IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ELAINE P. WYNN, an individual,

Petitioner,

| vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, DEPT. XI,

Respondent,

and

WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED, a Nevada Corporation,

Real Party in Interest.

Case No. 71432

Electronically Filed
Nov 01 2016 10:45 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
WYNN RESORTS, dishipted Court
MOTION TO REDOCTION FILE
OPPOSITION TO ELAINE P.
WYNN'S MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF
APPENDIX TO WRIT PETITION
AND FILE EXHIBIT B THERETO
UNDER SEAL

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Part VII of the Supreme Court Rules Governing Sealing and Redacting Court Records, Real Party in Interest Wynn Resorts Limited ("WRL") hereby moves this Court for an order to file under seal Exhibit B to its Opposition to Motion to File Portions of Appendix to Writ Under Seal (the "Opposition") and file a redacted version of the Opposition, which quotes from Exhibit B. Exhibit B to the Opposition was filed under seal in the District Court on September 26, 2016 pursuant to a protective order and Ms. Wynn's claims she is entitled to whistleblower protections. The subject matter of Ms. Wynn's Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Appendix to Writ Petition (the "Motion") is whether these filings should be filed under sealed, rather than redacting the confidential and highly confidential portions. WRL has prepared a redacted version of the filing. However, until the Court has decided the underlying Motion, WRL requests that Exhibit B be filed under seal and it be allowed to file a redacted version of the Opposition pursuant to SRCR 3(4).

II. ANALYSIS

Rule 7 of Part VII of the Supreme Court Rules provides that sealed District Court records shall be made available to this Court on appeal but that those records "shall be sealed from public access" subject to further order of this Court. This Court will keep the documents under seal if there is an appropriate basis to do so under SRCR 3(4). SRCR 3(4) permits the sealing or redaction of the record when justified by compelling privacy or safety interests that outweigh the public interest in access to the court record. The public interest in privacy outweighs the public interest in open court records when the sealing or redaction furthers a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c). SRCR 3(4)(b).

Here, the District Court, after briefing from the parties, entered a Protective Order with Respect to Confidentiality under NRCP 26(c) (the "Protective Order") (1 APP. 001-017.) Pursuant to the Protective Order, the parties are permitted to designate materials that contain "information that constitutes, reflects, or discloses nonpublic information, trade secrets, know-how, or other financial, proprietary, commercially sensitive, confidential business, marketing, regulatory, or strategic information (regarding business plans or strategies, technical data, and nonpublic designs)" as Confidential. (*Id.* at 2-3.) And, information that is designated as confidential may be filed with the Court and kept under seal and/or redacted upon motion of the filing party. (*Id.* at 9.)

In the present case, Ms. Wynn demanded that all filings relating to her claim she is entitled to whistleblower protections be filed under seal. Although WRL does not believe Ms. Wynn is entitled to whistleblower protections, it has complied with her demands, and the filings were filed under seal with the District Court. Ms. Wynn has since changed her position, arguing that only the substance of the communications between her and the Audit Committee or Ernst & Young cannot be disclosed. WRL has prepared redacted versions of these filings, including Exhibit B, but these filings are still under seal at the District Court, and Ms. Wynn's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Motion moves to seal these filings in her appendix to her writ petition. order to avoid running afoul of the Protective Order, WRL seeks an order from this Court allowing it to file Exhibit B, which contains an unredacted version of the filing, under seal and a version of the Opposition which redacts the quotation from Exhibit B. An Order permitting WRL to file these documents under seal or with redactions will facilitate the Court's review of WRL's Opposition. **CONCLUSION** III. Based upon the foregoing, WRL respectfully requests that the Court permit it to file Exhibit B to its Opposition under seal and to file Opposition in redacted form

and to submit the unredacted Opposition under seal.

DATED this 31st day of October, 2016.

PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027 Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534 Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Wynn Resorts, Limited

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC, and			
that on this 31st day of October, 2016, I electronically filed and served by electronic			
mail and United States Mail a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing			
WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED'S MOTION TO REDACT OPPOSITION TO			
ELAINE P. WYNN'S MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF			
APPENDIX TO WRIT PETITION AND FILE EXHIBIT B THERETO			
UNDER SEAL properly addressed to the following:			
SERVED VIA U.S. MAIL			
Lila D. O. 'and E. a.			

John B. Quinn, Esq.	
Michael T. Zeller, Esq.	
Michael T. Zeller, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART	&
SULLIVAN LLP	
865 Figueroa Street, Tenth Floor	
Los Angeles, CA 90017	
Los ringeles, err 70017	
l	

William R. Urga, Esq. Martin A. Little, Esq. JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY & LITTLE 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway 16th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169

14 Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn
Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn

17 | /s/ Kimberly Peets
18 | An employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC