in this particular case? And for the record, no show of hands.

A more generic question is, do any of you have any negative attitudes, prejudices or ill feelings regarding gaming companies in general? Again, no one has raised their hands.

How many of you have ever been a party to a lawsuit? Either you brought the lawsuit or have been sued at some point? Okay. And could I have them identify themselves, Mr. Marshal.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Start with me. Julie Couture, 037.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 017: Keisa Jenkins, 017.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: Carlos Saucedo, 033.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Lori Reizian-Terwilleger, 023.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. Anyone else?

THE COURT: One more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: 036, Sheila Lucatelli.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And expanding that question out a little bit. Have any of you had family members that were parties to lawsuits? Either plaintiffs or defendants. Again, if we could have everyone identify themselves.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: Carlos Saucedo, 033.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 023: Lori Reizian-Terwilleger, 023.

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 017: Keisa Jenkins, 017.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 037: Julie Couture, 037.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 006: Janet Ruiz, 006.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: 003, Tyler Richter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 026: Tom Leary, 026.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 029: Maureen Daly, 029.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

Do any of you have any negative attitudes, biases or prejudices against Italians, generally speaking? And for the record, no one raised their hands.

Do any of you have any negative viewpoints, opinions, prejudices or biases against attorneys? Luckily, no one raised their hands.

Have any of you had, either individually or a family member, borrowed any money on credit from a casino at any point in time? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: 033, Tyler Richter.

MR. SEMENZA: Do any of you not believe that individuals who borrow money – well, let me rephrase that. Do any of you believe that individuals who borrow money don't need to repay that money? Okay. For the record, no one raised their hands.

Have you or a family member or close friend ever taken out a marker at a casino at any point in time? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 033: Carlos Saucedo, 033.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

Have any of you – have you, have any of you ever gambled over \$500 at one particular session or period of time? Okay. Quite a few of you. I don't need to know specifics on who those individuals were that raised their hands unless,

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SEMENZA: Have either you or your spouse ever declared personal bankruptcy? That's okay. I don't need unless Mr. Albregts, you want me to specifically –

MR. ALBREGTS: No, thank you.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you.

Just a moment, Your Honor. Your Honor, I think those are all the questions I have as far as the panel is concerned. I'm going to go ahead and move on to the individual questions with —

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SEMENZA: -- Court's indulgence.

Let's go ahead and start with Mr. Richter please. So I understand you work at Popcorn Girl.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes. Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: And how long have you been working there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: About seven months.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And do you enjoy your job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Okay. And then you also are working for a church as I understood it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Can you tell us a little bit about that please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: It's a – it's a program that we go do every Friday night. The parent – it's for the parents so the parents go and do something and then, like, they have, like, their lesson and I work with the kids. We

Docket No. 71276

In The

SUPREME COURT

For The

STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Apr 03 2017 04:40 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

MARIO LABARBERA

Appellant.

v.

WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, D/B/A WYNN LAS VEGAS,

Respondent.

Appeal from Judgment on Jury Verdict, Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, County of Clark District Court Case No. A-14-695025-C – Hon. Ronald J. Israel

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME III OF VI, Pages 393 to 580

JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, ESQ. (66) JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, LLC 701 Shadow Lane, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Telephone: 702/483-5026 Faccimile: 702/485 2343

Facsimile: 702/485-2343 Attorney for Appellant

LAWRENCE J. SEMENZA III, ESQ. (7174) CHRISTOPHER D. KIRCHER, ESQ. (11176) JARROD L. RICKARD, ESQ. (10203) 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone (702) 835-6803 (702) 920-8669 (Fax) Attorneys for Respondent

APPELLANT'S APPENDEX

VOLUME I OF VI_ - Pages 1 to 195 _

<u>Description</u>	Page
Civil Cover Sheet Complaint filed January 24, 2014	1 2
Summons Issued February 24, 2014; filed April 7, 2014	7
Answer Filed September 16, 2014	9
Summons/proof of service and related documents Filed December 19, 2014, served July 24, 2014	17
Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or For Summary Judgment Filed September 11, 2015	36
Stipulation and Order (re: Voluntary Dismissal of Claims) Filed October 15, 2015	42
Defendant's (sic) Motion in Limine [#1] Filed by Plaintiff January 29, 20156 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	45 55 57 66
Defendant's (sic) Motion in Limine [#2] Filed by Plaintiff January 29, 20156 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	71 81 83 92
Defendant's (sic) Motion in Limine [#2] Filed by Plaintiff January 29, 20156 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	105 114 116 128
Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Third Supplemental Disc. Filed February 17, 2016	168
Defendant's Objections to (Wynn's) Motions in Limine [#1, #2, #3] Filed February 19, 2016 Exhibit A Exhibit B Exhibit C Exhibit D	171 182 184 189 192
Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Third Supplemental Disc. And Pretrial Disclosures Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 (Filed 2/17/16)	193

VOLUME II OF VI_ - Pages 196 to 392

Flaintiff's Reply In Support of Its Motions in Limine [#1, #2, #3] Filed February 26, 2016 Exhibit 1	196 207
Transcript of Proceedings (re: Hearing on Motions in Limine) Filed March 17, 2016	235
Defendant's Motion to Allow Testimony at Trial From Italy (via video conference/interpreter) Filed March 18, 2016 Exhibit A	261 268
Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Allow Testimony at Trial Filed March 28, 2016	270
Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Fourth Supp. Disclosures Pursuant to 16.1 And Pretrial Disclosures Filed March 25, 2016 Exhibit A	279 283
Defendant's Pretrial Memorandum Filed March 25, 2016	289
Defendant's Supplemental Pretrial Memorandum Filed April 5, 2016 Exhibit A	298 301
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Allow Testimony at Trial By Video Conference/Interpreter, and Notice of Entry thereof Filed April 18, 2016 and April 19, 2016	306
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion in Limine [#1] and Notice of Entry Filed April 28, 2016 and April 29, 2016	310
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion in Limine [#3] and Notice of Entry Filed April 28, 2016 and April 29, 2016	314
Order Setting Firm Civil Jury Trial Filed May 10, 2016	318
Order (pretrial Order re: Jury Instructions) Filed May 19, 2016	321
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion in Limine [#2] and Notice of Entry Filed May 19, 2016 and May 24, 2016	323
Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Second Pretrial Memorandum and Designation of his Deposition Transcript Testimony for Trial Filed May 31, 2016 Exhibit A	327 332

Defendant's proposed Jury Instructions Filed June 13, 2016	367
Trial Transcript, Day 1, June 13, 2016 Filed December 21, 2016	373
VOLUME III OF VI Pages 393 to 580	313
Trial Transcript, Day 2, June 14, 2016 Filed December 21, 2016	581
VOLUME IV OF VI Pages 581 to 773	
Jury Instructions Filed June 15, 2016	774
VOLUME V OF VI Pages 774 to 966	
Verdict Form Filed June 15, 2016	816
Trial Transcript, Day 3, June 15, 2016 Filed December 21, 2016	817
VOLUME VI OF VI Pages 967 to 1077	
Judgment on Jury Verdict and Notice of Entry Filed August 3, 2016 and August 10, 2016	1013
Plaintiff's Memorandum of Costs Filed August 10, 2016	1017
Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Interest Partial exhibits only to avoid duplication Filed August 23, 2016 Exhibit 1 (Dec. of LJ Semenza) Exhibit A	1023 1033 1042
Defendant's Objection and Motion to Retax to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Costs (no exhibits to avoid duplication) Filed August 17, 2016	1045
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Filed August 30, 2016	1049
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Objection and Motion to Retax Filed Sept. 6, 2016	1055
Notice of Appeal Filed Sept. 9, 2016	1062

Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Fees/Costs/Interest Filed Sept. 21, 2016	1064
Exhibit 1	1070
Consolidated Order Entering Final Judgment Against Defendant Filed December 19, 2016	1073