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really sorry for this accident"?
A. I didn't know that was legal to do.
Q. Are you sorry?
A. Extremely. Beyond sorry.
Q. Okay. Are you sorry you drove without a license?
A. When?
Q. The day that you crashed into Ms. Garcia.
A. Yes.
Q. But yet, five years later, in the middle of the lawsuit about what injuries have been caused to Ms. Garcia, you're still driving without a license, aren't you?
A. Circumstances are a little different this year.

MR. ROBERTS: No further questions, Your Honor.

MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Jared, the accident was on January 2nd of 2011. Did you know that Ms. Garcia filed the lawsuit and commenced litigation in this accident by March of 2011?
A. No.
Q. And did you have counsel -- did you have any counsel with respect to this case prior to Ms. Garcia filing this -- filing this lawsuit in March of 2011?
A. No.

MR. MAZZEO: Nothing further.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. STRASSBURG:
Q. Why were you driving your mom to court?
A. Because I was in the transition of obtaining my license. I had passed the written test and the driver's test. I was waiting on a sponsor at Goodwill to pay for my reinstatement fee.
Q. And do you have a license now?
A. I do.
Q. When did you get it?
A. I think -- I don't know the exact date. On a Saturday.
Q. During trial?
A. Yes, sir.

## FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Mr. Awerbach, you're not telling the jury you
thought it was legal to drive a car without a license because you had started a process, are you?
A. From what I understood -- I had papers stamped by the DMV. From what I understood, I could drive with that with a licensed driver. So, no, I'm not telling them I thought it was legal. I am telling them I thought I was okay to drive, from my understanding.
Q. A paper stamped from the DMV?
A. Yes, sir, with my written results on it.
Q. So you're telling the jury that, at the beginning of trial, you had passed a written test?
A. And a driver's test, yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Any reason that you know of why that wouldn't be on your official record from the DMV?
A. Because it didn't come from Carson City; it's still local here.
Q. And isn't it a fact --
A. Did you ask for it?
Q. -- you didn't go down -- yes, we did. We subpoenaed the DMV. And a lady came into court with all of your history from Carson City and told the jury that, as of the day that you drove the car, you hadn't taken a test and you hadn't taken --
A. She never said that. I was here for that.

She never said that.
Q. Sir, isn't it true that you didn't go down and get your license until I called you out on it in front of this jury during opening statements?

MR. STRASSBURG: Objection. He's badgering.
THE COURT: He was, but this question is
fair.
MR. STRASSBURG: I wanted them to see how he holds up, Judge.

THE COURT: Overruled on this question. THE WITNESS: No.

MR. ROBERTS: No further questions, Your Honor.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, may I?
THE COURT: Go ahead.

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. When -- when you -- did you have to take a driver's test on that -- during the course of trial --
A. No.
Q. -- to get your drivers's license?
A. No.
Q. What did you do to obtain your driver's license?
A. I went to an insurance broker. I spoke to them about SR-22, because that's the legal requirement for me to begin even having my license. I got an SR-22 .

I went to take the written test. I failed it the first time. I went back and took it the second time, scheduled the driver's test. I could not get on the schedule until the $1^{\text {st }}$ of February. So I took it the $1^{\text {st }}$ of February at the Henderson location, passed, let them put it in the computer, kept the paperwork that I had. And they told me I had a year to pay the reinstatement fee.

And Goodwill, because I'm a participant in their program, offered to cover that expense. So I was waiting for them to cover that expense.
Q. So the only thing you had to do to get your driver's license was -- during that first week of trial was to pay a fee; correct?
A. Was to go to -- yes.
Q. Not to take a driver's test?
A. No. Go to the DMV with the results from my test, pay the fee. That was it. I had it.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Nothing further.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Now I'm really confused, Mr. Awerbach. You just said that you knew it wasn't legal for you to drive until you had your SR-22 and paid the reinstatement fee; right?
A. No.
Q. So you thought it was legal for you to drive even though you hadn't gotten your SR-22 or paid the reinstatement?
A. I had my SR-22. That was the first thing I did.
Q. Had you paid the fee?
A. Which fee?
Q. The reinstatement fee.
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. But you thought it was legal for you to drive even though you hadn't paid the fee?
A. My understanding, yes, sir.
Q. How long could you have driven without paying the fee? A year? Two years? Five years?
A. I would assume a year. I have a year to pay the fee.

MR. ROBERTS: No further questions.
MR. MAZZEO: Nothing.

MR. STRASSBURG: No.
THE COURT: Any questions, ladies and gentlemen?

Not seeing any hands.
Thank you, sir.
All right. Let's go ahead and take our break for today, folks.

Come on up for just a second. Let's talk timing.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: All right, folks. So I got a calendar Monday at 9:00. We're going to have you guys come in Monday at 10:00. It's likely that Monday will be a short day for you. Not that the trial will be over, but we have other things that we have to resolve as far as jury instructions and things like that before we can finish the case up.

Our hope is that we can bring you in Tuesday. I'll give you the law -- the instructions on the law, and you can -- you'll hear closing arguments on Tuesday. And that's -- hopefully, you'll get the -the case to deliberate sometime Tuesday.

That's our plan. I'm just kind of giving you
a plan. As you know, the plan was that the trial was
going to last three to four weeks. So I'm just going to -- I'm just kind of giving you a heads-up what we're thinking.

So if you have things to do Monday -- the reason I tell you that is because sometimes during these long trials people have things that they are putting off doing because you don't have time, like doctor's appointments and getting an electrician to your house and things like that. It's likely that you're going to be able to be home for a good portion of Monday afternoon. Okay? So I tell that in advance just to give you some heads-up.

During our break over the weekend, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else, about any subject or issue connected with this trial. You are not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio.

You are not to conduct any research on your own, which means you cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney, involved in
this case.
You're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll see you Monday at 10:00. Have a good weekend.
(The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury. Do you guys have anything to make a record on today?

MR. MAZZEO: I can move for a directed verdict on Monday. I'm going to reserve my right to do that since they have rested. Have they rested?

THE COURT: I actually forgot to ask.
MR. MAZZEO: Yeah. They didn't rest yet. So I guess I can't move anyway.

MR. ROBERTS: Well, we're still on the record. So if you want to work on the motion over the weekend, the plaintiff rests her case. I'll have to say it again in front the jury if you want me to, but that's fine, just so Mr. Mazzeo can know what he's up against.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: Professional courtesy.

MR. MAZZEO: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. So plaintiffs rest.
We'll come back Monday at 10:00, put your doctor on. You can reserve your right. You can make your motion when there's time.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. That's fine.
MR. ROBERTS: We'll have a motion, too, once they rest their case.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: For planning purposes.
THE COURT: I know you have to make them. I understand.

So was my representation to the jury about our plan, did that sound good to everybody?

MR. MAZZEO: Sounded fine.
MR. ROBERTS: Sounded good to us, Your Honor. MR. STRASSBURG: It's a good plan, Judge.

THE COURT: We'll see if it works; right?
MR. STRASSBURG: Yes. So we're about due.
THE COURT: Anything else on the record today, guys?

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, we just want to give you a set of -- I guess we're ready -- of disputed jury instructions. Plaintiff's -- what? This is -- this is Andrea Awerbach's proposed disputed jury instructions.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MAZZEO: And then how about stipulated?
Do we have them?
THE COURT: I have a set from the plaintiffs of the plaintiff's proposed.

MR. MAZZEO: Do we have copies for everybody?
Okay. Plaintiff's -- so you should have a set of stipulated jury instructions; right?

MR. ROBERTS: We just submitted our set. And I believe we notated on there if our set was disputed, so ...

MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: So I think the ones that are stipulated just don't say "disputed." But -- but we sent them to you. And if we -- if we've dismissed one that you currently dispute, then you can just let us know over the weekend.

MR. MAZZEO: Do we have the same set that the judge got?

MR. ROBERTS: You do. That was the one that was e-mailed to you this morning, a clean set.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Fine.
All right. And they have a copy and they have a copy?

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, guys, on

MR. MAZZEO: No, Judge.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ROBERTS: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Off the record. (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 3:33 p.m.)
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| 189/16 191/9 193/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}137 / 21 & 138 / 2 & 138 / 4 & 138 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}114 / 20 & 124 / 6 ~ 124 / 8 \\ 125 / 19 & 126 / 24 & 127 / 16\end{array}$ |
| 197/1 | 138/10 139/24 144/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}128 / 8 & 128 / 21 & 129 / 1 & 129 / 3\end{array}$ |
| BY MR. STRASSBURG: [43] 7/13 10/1 11/8 13/23 | 151/13 153/9 162/13 | 129/8 129/12 129/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 12 & 16 / 21 & 19 / 14 & 20 / 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}163 / 2 & 164 / 19 & 166 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}130 / 18 & 130 / 22 & 130 / 25\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 12 & 29 / 12 & 31 / 8 & 33 / 10\end{array}$ | 167/14 167/23 170/19 | 132/11 132/16 132/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 12 & 40 / 21 & 42 / 2 & 47 / 21\end{array}$ | 171/16 171/22 176/22 | 133/5 133/8 133/11 |
| 52/2 53/16 54/12 55/6 | 177/12 178/23 182/22 | 133/13 133/17 133/23 |
| 56/15 57/11 61/2 63/8 | 183/3 183/14 $184 / 4184 / 9$ | 134/2 134/7 134/15 |
| 65/21 66/21 67/3 69/7 | 20 188/4 189/12 | 135/20 138/1 138/3 138/6 |
| 69/24 70/19 71/15 72/8 |  | 138/14 138/18 |
| 75/21 76/2 81/20 82/18 | $\begin{aligned} & 197 / 23 \quad 200 / 17 \quad 200 / 24 \\ & 201 / 6 \quad 201 / 9 \quad 201 / 15 \quad 202 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 151/12 152/18 153/11 |
| 84/11 85/3 85/20 147/9 | 202/12 202/19 203/3 | 158/4 162/12 162/14 |
| 158/8 176/16 193/8 | MR. SMITH: [8] 88/9 | 162/16 162/21 162/24 |
| MR. MAZZEO: [95] 6/16 | 93/3 95/5 95/10 95/25 | 163/4 163/7 163/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 8 & 16 / 10 & 19 / 9 & 19 / 13 \\ 41 / 23 & 49 / 7 & 50 / 12 & 50 / 18\end{array}$ | 96/13 96/19 133/12 | $\begin{array}{llll}164 / 21 & 166 / 13 & 167 / 16\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 41/23 } & 49 / 7 & 50 / 12 & 50 / 18 \\ 50 / 22 & 51 / 2 & 51 / 6 & 51 / 8\end{array}$ | MR. STRASSBURG: [73] | 167/19 167/22 170/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 50 / 22 & 51 / 2 & 51 / 6 & 51 / 8 \\ 51 / 21 & 53 / 13 & 55 / 2 & 56 / 25 \end{array}$ | 9/18 9/21 9/24 13/11 | 170/24 171/19 171/24 |
| $57 / 257 / 5 \quad 61 / 1 \quad 71 / 13$ | 13/19 13/22 15/15 15/18 | 172/2 172/7 176/13 |
| 81/16 84/20 93/17 97/15 | 15/22 16/2 16/6 16/9 | 176/23 177/2 177/8 |
| 97/25 98/7 99/3 99/9 | 16/11 16/20 19/12 19/24 | 177/11 178/24 182/23 |
| 100/22 104/8 104/13 | 20/2 20/4 24/2 24/9 | 183/5 183/16 183/22 |
| 104/15 104/20 112/12 | 28/23 29/2 31/2 $33 / 1$ | 183/24 184/1 184/3 184/5 |
| 112/16 112/21 112/24 | 34/6 40/12 40/16 41/13 | 184/11 184/23 187/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}113 / 2 & 113 / 16 & 114 / 21\end{array}$ | 41/19 47/12 47/17 47/20 | 187/24 188/5 190/9 |
| 116/15 124/5 125/20 | $48 / 6 \text { 51/22 54/1 54/9 }$ $\begin{array}{llll} 55 / 20 & 55 / 24 & 56 / 4 & 56 / 24 \end{array}$ | $190 / 11 \text { 191/6 195/5 195/9 }$ |
| 128/7 128/16 128/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}55 / 10 & 65 / 4 & 56 / 4 & 56 / 24 \\ 57 / 10 & 66 / 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}195 / 14 & 198 / 1 & 198 / 11 \\ 200 / 8 & 200 / 14\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}129 / 7 & 130 / 20 & 132 / 13\end{array}$ | 68/24 $69 / 22 \quad 71 / 10 \quad 71 / 14$ | 201/1 201/8 201/10 |
| 134/13 138/17 140/21 | 71/23 72/3 72/7 84/23 | 201/17 201/19 201/25 |
| 144/20 147/5 152/19 | 85/1 85/19 87/7 96/8 | 202/3 202/24 203/2 203/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}158 / 3 & 162 / 15 & 163 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}99 / 4 & 124 / 7 & 133 / 7 & 134 / 14\end{array}$ | THE MARSHAL: [4] 6/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}166 / 10 & 170 / 22 & 170 / 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 138/16 } & 151 / 10 \quad 158 / 5\end{array}$ | 51/11 98/19 134/3 |
| 171/17 171/20 172/1 | 176/25 177/5 177/10 | THE WITNESS: [36] 6/25 |
| 172/4 172/9 176/12 <br> 178/25 181/20 183/6 | 184/2 190/10 195/4 195/7 | 7/5 7/8 11/6 51/25 63/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}178 / 25 & 181 / 20 & 183 / 6 \\ 183 / 19 & 183 / 25 & 187 / 16\end{array}$ | 197/25 201/16 201/18 | 65/20 76/1 81/15 82/17 |
| 187/18 187/23 190/12 | MR. TINDALL: [4] 88/8 | 84/10 98/5 99/7 116/16 |
| 191/5 192/17 193/5 | 95/11 95/14 128/25 | 125/18 126/25 129/11 |
| 195/13 196/22 197/24 | THE CLERK: [9] 7/1 7/6 | 133/20 134/1 135/15 |
| 200/11 200/15 200/25 | 135/11 135/16 138/7 | 135/18 135/21 140/23 |
| 201/5 201/14 201/21 | 163/10 163/15 184/14 | 151/11 153/12 162/19 |
| 202/1 202/5 202/11 | 184/19 | 163/14 $163 / 17$ 166/14 |
| 202/17 202/21 203/1 | THE COURT: [192] 6/10 | $\begin{array}{llll}183 / 23 & 184 / 8 & 184 / 18 \\ 184 / 21 & 188 / 2 & 188 / 9\end{array}$ |
| MR. MOTT: [1] 133/16 | $\begin{array}{llll} 6 / 17 & 7 / 10 & 11 / 5 & 13 / 14 \\ 13 / 16 & 13 / 21 & 19 / 11 & 20 / 3 \end{array}$ | 195/10 |
| MR. ROBERTS: [123] 6/15 | 20/6 24/5 24/8 24/11 |  |
| 9/16 9/20 9/23 9/25 11/3 | $\begin{array}{llll}29 / 1 & 29 / 4 & 29 / 8 & 29 / 11\end{array}$ | ' |
| $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 9 & 13 / 15 & 15 / 17 & 15 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 5 & 32 / 23 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 6\end{array}$ | 'had [1] 74/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 25 & 16 / 4 & 16 / 18 & 19 / 23 \\ 20 / 1 & 24 / 4 & 28 / 25 & 29 / 6\end{array}$ | 34/9 40/18 41/16 41/22 | 'she' [1] 74/14 |


| 1 | 1:00 [2] 88/3 88/4 | 26 [10] 8/22 9/20 16/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lll}1 / 12 & {[1]} & 8 / 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st [3] } 39 / 12 \text { 196/8 } \\ 196 / 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 21 / 15 & 21 / 16 & 24 / 3 & 56 / 7 \\ 61 / 11 & 108 / 13 & 143 / 4 \end{array}$ |
| 1/6 [1] 127/12 |  | 27 [1] 148/8 |
| 10 [28] 9/14 9/14 11/11 | 2 | 29 [1] 5/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 6 & 18 / 4 & 26 / 4 & 29 / 16\end{array}$ | 20 [3] 5/4 14/5 54/19 | 2:00 [2] 129/14 129/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 40 / 1 & 41 / 1 & 41 / 3 & 45 / 11 \end{array}$ | 2008 [5] 170/13 173/8 | 2:00 o'clock [1] 130/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 13 & 47 / 25 & 48 / 4 & 55 / 14\end{array}$ | 173/13 174/2 174/8 | 2nd [10] 11/23 16/9 |
| 56/6 59/19 60/25 61/15 | 2010 [6] 39/15 119/20 | 39/10 61/10 72/10 102/12 |
| 61/23 62/13 71/11 104/1 | 120/2 120/8 120/13 | 102/17 164/7 166/10 |
| 116/12 | 121/11 | 192/22 |
| 100 percent [3] 76/24 | $\begin{array}{llll} 2011 & {[44]} & 7 / 20 & 7 / 22 \\ 11 / 21 & 11 / 23 & 12 / 5 & 12 / 13 \end{array}$ | 3 |
| 90/16 90/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 1 & 15 / 11 & 15 / 17 & 15 / 25\end{array}$ | 30 [7] 1/2 30/18 37/9 |
| 101 [2] 3/6 10/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 1 & 15 / 11 & 15 / 17 & 15 / 25 \\ 16 / 10 & 16 / 16 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 21\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}30 & {[7]} & 1 / 2 & 30 / 18 \\ 40 / 10 & 40 / 23 & 43 / 20 & 58 / 18\end{array}$ |
| 103,290 miles [1] 86/25 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 10 & 16 / 16 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 21 \\ 37 / 2 & 39 / 5 & 39 / 10 & 39 / 13\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc} 40 / 10 & 40 / 23 & 43 / 20 & 58 / 18 \\ 300,000 & {[1]} & 81 / 23 & \end{array}$ |
| 10:00 [3] 198/14 200/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}37 / 2 & 39 / 5 & 39 / 10 & 39 / 13 \\ 39 / 13 & 39 / 14 & 39 / 15 & 67 / 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 300,000 & {[1]} \\ 31 & {[1]} \\ 5 / 7 \end{array}$ |
| 201/3 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 72 / 18 & 74 / 13 & 77 / 4 & 77 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}33 & {[3]} & 11 / 17 & 13 / 14 & 13 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 10:00 o'clock [1] 30/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}77 / 14 & 102 / 12 & 105 / 23\end{array}$ | 34 [1] 5/8 |
| 10:30 [1] 47/13 | 120/8 120/9 121/16 | 3636 [1] 2/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} 10 t h & {[6]} & 2 / 15 & 26 / 3 & 48 / 3 \\ 55 / 8 & 71 / 4 & 78 / 11 \end{array}$ | 121/19 126/11 127/12 | 3800 [1] 2/21 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 55 / 8 \quad 71 / 4 \\ 115[1] \quad 3 / 7 \end{array}$ | 164/4 164/7 164/11 | 382-3636 [1] 2/16 |
| 11th [11] 31/15 59/18 | 166/10 174/22 179/6 | 3838 [1] 2/11 |
| 103/20 103/21 104/7 | 192/23 192/25 193/4 | 3:30 [1] 129/21 |
| 116/22 116/24 119/3 | 2012 [14] $8 / 4$ 8/11 8/22 | 3:33 [1] 203/7 |
| 124/22 128/4 128/5 | 9/2 9/12 11/1 59/24 60/2 | 3rd [1] 102/19 |
| 12 [3] 8/10 42/5 153/9 |  | 4 |
| 124 [1] 3/8 | 2013 [42] 21/18 25/4 | 4 feet [2] 44/21 45/5 |
| 125 [1] 3/10 | $\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 25/18 } & 25 / 20 & 25 / 23 & 26 / 3\end{array}$ | 40 [4] 5/9 49/14 $137 / 23$ |
| 128 [1] 3/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 13 & 26 / 22 & 27 / 12 & 29 / 14\end{array}$ | 138/12 |
| 12th [2] 7/22 103 | $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 25 & 31 / 10 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 24\end{array}$ | 400 [1] 2/10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { [2] } 5 / 3 \text { 59/15 } \\ & 13529[1] \quad 1 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | 34/15 37/9 37/15 38/19 | 40C [5] 138/1 138/8 |
| $13529[1] ~$ 136 | 39/1 39/20 40/10 40/23 | 138/9 138/16 138/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}138 & \text { [1] } & 5 / 16\end{array}$ | 41/3 42/5 45/11 45/25 | 41 [2] 38/15 38/17 |
| 13th [2] 57/18 6 | 46/7 47/23 47/23 48/3 | 42 [6] 5/10 37/6 37/7 |
| 144 [1] 3/17 | 52/10 55/9 56/6 57/15 | 40/4 40/13 40/19 |
| 1460 [1] 105/4 | 59/18 61/10 61/15 61/23 | 44 [4] 31/19 31/22 34/8 |
| 147 [1] 3/18 | 71/4 71/11 78/12 94/17 | 34/10 |
| 14th [2] 31/24 34/14 | 2013.01.21 [1] 137/23 | 47 [4] 5/11 26/18 28/25 |
| 15 [9] 8/2 8/22 9/2 9/12 | 2014 [12] 10/7 10/17 | 29/12 |
| 11/1 129/19 164/11 | 62/21 65/14 66/3 67/8 | 4795 [1] 2/4 |
| 174/24 179/7 | 68/3 72/10 78/7 78/15 | 48-year-old [1] 182/15 |
| 1500 [1] 2/5 | 78/19 148/8 | 4:30 [1] 137/2 |
| 151 [1] 3/19 | 2015 [3] 153/17 153/19 | 4th [3] 102/22 103/14 |
| 152 [1] 3/20 | 153/25 | 204/19 |
| 158 [1] 3/21 | 16 [4] 1/22 6/1 204/7 | 5 |
| 15th [1] 18/3 | 20th [1] 77/4 | 510 [1] 108/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 & \text { [2] } & 10 / 17 & 65 / 14\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 21 & \text { [11] } & 15 / 15 & 15 / 17\end{array}$ | 54 [1] 5/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}163 & \text { [1] } & 4 / 4 \\ 1640 & \text { [1] } & 105 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 18 & 15 / 20 & 15 / 25 & 16 / 16\end{array}$ | 57 [3] 5/13 104/1 116/12 |
| 1640 [1] 105/5 | 16/20 19/11 62/18 62/19 | 58 [1] 19/16 |
| 16th [3] 10/7 62/21 67/8 | 68/25 | 583 [1] 61/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}170 & {[1]} & 4 / 5 \\ 175 & {[1]} & 4 / 6\end{array}$ | 21st [6] 15/11 16/10 | 5940 [1] 2/20 |
| 175 [1] $4 / 6$ | 16/11 16/24 18/21 57/15 | 5th [3] 60/23 103/3 |
| 176 [1] 4/7 | 22 [10] 56/17 56/18 | 105/14 |
| 177 [1] 4/8 |  |  |
| 17th [9] 78/11 164/11 | 196/4 197/5 197/9 197/11 | 6 |
| 174/22 179/6 $179 / 13$ | 22nd [3] 7/20 45/24 | 631 [1] 2/15 |
| 180/14 181/8 181/12 | 22n/25 | 6385 [1] 2/9 |
| 182/21 | 23 [5] 52/4 52/8 54/3 | 66 [1] 74/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}184 & \text { [1] } & 4 / 12 \\ 189 & 11] & 4 / 13\end{array}$ | 54/14 61/6 | 69 [1] 5/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}189 & \text { [1] } & 4 / 13 \\ 190 & \text { 1] } & 4 / 14\end{array}$ | 24 [4] 5/5 41/12 41/21 | 6th [9] 103/7 103/25 |
| 191 [1] $4 / 15$ | 41/23 | 118/7 118/16 124/15 |
| 192 [2] 4/16 $4 / 17$ | 25 [4] 29/20 29/23 31/4 | 126/10 128/3 128/14 |
| 194 [1] 4/18 | 78/6 | 128/21 |
| 196 [1] 4/20 | 25th [2] 77/14 78/15 |  |


| 7 | 91/25 92/5 92/16 92/17 | acknowledged [1] 180/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 percent [2] 94/14 | 92/21 92/22 93/7 95/20 | acknowledges [1] 132/25 |
| $94 / 20$ | 99/23 99/25 100/2 101/10 | across [2] 24/22 43/24 |
| 702 [4] 2/5 2/ | 101/16 102/7 104/23 | acting [1] 175/10 |
| 2/21 | 105/11 105/11 107/2 | action [6] 7/3 135/13 |
| 708 [2] 1/24 204/22 | 110/2 110/15 $114 / 13$ | 163/12 184/16 204/15 |
| 733 [1] 9/24 | 115/13 115/19 115/21 | 204/16 |
| 75 percent [1] 152/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}117 / 21 & 118 / 5 & 119 / 5\end{array}$ | actively [2] 109/24 |
| 78 [3] 19/18 19/19 20/6 | 120/23 121/12 121/22 | 122/6 |
| 7th [1] 47/23 | 122/2 123/11 124/16 | activities [6] 37/3 |
| 8 | 126/9 126/21 127/5 | 107/13 |
| 81 [4] $45 / 18$ 45/22 $47 / 8$ | 128/14 128/19 129/23 | activity [15] 8/3 33/13 |
| $47 / 10$ | 131/7 131/16 135/13 | 33/16 33/19 33/23 34/2 |
| 85 [1] 5/15 | $\begin{array}{ll}136 / 8 & 136 / 13 \\ 136 / 16\end{array}$ | 35/23 38/22 44/3 52/20 |
| 89101 [1] 2/16 | 141/2 142/15 149/19 | 53/14 54/15 58/10 100/2 |
| 89118 [2] 2/10 2/21 | 150/20 152/5 152/13 | 160/3 |
| 89147 [1] 2/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}163 / 12 & 164 / 4 & 164 / 7\end{array}$ | acts [2] 131/8 132/11 |
| 8th [6] 26/21 27/12 | 4/10 167/2 $167 / 3$ | actual [2] 49/12 119/2 |
| 29/14 115/5 122/14 | 169/20 170/9 171/6 | actually [16] 71/25 |
| 188/14 | 16 173/7 180/8 | 77/24 89/1 89/7 97/17 |
| 9 | 184/16 185/21 |  |
|  | 190/4 192/11 196/2 | 146/13 167/4 1 |
| 90-day [3] 105/15 105/22 | 199/15 201/13 201/19 | 186/16 200/15 |
| 121/4 | 202/2 | ADAM [1] $2 / 3$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 93 & {[1]} & 19 / 11 \\ 938-3838 & {[1]} & \\ 9 / 1] \end{array}$ | above [1] 142/18 | added [1] 112/11 |
| $977-1500 \text { [1] } 2 / 5$ | absolutely [1] 91/5 | addictions [1] 132/7 |
| 98 [2] 71/9 71/11 | absurd [1] 90/21 | addition [5] 90/22 |
| 99 [1] 3/5 | accelerated [1] 154/12 | 131/22 132/8 154/6 170/2 |
| 997-3800 [1] 2/21 | accelerator [2] 185/22 | additional [1] 78/22 |
| 9:00 [2] 30/13 198/13 | 186/4 | address [1] 95/6 |
| 9:12 [2] 6/2 204/7 | 148/ | adequate [1] 142/22 |
| A | access [1] 99/11 | admission [1] 13/1 |
| A-11-637772-C [1] 1/1 | accessed [1] 112/20 | admit [16] 20/4 20/5 |
| A-n-d-r-e-a [1] 163/18 | accident [59] 9/7 19/1 | 24/3 29/2 $29 / 3$ 31/4 34/7 |
| A-w-e-r-b-a-c-h [2] | $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 6 & 20 / 13 & 21 / 4 & 21 / 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}40 / 13 & 41 / 21 & 47 / 8 & 54 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 163/19 184/23 | 21/13 25/7 39/7 39/9 | 68/25 91/8 132/24 156/1 |
| a.m [2] 6/2 204/7 | $\begin{array}{llll}47 / 2 & 47 / 4 & 66 / 6 & 67 / 9\end{array}$ | 156/4 |
| A637772 [4] 6/13 51/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}70 / 21 & 70 / 25 & 74 / 14 & 79 / 7 \\ 79 / 12 & 79 / 19 & 80 / 4 & 84 / 18\end{array}$ | admitted [41] 7/17 13/17 |
| 98/25 134/10 | 79/12 79/19 80/4 84/18 | 13/19 20/8 20/10 24/6 |
| ability [2] 50/8 151/7 | $\text { 110/5 120/20 121/3 } 121 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 24 / 8 & 29 / 9 & 29 / 11 & 31 / 6 \\ 31 / 8 & 34 / 10 & 34 / 12 & 40 / 19 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { able [28] } & 12 / 12 & 14 / 2\end{array}$ | 121/13 121/16 123/6 | $40 / 21 \quad 41 / 23 \quad 42 / 2 \quad 47 / 10$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 12 & 23 / 6 & 24 / 1 & 28 / 17 \\ 30 / 19 & 33 / 13 & 33 / 19 & 34 / 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}123 / 8 & 123 / 15 & 126 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}47 / 12 & 54 / 5 & 54 / 7 & 55 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 19 & 33 / 13 & 33 / 19 & 34 / 1\end{array}$ | 132/20 170/6 173/3 173/6 | 56/24 56/25 57/5 57/8 |
| 36/4 $37 / 11$ 37/14 $37 / 17$ | 173/7 173/12 174/11 | 57/10 69/5 69/7 85/1 |
| 40/3 $40 / 4 \quad 44 / 22 \quad 45 / 1$ <br> $64 / 13$ <br> $1 / 16 / 16$ | 174/18 174/23 176/8 | 85/17 85/19 86/13 92/1 |
| 64/13 64/16 100/6 110/9 111/15 114/10 116/4 | 178/6 179/7 179/12 | 99/23 138/10 138/11 |
| $132 / 5 \text { 191/21 199/10 }$ | 179/20 179/21 179/25 | 138/19 138/21 166/8 |
| abort [1] 142/4 | 182/6 182/20 186/7 | 177/23 |
| about [137] $7 / 3$ 7/19 | 190/20 192/1 192/22 | admitting [3] 29/6 29/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}8 / 13 & 8 / 23 & 10 / 22 & 12 / 9\end{array}$ | 192/24 | 138/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 18 & 14 / 25 & 17 / 10 & 18 / 9\end{array}$ | accommodate [1] 142/3 | admonition [1] 130/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 12 & 18 / 13 & 19 / 21 & 25 / 4\end{array}$ | account [3] 75/17 112/8 | adult [1] 182/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 27/12 } & 28 / 14 & 32 / 9 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ | 112/11 | advance [3] 116/25 |
| 37/15 38/25 40/10 $42 / 5$ | accurate [4] | 117/18 199/11 |
| 43/20 $48 / 1249 / 23$ 50/2 | 112/15 204/10 accurately [10] $33 / 12$ | adverse [2] 171/24 172/9 adversely [1] 160/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 14 & 57 / 17 & 58 / 13 & 58 / 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 38 / 22 & 47 / 5 & 52 / 12 & 86 / 9 \end{array}$ | affect [1] 160/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 60/20 } & 61 / 7 & 61 / 9 & 64 / 7\end{array}$ | 38/22 $86 / 14$ $110 / 22 ~ 111 / 9 ~$ | affected [1] 160/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}65 / 1 & 65 / 13 & 67 / 20 & 71 / 4\end{array}$ | 168/10 177/24 | affixing [1] 151/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}72 / 12 & 74 / 13 & 74 / 13 & 77 / 6 \\ 77 / 16 & 80 / 11 & 81 / 15 & 87 / 12\end{array}$ | achieve [1] 23/6 | afford [2] 103/8 103/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 88/12 } & 88 / 14 & 89 / 9 & 89 / 10\end{array}$ | achieved [1] 154/10 | after [74] 15/3 19/6 |
| 89/11 89/16 91/1 91/3 | acknowledge [2] 164/15 | 21/10 21/13 25/6 25/21 |
| 91/19 91/22 91/24 91/24 | 166/18 | 25/25 32/11 $34 / 16$ 35/12 |

A
after... [64] 39/7 41/8
$\begin{array}{llll}46 / 21 & 46 / 24 & 47 / 2 & 47 / 4\end{array}$
55/9 61/17 62/5 66/7
67/9 74/14 76/7 77/7
78/12 80/11 80/25 81/19
82/23 84/5 84/18 85/10
91/17 94/9 94/9 94/10
101/14 102/8 104/24
107/6 107/14 107/17
107/22 112/12 112/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}113 / 5 & 118 / 7 & 121 / 16 & 122 / 1\end{array}$
123/6 123/8 123/14
123/22 126/19 126/21
127/6 136/20 142/12
143/17 147/13 147/13
147/21 148/6 153/9
164/11 174/23 178/6
179/7 179/21 179/25
183/10 185/13 188/25
190/20
afternoon [17] 63/23
136/2 136/3 144/25 145/1
168/19 168/22 168/24
174/14 174/15 176/18
176/19 185/4 185/5
190/17 190/18 199/11
afterwards [4] 34/4
36/17 66/7 66/8
again [29] 6/21 6/24 $\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 17 & 47 / 24 & 53 / 12 & 58 / 20\end{array}$ 66/20 67/2 78/14 83/24
91/17 101/14 106/18
107/19 120/22 124/23 132/2 134/1 135/10 136/4 143/19 156/9 165/11
166/15 166/21 167/9
169/19 188/4 200/21
against [3] 141/8 144/9 200/23
ages [1] 25/13
ago [10] 82/8 82/8
115/11 $126 / 3$ 136/14
143/5 171/7 178/14
181/23 182/15
agree [16] 14/7 92/11 119/13 120/12 146/4 146/9 152/24 153/4 153/7 155/20 159/11 159/15 161/21 162/8 162/8 162/9 agreed [2] 57/4 169/2 ahead [22] 6/11 12/2 17/11 48/9 51/16 52/2 76/2 87/10 95/11 98/1 104/18 106/19 129/18 133/24 134/8 163/9 163/20 167/23 183/2 184/24 195/15 198/6 airbags [1] 79/16 airplanes [1] 9/9
Al [1] 176/5
Al-Anon [1] 176/5
Aliante [10] $32 / 3 \quad 32 / 7$
32/19 105/18 105/20
119/17 120/18 120/20 120/23 121/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { all [135] } & 6 / 7 & 6 / 18 & 8 / 13\end{array}$

8/24 9/5 9/8 9/9 10/3 $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 12 & 11 / 16 & 12 / 19 & 12 / 24\end{array}$ 13/25 16/14 17/20 18/1 18/21 21/3 21/14 21/25 $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 13 & 23 / 12 & 24 / 14 & 26 / 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 12 & 33 / 23 & 34 / 6 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 4 & 35 / 17 & 35 / 21 & 37 / 11\end{array}$ 37/25 38/2 38/21 42/4 42/22 42/24 43/21 44/22 48/6 48/9 50/18 51/10
51/12 52/21 55/4 55/16
56/5 56/21 56/23 57/19 60/23 61/4 67/8 67/8 68/22 69/12 69/17 72/5 $\begin{array}{llll}72 / 10 & 73 / 18 & 74 / 7 & 77 / 6\end{array}$ 78/10 80/23 81/5 82/20 84/14 85/5 85/15 91/9
93/7 93/9 95/22 95/24
98/3 98/20 99/6 100/10
101/5 101/10 103/20
112/1 114/6 116/4 125/17
129/7 129/10 130/19
130/23 132/4 132/8 133/1
133/12 133/18 133/24
134/4 134/16 135/8
136/24 137/2 137/19
138/14 141/13 142/13
144/18 148/19 150/2
151/4 155/10 155/11
155/12 161/4 162/11
163/23 167/15 170/5
173/18 173/24 175/19
180/7 180/10 180/17
186/13 187/7 187/22
189/13 194/22 198/6
198/12 200/9 201/2
202/23 203/3
allow [8] 65/20 69/4
90/13 91/19 110/9 132/17 132/18 188/2
allowed [6] 88/22 90/3 91/2 92/6 97/2 191/3
allows [1] 141/7 almost [2] 97/6 104/24 alone [2] 21/7 189/2 along [3] 43/5 71/9 111/8
already [12] 56/24 61/11 85/1 93/2 93/6 93/9 95/13 96/22 98/3 112/24 138/10 138/11
also [13] 10/19 18/22 41/3 50/13 86/19 91/12 116/24 121/21 122/5 146/18 146/19 156/22 171/21
although [1] 157/13
always [4] 76/24 109/1 109/3 175/15
am [9] 22/23 36/25 132/18 137/16 169/12 181/17 182/7 194/6 204/12
ambulate [1] 50/8
among [2] 49/15 189/20
amount [1] 160/24
analgesic [1] 17/21
anatomy [1] 137/15
ANDREA [14] $1 / 12 \quad 2 / 13$
4/3 84/21 84/25 85/16
110/20 111/19 163/4
163/18 171/5 183/22
188/17 201/25
anesthesia [2] 73/6 73/9
angle [4] 140/6 142/6
145/6 146/13
Anon [1] 176/5
another [12] 6/24 78/19
89/14 91/20 92/21 96/5
105/11 125/15 129/13
129/18 140/13 146/7
answer [16] 11/6 71/8
71/21 74/20 81/22 84/10
108/10 113/24 125/16
128/24 150/18 150/22
154/5 166/14 181/18
182/19
answer's [2] 190/7
191/20
answered [1] 154/2
answering [5] 87/4
164/13 164/15 180/9 181/17
answers [1] 183/3
anticipated [1] 153/8
anticipating [1] 18/10
any [96] 14/20 17/21
21/12 21/13 23/3 25/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 3 & 48 / 12 & 48 / 14 & 48 / 15\end{array}$
48/16 48/19 48/21 48/23
$\begin{array}{llll}48 / 25 & 49 / 1 & 49 / 19 & 54 / 24\end{array}$
70/22 80/24 81/25 83/16
86/7 87/12 87/14 87/15
87/16 87/19 87/21 87/23
87/25 88/1 90/11 91/13
93/17 95/19 95/22 101/6
118/20 120/6 122/23
122/24 124/9 125/5 128/9
129/9 129/23 129/25
$\begin{array}{llll}130 / 1 & 130 / 2 & 130 / 5 & 130 / 7\end{array}$
130/9 130/11 130/12
140/8 142/10 143/6
143/10 143/12 143/16
145/14 145/21 148/22
149/15 152/9 155/2
155/21 156/11 157/21
162/17 162/18 170/8
172/2 172/15 179/16
179/16 183/9 183/10
183/25 184/6 $191 / 4 \quad 193 / 2$
194/14 198/2 198/4
199/15 199/16 199/17
199/18 199/21 199/23
199/25 200/2 200/3
204/13
anybody [4] $36 / 10 \quad 83 / 17$ 134/25 184/6
anymore [1] 71/1
anyone [5] 23/8 48/12 87/12 129/23 199/14
anything [22] $49 / 7$ 51/8 $74 / 22$ 81/13 88/8 89/7 96/24 97/11 $97 / 25$ 98/12
110/4 117/7 126/9 130/20

| A | argue [2] 95/10 188/1 | 80/24 90/10 91/18 91/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anything... [8] 130/21 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { argued [1] } & 92 / 13 \\ \text { argues [1] } & 93 / 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 92 / 19 & 100 / 2 & 104 / 23 \\ 108 / 17 & 110 / 21 & 113 / 9 \end{array}$ |
| 142/18 151/13 175/17 | argument [8] 90/2 90/3 | 116/25 117/6 117/21 |
| 191/7 200/10 201/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll} & 93 / 1 & 94 / 24 & 131 / 10 & 131 / 11\end{array}$ | 118/19 119/5 $132 / 1132 / 2$ |
| 202/25 [1] 82/16 | 132/2 132/12 | 132/10 152/5 153/19 |
| anyway [1] 200/17 | arguments [2] 95/19 | 154/16 154/20 154/23 |
| $\text { AP [1] } 145 / 4$ | 198/21 | 155/5 156/15 157/15 |
| apartment [2] 39/6 | arm [7] 22/19 22/25 23/ | 164/12 164/14 165/12 |
| 180/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}24 / 21 & 24 / 22 & 24 / 25 & 44 / 22\end{array}$ | 168/21 168/23 168/24 |
| apologize [2] 44/9 73/16 | around [22] 22/19 22/25 | 169/1 171/9 173/7 17 |
| apparently [1] 89/13 | 24/25 60/9 63/23 64/1 | 177/5 178/14 185/11 |
| appear [2] 37/21 44/14 | $\begin{array}{lll}64 / 3 & 64 / 5 & 64 / 22 ~ 64 / 24\end{array}$ | 188/2 190/1 190/19 |
| APPEARANCES [1] 2/1 | 67/14 68/10 68/12 | asking [22] 12/3 14/21 |
| appears [2] 22/16 38/4 | 123/17 141/12 141/13 | 92/21 99/23 107/11 118/5 |
| applies [1] 144/1 | 154/9 | $\begin{array}{llll}126 / 8 & 128 / 23 & 153 / 16\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { appointment [1] } & 72 / 18 \\ \text { appointments [1] } & 199 / 8\end{array}$ | arrested [1] 182/ | 153/24 154/21 168/12 |
| appointments [1] 199/8 | arthrosis [1] 157/9 | 169/6 169/7 169/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { appraisals [2] 119/23 } \\ & 120 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | articles [1] 175/22 | 169/19 176/25 180/9 |
|  | artistic [2] 145/9 | asmith [1] 2/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 98 / 6 & 129 / 11 & 162 / 7 \end{array}$ | 145/15 | assassination [1] 95/20 |
| approach [5] 71/25 | as [107] 7/18 11/18 12/ | assault [5] 91/2 93/21 |
| 113/18 116/16 164/21 | 13/3 15/15 18/11 18/11 | 93/25 95/9 95/9 |
| 167/16 | 21/16 21/21 22/17 23/16 | assembling [1] 142/8 |
| approximately [ | 24/10 26/20 29/23 31/22 | assessment [1] 40/25 |
| 127/6 150/15 | $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 7 & 33 / 9 & 35 / 9 & 35 / 9 & 36 / 9\end{array}$ | assistance [1] 23/8 |
| April [10] 10/7 10/17 | 37/8 38/16 38/17 40/24 | assistant [1] 94/19 |
| 21/18 25/4 25/20 25/22 | 45/11 $45 / 22$ 52/8 55/19 | assisted [2] 52/22 |
| 26/3 26/13 72/10 78/11 | 55/24 56/10 $57 / 13$ 60/14 | 145/22 |
| April 10th [2] 26/3 | 61/10 61/13 62/18 62/19 | ASSOCIATES [1] 2/3 |
| 78/11 | 73/2 74/24 83/17 83/17 | assume [3] 17/24 64 |
| April 16 [1] 10/17 | 84/16 84/23 88/25 89/4 | 197/22 |
| April 16th [1] 10/7 | 91/1 93/19 96/3 96/11 | assuming [1] 29/5 |
| April 2013 [1] 25/4 | 98/12 98/13 98/13 99/23 | umptions [1] 182/ |
| April 2nd [1] 72/10 | $\begin{array}{llll}114 / 13 & 119 / 14 & 119 / 14\end{array}$ | attempting [1] 89/13 |
| April 5 [1] 21/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}119 / 15 & 120 / 9 & 120 / 12\end{array}$ | attending [1] 53/6 |
| April of [1] 25/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}120 / 25 & 122 / 7 & 122 / 7\end{array}$ | attention [21] 10/25 |
| arch [1] 23/21 | $\begin{array}{lllll}122 / 14 & 123 / 5 & 129 / 20\end{array}$ | 11/17 19/16 26/6 26/18 |
| architecture [1] 160 are [70] 6/21 12/24 | 132/25 132/25 138/1 | 29/20 37/6 38/14 41/12 |
|  | 138/11 140/6 140/16 | 45/18 52/4 55/8 55/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 18 & 22 / 22 & 22 / 22 & 22 / 23 \\ 22 / 24 & 22 / 24 & 25 / 9 & 27 / 2\end{array}$ |  | 56/17 62/17 71/8 74/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 24 & 22 / 24 & 25 / 9 & 27 / 2 \\ 28 / 8 & 36 / 23 & 37 / 14 & 37 / 17\end{array}$ | 150/9 150/9 150/14 | 86/13 101/6 165/1 168/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 8 & 36 / 23 & 37 / 14 & 37 / 17 \\ 37 / 23 & 40 / 7 & 40 / 7 & 44 / 14\end{array}$ | 150/22 150/24 155/20 | attorney [10] 48/23 |
| $44 / 17 \quad 47 / 14 \quad 48 / 13 \quad 48 / 19$ | 156/7 156/18 156/22 | 81/12 87/23 114/5 116/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 63/10 } & 63 / 11 & 72 / 7 & 84 / 20\end{array}$ | 157/25 158/21 158/25 | 130/9 172/11 189/18 |
| 87/13 87/19 89/8 92/2 | 159/4 159/8 159/12 | 191/21 199/25 |
| 92/23 93/20 94/11 94/15 | 159/22 160/5 160/9 | attorney-client [1] |
| 94/21 $95 / 17$ 97/1 $98 / 4$ | 161/15 171/9 172/8 176/4 | /12 |
| 98/13 101/16 110/5 | 183/9 183/11 184/25 | attorneys [2] 74/11 |
| 113/10 114/15 115/9 | 184/25 194/23 198/17 | 81/14 |
| 115/9 117/14 118/24 | 198/17 198/25 | Audra [6] 102/2 108/13 |
| 129/24 130/5 130/14 | ascertained [1] 153/2 | 110/16 111/19 137/22 |
| 139/17 $139 / 19$ 141/18 | ask [30] 17/9 47/14 | 139/25 |
| 142/5 146/6 146/11 | 54/12 65/24 66/9 72/11 | August [10] 8/22 9/2 |
| 149/23 149/23 150/19 | 9 91/19 99/24 100/14 | 9/12 11/1 18/3 45/24 |
| 158/11 161/8 166/5 192/3 | 104/3 116/19 120/22 | 45/25 47/23 107/16 |
| 192/5 192/14 194/2 199/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}125 / 15 & 132 / 18 & 136 / 11 \\ 137 / 25 & 138 / 24 & 144 / 6\end{array}$ | 107/21 August 15 |
| 199/16 199/21 202/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}137 / 25 & 138 / 24 & 144 / 6 \\ 157 / 17 & 159 / 6 & 166 / 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { August 15 [4] 8/22 9/ } \\ 9 / 12 \text { 11/1 } \end{array}$ |
| area [7] 11/14 17/2 17/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}171 / 25 & 172 / 3 & 172 / 4\end{array}$ | August 15th [1] 18/3 |
| 83/22 147/12 150/25 <br> 154/11 | 182/24 185/21 188/3 | August 2012 [1] 107/16 |
| 154/11 | 194/19 200/15 | August 22nd [2] 45/24 |
| $155 / 15$ | asked [53] 8/13 8/23 | 45/25 |
| aren't [4] 92/25 95/4 | $\begin{array}{llll} 10 / 13 & 10 / 22 & 18 / 13 & 63 / 13 \\ 69 / 14 & 71 / 4 & 74 / 7 & 80 / 5 \end{array}$ | August 7 th $[1]$ $47 / 23$ <br> aunt's $[2]$ $22 / 2$ $22 / 3$ |


| A | 82/2 83/17 85/17 91/2 | 114/11 114/15 116/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| authority [1] 35/21 | $\begin{array}{lllll}91 / 4 & 91 / 24 & 91 / 24 & 92 / 20\end{array}$ | 116/10 122/6 122/17 |
| available [1] 114/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}92 / 24 & 93 / 2 & 96 / 25 & 98 / 13\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}122 / 17 & 132 / 1 & 132 / 2\end{array}$ |
| awarding [1] 93/23 | /6 100/6 110/13 110/14 | 132/10 135/9 $144 / 14$ |
| away [2] 143/23 143/25 | $\begin{array}{lll}113 / 10 & 113 / 14 & 114 / 5 \\ 116 / 20 & 129 / 19 & 129 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}154 / 6 & 169 / 21 & 175 / 12\end{array}$ |
| AWERBACH [29] 1/12 1/12 | $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 5 & 132 / 5 & 134 / 8 & 134 / 22\end{array}$ | $175 / 25 \quad 176 / 7 \quad 182 / 5 \quad 182 / 5$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll} \\ 2 / 13 & 2 / 18 & 4 / 3 & 4 / 11 & 79 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 5 & 132 / 5 & 134 / 8 & 134 / 22 \\ 135 / 8 & 135 / 13 & 138 / 12\end{array}$ | 189/20 192/11 |
| 80/4 80/9 84/25 110/20 | 138/19 139/23 148/6 | beer [1] 93/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}111 / 20 & 131 / 14 & 131 / 17 \\ 131 / 21 & 163 / 4 & 163 / 18\end{array}$ | 149/18 150/9 150/23 | before [47] 1/20 14/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 21 & 163 / 4 & 163 / 18 \\ 164 / 3 & 164 / 16 & 165 / 5\end{array}$ | 150/25 155/7 $155 / 25$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 1 & 20 / 13 & 21 / 3 & 28 / 10\end{array}$ |
| 177/17 184/7 184/11 | 156/3 156/15 156/17 | 39/4 39/7 39/8 46/21 |
| 184/23 185/4 $188 / 17$ | 156/17 156/22 157/13 | 46/23 46/24 47/1 47/2 |
| 193/25 197/3 | 157/24 157/25 158/3 | 58/19 59/21 60/10 60/15 |
| Awerbach's [7] 84/21 | /4 160/20 161/4 | 60/20 62/9 66/6 72/21 |
| 85/16 99/24 126/9 126/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}163 / 12 & 163 / 21 & 167 / 1 \\ 174 / 9 & 174 / 18 & 175 / 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}73 / 9 & 73 / 19 & 77 / 17 & 89 / 12 \\ 89 / 12 & 94 / 10 & 97 / 13 & 105 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 127/5 201/25 | 177/9 180/8 182/25 | 106/17 107/5 107/17 |
| B | 184/14 184/16 184/24 | 107/23 107/24 129/20 |
| back [72] 6/12 6/12 6/23 | 194/15 198/15 198/15 | 135/2 136/11 136/20 |
| 12/20 12/24 23/21 24/18 | 199/10 199/10 | 137/4 154/8 159/2 177/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 24 / 25 & 26 / 12 & 33 / 17 & 35 / 8\end{array}$ | beach [8] 42/19 42/20 | 185/20 188/25 191/14 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 22 & 44 / 1 & 44 / 5 & 44 / 8\end{array}$ | 198/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 15 & 43 / 17 & 50 / 15 & 51 / 17\end{array}$ | 45/16 106/8 | began [3] 67/6 77/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 17 & 58 / 8 & 60 / 14 & 61 / 4\end{array}$ | $167 / 20$ | begin [4] 78/19 78/24 |
| 61/6 62/1 63/6 68/18 74/16 75/6 88/4 98/2 | because [62] 6/24 21/12 | 152/15 196/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}74 / 16 & 75 / 6 & 88 / 4 \\ 98 / 24 & 100 / 16 & 100 / 19\end{array}$ | 35/22 $36 / 17$ 50/7 $59 / 13$ | beginning [4] 66/2 |
| 100/20 101/14 102/20 | 59/21 59/25 60/2 60/12 | 116/12 165/1 194/12 |
| 105/6 106/17 108/16 | 70/3 72/21 $73 / 11$ 74/23 | behavior [1] 175/9 |
| 111/12 114/16 124/14 | 90/7 90/18 91/18 92/4 | behavioral [1] 176/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}130 / 14 & 131 / 1 & 133 / 20\end{array}$ | 92/5 94/24 96/4 96/5 | behind [5] 23/9 33/17 |
| 133/25 134/9 134/9 | 97/12 97/22 100/10 | 35/7 58/4 189/3 |
| 134/17 135/5 142/7 $142 / 8$ | 105/25 110/5 110/11 | being [16] 52/22 74/25 |
| 143/17 146/6 147/19 | 116/1 131/5 131/14 | 95/20 98/11 98/14 107/10 |
| 148/7 152/14 161/11 | 132/19 134/24 137/18 | 114/8 119/11 128/15 |
| 163/1 164/7 166/23 | $\begin{array}{lll}140 / 14 & 142 / 23 & 144 / 8 \\ 144 / 15 & 152 / 13 & 156 / 16\end{array}$ | 131/23 $132 / 6$ 152/15 |
| 172/21 173/17 173/18 | 144/15 152/13 156/16 | 164/12 164/14 185/12 |
| 173/25 177/2 177/4 | 166/16 167/14 169/1 | 185/21 [15] 13/7 20/20 |
| 185/18 188/14 196/6 | 172/9 173/22 175/9 | believe [15] 13/7 20/20 |
| 201/3 | 177/22 182/3 182/9 |  |
| backseat [1] 43/9 | $\begin{array}{llll} 182 / 17 & 186 / 1 & 187 / 5 \end{array}$ | 146/18 167/13 |
| bad [5] 18/17 114/12 | 193/11 194/2 $194 / 16$ | 179/25 180/4 202/10 |
| 115/12 131/8 132/11 | 196/2 196/13 $199 / 5 \quad 199 / 7$ | believing [1] 140/9 |
| badgering [1] 195/5 | become [2] 120/17 120/19 | belt [3] 79/13 79/13 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { bag [1] 28/14 } \\ \text { balance [1] } & \\ \text { 23/22 }\end{array}$ | becoming [1] 137/17 | 79/14 |
| balance [1] 23/22 ball [1] 141/12 | bed [4] 37/21 40/8 75/3 | bench [17] 33/5 41/14 |
|  | 103/1 | 41/18 49/10 56/12 63/1 |
| base [1] | bedridden [1] 93/12 | 72/2 85/13 88/14 92/12 |
| based [1] 115/22 | been [87] 7/17 9/7 14/2 | 97/7 113/20 162/23 |
| baseless [1] 95/3 | 15/15 18/23 23/2 25/11 | 167/18 167/21 183/18 |
| basis [4] 169/8 169/13 | 25/19 25/20 25/25 26/12 | 198/10 |
| 169/15 170/15 | 30/13 31/10 32/7 32/11 | bend [1] 45/1 |
| Bates [3] 15/15 15/21 | 37/19 39/12 39/15 43/13 | bending [6] 33/16 37/1 |
| 56/7 | 46/23 55/9 56/24 57/5 | 37/2 74/21 74/24 75/12 |
| Bates-numbered [2] 15/15 | 59/22 60/17 $60 / 20$ 61/9 | benefit [1] 152/13 |
| 56/7 | 61/14 $61 / 17$ 62/17 $68 / 2$ | bent [3] 73/21 74/17 |
| be [102] 6/11 7/3 7/18 | 70/17 $74 / 11$ 75/10 77/6 | 75/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 12 & 13 / 17 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 6\end{array}$ | 85/22 86/13 88/11 88/16 | best [3] 146/14 149/18 |
| 14/21 14/25 18/13 18/17 | 88/18 88/19 88/22 88/23 | 155/13 |
| 20/7 24/6 25/11 29/9 | $88 / 24$ <br> $97 / 14$ <br> $93 / 14$ <br> $97 / 16$ <br> $101 / 10$ | better [8] 10/4 106/23 $124 / 20$ $124 / 23$ $127 / 14$ |
| 31/6 34/10 36/4 37/21 | $\begin{array}{llll}97 / 14 & 97 / 16 & 101 / 10 \\ 103 / 12 & 103 / 16 & 105 / 22\end{array}$ | 127/22 137/17 142/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 40/19 } & 41 / 23 & 44 / 14 & 47 / 10\end{array}$ | 105/24 109/24 110/8 | $\begin{array}{rlll}127 / 22 & 137 / 17 & 142 / 23 \\ \text { between }[3] & 6 / 25 \quad 107 / 5\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}50 / 11 & 50 / 23 ~ 51 / 16 ~ 51 / 24 ~\end{array}$ | $110 / 14 \quad 113 / 13 \quad 114 / 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { between [3] 6/25 107/5 } \\ & 149 / 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}54 / 5 & 57 / 8 & 60 / 14 & 62 / 15 \\ 66 / 9 & 66 / 12 & 67 / 5 & 69 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}114 / 3 & 114 / 6 & 114 / 9 & 114 / 10\end{array}$ | beyond [6] 128/20 128/22 |


| B | 133/9 | 38/16 38/17 45/22 52/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beyond... [4] 153/10 | briefly [1] 49/8 | 52/8 52/15 55/25 56/23 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 176/23 } & 176 / 24 \\ 192 / 4\end{array}$ | bring [5] 110/10 133/18 | 57/13 61/13 62/19 63/4 |
| big [2] 30/15 36/3 | 177/2 177/4 198/19 | 63/5 63/5 63/17 65/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { bills [2] } & 170 / 10 & 175 / 17\end{array}$ | bringing [1] 61/22 | 65/23 69/9 71/9 75/17 |
| binders [1] 104/12 | broader [1] 34/25 | $81 / 19$ $82 / 16$ $84 / 10$ 84 <br> $88 / 18$ $88 / 24$ $94 / 23$ 95 |
| biologically [1] 160/1 | broker [1] 196/1 | 97/4 97/8 102/2 104/9 |
| biology [1] 160/2 | brought [3] 38/11 147/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}104 / 19 & 113 / 17 & 113 / 24\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { birth [3] } \\ & 18 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | 147/21 | 117/11 129/15 131/3 |
| birthday [6] 28/22 57/18 | brush [1] 28/12 | 137/22 138/14 139/8 |
| birthday [6] 28/22 57/18 $\text { 60/9 70/4 } 70 / 4 \quad 90 / 19$ | brushed [1] 28/10 | 139/13 141/8 142/7 150/8 |
| birthdays [1] 32/15 | building [1] 173/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}150 / 8 & 150 / 18 & 150 / 22\end{array}$ |
| bit [5] 82/13 82/13 | C | 152/12 157/13 160/4 |
| 101/8 135/10 136/12 | C-r-i-s-t-b [1] 63/15 | 160/20 160/25 165/21 |
| blanket [1] 24/17 | C21 [2] 5/14 69/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}166 / 13 & 166 / 15 & 172 / 3\end{array}$ |
| block [4] 154/18 156/8 | C22 [2] 5/13 57/9 | 177/2 184/25 188/3 |
| 156/10 156/15 | C23 [2] 5/12 54/6 | 198/18 198/19 198/21 |
| blocks [4] 153/21 154/1 155/2 155/9 | C24 [2] 5/10 42/1 | 200/12 200/22 201/4 |
| blood [5] 160/3 | C25 [2] 5/7 31/7 | 201/4 202/16 |
| $\begin{array}{rlll}160 / 11 & 160 / 16 ~ 160 / 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C26 [2] } & 5 / 5 & 24 / 7 \\ \text { c33 }\end{array}$ | can't [24] 25/12 56/3 |
| blouse [2] 100/11 100/11 | C33 [4] 5/3 12/15 13/13 | 73/10 73/16 73/16 75/8 |
| board [3] 137/2 145/18 | 13/18 | 75/11 75/17 76/6 76/6 |
| 146/8 | C34 [1] 99/24 | 78/4 90/19 93/24 93/25 |
| body [11] 22/25 44/18 | C40 [2] 5/16 138/20 | 110/5 132/3 139/22 142/2 |
| 75/15 128/15 139/16 | C42 [2] 5/9 40/20 | 159/12 165/24 167/10 |
| 146/12 149/1 149/7 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C44 [2] } & 5 / 8 & 34 / 11\end{array}$ | 172/4 172/8 200/17 |
| 159/22 161/12 161/14 | C47 [2] 5/6 29/10 | cannot [5] 48/20 78/4 |
| bone [26] 139/15 140/6 | C72 [1] 7/18 | 87/20 130/6 199/22 |
| 140/9 140/14 140/14 | C78 [2] 5/4 20/9 | capacity [1] 119/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}140 / 17 & 141 / 6 & 141 / 9\end{array}$ | C81 [2] 5/11 47/11 | car [63] 20/19 43/22 |
| 141/14 $141 / 18$ 141/21 | CA [1] 1/24 | 58/24 59/1 64/13 64/15 |
| 141/25 142/3 142/14 | Caesars [7] 63/19 63/20 | 68/16 86/4 90/20 91/6 |
| 143/12 159/19 159/25 | 64/6 64/17 64/25 68/11 | 110/23 111/3 123/5 |
| $160 / 2 \quad 160 / 10160 / 13$ | 69/10 $\quad 34 / 24120 / 25$ | 123/16 123/17 124/3 |
| 160/15 160/17 160/20 | ge [2] 34/24 120/25 | 166/19 166/22 167/12 |
| 160/24 161/3 161/20 | cake [2] $58 / 14$ $58 / 20$ <br> calculate $[2]$ $90 / 10 \quad 92 / 6$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 168 / 8 & 168 / 9 & 169 / 4 & 169 / \\ 169 / 14 & 169 / 20 & 169 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| bones [1] 160/14 | calendar [1] 198/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}169 / 14 & 169 / 20 & 169 / 1 \\ 170 / 3 & 170 / 8 & 170 / 11\end{array}$ |
| book [10] 11/17 16/2 | California [15] 42/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}170 / 13 & 170 / 18 & 171 / 11\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 17 & 48 / 22 & 87 / 22 & 96 / 7\end{array}$ | 42/18 $45 / 7 \quad 46 / 22 \quad 49 / 11$ | 171/14 171/15 172/15 |
| 96/18 130/8 175/16 | $\begin{array}{llllll}49 / 16 & 49 / 18 & 49 / 20 & 50 / 5\end{array}$ | 172/16 172/19 172/23 |
| 199/24 | 60/21 106/5 106/11 | $\begin{array}{lll}172 / 24 & 173 / 2 & 173 / 16\end{array}$ |
| books [2] 180/25 181/3 |  |  |
| born [2] 175/12 175/25 | 121/22 121/24 137/12 |  |
| both [7] 44/15 62/6 | call [12] 65/10 83/22 | 174/17 175/2 176/9 |
| 74/17 93/25 128/3 139/19 | 96/10 96/12 103/22 | 177/19 178/5 179/19 |
| 146/5 | 105/25 145/4 160/14 | 179/20 182/4 182/5 |
| bothered [1] 43/18 | 164/25 173/4 178/5 182/3 | 182/22 183/12 185/17 |
| bothering [1] 43/8 | called [14] 32/8 81/12 | 185/18 185/20 186/1 |
| bottom [1] 13/2 | 83/16 103/8 105/6 124/23 | 188/24 189/12 194/1 |
| bought [2] 30/8 64/21 | $\begin{array}{lll}136 / 23 & 137 / 6 & 161 / 24\end{array}$ | 194/23 |
| Boulevard [2] 2/9 2/20 | 171/22 179/23 179/23 | card [1] 90/20 |
| bounds [2] 91/23 92/25 | 181/10 195/3 calls [3] 69/20 163/4 | $\text { care [7] } 150 / 101$ |
| boyfriend [3] 27/19 | 184/10 | 187/5 187/8 187/16 |
| 68/10 93/8 | came [13] 35/2 50/18 | 187/23 188/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { brace [1] } & 142 / 19 \\ \text { Bradley [1] } & 137 / 10\end{array}$ | 50/20 53/3 59/21 59/25 | carried [1] 67/7 |
| Bradley [1] 137/10 | 60/14 $115 / 4 \quad 136 / 8 \quad 136 / 13$ | carry [2] 28/17 181/1 |
| brain [2] 136/23 149/2 branch [1] 158/25 | 143/17 148/7 194/21 | carrying [2] 27/2 27/6 |
| branch [1] branches [1] 158/19 | camera [1] 20/21 | cars [1] 59/3 |
| break [15] $47 / 15$ 47/17 | can [94] 7/24 9/25 10/13 | Carson [2] 194/16 194/22 |
| 48/8 48/10 48/11 82/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 6 & 11 / 18 & 12 / 8 & 12 / 12\end{array}$ | cartoons [1] 146/8 |
| 87/10 87/11 97/8 129/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 5 & 21 / 16 & 21 / 20 & 22 / 6\end{array}$ | case [50] $1 / 1$ 6/13 48/16 |
| 129/17 129/18 129/22 | $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 25 & 24 / 21 ~ 26 / 20 ~ 27 / 5\end{array}$ | 48/24 $49 / 1{ }^{\text {l }}$ 49/13 $51 / 17$ |
| 198/6 199/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}27 / 9 & 29 / 23 & 31 / 22 & 32 / 1\end{array}$ | 74/11 81/6 87/16 87/24 |
| brief [3] 131/6 131/7 | 32/6 32/9 37/6 37/7 | 88/1 90/8 90/10 90/11 |



| C | 122/8 122/16 122/25 | 34/22 34/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nfused... [2] | 123/7 123/15 123/19 | crash [16] 91/6 107/6 |
| 197/3 | 139/8 139/11 148/23 | 110/6 164/6 164/10 |
| confusing [1] | 154/15 154/21 155/25 | 164/11 185/13 186/14 |
| connected [12] 48/13 | 157/8 157/22 158/23 | 186/17 186/20 186/24 |
| 48/15 49/1 87/13 87/15 | 159/5 161/17 161/23 | 187/2 187/5 187/9 189/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}88 / 1 & 129 / 24 & 130 / 1 & 130 / 12\end{array}$ | 162/10 165/19 166/10 | 190/3 |
| 199/15 199/18 200/3 | 5 186/2 186/8 | crashed [3] 170/11 |
| consecutive [1] 89/17 | correcting [1] 154/14 | crawled [2] 75/3 75/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llr}\text { consistent [1] } & 104 / 6 \\ \text { consistently [1] } & 125\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { correcting [1] } & \text { 156/14 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { crawling [3] } & 75 / 1 & 75 / 2\end{array}$ |
| Consistently [1] 125 <br> constant [1] 41/5 | correlation [1] 137/ | 75/18 |
| construct [4] 147/25 | cortex [1] 160/13 | cream [1] 36/1 |
| 148/5 160/10 161/1 | cost [2] 117/1 117/18 | create [1] 14 |
| constructs [3] 149/11 | couch [1] 180/20 | created [1] 141/1 |
| 149/13 149/16 | could [52] 9/17 10/7 | Credit [1] 28/7 |
| consult [1] 10/25 | 12/3 12/14 $14 / 514 / 6$ | crimes [1] 132/11 |
| consultation [1] 81/20 | 15/21 18/17 21/15 23/2 | Criminal [1] 93/2 |
| consulted [2] 82/23 | 25/10 25/11 $25 / 19$ 27/16 | Cristobal [1] 63/15 |
| 145/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll}27 / 16 & 30 / 13 & 32 / 11 & 35 / 9\end{array}$ | cross [29] 3/4 3/5 3 |
| consume [1] 35/23 | 37/19 39/14 47/14 53/25 | 3/18 4/5 4/6 4/13 4/16 |
| contact [3] 66/23 117/6 | 55/2 60/9 62/15 63/13 | 6/22 7/13 22/24 99/15 |
| 117/21 | 64/19 71/20 73/14 74/23 | 100/1 103/6 108/14 |
| contains [1] 159/15 | 75/10 82/6 92/1 105/2 | 111/24 122/1 144/23 |
| contemplating [1] 1 | 105/22 107/19 108/3 | 147/9 170/21 170/23 |
| context [3] 71/21 | 111/19 112/22 135/4 | 171/3 171/19 171/22 |
| 166/11 | 136/16 141/2 148/21 | 172/11 176/16 185/7 |
| continue [3] 77/21 78/3 | 156/4 156/17 159/6 167/2 | 190/15 193/8 |
| 82/1 | 173/22 191/25 194/4 | cross-examinati |
| continued [3] $4 / 1$ 143/3 | 196/7 197/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 / 4 & 3 / 5 & 3 / 17 & 3 / 18 & 4 /\end{array}$ |
| 147/13 | couldn't [18] 12/11 22/4 | 4/6 4/13 4/16 6/22 7/13 |
| continuing [2] 62/1 | 25/8 32/19 36/5 36/17 | 99/15 100/1 103/6 108/14 |
| 73/22 [2] 62/1 | 73/12 73/20 77/18 80/13 | 111/24 122/1 144/23 |
| contradict [1] 95/1 | 86/20 89/20 100/9 103/8 | 147/9 171/3 171/19 |
| conversation [29] 82 | 103/22 113/10 169/25 | 171/22 176/16 190/15 |
| 102/6 116/21 118/6 | 170/6 | 193/8 |
| 118/15 118/24 119/2 | counsel [15] 81/20 85/16 | cross-examined [1] 185 |
| 124/14 126/8 126/10 | 89/10 98/13 112/14 | cross-legged [1] 22/24 |
| 126/14 126/15 126/18 |  | 1/ |
| 126/19 127/12 128/2 | $\begin{array}{llll}145 / 22 & 153 / 18 & 162 / 22\end{array}$ | CT [8] 147/12 147/15 |
| 128/3 128/5 128/21 | Counsel's [1] 89/16 | $147 / 18$ 147/20 148/1 |
| 164/10 $164 / 19$ 164/25 | $\text { count [1] } 116 / 4$ | Cuba [1] 70/16 |
| 167/10 174/21 177/25 | COUNTY [4] 1/6 204/3 | current [3] 67/25 158/10 |
| 178/9 181/7 181/12 | 204/5 204/18 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Current } \\ 158 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| 182/21 | couple [13] 47/14 72/11 | currently [2] 137/10 |
|  | 88/12 89/17 93/13 93/13 | 202/16 |
| cooking [3] 53/5 54/18 | 95/7 97/14 99/25 110/19 | Curt [1] 133/15 |
| copies [1] 202/6 | 125/21 136/8 143/5 | cushions [1] 12/ |
| copy [19] $11 / 19$ 12/4 | course [19] 21/6 21/12 | cut [2] 13/20 58/19 |
| 21/17 $26 / 21$ 29/24 31/23 | 26/24 36/8 $36 / 8$ 49/23 | cutting [1] 53/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 37 / 8 & 38 / 18 & 45 / 23 & 52 / 9\end{array}$ | 58/15 $76 / 21 \quad 78 / 19$ 88/12 | CVS [1] 125/13 |
| 57/14 62/20 137/25 138/4 |  | D |
| 138/5 147/11 168/2 | 155/6 155/24 195/20 | D-a-v-i-d [1] 135/20 |
| 202/23 202/24 | court [15] 1/5 80/17 | daily [1] 10/10 |
| CORPORATIONS [1] 1/13 | 91/7 $96 / 14104 / 13131 / 6$ | Daisy [3] 42/15 44/12 |
| correct [63] 11/19 12/4 | 131/9 131/25 138/6 | 44/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 22 & 21 / 9 & 21 / 17 & 22 / 17\end{array}$ | 186/19 186/22 187/8 | damage [9] 86/7 86/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 18 & 23 / 23 & 26 / 20 & 29 / 24 \\ 31 / 23 & 34 / 17 & 37 / 8 & 38 / 18\end{array}$ | 188/25 193/10 194/21 | 86/14 110/22 111/8 111/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 41 / 23 & 34 / 17 & 37 / 8 & 38 / 18 \\ 45 / 23 & 52 / 9 & 57 / 14 & 60 / 2\end{array}$ | courtesy [1] 200/25 | 123/17 123/20 124/3 |
| 62/20 81/2 100/7 100/17 | courtroom [3] 88/18 97/4 | damages [6] 90/11 91/25 |
| 102/24 103/4 103/15 | 5/24 | 92/2 92/3 92/5 94/2 |
| 103/23 105/16 107/4 | in | dangerous [2] 170/17 |
| 107/24 115/6 116/24 | cover [2] 196/14 196/15 | 177/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}118 / 1 & 118 / 8 & 118 / 10\end{array}$ | covers [1] 79/14 Coworkers [3] | date [33] 8/8 9/1 11/21 |
| 119/16 119/20 122/3 | Coworkers [3] 34/20 | 11/22 12/5 16/16 17/6 |


| D | decided [4] 83/1 95/18 | 58/22 58/25 59/1 59/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| date... [26] 29/25 31/24 | 6 117/6 | 60/10 64/2 64/6 64/8 |
| 37/9 38/18 52/10 52/17 | decortication [1] 160/ | 64/10 64/10 64/11 64/14 |
| 53/18 $55 / 10$ 57/14 $61 / 7$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}64 / 14 & 64 / 24 & 65 / 2 & 66 / 5 \\ 66 / 6 & 66 / 12 & 66 / 23 & 66 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 62/21 63/23 63/25 64/2 | $93 / 23$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 67 / 11 & 68 / 14 & 69 / 17 & 70 / 1 \end{array}$ |
| 64/3 64/3 67/18 75/11 | Defendant's [14] 13/18 | $\begin{array}{llllll}70 / 10 & 73 / 12 & 78 / 2 & 78 / 24\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}78 / 9 & 107 / 19 & 112 / 4 & 112 / 11 \\ 113 / 5 & 143 / 4 & 148 / 8 & 193 / 18\end{array}$ | 20/9 24/7 29/10 31/7 | $\begin{array}{lllll}79 / 16 & 82 / 4 & 83 / 19 & 83 / 19\end{array}$ |
| /5 143/4 148/8 | 34/11 40/20 42/1 47/11 | 85/22 85/25 86/3 89/1 |
| $26 / 2156 / 6$ | 54/6 57/9 69/6 85/18 | 90/9 95/15 97/11 101/14 |
| dating [5] 65/21 66/1 | 138/20 | 101/17 101/21 101/24 |
| 66/11 66/13 66/23 | Defendants [1] 1/14 | 102/20 102/25 105/10 |
| daughter [3] $30 / 2$ 30/8 | defense [8] 88/24 89/3 | 105/21 106/10 106/23 |
| 80/15 | 96/1 112/11 113/6 134/20 | 108/23 109/1 109/12 |
| David [3] 32/20 32/21 | 134/25 135/7 | 109/15 112/16 113/16 |
| 135/19 | definition [4] 157/4 | 115/3 119/10 120/17 |
| Davis [1] 6/19 | 157/6 157/7 157/7 | 120/19 125/5 126/9 |
| day [52] $11 / 25$ 14/4 $14 / 8$ | degree [3] 151/24 152/1 | 127/20 127/24 128/14 |
| 14/8 14/17 14/19 14/20 |  | 128/24 128/25 131/20 |
| 14/22 27/15 28/21 30/24 | denied [1] 49/17 | $\begin{array}{lll} 132 / 3 & 132 / 4 & 132 / 6 \\ 133 / 9 & 142 / 4 & 142 / 10 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 10 & 36 / 6 & 70 / 4 & 72 / 21\end{array}$ | dental [1] 82/11 | $142 / 17 \quad 143 / 12 \quad 143 / 15$ |
| 73/19 76/7 101/12 102/11 | denying [1] 165/17 | 144/4 144/5 144/6 146/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}102 / 19 & 102 / 22 & 103 / 7\end{array}$ | department [3] 1/21 | 148/6 148/14 $149 / 9$ 153/7 |
| 103/13 $105 / 15$ 105/22 | 136/22 137/11 | 154/2 156/21 157/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}113 / 14 & 118 / 7 & 121 / 4\end{array}$ | depending [3] 14/4 $73 / 14$ | 157/20 157/23 164/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}122 / 15 & 133 / 9 & 136 / 24\end{array}$ | 149/20 | 165/20 165/25 166/18 |
| 137/6 137/13 137/16 | depict [6] 12/16 33/13 | 166/23 167/11 168/18 |
| 166/10 $166 / 20168 / 7$ | 38/22 47/5 52/12 86/9 | 172/14 172/15 172/22 |
| 168/11 171/10 172/17 | depicted [3] 32/2 100/3 | 174/3 174/7 174/8 174/18 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}172 / 18 & 173 / 2 & 174 / 11 \\ 174 / 17 & 179 / 11 & 179 / 18\end{array}$ | 110/22 | 174/25 175/20 177/25 |
| 174/17 179/11 179/18 | depiction [2] 84/17 | 178/3 179/10 179/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}183 / 10 & 188 / 19 & 192 / 8\end{array}$ | 112/15 | 181/13 181/24 185/15 |
| 194/23 198/15 204/19 | deploy [1] 79/16 | 185/17 185/21 186/8 |
| days [26] 15/3 18/24 | deposition [9] 10/6 | 186/10 186/11 186/13 |
| 40/24 45/8 45/15 55/9 | 10/13 71/3 71/17 74/1 | 188/19 188/22 188/24 |
| 57/16 61/17 77/16 88/12 | 74/4 101/12 101/15 | $191 / 1 \quad 191 / 11 \quad 191 / 14$ |
| 88/19 89/25 97/6 97/15 | 178/15 | 191/17 191/24 192/2! |
| 101/19 101/20 106/24 | depositions [4] 101/11 | 193/2 193/2 193/17 |
| 116/2 116/4 126/3 127/6 | depositions ${ }^{\text {101/17 101/22 183/3 }}$ | 194/19 194/20 195/19 |
| 127/6 161/11 164/11 | DEPT [1] 1/2 | 195/24 197/12 201/14 |
| 174/22 179/7 | depth [1] 66/14 | didn't [30] 21/4 35/12 |
| dealing [2] 95/17 132/8 | describe [1] 12/22 | 38/12 66/16 66/18 80/20 |
| December [22] 11/21 | DESCRIPTION [1] 5/2 | 94/3 94/5 94/6 94/6 |
| 11/23 12/5 12/13 15/11 | designed [1] 161/8 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 17 & 15 / 24 & 16 / 9 & 16 / 10\end{array}$ | determination [3] 139/23 | 154/24 156/13 157/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 16 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 21 & 57 / 15\end{array}$ | 151/22 190/5 | 157/20 167/6 179/18 |
| 57/18 59/15 59/18 59/24 | determine [3] 132/10 | 179/19 179/19 180/3 |
| 60/1 60/2 60/17 60/23 | 151/18 190/1 | 181/16 182/24 185/25 |
| 143/4 | develop [1] 151/6 | 192/2 194/16 194/20 |
| December 11th [1] 59/18 | devise [1] 161/15 | 195/2 200/16 |
| December 13 [1] 59/15 | diabetes [1] 160/5 | Diego [1] 137/12 |
| December 13th [2] 57/18 | diagnostic [2] 148/1 | difference [1] 107/ |
| 60/17 | 158/1 | differences [1] 107/9 |
| December 2 [3] 11/21 $12 / 5$ 15/24 | diagram [1] 9/13 | different [15] 75/10 |
| December 2011 [1] 12/13 | DIAL [1] 2/7 | 76/5 88/13 101/19 101/20 |
| December 21 [2] 15/17 | dialogue [1] 118/18 | 101/25 107/11 112/18 |
| 16/16 | did [175] 9/8 10/17 | 142/5 153/22 153/23 |
| December 21st [5] 15/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 20 & 17 / 12 & 17 / 15 & 19 / 8\end{array}$ | 154/11 175/3 176/1 |
| 16/10 16/24 18/21 57/15 | 22/8 $26 / 13$ 26/16 $27 / 14$ | 192/14 |
| December 26 [1] 143/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll} 30 / 12 & 30 / 15 & 30 / 17 & 35 / 22 \\ 36 / 10 & 38 / 7 & 38 / 9 & 42 / 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { differently [2] 90/9 } \\ 97 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 2nd [2] 11/23 } \\ & 16 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 43 / 3 & 43 / 5 & 43 / 18 & 43 / 19 \end{array}$ | difficulty [3] 8/4 8/15 |
| December 5th [1] 60/23 | $\begin{array}{llll} 44 / 12 & 44 / 13 & 45 / 7 & 46 / 14 \\ 46 / 16 & 52 / 23 & 53 / 12 & 53 / 20 \end{array}$ | $50 / 9$ diminished [1] $94 / 20$ |
| decent [1] $160 / 4$ <br> decide [3] $106 / 10$ | 54/15 $54 / 17$ 54/21 54/24 | diminishment [2] 94/13 |
| 131/3 | 58/1 58/6 58/16 58/21 | 14 |


| D | 99/1 100/3 102/25 103/11 | don't [91] 11/24 11/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dinner [6] 55/10 61/7 | 107/3 107/6 107/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 23 & 13 / 4 & 15 / 13 & 16 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 61/18 64/2 67/16 67/18 | 108/17 108/20 109/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 9 & 18 / 12 & 19 / 2 & 19 / 5\end{array}$ |
| direct [35] $3 / 16$ 4/4 | 109/6 110/4 110/16 | 19/8 20/20 20/20 25/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}4 / 12 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 16 & 19 / 16\end{array}$ | 117/25 118/12 118/22 | $33 / 21 \quad 39 / 14 \quad 46 / 3 \quad 46 / 8$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 6 & 26 / 18 & 29 / 20 & 37 / 6 \\ 38 / 14 & 41 / 12 & 55 / 16 & 56 / 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}120 / 4 & 124 / 18 & 125 / 3 & 126 / 5\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 14 & 41 / 12 & 55 / 16 & 56 / 17 \\ 62 / 17 & 74 / 6 & 84 / 14 & 86 / 12\end{array}$ | 126/7 126/23 127/3 $127 / 8$ | 62/14 71/1 71/12 73/11 |
| 91/13 $92 / 1$ 93/11 $94 / 12$ | 129/15 131/3 132/1 132/3 | 74/5 74/21 74/22 78/8 |
| 97/12 105/13 126/1 126/2 | $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 15 & 134 / 11 & 134 / 22\end{array}$ | 78/24 81/11 81/14 81/22 |
| 126/14 126/17 127/3 | 135/12 135/16 140/16 | 82/9 84/11 89/6 89/7 |
| 135/25 141/14 153/18 | 140/16 142/17 144/10 | 89/15 89/18 89/19 93/16 |
| 157/20 164/1 185/2 | 144/12 145/9 146/4 146/4 | 94/7 95/14 95/22 104/14 |
| directed [1] 200/12 | 148/24 150/8 151/4 | 104/16 108/25 109/4 |
| Directing [2] 52/4 55/8 | 151/18 $153 / 4153 / 7$ | 109/5 111/1 113/1 115/19 |
| disability [1] 90/17 | 3/16 153/23 154/20 | 116/8 117/8 118/25 119/1 |
| disabled [1] 186/1 | 4/21 154/24 159/11 | 123/1 125/16 126/12 |
| disagree [2] 92/10 | 159/21 161/8 | 126/25 127/17 129/13 |
| 153/13 | 162/6 163/11 163/15 | 129/21 132/13 133/1 |
| disc [1] 138/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}164 / 8 & 164 / 9 & 165 / 2 & 167 / 3\end{array}$ | 147/15 151/8 164/18 |
| discomfort [5] 8/3 8/15 | 168/2 168/4 170/1 173/22 | 165/11 165/14 165/16 |
| 10/9 10/10 37/4 | 175/12 175/14 175/20 | 165/18 167/1 167/13 |
| discontinued [1] 41/9 | 176/6 176/6 176/20 180/1 | 168/23 169/9 169/18 |
| discuss [1] 50/4 | 182/8 182/8 182/9 182/9 | 180/22 188/1 190/3 190/6 |
| discussed [2] 61/10 89/4 | 183/9 183/10 183/25 | 191/19 191/19 193/18 |
| discussing [1] 49/10 |  |  |
| discussion [15] 33/5 |  |  |
| 41/18 49/9 56/12 63/1 |  | 74/23 82/15 $87 / 6$ 89/24 |
| 72/2 85/13 113/20 133/23 |  |  |
| 162/23 167/18 167/21 |  | $12$ |
| 172/16 183/18 198/10 |  |  |
| discussions [1] 89/14 | DOCKET [1] 1/3 | 148/6 148/12 |
| disk [2] 153/20 154/4 | doctor [22] 92/18 102/7 | door [3] 86/20 111/12 |
| dismissed [1] 202/15 | 103/9 103/22 107/16 | $131 / 8$ |
| display [2] 13/11 137/22 | $\begin{array}{llll}133 / 14 & 135 / 9 & 135 / 21\end{array}$ | doubt [1] 169/24 |
| displaying [1] 109/7 | 137/21 144/17 147/17 | down [30] 14/5 18/14 |
| dispute [2] 29/18 202/16 | 151/11 152/4 152/18 | 22/19 23/10 23/16 24/24 |
| disputed [4] 201/23 | 152/24 $155 / 20 \quad 155 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 18 & 41 / 5 & 44 / 8 & 44 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 201/25 202/10 202/14 | 156/25 158/10 162/11 | 55/2 58/20 62/15 65/2 |
| disputing [1] 118/24 | 162/19 201/4 | 65/4 65/6 68/11 100/20 |
| distance [1] 180/20 | doctor's [1] 199/8 | 108/16 109/18 127/25 |
| DISTRICT [1] 1/5 | doctors [1] 125/7 | 140/6 141/7 141/14 |
| divided [1] 149/6 | document [5] 8/9 15/15 | 160/17 180/24 181/3 |
| DMV [5] 194/4 194/9 | 16/15 55/17 117/13 | 181/3 194/20 195/2 |
| 194/15 194/21 196/21 | does [37] 1/13 12/15 | downloaded [1] 113/7 |
| $\begin{array}{ccl}\text { do [192] } & 6 / 14 & 7 / 2 \\ 7 / 21 & 7 / 6 \\ 7 / 2 / 25 & 10 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12/16 } & 15 / 8 & 16 / 23 & 25 / 15\end{array}$ | Dr. [52] 7/22 8/21 15/12 |
| 7/21 8/2 8/25 10/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}126 / 10 & 33 / 12 & 33 / 16 & 38 / 21\end{array}$ | 18/22 26/3 39/21 40/25 |
| 10/20 12/25 13/5 15/5 | 47/5 52/12 53/15 61/13 | 45/12 48/4 55/12 62/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 3 & 16 / 7 & 16 / 15 & 16 / 21\end{array}$ | 61/21 85/5 85/6 86/9 | 72/12 $73 / 5 \begin{array}{llll}73 / 5 & 73 / 8\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 9 & 18 / 16 & 18 / 18 & 18 / 25\end{array}$ | 86/14 86/24 110/4 111/9 | 74/12 77/4 77/7 77/14 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}19 / 3 & 22 / 13 \\ 22 / 22 & 23 / 24\end{array}$ | 128/19 141/20 146/10 | 77/17 77/20 77/25 82/21 |
| 24/1 25/9 26/24 27/9 | 151/25 152/2 155/18 | 83/8 83/9 83/12 83/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 1 & 32 / 12 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 18\end{array}$ | 159/22 $160 / 1 \begin{array}{llll}161 / 3 & 165 / 4\end{array}$ | 84/4 $84 / 5$ 84/7 84/9 $92 / 4$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 1 & 36 / 2 & 36 / 10 & 36 / 13\end{array}$ | 159/22 160/1 $161 / 3165 / 4$ $166 / 4$ $168 / 10 / 12$ | 94/17 94/18 108/18 |
| 39/3 39/20 43/3 46/11 | 180/15 182/19 | 108/23 133/12 134/1 |
| 46/20 $47 / 15$ 48/1 $51 / 19$ | doesn't [19] 50/4 90/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}135 / 4 & 136 / 2 & 136 / 7 & 136 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 61/18 62/12 $63 / 21 \quad 65 / 6$ | 92/13 94/24 96/6 96/17 | 138/23 144/6 144/25 |
| 65/25 67/12 68/10 68/11 | $128 / 21 \quad 137 / 24 \quad 141 / 25$ | 147/11 148/12 153/16 |
| 68/12 68/21 71/3 71/17 | 142/3 155/14 155/15 | $153 / 19153 / 24154 / 16$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 13 & 72 / 17 & 72 / 22 & 73 / 13\end{array}$ | $156 / 5 \quad 156 / 6 \quad 160 / 25$ | 157/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 18 & 74 / 19 & 75 / 4 & 75 / 6\end{array}$ | 161/22 165/9 169/2 169/9 | Dr. Cash [2] 77/7 83/9 |
| 75/12 75/23 76/4 76/10 | $\text { doing [14] 10/23 } 36 / 20$ | Dr. Cash's [1] 84/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}77 / 4 & 77 / 9 & 77 / 13 & 78 / 5 \\ 79 / 1 & 79 / 22 & 80 / 3 & 80 / 6\end{array}$ | 44/4 52/21 52/24 55/6 | Dr. Gross [9] 77/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}79 / 1 & 79 / 22 & 80 / 3 & 80 / 6 \\ 80 / 8 & 81 / 5 & 82 / 12 & 82 / 13\end{array}$ | 63/22 73/23 89/7 96/20 | 77/17 84/4 $133 / 12 \quad 134 / 17$ |
| 80/8 81/5 82/12 82/13 | 107/12 161/25 176/5 | $\begin{array}{llll} 135 / 4 & 136 / 2 \quad 144 / 25 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}86 / 7 & 86 / 21 & 86 / 24 & 88 / 25 \\ 89 / 20 & 91 / 5 & 91 / 17 & 92 / 1\end{array}$ | 199/7 | 147/11 |


| D | 164/19 189/19 193/20 | enchiladas [2] 53/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l} \hline \text { Dr. Gulitz }[5] \quad 7 / 22 & 77 / 4 \\ 77 / 2082 / 21 & 83 / 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 195 / 4 & 195 / 20 & 196 / 17 \\ 199 / 5 & 199 / 13 & \end{array}$ | $$ |
| Dr. Gulitz's [1] 77/25 | E | 131/19 173/17 |
| Dr. Kidwell [17] 8/21 |  | ends [1] 149/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}26 / 3 & 39 / 21 & 40 / 25 & 45 / 12\end{array}$ | E-m-i-1-i-a $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{e}-1$ [1] | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { engage [2] } & 33 / 14 & 33 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 4 & 55 / 12 & 62 / 11 & 73 / 5 \\ 83 / 15 & 108 / 18 & 108 / 23\end{array}$ | 184/23 | engaged [1] 94/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 83 / 15 & 108 / 18 & 108 / 23 \\ 144 / 6 & 153 / 16 & 153 / 19 \end{array}$ | e-mailed [1] 202/21 | engages [1] 94/9 |
| 153/24 154/16 | E3 [2] 84/21 84/25 | engine [1] 186/6 |
| Dr. Klein [2] 136/7 | E4 [1] 86/13 each [10] $48 / 12 \quad 71 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { enjoying [1] } & 94 / 12 \\ \text { enjoyment [8] } & 90 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 138/23 | $87 / 12 \quad 109 / 9 \quad 109 / 17$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { enjoyment [8] 90/5 } \\ 90 / 8 ~ 90 / 12 ~ \\ 90 / 1692 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |
| Dr. Klein's [1] 136/9 | 109/18 109/19 109/19 | 94/14 94/19 |
| Dr. Lemper [6] 15/12 | 129/23 199/14 | enough [19] 36/3 39/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 22 & 72 / 12 & 73 / 5 & 73 / 8\end{array}$ | earlier [6] 166/9 166/20 | encoll $84 / 122 / 22 ~ 131 / 20$ |
| 83/12 Dr Lemper's [1] 74/12 | 168/7 171/10 179/18 | 132/11 $133 / 3133 / 4$ |
| Dr. Lemper's [1] 74/12 | 185/7 | 151/21 151/23 156/14 |
| $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { Dr. Oliveri [3] } 84 / 7 \\ 148 / 12 \text { 157/17 } & \end{array}$ | early [4] 30/13 31/13 | 159/14 160/20 160/22 |
| Dr. Oliveri's [1] 84/9 | 39/1 68/3 | 161/19 162/7 189/20 |
| Dr. Smith [3] 92/4 94/17 | easier [1] 110/14 | 190/4 190/7 |
| 94/18 | eat [1] 58/14 | entire [5] 88/15 90/13 |
| dramatic [1] 72/22 | eating [1] 58/1 | 96/6 96/17 149 |
| drape [1] 44/22 | economist [1] 90/10 | entitled [2] 90/11 |
| draw [2] 71/7 168/5 | education [2] 82/2 | epidural [2] 17/18 73/15 |
| drawing [1] 145/10 | 136/17 | ER [1] 118/7 |
| drill [1] 141/4 | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { effect [2] } & 151 / 6 \\ \text { effects } \\ \hline 10 / 20 / 24 \end{array}$ | Ernesto [15] 65/10 65/17 65/25 66/5 67/6 67/11 |
| drink [2] 100/6 100/19 | eight [1] 61/17 | $\begin{array}{llll}67 / 22 & 67 / 24 & 68 / 3 & 68 / 20\end{array}$ |
| drive [28] $2 / 410 / 7$ | either [8] 8/10 21/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}69 / 15 & 69 / 16 & 69 / 17 & 70 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 10/14 58/6 59/1 59/10 | 27/17 29/8 143/7 143/22 | 110/9 |
| 64/11 67/15 67/15 68/16 | 146/17 148/6 |  |
| 90/20 168/19 168/21 | either/or [1] 27/17 | errands [2] 170/4 170/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}168 / 25 & 169 / 8 & 169 / 13\end{array}$ | elbow [3] 27/3 27/23 | escalated [1] 182/9 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}170 / 3 & 170 / 18 & 172 / 22 \\ 177 / 19 & 186 / 1 & 188 / 24\end{array}$ | 28/15 | ESQ [8] 2/3 2/8 2/8 2/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}177 / 19 & 186 / 1 & 188 / 24 \\ 194 / 1 & 194 / 5 & 194 / 7 & 197 / 5\end{array}$ | electrician [1] 199/8 | 2/14 2/15 2/19 2/20 |
| 197/8 197/18 | Eleven [1] 103/19 | establish [1] 92/8 |
| drived [1] 22 | ELMO [1] 99/12 | established [2] 7/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { driven [5] } & 27 / 16 & 27 / 17\end{array}$ | else [17] 23/8 24/2 28/3 | 179/7 |
| 166/2 173/2 197/20 | 48/12 51/8 67/22 67/23 | ESTANISLAO [1] 2/15 |
| driver [4] 169/5 169/10 | 87/12 97/25 129/23 | estimate [2] 25/15 28/14 |
| 169/20 194/5 | 142/18 151/13 184/6 | ETA [1] 32/8 |
| driver's [10] 186/8 | 191/7 199/15 201/20 | evaluate [1] |
| 188/20 188/25 193/13 | 02/25 | evaluations [2] 105/20 |
| 194/13 195/20 195/24 | anating [1] 155/7 | 106/3 |
| 196/7 196/17 196/20 | emergency [6] 72/19 | even [14] 18/9 91/4 |
| drivers's [1] 195/22 | 73/11 102/8 105/14 | 91/21 104/19 132/1 |
| driving [7] 8/13 43/3 | 126/21 127/7 emergent [1] | /17 140/4 142 |
| 50/10 189/5 189/11 | EMILIA [8] 1/9 3/3 7/9 | 196/3 197/9 197/18 |
| 192/12 193/10 | 101/5 113/24 114/18 | event [5] 25/6 120/6 |
| drove [14] 22/9 22/10 | 129/7 185/6 | 122/23 145/14 145/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 12 & 42 / 25 & 43 / 1 & 43 / 9\end{array}$ | Emily [17] 23/9 23/12 | ever [18] $14 / 16$ 19/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}45 / 9 & 46 / 15 & 58 / 3 & 58 / 24 \\ 59 / 4 & 64 / 12 & 192 / 5 & 194 / 23\end{array}$ | 25/12 27/16 30/6 42/8 | 53/12 68/3 76/15 79/7 |
| 59/4 64/12 192/5 194/23 | 42/10 $42 / 11175 / 980 / 15$ | 79/23 96/7 96/18 98/4 |
| drug [2] 132/7 176/4 | 80/23 80/25 81/3 81/6 | 126/7 147/20 169/7 |
| drunk [2] 178/11 178/21 | 81/24 82/5 82/10 | 169/21 181/1 186/16 |
| $\begin{array}{ccr}\text { due [4] } & 41 / 10 & 79 / 2 \\ 113 / 10 & 201 / 19 & \end{array}$ | Emily's [4] 27/19 28/22 | 191/17 191/24 |
| 113/10 201/19 | 75/19 80/17 | every [10] 43/20 90/7 |
| duly [1] 204/4 | Emmanuel [1] 184/22 | 97/7 113/14 137/1 137/6 |
| Durango [1] 2/4 | employee [10] 120/13 | 137/13 137/16 150/22 |
| during [26] 18/19 48/11 | 120/17 120/19 121/2 | 150/22 |
| 87/11 93/20 105/13 106/2 | 121/8 121/12 121/15 | everybody [4] 35/1 95/19 |
| 106/21 108/14 111/23 | 121/18 204/13 204/14 | 201/14 202/6 |
| 115/13 116/7 122/24 | employer [1] 105/17 | everybody's [1] 50/25 |
| 129/22 131/11 131/11 | employment [1] 32/4 | everyone [4] 88/17 97/4 |
| 136/8 138/24 140/18 | enable [1] 25/15 | 137/24 162/21 |


| E | 56/17 56/18 57/7 57/9 | 12/5 13/21 21/17 26/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| everything [9] 24/2 28/3 | 61/6 61/11 62/18 62/19 | $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 24 & 31 / 23 & 37 / 9 & 38 / 18\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 96 / 7 & 96 / 18 & 114 / 14 & 132 / 6\end{array}$ | 69/1 69/6 84/14 84/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}45 / 23 & 52 / 9 & 57 / 14 & 62 / 20\end{array}$ |
| 161/19 175/15 175/19 | 84/21 84/25 85/6 85/16 | 70/8 90/13 96/2 96/4 |
| evidence [32] 13/19 | 85/18 104/1 104/12 | 112/8 112/11 112/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 10 & 24 / 8 & 29 / 11 & 31 / 8\end{array}$ | 116/12 116/12 137/23 | facet [8] 144/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 12 & 40 / 21 & 42 / 2 & 47 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}138 / 1 & 138 / 12 & 138 / 20\end{array}$ | 153/25 154/5 154/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 17 & 54 / 7 & 55 / 3 & 57 / 10\end{array}$ | 151/6 | 155/1 155/7 158/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}61 / 12 & 69 / 7 & 85 / 19 & 90 / 24 \\ 90 / 24 & 92 / 11 & 99 / 23 & 127 / 16\end{array}$ | Exhibit 15 [1] 8/2 | facets [1] 159/4 |
| 90/24 $92 / 11$ 99/23 127/16 $131 / 8$ 138/21 143/6 | Exhibit 21 [5] 15/15 | facial [1] 39/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}143 / 10 & 143 / 12 & 143 / 14\end{array}$ | 15/18 15/20 16/20 19/11 | facilities [1] 114/4 |
| 143/15 148/17 148/19 | Exhibit 22 [1] 56/17 | fact [15] 10/6 10/13 |
| 149/16 151/21 | Exhibit 26 [5] 8/22 9/20 | 17/4 50/14 90/12 90/15 |
| exact [1] 193/18 | /7 61/11 108/13 | 91/21 98/15 150/14 |
| exactly [11] 30/18 32/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Exhibit } 3 \text { [1] } & 84 / 21 \\ \text { Exhibit } 33 & \text { [1] } & 13 / 25\end{array}$ | 152/16 156/21 156/25 |
| 73/10 73/17 76/6 78/9 | Exhibit 33 [1] $13 / 25$ <br> Exhibit 40 [2] $137 / 23$ | 161/10 178/4 194/18 |
| 82/9 117/8 120/5 127/17 | $138 / 12$ | failed [10] 36/6 36/14 |
| $167 / 10$ exam [2] exal | Exhibit 5 [1] 84/14 | 36/18 143/20 143/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { exam [2] 126/2 } & 155 / 5 \\ \text { examination [68] } & 3 / 4 & 3 / 5\end{array}$ | Exhibit 57 [2] 104/1 | 144/1 144/14 157/11 |
| examination [68] $3 / 43 / 5$ $3 / 6$ 3/7 3/8 3/10 $3 / 11$ | 116/12 | 157/12 196/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} 3 / 6 & 3 / 7 & 3 / 8 & 3 / 10 & 3 / 11 \\ 3 / 16 & 3 / 17 & 3 / 18 & 3 / 19 & 3 / 20 \end{array}$ | Exhibit 6 [1] 84/16 | fair [13] 15/14 39/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} 3 / 16 & 3 / 17 & 3 / 18 & 3 / 19 & 3 / 20 \\ 3 / 21 & 4 / 4 & 4 / 5 & 4 / 6 & 4 / 7 & 4 / 8 \end{array}$ | exhibits [3] 5/1 15/19 | 50/13 67/5 71/23 84/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 4 / 12 & 4 / 13 & 4 / 14 & 4 / 15 & 4 / 16\end{array}$ | 85/17 | 92/11 122/22 129/3 133/3 |
| 4/17 4/18 $4 / 20$ 6/22 7/13 | exist [2] 156/5 156/6 | 133/4 159/14 195/7 |
| 94/13 99/15 100/1 101/1 | expect [2] 132/13 144/3 | Fairly [1] 86/5 |
| 103/6 105/13 108/14 | expected [2] 134/25 | fake [2] 157/9 157/11 |
| 111/24 115/1 121/22 | 150/9 | familiar [4] 53/24 63/10 |
| 122/1 122/3 124/12 | expecting [1] 114/9 | 150/19 150/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}125 / 23 & 126 / 1 & 126 / 14\end{array}$ | expense [2] 196/14 | family [6] 21/21 38/10 |
| 127/3 128/11 135/25 | 196/15 | 71/5 93/8 97/21 110/13 |
| 144/23 147/9 151/16 | experience | far [2] 83/17 198/17 |
| 152/22 153/18 158/8 | 18/1 73/2 136/17 149/6 | father's [1] 39/6 |
| 164/1 171/3 171/19 | 149/10 149/15 159/7 | fault [2] 19/20 186/20 |
| 171/22 176/16 177/15 | 175/23 | favor [1] 95/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}179 / 3 & 185 / 2 & 190 / 15 & 191 / 9\end{array}$ | experienced [6] 18/7 | February [7] 77/7 115/5 |
| 192/20 193/8 193/23 | 18/18 62/5 72/22 92/7 | 119/20 122/14 188/14 |
| 195/17 197/1 |  | 196/8 196/9 February 8th [3] $115 / 5$ |
| examined [2] 143/21 | $17 / 2141 / 4$ | $122 / 14 \quad 188 / 14$ |
| 185/7 | expert [1] 150/25 | fee [11] 193/14 196/12 |
| $89 / 10$ | experts [3] 91/8 135/1 | 196/18 196/22 197/6 |
| xcess | 135/1 | 197/13 197/14 197/15 |
| $15 / 7$ | explain [6] 18/16 108/3 | 197/18 197/21 197/23 |
| exchange [1] 191/11 | 134/18 152/12 166/13 | feel [5] 98/5 141/7 |
| excluded [2] 131/9 | 180/18 | 141/8 141/12 175/20 |
| 131/25 | expose [2] 160/12 160/13 | feeling [2] 89/19 156/1 |
| excluding [1] 49/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { express [4] 48/25 87/25 } \\ & 130 / 11 \\ & \hline 100 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | feels [1] 137/19 |
| excruciating [1] 18/11 | expressed [1] 185/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feet [4] 37/23 } \\ & 45 / 573 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| excuse [3] 19/18 65/13 | expressions [2] 28/20 | fell [1] 76/10 |
| xhibit [87] 7/18 8/2 | 39/16 | fellow [1] 53/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}8 / 22 & 9 / 18 & 9 / 20 & 12 / 15 \\ 13 / 13 & 13 / 18 & 13 / 25 & 15 / 15\end{array}$ | 148/10 148/11 148/15 | felt [3] 9/6 92/7 140/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 13 & 13 / 18 & 13 / 25 & 15 / 15 \\ 15 / 18 & 15 / 20 & 16 / 16 & 16 / 20\end{array}$ | 157/18 157/22 | few [4] 36/12 46/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 18 & 15 / 20 & 16 / 16 & 16 / 20\end{array}$ | extensive [1] 142/25 | 82/25 171/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 11 & 19 / 17 & 20 / 6 & 20 / 9\end{array}$ | extent [1] 98/16 | fifth [1] 30/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 15 & 24 / 4 & 24 / 7 & 26 / 6\end{array}$ | extremely [2] 150/21 | fight [1] 68/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 19 & 28 / 25 & 29 / 10 & 29 / 12\end{array}$ | $192 / 4$ | figured [2] 106/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 21 & 29 / 23 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 7\end{array}$ | extremity [4] 41/6 62/2 | 175/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}31 / 19 & 31 / 22 & 34 / 8 & 34 / 11 \\ 37 / 8 & 38 / 15 & 38 / 17 & 40 / 5\end{array}$ | $62 / 6 \quad 62 / 7$ | figuring [2] 180/1 180/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 8 & 38 / 15 & 38 / 17 & 40 / 5 \\ 40 / 14 & 40 / 20 & 41 / 13 & 41 / 21\end{array}$ |  | file [1] 8/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 40/14 } & 40 / 20 & 41 / 13 & 41 / 21\end{array}$ | F | filed [3] 131/6 191/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 1 & 45 / 19 & 45 / 22 & 47 / 8 \\ 47 / 11 & 52 / 5 & 52 / 8 & 54 / 3\end{array}$ | face [3] 18/11 28/21 | $192 / 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 47 / 11 & 52 / 5 & 52 / 8 & 54 \\ 54 / 6 & 54 / 15 & 55 / 19 & 5 \end{array}$ | 45/3 | filing [2] 193/4 193/4 |
| 55/24 56/7 56/10 56/14 | Facebook [23] 11/19 12/4 | filling [1] 8/3 |


| F | forgot [1] 200/15 | 157/10 157/11 157/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { film [3] } 5 / 16 \text { 140/13 }$ | form [10] $10 / 10 \quad 16 / 19$  <br> $48 / 25$ $76 / 19$ $87 / 25 \quad 126 / 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157 / 12 \quad 160 / 2 \quad 161 / 20 \\ & 162 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 148/15 } \\ \text { films [8] } & 136 / 18 & 136 / 24\end{array}$ | 127/15 130/11 156/23 | fusions [1] 159/25 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 137 / 7 & 137 / 18 & 138 / 14\end{array}$ | 200/2 | future [1] 124/19 |
| 140/10 142/25 146/15 | forms [3] $8 / 25$ <br> forth [1] $26 / 12$ | G |
| finally [4] 49/2 88/2 | forward [3] 33/17 35/17 | G-a-r-c-i-a [1] 7/10 |
| 130/13 200/4 financially [1] | forward $117 / 6$ | G-r-o-s-s [1] 135/20 |
| financially [1] 204 <br> find [5] 9/25 56/14 | found [4] 162/9 178/5 | gain [1] 149/9 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrl}\text { find } \\ \text { 131/19 } & 147 / 24 & 191 / 17\end{array}$ | 186/19 186/22 | garage [1] 64 |
| finding [1] 91/7 | foundation [4] 63/4 | garbage [1] 173/16 |
| fine [15] 43/12 47/19 | 69/21 136/12 183/4 | GARCIA [36] 1/9 3/3 6/20 |
| 71/24 76/1 117/17 121/6 | four [12] 25/21 25/25 | 6/23 7/9 51/24 88/17 |
| 121/10 164/22 170/25 | 83/3 83/24 88/12 95/21 | 92/7 92/15 98/4 |
| 177/11 181/18 200/22 | 103/18 $122 / 25 \quad 145 / 17$ | 99/17 99/20 115/3 117/15 |
| 201/6 201/15 202/22 | fracture [1] 159/17 | 143/3 151/19 152/10 |
| finish [3] 55/25 135/2 | free [1] 98/5 | 153/7 155/17 156/8 |
| finished [2] 87/7 1 | French [1] 54/1 | 156/17 185/6 185/6 |
| finishing [1] 179/24 | FRIDAY [4] 1/22 6/1 | 185/11 187/1 187/11 |
| fireplace [1] 180/18 | 126/2 204/7 | 190/20 191/25 192/8 |
| firm [1] 84/3 | friend [2] 13/8 32/18 | 192/12 192/23 193/3 |
| first [37] 8/22 29/2 | friend's [3] 13/7 37/19 | Garcia's [9] 6/19 89/4 |
| 33/24 53/18 71/14 73/7 | fri/20 | 4 142/11 143/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}77 / 13 & 77 / 17 & 78 / 10 & 79 / 19\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { friends [1] } & \text { 93/12 } \\ \text { friends }\end{array}$ | 188/8 |
| 80/9 82/20 82/23 88/15 | $\text { front [22] } 16 / 15 \quad 20 / 19$ | gave [20] $30 / 7 \quad 73 / 3 \quad 73 / 8$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}89 / 2 & 89 / 2 & 95 / 8 & 115 / 4 \\ 122 / 15 & 123 / 15 & 133 / 15\end{array}$ | 43/5 $55 / 12$ 56/4 59/17 | 73/9 76/25 82/25 83/21 |
| 122/15 123/15 133/15 | 60/24 86/15 89/25 90/14 | 83/25 84/6 133/10 166/21 |
| 136/13 136/19 141/4 | 90/23 93/9 96/8 96/19 | 169/7 172/19 172/23 |
| $160 / 12 \quad 173 / 1 \quad 1$ | 100/11 139/22 141/17 | 173/17 173/24 174/3 |
|  | 145/4 145/7 146/10 195/4 | 174/7 174/12 174/16 |
| 188/19 196/6 196/17 | 200/21 | general [3] 92/3 157/4 |
| 197/11 | full [12] 17/16 106/1 | 160/8 |
| five [11] 18/24 55/9 | 106/2 119/19 120/13 | generally [1] 172/11 |
| 77/16 114/9 121/16 | 120/17 120/19 121/2 | generated [1] 161/4 |
| 142/13 145/18 178/13 | 121/8 121/12 121/15 | generator [8] 152/25 |
| 182/14 192/10 197/21 |  |  |
| flat [1] 111/6 |  |  |
| flexion [6] 148/4 148/10 | 120/17 120/19 121/2 | generators |
| 148/11 148/15 157/18 | $\text { 121/8 121/12 } 121 / 15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { generat } \\ 154 / 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 157/21 | 121/18 | gentleman [1] 52/19 |
| 148/10 148/11 148/15 | fully [1] 162/1 | gentlemen [3] 6/12 |
| loor [3] 22/23 22/2 | functionality [1] 89/4 | 162/17 198/3 |
| 37/23 | furniture [1] 142/9 | get [60] 22/8 23/10 |
| flow [2] 160/3 160/11 | further [33] 3/8 3/10 | 26/13 26/16 27/14 35/18 |
| flowers [1] 30/7 | 3/11 4/17 4/18 4/20 33/8 | 38/7 42/24 43/8 44/7 |
| fluoroscopy [1] 141/17 | 100/23 114/19 124/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 45/3 } & 46 / 14 & 54 / 25 & 58 / 1\end{array}$ |
| focused [1] 148/25 | 125/23 128/8 128/11 | 58/18 68/16 79/18 82/15 |
| folk [1] 34/25 | 129/6 129/8 147/6 158/4 | 90/23 96/7 97/8 101/3 |
| folks [8] 48/10 51/17 | 162/14 170/20 176/13 | 101/8 108/7 112/22 |
| 98/24 134/9 134/16 | 178/24 189/14 191/6 | 124/20 127/14 127/21 |
| 167/20 198/7 198/12 | 192/16 193/6 193/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 21 & 133 / 1 & 133 / 14\end{array}$ |
| follow [2] 71/9 118/20 | 195/12 195/17 196/23 | 133/19 135/8 136/11 |
| follow-up [1] 118/20 | 197/1 197/24 203/4 | 137/15 142/2 146/20 |
| following [15] 6/9 49/4 | 204/12 | 156/4 166/22 168/8 |
| 49/18 51/14 60/8 88/5 | fuse [7] 142/22 143/13 | 171/10 171/14 172/15 |
| 98/22 103/16 103/17 | 159/19 159/22 159/25 | 172/19 172/23 174/2 |
| 106/24 130/17 134/6 | 160/25 161/3 | 174/8 174/13 174/17 |
| 153/1 157/3 200/7 | fused [2] 143/8 162/1 | 184/12 185/18 186/13 |
| force [1] 161/4 | fusion [20] 107/17 | 188/1 188/24 193/17 |
| forces [1] 149/21 | 144/11 144/14 148/18 | 196/16 198/22 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { foreign [2] 159/22 } \\ 159 / 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 152 / 2 & 154 / 9 & 154 / 12 \\ 156 / 18 & 157 / 2 & 157 / 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{gets~[3]~} \\ & 96 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |


| G | 146/13 164/24 168/5 | 66/13 97/20 105/6 119/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| getting [6] 36/16 84/5 | 168/18 171/25 177/3 | 122/7 200/17 201/23 |
| 110/12 114/2 182/7 199/8 | $\begin{array}{lll} 177 / 9 & 178 / 13 & 182 / 8 \\ 187 / 22 & 188 / 2 & 198 / 13 \end{array}$ | guessing [2] |
| girlfriends [1] 38/1 | 199/1 199/1 199/10 | Gulitz [8] 7/22 77/4 |
| girls [4] 25/9 36/12 <br> $60 / 14$ <br> $106 / 12$ | 200/13 | 77/16 77/20 82/21 83/6 |
| 60/14 106/12 | gone [2] 38/10 135/9 | 83/8 83/11 |
| girls' [1] $42 / 13$   <br> give [24] $7 / 3$ $15 / 21$ $22 / 6$ | good [36] 7/15 7/16 | Gulitz's [1] 77/25 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { gi/1 } & 73 / 5 & 73 / 6 ~ 80 / 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 17 & 14 / 19 & 14 / 21 & 28 / 21\end{array}$ | GUNN [1] 2/7 |
| 81/7 $73 / 19$ 83/20 135/13 | 28/23 30/24 35/21 47/20 | guy [5] $16 / 7$ 54/25 $70 / 22$ |
| 155/12 163/12 166/23 | 54/22 68/21 68/23 87/9 | 72/15 85/23 |
| $169 / 25170 / 7 \quad 172 / 20$ | $\begin{array}{lll}99 / 18 & 106 / 18 & 121 / 23\end{array}$ | guys [16] 35/15 |
| 172/22 174/4 179/19 | 136/2 136/3 136/4 144/25 | 68/21 88/8 93/16 130/14 |
| 184/16 198/20 199/12 | 145/1 146/20 160/3 | 130/20 133/6 135/8 |
| 201/22 | 162/25 176/18 176/19 | 138/15 170/21 188/1 |
| given [5] 73/15 169/13 | 185/4 185/5 190/17 | 198/13 200/10 201/21 |
| 169/16 170/3 172/14 | 190/18 199/10 200/5 | 202/25 |
| giving [6] 18/9 75/4 | 201/14 201/16 201 | guys' [1] |
| 109/9 164/10 198/24 | Goodwill [2] 193/13 | gym [1] 181/ |
| 199/2 |  | H |
| GJL1051 [1] 56/8 | 130/7 199/23 | habit |
| GJL708 [2] $9 / 13$ 9/22 |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { had [150] } & 6 / 24 & 15 / 3 & 17 / 2\end{array}$ |
| GJL709 [1] $8 / 23$ <br> llass [6]  <br> $5 / 19$ $35 / 24$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 17/19 } & 23 / 10 \quad 75 / 2 \quad 75 / 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 17 & 17 / 20 & 18 / 12 & 18 / 23\end{array}$ |
| glass [6] 35/19 35/24 <br> 36/15 36/21 100/6 100/16 | 75/18 80/4 96/5 97/13 | 19/2 30/8 30/20 33/24 |
| 36/15 36/21 100/6 100/16 GLEN [2] $2 / 3 \quad 82 / 24$ | 104/11 104/20 105/6 | 34/3 38/10 46/23 49/9 |
| glenlerner.com [1] | 106/1 106/17 123/8 123/9 | 50/15 59/22 64/4 64/22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { go [72] } 6 / 11 \end{array}$ | 125/9 142/24 152/13 | 66/6 70/25 73/21 73/23 |
| 17/12 $26 / 16 \quad 35 / 12 \quad 38 / 12$ | 173/4 178/5 181/14 $182 / 3$ | $\begin{array}{llll}74 / 9 & 74 / 24 & 76 / 14 & 79 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 48/9 49/11 51/16 52/2 | 182/22 185/17 185/20 | 79/9 79/19 79/23 80/14 |
| 61/4 61/6 64/1 67/13 | 188/25 196/3 198/12 | 81/5 81/9 83/16 84/22 |
| 67/16 68/9 68/12 68/17 | 202/19 | 88/13 89/12 89/14 89/22 |
| 76/2 82/10 82/11 83/1 | gotten $197 / 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 / 6 \\ & 100 / 2 \quad 100 / 5 \quad 100 / 9 \quad 100 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 87/10 88/3 88/3 88/22 | grab [1] 173/23 | $101 / 25 \quad 102 / 6 \quad 103 / 7$ |
| 90/19 91/20 93/12 95/11 | grade [2] 30/9 175/16 | 103/21 105/12 105/23 |
| 98/1 98/9 99/9 101/5 | gradually [1] 110/12 | 106/12 106/13 106/15 |
| 101/14 101/24 101/25 | graduated [2] 30/9 44/10 | 106/17 109/2 111/13 |
| 102/1 102/20 104/18 | graduating [1] 30/15 | 113/13 113/14 114/17 |
| 106/10 106/17 108/16 | grafts [2] 143/12 159/19 | 116/1 116/21 118/6 |
| 121/24 129/17 131/1 | grain [1] 160/19 | 118/15 119/2 $123 / 1 \quad 123 / 8$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 5 & 134 / 8 & 137 / 4 & 137 / 13 \\ 141 / 6 & 143 / 24 & 144 / 10\end{array}$ | grains [2] 159/20 160/9 | 123/16 123/22 124/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}141 / 6 & 143 / 24 & 144 / 10 \\ 162 / 25 & 163 / 9 & 163 / 20\end{array}$ | Gran [4] 58/2 58/11 59/4 | 124/23 126/10 126/18 |
| 162/25 $163 / 9163 / 20$ | 90/20 | 127/4 127/12 131/9 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}167 / 23 & 169 / 17 & 169 / 21 \\ 170 / 1 & 171 / 14 & 174 / 3 & 176 / 4\end{array}$ | grandchild [1] 175/13 | 134/25 137/3 144/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}170 / 1 & 171 / 14 & 174 / 3 & 176 / 4\end{array}$ | granddaughter [1] 182/10 | 145/10 145/15 145/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}183 / 2 & 184 / 24 & 194 / 20\end{array}$ | grandkids [1] 44/10 | 145/21 146/20 149/10 |
| 195/2 195/15 | grandma [5] 21/23 21/24 | 149/15 153/19 153/23 |
| goal [1] | 21/25 23/10 93/8 | 154/10 154/16 155/4 |
| goal [1] 147/4 | grandma's [2] 23/12 26/8 | 155/6 155/11 164/16 |
| God [4] 7/5 135/15 <br> 163/14 184/18 | grandmother [1] 24/15 | 165/5 165/25 166/2 166/8 |
|  | grant [2] 56/2 132/13 | 166/19 166/24 167/4 |
| 53/14 92/16 | great [4] 57/19 60/11 | 167/5 168/7 168/21 |
|  | 132/12 138/7 | 168/24 168/25 169/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 7 / 21 & 18 / 13 & 18 / 23 & 23 / 16\end{array}$ | Greater [1] 15/9 | 169/16 169/21 169/24 |
| 24/9 39/20 $40 / 15$ 47/14 | green [2] 53/3 53/3 | 170/2 170/11 172/14 |
| 53/16 56/2 63/24 63/24 | grocery [4] 169/4 169/17 | 173/2 173/23 174/21 |
| 65/20 69/4 77/21 78/2 | 169/21 170/2 | 175/5 175/12 175/19 |
| 79/5 88/25 91/17 95/23 | groin [1] 17/5 | 175/20 175/23 175/23 |
| 99/9 101/5 101/7 102/5 | GROSS [11] 3/15 77/14 | 175/25 176/7 176/8 $178 / 5$ |
| 103/25 109/24 110/25 | 77/17 84/4 133/12 134/17 | 179/15 180/25 181/12 |
| 111/22 114/14 114/14 | 135/4 135/19 136/2 | 185/12 186/16 186/16 |
| 116/15 116/19 118/20 | 144/25 147/11 | 187/4 187/13 189/20 |
| 125/15 127/18 129/20 | ground [2] 20/24 $21 / 8$ | 190/6 190/23 193/12 |
| 130/15 $132 / 17$ 132/18 | groups [1] 176/5 | 194/2 194/3 194/12 |
| 132/24 133/19 133/25 | guard [1] 32/19 | 196/11 196/11 196/16 |
| 135/10 139/6 144/16 | guess [9] 15/1 54/16 | 196/22 197/5 197/11 |

H
had. . [1] 197/13
hadn't [5] 166/25 194/23
194/24 197/9 197/18
hair [1] 28/10
half [4] 114/3 116/2
122/18 122/18
half-hospital/half-rehab
[1] $122 / 18$
halo [3] 151/2 151/6 151/9
hand [14] 23/9 23/13 27/3 27/6 135/11 139/2 139/2 141/5 161/2 163/10 172/21 180/25 184/13 204/17
handles [1] 137/19
hands [6] 33/17 35/7
35/24 100/7 162/18 198/4
handwriting [1] 8/6
happen [2] 97/2 182/8
happened [18] 34/4 51/1 51/2 72/25 73/18 76/6 76/8 76/9 102/10 104/7 109/10 164/7 169/24 173/6 179/15 180/1 182/11 186/4
happening [2] 114/16 134/18
happy [2] 104/15 156/3
harassed [1] 94/4
harassing [3] 88/17 91/15 94/3
hard [5] 111/5 138/4 138/5 141/9 141/9
hardware [23] 142/16 144/2 144/7 144/9 144/11 144/12 144/13 153/21 154/1 154/6 154/18 155/2 155/9 156/8 156/9 156/15 156/18 $156 / 22$ 157/13 157/15 158/19 158/25 159/2
hardware-causing [1] 144/13
has [34] 50/9 68/7 70/17 70/25 88/21 90/8 91/4 $\begin{array}{llll} & 92 / 7 & 92 / 13 & 93 / 14 \\ 94 / 20\end{array}$ 96/1 97/2 97/13 98/16 102/15 114/2 114/11 114/15 131/6 131/25 136/7 142/21 144/11 149/25 151/19 152/2 161/4 161/24 165/7 182/4 186/19 186/22 187/14
hasn't [6] 49/22 57/5 92/19 109/9 114/10 122/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { hat }[5] & 38 / 2 & 38 / 4 & 38 / 7\end{array}$ 38/9 38/11
have [244]
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { haven't [4] } & 79 / 10 & 110 / 8\end{array}$ 116/4 123/1
having [9] $14 / 4$ 102/7 128/3 143/17 150/22 164/9 187/15 188/8 196/3
he [105] 10/19 10/21

10/22 13/11 $33 / 3 \quad 43 / 9$ 51/4 54/21 61/25 64/10 64/12 64/14 64/15 66/5 66/24 68/7 70/1 70/5 $\begin{array}{llll}70 / 15 & 70 / 17 & 73 / 3 & 73 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}73 / 12 & 73 / 13 & 73 / 14 & 73 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}73 / 16 & 73 / 17 & 75 / 25 & 77 / 21\end{array}$
77/21 78/2 78/2 79/24
80/4 80/5 81/10 86/18 91/20 92/5 92/19 92/20 93/1 93/20 93/21 93/21 94/5 94/24 95/7 96/11 98/10 100/1 105/21
110/21 111/2 131/15
$\begin{array}{llll}131 / 15 & 131 / 16 & 131 / 18\end{array}$
131/19 131/20 131/24
132/1 132/3 132/4 132/6
132/8 132/20 132/25
$\begin{array}{llll}133 / 13 & 133 / 15 & 133 / 17\end{array}$
133/22 137/10 157/20
165/25 166/2 166/23
166/24 166/25 167/4
167/6 168/21 168/23
168/24 169/1 169/21
171/9 175/10 175/24
175/24 175/25 176/24 177/3 178/5 178/10 $\begin{array}{lll}178 / 10 & 178 / 12 & 178 / 14\end{array}$ 178/20 178/21 179/20 188/2 195/6 195/8
he'll [1] 89/11
he's [16] 55/1 55/4 55/5 55/6 67/1 92/19 92/22
92/24 104/11 128/23
132/10 132/23 133/15
182/5 195/5 200/22
head [8] 76/5 76/9 77/11 100/16 100/19 140/4 149/3 149/5
heads [2] 199/2 199/12 heads-up [2] 199/2 199/12
heal [1] 160/25
healing [2] $160 / 7 \quad 160 / 16$
health [1] 160/4
healthy [1] 160/3
hear [3] 112/25 115/15 198/21
heard [8] 80/14 80/23 115/12 115/20 115/22 115/22 136/7 187/8
hearing [2] 41/20 $116 / 10$
hearsay [5] 182/23
182/24 183/5 183/6 183/15
heart [1] 130/15
hedonic [2] 92/2 92/5
held [22] 6/9 23/9 33/5 41/18 49/4 51/14 56/12 63/1 72/2 85/13 88/5 98/22 113/20 130/17 133/23 134/6 162/23 167/18 167/21 183/18 198/10 200/7
help [11] $7 / 5 \quad 61 / 5 \quad 73 / 24$ 75/19 81/1 82/3 107/11 135/15 154/3 163/14

184/18
helped [1] 144/15
helping [1] 75/10
Henderson [1] 196/9
her [114] 16/4 22/19
23/1 23/4 23/11 24/21
24/22 24/25 26/16 30/3
$\begin{array}{llll}31 / 1 & 42 / 14 & 44 / 23 & 49 / 18\end{array}$
50/5 50/8 50/14 58/22
60/9 74/18 75/21 80/20
80/24 80/24 81/1 81/1
81/1 81/7 81/7 82/1 82/3
89/7 89/16 89/16 89/21
89/25 90/13 90/19 90/19
90/23 91/3 91/5 91/5
91/9 91/24 91/25 92/20
93/8 93/8 93/8 93/8 94/5
94/14 95/22 95/23 97/1
97/1 $97 / 13$ 97/14 $97 / 17$
97/18 97/21 98/11 98/13
98/13 $98 / 15$ 105/4 $105 / 5$
105/6 105/6 110/13
110/14 114/5 114/6 114/9
117/6 117/8 117/21 119/2
$\begin{array}{lll}123 / 1 & 124 / 18 & 124 / 23\end{array}$
142/19 143/5 143/12
$\begin{array}{llll}143 / 21 & 143 / 21 & 144 / 7\end{array}$
147/12 152/14 154/9
154/9 154/12 155/12
$\begin{array}{llll}156 / 4 & 157 / 15 & 165 / 7\end{array}$
166/14 167/7 167/9
167/11 168/8 172/8 177/2
177/4 185/12 185/18
185/21 185/21 185/23
191/4 191/14 191/17
200/20
here [48] 14/25 24/14 24/18 $40 / 7$ 66/14 70/17
80/15 80/16 80/17 89/16
91/1 95/17 97/13 102/14
108/21 110/10 113/9
113/10 113/13 113/14
$\begin{array}{lllll}114 / 1 & 114 / 16 & 115 / 3 & 115 / 7\end{array}$
115/15 115/17 115/23
116/2 116/5 116/7 116/10
$\begin{array}{llll}122 / 7 & 129 / 19 & 133 / 14\end{array}$
133/25 136/6 $136 / 14$
142/17 154/3 180/11
180/22 185/9 188/14
188/16 $188 / 19$ 189/18
194/17 194/25
Here's [1] 132/17
hereby [1] 204/5
hers [2] 45/3 160/10
herself [1] 95/18
Hey [1] 54/25
hid [6] 175/15 175/15
175/16 175/16 175/16
177/18
hide [1] 175/21
high [6] 44/10 96/5
96/10 96/12 178/10 178/20
higher [1] 86/19
highlighted [3] 104/3 117/10 117/14
highly [1] 14/8

| H | HONORABLE [1] 1/20 | 10/21 11/7 12/3 12/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| him [50] 18/25 19/3 26/4 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { hope [1] } & \text { 198/19 }\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 29 / 15 & 41 / 8 & 45 / 13 & 53 / 8\end{array}$ | hopefully [3] 111/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 16 & 27 / 22 & 29 / 3 & 29 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 53/12 55/13 61/25 64/11 | $\begin{array}{ccc}135 / 8 & 198 / 22 & \\ \text { hoping } & {[7]} & 36 / 3 \\ 124 / 19\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 17 & 33 / 2 & 36 / 24 & 38 / 16\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 65/10 } & 66 / 7 & 72 / 13 & 72 / 18\end{array}$ | hoping [7] 36/3 124/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 / 9 & 39 / 18 & 42 / 9 & 43 / 16\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}78 / 11 & 78 / 14 & 82 / 23 & 83 / 16\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}124 / 20 & 127 / 13 \\ 127 / 21 & 128 / 16\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 10 & 53 / 16 & 54 / 16 & 54 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 85/25 94/23 96/12 104/13 | hopscotch [1] 101/7 | 59/3 59/20 59/20 59/20 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}104 / 18 & 107 / 25 \\ 128 / 6 & 109 / 1\end{array}$ | hospital [5] $17 / 19$ 103/4 | 60/3 60/5 63/15 65/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 128 / 6 & 132 / 6 & 133 / 18 \\ 154 / 20 \quad 154 / 21 & 154 / 24 \end{array}$ | 114/4 122/18 122/21 | 66/13 66/13 69/4 73/9 |
| 166/21 168/25 169/10 | hostile [1] 172/8 | 74/2 75/9 $75 / 9$ 75/24 |
| 169/11 169/16 169/25 | hot [1] 46/19 | 76/2 76/6 76/7 77/19 |
| 170/3 170/7 170/18 | hotel [1] 50/3 | 77/23 78/24 80/13 81/16 |
| 172/16 172/19 172/23 | hours [7] 34/16 46/17 | 95/8 101/3 101/5 101/7 |
| 173/17 173/24 174/3 | 58/12 88/12 91/10 95/21 | $\begin{array}{llll}101 / 7 & 101 / 16 & 102 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 174/4 174/7 175/23 | 123/11 | 103/25 104/15 107/19 |
| hire [1] 79/7 | house [10] 13/7 22/2 | 110/25 111/22 112/9 |
| his [21] 8/2 27/20 70/12 | $\begin{array}{rllll} \\ 22 / 3 & 28 / 11 & 37 / 19 & 37 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}114 / 5 & 114 / 7 & 114 / 8 & 114 / 8\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 584 / 6 \quad 92 / 1694 / 18 \\ & 94 / 25 \quad 111 / 23 \quad 116 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | 170/9 170/9 175/2 199/9 | 114/13 114/14 115/9 |
| $94 / 2 / 7132 / 7 ~ 132 / 11 ~$ | household [1] 107/1 | 115/20 115/25 116/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 19 & 132 / 24 & 135 / 5\end{array}$ | Houston [4] 38/5 38/7 | 116/5 116/9 116/15 |
| 138/24 166/1 166/3 | 16 | 116/19 117/16 120/21 |
| 175/12 175/25 | [81] 9/6 9/7 10/22 | 121/9 125/25 127/1 |
| history [4] 10/20 10/22 | 12/16 12/21 14/2 14/18 | 127/18 128/1 128/14 |
| 95/3 194/22 | $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 1 & 18 / 6 & 18 / 15 & 18 / 17\end{array}$ | 128/16 132/17 132/23 |
| hit [4] 86/18 96/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 17 & 22 / 8 & 22 / 22 & 22 / 24\end{array}$ | 132/23 133/25 135/10 |
| 185/22 186/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 24 & 25 / 9 & 26 / 13 & 27 / 14\end{array}$ | 139/6 150/17 150/18 |
| Hmm [1] 19/18 | 30/17 31/1 36/1 | 150/21 150/24 164/24 |
| hobbies [1] 71/5 | $36 / 2$ 38/9 42/24 43/19 | 165/21 166/16 168/5 |
| hold [8] 35/9 36/4 45/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}44 / 17 & 44 / 17 & 44 / 17 & 44 / 20\end{array}$ | 168/17 169/7 171/25 |
| 85/11 187/25 187/25 | 45/7 46/14 46/16 54/15 | 172/10 177/11 177/20 |
| 187/25 189/15 | 58/20 59/10 64/6 64/24 |  |
| holding [2] 49/12 109/18 |  | 182/14 182/16 187/21 |
| holds [2] 23/13 195/9 | $\text { 68/19 70/17 } 73 / 10 \quad 73 / 10$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}182 / 14 & 182 / 16 & 18 / 71 \\ 188 / 2 & 191 / 3 & 191 / 25 & 19\end{array}$ |
| hole [3] 141/4 141/6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 73/12 } & 73 / 17 & 75 / 8 & 75 / 8\end{array}$ | 196/13 197/3 198/24 |
| 141/12 | 75/17 83/2 83/23 88/18 | 199/1 199/2 200/13 |
| home [18] 35/12 45/9 | 89/18 101/17 101/19 | I've [5] 13/10 74/9 |
| 68/18 85/23 103/1 110/9 | 105/22 109/24 110/2 | 94/19 116/9 182/16 |
| 110/11 114/10 114/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}149 / 19 & 160 / 1 & 160 / 19\end{array}$ | I-X [2] 1/13 1/13 |
| 122/19 122/20 123/2 | 166/7 174/22 181/1 181/2 | idea [8] 25/5 26/15 |
| 173/19 180/17 182/3 | $\begin{array}{llll}181 / 14 & 182 / 7 & 182 / 17\end{array}$ |  |
| 182/15 188/25 199/10 | 182/18 182/22 183/11 |  |
| home/rehab [1] 122/19 | 195/8 197/20 202/2 | ideal [2] 153/8 |
| honestly [1] 108/11 | however [2] 91/20 119/22 | identified [5] 55/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { honor [72] } & 6 / 16 & 6 / 17\end{array}$ | HUDGINS [1] 2/7 | 55/23 56/3 56/9 84/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 16 & 29 / 8 & 31 / 2 & 32 / 23\end{array}$ | hugging [1] 109/22 | identify [27] 11/18 12/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 22 & 49 / 8 & 51 / 21 & 51 / 22 \\ 55 / 1 & 57 / 4 & 62 / 22 & 65 / 18\end{array}$ | huh [16] 22/21 23/14 | $21 / 16 \quad 21 / 20 \quad 26 / 20 \quad 27 / 5$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}55 / 1 & 57 / 4 & 62 / 22 & 65 / 18 \\ 66 / 15 & 71 / 20 & 81 / 18 & 84 / 8\end{array}$ | 25/14 45/6 53/5 64/2 | 27/9 29/23 31/22 32/1 |
| 66/15 71/20 81/18 84/8 | 68/15 68/19 75/14 78/1 | 32/6 32/9 37/7 37/11 |
| 88/10 88/20 90/3 91/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}78 / 13 & 118 / 13 & 161 / 2 & 162 / 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}37 / 17 & 38 / 16 & 38 / 17 & 45 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 93/4 97/16 98/7 99/3 | 178/22 190/25 | $52 / 8 \quad 53 / 25 \quad 56 / 23 \quad 57 / 13$ |
| 99/4 99/8 99/10 99/11 | human [1] 36/10 | 61/13 62/19 63/13 69/9 |
| 100/25 104/9 112/13 | hurt [3] 33/21 95/22 | 84/16 |
| 112/16 113/17 114/20 | 108/6 | identifying [3] 152/2 |
| 124/10 $125 / 17$ 128/17 | hurting [2] 74/25 128/16 | $153 / 5 \text { 158/1 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}128 / 25 & 129 / 6 & 129 / 15\end{array}$ | Hyundai [1] 85/8 | II [3] 1/20 71/10 101/11 |
| 130/24 132/15 133/5 |  | III [2] 71/10 101/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}133 / 11 & 134 / 13 & 134 / 14\end{array}$ | I | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { image [2] } & 85 / 5 \quad 146 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 135/23 137/24 144/19 |  | images [1] 145/18 |
| 151/14 162/14 162/16 | $136 / 7 \quad 138 / 24$ | imaging [1] 149/25 |
| 162/20 163/3 164/3 | I'll [13] 55/21 56/15 | impaired [2] 96/11 |
| 164/20 167/15 167/24 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 67 / 2 & 69 / 24 & 72 / 4 & 75 / 25\end{array}$ |  |
| 170/20 176/13 178/24 | 96/14 120/22 $124 / 6 \quad 154 / 3$ | impeached [1] 91/12 |
| 181/21 184/5 184/10 | 166/14 198/20 200/20 | impeaching [1] 96/23 |
| 187/17 189/13 190/9 | I'm [134] $6 / 23$ 7/23 $9 / 1$ | important [1] 170/8 |


|  | 198/20 201/24 201/25 | item [2] 27/22 171/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| impossible [1] 114/15 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { itself [3 } \\ 155 / 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| impression [1] 186/11 | intended [3] 34/2 35/22 |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Improper [1] } & 112 / 13\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { intende } \\ 41 / 21 \end{gathered}$ | $J$ |
| inappropriate [5] 90/1 90/25 91/11 91/16 93/15 | intending [2] 36/1 36/2 | J-a-r-e-d [1] 184/23 |
| incarceration [1] 182/1 | intent [2] 100/5 147/1 | J-e-f-f-r-e-y [1] 13 |
| incident [4] 50/2 51/2 | intention [1] 78/18 | jail [4] 131/15 |
| 179/11 183/10 | sted [1] 204/1 | 180/4 182/2 |
| inclined [1] 155/25 | internal [1] 161/16 | JALM00027 [1] |
| include [1] 9/8 | Internet [4] 48/18 87/18 | January [27] 7/22 37/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { included [3] } & 49 / 15\end{array}$ | 130/4 199/20 | 39/10 39/12 62/21 65/13 |
| 136/21 137/8 | interrupt [1] 66/16 | 66/2 67/8 68/3 102/12 |
| including [4] 48/16 | rupted [1] 96/1 | 02/17 105/23 116/22 |
| 87/16 130/2 199/19 | involve [1] 33/16 | 118/16 126/10 128/3 |
| inclusive [1] 1/13 | involved [13] 32/21 | 164/7 164/11 166/10 |
| inconsistent [1] 92/20 | 48/23 68/2 70/22 87/23 | 174/22 179/6 179/13 |
| incorporated [1] 160/24 | /21 130/9 132/24 | 0/14 181/8 181/12 |
| increase [2] 72/22 74/16 | 12 176/5 199/25 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 182/21 } & 192 / 22 \\ \text { anuary } & 12 \mathrm{th} & \\ \text { l1] }\end{array}$ |
| indeed [1] 185/17 | irrelevant | January 12 th [1] <br> J/22   <br> January 16th [2] 62/21 |
| INDEX [1] 5/1 | 53/13 65/19 66/25 69/3 | 67/8 |
| indicated [17] 8/14 8/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll}53 / 13 & 65 / 19 & 66 / 25 & 69 / 3 \\ 70 / 18 & 88 / 16 & 89 / 8 & 90 / 1\end{array}$ | January 17th [8] 164/ |
|  | 91/16 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Janary } \\ 174 / 22 & 179 / 6 & 179 / 13\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}29 / 15 & 40 / 24 & 41 / 8 & 45 / 12 \\ 45 / 12 & 47 / 24 & 59 / 18 & 60 / 24\end{array}$ | is [214] | $\begin{array}{lllll}180 / 14 & 181 / 8 & 181 / 12\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 12 & 47 / 24 & 59 / 18 & 60 / 24 \\ 62 / 11 & 146 / 20 & 159 / 20\end{array}$ | isn't [5] 11/2 156/25 | 182/21 |
| $\begin{array}{rlrl}62 / 11 & 146 / 20 & 159 / 20 \\ \text { indicating } & 6] \quad 9 / 13 & 17 / 1\end{array}$ | 182/18 194/18 195/2 | January 1st [1] 39/12 |
| indicating [6] 9/13 17/1 31/16 39/23 41/4 55/13 | issue [13] 48/13 48/23 | January 2011 [1] 37/2 |
| $31 / 16 \text { 39/23 41/4 55/13 }$ individual [1] 37/12 | 87/13 87/23 97/9 129/24 | January 2014 [1] 68/3 |
| ndividually [3] 1/9 | 130/9 131/3 131/25 132/9 | January 2nd [5] 39/10 |
| $1 / 12 \quad 1 / 12$ | 144/2 199/15 199/25 | 102/12 164/7 166/10 |
| individuals [2] | issues [6] 48/21 87/21 | 192/22 |
| 3/14 | /23 130/7 136/8 | January 6 |
| influence [4] 131/23 |  |  |
| 174/9 174/19 178/12 | it's [114] 8/10 9/7 |  |
| information [11] 48 | $\begin{array}{llll} 14 / 12 & 14 / 13 & 14 / 17 & 15 / 16 \end{array}$ | 126/19 127/4 164/16 |
| 87/16 130/2 149/22 170/9 |  |  |
| 181/13 181/16 181/24 |  | 169/3 169/7 |
| 182/1 191/11 199/19 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}169 / 13 & 170 / 7 \\ 170 / 11\end{array}$ |
| infractions [1] 119/15 |  | 170/13 170/14 171/10 |
| initially [1] <br> initiate $119 / 19$ <br> $66 / 23$  |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}171 / 14 & 172 / 14 & 172 / 22\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { initiate [1] } & \text { 66/23 } \\ \text { initiated [1] } & 181 / 7\end{array}$ | 5/3 55/4 55/18 55/21 | 173/2 173/12 173/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lrl}\text { initiated [1] } & 181 / 7 \\ \text { initiation [1] } & 67 / 6\end{array}$ | /23 56/3 56/7 56/9 | 174/2 174/9 174/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { initiation } & \text { [1] } & 67 / 6 \\ \text { inject [4] } & 144 / 6 \quad 153\end{array}$ | 57/21 59/7 59/9 63/20 | 174/16 174/18 176/8 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \text { inject } & {[4]} & 144 / 6 & 153 \\ 153 / 19 & 154 / 16 \end{array}$ | 63/20 68/23 69/10 70/12 | 176/20 177/19 179/19 |
| 153/19 154/1 | 71/8 71/14 72/10 76/8 | 179/25 180/4 181/14 |
|  | 84/24 86/13 87/9 88/16 | 182/22 183/11 184/11 |
| 157/16 [6] 73/3 73/6 | 88/16 90/1 90/1 91/23 | 184/22 190/17 192/22 |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { injection [6] } & 73 / 3 \\ \text { 144/13 } & 144 / 15 \\ 153 / 24\end{array}$ | 91/23 92/11 92/11 93/7 | Jared's [4] 175/9 182 |
| 4/13 144/15 153/24 | 93/11 95/3 97/10 97/10 | 182/10 182/11 |
| injections [1] 143/25 | 97/12 99/23 104/11 | JEFFREY [2] 3/15 135/19 |
| injections [1] 143/25 | 104/11 104/12 111/5 |  |
| injured [4] 91/8 91/8 | 112/15 116/6 116/6 | job [3] 104/23 |
| 97/3 187/2 | $\begin{array}{llll}121 / 10 & 122 / 20 & 122 / 20\end{array}$ | 105/20 |
| injuries [3] 91/6 187 | $\begin{array}{lll}128 / 15 & 128 / 22 & 131 / 7\end{array}$ | joint [11] 142/18 143 |
| 192/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 11 & 132 / 9 & 132 / 12\end{array}$ | 154/6 154/17 154/17 |
| injury [1] 90/8 | $\begin{array}{llll} 138 / 11 & 141 / 13 & 142 / 6 \end{array}$ | $\text { 154/25 155/1 } 155 / 7 \quad 15$ |
| inquire [1] 17/10 | $\begin{array}{llll} 142 / 23 & 143 / 24 & 145 / 4 \end{array}$ | $157 / 10 \quad 158 / 14$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { inquiring [1] } & \text { 49/23 } \\ \text { inquiry }\end{array}$ | 145/6 145/6 146/13 | joints [5] 143/22 144/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { inquiry }[2] & 49 / 21 \\ \text { inserted } & \text { [1] } \\ \text { l } & 156 / 18\end{array}$ | 146/14 150/10 165/10 | $153 / 20 \quad 153 / 25 \quad 154 / 7$ |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { serted [1] } & 156 / 18 \\ \text { stalled [1] } & 149 / 1 \end{array}$ | 166/12 169/3 $171 / 20$ | joyous [1] 106/16 |
| ed [1] | 171/21 171/21 171/22 | JR [1] 2/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { instead } \\ \text { Institute [1] } & \text { [1] } & \text { 9/22 }\end{array}$ | 171/23 171/23 172/9 | judge [46] 13/13 24/11 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { nstricure } \\ \text { instructed } \end{array}$ | 172/11 180/19 181/2 | 28/25 34/7 41/24 47/13 |
| 87/11 129/22 199/14 | 183/13 188/5 194/16 | 48/7 53/14 $57 / 11$ 66/19 |
| instructions [5] 198/17 | 198/14 199/9 201/17 | 67/1 69/23 71/24 88/9 |


| J | 66/19 67/2 67/14 68/17 | 153/19 153/24 154/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| judge... [32] 93/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 21 & 71 / 7 & 73 / 21 & 74 / 25\end{array}$ | kind [15] 12/19 12/22 |
| 93/19 96/9 97/24 100/23 | 83/20 84/23 87/2 88/3 | 48/22 53/24 54/24 59/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}112 / 17 & 112 / 25 & 113 / 13\end{array}$ | 88/3 88/10 89/24 90/22 | 87/22 130/8 135/7 150/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}114 / 22 & 116 / 16 & 124 / 8\end{array}$ | 91/14 91/15 91/23 94/5 | 161/15 175/18 198/24 |
| 125/21 130/22 138/18 | $\begin{array}{llll} 96 / 3 & 96 / 4 & 96 / 21 & 99 / 6 \\ 99 / 24 & 100 / 14 & 101 / 8 & 102 / 5 \end{array}$ | kisses [3] 71/1 109/9 |
| 144/21 163/6 166/12 | 102/15 104/1 104/14 | 109/16 |
| 171/1 177/2 177/8 177/11 | 104/16 107/12 107/16 | kissing [4] 109/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}179 / 1 & 183 / 20 & 184 / 1 \\ 190 / 13 & 192 / 18 & 195 / 9\end{array}$ | 109/4 110/11 115/11 | 109/17 109/19 109/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}190 / 13 & 192 / 18 & 195 / 9 \\ 195 / 14 & 201 / 17 & 201 / 2\end{array}$ | 116/9 116/20 117/14 | kitchen [2] 180/19 181 |
| 202/19 203/2 | 118/25 125/21 125/25 | Klein [2] 136/7 138/ |
| judging [2] 28/20 39/16 | 127/20 128/1 128/1 128/5 | Klein's [1] 136/9 |
| judgment [1] 96/15 | 128/13 128/15 128/15 | knee [5] 23/2 23/17 |
| July [10] 7/20 38/19 | /22 140/1 140/1 | 24/24 41/6 44 |
| 39/1 39/20 $40 / 1 \quad 41 / 3$ |  | kneeling [1] 22/23 |
| 42/5 45/11 71/4 71/11 | 153/17 154/3 156/5 | knees [1] 44/ |
| July 10 [5] 39/20 40/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}166 / 6 & 169 / 12 & 169 / 21\end{array}$ | 164/16 170/14 170/16 |
| 41/3 45/11 71/11 | 172/3 175/12 175/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}170 / 17 & 176 / 6 & 176 / 6\end{array}$ |
| July 10th [1] 71/4 | 177/1 177/4 183/24 | 177/18 189/11 197/4 |
| July 12 [1] 42/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll}186 / 13 & 188 / 12 & 189 / 15\end{array}$ | knocked [1] 73/13 |
| July 2013 [1] 39/1 | 197/4 198/8 198/24 199/1 | know [96] 10/10 11/24 |
| July 22nd [1] 7/20 | 199/2 199/12 200/22 | $\begin{array}{lll}12 / 20 & 12 / 23 & 15 / 23\end{array}$ |
| July 7 [1] 38/19 | 201/22 202/9 202/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 2 & 25 / 10 & 25 / 11 & 27 / 21\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { June } & {[11]} & 29 / 25 & 31 / 10 \\ 31 / 13 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 24 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ | 202/16 | 27/21 36/9 36/9 39/14 |
| $31 / 13$ 31/15 31/24 34/14 37/9 37/15 40/10 40/23 |  | 44/8 46/8 46/9 53/15 |
| $37 / 9$ 37/15 40/10 40/23 | K | 58/18 58/20 59/20 62/14 |
| une 11t | K13 [1] 111/20 | 67/1 67/14 68/17 70/6 |
| June 14th [2] 31/24 | Kalia [1] 182/10 | 73/11 73/20 74/5 76/8 |
| 34/14 | keep [9] 95/16 104/19 | 76/14 78/8 81/25 82/9 |
| June 2013 [1] 37/15 | 124/21 128/13 161/19 | 91/1 93/14 94/22 95/14 |
| June 27 [1] 148/8 | 167/12 177/4 187/15 | 96/20 104/14 104/16 |
| June 30 [3] 37/9 40/10 |  | 106/12 106/19 107/10 |
| 40/23 |  |  |
| June 5 [1] 29/25 | kept [5] 33/3 142/19 | 114/11 114/13 114/ |
| jurors [1] 129/9 | $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { 173/18 } & 173 / 24 & 196 / 10\end{array}$ | 115/19 116/8 117/12 |
| jury [68] 1/19 6/8 6/10 | key [1] 173/19 | 124/21 125/16 127/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 15 & 13 / 11 & 28 / 24 & 49 / 5\end{array}$ | $\text { keys [42] } 166 / 9 \quad 166 / 19$ |  |
| 49/7 51/13 51/15 51/20 | $\begin{array}{lll} 166 / 21 & 166 / 23 & 167 / 4 \end{array}$ | $141 / 13 \quad 142 / 8 \quad 154 / 24$ |
| 56/4 57/19 88/6 88/8 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}167 / 5 & 167 / 12 & 168 / 7 & 168 / 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}155 / 20 & 160 / 23 & 162 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 89/25 90/14 90/23 92/6 | $167 / 5167 / 12$ 168/7 168/9 | 155/20 160/23 162/6 |
| 92/24 93/9 94/7 96/8 | 9 | 164/18 165/23 167/1 |
| 96/19 98/21 98/23 99/2 | 172/23 173/17 173/18 | 168/18 169/18 175/1 |
| 103/8 104/7 105/2 105/12 | 173/19 173/19 173/24 | 175/17 175/19 176/20 |
| 105/19 107/17 108/3 | 173/25 173/25 174/3 | 177/9 179/18 180/22 |
| 109/7 $114 / 1115 / 5 \quad 115 / 21$ | 174/7 174/12 174/17 | 182/16 187/14 188/6 |
| 130/18 131/11 131/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}175 / 1 & 175 / 8 & 175 / 16\end{array}$ | 188/10 190/3 190/7 |
| 133/19 133/25 134/5 | 175/21 176/8 176/10 | 191/14 192/2 192/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}134 / 7 & 134 / 12 & 136 / 7\end{array}$ | 177/18 178/2 179/10 | 193/18 194/14 198/25 |
| 136/13 136/16 138/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}179 / 11 & 179 / 17 & 180 / 25\end{array}$ | 200/22 201/11 202/17 |
| 138/13 138/23 139/14 | 181/3 181/5 181/5 181/14 | knowing [1] 36/20 |
| 141/2 142/17 188/13 | 182/22 183/11 | knowledge [6] 112/21 |
| 193/25 194/11 194/22 | kick [1] 100/ | 113/7 137/18 150/25 |
| 195/4 198/17 200/8 | kid [1] 90/20 | 183/9 183/11 |
| 200/10 200/21 201/13 | kid's [1] 90/19 | known [4] 18/13 66/6 |
| 201/23 201/25 202/8 | kids [10] 26/25 4 | 7/9 156 |
| jury's [3] 132/1 132/10 | $\begin{array}{llll}46 / 13 & 46 / 21 & 59 / 5 & 59 / 10\end{array}$ | KRISTY [4] 1/24 188/5 |
| 190/1 | 71/1 89/21 109/22 121/23 | 204/4 204/22 |
| just [116] $15 / 2318 / 10$ | $10 / 19$ 11/2 11/11 26/3 | L |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 21 / 10 & 30 / 8 & 34 / 24 & 36 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 29/15 } & 31 / 15 & 39 / 21 & 40 / 25\end{array}$ | L3 [9] 153/20 153/25 |
| 36/9 36/16 39/16 43/9 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 41/4 } & 45 / 12 & 47 / 24 & 48 / 4\end{array}$ | 154/4 $154 / 6154 / 17$ 155/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 10 & 43 / 20 & 44 / 10 & 47 / 14\end{array}$ | 55/12 56/6 59/17 60/24 | 155/7 158/16 159/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}47 / 15 & 49 / 8 & 49 / 11 & 50 / 11\end{array}$ | 61/15 61/22 62/11 73/5 | L3-4 [9] 153/20 153/25 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}50 / 23 & 50 / 25 & 51 / 24 & 53 / 5\end{array}$ | 83/15 83/20 83/25 108/18 | 154/4 154/6 154/17 155/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} 53 / 7 & 61 / 4 & 61 / 7 & 62 / 9 & 63 / 6 \\ 63 / 22 & 63 / 25 & 63 / 25 & 64 / 3 \end{array}$ | 108/23 144/6 153/16 | 155/7 158/16 159/4 |


| L | 139/4 139/6 139/7 139/12 | 20/22 21/10 22/19 22/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L4 [4] 142/4 146/21 | 139/18 140/5 141/8 | 24/2 27/7 27/8 27/8 |
| $146 / 22 \quad 146 / 24$ | 142/21 146/6 146/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 24 & 35 / 17 & 36 / 18 & 44 / 12\end{array}$ |
| L4-L5 [1] 146/21 | 147/2 177/25 178/2 181/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}44 / 23 & 45 / 8 & 53 / 18 & 54 / 10\end{array}$ |
| L5 [5] 139/17 139/20 | left-hand [1] 139/2 | 58/12 58/14 $59 / 11$ 62/9 |
| $\begin{array}{rlll}142 / 18 & 146 / 21 & 156 / 1\end{array}$ | leg [6] 9/14 9/15 43/8 | 63/22 67/11 $67 / 13$ 68/12 |
| L5-S1 [1] 142/18 | legal [8] 180/22 192/2 | 84/17 85/6 88/10 <br> 92/7 92/23 92/24 |
| lack [2] 92/13 148/18 | $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { legal } \\ 194 / 1 & 194 / 6 & 196 / 2 & 197 / 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}93 / 11 & 94 / 5 & 94 / 6 & 94 / 24\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { ladies [3] } \\ 198 / 2 \end{array}$ | $194 / 8194 / 617$ | 97/10 97/10 100/19 |
| lady [1] 194/2 | legally [1] 186/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}122 / 17 & 122 / 19 & 136 / 7\end{array}$ |
| lady's [1] 105/3 | legged [1] 22/24 | 137/16 137/19 138/24 |
| Lake [5] 46/5 46/12 | legs [2] 74/17 74/18 | 142/4 142/8 142/23 |
| 46/16 46/20 125/13 | Lemper [11] 15/12 15/17 | 145/19 145/24 146/15 |
| laminectomy [1] 146/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 18 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 22\end{array}$ | 149/13 160/10 161/11 |
| laminotomies [1] 146/1 | 72/12 73/3 73/5 73/8 | 162/4 173/22 176/4 177/3 |
| lanyard [1] 173/22 | 83/12 | 178/15 180/14 180/18 |
| lap [1] 79/20 | Lemper's [1] 74/12 | 181/2 198/17 |
| Las [11] 2/4 2/10 2/16 | length [2] 76/22 147/2 | likely [3] 178/20 198/14 |
| 2/21 6/1 131/15 131/16 | Lennay [6] 17/18 17/20 | 199/9 |
| 131/21 132/7 189/21 | 18/7 25/10 42/12 112/1 | likes [1] 161/14 |
| 189/24 | Lennay's [3] 18/19 39/6 | limine [4] 41/16 49/14 |
| Las Vegas [6] 131/15 | LeRNER [4] | 50/2 51/5 limitation |
| 131/16 131/21 132/7 | LERNER [4] $83 / 1484 / 3$ | limitation [4] 48/17 87/17 130/3 199/19 |
| 189/21 189/24 | less [2] 145/20 151/6 | line [1] 88/15 |
| last [18] 30/17 63/5 | let [37] 7/24 10/25 | lines [2] $71 / 12$ <br> $117 / 14$  |
| 70/10 70/12 77/3 77/20 | $\begin{array}{rlll}11 / 16 & 15 / 14 & 19 / 16 & 26 / 6\end{array}$ | list [4] 83/6 83/19 |
| 77/24 82/7 88/12 97/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 16 & 29 / 18 & 38 / 14 & 41 / 12\end{array}$ | $83 / 21 \quad 83 / 25$ |
| 105/9 114/3 119/10 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26/18 } & 29 / 10 & 38 / 14 & 41 / 12 \\ 55 / 16 & 56 / 15 & 56 / 17 & 62 / 17\end{array}$ | listen [4] 48/14 |
| 122/25 136/6 187/15 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 65 / 23 & 67 / 2 & 72 / 11 & 74 / 6\end{array}$ | $129 / 25 \quad 199 / 16$ |
| 188/7 199/1 |  |  |
| lasted [1] 134/24 | 84/14 84/15 86/12 95 | ened [1] |
| Lastly [1] 137/13 | 101/3 117/12 132/20 | literal [1] 157/8 |
| late [1] 17/19 | 134/18 136/11 $166 / 8$ | literature [2] 150/20 |
| later [9] 18/14 40/24 | 166/14 166/19 168/7 | 159/15 |
| 45/15 55/9 57/16 89/17 | 168/25 170/17 171/10 | litigation [2] 95/3 |
| 89/22 124/22 192/10 | 171/25 196/10 202/16 | 192/24 |
| laughed [1] 97/18 | let's [21] 12/1 16/14 | little [12] |
| law [5] $2 / 14$ 96/11 $96 / 12$ | 36/5 61/4 61/6 71/12 | 66/13 101/8 121/12 135/9 |
| 198/20 198/20 | 72/10 86/12 88/3 95/10 | 136/12 141/4 141/11 |
| lawn [1] 12/22 | 102/1 112/18 129/17 | 141/12 160/8 192/14 |
| lawsuit [4] 191/15 | 131/1 133/14 133/18 | live [3] 26/11 182/18 |
| 192/11 192/23 193/4 | 139/25 145/13 177/4 | 182/18 |
| lawyer [3] 79/22 187/14 | 198/6 198/8 level [20] 26/4 35/1 | lived [3] 122/11 122/23 |
| 188/7 | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { levell } & {[20]} & 26 / 4 & 35 / 18 \\ 39 / 24 & 45 / 3 & 59 / 19 & 60 / 25 \end{array}$ | Livestock [1] |
| lawyers [8] 71/9 80/8 | $\text { 62/12 } \quad 72 / 22 \quad 143 / 7 \quad 146 / 21$ | living [6] 10/11 60/12 |
| 81/10 82/24 83/25 84/7 | $\begin{array}{llll}153 / 20 & 154 / 1 & 154 / 4 & 154 / 6\end{array}$ | $\text { 110/15 } 122 / 15 \quad 176 / 20$ |
| 112/12 113/6 | 154/17 154/25 155/1 | 181/6 |
| lay [2] 63/4 160/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}155 / 7 & 156 / 1 & 162 / 4\end{array}$ | LLC [1] 2/14 |
| leading [7] 129/1 129/2 | levels [1] 62/15 | lobby [2] 69/11 69/18 |
| $140 / 22 ~ 171 / 17 ~ 172 / 1 ~$ | license [14] 186/8 | local [2] 144/9 194/17 |
| 172/4 172/5 | 188/20 189/1 189/7 192/6 | location [5] 32/1 37 |
| leads [1] 160/21 | 192/12 193/12 193/15 | 156/21 175/3 196/9 |
| leaning [1] 35/17 |  | locations [2] 175/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { learn [2] } & 176 / 4 & 179 / 20\end{array}$ | 195/25 196/3 196/17 | 175/6 |
| learned [3] 173/1 182/17 | licensed [4] 169/5 | logical [1] 101/6 |
| 182/22 ${ }_{\text {learner's [4] } 186 / 10}$ | 169/10 169/20 194/5 | long [30] 8/16 8/19 9/6 |
| learner's [4] 186/10 | lie [2] 178/1 178/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 8 & 14 / 2 & 30 / 17 & 39 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 186/14 186/16 188/22 | life [17] 89/25 90/5 | 45/7 $46 / 16$ 50/10 54/15 |
| least [2] 67/7 169/16 | 90/7 90/8 90/12 90/17 | 54/17 58/11 58/16 59/10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { leave [3] } 185 / 22 \text { 185/25 } \\ & \text { 186/5 } \end{aligned}$ | 91/25 $92 / 9 \quad 94 / 12$ 94/14 | 64/6 64/24 65/23 70/17 |
| led [1] 132/6 | 94/19 96/6 96/18 137/16 | 91/20 101/12 101/12 |
| LEE [1] 2/8 | 139/3 141/19 141/19 | 108/9 109/24 110/2 |
| left [24] 9/14 24/21 | light [1] 152/17 | 132/25 161/9 161/19 |
| 28/10 $43 / 12$ 58/19 62/2 | lights [1] 67/15 | 197/20 199/6 |
| 62/6 64/23 65/5 139/2 | like [67] 12/21 14/5 | longer [5] 107/3 107/6 |


| L | mailbox [1] 173/19 | 103/24 104/23 105/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| longer... [3] 129/21 | mailed [1] 202/21 | 105/19 106/3 111/23 |
| 134/25 $142 / 19$ | major [2] 8/14 37/3 | 113/9 114/21 124/14 |
| look [26] 14/20 16/5 | make [16] 88/11 90/12 | 124/25 125/20 144/20 |
|  | 93/17 106/23 133/6 | 152/5 152/19 162/15 |
| 67/15 68/17 85/6 88/18 | 139/22 141/13 141/18 | 178/4 178/25 190/12 |
| 94/3 97/22 104/3 111/8 | 144/16 151/21 181/24 | 200/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}116 / 19 & 137 / 2 & 137 / 7\end{array}$ | 182/13 190/4 200/11 | Mazzeo's [1] 61/5 |
| 137/15 138/14 139/22 | 201/4 201/11 makes [2] 93/20 132/1 | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \text { me }\left[\begin{array}{llll} 94] & 7 / 24 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 16 \\ 12 / 2 & 15 / 14 & 15 / 21 & 19 / 16 \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ |
| 140/1 147/16 149/24 | makes [2] $93 / 20$ $132 / 1$ <br> making [5] $53 / 2$ $94 / 23$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 12 / 2 & 15 / 14 & 15 / 21 & 19 / 1 \\ 19 / 18 & 22 / 6 & 22 / 17 & 23 / 9 \end{array}$ |
| 149/24 150/1 167/2 | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { making }[5] & 53 / 2 \\ 95 / 2 & 96 / 23 \\ 962 / 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} 26 / 6 & 26 / 18 & 29 / 20 & 38 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| looked [8] 54/23 84/17 | management [2] 72/15 | $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 14 & 39 / 17 & 41 / 12 & 43 / 8\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}123 / 6 & 123 / 16 & 123 / 17 \\ 123 / 20 & 124 / 2 & 136 / 23\end{array}$ | 83/18 | 43/18 $47 / 19$ 55/16 56/17 |
| $123 / 20 ~ 124 / 2 ~ 136 / 23 ~$ | mantel [8] 167/12 168/9 | 59/20 60/5 62/17 64/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { looking [4] 97/19 139/12 } \\ & 139 / 20 \quad 145 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | 178/2 180/18 180/19 | 65/14 65/24 67/2 70/5 |
| looks [4] 27/7 39/17 | 180/19 180/20 181/6 | 72/11 73/8 73/9 73/15 |
| 53/24 137/16 | manual [2] 176/2 176/3 | 73/22 73/24 74/6 75/10 |
| loose [9] 147/25 148/22 | many [11] 22/4 83/2 | 79/5 81/10 82/25 83/17 |
| 149/17 $149 / 25150 / 2$ | 83/23 89/8 91/10 91/10 | 83/21 84/6 84/14 84/15 |
| 150/11 150/16 156/1 | 101/17 101/19 160/19 | 86/12 87/2 101/3 106/13 |
| 162/3 15/1 | 173/22 174/22 | 106/14 107/19 108/8 |
| loosened [1] 156/9 | MARCH [9] 1/22 6/1 78/6 | 110/9 114/13 114/13 |
| loosening [3] 151/1 | 78/15 78/18 192/24 193/4 | 115/19 115/21 117/12 |
| 156/12 159/17 | 204/7 204/19 | 117/21 132/13 133/10 |
| losing [1] 104/23 | March 25 [1] 78/6 | 134/18 136/11 139/11 |
| loss [7] 90/4 90/7 90/8 | March 25th [1] 78/15 | 154/14 162/7 165/12 |
| 90/11 90/16 92/6 92/9 | Marco [3] 71/18 109/8 | 166/2 166/15 168/12 |
| lost [3] 105/7 127/1 | 109/17 | 168/23 168/24 169/5 |
| 136/15 | MARIA [1] 2/15 | 169/6 169/9 169/19 |
| lot [11] 15/3 90/23 | marijuana [5] 132/9 | 169/20 172/21 175/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 106/12 } & 106 / 13 & 110 / 13\end{array}$ | 170/14 174/9 174/19 | 179/23 179/23 180/9 |
| 114/12 115/12 131/22 | 189/23 | 181/5 182/11 182/11 |
| 131/24 177/7 182/17 | MARISA [1] 2/9 | 185/18 188/3 188/12 |
| lots [1] 12/21 | mark [1] 137/25 | 189/12 196/3 196/11 |
| LOUIS [1] $2 / 19$ | marked [2] 7/17 62/18 | 200/21 |
| lounge [3] 32/8 34/15 | Marker [1] 102/3 | Mead [5] 46/5 46/12 |
| 35/13 | marks [1] 31/1 | 46/16 46/21 125/13 |
| love [2] 71/18 109/8 | married [1] 54/25 | mean [36] 14/20 15/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { low [4] } & 41 / 5 & 62 / 1 & 74 / 16\end{array}$ | marrow [2] 160/13 160/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 8 & 16 / 20 & 39 / 15 & 44 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 108/16 | mastic [1] 159/21 | 44/17 63/21 66/16 66/18 |
| lower [6] 41/6 62/2 62/6 | Matt [2] 78/6 78/8 | 68/11 73/14 75/8 76/14 |
| 62/6 147/19 152/14 | matter [2] 96/11 169/9 | 86/19 93/24 94/21 96/6 |
| lowest [2] 14/16 14/21 | matured [1] 144/11 | 96/17 109/15 148/19 |
| lroberts [1] 2/11 | Maxine [1] 46/15 | 150/5 150/8 155/14 |
| lumbar [3] 139/15 147/12 | may [30] 18/14 22/11 | 155/16 155/18 156/8 |
| 147/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 11 & 22 / 15 & 26 / 21 & 27 / 12\end{array}$ | 160/1 160/8 160/9 160/10 |
| lunch [4] | 29/14 37/16 54/23 56/24 | 160/23 161/11 169/2 |
| $87 / 11 \text { 91/17 }$ | 77/4 77/14 78/11 $92 / 10$ | 180/12 180/15 |
|  | 92/20 92/24 93/2 99/11 | meaning [2] 149/21 |
| M | 99/11 116/16 120/8 | 161/25 |
| M.D [2] 3/15 135/20 | 121/16 132/20 139/11 | means [9] 48/20 87/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { ma'am [12] } & 7 / 11 & 56 / 19\end{array}$ | 147/16 167/15 178/12 | 108/4 130/6 157/5 157/9 |
| 87/4 109/13 109/23 | 180/8 181/3 195/14 | 157/9 191/4 199/22 |
| 129/10 163/10 163/20 | May 17th [1] 78/11 | meant [7] 19/2 42/20 |
| 165/22 176/18 178/23 | May 20th [1] 77/4 | 59/3 108/4 109/16 146/24 |
| 184/8 | May 25th [1] 77/14 | 147/19 |
| machine [2] 57/21 141/17 | May 8th [3] 26/21 27/12 | mechanism [2] 141/15 |
| machines [2] 65/8 65/9 | 29/14 | 141/16 |
| made [19] 70/7 88/13 | maybe [13] 25/12 28/4 | mechanisms [3] 140/25 |
| 88/20 90/4 90/6 90/15 | 30/14 $30 / 18$ 45/8 68/14 | 141/3 142/5 |
| 90/18 91/13 93/6 95/2 | 81/24 82/8 83/3 83/24 | medial [2] 158/19 158/25 |
| 106/19 119/5 121/24 | 142/3 145/20 156/13 | medical [16] 74/10 89/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 10 & 131 / 10 & 152 / 17\end{array}$ |  | 114/4 117/1 135/6 136/20 |
| 154/15 168/11 168/13 | 3/5 3/7 3/10 3/17 3/20 | 149/24 150/20 151/24 |
| Magic [1] 102/2 | 4/5 4/8 $4 / 13$ 4/15 $4 / 19$ | 152/1 152/8 187/5 187/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { maid [2] } & 54 / 1 & 79 / 8\end{array}$ | 91/18 97/4 99/9 103/6 | 187/16 187/22 188/8 |

medically [1] 114/5
medication [3] 117/24 118/1 118/2
medications [2] 125/1 125/10
medicine [2] 117/2 117/3
medicine's [1] 144/15
medium [4] 48/16 87/16 130/2 199/18
meet [3] 64/11 66/5 66/7
meeting [1] 81/9
member [2] 119/23 120/7
members [1] 97/21
membership [1] 181/2
memorializing [1] 32/13
memories [1] 121/24
memory [2] 70/6 106/18
mentioned [5] 100/5 100/9 115/11 121/21 127/4
mentioning [1] 126/7
mess [1] 183/23
met [1] 66/10
Mexico [2] 26/11 60/13
micromotion [5] 143/10
147/25 148/18 148/22 158/2
microphone [2] 163/21 184/25
mid [2] 72/17 77/7
mid-February [1] 77/7 mid-September [1] 72/17 midback [1] 147/18 middle [4] 6/19 108/16 167/3 192/10
might [8] 94/25 142/6 142/19 150/1 155/7 156/17 182/25 189/15
mileage [2] 86/21 86/25 miles [1] 86/25
mind [10] 16/3 16/8 17/9 18/15 27/21 82/12 84/24 95/16 95/23 143/19
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Mini [4] } & 58 / 1 & 58 / 11 & 59 / 3\end{array}$ 90/20
minute [6] 26/7 45/24 85/12 115/11 162/22 167/20
minutes [9] $14 / 5$ 14/6 30/18 $43 / 20 \quad 54 / 19 \quad 58 / 18$ 123/16 129/19 171/6
miscalculation [1] 119/7
mischaracterizes [5] 11/4 75/20 84/9 96/10 127/16
miserably [1] 36/6
misreference [1] 154/15
missed [1] 133/21
Mission [9] 131/16
$\begin{array}{lll}131 / 17 & 131 / 22 & 132 / 7\end{array}$
132/19 132/24 189/21
189/24 190/2
misstated [1] 156/13 misstatement [1] 187/19 mistake [4] 105/1 105/2 119/5 119/14
misunderstood [1] 168/17 Mr . [88] 6/19 6/22 9/17
mode [2] 175/13 175/14
mom [10] 21/23 57/24 59/6 59/25 60/12 93/8 110/10 122/10 122/15 193/10
moment [5] 33/22 117/11 147/16 163/6 181/23
Monday [9] 102/20 198/13
198/14 198/14 199/4
199/11 200/5 200/13 201/3
monetary [1] 94/1
money [7] 79/9 80/25 81/7 93/24 102/7 169/25 170/8
Monica [4] 42/21 44/1 45/16 46/22
month [7] 93/14 114/3
121/13 136/21 169/17
169/19 169/25
months [16] 25/21 25/25 74/13 77/7 80/11 93/13 105/24 106/13 110/2 110/15 114/9 121/16 122/12 136/25 153/9 161/14
more [20] 47/14 82/2 91/4 91/18 91/19 91/19 93/17 107/10 124/9 128/9 131/24 142/22 143/21 144/16 146/13 151/23 154/12 165/23 176/1 178/20
morning [10] 6/21 7/15 $\begin{array}{llll}7 / 16 & 30 / 13 & 115 / 4 & 137 / 1\end{array}$ 137/2 172/18 174/13 202/21
most [4] 21/11 74/10 89/19 155/21
mother [4] 59/21 114/2 188/16 189/9
mother's [2] 70/12 188/24
motion [8] 49/13 49/14 49/17 50/1 51/5 200/19 201/5 201/7
motions [1] 41/15
motor [2] 20/13 67/9
МОтт [1] 2/8
MountainView [3] 118/8 126/22 127/7
mouth [3] 35/18 $36 / 3$ 100/16
move [11] 13/13 20/5 41/21 47/8 50/8 117/6 163/7 175/11 175/11 200/12 200/17
moved [1] 22/4
moves [1] 148/5
moving [1] 55/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Mr} & {[27]} & 3 / 4 & 3 / 5 & 3 / 6\end{array} 3 / 7$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3 / 9 & 3 / 10 & 3 / 12 & 3 / 16 & 3 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3 / 18 & 3 / 19 & 3 / 20 & 3 / 21 & 4 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}4 / 5 & 4 / 6 & 4 / 7 & 4 / 8 & 4 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}4 / 13 & 4 / 14 & 4 / 15 & 4 / 16 & 4 / 17\end{array}$ 4/19 4/20 96/15

29/6 52/2 55/20 61/5
69/2 79/23 80/9 84/22
85/11 87/6 91/18 92/16
93/19 94/4 94/21 95/10
96/15 97/4 97/17 98/9
99/9 100/1 100/24 103/6
103/24 104/23 105/1
105/19 106/3 106/5
108/17 109/6 110/19
111/23 113/9 114/21
$\begin{array}{llll}119 / 4 & 123 / 4 & 123 / 5 & 124 / 7\end{array}$
124/9 124/14 124/25
125/20 126/8 127/11
128/9 131/13 131/14
131/17 131/21 144/20
144/20 147/7 151/13
152/5 152/19 158/5
162/13 162/15 167/23
171/5 176/14 176/14
177/5 177/12 178/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}178 / 25 & 184 / 2 & 184 / 4 & 185 / 4\end{array}$
185/8 185/11 189/15
189/19 190/10 190/10
$\begin{array}{llll}190 / 12 & 190 / 19 & 191 / 7\end{array}$
191/24 191/25 193/25
197/3 200/22
Mr. Awerbach [8] 79/23
80/9 131/14 131/17
131/21 185/4 193/25 197/3
Mr. Davis [1] 6/19
Mr. Mazzeo [23] 91/18
97/4 99/9 103/6 103/24
104/23 105/1 105/19
106/3 111/23 113/9
114/21 124/14 124/25
125/20 144/20 152/5
152/19 162/15 178/4
178/25 190/12 200/22
Mr. Mazzeo's [1] 61/5
Mr. Roberts [17] 29/6
69/2 100/24 119/4 123/5 124/9 126/8 127/11 128/9 151/13 162/13 167/23 177/5 177/12 184/4 190/19 191/7
Mr. Roberts' [1] 171/5
Mr. Smith [4] 93/19
94/21 98/9 189/15
Mr. Strassburg [27] 9/17
52/2 55/20 84/22 85/11
87/6 92/16 94/4 96/15
100/1 106/5 108/17 109/6
$\begin{array}{lll}110 / 19 & 123 / 4 & 124 / 7\end{array}$
131/13 144/20 147/7
158/5 176/14 184/2 185/8
185/11 189/19 190/10
191/24
Mr. Strassburg's [2]
6/22 97/17
Mr. Tindall [4] 95/10
176/14 190/10 191/25
MRI [6] 136/18 137/9
139/7 149/16 149/18
151/10
MRIs [5] 137/3 137/13

| M | 173/16 173/18 173/18 | nobody [2] 92/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRIs . . . [3] 137/17 | 173/19 $173 / 19$ 173/24 | nonaddict [1] 182/15 |
| 148/25 149/10 | 175/12 175/16 175/16 | none [1] 88/20 |
| Ms. [45] 6/19 6/20 6/23 | 179/23 180/24 180/25 | nonetheless [1] 156/ |
| 51/24 88/17 89/4 92/7 |  | rmal [1] 141/23 |
| 92/15 98/4 99/6 99/17 | $\begin{array}{llll}182 / 10 & 182 / 13 & 183 / 13 \\ 184 / 22 & 185 / 17 & 185 / 18\end{array}$ |  |
| 99/20 108/14 115/3 | 186/6 186/13 190/7 191/3 |  |
| 117/15 125/25 131/23 | 191/20 193/12 193/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 16 & 19 / 17 & 39 / 18 & 41 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}139 / 5 & 142 / 11 & 143 / 3 & 143 / 7\end{array}$ | 194/7 194/10 196/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 11 & 48 / 11 & 48 / 14 & 48 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}146 / 6 & 151 / 19 & 152 / 10 \\ 152 / 25 & 153 / 7 & 155 / 17\end{array}$ | 196/21 197/11 197/19 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}152 / 25 & 153 / 7 & 155 / 17 \\ 156 / 8 & 156 / 17 & 164 / 3\end{array}$ | 200/13 201/13 204/8 | 51/5 54/24 56/13 57/6 |
|  | 204/11 204/17 204/17 | 58/23 58/23 58/23 60/12 |
| 185/11 187/1 187/11 | myself [5] 43/9 52/23 | 63/2 68/8 70/21 72/3 |
| 187/16 188/8 190/20 | 63/16 124/21 165/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 7 & 73 / 9 & 73 / 25 & 74 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 191/25 192/8 192/12 | N | $\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 1 & 76 / 8 & 76 / 12 & 76 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 192/23 193/3 |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}78 / 24 & 79 / 16 & 82 / 6 & 82 / 13 \\ 82 / 13 & 84 / 19 & 85 / 14 & 87 / 11\end{array}$ |
| ```Ms. Awerbach [3] 164/3 177/17 184/7``` | 42/14 $63 / 13 \quad 70 / 10 \quad 70 / 12$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 82 / 13 & 84 / 19 & 85 / 14 & 87 / 1: \\ 87 / 14 & 87 / 19 & 87 / 25 & 89 / 6 \end{array}$ |
| Ms. Emilia [1] 185/6 | 135/17 135/19 163/16 | 90/6 90/16 90/17 91/11 |
| Ms. Garcia [32] 6/20 | 184/20 184/22 191/14 | 91/12 91/25 92/19 92/22 |
| 6/23 51/24 88/17 92/7 | 191/17 | 92/24 93/2 93/11 93/23 |
| 92/15 98/4 99/6 99/17 | named [1] 137/10 | 95/8 96/11 96/23 96/25 |
| 99/20 115/3 117/15 | narrative [1] 165/11 | 97/10 97/10 98/12 98/14 |
| 125/25 131/23 139/5 | natural [2] 17/12 17/14 | 99/18 101/5 102/7 102/13 |
| 143/3 151/19 152/10 | ature [3] 68/20 149/21 | 108/8 109/24 113/16 |
| 153/7 155/17 156/8 |  |  |
| 156/17 185/6 185/11 | $155 / 16 \quad 182 / 18$ | 128/22 129/22 129/25 |
| 187/1 187/11 190/20 | necessary [2] 134/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}130 / 5 & 130 / 11 & 131 / 4 & 131 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 191/25 192/8 192/12 | 161/23 | 131/11 132/19 135/3 |
| 192/23 193/3 | necessitated [1] 176/9 | 139/14 141/9 141/20 |
| Ms. Garcia's [9] 6/19 | neck [1] 11/14 | 142/1 142/15 143/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 89 / 4 & 108 / 14 & 142 / 11 \quad 143 / 7 \\ 146 / 6 & 152 / 25 & 187 / 16 \end{array}$ | need [9] 47/16 82/15 | 143/24 144/12 144/15 |
| 146/6 152/25 187/16 <br> 188/8 | 98/5 130/20 147/15 | 144/16 145/6 145/13 |
| 188/8 | 151/18 163/6 175/20 | 146/10 146/20 147/18 |
| $18 / 11$ 18/15 $28 / 14$ 35/9 | 176/10 | 149/18 150/17 150/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 11 & 18 / 15 & 28 / 1 \\ 43 / 13 & 75 / 1 & 98 / 12\end{array}$ | needed [8] 43/7 49/24 | 150/21 151/10 152/2 |
| $43 / 1315 / 188 / 12$ $137 / 21151 / 2318$ | 82/1 117/7 117/19 117/22 | 152/12 152/15 153/7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 137, \\ & 181 \end{aligned}$ | 117/23 175/17 | 153/23 154/8 155/18 |
| multiple | Neither [1] 44/16 | 155/25 156/9 156/11 |
| 140/21 140/24 | neurological [1] 149/2 | 156/11 156/13 157/4 |
| 176/10 | neuroradiology [1] | 157/23 158/20 158/24 |
| muscles [2] | 136/23 | 159/13 159/19 159/21 |
| my [122] $6 / 20 \quad 14 / 5 \quad 16 / 2$ | NEVADA [13] 1/6 2/4 2/10 | 159/22 159/25 160/12 |
| 17/18 18/15 19/20 21/24 | 2/16 $2 / 21$ 6/1 $9 / 23$ 22/7 | 160/12 161/3 161/9 |
| 22/2 22/15 23/9 23/10 | 90/8 92/4 204/2 204/5 | 161/25 162/1 162/18 |
| 27/7 27/7 27/19 28/2 | 204/18 | 162/24 165/10 165/17 |
| 28/10 30/8 32/15 36/3 | never [10] 14/13 14/14 | 166/4 166/7 166/12 |
| 36/9 38/1 42/8 42/10 | 27/21 78/22 109/21 132/1 | 167/19 167/22 169/15 |
| 42/13 $42 / 13$ 43/8 44/9 | 169/3 187/11 194/25 | 170/7 170/8 171/23 |
| 44/10 $46 / 13$ 51/4 $51 / 4$ | 195/1 | 171/25 172/10 173/21 |
| 59/2 59/21 59/21 59/25 | New [1] 60/13 | 173/21 175/10 175/24 |
| 60/2 62/14 62/14 66/14 | New Mexico [1] 60/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}176 / 2 & 176 / 2 & 178 / 3 & 178 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 70/3 $70 / 4 \quad 73 / 17$ 73/21 | newspapers [4] 48/17 | 178/20 180/18 182/10 |
| 73/21 $76 / 5$ 76/9 79/4 | 87/17 130/3 199/19 | 182/11 182/14 182/16 |
| 79/4 81/10 84/24 87/4 | next [11] 36/6 90/2 99/9 | 182/17 183/19 186/8 |
| 93/12 94/19 94/19 96/16 | 102/19 102/22 103/12 | 186/10 187/13 188/10 |
| 96/24 101/3 103/12 | 117/20 141/11 163/1 | 189/2 191/1 $191 / 3193 / 25$ |
| 109/22 110/10 114/2 | 176/6 189/12 night [1] 13 | $\begin{array}{lll} 198 / 11 & 198 / 15 & 199 / 14 \end{array}$ |
| 114/13 121/4 121/8 | nine [3] 74/13 104/10 | 199/16 199/21 200/2 |
| 128/15 135/19 136/11 | $136 / 25$ | 204/12 |
| 136/19 137/1 137/8 | no [209] | Notary [1] 204/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}143 / 19 & 147 / 4 & 152 / 17 \\ 157 / 7 & 165 / 23 & 170 / 7\end{array}$ | No. [2] 49/14 111/1 | notated [1] 202/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}157 / 7 & 165 / 23 & 170 / 7 \\ 171 / 21 & 171 / 22 & 172 / 20\end{array}$ | No. 2 [1] 111/1 | note [2] 74/12 166/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}171 / 21 & 171 / 22 & 172 / 20 \\ 172 / 21 & 173 / 14 & 173 / 14\end{array}$ | No. 40 [1] 49/14 | notes [5] 101/4 164/24 |


| N | 75/25 81/11 84/8 96/9 | 63/5 65/8 65/8 68/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| notes... [3] 167/9 204/9 | 112/13 113/2 113/17 | 69/13 $70 / 24$ 71/7 $71 / 14$ |
| 204/11 | 126/24 127/15 128/17 | 73/8 73/10 $77 / 18$ 78/14 |
| nothing [24] $7 / 414 / 12$ | 129/1 140/22 153/10 | 81/10 83/6 86/12 89/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 13 & 14 / 14 & 91 / 5 & 100 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}171 / 17 & 176 / 23 & 182 / 23\end{array}$ | $90 / 15$ $91 / 11$ <br> $93 / 5$ $95 / 16$ <br> $98 / 8$  <br> 12 $92 / 21$ |
| 128/8 129/8 130/22 | $\begin{array}{lll} 183 / 4 & 183 / 15 & 187 / 17 \\ 187 / 18 & 195 / 5 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 93 / 5 & 95 / 16 & 98 / 8 & 99 / 22 \\ 101 / 11 & 101 / 12 & 101 / 16 \end{array}$ |
| 135/14 147/6 148/20 | Objection's [1] 113/22 | 102/14 102/15 102/15 |
| 158/4 162/14 $163 / 13$ | objectionable [3] 50/16 | 104/19 104/20 105/11 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}170 / 20 & 176 / 13 & 178 / 24 \\ 184 / 17 & 191 / 6 & 193 / 6\end{array}$ | 51/6 93/2 | 110/25 111/22 119/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}184 / 17 & 191 / 6 & 193 / 6 \\ 196 / 23 & 197 / 25 & 203 / 4\end{array}$ | objections [3] 88/13 | 120/1 120/7 120/8 124/15 |
| nothing's [1] 97/16 | 88/23 89/3 | 126/19 130/21 139/17 |
| November [6] 120/2 120/7 | oblique [1] 145/6 | 139/18 139/22 140/13 |
| 120/9 120/13 121/11 | observe [3] 86/3 174/8 | 142/15 142/22 142/24 |
| 121/18 | 17 | 143/19 146/6 146/11 |
| now [73] 10/19 15/11 | observed [1] 174/12 | 146/25 147/16 148/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 23 & 18 / 21 & 20 / 12 & 22 / 16\end{array}$ | obtain [1] 195/24 | 149/13 $150 / 1 \quad 151 / 1$ |
| 22/22 25/20 26/8 27/12 | obtained [3] 147/16 | 151/25 154/21 155/19 |
| 38/2 39/20 43/21 47/23 | /14 183/11 | 157/9 158/12 158/16 |
| 57/20 69/9 70/24 72/11 | obtaining [1] 193/11 | 158/18 160/19 162/2 |
| 73/18 $73 / 25$ 75/6 75/6 | obviously [4] $50 / 450$ $88 / 22160 / 11$ | 181/21 190/13 202/15 |
| 76/16 79/22 80/14 85/22 | occasion [12] 21/13 | 202/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}88 / 19 & 89 / 25 ~ 92 / 11 ~ 96 / 24 ~\end{array}$ | 32/12 $46 / 11$ 52/15 63/17 | ones [3] 83/6 151/7 |
| 97/6 102/5 109/10 111/22 | 66/12 70/5 81/6 85/25 | 202/13 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}112 / 4 & 115 / 22 \\ 116 / 11\end{array}$ | 86/3 106/16 149/9 | online [2] 66/11 66/13 |
| 119/4 119/17 121/11 <br> 121/21 122/1 122/5 123 | occasions [2] 21/3 176/7 | only [19] 36/13 59/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 121 / 21 & 122 / 1 & 122 / 5 \\ 125 / 25 & 127 / 11 & 131 / 25 \end{array}$ | occurred [6] 25/4 25/6 | 59/25 65/4 81/19 90/9 |
| 132/5 132/9 138/23 | 50/3 173/8 181/8 185/13 | 95/16 104/10 107/5 117/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}148 / 24 & 152 / 5 & 154 / 20\end{array}$ | off [14] 43/7 51/10 62/8 | 139/21 141/9 147/18 |
| 159/6 166/16 170/21 | 72/6 88/15 95/8 98/18 | 159/17 170/8 177/25 |
| 170/23 171/5 171/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}103 / 13 & 103 / 14 & 130 / 23\end{array}$ | 185/25 189/23 196/16 |
| 175/25 176/20 177/17 | 133/23 146/13 199/7 | op [2] 145/2 146/10 |
| 177/20 177/21 180/2 | 203/5 | OPAC [3] 165/1 165/7 |
| 182/8 185/20 186/7 187/3 | fer [1] 125/5 | 167/5 |
| 187/6 189/18 193/15 | offered [5] 36/13 116/25 | open [5] 86/20 96/6 |
| 197/3 | 117/18 124/25 196/14 | 96/18 111/15 111/16 |
| number [13] 5/2 9/18 | office [5] 15/12 15/16 | opened [2] 111/13 131/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 9/21 } & 15 / 1 & 15 / 22 & 15 / 24\end{array}$ | 56/6 77/25 204/18 | opening [11] 114/12 |
| 56/14 76/21 84/6 84/20 | officer [3] 178/7 178/10 | 115/7 115/9 115/13 |
| 88/13 90/10 122/11 |  | 115/18 116/7 131/9 |
| numbered [2] 15/15 56/7 |  | 131/12 160/15 189/19 195/4 |
| numbers [9] $16 / 118 / 16$ | $152 / 5 \quad 152 / 9$ | operate <br> [1] 174/4 |
| 82/25 83/2 83/16 83/21 | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { often [3] } & 43 / 19 & 65 / 2\end{array}$ | operating [2] 137/14 |
| 105/3 119/8 119/9 | 97/17 | 172/16 |
| nursing [3] 110/11 | oh [19] 38/12 57/19 | operative [2] 146/19 |
| 122/19 122/20 | 57/22 59/3 59/5 59/8 | 160/14 |
| V [1] | 60/11 98/8 99/17 104/21 | opinion [4] 48/2 |
| 0 | 108/12 113/15 115/11 | 130/11 200/2 |
| O'clock [3] 30/14 130/14 | 115/22 120/15 121/6 | opportunity [5] 74/9 |
| 204/7 | 172/5 180/5 189/15 | 187/13 190/6 190/23 |
| oath [2] 51/25 99/7 | okay [246] | 191/1 |
| object [1] 159/23 | Old [4] 25/9 66/14 | or -- or [1] 157/11 |
| objected [1] 96/1 | 161/11 182/15 | order [6] 41/15 96/15 |
| objection [67] 11/4 | older [1] 105/20 | 100/15 101/6 148/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 10 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 16 & 16 / 19\end{array}$ | oldest [1] 182/10 | 151/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 24 & 19 / 25 & 20 / 2 & 20 / 7\end{array}$ | Oliveri [3] 84/7 148/12 | Orders [2] 76/20 76/25 |
| $\text { 24/5 29/1 29/5 29/7 } 31 / 5$ | 157/17 ${ }_{\text {Oliveri's [1] }} \mathbf{8 4 / 9}$ | Ordinarily [1] 134/19 ordinary [1] 140/16 |
| 32/23 32/25 33/3 33/7 $33 / 9 \quad 34 / 9 \quad 40 / 18 \quad 41 / 20$ | once [8] 65/4 67/13 | oriented [2] 22/25 44/18 |
| $41 / 22 \quad 41 / 24 \quad 47 / 9 \quad 50 / 21$ | 77/21 78/3 133/25 144/11 | original [2] 112/5 112/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}50 / 22 & 53 / 13 & 54 / 4 & 54 / 8\end{array}$ | 184/12 201/7 | other [56] 17/13 23/3 |
| 55/1 55/18 55/23 56/3 | one [90] 20/4 23/2 23/13 | 34/19 48/12 48/22 49/15 |
| 56/9 57/8 62/22 63/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 17 & 24 / 24 & 32 / 15 & 36 / 7\end{array}$ | 68/10 68/10 71/1 77/19 |
| 65/18 $66 / 15 \quad 66 / 25 \quad 69 / 3$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 13 & 37 / 3 & 38 / 1 & 44 / 15\end{array}$ | 83/4 87/12 87/22 89/20 |
| 69/20 70/18 71/20 75/20 | 44/16 54/10 56/24 62/23 | 93/5 98/8 107/9 109/9 |


| 0 | 69/5 84/10 113/22 126/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 15 & 18 / 17 & 18 / 18 & 23 / 24\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| other... [38] 109/17 | 128/23 140/23 153/12 | 26/4 $28 / 18$ 28/19 $29 / 15$ |
| 109/18 $109 / 19$ 109/19 | 195/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 16 & 33 / 20 & 39 / 24 & 41 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 114/11 129/23 130/8 | own [6] | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 41/5 } & 45 / 13 & 47 / 25 & 48 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 131/8 132/21 132/25 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}133 / 1 & 136 / 25 & 137 / 18\end{array}$ | $\underline{P}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 72 / 22 & 73 / 15 & 73 / 17 & 73 / 22 \end{array}$ |
| 140/8 140/9 140/11 | p.m [1] 203/7 | 74/16 83/18 89/6 89/15 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}142 / 16 & 146 / 15 \\ 148 / 148\end{array}$ | PA [1] 145/5 | 89/16 89/19 89/22 92/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}148 / 19 & 149 / 22 & 150 / 5 \\ 154 / 13 & 158 / 18 & 159 / 11\end{array}$ | paced [1] 109/1 | 92/13 92/17 92/22 106/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}154 / 13 & 158 / 18 & 159 / 11 \\ 159 / 12 & 161 / 2 & 168 / 24\end{array}$ | pacing [1] 108/23 | 106/21 106/23 107/10 |
| 168/25 170/3 | packed [1] 159/20 | 107/12 107/14 107/15 |
| 181/8 182/18 183/25 | page [77] 3/2 4/2 5/2 | 108/1 108/16 143/18 |
| 189/20 198/16 199/14 |  | 143/21 143/23 143/24 |
| 199/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 19 & 12 / 4 & 12 / 6 & 12 / 15\end{array}$ | 144/9 144/13 147/13 |
| others [14] 48/20 48/21 |  | 147/21 152/9 152/14 |
| 48/21 53/6 87/20 87/21 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 21 / 15 & 21 / 16 & 21 / 17\end{array}$ | 152/25 153/5 154/7 154/8 |
| 87/21 130/6 130/7 130/7 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 26 / 18 & 26 / 21 ~ 28 / 25\end{array}$ | 154/11 154/25 155/6 |
| 139/21 199/22 199/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}12 & 29 / 20 & 29 / 23 & 29 / 24\end{array}$ | 155/16 155/17 156/7 |
| 199/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 4 & 31 / 19 & 31 / 22 & 34 / 8\end{array}$ | 156/16 156/20 156/21 |
| our [16] 15/23 48/11 | 37/9 38/15 | 157/1 157/1 157/13 |
| 87/10 87/11 129/9 129/22 | 8 40/4 40/4 | 158/11 158/11 158/21 |
| 131/6 170/8 170/23 198/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 / 13 & 41 / 12 & 41 / 21 & 45 / 18 \\ 45 / 22 & 45 / 23 & 47 / 8 & 52 / 4\end{array}$ | 158/24 159/3 162/4 |
| 198/19 198/24 199/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}45 / 22 & 45 / 23 & 47 / 8 & 52 / 4 \\ 52 / 8 & 52 / 9 & 54 / 3 & 54 / 14\end{array}$ | painful [2] 17/23 108/8 pains [1] 17/16 |
| 201/14 202/9 202/10 | 56/18 56/19 57/8 57/13 | pains [1] $17 / 16$ <br> Palace [1] $63 / 2$ |
| out [78] 8/3 9/14 9/14 | 61/6 61/11 62/18 62/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { paper [2] } & 150 / 23 & 194 / 9\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 11 & 13 / 20 & 18 / 3 & 26 / 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}6 / 20 & 68 / 25 \\ 70 / 8 & 71 / 8\end{array}$ | papers [1] 194/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 15 & 31 / 17 & 34 / 16 & 35 / 13\end{array}$ | 71/11 74/6 84/20 104/1 | paperwork [1] 196/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}39 / 24 & 40 / 1 & 41 / 1 & 45 / 13 \\ 46 / 13 & 47 / 25 & 48 / 4 & 51 / 1\end{array}$ | 108/13 108/17 112/12 | parade [3] 90/14 91/9 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 46 / 13 & 47 / 25 & 48 / 4 & 51 / 1 \\ 52 / 19 & 53 / 4 & 55 / 13 & 59 / 19 \end{array}$ | 112/20 113/6 116/12 | 91/9 |
| 60/25 $62 / 13 \quad 66 / 14 \quad 67 / 16$ | 117/11 137/23 137/25 | paraded [3] 89/24 96/7 |
| 68/16 70/3 73/13 73/24 | page 2013.01.21 [1] | 96/19 parading [2] 90/23 93/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 75 / 1 & 75 / 2 & 75 / 7 & 75 / 9 \\ 75 / 10 & 75 / 18 & 79 / 18 & 80 / 4 \end{array}$ | 137/23 | paragraph [1] 116/20 |
| 81/24 90/2 91/23 92/25 | page 21 [3] 62/18 62/19 | parallel [3] 146/6 |
| 93/12 106/20 108/7 | 68/25 | 146/11 152/15 |
| 110/10 110/11 111/13 | page 22 [4] 56/18 56/19 | paraphrase [1] 165/10 |
| 114/3 123/9 124/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}57 / 8 & 57 / 13 & & \\ \text { page } 23 & {[5]} & 52 / 4 & 52 / 8\end{array}$ | parenting [2] 176/2 |
| 133/15 142/7 144/10 | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { page } 23 \text { [5] } & 52 / 4 & 52 / 8 \\ 54 / 3 & 54 / 14 & 61 / 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 176/3 } \\ \text { park [2] } \end{gathered}$ |
| 146/23 $147 / 24150 / 23$ | page 24 [2] 41/12 41/21 | parked [1] 43/21 |
| 166/11 166/22 168/8 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { page } \\ \text { page } 25 & {[3]} & 29 / 20 & 29 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { parked } \\ \text { part [10] } & 21 / 11 & 54 / 23\end{array}$ |
| 171/11 171/15 172/15 | 31/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 71/8 } & 75 / 15 & 92 / 2 & 136 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 172/19 $172 / 24174 / 13$ | page 26 [3] 21/15 21/16 | 137/8 138/11 140/16 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}174 / 17 & 175 / 10 \\ 175 / 14\end{array}$ | 24/3 [3] 21/15 $21 / 16$ | 159/8 |
| $178 / 5 \text { 180/1 180/1 181/4 }$ 185/17 185/20 191/17 | page 33 [2] 11/17 $13 / 14$ | participant [1] 196/13 |
|  | page 41 [2] 38/15 38/17 | participate [1] 54/24 |
| outcome [2] 153/8 154/10 | page 42 [4] 37/6 37/7 | particle [1] 160/20 |
| outfit [1] 54/1 | 40/4 40/13 | particular [1] 179/22 |
| outing [1] 28/22 | page 44 [3] 31/19 31/22 | parties [7] 6/14 51/1 |
| outside [11] $30 / 3$ 49/5 |  | 58/12 99/1 134/11 204/13 |
| 49/6 59/7 88/6 88/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { page } 47 \text { [3] } 26 / 18 \text { 28/25 } \\ & 29 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 204/14 } \\ \text { partly [1] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130/18 130/19 131/2 } \\ & 200 / 8 \text { 200/9 } \end{aligned}$ | page 510 [1] 108/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { partly } & \text { [1] } & 148 / 23\end{array}$ |
| over [31] 27/3 27/22 | page 58 [1] 19/16 | party [5] 48/23 61/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} & 27 / 23 & 28 / 15 & 37 / 1\end{array}$ | page 583 [1] 61/11 | 87/23 130/9 199/25 |
| 58/6 73/21 75/12 75/18 | page 66 [1] 74/6 | pass [1] 124/6 |
| 80/4 80/5 88/11 91/10 | page 81 [1] 45/18 | passed [3] 193/12 194/12 |
| 91/20 97/14 100/10 | pages [2] 9/24 104/10 | passenger [3] 86/4 86/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}121 / 12 & 130 / 16 & 142 / 1\end{array}$ | Pages 1 [1] 9/24 paid [8] 15/11 59/11 | passenger [3] 86/4 86/15 |
| 143/22 153/3 159/9 | 105/5 170/9 197/5 197/9 | past [7] 114/9 164/17 |
| 160/21 161/9 185/18 | 197/13 197/18 | 165/6 166/1 169/8 169/12 |
| 185/21 198/16 199/13 | pain [92] $8 / 24$ 9/4 $9 / 13$ | 170/12 |
| overlapped [1] 91/21 | 9/22 11/10 14/5 14/8 | path [1] 141/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { overruled [10] } & 11 / 6 & 63 / 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 4 & 17 / 2 & 17 / 4 & 18 / 3 & 18 / 6\end{array}$ | patient [3] 139/19 |


| P | 55/2 63/18 65/12 65/13 | placing [1] 176/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| patient... [2] 142/21 | 99/19 $113 / 5$ | plaintiff $[9]$ $1 / 10$ $2 / 2$  <br> $93 / 24$ $94 / 1$ $95 / 18$ $134 / 22$ |
| 148/5 | Photocopy [13] 5/3 5/4 | 163/4 184/10 200/20 |
| $137 / 14 \quad 149 / 10$ | 5/5 5/6 5/7 5/8 5/9 5/10 | plaintiff's [11] 8/22 |
| pay [13] $81 / 24101 / 6$ | $\begin{array}{rlllll}5 / 11 & 5 / 12 & 5 / 13 & 5 / 14 & 5 / 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}49 / 14 & 56 / 7 & 135 / 6 & 145 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 125/1 125/5 132/11 | photograph [32] $5 / 3 \mathrm{5/4}$ | 153/18 $163 / 1163 / 1$ |
| 187/15 187/22 188/8 |  | 201/24 202/5 202/7 |
| 193/14 $196 / 11196 / 18$ |  | plaintiffs [6] 134/19 |
| 196/22 197/22 | 21/21 22/13 22/16 23/7 $\text { 25/3 30/2 32/2 } 32 / 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135 / 2 \text { 135/3 145/10 201/2 } \\ & 202 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| paycheck [1] 105/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 1 & 38 / 21 & 38 / 23 & 38 / 25\end{array}$ | plan [7] 49/3 137/7 |
| paying [1] 197/20 | 50/4 50/7 $63 / 11 \quad 84 / 15$ | 198/24 198/25 198/25 |
| peace [1] 44/13 <br> pedicle [16] 139/1 | 84/16 86/9 86/24 | 201/14 201/17 |
| pedicle [16] 139/1 $\text { 139/13 } 139 / 14 \quad 139 / 17$ | photographed [1] 12/13 | planning [2] 82/10 |
| 140/4 142/11 149/16 | photographs [3] 88/14 | 201/10 |
| 149/25 150/2 150/11 | 113/7 123/4 | plans [2] 106/19 121/24 |
| 150/15 151/2 151/5 159/9 | photos [5] 91/19 91/21 | plastic [2] 12/25 13 |
| 159/16 162/3 | 94/6 94/8 94/25 | play [2] 58/19 149/21 |
| pelvic [1] 17/5 | physical [11] 18/23 41/9 | playing [2] 44/4 109/16 |
| pelvis [1] 17/2 | 20 76/21 76 | please [17] |
| people [3] 137/7 182/18 | 113/11 | 0 38/15 45/19 52/5 |
| 199/6 | physically [1] 33/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}135 / 18 & 163 / 16 & 163 / 17\end{array}$ |
| per [1] 41/15 | physicians [5] 76/16 | 184/13 184/20 184/21 |
| percent [6] 76/24 90/16 | 76/25 149/23 159/11 | 188/4 191/25 |
| 90/17 94/14 94/20 152/13 | 159/12 | plus [1] 103/18 |
| percentage [2] 92/6 | picking [1] 188/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { point [13] } & 14 / 17 & 51 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 0/15 | picture [59] $11 / 25$ 12/9 | 63/3 92/14 93/3 93/5 |
|  | 13/5 18/15 20/12 22/1 | 94/16 94/17 96/22 148/21 |
| $152 / 15$ | 25/10 26/25 27/10 27/18 | 161/10 161/18 184/7 |
| perform [4] 153/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 7 & 32 / 10 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 12\end{array}$ | pointed [2] 90/2 124 |
| 157/18 157/20 157/21 | $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 14 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 5 & 35 / 4\end{array}$ | points [2] 11/13 90/15 |
| performed [4] 145/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 12 & 37 / 15 & 37 / 18 & 37 / 25\end{array}$ | police [6] 173/4 178/6 |
| 146/1 146/2 156/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 / 5 & 40 / 3 & 40 / 16 & 40 / 23\end{array}$ | 178/10 182/4 185/19 |
| period [10] 12/9 60/1 | 42/4 44/24 47/5 52/12 | 191/18 |
| 60/13 92/17 105/13 | 53/8 54/9 54/14 54/16 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Polo } \\ 109 / 17 \end{array}$ |
| 105/15 105/23 106/2 | 57/20 59/22 60/8 67/8 | poorly [1] 161/25 |
| 21/5 121/7 | 67/17 67/18 69/9 69/14 | portion [2] 104/4 199/10 |
| periods [2] | 69/18 70/2 70/7 70/8 | portraying [1] 98/13 |
| permissible [1] 49/24 | 89/11 92/12 92/14 92/21 | position [9] 14/3 23/6 |
| permission [20] $24 / 3$ | 110/17 116/14 139/4 | 58/17 106/1 173/21 |
| 28/24 29/4 $31 / 3 \quad 31 / 4$ | 139/18 142/20 |  |
| 34/7 40/13 54/2 68/25 | tures [19] 64/5 76/5 | 191/20 |
| 71/25 164/20 169/6 169/8 | 88/11 89/3 89/6 89/18 | possibly [5] 141/22 |
| 169/13 169/16 170/3 | 89/18 91/10 91/13 92/8 | 150/4 155/8 155/8 155/ |
| 172/22 174/4 176/9 | 93/7 94/15 95/17 96/2 | post [5] 95/18 145/2 |
| 179/19 | 97/1 97/12 97/20 110/20 | 146/10 147/21 148/7 |
| permit [6] 166/1 166/3 | 111/23 | post-op [2] 145/2 146/10 |
| 186/10 186/14 186/16 | picturing [1] 75/9 | posted [14] 32/14 36/7 |
| 188/22 | piece [1] 179/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 42/4 } & 45 / 23 & 45 / 24 & 46 / 1\end{array}$ |
| person [15] 48/15 87/15 | pieces [1] 160/9 | 53/10 57/16 60/8 60/16 |
| 96/5 97/3 114/13 130/1 | piecing [2] 181/24 | 95/21 96/3 112/7 112/10 postfusion [1] 154/7 |
| 157/21 174/22 179/6 | pilot [1] 141/4 | posting [12] 21/17 26/21 |
| 179/13 180/13 181/9 | pina [2] 27/8 28/17 | $\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { 29/24 } & 31 / 23 & 31 / 24 & 37 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 182/21 199/17 204/15 | Pines [1] 125/14 | 38/18 52/10 57/14 57/14 |
| personal [6] 66/9 90/7 | place [8] 32/4 58/23 | 59/23 62/20 |
| $113 / 7171 / 14$ $183 / 11$ | 118/19 118/25 125/9 | postsurgery [1] 143/1 |
| personally | 147/2 168/6 175/3 | potential [1] 156/7 |
| persons [1] 21/20 | placed [9] 113/5 139/15 | pounds [1] 28/16 |
| perspective [1] 94/23 | 140/17 145/15 156/22 | power [1] 114/5 |
| PETER [1] 2/14 |  | practice [4] 136/21 |
| pharmacy $[1]$ $125 / 13$ <br> phone [2] $28 / 5$ $182 / 3$ | places [3] 68/10 101/25 | preclude [1] 50/2 |


| P | properly [2] 141/20 | 192/16 195/12 197/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pregnancies [1] 17/10 | proposed [5] 68/7 103/25 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 198 / 2 \\ \text { quick } \end{array}$ |
| prejudicial [2] 88/16 | 104/12 201/25 202/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 129/17 } & 129 / 18 & 131 / 4\end{array}$ |
| premature [1] 33/10 | protective [1] 175/14 | 131/5 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { prescribed [1] } & 76 / 17\end{array}$ | proven [1] 91/11 | quicker [1] 101/3 |
| prescriptions [3] 76/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { provide [2] } \\ & \text { provided [1] }\end{aligned} \mathrm{76/20} 77 / 1$ | quickly [1] 86/5 <br> quite [2] 97/17 143/18 |
| 117/1 117/19 | providers [1] 135/6 | quote [1] 165/11 |
| presence [23] $6 / 7$ 6/10 $6 / 1449 / 5 \quad 49 / 6 \quad 51 / 12$ | pseudarthrosis [18] |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 6/1/ } & 51 / 19 & 88 / 6 & 88 / 7\end{array}$ | 143/7 143/20 144/4 | R |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 15 & 51 / 19 & 88 / 6 & 88 / 7 \\ 98 / 20 & 98 / 23 & 99 / 1 & 130 / 18\end{array}$ | 148/18 148/22 151/19 | radiating [2] 41/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}130 / 20 & 131 / 2 & 134 / 4 & 134 / 7\end{array}$ | 152/3 156/23 156/25 | radicular [1] 62/2 |
| 134/11 144/8 156/10 | 157/5 157/6 157/10 | radio [4] 48/18 87/18 |
| 200/8 200/10 | 57/12 157/14 158/2 | 130/4 199/20 |
| present [1] 115/25 | 159/18 160/21 161/25 | radiologic [1] 151/10 |
| presentation [1] 95/21 | pseudo [1] 157/9 | radiologist [1] 137/9 |
| presented [2] 93/15 | Public [1] 204/5 | radiology [2] 136/22 |
| 135/7 | punish [1] 131/14 | 11 |
| presents [1] 58/22 | punished [1] 189/20 | radiolucent [1] 151/2 |
| pressing [1] 156/11 | punishing [1] 93/23 | Rainbow [2] 2/9 2/20 |
| presumably [1] 154/24 | punishment [3] 131/20 | raise [3] 135/11 163/10 |
| pretty [4] 10/7 10/13 | purchase [1] 146/21 | Ramona [1] 26/ |
| 43/13 75/1 | purposes [1] 201/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ran [3] } & 170 / 9 & 170 / 10\end{array}$ |
| previous [1] 40/4 | purse [3] 27/7 27/22 | 185/17 |
| previously [2] 86/13 | purse | RANDALL [1] 2/20 |
| 131/9 | pursuant [1] 85/15 | rare [1] 144/10 |
| pride [1] $155 / 22$ | put [25] 6/18 22/25 56/3 | rather [3] 89/8 111/2 |
| $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { prior [13] 49/ } \\ 79 / 23 \text { 106/13 } \end{array}$ | 56/15 74/24 85/25 88/24 | 139/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}119 / 11 & 120 / 18 & 120 / 20\end{array}$ | 100/16 100/20 102/5 | ray [11] 5/16 139/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}121 / 13 & 158 / 20 & 176 / 7\end{array}$ | 106/12 123/22 130/20 | 139/21 140/6 141/17 |
| 179/12 193/3 | 134/19 134/20 137/5 | 141/19 145/2 146/10 |
| privilege [1] 81/12 | 140/14 140/15 142/7 | 148/4 149/19 149/20 |
| privileged [1] 81/14 | 161/12 172/21 180/24 | rays [5] 137/3 137/17 |
| Prix [4] 58/2 58/11 59/4 | 181/3 196/10 201/3 | 148/11 157/18 157/22 |
| 90/20 | puts [2] 96/4 154/12 | reaching [1] 109/19 |
| probability [3] 151/25 | putting [1] 199/7 | reacts [1] 159/23 |
| 152/2 152/9 | putty [3] 159/21 160/9 | read [15] 48/14 63/6 |
| probably [12] 30/6 42/8 | 160/11 | 63/7 87/14 117/ |
| 42/23 47/16 129/3 133/4 | Q |  |
| 134/16 155/25 166/21 | qualifications [1] | 150/22 183/3 199/16 |
| 172/20 180/21 183/6 | $136 / 13$ | reading [5] 118/18 |
| probationary [4] 105/15 | qualified [1] 180/14 | reading 136/17 137/9 137/17 |
| 105/23 121/4 121/7 | question [27] 33/8 54/8 | 148/25 |
| probative [1] 33/1 | $\text { 54/12 63/6 71/21 } 72 / 7$ | ready [4] 133/12 |
| probe [1] 141/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}74 / 8 & 92 / 21 & 92 / 22 & 108 / 10\end{array}$ | 134/3 201/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { problem [4] 7/1 87/5 } \\ & \text { 109/2 138/15 } \end{aligned}$ | 108/11 121/8 125/16 | real [5] 137/15 137/16 |
| 109/2 138/15 $74 / 24$ | 129/5 132/18 138/25 | 137/18 139/2 141/19 |
| problems [6] $74 / 24$ | 154/5 165/12 181/17 | really [16] 39/17 39/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 25 & 133 / 1 & 142 / 10 \\ 176 / 3 & 176 / 4 & \end{array}$ | 182/19 183/21 186/13 | 57/22 59/9 59/14 68/8 |
| 176/3 176/4 | 188/4 188/6 189/16 195/6 | 84/19 90/6 93/22 131/1 |
| procedure [2] 140/20 | 195/10 | 132/13 161/7 161/14 |
| 154/21 proceedings [10] 6/9 | questioning [7] 49/15 | 190/3 192/1 197/3 |
| proceedings [10] 6/9 | 50/2 88/15 97/18 98/11 | rear [1] 86/15 |
| 49/4 51/14 88/5 98/22 $130 / 17134 / 6$ 200/7 203/6 | 118/5 171/6 | reason [13] 29/18 50/1 |
| 130/17 134/6 200/7 203/6 204/6 | questionnaire [1] 107/16 | 114/11 140/8 144/11 |
| 204/6 process [2] | questions [34] 10/22 | 150/10 154/2 179/17 |
| process [2] produced [1] 160/21 $74 / 11$ | 49/19 72/11 87/4 88/21 | 179/22 185/25 189/24 |
| produced [1] 74/11 | 92/25 94/5 99/25 114/19 | 194/14 199/5 |
| Professional [1] 200/25 profile [1] 70/8 | 118/5 124/8 128/23 129/6 | reasonable [5] 151/24 |
| gram [1] 196/ | 129/9 129/10 136/11 | 152/1 152/8 160/24 |
| ject [1] | 162/18 164/12 164/15 | 182/14 |
| romise [1] 165/24 | 172/1 172/2 172/3 172/4 | reasonably [1] 150/24 |
| prompted [2] 32/12 63/18 | 172/5 180/9 183/25 184/6 | reasons [6] 131/22 |
| prompted [2] proper [1] 156/4 | 184/7 189/14 190/11 | 131/24 140/11 142/3 |


| R | 192/20 195/17 | 73/17 73/20 74/21 74/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reasons... [2] 150/3 | red [1] 112/ | 74/25 75/4 75/6 75/11 |
| 150/5 | redact [1] 24/ | 75/12 76/10 76/14 77/ |
| rebuttal [3] 134/22 | redacted [1] 20/5 | 78/4 78/5 78/9 78/17 |
| 135/5 135/6 | Redacting [1] 24/10 | 78/24 79/1 79/4 80/1 |
| recall [35] 15/13 29/17 | redirect [26] 3/6 3/8 | 80/3 80/6 82/21 84/11 |
| $\begin{array}{rrrr} \\ 52 / 15 & 72 / 13 & 72 / 23 & 73 / 18\end{array}$ |  | 86/5 96/24 108/17 108/25 |
| 74/19 75/23 76/4 76/6 | 4/20 100/24 101/1 115/12 | 109/4 109/5 109/6 110/21 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}77 / 4 & 77 / 13 & 100 / 3 & 103 / 11\end{array}$ | 116/13 121/21 124/12 | 117/8 119/22 120/4 |
| 107/25 116/13 117/25 | 127/10 127/10 127/20 | 124/18 125/3 126/12 |
| 118/12 118/14 118/18 | 128/11 $142 / 6$ 151/16 | 128/5 128/21 137/25 |
| 118/22 118/25 119/1 | 153/11 171/23 177/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}164 / 9 & 164 / 12 & 165 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 126/5 126/7 126/23 127/3 | 191/9 193/23 197/1 | 165/14 168/23 179/17 |
| 127/8 145/9 145/14 146/4 | $139 / 7 \quad 157 / 1$ | remembering [1] 60/6 |
| 153/16 153/24 164/6 | reference [2] 179/9 | remind [1] 107/17 |
| 165/18 | 181/25 | reminded [2] 51/24 99 |
| recalled recalling | referred [8] 83/8 83/11 | reminiscing [1] 97/20 |
| receive [1] 187/5 | 83/14 84/3 84/7 119/6 | remote [1] 20/22 |
| recess [3] 51/11 98/19 | 126/15 126/15 | rendition [4] 145/10 |
| 130/25 | referring [4] 74/1 | 145/15 145/22 146/5 |
| recliner [1] 180/21 | 104/17 126/18 150/18 | renewed [2] 147/21 148/7 |
| recognize [4] 26/24 | fers [1] 93/21 | rep [9] 126/9 126/10 |
| 99/17 99/19 134/17 | reflect [3] 86/14 94/25 | 126/19 127/5 127/5 |
| recognized [2] 150/10 | ref |  |
| 162/3 [27] 7/21 | reflects [1] 168/12 | repeating [1] 116/9 |
| recollect [27] 7/21 8/3 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { refresh [8] } & 7 / 24 & 16 / 4\end{array}$ | repercussions [1] 175/18 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 8 & 12 / 12 & 13 / 5 & 22 / 14\end{array}$ | 16/23 61/14 61/21 86/25 | Rephrase [1] 127/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 23 & 25 / 9 & 32 / 12 & 32 / 16\end{array}$ | 165/4 178/16 | rephrased [1] 76/1 |
| 32/18 39/3 39/20 40/4 | regard [16] 48/22 49/10 | report [8] 48/14 87/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 11 & 46 / 20 & 62 / 12 & 63 / 17\end{array}$ | 49/13 54/9 87/22 100/2 | 94/13 129/25 146/20 |
| 63/21 65/6 65/25 79/22 | $\begin{array}{llllll}107 / 1 & 119 / 4 & 123 / 3 & 126 / 20\end{array}$ | 152/17 160/14 199/17 |
| $80 / 8$ 81/5 86/7 86/21 $86 / 24$ | 128/2 130/8 145/25 171/5 | reported [14] 1/24 33/6 |
| 86/24 | 181/14 199/24 | 41/19 56/13 63/2 72/3 |
| recollection [9] 7/25 16/4 16/23 61/14 61/21 | regarding [4] 49/16 | 85/14 113/21 162/24 |
| 16/4 16/23 61/14 61/21 | 49/17 49/19 49/20 | 167/19 167/22 183/19 |
| 108/20 128/2 165/4 | regardless [1] 97/3 | 198/11 204/6 |
| 178/16 | regards [1] 152/14 | reporter [2] 63/7 204/1 |
| record [40] 6/12 7/8 | regular [4] 169/8 169/13 | REPORTER'S [1] 1/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 11 / 5 & 19 / 10 & 49 / 7 & 49 / 11\end{array}$ | 169/15 170/15 | reporting [1] 155/17 |
| 51/10 51/17 63/7 88/8 | rehab [2] 122/18 122/19 | reports [1] 159/16 |
| 88/11 88/25 89/6 89/11 | einstatement [5] 193/14 | reposition [1] 142/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}93 / 17 & 96 / 10 & 96 / 25 & 98 / 10\end{array}$ | /12 197/6 | represent [2] 55/21 94/8 |
| 98/18 98/25 112/23 | related [4] 11/10 33/8 | representatio $201 / 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}126 / 13 & 130 / 20 & 130 / 21\end{array}$ | $33 / 9 \quad 157 / 14$ | representative [13] |
| 130/22 130/23 131/1 | relating [3] 92/23 92/23 | 102/7 116/22 116/25 |
| 133/6 133/23 134/9 | 92/24 | 118/6 118/16 118/19 |
| 135/18 163/17 168/10 | relationship [8] 53/20 | 124/15 168/6 168/ |
| 184/21 194/15 200/11 | relationship [8] 53/20 | 177/23 177/24 178 |
| 200/19 201/20 203/1 | 65 | 177/23 |
| 203/5 | 68/20 88/21 90/4 | 17 |
| recorded [6] 34/4 62/1 | relationships [1] 91/3 | request [2] 41/14 49/20 |
| 118/15 124/16 168/3 | relative [2] 204/ | requested [1] 2 |
| 168/6 | 204/14 | required [1] 150/9 |
| records [3] 74/10 74/12 | relevant [5] 50/5 50/7 | requirement [1] 196/2 |
| 74/15 | 94/21 95/4 95/4 | requires [1] 160/2 |
| recovered [1] 98/16 | relief [1] 153/8 | Rescue [9] 131/16 131/16 |
| Recross [14] 3/7 3/10 | remain [2] 163/9 184/13 | 131/22 132/7 132/19 |
| 3/20 3/21 $4 / 8$ 4/15 $4 / 18$ | remained [1] 142/11 | 132/24 189/21 189/24 |
| 115/1 125/23 152/22 | remember [73] 10/12 | 190/2 |
| 158/8 179/3 192/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 20 & 11 / 24 & 13 / 4 & 18 / 18\end{array}$ | research [10] 35/22 |
| 195/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 25 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 21 & 30 / 18\end{array}$ | 48/19 48/22 87/19 87/22 |
| Recross-Examination [14] | 33/21 36/16 37/14 46/3 | 130/5 130/8 151/5 199/21 |
| 3/7 3/10 3/20 3/21 4/8 | $\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 9 & 46 / 10 & 55 / 15 & 60 / 9\end{array}$ | 199/24 |
| 4/15 $4 / 18$ 115/1 125/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 14 & 67 / 17 & 70 / 5 & 71 / 3 \\ 71 / 17 & 72 / 17 & 73 / 10 & 73 / 16\end{array}$ | reserve [2] 200/13 201/4 |
| 152/22 158/8 179/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 17 & 72 / 17 & 73 / 10 & 73 / 16\end{array}$ | residency [3] 136/19 |


| R | rods [11] 142/17 145/15 | sat [6] 58/20 65/4 65/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| residency... [2] 137/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}146 / 5 & 146 / 16 & 147 / 2 \\ 152 / 15 & 161 / 5 & 161 / 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 65/7 93/11 146/16 } \\ \text { satisfying [1] 68/21 } \end{array}$ |
| 137/8 | 161/10 161/15 161/18 | Saturday [1] 193/19 |
| resistance RESNICK [1] 2/19 | ROE [1] 1/13 | save [3] 170/22 170/23 |
| resolve [1] 198/16 | ROGER [3] 2/19 61/2 | 177/1 |
| respect [1] 193/3 | 99/22 | saw [16] |
| respectful [1] 94/4 | [1 | 77/7 77/13 78/10 78 |
| respectfully [1] 170/5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { roll [1] } 31 / 2 \\ & \text { roller [2] } \quad 19 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 86 / 10 ~ 110 / 22 & 111 / 1 \quad 123 / 3 \\ 123 / 22 ~ 124 / 2 & 138 / 23 \end{array}$ |
| response [1] 89/2 | romantic [1] 65/17 | $\begin{array}{ll} 123 / 22 & 124 / 2 \\ 143 / 5 & 143 / 15 \end{array}$ |
| responses [1] 88/23 | romantically [2] 68/2 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { say [32] } & 8 / 17 & 36 / 5 & 38 / 4\end{array}$ |
| responsible [1] 182/16 | 70/22 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 39/5 } & 47 / 1 \quad 67 / 5 \quad 70 / 1\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { rest } & \text { [8] } & 24 / 21 & 65 / 3 \\ 134 / 20 & 134 / 21 & 166 / 6\end{array}$ | room [12] 30/20 50/3 | 90/18 95/3 95/15 95/24 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}134 / 20 & 134 / 21 ~ 166 / 6 \\ 200 / 16 & 201 / 2 \\ 201 / 8\end{array}$ | 102/8 105/14 108/24 | 123/15 123/19 126/9 |
| 200/16 201/2 201/8 rested [2] $200 / 14$ | 109/2 126/21 127/7 | 127/20 127/24 128/14 |
| rested [2] $200 / 14$ $200 / 14$ <br> resting [1] $23 / 3$   | 137/14 138/13 181/4 | 139/6 144/4 149/5 155/25 |
| restraint [1] | 181/6 | 165/9 165/20 165/24 |
| restricted [4] 8/24 | rotation [1] 136/21 | 165/25 172/20 175/10 |
| 108/1 108/4 108/5 | rough [1] 106/17 | 190/23 191/1 191/24 |
| restricting [1] 9/5 | rounds [1] 137/5 | 200/21 202/14 |
| restriction [2] 28/18 | RPR [1] 1/24 | saying [10] 61/25 90/6 |
| 33/20 | Rule [1] 71/22 | 92/19 93/5 101/7 116/9 |
| rests [1] 200/20 | ruled [4] 62/23 95/13 | 117/8 177/17 177/21 |
| result [6] 73/2 119/14 | 98/3 112/24 | 177/22 |
| 119/15 155/13 156/18 | ruling [4] 49/12 49/22 | says [11] 8/5 15/1 39/25 |
| 157/2 | 88/20 112/22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 61/18 } & 74 / 14 & 93 / 21 & 96 / 15\end{array}$ |
| results [2] 194/10 | run [1] 170/3 <br> running [2] 66/19 131/23 | 97/4 $117 / 18 ~$ $132 / 20 \quad 166 / 4$ <br> scaffolding [1] $156 / 10$ |
| 196/21 |  | scale [1] 92/22 |
| resworn [2] 134/1 135/10 | S | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { scan [9] } & 147 / 12 & 147 / 15\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { retrogression [1] } & \text { 154/11 } \\ \text { returned [2] } & 167 / 4 & 167 / 5\end{array}$ | S-o-s-a [1] 70/13 | 147/20 148/1 148/10 |
| returned [2] 167/4 167/5 review [5] 74/10 138/23 | s-t-o-b-a-l [1] 63/15 | 148/20 149/20 151/18 |
| review [5] $74 / 10 \quad 138 / 23$ $140 / 10$ 140/13 $164 / 25$ | S1 [2] 139/18 142/18 | 157/21 |
| reviewed [2] 142/25 | sacral [1] 139/20 | scans [1] 137 |
| 148/19 | sacroiliac [5] 143/22 | scene [17] 25/3 32/2 |
| reviewing [3] 117/13 | 143/25 154/17 154/25 | 32/13 47/6 50/6 52/13 |
| 149/10 149/15 | 155/8 | 79/18 80/3 123/11 123/14 |
| revision [1] 161/22 | said [57] 10/15 20/21 | 123/20 123/24 185/13 |
| reward [2] 106/14 121/23 | 24/15 27/23 36/18 50/9 | 185/22 186/1 186/5 |
| rice [3] 159/20 160/9 | 67/13 80/25 81/13 88/25 | 191/12 |
| 160/20 | 90/3 92/12 $93 / 11$ 93/12 | schedule [4] 58/12 79/3 |
| ride [2] 21/4 21/12 | 94/19 95/7 108/1 109/8 | 79/4 196/8 |
| riding [1] 21/1 | 109/15 114/12 115/19 | scheduled [3] 129/19 |
| right [233] | 115/21 119/6 119/10 | 135/1 196/7 |
| right-hand [1] 139/2 | 119/10 122/5 127/13 | schedules [1] 41/10 |
| rigid [1] 12/20 | 127/18 131/14 138/8 | school [7] 30/3 31/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { ring [2] } & 173 / 20 & 173 / 24\end{array}$ | 154/4 165/10 165/12 | 44/10 82/10 82/11 136/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { rise [4] } & 6 / 7 & 51 / 12 & 98 / 20\end{array}$ | 165/15 165/17 166/2 | 173/14 |
| 134/4 | 166/21 167/3 167/10 | schooling [3] 81/1 81/8 |
| risk [2] 36/23 160/5 | 168/7 177/20 178/1 | 81/25 |
| risk-taker [1] 36/23 | 178/10 178/12 178/13 178/20 181/23 187/11 | scientific [3] 151/5 151/5 |
| ROBERTS [29] $2 / 8$ 3/6 3/9 | 189/19 190/19 190/23 | scope [7] 49/20 128/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}3 / 12 & 3 / 16 & 3 / 19 & 4 / 4\end{array} 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 194/25 195/1 197/4 204/8 | $128 / 22 \quad 153 / 10 \quad 176 / 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}4 / 12 & 4 / 14 & 4 / 17 & 4 / 20 & 29 / 6\end{array}$ | 204/11 204/14 | $176 / 24 \quad 177 / 4$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}69 / 2 & 100 / 24 & 119 / 4 & 123 / 5 \\ 124 / 9 & 126 / 8 & 127 / 11 & 128 / 9\end{array}$ | Sam's [6] 13/9 104/24 | score [1] 89/22 |
| $124 / 9$ 126/8 127/11 128/9 $151 / 13162 / 13167 / 23$ | 105/5 105/8 119/5 119/11 | scores [3] 89/17 89/19 |
| $151 / 13$ <br> $177 / 5$ <br> $177 / 12$ <br> $18184 / 4$ | same [11] 17/6 65/18 | 92/17 |
| $177 / 5177 / 12 ~ 184 / 4$ <br> $190 / 19$ <br> $191 / 7$ | 92/17 107/1 107/3 116/19 | scream [1] 73/23 |
| Roberts ' [1] 171/5 | 144/1 147/2 166/6 175/3 | screen [4] 7/18 54/14 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Roberts } & {[1]} & 171 / 5 \\ \text { rod [2] } & 142 / 21 \quad 152 / 6\end{array}$ | 202/18 | 72/6 109/7 |
| rod [2] $142 / 21$ $152 / 6$ <br> rode [2] $43 / 5$ $85 / 23$ | San [1] 137/12 | screw [23] 139/1 139/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { rode [2] } & 43 / 5 & 85 / 23 \\ \text { Rodeo [2] } & 38 / 5 & 40 / 16\end{array}$ | San Diego [1] 137/12 | 140/4 140/5 140/9 140/17 |
| RODRIGUEZ [1] 2/9 | sand [2] 43/24 44/5 | 141/6 141/14 141/20 |
| RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL [1] | Santa [4] 42/21 44/1 | 142/1 142/7 142/16 |
| RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL [1] 2/9 | 45/16 46/22 | 142/21 146/21 146/22 |


| S | 61/15 61/23 72/17 74/13 | shindig [1] 35/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| screw... [8] 146/24 | 153/25 10 [3] 56/6 | shirt [1] 36/5 |
| 148/22 149/17 149/25 | September 10 [3] 56/6 $61 / 1561 / 23$ | shock [1] 128/15 <br> shooting [1] 73/2 |
| (151/2 $156 / 1 \quad 156 / 9 \quad 162 / 3$ | September 10th [2] 48/3 | shop [1] 64/19 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { screws } & \text { [17] } & 139 / 13 \\ 139 / 17 & 142 / 11 & 142 / 13\end{array}$ | 55/8 | shopping [1] 169/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}142 / 17 & 145 / 15 & 150 / 2\end{array}$ | September 2 [1] 52/10 | short [6] 51/ |
| 150/11 150/15 151/6 | September 2011 [1] 74/13 | 60/13 98/19 130/25 |
| 159/9 159/16 161/5 161/7 | September 2nd [1] 61/10 <br> serious [1] 68/5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 198/15 } \\ \text { shortens [1] } 177 / 6 \end{array}$ |
| 161/10 161/15 161/19 | service [1] 16/16 | shorthand [2] 204/9 |
| search [1] 90/13 | services [1] 107/2 | 204/11 |
| 79/14 141/20 | set [9] 163/7 201/23 | shot [5] 35/23 73 |
| seated [14] 6/11 12/16 | 202/4 202/8 202/9 202/10 | 73/15 100/6 100/16 |
| 13/25 22/22 23/12 24/15 | 202/18 202/21 204/17 | should [7] 7/18 91 |
| 24/20 40/7 51/16 58/16 | seven [3] 103/18 127/6 | 91/24 91/24 131/5 132/5 |
| $134 / 8 ~ 142 / 13 ~ 163 / 21 ~$ $184 / 24$ | reveral [2] 49/9 158/12 | 202/7 shoulder [5] |
| 184/24 | severe [1] 17/2 | 79/13 79/14 79/20 |
| second [13] 62/24 103/21 | sex [4] 91/2 93/21 95/8 | shoulder-lap [1] 7 |
| 104/20 130/22 136/25 | 95/9 | shoulders [1] 24/22 |
| 141/16 172/11 181/21 | shaken [1] 128/16 | shouldn't [2] 91/19 |
| 188/12 189/15 190/13 | shaking [1] 77/11 | 131/14 |
| 196/6 198/8 | shall [4] 7/3 135/13 | show [26] 14/24 |
| secure [1] 151/7 | 163/12 184/16 | 28/24 31/3 38/5 54/11 |
| Security [1] 32/19 |  | 61/11 63/24 64/3 84/1 <br> 89/4 89/6 89/7 89/15 |
| sedated [1] 17/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 24/20 } & 24 / 21 & 26 / 10 & 26 / 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 89/18 } & 89 / 19 & 92 / 8 \quad 92 / 13\end{array}$ |
| sedation [1] 17/21  <br> see [45]  <br> $7 / 24$ $8 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 13 & 30 / 15 & 38 / 10 & 44 / 13\end{array}$ | 103/25 104/15 104/18 |
| see [45] 7/24 8/21 8/25 | 50/6 50/9 50/9 50/14 | 110/25 111/22 116/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 19 & 12 / 25 & 15 / 2 & 16 / 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}50 / 15 & 60 / 13 & 60 / 14 & 74 / 17\end{array}$ | 146/10 164/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 18 & 18 / 16 & 19 / 5 & 37 / 1 \\ 39 / 21 & 47 / 13 & 48 / 1 & 48 / 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 81 / 17 & 81 / 19 & 81 / 25 & 82 / 1\end{array}$ | showed [15] 103/6 103/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 21 & 47 / 13 & 48 / 1 & 48 / 2 \\ 53 / 12 & 61 / 18 & 63 / 5 & 63 / 2\end{array}$ | 82/11 84/10 89/12 89/20 | 105/19 106/5 110/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 71/12 } & 71 / 21 & 72 / 18 & 78 /\end{array}$ | 89/22 90/18 91/6 91/7 | 111/2 111/23 116/11 |
| 78/14 83/16 85/25 88/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}91 / 8 & 91 / 13 & 92 / 1 & 92 / 1\end{array}$ | 118/14 119/23 120/1 |
| 94/7 95/19 95/22 96/3 | 93/6 93/9 93/11 93/12 | 120/6 123/4 123/5 149/16 |
| 97/4 104/9 111/5 111/12 | 94/3 94/9 94/12 94/13 | shower [11] 72/25 73/19 |
| 136/4 137/4 145/13 | 94/15 94/16 94/17 95/21 | $\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 24 & 74 / 25 & 75 / 2 & 75 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 149/25 165/2 167/3 | 97/6 97/7 97/10 97/11 | 75/13 75/18 76/11 76/15 |
| 178/15 195/8 200/5 | 97/18 97/18 97/19 97/20 | 183/14 |
| 201/18 | 97/22 98/16 110/11 | shower.' [1] 74/18 |
| seeing [10] 18/10 61/15 | 110/12 110/15 114/10 | showing [7] 7/17 9/12 |
| 77/16 $78 / 3$ $86 / 6$ <br> $186 / 7$   | 122/11 122/23 123/1 | 19/25 57/19 98/12 106/3 |
| 92/14 110/21 162/18 | 124/25 125/5 127/18 | 107/25 |
| 198/4 | 128/20 128/24 128/25 | shown [13] 33/23 37/12 |
| seek [3] 49/24 90/11 | 143/17 147/13 147/21 | 38/22 47/6 52/13 52/24 |
| 118/20 | 148/6 151/25 152/2 152/2 | 54/16 63/11 85/5 88/11 |
| seeking [1] | 152/13 154/10 155/11 | 107/15 108/14 140/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { seemed [1] } & 180 / 14\end{array}$ | 156/20 158/20 158/24 | shows [5] 13/25 44/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { seen [7] } & 106 / 13 & 106 / 15\end{array}$ | 159/3 165/12 165/13 | 146/5 146/11 $148 / 20$ |
| 143/6 146/14 151/21 | 166/7 166/13 167/5 168/7 | SI [3] 154/7 158/14 |
| 159/13 181/1 | 168/8 179/23 179/23 | 158/21 |
| sees [1] 142/17 | 180/8 181/10 185/18 | side [20] 86/4 86/16 |
| segment [1] 142/22 | 187/4 189/11 189/12 | 111/9 139/2 139/2 139/4 |
| selection [1] 115/5 | 191/14 194/25 195/1 | 139/12 139/12 141/18 |
| self [2] 40/25 94/13 | she's [31] 22/4 22/18 | 142/5 142/22 142/24 |
| self-assessment [1] | $26 / 12 \quad 31 / 2 \quad 44 / 12 \quad 88 / 18$ | 143/22 146/6 146/12 <br> 146/12 146/15 146/17 |
| 40/25 | 26/12 $81 / 2$ $84 / 12$ $88 / 21$ $90 / 16$ <br> $90 / 17$     | $146 / 12 \quad 146 / 15$ $147 / 3 \quad 156 / 2$ |
| self-report [1] 94 sense [1] 182/13 | 90/18 91/25 93/6 94/11 | sidebar [2] 49/20 49/24 |
| $\text { [2] } 21 / 7 \quad 202 / 15$ | 94/12 94/16 97/5 97/6 | sides [1] 142/23 |
| sentence [4] 117/20 | 98/11 98/12 98/14 98/16 | sideshow [1] 91/14 |
| 165/1 165/7 166/5 | 110/14 114/3 122/17 | sign [1] 151/3 |
| separate [2] 144/2 | 122/17 177/9 | significance [1] 181/25 |
| 157/14 | sheet [2] 8/5 108/1 | signs [2] $44 / 13$ 151/1 |
| September [10] 48/3 | shift [1] 159/9 | since [18] 9/7 43/13 |
| 52/10 55/8 56/6 61/10 | shifted [1] 159/16 | 68/3 72/6 79/7 98/9 98/9 |


| S | 27/23 28/15 | solely |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| since... [11] 128/13 | smiled [2] 97/18 97/19 | solemnly [4] 7/2 135/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}135 / 9 & 136 / 6 & 143 / 3 & 177 / 9\end{array}$ | SMITH [10] 2/3 78/6 | 163/11 184 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}179 / 18 & 179 / 18 & 182 / 20\end{array}$ | 78/10 $92 / 4 \quad 93 / 19$ 94/17 | solid [3] 141/13 152/2 |
| 182/20 182/22 200/14 | 94/18 94/21 98/9 189/15 | $161 / 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { single [3] } 91 / 11 \text { 91/12 } \\ & 139 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | smoked [1] 170/14 | solidly [1] $140 / 17$ <br> some $[30]$ $17 / 13$ $21 / 6$ |
| sink [1] 23/17 | smoking [3] 132/9 160/5 | 35/22 36/17 80/14 80/1 |
| sir [133] 7/23 8/12 8/20 | 186/6 | 81/7 89/5 91/21 92/18 |
| 9/7 9/10 11/12 11/20 | so [194] 7/5 9/4 9/7 | 95/1 95/1 97/19 105/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 12 & 13 / 23 & 14 / 11 & 14 / 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 13 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 7\end{array}$ | 105/5 105/19 106/3 |
| 19/23 $20 / 14$ 20/17 $21 / 2$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 21 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 3 & 16 / 14\end{array}$ | 106/16 117/10 137/14 |
| 26/17 28/13 30/4 30/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 20 & 19 / 2 & 19 / 5 & 19 / 25\end{array}$ | 142/8 144/9 146/14 155/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 25 & 31 / 14 & 32 / 22 & 35 / 14\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 20 & 21 / 3 & 21 / 14 & 22 / 4\end{array}$ | 156/16 160/24 163/7 |
| 37/10 38/3 38/13 40/9 | $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 25 & 23 / 12 & 24 / 14 & 24 / 20 \\ 24 / 21 & 25 / 13 & 26 / 13 & 29 / 14\end{array}$ | 164/24 183/ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}40 / 12 & 40 / 17 & 41 / 2 & 42 / 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 30 / 22 & 32 / 4 & 33 / 7 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ | 66/6 73/23 96/4 96/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}43 / 4 & 43 / 7 & 43 / 23 & 45 / 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}35 / 12 & 36 / 20 & 36 / 23 & 38 / 12\end{array}$ | somehow [2] 75/1 95/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 21 & 48 / 5 & 52 / 1 & 53 / 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 9 & 39 / 12 & 40 / 23 & 43 / 21\end{array}$ | someone [6] 67/22 67/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}53 / 22 & 57 / 25 & 58 / 7 & 58 / 9 \\ 60 / 19 & 60 / 22 & 61 / 1 & 62 / 10\end{array}$ | 44/10 $44 / 13$ 46/19 $49 / 22$ | 96/21 115/23 160/3 |
| 63/12 64/18 66/4 67/10 | 49/25 50/10 56/14 57/7 | 161/24 |
| 67/21 68/1 68/4 68/6 | 57/8 58/12 59/15 59/22 | something [24] 19/3 |
| 70/9 72/16 72/20 72/24 | 9/24 60/9 60/14 $60 / 15$ | 20/22 27/2 27/3 27/8 |
| 73/1 73/4 $76 / 18 \quad 77 / 2$ | 60/17 61/17 62/5 62/15 | 96/4 109/7 137/16 141/8 |
| 77/5 $78 / 16$ 79/11 $79 / 17$ | 63/16 64/16 64/21 | 142/7 145/19 151/10 |
| 79/21 79/25 80/7 80/19 | 67/5 71/9 73/11 | 162/9 165/21 166/22 |
| 80/22 81/4 82/22 83/5 | 75/12 76/1 77/16 | 168/8 169/1 171/11 |
| 83/7 83/10 83/13 85/9 | $79$ | 15172 |
| 85/20 85/24 99/21 100/4 | $\begin{array}{llll} 92 / 20 & 92 / 24 & 93 / 7 & 93 / 16 \end{array}$ | sometime [2] 46/6 198/23 |
| 100/8 100/13 100/18 | 93/19 94/11 94/21 95/19 | sometimes [7] 14/12 |
| 101/13 101/23 102/9 | 97/7 97/22 97/23 98/4 | 67/16 137/1 141/20 144/8 |
| 102/18 102/21 106/4 | 98/14 100/14 100/14 | 150/11 199/5 |
| 106/9 106/22 108/2 | 101/6 102/10 103/14 | somewhat [2] 124/4 |
| 109/14 111/7 111/11 | 103/16 103/21 106/2 | 154/12 |
| 116/23 118/3 119/12 | 106/16 106/18 107/19 | somewhere [2] 89/21 |
| 119/21 120/16 12 | 107/23 109/21 110/14 | 175/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 121 / 17 \\ 122 / 9 & 12\end{array}$ | 110/19 114/3 114/4 114/5 | son [2] 164/16 182/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}121 / 25 & 122 / 9 & 123 / 10 \\ 123 / 13 & 123 / 18 & 124 / 24\end{array}$ | 114/16 115/3 116/6 121/6 | Sophia [1] 25/11 |
| 125/6 125/8 184/12 185/5 | 121/9 121/24 123/24 | sorry [59] 7/23 9/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}185 / 10 & 185 / 14 \\ 186 / 9\end{array}$ | 124/2 125/17 125/25 | 10/21 12/11 15/5 15/11 |
| 186/12 188/3 188/15 | 126/25 127/1 $128 / 1{ }^{128 / 1}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 8 & 19 / 18 & 27 / 23 & 29 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 189/6 189/8 189/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}128 / 1 & 129 / 21 & 132 / 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 8 & 32 / 17 & 33 / 2 & 36 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 193/21 194/10 194/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}134 / 18 & 135 / 5 & 135 / 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 17 & 39 / 9 & 42 / 9 & 43 / 16\end{array}$ |
| 195/2 197/16 197/19 | 136/16 137/6 137/15 | $\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 18 & 56 / 20 & 58 / 10 & 59 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 198/5 | /16 137/24 139/6 | 60/5 63/16 74/2 76/2 |
| sit [9] 11/3 11/7 14/2 | 139/12 141/13 141/15 | 77/19 77/23 78/25 79/24 |
| 30/19 65/2 90/19 91/1 | 143/23 145/6 147/1 | 80/13 81/16 82/6 87/1 |
| 108/18 108/21 | 147/20 148/17 149/4 | 87/3 101/16 107/20 112/9 |
| site [2] 66/11 66/24 | 149/6 150/18 155/12 | 114/7 115/9 115/25 116/5 |
| sitting [9] 12/13 22/18 | 156/4 157/10 157/11 | 117/16 120/21 121/9 |
| 30/21 37/21 88/19 89/21 | 160/15 161/11 161/15 | 127/1 141/25 165/21 |
| 97/5 97/8 180/24 | 163/14 165/9 165/11 | 168/17 172/1 187/11 |
| situation [2] 161/3 | 165/17 169/3 170/7 | 187/21 190/20 190/24 |
| 161/23 | 173/24 173/24 175/13 | 191/2 192/1 192/3 192/4 |
| situations [3] 94/11 | 175/15 177/4 178/18 | 192/5 |
| 97/20 141/23 | 179/5 180/10 180/10 | sort [3] 24/21 141/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { six [4] } & 110 / 15 & 127 / 6\end{array}$ | 180/15 182/13 182/15 | 149/4 |
| 136/25 161/14 | 184/18 190/1 191/20 | Sosa [1] 70/11 |
| sixth [1] 142/16 | $\begin{array}{lll} 194 / 5 & 194 / 11 & 196 / 8 \\ 196 / 14 & 196 / 16 & 197 / 8 \end{array}$ | Sounded [2] 201/15 |
| sizewise [1] 142/3 | 198/12 $199 / 1 \quad 199 / 4$ | 201/16 |
| slander [1] 96/13 | 199/11 200/16 200/19 | sounds [1] 177/3 |
| slide [1] 138/24 <br> slipped [2] 76/10 76/15 | 200/22 201/2 201/13 | South [4] 2/4 2/9 2/15 |
| slot [2] 65/8 65/9 <br> slowly [1] 75/2 | $\begin{aligned} & 201 / 19 \text { 202/7 202/11 } \\ & 202 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2 / 20 \\ \text { Spanish [1] } & 53 / 3 \end{array}$ |


| S | 180/11 180/13 182/25 | stuff [8] 13/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| speaking... [1] 179/12 | statements [8] 50/14 | 58/14 114/12 132/21 |
| specific [1] 136/17 | 93/20 114/12 $115 / 8$ | 133/2 173/15 173/1 |
| specifically [3] 92/4 | 115/10 116/7 189/19 | subject [9] 48/13 49/1 |
| 92/5 136/22 | 195/4 | 87/13 88/1 129/24 130/12 |
| speculate [1] 159/12 | states [2] <br> status [1] | $28$ |
| speculation [1] 69/21 |  | $\text { 130/13 200/4 } 202 / 9$ |
| spell [5] 7/7 70/10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { stay } \\ 110 / 9 \end{gathered}$ | subpoenaed [1] 194/21 |
| $135 / 17$ Spelled [1] $163 / 16184 / 20$ | stayed [2] 21/7 103/1 | substance [1] 159/23 |
| Spelled [1] 135/20 spend [4] 46/16 58 | steady [1] 23/15 | substandard [1] 150/5 |
| 64/6 64/24 | stealing [1] 175/24 | successfully [2] 34/1 |
| spending [1] 189/21 | stem [1] 160/16 | 11 |
| spent [3] 131/15 187/14 | step [3] 12/1 16/14 | such [4] 55/22 149/23 |
| 188/7 | 133/24 | 153/4 160 |
| spilled [2] 100/10 | steps [3] 18/24 19/1 | sudden [1] 74/16 |
| 183/24 | 19/6 | sued [1] 80/9 |
| spinal [1] 150/24 | sticking [1] 111/12 | icient [1] 190/2 |
| spine [9] 136/24 142/11 | $\text { stiff [1] } 12 / 20$ | suggest [1] 148/21 |
| 143/7 147/13 147/21 |  | Suite [1] 2/10 |
| 149/1 149/2 149/4 157/8 | $\begin{array}{llll} 35 / 1 & 41 / 4 & 43 / 18 & 51 / 25 \end{array}$ | summary <br> [1] $96 / 15$ |
| spoke [9] 94/16 94/17 | 67/25 $93 / 12 \quad 99 / 7 \quad 121 / 6$ | summer [3] 46/6 46/ |
| 94/18 94/18 122/2 127/4 | 123/24 128/14 135/3 | $46 / 19$ |
| 127/5 179/5 196/1 |  |  |
| sponsor [1] 193/13 | $\begin{array}{ll}160 / 25 \\ 194 / 17 & 200 / 18\end{array}$ |  |
| spots [3] 155/11 155/14 | stipulate [4] 6/14 51/19 | $176 / 5$ |
| 155/15 | 99/1 134/11 | supporting [2] 98/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { square [1] } & \text { 140/2 } \\ \text { SR [5] } & 196 / 2 & 196 / 4 & 197 / 5\end{array}$ | stipulated [3] 202/2 | 98/15 |
| $\begin{array}{crrl}\text { SR [5] } & 196 / 2 & 196 / 4 & 197 / 5 \\ \text { 197/9 197/11 } & \end{array}$ | 202/8 202/14 | supports [1] 92/9 |
| 197/9 197/11 | stipulation [2] 85/16 | sure [16] 10/16 39/ |
| SR-22 [5] 196/2 196/4 197/5 197/9 197/11 | 187/22 | 39/18 51/3 71/24 76/13 |
| 197/5 197 | stood [1] 30/22 | 113/19 139/10 141/4 |
|  | stop [4] 43/19 108/6 | 141/13 141/18 150/17 |
|  | 109/12 109/21 | 150/18 150/21 166/18 |
| $161 / 25$ | stopped [4] 73/22 77/16 | 167/17 |
| stalked [1] | 77/18 79/5 | surgeon [2] 155/21 |
| stamped [3] 112/4 $194 / 4$ | stops [1] 128/ | 155 |
| 194/9 | store [3] 169/4 169/17 | surgeons [1] 157/8 |
| stand [15] 6/21 30/20 | /2 | surgery [45] 14/18 |
| 50/9 82/12 82/16 88/19 | straps | 25/21 $26 / 1.43 / 14146 / 24$ |
| 92/12 92/15 97/5 97/6 | STRASSBURG [34] 2/19 3/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}46 / 25 & 47 / 1 & 47 / 3 & 49\end{array}$ |
| 97/8 98/5 122/7 134/17 |  | 59/22 60/2 60/15 60/21 |
| 185/7 | 52/2 55/20 84/22 85/11 | 62/5 62/9 76/7 78/12 |
| standard [2] 91/3 150/9 | 87/6 92/16 94/4 96/15 | 89/12 94/10 94/10 107/18 |
| standing [3] 44/19 163/9 | 99/22 100/1 106/5 108/17 | 107/22 107/23 107/24 |
| 184/13 | 109/6 110/19 123/4 124/7 | 141/16 142/12 143/4 |
| stands [1] 126/13 | 131/13 144/20 147/7 | 145/11 145/25 147/14 |
| Starbucks [1] 64/21 | 158/5 176/14 184/2 185/8 | 147/22 148/7 150/6 150/8 |
| start [6] 30/12 66/19 | 185/11 189/19 190/10 | 152/14 152/16 153/1 |
| 142/1 160/17 160/21 |  | 153/9 153/9 157/2 157/3 |
| 174/4 | Strassburg's [2] 6/22 $97 / 17$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 158 / 20 & 159 / 2 \\ \text { surgical } & 161 / 23 \\ \text { s1] } & 147 / 25 \end{array}$ |
| started [7] 65/25 119/20 | Street [1] 2/15 |  |
| 136/21 137/1 186/6 | strenuous [1] 79/5 | survival [1] 175/13 |
| 188/13 194/2 | stress [2] 148/5 154/13 | suspect [1] 143/16 |
| starting [1] 143/18 state [10] 7/7 22/6 92 | stretch [2] 43/9 43/20 | suspected [1] 156/7 |
| 135/17 156/14 163/16 | strike [2] 120/18 126/16 | suspicion [1] 156/16 |
| 184/20 204/2 204/5 | stringent [1] 91/4 | suspicions [2] 155/4 |
| 204/18 | Strip [3] 67/14 68/9 | 155/6 |
| statement [22] 112/14 | 68/11 | sustain [3] 53/16 75/25 |
| 115/13 115/18 116/21 | Stuck [1] 111/17 | 127/18 |
| 118/15 124/16 131/10 | student [2] 31/2 36/10 | sustained [8] 32/24 33/3 |
| 148/17 153/14 164/10 | students [1] 173/23 | 33/8 69/22 70/19 113/2 |
| 165/5 165/9 166/12 | studies [3] 150/14 | 129/4 183/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}166 / 18 & 167 / 11 & 168 / 3\end{array}$ | 150/17 151/5 | swallow [1] 36/4 |
| 168/10 168/13 171/6 | study [2] 148/9 151/10 | swallowing [1] 36/18 |


| S | 78/2 80/13 81/6 82/6 | 119/18 128/8 129/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| swear [4] 7/2 135/12 | 105/2 107/19 108/23 | 129/12 $130 / 24133 / 11$ |
| 163/11 184/15 | 109/1 114/1 136/16 | 135/21 135/22 135/23 |
| swimming [4] 71/18 71/19 | 139/14 141/2 $167 / 10$ | 136/5 137/21 139/9 |
| 109/8 109/8 | 167/11 191/25 199/5 | 144/17 151/11 151/1 |
| sworn [2] 6/24 184/14 |  | 152/4 152/18 154/14 |
| symptoms [3] 62/2 149/22 |  | 158/6 162/11 |
| 162/3 | 193/25 194/6 194/6 | $\begin{array}{lll} 162 / 12 & 162 / 19 & 162 / 20 \\ 162 / 20 & 163 / 8 & 163 / 20 \end{array}$ |
| systems [1] 149/2 | 194/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}164 / 3 & 167 / 24 & 168 / 16\end{array}$ |
| T | telltale [1] 151/1 | 168/17 171/1 177/8 |
| tables [2] 63/24 180/22 | temperature [1] 46/18 | 177/13 178/23 183/22 |
| tail [2] 149/4 149/5 | ten [4] 18/13 40/24 49/3 | 184/8 184/9 184/24 190/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lrrl}\text { take [38] } & 6 / 21 & 12 / 1\end{array}$ | 104/24 | 190/9 192/18 198/5 201/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 14 & 28 / 12 & 46 / 13 & 47 / 14\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tender }[3] \quad 155 / 11 \quad 155 / 14 \\ & 155 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | that [698] <br> that's [120] 8/5 9/6 9/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 16 & 48 / 7 & 48 / 9 & 50 / 8\end{array}$ | Tenderness [1] 155/18 | $\begin{array}{llll} 12 / 23 & 15 / 1 & 15 / 14 & 15 / 15 \end{array}$ |
| 54/17 55/2 58/22 64/5 | term [3] 157/1 161/9 | 19/10 20/21 21/15 24/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}66 / 19 & 69 / 14 & 69 / 18 & 70 / 2\end{array}$ | 180/23 | 27/7 27/22 27/23 29/22 |
| 72/6 82/14 87/10 100/15 | terminated [2] 119/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 21 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 4 & 35 / 19\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}101 / 17 & 106 / 19 & 108 / 9 \\ 117 / 11 & 129 / 17 & 129 / 18\end{array}$ | 119/14 | 37/7 38/22 39/5 39/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}117 / 11 & 129 / 17 & 129 / 18 \\ 139 / 25 & 141 / 11 & 141 / 17\end{array}$ | terms [1] 153/8 | 42/13 44/13 44/24 45/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}139 / 25 & 141 / 11 & 141 / 17 \\ 144 / 10 & 155 / 22 & 161 / 20\end{array}$ | terribly [1] 66/9 | 46/1 47/6 47/19 50/12 |
| 195/19 196/5 196/20 | test [13] 144/13 148/1 | 50/15 50/16 50/17 52/7 |
| 198/6 | 149/18 193/12 193/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}54 / 14 & 56 / 21 & 56 / 21 & 57 / 24\end{array}$ |
| taken [45] | 194/12 194/13 194/24 | 58/20 62/18 68/21 69/23 |
| 12/9 13/6 20/13 20/15 | 195/20 196/5 196/7 | 71/23 73/25 74/3 76/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}12 / 18 & 20 / 21 & 22 / 1 & 22 / 15\end{array}$ | 196/20 196/22 | 76/12 76/12 83/1 83/1 |
| 25/16 27/10 27/12 30/3 | testified [18] 20/12 | 85/1 86/18 95/5 95/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} & 32 / 7 & 32 / 10 & 33 / 14 & 37 / 15\end{array}$ | 50/6 70/24 71/18 73/25 | 96/12 96/22 97/9 97/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 18 & 38 / 25 & 39 / 3 & 39 / 5\end{array}$ | 74/3 92/4 93/6 93/9 | 97/23 98/2 98/17 99/18 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}39 / 12 & 39 / 13 & 40 / 11 & 42 / 5\end{array}$ | 94/12 97/11 126/1 126/2 | 99/18 102/17 102/23 |
| 42/16 46/2 46/5 46/6 | 146/19 147/1 153/17 | 105/7 116/8 117/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 51/11 } & 57 / 17 & 59 / 22 & 60 / 17\end{array}$ | 157/25 158/10 | 117/24 119/9 119/10 |
| 65/13 69/10 98/19 130/25 | testify [1] 135/2 | 121/6 125/17 127/17 |
| 164/19 165/13 165/15 | testifying [2] 80/25 | 128/23 129/3 129/7 |
| 165/24 176/8 194/24 | 126/17 | 129/19 133/4 136/19 |
| 194/24 | testimony [17] 6/19 7/3 | 139/1 139/2 141/15 |
| taker [1] 36/23 | 75/4 75/21 80/15 80/17 | 144/18 144/18 145/13 |
| takes [1] 155/21 | 81/3 97/1 116/6 135/5 | 146/22 146/24 147/19 |
| taking [9] 27/18 37/25 | 135/13 136/9 138/24 | 148/2 148/23 150/1 |
| 57/20 63/18 69/12 107/3 | 163/12 169/3 184/16 | 150/21 157/1 157/4 $157 / 4$ |
| 157/15 173/15 173/16 | 185/15 | 160/8 161/17 162/7 |
| talk [18] 48/12 48/20 | testimony's [1] 85/22 | 164/22 165/10 165/13 |
| 81/15 87/12 87/20 89/11 | tests [3] 148/2 157/24 | 166/4 166/7 166/11 167/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} & 91 / 3 & 102 / 5 & 129 / 23 & 130 / 6\end{array}$ | 158/1 $110 / 10122$ | 168/16 170/25 181/18 |
| 136/7 163/21 164/4 | Texas [2] 110/10 122/11 | 182/10 183/6 187/19 |
| 184/25 191/21 198/8 | text [4] 48/21 87/21 | 187/19 189/13 196/2 |
| 199/14 199/22 | 130/7 199/23 | 198/22 198/24 200/22 |
| talked [7] 19/21 61/7 | textbook [1] 150/22 texture [1] 137/19 | $\begin{array}{llll} \begin{array}{l} 201 / 6 \\ \text { their [13] } \end{array} & & \\ \hline 4 / 13 & 84 / 6 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}67 / 20 & 131 / 16 \\ 136 / 12\end{array}$ | texture [1] $137 / 19$ <br> than [19] $15 / 9 \quad 23 / 4$ | their $[13]$ $44 / 13$ $84 / 6$ <br> $91 / 8$ $94 / 22$ $96 / 2$ <br> $96 / 6$   |
| 142/15 178/6 | 34/25 68/10 82/2 97/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}96 / 17 & 134 / 19 & 134 / 20\end{array}$ |
| talking [8] 33/3 89/9 | 101/3 105/20 134/25 | 135/2 135/3 196/14 201/8 |
| 95/14 101/10 101/16 | 139/13 140/9 142/16 | them [48] 17/17 20/19 |
| 120/23 128/13 164/6 | 142/19 142/22 148/20 | 21/1 21/7 $21 / 13$ 22/12 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { talks [1] } & \text { 92/16 } \\ \text { tall [1] } & 44 / 20\end{array}$ | 150/5 151/7 151/23 | 30/8 35/9 58/19 89/8 |
|  | 178/20 | 89/13 90/13 91/19 92/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lrl} \text { tampon }[1] & 28 / 4 \\ \text { task }[2] & 100 / 10 & 100 / \end{array}$ | thank [75] 7/11 9/11 | 92/23 92/24 94/6 95/19 |
| teachers [2] 173/22 | 10/1 10/3 13/12 13/22 | 101/25 103/8 103/22 |
| $181 / 1$ | 19/12 19/13 20/3 24/12 | 106/14 106/15 106/18 |
| eam [2] 119/23 120 | 25/2 29/22 54/23 56/22 | 106/19 115/15 121/23 |
| television [4] 48/17 | 56/23 57/11 71/15 82/18 | 131/19 142/15 146/25 |
| 87/17 130/3 199/20 | 85/20 87/3 98/6 100/22 | 155/12 159/22 161/12 |
| tell [27] 12/11 22/4 | 100/25 102/3 104/21 | 164/13 164/15 172/20 |
| 25/8 25/12 32/19 47/19 | 109/23 113/3 113/24 | 172/21 175/3 180/9 194/6 |
| 65/23 73/12 75/8 77/18 | 114/18 114/22 116/17 | 194/7 195/8 196/2 196/10 |


| T | they [72] 35/2 51/2 | 143/23 149/8 152/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| them... [4] 196/15 | 57/21 73/6 82/25 82/25 | 154/7 154/13 155/2 155/9 |
| 201/11 202/3 202/15 | 83/4 83/16 83/19 83/19 | /11 155/15 155/16 |
| themselves [1] 36/13 | 83/21 84/5 84/6 88/25 | 157/24 157/24 |
| then [48] 8/21 14/7 | 88/25 89/1 89/7 89/15 <br> 89/15 89/24 89/24 90/22 | $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { though } & {[7]} & 22 / 17 & 120 / 12 \\ 140 / 4 & 157 / 24 & 162 / 1 & 197 / 9\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 17 & 23 / 21 & 24 / 24 & 36 / 4\end{array}$ | 91/2 91/6 91/11 91/12 | 197/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}43 / 8 & 45 / 15 & 56 / 15 & 58 / 18 \\ 59 / 17 & 64 / 19 & 64 / 22 & 65 / 4\end{array}$ | 91/21 91/21 92/7 92/8 | thought [18] 66/16 81/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}59 / 17 & 64 / 19 & 64 / 22 & 65 / 4 \\ 68 / 17 & 78 / 14 & 87 / 10 & 88 / 4\end{array}$ | 92/15 94/5 94/6 94/6 | 84/22 96/16 96/24 101/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 68 / 17 & 78 / 14 & 87 / 10 & 88 / 4 \\ 89 / 1 & 89 / 11 & 89 / 15 & 90 / 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 94 / 7 & 95 / 2 & 95 / 4 & 96 / 2 & 96 / 7\end{array}$ | 108/4 108/8 119/1 127/24 |
|  | 96/18 101/17 101/21 | 166/24 167/5 169/9 194/1 |
| 100/19 100/20 102/22 | 106/13 106/15 112/12 | 194/6 194/7 197/8 197/17 |
| 115/7 117/12 120/18 | 112/16 112/20 123/22 | three [20] 45/8 77/7 |
| 129/19 131/18 132/20 | 131/7 131/10 131/10 | 80/11 82/8 83/3 83/24 |
| 133/19 134/21 137/4 | 132/3 132/4 134/20 | 88/19 101/18 101/20 |
| 137/13 141/5 141/11 | 134/21 146/10 153/2 | 116/2 120/1 120/7 123/16 |
| 141/14 154/10 161/2 | 154/8 158/3 159/21 161/8 | 136/21 139/13 155/10 |
| 161/22 181/3 202/2 | 171/22 190/3 190/5 | 169/17 169/18 169/25 |
| 202/16 | 196/11 199/6 200/14 | 199/1 |
| therapist [2] 76/20 78/6 | 200/14 200/16 201/8 | three-month [1] 136/21 <br> through [19] 17/12 30/19 |
| therapists [1] 77/1 | they're [15] 89/6 89/9 | 64/16 67/7 78/11 88/22 |
| therapy [10] 18/23 41/9 | 90/6 91/17 95/2 95/4 | 91/13 95/21 101/6 102/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}41 / 9 & 76 / 17 & 76 / 21 & 77 / 1 \\ 78 / 19 & 78 / 23 & 79 / 2 & 79 / 6\end{array}$ | 96/20 96/21 96/21 96/23 | 106/12 $114 / 14114 / 14$ |
| 78/19 78/23 79/2 79/6 | 98/13 157/8 161/25 162/1 | 131/9 131/18 136/22 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { there }[81] & 7 / 18 & 10 / 9 \\ 10 / 10 & 21 / 3 & 21 / 7 & 22 / 8\end{array}$ | 187/22 | 137/5 140/21 140/24 |
| 10/10 21/3 21/7 22/8 | they've [2] 132/2 190/6 | throughout [1] 95/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 12 & 23 / 10 & 30 / 10 & 30 / 20\end{array}$ | thing [17] 79/19 90/9 | thrown [1] 182/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 2 & 34 / 4 & 35 / 9 & 36 / 5 \\ 36 / 12 & 38 / 10 & 42 / 24 & 42 / 25\end{array}$ | 91/11 95/16 98/9 105/9 | tie [2] 55/5 89/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 12 & 38 / 10 & 42 / 24 & 42 / 25 \\ 44 / 4 & 46 / 14 & 50 / 15 & 51 / 2\end{array}$ | 105/11 105/11 107/1 | till [3] 55/23 88/3 88/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} 44 / 4 & 46 / 14 & 50 / 15 & 51 / 2 \\ 53 / 6 & 54 / 8 & 58 / 3 & 58 / 11 \end{array}$ | 107/3 119/10 141/9 150/1 | time [107] 8/14 8/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}58 / 14 & 64 / 4 & 64 / 11 & 64 / 11\end{array}$ | 176/6 177/25 196/16 | 8/23 9/4 9/12 11/3 11/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}64 / 12 & 64 / 21 & 64 / 22 & 67 / 15\end{array}$ | 197/11 | $11 / 10$ 12/1 $12 / 1012 / 17$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}68 / 12 & 69 / 13 & 74 / 22 \quad 75 / 9\end{array}$ | things [27] 49/15 89/20 | 16/14 17/1 19/2 27/19 |
| 94/4 97/12 97/12 98/5 | 92/1 93/7 93/10 95/7 | 31/16 32/9 33/14 33/24 |
| 98/8 104/24 105/24 | 97/19 106/15 106/17 | 39/4 39/23 42/5 47/20 |
| 106/20 107/9 110/11 | 107/7 114/15 115/13 | 58/13 60/1 60/12 60/13 |
| 114/12 125/9 131/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 3 & 132 / 4 & 132 / 8 & 137 / 3\end{array}$ | 62/12 65/12 65/16 67/7 |
| 131/24 133/15 133/22 | 143/19 160/5 163/7 | $\begin{array}{lllll}68 / 22 & 70 / 21 & 71 / 5 & 73 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 134/21 138/12 139/17 | 180/24 182/9 189/20 | 76/16 76/16 77/3 77/24 |
| 140/8 140/15 141/9 | 198/16 198/17 199/4 | 78/10 79/2 81/9 82/1 |
| 144/14 145/17 145/17 | 199/6 199/9 | 87/3 87/9 89/21 91/18 |
| 146/16 150/23 151/4 | think [51] 18/9 18/12 | 91/19 92/17 92/22 96/6 |
| 152/24 153/4 156/1 | 19/8 27/11 33/9 42/21 | 97/7 105/13 106/1 106/2 |
| 156/20 159/2 159/21 | 49/25 50/20 50/25 53/16 | 108/9 115/4 119/19 |
| 169/10 175/18 176/7 | 55/4 59/24 63/20 63/20 | 119/22 120/13 120/17 |
| 179/16 179/22 180/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}71 / 12 & 73 / 8 & 73 / 13 & 75 / 6\end{array}$ | 120/19 121/2 121/3 121/7 |
| 184/13 190/4 202/10 | 81/11 87/9 88/17 89/5 | 121/8 121/12 121/15 |
| there's [28] 15/2 21/6 | 91/1 92/8 92/11 92/23 | 121/18 122/24 129/11 |
| 22/18 29/5 49/22 57/8 | 93/5 93/16 96/22 97/10 | 130/15 131/15 132/19 |
| 71/13 $74 / 12 \quad 95 / 23$ 97/23 | 97/24 98/2 111/1 125/16 | 132/24 135/4 136/6 |
| 102/14 117/10 117/14 | 126/25 128/23 128/25 | 143/16 152/16 154/9 |
| 124/16 147/24 147/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 13 & 132 / 5 & 133 / 1\end{array}$ | 154/23 159/9 160/22 |
| 148/17 148/20 148/21 | 145/17 147/15 150/23 | 167/7 170/6 172/23 173/1 |
| 150/14 158/12 159/17 | 153/17 162/6 177/10 | 173/18 175/5 175/9 |
| 160/10 176/1 176/2 182/5 | 190/6 191/19 191/19 | 175/19 177/1 177/5 |
| 183/23 201/5 | 193/18 202/13 | 179/14 180/8 181/11 |
| thereafter [1] 204/8 | thinking [6] 75/9 76/7 | 181/16 186/7 186/14 |
| therefore [1] 154/9 | 76/7 128/14 182/16 199/3 | 186/17 186/23 189/21 |
| Thereupon [1] 203/6 | third [3] 120/8 137/8 | 190/2 196/6 196/7 199/7 |
| these [22] 18/15 18/16 | / 1 | 201/5 |
| 89/3 89/19 89/20 91/10 | this [237] those [26] 28/8 59 | timeline [1] 102/1 times [13] 22/4 49 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}91 / 13 & 93 / 7 & 93 / 10 & 94 / 6\end{array}$ | $76 / 19 \quad 101 / 21 \quad 106 / 3$ | $76 / 21 \text { 89/8 93/13 } 114 / 6$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}94 / 8 & 94 / 8 & 94 / 11 & 94 / 15\end{array}$ | 110/21 110/21 110/25 | 153/22 153/23 168/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 94 / 21 & 94 / 24 & 95 / 21 & 95 / 22 \\ 142 / 5 & 159 / 20 & 160 / 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 25 & 135 / 1 & 137 / 14\end{array}$ | 168/25 169/17 169/19 |
| 199/6 | 141/2 141/23 142/10 | 169/25 |


| T | 108/1 108/5 | 102/25 103/2 103 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| timing [1] 198/9 | traveled [2] 50/15 50/15 | 198/19 198/22 198/23 |
| TIMOTHY [1] 2/8 |  | turn [5] 21/15 31/19 |
| TINDALL [5] 2/20 95/10 | $172 / 8$ | [1] $24 / 21$ |
| 176/14 190/10 191/25 | treated [1] 73/17 | Tweet [4] 48/20 87/20 |
| tiny [1] 141/11 | treating [1] 124/21 | 130/6 199/22 |
| P [1] $141 / 12$ l | treatment [4] 72/12 | twice [2] 59/12 68/14 |
| $111 / 6$ | 118/20 124/19 125/5 | two [38] 17/17 41/8 45/8 |
| tired [1] 107/10 | treatments [1] 137/7 | 45/15 53/6 53/7 58/12 |
| tissue [1] 137/19 | trial [30] 1/19 48/13 | 60/20 69/18 70/2 82/8 |
| to -- to [3] 91/9 132/9 | 48/15 49/1 79/23 87/13 | 89/12 89/22 89/25 97/6 |
| 141/5 | 87/15 88/1 91/16 93/20 | 109/10 109/12 117/14 |
| today [13] 88/4 88/22 | 115/4 122/15 122/24 | 123/11 126/3 136/14 |
| 90/3 97/13 108/21 110/1 | 129/24 130/1 130/12 | 137/5 137/5 139/13 |
| 119/18 122/7 129/20 | 130/16 131/6 131/7 | 139/17 140/11 140/13 |
| 185/7 198/7 200/11 | 131/19 145/18 193/20 | 142/23 147/2 148/2 155/9 |
| 201/21 | 194/12 195/20 196/17 | 157/24 162/4 169/16 |
| today's [2] 126/2 143/4 | 15 198/25 199/16 | 169/18 169/24 179/24 |
| toe [1] 149/3 | 199/17 200/3 | 197/21 |
| together [7] 67/12 89/13 | tr | tt |
| 109/18 137/5 179/15 | tried [2] 18/14 111/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { type } \\ & 14 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 181/24 182/1 | trigger [1] 11/13 | typewriting [1] 204/9 |
| told [30] 11/2 19/3 26/4 | triggered [1] 74/23 | typewritten [1] 204/10 |
| 79/24 80/24 80/25 81/10 | trip [13] 46/21 49/10 |  |
| 81/24 93/2 94/18 103/7 | 49/16 49/18 49/19 50/5 | U |
| 103/8 103/22 104/7 105/1 | 50/10 106/5 106/10 | Uh [16] 22/21 23/14 |
| 105/12 $108 / 18$ 113/14 | 106/21 106/23 108/9 | 25/14 45/6 53/5 64/2 |
| 115/23 116/1 124/18 | 121/22 | 68/15 68/19 75/14 78/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}127 / 13 & 127 / 21 & 129 / 20 \\ 131 / 18 & 167 / 7 & 178 / 4\end{array}$ | trips [4] 8/16 8/19 9/6 | 78/13 118/13 161/2 162/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}131 / 18 & 167 / 7 & 178 / 4 \\ 185 / 18 & 194 / 22 & 196 / 11\end{array}$ | 50/9 | 178/22 190/25 |
| 185/18 194/22 196/11 | truck [3] 85/23 86/1 | Uh-huh [16] 22/21 23/14 |
| $\text { 66/9 76/8 } 96$ | 123/23 | 25/14 45/6 53/5 64/2 |
| 186/1 201/7 | true [72] 8/15 10/8 | 68/15 68/19 75/14 78/1 |
| took [22] 10/6 10/20 | 10/15 10/17 11/2 11/14 | 78/13 118/13 161/2 162/2 |
| 10/21 22/14 30/5 42/7 | 11/18 12/4 14/25 17/2 | 178/22 190/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} & 42 / 11 & 46 / 11 & 52 / 18 & 53 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 5 & 21 / 10 & 21 / 16 & 23 / 22\end{array}$ | unable [4] 11/3 11/7 |
| $76 / 19$ 87/3 87/3 106/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 24 & 24 / 1 & 24 / 25 & 26 / 4\end{array}$ | 79/1 108/18 |
| 107/6 118/18 118/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}26 / 20 & 29 / 16 & 29 / 24 & 31 / 17\end{array}$ | uncomfortable [4] 88/18 |
| 146/22 147/12 169/3 | $31 / 23$ 33/20 37/8 38/17 | 97/5 97/22 98/4 |
| 196/6 196/8 | $\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 1 & 45 / 16 & 45 / 23 ~ 52 / 9\end{array}$ | Uncommonly [1] 150/13 |
| tool [2] 141/5 141/7 | 55/14 57/13 57/15 60/25 | uncontrolled [1] 160/5 |
| tops [1] 54/19 | 62/3 62/7 62/9 62/13 | undated [1] 9/18 |
| torque [1] 151/7 | 62/20 67/9 70/22 70/23 | under [7] 51/25 99/7 |
| Torrey [1] 125/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 25 & 74 / 1 & 74 / 4 & 75 / 15 \\ 75 / 16 & 75 / 19 & 76 / 17 & 76 / 22\end{array}$ | 131/23 174/9 174/18 |
| totally [2] 91/10 91/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 16 & 75 / 19 & 76 / 17 & 76 / 22\end{array}$ | 178/12 186/11 |
| tow [2] 85/23 123/22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}76 / 23 & 77 / 17 & 77 / 22 & 78 / 12\end{array}$ | underneath [1] 117/10 |
| town [8] 13/9 59/21 | 78/20 78/23 79/20 80/12 | understand [10] 55/5 |
| 59/25 104/24 105/5 105/8 | 81/3 82/24 96/11 147/22 | 92/10 92/14 93/4 94/22 |
| 119/5 119/11 | 147/23 148/1 156/3 | 94/23 138/13 186/19 |
| track [1] 136/15 | 158/14 161/6 161/23 | 186/22 201/12 |
| Trailblazer [1] 59/2 | 167/1 167/14 195/2 | understanding [11] 6/20 |
| train [1] 96/16 | 204/10 | 105/7 151/9 171/13 |
| trained [1] 149/24 | trust [1] 170/7 | 183/13 187/1 187/4 $187 / 7$ |
| training [4] 136/17 | truth [14] 7/4 7/4 7/4 | 191/3 194/8 197/19 |
| 136/20 148/24 148/25 | 135/14 135/14 135/14 | understood [3] 108/11 |
| transcribed [2] 177/24 | 163/13 163/13 163/13 | 194/3 194/4 |
| 204/8 | 175/25 182/12 184/17 | underwater [5] 71/19 |
| transcript [4] | 184/17 184/17 | 109/9 109/16 109/17 |
| 103/5 103/24 204/10 | try [9] 16/4 63/24 66/20 | 109/20 |
| transcription [1] 204/11 | 67/2 86/12 163/21 184/25 | unfair [2] 139/23 150/23 |
| transition [1] 193/11 | 185/22 191/17 | Unfortunately [2] 34/3 |
| transposed [1] 105/3 | trying [8] 55/5 107/2 | 59/11 |
| Transposing [2] 119/8 | 108/10 177/1 179/14 | unhappy [2] 97/1 97/2 |
| 119/9 | 13 187/15 188/7 | unhook [1] 79/19 |


| U | Venetian [1] 68/12 | 121/22 121/23 122/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University [1] 137/11 | verdict [1] 200/13 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 131/19 } & 164 / 4 & 195 / 8 \\ \text { ants [3] } & 13 / 11 & 82 / 11\end{array}$ |
| unless [4] 18/8 81/17 | versus [1] 132/3 | $91 / 20$ |
| 132/4 161/24 | vert [1] 139/19 | was [420] |
| unsupported [1] 93/21 until [15] 49/1 55/18 | vertebra [1] 139/19 | wash [3] 73/21 74/17 |
| 56/3 56/9 88/1 114/17 | vertebrae [1] 139/15 | 75/15 |
| $116 / 2 ~ 129 / 16 ~ 130 / 12 ~$ | vertebral [1] 139/16 | wasn't |
| 176/6 179/20 195/3 196/8 | very [6] 50/5 88/16 94/4 | 34/5 122 |
| 197/5 200/3 | 95/4 99/18 $137 / 21$ | 179/22 197/4 |
| up [48] 6/23 14/5 21/7 | vessels [2] 160/6 160/17 | watch [5] 48 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 4 & 36 / 4 & 41 / 17 & 44 / 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { victim [2] } & \text { 96/22 98/12 } \\ \text { victimized [2] } & \\ \text { 98/11 }\end{array}$ | 90/19 129/25 199/1 watched [1] 59/6 |
| 53/1 54/20 56/11 56/15 | victimized [2] 98/11 | watched [1] 59/ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}58 / 18 & 62 / 15 & 62 / 24 & 68 / 11\end{array}$ | victimizing [2] 96/21 | $\text { water [2] } 44 / 7 \quad 183 / 24$ |
| 68/16 72/1 85/12 89/16 | $98 / 10$ | way [24] 12/2 17/13 58/6 |
| 94/4 97/6 97/8 98/5 | videos [1] 89/7 | 58/8 64/21 64/22 65/24 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}101 / 3 & 116 / 2 \\ 118 / 20 & 122 / 7\end{array}$ | view [13] 137/2 139/21 | 93/14 98/14 106/14 |
| 123/22 128/16 133/25 | 139/22 141/17 141/18 | 106/16 112/7 112/10 |
| 136/8 141/7 141/8 156/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}145 / 3 & 145 / 4 & 145 / 4 & 145 / 5\end{array}$ | 116/8 128/16 141/13 |
| 160/15 162/22 163/7 | $\begin{array}{lll}145 / 6 & 145 / 7 & 146 / 10\end{array}$ | 142/1 146/16 147/24 |
| 174/4 177/6 179/24 | 146/15 | 154/2 157/17 165/24 |
| 183/17 184/12 195/9 | violated [1] 51/5 | 178/13 188/2 |
| 198/8 198/18 199/2 | violent [1] 173/23 | ways [1] 162/2 |
| 199/12 200/22 | visible [1] 139/1 | we [135] 6/18 6/21 6/24 |
| S [15] 27/5 49/23 | visit [8] 15/12 15/16 | 9/17 9/25 10/17 19/21 |
| 60/13 65/23 69/9 102/13 | 38/10 56/6 77/20 78/15 | 22/9 30/20 34/3 34/3 |
|  | 78/18 108/20 | 40/3 42/25 43/18 49/24 |
| 201/16 20 | volume [4] 71/10 71/13 | 55/2 57/2 58/3 58/19 |
| use [8] 35/24 | 71/14 71/15 | 58/19 58/20 58/20 60/9 |
| 141/5 141/16 157/8 | Volume I [2] 71/10 71/15 | 60/9 61/7 63/19 63/19 |
| 179/19 181/14 189/23 | Volumes [1] 101/11 | 63/25 63/25 64/4 64/4 |
| used [17] 10/11 19/1 | vulnerable [1] 176/1 | 64/21 64/21 65/4 65/5 |
| 93/19 157/1 161/12 | W | 65/21 67/13 67/20 68/14 |
| 164/16 164/18 164/18 |  | /3 71/18 71/21 81/23 |
| 165/5 165/7 165/10 | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { wait [3] } & 26 / 7 & 133 / 16 \\ 185 / 19 \end{array}$ | 82/15 84/20 88/13 88/24 <br> 89/4 89/14 90/2 90/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}165 / 12 & 165 / 15 & 165 / 17\end{array}$ | waiting [4] $114 / 7$ 133/15 | 90/6 90/9 90/10 90/12 |
| 165/25 167/13 179/20 | 193/13 196/15 | 93/5 95/16 97/1 97/7 |
| using [6] 14/25 25/13 | waive [1] 81/19 | 97/10 97/13 101/16 |
| 100/6 137/7 175/2 180/22 | waives [1] 81/17 | 104/12 109/8 112/22 |
| usually [8] 167/12 | walk [10] 19/1 43/22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}113 / 17 & 122 / 7 & 128 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 167/13 168/8 177/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}43 / 24 & 64 / 13 & 64 / 16 & 64 / 19\end{array}$ | 129/13 $129 / 15$ 129/15 |
| 178/1 179/9 180/12 | 68/10 68/11 68/12 181/2 | 129/18 129/21 130/20 |
| 180/15 | walked [3] 63/19 63/19 | $\begin{array}{lllll}131 / 3 & 131 / 5 & 133 / 1 & 134 / 17\end{array}$ |
| V | 64/22 | 135/1 136/23 137/1 137/6 |
| vague [1] 154/4 | walking [7] 18/23 19/6 | 141/4 141/5 141/11 |
| Vaguely [1] 162/5 | 44/5 44/7 63/22 64/3 | 141 |
| valet [3] 64/14 64/15 | walking-around [1] 64/3 | $\begin{array}{lll} 141 / 16 & 141 / 17 & 141 / 18 \\ 141 / 18 & 142 / 1 & 142 / 2 \end{array} \quad 142 / 4$ |
| 68/16 | wallet [4] 28/2 28/8 | $\text { 142/6 } 142 / 7 \quad 142 / 15$ |
| variable [1] 14/8 | 175/16 175/21 | 142/23 142/24 144/10 |
| various [3] $142 / 2$ 149/7 175/21 | want [34] 6/23 10/14 | 144/12 145/2 $145 / 4 \quad 148 / 5$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Vegas [11] } & 2 / 4 & 2 / 10 & 2 / 16\end{array}$ | 16/6 20/4 29/2 39/4 47/1 | 148/5 150/1 155/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 2 / 21 & 6 / 1 & 131 / 15 & 131 / 16\end{array}$ | 47/15 48/7 49/11 50/11 | 157/15 160/12 160/14 |
| 131/21 132/7 189/21 | 54/11 61/10 69/17 71/7 | 162/9 166/5 167/15 |
| 189/24 | 72/6 82/13 82/16 88/22 | 173/14 177/9 179/6 |
| vegetables | 93/16 94/6 94/7 95/6 | 180/19 181/2 187/21 |
| vehicle [24] 20/13 | 95/15 95/24 96/25 116/20 | 188/13 194/20 194/20 |
| 79/18 80/5 84/17 85/6 | 133/6 170/5 170/21 | 198/16 198/16 198/18 |
| 85/8 86/1 86/15 86/22 | 180/18 200/19 200/21 | 198/19 201/22 202/3 |
| 111/10 123/9 123/21 | 201/22 | 202/6 202/9 202/10 |
| 164/17 165/5 165/8 | wanted [22] 10/8 17/9 | 202/14 202/15 202/18 |
| 165/25 166/9 168/19 | 50/23 53/24 64/1 70/1 | we'd [2] 137/4 137/5 |
| 168/21 174/3 174/4 174/5 | 70/5 70/5 98/9 99/24 | we'll [10] 19/17 88/3 |
| 181/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}100 / 14 & 105 / 12 & 106 / 15 \\ 106 / 18 & 110 / 10 & 110 / 13\end{array}$ | 131/3 133/19 135/8 149/5 |
| vehicles [1] 9/9 | 106/18 110/10 110/13 | 200/5 201/3 201/7 201/18 |


| W | 113/6 118/7 123/17 | 54/16 55/5 55/20 58/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| we're [31] 6/12 6/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}124 / 14 & 126 / 21 & 127 / 7\end{array}$ | 59/1 59/11 60/9 61/18 |
| 14/25 $44 / 19$ 49/6 51/17 | $\begin{array}{llll}131 / 15 & 131 / 17 & 131 / 21\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}61 / 21 & 63 / 22 & 63 / 24 & 67 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 88/7 90/11 93/2 93/19 | 131/24 143/23 181/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}67 / 12 & 73 / 14 & 73 / 18 & 73 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 95/17 $96 / 23$ 96/25 98/9 | 185/21 189/24 196/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}73 / 25 & 74 / 3 & 75 / 12 & 75 / 23 \\ 76 / 4 & 76 / 6 & 76 / 9 & 84 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 98/10 98/12 98/14 98/24 | were [172] 6/9 8/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 / 4 \quad 76 / 6 \quad 76 / 984 / 17 \\ & 84 / 20 \quad 88 / 23 \quad 88 / 23 \quad 88 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 120/23 129/20 130/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10/23 } & 11 / 3 & 11 / 13 & 12 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 89/1 } & 89 / 8 & 89 / 24 & 90 / 22\end{array}$ |
| $131 / 2 ~ 134 / 3 ~ 134 / 9 ~ 141 / 14 ~$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 1 & 17 / 15 & 19 / 6 & 20 / 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 91/24 } & 91 / 25 & 92 / 8 & 92 / 16\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}198 / 13 & 199 / 2 & 200 / 9 \\ 200 / 18 & 201 / 19 & 201 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 3 & 23 / 6 & 28 / 17 & 30 / 19\end{array}$ | 93/4 94/24 95/17 96/20 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { 200/18 } & 201 / 19 & 201 / 23 \\ \text { we've [12] } & 7 / 19 & 40 / 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 1 & 31 / 16 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 13\end{array}$ | 97/2 97/3 97/9 97/12 |
| we've [12] $7 / 19$ $40 / 24$  <br> $45 / 11$ $49 / 9$ $57 / 4$ $80 / 14$ | 33/19 34/1 34/18 35/15 | 101/7 102/11 102/25 |
| 80/14 $90 / 15$ 101/10 $162 / 9$ | $35 / 17$ 36/1 36/2 36/20 | 104/6 104/16 105/2 |
| 164/6 202/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}37 / 18 & 39 / 23 & 40 / 3 & 40 / 4\end{array}$ | 105/17 107/9 108/3 |
| wear [2] $36 / 5$ 173/22 | 40/24 41/3 41/4 44/3 | 109/15 112/9 112/16 |
| wearing [4] 38/2 53/23 | 44/4 44/4 44/5 44/5 | 115/9 115/9 115/19 |
| 79/12 100/12 | 45/12 45/15 47/24 49/4 | 115/20 115/23 116/9 |
| website [1] 95/18 | 51/2 51/14 $52 / 21$ 52/21 | 119/9 124/18 124/19 |
| Wednesday [1] 126/3 | 52/24 53/2 53/5 53/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}127 / 18 & 131 / 13 & 131 / 17\end{array}$ |
| week [11] 18/24 76/22 | 55/12 55/13 $58 / 11$ 59/17 | 131/17 131/20 131/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 77/22 } & 78 / 3 & 124 / 22 \quad 126 / 21\end{array}$ | 24 61/19 61/22 61/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}132 / 10 & 132 / 17 & 137 / 15\end{array}$ |
| 127/5 127/6 129/21 | 62/1 62/15 63/22 63/22 | 137/18 141/23 142/4 |
| 188/25 196/17 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 64 / 13 & 64 / 16 & 65 / 12\end{array}$ | 145/3 146/24 147/19 |
| weekend [5] 45/8 199/13 | 65/16 65/21 66/17 70/21 | 148/18 148/25 149/24 |
| 200/6 200/20 202/17 |  | 150/15 157/5 158/18 |
| weeks [12] 41/9 60/20 | 79/12 80/6 80/16 88/5 | 159/12 159/25 160/18 |
| 76/22 89/17 89/22 122/25 | /25 92/7 92/17 97/12 | 165/13 166/4 167/3 167/7 |
| 136/14 143/5 179/24 | 98/22 100/11 105/15 | 167/10 169/6 173/11 |
| 187/15 188/7 199/1 | 105/20 106/21 107/2 | 175/12 175/14 176/5 |
| weigh [1] 132/2 | 107/2 107/9 107/12 | 176/24 177/5 178/9 |
| weighs [1] 28/15 | 107/15 108/10 108/18 | 179/15 180/1 180/1 180/3 |
| weight [2] 23/3 114/13 | 108/23 111/15 115/3 | 180/12 180/12 180/15 |
| WEINBERG [1] 2/7 | 5/8 115/23 116/2 116/7 | 180/15 181/12 181/12 |
| welcome [7] 6/11 19/14 | 118/20 119/13 119/18 | 182/8 182/9 186/4 187/20 |
| 51/16 98/24 133/20 134/8 | 9/23 120/1 120/13 | 192/11 194/3 194/4 |
| 151/12 | 120/25 121/2 121/6 121/8 | 195/24 199/2 200/22 |
| welcomed [1] 97/7 | 121/11 121/15 121/18 | 201/24 |
| well [65] 13/3 14/20 | 123/11 $123 / 14123 / 20$ | what's [24] 7/17 14/16 |
| 16/3 18/22 25/6 36/12 | 124/19 126/17 126/18 | 26/8 27/20 28/1 36/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} & 43 / 7 & 43 / 18 & 44 / 12 & 53 / 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}127 / 13 & 127 / 14 & 127 / 21\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 14 & 44 / 11 & 62 / 17 & 88 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 56/2 64/10 65/23 75/23 | 127/21 130/17 134/6 | 97/14 97/14 100/2 131/3 |
| 77/6 77/24 80/11 82/7 | 135/1 136/6 137/7 142 | 134/18 136/23 137/6 |
| 90/6 92/2 96/3 99/19 | $3143 / 23143 / 25$ | 157/6 161/24 168/13 |
| 110/15 115/11 117/9 | 145/2 145/17 145/17 | 181/25 182/8 182/11 |
| 117/9 119/6 120/6 120/9 | 153/2 153/5 15 | 187/18 [4] 36/18 67/16 |
| 123/19 131/11 131/21 | 154/8 154/20 155/12 <br> 155/16 156/3 164/14 | whatever [4] 36/18 67/16 96/14 150/10 |
| 137/9 139/17 139/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}169 / 11 & 173 / 7 & 173 / 11\end{array}$ |  |
| 140/13 141/25 142/24 | $\begin{array}{lll}173 / 14 & 173 / 23174 / 1\end{array}$ |  |
| 143/15 143/17 143/18 | $\text { 179/12 } 181 / 5 \quad 181 / 5$ | $24 / 15$ |
| 144/3 145/14 149/4 150/8 | 181/23 185/9 185/9 | WHEELER [1] $2 / 7$ |
| 150/9 150/17 150/21 | 186/23 188/14 188/16 | when [111] 8/21 10/6 |
| 153/4 153/6 153/16 | 188/19 189/3 189/5 | $\begin{array}{llll} 10 / 19 & 17 / 19 & 18 / 2 & 18 / 9 \end{array}$ |
| 153/23 155/4 155/11 | 189/11 189/18 190/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 4 & 25 / 15 & 30 / 12 & 37 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 157/7 159/25 160/2 162/6 | 189/11 189/18 190/4 | 21/4 18 39/3 40/10 46/1 |
| 172/10 177/3 177/6 183/9 | 190/24 191/2 191/21 | $37 / 18$ $39 / 3$ <br> $10 / 10$ $46 / 1$ |
| 185/12 185/21 200/18 | 193/10 200/7 $18 / 22$ 21/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 46/2 } & 47 / 19 & 57 / 17 & 65 / 6\end{array}$ |
| well-being [2] 185/12 | weren't [12] 18/22 21/1 | 65/25 66/5 68/9 73/5 |
| 185/21 | 106/2 113/9 114/1 115/7 | 74/17 75/6 76/9 77/13 |
| well-known [1] 137/9 | 115/15 119/13 119/19 | 77/18 78/5 80/8 81/5 |
| went [46] 8/21 10/19 | 120/15 122/6 175/2 | 81/9 82/4 82/7 82/9 |
| 16/18 29/14 $31 / 15$ 35/13 | what [150] 8/5 8/8 8/8 | 89/15 90/2 91/4 93/9 |
| 37/1 46/20 48/3 52/17 | 9/1 10/21 11/22 12/3 | 94/16 94/17 103/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 19 & 60 / 14 & 64 / 4 & 70 / 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 23 & 14 / 4 & 15 / 1 & 15 / 5\end{array}$ | 105/14 105/23 108/4 |
| 72/18 73/3 77/17 77/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 8 & 24 / 17 & 27 / 5 & 32 / 9\end{array}$ | 108/4 109/15 115/3 |
| 78/5 78/8 78/14 80/4 | 34/4 35/5 36/20 39/25 | 117/12 118/4 119/17 |
| 80/5 82/20 82/23 102/8 | $\begin{array}{llrrr}44 / 3 & 44 / 5 & 44 / 13 & 44 / 24 \\ 46 / 18 & 51 / 1 & 52 / 24 & 53 / 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}119 / 19 & 120 / 17 & 123 / 14 \\ 124 / 2 & 124 / 22 & 126 / 1 & 126 / 8\end{array}$ |
| 103/3 105/14 106/19 | $46 / 18$ 51/1 52/24 53/2 | 124/2 124/22 126/1 126/8 |


| W | whipped [1] 36/17 | words [2] 89/20 116/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| when... [54] 126/17 | white [1] 85/8 <br> who [27] 22/10 22/10 | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \text { work }\left[\begin{array}{lll} 20] & 13 / 9 & 18 / 24 \\ 19 / 6 & 32 / 11 & 34 / 15 \end{array} 35 / 10\right. \end{array}$ |
| 127/4 136/13 139/6 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { who } \\ 22 / 14 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 6 & 3 / 11 & \\ 79 / 3 & 79 / 4 & 100 / 11 & 102 / 15\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}140 / 15 & 143 / 17 & 143 / 21\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 16 & 42 / 7 & 43 / 1 & 45 / 9\end{array}$ | 102/20 105/11 106/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}143 / 22 & 153 / 2 & 154 / 20 \\ 157 / 8 & 157 / 15 & 159 / 19\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 18 & 53 / 8 & 54 / 20 & 58 / 4\end{array}$ | 109/25 110/5 155/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}157 / 8 & 157 / 15 & 159 / 19 \\ 159 / 21 & 164 / 14 & 165 / 12\end{array}$ | 58/24 59/4 63/11 $69 / 14$ | 160/1 179/24 182/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}159 / 21 & 164 / 14 & 165 / 12 \\ 171 / 13 & 172 / 11 & 172 / 14\end{array}$ | 83/1 83/8 83/11 83/14 | 200/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}172 / 18 & 173 / 1 & 173 / 4 & 173 / 5\end{array}$ | 96/5 97/3 162/25 173/23 | worked [2] 73/10 119/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}173 / 6 & 173 / 11 & 173 / 24\end{array}$ | 181/7 | worker [3] 69/14 69/18 |
| 174/2 174/2 $174 / 7 \quad 174 / 11$ | $37 / 25 \quad 57 / 20 \quad 69 / 12 \quad 96 / 21$ | 70/2 workers [1] 69/13 |
| 174/12 174/13 174/16 | whole [13] 7/4 30/22 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { working [5] } & \text { 102/13 } & 110 / 8\end{array}$ |
| 174/21 174/25 175/2 | 50/1 58/6 58/8 71/21 | 120/25 122/6 173/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}176 / 8 & 178 / 1 & 178 / 5 & 179 / 5\end{array}$ | 96/3 131/24 135/14 | works [1] 201/18 |
| 179/12 179/22 179/23 | 141/12 161/18 163/13 | world [3] 95/19 95/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}180 / 10 & 180 / 13 & 180 / 17 \\ 186 / 4 & 188 / 13 & 189 / 18\end{array}$ | 184/17 | 96/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}186 / 4 & 188 / 13 & 189 / 18 \\ 192 / 7 & 193 / 17 & 195 / 19\end{array}$ | why [27] 50/12 69/17 | worse [4] 106/23 106/25 |
| 192/7 193/17 195/19 | 70/1 70/1 81/22 95/14 | 110/12 114/2 |
| whenever [3] $10 / 8$ 98/5 | 105/7 106/10 106/10 | worst [1] 17/4 |
| 172/18 | 110/8 113/9 113/16 | would [97] 12/16 12/21 |
| where [54] 13/5 15/3 | 113/16 114/1 128/23 | 14/2 14/7 14/21 14/25 |
| 16/18 21/25 22/3 27/9 | 144/6 146/22 152/12 | 18/2 18/6 19/3 21/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} & 3 / 6 & 37 / 18 & 42 / 16 & 42 / 18\end{array}$ | 155/5 166/13 175/7 | 25/20 25/25 28/14 31/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 4 & 46 / 5 & 50 / 14 & 61 / 18\end{array}$ | 5/20 181/24 190/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 19 & 32 / 7 & 34 / 4 & 39 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 64/8 65/6 69/10 70/15 | 191/1 193/10 194/14 | 45/18 54/10 55/9 60/17 |
| 82/10 86/18 86/20 89/22 | wide [1] 44/1 | 60/20 61/9 61/14 61/17 |
| 93/22 93/22 93/23 94/1 | WIESE [1] 1/20 | 64/15 66/9 67/5 67/13 |
| 94/11 95/18 101/24 | will [25] 13/17 13/20 | 68/19 73/5 73/6 73/13 |
| 103/22 118/19 124/16 | 20/7 24/6 29/9 31/6 | 76/14 77/6 79/9 81/1 |
| 125/12 127/13 131/13 | 34/10 40/19 41/23 47/10 | 81/7 81/23 81/24 81/25 |
| 136/23 138/13 141/6 | 54/5 57/8 66/19 69/5 | 82/2 82/2 83/17 88/10 |
| 148/4 149/16 156/21 | 85/17 89/10 102/15 129/5 | 88/21 103/12 103/16 |
| 159/8 168/6 173/21 | 138/12 138/19 141/6 | 108/6 108/6 108/7 108/8 |
| 175/18 175/19 176/8 | 163/6 183/20 198/14 | 109/21 113/13 120/12 |
| 176/20 176/22 179/9 | 198/15 | 124/20 125/16 127/14 |
| 179/10 179/17 180/21 | lliam [1] 137/10 | 134/19 134/20 134/20 |
| 180/21 | willing [1] 82/3 | 134/21 134/21 135/10 |
| WHEREOF [1] 204/17 | wish [1] 34/3 | 137/25 139/23 142/8 |
| Whereupon [17] 13/18 | withdraw [5] 66/20 69/24 | 144/15 148/21 149/18 |
| 20/9 24/7 29/10 31/7 | 72/4 129/5 183/20 | 150/23 150/25 152/24 |
| 34/11 40/20 $42 / 1$ 47/11 | withdrawing [1] 72/7 | 153/13 155/24 155/24 |
| 51/11 54/6 57/9 69/6 | withdrawn [1] 153/6 | 155/25 156/3 157/24 |
| 85/18 98/19 130/25 | within [3] 114/2 123/15 | 157/25 158/3 158/21 |
| 138/20 | 153/9 | 158/24 159/3 159/15 |
| wherever [2] 10/7 10/14 | without [27] 17/20 23/7 | 161/3 163/9 163/22 |
| whether [18] 12/8 12/12 | $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 24 & 28 / 18 & 33 / 20 & 35 / 24\end{array}$ | 164/25 173/23 175 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 19/5 } & 37 / 14 & 46 / 20 & 73 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 20 & 48 / 17 & 50 / 20 & 50 / 22\end{array}$ | 175/18 178/15 180/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 79/23 } & 80 / 24 & 131 / 7 & 132 / 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}87 / 17 & 100 / 6 & 112 / 21 ~ 113 / 6 \\ 130 / 3 & 169 / 4 & 169 / 5 & 169 / 5\end{array}$ | 180/24 197/22 |
| 139/14 154/24 154/25 | 169/19 176/9 185/23 | wouldn't [6] 91/2 111/16 124/21 143/24 156/22 |
| 165/14 167/4 169/9 | $\text { 189/7 192/5 192/12 } 194 / 1$ | $194 / 15$ |
| 169/10 190/1 | 197/20 199/19 | writing [1] 117/10 |
| which [33] 7/19 8/2 13/8 | witness [21] 3/2 4/2 | written [5] 18/14 193/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 24 & 32 / 18 & 42 / 20 & 48 / 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 6 / 25 & 45 / 20 \quad 48 / 23 & 52 / 6\end{array}$ | 194/10 194/12 196/5 |
| 61/11 $68 / 13$ 74/15 87/20 | 87/23 91/15 94/3 117/13 | wrong [7] 22/17 105/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}89 / 18 & 101 / 16 & 108 / 1 \\ 108 / 14 & 111 / 1 & 118 / 7\end{array}$ | 124/6 129/13 129/18 | 114/8 115/20 116/8 |
| 108/14 $111 / 1118 / 7$ | 130/9 134/19 163/1 | 119/10 180/8 |
| 121/12 130/6 138/12 | 171/24 172/9 172/9 | wrote [3] 127/24 165/13 |
| 144/2 146/12 150/17 | 199/25 204/17 | 166/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}154 / 11 & 155 / 5 & 157 / 9 & 161 / 3 \\ 166 / 5 & 173 / 5 & 176 / 9 & 179 / 6\end{array}$ | witnesses [2] 134/21 | wwhgd.com [1] 2/11 |
| 197/14 199/22 |  | X |
| while [15] 23/10 36/4 | word [6] 112/18 164/18 | X ray [10] 139/8 139/2 |
| 43/9 51/2 67/13 87/3 | 167/13 170/5 180/12 | $140 / 6 \text { 141/17 141/19 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 92 / 20 & 105 / 25 & 107 / 12 \\ 107 / 14 & 123 / 19 & 143 / 18 \end{array}$ | 180/15 | 145/2 146/10 148/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}153 / 2 & 175 / 14 & 183 / 13\end{array}$ | wording [1] 115/20 | 149/19 149/20 |


| X | 35/10 37/11 38/2 39/17 |
| :---: | :---: |
| X rays [5] 137/3 137/17 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 / 15 & 44 / 22 & 45 / 1 & 48 / 11 \\ 48 / 25 & 51 / 25 & 52 / 20 & 53 / 23\end{array}$ |
| 148/11 157/18 157/22 | 48/25 51/25 52/20 53/23 60/7 76/13 77/11 87/11 |
| X-ray [1] 5/16 | $87 / 25 \quad 92 / 14 \quad 93 / 23 \quad 95 / 14$ |
| xraylumspineph1.jpg [1] 137/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 99/7 } & 103 / 14 & 104 / 17\end{array}$ |
| xxx [1] 1/21 | 117/12 127/11 127/11 |
|  | 129/22 130/11 135/13 |
| Y | 141/8 150/17 151/12 |
| y'all [1] 44/4 | $\begin{array}{llll}163 / 12 & 165 / 17 & 168 / 12 \\ 169 / 6 & 169 / 19 & 177 / 17\end{array}$ |
| yeah [108] 8/5 8/7 9/16 |  |
| 9/19 10/5 10/16 10/24 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 7 & 11 / 15 & 15 / 1 & 15 / 19\end{array}$ | 199/10 199/13 200/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}17 / 7 & 19 / 20 & 21 / 6 & 21 / 19\end{array}$ | you've [6] $18 / 2$ 148/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 16 & 23 / 20 & 24 / 19 & 24 / 23\end{array}$ | 158/10 159/20 177/22 |
| 25/17 25/19 25/24 26/5 | $181 / 1$ |
| 27/4 27/13 27/25 28/6 | your [384] |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 3 & 29 / 22 & 30 / 16 & 31 / 18\end{array}$ | yours [1] 163/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}31 / 21 & 31 / 25 & 32 / 5 & 32 / 11 \\ 33 / 18 & 35 / 3 & 35 / 6 & 35 / 9\end{array}$ | yourself [7] 12/9 12/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 18 & 35 / 3 & 35 / 6 & 35 / 9\end{array}$ | $\text { 52/21 } 115 / 13 \quad 115 / 15$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 16 & 35 / 20 & 36 / 1 & 37 / 5\end{array}$ | 175/2 181/8 |
| $37 / 7 \text { 37/13 37/19 37/22 }$ $\begin{array}{lllll} 37 / 24 & 38 / 16 & 38 / 24 & 39 / 11 \end{array}$ | yourselves [1] 149/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 25 & 42 / 23 & 43 / 11 & 43 / 25\end{array}$ | Z |
| $\begin{array}{llll}44 / 2 & 45 / 21 & 46 / 1 & 47 / 4\end{array}$ | Zuvia [1] 26/9 |
| 50/19 50/23 51/2 52/7 | Zuvia [1] 26/9 |
| 57/16 57/16 57/23 59/16 |  |
| 61/16 62/18 65/15 65/21 |  |
| 67/19 70/12 75/5 78/21 |  |
| 79/4 79/14 80/18 80/20 |  |
| 80/20 81/13 84/5 85/2 |  |
| 86/2 86/17 98/1 98/8 |  |
| 100/21 104/16 105/9 |  |
| 107/14 108/19 109/11 |  |
| 110/2 111/18 117/4 |  |
| 117/24 124/1 124/17 |  |
| 126/6 143/5 148/8 171/18 |  |
| 178/19 183/13 185/24 |  |
| 189/12 200/16 |  |
| year [18] 46/8 46/10 |  |
| 59/23 60/8 60/10 60/15 |  |
| 66/2 82/7 82/7 110/3 |  |
| 122/7 122/14 182/15 |  |
| 192/15 196/11 197/21 |  |
| 197/22 197/22 |  |
| years [14] 18/13 82/8 |  |
| 82/8 89/12 104/24 109/10 |  |
| 109/13 136/20 136/25 |  |
| 178/14 182/14 192/10 |  |
| 197/21 197/21 |  |
| yellow [1] 117/15 |  |
| Yep [1] 99/13 |  |
| yes [283] |  |
| yesterday [12] 6/18 |  |
| 19/21 62/23 88/20 89/5 |  |
| 103/6 111/24 116/1 116/2 |  |
| 118/4 119/23 122/1 |  |
| yet [6] 18/23 57/6 |  |
| 127/10 182/24 192/10 |  |
| 200/16 |  |
| you [1262] |  |
| you'd [2] 40/5 80/24 |  |
| you'll [2] 198/21 198/22 |  |
| you're [61] 7/3 8/13 |  |
| 9/13 9/14 12/2 18/9 |  |
| 18/10 22/19 23/7 24/1 |  |
| 24/24 27/5 34/15 35/4 |  |
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THE COURT: Back on the record, Case No. A637772. We're outside the presence of the jury. Go ahead.

MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge. Defendant has a 50 (a) motion for judgment as a matter of law with respect to two matters. Seeking to exclude Dr. Smith's opinion -- opinions and testimony regarding loss of household services and hedonic damages.

As you know, NRS 50.275 permits a witness to testify to matters within the scope of scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge which will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence and determine the fact in issue.

Hallmark, of course, requires that the expert be qualified in an area of scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge, that that knowledge must assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence and/or determine a fact in issue, and the testimony must be limited to matters within the scope of the
specialized knowledge.
Now, Dr. Smith, defendants' -- plaintiff's expert, testified that he did not perform any standardized testing to establish a baseline for Ms. Garcia's household services that she performed prior to the accident. He didn't establish -- use any objective standardized testing to establish a baseline for those -- for the diminishment in household services after the accident.

Same thing with enjoyment of life. He didn't establish any baseline for her enjoyment of life prior to the accident versus after the accident using objective criteria; he -- he just merely relied on -on the plaintiff's subjective, self-reporting, self-serving assessment.

Now, his figures that he provided for both household losses and enjoyment of life for these statistically -- average statistical person is not recognized in any literature. As Dr. Ireland testified to, it appears to be a creation of his own personal opinion. So based on that, Your Honor, Dr. Smith's opinions and testimony regarding loss of household services, as well as loss of enjoyment of life, must be stricken.

And -- and then the second part of that was
his -- of the 50 (a) motion is to exclude plaintiff's testimony regarding her loss of enjoyment of life. She testified to subjective, self-serving statements regarding the diminishment of the enjoyment of life. She did not provide any facts to support the basis for loss of enjoyment of life; she merely referenced various activities of daily living and -- and household services she did before the accident, which don't really go to the enjoyment of life.

She provided an arbitrary number to the percentage that she believed those activities were diminished, but there's -- that's a separate damages. The household services is a separate damages component of her claim. That certainly doesn't support the loss of enjoyment of life. She did not otherwise quantify how her -- how she enjoyed life prior to the accident and how it was diminished in enjoyment after the accident. She can't just refer to an activity to quantify the level of enjoyment of life.

For those reasons, Judge, I request that you exclude all of plaintiff's testimony regarding the loss of enjoyment of life claim.

MR. TINDALL: And, Your Honor, we join in Andrea Awerbach's motion to this extent: They cannot make a claim for past loss of household services
because the testimony from Dr. Smith is, "I don't know if she paid any amount;" and the testimony from plaintiff is she didn't pay any amount for past household services/losses. She didn't hire a maid, she didn't bring anybody in to mow the lawn, anything of that nature.

This is no different than a claim for medical damages. A plaintiff doesn't get to come to court and say, "Well, you know what? I was hurt, but I couldn't afford to go to the doctor. So if I would have, I would have had to spend this much money on medical expenses." That's not something a trier of fact or -- or a court can award.

So in this case, since she didn't incur any past loss of household services, we respectfully submit the Court would abuse its discretion if it allowed her to make a claim now for past loss of household services submitted.

MR. ROBERTS: I will address the last point first.

While she said that she did not hire anyone and couldn't afford to hire anyone for past household services, there was testimony from her daughter Emilia that she, in fact, did perform household services for her mother that her mother used to be able to perform
and was no longer able to perform. And the fact that Emilia didn't charge for those services and donated them instead is a collateral source. And they can't get summary judgment just because a collateral source came forward and provided services.

In addition, a loss of household services is not something you have to incur like a medical expense in order to recover it in the past. It's like damage to a car. The car is in an accident, you have an appraisal saying it's 5,000 to fix the car. The fact that you haven't fixed the car yet, you may never fix the car is not a defense to paying the reasonable value of the damage that you caused.

As far as the argument on Dr. Smith, I think we've done that two or three times, and I'm satisfied to rely on the record unless the Court needs to hear more on that motion.

THE COURT: All right. Do you want to say anything else?

MR. TINDALL: Yes, Your Honor, just this: In regard to his argument about the daughter, that would be the daughter's claim. She would be the one entitled to money because "I provided the service, and I didn't get paid for it." That's not a collateral source. That's the daughter's claim, and she isn't making one.

THE COURT: You know, I think it -- it is a collateral source if the -- the mom suffers the damage, the daughter provides the service and doesn't charge for it. I mean, it's like -- it's like having an injury and having a doctor that provided service without billing for it. I mean, it doesn't mean that you weren't hurt, and it doesn't mean you didn't incur the -- the treatment. You just, maybe, didn't have to pay for it because of the collateral source.

Now, sorry. Motion is denied. What else?
MR. MAZZEO: That's -- well --
THE COURT: Is that it for now?
MR. MAZZEO: Yeah. Let's do it. Why not?
So during Roger Strassburg's
cross-examination, he was able to lay foundation and admit a number of Facebook photos, and I have the same Facebook photos in Andrea Awerbach's trial exhibits. They're just enlarged, and they have the date stamp on it.

But since Roger Strassburg laid the foundation for the -- the fact that they were posted at around the same time that the date is indicated on those -- on Jared Awerbach's trial exhibits which coincide with those that are on Andrea Awerbach's trial exhibits, I would like the Court to deem Andrea

Awerbach's Facebook photo trial exhibits admitted as well to the extent they're the same exact photos that Mr. Strassburg had admitted last week.

THE COURT: And the reason that we're going to admit two of the same pictures is what?

MR. MAZZEO: Well, just because it's -- it's a larger photograph, it's clearer, it's easier to read, and it has the date so no reference has to be made to a date. And the date was part of the foundation that Mr. Strassburg laid for the admissibility of those records.

Now, with your -- the direction from the Court to eliminate the information, that was not -that was adjacent to the photograph, the Court's not -jury's not going to have that reference.

So for -- for those photographs that coincide or are identical to Andrea Awerbach's photographs, I want these deemed admitted.

THE COURT: I think we already have the pictures in.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Good.
THE COURT: Not -- not yours; I mean theirs.
They're the same pictures.
MR. MAZZEO: Well, they're -- yes. But --

THE COURT: They don't have the date that you put on them.

MR. MAZZEO: Well, I didn't -- yeah. I didn't put on it, but -- right. It doesn't have the date, which was established, and it gives the jury -the jury some reference point rather than trying to guess, so, you know, what date was that from? Was it from 2011? I can recall the plaintiff to -- to do this, but I don't see the point in doing this.

THE COURT: They heard the testimony.
MR. MAZZEO: So do I have to call the plaintiff back to the stand to get these in, or should -- can we -- can we have the same ones admitted? We have one. I mean, there was one that was admitted anyway, and -- which was Andrea Awerbach's K13. And then I had the opportunity to have the other ones admitted, but since Mr. Strassburg did it, I mean, it's just cumulative. It's -- it's a waste of time.

THE COURT: It's cumulative. That's why I'm not going to allow it.

MR. MAZZEO: However, it's very -- Judge, however, it's very important for the jury because it has a reference. The date stamp is what was -- was -was part of the foundation that Mr. Strassburg laid with the plaintiff, and -- and now it's not going to
appear on his photographs. So that's going to be -it's not going to assist the jury if -- if they're guessing, "Oh, when was that from? From what year? From what date?" So this will simplify things during closing arguments for the jury with the date on it.

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor --
MR. MAZZEO: They're identical photographs.
MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, we established, through Emilia Garcia, that the date was not on the original photograph; therefore, it's -- it's not properly in evidence. And if Mr. Mazzeo's representing to the Court that he didn't put it on there, I would like to know who did because it's not on the original photograph.

MR. MAZZEO: They were put on during the course of litigation by one of the parties. So -- but they do correspond to the same dates that -- that -- of the photographs that Mr. Strassburg had -- had admitted.

THE COURT: I believe you.
MR. MAZZEO: Yeah. So -- so I -- that's why I'm asking the Court do this, so that we can -- it's for the jury's benefit. I mean, it's -- so it's easily referenced and identifiable with -- with when this photograph was posted on Facebook. We know that --

THE COURT: You want to stipulate these pictures in?

MR. ROBERTS: No -- no, Your Honor. In fact, the witness testified that many of those pictures were taken years before the date that the defense counsel -one of the defense counsel stamped on there. So I think it's misleading to have it in, and it's not proper evidence. It's hearsay placed on there by plaintiff -- by defense counsel.

MR. MAZZEO: It's not misleading because we have testimony that's in the record from -- from the plaintiff as to when it was posted and as to when the picture may have been taken. So there's nothing misleading about it.

THE COURT: The pictures are already in through -- through theirs. The testimony is already in about the dates. I'm not going to put a second set of same pictures in. Sorry.

MR. TINDALL: Is there any objection to us enlarging the ones that were admitted and taking off the Facebook portion? We have to take off the Facebook portion, but can we enlarge -- enlarge the picture for the exhibit?

THE COURT: I don't think that's going to be a problem. I don't think anybody is going to oppose
that.
MR. ROBERTS: No objection.
MR. TINDALL: Thank you.
MR. MAZZEO: And -- and for purposes of closing argument, there shouldn't be any objection to us writing in the date on the -- in the margin of the photograph to reference when -- to reference plaintiff's testimony as to when the particular photograph was posted.

THE COURT: If you want to tell them the date or write it on the picture as you're showing it to them during closing argument, $I$ don't think there's a problem with that.

MR. MAZZEO: Of course. Okay.
THE COURT: As long as there's support for the date that you're alleging.

MR. MAZZEO: Of course. Okay. That's it.
THE COURT: Good to go?
MR. MAZZEO: Yep.
THE COURT: What are we doing this morning?
MR. TINDALL: We have the MountainView
doctor, Dr. Sondrup here. He should be here in approximately 30 minutes, I would imagine.

THE COURT: Okay.
(Clarification by the reporter.)

MR. TINDALL: $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{p}$. First name is
Logan.
THE COURT: Got them ready?
THE MARSHAL: One minute.
THE COURT: Okay. I think we're ready for them.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the presence the jury.
(The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated. Welcome back, folks. We're back on the record, Case No. A637772 .

Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. TINDALL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. We did this off the record, without you guys represent, but I'm going to ask it on the record.

Do the plaintiffs -- does the plaintiff have any -- have any additional witnesses?

MR. ROBERTS: We have no additional witnesses, Your Honor, and the plaintiff rests their

THE COURT: Okay.
Now we're to the defense case. I don't know who's calling doctor.

Mr. Tindall?
MR. TINDALL: Call Dr. Logan Sondrup.
THE COURT: Come on up, Doctor. Once you get up on the stand, if you would please remain standing. Raise your right hand to be sworn.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Logan Cole Sondrup. L-o-g-a-n C-o-l-e S-o-n-d-r-u-p.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you, sir. Go ahead and be seated. There's a microphone right there in front of you. Try to talk into that.

Go ahead, Mr. Tindall.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TINDALL:
Q. Good morning, Doctor.
A. Good morning.
Q. Could you please start out by telling us what kind of a doctor you are and where you work now.
A. I am an emergency physician. Currently, I work for TeamHealth, which is a large national corporation that employs a number of physicians here in town. Most often, I am working within the St. Rose health system but still carry privileges throughout the Sunrise Health System here in town.
Q. Where did you go to medical school?
A. University of Utah.
Q. Okay. You're an MD?
A. Yes.
Q. What is an $M D$ as opposed to some other type of doctor, like a DO? Can you tell the jury that?
A. I can tell you that the difference is very, very vague. The difference is largely in the type of schooling that we go to. Not that the education is different per se, but that MD is a medical doctorate, which is traditionally called an allopathic medical school. It is the type of medical school that our grandparents went to and our great grandparents went to.

Osteopathic medical school, which is a DO, is more of a new phenomenon that has come -- I don't even
know how long we've had osteopathic medical schools. But, in essence, it is a different pathway to become the same type of physician with the same responsibilities, but you have a slightly different degree. The training in an -- of an osteopathic physician is a little different, but in the end, we're all the exact same, and we do the same job.
Q. Now, I notice you brought some documents up there with you. Can I take a peek at those, please?
A. Yeah. This is just the same thing that's sitting in front of me in this thing.
Q. Okay.
A. I just had it e-mailed to me today.
Q. All right. Ms. Garcia's chart, in other words?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So, Doctor, how is it you come to be here today?
A. I was subpoenaed on Friday night.
Q. Who subpoenaed you?
A. I don't know. I was asleep at the time. It was delivered to my wife, I believe, by somebody in your office, but I don't know.
Q. Yes. We subpoenaed you.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So back in January 2011, where were you working?
A. I was working within the Sunrise Health System, which meant -- which means that I worked at Sunrise Hospital, MountainView Hospital, and Southern Hills Hospitals in their emergency departments.
Q. Okay. So little background about why we're here.

Ms. Garcia is the plaintiff in this case.
She's filed a lawsuit. And you were -- you'll correct me if I'm wrong here, but you were a doctor who saw her at MountainView emergency room on January 5th, 2011.

Am I right about that?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And do you have any independent recollection of this patient?
A. None.
Q. Okay. Anything you know about it right now is because of what you reviewed in the -- in the -this little pile of documents you had?
A. That is correct.
Q. So you have your documents, and then before you is Exhibit 18 from the plaintiff's binder. And feel free to go through that, if you need to, to refresh any of your recollection.

Could you please start by telling us why
Ms. Garcia presented to the emergency room on January 5th, 2011, at MountainView?

MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Foundation.
THE COURT: He can say what his understanding
is. Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Based on what's documented, she presented because of a headache, neck pain, and lower back pain sustained in a car accident two days prior to when she presented to me.

BY MR. TINDALL:
Q. If you look in the binder, there's a little code down at the bottom right-hand corner, reads GJL76.

Do you see that page?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this physician clinical report?
A. This report in front of me is the documented report that I made during and after her encounter in the emergency department.
Q. Okay. And if you go to JGL78 [sic], there's a sentence in parentheses, reads, "Electronically signed by Sondrup, Logan."

What does "electronically signed" mean?
A. That is when I finish the chart, I have an electronic signature that I put in to indicate that
this is the -- my chart and reflects what happened in the emergency department.
Q. Did you personally see Ms. Garcia?
A. Yes.
Q. Personally examined her?
A. Yes.
Q. Personally heard words come out of her mouth telling you what was wrong?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You are sure about that?
A. I'm positive about that.
Q. So could you please just walk her through what her complaints were and what you did for her.
A. So as to the best of my knowledge, she came in because of a headache, neck pain, and lower back pain. The accident, as I mentioned, was two days prior to her presentation. I examined the patient, did a full examination, and found her to have no tenderness in her spine, from her neck all the way down to her back. I found no bony tenderness whatsoever throughout her exam. I determined that she had a likely muscle strain from a motor vehicle accident and discharged her home with pain medication and muscle relaxers.
Q. Could you please walk us through the exam you did on her.
A. Sure. So the first part of my exam begins with just visually assessing a patient. Are they walking? Are they talking? Do they interact with me appropriately? Beyond that, I examine their heart by listening with a stethoscope. I examine their lungs by the same means. I press on their belly. If it's somebody who's got a neck or back injury, then I palpate the spine from the skull all the way down to the buttocks region.
Q. Can I -- can I break in for a second? What does palpate mean?
A. It means push hard.
Q. You pushed on her to see if she would say "ow" or not?
A. Correct.
Q. When you pushed on her, did she say, "ow"?
A. No, she did not.
Q. Please go on with your exam.
A. After that, we generally see if the patient can walk. I don't remember seeing -- I didn't see any documentation of whether or not she could walk in here, but that's customary. And that's about it as far as the physical exam goes.

If she passes all of those well, in her case, we would diagnose her -- or I would diagnose her with a
muscle strain and treat her appropriately with medications.
Q. Now, on GJL77, there's a heading called, "Clinical Impression." And what is written there is low back strain. Then the next line, motor vehicle accident.

What -- what is a clinical impression?
A. It's a diagnosis. It's my best impression of what is going on based on my medical judgment.
Q. What is a low back strain?
A. It's usually a muscle strain, but it's a very vague term that means back pain. Most commonly comes from muscle strains, but could also come from other problems.
Q. So you pressed on her, she didn't say, "ow"?
A. Correct.
Q. That being the case, how is it you arrived at your impression of low back strain?
A. Based on her history.
Q. Which was what?
A. Well, she had a car accident two days prior. And so somebody has a car accident two days prior and then shows up to see me with pain, more often than not, that indicates a muscle etiology for their pain. If somebody comes in after a car accident and they have
broken their spine, they usually come in the same day, immediately from the accident. They're usually not walking. They usually have some tenderness on their exam.

If it is a muscle problem, usually the patient's pain will develop over a period of hours or days to the point where they come in because they are too uncomfortable at home. Her presentation was very consistent with a muscle strain.
Q. A couple of times now you said the accident happened two days prior.
A. Correct.
Q. Why is it you think it's two rather than some other date?
A. I think I wrote on here two. I wrote on there two -- that the injury occurred two days ago. Right in my history of present illness.
Q. Okay. How is it that you came to have that understanding?
A. I believe she told me.
Q. So you -- you got the impression of low back strain.

$$
\text { Did you take any } \mathrm{X} \text { rays? }
$$

A. No, I did not.
Q. How come?
A. She wasn't tender over any of her bones, and the evidence of -- for -- evidence supporting $X$ rays in the emergency department is very weak in somebody who has no tenderness over a bone understanding, of course, that the only thing that an $X$ ray will show me is if a bone is broken. And if she's not tender over a bone, the likelihood of a bone being broken is very, very small.
Q. So you got the low back strain.

What were your recommendations for her?
A. My recommendations -- let me see. My recommendations were, first of all, to use the medication as needed.
Q. What medication was that, by the way?
A. I gave her Lortab.
Q. What does Lortab do for you?
A. It's a pain medication. It is a narcotic pain medication. I prescribed for her Naproxen, which is an anti-inflammatory medication. And I prescribed Valium, which is a muscle relaxer.

My pattern in patients like this is to recommend they spend about a day resting and then try and move and get back to their normal physical activities as soon as possible after that.
Q. Okay. If someone were to make an allegation
that MountainView or you didn't really see her or didn't really do much for her because MountainView found out she couldn't pay, would that be an accurate statement?
A. No.
Q. Does MountainView cut people loose because they can't pay, if they're there, to the ER?
A. No.
Q. If you go to page GJL78, there's a section called "follow-up."

What's that all about? What's that section mean?
A. That is a recommendation for the patient to follow up with a primary care physician within two days after they're discharged from the emergency department to be rechecked.
Q. And I see on there there's actually -- is that what you'd call a referral to a specific doctor?
A. That is a specific doctor referral, yes.
Q. For what reason did you pick that specific doctor?
A. I don't know in this case for sure, but there -- it -- he came to be the referring -- he came to be the physician by one of two means. Either he was her primary care physician and we referred her back to
her primary care; or in the case that she did not have one, we would have referred her to the physician that was on call.
Q. Okay. We subpoenaed you. You were required to come to court. But we also paid you.

What did you ask to be paid to be here?
A. Our fee schedule is $\$ 750$ per hour with a three-hour minimum.
Q. And what do you mean by "our fee schedule"?
A. Our group has a standard fee schedule.
Q. So even though we subpoenaed you and even though we're not representing the person you treated, we were required to pay you. Is that fair?
A. That's fair.
Q. Thank you, Doctor.

MR. TINDALL: I'll pass the witness.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Dr. Sondrup, good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. If you learned today that the motor vehicle accident actually occurred three days prior to Ms. Garcia's visit at MountainView, meaning that the accident occurred on January 2nd of 2011, would that change any opinions that you've rendered with regard to
your physical examination --
A. No.
Q. -- and diagnosis?
A. No.

MR. MAZZEO: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
Audra, could I have Exhibit 18, page 5,
please -- 18, page 5.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Doctor, do you see the patients -- just as your custom and standard back at the time at the hospital, 2011, did you see the patients before or after the triage nurse saw them?
A. Probably after.
Q. And did you know Nurse Mae Taylor, RN?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you find her to be reliable and competent?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: And, actually, Audra, could we have page 4 briefly just to give the doctor some context.

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Okay. So, for context, are you familiar with this type of triage report?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. And blow up the top
third for us, Audra.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. And this would indicate that the triage was done on Ms. Garcia at 1317 on January 5th; right?
A. Yes, that is correct.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Next page, Audra, page 5.

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Would the triage nurse take notes that you had access to when you did your exam, assuming the triage was done first?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. And could you blow up "physical assessment," Audra. BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Okay. So if the triage nurse wrote that "Ms. Garcia appears in pain and anxious," you have no reason to dispute that?
A. No.
Q. "The patient appears uncomfortable and in

You have no reason to dispute that?
A. No.
Q. "The patient shows apparent trauma."

You have no reason to dispute that; right?
A. No.

MR. ROBERTS: And back to page 4, Audra, under "history."

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. And so when the nurse took the history, the nurse indicates that the automobile collision occurred three days ago; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would have had access to the nurse's notes saying the accident occurred three days ago even if Ms. Garcia had told you two days; right?
A. Yes.
Q. But, as you said, it would have made no difference in your evaluation or treatment of her; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So as you sit here and -- and looked at the document showed to you by other counsel and you looked at the triage report, did that refresh your recollection of actually treating Ms. Garcia, or are
you still relying totally on the records?
A. I'm relying totally on the records.
Q. Okay. If this was just a muscle problem, would you have expected the pain to go away eventually even if Ms. Garcia got no further treatment?
A. A isolated muscle problem, yes.
Q. Did Ms. Garcia have a spondylolisthesis in her spine when you examined her?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Okay. You would have needed a film to know that; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did Ms. Garcia have any disk damage?
A. I do not know that.
Q. Okay. And you would have needed a film to
determine that; right?
A. An $X$ ray would not have shown that.
Q. Would an MRI have shown disk damage?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't have an MRI; right?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. Thank you, Doctor.

MR. ROBERTS: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Tindall?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. TINDALL:
Q. Doctor, what was plaintiff's pain level on discharge?
A. I'm not quite sure. I'm sure it's documented in here somewhere, but I can't remember.
Q. Well, take your time and flip through there for a minute, see if you can.
A. 6 out of 10 .
Q. Okay. That would have been before any meds got ingested by her; right?
A. I don't remember if I administered any medications in the emergency department, but I have not seen any evidence that any was given. So --
Q. Could you, please?
A. -- yes.
Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.

Did you finish your answer?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you please turn to JGL4546.
A. 4546? We're going back a ways; right? I'm still at JGL70s.

All right. I have no idea where 4546 is.
Q. That's all discombobulated, isn't it?
A. 47. Oh, sorry.
Q. All right. Well, let me -- let me see if I can speed this up.

May I approach with this?
MR. ROBERTS: Yeah.
BY MR. TINDALL:
Q. I got it right here, Doctor. What is this document?
A. This is a document that I used to print out before I went to see the patient. This was the documented nursing assessment note, and that is my handwriting on it of what she told me when I was examining her.
Q. Okay. Based upon reviewing that, what did the plaintiff tell you when you were examining her?
A. That there was a car accident two days ago. She was a restrained driver. There was no head injury, no loss of consciousness. And back pain initiated -began today on the day of -- of service.
Q. So regardless of what the triage nurse wrote, you personally spoke with the plaintiff; she personally told you the information you handwrote?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Thank you, Doctor. THE COURT: Mr. Mazzeo? MR. MAZZEO: Nothing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
Audra, Exhibit 18, page 1, the bottom, under "medications."

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. And I'm just following up on the question as to whether or not Ms. Garcia had taken any type of medication when she reported her pain level to you --
A. Sure.
Q. -- a 6 out of 10 .

And if you look at the bottom of the page,
"Advil 800 milligrams."
Is that a normal dose of Advil?
A. Yes. Depending on the size of the patient, but yes.
Q. Okay. How many pills would that be if you got a --
A. If it's the over-the-counter form of Advil, which I guess it is because it's Advil, that would be four.
Q. Four Advils.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And then you wrote, "last dose this a.m."

And since this is the afternoon, she had already taken four Advils; right?
A. It appears that way, yes.
Q. Okay. And then just -- and then we see this -- the time you saw her was at 1407.

So it was after the triage nurse; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you, Doctor.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TINDALL: Nothing further, Your Honor.
MR. MAZZEO: Nothing, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any from our jurors? I'm not seeing any hands.

Thank you, Doctor. You're excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Defense have additional
witnesses?
MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Your Honor. May we approach, please.

THE COURT: Sure. Come on up.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: Who's the defense next witness?
MR. MAZZEO: Your Honor, yes, the defense is going to call Defendant Andrea Awerbach.

THE COURT: Good morning again, ma'am. I'm going to have you resworn again because it's been a while since you were here.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Andrea Awerbach, A-n-d-r-e-a
$A-w-e-r-b-a-c-h$.
THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Go ahead and be seated.

MR. MAZZEO: May I proceed, Judge?
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Mazzeo.
MR. MAZZEO: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Good morning, Andrea.
A. Good morning.
Q. Andrea, would you tell the jurors where you live currently?
A. 4217 Orion, O-r-i-o-n, Avenue. That's Las Vegas 89110.
Q. Okay. You're fine to proceed? You want to take a moment?
A. Just one second. Windy days. I'm good.
Q. Okay. Andrea, who do you reside there with?
A. My husband, my two granddaughters, and my son.
Q. And how long have they been residing with you?
A. My son moved in at the end of January, after graduating Las Vegas Rescue Mission earlier in that month. My husband relocated from California, Thanksgiving 2014. He was my boyfriend at the time. And my granddaughters moved in the day before Thanksgiving 2014.
Q. And tell us about your educational history since high school.
A. Well, actually, I didn't graduate high school. I have a GED. I went on later to get my bachelor's. I have a master's. And then the school district has rankings after your master's. So I have a master's plus 32 credits. I chose not to turn that into a PhD because I went for national board certification, which I held up until my retirement.
Q. Okay. And would you tell the jurors what was your employment at the time of this accident?
A. I worked for the Clark County School District. My main assignment was as a primary autism teacher at an elementary school, but I worked at what we called extra duty or enhanced compensation. I tutored students with individualized educational plans who maybe had been taken out of school for behavior. I tutored children via the homebound program, kids who had had surgeries or long-term illnesses. I mentored other teachers.

And then I don't remember if it was 2011, but during that period I also did tutoring. There was a lot of -- I think it's called SES, supplemental money. I think it was called supplemental -- for failing schools. So I tutored students through those companies as well.
Q. And tell us about your work with the special ed kids.
A. I've been blessed to do a variety of things. My primary work has been with young children with autism, first through -- mostly first through third, varying from children who were severely disabled in the area of communication.

So in third grade they might still be in diapers, nonverbal, violent. And within that same classroom, students who were ready to learn how to
read. So every day was an adventure, a lot of planning.

I also worked for a charter school, middle school and high school math. And it was a project-based school, so a lot of English and language, reading. Mostly my job as a teacher has been language development and behavior.
Q. And why did you go on to teaching?
A. Prior to teaching, I worked in the therapy field. It's funny because, for a time, teachers went -- they were teachers and then they became therapists. I went the other way. I was a mental health therapist who became a teacher because almost all of my clients -- at that time I was -- before I left to go to school -- back to school, I was working for children's behavioral services.

Almost all of them were failing in school, and I felt like what I knew to help parents when -what I knew to help kids was great, but I really wanted to get in there and teach kids how to read and do academics as well.
Q. Why don't you tell the jurors about your family life and your physical abilities at the time of this accident.
A. I have always been a large woman. I have
always been obese, and I've always been morbidly obese. I'm blessed that I don't have health problems. I have no high blood pressure. I have no diabetes. I have no heart trouble. I have terrible hay fever.

So I ran a classroom. I was able to move -you know, they were young kids. When the rest of the district was losing recess, we had to have it to teach social skills. So -- and we did stretching every day on the floor. I was always able to move. I prided myself on that activity level.

I ran a house. I went to church. I went to Nar-Anon meetings. I was very active in the teachers union. So I was always moving. In 2011, that was the case.

## Should I keep going?

Q. Oh, keep going. Yeah.
A. 2013, I retired from the school district on disability for generalized anxiety and depression. While that was wonderful for my mental state and I was able to rest, I didn't realize how much I was not moving as much. You know, you don't have a classroom to run.

For a time, my granddaughters were with us. So I was at the park and things. And then -- as I said, I was not moving as much. I didn't realize I was
losing some of my mobility.
And then, with the girls moving in, I got stretched a little bit and -- and caught that "Hey, you stopped moving."

However, 2015, January, my mom, who had been living on her own -- she was 92 . We realized that she was suffering from a variety of illnesses. She had a very, very serious urinary tract infection, which led to some violent dementia. I became her primary caregiver.

For four months she was in the hospital, but I was there. I was getting calls at midnight, 10:00 in the morning, 2:00 in the morning because they didn't know what was wrong and she would calm down for me.

In April we were able to get her stabilized enough to move home with us, which meant midnight bathroom transfers, moving during the day. So I was active. But, again, I was stuck home. I was a caregiver of two small children and a 93-year-old.

I didn't -- like a lot of caregivers, I lost touch what was happening to my body and began to lose my mobility, which is not typical for me.

Now, my mother passed in her home
December 15th. Now I'm working on -- on my mobility. I'm in physical therapy. I'm pushing to get that back.

I'm not -- I'm very -- I'm fiercely independent. It bothers me to be in this place.
Q. Okay. And -- and you've used a walker during the course of this trial.

When did you start -- first start using a walker?
A. I have regrets because my mother begged me. When she saw how -- even through her being home, she begged me to try the walker. And I was stubborn and stoic.

I began using it shortly after she passed. And then there was no way -- I just saw how much I wasn't doing. I wasn't doing the food shopping. I wasn't doing anything. So once I started to use the walker, I began to use it more.

There's not a way I could make it from -well, if I did it without the walker, it would take probably about three hours and a lot of stops. So I move better with it.
Q. Okay. Now, directing your attention to the day of the accident.

Last week, on -- when you -- on the plaintiff's case, Mr. Roberts called you to the stand, and you had testified that you received a call from the police officer at some point that day; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And can you now tell us about the conversation you had with the police officer?
A. He called, asked to speak with me, said that my car had been in an accident, that my son had been in an accident. I asked him if anyone was hurt. He said not -- not that he knew of.

He told me that Jared was going to be arrested for DUI, to which I asked, "Is he drunk or is he high?" Because I didn't necessarily understand DUI versus intoxication.

He said, "Ma'am, I'm just calling as a courtesy."

And I said, "I'm not trying to argue you out of that. Jared's going to lie to me. He's going to say he was clean. So I need to know from you what happened. I also need you to know he took the car without permission. Can you -- can you arrest him for that?"

He said, "I'm not going to pursue that."
Q. Okay. And with regard to Jared's use of the car, how many times before the accident were you aware that Jared had used the car?
A. I was never aware when he took it. I came to learn after. I don't know exactly. I'm guessing
between 5:00 and 10:00.
Q. And what was the -- what was the arrangement with your keys? Was that -- or -- withdrawn.

What was the arrangement with your vehicle? Was that a family vehicle? Your vehicle?

Tell the jury what was the vehicle's purpose and who was -- who was the sole user of that vehicle.
A. I used the vehicle. It was a family vehicle in that I did the food shopping, I drove Jared to work, I took Tikeria places.

But it wasn't -- I was the only person who could use the car. When Tikeria had her license, she would have to ask me. We had one car. And, as I told you, I worked multiple positions. The car is mine.
Q. Were there instances where you had to hide the keys so that they wouldn't be taken by Jared?
A. As I testified, I think, on Friday, I was hiding everything. Some of that because Jared was feeling entitled, taking everything. Some of it was --

I started to say feeling entitled. I don't think I finished.
-- taking everything. Some of it is for that sense of control. I -- I came to learn a lot more than I knew at that time, but when there's chaos around you, knowing where everything was -- I had testified that,
you know, I hid school items. I didn't think Jared was necessarily going to steal my grade book, but would they misplace -- would they move it, would they spill something on it?

He and Tikeria were fighting all the time. Could it have gotten lost? I knew where -- you know, I had to keep everything near me. I hid the keys because it was the car key, it was -- and I might have things in the car that I needed. I didn't want them to use the car. It was the house key, it was the mailbox key, it was my school keys, my classroom keys. You -- you lose school keys, you're in trouble.
Q. Okay. And also, Andrea, did you ever give Jared permission to use the car prior to this accident?
A. I had given him permission to use the car when Tikeria had her license. Let's say they had to go to the store or to an appointment, he drove with a select group of licensed drivers, myself included.
Q. And when did you first give Jared permission to use a car with a licensed driver? At what age?
A. He was over 18.
Q. Okay. And was your understanding -- was your understanding that Jared had a permit?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And why was that -- why did you think
that?
A. Well, I had gone with them to the -- to the DMV. I had paid online for a duplicate. If I may speak, I know there was testimony that you can't. I went online on my phone and looked it up. You can pay for a duplicate license. You can pay for a duplicate permit online. I paid online. There were times his grandmother gave him money. Because the story was always that he lost it.

I was -- at the point that I paid online, I was not going to give him the money, so I went online to the DMV and paid with my debit card.
Q. And those instances where you gave Jared permission to use the car, was it a blanket permission, or did he have to ask each time?
A. No. He had to ask each time. Had the situation been different and Jared were not in trouble and had a license, he still would have had to ask me because it was one car and I worked multiple places. I had food shopping to do. I had meetings to go to. Maybe there wasn't gas to finish out the month. There's not a "just take the car."
Q. And what was the first instance where Jared had used the car without your permission?
A. It was a different car, 2008. We were in my
classroom. I gave him the keys to go out to -- to take things back and forth. I got a call from just up the street from the school that he had hit another car.
Q. Okay. And what would you do after you found out that Jared had used your car without permission?
A. Well, 2008, he was involved with juvenile court, so I reported that to his probation officer. I reported it to the judge. I began to hide things more vehemently because I thought he was doing better than he was at that time because, like I said, he was involved in juvenile court.

For any of his behavior, when he was a teenager, I had more -- more -- you know, I could restrict things. I could say that he couldn't go out to the point that he would listen. I could call him in as a runaway. I could call his probation officer. As an adult, there's only limits. There's not punishment. I'm not going to send an 18-year-old -- he wasn't listening when he was 15.

So, again, I would call the car in as stolen. If I found out -- let's say I found out in the morning that he had taken it the night before, I did call that in a few times. They told me there was nothing they could do because the car was back. I called it in a few times, he would get it back before they could --
could find it.
Again, I was -- I was pulling back more and more. I was detaching more and more. But anything that I was doing -- let's say I was helping them with -- they were renting a TV from Rent-A-Center. I no longer provided those funds.

I also began to increase my research on -how was I going to move -- money was very, very tight for us. So I had to find some place I could get into -- get into a loan. I began to look at the eviction process. It's not as easy to do when you live in an apartment. I increased my meetings with Nar-Anon so I could learn -- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{n}$.

Nar-Anon is the sister program to NA, just like AA has Al-Anon. It's for family members to learn how to cope with that chaos.

Maya Angelou has a saying, "You do what you do till you know better. When you know better, you do better." In that time, I was still learning an awful lot.
Q. Okay. And at some point, did you come to learn that Jared did not have an actual permit?
A. I have never completely understood Jared's status. When he now regained his -- his driving privileges and got the SR22, he showed up in the system
as having had something. He showed up in the system as being revoked. It wasn't new. So I -- I have never been clear on whether he had one and didn't comply, if he never had one.
Q. Okay. And what was your understanding -why -- why was Jared driving that first week of trial, that Mr. Roberts made a point about?
A. If I could say, I -- I don't want to be argumentative, but if I can say, the reason anyone knows Jared was driving is that no one was hiding anything. I parked at the side by the handicap -- by the ramp. Jared let me out. All the attorneys had to do was ask. They didn't have to wait at the corner or take pictures. I would have explained it.

At that -- and in January, Jared went to the insurance company -- his own insurance company. Got an SR22. He paid for that. The following week, we went to the DMV. We went together, although it's easier for my husband to do it, because I was transferring the car -- my mother's car to us.

Interestingly enough, I brought with me to the DMV the paperwork that Carson City, when I called them, told me to bring. When I got to the DMV, everything they had told me was wrong. So I had that experience with Carson City. They didn't know about
the 45-day rule. They had told me the wrong papers. That's a side story.

We went to the DMV. Jared turned in his SR22. He paid for the permit. He was not intending to take it that day because he didn't have the book and we had his daughters, but he decided to try it. He didn't pass it that first time. The following week, he was still living at the mission. On his day off from work, he took a bus to the Sahara DMV. Took the permit test. Passed it. Made an appointment for the driver's test on February 1st.

On February 1st, he went to the DMV with my husband. Took his road test. Passed that. He was told there was a -- the reinstatement fee was $\$ 162$. He chose at that time to wait to pay the reinstatement fee because he was also a client at Goodwill. He's doing very well in their level-up program. His case manager asked for good -- said Goodwill would like to pay that money for you. It's a bureaucracy. It takes a while to get that check. We were waiting. He did not drive alone during that time.

We had the paperwork. He had the SR22. He had the paperwork from the DMV. He had both test scores. He continued to drive with a licensed driver. The only driving he did was from the -- the curb to the
parking garage. I also want to make it clear, Jared is not driving, because I drive. I drive. It may not be comfortable for me, but I drive. We wanted -- my husband and I wanted to drive with him for as long as possible because just because you have a license doesn't mean you can take on a car. Just because you have a license, even though they're your daughters, I'm their guardian. We wanted to see how he was driving.

So we, as a family, decided to wait for Goodwill to pay that 162. We called here from -- from court a few times and said where are we going with that because there seems to be some confusion. She said to Jared, "Please wait. I'm going to get you that check."

There was so much confusion, and there was so much tension, and there was confusion more -- you know, I expect certain things from the opposing counsel, but our own counsel was so confused that Jared, on his own, paid the 162 to get the license. The license and the driving privileges are not necessarily the same thing.

If I lose my license today in Albertsons, I have to replace that license. But I get to drive till they send me the new license. So that was our understanding. He then got that, and now he has a license and drives on his own.
Q. Thank you.

MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Hearsay.
Foundation. Nonresponsive. Move to strike.
THE COURT: I don't think so. Overruled. MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. All right. Andrea, let's talk about your relationship with Jared between the ages of 14 and 19 years old. So I want you to describe, you know, what the -- what the home life was and what your relationship with Jared was like.
A. It was up and down because he wasn't using the entire time. He went in -- into treatment a few times. He came home clean. He worked in NA program. There was also the -- the competing factors of the fact that he had been blinded in one eye at the age of 13 , and I didn't know how much was acting out. And he also had been diagnosed attention deficit. He had been diagnosed depressed. He had been diagnosed bipolar. I was not always getting the greatest counsel from -from the programs he was involved.

So when he was clean, there was a lot of hope. We were the unit that we have always been. He was active in my classroom. He's always been involved in my -- in my teaching. I went to meetings with him. We cooked together. We went to church together. We
went to celebrate recovery together.
When he was relapsing, it was always confusing. I think a lot -- unlike a lot of people that make the mistake of saying, "You know, I don't use drugs, so maybe I'm wrong," I have since learned to say I don't use drugs, so we're going to go by what I know.

He -- when he was active, he was able to hide that very well. He was incredibly manipulative. He was relentless. It's not a comfortable -- it was a time that I was sleeping with my wallet and my keys, and the sponsors for my program said you have to do that for now. I didn't always know how to -- you can't just send someone out of the house. If they don't go, the police don't evict them. There's a process you have to go through.

So it was up and down, it was confusing, it was heartbreaking. And then, the end of 2010, my first grandchild was born. The -- it got more confusing. The stakes, in essence, got higher. I didn't know where necessarily to -- to draw that line because I needed to make sure that the baby was safe.

So there was a lot of grief, a lot of mourning, a lot of -- I don't know if the appropriate term is post -- a lot of stress, a lot of nightmares. It was years until I slept well. Then you add the
stress of a stressful job. I love teaching. I did not love the politics of teaching. I didn't love the ever-changing policies. I didn't love the cookie-cutter, one-size-fit-all for any child. So I was constantly battling. There was the union work, which I thought was important to my job. It was a very, very stressful time.
Q. Yeah. Thank you. And -- and you kind of touched upon it, but maybe you can elaborate some.

How did Jared's addiction with marijuana affect your social life during that time period?
A. My social life consisted of meetings, and I don't know if you call it union work, social life. I didn't go out; I didn't date. I became more isolated. There was a time when was younger -- 14, 15 -- that if I went out, say, with friends to -- to lunch, I was going to get a call. Even if I brought him with me, I was going to get a call that something had happened. So I -- I didn't attempt to go to the movies. I had friends who were supportive. You know, I spent time at school with them, but I didn't -- I didn't go out.
Q. When did you first learn that Jared was using marijuana?
A. I think he was about 13 when I learned.
Q. And what is your own personal insight as to
the characteristics of an active addict?
A. I liken it to zombies. You know, people are crazy about zombies and zombie movies. I love zombie movies, but not the zombie part. I'm not -- just for the makeup. The killings -- it's just relentless. The way that the addiction takes over, changes character, changes everyday conversation. And the -- the addict's sole job is to get what they want. Your sole job as the nonaddict is to try to preserve peace and go on with life.

Always one step ahead of me, maybe four or five, and I'm his mother, so it's not a comfortable feeling to be constantly questioning your son. If your son says to you, "Hey, you look nice today," to be thinking, "Where's my wallet? What does he want?" To be constantly criticized. There's a lot of power play, in my experience, in addiction.

So we would have arguments about ridiculous things. Whether I was making chicken or steak for dinner, he would argue one and then not be there for dinner.

I think you also -- what I learned is that you have to be very careful of the advice that you get from people because it's not always -- people don't always know, and people are at different levels.

That's not the question you asked me. You asked me about an addict.

Addicts are relentless. It will change your character even when you see glimmers of hope. I don't think most addicts want to be doing what they're doing. There's a desperation. There's a loneliness. There's tremendous guilt, which they then project onto -- at least Jared did project that onto me.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And what were some of the things that you did to help Jared with this -- with his addiction?
A. We went through a lot of counseling. Jared went -- I went to my own therapist to learn parenting strategies to -- to get through that stress. Like, as I shared, I went to Nar-Anon. I was very active in the Las Vegas Recovery Center, which has a family renewal program. They have an excellent program for families. I went through their four-day workshop I think four or five times.

I worked with his probation officers when he was in the program. Whatever they offered for families, I offered to not come if they thought a break was necessary. They never wanted that.

I drove out to Utah when he was in rehab there at least once a month, usually twice because they
asked. I reported him. I argued. He had one probation officer in particular who was incredibly enabling. Jared can be very charming. And I argued with that probation officer to send him to Utah. He wanted to recommend Spring Mountain, which is local. I said, "He will be home in three weeks on a pass. He needs to go."

I did a lot of begging. I remember Jared asked for help. I took him -- one night he called me. I was at work. He said, "Can you come home? Can you take me?" I took him to Montevista's intake. At that intake, I was pretty sure he was -- he was high. The intake worker said that he didn't rise to the level of in-patient services.

Maybe six weeks -- I'm not exactly sure of the time, but a very short time later, Jared was a patient at Montevista, inpatient because the courts put him there. He did rise to the level. I spent a lot of time arguing with cops. I would call them because he would either run away or had stolen something. Police officer would come to the house, say, "I'm not going to take him in; I'm going to give him a good talking to."

And -- and -- and I came up against some prejudice being a single mother where our skin colors are not the same. And I think, well-meaningly,
officers thought they could talk some sense into him. Doesn't work that way.

I remembering being at a meeting with his assistant principal. Jared had been drunk on campus. I asked them to call school police. I said, "I'm not coming. I'm not leaving my job until you call school police."

He said, "No, we're not going to do that. We're going to let him stay here. If he wants to leave, we have to let him leave."

I said, "Isn't it against the law to be drunk on a high school campus?"
"We're not going to call school police."
I met with the assistant principal after.
She said, "There's so much more you can do as a parent." She stood up over her desk to look at Jared's shoes because she was going to say to me, "You know, don't buy him expensive sneakers." The shoes he had on were from Payless. She said to me, "Take away his electronics." What electronics? He had no cell phone. I had done those things.

It's hard for me. I try to be a very positive person. I still carry some resentments about people who didn't do their jobs that I think could have made it easier.
Q. Was Jared also involved in Eagle Quest and Bridge Counseling?
A. Eagle Quest is a group home. He was privately placed there for three months. And Bridge Counseling was the out patient, and they have a drug and treatment program. I -- I believe it's primarily for adults, but yes, he was involved in both of those.
Q. And tell us more about the Nar-Anon and your involvement with Jared in that.
A. Nar-Anon is a separate program -- well, it's a sister program. There's NA, which is 12-step program. There's AA, which is 12-step program. There's Gamblers Anonymous. Those are the three basic. There have been other 12-step programs. There's OA. The Anon programs are for family members, spouses, friends, anyone who can be in that codependent relationship.

You go to -- to Nar-Anon -- it's a -- you have 12 steps as well where you work a program, get a sponsor. You learn how to set boundaries. You learn how to keep yourself sane, how to detach lovingly. People get from it what they want to get. It's not necessarily a program of here's how to fix your addict, although you come in that way.

The very first Al-Anon meeting -- because
there were many more Al-Anon than Nar-Anon. The very first Al-Anon meeting I went to, I thought, "Oh, good, I'm going to run into parent -- I'm going to see parents. Maybe they'll have some suggestions." And I was shocked that it was an hour that we didn't talk about Jared. Then I was relieved because I had to get stronger, I had to set those boundaries. We were slowly slipping into Jared's standards, and then not so slowly slipping. I had to elevate us. So I was very active in Nar-Anon. I was a sponsor. I was a speaker. I went to multiple meetings a week. I went to Al-Anon as well. I went to NA.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And what obstacles, if any, did you have from family members in getting help for Jared?
A. I have a difficult family. I have addicts in my family. My mother was quite a bit older. She was almost 41 when I was born. Different generation. Every limit that I set flew in the face of what she thought was appropriate. It devastated her and did damage to our relationship when Jared went to juvenile hall, when he went to detention. It devastated her when, as an adult, I didn't protect him from his consequences.

Jared is the youngest of six grandchildren
and was the closest to her. She'd do anything for his attention and affection. Before I realized how sick she was, she was allowing Jared to live in her senior apartment, putting herself at risk. This is later when he was an adult. So she, whether deliberately or not, undermined each thing I did, either by giving him money when I said no money or by telling Jared that I was wrong, by taking sides, by believing any story that Jared told. It fit in her picture that I was controlling and I didn't understand him.

My -- I had other family members who used with Jared, who, again, undermine, who taught him -well, not taught him but may have said, see what you can get from your mom when you go home this weekend." I have -- I had family members who kept him when he ran away and fought me when I sent the police and fought me in court although they were not providing -- he wasn't going to school, he wasn't clean when he was with them.

So I didn't have that support from -- from my family. I had the opposite. I had to -- to fight them and drag -- and so, thankfully, Jared was in program last year and safe and away from my mom so that I could then step in as her caregiver. And we had that almost year together of us taking care of her and bonding and being there, and that was tremendous healing for me.
Q. And you're talking about the Las Vegas Rescue Mission?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Before we get to that, in your experience with Jared and his addiction, what have you learned personally?
A. I have learned quite a few things. I have learned not to give up hope. I have learned to surround yourself with people of faith. And it doesn't have to be the same faith as me, but people with faith who will stand with me when I'm getting weak.

I think the biggest thing I learned, and one of the biggest mistakes I made -- and I see parents make this a lot -- is when Jared said he wanted -whenever he said, "I want to get clean," I would help him with that. I have since learned -- I can't say for every family -- that it was more important for Jared to work this out on his own. And so when I completely broke contact with him just before he went into the mission, $I$ think that was a better turning point for him. This is his now; he owns this; this is his recovery.

It's very hard when anyone you love, but especially your child, says, "Please help me get clean," to not jump in. But for us, that was a
mistake. He needed to -- to do that on his own.
Q. And how long is this -- was this program for Jared when he went to the Las Vegas rescue mission?
A. I believe the program is 13 months. Jared, either today or tomorrow, celebrates 18 months clean. He started out at the Las Vegas Recovery Center. He actually went to the mission as a holding bay before going to the Salvation Army and chose to stay in the mission's program, which is -- I think the program is called Genesis. It's a 13-month program with -- you can stay sometime after that to transition.

Jared stayed about two or three weeks after his graduation and then decided he was ready to -- to move home full-time.
Q. Now, was his stay there voluntary? Could he come and go as he wanted at the Las Vegas Rescue Mission?
A. It's not a lockdown facility, but if you leave when you don't have a pass, you're going to be -be kicked out of the program.
Q. Okay. And -- and what was the purpose for Jared going there?
A. I -- I can share what he shared with me. I can share what I have seen. The -- why he chose the mission is it is a faith-based program. It's a

Christian program --
MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Okay. How is Jared now?
A. This -- I -- I have not known this Jared. I'm getting to meet my adult son for the first time. He's much calmer than he ever was. That anger, that arrogance is gone. He's incredibly stressed. We all are. The stress will drop when -- when the trial's over, but there's a lot -- much more communication. He's moving into that full-time parental roll. When he first would come home on passes, it was daddy fun time. Last couple of months, it's daddy no time. Which is -you know, those of us with young kids know it's not fun to tell a five-year-old no. He steps up; he does it.

He was an incredible support with my mom. By
the time he could have weekend passes, those weekend passes consisted of his coming home and taking the night shift so his stepfather and I could sleep. That power struggle is gone. I was my mother's primary caregiver. Jared deferred to me and then gave his suggestions on how to transfer her -- I mean, he works -- at the time he was a resident of the mission, he was -- his work therapy was security. Dealt a lot
with elderly people, sick people, hospitals. There were things that he knew, but he didn't steamroll or bulldoze as he did in the past.

He's pursuing his faith. His faith beliefs are a little different than mine, but he's serious about them. He's fun. Like I said, he is stressed right now. He's -- now he's a member of the staff of Las Vegas Rescue Mission. That's a new position for him. He's also starts school tomorrow in a certificate program for nonprofit management. Then there's trial, then there are his daughters.

So he -- we have a running joke because, you know, I'm 53, my husband is 45. And we have a running joke among the three of us of who's the oldest, who's the -- who's the biggest stay home body. I'm very proud of him. It's nice to make that connection, to have family time to do things. He's intense, but not that negative intense. He has a reason to be intense.
Q. What's the difference between -- in the relationship you have with Jared now as opposed to five years ago, at the time of this accident?
A. I think the biggest difference is that I'm not afraid. Relapse is always a possibility.

What's different now is that Jared has a very strong program and plan. He has mentors. It certainly
helps that he works at the mission. But even when he was working his part-time job at CaptionCall, he had meetings, he had mentors, he had a faith base.

I know so much more. My boundaries are so much stronger. I've gone through being afraid that I was going to lose him and facing that.

There's a future planned as -- I'm looking forward to having my own time. I love my granddaughters. I would like to be a grandma and not a full-time parent. I'm looking forward to -- now the focus is on my health. And -- and that's a difference too, that the whole world does not center around Jared. Now it's about getting me to my exercises and moving.

His stepfather was just diagnosed with diabetes and high blood pressure. So we're all learning the right recipes and things. Now there's a family commitment.
Q. And you touched upon it a few minutes ago. What are Jared's responsibilities in the home at this time?
A. Jared's an adult living in the home. You know, I don't check his room to make sure his bed is made, but his room is -- is his room. The house is his house. We take turns with chores.

Some physical things fall more to him when

I'm not strong enough. I try to do as much as I can. He's responsible for his daughters. I mean, if he's worked a graveyard shift, then I'm on girl duty till he wakes up. He contributes to the house from his paycheck. He gives -- for a while, we did 2/3-1/3. We do 50-50. He's responsible for his own recovery, for maintaining that.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And also, Andrea, has Jared expressed to you the importance of taking responsibility for those injuries sustained by the plaintiff and only those injuries that are related to the motor vehicle accident?

MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Hearsay.
THE COURT: I think it is. Sustained.
MR. MAZZEO: Okay. Thank you, Andrea.
Nothing further. I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Tindall? Mr. Strassburg?
MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. STRASSBURG:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. Jared -- after he entered the Las Vegas Rescue Mission, you indicated that was, what, about --
was it 15, 18 months ago? It would have been October of 2014?
A. I'm not exactly sure when he entered the mission. He went from the Las Vegas Recovery Center to the mission. He was there under what they call special circumstances and then began the program.

So I don't know exactly, but yes. I think that timeline is right.
Q. At the time he entered the -- that program, were you done with him? Were you estranged? Had you had enough?
A. I wouldn't put it in those terms. I had broken -- I had broken contact completely for my own sanity but also for his. I am -- I never gave up hope on my son.

Was I done with being involved with him on a daily basis? Yes. I did not visit him in the hospital. That had as much to do with what I felt was best for him. I mean, I think, as someone who loves an addict, you're always looking for what's going to be their bottom. When are you going to get there? What is my -- what's the message $I$ want to send?

So not to be rude, but saying things like "done" and "fed up" are kind of cavalier. It's like when a kid breaks a lamp or, God forbid, your husband
cheats on you for the last time. It's more intense than that.
Q. I'm sure I'm going to ask stupid things just because I -- I can't imagine what you've had to go through. Just bear with me. I'll -- I'll try to --
A. It's not a stupid thing. If you haven't gone through it, then you don't know the right -- exactly the feeling.
Q. Yeah. Did you stay in touch with him when he was in the Las Vegas Rescue Mission?
A. I first -- Jared reached out to me the day before -- I don't believe in coincidence; I believe in divine intervention -- the day Before Thanksgiving, not knowing that I had the girls, and invited me to -- the mission does a family dinner the day before Thanksgiving -- and invited me to come.

He said, "I'll understand if you don't want to go." My then boyfriend, now husband, was packing to leave.

I called him and said, "What do you think?"
He said, "Let the girls see their dad, see how it is. You'll be in a safe place. If it's not good, you can leave."

I went to the -- to the mission for the dinner. Jared was already different, already calmer.
Q. How far into the program was he at that point?
A. I don't know exactly. But if -- if -- he was either before Phase 1 -- I don't think he was Phase 1 yet, but he had been at the mission a considerable amount of time.
Q. The blackout period?
A. I don't know what you mean by "blackout period."
Q. Fair enough. Please continue, ma'am.
A. The program runs several programs. There's a program for people who are there overnight. There's a short-term program. There's a program for women. And then there's the long-term genesis project -- program.

I believe Jared -- but you get counseling and you get spiritual guidance and you have certain things that you have to do whatever level that you're at.
Q. And after the Thanksgiving invitation that you accepted, did you from time to time go back to the mission?
A. We brought the girls for visits on either Saturday or Sunday and saw him there once a week, once every other week, especially once my mom became ill.

He got one emergency pass in January to see her in the hospital. We went very, very slowly in our
visits because, again, I had -- I had made that mistake of being involved too early. We went very slowly.
Q. So it sounds like this time was different because he had to do it on his own.
A. I think that's one of the reasons. I think he was incredibly tired. I think it was their mother calling me and saying "please take the girls" was a relief for him. When -- when he was using -- when he was active, he still maintained as much contact as he could.

He's always been worried about the girls. He was always trying to kind of get to the girls. I think that gave him a certain peace, but it also set different limits because $I$ wasn't babysitting and I was temporary guardian. In June, I became permanent legal guardian.
Q. For his two kids?
A. Uh-huh. And he wasn't the only one that was different. I was always the strongest. Each -- about each year, I got stronger. This was the strongest I had ever been. I'm stronger now than I was 13 months ago .
Q. During the time he was in the program at the mission, with what regularity would you say that you had contact with him there?
A. We had phone contact several times a week. We had visits, like I said, every week, every other. With each phase, you increase a little bit more with what privileges you can have and what you can do and what's -- what's beneficial.

There were times that we didn't do a pass because Jared had an appointment or something he wanted to pursue for his recovery. And that was okay. There were times that we would see him. Like I said, once he was able to get passes, he came home for a few hours. Then he built up to sleepovers.
Q. During the time that he was in the mission program and you had contact with him, what changes in his character did you observe yourself?
A. Primary change I saw was how calm he had become. As I mentioned, his work therapy was security. You're working security at the Las Vegas Rescue Mission downtown. You can be very tense, very keyed up. I saw a change in how he handled confrontations. I saw a change in how much of a better listener he was, what an evaluator. I credit that to his then supervisor on that work therapy who was very calm, who was about avoiding.

I saw -- much more open to hearing how I felt about things, very open and respectful to my husband.

Jared grew up without a father. Now there's this man in the house involved with your children. Very open, shared his thoughts, very respectful. I saw him happy.
Q. I realize this may be sensitive, but what was Jared's biological father like?
A. Jared's biological father was charming. I mean, he was -- it's not for me to say if someone is an addict, but he used drugs off and on.

We had -- I moved to -- to Las Vegas from New Jersey in part to end that relationship. Didn't quite work. He came out here. And then Jared's father was silent. He was -- he did not contribute. I did not pursue that. I wanted better for Jared.

We saw him once when Jared was nine. His father came to town for something else, looked us up. We had dinner. He made noises about staying. Didn't stay.

And then, when Jared was 18, I found his father via Facebook. We had a conversation -- several conversations. Seemed like he was doing well, that he had -- he said, you know, had he been diagnosed bipolar. He was married. I thought he would be a good contact for Jared.

Came to find out not much had changed. So within three months, no one was talking to each other.

But yeah.
Q. What makes you think that the changes you've observed in Jared are lasting?
A. Changes are only lasting if you have a strong program. And a strong program can be 12-step. It can be faith-based. It's what you do on a daily basis. Jared does those things on a daily basis. A strong program can carry you through a lot. I'm a testimony to that. So ...
Q. What do you mean he does those things on a daily basis?
A. Step 10 of a 12 -step program is to reflect on the choices you made during the day and to take accountability for them.

I watch him reflect constantly. He -- he will come back to me often and say, "I'm sorry. Was I rude with you? Is it okay if I take a nap now after work?"

He's -- he's not assuming anything. He's much more gentle. That arrogance, that entitlement, there's not a sense of entitlement to him.

Relapse is always a possibility. If Jared doesn't go to meetings, if Jared doesn't talk to his mentor, if Jared doesn't talk to his sponsor, if he doesn't study his scripture, the -- the changes may not
be lasting.
But, for now, that's what I see. He's not jumping to do anything. When he graduated from the mission, he didn't come home the next day.
Q. When he graduated from the mission, was there a ceremony?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go?
A. Yes.
Q. What was his role in it?
A. Jared was one of three speakers at the ceremony.
Q. Three -- why were three speakers selected out of the graduating class?
A. From what the -- the pastor and the president said at the ceremony, he felt that their testimony would have the most benefit, show the most growth, the most potential for success, I think perhaps the most commitment to the program.

But, again, when I say "most," 14 people graduated that day. Fourteen people were committed, had done the work. Fourteen people. So it's not he was better -- that he -- he was -- I think -- this is just my opinion.

I think also Jared is an articulate young
man, so he was chosen. I think possibly because of our family's involvement with the mission -- I helped facilitate a Thursday night meeting at the mission. We had -- actually, we had our wedding -- my husband and I got married in July. We had our wedding at the mission.
Q. Does -- you said Jared has a job?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to the time that he got the job -which he got during this trial at the mission?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he have a prior job?
A. Yes, he worked at --
Q. What was that?
A. I'm sorry. He worked at CaptionCall, which is a relay service. It's for hearing-impaired and deaf people. You get a subscription. And one party calls, and the -- I think they're called communications assistants -- speaks into a program called Dragon Speak. The computer types that. The other person reads it. My husband works there as well.
Q. And, to your knowledge, do you know what Jared was making at that CaptionCall job?

MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Irrelevant.
MR. STRASSBURG: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought
there was a punitive damages claim.
THE COURT: Come on up.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
BY MR. STRASSBURG:
Q. Do you know what he was making?
A. I want to say 11 and change, between 11 and \$12. That was when he was working for CaptionCall.
Q. How long did he work at CaptionCall?
A. A few months. He left the position to go to the rescue mission, which was really his dream.
Q. To your knowledge, he didn't have any income while he was in the program at the mission?
A. In the beginning phases, no. They're not allowed to earn money. At Phase 4, they have day jobs where you can earn -- you -- you may go out and move someone's house there --

And then, when he was Phase 4 and eligible, he went to work at CaptionCall. He started working while he was in the program.
Q. The rates they pay in the mission are, like, minimum wage?
A. \$10 an hour, I think. I don't know how much they -- I think they get $\$ 10$ an hour.
Q. To your knowledge, when Jared started in the program, he was broke?
A. Yes.
Q. You said he helped you with the rent; right?
A. Currently?
Q. Yeah.
A. He -- he puts a portion of his income into the house. He's an adult living in the house.
Q. Sounds fair. He just moved home the Thursday before the trial started?
A. I'm not -- I think so, yes. I think that was it.
Q. And what's the rent that he's paying?
A. We have a formula based on either half of what he's making -- first we said two-thirds, but he had more things that he wanted to -- to take care of for the children and things like that.

So he turns half his check -- when he -- the two weeks that he was in trial, when he got his CaptionCall check, I said, "Go ahead and keep that money."

But, again, he's not -- he's not a child. He doesn't keep that money so he can go to the movies. He keeps that money because he has to pay his insurance and he has to buy things for the girls. So he
contributes in that way. For a long time, I was carrying everyone.
Q. You said that he is pursuing -- he just started pursuing an educational goal?
A. Yes. The mission -- not the mission -Goodwill helped him find a program. It's a certificate program through UNLV, not a degree program, nonprofit management. He'll start classes -- I think his first class is tomorrow morning.
Q. Okay. And do you know who bought him that suit he wore the first day of trial?
A. I believe that Goodwill gave him a voucher for that, and he went to Ross's with it.

There -- there is -- I don't think he wore a jacket. There is a suit that my husband and I went and got him for work interviews.

It's part of the mission's program that they take you on interviews for Cosmopolitan casino. And he asked for that. And they went to, I think, Burlington and got a suit jacket for $\$ 100$.
Q. Do you know what he's making at the mission?
A. I believe it's minimum wage. It is
full-time, but it's minimum. It'll be around what he made at CaptionCall, I would guess, because it's less an hour.
Q. Ma'am, I realize this has been difficult to rehash all of these old wounds, and thank you for your time.
A. You're welcome.

THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Ms. Awerbach, you said that -- you told the jury that Jared's anger is gone?
A. No. I said he's less angry.
Q. Okay. You -- you heard him making noises and storm out when Ms. Garcia was testifying; right?
A. I saw him get frustrated and walk out.
Q. Did you hear the audible noises he was making while she was testifying?
A. I may have, yes.
Q. And you've told the jury all the things you think that Jared has done to become a better person. He was not that person on January 2nd, 2011, was he?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And -- and on the date of the crash, you knew he was a drug addict; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew he was irresponsible?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew he could not be trusted?
A. Yes.
Q. And just to talk about a few specifics, ma'am.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Prior to January 2nd, 2011, you were aware that Jared was using illegal drugs; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you became aware that Jared was using drugs as early as the eighth grade; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you acquired that understanding that he used illegal drugs from living with him?
A. Yes, and from his telling me.
Q. And from his telling you.

And from you drug testing him randomly for a time period; right?
A. Not in the eighth grade.
Q. Okay. When -- when were you doing the drug testing?
A. He was older, and it lasted for a short time. Because the therapist I was seeing -- let me backtrack.

I came to realize that Jared was rigging the test. I smelled rubbing alcohol. For marijuana, you don't test weekly. So once he tested dirty, I would have to wait 30 days. They were about $\$ 40$ a pop at that time for a drug test.

My therapist said to me, he -- "I want you to stop drug testing. It's not -- it's not working for you. It's creating kind of a police state in the home." So I stopped drug testing.
Q. And prior to you stopping, is it fair to say that Jared had failed over 75 percent of the drug tests that you administered to him?
A. I don't know that that's true. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. The January 2nd, 2011, accident was not the first wreck that Jared had caused; right?
A. No. He had an accident in 2008.
Q. Okay. And you knew that because you showed up at the scene; right?
A. They called me.
Q. Okay.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And on that former occasion where Jared had wrecked a car, did it cause property damage to someone else's vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. And that day you had given Jared the keys to go get something out of your car or to do something for you; right?
A. I had given him the keys because Jared was moving back and forth from my classroom to the Dumpster, from my classroom to the car. I was packing up. I was cleaning up. So he had the keys back and forth.
Q. Okay. So prior to January 2nd of 2011, you knew that, when you gave Jared your keys in order to go get something out of your car, on at least one prior occasion, he'd taken the opportunity to use your car and cause property damage?
A. Yes. I also knew there were times I gave Jared my keys and I got my keys back and nothing happened. There were times between 2008 and 2011 that Jared was doing well and clean.
Q. And Jared did not have a driver's license in 2008; right?
A. No. He was underage.
Q. And he didn't have a driver's license when this crash occurred in 2011; right?
A. No, he did not.
Q. And you told the jury that you went online
and that you thought he had a learner's permit or -because you had paid for it online; right?
A. Because I paid $\$ 40$ with my debit card. The charged went through.
Q. And you told the jury this morning that you went and checked on the website and confirmed that you can pay for a duplicate online; right?
A. From my phone when I heard that the -- that the witness from the DMV said that you can't pay for a permit online.
Q. Can you get a duplicate license if you don't already have a license?
A. No. That's why I said I never understood the status. Because why would the DMV take my $\$ 40$ for a duplicate permit if he didn't have one?
Q. Let's talk about Jared's permission to use your car on January 2nd of 2011.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When a lawsuit was filed against you, did you know that your attorneys had filed an answer to the lawsuit?
A. I -- I didn't know the process.
Q. Okay. Were you aware that the complaint alleges that Defendant Andrea Awerbach did entrust the vehicle to the control of Defendant Jared Awerbach?

Were you aware it had that allegation?
A. I'm aware -- I'm aware now. I can't tell you when I became aware of that.
Q. Were you aware that your attorneys admitted that allegation in your answer on January 23rd of 2012?
A. They used the word "stipulated." Again, I don't know the difference in legal terms.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that, as part of pretrial litigation procedures and lawsuits, the parties are able to ask questions to each other in writing?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And ask parties to admit things in writing so they don't have to prove them at trial?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. MAZZEO: Your Honor, may we have a sidebar, please?

THE COURT: Sure.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: All right. Folks, we're going to go ahead and take a break and let you guys have lunch, and we'll come back and finish this after lunch.

During our break, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else about any
subject or issue connected with this trial. You are not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio.

You are not to conduct any research on your own, which means you cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case.

You're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you back at 1:15.
(The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury. I understand there's an issue with regard to the amended complaint and the amended answers to admissions.

I've got a lunch appointment in five minutes. Can we come back at 1:00 o'clock and take care of it?

MR. ROBERTS: That's fine, Your Honor.

MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Judge. That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. Thanks, guys. Off the record.
(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Back on the record. We're outside the presence of the jury. You guys wanted to go ahead and make your record on this issue.

MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Judge.
I had -- during Mr. Roberts's re--- or cross-examination of Andrea Awerbach, I had requested a sidebar because he was asking her questions about responses to RFAs that were -- that were drafted and filed by her counsel on June 5th of 2012. And I'll start with that document because there's a sequence of documents here that will help the Court understand what went on with this.

So in that request for admission that was served by the plaintiff, Ms. Awerbach's counsel at the time had responded to Request No. 2. Request No. 2 is, "Admit Jared Awerbach was operating your vehicle on January 2nd, 2011, with your permission." Response to Request No. 2 admitted. And that was filed, as I said before, by prior counsel, Alex McLeod, on May -- sorry. June 5th of 2012.

And then -- and then, we have also, in that
same month -- about ten days later, actually -- filed on June 22nd -- or 21st, we have Ms. Awerbach's answers to interrogatory questions. And -- and -- and, in particular, Interrogatory No. 1 states, "Do you dispute that the driver, Jared Awerbach, was a permissible driver of your vehicle on January 2, 2011? If so, state all facts upon which you base your answer that the driver was not a permissible driver." Response to Interrogatory No. 1. After the objection, the answer is, "Jared did not have my permission to drive the vehicle." That verification was signed by Andrea Awerbach on June 15, 2015, ten days after the -- ten days after the request. The response to the RFAs were signed by defense counsel. So here we have a contradiction here.

Then we move on to the -- to the plaintiff's amended complaint filed on January 14th of 2013. Directing the Court's attention to the third cause of action, specifically paragraph 23, which states "that Defendant Andrea Awerbach didn't trust the vehicle to the control of Defendant Jared Awerbach."

Looking at the defendant's answers filed on February 7th of 2013, paragraph 17, "In answering paragraphs 23 through 26 of plaintiff's amended complaint, defendants denied -- denied each and every
allegation contained therein, including paragraph 23, referencing entrusting the vehicle."

And then we have Defendant Andrea Awerbach's correction to her response to plaintiff's first set of requests for admission dated October 20th, 2014. And it's referencing that request for Admission No. 2. "Admit Jared Awerbach was operating your vehicle on January 2, 2011, with your permission." Response to request, "Andrea admits she learned, after the accident, that Jared Awerbach had operated her vehicle on January 2, 2011, but Andrea denies she gave him permission."

So I would ask the Court to preclude plaintiff's inquiry into this. I'm asking the Court to strike any response -- question and response concerning this. Clearly, what we have is a contradiction by -in what Andrea's prior counsel had filed and the response to request for admission. And in the same month, two weeks -- two weeks later, Andrea files a -her answers to interrogatories with a verification where she denies giving him permission.

So with regard to that prior response to request for admission, we filed a correction to it and we're doing -- we -- we -- want to orally move this Court to strike that answer and accept the corrected
response to the request for admission that was identified subsequent.

THE COURT: Was there ever a motion before the Court allowing you to file an amended answer to the admissions?

MR. MAZZEO: Nothing before the oral motion right now.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: I was looked for a case cite. I can't put my hands on it right now. I will continue to try to look, but with regard to, first, the response to requests for admission, just before we broke, I was attempting to get into Defendant Andrea Awerbach's responses to request for admissions, which were served on June 5th of 2012 and signed by Alexandra B. McLeod, representing that she was the attorney for Jared Awerbach and Andrea Awerbach.

Request No. 2 is, "Admit Jared Awerbach was operating your vehicle on January 2nd, 2011, with your permission." Response to Request No. 2, "Admit."

As I pointed out at the bench, there was an amended response that was later filed by counsel which denied this request, but there was never a motion filed for relief from the first admission, and the rules are very specific. Under Rule 36, requests for admissions
subsection (b), "Effective admission. Any matter admitted under this rule is conclusively established unless the Court, on motion, permits withdrawal or amendment of the admission."

So we've got three and a half years from admitting that Jared Awerbach had permission until today. Three and a half years they could have filed a proper motion for relief from that admission, and they didn't. Before this -- the first witness was sworn in this case and when the judge -- the Court was reconsidering its sanction finding permissive use as a matter of law, I raised the fact that they had this admission out there and that it should be conclusively established, due to this admission, that Mr. Awerbach had permissive use.

They argued the amended response. I am on the record saying, "You can't amend a response. You have to file a motion and seek relief." And yet here we are, almost a month later, and they still hadn't filed a motion knowing I was going to make this argument. It's too late to do so now. We have already rested our case in chief. After we rest our case in chief, it's too late to seek relief from an admission that's binding and conclusive as a matter of law.

With regard to the complaint, even if the
complaint is amended, under Rule 51.035, subsection (2) (a), "If a declarant is testifying at a trial or hearing in the subject of cross-examination, statement is not hearsay if it's inconsistent with the declarant's testimony."

So she said, "I didn't give him permission." She's filed an answer to the complaint agreeing that he had permission. That's a prior inconsistent statement, and there is a case out there -- and I'm trying to put my hands on it -- which says that an answer filed by an attorney in a pleading is a prior inconsistent statement which can be used to impeach a witness at trial.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MAZZEO: That's it.
MR. TINDALL: Our position on this, Your Honor, would be that NRCP 36 (b) allows the Court, even up to this point, to permit withdrawal or amendment of the admission. The plaintiffs did not rely on this request for admission. They're -- this is a last-minute find by them that helps their case. If they had in any way believed that this was going to be something that controlled in this matter, that would have been briefed long ago. As soon as Your Honor made the ruling early on in this trial that, you know, what,

I -- I -- I need to modify what I said. There's actually going to be a rebuttable presumption about permission. That's when they would have stood up, had they been relying on this, and told the Court, hey, he can't do that. It's already established.

So there's no prejudice, is what I'm saying, to allow the amendment pursuant to NRCP 36 that Mr. Mazzeo has now made an oral motion on. Prejudice pursuant to $36(\mathrm{~b})$ is a -- is a factor that -- an element that they have to show. Submitted.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Roberts did bring it up at the time I modified the sanction.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge --
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. MAZZEO: Okay. So Mr. Roberts did not address the fact that there was a -- verified answers to interrogatories, which I brought up when I initially addressed the Court -- he didn't even mention it -which shows that Andrea -- a document that she actually signed and verified by herself, not through counsel, but herself personally, two weeks after Ms. McLeod had signed the responses to requests for admission. Ms. Awerbach said specifically, "I did not give him permission to drive the vehicle."

With respect to complaints and answers,
pleadings can be amended to conform to the facts of the case, and that's what was done in this case. So it's improper for him to look at a prior pleading when he knows very well that, in a subsequent answer to the amended complaint, Ms. Awerbach specifically states and denies the averment that she did entrust the vehicle to the control of Jared Awerbach.

So I mean, I think it's improper, and I -- I can certainly -- even if you deny this request, I can certainly explore all this with Andrea Awerbach in front of the jury to show that plaintiff -- oh -- oh. One other thing, Judge.

Plaintiff had -- had rested. They had their case in chief. They called Andrea to the stand. They didn't address this with her. So if this was a germane issue to their -- to their proving this permissive use, well, they didn't bring it up. And now they bring it up today on the defendant's -- defendant's case in chief.

So I think it's disingenuous for Mr. Roberts to stand up here and say it was an important piece of evidence in contention when, in fact, it isn't. It's denied. It's contradicted in other pleadings in this case. So, again, I would request the Court to preclude it, strike the question and answer regarding this
topic.
THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to strike the question and answer. I think under Rule 36 (b) it says, "Any matter admitted under this rule is conclusively established unless the Court, on motion, allows oral amendment of the admission."

I think that's probably something that had to have been done before plaintiffs rested their case. It wasn't, so I'm not going to permit the withdrawal or amendment. You can't bring up the amendment to the admission. Doesn't mean that you can't bring up the answer to the interrogatory, which is contradictory. I mean, if -- if I read this literally, I think it would preclude you from bringing any evidence that contradicts this, but I don't think that's really the intention of the rule. I think the intention of the rule is to prevent you from coming back and saying, oh, well, we changed our mind at the last second on the request for admission. So I'm not going to let you do that.

But I do think it's fair game that you have interrogatory answers. You have an answer to an amended complaint. I think those are -- those things are fair game. You're just going to end up with a bunch of contradictory responses to the same issue.

And the jury's going to have to sort that out.
MR. MAZZEO: Sure. Okay.
MR. TINDALL: So I think -- for clarification for the record, Your Honor, I think the Court's ruling should be that you are permitting amendment to the extent that the interrogatories can be used because we have this conclusively established language. And what we don't want is a record that the Court can get overturned on because it didn't now rule that that is conclusively established. So we'd like the ruling to be you are allowing the amendment, and the amendment is the interrogatories get to come in as well.

THE COURT: No. I'm -- I'm allowing the interrogatory responses because it's -- it's a response under a different rule. Under Rule 36, I think the matter is deemed conclusively established as it relates to the request for admission. That's why I'm not going to allow the amended admission response.

MR. TINDALL: I understand. Thank you.
THE COURT: I may be wrong, but it makes sense to me. May not make sense to the supreme court. We'll see.

Anything else?
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor. I wanted to make a record on the bench conference where we objected
to evidence coming in as -- as to what Mr. Awerbach's hourly wage was. I objected on the grounds of relevance. There had been a prior motion in limine where all this was argued before the -- the Court and argued twice, the Court -- oh, March -- November 22. Got my code wrong -- where the Court precluded the defendants from making any argument that the verdict would have to be paid out of their own pocket.

And at the time of argument, I'm told by Mr. Smith it was specifically discussed as to punitive damages. But in Nevada, punitive damages are insurable unless there is intent to harm. There's been no allegation that Mr. Awerbach intentionally harmed Ms. Garcia, so punitive damages are insurable. So if we're going to get into assets and ability to pay, his asset is not just the money that he makes from his employment.

An insurance policy is an asset. And so if we are going to get into ability to pay, then we get to put on evidence of the insurance policy and that we made a policy limit demand and now we believe that it's a limitless policy. But in order to prevent us doing that, the order says, no, we're just going to preclude any reference to willingness to pay. So either we're going to have this wide open or we're going to request
to put in evidence of the insurance policy as an asset available to the defendants to pay any judgment.

And certainly the Court has allowed that evidence in. I don't think it's too late to preclude them from making improper arguments that have already been excluded just because the evidence came in. So I would urge the Court to do that at the appropriate time, but that is the basis of our objection. I want to get that on the record.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MAZZEO: Your Honor, just quickly. Seeing as though Mr. Roberts was going from discussing punitive damages to a bad-faith claim, they made a policy limits demand, not for punitive damages but for compensatory damages, and now the policy's full-blown open, and there's no limit to it.

I thought he was talking about punitive damages, but then he went to talking about a potential bad-faith claim. They're not one and the same, and Mr. Roberts knows that. So I didn't follow his argument. I don't think it makes sense, and it's not blown wide open for a bad-faith claim since we're not discussing that. That's not an issue in this litigation.

So also, the -- with regard to Punitive

Damage Instruction 12 PD 22, the instruction states, "With regard to the amount of punitive damages the jury can award, your award cannot either punish the defendant for conduct injuring others who are not parties to this litigation or financially annihilate or destroy the defendant in light of the defendant's financial condition."

So -- so the defense -- financial condition is very much an issue in this case, and I don't think the plaintiff has any -- has any independent understanding as to whether they said that punitive damages can be insured -- or are insurable, but they don't have any independent basis to say that the punitive damages in this case are insurable and have been insurable or will be if the jury finds punitive damages against Jared Awerbach.

So I don't think they have a good-faith basis for arguing now, oh, now let's talk about insurance.

MR. ROBERTS: They've got a policy. The policy doesn't exclude punitives. I would like counsel to make a representation, on the record, as to whether they're saying Liberty is going to refuse to pay any punitive damage award against either defendant. I would like them to make that representation, because I don't think they will, and they're trying to mislead
the Court.
MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, I wonder if I might talk?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. STRASSBURG: The questions were entirely appropriate because they went to the net worth of the defendant or lack thereof. That is a long-standing feature of -- of the law, that punitive damages places into issue the net worth of the defendant. And those questions were entirely appropriate to establish the degree of a punitive damages award that would be necessary to achieve the -- the purposes of punitive damages. And so they were proper.

Regarding the statements as to the -- the -the bad-faith claim, opening up the policy, I think we should have one trial at a time, and I adopt the comments Mr. Mazzeo had as well.

THE COURT: All right, guys. I don't -- I don't think I'm going to allow questions into whether or not there's insurance to pay it. I think the rules and the jury instructions are pretty clear that the financial position of a defendant who is subject to punitive damages is relevant, but $I$ don't know that that changes the jury instruction that says that they are not to consider whether or not somebody has
insurance or not.
Because whether or not a punitive damage award is paid by insurance or paid by the defendant, I don't think is a relevant issue. I know that you disagree with me, but I don't think that's the issue that the jury looks at in determining whether or not to award punitive damages or the amount of punitive damages is whether or not it's insurable or not. So they may -- I don't know if their insurance company is going to cover it or not, and I don't know that it matters.

MR. ROBERTS: If there were stocks, if there were bonds, if there was a car that could be sold, that would come into evidence. An insurance policy that they paid premiums to obtain is an asset that they can use to pay a judgment just like selling stocks or bonds or a house or a car.

So if they want to get into this and claim that they don't have assets when, in the real world, they do have assets, they either shouldn't be able to claim they're -- they're going to have to pay it and it will annihilate them because that's a falsehood. That's eliciting false testimony. If counsel knows it won't financially annihilate Mr. and Ms. Awerbach -the -- Ms. Awerbach and Jared Awerbach and they argue
that it will with the knowledge they have insurance that will pay, that is misrepresenting the facts to the jury. It's making an argument that ought to be precluded by the rules of professional conduct.

THE COURT: Sounds like --
MR. ROBERTS: Insurance doesn't come in, but they shouldn't make -- they shouldn't be allowed to make an argument that -- that isn't true.

THE COURT: Sounds like an issue for the state bar. If they make that statement, that's misrepresentation. I'm not going to deal with it here.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
Two questions I wanted to raise with regard to scope of cross outside the presence.

One is the related issue -- well, first of all, is the related issue to the testimony on Nar-Anon. So counsel elicited -- elicited testimony that Ms. Awerbach attended Nar-Anon, and she talked about extensive rehab that Mr. Awerbach has been through. And as the Court may recall, Nar-Anon was due to meth, spice, and possibly coke. That's -- that's testimony in Ms. Awerbach's deposition where she said meth, spice, and possibly coke.

And I should be able to get into that now because they've opened the door to this, to why he was
there. He's done all of this just for marijuana use and an accident? That's what they want the jury to believe, that he's been to all this effort to make himself better for marijuana use, and that's not why he did it. And so I should be able to get into all of the other drugs that he was doing which caused him to go into rehab and his mother to go to Nar-Anon to deal with it.

Another issue is, in talking about the money, they've said he doesn't have any savings. He didn't have any savings when he went into rehab. Well, one, that's hearsay. I don't know how his mom would know if he had savings. And two, we all know that when he went into rehab, he was an entrepreneur with a cash business, drug dealing and selling drugs, in order to make cash money. And there's no way mom could know how much cash he has stuck in a shoe box somewhere. And I should be able to get into the fact that she has no knowledge of how much cash he squirreled away when he was selling drugs.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, $I$ don't know if Mr. Roberts is serious or not, but this related issue of Nar-Anon, she testified today on direct examination that -- that he has a long history. She described the relationship of -- of -- with him starting with
marijuana at the age of 13 and then described the relationship with him with his use of marijuana for five years from 13 or 14 to the age of 19 . So five or six years.

So he didn't go into rehab or Nar-Anon as a result of this one accident. It was a result of years and years of marijuana use and -- and -- and also, she didn't -- she didn't say he -- she didn't describe all the drugs that he was using as to why he went on, but one of them was marijuana.

So that's one of the -- and I was asking her about the programs that he had participated in during this time period. This certainly doesn't open the door. The -- what I was -- what we're referring to is the relationship, the struggles that -- that Ms. Awerbach had with her son during this time period.

And she was very clear that it was -- the gist was marijuana, but both Ms. Awerbach and Mr. Roberts, in his questioning, used the words "drugs." Ms. Awerbach was -- corrected it and referred to it as marijuana use. So we have a ruling that drugs don't come in. Notwithstanding that, Mr. Roberts used that in his questioning of -- of Ms. Awerbach. So his treatment at Nar-Anon, yes. Did it include marijuana? Yes. Exclusive to that, no.

Was his treatment at Las Vegas Rescue Center exclusively related to marijuana? No. But we didn't go into those factors as to what it -- what it included. So -- but that was -- it was certainly related to marijuana and -- and -- and -- and related to -- to some extent, to this car accident. So that doesn't open up the door for Mr. Roberts then to go into meth, spice, and coke and these other drugs in light of the outstanding order. The door was not opened to -- to permit that.

Secondly, the money. The issue of money, no savings, he didn't have any savings in rehab. Well, she would be -- that's her son; she lives with him. So we can ask Ms. Awerbach outside the presence of the jury what her -- what her basis is for saying that he has no savings. But I don't think, unless plaintiff has a good-faith basis to say that he squirreled away so much money from selling drugs and that he made so much money per week, if they have some sort of matrix that they can show to us with an offer of proof not just to -- to impugn the integrity of Jared and Andrea on the stand in front of the jury without a sufficient basis, well, then, let's see it. Let's see it now before the jury comes in.

If not, then that's -- that's totally --
that's unfairly prejudicial, and there's no grounds for them to -- to bring up the suspicion that he has money squirreled away from selling drugs previously.

THE COURT: Do you want to talk on this one too?

MR. STRASSBURG: Thank you, Judge.
The argued relevance is for punitive damages. The claim against Jared for punitive damages is based on 42.010 for unlawful driving that's unlawful for exceeding the legal limit for marijuana metabolite in his system. His -- it's not predicated upon exceeding the allowable limit for any other drug or illicit substance.

The conduct that the plaintiffs seek to have you allow the jury to punish is this kind of unlawful driving. It's -- it's not being an addict. It's not being irresponsible. It's not for using other kind of drugs. The prejudicial value of this evidence dramatically outweighs any probative impact on to what extent should he be punished for exceeding the legal limit for marijuana metabolite while he was driving.

The punitive damages punish conduct; they don't punish status or an individual. And so it's unfair to the plaintiffs to claim that this door is opened because the only door there is leads to
marijuana metabolite, and all of this is just irrelevant to that and prejudicial.

MR. ROBERTS: Let me start with the money from drug sales. This is now, "We don't have any evidence he's still selling drugs." I was looking at the police report. I saw he had 8.8 grams, which I've been informed by people who probably don't know, is $\$ 100$ a gram. That's $\$ 880$ worth of marijuana plus a -cash. And that's just on one day.

But they're right. I don't have any evidence he's still dealing. So I'll withdraw my request to go into drug use for current assets -- drug dealing. I'm sorry. Drug dealing.

With regard to the -- the punitive conduct, I would agree, as far as Mr. Awerbach, it's marijuana use.

But now let's go over to our claims against Defendant Andrea Awerbach and our negligent entrustment claim that she negligently entrusted her vehicle to an incompetent user. Part of the proof that we're relying on is, of course, that he was an incompetent user and she should not have entrusted her car to him because he was a marijuana addict who smoked marijuana every day and had no business driving a car.

But let's look at the other things that

Ms. Awerbach knew when she either gave him permission to use the car or when she left her keys out or gave her key -- him the car keys to go get something out of her car.

In her deposition, she stated that:
"QUESTION: Prior to the accident, you knew Jared was using meth and weed, and you even suspected cocaine.
"ANSWER: Meth, weed. I suspected cocaine, but I didn't know for sure.
"QUESTION: And prior to 1/2/11, Jared tested positive for both meth and marijuana; true?"

And that was acknowledged as true.
So even -- even if this wasn't relevant to the things that they've opened the door on with rehab, her knowledge that he was not only a marijuana addict but he also used meth and maybe coke go to her reasonableness in allowing him to use the car and whether she entrusted the car to an incompetent driver.

And if the jury finds that she did negligently entrust the car to Jared, it goes further to the amount of punitives. Because it is more reprehensible to give your car to someone doing meth and you know is doing meth in addition to marijuana.

So we still believe that it ought to come in and it's fair game, Your Honor, and certainly now that they've opened the door through this line of questioning.

THE COURT: I think there was a -- it came up before, I think, with regard to a statement that was made during opening statements by one of the defense attorneys that this was -- his time at the rescue mission was sufficient to punish him.

And I think, when Jared was on the stand, I allowed the question, basically, "This wasn't all you were at the rescue mission for is for marijuana?" And he said, "Correct." And we -- we moved on.

I think a similar question is probably appropriate here. She's talking about all this rehab and -- and treatment at the rescue mission. I think there's -- it's probably a fair question if you ask "All this rehab and treatment wasn't just for marijuana addiction or usage; it was for other drugs as well?" She'll say yes, and we can move on without having to discuss it any further.

I think that -- I think that is -- it fixes any, I think, misunderstanding that the jury may have based on the testimony, which has seemed to indicate that all this treatment and rehab and everything was
just for marijuana use. And I think that everyone would agree that wasn't the case. So it fixes that misunderstanding.

But I think it also preserves -- you know, I think if we get into the names of a whole bunch of other drugs, I think that there's more prejudice than probative value. So ...

MR. MAZZEO: And also, Judge, I mean, I would just ask the Court to limit it -- to limit that question to "He wasn't only going to rehab for marijuana use; right? It was -- there were other reasons why he went there?" to leave it more generic.

There's no reason to put in drugs. I know that -- I know that, in Andrea's answers on the stand on direct and cross and in Mr. Roberts' questions, that the word "drugs" was used repeatedly. So that's -- I think that's -- that's already been put into the record without identifying it.

So I don't know if we even have to explore this area any further. But, if we are, I would just ask the Court to limit it to whether her understanding is that he -- "he didn't just go into rehab programs for marijuana use; is that correct?" And leave it at that.

MR. STRASSBURG: And, Judge, I would
appreciate the opportunity to speak as well. I would join with Mr. Mazzeo in requesting that. Because I think that if -- if you do what Mr. Roberts is requesting, then it seems to me that should open the door to the brain injury that he sustained. Because one of the reasons that drove him to the point in his life where he went to the rescue mission of his own volition and made his own personal commitment was his self-perception that he was not right. And the brain injury that -- that he sustained in the fight that fractured his skull and left him blind in one eye was a factor in that as well.

THE COURT: You guys want to reargue every motion in limine? No.

MR. MAZZEO: Oh, but -- Judge, I'm sorry. I do -- there was one other thing I wanted to add.

Mr. Roberts was intimating that, because she knew that he had used meth and cocaine prior to the accident, that she somehow entrusted him with a vehicle knowing that he would be using meth and cocaine and other drugs when, in fact, there is no evidence in this record and any deposition transcript that she knew that -- that Jared had ever previously used the car with or without permission after consuming any sort of -- of drugs or while he was under the influence or
impaired from any substance whatsoever.
So I think that suggestion by Mr. Roberts is inappropriate unless he has a good-faith basis and he can give an offer of proof that she knew that he had -Jared had previously driven this vehicle with or without permission while impaired or after consuming --

THE COURT: I didn't say I was going to allow that.

MR. MAZZEO: Okay. No, I know. I just wanted the record to --

THE COURT: He gets to ask if -- if Jared was in the rehab for things -- for drugs other than marijuana.

MR. MAZZEO: Fine.
THE COURT: I'm going to allow that.
MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
THE COURT: Because you guys are the ones that brought up the whole rehab and the -- you can't make it seem like he was just there for marijuana when he wasn't. So ...

MR. MAZZEO: Fair enough.
THE COURT: What else?
MR. TINDALL: I'd just like to ask permission to go to the restroom one last time before we start.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take a quick
break. Off the record.
(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Let's bring them back.
THE MARSHAL: All rise for the presence of the jury.
(The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated. Welcome back, folks. We're back on the record, Case No. A637772.

Do the parties stipulate to the presence the jury?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sorry for the delay, folks. I guess I should have given you a long lunch. It wasn't our intention.

Ma'am, just be reminded you're still under oath.

Mr. Roberts, go ahead.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Ms. Awerbach, to bring you back, right before the lunch break, I was just getting ready to ask you about responses that you served to requests for
admissions filed by Emilia Garcia.
MR. ROBERTS: And, Audra, could you put up page 1 of Defendant Andrea Awerbach's responses to request for admissions, signed on June 5th, 2012.

There we go. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Okay. And so I'm going to show you right now a response from Andrea Awerbach's responses to requests for admissions. Okay, ma'am?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Audra, could we go to the next page. See Request No. 1 and Request No. 2. Okay. BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Request No. 1, "Admit that, on January 2nd, 2011, a traffic accident occurred between an automobile owned by you and an automobile driven by Plaintiff Emilia Garcia in Clark County, Nevada." And your response was, "Admit."

And you did admit that in -- statement in this document; correct?
A. I'm confused as to how to answer because they are legal -- legal things that have happened and things that I've said. This form was not signed by me.
Q. Okay. Have you seen this form before today?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you know that your attorney admitted this statement on your behalf; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Request No. 2 --

MR. MAZZEO: Objection. Your Honor, can we specify which attorney? I did not admit that on her behalf.

THE COURT: Say it was a different attorney. That's fine.

MR. ROBERTS: We'll agree it was a different attorney who signed the document, Your Honor. BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. And Request No. 2, "Admit Jared Awerbach was operating your vehicle on January 2nd, 2011, with your permission."

And, again, your attorney admitted this on your behalf; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You -- you told the jury about rehab --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- that Jared Awerbach has been through since this collision occurred.
A. Yes.
Q. And I just wanted to clarify for the jury.

That rehab was not just for marijuana use;
A. No.
Q. It was for other drugs as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And you testified about your involvement in Nar-Anon as the family member of an addict; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And your involvement in Nar-Anon was not just about marijuana use, was it?
A. No.
Q. You testified on direct examination that, when the police officer called you from the scene of the crash, you talked to him on the phone, you told the jury that you told the police officer that Jared did not have permission; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You've seen the police report, haven't you, ma'am?
A. Not in a long time.
Q. Okay. You know that the police officer didn't write that down anywhere on his police report; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also testified in the past that you told the police officer to arrest Jared when you were
on the phone with him -- to arrest him for stealing your car.

Do you remember that?
A. I didn't -- you can't tell a police officer anything. I asked him, could we do anything about that? Can you arrest him for that?
Q. Okay. And are you aware that the police officer did not put that in his report?
A. I am aware.
Q. Are you aware that the police officer, in his deposition, stated that he would have put those things in his report if you had told him those things?
A. I was not aware of that.
Q. Now, the first time you had your deposition taken in this case was September 12th of 2013.

Do you recall that?
A. I don't recall exactly the day. I remember a deposition.
Q. But you remember that you -- you had your deposition taken several times and that there was a first time?
A. Yes. Yes, sir.
Q. And you were asked in that first deposition if you had ever let Jared drive your car before January 2nd of 2011, and you answered --

MR. MAZZEO: Objection, Your Honor. Improper impeachment for a prior inconsistent statement. No foundation.

MR. ROBERTS: She's a party.
THE COURT: Come up for a minute.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: Objection's overruled.
Go ahead, Mr. Roberts.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. So I'm going back to your first deposition on September 12th of 2013.

Do you recall being asked, "Before January 2nd, 2011, had you ever let Jared drive your car?" Do you remember what your answer was the first time?
A. I do not.

MR. ROBERTS: Permission to publish the deposition of Andrea Awerbach taken on Tuesday, September 12th, 2013.

THE COURT: They're not published yet?
MR. ROBERTS: I don't --
THE COURT: That's fine. Let's publish it.
MR. ROBERTS: Maybe they should have been if
that's the Court's point.
Permission to approach, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Here you are, ma'am. If I could ask you to turn to page 17 and look at line 18.
A. (Witness complies.) Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. So when you were asked on September 12th, 2013, under oath, had you ever let Jared drive your car, your answer was no; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then your deposition was continued and taken again on October 24th of 2014, a year later.

Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that time you admitted that you had taken Jared to drive because you believed he had a permit; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you admitted that Jared had driven your car prior to January 2nd, 2011; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had admitted that you were in the vehicle on one or more occasions when Jared drove your
car prior to January 2nd, 2011; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And, at another time, you testified under oath that Jared had never asked your permission to use the car.

Do you remember saying that?
A. I don't remember saying that.
Q. Okay. Could I have you turn to page 18 of the deposition in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
"QUESTION: Prior to January 2nd, 2011, had he ever asked for permission to use your car?
"ANSWER: No, I don't think so."
So, under oath, you said that "No, I don't think he ever asked my permission to use the car."
A. Right. I said, "No, I don't think so."
Q. Okay. But we've already talked about the conversation you had with the representative about a week after the accident.

And you admitted that he'd asked to use your car in the past; right?
A. I'm trying to think back to the -- to the statement.
Q. Well, let me save you the trouble of trying to think back to the statement.

In fact, that answer was not correct and -and Jared had asked permission to use your car before January 2nd --
A. Yes.
Q. -- 2011; right?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Court's indulgence. Just skipping a few here.

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. One of the things that you just said on direct this morning was that your -- one of your concerns was to make your -- your grandchild safe.
A. Yes.
Q. There was a car seat in your car; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was a car seat in your car before January 2nd, 2011, when you gave Jared permission to drive it; right?

I'm just talking about on the day of the accident. You -- you've denied that, but you've admitted that you let him use your car before January 2nd, 2011; right? You'd let him drive your car before January 2nd --
A. With a licensed driver, yes.
Q. Okay. And it had a car seat in it; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that your grandchild was going to be in that car seat; right?
A. Yes, I also drove my grandchild.
Q. And Jared also drove your grandchild?
A. I came to find out later, yes.
Q. And you've admitted in the past that, prior to January 2nd, 2011, you had seen Jared impaired or impacted while he was around his children; right?
A. Well, he only had one child, and so I'm not -- I don't recall when I said that, but I would agree to that.
Q. Okay. So you had seen that; that was something you were aware of as of January 2nd, 2011?
A. I -- I need to clarify something.

What I was aware of in the moment and signs and symptoms of use that I learned later. So I may not have known it in that second and thought "Oh" going back.
Q. So it's only looking back on it that you recall now that you saw signs and symptoms of him being impaired or impacted?
A. No. I'm saying there's both. There were
times that he was clearly, to me, impaired; and there are times that I misread it and realized, "Okay. That's a sign of use."
Q. At the time when you didn't have this full understanding, that was after you were aware he had been doing drugs since the eighth grade and had failed all of his drug tests or most of his drug tests; right?
A. I'm not sure what you're asking me.
Q. I'm saying that, when you saw him around his kids and he was impaired and impacted, you knew he was a drug addict then; right? It wasn't something you've realized later with time?
A. No. Knowing someone is a drug addict and knowing whether -- there are times that Jared was working and in school. And I made the mistake of thinking, if you're working or in school, you're clean. I came to learn later, as a lot of parents do, they're not the same thing.

You get -- you learn better symptoms. They're -- you're not high in every moment. And, again, at that time, Jared had one child, who was -had only been born a little over a month before.
Q. You testified when I called you a few days ago -- I'm getting my dates --
A. Friday? Friday?
Q. -- mixed up at this point -- that you had given Jared the keys to your car on January 2nd, 2011, to get something out of it; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What -- what was he getting out of the car?
A. I don't remember --
Q. Was --
A. -- whether he was asking for a jacket or something for the baby or a CD. I don't remember the specifics.
Q. Okay. Did he keep his stuff in your car?
A. Some things, sure.
Q. Yeah.
A. It was a family car.
Q. And where was your car parked when you gave him the keys and said, "Okay. You can go get something out of the car"?
A. In the parking lot right by the apartment.
Q. Okay. And that's where you usually parked it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have a garage?
A. Yes. I didn't always use the garage.
Q. Okay. But you could have put the car in the
garage and locked the garage; right?
A. The garage door didn't -- you could open the garage without an opener. Garage door didn't lock.
Q. Could you have fixed the lock on the garage? How much do you think that would have cost?
A. I lived in an apartment. You don't fix anything unless maintenance fixes it.
Q. Did you ever ask maintenance to fix the lock to the garage?
A. Multiple times.
Q. Why did you park up front?
A. The --
Q. Why did you park your car up front? You previously said that was more convenient for you; right?
A. Easier access, more convenient. I could see it. I could walk out the door and see it. I can't see it if it's in the garage. And if I -- I don't know if I ever testified to this. I'm more comfortable parking in a parking lot than in a garage.
Q. Do you think that driving without a driver's license needlessly dangers the community? MR. MAZZEO: Judge, calls for a legal
conclusion. Personal opinion. Irrelevant.
Foundation.

THE COURT: I don't know if it calls for a legal conclusion. It does call for a personal opinion that -- I don't know that she's identified as that kind of a witness. So let's -- I'll sustain it for now.

MR. ROBERTS: It goes to punitives, Your
Honor.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Ms. Awerbach, do you remember testifying that you had never thought prior to your deposition about whether a driver's license endangered the community or caused safety problems in the community?
A. I don't remember.

MR. MAZZEO: Same objection, Judge. Move to strike.

THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Do you recall saying that you thought Jared driving showed bad judgment but you didn't know whether it was a safety problem?
A. I don't recall being asked that in that way. MR. MAZZEO: Judge, can we approach, please? THE COURT: Sure.
(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: Objection's overruled.

BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Ms. Awerbach, as you sit here today, do you take any responsibility for Emilia's injuries?
A. Yes.
Q. Explain.
A. I take responsibility for -- for the environment that -- that created those choices. I take responsibility --

I also work a 12-step program. It's all about accountability and responsibility. There are things that I wish I had known then. There are things I wish I were stronger about. There are things over which I have no control that I wish I'd had control. There are things I would suggest and say to Ms. Garcia now that I'm not able to do.

But because I can't do it doesn't mean I don't feel responsible for it.
Q. So the only thing you feel responsible for is the environment in which Jared was raised?
A. That's not what I just said. There's a lot that I -- that's not the only thing I feel responsible for.

Emilia Garcia and I are -- are very similar in many ways. She's facing a loss of her mother. I just went through that. She has children in a
difficult situation. She doesn't have a level of confidence about her body. She's in pain. There are a thousand things that I would love to be able to tell her. I'm a person with a lot of information. I'm not able to share that with her. Do I feel responsible? Do I wish I could find a way to do that? Yes. Do I wish that I had made stronger decisions, that I had moved out from living with Jared sooner? Yes. But at the time, those things didn't seem possible.
Q. Well, let's talk about the day of the collision.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay? As you sit here today, you really don't know whether Jared ever gave you your keys back or not, right, of your own personal knowledge? You gave him the keys to go get something out of your car. Of your own personal knowledge, without relying on reading depositions or something Jared may have told you, do you know, of your own personal knowledge, whether he ever gave them back?
A. I don't know how to answer that because I do know those things. I mean, if I have that information, how do I unknow it? So based on everything that I know, I know he gave me the keys. Do I remember the exact second when he gave them to me five years ago?
Q. And that same week you didn't remember. You thought -- you didn't know whether he had given them back to you; right? The very same week, when it was fresh in your mind.
A. I don't know that -- again, that you could say it was fresh in my mind. I was piecing it together. I was trying to figure out what to do. I was under incredible stress. And I'm not going to say, yes, at 7:00 o'clock, he handed me the keys and I hid them. I can't promise you that I remember that.

If he didn't hand me the keys back and I didn't take them in my hand, I would be responsible for that. Again, it doesn't mean that I deliberately didn't do it, it doesn't mean, again, there were a thousand pressures. I was going back to school after a vacation; I had work to do. So being aware of something, wishing you could do it differently, yeah, I have a lot of incidents like that. I think all parents do.
Q. So it's fair to say that you -- that Jared didn't steal the keys off the lanyard while it was around your neck; right?
A. I didn't wear a lanyard. Right.
Q. Okay. So there were only two ways that Jared
could have gotten the keys to go get in the car and crash into Emilia Garcia. One way is, he kept the keys after you gave them to him after you put them in his hand; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Or two, you left the keys out somewhere where he could pick them up himself and take your car; right?
A. No. That's not the only two ways he could have gotten the keys. He could have found where I hid them. He could have gotten Tikeria to distract me. He could have done any number of things. He's better at that than I am. I could have dropped them. I could have thought I hid them and I didn't. I could have thought they were in a bag and that they weren't. So there's more than two ways.

And -- and Mr. Roberts, if I may, if I drop my keys or I put my keys down for a moment, okay -when I -- other times when I've moved, I've left my purse over there. That doesn't mean everybody's entitled to it. If you leave your phone, it doesn't mean I'm entitled to go and use it. And -- and an 18-year-old with entitlement issues is going to think that. He doesn't have access to the car now without asking.
Q. Let's assume, for a minute, that the car keys
are a gun, and Jared had used a gun before to shoot people. Would you have left the gun laying on the mantel?

MR. MAZZEO: Objection, Your Honor. The analogy is inappropriate. Incomplete hypothetical.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it.
Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I don't know how to make that comparison. I would not -- a gun is nowhere near a ring of keys that has a key to an apartment, a key to school, a key to a mailbox. And at that time I was thinking that I was keeping the keys safe. BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Ma'am, I'm not asking you whether keys are the same as a gun. I'm asking you if you would have left the gun out on the counter.
A. I don't -- I don't -- I can't engage in a hypothetical, but no, I would not have left a gun out on a counter.
Q. Thank you, ma'am.

MR. ROBERTS: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Mazzeo.
MR. MAZZEO: Yes, Judge.

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Andrea, hi. Let's -- well, let's start with the request for admissions. All right?
A. Yes.
Q. You remember Mr. Roberts asked you about that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. We're going to put these on the overhead.

MR. MAZZEO: Judge, if we can transfer this to the ELMO, please. Okay.

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. So let's start with this request for admission. This is the document that Mr. Roberts showed you a few minutes ago?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And we'll turn to the request on page 2. "Admit Jared was operating your vehicle on January 2, 2011, with your permission."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You see the response to Request No. 2, "Admit"?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft this document?
A. No.
Q. Did you review this document before it was served?
A. No.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because there's a future document. There's a -- an additional document with changes.
Q. Okay. Well, let me direct your attention to the third page. And this is the -- the last page. This -- you see the signature on the line on this page?
A. Yes.
Q. Is your name underneath the signature line?
A. No.
Q. Is that your signature?
A. No.
Q. And this is dated when?
A. June 5th, 2012.
Q. Okay. So do you recall sitting down with your counsel -- not myself but other counsel -- to go over what was called interrogatories?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And -- and so let's look at that. Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's Defendant Andrea Awerbach's responses to interrogatories.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's go to the -- let's look at the date on this document. So the request for admission is January 5th, 2012; right?
A. June 5.
Q. I'm sorry. June 5th, 2012; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And this document, page 7, is June 21st, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. And your signature is not on that line, is there -- is it?
A. No.
Q. However, if we turn to the next page, we -we have a verification. And do you recognize this verification?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen this before?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this your signature?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that's dated when?
A. June 15, 2012.
Q. All right. So let's turn back to -- so this was two weeks -- this is -- this document is dated about two weeks after -- ten days after the request for admission was signed by your counsel; right?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. So let's go to Interrogatory No. 1. Let's read it. And do you recall going over this? And I'm not going to go through all the interrogatories, just this one interrogatory. And it's identified as No. 1.

You see it?
A. Yes.
Q. And the question is, "Do you dispute that the driver, Jared Awerbach, was a permissible driver of your vehicle on January 2, 2011? If so, state all facts upon which you base your answer that the driver was not a permissible driver."

## Do you see that?

A. Yes.
Q. And then over -- after an objection, the answer is, "Jared did not have my permission to drive the vehicle."
A. Correct.
Q. Right? Okay.

And do you agree that this -- is this answer the same or different from the answer provided by your counsel in the request for admission?
A. It's different.
Q. Okay. Do you know why?
A. Well, she wrote "admit," and I answered that I did not give him permission.
Q. Okay. Which one is correct, the document provided by your counsel or this document?
A. The document that I signed.
Q. Okay.

MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What's the objection?
MR. ROBERTS: Inconsistent with the legal effect of the first document. She's just disclaimed. The first document.

THE COURT: Yeah. I have to allow her to do that. Overruled.

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Okay. Andrea, I'm showing you what is an amended complaint filed. Do you see in the upper right-hand corner January 14th of 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that? Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this is filed by Emilia Garcia?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. We're going to turn specifically to paragraph 23 under the negligent entrustment claim. And do you see that averment?
A. Yes.
Q. That Defendant Andrea Awerbach did entrust the vehicle to the control of Defendant Jared Awerbach.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you're familiar with that answers -- an answer to this amended complaint was filed on your behalf?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would be this document, defendant's answer to amended complaint; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as -- the date that it was filed indicates 2/7/2013. Any reason to dispute that?
A. No.
Q. And if we go to paragraph 17, which refers to the paragraph 23 from the complaint, what does it state?
A. You want me to read it?
Q. Yes.
A. "In answering paragraphs 23 through 26 of plaintiff's amended complaint, defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein."
Q. Which -- which would include the paragraph 23 referring to entrusting the vehicle to control of Defendant Awerbach; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And have you ever -- were you ever -ever aware of Jared Awerbach, prior to the accident in question, ever using your car with or without permission and being impaired while operating it?
A. No.
Q. Okay. At the time of the accident in 2008, did you -- was Jared under the influence when he used your vehicle without permission and caused an accident?
A. No.

MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Foundation.
THE COURT: I think I have to sustain that one.

BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. Okay. In that -- at the time of that prior accident in 2008, you had testified that you were at your -- at school at the time; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long were you at the school for prior
to Jared taking the vehicle without permission?
A. It was a few hours.
Q. Okay. And during that time, did you have the ability to observe Jared while you were at the school for a few hours?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- and while you were at the school for a few hours, did you observe Jared, at any time prior to Jared taking the car without permission, to have been under the influence of intoxicating beverage or marijuana?
A. No.
Q. Did you understand Mr. Roberts's hypothetical with -- or the analogy between a gun and keys?
A. No.
Q. Well, does the gun have the same usefulness as -- as keys do to a car?
A. No.

MR. MAZZEO: Your Honor, one moment, please.
No further questions. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Tindall, Mr. Strassburg,
anything?
MR. TINDALL: One moment, Your Honor.
MR. STRASSBURG: No.
THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. See if I can get this to turn on.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. Okay. Your counsel showed you an answer to a complaint where you denied permissive use. I'm going to show you answer -- excuse me. First, the original complaint, just so the jury can put it in context.

And you see paragraph 23, "The Defendant Andrea Awerbach did entrust the vehicle to the control of Defendant Jared Awerbach."

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. 23.
A. Yes.
Q. And this is Alexandra McLeod, same attorney who signed both of those documents your client showed you; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is defendant's answer to complaint. And you see in paragraph 2, "In answering paragraphs 2, 3, 7, 8, 22, and 23, defendants admit the allegations contained herein." Right?
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say, Ms. Awerbach,
that you or your attorneys have been asked many times about whether you gave Jared permission to drive your car both before and after the collision?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that there have been lots of inconsistent answers that have been given to those questions?
A. I don't think that's fair to say.

MR. ROBERTS: No further questions, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Mazzeo.
MR. MAZZEO: Thank you, Judge.
FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MAZZEO:
Q. The -- that complaint that you saw Mr. Roberts put on the ELMO.
A. Yes.
Q. It didn't say Defendant Andrea Awerbach's answer to the complaint; it said "defendants," plural; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Referring to both yourself and to Jared

Awerbach?
A. Yes.
Q. By the way, prior to the filing of that
complaint in 2012, did you confer with your counsel about the averments with regard to permissive use?
A. I'm not sure I know what averments are.
Q. Oh. Did -- did you speak with your counsel about the -- the issue regarding permissive use prior to your counsel filing that answer to the complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you say -- tell your counsel that you had given Jared permission?
A. No. I have never said that I gave Jared permission.

MR. MAZZEO: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any more from defense table? No?
Mr. Roberts?
FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. So defendants' answer, just to clarify so the Court -- so the jury understands who defendants are, this is the answer: "Comes now defendants Jared Awerbach and Andrea Awerbach." Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So initially, when you filed an answer, you filed it together; right?
A. I believe at that time we had the same counsel.
Q. Okay. And at that time you and Jared were both in agreement in this official document that he had permission to drive your car?

MR. MAZZEO: Objection, Your Honor. Document speaks for itself. That's not what Ms. Awerbach testified to.

THE COURT: She can answer that. Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer that because agreement, legally, from a paper presented by an attorney and what I agreed to and what Jared and I agreed to, you use the word "agree." I'm a layperson. I don't agree that Jared had permission to use the car. BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q. And the first time that a denial of permission shows up in any documents that were filed in this lawsuit was over a year later; right?
A. I think that -- that -- that's the time frame in documents.

MR. MAZZEO: That's a misstatement, Your Honor. That's actually in 2012, several months later. THE COURT: Sounds like a speaking objection. MR. MAZZEO: Sorry, Judge. Can we -- can we approach?

MR. ROBERTS: And, Your Honor, I was referring to the amended answer, and I wasn't thinking
about the interrogatory. So I withdraw the question.
MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything else from anybody?
MR. MAZZEO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any questions from the jurors? I
don't see any hands.
Thank you, ma'am. You may step down.
Any more witnesses, Mr. Mazzeo?
MR. MAZZEO: One second, Your Honor.
Your Honor -- Your Honor, Defendant Andrea
Awerbach rests. No further witnesses.
THE COURT: Anything from Jared Awerbach?
MR. STRASSBURG: Defendant Jared Awerbach
rests.
THE COURT: Any additional rebuttal witnesses from the plaintiffs?

MR. ROBERTS: No further rebuttal witnesses, Your Honor. We rest our rebuttal case.

THE COURT: All right. You folks have been looking forward to this day for five weeks.

So here's what we are going to do, folks. I'm going to let you go early this afternoon. I'm going to have you come back tomorrow morning, let's say 10:00 o'clock again. I anticipate that you will -- I'm
going to instruct you on the law first thing and then you'll hear closing arguments from the attorneys. And that will be the end, and then you'll get a chance to deliberate.

During our break this evening, you're instructed not to talk with each other or with anyone else about any subject or issue connected with this trial. You are not to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio.

You are not to conduct any research on your own, which means you cannot talk with others, Tweet others, text others, Google issues, or conduct any other kind of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case.

You're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

I'm going to emphasize it this time because a lot of times what jurors think is that, now you've heard all the evidence, you can talk to each other as you're on your way out to your car or while you're
sitting here waiting for each other to get back together again. You still can't do that. Okay? You can't talk to each other until everything is done and you are in the deliberation room together. All right? I'm just emphasizing that to you because sometimes people get confused once both sides have rested. Nothing has changed. I will tell you when you can talk about the case. Okay?

Thank you, folks. We'll see you tomorrow morning at 10:00 o'clock.
(The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. We're now outside the presence of the jury. Anything we need to put on the record now, Counsel?

MR. ROBERTS: I have got a few motions to make. I don't know if -- and then we need to settle jury instructions, but we can ...

THE COURT: Go ahead. Make your motions.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You have a few?
MR. ROBERTS: Well, a few. Sorry, Your
Honor. So many I have to get out my notes to remember them all.

The first one is, we would request a directed
verdict on the issue of permissive use on whether or not Mr. Awerbach had permission, express or implied, to use the vehicle. Under the Court's modified order on the sanctions, there is a presumption of permissive use shifting the burden of proof to the defendants to rebut.

I would submit that there was no evidence from which a reasonable juror could find that they, indeed, met their burden of proof. There's been undisputed evidence now that she allowed Mr. Awerbach to drive her car on past occasions. There's been undisputed evidence that she put the keys to the car in his hand on the day of the incident. And while I understand that it's -- it was a close question and might not otherwise have been an appropriate motion, I think what pushes us over the top is the admission. The -- under the rules, the admission conclusively establishes permissive use as a matter of law; and, therefore, we're entitled to directed verdict on that motion.

While Counsel stated that they were going to introduce into evidence an amended admission and proof that this was withdrawn and later corrected, I don't recall seeing that come into evidence. If I missed it because I was doing something else, I apologize. I
don't think -- I think they rested their case without putting the amended admission into evidence; therefore, the only thing in evidence is an admission that he had permissive use, and that's conclusive.

THE COURT: But didn't we just have an argument on that on our last break, and I said I wasn't going to allow the amendment based on the -- based on the rule, but I was going to allow them to use the interrogatory answer?

MR. MAZZEO: You did.
MR. ROBERTS: I guess I'm confused. Because if it's conclusively established and they're not being allowed to amend, how could there be an issue of fact for the jury?

THE COURT: That goes back to Mr. Tindall's argument. And -- and I said -- I read it as being conclusively presumed as it related to Rule 36. That's why I didn't allow the amended admission response, but I was going to allow additional discovery responses because I knew they were inconsistent.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Well, I still want to make my motion.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. ROBERTS: You can deny it.
THE COURT: Okay. Denied.

MR. ROBERTS: The other is -- motion is for a directed verdict on causation. The proposed verdict form by the defendants ask whether or not the collision proximately caused Ms. Garcia's injuries and damages.

And we understand that it's in dispute how much of Ms. Garcia's damages were caused by the collision; however, going all the way back to opening statements where Mr. Mazzeo chalked up on the board that $\$ 30,000$ in injury was caused by the accident -that's right around between $20,30,000$ bucks, maybe as high as 50. But I know he put some numbers up there. So he admitted in opening that some damage was caused by the collision.

Then we look at the witnesses that they put up. And both Dr. Poindexter and Dr. Klein admitted that there was strain/sprain caused by the collision. So the undisputed medical evidence is that the collision caused injury to Ms. Garcia. The only question is how much -- the only question is how much damage.

And the -- the jury should not be asked whether it caused injury when all the medical experts and evidence have all agreed and admitted it caused injuries, just how much.

So the only question for the jury is, what
are the damages? Liability of Mr. Awerbach has already been established as a matter of law for the collision. If all the doctors agree the collision caused some injury, then the only question is how much damages. And we agree that's still a question, but causation is no longer in dispute.

MR. MAZZEO: That's not true, Judge. And I'm not sure if Mr. Roberts is misunderstanding what's referred to when we refer to causation.

Number 1, in their own jury instructions that they propose to use in this case, they -- based on Nevada Jury Instructions 3.06, they state: "The plaintiff has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence all of the facts necessary to prove that she" -- they put "suffered or sustained damages." And that is, in fact, the burden.

So, when we talk about causation, we're talking about what injuries were caused by the subject accident.

Now, we've heard a lot of evidence from the witnesses in this case, from the plaintiff and on the defense side. And so does -- we know that Ms. Garcia's claiming certain injuries we're claiming that the accident did not cause. So now we're talking about causation, saying the accident did not cause any trauma
to a preexisting spondylolytic spondylolisthesis.
So this question is -- is still in contention
in this case. It hasn't been settled or resolved simply because we are agreeing that Ms. Garcia sustained some injuries. We are disputing causation with respect to plaintiff's contention that she sustained injuries to her disks and to the facet joints and to the preexisting slipped vertebrae. So causation is still something that's in question.

I think Mr. Roberts is trying to streamline or he's trying to use this word "causation" in a very general sense but not in an appropriate sense when it comes to the jury instructions in this case and what causation refers to, which is what injuries were caused by this particular accident. And it is very much in dispute.

THE COURT: It sounds to me like you guys actually agree; you're just using the term differently.

Because I think what Mr. Roberts is looking for is a ruling that everyone agrees there was some injury as a result of the accident. The question is just whether or not it's a sprain/strain or if it's a -- an injury to the -- the preexisting pars defect; right?

So, I mean, I don't know if we can deal with
that with an instruction.
MR. MAZZEO: I don't think -- I don't think so because we're not using the word "cause"; we're using "causation." And causation is something the jury has to find. That has to be something that they consider in terms of are the -- is the sprain/strain a cause of this accident or is a -- the slipped vertebrae -- is that -- did that -- was that caused as a result of this accident?

We're not going to stipulate or agree that it just comes down to what injuries they have to find. It's still causation.

THE COURT: But did anybody disagree that, at least the sprain/strain, at least that was caused by the accident?

MR. MAZZEO: Well, that's still for the jury to -- I mean, the jury still has to make a determination with regard to any and all injuries in this case.

THE COURT: I think that's what he's looking for, though, is -- yeah, they have to make a decision as to whether or not the damages that the plaintiffs are claiming were caused by the accident. But I think what he's saying is everybody has agreed, even the defense doctors and experts, that at least some injury
was caused by the accident.
MR. TINDALL: May I be heard on this?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. TINDALL: Here's what I submit. Your Honor cannot grant the motion at all because the standard is, is there any -- in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party, is there any facts that would allow a reasonable juror not to come to the conclusion they're looking for? And there is.

The jury is free to disbelieve everything that the plaintiff said. They're free to disbelieve everything that any expert said. Our own experts -well -- and I can't remember. I think it was Klein who agreed that X amount of the chiro -- this isn't exactly what he said. But the chiro and one of Dr. Lemper's injections were reasonable.

But that assumes she really was injured. There's no testimony from Klein that says she was injured and this is what's reasonable.

So the Court cannot grant the motion. But here's what I would recommend. We can handle this one of two ways, either in an instruction or a verdict form --

And I digress a little here. There are three competing verdict forms at this point that have been
passed around. Every single one of them, necessarily so, is going to be unwieldy. I would recommend that we put on the verdict form whatever dollar amount the parties agree to that -- that Klein put as the bare minimum, assuming she got injured.

For example, if the cost of the chiro is 15,000 and then Lemper's injection is whatever, we can stick that on the verdict form. And the Court denies the motion, but they get what they want in the sense that the jury's now being told, "Here's the baseline." But the Court cannot grant the motion because the standard hasn't been met.

Do you have any questions of what I've just inarticulately said?

MR. ROBERTS: No. I think I understand what you're saying.

MR. TINDALL: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: If they have a stipulation -- I think stipulations are put in with the instructions and not on the verdict form.

But what we're proposing -- and we may not have a disagreement as a matter of law. In our proposed verdict, we ask the jury to find what amount of damages were caused by the automobile collision of January 2nd, 2011. Because liability is established,
one. The causation is established. So when they determine what damages were caused by the collision, then they can still make their arguments. And I'm not asking for a judgment that anything in particular was caused by the collision. I'm simply asking for a determination that she was injured as a result of the collision.

And I can read you what the doctors say. And I don't think the jury can stipulate -- can speculate that she wasn't injured when the medical evidence all says that she was.

Dr. Klein said on 3/1/16, page 75, "Based upon my evaluation that day, interview looking at these plethora of medical records, and some but not all the diagnostic studies up to that point in time, my opinion was that she had sustained acute cervical, thoracic, and lumbar myofascial sprain/strain soft tissue events."

And then later, page 86, he says, "Soft tissue sprain/strains as a result of this accident."

Dr. Poindexter on 226, page 131:
"QUESTION: "Thank you, Doctor. And you testified that, in your opinion, Ms. Garcia suffered some sort of sprain/strain in this automobile collision; right?
"ANSWER: Possibly, yes. Minimal in nature? I said yes.
"QUESTION: Yes. But more likely than not, you agree with the other doctors that she was injured; correct?
"ANSWER: With soft tissue-type injuries, yes."

So where you have an agreement by all of the doctors that she was injured in the collision and where their biomechanical has been excluded, you wanted to argue the forces were not sufficient to injure her, the jury can't be allowed to speculate. And they shouldn't be able to argue she wasn't injured at all.

MR. MAZZEO: Your Honor, I think what Mr. Roberts is proposing is to take over the role of the trier of fact. And we're not the triers of fact.

And I think it would be inappropriate and improper and an appellate issue for us to kind of join our heads and huddle and come up with an injury that we can all agree upon that she -- is a minimum that she sustained. That's inappropriate. We're not the triers of fact, and that's for the jurors to determine in the deliberation room.

I don't know if we should be picking apart evidence and testimony from witnesses. The jurors have
to find -- and we have jury instructions for it. They have to make a -- the determination whether she was injured. They heard all the evidence. So it's -- it's for them make that decision.

I'm not going to stipulate to anything, and it shouldn't -- we shouldn't identify any identifiable injuries that we're in agreement on. I'm not in agreement on anything. That's for the jury to decide, not for us.

THE COURT: Okay. Motion is granted to the extent that I -- I don't think there's any evidence contradicting the fact that the plaintiff was injured as a result of the accident. But that's as far as I'm going to go.

MR. ROBERTS: That's all I wanted. That's it. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I don't think I'm going to make any specific ruling about any specific injuries that everybody's agreed to or any bills that everybody's -anybody's agreed to. I just don't think that there's any evidence that anybody suggested that the plaintiff wasn't injured as a result of the accident.

MR. ROBERTS: My other two motions deal with precluding at this point, based on the evidence, two arguments that -- from -- that I think they might make
during closing. We don't have to do that now. We can do it after we settle instructions, or I can go forward.

THE COURT: Go for it.
MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
THE COURT: Can you do them quick?
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor, very quick.
The proposed verdict form from one or more of the defendants -- and I can't recall which one it was now or if it was both.

THE COURT: Does it make sense to argue out the instructions and verdict forms before we make this argument or not?

MR. ROBERTS: No. Because I think, once we've decided this argument, then the verdict forms may fall into place.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: They ask for how much -- the jury to find how much punitive damages to award against Jared Awerbach and for Emilia Garcia. And the -- the point is is that, under the statutes, punitive damages are assessed against the defendant for, by way of example, punishment. And they are not to compensate the plaintiff.

So any argument or statements in the verdict
form that the punitive damages are for Emilia Garcia, that that's too much money to give her, would be improper because they would be asking the jury to nullify the whole point of punitive damages under Nevada law. The damages are not for her. They are assessed against the defendant to punish and to deter conduct.

THE COURT: And who's going to get the money? MR. ROBERTS: Emilia Garcia. But asking the jury to take that into account, determining the amount, would be improper.

Nevada law doesn't say that how much she deserves is a factor to consider in the amount of punitive damages.

And -- and there's a -- there was a case back in Virginia. In Virginia, they've changed the punitive statute so the money goes to the state coffers. And in those cases, the defense lawyers came in and successfully precluded any mention that it was going to the State because then that might inflate the award.

But it's -- it's the same thing. It's -it's an irrelevant fact, and the only reason they're going to argue it is to try to get the jury to nullify Nevada law and to either reduce or inflate the award for improper purposes.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MAZZEO: Can we -- can we actually look at the proposed verdict -- special verdicts in this case so that -- and then decide and discuss it at that point?

MR. ROBERTS: I'd be happy to defer it if you want to, Judge.

THE COURT: Let's defer it until we talk about it. That's fine.

MR. ROBERTS: The other one is to preclude any argument by counsel that damages should be reduced because the plaintiff's doctors performed inadvisable or unnecessary medical procedures.

You've heard them put on lots of evidence that Dr . Gross should not have performed the spine surgery, that some of her pain is because of the spine surgery and not due to the accident. That would be an improper argument under Nevada law.

There are specific cases on point saying that, where the actions of the defendant caused the plaintiff to seek medical treatment -- and the Court has now found as a matter of law that they did -- that even malpractice by the physician is attributed to the original act. It does not break the chain of causation.

So even if Dr. Gross and Dr. Kidwell and Dr. Lemper had all committed malpractice and performed needless surgeries or -- or surgeries were botched, that would still be within the chain of causation. So they can't make an improper argument that the jury should reduce damages because surgery is unnecessary or unsuccessful.

The only exception -- and you look at the restatement on this, and the restatement deals with whether an intervening act breaks the chain of causation. And it has to be something that's unforeseeable and extraordinarily negligent.

And we heard Dr. Klein acknowledge that even Dr. Gross, who he criticized significantly -- it wasn't malpractice, and he wasn't -- not only was he not extraordinarily negligent, he wasn't negligent at all.

So to the extent that these procedures were ill-advised, to the extent these procedures didn't go well, that was all foreseeable. It was all necessitated from the original visit to the doctor by the injuries that the Court has found, as a matter of law, occurred. And so the chain of causation goes forward unbroken.

If there is a remedy, it's for them to sue the doctors for malpractice. But, of course, their
experts have all now admitted on the record that no one committed malpractice.

So we would ask the Court to preclude them from making arguments contrary to Nevada law and that damages should be reduced because any of the medical treatment which Ms. Garcia's providers advised her to get and which she did get was inadvisable or ineffective.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TINDALL: Counsel confuses the argument. There has been no evidence of malpractice. So when he's talking about the jury instruction that he would like to have, he's not entitled to that jury instruction.

They have the burden to prove treatment was reasonable and necessary and causally related. Our experts have not testified that anyone committed malpractice. What they've testified to is these procedures were unnecessary because they weren't causally related to the accident.

So this motion has to be denied. We are entitled to break it out however the evidence will allow. We can take Dr. Klein's testimony, and we can blackboard "Here's what Klein said was reasonable. Here's everything by cost, by line item that Klein says
was unreasonable and not necessary."
I don't know what more $I$ can add to that. I mean, it's submitted.

MR. MAZZEO: Yeah, and I -- I'm not sure -- I
think I understood Mr. Roberts was talking about medical malpractice. Then he did refer to the -referring to the surgery as being unnecessary. That's a different argument.

We can certainly argue -- well, they have the burden, actually, to prove that all the treatment was reasonable and necessary. We can certainly argue, based on the evidence in the case, that she didn't need the surgery, she didn't need the injections, that it was not reasonable, that it was unnecessary.

But, yes, I agree with Mr. Roberts; we're not arguing that there's malpractice and that the doctors committed malpractice.

Does that make sense?
THE COURT: Yep.
MR. MAZZEO: Okay.
THE COURT: And I think you're all -- I think, again, this is another one of those semantic-type arguments because I think Mr. Roberts would acknowledge that plaintiffs have the burden to prove reasonable and necessary, causally related.

If their argument is that the treatment was unreasonable or unnecessary and they rely on their expert's testimony for that, that's fine. That's part of their defense.

If you want to -- I don't know if you have a specific jury instruction proposed as it relates to the malpractice or not. If you do, I mean, that's one that we've used in the past.

MR. ROBERTS: I don't, but I -- I can -- can get one real quick. I was just moving actually to preclude the argument. But if they're going to be allowed to make the argument, then I think I do need an instruction, and I'll look for --

THE COURT: It doesn't sound like they're going to make an argument that somebody committed malpractice. But you may interpret their arguments as far as reasonableness and necessity as being contrary to what you think they should be able to argue as it relates to reasonableness and necessity.

So there is a jury instruction, like I said, that we used in the past as it relates to the fact that a tortfeasors's on the hook even for malpractice that occurs and is not foreseeable. So ...

MR. ROBERTS: Has --
THE COURT: If you want to propose an
instruction like that and if you can put something together quickly, I'm happy to look at it.

MR. ROBERTS: In the past, I recall there is not a Nevada proposed instruction on this, a pattern. THE COURT: There's not.

MR. ROBERTS: I've used BAJI and CACI.
Did the Court have a preference, or did the Court have its own instruction?

THE COURT: I know I've used one in the past, but I don't know what we've used.

MR. ROBERTS: California has one that I'll modify.

MS. ESTANISLAO: I believe the applicable one is the one that's on concurring causes.

THE COURT: We'll talk about it in a minute. Anything else we need to deal with right now as far as motions?

MR. TINDALL: I would like to build the record a little further on our prior directed verdict for the issue of lost past household services.

There was no testimony whatsoever about any dollar amount that -- let me back up.

In making his ruling, the Court indicated that Emily, the daughter, had testified that she did certain things around the house. But there was no
testimony about the value of any of that. She never said that she was supposed to be paid but wasn't. And she never broke out any time period on that in order -an hourly amount of time she spent.

And, based on that, they cannot establish that there was any past loss incurred. Submitted.

THE COURT: I think that's something that you could argue, but I think it's similar to pain and suffering that -- I don't know that there needs to necessarily be a dollar figure. Now, I may be wrong about that. I don't know what the cases say about what's required for loss of household services, if specific dollar amounts are required to be submitted by an expert. But ...

MR. TINDALL: None that I can find. No cases.

THE COURT: So I think I'm going to have to leave it the way it is, and it's kind of like pain and suffering. But I think you can obviously argue that there was no dollar figure placed on it.

Anything else on the record, guys? Nope.
All right, then. Off the record.
(Whereupon a recess recess was taken.)
THE COURT: We're back on the record, Case No. A637772. We're outside the presence of the jury.

We've been discussing jury instructions off the record. I'm going to now go through what I have as the set that the Court's going to give. I will go through them and number them as I go through them to make sure that, Audra, you have the same numbers on them.

No. 1 will be "Ladies and gentlemen of the jury."

No. 2 will be "The purpose of the trial is to ascertain the truth."

No. 3 will be "If in these instructions any rule, direction, or idea."

No. 4 will be "The masculine form as used in these instructions."

No. 5 will be "The evidence which you are to consider in this case."

No. 6 will be "You must decide all questions of fact in the case from the evidence received."

No. 7 will be "Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict."

No. 8 will be "You are not to discuss or even consider insurance."

No. 9 will be "If during this trial I have said or done anything."

No. 10 will be "There are two kinds of
evidence, direct and circumstantial."
No. 11 will be "In determining whether any proposition has been proved."

No. 12 will be "Certain testimony has been read into evidence from a deposition."

No. 13 will be "During the course of the trial, you have heard reference made to the word 'interrogatory.'"

No. 14 will be "In this case, as permitted by law, Plaintiff Emilia Garcia served on a defendant the requests for admissions."

No. 15 will be "The credibility or believability of a witness."

No. 16 will be "Discrepancies in a witness's testimony."

No. 17 will be "An attorney has a right to interview a witness."

No. 18 will be "A witness who has special knowledge, skill, et cetera."

No. 19 will be "A question has been asked in which an expert witness was told to assume."

No. 20 will be "Whenever in these instructions I state that the burden or the burden of proof."

21 will be "The preponderance or weight of

22 will be "As to Defendant Jared Awerbach, the plaintiff has a burden of proving."

23 will be "When I use the expression 'proximate cause,' I mean any cause."

24 will be "There may be more than one proximate cause of an injury."

25 will be "If you find that the defendant is liable for the original injury to the plaintiff."

26 will be "The Court has taken judicial notice that sunset."

27 will be "Certain charts and summaries have been received into evidence."

28 will be "There was in force at the time of the occurrence in question a law which read as follows."

29 will be "It's been established as a matter of law that Jared Awerbach was impaired."

30 will be "In order to establish claim of negligent entrustment."

31 will be "The law provides for rebuttable presumption."

32 will be "An owner of a motor vehicle is
liable for any damage proximately resulting."
33 will be "In determining the amount of
losses if any suffered by plaintiff."
34 will be "Where plaintiff's injury or disability is clear and readily observable."

35 will be "A person who has a condition or disability."

36 will be "No definite standard or method of calculation."

37 will be "Whether any of these elements of damage have been proven by the evidence."

38 will be "If you find that plaintiff's entitled to compensatory damages, you may consider punitives."

39 will be "Clear and convincing evidence is that measure or degree of proof."

40 will be "If you find that plaintiff's entitled to compensatory damages for actual harm."

41 will be "There are no fixed standards for determining the amount of punitive damages.

42 will be "The Court has given you instructions embodying various rules of law.

43 is "Your duty as jurors to consult with one another."

MR. TINDALL: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can you stop for a second? Okay. What was 42 again?

THE COURT: 42, "The Court has given you
instructions embodying various rules of law."
MR. TINDALL: Okay.
THE COURT: 43 is "Your duty as jurors to consult with one another.

44, "If during your deliberation you should desire to be further informed."

45, "When you retire to consider your verdict."

46, "During opening statements." This is about the preexisting records.

47, "Now you will listen to arguments of counsel."

MR. TINDALL: I'm missing one. What was 46 ?
THE COURT: That's the curative instruction based on the --

MR. TINDALL: Oh. We don't have a copy of that yet, do we?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Yes, we do. No, wait. No, I don't.

MR. TINDALL: Yeah. I don't think we got a copy of that one yet.

MS. ESTANISLAO: We don't.
MR. TINDALL: That was just read out loud.
MR. ROBERTS: It was e-mailed to you and you said, "I've got additional instructions." I hit reply
all and attached it.
MR. TINDALL: Oh. Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: And -- but I think the judge did modify it.

THE COURT: I did. So it now says, "During opening statements, counsel for Defendant Andrea Awerbach stated that just because there's no evidence of any preexisting records doesn't mean that none exist. You should disregard his statement. There is no evidence that Plaintiff Emilia Garcia ever sought medical treatment related to back pain prior to the accident. It would be improper for you to speculate that such medical records exist."

MR. SMITH: Mr. Mott is handing a copy right now. We just printed that out.

MR. ROBERTS: This is the as-modified version --

THE COURT: So if you would make copies of that and have them ready for us in the morning, then $I$ will let you guys make your record first thing in the morning after my calendar.

MR. ROBERTS: And I hate to do this to you, Your Honor, but we also need to settle the verdict form. We have three competing verdict forms, so we'll probably need to do that in the morning also.

THE COURT: You guys tried to work something out here?

MR. TINDALL: Well, we're very close. I mean, they're all unwieldy, and --

MS. ESTANISLAO: Hey, mine is clearer. Of course, I don't have a copy.

THE COURT: You guys see if you can agree to something overnight. If not, we'll come in and argue it, and we will get a verdict form the first thing in the morning too.

MR. TINDALL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to spend much time because the jury is coming in at 10:00, and I would like to get closings done and give them at least some time to deliberate tomorrow.

MR. ROBERTS: We're fine having you tell us
what you want to use so -- but I will -- I will
delegate that --
THE COURT: I will look over them tonight. MR. ROBERTS: -- to Mr. Smith.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to do tonight, guys?

MR. TINDALL: No, Your Honor.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Off the record.

STATE OF NEVADA ) COUNTY OF CLARK )

I, Kristy L. Clark, a duly commissioned Notary Public, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify: That $I$ reported the proceedings commencing on Monday, March 7, 2016, at 10:05 o'clock abm.

That I thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript is a complete, true and accurate transcription of my said shorthand notes.

I further certify that $I$ am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of the parties involved in said action, nor a person financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 7th day of March, 2016.



## APPEARANCES :

For the Plaintiff:
GLEN J. IFERNER \& ASSOCIATES
BY: ADAM D. SMITH, ESQ.
4795 South Durango Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
(702) 977-1500
asmith@glenlerner.com

- AND -

WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN \&
BY: D. LFE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ.
BY: TIMOTHY MOTT, ESQ.
BY: MARISA RODRIGUEZ-SHAPOVAL, ESQ.
6385 South Rainbow Boulevard
Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
(702) 938-3838
lroberts@wwhgd. com

For the Defendant Andrea Awerbach:
MAZZEO LAW, LLC
BY: PETER MAZZEO, ESQ.
BY: MARIA ESTANISLAO, ESQ.
631 South 10th Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 382-3636

For the Defendant Jared Awerbach:
RESNICK \& LOUIS
BY: ROGER STRASSBURG, ESQ.
BY: RANDALL W. TINDALL, ESQ.
5940 South Rainbow Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 997-3800

# LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, Tuesday, March 8, 2016; 10:05 A.M. 

PROCEEDNGS
(The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record, Case Number A637772. We're outside the presence of the jury. We discussed jury instructions last night. I quickly skimmed back over the jury instructions that were prepared for this morning. It looks like they've incorporated all the changes and modifications that we discussed last night. This is your opportunity to make your record on the jury instructions that we discussed.

Does the plaintiff object to any of the instructions that the Court has indicated that it's going to give?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROBERTS: The plaintiff objects to the form of Instruction Number 41, which is the standard for determining the amount of punitive damage award. In particular, the plaintiff objects to the language in the second paragraph, lines 13 and 14, where the jury is
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informed that their award cannot financially annihilate or destroy the defendant in light of the defendant's financial condition.

We object to that because it's contrary to the prior motions in limine on this case, in particular Motion in Limine Number 22, which precluded defense counsel from suggesting that defendants will be required to pay jury award out of pocket.

And that was granted. And, of course, there is no evidence in the record from which the jury could determine that Mr. Awerbach would have to pay the award out of pocket. So the only way they can make that argument is for counsel to make representations to the jury of facts that are not in the record. What is in the record is through Mr. Awerbach's mother the hourly wage he makes and the fact that he has a full-time job.

So there is evidence in the record from which they could extrapolate his financial condition. What is not in the record, and that they're going to ask the jury to speculate about, is whether he would have to pay any punitive damage award.

And, in particular, we believe that he -- he has two counsel here that are obviously being paid for by somebody. They could not -- Mr. Awerbach could not afford these people at his hourly wage.

We have evidence in the record that over $\$ 126,000$ in experts was expended in defending this case. Obviously, that couldn't have been paid out in his hourly wage. There is no Nevada policy against coverage for punitive damages.

So what they're going to ask the jury to do is to speculate that any award over -- I don't know, what? -- \$10,000 would financially annihilate him because he has no savings. That's absurd in light of the fact that we all know that he's not going to pay the award.

And so they're asking the jury to speculate about financial annihilation that is a fiction because he has an insurance policy which is an asset. And because of the Court's rulings, we're being precluded from putting evidence of that asset into the record so that the jury could consider that asset when they determine whether or not he'd be financially annihilated by any punitive damage award they want to make.

So if we can't put in the evidence of the asset, they should be precluded from making the argument on financial annihilation. We believe that was the basis of the Judge Allf's ruling.

We understand the Court, off the record, indicated that, if that was her intent, you disagreed
and would not force it that way. I understand. We're just preserving this issue for the record.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think if that was her ruling, it's contrary to Nevada law as it relates to the annihilation. So I've got to enforce the law before I enforce a judge's ruling on a motion in limine that might be contrary to the law.

MR. ROBERTS: We agree that's the law. What we disagree with is being precluded from putting in an asset that would prevent financial annihilation while, at the same time, they're allowed to argue financial annihilation.

THE COURT: I understand that, but I think that's something that the legislature is going to have to fix or the supreme court because we can't argue collateral source for any reason under Proctor v. Castelletti.

If the legislature decides that -- or the supreme court decides that, if the defense gets to argue the annihilation, then plaintiff should be able to put in insurance, that's something they're going to have to decide.

At this point the law is that they get to argue the annihilation argument and you don't get to put
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in evidence about the insurance.
So I think that's what the state of law is in Nevada. It may not -- it may not seem fair.

MR. ROBERTS: Well, Your Honor, I disagree that's the state of the law. There's not a single supreme court decision on whether or not insurance comes in as an asset in the punitive phase or on whether or not you can argue financial annihilation where an insurance policy exists as an asset.

I'm not familiar with that. In fact, the legislative prohibition on the admissibility of insurance only goes to -- to a much narrower issue. This is not one of the reasons why insurance was excluded by the legislature. So I don't believe it's the law.

I looked to try to find law in other cases. The only case I could find is from Mr. Strassburg's home state of Arizona. And in that case the Court did not allow the evidence in, but the reason they didn't allow it in was that they didn't know how they could prevent the jury from considering the asset for the purposes of the liability phase --

THE COURT: For the compensatory damages.
MR. ROBERTS: -- and not the other phase.
THE COURT: Right.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
THE COURT: And I think --
MR. ROBERTS: That's the only case I'm aware of in the country.

THE COURT: That's why the supreme court in the Proctor v. Castelletti case in Nevada has said collateral source is not admissible for any reason. I don't know if that was a punitive issue or not. I don't think it did, but --

MR. ROBERTS: So --
THE COURT: I understand your argument.
MR. ROBERTS: I understand your point, Your Honor, and I understand the instruction is what the instruction is. But even if the law is as the Court has held and the instruction is proper, it's still improper for counsel to make an argument that they know is false. And so if they do argue financial annihilation, knowing what counsel knows, I will object.

THE COURT: Okay. What other jury instructions do you object to?

MR. ROBERTS: That's the only one I object to.
THE COURT: Okay. Oh, there's another one.
Is there another one?
MR. ROBERTS: There is, Your Honor, and it just deals with the optional bracketed language in
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Instruction Number 34 where the Court has inserted the optional bracketed language to state, "However, where an injury or disability is subjective and not demonstrable to others, expert testimony is necessary before a jury may award damage."

We don't believe that's appropriate to the extent that it implies that Emilia Garcia cannot testify about her subjective pain and that the jury could refuse to award damages about that, because you've got to give instructions that apply to the evidence that's come in.

And what is the evidence that's come in? Every single doctor, ours and theirs, has agreed that she was injured and agreed that she had pain. In addition, it's not something that's subjective and not demonstrable when the doctors have shown the jury a spondylolisthesis at one point, which is observable and demonstrable, and now she's got a back full of hardware, which is observable and demonstrable.

So there is no evidence that would justify giving an instruction which implies only where an injury or disability is subjective and not demonstrable to others.

THE COURT: Well, I think Mr. Smith made a point yesterday indicating that even the plaintiff's experts have indicated that the pain and suffering is
going to last into the indefinite future. So I think you have evidence that supports that's required.

Do you guys want to say anything on this?
MS. ESTANISLAO: Other than it's the law.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: The final thing is not an objection to one that's given, and if you'd like me to go forward, we just had one series that was not given, that I wanted to object to the fact it was not given.

THE COURT: Okay. That's -- that's the next part. You don't have any others that you're objecting to that are being given?

MR. ROBERTS: I do not, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Does the plaintiff have instructions that not being given that you want to offer?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor. The plaintiff has proposed exhibits -- I mean, excuse me -- proposed instructions based on the old Nevada pattern instructions on a general negligence cause of action. Basically --

THE COURT: You need to give me a copy of the instruction that you're proposing.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
THE COURT: We need to mark that so Alice has

1 that proposed, not given.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
While my team is digging up that copy for the Court --

THE COURT: I have it.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It's your 4.03, "Negligence is the failure to exercise that degree of care which an ordinarily careful and prudent person would exercise"?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. I just had it in the set from yesterday still, so...

MR. ROBERTS: I appreciate you helping me out with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll mark that as your offered, not given.

MR. ROBERTS: And our argument yesterday off the record on this tied into Rule 15, which states that the instructions and the cause of action will conform to the evidence if the facts come in without objection through express or implied consent.

As I noted yesterday, we provided general negligence instructions to the plaintiffs at the -excuse me -- to the defendants at the 2.67 conference before trial started.

They were aware that we were using general negligence as one of our theories. There was never any objection made on the record to evidence coming in on whether or not, for example, the analogy -- excuse me -whether or not Ms. Awerbach knew that he was a dangerous driver and knew that it was dangerous for him to drive a car and had not taken proper precautions to keep the keys to the car away from him.

So we believe that the facts came in which supported a general negligence theory. We believe it's proper to amend to the Court, to the extent the Court believes that a general negligence theory is not within the scope of our amended complaint, that it's not too late for us to amend to conform to the evidence. And we would move to amend the complaint to state a general cause of negligence action so that we can instruct the jury on that cause of action and argue it.

THE COURT: And the reason that I --
MS. ESTANISLAO: I want to address.
THE COURT: You guys want to talk?
MR. STRASSBURG: Go ahead, Judge.
THE COURT: What's that?
MR. STRASSBURG: Go ahead.
THE COURT: The reason that I didn't allow that yesterday is because I think it's too late after
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the plaintiffs rest their case to amend to assert a cause of action that hasn't been a part of the case up to that point.

And I think that the evidence that you submitted, while it may be supportive of a general negligence claim, I think it's also supportive of the negligent entrustment claim and the permissive use issue.

So I don't know the fact that evidence came in that would otherwise support a general negligence claim puts everybody on notice that you have a new cause of action, because I think the same evidence would have come in as it relates to the negligent entrustment claim.

MS. ESTANISLAO: And I just want to add, Your Honor, that at the 2.7 conference, when we saw these proposed instructions, they were not specifically identified as to defendant Andrea Awerbach. So we believed, since they also -- they had the negligence claim against Jared Awerbach, that this referred to Jared Awerbach.

Clearly, when we saw the negligent entrustment proposed instruction, we believed that was for defendant Andrea Awerbach, and there was an imputed negligence instruction that was for defendant Andrea Awerbach.

Going back to the complaint, those were the two causes of action specifically referencing defendant Andrea Awerbach and not the negligence instruction. So anything that impliedly tried related to the negligence entrustment claim.

THE COURT: And if there was still a general negligence claim against Jared Awerbach that hadn't already been determine by the Court, I would have allowed that. But since we have instructions that say that the negligence of Jared has already been determined, I don't think we need it for that purpose.

MR. ROBERTS: I would agree, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything else that the plaintiff proffers that has not being given?

MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mazzeo, let's go with you. Any of the instructions that the Court is giving that Andrea Awerbach is objecting to?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Your Honor, of course, we are objecting to the curative instruction regarding -- and that is Number 46 -- regarding the preexisting records. The motion that was -- motion in limine granted filed by plaintiff related to undisclosed medical records, that there were no reference to undisclosed medical records.

Mr. Mazzeo, in his stated Instruction 46,
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didn't insinuate that plaintiff failed to disclose medical records or left -- you know, hid some medical records. What he just said was just because there was no evidence of existing records doesn't mean there wasn't any. It did not violate the Court's motion in limine.

THE COURT: I think that's what the implication was, and that's why I'm giving the curative instruction.

MR. MAZZEO: Well, the implication was that -and I brought this up in cross-examination with Dr. Oliveri -- is that patients can have longstanding chronic conditions, they can have symptoms, they can have pain and not seek treatment.

So just because she didn't have medical records doesn't mean that she wasn't symptomatic. I was not implying anything that was contradictory to the ruling from the Court, which is plaintiff's Motion in Limine Number 3, which states, "Suggesting to the jury that there might be related medical records prior to the crash that had not been disclosed to defendant is granted."

So they were seeking to exclude that. That's
fine. And I didn't suggest that there were any preexisting or preaccident medical records prior to the
crash hadn't been disclosed. I was merely talking about a condition, and I addressed that.

THE COURT: With Dr. Oliveri. I agree. MR. MAZZEO: Yes.

THE COURT: And I think it was a statement in opening that implied that there were records not disclosed.

MR. MAZZEO: And if we look -- then we look at the record, because that's what we have to look at. And if we look that record, I never made any inference or suggestion that there were undisclosed medical records that existed prior to the accident specifically talking about a condition. She had a preexisting condition. And it doesn't preclude the possibility of her having been symptomatic prior to the accident.

That's all. So that -- this instruction should not come in.

MR. SMITH: For the record, the curative instruction quotes his statement in opening where he says, "Just because there is no evidence of any preexisting records doesn't mean that none exist."

That is specifically suggesting that records might exist from prior to the crash, and that's specifically what was excluded by plaintiff's Motion in Limine 3. That's not a discussion of pain. It's
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expressly a discussion of records, which is why we made the objection at the time, why the Court said it would consider a curative instruction, why it entered a curative instruction.

THE COURT: Okay. Any others that you're objecting to?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Yes. We object to the word -- the taking out of the word "reasonably certain" in Instruction Number 33. It was in paragraph -- the Items Number 2 and Number 5. Nevada pattern jury instruction upon which this was based, 10.02, has been used for over two decades in Nevada and hasn't been changed by this Court. And I believe the citation plaintiff discussed yesterday came from outside of this state.

So, like I said, I agreed to use the word "publicly" only in lieu of the word "likely" that plaintiff had proposed, but we wanted to keep the original word that was in the model instruction, which is "reasonably certain."

THE COURT: I thought it was a Nevada Supreme Court case that you guys cited yesterday that said that "reasonably certain" is inappropriate language.

MR. ROBERTS: That is correct, Your Honor, and I'll get the citation for the record in just a second.

1

I'm pulling it up. But the allegation was that a judge who committed judicial misconduct by giving a "reasonable certainty" instruction, and the supreme court found that it was not misconduct, but they did find that it was an incorrect statement of the law and pointed out in Footnote Number 2 that, although Pattern Instruction 10.02 states a reasonable certainty standard, that standard had never been adopted by the Nevada -- or approved by the Nevada Supreme Court.

THE COURT: Just make sure --
MR. ROBERTS: And it's a 2009 case.
THE COURT: Just make sure that the cite is part of the record so we can --

MS. ESTANISLAO: The only other objection we have is --

THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.
MS. ESTANISLAO: Oh, sorry.
THE COURT: Let's get the cite first.
MR. ROBERTS: Marrone v. Kaczmarek, 125 Nevada 1059, and that's a 2009 decision. And I should point out for the record that that decision is unpublished, but there's no contrary law.

I think that, with the amendment of the rule, we can cite the unpublished decisions, but we still can't do so unless they were issued after the -- in
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2016.

So it may not be binding authority, but I think it's a good indication of what the court would do and is highly persuasive in the absence of any Nevada Supreme Court decision specifically approving pattern that you can point that to.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. What other one did you object to?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Well, the not giving of 1.
THE COURT: We're not to that there yet.
MS. ESTANISLAO: Okay. Then that would be it.
THE COURT: That's all that you're objecting to that the Court is giving?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, what instructions does Andrea Awerbach propose that the Court is not giving?

MS. ESTANISLAO: Both defendants have requested the inversion of Nevada -- Model Instruction Nevada 4NG.1, which discusses the rights of the defendants being separate and distinct. We do believe that applies. There are separate claims. These are not completely, automatically vicarious liability. They still have to find separate findings for defendant Andrea Awerbach to be vicariously or imputatively liable
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for the damages caused by Jared.
THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that?
MS. ESTANISLAO: Yes.
THE COURT: I don't have that in my file for some reason.

MS. ESTANISLAO: It was originally my -- it was page 2 of my proposal instructions, my copy.

THE COURT: Rights of the defendants. I got it. We'll mark that as your proposed, not given.

Any others?
MS. ESTANISLAO: That is it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tindall,
Mr. Strassburg, any objections to the instructions that the Court is giving?

MR. TINDALL: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. ROBERTS: On the separate and distinct, I just wanted to put on the record that we objected because the pattern referred to plaintiffs having separate and distinct right. It doesn't mention defendant. So it's taken out of context, and the fact that we have potential joint liability arising out of permissive use makes the instruction confusing in this case.

THE COURT: I agree.
MR. TINDALL: All right, Your Honor.
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Regarding Instruction 29 -- it begins, "It has been established as a matter of law" -- the last sentence we believe should read, "Defendant Jared Awerbach has been deemed impaired with marijuana metabolite as a matter of law," because that's the only impairment. Marijuana was not an impairment. When the Court interchangeably allows getting rid of -- see, in the next instruction, the word "marijuana"? That's a falsehood being told to the jury. It's not in evidence. It never has been. It's specifically been banned as a basis for impairment.

So this instruction should be specific.
THE COURT: Okay. And I think that -- as we discussed yesterday, I think that the only way you get marijuana metabolite in your blood, that's the by-product of the marijuana. And I understand that you want to make a distinction, but I don't know that there's evidence in the record that supports a distinction.

MR. TINDALL: So the related instruction, Number 40, which begins, "If you find the plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages," Subsection 1.

Oh, let's back up to the first paragraph. If we can look at line 4 and 5, beginning, "Defendant Jared Awerbach, on the basis of his impairment with a controlled substance."

All right. So if we look at NRS 453.510 which -- and this is in Subsection 4. This is what defines controlled substances as it pertains -- I mean, there's hundreds of them. This is the subsection specific to marijuana. "Marijuana metabolite not a controlled substance. The State of Nevada does not recognize it as a controlled substance."

So with this jury instructions, with the word "controlled substance," we either need to put in "marijuana metabolite" or take out the word "controlled" because it's not a controlled substance. This misleads the jury.

THE COURT: But marijuana is a controlled substance.

MR. TINDALL: It is, but he's not -- this first paragraph talks about impairment, "on the basis of his impairment with a controlled substance," and it's not a controlled substance and he wasn't impaired on marijuana.

This is a falsehood. It misleads the jury into believing he consumed a controlled substance and he was impaired by that controlled substance, and that's just not the case.

THE COURT: Well, I think there's evidence that he had consumed a controlled substance, marijuana,
and there's a -- there's a ruling by the court that he was impaired as a matter of law, as evidenced by the marijuana metabolite.

MR. TINDALL: So as the Court can see, then -accepting everything the Court just said as completely accurate, we can't have it read "impairment with a controlled substance" because he wasn't impaired with a controlled substance.

The court, Judge Allf, has ruled he was impaired by marijuana metabolite, which is not a controlled substance.

I'm saying this language has to -- just take out the word "controlled," and then I guess we don't have an objection anymore.

THE COURT: I understand the objection. I think you're making a distinction between the marijuana and the marijuana metabolite that I'm not prepared to make.

MR. TINDALL: Okay. One moment, please.
All right. So if the Court is not going to take out the word "controlled" based on what I've just argued, but if we look at 42.010, the statute doesn't read "controlled substance." We have the word "controlled" in the title, but statute, Subsection 1, reads, "using alcohol or another substance."

