#### No. 71348

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF

Electronically Filed Oct 15 2018 01:10 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

EMILIA GARCIA, Appellant,

v.

# ANDREA AWERBACH, Respondent.

#### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME X, BATES NUMBERS 2251 TO 2500

D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8877
Jeremy R. Alberts, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10497
Marisa Rodriguez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13234
WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS,
GUNN & DIAL, LLC.
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
Telephone: (702) 938-3838
lroberts@wwhgd.com
jalberts@wwhgd.com
mrodriguez@wwhgd.com

Corey M. Eschweiler, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6635
Craig A. Henderson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10077
GLEN J. LERNER & ASSOCIATES
4795 South Durango Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
Telephone: (702) 877-1500
ceschweiler@glenlerner.com
chenderson@glenlerner.com

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
I	22 – 28	Amended Complaint	01/14/2013
V	1031 – 1282	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial or, in the Alternative, for Additur	05/26/2016
V, VI	1304 – 1486	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/26/2016
I	1 – 6	Complaint	03/25/2011
III	642 – 646	Decision and Order Denying Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Relief from Final Court Order	04/27/2015
III	623 – 629	Decision and Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Andrea Awerbach's Answer; Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause; and Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Supplemental Reports	02/25/2015
I	164 – 165	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Correction to Her Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission	10/20/2014
III	630 – 641	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Relief from Final Court Order	03/13/2015
I	96 – 163	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Summary Judgment	11/08/2013
I	13 – 21	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Responses to Request for Admissions	06/05/2012
I	29 – 35	Defendants' Answer to Amended Complaint	02/07/2013
I	7 – 12	Defendants' Answer to Complaint	01/23/2012
I	36 – 60	Defendants' Second Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents and Tangible Items Produced at Early Case Conference	07/22/2013
I	61 – 95	Deposition of Andrea Awerbach [Vol. 1]	09/12/2013
I, II	166 – 391	Deposition of Andrea Awerbach [Vol. 2]	10/24/2014

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
XXVI, XXVII	6441 – 6942	Deposition of Jared Awerbach	
III	581 – 616	Deposition of Teresa Meraz	01/08/2015
IV	948 – 997	Jury Instructions	03/08/2016
IV	998 – 1000	Jury Verdict	03/10/2016
VI, VII	1499 – 1502	Minute Order	08/22/2016
VII	1513 – 1554	Notice of Appeal	09/19/2017
III	647 – 649	Notice of Department Reassignment	08/27/2015
VII	1508 – 1512	Notice of Entry of Judgment Upon the Verdict	08/21/2017
III	617 – 622	Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, In Part, Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that Defendant Jared Awerbach was Per Se Impaired Pursuant to NRS 484C.110(3); and Denying Defendant Jared Awerbach's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Punitive Damage Claims	01/28/2015
IV	946-947	Order Modifying Prior Order of Judge Allf	02/12/2016
VI	1487 – 1498	Order Re: Post –Trial Motions	08/12/2016
VII	1503 - 1507	Order Vacating Judgment as to Jared Awerbach only	08/21/2017
V	1001 – 1030	Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial or, in the Alternative, for Additur	05/26/2016
III, IV	650 – 900	Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify Defendant Jared Awerbach's Counsel Randall Tindall and Motion For Reassignment to Department 27 on Order Shortening Time and Request for Leave to File Extended Memorandum of Points and Authorities	09/08/2015
II, III	392 – 580	Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Answer	12/02/2014
V	1283 – 1303	Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/26/2016
IV	933 – 945	Plaintiff's Trial Brief Regarding	02/10/2016

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
		Permissive Use	
IV	901 – 932	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings	09/15/2015
VII, VIII	1555 – 1765	Trial Transcript – 02/08/2016	11/10/2017
VIII	1766 – 1996	Trial Transcript – 02/09/2016	11/10/2017
VIII, IX, X	1997 – 2290	Trial Transcript – 02/10/2016	11/10/2017
X	2291 – 2463	Trial Transcript – 02/11/2016	11/10/2017
X, XI	2464 – 2698	Trial Transcript – 02/12/2016	11/10/2017
XI, XII	2699 – 2924	Trial Transcript – 02/16/2016	11/10/2017
XII, XIII	2925 – 3177	Trial Transcript – 02/17/2016	11/10/2017
XIII, XIV	3178 – 3439	Trial Transcript – 02/18/2016	11/10/2017
XIV, XV	3440 – 3573	Trial Transcript – 02/19/2016	11/10/2017
XV, XVI	3574 – 3801	Trial Transcript – 02/22/2016	11/10/2017
XVI, XVII	3802 – 4038	Trial Transcript – 02/23/2016	11/10/2017
XVII, XVIII	4039 – 4346	Trial Transcript – 02/24/2016	11/10/2017
XVIII, XIX	4347 – 4586	Trial Transcript – 02/25/2016	11/10/2017
XIX, XX	4578 – 4819	Trial Transcript – 02/26/2016	11/10/2017
XX, XXI	4820 – 5045	Trial Transcript – 03/01/2016	11/10/2017
XXI, XXII	5046 – 5361	Trial Transcript – 03/02/2016	11/10/2017
XXII, XXIII	5362 – 5559	Trial Transcript – 03/03/2016	11/10/2017
XXIII, XXIV	5560 - 5802	Trial Transcript – 03/04/2016	11/10/2017

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
XXIV	5803 – 5977	Trial Transcript – 03/07/2016	11/10/2017
XXIV, XXV	5978 – 6203	Trial Transcript – 03/08/2016	08/23/2018
XXV, XXVI	6204 – 6422	Trial Transcript – 03/09/2016	08/23/2018
XXVI	6423 – 6440	Trial Transcript – 03/10/2016	08/23/2018

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
I	22 – 28	Amended Complaint	01/14/2013
V	1031 – 1282	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial or, in the Alternative, for Additur	05/26/2016
V, VI	1304 – 1486	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/26/2016
I	1 – 6	Complaint	03/25/2011
III	642 – 646	Decision and Order Denying Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Relief from Final Court Order	04/27/2015
III	623 – 629	Decision and Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Andrea Awerbach's Answer; Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause; and Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Supplemental Reports	02/25/2015
I	164 – 165	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Correction to Her Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission	10/20/2014
III	630 – 641	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Relief from Final Court Order	03/13/2015
I	96 – 163	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Motion for Summary Judgment	11/08/2013
I	13 – 21	Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Responses to Request for Admissions	06/05/2012
I	29 – 35	Defendants' Answer to Amended Complaint	02/07/2013
I	7 – 12	Defendants' Answer to Complaint	01/23/2012
I	36 – 60	Defendants' Second Supplement to List of Witnesses and Documents and Tangible Items Produced at Early Case Conference	07/22/2013
I	61 – 95	Deposition of Andrea Awerbach [Vol. 1]	09/12/2013
I, II	166 – 391	Deposition of Andrea Awerbach [Vol. 2]	10/24/2014

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
XXVI, XXVII	6441 – 6942	Deposition of Jared Awerbach	
III	581 – 616	Deposition of Teresa Meraz	01/08/2015
IV	948 – 997	Jury Instructions	03/08/2016
IV	998 – 1000	Jury Verdict	03/10/2016
VI, VII	1499 – 1502	Minute Order	08/22/2016
VII	1513 – 1554	Notice of Appeal	09/19/2017
III	647 – 649	Notice of Department Reassignment	08/27/2015
VII	1508 – 1512	Notice of Entry of Judgment Upon the Verdict	08/21/2017
III	617 – 622	Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, In Part, Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that Defendant Jared Awerbach was Per Se Impaired Pursuant to NRS 484C.110(3); and Denying Defendant Jared Awerbach's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Punitive Damage Claims	01/28/2015
IV	946-947	Order Modifying Prior Order of Judge Allf	02/12/2016
VI	1487 – 1498	Order Re: Post –Trial Motions	08/12/2016
VII	1503 - 1507	Order Vacating Judgment as to Jared Awerbach only	08/21/2017
V	1001 – 1030	Plaintiff's Motion for New Trial or, in the Alternative, for Additur	05/26/2016
III, IV	650 – 900	Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify Defendant Jared Awerbach's Counsel Randall Tindall and Motion For Reassignment to Department 27 on Order Shortening Time and Request for Leave to File Extended Memorandum of Points and Authorities	09/08/2015
II, III	392 – 580	Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant Andrea Awerbach's Answer	12/02/2014
V	1283 – 1303	Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/26/2016
IV	933 – 945	Plaintiff's Trial Brief Regarding	02/10/2016

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
		Permissive Use	
IV	901 – 932	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings	09/15/2015
VII, VIII	1555 – 1765	Trial Transcript – 02/08/2016	11/10/2017
VIII	1766 – 1996	Trial Transcript – 02/09/2016	11/10/2017
VIII, IX, X	1997 – 2290	Trial Transcript – 02/10/2016	11/10/2017
X	2291 – 2463	Trial Transcript – 02/11/2016	11/10/2017
X, XI	2464 – 2698	Trial Transcript – 02/12/2016	11/10/2017
XI, XII	2699 – 2924	Trial Transcript – 02/16/2016	11/10/2017
XII, XIII	2925 – 3177	Trial Transcript – 02/17/2016	11/10/2017
XIII, XIV	3178 – 3439	Trial Transcript – 02/18/2016	11/10/2017
XIV, XV	3440 – 3573	Trial Transcript – 02/19/2016	11/10/2017
XV, XVI	3574 – 3801	Trial Transcript – 02/22/2016	11/10/2017
XVI, XVII	3802 – 4038	Trial Transcript – 02/23/2016	11/10/2017
XVII, XVIII	4039 – 4346	Trial Transcript – 02/24/2016	11/10/2017
XVIII, XIX	4347 – 4586	Trial Transcript – 02/25/2016	11/10/2017
XIX, XX	4578 – 4819	Trial Transcript – 02/26/2016	11/10/2017
XX, XXI	4820 – 5045	Trial Transcript – 03/01/2016	11/10/2017
XXI, XXII	5046 – 5361	Trial Transcript – 03/02/2016	11/10/2017
XXII, XXIII	5362 – 5559	Trial Transcript – 03/03/2016	11/10/2017
XXIII, XXIV	5560 - 5802	Trial Transcript – 03/04/2016	11/10/2017

Vol	Page Numbers	Description	Date Filed
XXIV	5803 – 5977	Trial Transcript – 03/07/2016	11/10/2017
XXIV, XXV	5978 – 6203	Trial Transcript – 03/08/2016	08/23/2018
XXV, XXVI	6204 – 6422	Trial Transcript – 03/09/2016	08/23/2018
XXVI	6423 – 6440	Trial Transcript – 03/10/2016	08/23/2018

```
1
   of -- now, when you say "back," was it mid back, lower
2
   back?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Lower back.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And do you recall what
5
   injuries he sustained?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I'm not
7
   specifically -- we were just dating at the time, so I
   don't know exactly what it was. I know that he saw a
9
   chiropractor for several months afterwards.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: And then did -- did his
11
   condition heal after a while?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. He didn't -- did he have
14
   any -- if I was to say X-rays or MRIs --
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes, he did.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: -- did he have imaging studies
17
   done?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
18
19
             MR. MAZZEO: And do you know if that showed
20
   any injury to his spine, vertebrae, the disks or --
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I know that he
22
   had images done at the beginning, and then again toward
   the end of his treatment. So I don't know if there was
23
24
   an injury that showed up originally and then they were
   checking for it to be done. I don't -- it's -- it's
25
```

```
been at least 15 years. And I -- like I said, we were
1
   dating at the time. So I don't remember. I don't
2
3
   really.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and during the
   time that he had received the treatment for the time
   that he experienced the -- the back pain, did it affect
7
   his activities of daily life at all?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
                                               He was
9
   working as a pizza delivery driver at the time, and he
10
   couldn't carry as much.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: And was that limited? Did there
12
   come a time when he could go back to his regular
13
  activities?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: About how much time passed?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I'm not sure.
                                                        Ι
17
   mean, I know that initially it severely limited and
18
   that it got better over time until it was no longer a
19
   factor.
20
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Sure. Didn't need
```

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Sure. Didn't need treatment after a while?

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.

MR. MAZZEO: All right. Fine. And didn't complain to you, as far as you recall, about having — having any limitations or aches or pains from that

1	accident?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No.
3	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And and then you said
4	that you were in, I guess, couple too?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I've been in a
6	couple fender benders where nobody was injured.
7	MR. MAZZEO: Any property damage to your car?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: One, my car was
9	totaled.
10	MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: But it was a
12	2-mile-an-hour impact where I was stopped at a turn
13	signal and somebody backed into my car because she
14	didn't see it and completely crushed the front end.
15	MR. MAZZEO: And that totaled the car.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah. Geo Metros
17	do not survive 2-mile-an-hour crashes, especially when
18	you have a very large truck backing into you.
19	MR. MAZZEO: Or if you kick them, I guess.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Got great gas
21	mileage.
22	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. There you go. Positives
23	and negatives. Yeah. Okay.
24	And so and then your mom was involved
25	in in a serious accident.

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah. Well, it
2
   was before I was born, actually. She was in a very
3
   serious car accident that had her in the hospital for
 4
   several months in traction and leg braces and has
5
   caused lasting back problems and leg problems.
             MR. MAZZEO: Permanent problems for --
 6
7
   chronic problems that she's had.
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yeah. She has to
9
   wear special shoes.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: And that happened years ago?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: In the '60s.
11
12
   That long.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and the way the
   cars were built back in the '60s, I don't know if you
14
  remember, but --
15
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I wasn't there
17
   then.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: I was. And so they were built
19
   differently. They had these bench seats instead of
20
   buckets seats, and they didn't have the shoulder
21
   harness. They just had the seat belts, lap belt --
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: And whether or
   not she was wearing it even --
23
24
             MR. MAZZEO: Right. That's -- yeah that's
25
   true.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Wasn't required
2	back then so much. Yeah, I don't know what the
3	particular conditions were at the accident. I just
4	know that she was in a car accident, and the result was
5	pretty severe.
6	MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay. And anything about
7	those accidents that you're familiar with, that you
8	were involved in and or that you're familiar with
9	that would play any role in your ability to sit on a
10	case like this?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No, I don't think
12	so.
13	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And I meant to ask the
14	same question of Mr. Brandon, Mr. Jensen, Mr. Foerstel.
15	Anything about the accidents that you were
16	involved in or familiar with that might impact your
17	ability to sit on this case? Okay. Just wanted to
18	make sure. Thank you.
19	Moving on to Ms. Golden. I think you raised
20	your hand.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No, I didn't. I
22	just had fender benders. No injuries.
23	MR. MAZZEO: No injuries. Okay.
24	When you say "fender bender," how would you
25	characterize that? As in terms of property damage.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yeah, just mainly 2 it's been my daughter running into the garage door. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Literally her body running into 4 it. Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: One time she 6 pulled -- pulled away from the gas tank with without 7 pulling out the nozzle. That kind of property damage. So it hasn't really been major. 9 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. All right. Thank 10 you. 11 Mr. Cyganek, did you raise your hand 12 regarding that? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, I did. 14 December 21, 1997 -- Badge 106 -- I was involved in a 15 rollover accident. 16 MR. MAZZEO: A rollover? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yeah, rolled about 75 miles an hour. I wasn't driving. My wife 18 19 was. Only had two of the kids. The other four were at 20 home. We were coming back from Arizona to Vegas, and 21 at the time we flipped and rolled, we were in Kingman 22 in the two-lane highway doing 75, 80, you know, the 23 speed limit. By the time the truck stopped, we're 24 flipping facing back going towards Arizona. 25 So I was obviously in shock because I had my

1 seat belt on, and I don't know what window I went out of. But it totaled a \$40,000 vehicle that had 2 brand-new tires, everything on it, top of the line, you 3 name it. So from that day on, it made me realize, made 4 me slow down a little bit. Somebody upstairs was looking out for me. 6 7 So other than that, I broke my femur bone, my 8 pelvic bone. I have artificial hip replacement, 9 titanium plate, so -- but I get up every day. I think 10 it was five days later -- I was in traction right away, 11 flown in Flight For Life, didn't enjoy the helicopter 12 ride, me or my little son because we were probably in 13 shock or whatever. But they put me back together, and about five days later right after surgery, I'm up 14 15 walking the next day. High tolerance of pain. Don't 16 blame nobody because it was a single-car accident. 17 I -- I have to make my wife understand that 18 she did nothing wrong, but she was the only one that 19 was awake through the whole thing. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Wow. Okav. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: So other than 22 that, it's just -- I'm okay. I'm good with it. 23 MR. MAZZEO: So you -- you had your hip

What else did you say?

24

25

replaced.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: The pelvic, the 2 femur bone. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Femur? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. 5 MR. MAZZEO: Wow. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: So I don't know 7 where I went out, but obviously I was in shock because 8 when you go into something like that, your body 9 automatically goes into shock. 10 MR. MAZZEO: But you said the next day, you 11 were up and walking --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Five days later 13 because I was in traction. My boss called me, and I remember answering -- this is what they told me, Oh, 14 15 I'll be into work, I'll be a little bit late. So I'm 16 very dedicated to working and doing the right thing, 17 setting an example, whatever. 18 So with that said, I had hip surgery. 19 Wonderful doctor. He's in Boise, Idaho, Roman 20 Schwartsman and his father put together that -- you can 21 picture that thing that goes on the leg with the 22 needles, he invented that. But I learned from that, 23 that never had an injury -- you know, you roll your 24 ankle playing basketball, jumping high, trying to grab 25 the rim and dunk over somebody that's a foot taller

than you. But all that said and done, it made you realize, slow down a little bit.

And — and the biggest thing is always — is my wife, making her understand she did nothing wrong. Tire experts came out, checked out brand—new tires that didn't even have 1,000 miles on it. Everything done right. But there's just — they couldn't figure what caused the accident.

MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: So all I remember is waking up. And obviously, you're flipping and rolling, you're not — you know, you're waking up and it's like, Wow. I remember kind of grabbing the wheel because you're fishtailing, a big Suburban, 454 engine, every option on it, beautiful, you name it. And that's all I remember.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Well, thanks for sharing. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Absolutely.

MR. MAZZEO: How did -- now, the injuries that you did sustain from that, did that affect your activities of life in any way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Not at all, because somebody upstairs was looking out for me, and the rest of the family that was there. We had my youngest son and my fourth oldest son with us. He was

seven at the time. My youngest son was about three.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And like I said, just -- I was flown in 3 Flight For Life. That's funny because I was 43 years 4 old at the time, and the officer -- Arizona Highway Patrol was trying to talk to me, and I'm saying I'm 23. I live back in Griffith, Indiana, and, you know -- so 7 it was 20 years different. My wife's going, He's in shock. They were going to send out the ranger. The ranger did come out, send out the Flight For Life. My 10 wife and my youngest son went to Kingman, a medical 11 center. I'm sorry -- my next -- me and the youngest 12 son flew in Flight For Life. My next oldest son went with my wife to Kingman. Everything was handled great. 13

All I remember is sitting on the side of the road. I can't move. No pain. I knew something was wrong. Covered me up with the blanket. You got a semi blocking the highway so I don't get run over. But other than that, I'm grateful. I'm blessed. I feel it wasn't my time.

And basically it just -- I don't want say it brought the family closer together. But you can do everything right in that particular situation, and there's nothing you can do but deal with the consequences after that.

MR. MAZZEO: Tragedy sometimes does bring

people closer together. So -- but with the -- I just
maked to ask you about the hip replacement and the
femur replacement.

Did that -- did you require a certain period of physical therapy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yeah, I had that. But what happened is usually — I want to say about seven months out of work, it reached a point where they couldn't do anything more for me because when you pinch your sciatic nerve from your hip all the way down to wherever it stops at, it can take years to generate back. Okay. That's something a great doctor can't forecast that. I accept that. I wear a little brace on my ankle, so I'm not walking like Frankenstein or trip myself.

I'm okay with it. I have a high tolerance of pain. I blame nobody. It just happened. I could have been driving the vehicle and it happened.

MR. MAZZEO: Of course. Of course.

And you said it didn't impede your ability to play basketball?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Well, after that, I couldn't really jump because I'm small. I'm five-foot-eight, but I like playing guys about a foot taller than me, because --

1 MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Roberts wants to take you 2 on. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: He's too big. 4 What I'm saying is you play according to your 5 competition, but you play the right way. 6 MR. MAZZEO: You want to be challenged. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Exactly. So I'm 8 a big-time sports watcher. I don't really participate because -- I wish we had all three sports here in Vegas, but we don't because of the gambling. UNLV 10 11 graduate, big-time UNLV. That's my relaxation. I work 12 a lot. Other than that, whatever other time I have 13 left, besides sleeping and work. MR. MAZZEO: Great. Thank you. Thank you 14 15 for your answer. Appreciate it. 16 Moving on to the next row. Ms. Abeles. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm going to ask 18 you, and I might not be able to ask the question. What 19 was your initial question when you were just asking us? 20 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. Yeah, no, that's 21 fine. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm sorry. 23 MR. MAZZEO: And, you know, I didn't repeat it every time. They kind of -- some of the jurors up 24 25 there just went with it.

1 But the question was: Were you ever 2 involved -- you or yourself or family member or close 3 friend involved in a motor vehicle accident? If so, I wanted to know kind of the circumstances surrounding 5 it. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Okay. Yes, when 7 I was very young. And I don't know if that was on the questionnaire. If it was, I don't know if I answered it right. I was probably five or six years old. Mom 10 got a brand new Volvo. Dad got the Mercedes. Mommy 11 got the Volvo. I was young, you know, back in the early '70s. You know, you're sitting in the front 13 seat. We were tapped. Those cars were made of steel. 14 Nothing happened. No nothing. And I -- my father's 15 not alive right now. He never knew about it, so ... 16 MR. MAZZEO: Other than -- and no injuries, 17 no -- you didn't --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Nothing. 19 MR. MAZZEO: Other than that, any other 20 accidents that you yourself or a family member were 21 involved in? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 23 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. 24 Mr. Gallegos. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yes. 160. Two

```
minor accidents. Got rear-ended by a little pickup,
four guys in the cab of this pickup rear-ended me at a
stoplight and then took off. So it was a
rear-end-and-run. And the second time, I wasn't paying
attention and I rear-ended someone. No injuries in
either -- in either case.
```

MR. MAZZEO: What kind of property damage in the second one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: None in the second one, miraculously. But the first one, my whole bed of my little truck got pushed in and crunched, so ...

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And you -- and they took
off, so you didn't have any recourse for that. Okay.

All right. Thank you.

And, Mr. Evans, I'm not sure -- I don't remember who raised their hand in the second row but -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. I had a very minor fender bender.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: And you never asked me about the marijuana.

MR. MAZZEO: I didn't? Okay. My apologies, and I can get back to that. Well, I'll ask you now since you raised it.

1 Are there any concerns we should have with 2 regard to anything that you've heard in this case about 3 a finding of -- of impairment by marijuana metabolite? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Aside from not 5 knowing what metabolite is -- I know you can't answer -- I'd have difficulty with somebody using 7 marijuana and then driving. MR. MAZZEO: And then driving. Okay. 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: But -- or 10 alcohol, but that's not the case here. 11 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and why don't you 12 since -- and then I'll continue with the questioning 13 about motor vehicle accidents. And I apologize. 14 Thanks for letting me know. That's exactly what I want 15 jurors to do. If I missed something with someone or if you -- if an idea pops in your mind, Oh, I wanted to say this, please do that. Just tell me, you know. 17 18 So tell me what -- what -- why should we have 19 concerns about your -- your opinion about that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Well, I quess I 21 would have more problems on the -- on the punitive 22 damages part of the case. 23 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: If I got put on. 25 MR. MAZZEO: The punitive damages.

1 And -- and why -- what problems would you 2 have? Let me just see my notes here. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Just because I 4 have a very strong, I quess, prejudice against people that drink or drive or smoke and drive. MR. MAZZEO: So do you have -- and I 6 7 appreciate your candor. Thank you very much. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. 9 MR. MAZZEO: So do you have a -- do you have 10 any fixed ideas in your mind or -- or preconceptions in 11 your mind about punishing someone without hearing any 12 evidence? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Well, I have to 14 hear some evidence. 15 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Okay. But you think that you might bring your -- your predisposition into the -into this case --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. 18 19 MR. MAZZEO: -- when the evidence is 20 presented and -- and not base a decision simply on the 21 evidence. But you're going to base it on your own 22 preconceived feelings about driving. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Well, you said 24 earlier, you know, like the starting line. 25 MR. MAZZEO: Yes.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Well, I guess you 2 would start, like, one or maybe two steps behind. 3 MR. MAZZEO: The plaintiff. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. 5 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and, again, I 6 appreciate you saying that. Anything that you say or 7 anyone says doesn't offend. I mean, we've heard it. Both sides have heard jurors say various things. doesn't offend -- offend us, but we have a job to do to 10 kind of figure this out and -- and ask you to be candid 11 with us. So certainly appreciate it. Yeah. 12 So -- and -- and I use that analogy because it's something that, you know, it's easy to visualize, 13 starting line. And if we're starting behind, then that 14 puts the defense at a disadvantage before you hear any 15 16 evidence; right? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. 18 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. All right. And is 19 that -- is that -- the defense starting behind the 20 plaintiff -- just with regard to that issue of punitive 21 damages or with respect to any evidence with respect to 22 the start of this case? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: The damages. 24 MR. MAZZEO: Any damages? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Well, the

1 punitive. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Punitive damages? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay. Now, 4 they're seeking punitive and compensatory. 5 Compensatory are for the injuries that were sustained, medical, et cetera. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. I don't 8 think I would have a problem with that part. 9 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And now, my -- my 10 concern, since you brought this up, is whether you 11 because of this preconceived notion about knowing 12 that -- that a driver on the defendant's side has a finding of driving while impaired by marijuana --13 marijuana metabolite, will that -- do you think that 14 15 might affect the way you look at the evidence that's 16 presented during the compensatory stage? Because --17 and I ask you -- let me ask you this -- let me -- let 18 me try to clarify. 19 The evidence that you're going to receive 20 during the compensatory stage is going to have an 21 impact and will cross over to both the injuries as well 22 as it will be evidence concerning the circumstances of 23 the accident. So it's going to cover both punitive and 24 compensatory damages.

So in your mind, since you offered that and

25

```
brought that up, should we have reason to be concerned
1
2
  that as you're listening to the evidence from
3
   witnesses, you already have -- plaintiff is already
 4
   starting a foot ahead of the defendant?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
 6
             MR. MAZZEO: With respect to the overall
7
   case?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah, I would
9
   agree with that one.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: And I thank you and appreciate
  your candor in telling us that.
11
12
             And -- and along the same lines, so you're
13
   giving the plaintiff almost the benefit of the doubt
14
  with respect to --
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: -- the way you're going to view
17
  the evidence in the case?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: And do you think that because of
20
  that notion and -- and the bias that you have with
21
   respect to that one issue, that it -- it might be
22
   better for you to sit on a different case than -- than
23
   on this case?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
                                         Yes.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And do you think that
```

1	you I mean, you you would carry that bias in any
2	case in the court system that involves driving while
3	impaired; right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
5	MR. MAZZEO: Whether by alcohol, marijuana
6	metabolite
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: It doesn't really
8	matter.
9	MR. MAZZEO: Doesn't matter. Okay. I
10	certainly appreciate it. Thank you.
11	Judge, can we approach please?
12	THE COURT: Yep.
13	(A discussion was held at the bench,
14	not reported.)
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Roberts is going
16	to ask you just a couple of questions, sir.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay.
18	
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
20	MR. ROBERTS: Hi, Mr. Evans.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Hi.
22	MR. ROBERTS: So the the bias that you're
23	discussing
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
25	MR. ROBERTS: and that you just discussed

```
1
  with counsel, are you biased generally toward people
   who drive while impaired, or do you hold any bias
2
3
   toward the defendant, Mr. Awerbach, personally?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Not personally.
5
   But just in general.
 6
             MR. ROBERTS: Just in general.
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I don't know his
8
   client at all, so ...
 9
             MR. ROBERTS: Do you consider your bias
   against people that drive impaired to be irrational, or
10
11
   do you think it's based on some very rational thought
12
   process that you've gone through?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I just have real
14
   strong opinions about smoking or -- and driving
15
   or -- or smoking and drinking then driving. You
16
   shouldn't do one or the other.
17
             MR. ROBERTS: And your opinions are people
18
   shouldn't do it.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
20
             MR. ROBERTS: So it's fair to say you agree
21
   with the law.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
                                         Yeah.
23
             MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Now, now that you're in
24
   this case --
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
                                         Yeah.
```

1 MR. ROBERTS: -- you're going to be asked to 2 award damages for medical bills and pain and suffering, 3 compensatory damages. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 5 MR. ROBERTS: Now, you're probably going to 6 be instructed by the Court that you're only supposed to 7 consider the amount of money it takes to balance any harms or damages that are shown and proven by the evidence, and you're not going to consider outside 10 factors, who the -- who the defendants are, whether you 11 you're happy about their conduct, whether you have 12 sympathy for my client. You can't consider those 13 factors. You can just consider the harms and losses 14 and balance them. 15 Are you going to be able to set aside your 16 balance -- your bias and just consider the harms and 17 losses and weigh them out fairly and impartially, or do 18 you think you're going to award extra money because 19 you're mad at what the defendants did? Which -- which 20 one of those are you closest to? And then explain to

MR. STRASSBURG: Objection. Defendants -MR. MAZZEO: Objection. It's a misstatement,
Judge. May we have a sidebar?

THE COURT: Come on up.

21

22

23

24

25

me why.

1	(A discussion was held at the bench,
2	not reported.)
3	THE COURT: All right. We're going to strike
4	that question. Mr. Roberts is going to try again.
5	MR. ROBERTS: I was plural. The judge looked
6	it up. I said defendants. I'm just talking about
7	we're talking about someone who used marijuana and
8	drove.
9	So just singular defendant, Mr. Awerbach. So
10	going back to my question, and I'll try to be you
11	know, make it a little shorter and easier to
12	understand.
13	MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, objection.
14	Permission.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	(A discussion was held at the bench,
17	not reported.)
18	THE COURT: Okay. That will be stricken as
19	well. He's going to try again.
20	MR. ROBERTS: You know every time this
21	happens, it's after 4:45, so
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: It's all right.
23	We made arrangements. We're good till 6:00.
24	MR. ROBERTS: You've talked about bias. Let
25	me ask this really simply.

1 Are you going to be able to set aside those 2 biases when it comes time to determine the amount of 3 compensatory damage? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No, I won't have 5 any problem with that. 6 MR. ROBERTS: You won't have any problem with 7 that? You'll be able to set it aside? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. MR. ROBERTS: Second thing, punitive damages, 9 10 you said they might cause a problem. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes. 12 MR. ROBERTS: I'm not going to tell you what they are, but I'm going to tell you that they're 13 additional things the judge is going to tell you I will 14 15 have to prove in order for you to consider to award punitive damages. In addition to the mere fact that he 16 17 was found to have a certain level of marijuana metabolite in his blood, I'm going to have to prove 18 19 some additional things. 20 Now, is your bias that you've expressed going 21 to prevent you from fairly and impartially considering 22 whether I meet my burden of proof on those additional 23 things? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No, it won't have

25

no effect on that.

1 MR. ROBERTS: And if -- if I don't meet my 2 burden of proof, do you think you might want to award 3 punitive damages anyway? Or can you follow the instructions of the Court? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I would follow 6 the instructions of the Court. 7 MR. ROBERTS: Do you think that the bias that 8 you have against -- or that you've expressed, without me characterizing it, would prevent you or 10 substantially impair you from following the 11 instructions of the Court and applying that to the 12 facts of the case as you hear them? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I don't think so. 14 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you, sir. 15 Thank you, Your Honor, that's all I have. 16 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a question. 17 Okay? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 19 THE COURT: There's a difference between 20 having a bias against a person and against an action. 21 The question I quess I have for you: Do you have a 22 bias against individuals who are found to have exceeded 23 the legal limit by marijuana metabolites, or are you -do you have a bias against the action that that person 24 25 did that resulted in that finding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: The action.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Folks, we're going to call it a night. I'm going to — I have a calendar in the morning. It's actually about this thick (indicating). So we're not going to be able to start till about 10:30.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the break this evening, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else about any subject or issue connected with the trial. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, the Internet, or radio. You're not to conduct any research on your own which means you cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case. You are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. You are.

We thought we would have a jury by the end of the day today. That didn't happen. I'd like to guarantee you it's going to happen tomorrow, but I

```
thought I could quarantee you it would happen today.
1
   So I'm pretty sure it's going to happen tomorrow. But
2
3
   I need everybody to come back one more day. All right?
 4
   So we'll see you at 10:30. Have a good night.
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Am I allowed to
 6
   ask you a question?
7
             THE COURT: Ask Tom.
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: My marshal.
 9
   Okay. I'm going back where I belong.
10
                  (The following proceedings were held
11
                   outside the presence of the jury.)
12
             THE COURT: All right. We're outside the
13
   presence.
14
             Go ahead.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Can I just address this with
16
   this juror. So -- okay. I don't think that
   Mr. Roberts rehabilitated him. Mr. Roberts did the
17
18
  typical close-ended question, Can you do this? Yes.
19
   Can you assure me of this? Yes. Can you do this?
20
   Yes. That does not -- according to Sanders and
21
   according to the other case, that does not satisfy or
22
   rehabilitate this juror from prior statements that he
23
   made. Taken as a whole with what this -- Mr. Evans
24
  said earlier when I was questioning him with
25
   information that he volunteered, he actually said that
```

he would — we would be starting — he used a reference that I used earlier with other jurors, that the defendants would be starting behind the plaintiff, that he would give the plaintiff the benefit of the doubt, that — and it's not with respect to Mr. Roberts had a question to him about in deciding compensatory damages, can you listen to the rule from — the instructions from the Court and render a decision and — in an amount that's fair? That wasn't the question that I asked him.

My question to Mr. Evans was, Will your bias affect your ability to look at the evidence during the compensatory stage which involves evidence concerning compensatory damages as well as punitive damages? And he said, yes, it would affect his ability to view the evidence.

So taken all these factors into consideration, this satisfies Sanders case. And this — this witness — this juror is not rehabilitated with the few questions that Mr. Roberts asked, and he needs to be excused.

MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, we join in requesting his excusal. He testified that he has a prejudice against people who smoke and drive. Now, you talked him out of that. But when left to his own natural

devices, the way he saw it initially, until he got schooled by everybody, was that he's prejudiced against those people. And that — he admitted that we're starting behind.

And, Judge, you know, punitive damages is —
is one of those areas where the legal test are kind of
murky. So it's — it's not like punitives where
there's hard—and—fast stuff. The punitive damages, the
jurors are being called upon more to exercise their
discretion. And to pollute those waters with this kind
of prejudice, based upon his — his view of people who
do this, this class of people, that satisfies the —
the legal test that he should be excused, Judge.
That's our concern. It's just not fair.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROBERTS: In a case where someone was seeking damages for child abuse, you don't have to have a jury full of people who think it's okay for people to abuse children. Under the law, a juror's opinions or views for or against a party do not without more establish the type of bias that is — justifies excusing them for cause. Only if the juror's views would prevent or substantially impair the juror's ability to apply the law and the instructions of the Court. In citing Thompson, bias only if it were

irrational or unshakeable so the prospective juror would be unable to faithfully and impartially apply the law.

He has a problem with people who drive under the influence. Everyone should. It's against the law. But he volunteered when Mr. Mazzeo was questioning him that that wouldn't affect his ability to be fair on compensatory damage. But he — he said it might. I may have a problem with it, with punitives. But as we discussed earlier in the day, up at one of the bench conferences, the juror didn't know yet that there was more that they would have to find to award punitives than just that someone was driving while impaired by marijuana and metabolites.

And he said that despite his bias, he could weigh the evidence, and he wouldn't award punitives unless I proved the things that you told him I had to prove to him.

So he has not made a record that he would be unable to follow the instructions of the Court. He has not made a record that he can't set aside bias. And I think — I don't think the Court was — was cross—examining him or suggesting the answer to him when you asked if his bias was against the person or the action, and he said it was against the action.

And I also asked him whether his bias was against this defendant or whether it was just a general bias against people driving under the influence while impaired. And he said it was — it was just a general bias, that he held no personal bias or enmity against this defendant.

So I don't think they've met their burden,

Judge. And — and I don't think the fact that someone
feels strongly that people shouldn't drive impaired is
a reason to kick them off a jury and deny — because he
does have civil rights. And civil rights include the
right to serve. That's the whole basis of Batson.

It's not the parties' rights that are being violated in
the Batson challenge. It's the juror's right to serve.

And jurors who believe strongly that people shouldn't
endanger society by driving under the influence
shouldn't be denied the opportunity to serve in a case
involving someone who has violated that law.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, you know, he did make several unqualified statements.

THE COURT: All right, guys. You can say the same thing over and over again. Right now, I'm not inclined to let him go. If you want to show me some specific statements tomorrow that he made, you want me to look at them, I'm happy to do that.

At this point, I — I don't think that he — he stated the kind of bias that is required under the Sears-Page case or the Jitnan case. I think the totality of his statements are that he has a bias against somebody that drives while impaired. But I don't think that it's a bias against the individual defendant in this case. And I think — I think that — that that's just a bias that I think people in general have, so ...

MR. MAZZEO: And I agree -- I agree with you. It's not a bias against an individual defendant. But it is a bias to view the evidence objectively and fairly. And he said that -- he said that he would give the benefit of the doubt to the plaintiff, that the defendants standing behind the line further than the plaintiff, and that it would affect his ability to evaluate the evidence during the compensatory stage. Notwithstanding the yeses that he gave to Mr. Roberts with regard to will your compensation be fair on the compensatory stage. That's not -- that doesn't satisfy a finding of no bias or -- or rehabilitate him.

So overall, the statements he made, taken as a whole, show that he made un -- or unqualified -- or qualified statements with regard to his inability to sit on this case.

1 Judge, I don't --MR. STRASSBURG: 2 THE COURT: I know you guys want to keep 3 arguing. Can you just give me what you think I need to 4 look at tomorrow morning? 5 MR. STRASSBURG: That's reasonable, Judge. 6 Sure. 7 THE COURT: I'm happy to look at it. 8 just -- you're saying the same things over and over. 9 MR. STRASSBURG: May I take one stab at 10 saying something different? 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. STRASSBURG: Jared has been adjudicated 13 liable because he has been adjudicates negligent based upon the criminal conviction. He has not been 14 15 adjudicated as liable for punitive damages. So I can 16 see, Judge, where your astute observation of bias 17 against illegal activity is, in a sense, not a problem 18 where the -- the defendant has already been adjudicated 19 responsible, at least for the activity that -- that is 20 involved in some level. But that's not this -- all of 21 this case. 22 When it comes to punitive damages, Jared has 23 not been adjudicated as responsible for punitives, and we're just talking about the amount. He has been -- he 24 25 has a right to have a jury -- a juror who's not

1 prejudiced against him on a matter about which he's 2 presumed innocent. And he is presumed -- I mean, the 3 statute does not mandate punitive damages, 42.010. Ιt 4 says they may if they decide it's appropriate. 5 So the issue of whether he should stand 6 liable for punitive damages is open. And that's why 7 this kind of prejudice is so toxic. It's because the 8 issues are on different -- they're on different levels. 9 I'm hoping that's a different top -- that's a 10 different point. 11 THE COURT: I think it was addressed by 12 Mr. Roberts' question, though. As I said, I'm happy to 13 look at it. MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge. 14 15 THE COURT: You guys give me whatever 16 specific statements you think I need to look at that 17 might change my opinion, I'll look at it. 18 MR. MAZZEO: I'm not precluded from asking 19 him further questions. 20 THE COURT: No. At this point, he's still on 21 the panel. 22 MR. ROBERTS: For the purpose of our witness 23 planning, could we give estimates as far as how much 24 longer you think you have and just a general estimate

25

from -- from Roger.

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: I'm apparently off with my
2
   estimate today. So we're starting at 10:30 in the
3
   morning, which means 10:50, probably, by the time the
 4
   jury gets in here, we start questioning.
 5
             THE COURT: I'll be ready at 10:30.
 6
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Well, that's what I meant
7
   10:30, if we start at 10:30 and we're going till 12:00.
   That's an hour and a half. I think I'm -- I could
   finish up in an hour and a half to two hours and a
10
   half.
11
             THE COURT: You said you had two and a half
12
  hours total today.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Well, no. I thought I said
14
  four. At least four or five.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. MAZZEO: Lot of breaks and bathroom
16
17
  breaks and interruptions. And long lunches.
18
             MR. ROBERTS: So this would be my question,
19
   Judge, and -- so if -- if we -- if it's an hour and a
20
   half then we start back at 1:00 with an hour to take us
   to 2:00 -- if it's two and a half hours.
21
22
             THE COURT: Doesn't sound like you're getting
23
   any witnesses on tomorrow. We're going to be lucky to
24
   get openings.
25
             MR. ROBERTS: Well, I got an hour.
```

Mr. Mazzeo has represented an hour and 45 minutes. So we're already can't complete closings -- before openings before 5:00. So I was just wondering, given that it seems unlikely we can fit them all in tomorrow anyway, maybe we could just say that we're going to start with openings Friday morning. And if we finish an hour early or two hours early, we'll just take that time. But that would give us some certainty with our witness scheduling.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, I wouldn't be opposed to the plaintiff starting — doing his opening tomorrow, as long as I get to start my opening tomorrow as well. Even if I don't complete it, I can complete it Friday morning. I'm fine with that, but I don't want to be left hanging not having started my opening at all. If they're going to go tomorrow. I just don't want to waste court time either. So I'd like to use the — as much courtroom time.

THE COURT: I prefer to use the time up too.

So I think maybe you should try to shorten up the voir dire if possible. Not going to tell you you have to.

Ask the questions that you need to. But I don't want to end a couple hours early tomorrow.

MR. ROBERTS: I hate to be a broken record, though, Your Honor, but you -- we still got an order in

place. You've told us it's going to be modified. 1 Ι haven't even seen it yet. And I would like to be able 2 3 to have at least, you know, overnight to modify my 4 closing [sic] to match your order, and I don't have your order yet, Judge, and you're asking me to close 6 when --7 THE COURT: Open. MR. ROBERTS: -- opening when -- sometimes I 8 9 do close during opening. 10 MR. MAZZEO: We're going to object 11 beforehand. 12 MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, I don't understand 13 him suggesting that we -- we stop short tomorrow. I thought what he was saying is for purposes of figuring 14 15 out when to call witnesses. Is it if we get through 16 all the openings and -- on Friday, then maybe it makes 17 sense to start the witnesses on Monday -- Tuesday. 18 THE COURT: Okay, guys. Stop, stop. We're 19 going to go as long as we can tomorrow. I know that 20 plaintiff had witnesses scheduled for tomorrow. The 21 witnesses can't be called tomorrow. 22 They've been called off, yes. MR. ROBERTS: 23 THE COURT: That's not going to happen. 24 I'm hoping that we get through voir dire, and we'll get

through hopefully as much as we can of openings.

25

1 try to make you guys all happy, but I don't want to 2 waste a couple hours. 3 MR. MAZZEO: I agree. 4 MR. STRASSBURG: Understood, Judge. 5 MR. MAZZEO: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Plan on being prepared to do 7 openings tomorrow. If we get through all the openings, 8 then we'll stop. If we have to stop before 5:00, we'll 9 do that. 10 You have a question? 11 THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir. The jury on the way 12 out, multiple people have so-called meetings tomorrow. 13 They have -- one person has a job interview tomorrow. 14 They're going to let me know. I said, Just remind me 15 in the morning, again, what their names and what they 16 have. And I'll give them to you and -- because the one 17 quy back here whose got the -- remember the no-job quy, 18 he has a job interview tomorrow at I think he said 19 2:30. Somebody's going a mandatory meeting for 20 business meeting at 3:00 o'clock. Somebody else has 21 got something going on at 4:00. 22 THE COURT: Give me a list in the morning. 23 THE MARSHAL: The basketball player has 24 business stuff he has to take care of. 25

THE COURT: Give me a list in the morning.

1	THE MARSHAL: All right, sir.
2	THE COURT: Thanks, Tom.
3	Have a good night, guys. Off the record.
4	(Thereupon, the proceedings
5	concluded at 5:16 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF NEVADA 3 ss: COUNTY OF CLARK I, Kristy L. Clark, a duly commissioned 4 Notary Public, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby 5 certify: That I reported the proceedings commencing on Wednesday, February 10, 2016, at 9:08 o'clock a.m. 7 8 That I thereafter transcribed my said 9 shorthand notes into typewriting and that the 10 typewritten transcript is a complete, true, and 11 accurate transcription of my said shorthand notes. 12 I further certify that I am not a relative or 13 employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a 14 relative or employee of the parties involved in said 15 action, nor a person financially interested in the 16 action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my 18 office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 19 10th day of February, 2016. 20 Kristy Clark 21 22 23 24 25

İ	11/10/2017 4:42 PM
	Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	CASE NO. A-11-637772-C
2	DEPT. NO. 30
3	DOCKET U
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	* * * *
8	
9	EMILIA GARCIA, individually, )
10	Plaintiff,
11	vs.
12	JARED AWERBACH, individually; )
13	ANDREA AWERBACH, individually;) DOES I-X, and ROE CORPORATIONS) I-X, inclusive, )
14	)
15	Defendants. )
16	
17	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
18	OF
19	PROCEEDINGS
20	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WIESE, II
21	DEPARTMENT XXX
22	DATED THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2016
23	
24	REPORTED BY: KRISTY L. CLARK, RPR, NV CCR #708,
25	CA CSR #13529

	APPEARANCES:  For the Plaintiff:  GLEN J. LERNER & ASSOCIATES BY: ADAM D. SMITH, ESQ. 4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 (702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com  - AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
3 4 5 6	GLEN J. LERNER & ASSOCIATES BY: ADAM D. SMITH, ESQ. 4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 (702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com  - AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
4 5 6	BY: ADAM D. SMITH, ESQ. 4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 (702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com  - AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
5	4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 (702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com  - AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
6	(702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com  - AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
	- AND -  WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
7	WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
	BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
8	BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
9	Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
10	
11	(702) 938-3838 lroberts@wwhgd.com
12	Troberose milga. com
13	For the Defendant Andrea Awerbach:
14	MAZZEO LAW, LLC BY: PETER MAZZEO, ESQ.
15	BY: MARIA ESTANISLAO, ESQ. 631 South 10th Street
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 382-3636
17	
18	For the Defendant Jared Awerbach:
19	RESNICK & LOUIS BY: ROGER STRASSBURG, ESQ.
20	BY: RANDALL W. TINDALL, ESQ. 5940 South Rainbow Boulevard
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 997-3800
22	
23	
24	* * * * * *
25	

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2016;
2	10:51 A.M.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * *
6	
7	THE MARSHAL: Jury entering.
8	(The following proceedings were held in
9	the presence of the jury.)
10	THE MARSHAL: Jury is present, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and be
12	seated, folks. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
13	Sorry for the delay. Actually, it wasn't because we
14	were in here arguing. It was because my calendar went
15	longer than I anticipated. So sorry about that.
16	I've got notes here on four different jurors.
17	One of you moved your job interview to Monday. Thank
18	you. One of you has a job interview this afternoon at
19	4:00. It would be nice if we were out of here at 4:00.
20	It's a possibility, but not a probability. That's all
21	I can tell you. We have two other people that need to
22	be at work. Sorry. That's part of the problem of
23	doing jury duty. I apologize. But I can't let you go.
24	Everybody's got to work. Everybody else needs to also.
25	So we're going to go for about another hour. We're

going to take lunch at 12:00, and I'm hoping that we 1 are done a little bit early today. But I can't tell 2 3 you exactly when that's going to be. I'm hoping that 4 we have a jury picked, but I can't quarantee you that 5 either because I thought we would yesterday. I think at this point we are still in 6 7 Mr. Mazzeo's questioning; right? 8 MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 11 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 12 MR. MAZZEO: Good morning, ladies and 13

gentlemen for Day 4.

IN UNISON: Good morning.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAZZEO: So -- and I -- you know, we certainly appreciate your patience with this process. Four days is a bit longer than usual to go through jury selection. As you can see, there were -- there were a number of issues that to have to be discussed at the bench, and -- and let me ask you this, because I want to address a certain topic: How many people watch law shows on TV? CSI, you know, you -- and that's where the trial takes place within a course of an hour and then it's over, you know. Objections, the attorneys might object and the ruling is given from the --

1 directly from the bench. You don't have sidebars.

2 Typically when we get into the trial, you -- you won't

3 have as many sidebars. A lot of rulings will come from

4 the bench. So it is a long process.

But with objections the attorneys need to do that in order to preserve the record. It's our obligation. We have an obligation to do that. And I know how sitting there, it might be frustrating for you all. So I just want to ask — you — you're going to hear objections throughout the trial from — from both sides.

So just a show of hands, how many -- I know it might be a little bit annoying, but how many people would -- would that affect so that it would impair your ability to sit as jurors in this case? If you -- you know, if one side objects more than the other, you going to hold that against any side? Just a show of hands. No? Okay.

Yeah, this is not like a TV show as you now have learned after four days. So thanks for being patient and bearing with us.

You've -- you've heard about the standard for compensatory preponderance of the evidence, a little bit more than 50 percent. You heard that from Mr. Roberts the other day. There is a different

standard. The judge will give it to you with regard to punitive damages. It's actually a higher standard. And it's what's called "clear and convincing evidence." You will get an instruction about that, and you'll be given guidance as to how to apply that standard when you receive evidence in this case. So don't assume that, oh, we have to apply the same standard to compensatory and punitive damages. No, that's not the case, so ...

Oh, one other housekeeping matter. You've heard that — that liability has been established for the accident; however, as you can see, I have my own client, Andrea Awerbach. She's the owner and mother of Jared and the owner of the car. We are contesting liability. So don't sit there and assume that you're just making a decision about compensatory damages. With respect to Andrea's case, we are contesting liability with respect to the claim against her. I can't discuss that with you at this point. So keep that in mind as well. Don't — I just don't want you to sit there and think, Oh, all we have to do here is make a decision on damages. No, there's a — we're contesting both liability and damages, both, so ...

Okay. With that, I know I was in the middle of speaking about motor vehicle accidents, and I think

```
1
  I -- I was in the second row, and I may have just
2
   finished with Ms. Abeles?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Abeles.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Abeles.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: It's good.
             MR. MAZZEO: Sorry. I keep doing that.
 6
7
   Hopefully by the end of today, I will fix that. I
8
   won't be going till the end of today, so -- but I think
9
   we -- you had talked about the -- an accident you had
10
   when you were a child, no injuries; right?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: (Nods head.)
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Before I move on with the
13
  accidents in the second row here, I just wanted to -- a
   couple of questions that I had, taking out of order,
14
15
   Ms. Klein. There were a couple of questions.
16
             You talked about Gary Presswood -- Presswood.
  You used to work for him.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.
18
19
             MR. MAZZEO: He's an accident
20
   reconstructionist?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He's a forensic
22
   engineer. Was. I don't know if he's still in practice
23
   or not. Did a number of things that included accident
24
   reconstruction.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And biomechanical
```

```
engineer or just accident reconstruction?
1
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Mostly just the
3
   accident reconstruction is all.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: And you had said that he has
5
   primarily worked for one firm in town?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Correct.
7
             MR. MAZZEO: What was that firm?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: At the time it
9
   was only Benson and Bertoldo. Now it's Benson,
  Bertoldo, Baker & Carter. They've expanded quite a bit
10
11
  in the last 20 years.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: And when you worked with
13
  Mr. Presswood, was it mostly for plaintiffs or for
14
  defendants?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: At the time, I
  didn't know if it was either side. It was just these
17
  are the facts on the vehicles, do an accident
18
  reconstruction.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and you had shared
20
  with us yesterday your husband's experience with the
21
   motor vehicle accident --
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Correct.
23
             MR. MAZZEO: -- years ago, 1998.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I want to say it
25
  was probably early '98, yeah.
```

1 MR. MAZZEO: And then did that go into 2 litigation? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I don't think so. 4 I think it was settled out of court. But I'm not sure. 5 Like I said, we were only dating at the time, so ... 6 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. That's fine. Thank you. 7 Mr. Franco, I do have another question for 8 you following up on yesterday. Good morning. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Good morning. 10 096. 11 MR. MAZZEO: And the question is: Would you hold it against Andrea Awerbach just because she's the 12 13 mother of a young adult driving while impaired by marijuana metabolite? Would you hold anything against 14 her? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No, I will not 17 hold anything against her. I would be fair throughout 18 the trial. 19 MR. MAZZEO: And we certainly appreciate 20 that, but with the statements you made yesterday, 21 you -- you seemed to indicate unequivocally that you 22 would still kind of attribute the actions of a -- of a 23 troubled teenager or a teenager that's acting out to 24 the parent. And in this case, we have Jared who's the 25 son of Andrea Awerbach. So merely because of the fact

1 that he was driving while -- while impaired by marijuana metabolite, would you in any way hold Andrea 2 3 responsible for his actions? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: The parent? 5 Yes. MR. MAZZEO: 6 MR. ROBERTS: I'm going to object, Your 7 Honor. 8 MR. MAZZEO: Just based on that fact. 9 THE COURT: Come on up, guys. 10 (A discussion was held at the bench, 11 not reported.) 12 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Mazzeo. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge. 14 Mr. Franco --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Yes. 15 096. 16 MR. MAZZEO: So based on your -- the 17 responses that you gave to us yesterday about the 18 responsibility of parents in raising their kids, and 19 to -- to a large extent, being responsible for the 20 actions of kids, and I think you said yesterday that 21 they're our kids, you know, up until 60 years old; 22 right? 23 So do you think you might be inclined in a 24 case like this to hold the mother responsible for the 25 actions of her child simply because she is the mom?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I haven't seen 2 any evidence. I haven't heard anything about the case. 3 I don't know anything about the case. I have to see and hear the evidence --5 MR. MAZZEO: Well --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: -- before I make 6 7 my decisions. I just can't answer that. Depend on 8 what you present. 9 MR. MAZZEO: So -- so it depends on the 10 evidence. And you have heard something about the case. 11 You have heard it's a motor vehicle accident; right? And you've heard that there's been a ruling finding 13 Jared as being impaired by marijuana metabolite causing the accident. And so you know this -- you -- you know 14 15 that much. 16 What you haven't heard is actual evidence 17 being presented at trial. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Right. There's a 19 lot more to that. I mean, I can't make my decision on 20 just what is told to me. 21 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, and I appreciate that. But 22 based on what you told us yesterday, we may have some 23 concerns about whether you -- whether you're going to 24 just assume or -- or not just assume, but you're going

to hold the mom responsible merely because Jared acted

25

out or merely because he's been found responsible.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Well, if there's a concern, then I want her to have a fair trial, and I want to give her that chance.

MR. MAZZEO: You don't want to give her that chance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I want to give her that chance. I just don't want to be part of the concerns that she's not going to get a fair trial because of what I said or what I think. If there's a concern, then she needs to get a fair trial. And if there's a concern about me being on the jury, then we need to correct it.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And that's -- and that's why I broach it again with you today, because that's what we need to find out.

So when I asked yesterday whether -- you know, on the starting line, whether plaintiff is starting a little bit ahead of -- just let's talk about my client. Is the plaintiff starting a bit ahead of my client, or is my client starting a little bit behind the starting line if we're on the same line?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No, way. You guys are starting on the same line. In my eyes, you're going to start on the same start line.

1 MR. MAZZEO: Notwithstanding the beliefs you 2 expressed yesterday? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No, I have to see 4 the whole thing before I make up my mind or talk to the jury or have them decide. But both of you are starting on the same line and will continue from there. Now, if 7 you fall behind somewhere or they fall behind somewhere, that's not my fault. 9 MR. MAZZEO: No. And I appreciate -- I 10 appreciate -- I appreciate you -- I appreciate you 11 expressing that notwithstanding your conviction because 12 it seemed like you had some very strong beliefs yesterday about --13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I still have them 15 today. 16 THE COURT REPORTER: You guys are talking on 17 top of each other. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Sorry. 19 MR. MAZZEO: And you still have them. So 20 because you have those strong beliefs about the 21 responsibility of the parent, that's why I'm following 22 up with you. So I don't know if there's a 23 contradiction or an inconsistency. So on the one hand, 24 you're saying that the parents are responsible for the

actions of the kid. You -- I think you were the only

25

one that raised your hand when I asked a question about 1 that. And now today you're saying that, well, you 2 haven't heard anything and you're -- you would have an 3 open mind, and you would be fair to both sides. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Okay. I'll be 6 fair to both sides. I still stick to my opinion that I 7 said about parents. They're responsible for their kids to a certain age. They'll always be my kids. I'll always be Mom and Dad. So if there's a concern of you or them starting behind one another, then we have to 10 11 give her a fair trial. That's all I'm saying. 12 MR. MAZZEO: So we don't need -- from what you're telling us, we don't need to have a concern 13 14 based on the statements you made yesterday. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No. It's totally -- you have no concerns. Both of you start at 16 the same point. We'll hear the evidence. And those 17 18 are my beliefs. That's my opinion. That's how I raised my kids. 19 20 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. I appreciate that, 21 Mr. Franco. 22 And -- and when you said "to a certain age," 23 what is -- what is that age? Do you have a --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Can you tell me

24

25

what age this happened?

1 MR. ROBERTS: I have no objection, Your 2 Honor. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Eighteen. Eighteen. 4 MR. STRASSBURG: Nineteen. 5 MR. MAZZEO: Nineteen years old. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: This is when the 7 accident happened? 8 MR. MAZZEO: The -- yeah, when the accident 9 happened, Jared was 19 years old. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Okay. I need 11 more than that. I mean --12 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Well, you said a moment ago that you would hold parents responsible to a 13 certain age. If he's 19 years old at the time of the 14 15 accident, what's the cutoff for you for holding Andrea responsible for his actions? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Is there a law 18 pertaining to the age? 19 MR. MAZZEO: For -- for what, responsibility 20 of parents? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Right. 22 MR. MAZZEO: No, in your mind, because you --23 you're the one that said --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Right. I 25 don't -- I don't know the -- the child. I don't know

```
1
  the kid. I don't know the adult. I don't know what he
   was going through. I don't know what's going on behind
2
3
   this whole incident. Nineteen is you're on your own.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Pretty -- okay.
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: You're on your
   own. You have responsibilities on your head, not your
 6
7
   mom and dad.
8
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate
9
   that. Thank you.
10
             So we're going to -- I know we're in the
11
  second row, and I think there were a number yesterday.
12
  We were talking about motor vehicle accidents, so I'm
13
   going to continue with that line of questioning at this
14
   point, and I think we're with Mr. Evans.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I think you
16
  finished.
17
             MR. MAZZEO: We finished with you.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Mr. Evans, with you, was
20
   there -- did you raise your hand regarding motor
21
   vehicle accident or --
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
23
             MR. MAZZEO: -- you tell us about --
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I had a minor
25
   fender bender when I was about 19 years old.
```

1	MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: About 40 years
3	ago.
4	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Long time ago. No
5	injuries.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
7	MR. MAZZEO: No? Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Very little
9	damage.
10	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. All right. Thank you.
11	Mr. Roberts.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
13	MR. MAZZEO: I think you raised your hand
14	yesterday about how many
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: 058. I was in an
16	accident about probably two years ago, two and a half
17	years ago. Hit-and-run driver decided to take me out
18	and total my car.
19	MR. MAZZEO: What were the circumstances for
20	the accident?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I was driving
22	I and the other party were driving northbound on Fort
23	Apache, and for whatever reason, driver in the middle
24	lane decided to turn right across the bow and slammed
25	me into a curb and slammed me in a curb and took

1 off. I don't ... 2 MR. MAZZEO: So the contact between the two 3 cars was he -- he struck your passenger side? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. He hit my -she hit my front left and then went all the way across the front end of the car. So it was a sedan to a 7 truck. So she hit me and went across. It looks like I hit her -- I caught the rear -- right rear wheel well of hers. I think it jacked her up. 10 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And then she took off 11 after. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: She took off. 13 MR. MAZZEO: You were in a truck? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. I was in the 15 sedan. 16 MR. MAZZEO: In a sedan. Okay. 17 What kind of damage did your car sustain? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Totaled it. Bent 18 19 the tie rods, significant impact damage to the front 20 and front left. The insurance company's assessment was 21 they would rather pay me out for my damages than to try 22 to repair it. 23 Sure. And -- and companies have MR. MAZZEO: different percentages for -- for what they'll deem 24 25 totaled versus, you know, let's fix it. Or if it's

above 50 percent or 60 percent, we'll just deem it 1 2 totaled. Okay. 3 Did you sustain any injuries as a result of 4 that accident? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I had a minor --6 I shouldn't say minor. I had a knee -- tweaking of my 7 knee. It was the way the impact took place. But other 8 than that, come back, so ... 9 MR. MAZZEO: The tweaking, did your knee hit 10 the dashboard, if you know? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm not sure what 12 it hit. I have -- obviously, you can tell I'm tall, so I'm already pretty much squeezed into most cars I get 13 14 into. I don't whether I hit the steering wheel or the 15 center console or something like that. But my knee 16 blew up and -- direct result of the -- the impact. 17 MR. MAZZEO: Did you receive treatment as a 18 result of that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I did get some 20 treatment for that. 21 MR. MAZZEO: What kind? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I had to have some physical therapy, sought out a therapist, put me 23 24 through a regime of different things to try to get me

back to strength and reduce the swelling in there and

25

```
1
  the need for the whole thing.
2
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Following that accident,
3
   how soon after the accident or the impact did you first
 4
   feel any symptoms or pain?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, the
 6
   swelling took place -- I noticed the swelling as soon
7
   as I got home. I -- probably took me two or three
   hours before the whole accident scene was resolved, and
   when I finally got home, I was aware that my knee had
10
   blown up and swollen. I was like, Where did that come
11
   from?
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay. Thank you.
             Mr. Berkery, good morning.
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Good morning.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Did you raise your hand
16
   regarding motor vehicle accidents?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Would you tell us about
19
   that, please.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Sure. When I was
21
   in my -- I'm sorry. 063. When I was in my teens, my
22
   father was hit from behind. He was driving a 1970
23
   Plymouth Fury and was hit from behind by a 280Z at a
24
   traffic light. Nothing happened to his car. No
25
   injuries. Hers was totaled.
```

1	MR. MAZZEO: Really? To the 280Z.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yeah, so and
3	then when I was a driver for my ambulance squad, there
4	were two occasions where I destroyed property on calls.
5	MR. MAZZEO: I'm sorry. Where you did what?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Destroyed
7	property.
8	MR. MAZZEO: Destroyed property on calls.
9	With the
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Ambulance.
11	MR. MAZZEO: ambulance?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
13	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. What what were the
14	circumstances for that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: One was an officer
16	down call. So we cut through the town park straight
17	across, took out some benches, some brush, some
18	MR. MAZZEO: Like you see on TV.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: You know what,
20	just a lot of things blew up in front of my face. I
21	couldn't really so
22	And the other time was and it's the only
23	time it ever happened in in that volunteering was
24	the paramedic told us that the woman that was in labor,
25	we couldn't do anything for her, we had to get her to

```
1
  the hospital and I had minutes. We had kind of an
  awkward five-way intersection, and I had to cut one of
3
   the points. So I took out an awning, some newspaper
 4
   boxes. I think there was at least one, if not two,
 5
   parking meters. And it damaged the ambulance pretty
 6
   good too.
7
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure.
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: But so we -- we
9
  had to -- that was --
10
             MR. MAZZEO: Right. That's -- I mean,
11
  that's -- that's justified. I mean, property versus
12
  human life.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: That was what I
14
  did.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Yeah, sure. Thank you.
16
             As a result of either of those two incidents
17
   were you --
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Nobody was
19
   injured.
20
             MR. MAZZEO: Nobody? Okay. Good.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Oh, no, no.
22
             THE COURT: You guys need to try not to talk
   over each other, please.
23
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Sorry.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: Thank you. Appreciate it.
```

1 Ms. Bias. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 066. I wasn't 3 personally in any accident, but I had my cousin; he was in the fatal motorcycle accident two years ago, and he obviously didn't make it. He was going east on Carey Avenue, and a truck pulled out in front of him, and he 7 had no time to stop. Just T-boned him. Went right 8 over. That was it. 9 MR. MAZZEO: He went right over --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Right over the 11 truck. He -- the motorcycle hit, and he just flew 12 over. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, wow. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: So he had no time 15 to stop. No time to, like, lay down his bike. So he 16 knew. He didn't walk away. 17 MR. MAZZEO: That's -- and that's pretty 18 tragic when that happens in a family, of course. 19 You were close with your cousin? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yeah, very. 21 MR. MAZZEO: And that's pretty recent still, 22 two years ago. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. 24 MR. MAZZEO: And anything about that accident

that might affect your ability because of any feelings

25

```
that -- that you still -- residual that you still feel
1
   about the -- the incident that might affect your
   ability to sit on a case which involves a motor vehicle
3
 4
   accident with claimed injuries?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No. Because he
5
   was -- he was at fault. He was speeding. So if you
7
   have a -- he was on a Kawasaki Ninja, and they get
8
   really fast. And he knew so it won't affect my
   judgment either way.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: And you hear that in the news
11
   with these motorcycle accidents, they're usually on
12
   these sport bikes that they happen way too often.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yeah, he was --
14
   it was -- his accident was on the news.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Any other accidents,
16
   though, of any -- aside from your cousin --
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: -- that you're familiar with?
19
   Okay. Thank you.
20
             Good morning, Mr. Avilaroa.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes. Good
22
   morning. 078. Well, back in 2011, I think I was about
23
   18, I was with my mom. We were driving on the 95. I
24
   was the passenger. She was the driver. And she was
```

driving on the 95. It was around 6:00 o'clock in the

25

afternoon, and so I think there was — there was a lot of traffic. And we were about to get off on — off

Craig — off Craig. We were on the far right lane, and there was a cone, like one of those big construction cones, right in the middle of the lane, like, laying down. And my mom, she froze up. She didn't know what to do.

So she put on the brakes, and the truck —
there was a truck behind us. It was a black truck, and
it was pretty big. I think it was like a — like a
Ram, I think, like, a Dodge Ram. It was a pretty big
truck. He didn't have time to not hit us. So he hit
us pretty good. I think he was going, like, 70.

MR. MAZZEO: Wow.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: So he hit us very -- like, pretty bad. So I just -- all I remember is just hitting my head on the -- on the dashboard, and the glass just flew. And I just remember, you know, it hitting, you know, covering us, covering my mom and covering me. And my mom was in shock and -- you know. Yeah, that's all I pretty much remember.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And I know that you had indicated in your questionnaire that you had injuries from that accident.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, sir.

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: To your -- was it to your low
2
   back?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, my lower
4
   back. I had two bulging disks in my lower back.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: Do you recall the levels?
 6
   L4-L5, L5-S1?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No, I don't -- I
8
   don't recall. I don't remember.
9
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And -- and you also
10
   indicated that you had some treatment in terms of
11
   injections --
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, sir.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: -- in your lower back?
14
             Can you tell us who the doctor was?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I don't remember.
16
   I think I have -- I think it was yesterday I heard the
   mention of Dr. Cash.
17
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I think it sounds
20
   familiar.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: Would it have been with a pain
22
   management doctor or an orthopedic surgeon?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I think -- this
24
   is so long ago it was like pain management, I think, or
25
   something.
```

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And Dr. Cash, Andrew
2
   Cash, will be coming here to testify. He's an
3
   orthopedic surgeon.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah.
 4
5
             MR. MAZZEO: So it might have -- if you
 6
   received injections, it might have been from a pain
7
   management doctor. But if you don't recall, that's
8
   fine.
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, I think -- I
10
  think it was from a pain management --
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: -- doctor.
                                                     It
13
   just, so long ago.
14
             MR. MAZZEO: In addition to the shots, did
15
   you receive any -- initially any conservative
16
   treatment?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: What do you mean,
18
  like --
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Chiropractic care, physical
20
   therapy, hot and cold packs?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes. Yes, sir.
22
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Who did you go to for
23
  that treatment, if you recall?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: It was -- I
25
   forgot the name. But it's on -- it's on Buffalo --
```

```
Buffalo and -- forgot. Decatur I think. No, no, no.
1
  Yeah, Buffalo and Decatur. I think I might be wrong,
2
3
   but I forgot the name of it.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO:
                          That's okay.
5
             One of the treating physicians in this case,
 6
   it's The Neck and Back which is a chiropractic
7
   facility. You nodded your head, is that --
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, Neck -- it
9
   sounds familiar, Neck and Back, yeah.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: Just so happens.
11
             So -- and how long did you get treatment for?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I think it took,
13
   like, about a year.
14
             MR. MAZZEO: Of chiropractic treatment?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah,
16
   chiropractic treatment. I think it took almost -- the
17
   whole process and everything, like shots and
18
   everything, it took about a year.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: But there came a point -- you
20
   initially received chiropractic treatment and then
21
   after some time, you -- you then received the shots;
22
   would that be correct?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
24
             MR. MAZZEO: Because why? The chiropractic
25
  treatment wasn't helping your condition?
```

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, sir.
2
   There -- my back started to hurt pretty bad. You know,
3
   I couldn't do a lot of different things. So it just --
   you know, they asked me if I would decide to do that,
 5
   you know. So I was like, Yeah, why not?
             MR. MAZZEO: Did you -- and that was in 2011.
 6
7
   Do you -- so about five years ago.
8
             Do you have any residual pain or symptoms
9
   from that accident?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No, not as much.
11
  No.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And did you hire an
  attorney to pursue a claim after that?
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Personally, me,
15
   no. My mom, I think she did -- I think -- yeah, we
16
   did. But we eventually settled out of court, so ...
17
             MR. MAZZEO: And do you know what attorney
18
   was hired on your behalf or what law firm?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: It was -- I
20
   forgot. I forgot the name of it.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: That's okay. No, I appreciate
22
   it. Thank you for sharing.
23
             And then, Mr. Retzlaff, I know we spoke with
   you yesterday, and you shared with us your story.
24
25
             Aside from that accident involving -- and
```

yesterday I think I was asking at that point about DUI accidents, and you had a personal experience with it.

Aside from that accident that occurred where your four friends were killed, do you have any other experience with yourself, family member, or close friend that was involved in an accident not necessarily related to

7 drugs or alcohol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yeah. 088. I've got a couple, actually. Not like I'm a magnet for bad stuff, but seems like it sometimes.

So aside from the one where my friends were taken, I did have in the questionnaire that I mentioned, when we were rear—ended by the drunk driver. That one I think we already discussed how I did chiropractor and everything for that one. That one wasn't too bad.

The one I actually sustained the most injury from, I wasn't personally hit, but I was coming back into town from college with one of my friends, and if you're familiar with the area of the high desert in California near Edwards Air Force base in California City, there's a long, maybe — I don't know, maybe 3—, 4—mile stretch of road where it's just two lanes. There are some houses that are on some hills off to the left which are about, like, a quarter mile up, and

that's where we were headed because that's where he lived.

So on this two-lane, you can see clearly about a mile each way. You know, just open road. I come to a complete stop because there was a Jeep coming and also turning up to go into the neighborhood, and I was at a complete stop. And I remember looking in my rearview mirror and seeing this big black Suburban, and she was coming screaming down the road.

And I -- I remember looking at my friend Pete and telling him, Oh, she's not going to miss us.

There's no way. So you better brace for it. I remember grabbing the steering wheel and within seconds of hitting me she actually went off to the side into the dirt, and her vehicle kind of fishtailed and then rolled about three times.

Then I pulled off. We ran over to the Suburban to make sure she was okay. And I guess from the impact of rolling, the doors could not open — any of the four doors couldn't open. She had two children in the back, both in car seats. And the vehicle was upside down at that point. So in order to get her out and get her safely, I remember asking her, you know, about three or four times yelling to her if it was okay if I broke the window to get her out.

1 So wrapped my elbow in a T-shirt and broke 2 the -- broke the window and ended up fracturing my 3 elbow. I had lacerations and glass inside. Had to get skin grafts. But as far as actually being involved in an accident, the only one was when we got hit by the drunk driver. 6 7 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And -- and both -- did 8 you say both your father and yourself got chiropractor treatment? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: Yes, he ended up 11 going longer. I think it was okay for me just because 12 I was in preschool at the time. So I was still 13 growing. My bones were, you know, able to heal 14 themselves a lot faster and stuff, so ... But I 15 remember he went for about a year, year and a half, he was receiving treatments for his back because he had 16 17 bulging disks as well. 18 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay. Thank you. 19 Appreciate it. 20 Do you recall the name of the chiropractor? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: I don't. I can 22 barely remember what I was doing other than naptime. 23 MR. MAZZEO: That's true. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: That happened

25

actually in Virginia, so ...

1	MR. MAZZEO: Anything about that about
2	that particular accident I know I asked you about
3	the other one yesterday, but about that one where you
4	were rear-ended with your father that would affect your
5	ability to sit on a case like this?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: No, sir.
7	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Mr. Avilaroa, I wanted to
8	ask you the same question: Is there anything about the
9	accident you described for us that would affect your
10	ability to sit on a case like this?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: 078. No, sir.
12	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Moving on to the first
13	row.
14	Good morning, Mr. Inglett.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Good morning.
16	091.
17	MR. MAZZEO: Did you and I wasn't sure
18	if if you had indicated raised your hand
19	yesterday about having family member or close friend or
20	yourself that's been involved in a prior accident.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Just my father.
22	He was hit on my motorcycle a few years ago.
23	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Can you describe that for
24	us, that incident?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I don't know too

```
1 many details. I was traveling. I know he took my bike
  out. It's -- it was a 52 panhead. So the longer it
3
   sits, the worse it gets. He was pulling out of a
 4
   driveway, and an elderly woman struck him on the side,
5
   so ...
             MR. MAZZEO: And what kind of injuries did he
 6
7
   sustain?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I know he
9
   sustained some injuries and he had treatment, but I
10
   don't know the specifics. Like I said, I was
11
   traveling. I was actually outside of the country,
12
   so ...
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Do you know anything
  about whether he still has any residual symptoms from
14
15
   the injuries that he sustained?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
17
             MR. MAZZEO: No? Okay. All right.
18
             Any other accidents that you're familiar --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: (Shakes head.)
19
20
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate
   it.
21
22
             Mr. Corum, good morning.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Good morning.
24
             MR. MAZZEO: I know you had -- I know you
25
   indicated -- in your questionnaire, you were -- you
```

1 referenced and disclosed a workers' comp accident where 2 you injured your neck and back. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Oh, yeah. 4 MR. MAZZEO: Now, before we talk about that, 5 I wanted to ask you about any -- if you're familiar with any -- yourself, family members, or close friends 7 that were involved in a motorcycle or motor vehicle 8 accident. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Badge No. 093. 10 Yeah. I was in an accident when I was, like, fifth, 11 sixth grade, and it was kind of bad but no injuries. I 12 was young. So my grandmother was driving. It was a 13 couple other kids in the car. Somebody -- it was a 14 Suburban or something. I don't know. Big white SUV. 15 I think it hit us this way or something. But we ended up spinning and facing the other way on the road. 17 MR. MAZZEO: So just for -- just for the 18 record, you used your hands, and, just so the record is 19 clear, you were hit on the driver's side of your 20 vehicle? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I don't quite 22 remember what happened. When it did happen, you know, I kind of -- it's fuzzy. I can't remember too much. 23 24 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I think we went

```
1
  on two wheels and spun around in a half circle.
2
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And what about, did you
3
   sustain any injuries or anyone else in the vehicle as a
 4
   result of that accident?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Cuts. My head
   hurt. I think I got hit by some glass, but not,
 6
7
   like -- like, a big chunk of it. But I wasn't -- I
   didn't go to the hospital. Nobody was injured.
9
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Did you receive any first
10
   aid treatment for the cuts and abrasions that you
11
  sustained?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No. There was no
13
  ambulance called.
14
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Anything -- when was that
15
  accident, by the way?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: When I was, like,
17
  fifth, sixth grade. I think fifth.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Long time ago.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes, a very long
20
   time ago.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: Anything about that accident
22
   that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial
23
   in this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: (Shakes head.)
24
25
             MR. MAZZEO: No? And since I'm on you, still
```

with you, why don't you tell us about the workers' comp accident where you sustained injuries to your neck and back.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Oh, that one, I was on foot. I was actually loading a vehicle up with a -- a box tree. It was kind of a big box tree. I shouldn't have been doing it. So it's about this big (witness indicating), about that tall maybe, full of dirt and, you know, a big old tree in there. And I was trying to just kind of shimmy it a little further into the truck so the weight distribution.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So it was already in the back of the truck.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes.

MR. MAZZEO: You were just trying to move it, and that's -- from the way you described it with your arms, like a 50-gallon box or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Oh, no. No. 19 It's a 36-inch.

MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. And -- and then -- so, you said -- I think you said to your -- you had injuries to your neck and back as a result of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yeah, because I was shifting this way (witness indicating). I -- like, right in between -- I don't know what -- what you call

1 it. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Right between your shoulder 3 blades? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yeah, right in between my shoulder blades and my neck basically is where -- I couldn't turn my head to drive. So I had to 7 go. I had to leave work. 8 MR. MAZZEO: So you were shifting it back and 9 forth, side to side? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Pretty much, 11 yeah. 12 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And then you -- you --13 you said that you also got chiropractic treatment as a 14 result of that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Um, no. That was a different incident. 17 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Tell us -- okay. 18 Did you receive any treatment after that 19 workers' comp incident? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I don't believe 21 I know I was on -- I couldn't lift too much weight 22 or pull too much weight, so I was kind of on -- I don't 23 know how to say it. Restrictive -- I was allowed to go 24 to work, but I had -- I didn't -- I had restrictions. 25 I couldn't do certain things. You know, I couldn't

1 lift a certain amount of weight or pull a certain 2 amount of weight. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And were you examined 4 after that accident? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes. For 6 workers' comp, you have to be -- go to a doctor, 7 and ... 8 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And do you know if you 9 were -- do you recall if you were diagnosed with what's 10 called myofascial sprain or strain of muscles in your 11 back, or was it -- did it pertain to a disk or 12 something else? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0093: They really didn't get too far into it with me. 14 15 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Fair enough. 16 And you said you had another incident where 17 you were injured? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I don't recall. 19 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Tell us about the time 20 when you received chiropractic treatment. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Oh, that was 22 just -- I was on break. I was at work, but I ended up 23 just doing it myself because I didn't see the point. I 24 just was on break, sat down kind of -- there was a 25 table about this high (witness indicating) above the

```
1
   ground that sometimes we just kind of chill under. And
   when I did that, kind of bumped my head. And when I
   did that, it -- it started hurting. And over time it
3
   got worse, so I had to go home, and I ended up going to
 4
5
   a chiropractor.
 6
             MR. MAZZEO: For how long did you go?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I think I went
8
   three or four treatments and that's it.
9
             MR. MAZZEO: And did you have any -- after
   you finished the treatments, did you have any residual
10
11
   symptoms after that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Not really. But
13
   my back's always, you know, giving me problems, so if I
   did, I don't notice.
14
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure, sure. Okay. Thank you.
16
   And thank you. Appreciate you sharing.
17
             So, Ms. Sako, hi. Good morning.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Good morning.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Not sure if you had indicated in
20
   your questionnaire whether you -- did you have a family
21
   member or close friend involved in an accident or
22
   yourself?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: I was involved in
24
   an accident. I was not injured, but I quess it's
```

something I should bring up. So I -- on Maryland,

25

there's that park that's sort of -- that's right before you get to Charleston. It's right next to the Huntridge. It's like a circle park and the line of traffic goes around it. It splits -- it splits from the four-way to two-way, and then it comes back together. So I was coming back at night from school around 10:00 o'clock. We were having production, so I was coming home late. First car. Barely got my license. I was 16. And I was going down the side -- I was going down the road. It was very dark because there wasn't a lot of streetlights at that time.

And so I see this tiny light coming towards

me. And I'm not sure — it was probably just a

streetlamp or whatnot. But I saw it getting bigger and
bigger, and I realized it was a moped that was going

down the wrong side of the road, completely wrong side

of the road. So I had no time for myself to, Oh, it's

moped, and then it sort of hit.

So he hit my car. He -- the bike was totaled. The moped was completely totaled. He -- blood spattered everywhere, all over my hood. He dented my AC unit. He dented the hood. The glass was fine. But no injuries to me. No sudden thump or anything, but he obviously had some injuries. So his bike was totaled. His moped was totaled. And we

1 called the ambulance. And I come out, and I talked to

2 him a little bit, and his nose is completely broken.

3 I'm not sure. He doesn't seem like it's hurting -- he

4 doesn't seem like he was hurting.

From what I could understand, I didn't see if he was impaired or not. I couldn't really determine that at that time if he was, since I was just so young. I just didn't know what the -- what the -- with -- I couldn't -- I just didn't know.

MR. MAZZEO: You couldn't assess it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Exactly. And so he was an older gentleman, and he said he was coming back from welding school, but his — his moped was completely totaled. And — and so there was injuries to — or there was damage to my car, but not to myself. But I just can — I talked to him. He said he — he didn't have insurance. He didn't have this and that. And so I just couldn't bring myself to really pursue that or to pursue suing him or anything like that.

Just — I just couldn't. For some reason, I just — I just couldn't do it just because I knew he was already in a situation where he probably didn't have a lot of money. And so I just couldn't impact his life like that trying to sue him for damages that I knew I could take care of myself.

1 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: So once the --3 the police came, I just left. I'm not sure if they 4 arrested him. I'm not sure if anything like that 5 happened, but I just left. 6 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thanks for -- thanks for 7 sharing. 8 Anything about that incident that might 9 affect your ability to sit on this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No. I don't 11 think so, no. 12 MR. MAZZEO: Thank you. 13 Mr. Franco, again, good morning. And I know in your questionnaire, you had disclosed an incident, a 14 15 car accident involving your wife? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Right. 17 MR. MAZZEO: And --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: 18 096. 19 MR. MAZZEO: Thanks. If you could just tell 20 us about the circumstances regarding that. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: We were standing 22 at a red light, and I think it was in '85. And a drunk 23 driver came up from behind and hit us. And kind of 24 give her that whiplash effect. So she ended up having 25 treatment for about two and a half years with shots and

1 therapy and chiropractor. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: And Molly Maids, 4 they call them, they would come and clean her house. So she had all that for about two and a half years. 5 6 MR. MAZZEO: And how long did she --7 initially, she had chiropractic? Was that the initial 8 treatment she received? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Well, no. They took her to the hospital and they, you know, evaluated 10 11 her. And she had whiplash, and she had stuff to her 12 neck. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So she had conservative treatment or, I should say, chiropractic treatment, 14 15 and -- and then injections? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Injections and 17 physical therapy, and I think they even gave her some 18 pills for the pain. 19 MR. MAZZEO: For the pain and swelling? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Right, all that. 21 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And you indicated no 22 worth -- no orthopedic -- she didn't see an orthopedic 23 surgeon? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No. She had no 25 surgery. She just had physical therapy and

```
1
   chiropractor and shots outpatient.
2
             MR. MAZZEO: And no -- no consultation with
3
   an orthopedic surgeon as well?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: No.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Did that result in a
 6
   claim after that accident?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: There was a
8
   claim. About a year later, they settled out of court.
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And do you recall, did
 9
10
   you hire an attorney?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Yes, we did.
12
   don't recall the name. It was it was in Colorado too,
13
   so ...
14
             MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I have no idea
16
   what the quy's name is.
17
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Thank you.
18
             Yes, Mr. Roberts.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: 058. I -- while
19
20
   we were talking, I just recall another accident I was
21
   involved in, and it may have some relevance, but I just
22
   thought I'd bring it up to you.
23
             Twelve years ago, ten years ago, somewhere in
24
  that range, I got hit by a driver. I was stopped at a
25
   stop sign exiting my subdivision, and a vehicle going
```

1 slow, wasn't going fast -- are you familiar with the -the white lines that -- that are extended from the curb 2 3 that kind of create a -- an additional buffer for the 4 curbing? Well, he started drifting over those lines 5 and literally ran into my car at the stop sign. 6 So he was going eastbound on -- on Warm 7 Springs, and I was coming off of a subdivision at a stop sign, and he literally drifted all the way over 9 and ran into the front end of my car while I was 10 stopped there. But the reason I brought it up is 11 because he was DUI. 12 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, he was. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: And he fell 14 asleep. 15 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So I -- I was 17 listening and she said, DUI, then it triggered me. 18 said, Oh, yeah, I had something that -- where I had no 19 control over it. I just --20 MR. MAZZEO: No, I know. 21

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: There were no injuries. He wasn't really going fast. I think he was coming from someplace, bar or something like that. And he was trying to go home, but he just passed out.

22

23

24

25

MR. MAZZEO: Right. Anything about that

1 incident being that it's -- involved a DUI that might 2 affect your ability to sit on this case? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, I mean 4 obviously you've been hit by a DUI, you're a little 5 upset that -- I was thankful that he wasn't going fast. I mean, it was just a small property damage claim at the time, you know. 7 8 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: He dented my car. 10 I think he did had about 6- or \$700 worth of damage. 11 It wasn't that much. But obviously if he had been 12 flying down the highway, anything could have happened. 13 And, you know, the other one was a 14 hit-and-run. So I'm not too excited about people who 15 do hit-and-run either. 16 MR. MAZZEO: And that's why we ask you about 17 similar experiences because we want to know whether 18 that will affect anyone's ability to sit on a case that 19 has similar facts, similar issues, so ... 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I ended up 21 getting -- when she said DUI or potentially on the 22 moped, all of a sudden, it triggered. I forgot about 23 that. 24 MR. MAZZEO: And it was a while ago and it 25 didn't --

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: There was no
2
   injuries, minor injuries. But the fact that -- and it
3
   was -- it was, like, 8:00 o'clock in the morning.
   that was another thing. It was like drunk off your
   butt at 8:00 o'clock in the morning in a residential.
   That was kind of -- yeah.
 6
7
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Right. Thank you.
8
   Appreciate it.
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Uh-huh.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: What I'm going to -- the next
11
   topic, ladies and gentlemen, is I want to talk about --
12
   talk about conditions that we have not related --
13
   injuries or conditions of -- of specific --
14
   specifically the neck, the back, or the lower
15
   extremities, the legs and hips, which are not related
16
   to an accident. So may be part of the aging process,
   may be from the work -- type of work that we do. But
17
18
   aches and pains that we've developed from -- from, you
19
   know, from our life. And I noticed that a number of
20
   the jurors have indicated such in their questionnaires.
21
             And I'd like to start with Mr. Blurton.
22
   morning.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Uh-huh. Good
24
   morning.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Blurton, and you're
```

1 Badge 150. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes, Badge 150. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And you had shared with 4 us that you have back pain, and that your -- it's -- it 5 states that you were unable to move due to back pain. Can you tell us about where that back pain 6 7 came from? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: It was -- when I 9 turn the wrong way. It -- it relates to my worst pain 10 I ever felt. 11 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: And that was -that was just kind of -- there's no residuals there. 13 It's -- it's -- but it was, you know -- and I'm a big 14 15 quy; right? So because of my weight and -- and things 16 like that, I sit at a desk all day, that also affects 17 my back; right? So I also have things like that. 18 But I can say that -- that, you know, as long 19 as I'm careful and I don't it again, I think I'll be 20 all right, right, as far as -- as far as back pain goes 21 and, you know, so ... 22 MR. MAZZEO: That was a one-time incident? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: That was the 24 one -- that was it. 25 MR. MAZZEO: Okay.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: And that was all. 2 MR. MAZZEO: And you indicated that the 3 symptoms subsided within a few days; right? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes. 5 MR. MAZZEO: So it fair to say you didn't get 6 any treatment ever after that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yeah. That is 8 fair to say, yes. 9 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. By the way, as a result 10 of -- of your -- that -- that condition where you 11 turned and you experienced that pain, is that -- do you 12 feel aches and pains because of the -- what you 13 described to us, the nature of your the work, sitting? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Sometimes. 15 mean, I work a lot. I work, you know, 16, 18 hours a 16 day. 17 MR. MAZZEO: Wow. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: And I work in 19 computers, so it's not very physical; right? So 20 sitting -- sitting can -- can cause me back pains 21 and -- but they're minor back pains. It's, you know, 22 things related to sitting all day. 23 MR. MAZZEO: And believe it or not, we 24 attorneys are actually in the office more than we are 25 in the courtroom. So we're sitting at a desk, and

```
we're hunched over, and we're dealing with working on a
1
   computer, the shoulders are crunched in, so I can --
2
   after a few hours, I know what that feels like to get
3
 4
   up and to stretch and to move about, so --
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: And that usually
 6
   helps. But, you know, it happens.
7
             MR. MAZZEO: Right. Okay. Thank you.
8
   Appreciate it.
9
             Mr. -- Mr. Brandon, good morning.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Good morning.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Brandon, you had indicated
12
   that you had received chiropractic treatment for back
13
   pain, I guess related to work?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No. As I was
14
15
  little, I was overweight. So the weight was getting to
   me and making me slouch over a lot. But now I'm more
16
17
   active and losing all the weight. So now all the pain
18
   that I have is just from me being stupid.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Residual or from age related?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Residual.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I'm still young,
23
   so I don't --
24
             MR. MAZZEO: You are. That's true. Okay.
25
             And when you were younger, you -- is that
```

when you received chiropractic treatment? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yeah. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. How long did you receive 4 that for? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: It was maybe 6 couple of weeks. He cracked my back a couple of times, 7 cracked my neck. I felt good, said, See you later, not 8 coming back. 9 MR. MAZZEO: That's it. Okay. That's good. 10 Okay. Thank you. 11 Ms. Flores, I know you shared with us that 12 you had an uncle, and this is an accident, but he fell 13 off of a ladder at work? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: At work in 15 California. I don't know what happened. All I knew was that he fell off a ladder. He -- something about 17 his lower back. It was, like, years ago. I was 18 little. I would just hear it from, you know, my uncles 19 and my aunts and my parents talking. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: But it was a long 22 time ago. I just knew he fell off and he hurt his 23 back, and after that, I didn't know anything about it. 24 MR. MAZZEO: But I think you indicated that 25 he had a problem with his disks and had surgery as a

1 result? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yeah, and I know 3 he did do chiropractic, but this is back in California. 4 It was, like, years ago. I don't really recall a lot 5 from it. MR. MAZZEO: And as a result of that, does he 6 7 have any residual symptoms or aches or pains? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I don't really 9 talk to him, so I don't know. 10 MR. MAZZEO: Fair enough. Thank you. 11 Mr. Jensen, good morning. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Good morning. 13 MR. MAZZEO: And you shared with us as well in your questionnaire that you yourself experience back 14 15 pain which you refer to as age related? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 015. That's 17 correct. Just stupidity in younger years. 18 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. When playing sports or 19 just being active, overactive I guess? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: That's correct. 21 I used to, in high school, be a competitive golfer. 22 And then I did a lot of backpacking where I would carry 23 60-pound packs for a few weeks out in the middle of 24 nowhere. 25 MR. MAZZEO: And you had seen an orthopedic

```
1
   surgeon for, you said, your left shoulder and right
2
   wrist?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: That's correct.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Torn ligaments?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Torn ligaments in
 6
   both cases.
7
             MR. MAZZEO: How did that happen?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Left shoulder was
 9
   golfing, believe it or not, and the right wrist was
10
   bowling.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yeah, don't
13
  smile.
14
             MR. MAZZEO: No. It's -- no, it's not funny,
15
  but I have my share --
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Because everyone
17
   says bowling is a noncontact sport. How can you hurt
18
   your wrist?
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Well, it's all -- it's all
20
  mechanics, though. You know, when you're lifting the
   ball, it's a heavy ball and the way --
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: The worst case is
23
   if you accidentally hop over the foul line and hit the
24
   oil and try to stop your fall. That's how it happened.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: That will certainly -- yeah,
```

```
that will. And I smile because I had my own share of
1
   accidents -- injuries with sports, so I -- I can
   identify with that.
3
 4
             So with the back pain that you have, do
5
   you -- do you have residual symptoms that you feel
   on -- like, daily aches and aches and pains?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: The lower back
8
   is, you know, on a daily basis. It's generally sore.
9
   I mean, very low. If I sit there and think about it, I
   can feel it. As long as I try to sit properly, I mean,
10
11
  it doesn't hurt.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Just every now
  and then, I'll twist wrong or do something, and I'm on
14
15
  the floor.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: And are you actively seeking any
17
   medical treatment for the aches and pains that you
18
   have?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No, it's not that
20
   bad.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate
22
   it.
23
             Mr. Foerstel.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023:
                                         Yes.
```

MR. MAZZEO: Hi. Good morning.

25

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: 023.
2
             MR. MAZZEO: And I believe you indicated that
3
   your father had a bulging disk in --
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
 5
             MR. MAZZEO: That was -- what part of his
 6
   back was that in?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I think it's mid,
8
   but I'm not exactly sure.
9
             MR. MAZZEO: Mid back.
10
             Mid back -- you're going to hear terms,
11
   ladies and gentlemen. Cervical is the neck, mid back
12
   is thoracic, low back is lumbar and sacral.
13
             So in the middle of the back?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And what was the bulging
16
   disk from?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Just -- I think,
18
   just, he's older.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Just age.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, it was just
21
   mostly age related and maybe stuff he did when he was
22
   young, but ...
23
             MR. MAZZEO: And you're going to hear in the
24
  trial, ladies and gentlemen, age-related changes with
25
   the disks take place in the 30s and 40s, so it's --
```

```
1
   it's not just when -- it's not just --
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I got my share.
 3
             MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: My back is
 5
   sore -- I'm sorry -- sore from time to time. When I
   was younger, I had a bicycle crash where I went over
 7
   the handlebars, bounced on my back a couple of times.
   And once again, I played golf for years and years. So
   I've had back -- just back spasms, occasionally. But
   never -- never really sought treatment. Just usually a
10
11
   couple of days, couple Advil, and -- and it's usually
12
   good to go.
13
             THE COURT: Mr. Mazzeo --
14
             MR. MAZZEO: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: -- the objection is sustained.
16
   Let's limit it to questions.
17
             MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge.
18
             And do you actively seek out -- I know you
19
   said you -- you don't get treatment. Withdrawn.
20
             How often do you now experience any symptoms
21
   in your back?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Rarely. You know
23
   from time to time, I'll get, like, a little tweak under
24
   a shoulder blade or maybe my lower back might be a
25
   little bit sore, but it could be from sleeping wrong
```

1 or.

And I did see a chiropractor a couple years

ago for -- I don't know if I put that on my -- on my

questionnaire, but I did seek a chiropractor only

because it was free. It wasn't -- I didn't have to pay

for it or I would have just -- because it was nothing

out of the ordinary with my back issues over the past

20 years. But I was able to go, like, 60 visits, so

get some physical therapy for free as well.

MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And as -- as an athlete, you're pretty active. So you probably do a lot of stretching through some of those sore muscles?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, not as much as I should.

MR. MAZZEO: I second that. I don't do enough either. So thank you. Appreciate it.

Moving on to Ms. Gold -- oh, Ms. Klein.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: 146. I had my
ACL tear and had an ACL replacement. I've also
sprained my ankle. For both of those, I received
medical care, obviously. And for my knee, I received
physical therapy after the surgery. Probably six
months worth of physical therapy.

MR. MAZZEO: And you -- you have a -- well, you mentioned a cousin who had back surgery from --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: My mother?
2	MR. MAZZEO: from gymnastics?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes. One of my
4	cousins was a competitive in competitive gymnastics
5	had suffered some injuries, has had to have several
6	she's received injections and surgeries to deal with
7	that.
8	MR. MAZZEO: With with
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Nerve damage and
10	some injuries. I'm not quite certain on if her disks
11	are injured or if it's just nerve damage.
12	MR. MAZZEO: Sure. That was just and that
13	was from gymnastics is a very rough discipline.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Very. She was
15	competitive at a national level.
16	MR. MAZZEO: Wow. Okay. Getting up at 3:00
17	or 4:00 in the morning.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Traveling and
19	very yeah.
20	MR. MAZZEO: How did you tear your ACL?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: My very first and
22	last time skiing.
23	MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. Wow. Not a good
24	experience the first time out.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No. Actually, I

```
was still on the training slope.
1
2
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Going moderately fast?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Not even hardly
 4
   moving. And somebody crashed in front of me and I fell
   over them, and that didn't end well.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. All right. Do you have
 6
7
   any residual pain from -- I know you had surgery. Do
   you have residual pain or symptoms from that?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I can feel when
10
   the weather changes. I have a nice 9-inch scar, and I
11
   can feel changes in pressure. If I do excessive
12
  amounts of exercise, if I know I'm going to be walking
13
   a couple of miles, I have a knee brace to support it a
14
   little bit extra.
15
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Or it will ache
  if I don't.
17
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Thank you. Appreciate
19
   it.
20
             Ms. Gold.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036:
                                         Yeah.
22
             MR. MAZZEO: Good morning.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Good morning.
24
   036.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: And I know you had also
```

```
disclosed some information about both your -- I believe
1
   your wrist and a -- a strained back while you were in
2
3
   the box.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
 4
 5
             MR. MAZZEO: Can you tell us about that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I was working for
 6
7
   a workers' comp judge, and I had to move a box of files
   into his desk, and it just kind of popped a little bit.
9
   It was a strain-sprain. There was no surgery involved
10
   in the rehabilitation.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Was there any diagnosis that you
12
   had injured a disk in your spine?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Oh, no.
14
             THE COURT: And did you receive treatment
15
  ever after that?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes, for several
17
   years because I -- I kept getting flare-ups and
18
   continued to get them probably even still because of
19
   the aging process. But yeah, I received chiropractic
20
   care.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and then -- and
22
   then you indicated you had seen a chiropractor for
23
   straining your back and for -- was that for lifting the
24
   box?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036:

25

Yes.

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. And then there was an
2
   overuse syndrome for your wrist. Can you tell us about
3
   that?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Same job. Just
5
   doing a lot of typing and just clerical/secretarial
   type of work that you have to do with your hands.
 6
7
             MR. LASSART: Okay. And so you -- and I
8
   guess you made -- processed industrial claims or
   pursued industrial claims --
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: -- for that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Any problem getting it passed
   through your boss?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Not at all.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Do you still currently
17
   experience any -- any symptoms in your lower back?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
21
   Occasionally, when I lift something, if I lift my
22
   grandbabies, I can -- or if I'm just standing there
23
   holding them, I can feel the twinge.
24
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure, sure. Okay. Thank you.
25
   Appreciate it.
```

1 Mr. Cyganek. Hi. Good morning. 2 THE COURT: You at a good breaking point, Mr. Mazzeo? 3 4 MR. MAZZEO: It is, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take our lunch 6 recess, folks. 7 During our break, you're instructed not to 8 talk with each other or with anyone else about any 9 subject or issue connected with this trial. You are 10 not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or 11 commentary on the trial by any person connected with 12 this case or by any medium of information, including, 13 without limitation, newspapers, television, the 14 Internet, or radio. You are not to conduct any 15 research on your own, which means you cannot talk with 16 others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or 17 conduct any other kind of book or computer research 18 with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney 19 involved in this case. You're not to form or express 20 any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 21 22 Going to give you till 1:15 today. 23 MR. STRASSBURG: Was that in one breath, 24 Judge? 25 I can almost get it in one THE COURT:

1	breath.
2	(The following proceedings were held
3	outside the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: All right. We're outside the
5	presence of the jury.
6	Anything we need to put on the record,
7	Counsel?
8	MR. TINDALL: No, Your Honor.
9	MR. ROBERTS: Not us, Your Honor.
10	MR. MAZZEO: No, Your Honor.
11	MR. MOTT: Do you want the order now or still
12	waiting?
13	THE MARSHAL: Remain seated. Come to order.
14	THE COURT: I didn't know if anybody was in
15	here.
16	THE MARSHAL: Jury's present.
17	THE COURT: We ready to go?
18	MR. MAZZEO: We're ready to go, and I have
19	one question, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Back on the record. We're
21	outside the presence.
22	Go ahead.
23	MR. MAZZEO: Judge, I know the other day we
24	were talking use of peremptory challenges, 4 for the
25	for the 8 jurors and and one for the alternate, and

```
1 you said that we would have to separate them and use
```

- 2 the one for the alternates at the end. And I know
- 3 different departments do it differently, and I would
- 4 just ask if you would consider allowing us to use all 5
- 5 indiscriminately as we wish for the entire 20 and then
- 6 whatever 2 are left would be the alternates at the end.
- 7 So the last 2 would be the alternates. Kind of like
- 8 that way -- that -- that system better.
- 9 MR. ROBERTS: I have no objection to them
- 10 using them indiscriminately, but I think we should
- 11 follow the statute and have the extra strike limited to
- 12 the alternates at the end.
- 13 MR. TINDALL: I agree with Mr. Roberts, Your
- 14 Honor, to strike as to the alternates.
- THE COURT: Doesn't sound like you have a
- 16 stipulation. I don't know how I can change statute.
- 17 MR. MAZZEO: You're the judge. I'll respect
- 18 your decision if you agree with me.
- 19 MR. ROBERTS: We'll talk about the
- 20 constitution later, Judge.
- 21 MR. MAZZEO: I'm ready to go.
- 22 THE COURT: I think you guys are going to
- 23 have to get together on the last one.
- MR. MAZZEO: Okay. No, we're fine. We're
- 25 fine.

1	MR. STRASSBURG: I don't think we're worried
2	about that happening, Judge.
3	MR. MAZZEO: I'm ready to proceed.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Ready?
5	MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Let's bring them back. We're
7	still working towards 4:15 guys. Mr. Mazzeo.
8	MR. MAZZEO: I'm sorry.
9	THE COURT: We're still working towards 4:15.
10	MR. MAZZEO: I'm going to start to speed
11	things up at this point. Yes, I'm working with that in
12	mind to give Roger plenty of time to question. I'm
13	yeah, I'm going to get to the trial.
14	THE COURT: Kristy's happy about you speeding
15	things up.
16	THE MARSHAL: Jury entering.
17	(The following proceedings were held in
18	the presence of the jury.)
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, my friends.
20	THE MARSHAL: Jury is present, Judge.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and be
22	seated. Welcome back, folks. We're back on the
23	record, Case No. A637772.
24	Mr. Mazzeo, you may proceed.
25	MR. MAZZEO: Yes. Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon once again, ladies and gentlemen.

IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

MR. MAZZEO: If -- I'm going to try to speed this up because my goal is to make sure that I'm done, and I have -- Roger Strassburg has plenty of time to question you as well so that we can actually finish with and have a jury picked today. That's the -- that's the idea. So I may speed things up. Is anyone opposed to that? Okay. Okay. I have to be mindful of the court reporter. She can only type so fast, so ...

THE COURT: She types pretty fast.

MR. MAZZEO: So we were -- we were talking about degenerative conditions and conditions of the neck, the back, and the lower extremities, and I believe we were at Mr. Cyganek? Yes.

So -- and -- and, Mr. Cyganek, I know you told us yesterday that about the accident you were in years ago, the rollover. You had sustained injuries to your -- to your body, including your hip. And I think I asked you yesterday about the residuals, if you had any residual pain or symptoms from that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Not so much. If I do a lot of walking, I'll get sore or stiff. I got to get up and just move around. I have a high

```
tolerance of pain, so that's not really a problem,
1
2
   so ...
3
             MR. MAZZEO: Right. Okay. And so is this
4
   something, the stiffness that you get, is that
5
   something that happens on a daily basis, weekly basis,
 6
   or something else?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. I'm pretty
  active every day. It's just if I get overly active in
9
   walking. In my job, I do a lot of sitting, but I can
10
   also do a lot of walking, stuff like that. But for the
11
  most part, I'm okay.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Great. Thank you.
13
  Appreciate it.
14
             Moving on down to the second row.
15
  Ms. Abeles -- Abeles.
16
             THE COURT: Abeles.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Abeles.
17
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Abeles.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Number 043. No
20
   complaints.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: No complaints. Okay. Thank
22
   you.
23
             Mr. Gallegos.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Very good.
25
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: 160. No, I
2	really no complaints. I've had two hernia surgeries,
3	but that's about it. And that was quite a few years
4	ago and 110 percent better.
5	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And and if not
6	yourself, what about what about a family member, a
7	parent, an uncle who might have conditions of the neck
8	or back where they have experienced symptoms on a
9	regular basis?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: No, no one in the
11	immediate family.
12	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you.
13	Mr. Evans, just give me a moment to turn to
14	your page.
15	And, Mr. Evans, you had mentioned to us in
16	your questionnaire about back you have back pain.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Sometimes.
18	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And and you had
19	indicated that that's from lifting weights?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes. At my work.
21	MR. MAZZEO: At what? I'm sorry.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: At my work.
23	MR. MAZZEO: At your work. Okay.
24	And how is this a chronic condition that
25	you have?

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No, just
2
   sometimes.
3
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So -- so it's
 4
   intermittent, sometimes you'll have pain flare-ups and
5
   it goes away?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
7
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And what do you do for it
8
   when you have the flare-ups?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Usually just
10
   tough it out.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: You tough it out?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
13
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Do you get treatment for
14
   it?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
16
             MR. MAZZEO: No? Okay. All right.
17
             And, Mr. Roberts -- and I know, Mr. Roberts,
18
   you had shared with us, I think even the first day,
19
   we're now in the fourth day, about the -- the aches and
20
   pains you've had with your -- with your knees from
21
   being a professional basketball player.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Just goes with
23
   the territory.
24
             MR. MAZZEO: And you guys at a professional
25
   level, you -- you subject your bodies to a lot of --
```

1 lot of workouts and a lot of stress, so you kind of 2 accept it for what it is; right? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Generally 4 speaking, yeah. I mean, unless it's an injury that 5 takes place while in performance. You still accept it, but that's -- that's atypical for get -- you know, 7 having an injury while you're performing. Aches and 8 pain and stiffness and bruises daily. 9 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Do you do anything to 10 handle or to decrease or minimize the aches and pains? I take 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah. anti-inflammatories, occasionally over-the-counter 12 13 Aleve or Tylenol or something like that to help relieve 14 if it's -- if there's a flare-up. I think somebody 15 earlier mentioned that when the weather changes, that's -- that's, generally speaking, when you -- all 16 of a sudden, you feel, okay, there's something -- I can 17 18 tell you when a storm's coming before the storm comes, 19 that kind of stuff. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Yeah. I can -- I generally 21 sense it a day or two before as well. Something 22 changes, I feel something in the body, so ... 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Something about the knees when there's humidity or it goes up or 24 25 whatever, you know, and there's something brewing,

1 yeah. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Do you -- aside from 3 maybe anti-inflammatories once in a while, do you seek out any treatment, physical therapy or --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. MR. MAZZEO: No? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I mean, try to 8 stretch and -- I'm still -- I'm still semi active. I 9 mean, I think I told you I'm a coach, so I still try to 10 get out and do some activities and run a little bit and 11 try to -- try to maintain a semblance of conditioning. 12 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah. 14 MR. MAZZEO: And as -- as a result -- in 15 addition to the -- the conditions of your knees, have you ever had any issues with your back? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Again, just the 18 normal stuff. I mean, I -- during my playing time in a 19 professional career, I've been sidelined a couple of 20 times with minor back strain or something like that. Nothing that one of my physios or -- we didn't have 21 22 chiros, but they were trained with similar 23 capabilities, put us on a stretching machine, do some 24 manipulation and, you know, one or two days later 25 you're okay, goes away.

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay. Thank you.
2
   appreciate it.
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Uh-huh.
 4
             MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Berkery, you had shared with
5
   us that your dad had a -- a back -- back pain, I guess,
   not related to a specific event, though --
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yeah.
8
             MR. MAZZEO: -- right?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No, it's been
10
   chronic for a couple of decades now.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And it's -- you said it
   might be related to a weak or, I quess, a herniated
  disk or ...
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yeah, he had --
15
  he had some fusion surgery done on his spine. And now
   he's facing compression of the nerves in the lumbar
17
  area.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Because there's some
19
            He has bulges or ...
   bulging?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: The compression
21
   is -- is arthritis and age related.
22
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Sure. What kind of --
23
   and I imagine your dad with the arthritis, it's
24
   something he experiences on a daily basis?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
```

1 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And how does he -- how 2 does he handle that? How does he treat it? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: We're kind of in 4 a quandary right now. On the one hand, they'd like to 5 do surgery, but his cardiologist won't let him. 6 MR. MAZZEO: So he's kind of just dealing 7 with it on a day-to-day basis the best he can? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes. 9 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. 10 Ms. -- Ms. Bias, hi. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Hello. 12 MR. MAZZEO: You had shared with us that you were actually born with spina bifida? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. 15 MR. MAZZEO: Can you tell us what that is? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 066. It's 17 actually it's -- it's a light case of -- I was born 18 with spina bifida occulta. It's where a part of your 19 vertebrae is not fully developed like normal. So I 20 have like a -- kind of, like, a hole in one of my 21 lumbar disk. And not knowing I had it until maybe 22 three years ago, so all of a sudden -- I wasn't born 23 three years, but I had no idea I had it. 24 MR. MAZZEO: And how did you find out that 25 you had it, from an imaging study?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, actually, 2 it was experiencing pinched nerves in my back 3 constantly. So I -- it just happened. So I was like, 4 you know what, I'm just going to go get it checked out, and that's when they found it through an X-ray. 5 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And did they determine 6 7 that or diagnose you with the pinched nerves and the pain in your back stemming from the spina bifida 9 oculate? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. 11 MR. MAZZEO: And what -- how do you handle the pain? How do you treat it? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, it's not 13 14 every day. So there's just like on certain things that 15 I do, like bending down or turning too quickly. And if 16 I start getting the pinched nerve feeling, I just put 17 cold compresses or warm compresses on the disk or pain 18 medicine. 19 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: That's it. 21 MR. MAZZEO: All right. Thank you. 22 Mr. Avilaroa, and I'm not sure -- you had 23 described the -- the accident you had, so we don't need 24 to go over that again. 25 Do you have any family members that

```
1
   experience any chronic conditions in their neck, back,
2
   or lower extremities?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: 078. Just my mom
4
   from the car accident.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: Oh, which you told us about;
 6
   right?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah, just my
8
   mom. She still feels it today. And, you know, she
   can't really do as much. She can't even walk as well.
   And, you know, she -- it's -- she says it's gotten a
10
11
   lot worse, so -- I don't really have a -- too much
12
  contact with my mom. But last time I saw her, she's
13
   been the same, you know, her -- her pain. She has --
   she -- she won't -- she can't sit for long periods of
14
15
   time. She'll stand up in the middle of conversations,
16
   so ...
17
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And so it's a chronic
18
  degenerative condition that she has at this point?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, sir.
20
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- okay. Appreciate
21
   it.
        Thank you.
22
             Mr. Retzlaff, aside from what we discussed
23
   about your injuries, your father's, do you have any
24
   other family members who have any chronic conditions of
25
   the neck, back, or lower extremities?
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: 088, and not that 2 I can recall. 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. 4 We're going to go to this side. 5 Mr. Inglett, same question. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: 091. My dad has 7 chronic pain, stems from multiple issues ranging from 8 diabetes to arthritis to not caring for himself well. So he -- he deals with it every day. He has good days 10 and bad days. MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And how does he deal with 11 12 it overall? What are the different modalities that he 13 uses? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: He's in the care 14 15 of a pain management doctor. I know he's going through 16 procedure after procedure. As far as the specifics on 17 him and all that, I'm not really familiar. My parents 18 have decided to keep the kids out of it, just because 19 it's kind of tough dealing with someone who deals with 20 chronic pain. So they want to just update us when 21 there's improvements rather than all negative. 22 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay. All right. Thank 23 you. 24 And -- and, Mr. Corum, is there Mr. Corum. 25 anyone in your family or yourself that has any

```
1
   conditions with the neck, back, or lower extremities?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Badge No. 093.
3
   My grandma's had, you know, problems. She has
 4
   scoliosis and arthritis and stuff like that. I mean,
   she's -- the scoliosis looks like it's kind of getting
   bad, but I don't know.
7
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Age-related degenerative
8
   changes?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Age related
10
   and -- I'm not sure.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. All right. Thank you.
12
             Hi, Ms. Sako.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Other --
14
   Badge No. 159. Other than that isolated incident where
15
   my lower back muscles were tense, which I just sort of
   blamed it on lack of stretching, nothing else. None of
16
17
   my family members or anything like that.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: And is that -- that isolated
19
   incident that's just one time where you --
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yes, that only
21
   happened one time.
22
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. All right. Thank you.
23
             And, Mr. Franco, same question: Do you
24
   yourself, your wife, or any other family members have
25
   any conditions of the neck, lower back, or lower
```

1 extremities? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: My wife went 3 through that -- 096 -- went through that accident, and 4 she got better through physical therapy and all that 5 other stuff. I have rotator cuff surgery, right, but not 6 7 from golfing because that sounds like it's a really 8 hard sport, golfing. 9 MR. MAZZEO: And bowling. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I haven't done 11 that. And bowling. So just rotator cuff, but physical 12 therapy for six months. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Good to go. 15 MR. MAZZEO: And how about in your job as a 16 postman, with the -- I don't know your -- the 17 activities that you have to engage in on a regular 18 basis? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: Oh, a lot can 20 happen over time. You know, they got carpal tunnel 21 going on, your knees go out, hips go out, shoulders. 22 I'm not there yet, so ... 23 MR. MAZZEO: Still young --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I'm still hanging 25 in there, still fighting, so we're good.

1 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Great. Thank you. 2 Show of hands, general question: Has anyone 3 heard the term -- I know a couple have already 4 mentioned this term. Has anyone heard the term "sprain or strain" referring to the neck or back? Okay. A number of you. 6 7 Mr. Roberts, when you hear that term, "neck 8 or back sprain" or "strain," what does that mean to you? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Generally, it 11 refers to some kind of impingement or pain that is --12 is associated with some kind of activity, whether it's overlifting, traumatic hit, or something like that. 13 14 MR. MAZZEO: What -- sure. Thank you. 15 And what's your opinion regarding the 16 severity -- your own personal opinion regarding the 17 severity of a sprain or strain? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: That's a hard one 19 because with the stuff I've done in my own life, 20 that's -- a lot of times I think things are, you know, 21 minor, not a big deal. But --22 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: -- other people 23 might not feel the same way. 24 25 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And I know in the top row

1 a couple of people raised their hand. Ms. Gold, you 2 did. 3 What is -- when you hear the term "sprain" 4 and "strain," what does that mean to you? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: It means that you 6 hurt yourself but nothing's broken. 7 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: It's just kind of 9 strained or sprained. MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and before asking 10 11 anyone else about that, how many -- how many people 12 here have actually sustained a sprain or strain? Okay. Yeah, a number of you. Okay. All right. 13 14 And, Ms. Klein, can you tell us about that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I had sprained my 16 ankle in high school, was on crutches for several 17 months, soft tissue damage, and it -- ice, rest, 18 elevate, compression. And it -- it really depends --19 it's a very wide range of -- of possible injuries, 20 depending on the location of the injury and how it 21 was -- you know, how it occurred, and personal, you 22 know, fortitude of that person. It -- it can be 23 everything from having to wear, you know, the 24 inflatable cast. I've seen, you know, people who had 25 really bad sprains, ankle sprains that had to wear

1 inflatable cast or other people would just put an Ace bandage on it and get on with their life. It's a 2 3 really wide spectrum. 4 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Thank you. Appreciate it. 5 6 Who here -- let me narrow that -- that 7 question down a little bit. Who here has sustained a 8 sprain-strain to either the neck or the back? Can we 9 have a show of hands? Okay. Fewer. Okay. 10 Mr. Berkery, how did you sustain a 11 sprain-strain to your neck or back? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: 063. The same 13 injury I had discussed previously with carrying somebody downstairs, my back popped. 14 15 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, yes. Oh, that's right, and 16 I wanted to -- actually wanted to ask you about that. 17 Yeah, you were with another -- I guess you 18 were an EMT. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, firefighter 20 EMT. 21 MR. MAZZEO: And you were going down a pretty 22 narrow staircase, only enough room for one of you on 23 either side? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yeah, we were --

the responding police officer backed us up, so I had

25

```
1
   somebody behind me --
2
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063 -- as we were going
4
   down the stairs.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: Were you on the lower end of
 6
   the --
7
                   (Clarification by the Reporter.)
8
             MR. MAZZEO: Were you on the lower end of
9
   whatever it was you were carrying?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, I was.
                                                       It
11
   was a stair not a stretcher.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Thank you.
13
             And as you were descending the stairs, you
  had told us yesterday that your back had popped.
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: What -- what do you mean by that
17
   when you say "popped"?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I just -- I
19
   literally felt pain everywhere. Everything went white.
20
   I was sweating profusely. I just had -- couldn't
21
   breathe. I couldn't move. I couldn't -- you know,
22
  that's what I had to do, but that was what the feeling
   was at the time.
23
24
                          Sure. Did you have any X-rays
             MR. MAZZEO:
25
   or MRIs imaging studies performed of your back
```

## afterward?

1

2

3

4

9

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: At the emergency room, yeah. Once -- once we -- actually, once we got the patient down the stairs, some -- some of the 5 firefighters took the patient from me because then they could, and I just kind of stood there till they boarded 7 me and brought me in. There was no -- there was no major damage. It was just the strain of what was going This big shot of morphine and got me home, and I 10 stayed down for a couple of days and then I was fine.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So -- so the injury was more specific to the myofascial tissues not to a disk in your back.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No, not to a disk, no, sir.

16 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate 17 it.

Okay. This next topic -- as you can see, I'm starting to move a little bit faster at this point, so -- but this next topic is important, ladies and gentlemen. And -- and, again, it's a sensitive issue. It's -- but it's something that has to be -- that I have to discuss. I need to know your thoughts and feelings about this. And we were talking about health This case involves claimed injuries and issues.

conditions, so -- and I think -- and I think I will start with Mr. Blurton, because -- or I'll ask a general question, then I'll start with Mr. Blurton because Mr. Blurton gave us an answer regarding this

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

anyway.

- So who -- who here has their own personal
  experience or a family member who has health issues due
  to excess weight or deconditioning? All right. Just a
  show of hands if you would. Okay. And I know

  Mr. Blurton had indicated earlier. Ms. Bias,

  Ms. Klein, Ms. Gold. Okay. Thank you.
  - Mr. Blurton, I just wanted to follow up on something you told us earlier in your in a statement you made about conditioning. And do you have any opinions about how excess weight or deconditioning can cause symptoms or pain in your own life?
  - PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: So -- 150. My weight causes my back to hurt sometimes. And that's -- that's generally for me. I -- and I know that's -- I know that's definitely true and that happens a lot.

    And I know that once the weight goes down, my back issues will probably go away.
- MR. MAZZEO: And in what way, if at all, does that affect -- when you have the pain, does that affect your ability to engage in activities of -- of living?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: I don't -- I 2 don't think so. It's -- I've kind of learned to tough 3 it out. I've been overweight for a while now and kind of been able to deal with it. And it's -- you know, 5 it's my -- to me, it feels like mild issues; right? 6 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: To be honest, 8 sitting at a computer -- because when I sit at a 9 computer, I have to be close to the screen; right? this far (witness indicating), maybe 10 inches at the 10 11 most from the monitor to see what I'm doing. So I'm 12 always sitting like this (witness indicating). 13 MR. MAZZEO: Forward. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes, I'm always 15 sitting forward. So that actually causes more 16 problems, right, because I have to do this (witness 17 indicating), so it hurts my back to sit like this for 18 many, many hours; right? And, you know -- so out of 19 the two, I would say that's probably worse. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 21 Ms. Klein. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Badge No. 146. 23 Both my father and mother-in-law have weight-related 24 health issues that have -- they're both diabetic. And

it's also caused other problems and pain.

25

Specifically, I noticed with my father-in-law, his feet 1 2 frequently pain him because of the excess weight. He 3 had to have both knees replaced, and that at the time of his -- his doctor told him, you know, the excess 5 weight would cause it to deteriorate faster and cause 6 more pain. 7 MR. MAZZEO: And how does -- how does that 8 affect his ability to move about and -- and perform normal activities? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He doesn't. MR. MAZZEO: Does not at all? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: He's very 13 sedentary. 14 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: And that's part 16 of the problem that has caused the weight issue is the 17 sedentary lifestyle. 18 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Sure. Thank you. 19 Ms. Gold. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes. I was very 21 overweight. I had gastric bypass surgery. I had 22 several morbidities, where they assessed to see if 23 you're -- if you would benefit from gastric bypass 24 surgery. Sleep apnea, fatty liver. I had problems 25

with my feet, so ...

1 MR. MAZZEO: And do any of those problems 2 affect or have they affected or do they affect when --3 when, let's say, the symptoms arise, do they affect your ability to engage in normal activities? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: They have, yes, 6 in the past. My daughter's also quite overweight, and 7 when she gets pregnant, she has to take special medications to help her, and she has problems with her 9 daily activities too. 10 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. 11 Ms. Bias, I know you raised your hand as 12 well. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 066. Well, I 14 used to be a lot bigger than what I was or, well, that 15 I am now. But I notice that doing Zumba has helped my knees and my back very, very -- in a good way. But my 16 husband, he's overweight. He's diabetic, and he has 17 18 knee issues. And I tried to get him to go to Zumba, 19 but he's embarrassed so -- but yeah. But he's my 20 husband. 21 MR. MAZZEO: And -- and how does -- how does 22 with your husband, are his activities of whether work 23 related or -- or leisure related, are they affected in 24 any way when he has symptoms?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066:

25

Because he

No.

1 he's a houseman at the Cosmo, so he's always running

2 and doing. It's just his eating habits which kind

3 of -- how do you say it? Doesn't help to exercise

4 because he eats more than he exercises I guess, so --

5 but other than that, he does pretty good.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Just needs to exercise more.

MR. MAZZEO: And -- and, ladies and gentlemen, because of the issues and the -- and the sensitivity of the topic of weight and conditioning, will questions of witnesses during trial with regard to weight and conditioning, will that unnerve or bother anyone or affect anyone adversely if that's brought up? No? Okay. Thank you.

Now, I -- I did mention yesterday that I would get into the topic of I want to know about whether anyone has any medical training and knowledge, and I know, Mr. Berkery, I know you do have training in the field of medicine as an EMT. So I wanted to ask you about that.

But before I do, show of hands, who has training in the field of medicine? Any sort of training. Okay. Mr. Foerstel, Mr. Jensen, Ms. Klein, Mr. Cyganek. All right. Okay. Thank you.

1 Mr. Berkery, if we can start with you. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Sure. 3 MR. MAZZEO: And can you tell us something 4 about the training that you received to become an EMT? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: It was years ago. 6 I was an EMT firefighter. I learned my initial EMT 7 training in Massachusetts in 1988, a number of weeks of training. It's -- it's street training. It's not -it's not high-level medical training by any means. You 10 look at what you're faced with and go through your 11 procedures and treat and get them to the hospital so they can get treatment that they need. And it's simple 13 stuff, make sure their airway breathing, circulation, 14 you know, stabilize them and get them to the hospital. 15 I later became an EMT I, which for Northern 16 New Jersey was the ability to run an intravenous line, no meds, just the line. 17 18 MR. MAZZEO: How long were you an EMT for? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Ten years. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So -- and -- and I think 21 we touched upon it the other day, maybe yesterday, that 22 you have -- you've responded to the scene of a number 23 of motor vehicle accidents. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes. I don't 25 know the number.

1 MR. MAZZEO: I imagine it's a big -- in ten 2 years, it's many. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Probably. 4 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So -- and as the first 5 responder, you're the primary medical provider when responding to a scene to keep a person alive and to 6 7 check out the vitals of a person. 8 Do you -- you've been in a position to make 9 assessments. You're required, actually, as an EMT, to make preliminary assessments as to the condition of --10 11 of a person who's involved in an accident; right? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And -- and then, I quess, 14 as an EMT, you have -- there's certain requirements you 15 have for securing a person that you deemed necessary 16 to -- to -- needs further treatment, and they're going 17 to transport them to an emergency room, there's certain 18 procedures for securing the patient for transport; is 19 that right? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yeah. 21 MR. MAZZEO: What are some of the procedures? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I can't speak to 23 today. At the time we had to do the best that we could 24 to bring their spine into alignment and -- and move

them as a unit, longboard them and get them to the

25

hospital. 1 2 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 3 And moving on to the back row at this point, 4 Mr. Jensen, I believe you indicated you have some 5 training in the field of medicine? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 015. Basic first 7 aid, Red Cross CPR, and AED usage. 8 MR. MAZZEO: And what was the reason for 9 becoming trained in -- I guess, certified in those 10 disciplines? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I was the safety 12 focal point at the office, and it was just part of our 13 training program. 14 MR. MAZZEO: This was at the National --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: National Weather 16 Service. 17 MR. MAZZEO: -- Weather Service. 18 And was that expected of all of the 19 meteorologists to have training in that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: We tried to have 21 one person on shift at all times that had basic 22 training. 23 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And what is the reason 24 for that? Because I -- I -- when I'm thinking of an 25 office setting, typically, most office settings don't

have someone like that. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Because we're a 3 24-hour operation and at 3:00 o'clock in the morning 4 when you're working with one other person, if that person can't get to a phone to call 911, we hopefully have someone else that could help them out till a first 7 responder got there. 8 MR. MAZZEO: Makes sense. 9 Have you ever had to use any of the training 10 that you received to examine a person and -- and/or 11 treat someone? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No, I have not. 13 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you. 14 Mr. Foerstel. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes. 16 MR. MAZZEO: Hi. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: 17 023. 18 MR. MAZZEO: And you had indicated, I 19 believe -- you raised your hand. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, a 21 wilderness first responder course. It's about a 22 two-week first aid course for, like, the outdoor 23 environment. And once again, I never put any of the 24 practice to use. I just thought I needed it for all my 25

outdoor activities and -- and pursuits that I do

to -- to be safe.

The only time I ever used something is at the school that I worked at, one of the students dislocated his little finger, and I pulled it back straightened it out. That was about it.

MR. MAZZEO: And was it dislocated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, it was pointing out to the side, yeah.

MR. MAZZEO: And you had to push it back into place?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: You actually pull it, yeah. It was tough, tough kid.

MR. MAZZEO: Wow. Thank you.

Ms. Klein.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Badge No. 146.

CPR, first aid, AED. I first got the certification when I was working as an engineering intern for the water district. They require all of their employees to go out into the field to have first aid, CPR, and AED training. I maintained it as an engineer because a lot of times we had to go out into undeveloped areas to do field surveys and on construction sites. And it was not required but heavily suggested by almost all of my employers that we have that training and certification.

And now I'm a teacher, they offer it for free

1 for teachers, at least at all the schools I've been at to maintain your certification. 2 3 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Great. Thank you. 4 Who else in the top row indicated? Oh, 5 Mr. Cyganek. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Again, CPR, AED, 7 first aid 'cause in security -- I'm in a corporate environment and a lot of people are exercising 9 throughout their work schedules. And, you know, a lot of people in and out of three different buildings, so 10 you just never know what kind of problems. 11 12 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Have you ever used 13 your -- no, you haven't? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Never have. 15 Badge 106. 16 MR. MAZZEO: Thank you. Thank you. 17 Anyone else in the back row who has 18 medical -- yeah, medical training? No? 19 Okay. In the middle row, Mr. Roberts, I know 20 you raised your hand. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: As a coach, I'm 22 CPR-certified also. We have -- we have to carry the 23 same thing. As a claims adjustor, I don't know if it's 24 medical training, but we go through courses on medical 25 terminology, physiology, and stuff like that.

1 don't know if that's what you're looking for. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Yeah, any sort of training. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So I have that 3 4 background. 5 MR. MAZZEO: And also do you have any --6 as -- as in your -- when you were adjusting, did you 7 have any training with CPT coding or any sort of bill 8 coding? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah, I'm also 10 familiar with as I used to be administration in the home health agency. 11 12 MR. MAZZEO: I'm sorry. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I used to be in administration in the home health agency also. So I've 14 15 been in the medical -- I have been quasi in the medical 16 environment for a few years. 17 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. And your CPR training, 18 have you ever had to render assistance to anyone? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have not. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Anyone else in the second 21 row who has medical training that --22 Mr. Berkery, anything in addition to what we 23 talked about? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I was a burn 25 technician for a year at New Jersey's critical care

1 burn unit. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Oh, okay. And can you tell us 3 something -- a little bit more about that, what are your -- the scope of your duties as a burn technician 4 and what training did you receive? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Because I came in 6 7 with my EMT, that -- they considered that a base 8 training. The technicians' jobs were to undress, wash 9 and debride, evaluate, provide that information to the 10 nurse and the doctor. Once they made their decisions 11 on treatment, we bandaged them back up and got them 12 back to their beds. The nurses in the room were 13 responsible for airways and other -- other life vitals 14 to make sure that they stayed with us. 15 MR. MAZZEO: And how did you -- how did you get involved in that field as a burn technician? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: You know what, I 18 don't really remember other than it was a good paid job 19 at the time. 20 MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And I didn't mean to

MR. MAZZEO: Sure. And I didn't mean to pass -- you know, not ask you about any other training you had. Thank you for offering that, so ...

21

22

23

24

25

And anyone else? How about in this first row here? No. Okay. All right. Thank you.

Does anyone have any training and

```
experience -- and you might have suggested this already
1
   with my prior question -- but in X-rays and diagnostic
2
3
   testing, sonograms, any sort of imaging procedures?
 4
   No? Okay.
5
             Does anybody -- has everyone heard of X-rays
 6
   and MRIs? Okay. I'd say -- I think everybody has
7
   raised their hand.
8
             No? Mr. Inglett.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I have.
10
             MR. MAZZEO: You have as well. Just assumed
11
   that everybody has. Okay.
12
             And so just randomly, Mr. -- Mr. Brandon,
  random. I haven't spoken to you for a while.
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: That's a good
15
   thing.
16
             MR. MAZZEO: I want to catch you all before
17
   the afternoon lull, before the energy from the lunch
18
   and everybody getting tired.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: That's already
20
   started.
21
             MR. MAZZEO: That clock is crazy right now.
22
             So, Mr. Brandon, what -- what is your
23
   understanding of what X-rays and/or MRIs are used for?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Looking at your
25
   body, checking for broken bones, and ...
```

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: Looking at -- right, diagnosing
2
   the structures of our body basically; right?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Seeing our
 4
   insides.
5
             MR. MAZZEO: That's right. Okay.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: What we ate,
7
   what's stuck inside our stomachs.
8
             MR. MAZZEO:
                          Sure.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: We'll leave it at
10
   that.
11
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Okay. And would you --
12
  the findings that come from an MRI or from an X-ray --
13
   which are basically pictures, right, basically
   pictures? -- would that be subjective information or
14
15
  objective information about the structure of the body
16
   that's being photographed?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I think
18
  subjective.
19
             MR. MAZZEO: Okay. How many people think
20
   that X-rays and the images from X-ray -- X-rays and
21
   MRIs are objective information? Raise -- show of
22
   hands. And -- thank you. And -- and -- or subjective
  information? Or a combination of both. Ah,
23
24
  interpretation right of the film? Okay. Okay. Right,
25
   it's a combination?
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: You can see a 2 break. 3 MR. MAZZEO: I want to get back to a general 4 question. I had mentioned something prior to lunch about my client, Andrea, contesting liability, and -and I wasn't sure to what extent anyone was aware of 7 that prior to me saying it this morning. 8 So now that you know that Andrea's contesting 9 liability and damages, for those who indicated that 10 they could not be -- that -- that they would be biased 11 or they could not be impartial with respect to a 12 driving-while-impaired-type claim, which you don't have 13 to decide, how many people feel that they might -knowing that Andrea's contesting liability, that they 14 15 might hold that against her for contesting liability? Anyone? Okav. 16 17 And in considering -- I'm going to start with 18 you, Mr. Blurton. In considering compensation -- and 19 of course you haven't heard any evidence yet -- but 20 would you have any problem returning a verdict for \$1 21 if justified by the evidence? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: No. 23 MR. MAZZEO: I'm sorry? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: 150. No. No. 25 MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Brandon, same question.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No.
2	MR. MAZZEO: No? Okay.
3	Ms. Flores, same question.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No.
5	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Mr. Jensen.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No.
7	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Mr. Foerstel.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
9	MR. MAZZEO: All right. Ms. Klein.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No.
11	MR. MAZZEO: Ms. Gold.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
13	MR. MAZZEO: Mrs. Cyganek.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
15	MR. MAZZEO: Ms. Abeles.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
17	MR. MAZZEO: Ms. Gallegos.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Mr. Gallegos,
19	yeah. No, I wouldn't.
20	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Evans.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
22	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Roberts.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: My ability, no.
24	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Berkery.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.

1	MR. MAZZEO: Ms. Bias.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 066. No.
3	MR. MAZZEO: Ms Mr. Avilaroa.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: 078. Negative.
5	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Retzlaff.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 088: 088. No.
7	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Inglett.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Can you repeat
9	the question?
10	MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Would you have any
11	problem in considering compensation, would you have
12	any problem returning a verdict for \$1 if justified by
13	the evidence?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
15	MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Mr. Corum.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No.
17	MR. MAZZEO: Ms. Sako.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: 159. No.
19	MR. MAZZEO: Mr. Franco.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: 096. No.
21	MR. MAZZEO: Thank you.
22	And now, during the trial, both sides have
23	experts that we've retained, and they're going to be
24	they're going to come in here. They're going to
25	testify. And sometimes jurors, people think that

because the experts hired by, you know, the parties -and in this case by both parties, they -- they think
that, well, maybe they don't look at their -- their
testimony the same way they will as -- as a witness
who's not being paid to render services.

Does anybody -- show of hands. Question for everyone -- believe that experts are -- are more or less credible than an ordinary witness? Anyone? In other words, will everyone give the experts who testify in this case the same weight you would give any -- any witness that will testify?

I see a nod of heads. Is that for everybody? Okay. Great.

We're moving right along now. What time is 15 it?

Is there anything -- I know that Mr. Roberts touched upon this, and I just for my own satisfaction, I -- I want to touch upon it as well a little bit, briefly actually.

Does anybody feel because the plaintiff brought a claim in here and she's claiming she was injured as a result of this accident, does anybody feel sorry for her? At all? Does anybody feel sympathy for her at all before you hear any evidence? No?

Mr. Roberts.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. She hasn't even been here, so ...

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Does anybody have -- with respect to what you've heard so far, and you -- you know that my client, Andrea's the owner of the car, you know that Jared was the driver of the car.

Does anybody have any -- any -- any preconceived or -- not preconceived necessarily, but has -- have you developed any feelings because of what you've heard about the ruling on -- on the -- regarding the marijuana metabolite and the impairment, does anybody have any feelings that makes you ill at ease for sitting on this case with respect to the defendants, Andrea or Jared? Anyone? Okay. All right.

And I need an assurance. Will everyone be fair and just in compensating the plaintiff in this case only for the injuries and damages that she proves that she sustained from this accident? Can everyone assure me of that? Show of hands. And it looks like the entire panel has raised their hand. Okay. Thank you.

And I'm going to take a moment to look at some notes because I am just about done.

Okay. All right. And I have -- it's another

```
general question for all of you. And just a show of
 1
   hands, please. So yesterday, maybe it was the day
 2
 3
   before as well, but many of you had said or there were
   a number of you, and I don't know how many, and I'm not
 4
   pointing anyone out at this point, said you can't be
   impartial if liability is an issue in a DUI case.
 6
 7
             Now that you know that Andrea is contesting
 8
   liability, do any of you have strong opinions about
 9
   drug use or DUI that might affect your impartiality
   with respect to Andrea or Jared? No show of hands?
10
11
   No? Okay. Okay.
             With that, ladies and gentlemen, I am done.
12
13
   Thank you for your -- thank you for your time and
14
   attention.
15
             THE COURT: Pass the panel for cause,
16
   Mr. Mazzeo?
17
             MR. MAZZEO: I do, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: Mr. Strassburg.
19
             MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, I wonder if this
20
   would be an appropriate time to take the afternoon
21
   break?
22
             THE COURT: Only been an hour, but we can
23
   take a break if you want. We'll take a quick break,
   folks.
24
```

During our break, you're instructed not to

25

1	talk with each other or with anyone else about any
2	subject or issue connected with this trial. You are
3	not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or
4	commentary on the trial by any person connected with
5	this case or by any medium of information, including,
6	without limitation, newspapers, television, the
7	Internet, or radio. You are not to conduct any
8	research on your own, which means you cannot talk with
9	others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or
10	conduct any other kind of book or computer research
11	with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney
12	involved in this case. You're not to form or express
13	any opinion on any subject connected with this trial
14	until the case is finally submitted to you.
15	See you in about ten minutes.
16	(The following proceedings were held
17	outside the presence of the jury.)
18	THE COURT: We're outside the presence.
19	Anything on the record?
20	MR. MAZZEO: No, Your Honor.
21	MR. TINDALL: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Off the record.
23	(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
24	THE COURT: Back on the record. We're
25	outside the presence.

Okay. Go ahead.

MR. SMITH: I'm finally going to say something since the trial started.

THE COURT: Okay. I've been waiting.

MR. SMITH: We received some demonstrative exhibits from Mr. Strassburg with respect to his opening, and we wanted to discuss them.

THE COURT: Let's do it at the end of the day.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Then let me discuss one other issue related to the same thing. Mr. Mazzeo has told us he's not going to give us his demonstrative exhibits until after our opening. That's not going to give us sufficient time to review those. And in particular as an example, some of the exhibits we got from Mr. Strassburg that we're going to object require a significant amount of time going through the 100 and some motions in limine, various medical records, et cetera, in order to determine whether the things in there are accurate, appropriate, in line with the Court's orders. And if we don't get them until after our opening, we're not going to have sufficient time in order to review them. I want to give him some notice now, so we can discuss it now.

MR. MAZZEO: Most of the exhibits I have are

actually diagrams or illustrations of the spine, different parts of the spine. So I don't have a problem disclosing that.

attorney work product, and I'm certainly not going to disclose that until after. They're not going to use my work product in their opening to undermine my case. So they're not going to get that. But I can certainly — I have two — two exhibits, trial boards over there which are from plaintiff's exhibits. So I don't have no problem with them looking at those boards in advance.

But it's not something that I'm going to show them until after my -- after their opening statement because I don't -- that's -- I don't want my opening to be sabotaged by the plaintiff.

THE COURT: That's fair.

MR. SMITH: I understand his point. I think we may end up — depending on what he gives us, we may end up having some longer break than you would like in order for us to review that and go through the Court's orders.

MR. MAZZEO: And -- and I will just say this, and I appreciate what Mr. Smith is saying. I know what Mr. Strassburg had disclosed the Gantt charts.

1 (Clarification by the Reporter.) 2 MR. STRASSBURG: G-a-n-t-t. 3 MR. MAZZEO: And I don't have any charts of 4 that nature. So there's nothing that -- most of them are either -- they're photographs that have been stipulated into evidence, medical records that are 7 stipulated into evidence, or otherwise illustrations or 8 diagrams of body part, facet joints. 9 THE COURT: I'm not going to make him do it 10 today because I think he makes a good point that you 11 could use his slides or whatever during your opening. 12 That's not fair. 13 Okay. MR. SMITH: 14 Thanks, Judge. MR. MAZZEO: 15 THE COURT: We'll have to deal with it 16 tomorrow. 17 MR. TINDALL: Your Honor, we request that 18 when Mr. Strassburg's done and it's time for jury 19 selection, if the jurors be excused rather than waiting 20 in the room. 21 THE COURT: You guys all want to do that? 22 Sometimes people like to be able to see the jurors that 23 they're excusing as they're exercising their rights. 24 You guys want to leave the jury here, or you want to

excuse them while you're exercising your peremptories?

25

1 MR. ROBERTS: It's just going to go back and 2 forth silently, and then they're going to be told? 3 THE COURT: Yep. 4 MR. ROBERTS: I'm fine with them staying in 5 the box for that. I didn't want to exercise it in 6 front of the panel. 7 THE COURT: He's asking that they be excused. 8 So I mean, I understand the -- at least part of the 9 reason on the defense side is because they're going to 10 have to at least talk about one of them. 11 MR. ROBERTS: I've got no objection. 12 THE COURT: You want to let them go. We'll 13 just have them wait in the hallway while you exercise your perempts? Everybody okay with that? 14 MR. ROBERTS: We might have some discussion 15 16 ourselves amongst the team. 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we'll get 18 through the voir dire, and then we'll have them wait in 19 the hall until the perempts are exercised, and we'll 20 bring them back in and tell them who's staying, who's 21 going. 22 All right. Let's bring them back. Once we 23 have a jury and we've excused them, we can talk about 24 whatever else you guys want to talk about for tomorrow. 25 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: If there's time tomorrow.
2	MR. ROBERTS: That was what we were talking
3	now because 4:15.
4	THE MARSHAL: Jury entering.
5	(The following proceedings were held in
6	the presence of the jury.)
7	THE MARSHAL: Jury is present, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and be
9	seated, folks. Back on the record, Case No. A637772.
10	Mr. Strassburg.
11	MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Time is yours.
13	
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
15	MR. STRASSBURG: Good afternoon.
16	IN UNISON: Good afternoon.
17	MR. STRASSBURG: I wanted you to walk around
18	a little to get the blood going again. Again, I want
19	to thank you for your service and the time that you've
20	devoted to this. I know it it wasn't all
21	scintillating, and there may be more of that to come.
22	But thank you. I know you've tried to pay attention,
23	and I appreciate that. And so does Mr. Awerbach.
24	Same to you. Thank you for your attention
25	and the time that you've invested. What you do is very

1	important too, even though you may not make it into the
2	box. So thank you for doing your duty. We appreciate
3	that.
4	I want to introduce to you my guy, Jared
5	Awerbach.
6	Jared, do you mind standing up? Thank you.
7	He's 24 years old, and this is his birthday.
8	I'm being assisted in this case by Mr. Randy
9	Tindall, my partner.
10	Randy, do you mind standing up?
11	MR. TINDALL: I almost fell over there.
12	Sorry.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: He needs a break
14	to move his blood around.
15	MR. STRASSBURG: We didn't plan the jacket
16	thing. That's not
17	Let's start, Ms. Abeles. I remember do
18	you remember the first day way back when?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah.
20	MR. STRASSBURG: You described yourself as
21	happily divorced.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes, sir.
23	MR. STRASSBURG: Do you remember that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes, sir.
25	MR. STRASSBURG: I wondered I have a

1 couple of questions --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Uh-huh. 3 MR. STRASSBURG: -- about one of the aspects 4 in this case are punitive damages; it is contrition, a person who is sorry for a mistake. And, again, I don't mean to pry, but I -- I wondered if -- if perhaps you 7 might have some experience in matters of the heart, human relations, and people who may have made a mistake 9 that hurt you and have sought to renew the relationship 10 with you by saying they're sorry. Would I be close on 11 that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Like, in my 13 relationship with the ex? 14 MR. STRASSBURG: Or anywhere -- or anyone? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah. I mean, people have said sorry and stuff. I say it a lot. 17 MR. STRASSBURG: Say again. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm sorry. 043. 19 I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 20 MR. STRASSBURG: See, it's not so hard. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Someone else had 22 said you could forgive, but maybe not to forget. So 23 that's not to let things happen again if it's very 24 negative. 25 MR. STRASSBURG: Let me try it this way.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah.
2	MR. STRASSBURG: No, you're fine. That's
3	fine.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: If you could
5	rephrase. I mean
6	MR. STRASSBURG: Now you sound like me.
7	Is there anything let's say,
8	hypothetically, that your ex came to you and wanted you
9	to take him back.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah, that
11	happened. Okay.
12	MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. And he said he was
13	sorry and he had changed, he wasn't the same guy.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Uh-huh.
15	MR. STRASSBURG: What kind of what would
16	he have to show you? See, now, my wife's from
17	Missouri, the Show Me state, and she's one of these,
18	Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah, Mr. Lawyer, blah, blah, but
19	you gotta show me. And that's a good way to be.
20	So what what would your ex have to show
21	you to get you to think that his saying sorry was
22	genuine?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Oh, that's a good
24	one.
25	MR. STRASSBURG: You think about it. You

1 think about it. 2 Anybody else -- has anybody else had 3 experience with somebody coming to you that maybe you 4 had a beef with, who had wronged you on the job, in your family, and said, I'm sorry. I messed up. And you had to decide whether to buy it or not? Anybody? 7 Ms. Klein. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes. 146. I had 9 some problems with my brother-in-law who has made some 10 serious bad life choices and apologized and was not 11 able to show that he actually had changed to my 12 satisfaction. As a result, I now have custody of his 13 child. 14 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Any experience with 15 people who were able to show you sufficient evidence of 16 change --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes. 18 MR. STRASSBURG: -- that you felt you could 19 take them at their word? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Oh, yeah. My --20 21 his sister -- his wife, my sister --22 MR. STRASSBURG: Was that the addiction 23 matter you talked about? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes. She was 25 able to, you know, complete a -- a rehabilitation

program and tried to get her life back on track. And 1 her only problem now is that she won't leave him, and 3 that's why she can't have custody of her kid. 4 MR. STRASSBURG: I understand -- well, I 5 understand some of that. And I don't want to pry. Can I ask, though, what kind of program did your sister 6 7 complete? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: It was one of the 9 state-mandated drug rehab programs. 10 MR. STRASSBURG: And she completed it 11 successfully? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes. 13 MR. STRASSBURG: And how long ago was that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Within the last 15 year. 16 MR. STRASSBURG: And -- and how long did it 17 take after she successfully completed the program 18 before you thought she was for real, she really had 19 changed? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Before she was 21 even fully complete, the fact that she was fully 22 committed to it and acknowledged the mistakes and tried 23 to make recompense and tried to correct the problems, 24 even before she was fully complete with the program, I 25 knew she was committed to it and really did -- trying

to make things right.

MR. STRASSBURG: Do you think that people can change, or do you think that it's really, you know, you can't teach an old dog new tricks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: If they really want to for themselves. It's very difficult for people to change for other people. If you want to change yourself, you can. And it's hard. But if they're willing to work at it, they can.

MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Ms. Abeles, you ready?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I have an answer. Juror No. 043. And I apologize to everyone for this one. So my first boyfriend — and I was in my early 20s — my first love of my life, I'm in Nevada because I was the runaway bride. So before we were getting married, I had this epiphany, like, I don't think — seriously, I wanted to get married because I wanted a child. So everyone has a reason why we do everything. Everyone has their own agenda. That's my feeling. I wanted always — I was brought up, you're married, and then you have the baby. And that was my thought.

So my first boyfriend, I just thought at the last moment, really a couple of weeks before getting married, I was like, Ooh, I don't know if I want to

- 1 have a baby with you. So from the East Coast, I moved
- 2 to the West Coast. Like, I just -- I just did it.
- 3 He's one of my closest friends and right now, he's
- 4 sickly. My son knows if he wants to come -- I think
- 5 he's truly sorry. There were just things that he was
- 6 doing. We were young and whatnot. And yes, he said
- 7 he's sorry. He's one of my closest and dearest
- 8 friends, and I will always love him in that manner.
- 9 And if he wants to come and stay with my son and myself
- 10 because he's sick now, absolutely.
- I got married, truly, because I wanted to
- 12 have a baby. So seriously, I was out in Vegas working
- 13 billions of hours. My ex-husband was the first one I
- 14 dated, and the night I met him, he was like, I want to
- 15 have a family. I want to have seven to nine children.
- MR. STRASSBURG: I'm kind -- I'm sorry losing
- 17 the thread here.
- Who said I'm sorry to you? Him to you or you
- 19 to him?
- 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Him to me.
- 21 MR. STRASSBURG: And how did you know to buy
- 22 | it?
- 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I think people,
- 24 if they want to, they can change. I think we would --
- 25 hopefully, maybe just with me, I know I've matured with

```
time and with age and hopefully with some wisdom,
1
   making mistakes now, making mistakes, I'm definitely
2
3
   not a perfect human being. I'm human.
 4
             MR. STRASSBURG: We make more mistakes when
5
   we're young?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No. They're
7
   different. I mean, hopefully not.
8
             MR. STRASSBURG: Well, Ms. Abeles, does your
9
   kid ever lie to you, or is that just mine that do that?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I think everyone
11
  fibs, but my son tells me, I just lied to you.
12
             MR. STRASSBURG:
                              Really?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes, and he will
14
   punish himself.
15
             MR. STRASSBURG: He's not going to go to law
16
   school, is he?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No. He wants to
18
   be an orthodontist.
19
             MR. STRASSBURG: Ms. Gold.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: How about you? Do you have
22
   experience with either you're having to be contrite and
23
   make amends, or somebody's who hurt you, has come to
24
   you that way?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
```

1	MR. STRASSBURG: And and what did you look
2	for in deciding whether to take that statement of I'm
3	sorry, I messed up, as genuine?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Once somebody has
5	wronged you, it's really hard to trust them. I
6	think I think that's kind of a general feeling.
7	It's really, really hard to trust them. So you they
8	need to kind of prove. And I think part of that proof
9	is the passing of time to where they can show you that
10	they they do have you in their number one place to
11	forgive them.
12	Does that make sense?
13	MR. STRASSBURG: Yeah. You're saying changed
14	behavior over time is the proof.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Uh-huh.
16	MR. STRASSBURG: So are you from Missouri,
17	originally?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
19	MR. STRASSBURG: Oh, by the way, I grew up
20	rooting for the Cleveland Browns, and I wondered if I
21	could interest you in a quarterback slightly used?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
23	MR. STRASSBURG: No? Anyone else?
24	Mr. Evans, have you ever been placed in a
25	situation where you had to say, I'm sorry, or yeah,

```
1
   okay. I renew the relationship with you because I -- I
2
   believe you understand you messed up and you're sorry
3
   too?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Actually, I
5
   don't.
 6
             MR. STRASSBURG: That's never happened to
7
   you?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:
                                         No.
 9
             MR. STRASSBURG: Good. Nice going. Good for
10
   you.
11
             Anyone else? Mr. Roberts, how about you?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm sure
  there's -- there's things in my life that --
13
14
             MR. STRASSBURG: You've thrown an elbow you
15
  had to apologize for?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Oh, yeah.
17
   There's things that I think we all do in our life where
18
   you have to say I'm sorry and hope that it comes across
19
   genuine.
20
             MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. But when people do it
21
   to you, they have to say I'm sorry to you, what do you
22
   look for to decide whether you are going to accept that
23
   as genuine or not?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: For me, it's --
25
  it's really just really understanding who -- who I'm
```

dealing with. So if I -- if I think I have a good understanding of who that person is at the core, I probably would respond in a positive way. If I don't think -- if I still have a good understanding of who I think that person is at the core, but I don't think they're being genuine, it's just part of what they do, I probably won't forgive them. I have the same -- I don't forget. I forgive, but I don't forget. I might just put you over there, just leave you alone.

MR. STRASSBURG: All right. Are there any objective telltales that would signal to you, genuine sorry, genuine contrition?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Like I said, I think you have to know the person because each of us goes about our life in a different way, and each of us interact with people the way we're built. So if I know you and you're acting a certain way and it's not your normality, it might impress me one way. Or if it's something that's within your norm, and it seems like your genuine behavior, I might respond differently.

MR. STRASSBURG: So does it seem it's kind of like pain and suffering? Like, in this case, there's going to be a party giving you a subjective report on her say-so, of pain. And on the same token, but on the other side of the room, another party is going to be

saying to you, I'm sorry. 1 2 And I guess the -- one of the challenges is 3 you'll have to look at facts and decide what's genuine, 4 what's true, what's been proven to you. And I'm just 5 asking if you could give us a sense of what kind of facts you might look to about this contrition. 6 7 Now, Ms. Klein, you mentioned a program. Has 8 anybody else -- I mean, I know you have -- I don't want 9 to look at the notes, but I know some of you had experience with people who have fallen into 10 11 self-destructive behaviors, gambling, drugs, alcohol, 12 the list is endless. And that they have gone through 13 various programs, 12 steps, Genesis Life, all of those kind of things. 14 15 And what experiences could you -- do you feel 16 at liberty to share with us about the products of those 17 programs, how -- how successful those programs are? 18 Now, Mr. Corum --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes. 20 MR. STRASSBURG: -- I remember you talking 21 about that you had a family member, a friend --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: It was a distant 23 cousin. 24 MR. STRASSBURG: -- who had done some things

that had broke -- broken the relationship with you, and

25

```
1
   that you were waiting for him to do something, right,
2
   that would rekindle your affection.
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes.
 4
             MR. STRASSBURG: What would it take? What
5
   would he have to do? It's a he; right?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yes, it is a he.
7
             MR. STRASSBURG: What would he have to do
8
   before you would feel that any contrition he might have
9
   is genuine?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Well, this is
11
  actually going on as we speak.
12
             MR. STRASSBURG: I'm sorry. I don't want to
13
   pry.
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: It's fine. I'll
15
  talk about it.
16
             MR. STRASSBURG: Just the high stuff or the
17
  high points. That's fine.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Well, it's about
19
   money for the most part. Also, you know, being
20
   around -- I don't want to talk about the other part.
21
   But he owes me money, so I kind of just have him pay me
22
   back each month. That's when I talk to you, that's --
23
   you know, I don't want to -- he's going to -- I think
24
   he's going to an AA meeting.
25
             MR. STRASSBURG: The 12-step thing?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Something like
2	that.
3	MR. STRASSBURG: He's on what, 9, make amends
4	or
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I don't know
6	where he's at. I don't he wants me to go with him,
7	and I won't go with him to his meetings. I just told
8	him, I want to see you once a month. You pay me what
9	you owe me, or whatever we agreed upon on that month,
10	and that's when I'll talk to you, and that's when
11	you know, it's like take that one step.
12	MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Now, you're from
13	Missouri.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No, I'm not. No.
15	I'm from here.
16	MR. STRASSBURG: Anyone else have any
17	experience with people going through 12-step, Genesis,
18	any of these other programs for people who decide to
19	change, to change their lives, to set aside the old
20	behaviors and adopt appropriate ones? Anybody?
21	Mr. Avilaroa.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Avilaroa.
23	MR. STRASSBURG: I'm sorry.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No, it's all
25	right.

1	MR. STRASSBURG: Your number?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: 078.
3	MR. STRASSBURG: Shoot.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: My brother, yeah
5	he he changed completely. I felt like that program
6	that he was in really changed his life. And
7	MR. STRASSBURG: And what program was that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I'm not sure. It
9	was after his after he got his license suspended.
10	MR. STRASSBURG: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I forgot what
12	program what program, but he was he talked
13	to to victims that, you know, that were affected by,
14	you know, situations where somebody was driving under
15	the influence.
16	MR. STRASSBURG: Of alcohol?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Of alcohol.
18	MR. STRASSBURG: So this was an alcohol
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
20	MR. STRASSBURG: kind of program.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, and
22	MR. STRASSBURG: And how did you know that he
23	had been successful? I mean
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: His attitude.
25	His attitude, and I saw physical

```
1
             MR. STRASSBURG: Can I just stop you? The
2
   attitude, tell me about that.
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: His -- on his
 4
   attitude or?
5
             MR. STRASSBURG: Yes, what was different?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: He started, you
7
   know, being a lot nicer, and, you know, could hold a
8
   conversation and could -- dedication, you know. He was
   a -- he started becoming a man of his word. And I
10
   don't know, just something about him that I just knew
11
   that he changed.
             MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you. Thank you.
12
13
             Do any of you know the Las Vegas Rescue
14
  Mission?
            It's on Bonanza.
15
             I'm seeing you, Ms. Bias.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, sir. 066.
16
17
   Are you referring to like the --
18
             MR. STRASSBURG: I'm sorry?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: The homeless
20
   people, like feeding, that?
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: Well, you know, that --
22
  it's -- it's that walled compound down there by the
23
   freeway just off of Bonanza, and there's a -- they call
24
   it a "dog front." Actually, it's the fences where they
25
   bring them in, and -- but it's a residence too, for
```

```
1
   down-and-out men and women who have decided to turn
2
   their lives around. And -- and --
3
             MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, Your Honor, excuse
4
        Sorry to interrupt --
   me.
5
             MR. STRASSBURG: No, that's all right.
 6
             MR. ROBERTS: -- Roger.
 7
             Can we approach a second?
8
             THE COURT: Come on up.
 9
                   (A discussion was held at the bench,
10
                   not reported.)
11
             THE COURT: All right. We're going to try
12
   again. Ask a new question.
13
             MR. STRASSBURG: So you know about the
14
  mission. Tell me what you know about it and how you
15
  came to be become familiar with it.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 066. Sorry. I
17
   don't know personally. It's just I've heard from my
18
   church. We used to, like, take food and necessities
19
   for people that were down in their luck, and that's how
20
   I know about it.
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Does anybody else
22
   know about the Las Vegas Rescue Mission?
23
             Mr. Jensen.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 015.
                                               I'm
24
25
   involved in a homeless ministry, so I -- I just know
```

```
very basic about the mission not per se their routine
1
2
   operations or anything like that, though.
3
             MR. STRASSBURG: And does your ministry
4
  have -- is it a name and a building or is it like an
   outreach through your religious organization?
5
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: It's through a
7
  religious organization.
8
             MR. STRASSBURG: I see. And does it -- does
9
   it give programs, 12-step, and for -- for people to --
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: The church that I
11
  normally go to does. This is actually a separate
12
  church that I just do the outreach so I don't really
  know how to answer that.
13
14
             MR. STRASSBURG: So what's the success rate
15
  like?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: That one, I can't
17
  answer.
18
             MR. STRASSBURG: Fair enough.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I honestly don't
20
   know.
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: Fair enough.
22
             Anyone else?
23
             Little broader, anyone have -- like
24
  Mr. Jensen have experience with programs of this nature
25
   or know someone who has?
```

1	Ms. Gold.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: My ex-husband
3	went through the 12-step program.
4	MR. STRASSBURG: All 12?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
6	MR. STRASSBURG: How long ago was that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Probably 34,
8	35 years ago.
9	MR. STRASSBURG: And in Nevada or someplace
10	else?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: In Utah.
12	MR. STRASSBURG: I see. And what was the
13	result?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Well, the first
15	time he went through
16	MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. I guess that answers
17	that question.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: it lasted a
19	while. The second time he went through, it didn't last
20	very long. And I I think after I divorced him, I
21	think he kind of went along for a while, and I I
22	believe the last time I heard anything about him that
23	he had straightened up.
24	MR. STRASSBURG: Remember yesterday I think
25	it was hmm? Do you remember we had a long talk

```
maybe it was you, Mr. Evans. We had a long talk --
1
  correct me if I'm wrong -- about that you -- it's like
2
3
   you described an attitude you had about people who
   break the law in the sense that they are convicted
5
   of -- of driving under the influence.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
7
             MR. STRASSBURG: Am I right? Was that --
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
 9
             MR. STRASSBURG: And remember all the things
10
   the judge --
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
12
             MR. STRASSBURG: Right, right, right? And
13
   then he says, Is it the action or is it the person?
14
   Remember that?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
16
             MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Now, let me ask you:
17
  You said that -- that your bias against people who
18
   break the law in that regard is based upon those
19
   actions; right?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: I wanted to know is: Would
22
   you have a similar bias in favor of people who
23
   voluntarily enroll in a treatment program and hang in
   there and do the hard work that it takes to change and
24
25
   who really do reform their lives?
```

1 MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor. 2 MR. STRASSBURG: Just the action. 3 THE COURT: I think it's the same issue as 4 before. Try again. 5 MR. STRASSBURG: Would people who undertake the actions of personal rehab in a 12-step program and 7 do it successfully, would -- would you look on them as -- would you look on them more favorably for purposes of punishing them or not as you would upon 10 people convicted of the same offense who don't --11 MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. I think 13 that's broad enough. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I guess I would 15 look more favorably on them if they did it voluntarily. 16 MR. STRASSBURG: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 17 Mr. Jensen, it seemed to me that you were 18 kind of thinking -- you had some of the same attitudes as Mr. Evans -- I'm not saying there's anything wrong 19 20 with that -- that you would have kind of a -- you would 21 look askance at a person who -- who had committed an 22 act, an illegality, and operated a vehicle while deemed 23 impaired. 24 And I simply wanted to ask you, based upon 25 your experience that you've just given to us, would you

1 similarly look with more favor on an individual who had successfully completed a treatment program than one who 2 3 had not, though, convicted of the same? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 015. From the 5 treatment program forward, yes. Prior to that treatment or any actions prior to that treatment, it 7 does not change my opinion. 8 MR. STRASSBURG: Well, you know, the issue 9 here is whether -- Mr. Awerbach, whether you should 10 punish him with punitive damages to teach him a lesson 11 he'll never forget. And I guess what I'm getting at 12 is: I'm wondering that if -- if a person like 13 Mr. Evans or maybe like you, has a bias against people 14 who are convicted of that activity, and if you had an 15 offsetting bias in favor of people who do a treatment 16 program successfully and really live it, if they cancel 17 each other out, and you would be level -- you would be 18 a level playing field for us. 19 What do you think about that? I can come 20 back. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I'm --22 MR. STRASSBURG: I know where you live. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Cool. 24 MR. STRASSBURG: I mean in the box. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yeah, you have my

address too. That works. There's other things that
have come out that, you know, it's -- I now know it's
being contested. So that also has to play into it. So
it's going to come into my feeling, was he actually

DUI? It's getting very convoluted on where everything
is going at this point in time.

MR. STRASSBURG: That's probably my fault.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: But to try to answer your question, I think I have to go back to my first comment that, yes, I believe a person can change. And from that change forward, that is the positive and may it continue forever.

Still have to look at the original, though, that a person that is — that drove DUI, and I have to still assume that that was the case, that I still have a bias for. I don't think I can say that because he has changed that negates the original action.

MR. STRASSBURG: You know, maybe -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Unless I'm not
seeing the question correctly.

MR. STRASSBURG: Maybe I screwed up. What I'm talking about is attitudes, offsetting attitudes, does an attitude of bias against — offset against an attitude of bias in favor? Wouldn't they counteract each other and place you in a position where you could

1 be without any reservations fair to both Ms. Garcia and 2 Mr. Awerbach and -- and his mom? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I guess I have to 4 answer with I don't know. I'm not sure on that. 5 MR. STRASSBURG: All right. Mr. Evans? The offsetting attitudes now. 6 7 Do you think that would -- once your mind, 8 your consciousness is drawn to that -- that aspect of your mind, do you think they would offset and you would 10 be fair? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah, I think so. 12 MR. STRASSBURG: Ms. Flores, you mentioned that -- that you had a problem with people who chose to 13 14 smoke and get behind the wheel. I got that right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes, sir. 16 MR. STRASSBURG: So what do you think? Do 17 you have a similarly offsetting attitude in favor of 18 people who repent and who change their lives and who go 19 straight? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: 010. I mean, I 21 quess I agree with Ms. Gold when she said that it's 22 over time, like forgiving people. I guess I would 23 still not hold it against them, but have like -- have 24 in the back of my mind that, you know, they knew what

they were doing. They made a conscious decision.

25

No

```
1 one told them to do it. He did it, you know, no matter
```

- 2 what situation he was in, you know. No matter -- yeah,
- 3 I know people go through different things, and they can
- 4 blame it on how they were brought up or their
- 5 lifestyle.
- But, you know, I didn't have the greatest
- 7 upbringing and I was around, you know, drugs, you know,
- 8 friends from school and stuff, and I didn't make that
- 9 decision, you know. I guess that's what I think about
- 10 is I was -- I wasn't brought up -- I was brought up in
- 11 a -- my mom was a single mother. My dad was, like, in
- 12 and out and stuff, but I didn't --
- MR. STRASSBURG: I'm sorry, ma'am. Your dad
- 14 was what?
- 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Was, like, in and
- 16 out. He was in and out of my life. He would come and
- 17 go.
- 18 MR. STRASSBURG: There's a lot of things you
- 19 can be in and out of. Okay.
- 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: But, like, I
- 21 didn't make -- you know, I was conscious of all my
- 22 decisions, you know. Like, I didn't -- do you
- 23 understand what I'm saying?
- MR. STRASSBURG: Do you understand what I'm
- 25 saying?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No, yeah.
2	MR. STRASSBURG: I'll meet you halfway.
3	Did you grow up here in Vegas?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yeah. I moved to
5	Vegas when I was ten.
6	MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. So that was what, a
7	couple of years ago?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Ten years ago.
9	MR. STRASSBURG: And where what
10	neighborhood? I mean, Vegas is broken up into
11	neighborhoods; right? Which one did you grow up in?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Henderson.
13	MR. STRASSBURG: Henderson neighborhood.
14	Now, there's a neighborhood called Naked
15	City. Are you familiar with that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I've heard about
17	it.
18	MR. STRASSBURG: What have you heard?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That it's a bad
20	neighborhood. It's pretty general.
21	MR. STRASSBURG: Have you formed any
22	preconceptions about people from the Naked City part of
23	Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Would it be like
25	Compton, kind of, in California, like Los Angeles area?

1	MR. STRASSBURG: I'm from Ohio. I don't
2	know.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Does anybody else
4	know?
5	MR. STRASSBURG: Does anybody else know?
6	Good question. Who knows something about my local guys
7	that grew up here?
8	Naked City, what do you know about that?
9	Mr
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: It's not
11	comparable to Compton. It's Compton's much worse.
12	The Naked City in the late '80s, early '90s outside of
13	North Las Vegas was pretty rough.
14	MR. STRASSBURG: Rough in what way, sir?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: It was it was
16	high in crime.
17	MR. STRASSBURG: Anything else? Dangerous.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: (Nods head.)
19	MR. STRASSBURG: Dangerous.
20	Anyone else have any ideas on the Naked City
21	area?
22	Ms. Klein.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I've lived here
24	quite a while, and it's just a place that you don't go
25	after dark. Or it's gotten much better recently.

But for a while, it was, you don't go after dark, and if you do have to go through, you don't stop. You -
you just keep going, and if you run out of gas, you keep going until -- you make sure you don't have to stop there, and if you can go around it, you do. It's not a place to wind up.

MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, ma'am.

And -- and while I'm on you, maybe I should ask you this question: Do you have any bias against people from there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: No. There are negative situations in that neighborhood, and I know the statistics of growing up in that kind of neighborhood. I worked at inner city schools. I'm a teacher. I see a lot of the results of that. But I have also seen some really great kids come out of there. And, you know, I know it can happen both ways. And it's a — a lot of the negative things are very publicized and, you know, there are regular people who live there just because that's where they can afford to live. And they live their lives the best they can and try to avoid the negative the best they can, and families live, they raise their kids as best they can.

MR. STRASSBURG: Anyone else have any preconceptions, any feelings associated with people

1 from that part of town? How about Compton, people from 2 Compton? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: (Shakes head.) 4 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Good. Thank you. 5 If you're keeping score, I'm -- I'm almost 6 there. 7 Now, let me ask you about another -- this is 8 a sensitive issue, and I understand that. And I -- I 9 don't mean to offend anybody of any race. There's been 10 a lot of press coverage about interactions between 11 white police officers and people of color, African Americans, Hispanics, people who are different in skin 12 13 color from the officer. You saw it in Ferguson, 14 Baltimore, other places. 15 Has any of that press coverage created in any 16 of your minds preconceptions about what it must be like 17 when a Hispanic or a white police officer confronts a 18 teenager who's a person of color? 19 Yes, Mr. Roberts, how about you? Can you 20 shed any light on that dynamic? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I can tell you 22 personal experiences but not from the perspective you 23 just said. 24 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Whatever you can 25 share. I'm dying out here, so whatever you can share.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'll throw you a 2 bone. Okay? 3 MR. STRASSBURG: Yeah, a big one. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: As a teenager 5 myself, I grew up in the L.A. area in a couple of good community. Myself and two of my closest friends, both 6 7 very successful people today, were driving through Beverly Hills on the way to our friend's house which is just on the border of Beverly Hills. We were pulled 10 over by LAPD, thrown to the ground, spread eagle, with 11 no intent other than that we were driving through the 12 neighborhood. They happened to do it on the corner two 13 houses from my friend's house and his mother came out. We were 17, 16 years old. 14 15 So I've had experiences on the flip. Let's 16 just say that. And it was no reason to pull us over 17 other than the fact we were black, driving in Beverly 18 Hills. 19 MR. STRASSBURG: Driving while black. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: In Beverly Hills. 21 MR. STRASSBURG: And does that experience 22 color how you see interactions today, years later? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: It does, 24 actually. I have a hypersensitivity. I don't have a 25 negatively -- I don't know how to explain this.

```
don't -- I don't feel comfortable when cops are behind
1
   me. And I -- and I can't -- I can't get it out of
   my -- my system. And I can be driving doing nothing.
3
   I know I'm okay, but if there's a cop behind me, I'm
5
   like, Why is he following me?
 6
             MR. STRASSBURG: Oh, God, you too?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah. I -- I
8
   understand. But it just -- it just is, and -- and I
9
   shouldn't have to go in a free society, like that --
10
   that's my opinion.
11
             MR. STRASSBURG: I hear you. But what about
12
  when they stop you, and as the officer is walking --
   you know, how they do it. They walk up to the car.
13
14
   They come from your blind side with the hand on the
15
   belt.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So I do three
17
   things. First, I turn the engine off. Secondly, I put
18
   the windows down. Third, I put --
19
             MR. STRASSBURG: Both hands --
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: -- both hands --
21
   I put my hand out the window.
22
             MR. STRASSBURG: Out the window. How smart.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I want to make
24
   sure that they're aware that I have nothing in my
25
   hands, and I don't want them to think that I'm trying
```

to conceal something. 1 2 MR. STRASSBURG: And did somebody have to 3 teach you that, or is that something that you know from 4 your life experiences? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I would say that 6 it comes with life experience, but it's also within 7 my -- our community's color. I think if you're experience is as I've had in my cities I've lived in, 8 9 it's taught to you by your parents or your cousins or 10 your uncles or whoever who -- who want to see you safe. 11 MR. STRASSBURG: All right. How does it feel 12 inside on when a white police officer appropriates your 13 vehicle? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: If he's just 15 being straightforward -- if he comes at me with -- with 16 a legitimate, if I did something and I deserve to be 17 pulled over, I don't have a problem. It's just if he 18 comes at me for no reason, or doesn't appear to be any 19 reason, then I have concern. I -- I -- I take -- I 20 think that in my mind he's probably biased in some way, 21 and he's got something out for me for whatever reason. 22 MR. STRASSBURG: All right. And -- and I'm 23 sorry that those experiences --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: You didn't do it.

It doesn't make me negative to all people.

24

25

1 MR. STRASSBURG: This is both our countries. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah, it is. 3 MR. STRASSBURG: Let me ask you: Those of 4 you who haven't had these experiences, what do you think about the legitimacy of these reports we get about people who have them, like Mr. Roberts, who 7 because of what's happened in their lives, that there's an inherent coerciveness in that encounter? Do you think it's -- and you could -- there's a bunch of 10 attitudes. You could think it's baloney. You could 11 say, Eh, there's something to it. Ms. Klein. 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I put this on my 14 questionnaire -- sorry, 146. Almost all of my male 15 relatives on my mother's side of the family are police 16 officers. And so having been exposed to that all of my 17 life, I know a lot of that side of the coin, shall we 18 say. And that they receive specific training or 19 specific orders on targeting or profiling. And it was 20 rampant here in the valley for a long time to the point where --21

MR. STRASSBURG: You said it was rampant?

MR. STRASSBURG: May I just interrupt you?

Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Profiling.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146:

22

23

24

25

1 MR. STRASSBURG: And was that white profiling 2 black? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Not necessarily 4 white profiling black, but just all officers profiling minorities. 5 6 MR. STRASSBURG: I see. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: And even black 7 8 officers were ordered to profile other --9 MR. STRASSBURG: And when did that stop? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Fairly recently there was a -- at a federal level where it was 11 12 investigated specifically here in the valley, and special training has been done to counteract the 13 14 previous bad treatment. 15 MR. STRASSBURG: When did that happen? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Like I said, 17 fairly recently, within the last -- I want to say 18 within the last ten years. I'm not sure exactly when. 19 But I know it's -- it's been fairly recently that the 20 federal measure were taken to counteract the negative trend. 21 22 MR. STRASSBURG: So what attitudes has that 23 experience of living here under those circumstances, 24 what attitudes has that created in you that -- that 25 might make it -- give you pause when you're looking at

him?

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Well, having
3 grown up here for quite a while, I saw it, you know. I
4 knew other people who had been targeted or profiled,
5 and I knew it was happening. I knew that I wasn't
6 going to be profiled. It was — but I also knew that
7 at the time, I couldn't do anything about it, being a
8 minor when that was a problem. And then by the time I
9 moved back after college, the issue was being addressed
10 at a higher level.

MR. STRASSBURG: So did you ever know anything about the — the response of people in the minority communities when this practice was going on, did — did you ever come across articles, information that — that gave you attitudes about how this practice of the police was perceived by people in the minority —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Yes.

MR. STRASSBURG: -- communities.

Would you share that with us. How would you characterize it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I know a lot of -- there were several movements to -- especially with the progression of cell phones and recording devices, people would record either interactions with

1 others or interactions that they themselves had with police officers, and there was a movement to make those 2 3 interactions more public instead of having them swept under the rug as they had been previously, which is 4 part of the reason why it's become more nationally 6 aware. 7 MR. STRASSBURG: And what was being swept 8 under the rug that was on these videotapes? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: The racial 10 profiling and singling out, and specifically 11 aggravating behavior toward minorities that would cause 12 them to -- the police, in some instances, would try to 13 aggravate a situation to cause a suspect to react in a 14 way that they could then escalate. Even when there was 15 nothing initially for them that had been done wrong, 16 they would escalate a situation until something did go 17 wrong, and then -- then be able to take them to jail 18 or -- or press charges of some sort. 19 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Bear with me one 20 I want to approach the bench because I want to second. 21 be entirely fair to Mr. Roberts here and make sure that 22 the next question is -- is appropriate. 23 THE COURT: Come up. 24 (A discussion was held at the bench, 25 not reported.)

MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Does anybody else have any -- I don't want to pass somebody else over. I'm going as fast as I can really. Does anybody have anything else that they want to share on that? Okay.

Let me ask you about justice. You were asked about your values, and I'm not going to replay that walk down the Hallmark card aisle. Those are all good values. And we appreciate you sharing them. But I want talk to you about justice, your attitudes about justice.

When you're asked to punish somebody who made a mistake that is sorry for it and he's done what he can to make amends by changing, can you — do you see — your attitudes about punishment and mercy, I want to ask: Does anybody have an attitude other than the punishment should fit the offense?

Sir, I see you shake -- nodding -- or shaking your head. That's a shake. You with me? Okay.

Now, how do you make — in this case, you'll be wanting to make whatever punishment seems appropriate to you, if any. And I'm going to tell you it shouldn't be any. But how do you make it fit? Do you just make it the maximum? How do you tailor to the

1 facts of this case? And I want to ask you: Isn't that 2 where mercy comes in? 3 MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. Sounds like a closing 5 argument. Ask a question. MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge. Thank 6 7 you. And, Mr. Roberts, I apologize to you, sir. 8 Tell me what you feel. If you have feelings 9 that mercy's appropriate, how would you make use of 10 that attitude in assessing the evidence in this case. 11 Mr. Brandon. You don't want this one? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I'm just going to stick with mercy everything. I'm going to be fair with 13 14 it. I'm going to deem it as necessary. How I'm going 15 to -- if punishment or anything, I just -- yeah, we'll 16 leave it at that. 17 MR. STRASSBURG: Well, are you open to the 18 idea of no punishment at all? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I'm open. 20 mean --21 MR. STRASSBURG: I get it, but, you know, 22 we've heard people say, and I -- and, you know, I've 23 heard this before. This isn't new, that, Boy, look at 24 all this; right? Who goes through all of that? 25 MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor may we approach?

1 THE COURT: Come on up. 2 (A discussion was held at the bench, 3 not reported.) 4 MR. STRASSBURG: Uh-oh. Yeah. Shoot. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 015. As I put on my questionnaire, I'm hard of hearing. When you drop 7 down to your soft voice, I'm having an incredibly hard 8 time hearing you. 9 MR. STRASSBURG: I am so sorry that you have 10 had to sit through an hour. And I just -- you know, I 11 was afraid for the first couple of days that I was 12 coming on too strong because, you know, I feel like I'm 13 buried back there, and I got to jump up and make some 14 noise to get heard. And so they told me to soften it 15 up. So I will work on it. I will work on that, but thank you for telling me that. Wish you would have 16 told me at 2:10. I get it. 17 18 And, again, I want to be entirely clear. 19 me ask you about this. You've heard the term 20 "marijuana metabolite." Okay? Remember? Okay. Now, 21 I'm just wondering -- I just want to take baby steps. 22 Baby steps. Is -- let's first start with do any of you --23 24 now, some of you have said, I don't know -- I don't

know what that is. All right. But I was wondering if

25

maybe some of you have come across information about 1 2 marijuana -- marijuana metabolite. 3 Mr. Franco, I see you smiling. You look like 4 the cat that ate the canary. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: 096. I have no 6 idea what it is. 7 MR. STRASSBURG: None. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I don't know what 9 I don't know what it means or what it does. it is. 10 MR. STRASSBURG: Not at all. Ms. Perreida, 11 she's nodding her head. Him too. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 096: I don't know if you inject it, or I don't know what you do with it. 13 14 MR. STRASSBURG: I get it. 15 Does anybody else have any knowledge or because you -- you know, like, you work or -- anybody 16 17 have any information about that? 18 Ms. Klein. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Having worked in 20 the public school system and inner city schools, I have 21 had some exposure to information about that. 22 MR. STRASSBURG: And has that exposure to 23 information come from your personal, you know, sight, 24 see-type stuff, or does it come from government 25 training or teacher training they put you through or

some other source?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: I think it was a teacher presentation on things to watch for in your students.

MR. STRASSBURG: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Along with, you know, signs of abuse and signs of neglect and other things like that.

MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. And so in your teacher training programs, it sounds like they give you some information about, it sounds like a variety of stuff since marijuana metabolite was one of them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Like I said, it was probably listed in a -- in a long list of things that could be -- you know, produced certain symptoms or could be present.

MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. So I mean, after you got out of the training, what did you think marijuana metabolite was?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: So after marijuana is ingested, either smoked in or consumed as a food substance, some of it remains in the system over time and it metabolizes as the -- you know, your body metabolizes things over time. Similarly, to how alcohol remains in your system over time, the duration

1 for marijuana metabolite is longer than some other substances, where it will remain in the system and can 2 3 be tested for after a longer period of time since the 4 initial consumption than other substances. 5 MR. STRASSBURG: You mean like alcohol? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: Right. 6 7 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Now, is there 8 anything about the information that you got in your 9 teacher training program that would cause you to prefer 10 that information to any information that you got in the 11 courtroom? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: The No. 13 information that I got was very summary and --14 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 146: -- just as a heads 16 up to watch out for. 17 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Thank you. 18 Any -- anyone else have any -- okay. 19 Now, let me ask you about this: Have any of 20 you come across newspaper accounts, website, maybe some 21 of you read websites of news and current events 22 involving a traffic accident that took place on 23 Las Vegas Strip during the Miss Universe Pageant in 24 December, late December? Okay. Okay. Is this ringing 25 a bell?

1 Now, I want to ask you -- can I tell the 2 name? I mean, the name; right? The name of the 3 individual? Or do we have to talk about it in general? 4 MR. ROBERTS: I don't know. Why don't you 5 ask the judge that question. I don't know if that's appropriate for privacy interest. 6 7 THE COURT: We don't know what your question 8 is, Mr. Strassburg. 9 MR. STRASSBURG: That's fair, Judge. No, 10 that's fair. Yeah, that's fair. 11 Has the press coverage of that accident --12 well, to call it an accident, the driver on the 13 Las Vegas Strip intentionally rammed her car up on the curb and caused death and injuries to 38 people. 14 15 What have you guys heard about that -- and 16 they found marijuana in her system. What have you 17 heard about that? And has it caused you to form any 18 prejudices, biases against people like my quy in this 19 kind of case? 20 Yes, ma'am. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Badge No. 159. 22 Not necessarily a prejudice. I would say it's just to 23 be more aware that no matter what substance it is, it 24 can alter your ability to drive or to operate a vehicle

25

no matter what the substance is.

1 I guess the stigma behind marijuana is that 2 it relaxes or it somehow makes you -- people say it's 3 not as worse as drinking alcohol is. And so, you know, 4 growing up throughout high school, that's -- I never partaked in the matter or anything, but that was just the stigma behind it. 6 7 But actually, after that incident, because I 8 was getting live feed from it because it was during 9 the -- the pageant, it really came to me that it's not 10 okay --MR. STRASSBURG: Can I stop you for a minute? 11 12 You were getting, you said, live feed from 13 the pageant? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: Yes. Actually 15 when the pageant --16 MR. STRASSBURG: In the Starbucks or? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No, no. I was 18 actually at the gym and I was watching the Miss 19 Universe Pageant, and because it was delayed, you know, 20 it's delayed, like, an hour, two hours to come to us, 21 it was Breaking News kept popping up during the 22 That's what I mean by "live feed." pageant. 23 And so after seeing that, it made me really 24 take that, well, being under the influence, no matter

what substance it is, is still -- can be very deadly no

25

matter what it is, even if it has a better stigma than other substances.

MR. STRASSBURG: Now, has — has that information that you've received, I mean, has it caused any, like, shortcuts to — to form on your desktop of your mind? You know, those icons that my secretary keeps putting on there. You don't have to go through all this stuff. You just hit the icon and, boom, it goes right to it. Like, it doesn't go through all the folders, it just goes right to the place.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 159: No, not necessarily. I still won't judge a person based on those — those actions because you never know what's happening behind the scenes. It just made me realize that it's — that no matter what some — substance it is, it can still cause a very great damage when operating a machinery or anything. Just something that I learned from that situation. But, again, I don't judge the individual if they want to partake in any sort of recreational or what not.

MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, ma'am.

Anyone else? She's the only one at the gym?

Anyone else have any -- got that information, have any ideas about what happened there?

Again, I don't want to pry. I just want to

```
1
  ask you if -- if you would -- in searching your hearts,
2
   if there is anything there that might cause you to
3
   shortcut the process of the evidence in this case and
 4
   just say, Oh, you know, it's another one; right?
 5
   That's not fair; right?
             Thank you. Thank you. You know, I just got
 6
7
   one more thing, and then I'm going to stop. All right?
8
             Mr. Foerstel.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
                                               023.
             MR. STRASSBURG: I don't want to leave you
10
11
   out. Do you remember -- I think it was in the value
12
   thing, you know, what are your values and that kind of
   thing, and you said, yeah, people -- the Golden Rule;
13
14
   right?
          And you said I got the Platinum Rule. Remember
15
   that?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
17
             MR. STRASSBURG: And your rule was, I try to
18
   do to people as they want me to; right?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
20
             MR. STRASSBURG: And I wanted to ask you, how
21
   do you apply that Platinum Rule when you have two
22
   people that want contradictory stuff? What do you do
23
   then?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: You have to find
24
25
```

out -- I mean, you can't just assume what people want.

You have to investigate, ask questions, talk to the 1 2 people, and find out the information. 3 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Look --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: If you got two 5 people that want two different things, then you just 6 have to find out more information. 7 MR. STRASSBURG: I totally understand and well put. I mean, after three days, you guys are 8 9 really showing a flare for this. 10 I guess what I'm asking you is: Would your 11 Platinum Rule cause you to depreciate --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: It's not my rule. 13 MR. STRASSBURG: It's not yours? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: It was in a training. I used to be an instructor and a trainer in 15 16 a business environment and they gave it to us. So I didn't create it. 17 18 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. But you said it was 19 yours, didn't you? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I like to use the 21 Platinum Rule, yeah. 22 MR. STRASSBURG: All right. All right. 23 Would there be anything about your, you know, habitual 24 use of the Platinum Rule that would cause you to, like, 25 disregard or depreciate the rules that come from

```
1
   Judge Wiese?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Oh, absolutely
3
   not.
 4
             MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Does anybody else
   have any rules of thumb, shortcuts, icons on the
5
   desktop in their mind that might cause them to
 6
7
   depreciate or treat lightly -- with less than complete
8
   gravity a law that's given to you by the Court simply
9
   because you don't like it? Okay.
10
             Thank you very much.
11
             THE COURT: Pass the panel for cause?
12
   Mr. Strassburg? Pass the panel?
13
             MR. STRASSBURG: No, sir. Pass the panel.
14
             THE COURT: All right. What that means,
15
  folks, is all the attorneys have passed the panel for
16
   cause. So likely our jury is sitting over here. Okay?
   What I'm going to do is I'm going to excuse everybody
17
18
   for about 15 minutes, okay, while the attorneys
   exercise their peremptory challenges. And we're going
19
20
   to bring you back, and we'll tell you who our jurors
21
   are, and everybody else will be excused. All right.
22
   So be patient with us.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Today.
24
             THE COURT:
                         Today.
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 043.
```

THE COURT: I'm just going to send you out in the hall for about fifteens minutes.

Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: But there's only going to be ten people; right. So there's still a few people over here that --

THE COURT: Some of those people over here are going to be going too. We're going to take a quick break.

During our break, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else about any subject or issue connected with this trial. You are not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio. You are not to conduct any research on your own, which means you cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case. You're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Fifteen minutes.

1 (The following proceedings were held 2 outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: All right. We're outside the 3 4 presence of the jury. 5 Tom, you want to hand them this form here. Ι 6 hope it has five blanks on each side so the last 7 challenge on each side can only be used on one of the last two people who are going to be the -- one of the last four people that are going to be alternates. 10 Okay? If you waive one, you don't waive the rest. But 11 I'm going to ask you guys to try to do this quickly so 12 we can get done by about 4:00 o'clock. We still need 13 to swear the jury in, excuse the rest of them. All 14 right? Off the record. 15 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.) 16 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the 17 record, Case No. A637772. We're outside the presence 18 of the jury. I just want to make sure that I 19 understand correctly. We agreed that the last two 20 jurors were going to be our alternates. So based on 21 the preempts that have been exercised, I understand 22 that to be Austin Corum and Shanel Sako; is that 23 correct? 24 MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Judge. 25 So everybody agrees? THE COURT:

1 MR. ROBERTS: We agree, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring -- we'll 3 bring the jury back in. I'll excuse everybody else. 4 We'll swear in our new jury. And I'll reseat them, and 5 then probably excuse them for the day. 6 What I'd like to do is I know that you guys 7 have things that you need to address with me before 8 openings. I know that there's -- there's issues about some demonstrative exhibits, and I know there's issues 10 about the some of the deposition language that's part 11 of it. We're not going to have time today to do that. 12 So what I'm going to suggest is maybe we bring the 13 attorneys back at 9:00 tomorrow. We bring the jury in 14 at 10:00. 15 MR. ROBERTS: Sounds good to us, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Everybody okay with that? 17 MR. MAZZEO: That's fine, Judge. 18 THE COURT: We can take care of anything we 19 need to in that hour. Hopefully, that's enough time to 20 go through everything we need to go through. And that 21 should hopefully give you guys a couple of minutes 22 before we start to put your stuff together. 23 MR. ROBERTS: Will we have an order before 24 opening, Your Honor? 25 THE COURT: It's not something I'm Sure.

```
going to let you show to the jury anyway, but yeah,
1
2
   you'll have something.
3
             MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.
 4
             MR. STRASSBURG: And the schedule for
5
   tomorrow?
 6
             THE COURT: We'll bring the jury in at 10:00.
7
   I don't know that we're going to get much past opening
   statements tomorrow, are we?
8
9
             MR. TINDALL: Doubt it.
10
             MR. STRASSBURG: Do you have a limit --
11
             THE MARSHAL: Reentering.
12
             MR. STRASSBURG: Do you have a limit on time?
13
             THE COURT: I usually let you guys do your
14
   thing.
15
             MR. STRASSBURG:
                              Thank you, sir.
16
             THE MARSHAL: Jury's present, Judge.
17
                   (The following proceedings were held in
18
                   the presence of the jury.)
19
             THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and be
20
   seated. Back on the record, Case No. A637772. All
21
   right, ladies and gentlemen, as I read your names, I'm
22
   going to ask you to please stand. Mr. Blurton, Badge
23
   150; Ms. Flores, Badge 010; Ms. Klein, Badge 146;
24
   Mr. Evans, Badge 053; Mr. Berkery, Badge 063; Ms. Bias,
25
   Badge 066; Mr. Avilaroa, Badge 078; Mr. Retzlaff,
```

```
Badge 088; Mr. Corum, Badge 093; and Ms. Sako,
1
2
   Badge 159.
3
             Okay. Now I'm going to have all you folks
4
   sit back down, and everybody else is going to stand up.
5
   I do that just to screw with you. All right.
   Everybody that's standing, pick up all your stuff and
7
   head back toward to the door. Don't leave yet. Okay.
8
             Counsel, before everybody else leaves, is the
9
   jury that's sitting over here in the box the jury that
10
   you picked?
11
             MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Your Honor.
13
             MR. STRASSBURG: Yes, sir.
14
             THE COURT: All right. Does any party have a
15
   challenge to the use of the peremptory challenges by
16
   the opposing party?
17
             MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
18
             MR. MAZZEO: No, Your Honor.
19
             MR. STRASSBURG: No, Judge.
20
             THE COURT: All right. Everybody that's in
21
   the back now, I'm going to thank and excuse you. We
22
   appreciate the time you spent here. I know it's been a
23
   long time. Thank you for being here. Thank you for
24
   doing your duty. And you are now excused. You can go
```

back down to the third floor, let them know you've been

25

excused by Department 30. Thank you, folks.

Mr. Blurton, I'm going to ask you to move over one seat, if you would, please.

Ms. Klein, if you'd move down and fill in that seat.

And, Mr. Evans and Mr. Berkery, I'm going to have you move up on the back row. Mr. Evans next to Ms. Klein. Perfect.

You three that are in the middle row, if you'd move all the way down here. Just leave the last seat open. Stay in the same order that you are.

And on the front row, Mr. Corum and Ms. Sako, if you'd move back in the seats right behind where you are now. No. Switch spaces. Switch places. Got to stay in order. All right. Perfect thank you.

that I want you to guys to remain in for the remainder of the trial. Okay? Remember where you are. I'm going to leave the last seats open. I do that for — for a reason, because as you — as attorneys show you things on the TV screen, it's a little bit easier to see if you're a little bit away from that wall. I keep you away from that table a little bit because I don't want you to see what's going on their table. So these are the seats that I want you to stay in for the rest

of the trial.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You were all sworn in earlier when we started 3 to tell the truth in response to the questions that 4 were asked during voir dire. You are now going to be 5 our jury. So you have to take a new oath, so I'm going to ask you all to stand and raise your right hands, 7 please.

THE CLERK: You and each of you do solemnly swear that you will well and truly try the case at issue and a true verdict render according to the evidence, so help you God.

IN UNISON: I do.

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and be seated.

What's going to happen now is I'm going to excuse you guys in just a minute. I'm going to send you home. Before you leave, you're going to -- Tom's going to give you each a hard blue badge. That blue badge indicates you're a juror in Department 30. That will replace the badges that you have now. He will also give you information about parking, okay, because you get to park in a closer place now, I believe.

When you come back tomorrow morning, there will be a notepad and a pen on your -- on each of your chairs that you can use. I'll talk to you a little bit more about that. When you come in tomorrow, I'm going to have the attorneys come in at 9:00. We're going to take care of some stuff before you come in. Hopefully if you come in at 10:00 o'clock, we will have all of our business out of the way before you come in, and we can get you going right at 10:00 o'clock.

Attorneys will do their opening statements tomorrow. I don't know if we'll get past that into any evidence or not. But you folks that are here, I need you to be here whenever we're going to start and be here till we're going to end. I know for some of you that may be little bit of an inconvenience with work and other obligations that you have. But you folks are our jury for the next several weeks. So hopefully it's a good experience for you.

I don't know if I have anything else to tell you right now. I — I will give you some pretrial instructions when we meet tomorrow morning before the — the attorneys do their opening statements. That will only take about 20 minutes. And then we'll get into opening statements and get going. But let's plan on 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

During our break this evening, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else about any subject or issue connected with this

1	trial. You are not to read, watch, or listen to any
2	report of or commentary on the trial by any person
3	connected with this case or by any medium of
4	information, including, without limitation, newspapers,
5	television, the Internet, or radio. You are not to
6	conduct any research on your own, which means you
7	cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others,
8	Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or
9	computer research with regard to any issue, party,
10	witness, or attorney involved in this case. You're not
11	to form or express any opinion on any subject connected
12	with this trial until the case is finally submitted to
13	you.
14	See you tomorrow at 10:00. That's about as
	See you tomorrow at 10:00. That's about as fast as I can do it.
14	
14 15	fast as I can do it.
14 15 16	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held
14 15 16 17	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)
14 15 16 17 18	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
14 15 16 17 18	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.  I'm going to give the peremptory list to my clerk. She
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.  I'm going to give the peremptory list to my clerk. She can file that, make it part of the record.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.  I'm going to give the peremptory list to my clerk. She can file that, make it part of the record.  Is there anything else we need to talk about
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fast as I can do it.  (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.  I'm going to give the peremptory list to my clerk. She can file that, make it part of the record.  Is there anything else we need to talk about today before we come back at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow?

1 MR. TINDALL: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: I'll work on trying to get the 3 order for you. We'll meet, we'll talk about the 4 depositions. We'll talk about anything else that you guys want to talk about as far as what's going to be allowed during opening statements. 7 Like I said, I have about 20 minutes of 8 pretrial instructions that I give them, and then we should be able to get into opening statements before 10 10:30. I don't know how long you guys have. 11 Do you think we're going to get to witnesses 12 tomorrow or not? 13 MR. ROBERTS: We might have an hour, hour and a half at the end the day. 14 15 THE COURT: How long do you anticipate for 16 your opening? 17 MR. ROBERTS: I anticipate an hour, but I 18 sometimes run long, so I don't want to make 19 representations, but certainly no longer than an hour 20 and a half. 21 THE COURT: All right. So that maybe takes 22 us to lunchtime, take lunch maybe at 12:00. 23 How long, Mr. Mazzeo? 24 MR. MAZZEO: An hour and a half, but it 25 runs -- but it will run longer than that.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Strassburg? 2 MR. STRASSBURG: An hour. 3 THE COURT: All right. It sounds to me like 4 we're probably going to get openings done tomorrow and probably not much more. If you guys are done with openings by 4:00 o'clock, I say we give them the hour 7 off. It's Friday. It's been a long week for them. So I don't think we worry about getting one witness on for the first hour. I don't know if we're going to get 10 through a witness that fast. 11 So anybody have a problem with that? 12 MR. ROBERTS: That will help us plan, Your 13 Honor. That's fine with us. 14 MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge. 15 THE COURT: I think we start with witnesses 16 on Monday. 17 MR. STRASSBURG: Tuesday, Tuesday. 18 THE COURT: Tuesday. I'm sorry. Monday's a 19 holiday. 20 MR. MAZZEO: I know one of the witnesses 21 Mr. Roberts is going to call initially -- or early on 22 would be the -- Police Officer Figueroa by way of 23 deposition. So I mean, that might fill in some of 24 the -- if we're not tired, if the jurors aren't tired 25 that might fill in some of the time Friday afternoon.

THE COURT: We'll see how early we get done.

MR. ROBERTS: That's probably only going to take 20 minutes maybe.

MR. MAZZEO: And then we have -- our cross-examination of Figueroa, we're going to have our own -- at that same time, after they present Figueroa on their direct, we're going to present Figueroa on cross at the same time.

THE COURT: Well, whatever you guys are going to do by way of deposition, usually that's — that's done and figured out before the calendar call. You guys haven't done that in this case, so —

MR. ROBERTS: I don't think we --

THE COURT: -- make sure you're disclosing it to each other and that we're ruling on whatever objections need to be ruled on before we do it, because we don't -- I don't want to -- if we're having somebody up here reading, we don't read the objections. It's either been ruled on or -- and it's either moot or the question and answer doesn't get asked, so we got to figure that out before it's done.

MR. MAZZEO: I'm going to exchange the anticipated testimony for Figueroa to plaintiff's counsel when I get back to the office.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks, guys. We'll see

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF NEVADA 3 ss: COUNTY OF CLARK 4 I, Kristy L. Clark, a duly commissioned Notary Public, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby 5 That I reported the proceedings commencing on certify: Thursday, February 11, 2016, at 10:51 o'clock a.m. 7 8 That I thereafter transcribed my said 9 shorthand notes into typewriting and that the 10 typewritten transcript is a complete, true, and 11 accurate transcription of my said shorthand notes. 12 I further certify that I am not a relative or 13 employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a 14 relative or employee of the parties involved in said 15 action, nor a person financially interested in the 16 action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my 18 office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 19 11th day of February, 2016. 20 Kristy Clark 21 KRISTY L. CLARK, CCR #708 22 23 24 25

Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 CASE NO. A-11-637772-C 2 DEPT. NO. 30 3 DOCKET U 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 9 EMILIA GARCIA, individually, 10 Plaintiff, 11 vs. JARED AWERBACH, individually; )
ANDREA AWERBACH, individually;) 13 DOES I-X, and ROE CORPORATIONS) I-X, inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 17 18 OF 19 **PROCEEDINGS** 20 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WIESE, II 21 DEPARTMENT XXX 22 DATED FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2016 23 REPORTED BY: KRISTY L. CLARK, RPR, NV CCR #708, 24 CA CSR #13529 25

1	APPEARANCES:		
	APPEARANCES:		
2	For the Plaintiff:		
3	GLEN J. LERNER & ASSOCIATES BY: ADAM D. SMITH, ESQ.		
4	4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89147		
5	(702) 977-1500 asmith@glenlerner.com		
6	- AND -		
7	WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL,		
8	BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ. BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ.		
10	6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400		
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 938-3838		
12	lroberts@wwhgd.com		
13	For the Defendant Andrea Awerbach:		
14	MAZZEO LAW, LLC		
15	BY: PETER MAZZEO, ESQ. BY: MARIA ESTANISLAO, ESQ. 631 South 10th Street		
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 382-3636		
17	(102) 002		
18	For the Defendant Jared Awerbach:		
19	RESNICK & LOUIS BY: ROGER STRASSBURG, ESQ.		
20	BY: RANDALL W. TINDALL, ESQ. 5940 South Rainbow Boulevard		
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 997-3800		
22			
23 24	* * * * *		
25			

1	IND	E X
2	OPENING STATEMENTS	PAGE
3	By Mr. Roberts	82
<b>4</b> 5	By Mr. Mazzeo	153
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2016;
2	9:10 A.M.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * * *
6	
7	THE COURT: All right. Back on the record,
8	Case No. A637772. We're outside the presence of the
9	jury.
LO	Just so you guys know, from now on, now that
L1	we have a jury, every time that we reconvene, I'm just
<b>L2</b>	going to ask you to stipulate to the presence of the
L3	jury so we don't have to do a roll call or anything
L <b>4</b>	like that, and it makes good record that we have
L <b>5</b>	everybody here. Okay? I'll just say, Do all the
L6	parties stipulate to the presence of the jury, just
L7	everybody say yes. Unless the jury's not here, then
L8	let me know.
L9	So what do we need to take up first?
20	MR. SMITH: Well, I think we would like to
21	discuss the order that you left on counsel that you
22	left on counsels' table this morning.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. SMITH: I'm sure Your Honor expected that

we have some serious concerns with the order, and I

want to talk about some of the specifics in it and what might be a potential solution. Because Your Honor says in the order that this is what the fair solution is, and I want to explain to the Court why it is not the fair solution and what a more fair solution might be.

Your order admits that we did not have an opportunity to conduct appropriate discovery because of the withholding of this evidence and then the testimony afterwards that fabricates evidence in relation to the same thing. Your Honor asked us in court on the day you sprung it on us that you're going to change an order that had been in place for a year, you asked us what discovery we would want to do during the trial because of the five-year rule. So the only discovery that we mentioned was the only thing we could think of that might take a short period of time, and the only thing we could think of on the spot that would take a short period of time, and that was deposing the adjustor.

That doesn't mean -- and I think we need to make a clear record of this. That doesn't mean had this information been timely disclosed, that is the only discovery that we would have done. For example, I'm sure Your Honor imagines that we would want to redepose Ms. Awerbach. We would want to know, is she

going to testify that she told these things to her insurance adjustor. If she offers that testimony, is she going to have an explanation for why she told those things to the insurance adjustor and then she told us in two lengthy depositions something completely different? If she's going to say that she didn't tell these things to her insurance adjustor, we would need to inquire of her why this note exists.

We would also take depositions of other people who had driven with Mr. Awerbach by -- by the testimony of Mr. Awerbach so that we can corroborate the testimony that Mr. Awerbach is going to give that he had previously driven the car, had access to the car, and that the keys were left out on the counter.

We're not going to have any of that information at trial, and we are very hamstrung. And we're not only hamstrung by the Court's order, but we're hamstrung because of the actions of a party. And I know I've said this before, but fair is not the standard in this situation. This was a sanction.

What we are going to end up doing is taking a deposition of a party during trial. This isn't a deposition of an independent witness who we had an opportunity to depose and we chose not to. This is a deposition of a person who we deposed at length, and

now we're going to have to redepose her in front of the jury. And that's -- that's a very terrible position to have put us in.

This is also going to inject the issue of insurance into trial. Your Honor's aware that trying — to think of it off the top of my head. I think it's NRS 48.135, which is the rule excluding insurance from trial. That rule only excludes insurance if — if it's going to prove negligence. We are going to have to introduce the issue of insurance into trial in order to prove bias. And the next subsection in that rule allows us to use insurance to prove bias.

So, for example, we're going to have to ask
Ms. Awerbach during her trial deposition what her
insurance is going to cover, whether it's going to
cover less or how her rates are going to change if the
jury does not find that Mr. Awerbach had permission to
use the vehicle.

We're going to have to potentially, depending on her testimony, put the insurance adjustor on the stand, explain who she is, why Ms. Awerbach was making the statements to her, and have her explain to the jury the lack of bias that she has in corroborating the statement. In other words, if what she wrote in the note is true, then the company that she works for and has worked for for at least the last five years is going to owe a lot more money. There's no reason to have thrown these issues of insurance into the trial just because information was improperly whited out and withheld from us.

And I told you at the beginning that I'm going to give you a different solution, and I don't think it's a perfect solution. And I think it still really sets our side behind the curb based upon the redaction of this and the withholding of evidence. But if Your Honor's looking to be fair, I think there's certainly an appealable issue, but I'd like the trial to go forward as best we can.

up with at this point, given Your Honor's unwillingness to adhere to the order Judge Allf entered and the order that we've abided by for over a year — for about a year, would be that the Court instructs the jury of Ms. Awerbach's statements. Ms. Awerbach on January 17th, 2011, admitted — and I didn't write them all down — but she left the keys out on the counter. She had given Mr. Awerbach the keys earlier in the day. He had not given them back. And whatever else is in the note. And that can include her statement that she

did not expressly tell him that day that he could drive the car, however it is worded in that note. Then Ms. Awerbach will have to rebut the statements that are now told to the jury that she had made. She can rebut those with additional evidence or testimony should she have it.

But Ms. Awerbach should not be able to come into court at this point and continue what we know to be a misstatement. And the misstatement is that she didn't tell the adjustor any of these things 15 days after the accident. She should not be allowed to do that. And — and we are then forced, like I said, to take a trial deposition of a party who has an incredible interest in testifying consistently with her false statements in her deposition.

So we would ask the Court to -- to revisit the way that this is done, because the position that we are in is patently unfair and puts us in a position starting on halfway into the first day of voir dire where we can't try the case that any of the parties, including Ms. Awerbach, expected to be trying.

THE COURT: Tell me what -- what benefit or what the purpose would be of the Court telling the jury that she made those statements? Isn't that going to come out through the trial anyway?

1 MR. SMITH: It would come out through the 2 trial, but it would come out in the context of 3 insurance, which I don't think any of the parties want to do. What the Court could do is explain that these statements were made, and -- and we could avoid giving the context of an insurance adjustor taking the 7 statement from her, an insurance adjustor testifying. And the other thing it would do is preclude Ms. Awerbach from disputing that she made the 10 statements. So those statements would be admit --11 essentially, admitted into evidence. Nobody can 12 dispute that they were made, and we don't have to provide the insurance context of the statements to 13 14 inject an issue that I'm sure Mr. Mazzeo is about to 15 tell you is a very prejudicial issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Well, I didn't expect this to be continuously argued after it was discussed since Monday. This may be the fourth time. And now this morning, I saw that you had — that an order has been drafted signed by the Court. And I'm fine with — let me just address the order initially. I'm fine with the wording of the order, except with regard to the end of it.

Counsel discussed the issue and decided that

the -- that redeposition of the claims adjustor was unnecessary. And it was unnecessary because Adam Smith had the opportunity to question the claims adjustor, Teresa Merez, who was the author of the claims note in question. He questioned her about permissive use. So that's why there was no need for them to take -- take another deposition of her.

And I think that's important to put in the order for purposes of appeal, or for purposes of if — if — if they're posturing this case for a mistrial. I certainly want that reference in here so — to show that they weren't prejudiced, that they actually had this opportunity and they, in fact, did depose her over a year ago now. That's number one.

Number two, Mr. Smith lost me when he started talking about coverage, and — and I wasn't sure if he was suggesting that he would have to get into the coverage issues, and does he want the policy limits exposed to the jury? Does he — does he want them to know how much coverage is in this case?

I mean, if that's how he -- where he wants to go -- or does he want the Court to know that if -- that if the -- if Andrea Awerbach prevails on -- in defending on negligent entrustment and the other claim, that Jared may not be covered by any policy? Is that

what he's suggesting? If he is, that may not work to
his benefit.

And then he offers an alternative solution.

Well, I mean, I don't think -- and I'm not sure how seriously the Court is considering Mr. Smith's suggestion. I'm sitting here and I'm thinking, Why are we continuing to argue this? It seems as though you've already given a solution that satisfies the concerns of the parties, satisfies the -- the concerns of certainly Andrea Awerbach who -- who should be able to defend against punitive damages, so --

THE COURT: You're the only one happy with it.

MR. MAZZEO: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: You're the only one happy with it.

MR. MAZZEO: Just make that one change in the order. If you want to add that one part to the order and I'll be happy. But yeah, I'm satisfied with it. I'm actually was — dissatisfied with the initial ruling, so — so I can join the group of — of the unsatisfied people here.

But in any event, it was a solution because otherwise, what is -- what do we do on punitive damages? You did find a solution for us -- for Andrea

to be able to defend against it. So I don't think
anything has changed since Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday,
however many times this has been argued. Mr. Smith's
suggestion is — I think is — is not serious. And —
and there's no reason for it. They have the
presumption that — that — that's against — that
works against Andrea. So I don't think any changes
need to be made to the order, Judge.

MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, my guy's the victim here. I had this all worked out with Mr. Mazzeo, that she was going to stipulate to liability and we were just going to try damages and I was going to move to keep out all the parade of horribles about what she might have or might have not known about Jared's misbehavior. Then you came along and modified the order, okay, and all that fell apart. And so now Mr. Mazzeo, as is his right as, you know, an independent professional defending an independent client, has decided to fight on liability as well.

So the plaintiffs -- I mean, you can see the gleam in Mr. Roberts' eye from here -- have decided that they're going to show all the rotten stuff Jared was doing right in the house that she had to know about, so everything she says is baloney.

Now -- so really, if there's anybody whose ox

is gored here, it's me. Now -- so I object to your order as well. I mean, I think I understand why you did it. I'm not that happy about -- of all the judicial minds in this building, you had to consult with the one who's admitted she's prejudiced against him, my guy, Tindall. And so, therefore, she couldn't be fair and impartial and she had -- she booted herself off -- off this case so she didn't have to sit in the room with my partner, Tindall, here.

of all the people to consult — I mean, I wish you would have picked maybe the chief judge or something like that to talk about what the order objectively says. Be that as it may, I — I credit you with the transparency of your order, so it's open and obvious what has gone on here, and I appreciate that — that conduct on your part.

That being said, I also object to

Mr. Mazzeo's proposed solution. Number one, because

I'm not sure I really understand it. And number two, I

think that if he wants to prove that the statements

that Andrea made to the adjustor conflict —

THE COURT: You're not talking about

Mr. Mazzeo's proposed solution. You're talking about

Mr. Smith's.

MR. STRASSBURG: Did I say that out loud? I

meant Smith. Thanks for reading my mind there, Judge.

want to prove that Andrea's talking out of both sides of her mouth depending on whether it's to the insurance adjustor or to the lawyer examining her in deposition, I think they got to just prove that the old-fashioned way, which is you put the witness on the stand, she says whatever it's going to be, and then you impeach her with the prior inconsistent statement. But you got to identify who it's from, that it's from an insurance adjustor. And, you know, I'm okay with that, so long as I get to ask what the limits are under the policy. And, you know, Mr. Roberts wants to put the policy in evidence because if memory serves, it's a trial exhibit.

So that being the way it sets up, I -- I'm willing -- or I think it's most appropriate to just do this the way the rules of evidence provide in light of the order that you made. So thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I don't like the idea of putting all this insurance information in here. I think that you could probably get it in by just saying, Did she make the statement to somebody, and it said this. I don't know that you need to necessarily say who it was made to, but if you guys want to agree to put all the

insurance stuff in, I mean, that's between you, I guess.

Does everybody want to put all this insurance information in here?

MR. SMITH: Absolutely not, and that's why I'm proposing an alternative solution. The problem is — where you leave us is we don't know what's going to happen. So we are unsure when a party gets up here, what she's going to say. Is she going to deny it, what her explanation's going to be, and then that may inject the issues of insurance, and then we may be seeking, for example, to put on an insurance expert to explain why the insurance company has to pay the judgment which they're seeking to claim that they don't have to pay.

And this — this is an entirely collateral issue that is only brought about by a party making false statements under oath. And then what Your Honor has done — and I don't mean this in an offensive fashion, but what Your Honor has done is let that party and only that party off scot-free. She is the only one who gets a benefit out of this, by her own actions. And had they not withheld that note, we would be back at the beginning of — take it back in time, back to the beginning of the discovery, I would have that note, I could have shown it to Ms. Awerbach. She could have

explained that, yes, I said that and here's why I said it and maybe I — maybe something different is true.

Or yes, I said that, and that's really what the truth was. But that didn't happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And -- and we didn't have the opportunity for that to happen -- to happen because she withheld evidence in a very sneaky way that we only discovered it through an independent subpoena. And -- and the position that it puts us in, as I said before, is patently unfair. And it's only fair to the party who did the bad act. And the message that that sends to the parties in this case and to the parties in any other case in the Eighth Judicial District Court is -is not a good message. That says you can withhold evidence, and even if you get caught, we're not going to hold you to it, and we're going to let you off scot-free. And then as an alternative solution, we're going to offer the parties an opportunity to have a complete circus in our courtroom that injects issues that should never be part of the trial and that, again, are primarily beneficial to the party who did the wrong thing.

And Mr. Mazzeo made me think of another thing, and I'll give you a second potential solution in case you don't like mine. I know Mr. Roberts sort of

brought this up the other day, but I want to present to you in a different fashion.

We could have the initial phase of the trial where Judge Allf's order is in place. In other words, permissive use is a finding for the compensatory damages phase. The jury, based upon the permissive use finding for the compensatory damages phase, can decide whether punitive damages are appropriate. If the jury determines punitive damages are appropriate against Ms. Awerbach, we can have a second phase, and during that second phase, the jury can be instructed in this phase, You are to determine the amount of punitive damages, and in this phase Ms. Awerbach is entitled to rebut the presumption that we gave you in the first phase that she gave permission to her son to drive the car.

Mr. Mazzeo claimed that — and he's claimed throughout this discussion from Day 1 when he brought up the permissive use finding that his problem is with the punitive damages phase. So if we want to fix that problem, let's fix it for the punitive damages phase. Let's not have this trial deposition and the complete circus in your courtroom that injects all of these issues that we don't want to inject. I'm not up here asking the Court to inject issues of insurance, to

allow the adjustor to testify, to allow the note into evidence, all things that have been excluded and probably should be excluded.

What we want is if you want something fair, let's come up with something fair. But letting the party who destroyed evidence and never told us about it until we found it on our own, and lied about it under oath, that party shouldn't get any benefit in your courtroom to the detriment of all the other parties.

THE COURT: You don't get to keep arguing.

MR. MAZZEO: No, no, no, Judge. I know. I realize, Judge. I just wanted to bring up one point.

Mr. Smith's up here with his righteous indignation about being injured by this ruling. Fact of the matter is, they never brought a claim for punitive damages against Andrea. They never intended to seek malice or oppression. They brought a specific damages claim against Jared Awerbach not against Andrea, and then in some motion, they said punitive damages against defendants plural. And I said, Wait a minute. Let me call plaintiff's counsel. It's a mistake. He says, No, we're seeking it against them.

And nowhere in their opposition, even though
I addressed 42.005, 007, which is for employment,
and -- and 010, plaintiff's opposition didn't address

42.005. They didn't think that they had grounds to
pursue punitive damages against her for that. I had to
file another motion and voila. They get the benefit of
the Court now with this punitive damages claim. That's
why we're here with this order and — and this
controversy regarding this issue.

Why don't they dismiss the punitive damages claim against Andrea, and then I'll reconsider stipulating to negligent entrustment. It's a consideration. I don't know. I will have to speak with my client about it, though.

THE COURT: Okay, guys. So you're going to talk again too? Go ahead.

MR. STRASSBURG: Not necessarily.

THE COURT: I don't -- I don't think we need to just keep going around in circles, guys. The order's going to stand the way it is. I'm not going to modify the last paragraph. It's already been filed and eServed. You've made a record on why the agreement was made. That's fine. It's part of the record.

I don't think I'm going to take any of your suggestions either, Mr. Smith. I think that whatever Ms. Awerbach says when she's on the stand, I think you have evidence that you can use to impeach her because she apparently said different things at different

times. It's an impeachment issue. You impeach her 1 with it if there's a prior inconsistent statement. 2 3 I don't think we need to get into all the 4 whole insurance issue. I mean, if -- if there's a 5 statement to an insurance adjustor, you say, Did you make a statement to somebody? Yes, I made the 7 statement. Or no, I didn't make the statement. you bring the adjustor in. Said, Did this person make 9 the statement to you? Yes. What did she say? It's a 10 statement by a party. So it's probably subject to the 11 hearsay objection. I don't think you need to go into 12 all the insurance information, so ... 13 MR. SMITH: What about the opportunity to depose Ms. Awerbach, then, this weekend? 14 15 THE COURT: What's that going to get you? 16 MR. SMITH: As I stand here today, Your 17 Honor, I don't know if she's going to say she even made 18 that statement. And I don't have admissible evidence 19 yet because presently Your Honor has excluded the 20 claims note, you've excluded the testimony of 21 Ms. Merez, you've excluded any discussion of insurance. 22 I don't know whether those things are overturned. 23 We're going to have --24 THE COURT: Who's Ms. Merez? 25 MR. SMITH: The insurance adjustor. We're

going to have to ask the Court to overturn those
things. And even if those things are overturned, I
don't know whether we need put on, for example,
Ms. Merez in our case-in-chief. Do we need her to come
in and testify to the statements made so when, in the
defense case, Ms. Awerbach comes up there, I have
evidence to impeach her, instead of having to rebut it
with another witness after we've cross-examined her?

We just don't have any idea what's going to happen, and this isn't just — this isn't just making things up because I'm disappointed in the Court's order. This is attempting to come up with an entirely new strategy on the fly at trial without having any idea what's going to happen.

And, you know, I can imagine that Your Honor does not get a lot of people in a case this size coming to trial in your court where they haven't asked a party a key question that's going to be one of the primary issues at trial. And I haven't had an opportunity to ask her any of that. I don't know any of the stuff we want to ask. What her knowledge of insurance is, why she thinks that it might help her or not help her, if permissive use is found, why she testified inconsistently or why the adjustor might have made up what she wrote in her note. I don't know any of those

things. We're going to be asking those things in front of the jury. It is patently unfair to us to put us in that position.

Again, I said -- I said earlier, I think no matter what the Court does today, this is an appealable issue. But I'm not here to try and set up an appealable issue. I think that already exists. While we have an appealable issue, I would like to try and get us the best trial so maybe we don't have to do that. And at least at a minimum --

You know, I disagree with Mr. Mazzeo that the deposition I took of the insurance adjustor was a full and complete deposition. It wasn't. We had a discovery hearing on it. There's — there's a lot of things that I'm not going to waste the Court's time with. Given the timing of things and, you know, other reasons, it's not — it's not appropriate, and it's not — it's not necessary — it is necessary to take her deposition again. I don't want to say that. But we don't have the time and the effort to put in for the benefit that we get out of it versus if we could depose Ms. Awerbach again on the claims note. Unless — you know, Mr. Mazzeo wants to say that she's going to testify, I told these things to the insurance adjustor. And then maybe I would reconsider that request.

But I don't know what's going to happen,
and -- and, you know, to -- to do this to us on the -on the first day of voir dire, and -- you know, I agree
we've argued about it every day because every day the
situation has been different. And now today, we
finally have your order, and we see the position we're
in, and it is very unenviable.

THE COURT: It's the same position that I
told you on Monday. All I did was put it in writing.

MR. SMITH: It's not because we didn't know what was going to be in the order. I didn't understand what your basis was. You told me that you spoke to Judge Allf, but you didn't explain anything about punitive damages. You didn't explain about being fair, which is what's in your order. And so now I'm appealing to the Court to be fair.

THE COURT: I think everything that's in the order is pretty much what I said.

MR. MAZZEO: I believe it is, Your Honor.

And -- and I'm not sure if Mr. Smith is approaching cross-examination of a witness at trial as a discovery -- as you would for discovery in a deposition.

THE COURT: You have a problem making your client available for deposition over the weekend?

```
1
             MR. MAZZEO: I do have a problem with it.
2
   I'm going to object to it. He wants to ask about
3
   knowledge of insurance and whether it will help her?
   What does that have to do with permissive use? I don't
   understand what he wants to do with this. And they
   took two depositions of Andrea. They -- he asked her
7
   questions about the keys and permission and where she
   was and where she left the keys.
9
             If there are inconsistencies in what she told
   the claims adjustor, inconsistencies with her
10
11
   deposition, that's a prior inconsistent statement. Lay
12
   the foundation with a witness, and then confront her
13
   with it.
```

14 THE COURT: Were there questions asked about 15 this claims note?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Not specifically.

MR. MAZZEO: Not -- in her deposition? I don't think so.

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: I didn't have it. They had withheld it from us.

THE COURT: That's what I thought.

MR. MAZZEO: But I don't understand why we're talking about insurance and whether insurance will help her. Why does he -- I'm wondering here, as I stand here, if they're -- if they're setting this up for a

mistrial. Because if they do, I'm moving for fees and costs against them. That's what I think they're going to do.

They're going to say something improper during the course of the trial, something that should be inadmissible pursuant to a statute or to an order, and then they're — and then we're going to have to move for a mistrial. And then they get another trial shot at it, after they pay our bills for fees and costs. That's inappropriate.

So we should avoid that prior to them -- we should know what they're going to question her about and preclude the plaintiffs from questioning -- questioning my client about things that are inadmissible and that would be prejudicial to our side.

THE COURT: All right. I think I understand the plaintiff's desire to redepose your client about the claims note. I think that's fair. So make your client available over the weekend. We'll limit it to an hour. It's about the claims note.

MR. MAZZEO: Alternatively, Judge -- and nothing about insurance; right? Limit it to the claims note?

THE COURT: You know what, they can ask questions about insurance. I don't see it coming in at

1 the time of trial.

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAZZEO: But what's the purpose, though? Why do they want to even go there? We're at trial now. This is a trial — this is a trial deposition not a discovery deposition. So it would only be things that would be admissible at trial. So why would they waste my time, my client's time, their own time to elicit information that's never going to be used at during — during — during trial?

MR. SMITH: I would be happy to explain that. Under the statute I cited before, NRS 48.135 subsection 2, evidence of insurance is relevant to prove bias. And that is an issue that could prove bias. I don't know what her answers are going to be. But why wouldn't the Court and Mr. Mazzeo want us to discover what her answers are going to be outside the presence of the jury and then we can determine whether or not it's admissible evidence? Why would you ask us -- why would you ask us to set up -- what he thinks we're trying to do to set up a mistrial. If I wanted to set up a mistrial, I wouldn't be asking the Court to do it outside the presence of the jury. I want to do it outside the presence of the jury so we can figure it out. And if we need to argue about it in front of Your Honor before we put on the evidence, we can.

least give us the opportunity to talk to her.

MR. MAZZEO: Then I'll certainly consider

Mr. Smith's — the other option that he — that he

conveyed — that he suggested, Judge. I'll speak with

my client. I'll ask her about the claims note. I'll

ask her if she said the things that are contained in

the claims note to the best of her recollection, and if

she agrees and acknowledges that she did, we don't need

a deposition. Then he has that information and it's

not an issue anymore.

THE COURT: Maybe.

MR. MAZZEO: I could ask -- do we have a copy of the claims note? I could ask her -- I could find out today.

THE COURT: All right. At this point, make her available for an hour during the weekend. Okay? That resolves the issue for now. He can ask her about insurance. Whether it comes in at the time of trial is another issue.

MR. MAZZEO: Alternatively, though, if she agrees to the -- to the statements that were made as Mr. Smith had suggested a few minutes ago, if she acknowledges that she made those statements at the time that she spoke to the claims adjustor, 15 days after the accident, then the issue is moot.

1 THE COURT: Might be. 2 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Right? 4 MR. SMITH: I think we would waive the right 5 to take the deposition if she admits she made the 6 statements. 7 MR. MAZZEO: Okay. 8 THE COURT: What else? Let's talk about 9 depositions. You guys -- you guys want to use 10 deposition testimony during openings and there's 11 apparently objections; right? 12 MR. ROBERTS: Just one objection, I think, Your Honor, that was provided a couple of days ago. 13 14 Is there more than one objection? 15 MR. MAZZEO: No, we don't have an objection. 16 MR. TINDALL: Oh, yeah we have several. 17 did not understand we were providing those. I thought 18 we were arguing them. Same objections we made all the 19 way through, though. 20 MR. ROBERTS: In the part we marked, I only 21 saw one objection that's -- that's in the portion I 22 designated. If I missed one, I apologize, but that's 23 all I remember seeing. 24 MR. TINDALL: There's objections. 25 THE COURT: On page 19 of Jared's deposition,

there's an objection to the whole line of questioning. 1

The objection is that it won't reasonably lead to the

3 admissibility of evidence.

2

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 MR. ROBERTS: Isn't that a discovery 5 objection and not a trial objection? And -- and on the merits, the issue is whether his mom knew he was 7 unsafe. The fact that he had failed 75 percent of his drug tests and she knew that is evidence that she knew he was unsafe and knew that he constantly used drugs.

10 Or specifically in this instance, marijuana.

11 THE COURT: Did you guys want to make --12 where did Mr. Mazzeo go?

MR. TINDALL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you guys want to make a record on that?

MR. TINDALL: Yes. Regarding the snippets that they proposed they play during opening, at 16:24 through 17:02, "What age did you start smoking weed?" And we object to this on the grounds of relevance and prejudice outweighs probative value.

MR. ROBERTS: They've already moved to exclude evidence of marijuana use, and the Court granted their motion, but only as to use and addiction prior -- excuse me, after the accident. So the Court's already allowed evidence of his marijuana use and

addiction into evidence. So that's -- that's why we 1 marked this and thought it was allowable under the 2 3 Court's order. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. 5 MR. TINDALL: You said his addiction is not 6 relevant to even the negligent entrustment. 7 THE COURT: You're not talking about 8 addiction. You're just talking about him using 9 marijuana when he was 12. 10 MR. TINDALL: The additional objection, also, 11 is it lacks foundation because there's no tie-in to the mom knowing about that. 12 13 MR. ROBERTS: It's on the very next page: 14 "Did your mom know that you were smoking 15 weed since you were 12?" 16 "ANSWER: Yes, sir." 17 THE COURT: I'm going to allow the stuff. 18 Let's go up through 54. Page 54, you talk 19 about, How long were you at the Gowan Street address. 20 MR. TINDALL: Yes. Our objection to this, 21 Your Honor, is this is where the SWAT raid took place. 22 The Court's disallowed this in a previous motion in 23 limine. It's irrelevant and the prejudice outweighs 24 probative value. THE COURT: I just don't know what the 25

1 relevance is of these five lines dealing with him 2 living at the Gowan Street address. It's tied in, Judge, at 3 MR. ROBERTS: 4 page 149, line 14, and necessary to give that later testimony context: 6 "QUESTION: Did you ever consume marijuana 7 at the Gowan apartment? 8 "ANSWER: Yeah. 9 "QUESTION: Where? 10 "ANSWER: Outside." 11 Continued on the next page: 12 "QUESTION: How often? 13 "Often. 14 "QUESTION: Every day? 15 "(Witness nods head). "QUESTION: 16 Yes? 17 "ANSWER: Yes, sir." 18 So we know he lived at Gowan on the date of 19 the incident. We know he lived there for four years, 20 and we know that he therefore smoked marijuana every 21 day for four years, including his time immediately preceding and the day of the accident. It -- it's 23 necessary to tie it in because if the jury doesn't know how long he's been at Gowan Street, they don't know how 24

long every day is or what time frame it is.

25

THE COURT: Okay. You're right. 1 2 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow it. 4 What's the next one? Page 1 -- is it 103? 5 MR. TINDALL: No objection to that one, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 104. 8 MR. TINDALL: No objection. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 105. 10 MR. TINDALL: Relevance and prejudice 11 outweighs probative value. There's no relevance to the 12 kids potentially being in the car. 13 MR. ROBERTS: The relevance goes to the 14 punitives which are going to be considered in the first 15 phase in which the jury may award punitives, and it also goes to punitives against Ms. Awerbach, because 17 she not only knows he's unsafe, she's allowing an 18 unsafe driver to take kids in the car. 19 MR. TINDALL: And that also lacks foundation. 20 That's further objection. 21 MR. ROBERTS: If you look at the -- at the 22 statute, I don't remember the first, but it's the same one the metabolites are in. I think it's 110.1400 and 23 24 something. I apologize, Your Honor. I read it. 25 didn't think it was relevant. But the -- driving a car

```
1
  is -- turns into an aggravated offense if someone is
   under 15. So the legislature has itself decided that
3
   driving under the influence is more reprehensible if
 4
   someone under 15 is in the car. So it should go to the
   punitives and the amount of punitives and whether
   they're liable that Mom not only knew he was doing
7
   this, he knew he had kids in the car when she knew he
8
   was smoking every day.
9
             THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. I don't
10
   know that I'm going to allow you to talk about that
11
   other statute.
12
             Page 106, "How often were you running
13
   errands?" I think that's fair.
14
             MR. TINDALL: 106, 21 through 25, it lacks
15
   foundation. There's no relevance to the percentages.
16
   When --
17
             THE COURT: I think there is.
18
             MR. TINDALL: -- we've already established in
19
   a different paragraph that she knows he's using the
20
   car, what does it matter?
21
             THE COURT: Well, I think it -- it goes to
22
   explain how -- how much she's letting him use the car.
23
   She says it's 15 percent of the time, basically. I
   think that's fair.
24
25
             MR. TINDALL: 15 percent of what time,
```

1 though? It lacks foundation. The time that the car 2 sits idle --3 THE COURT: Bring it up through the witnesses 4 when they testify. 5 I think 107 is fair. 6 121, is this discussion at the accident 7 scene? 8 MR. ROBERTS: It is. 9 MR. TINDALL: Our objection is relevance, 10 prejudice outweighs probative value. He's already been 11 adjudicate impaired, so we don't need to know any of 12 the specifics of failing the test allegedly or what his comments about that might be. 13 14 MR. ROBERTS: If they'll withdraw their 15 defense to punitives of he wasn't that impaired and I 16 didn't smoke marijuana on the day of the incident, then 17 we anticipate that he's going to deny telling 18 Officer Figueroa that he was high, and -- or he's going 19 to say, I told him, but I was lying, and this is the 20 explanation he gave under oath for lying, and if he's 21 going to dispute this, I'm entitled to talk about how 22 he's going to dispute it to the jury. They -- they 23 shouldn't be able to tell the jury this for the first 24 time. 25 And when I objected, Your MR. TINDALL:

Honor, I -- I misspoke. What I meant to object to was simply the part about, "He pulled me" -- from 17 to 19, "He pulled me out of the car to perform the sobriety test." There's no relevance to that and the prejudice would outweigh the probative value. He's been

adjudicated impaired. The sobriety test shouldn't come in at all.

MR. ROBERTS: The sobriety tests were marked in our proposed deposition — deposition of Officer Figueroa. I know that Pete also included the sobriety test description and the results of all the tests in what he had designated.

THE COURT: Yeah, but how is it relevant based on the fact that there is already a finding of impairment?

MR. ROBERTS: Because Judge Allf reserved to them the right to dispute the degree of impairment. They could argue he was impaired, but he wasn't that impaired, so you shouldn't assess high punitives against him. That's what the motion in limine says. If they want to withdraw it, all of that, but even if they — even if they did, the jury may award punitive damages if they find he consumed marijuana knowing he was going to drive a car. And I think — I don't see how the jury can decide how much and if punitives

should be awarded if they don't know that he was almost 1 ten times the legal limit for marijuana metabolite, and 2 3 that he failed 17 out of 18 indicators for impairment when he was tested by the officer. It would --4 5 MR. TINDALL: May I speak? Are you done? 6 MR. ROBERTS: I am. I'm done. 7 MR. TINDALL: This is one of the first issues 8 that Your Honor had to deal with when you took over the 9 case. 10 Do you recall what your ruling was? 11 THE COURT: No. 12 MR. TINDALL: Okay. Your ruling was that we could not offer evidence of partial impairment, and we 13 14 could only if they brought in THC. And then that's how 15 you ruled from the bench, but when the order came around, it didn't read that. So if -- if Mr. Roberts 16 17 is saying that we get to offer evidence that he wasn't 18 impaired to a certain degree, we take that. 19 MR. ROBERTS: No. Either I misspoke or you 20 misunderstood. The Court order said, Evidence of 21 slight impairment is admissible, but defendants may not 22 arque Defendant Jared Awerbach was unimpaired. 23 MR. SMITH: That's Defendant Jared Awerbach's

MR. TINDALL: What I'm saying is that's

24

25

Motion in Limine 42.