IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 LUIS HIDALGO, JR., 3 Appellant, Electronically Filed 4 Jul 25 2017 08:10 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown VS. 5 Case No. 71458 Clerk of Supreme Court 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. 8 **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VII** 9 Appeal from Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County 10 The Honorable Valerie Adair, District Judge 11 District Court Case No. 08C241394 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC Margaret A. McLetchie (Bar No. 10931) 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Counsel for Appellant, Luis Hidalgo, Jr. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

1	INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX				
2 3	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS	
4	II	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 1 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA0048-PA0254	
5		Writ of Habeas Corpus			
6 7	III	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 2 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA0255-PA0501	
8 9 10	IV	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 3 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (through HID PA 00538)	02/29/2016	PA0502-PA0606	
11 12 13	V	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 3-4 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 5)	02/29/2016	PA0607-PA0839	
14 15	VI	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 4 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (from HID PA 00765)	02/29/2016	PA0840-PA1024	
16171819	VII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 5 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 7 pgs. 1-189)	02/29/2016	PA1025-PA1220	
202122	VIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 5 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 7 pgs. 190-259)	02/29/2016	PA1221-PA1290	
232425	IX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 6 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA1291-PA1457	
252627	X	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 7 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA1458-PA1649	

-2-

1	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS
2 3	XI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 8-9 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA1650-PA1874
4		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
5		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 10		
6	XII	pgs. 1-218) Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA1875-PA2004
7		8-9 to Supplemental Petition for		
8		Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 10		
9		pgs. 319-341)		
	XIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2005-PA2188
10		10-11 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
11		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 11		
12		pgs. 1-177)	00/00/00/	D. 1.0100 D. 1.000 f
13	XIV	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 10-11 to Supplemental Petition	02/29/2016	PA2189-PA2336
14		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
15		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 11		
16	XV	pgs. 178-318) Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2337-PA2574
17		12-13 to Supplemental Petition	02/23/2010	
18		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
19		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 12 pgs. 1-229)		
20	XVI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2575-PA2683
21		12-13 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
22		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 12		
23	X/X/YY	pgs. 230-330)	00/00/00/	D. 1.202.2
24	XVII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 14 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA2684-PA2933
25		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
26	XVIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2934-PA3089
27		15-16 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
41		101 WIII OI Haocas Corpus		

1	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS
2	*****		00/00/00/16	
3	XIX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume	02/29/2016	PA3090-PA3232
3		17 to Supplemental Petition for		
4	****	Writ of Habeas Corpus	00/00/00/	D 1 2222 D 1 2 1 52
5	XX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume	02/29/2016	PA3233-PA3462
		18 to Supplemental Petition for		
6		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
7	XXI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA3463-PA3703
		19-20 to Supplemental Petition		
8		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
9	XXII	Minute Order	08/15/2016	PA3811
10	XXII	Notice of Appeal	10/03/2016	PA3862-PA3864
10	XXII	Notice of Entry of Findings of	09/19/2016	PA3812-PA3861
11		Fact and Conclusions of Law		
12		and Order		
12	XXII	Register of Actions for District	07/11/2017	PA3865-PA3883
13		Court Case Number 08C241394		
14	XXII	Reply to State's Response to	07/21/2016	PA3786-PA3798
15		Supplemental Petition for Writ		
13		of Habeas Corpus		
16	XXII	State's Response to	05/18/2016	PA3709-PA3785
17		Supplemental Petition for Writ		
		of Habeas Corpus		
18	XXII	Supplement to Supplemental	03/08/2016	PA3704-PA3708
19		Petition for Writ of Habeas		
		Corpus		
20	Ι	Supplemental Petition for Writ	02/29/2016	PA0001-PA0047
21		of Habeas Corpus		
22	XXII	Transcript of Petition for Writ	08/11/2016	PA3799-PA3810
		of Habeas Corpus Hearing	00/11/2010	
23		or reacting corpus from the		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of McLetchie Shell LLC and that on this

I certify that I am an employee of McLetchie Shell LLC and that on this

24th day of July, 2017 the APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VII was

filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and

therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the Master Service

List as follows:

STEVEN OWENS

STEVEN OWENS Office of the District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155

12
13
ADAM P. LAXALT
Office of the Attorney General
14
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

VOLUME VII was served by first class U.S. mail on July 24, 2017 to the

I hereby further certify that the foregoing APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

19 following:

1

10

11

16

17

18

LUIS HIDALGO, JR., ID # 1038134
 NORTHERN NEVADA CORRECTIONAL CENTER
 1721 E. SNYDER AVE
 CARSON CITY, NV 89701
 Appellant

/s/ Pharan Burchfield
Employee, McLetchie Shell LLC

26

24

25

27

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931

MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC

701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220

Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

Attorney for Petitioner, Luis Hidalgo Jr.

Alun D. Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUIS HIDALGO, JR.,

Petitioner,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No.: 08C241394

Dept. No.: XXI

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

<u>VOLUME V:</u> PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

		•	
<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	BATES
I	06/20/2005	Information	HID PA00001 - HID PA00004
I	07/06/2005	Notice Of Intent To Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00005 - HID PA00009
I	07/06/2005	Notice Of Intent To Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00010 - HID PA00014
I	11/14/2006	Answer To Petition For Writ of Mandamus Or, In the Alternative, Writ of Prohibition	HID PA00015 - HID PA00062
I	12/20/2006	Reply to State's Answer To Petition For Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition	HID PA00063 - HID PA00079
I	02/04/2008	Guilty Plea Agreement	HID PA00080 - HID PA00091
I	05/29/2008	Advance Opinion 33, (No. 48233)	HID PA00092 - HID PA00113

8			
×			
ж	88 8	XXXX	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvlitigation.com

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
I	02/11/2008- 01/13/2016	Docket	HID PA00114 - HID PA00131
Ι	02/11/2008- 11/10/2015	Minutes	HID PA00132 - HID PA00200
II	02/13/2008	Indictment	HID PA00201 - HID PA00204
II	02/20/2008	Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing re Arraignment	HID PA00205 - HID PA00209
II	03/07/2008	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00210 - HID PA00212
II	04/01/2008	Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing re Motions	HID PA00213 - HID PA00238
II	05/01/2008	Amended Indictment	HID PA00239 - HID PA00241
II	06/18/2008	Amended Notice of Intent To Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00242 - HID PA00245
II	06/25/2008	Notice of Motion And Motion To Consolidate Case No. C241394 Into C212667	HID PA00246 - HID PA00258
II	12/08/2008	Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr. And Luis Hidalgo III's Opposition To The Motion To Consolidate Case No. C241394 Into C212667 + Exhibits A-G	HID PA00259 - HID PA00440
III	12/08/2008	Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr. And Luis Hidalgo III's Opposition To The Motion To Consolidate Case No. C241394 Into C212667, Exhibits H-K	HID PA00441 - HID PA00469
III	12/15/2008	Response To Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr. and Luis Hidalgo, III's Opposition To Consolidate Case No. C241394 Into C212667	HID PA00470 - HID PA00478
III	01/07/2009	State's Motion To Remove Mr. Gentile As Attorney For Defendant Hidalgo, Jr., Or In The Alternative, To Require Waivers After Defendants Have Had True Independent Counsel To Advise Him	HID PA00479 - HID PA00499
III	01/16/2009	Order Granting The State's Motion To Consolidate C241394 Into C212667	HID PA00500 - HID PA00501
III	01/16/2009	Waiver of Rights To A Determination Of Penalty By The Trial Jury	HID PA00502
III	01/29/2009	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial - Day 3	HID PA00503 - HID PA00522

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
		DOCUMENT	<u>DATES</u>
III	01/30/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00523 -
		Jury Trial - Day 4	HID PA00538
III	02/02/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00539 -
		Jury Trial - Day 5 (Pg. 1-152)	HID PA00690
IV	02/02/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00691 -
		Jury Trial - Day 5 (Pg. 153-225)	HID PA00763
IV	02/06/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00764 -
		Jury Trial - Day 6	HID PA00948
V	02/04/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00949 -
		Jury Trial - Day 7	HID PA01208
VI	02/05/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01209 -
		Jury Trial - Day 8	HID PA01368
VII	02/06/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01369 -
		Jury Trial - Day 9	HID PA01553
VIII	02/09/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01554 -
		Jury Trial - Day 10 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA01803
IX	02/09/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01804 -
		Jury Trial - Day 10 (Pg. 250-340)	HID PA01894
X	02/10/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01895 -
		Jury Trial - Day 11 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA02144
XI	02/10/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02145 -
7777	004440000	Jury Trial - Day 11 (Pg. 1-251)	HID PA02212
XII	02/11/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02213 -
	00/11/0000	Jury Trial - Day 12 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA02464
XIII	02/11/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02465 -
N/TN/	02/12/2000	Jury Trial - Day 12 (Pg. 251-330)	HID PA02545
XIV	02/12/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02546 -
3/3/	02/17/2000	Jury Trial - Day 13	HID PA02788
XV	02/17/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02789 -
3/3/1	02/05/2000	Jury Trial - Day 14	HID PA02796
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 2 (C212667),	HID PA02797 -
		Transcript of Audio Recording (5/23/05)	HID PA02814
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 3 (C212667),	HID PA02815 -
AVI	02/03/2009	Transcript of Audio Recording	HID PA02818
		(5/24/05)	111D FA02016
XVI	No Date On	Court Exhibit: 4 (C212667),	HID PA02819 -
	Document	Transcript of Audio Recording (Disc	HID PA02823
		Marked As Audio Enhancement)	
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 5 (C212667),	HID PA02824 -
		Transcript of Audio Recording (Disc	HID PA02853
		Marked As Audio Enhancement)	
XVI	05/20/2010	Court Exhibit: 229 (C212667)	HID PA02854
		Note	

Ē	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvl.tigation.com

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	DATES
XVI	02/10/2009	Court Exhibit: 238 (C212667)	HID PA02855 -
		Phone Record	HID PA02875
XVI	02/17/2009	Jury Instructions	HID PA02876 -
			HID PA02930
XVII	03/10/2009	Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion	HID PA02931 -
		For Judgment Of Acquittal Or, In The	HID PA02948
		Alternative, A New Trial	
XVII	03/17/2009	State's Opposition To Defendant Luis	HID PA02949 -
		Hidalgo Jr.'s Motion For Judgment of	HID PA02961
		Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, A	
	0.445.0000	New Trial	THE D 4 000 (0
XVII	04/17/2009	Reply To State's Opposition To	HID PA02962 -
		Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr.'s Motion	HID PA02982
		For Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the	
VVII	04/27/2000	Alternative, A New Trial	LIID DA 02002
XVII	04/27/2009	Supplemental Points And Authorities To Defendant Luis A. Hidelgo, Ir.'s	HID PA02983 - HID PA02991
		To Defendant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion For Judgment Of Acquittal Or,	HID PA02991
		In The Alternative, A New Trial	
XVII	06/19/2009	Luis A. Hidalgo Jr.'s Sentencing	HID PA02992 -
	00/17/2007	Memorandum Memorandum	HID PA03030
XVII	06/23/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA03031 -
		Sentencing	HID PA03058
XVII	07/06/2009	Ex-Parte Application Requesting That	HID PA03059 -
		Defendant Luis A. Hidalgo Jr.'s Ex-	HID PA03060
		Parte Application Requesting An	
		Order Declaring Him Indigent For	
		Purposes Of Appointing Appellate	
		Counsel Be Sealed	
XVII	07/10/2009	Judgment Of Conviction	HID PA03061 -
			HID PA03062
XVII	07/16/2009	Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Notice Of Appeal	HID PA03063-
	00404000		HID PA03064
XVII	08/18/2009	Amended Judgment Of Conviction	HID PA03065 -
XXIII	02/00/2011	A 11 A TT' 1 1 T 1	HID PA03066
XVIII	02/09/2011	Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s	HID PA03067 -
VVIII	06/10/2011	Opening Brief Respondent's Answering Brief	HID PA03134
XVIII	06/10/2011	Respondent's Answering Brief	HID PA03135 - HID PA03196
XVIII	09/30/2011	Appellant Luis A. Hidalaa In 's Danley	HID PA03196
AVIII	U9/30/2011 	Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Reply Brief	HID PA03197 -
XVIII	03/09/2012		HID PA03239
AVIII	03/03/2012	Order Submitting Appeal For Decision Without Oral Argument	111D FAU3239
		Decision Without Oral Argument	<u> </u>

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvlitigation.com

X/OL LINED	D.A. (DD)	D.O.CHIMIDNE	DATEC
VOLUME	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	BATES
XVIII	03/30/2012	Appellant's Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument	HID PA03240 - HID PA03251
XVIII	04/17/2012	Appellant's Emergency Supplemental Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument + Exhibits A-C	HID PA03252 - HID PA03289
XIX	04/17/2012	Appellant's Emergency Supplemental Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument, Exhibit D	HID PA03290 - HID PA03329
XIX	04/26/2012	Notice Of Oral Argument Setting	HID PA03330
XIX	06/05/2012	Appellant's Notice of Supplemental Authorities [NRAP31(e)]	HID PA03331 - HID PA03333
XIX	06/21/2012	Order Of Affirmance	HID PA03334 - HID PA03344
XIX	07/09/2012	Petition For Rehearing Pursuant To Nevada Rule Of Appellate Procedure 40	HID PA03345 - HID PA03351
XIX	07/27/2012	Order Denying Rehearing	HID PA03352
XIX	08/10/2012	Petition For En Banc Reconsideration Pursuant To NRAP 40A	HID PA03353 - HID PA03365
XIX	09/18/2012	Order Directing Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03366
XIX	10/02/2012	Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03367 - HID PA03379
XIX	10/09/2012	Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion For Permission To File A Reply To Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03380 - HID PA03383
XIX	10/12/2012	Instruction #40 Was Structural Error And Therefore Reversible Per Se Under Post-Bolden Nevada Conspiracy Jurisprudence	HID PA03384 - HID PA03399
XIX	11/13/2012	Order Denying En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03400 - HID PA03401
XIX	05/15/2013	Letter to Clerk of Court: Petition For USSC Writ Of Certiorari Denied	HID PA03402
XX	12/31/2013	Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction)	HID PA03403 - HID PA03483
XX	12/31/2013	Motion For Appointment Of Counsel	HID PA03484 - HID PA03488

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

VOLUME	<u>DATE</u>	DOCUMENT	BATES
XX	01/08/2014	Order For Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus	HID PA03489
XX	01/13/2014	State's Response To Defendant's Pro Per Motion For Appointment of Counsel	HID PA03490 - HID PA03494
XX	01/13/2016	Documents received from the Nevada Secretary of State	HID PA03495 – HID PA03516

DATED this 29th day of February, 2016.

/s/ Margaret A. McLetchie

MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931

MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC

701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220

Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

Attorney for Petitioner, Luis Hidalgo Jr.



ATTORNEYS AT LAW 701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(B) I hereby certify that on the 29th day of February, 2016, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing VOLUME V: PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS by depositing the same in the United States mail, first-class postage pre-paid, to the following address:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney RYAN MACDONALD, Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

MARC DIGIACOMO, Deputy District Attorney Office of the District Attorney 301 E. Clark Avenue # 100 Las Vegas, NV 89155

Attorneys for Respondent

Certified by: <u>/s/ Mia Ji</u>
An Employee of McLetchie Shell LLC

ORIGINAL

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO: C212667 C241394
DEPT NO: XXI

C241399

vs.

LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka)
LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III, and)

LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.,

Defendants.

Transcript of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 7

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2009

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

MARC DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.:

DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ.

PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ.

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III:

JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ.

CHRISTOPHER ADAMS, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: KARReporting and Transcription Services

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2009

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

RONTAE	ZONE		

Cross-Examination	Ву	Mr.	Armeni	(Continued)	12

Cross-Examination By Mr.	Adams	52
--------------------------	-------	----

Redirect	Examination	By Mr.	Pesci	10	0
----------	-------------	--------	-------	----	---

152 Recross Examination By Mr. Armeni

Recross Examination By Mr. Adams 157

MICHAEL SEAN MCGRATH

Direct Examination By Mr. Digiacomo

162

PAGE

202

EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:

158	Aerial Street Map	192
60 through 80	Photographs	196
163 and 163A	Evidence Envelope and Contents	198

81 through 96	Photographs	202
161 and 165	Evidence Envelopes and Contents	212

162	Evidence Ba	ag and	Contents	213

168	Evidence Envelope and Contents	213
-----	--------------------------------	-----

160	Evidence Envelope	214

202	\$1,400	221
191	Recording	227

192A and B	CDs	228

160 A through D	Polomino VID Carde	25.4
160 A through D	Palomino VIP Cards	254

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2009, 10:48 A.M. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 4 THE COURT: Can we deal with some legal issues, 5 please, while we're sitting around waiting for the witness and 6 waiting on a juror? 7 Well, where we ended yesterday, Mr. Gentile and Mr. Arrascada were going to consider their positions on the 8 9 tape and whether or not they wanted a limiting instruction. 10 MR. GENTILE: We can address that now. 11 THE COURT: That's what I'm asking for. You know, I've been waiting for you while you mill around in the back, 12 13 turn your back to me --14 I apologize. MR. GENTILE: 15 THE COURT: -- move your briefcase around. I mean, it's like -- you know, let's at least get something done while 16 17 we're sitting here waiting on a witness so that we don't have to, you know, keep the jury out in the hall unnecessarily. 18 19 Mr. Gentile, the whole point of the mild diatribe 20 that you just heard was that I've been sitting here waiting for at least ten minutes. 22 MR. GENTILE: I'm ready. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 24 MR. GENTILE: May I proceed?

THE COURT: You may, please.

MR. GENTILE: Thank you.

Thinking this through, here's my analysis of it.

The State could not get Deangelo Carroll's statement on the tape in for the truth of the matter asserted. And clearly they want to deny that those things are true. However, once the State puts it into evidence, the concept of the discourse that's going on, the common ground, the historical facts that are contained, albeit assumed by the speaker, becomes circumstantial evidence of the truth of those facts that are not spoken about. And so the other side is able to argue —

THE COURT: Can I cut to the chase here? I don't mean to interrupt you. Is what you're going to say is that you just want the whole tape to come in without a limiting instruction one way or the other?

MR. GENTILE: What I am focusing on is the State's position that if it comes in for the truth at all that they get to impeach him. They don't. That's what I'm focusing on.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

THE COURT: Because my inclination, I'll just tell you right now, on the tape, is to let the whole tape in and Mr. Arrascada can argue the statement you had nothing to do with it or whatever and they can argue what they said already, he doesn't know anything about the law of conspiracy, that's what — you know, and that's just the subject for argument for

both sides without -- without giving an instruction one way or the other and everybody argues about what it means. That's my inclination at this point.

Now, you're to step two or issue number two, which is, all right, if it all comes in, then what right does the State have to try to impeach Deangelo Carroll.

MR. GENTILE: The State doesn't have any right to try to impeach Deangelo Carroll because the State is not offering it for the truth. Okay. Clearly you can't call a witness to the stand solely for the purpose of impeaching him.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: You know the witness is going to lie.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: So anything that the State would put into evidence for consideration to contradict the truth — we're not talking about impeachment now. We're talking about contradiction — would have to be independently admissible. In other words, it's not —

THE COURT: No, I get it.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Then I don't need to say anything further.

And my position is that it doesn't come in at all. I don't want to -- I don't want -- for the purposes of this record, and God forbid, an appeal, I don't want to agree to anything. So my position, the position of Luis Hidalgo, Jr.

is that the instruction ought to be -- that it is not admissible at all. And that's my position.

THE COURT: Right. And so you don't want to take a position, then, because as I ruled earlier, I think parts of the tape are admissible as to him. The parts talking about the sort of coverup generally when you get into what I consider to be a possible second conspiracy about the rat poisoning and all of that, clearly that's not admissible as to Mr. Hidalgo, Jr., but I don't know that just as a matter of interpreting it anybody was going to consider it against him anyway because clearly it was a spur of the moment thing and he's not involved in that. So I don't really see a lot of danger of any prejudice against Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. without some kind of a limiting instruction on that issue. Because it's clearly — he wasn't involved in it so I don't see where the jurors would consider that against him. That's just my sense.

MR. GENTILE: I think he's entitled to an instruction that the discussions with respect to the rat poisoning and all of that are not admissible as to him. I think he's entitled to that instruction.

THE COURT: Okay. If that's what you want.

MR. GENTILE: Yes. Basically the discussions with respect to causing harm or having harm occur to Zone, Taoipu and/or Counts are not admissible as to -- that was the Court's ruling and I think we need to --

THE COURT: All right. All I'm asking is if you want it.

Yes, Mr. Arrascada.

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, if you're inclined to follow your inclination regarding the wire, then I'll adopt Mr. Gentile's position. There are other valid reasons why it would be admissible that Mr. Hidalgo, III, was not involved under the hearsay exceptions, but we'll adopt what he's presented to you.

The next issue, though, is can the State impeach

Deangelo Carroll through, in essence, inadmissible or

extraneous statements he may have made on a previous

videotape. And I also would adopt Mr. Gentile's position

regarding that. It's not admissible.

And that comes in to what I was mentioning last night is that they control that witness. They control Deangelo Carroll. And they can put Deangelo Carroll on the witness stand if he has proper impeachment and then we can cross-examine him and confront him regarding that. But the impeachment issue — they cannot put on the videotape to try to impeach — Little Lou was not involved, and candidly, Your Honor, there's — I don't believe there's anything on that videotape that precisely says by Deangelo Carroll Little Lou was involved, which would be the impeachment of the statement. And so —

THE COURT: Well, I don't know that they would be limited to a direct statement directly contradicting it. I mean, a factual statement such as, Little Lou told me to do this, is impeachment that he wasn't involved.

Mr. DiGiacomo, do you want to be heard?
MR. DIGIACOMO: I do, Judge.

Judge, you know, the -- maybe partly this was my fault by giving them the option, but here's the -- I mean, the rules of evidence are the darn rules of evidence. And we briefed this on the writ in this particular case because of the whole argument as to whether or not Deangelo Carroll's statements could be utilized. And the position of the Court -- I know it wasn't this Court at the time, but the position of the Court was under Tanenbaum and all the other U.S. cases about informants on tape, if they're unavailable, the jury's to be instructed that you cannot take their statements for the truth of the matter asserted for very good reason.

And you're going to hear from the detectives in this case they told them certain lies to tell, some of which you know for a fact are not true.

Now, during their opening statement, the defense has now proffered one of those statements that Little Lou didn't do it for the truth of the matter asserted. If you instruct this jury nothing Deangelo Carroll said could be considered

for the truth of the matter --

THE COURT: I don't think they're saying it -- I mean, here's the deal. They're not saying that Deangelo Carroll's statement is truthful. They're saying, I think, that the acquiescence in this statement was a statement by a coconspirator, that the fact that they didn't contradict it, that it was adopted by failure to contradict. I mean, that's essentially what they're saying, that it's not Deangelo Carroll's statement per se that's being offered, that it's really an adoptive admission made by either Anabel Espindola or Little Lou. That's what they're saying.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, if that's what they're saying, then there's simply no ability to claim that that is off — that that can be stated because Deangelo Carroll makes the statement and the statement — in order for it to be adoptive admission is a statement which someone normally would object to, one; but, two, as he's making the statement, Little Lou starts coughing and the conversation goes on before he finishes coughing. He never acknowledges the statement one way or the other on the tape. There is no acknowledgment by Little Lou as to what that statement is in any manner and meaning.

And later on in the tape, you can literally hear him making a statement contradictory to what Deangelo Carroll said.

Now, last night what I did was I went through

Deangelo Carroll's statement and I found the five areas where

he makes statements which are inconsistent with, You didn't

have anything to do with it.

Now, it is my position, because some of those statements reference Mr. H, that we should not be playing the video in front of the jury because I don't believe that that statement of Deangelo Carroll should be in front of this jury where he's referencing Mr. H. I think that's inappropriate. But I certainly am going to ask -- I believe I'm allowed to ask the detective, Detective, Deangelo Carroll made this particular statement on the tape, correct? Yes. You were present during the interview of Deangelo Carroll four days before, correct? Yes. During that interview, did he make statements --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- inconsistent with that? Yes.

THE COURT: What were those statements, blah, blah,

blah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: What were those statements and those statements, as recited by the detective, will not mention Mr. H's name. The statements are, one, he says, Little Lou called me and told me to come to the club and supposedly bring baseball bats and garbage bags. When I got to the club that night and I was in the office getting the order that I got, I

1	got I got told that the other defendants Mr. H and
2	Anabel is actually what he says
3	THE MARSHAL: The jury's all here now.
4	THE COURT: Is Rontae Zone here?
5	THE MARSHAL: Yes, he is, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Let's start. We'll resume
7	this argument at the lunch break.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
9	THE COURT: And, Mr. Gentile, just real quick while
10	I think of it, there were no favorable statements that
11	Deangelo Carroll made for your client Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. that
12	you want in, right, or were there, in the tapes?
13	MR. GENTILE: In the videotape?
14	THE COURT: No, no. In the audio tape.
15	MR. GENTILE: No, nothing.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So the only real issue is Little
17	Lou didn't have anything to do with it. That's really the
18	only favorable statement that Hidalgo, III, would care about.
19	Okay.
20	THE MARSHAL: You ready, Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Jury reconvened at 10:59 a.m.)
23	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
24	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State,

25 the deputy district attorneys, the presence of the defendants

1	and their counsel, the officers of the Court and the members
2	of the jury.
3	And we will recall Mr. Zone so that Ms. Armeni can
4	resume her cross-examination.
5	Mr. Zone, come on back up here to the witness stand,
6	please, sir, and just remain standing and our court clerk will
7	administer the oath to you again.
8	RONTAE ZONE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
9	THE CLERK: Please have a seat and just state and
10	spell your name for the record.
11	THE WITNESS: My name is Rontae Zone, R-o-n-t-a-e,
12	last name Zone, Z-o-n-e.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14	Ms. Armeni, you may proceed.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
16	BY MS. ARMENI:
17	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Zone. When we left
18	yesterday we were talking about Mr. H. You've never heard
19	Mr. H's voice, correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q You've never spoken to him?
22	A Correct.
23	Q You've never met him?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And you don't know what he looks like, do you?

1	P	Yes, I do.
2		Okay. You're telling us, as you sit here
3	today, your	testimony is that you have seen Mr. H before,
4	correct?	
5	P	I've seen him, yes.
6	Ç	Correct. Okay. So that's a yes, sir?
7	P	Yes.
8	2	All right. You testified in a prior proceeding
9	on February	1, 2008. Do you remember that?
10	<i>[</i> 2	Yes.
11	Ç	And you were under oath?
12	<i>P</i>	Right.
13	Ç	You promised to tell the truth?
14	A	Right.
15	Q	Just like today, correct?
16	А	Correct.
17	М	IS. ARMENI: Page 7, Counsel.
18	М	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
19	М	S. ARMENI: Lines 15 through 20.
20	BY MS. ARME	INI:
21	Q	And, Mr. Zone, on February 1, 2008, you were
22	asked the q	question: Let's talk about some of the people at
23	the club.	Did you ever meet a guy by the name of Mr. H?
24	A	inswer: No.
25	А	Right. And I also I just told you that just

1	now, right.	
2	Q	Right. That's your testimony now. Your
3	testimony now,	sir, you told us all yesterday that you've seen
4	Mr. H before,	correct?
5	A	Right.
6	Q	And you were under oath yesterday, correct?
7	A	Yes, I was.
8	Q	Okay. And when you testified on February 1,
9	2008, you were	also under oath, correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And at that time you told that Court that you
12	did not know w}	no you had never seen Mr. H, right?
13	А	Right.
14	Q	Now, any information that you did know about
15	Mr. H you learn	ned from Deangelo Carroll?
16	А	Right.
17	Q	So anything that Mr. H supposedly said you
18	learned from De	eangelo Carroll?
19	А	Right
20	Q	You never heard it yourself?
21	А	No.
22	Q	You never heard it let me rephrase it. You
23	never heard it	directly from Mr. H
24	А	Never.
25	Q	right?

1	Aı	nd yo	ou had to rely on what Deangelo Carroll said to
2	you?		
3	A	. У	Yes.
4	Q) Y	You don't know if it was true?
5	A	.]	It had to be true enough.
6	Q) V	Well, sir, you don't know he's the your
7	source of i	nform	mation is Deangelo Carroll, right?
8	А		Correct.
9	Ω) I	And Deangelo Carroll is your only source?
10	А	. (Correct.
11	Q) S	So when you made your statement, your first
12	statement,	your	voluntary statement to the homicide
13	detectives,	you	were relying on what Deangelo Carroll told
14	you?		
15	A		Correct.
16	Q) F	And when you testified at the first preliminary
17	hearing, you	u wer	re relying on what Deangelo Carroll told you?
18	А		Correct.
19	Q	P	And when you testified at Jayson Taoipu's
20	preliminary	hear	ring, you were relying on what Deangelo Carroll
21	told you?		
22	A	. (Correct.
23	Q	P	And when you testified at the prior at the
24	prior exc	cuse	me at the prior proceeding on December 1,
25	2008, you we	ere r	relying on what Deangelo Carroll told you?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	And when you testified at the grand jury of
3	Mr. H, you als	o were relying on what Deangelo Carroll had told
4	you?	
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And as you testified before us today as well as
7	yesterday, you	were relying on what Deangelo Carroll told you?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Now, let's talk about Deangelo Carroll a little
10	bit. He's a f	riend of yours, right?
11	A	Was a friend of mine.
12	Q	Was okay. Well, he was a friend of yours on
13	May 19, 2005?	
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	And you knew him well?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And Deangelo worked at the Palomino?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And actually let me clear something up with you
20	right now. Yo	u weren't actually an employee of the Palomino,
21	right?	
22	А	No.
23	Q	You didn't fill out a job application?
24	A	No.
25	Q	You weren't collecting a paycheck?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Deangelo Carroll was the one giving you money?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	We'll come back to that a little bit later.
5	And a	as far as you know, Deangelo Carroll was a big
6	representative	of the Palomino?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And that's because he told you that?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	And you also know that you don't know if
11	that was true,	that he was a big representative of the
12	Palomino?	
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	You do?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And he told you that?
17	A	He's also family. He talks about what he does.
18	Q	Okay. I understand that, but you know that
19	Deangelo Carro	ll is a big representative of the Palomino
20	because that is	s what Deangelo Carroll told you, right?
21	А	And not only that, that's what I see when I see
22	him.	
23	Q	Okay. So it's your perception that he's a
24	А	I mean, it's all there. I mean, when he's
25	working there,	he's driving limos, he's driving big top to

1	whips. I mean,	, he don't got none of that, so where
2	Q	Okay. So
3	A	is he going to get it from?
4	Q	So he's a big representative of the Palomino?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And from your friendship with Deangelo you know
7	Deangelo talks	a lot, right?
8	A	Right.
9	Q	He's a big talker?
10	А	Right.
11	Q	And sometimes when big people when people
12	are big talker:	s, they don't necessarily always tell the truth,
13	right?	
14	A	Right.
15	Q	Because they boast?
16	A	Right.
17	Q	They make up stuff?
18	A	Right.
19	Q	They make things look bigger than what they
20	are?	
21	A .	Right.
22	Q	And you knew that about him?
23	А	Right.
24	Q	Now, let me change it a little bit, staying on
25	Deangelo. You	re not afraid of Deangelo, are you?

1		A	No.
2		Q	Not fearful of him?
3		Α	No.
4		Q	He's not a scary guy?
5		A	No.
6		Q	And you've never been in the time that
7	you've bea	en fr	iends with Deangelo you've never seen him beat
8	up anybody	y, ha	ve you?
9		Α	No.
10		Q	You've never seen him kill anybody, have you?
11		A	No.
12		Q	Now, Mr. Zone, I'm going to follow up with some
13	of the thi	ings	that we had talked about yesterday a little bit.
14	I had aske	ed yo	u before yesterday before you testified
15	yesterday	if y	ou had ever spoken to the district attorney's
16	office.		
17		Α	Right.
18		Q	Do you remember those questions I asked you?
19		A	Correct.
20		Q	And your testimony yesterday, was that your
21	testimony	yest	erday was that before you came to testify
22	yesterday		
23		Α	Right.
24		Q	you'd never spoken to Mr. DiGiacomo?
25		A	I did not.

1	Q Okay.
2	MR. PESCI: I apologize. I'm going to ask for a
3	little foundation. He was asked specifically as to each and
4	every time he testified. So if she can be specific as to
5	which time she's referring to.
6	THE COURT: All right. I understood it to mean
7	before you came into court yesterday you had not spoken prior
8	to that immediate time with Mr. DiGiacomo or Mr. Pesci; is
9	that right?
10	THE WITNESS: Correct, Your Honor.
11	MS. ARMENI: Thank you, Your Honor. That's how I
12	meant it.
13	BY MS. ARMENI:
14	Q Mr. Zone, when was the last time you talked to
15	Mr. DiGiacomo?
16	A Last Monday.
17	Q Last Monday?
18	A Right. Like last Monday, not the following
19	Monday that just passed but last Monday.
20	Q Okay. So not this Monday that just that
21	A That just passed.
22	Q The Monday that started off this week
23	A Yeah.
24	Q not that Monday?
25	A No, ma'am.

1	Q The week before?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. So you did even though yesterday you
4	told us you did not talk to you told us
5	A But you asked me you asked me before the
6	Court date yesterday.
7	Q Okay.
8	A So you you're changing your question,
9	because exactly what you asked me yesterday was did I meet
10	with them before I came to court yesterday and I told you no.
11	Q Okay. Well, there's a misinterpretation of
12	what I was talking about apparently. So your answer to my
13	question that I asked you yesterday was have you ever met with
14	Mr. DiGiacomo before you testified here for this
15	A Yesterday.
16	Q proceeding, for this proceeding.
17	A No, ma'am.
18	Q Okay. You just told us that you talked to
19	Mr. DiGiacomo two Mondays ago, right?
20	A Are you talking about before I came to court or
21	are you talking about that following Monday?
22	Q Okay. We're
23	A Because I just answered your question and then
24	you just asked me the same question again.
25	Q Okay. Follow me, all right. I'll make it

1	simple. How	about that. You're testifying in this case right	
2	now		
3	A	Right.	
4	Q	correct?	
5	А	Correct.	
6	Q	Before you testified yesterday	
7	A	Right.	
8	Q	we're on the same page?	
9	A	Correct.	
10	Q	Okay. You met with Mr. DiGiacomo the Monday	
11	before		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	right?	
14	A	Yes, I did, the Monday before.	
15	Q	Okay. Great. You said right, we're on the	
16	same page. Before you testified yesterday, you spoke to		
17	Mr. Pesci, correct?		
18	А	Correct.	
19	. Q	Did you also speak to Mr. Falkner?	
20	A	No.	
21	Q	No. Was it only did you speak to any of the	
22	homicide detectives?		
23	A	No.	
24	Q	Did you speak to anybody else beside	
25	Mr. DiGiacomo and Mr. Pesci?		

1		A	No.
2		Q	Okay. And when you spoke to Mr. DiGiacomo and
3	Mr. Pesci	abou	t your testimony here now
4		A	Correct.
5		Q	Okay you went over your voluntary statement,
6	correct?		
7		A	Correct.
8		Q	You went over your preliminary hearing
9	transcript, correct?		
10		A	Correct.
11		Q	You went over the prior proceeding transcript,
12	correct?		
13		A	Correct.
14		Q	And you went over the grand jury transcript,
15	correct?		
16		A	Correct.
17		Q	And you discussed your testimony for this
18	proceeding right now, right?		
19		Α.	Correct.
20		Q	Now, we heard a lot of talk about baby's momma
21	on direct	exam	ination. Is that is that her name?
22		A	It doesn't matter what her name is.
23		Q	Well
24			COURT: Sir, Mr. Zone, she's allowed to ask you
25	the quest:	ions,	and if the State doesn't think it's

1	appropriate, they'll make an objection, okay? Unless you hear		
2	an objection or I say, Don't answer that, you need to answer		
3	the questions.		
4	What is your girlfriend's name?		
5	MR. PESCI: Judge, we will object to relevance on		
6	that issue.		
7	MR. GENTILE: Let's approach.		
8	MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, may we approach?		
9	THE COURT: All right.		
10	(Off-record bench conference)		
11	THE COURT: All right. They're going to rephrase		
12	the question.		
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.		
14	BY MS. ARMENI:		
15	Q So, Mr. Zone, on May 19, 2005, who were you		
16	living him?		
17	A Deangelo.		
18	Q And who else?		
19	A His wife.		
20	Q And who else?		
21	A My baby's mother.		
22	Q Right. Your baby's momma. And what is your		
23	baby's momma's name?		
24	A Crystal.		
25	Q I'm sorry?		

1	A Crystal.
2	Q Crystal?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And does crystal have a last name?
5	A Payne. Payne.
6	Q Payne?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Crystal Payne?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So Crystal Payne was your baby's momma?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And at the time she was pregnant, right?
13	A Yes.
14	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.
15	BY MS. ARMENI:
16	Q And, Mr. Zone, how do you spell Payne?
17	A P-a-y-n-e.
18	Q Thank you. And she was pregnant at the time?
19	A Yes.
20	Q About seven months pregnant?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So since then I assume she's had her baby?
23	MR. PESCI: Objection. Relevance.
24	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know what the relevance
25	of whether
11	

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. What does this have to do with					
2	my baby?					
3	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Zone, I told you already that					
4	it's up to you can see they objected relevance. You don't					
5	get to argue. These two over here are more than capable of					
6	arguing objections.					
7	I don't know what the relevance of the delivery of					
8	the baby is.					
9	MS. ARMENI: There is relevance, Your Honor. If I					
10	could have a little					
11	THE COURT: Then maybe you need to approach and					
12	explain it to me.					
13	BY MS. ARMENI:					
14	Q Okay. And, Mr. Zone, you are no longer with					
15	baby's momma, correct?					
16	MR. PESCI: Objection. Relevance.					
17	THE COURT: Overruled.					
18	You can answer that.					
19	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.					
20	BY MS. ARMENI:					
21	Q Okay. So the lady you've been in court with					
22	the last couple of days					
23	MR. PESCI: Objection. Relevance.					
24	THE COURT: All right. That's sustained. That's					
25	sustained, Mr. Pesci.					

1	MR. PESCI: Thank you.				
2	BY MS. ARMENI:				
3	Q Let's talk about you're a flyer boy or you				
4	were a flyer boy on May 19, 2005?				
5	A Yes.				
6	Q And you made about \$20, you said, each time?				
7	A Yes.				
8	Q And how many times did you do this flyer boy				
9	stuff?				
10	A We promoted a couple we promoted a couple of				
11	times within the day. So I would say about a good three or				
12	four times a day.				
13	Q Okay. And how three or four times a day.				
14	How many days would you say you promoted?				
15	A Like only three days. It wasn't really that				
16	long at all.				
17	Q Okay. So three days before about				
18	including the 19th, would you say you promoted about three				
19	days? Does that sound about right? .				
20	A No, not including the 19th because the 19th,				
21	yeah, include it, but after the 19th, no.				
22	Q Okay. So that I'm not trying to trick you.				
23	That's not my question. My question is you promoted on the				
24	19th, right?				
25	A Yes, I did.				

1	Q And before the 19th you promoted three other		
2	times; is that accurate?		
3	A Yeah. Yes, ma'am.		
4	Q Okay. And for each time you promoted, that was		
5	\$20 a day?		
6	A It was \$20 after each job.		
7	Q Okay. At the end of the day you would get \$20?		
8	A No. It was like we'll go to cab stops and		
9	we'll go do our work at the certain cab stop		
10	Q Okay.		
11	A and after we finished at that cab stop, we'd		
12	get paid and then we'd go to another cab stop and then we'd do		
13	the same routine again and then we'd get paid.		
14	Q Okay. You're saying if you made four cab stops		
15	on the 19th, you would get paid \$20 after each cab stop?		
16	A Correct.		
17	Q Okay. So any given day, how much would you		
18	say how much would you have made on the 19th?		
19	A I would say we only we only we only		
20	we only promoted like at least twice that night.		
21	Q Okay. So		
22	A About		
23	Q 40 bucks?		
24	A \$40.		
25	Q Okay. So 40 bucks and maybe the next the		

1	last time you promoted, maybe another 60 bucks?
2	A Yes.
3	Q It's not that much money, is it, sir?
4	A No.
5	Q Not to provide for yourself
6	A No, ma'am.
7	Q or your baby's monuma at that time, right?
8	A No, ma'am.
9	Q And so what else were you doing?
10	A That was about it.
11	Q So you were providing for yourself on this
12	A I had no job. It was the quickest way for me
13	to make money so I took it.
14	Q Okay. So that was the only thing you were
15	doing at this time for money?
16	A Correct.
17	Q You weren't doing anything else for money?
18	A No.
19	Q And before you were promoting these couple of
20	days, what were you doing before that as far as jobs?
21	A Working construction, labor, staffing agencies,
22	pretty much whatever I can get.
23	Q Now, Mr. Pesci asked you yesterday about a
24	discussion about \$6,000. Do you remember that?
25	A Yes.

1.	Q You remember his questions?		
2	And you had when he asked you those questions,		
3	you had answered afterwards?		
4	A Correct.		
5	Q And you kept saying "afterwards," right?		
6	A Correct.		
7	Q And when you said afterwards, you meant after		
8	the killing		
9	A Correct.		
10	Q right?		
11	When they came out of the van when Deangelo came		
12	back out of the Palomino, that was the first time you had		
13	heard about the \$6,000?		
14	A Correct.		
15	Q Now, Mr. Zone, you smoke weed, right?		
16	A Correct.		
17	Q And Deangelo Carroll smoked weed?		
18	A Correct.		
19	Q And you and Deangelo smoked weed together?		
20	A Correct.		
21	Q And May 19th was an ordinary day as far as the		
22	start of the day, correct?		
23	A Correct.		
24	Q And so you smoked weed on the 19th?		
25	A Correct.		

1	Q	You actually drove to the west side to go pick
2.	up some weed?	
3	А	No.
4	Q	You didn't go
5	A	No.
6	Q	to the west side?
7	A	No, not to pick up weed.
8	Q	You didn't?
9	А	No.
10	Q	You didn't go pick up weed at all?
11	A	We didn't go pick up weed on the west side. I
12	had my own wee	d on me at the time.
13	Q	Okay. Did you make a stop on the 19th to pick
14	up weed?	
15	A	Did I make a stop on the 19th to pick up weed,
16	yeah, but not	the west side.
17	Q	Okay. I apologize. I got the location wrong?
18	А	That's north town. West side and north town
19	are	
20	Q	Okay. So so I guess I should rephrase my
21	question then.	So on the 19th you went to north town to pick
22	up weed?	
23	А	Right.
24	Q	Okay. So you smoked the weed, right?
25	А	Correct.

1		Q	You're not going to let good weed go without
2.	smoking?		
3		A	I mean
4		Q	If it's there, you're going to smoke it, right?
5		A	If that's the way you want to play it. I
6	mean		
7		Q	Well, sir, you smoke weed on a daily basis,
8	right?		
9		A	Correct.
10		Q	Okay. Thank you.
11		And	that day you were smoking weed, normal day?
12		A	Correct again.
13		Q	Okay. And you smoked weed all day?
14		A	Correct.
15		Q	Smoked weed in the van?
16		A	Correct.
17		Q	And you were high, right?
18		Α	Correct.
19		Q	And I can't remember the exact question
20	Mr. Pesci	aske	d you yesterday, but he asked you about, does it
21	affect you	ur pe	rception?
22		A	I may get high, but I'm not stupid.
23		Q	Okay. Well, let me ask you a question. You're
24	not stupio	d, bu	t does weed make you smarter?
25		A	Makes me smarter.

1		Q	Really?
2		A	Do you smoke it?
3		THE	COURT: All right.
4	BY MS. ARI	MENI:	
5		Q	I'm not going to answer that question.
6		THE	COURT: Mr
7	BY MS. ARI	MENI:	
8		Q	So your question is you're telling all of us
9	here that	weed	makes you smarter?
10		A	Weed don't make me dumb, I can tell you that.
11		Q	But I think your answer to my question was,
12	Weed make:	s me	smarter.
13		Α	It makes me smarter.
14		Q	Okay. Now, yesterday when you testified you
15	said that	ther	e was a yellow cabby outside of the Palomino.
16		Α	Correct.
17		Q	And that's the yellow cabby that Mr. Counts got
18	into		
19		А	Correct.
20		Q	right?
21		And	do you remember when you made a statement to the
22	cops on Ma	ay 21	, 2005? You made a statement to them, right?
23		A	Correct.
24		Q	And Detective Wildemann was present, right?
25		A	Correct.

1	Q And Detective McGrath was present?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And they told you to tell the truth?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And you were asked
6	MS. ARMENI: Page 36. Court's indulgence. Your
7	Honor, Court's indulgence for a minute.
8	THE COURT: That's fine.
9	BY MS. ARMENI:
10	Q Mr. Zone, we're going to come back to that.
11	Now, I want to talk about kind of the steps that you
12	took on the 19th. You testified yesterday that on noonish
13	on the 19th you had the first conversation with Deangelo about
14	"dealt with."
15	A Yes.
16	Q Was somebody being dealt with?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And at that time you knew somebody could be
19	potentially harmed, right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q On the same day, the 19th, you had a second
22	conversation with Deangelo Carroll?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And it was regarding the same thing, that
25	somebody was going to be harmed?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q .	And at that time Jayson was given a gun?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	By Deangelo?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And it was unloaded at that time?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q .	And you were given bullets?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q ·	Yesterday you also testified that there was
11	actually a thire	d conversation on the 19th about being
12	somebody being 1	harmed, right?
13	Α (Correct.
14	Ω :	So at this point there's three conversations,
15	right?	
16	A :	The third the difference between the
17	second the f	irst and the second conversation was with us.
18	The third conve	rsation was with him and somebody else.
19	Q	Who's him?
20	A I	Deangelo.
21	Q	Okay. So you're telling me
22	A I	He was talking on the phone and we were at
23	we were in front	t of him. We really didn't hear what he said
24		saying or whoever was on the phone. We heard
25	what he said, bu	ut we didn't hear what

1	Q	Okay.		
2	A	whoever he was talking to was saying.		
3	Q	Okay. I think I understand what you're saying.		
4	So the first t	wo conversations, Deangelo Carroll was directly		
5	telling you about somebody wanting			
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	somebody being dealt with, somebody being		
8	harmed, right?			
9	A	Yes. He was directly telling us.		
10	Q	But fair to say the third conversation,		
11	although you weren't the one talking or on the phone, you got			
12	the idea that that also had to do with the same thing, right?			
13	A	Correct.		
14	Q	Someone being harmed?		
15	A	Correct.		
16	Q	So at that point there's been three		
17	conversations	that you've either been a part of or you've		
18	heard that dea	lt with somebody being hurt?		
19	. А	Correct.		
20	Q	Later on in the day you get in the van?		
21	A	Correct.		
22	Q	Okay. You drive to KC's house?		
23	А	Correct.		
24	Q	West side, right?		
25	A	Correct.		

1	Q	You pick up KC?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	KC gets in the van?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	You drive to the lake?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	You hear Deangelo on his walkie-talkie?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And at that point he's talking to T.J.?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And at that point do you yell to T.J., Don't
12	come, don't co	ome?
13	А	No.
14	Q	And then T.J. shows up, right?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	Shows up to shows up to where you guys are
17	at?	
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	He walks up to the van?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	And at that point do you say, T.J., back off,
22	back off, you'	re going to get shot?
23	А	No.
24	Q	So no warning, right, Mr. Zone?
25	А	No.

1	Q	The van drives away?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Goes to the Palomino Club?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Fair to say you're probably a little bit scared
6	of KC at this	point?
7	А	The whole situation, basically.
8	Q	Right. Pretty scary, right?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Counts gets out of the van, right?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	Counts eventually leaves?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	You were in that parking lot for about an hour?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	You actually get out of the van, right?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And you sit where the cabbies are?
19	А	Correct
20	Q	And at that point, do you tell any of the
21	cabbies, Oh, m	y gosh, oh, my gosh, he this guy just shot
22	somebody, ther	e's a dead guy at Lake Mead?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Do you say that to anybody?
25	А	No.

1	Q	So you don't tell any of the cabbies?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you get in a cab?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Do you walk back to Deangelo's house?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Deangelo's house was about a five-minute walk
8	from the Palon	mino, right?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Do you go next to the pawnshop next door?
11	A	No.
12	Q	You don't go in there and say, Oh, my gosh,
13	somebody's bee	en shot, he needs help?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Jerry's Nugget is across the street, right?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	Do you run over to Jerry's Nugget and try to
18	find the close	est security guard?
19	А	My baby's mother of seven months was at that
20	house.	
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	There was no way I'm going to jeopardize my son
23	or my baby's m	other's life for anybody, for anyone.
24	Q	I understand. But Counts is long gone at this
25	point, right?	

1	A It	doesn't matter. Deangelo
2	Q Co	ounts was long gone, sir, right?
3	A Y∈	eah. But this is Deangelo's people. These
4	are his people.	He knows these people.
5	Q Ok	ay. So these are Deangelo's people, so
6	you're hanging ar	round with a bad crowd. Is that what you're
7	saying at this po	oint?
8	A Co	orrect.
9	Q A1	l right. So that's the 19th, you don't leave
10	Deangelo. In fac	ct, you get back in the van with Deangelo
11	A Co	orrect.
12	Q	right?
13	A Co	errect.
14	Q Sc	you're out of the van. You make a choice to
15	go back in the va	an, right?
16	A Co	errect.
17	Q An	nd you make a decision to go with Deangelo
18	wherever he goes?	
19	A Ço	orrect.
20	Q An	nd you go to the car wash, right?
21	A Co	orrect.
22	QI	think you testified yesterday that you went
23	home first, but t	hat's incorrect, right?
24	A We	e did go home first.
25	Q Yo	ou went directly to the car wash, sir, didn't

1	you?
2	A We did not go directly to the car wash.
3	Q Okay. Your testimony today, right now as you
4	sit here, is that you did not go to the car wash first?
5	A First of all
6	Q Just answer my question.
7	A I'm going to put it to you this way.
8	Q It's yes or no.
9	A We went there first. We went to the house
10	first, then he went to the car wash.
11	Q Okay. As I said, your testimony to us right
12	now is that you went home before you went to the car wash
13	A Correct.
14	Q right?
15	A Yes.
16	MS. ARMENI: Page 36, grand jury.
17	BY MS. ARMENI:
18	Q Sir, you testified before the grand jury in
19	this matter
20	A (No audible answer).
21	Q Is that a yes?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And you testified on February 12, 2008?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And you swore to tell the truth?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And you did tell the truth that day, right?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And you're telling the truth today?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Okay.
7	Question: Before you went home, did you go
8	anywhere?
9	Witness: He went and washed the van and tried to
10	clean up.
11	the evidence off the van at a self car wash that you
12	do for
13	yourself.
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. So the answer to my question was you
16	went to the car wash directly from the Palomino, right?
17	A Listen, when you're
18	Q No, I'm not listening. You're to
19	A when you asked when you asked when you
20	asked
21	Q answer the question first.
22	A me the same question
23	MR. PESCI: I ask that he be able to answer the
24	question.
25	A over and over and over

1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zone
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, Your Honor
3	THE COURT: Well, if you can't answer the question,
4	just say, I can't answer the question, or, I don't understand
5	the question. Otherwise, answer the question if it's a yes or
6	no question, and then if the State wants you to explain your
7	answer, they'll come back around and have you explain your
8	answer. Okay? If you can't answer the question, just say, I
9	can't answer that. Don't fight with Ms. Armeni, all right?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
11	BY MS. ARMENI:
12	Q So, sir, what I read that you washed the van
13	after the Palomino, that's what I read
14	A I can't answer that.
15	Q right?
16	A I can't answer that.
17	Q Okay. Well, do you want me to show you the
18	transcript?
19	MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, may I approach?
20	THE COURT: That's fine.
21	BY MS. ARMENI:
22	Q Mr. Zone, I'm showing you page 36 of the grand
23	jury testimony. Can you please read to yourself, okay, lines
24	8 through 11. Tell me when you're done reading it.

(Complying.)

А

25

1	Q Are you done? Are you done?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Is that a correct to my answer that you washed
4	the car you went to the car wash after you left the
5	Palomino?
6	A To be honest
7	Q Well
8	A I was asked so many questions of the same
9	questions to where
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	A I was so nervous to where
12	THE COURT: Mr. Zone
13	A it's like
14	THE COURT: the question is, is that what the
15	transcript says.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's what the transcript says,
17	correct.
18	BY MS. ARMENI:
19	Q Okay. Thank you.
20	I'm going to skip for just a second back to the
21	cabbies. Do you remember my question about the cabbies?
22	Yesterday you testified that it was a yellow cabby.
23	A Correct.
24	Q And when you met with the detectives, you told
25	them there were so many cabbies, you didn't know what it

1	looked like.	
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Because there were so many cabs outside of
4	Palomino when	you were there?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. So after you after you're there,
7	Deangelo's was	hing the car. Mr. Zone, he was washing the car
8	to take care o	f evidence, right?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	And at that point did you say, Hey, Deangelo,
11	what are you d	oing? We can't do this?
12	A	No.
13	Q	And after later that day you go back to the
14	house, right?	
15	A	Correct.
16	Ď	At that point you don't leave the house?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Or you leave with Deangelo, I guess I should
19	say?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	So now you're going out. You're making a
22	decision once	more to leave the house with Deangelo
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	these bad people, right?
25	А	Correct.

1	Q	And now this time you go and get rid of tires?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	So you go, Deangelo slashes the tires?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	You don't tell him at that point, Deangelo,
6	what are you d	oing?
7	A	No, I don't.
8	Q	Do you tell him, Deangelo, we should call the
9	police?	
10	A	No.
11	Q	Okay. You take the slashed tires now you
12	take the van to	o the auto body shop, right?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	New tires get put on the van?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	You guys take the old tires, right?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	They come with you at that point. You don't
19	leave them for	the auto body shop, do you?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	Because you don't want those left as evidence
22	either?	
23	А	No, Deangelo does, not me.
24	Q	Okay. But you don't tell Deangelo, Hey, you're
25	taking evidence	e. We should probably call the cops at this

1	point. Do you say that, Mr. Zone? It's a yes or no question.
2	Do you say that?
3	A I can't answer that.
4	Q You can't okay. You're telling me that you
5	don't know if you told him to call the cops?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Right. You didn't tell Deangelo at that point
8	to call the cops, did you?
9	A No.
10	Q And, Mr. Zone, you didn't call the cops at that
11	point, did you?
12	A No, I did not.
13	Q So now you have the tires in your car and you
14	decide to dump them in dumpsters, right?
15	A Deangelo did, yes, correct.
16	Q Okay. But you were there, right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q You saw this happening?
19	A Correct.
20	Q You didn't tell him, Hey, this is a bad idea,
21	did you?
22	A No.
23	Q You didn't say, I'm going to call the cops, did
24	you?
25	A No, I did not.

1	Q	And that's getting rid of evidence once again,
2	right?	
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	Now, you go to 7-11, right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Your father-in-law, I believe, worked there?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	Was he working that day, Rontae?
9	A	No, he wasn't.
10	Q	So you go in the 7-11. Do you tell the clerk,
11	There's been a	shooting at Lake Mead, call the cops?
12	A	No, ma'am.
13	Q	You walk out of 7-11, right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you see a police officer, right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And this is your perfect opportunity, right?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And this is your perfect opportunity, right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	You could walk over to the cops and say, You
22	know what, som	ething terrible's happened, there's a poor man
23	laying at Lake	Mead. Did you tell them?
24	A	No.
25	Q	You didn't walk over to that cop, did you?

-	I -	
1	А	No.
2	Q	What did you do? You walked back into 7-11?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Away from the cop?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Now, after this I think you used the word
7	so now you're	in 7-11. You haven't spoken to the cop and now
8	you decide it'	s a good idea to go to breakfast, right?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	So even though you don't think Deangelo's that
11	great of a per	son anymore, you go to breakfast, right?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	It's a nice family breakfast, everybody's
14	present?	
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	And you don't sneak away and go tell somebody,
17	Hey, there's a	body laying at Lake Mead, do you?
18	А	No.
19.	Q	You don't try and use a phone there?
20	А	No.
21	Q	And then you leave there and you go to a
22	barbershop, ri	ght?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	And I believe Mr. Pesci showed you what's
25	State's Exhibi	t 198.

1	MS. ARMENI: May I approach?
2	THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
3	BY MS. ARMENI:
4	Q And you testified that was Deangelo Carroll?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Now
7	MS. ARMENI: Permission to publish, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
9	BY MS. ARMENI:
10	Q Now, is that what Mr. Carroll looked like on
11	May 19th?
12	A Correct.
13	Q It is?
14	A Yes.
15	Q So he didn't have dreads on May 19?
16	A No.
17	Q So he went and got a haircut?
18	A Correct.
19	. Q And that's what he looked like after the
20	haircut?
21	A Correct.
22	Q He didn't have longer hair than that?
23	A No. It was like not too much longer. I
24	mean, like short, but not it was longer than that.
25	Q It was longer than that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And so a man's dead at Lake Mead and it was a
3	good idea to go get a haircut, right?
4	MR. PESCI: Objection. It calls for speculation as
5	to why Deangelo Carroll cut his hair.
6	THE COURT: Did you go with him?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
8	THE COURT: All right. You can ask it as to him.
9	BY MS. ARMENI:
10	Q Okay. So, Mr. Zone, a man's dead at Lake Mead
11	and you guys are going to the barbershop?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And at the barbershop you don't say, Hey, I
14	need to talk to you? You don't stop anybody at the
15	barbershop, do you?
16	A No, ma'am.
17	Q You don't pull anybody aside and say, There's a
18	man dead, call the police?
19	A No.
20	Q And then you go to the Family Dollar store,
21	right?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Pick up a few items that you may need?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And at that point you don't run to the clerk

1	and say, Hey, there's a man dead, call 911, do you?
2	A No.
3	Q Call the police?
4	A No.
5	Q And at no time during this do you ever say,
6	Call the police?
7	A No.
8	Q And you don't call the police?
9	A No.
10	Q And, Mr. Zone, you have not been charged in
11	this case as an accomplice to murder, right?
12	A Correct.
13	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.
14	Thank you, Your Honor. I pass the witness.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Armeni.
16	Mr. Arrascada or Mr. Adams.
17	MR. ARRASCADA: It will be Mr. Adams, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. ADAMS:
21	Q On May the 19th you were in a van?
22	A Correct.
23	Q The van that drove up towards Lake Mead?
24	A Correct.
25	Q You were seated immediately behind the driver?

1		A	Correct.
2		Q	The driver was your buddy, your friend, the guy
3	you spent	the	day with, Deangelo Carroll?
4		A	Correct.
5		Q	You stopped in the van?
6		Α	Correct.
7		Q	And when that van stopped, you had no idea that
8	the man t	o you	r right had a gun on him?
9		A	Correct.
10		Q	But when that van stopped, you saw him pull a
11	gun out?		
12		A	Correct.
13		Q	Deangelo Carroll got back into the van and his
14	window wa	s dow	n?
15		A	Correct.
16		Q	That man who got out of that sliding door was
17	sneaking a	aroun	d?
18		A	Correct.
19		Q	He snuck to the front. You saw that?
20		A	Correct.
21		Q	He snuck to the back?
22		A	Correct.
23		Q	Then he snuck back to the front?
24		A	Correct.
25		Q	All this time T.J. Hadland

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	is walking up to his friend and your friend
3	Deangelo Carro	11?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And you were feet away from Mr. Hadland?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	When that man, who had ridden up to the lake
8	with you, rose	up and fired two shots in his head and killed
9	Mr. Hadland de	ead up by Lake Mead?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	And you didn't say a word?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	Not a word of warning?
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	Not a word of alarm?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	Not a word of caution?
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	You made a choice to stay silent that night by
20	Lake Mead?	
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	Because you were scared?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	You were scared because there was a man with a
25	gun that you c	lidn't know?

Q You were scared because you were out of element? A Correct. Q And by that I'm not casting any dispersity you, but you were a long way from where you lived? A Correct. Q You didn't know how you might get home? A Correct. Q You didn't know if you'd be safe? A Correct. Q So you sat there scared and didn't say a	
A Correct. Q And by that I'm not casting any dispersity you, but you were a long way from where you lived? A Correct. Q You didn't know how you might get home? A Correct. Q You didn't know if you'd be safe? A Correct. A Correct.	on on
Q And by that I'm not casting any dispersing you, but you were a long way from where you lived? A Correct. You didn't know how you might get home? A Correct. You didn't know if you'd be safe? Correct. Correct.	on on
you, but you were a long way from where you lived? A Correct. You didn't know how you might get home? A Correct. You didn't know if you'd be safe? A Correct. Correct.	on on
A Correct. 8	
Q You didn't know how you might get home? A Correct. Q You didn't know if you'd be safe? A Correct. A Correct.	
9 A Correct. 10 Q You didn't know if you'd be safe? 11 A Correct.	
10 Q You didn't know if you'd be safe? 11 A Correct.	
11 A Correct.	
Q So you sat there scared and didn't say a	
	word?
A Correct.	
Q You rode back down with this man with th	e gun?
A Correct.	
Q And at one point he asked you where you	lived?
A Correct.	
Q That scared you some more?	
19 A Correct.	
Q Because you thought he might come for yo	u,
21 didn't you, Mr. Zone?	
22 A Correct.	
Q You didn't lie to him, though, did you?	
A Correct.	
Q You told him you were staying with Deang	elo

1	Carroll?	
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	You got to the Palomino Club and this man with
4	the gun and yo	ur friend Deangelo Carroll got out and went
5	inside the bus	iness?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	This was 30 minutes after you saw Mr. Hadland
8	innocently sho	t twice in the head and left for dead up by Lake
9	Mead?	
10	А	Correct, sir.
11	Q	And you made another choice at that moment,
12	didn't you?	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	You made the choice to stay in that van?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Five minute's walk from the woman who was
17	pregnant carry	ing your child?
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	Five-minute walk from shelter, your house?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	You stayed right there by the Palomino Club and
22	waited?	
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	That's because you were still scared?
25	А	Correct.

1	Q	You'd never seen anything like that?	
2	A	Correct.	
3	Q	Were your ears ringing from the bullet?	
4	A	Yes, sir.	
5	Q	You didn't know how to react or what to do, did	
6	you?		
7	А	Correct.	
8	Q	But you went home. And we're going to talk	
9	later about some of the things you did, but finally you got		
10	home and you did get some sleep?		
11	А	Correct.	
12	Q	You didn't sleep well?	
13	A	No.	
14	Q	But you got some shut eye before going out the	
15	next morning t	o deal with the tires?	
16	A	Correct.	
17	Q	And then you made your way to the 7-11 where	
18	your father-in	-law worked?	
19	A	Correct.	
20	Q	And Deangelo Carroll, your friend, went inside	
21	to buy cigars?		
22	A	Correct.	
23	Q	Swisher Sweets?	
24	А	Correct.	
25	Q	Swisher Sweets so he could make more blunts	

1	А	Correct.
2	Q	so you could spend the day, the day after
3	Timothy Hadla	nd was killed and left for dead, you could spend
4	the day smoking	ng pot?
5	А	No.
6	Q	All out of pot at that point?
7	А	No, sir.
8	Q	Just didn't seem like a good day to smoke
9	reefer, did i	<u>.</u> ?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	All right. Because you were concerned about
12	what you'd ju	st seen?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	You were concerned and worried for your own
15	safety?	
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	And you wanted to be clear headed?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	In case in case Mr. Counts showed up, you
20	wanted to have	e all your wits about you?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	So you didn't smoke pot yet that day on the
23	20th?	
24	А	No.
25	Q	All right.

1	A	Actually, because it was real shooken up for
2	me, so, no, si	r, I did not.
3	Q	So you were in the 7-11 shopping and you were
4	walking out th	at front door of the 7-11 and the police officer
5	pulled up and	pulled over your buddy Jayson Taoipu in the
6	van?	
7	A	Correct, sir.
8	Q	And at this point Mr. Counts was nowhere
9	around?	
10	A	No, sir.
11	Ď	The gun, as far as you know, was nowhere
12	around?	
13	A	No, sir.
14	Q	And the law was there?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	You didn't walk up to that officer and say,
17	I've got to te	ll you something?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	I've got something big to get off my chest?
20	А	No, sir.
21	Q	I need protection from you because I witnessed
22	a murder last	night?
23	A	No, sir.
24	Q	I need protection from you because the woman
25	who's carrying	my child might be at danger from a gunman?

1	A	No, sir.
2	Q	You made a choice to turn around and go back in
3	and buy a bever	rage?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	An ice cold beverage?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	I'd like to turn your attention now to Deangelo
8	Carroll, if I r	may. Deangelo Carroll asked you if you'd like
9	to be involved	in something?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	He asked Jayson Taoipu if Mr. Taoipu would like
12	to be involved	in something?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	He gave you bullets?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	He gave Taoipu a gun?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	He drove the van with the two of you in it?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	He drove to Kenneth Counts' house?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	He got out of the car, went and got Counts and
23	brought him bad	ck to the van?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	All four of you were in the van together and he

1	started makin	ng calls
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	to Anabel Espindola?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	And to T.J. Hadland?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And you drove off into the hills outside of
8	town?	
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Deangelo Carroll is the person at the very
11	center of th	is, based on your own personal knowledge?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And you're here to testify some about what you
14	saw and also about what you've heard	
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	right?
17	Nov	, everything you saw is the stuff that happened
18	on the 19th a	and happened on the 20th, right?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And everything you heard is stuff that was in
21	your presence	?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	And stuff from the mouth of Deangelo Carroll?
24	А	Yes, sir.
25	Q	And certainly everything you've heard about

1	Little Lou Hid	dalgo sitting in the very back row came from the
2	mouth of Deang	gelo?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	You never spoke with Luis Hidalgo, III?
5	A	No.
6	Q	You never called him on the telephone?
7	A	No.
8	Q	He never called you?
9	А	No.
10	Q	You never spoke to him in person?
11	A	No.
12	Q	He never spoke to you?
13	A	No.
14	Q	You had no communication with him whatsoever?
15	A	No.
16	Q	It all came from Deangelo?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Deangelo Carroll stole, in your presence, a
19	canister from	the Walgreen's drive through?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	You know him to be full of hot air?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	You know he tells lies?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	You know you don't always believe what he says?

1	А	Correct.
2	Q	And the only information you have about Little
3	Lou Hidalgo is	from that man, Deangelo Carroll?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	You know Deangelo's a convicted felon?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	You know where he's living right now?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	You know he's in jail?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	You've known him not just this night, but
12	you've known him for five or six years?	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	You were good friends?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	You'd spent a lot of time together?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	And you knew him to be somebody who, at the end
19.	of the day, was	sn't trustworthy?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	You'd known Jayson Taoipu a number of years
22	also, didn't yo	ou?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	And Mr. Taoipu is also a very close friend?
25	А	Correct.

1		Q	At this time he was your best friend?
2		A	Correct.
3		Q	And you know at this point he's now a felon?
4		A	Correct.
5		Q	But he's out of jail?
6		A	Correct.
7		Q	Have you seen him?
8		Α	No.
9		Q	You've not seen him on the street?
10		Α	No.
11		Q	You've not been in contact with him since he's
12	been released?		
13		A	No.
14		Q	Have you talked to him since you testified
15	against h	im in	December of 2005?
16		A	No, sir.
17		MR.	ADAMS: Can I have the Court's indulgence?
18		THE (COURT: That's fine.
19	BY MR. ADA	AMS:	
20		Q	You told the prosecutor and you told Ms. Armeni
21	that what	Dean	gelo had asked you on the 19th was if you were
22	down with	deal:	ing with somebody.
23		A	Correct.
24		Q	And you told him, I'm not down with that?
25		Α	Yes, sir.

1	Q And you didn't take that to be a serious
2	request from him at that time, did you?
3	A No, sir.
4	Q And that request was made at noontime?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And at that same time at noontime he mentioned
7	the bats and bags?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And at noontime on May the 19th he told you
10	Little Lou said something about bats and bags?
11	A Correct.
12	Q You never heard that from Little Lou?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q You didn't know that Little Lou worked at the
15	Palomino Club?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q Mr. Carroll told you he needed help in dealing
18	with somebody because that person was snitching?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And when you hear the term "snitching", you
21	think somebody's going to the police and saying something,
22	don't you?
23	A Correct.
24	Q But you don't really know what Deangelo meant
25	by that?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	You only know what he said?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Now, you made some comments yesterday about
5	Deangelo Carro	ll said that Little Lou said that Mr. H said.
6	Do you remembe:	r that?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	Now, you've told police something differently
9	before, didn't	you?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	You told them you had no idea who Deangelo had
12	talked to, if	anyone?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	And that was the day they first picked you up?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	1:00 a.m. in the morning on May the 21, 2005?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	A day you've not been smoking pot?
19	A	Correct
20	Q	A day you were clear headed?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And a day that was just a time that was just
23	26 hours after	you witnessed someone die?
24	А	Yes, sir.
25	Q	You've also testified previously, though,

1	haven't you, about Deangelo said that he heard things from the
2	Hidalgos?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And in previous testimony he you said that
5	Deangelo said Mr. H told you some things or told him some
6	things.
7	A Correct.
8	Q And you did not say that Deangelo said Little
9	Lou said that Mr. H said.
10	A Correct.
11	Q Fair enough?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And you've testified a bunch of times now?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And the details like that aren't the same in
16	any of those, right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Because you've done the best you can to try to
19	remember, but you were high that day? .
20	A Correct.
21	Q And smoking pot may help you think a little
22	more clearly, but it doesn't help your memory get any better,
23	does it?
24	A If you smoke enough of it, no, sir.
25	Q All right. And back in this time, back in May

1.	of 2005, you were smoking a lot of it?
2	A Correct.
3	Q When you spoke with the police, they asked you
4	a number of questions about the bats and bags, didn't they?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And they asked you if you heard a Nextel, a
7	chirp, a walkie-talkie conversation
8	A Correct.
9	Q about bats and bags?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And you told them no, you hadn't?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And they followed up and they looked at you and
14	said, Are you sure you didn't hear anything on the
15	walkie-talkie, nothing out loud?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And you said, No, I did not?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And you never heard anything over a.
20	walkie-talkie about bats and bags?
21	A No.
22	Q I want to talk to you for a few minutes about
23	some of the things you testified to yesterday that have been
24	different from some of the earlier transcripts. Is that all
25	right?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And I know it's not fun to be up here and
3	answer questio	ns
4	A	Correct, sir.
5	Q	so if we could just work through it. If my
6	question's unc	lear, let me know and I'll try to clarify for
7	you. Okay.	
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	You indicated yesterday that you dumped the
10	bullets out in	the van
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	and that Deangelo gave you the bullets in
13	the van?	
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	You have testified previously about him giving
16	you the bullet	s in the apartment.
17	A	That's the second time, sir.
18	Q	So you first got them in the van?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	And then you got them in the apartment?
21	А	Yes, sir.
22	Q	So you didn't testify about getting the bullets
23	in the apartmen	nt yesterday?
24	А	No, sir.
25	Q	Were you not asked that question?

1	A	No, I was not, sir.
2	Q	All right. So you got them twice?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And I believe you said the first time you got
5	the bullets -	- or yesterday you got the bullets in the van and
6	you dumped th	em on the floor.
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And your friend Jayson Taoipu who had the gun
9	picked them u	o?
10	A	Correct, sir.
11	Q	And held onto them?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	And so now you're back in the apartment and
14	Deangelo Carr	oll gave you the bullets again?
15	А	Correct, sir.
16	Q	And you testified yesterday that Jayson Taoipu
17	had a gun?	
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	A .22 revolver?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	You've testified a number of times or told
22	stories relate	ed to that very different over time, haven't you?
23	A	Correct, sir.
24	Q	Initially you told the police that your friend,
25	your good frie	end, your dear friend Jayson Taoipu didn't have a

1	weapon at all?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And then you told them he had a .22 caliber?
4	A Correct.
5	Q But you didn't tell them that he'd gotten it
6	from your friend Deangelo Carroll.
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q All right. Well, at first you said Jayson
9	Taoipu had a .22 caliber. Your answer was yes, without any
10	reference to Deangelo.
11	A Correct.
12	Q Then later you told them, Well, he actually got
13	the gun from Deangelo?
14	A Correct, sir.
15	Q And they asked you if you knew what type of gun
16	and you said, I don't really know guns.
17	A Correct.
18	Q And later, last year, February of last year,
19	you actually said, Well, I know enough about guns to know it
20	was a (indecipherable) revolver.
21	A Correct.
22	Q And that was your language, the
23	(indecipherable) revolver?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And by that you meant a .22 caliber?

1	А	Correct, sir.
2	Q	And you meant Deangelo Carroll's .22 caliber?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	And as far as you know, when you guys drove up
5	to that lake,	you've never seen Jayson Taoipu take those
6	bullets you pu	t down and put them into the gun?
7	A	No, sir.
8	Q	And as far as you know, that gun was unloaded?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And as far as you know, that gun was not in his
11	hand?	
12	А	Correct, sir.
13	Ω	You talked a little bit with Ms. Armeni about
14	the car wash.	
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	You did go to the car wash after leaving the
17	Palomino Club?	
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	And did you go to the car wash after leaving
20	the Palomino C	lub before you went back to Deangelo's house?
21	А	It was it was after we went to Deangelo's
22	house.	
23	Q	You stopped by Deangelo's?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	And then you went to the car wash?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And then you went back to Deangelo's and went
3	to sleep?	
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	And got up the next day and dealt with the
6	tires?	
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	Now, the first time you talked to the police on
9	May 21st you ne	ever mentioned a car wash.
10	A	Correct, sir.
11	Q	Because that could be viewed as trying to get
12	rid of evidence	e.
13	A	Correct, sir.
14	Q	And you didn't want to volunteer that
15	information to	police.
16	A	Correct, sir well, not it just wasn't
17	really asked to	o me. I would have answered it if they would
18	have asked me,	so
19	Q	If you were asked directly, you might have
20	you were going	to answer it?
21	A	Yes. Yes, sir.
22	Q	But since they didn't ask
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	No ask, no tell?
25	A	Yes, sir.

1	Q	At a testimony in court under oath on June 13,
2	2005, you also	never mention a car wash.
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	In testimony, the Taoipu testimony on December
5	the 6th of 200	5, you never mention a car wash.
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	It was only last year that you talked about the
8	car wash	
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	at the Counts' proceeding on February the
11	lst.	
12	A	Correct. Because the question came about, sir,
13	around to that	particular moment.
14	Q	Okay.
15	А	So I had really no choice but to answer
16	truthfully.	
17	Q	All right. And then at the grand jury for
18	Mr. Hidalgo, J	r., you were asked if you washed the van?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And that was February the 12th 2008?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And you said, Yes, you did, between 2:00 and
23	3:00 a.m.?	
24	A	I said, No, I didn't wash I didn't wash the
25	car.	

1	Q Was the van washed
2	A Yes, it was.
3	Q that you saw?
4	A Yes, sir, it was.
5	Q And you've always said you didn't do anything.
6	A No, sir, I did not.
7	Q You saw Deangelo doing all these things?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q And you were with Deangelo?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Yesterday you also said turning your
12	attention now to Anabel Espindola. You also said yesterday
13	that you've never spoken to her.
14	A Correct, sir.
15	Q I think you said you saw her, but you didn't
16	speak to her.
17	A Correct, sir.
18	Q Are you aware that have you testified
19	differently to that in the past?
20	A I'm not sure, sir.
21	Q All right. On during the Counts' proceeding
22	on February the 1st, 2008, were you
23	Page 8, lines 6 through 9.
24	were you asked do you remember whether you
25	said you met her for five to ten minutes?

	.	
1	А	It's like I met her, but it was just like a
2	see. I didn	't like really like, Hi, my name is Rontae, hi,
3	my name's An	abel. I just I seen her
4	Q	All right.
5	A	you know.
6	Q	You saw her
7	A	And I was told from Deangelo that that was
8	Anabel.	
9	Q	All right. And you were sitting there around
10	Anabel for f	ive to ten minutes?
1.1	А	Correct.
12	Q	And if there was anything more, it was just
13	chitchat?	
14	А	Correct, sir.
15	Q	Nice politeness?
16	А	Correct, sir. But I didn't even really even
17	say hi or by	e to her or nothing, sir. Like, I've never spoke
18	a word to he	r, period, sir.
19	Q	Okay. Other than other than hello?
20	А	Not even that, sir.
21	Q	All right. So you just sat there quiet around
22	her for five	to ten minutes?
23	А	Yes, I did.
24	Q	All right. Yesterday you indicated that you'd

25 been to the International House of Pancakes, I-Hop --

1	A Correct.
2	Q after the van was washed, after the tires
3	were destroyed
4	A Correct.
5	Q you went and had breakfast with Deangelo
6	Carroll?
7	A Correct, sir.
8	Q And you indicated you didn't know who paid.
9	A Correct, sir.
10	Q You testified previous Deangelo paid because he
1.1	had a fresh crisp hundred dollar bill on him?
L2	A Correct.
L3	Q And are you saying now that that was untrue or
L4	you just don't really remember?
L5	A Like I didn't I didn't see him pay anybody,
l.6	so, I don't know, like I seen him when he paid for the tires
L7	that yeah, he had a hundred dollar bill on him, but when
L8	when it comes to I-Hop, I never seen him pay a bill. Like, we
19	were all sitting at the table when he paid the bill.
20	Q So he left the table to go handle the bill?
21	A Sorry. And usually usually you pay
22	afterwards. He paid before. So it was like usually you
23	pay you wait you eat, you wait for the bill, the bill
24	comes and then you pay for it. He paid for the bill first.

There's no question in your mind Deangelo

Carroll paid for your breakfast the day after Mr. Hadland was 1 2 left for dead at the lake? 3 Correct. Α And yesterday when you said, I have no idea who 4 Q 5 paid, that was wrong? It was right, sir, because I don't have no 6 Α 7 idea who paid. Well, you know -- well, who all was there? 8 Q Deangelo, me, Jayson, family, my baby's mother, 9 Α 10 his people. Like, the only person I really knew was my baby's 11 mother and Jayson --12 Okay. 13 -- and Deangelo. I really didn't know none of his people that -- on his side. 14 15 Well, you said you had no idea who paid, so Q let's go through this, I guess, the longer way. You know you 16 17 didn't pay. 18 Correct, sir. Α 19 And you know Crystal, your baby's mother did Q. 20 not pay. 21 Correct, sir. Α 22 You know Jayson Taoipu, your dear friend, did Q 23 not pay. 24 Correct, sir. Α

You know none of these other people who you

25

Q

1	didn't know paid for your breakfast.
2	A Correct.
3	Q You know Deangelo Carroll paid for your
4	breakfast.
5	A Yes, sir, it's obvious.
6	Q You also said yesterday that you went into
7	Simone's auto body shop.
8	A Correct, sir.
9	Q Okay. And you said you went in because
10	Deangelo Carroll came out to the van after you've been sitting
11	there about a half hour and said, Come on in and sit on the
12	sofa.
13	A Correct.
14	Q You've testified previously that you guys got
15	tired of waiting outside and just went in on your own.
16	A Correct.
17	MR. ADAMS: Judge, may we approach?
18	THE COURT: You may.
19	(Off-record bench conference)
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Adams, go on.
21	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
22	BY MR. ADAMS:
23	Q On the 19th of May, 2005, Deangelo Carroll was
24	planning on going to work as far as you knew?
25	A Correct.

:			
1		Q	He had talked to you about going promoting that
2	night?		
3		A	Correct.
4		Q	And he talked to you about he had to go make a
5	pickup?		
6		A	Correct.
7		Q	And that was part of his job description as far
8	as you kne	w?	
9		Α	Correct.
10		Q	Because you were paid directly by Deangelo in
11	cash?		
12		Α	Correct.
13	:	Q	You never received a check from the Palomino
14	Club?		
15		A	No, sir.
16		Q	And you never filled out work records?
17		Α	No, sir, I was too young.
18		Q	All right. You never had a job interview with
19	anybody do	wn a	t the club? .
20		Α	No, sir.
21		Q	Deangelo was your guy?
22		Α	Correct.
23		Q	At some point in time Deangelo Carroll
24	mentioned	to y	ou on the 19th that Little Louie had called?
25		А	Correct.

1	Q And that Litt!	le Louie had said, Come back to
2	2 the club. When are you comin	ng back to work?
3	3 A Correct.	
4	4 Q And that was s	sometime when you all were riding
5	5 around in the van?	
6	A Correct.	
7	7 Q And you're not	exactly sure about the time, but
8	8 it was sometime before you'd	gone up to the lake?
9	9 A Correct.	
10	Q When you left	at some point, Deangelo came
11	1 back to the house and you wer	re there?
12	2 A Correct.	
13	Q Because he'd :	cun down to the club for a few
14	4 minutes to grab the flyers for	or you guys to take out and hand
15	5 out to the cabs?	
16	A He does.	
17	7 Q And he came ba	ack to get you?
18	A Correct.	
19	9 Q And he said, (Come on? .
20	O A Correct.	
21	1 Q And Crystal,	the woman who's carrying your
22	2 child, said, Where are you a	ll going?
23	3 A Correct.	
24	4 Q And Deangelo,	in front of you, said, Promoting?
25	5 A Correct.	

1		Q	And that's what you thought you were doing?
2		A	Correct.
3	i	Q	Now, you've been smoking pot with him that
4	day		
5		A	Correct.
6		Q	but you remember that? He didn't say, We're
7	going out	to d	eal with somebody?
8		A	No, sir, he didn't. I wouldn't have gone.
9		Q	You wouldn't have gone because you'd already
10	put the b	ullet	s away twice?
11		A	Correct, sir.
12		Q	And then instead of driving down to the cab
13	stand, he	did	something that you weren't expecting?
14		A	Yes, sir. He pulled a detour.
15		Q	He pulled a detour over to Kenneth Counts'
16	house?		
17		A	Correct.
18		Q	And this is a house you've never been to
19	before?		•
20		A	Correct.
21		Q	To a person you did not know?
22		A	Correct.
23		Q	And at some point Deangelo Carroll stopped that
24	car and w	ent i	nside?
25		A	Yes, sir.

1	Q	And you and Jayson were in the van talking?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	And you said, What's going on? I thought we
4	were promoting	1?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	We got a new guy on the crew?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	And Jayson didn't know either?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And after about 15 minutes Deangelo came out
11	with Mr. Count	s?
12	А	Yes, he did.
13	Q	And you saw Mr. Counts leave the house?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	You saw him walk toward the van?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And you got a good look at him?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	But you weren't trying to get a good look for .
20	later court pu	rposes because you had no idea he was going to
21	go shoot someb	ody?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	But you did see him as he walked towards the
24	van and opened	the door and got in next to you?
25	А	Yes, sir.

1	Q And of course you said, Hello
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q but that was it? There wasn't
4	conversation
5	A No, sir.
6	Q as you were driving up to the lake?
7	A No, there was not, sir.
8	Q You weren't saying, Man, how are you going to
9	get up close and blow this guy's brains out?
10	A No, sir.
11	Q You were just riding along together, radio was
12	on?
13	A Correct, sir.
14	Q Deangelo was on the telephone?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q Kenneth Counts was on the telephone?
17	A No, he no, he wasn't.
18	Q Well, he had his phone?
19	A Well, he wasn't if he had his phone, I
20	didn't I didn't see him on it, sir.
21	Q All right. But you weren't really eyeballing
22	him, right?
23	A Correct. Correct.
24	Q I mean, you were right next to him, but you
25	weren't just staring at him trying to memorize all his

1	features and m	ovements?
2	A	No, sir.
3	Q	You were minding your own business?
4	A	Correct, sir.
5	Q	You were passing the blunt around?
6	A	Correct, sir.
7	Q	Everybody was smoking it?
8	А	Yes, sir.
9	Q	Everybody was feeling pretty good as far as you
10	knew?	
11	А	Yes, sir.
12	Q	And certainly other than the blunt being passed
13	around, nothin	g else was being passed around today?
14	A	Not at all, sir.
15	Q	Deangelo wasn't passing bullets over to Jayson?
16	A	No, sir.
17	Q	Jayson wasn't passing his phone over to
18	Deangelo?	
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	Kenneth Counts wasn't passing anything to
21	anybody?	
22	A	No, sir.
23	Q	Everybody was just sort of minding their own
24	business	
25	А	Yes, sir.

1		Q	as Deangelo was working the phones?
2		A	Yes, sir.
3		Q	And you could hear him on his chirp talking to
4	people?		
5		Α	Correct.
6		Q	And you heard what you later found out was T.J.
7	Hadland's	voice	e?
8		Α	Yes, sir.
9		Q	Because you heard him say to Mr. Hadland, Let's
10	hook up,	I've	got some pot for you?
11		Α	Yes, sir.
12		Q	And you heard him chatting with Anabel
13	Espindola	on t	he phone?
14		A	Yes, sir.
15		Q	And you heard her say, Go to plan B?
16		A	Yes, sir.
17		Q	And you heard him say, We're too far along,
18	Ms. Anabel	l. I	'll talk to you later and off the phone?
19		A	Correct.
20		Q	And you didn't ask him, What is plan B?
21		A	No, sir.
22		Q	And nobody else did?
23		А	No, sir.
24		Q	Well
25		A	I didn't expect it, sir.

1	Q Right. And if Deangelo knew these things, he
2	kept them to himself?
3	A And also that if he knew if he would have
4	told us that we wouldn't we wouldn't have gone.
5	Q Right. Right. As far as you knew, at that
6	point he's the only man in there with a plan?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And whether he anybody else had the plan or
9	not, you don't know because he wasn't telling?
10	A No, sir, he wasn't.
11	Q It was only the next day that he started
12	saying, Hey, man, I was put up to this by other people?
13	A Correct.
14	Q I'd like to talk to you and I know a lot of
15	people have talked to you about the marijuana use. I need to
16	talk to you a little more about it. Okay?
17	A Correct, sir.
18	Q You'd been smoking that day?
19	A Correct, sir.
20	Q You'd gone out with them to buy more pot?
21	A Correct, sir.
22	Q And you went to Cheyenne
23	A Correct.
24	Q the Cheyenne area?
25	And I'm not sure if that's on the north side or the

1	west side.
2	A It's the north side, sir.
3	Q All right. You went to the north side and you
4	bought some weed earlier and you all were smoking it?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And you loaded up the blunt and you were
7	smoking it in the minivan driving out of town?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Later on after Mr. Hadland was shot, the van
10	pulled away kind of fast?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And you actually thought the body had been run
13	over?
14	A Correct.
15	Q You felt a bump?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And you thought that two tires at least had
18	rolled over Mr. Hadland?
19	A Correct. I felt it.
20	Q You felt it. And you found out later in your
21	interviews with the detectives and the prosecutors that there
22	was no evidence of that?
23	A Correct.
24	MR. PESCI: Judge, objection as to what he found out
25	from talking to us or other prosecutors.

1	MR. ADAMS: He's prepared as a witness, Your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: Did you later learn that the body hadn't	
3	been run over or did you ever learn that?	
4	THE WITNESS: It's like, I didn't like really hear.	
5	It's like I assumed it.	
6	THE COURT: Okay.	
7	THE WITNESS: You know, I felt it. So I kind of	
8	assume.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	Go on, Mr. Adams.	
11	BY MR. ADAMS:	
12	Q Let me talk to you a minute about your ability	
13	to identify Mr. Counts. Okay.	
14	A Correct.	
15	Q He came out to the van. He sat next to you in	
16	the van for this ride up into the mountains?	
17	A Correct.	
1.8	Q You saw him and it was pitch black out there?	
19	A Yes, sir.	
20	Q And you saw him rise up in front of the van and	
21	shoot two times?	
22	A Correct.	
23	Q And he got back in the van next to you?	
24	A Correct.	
25	Q The last thing in the world you remember doing	

1	at that point	was turning and giving him the eyeball, right?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	You were minding your own business, not saying
4	a word, lookin	g away
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	to avoid any eye contact at all?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	You got down to the Palomino and he got out of
9	the van?	
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	You saw him walk away in the Palomino?
12	A	Yes, sir.
1 3	Q	And you were still there when he walked back?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q.	And he didn't go directly to the taxi cab?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	He came over toward the van first?
18	A	Correct.
19	Ω	And you were looking at him because you were
20	scared?	
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And you got a pretty good look at him then?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And despite that and you never saw him again
25	after that exc	ept court?

1	A	No, sir.
2	Q	Despite that opportunity to see him, you were
3	not able to id	entify him in court last year, were you?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And it's not that you were lying or you were
6	scared, it's t	hat you just really couldn't remember from that
7	night?	
8	А	I just I really didn't want to remember,
9	sir, honestly.	
10	Q	Yeah.
11	А	I really didn't want to.
12	Q	Yeah. And you knew in that courtroom, you
13	knew who the p	rosecutors were because you've been meeting with
14	them over the	years?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	And you knew the other people were the defense
17	table?	
18	А	Correct.
19	. Q	And you knew that there was an African man at
20	the defense ta	ble?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	But looking at him, you just couldn't be
23	positive that	was the same guy?
24	А	Correct.
25	Q	And that's why you couldn't identify him in

1	court?	
2	A Corre	ect.
3	Q And t	hat's in part because of your fear that
4	night?	
5	A Corre	ect.
6	Q And p	partly because you were high that night?
7	A No.	No, sir.
8	Q All 1	right.
9	A Not i	from me being high, more fear than
10	anything. I mean,	getting I mean, smoking really didn't
11	have much to do with	n it. I mean you know.
12	Q All 1	right. When Mr. Counts came out of the
13	Palomino Club and ca	ame back toward the van, he didn't come
14	over and say, Good	job, guys, it was nice to meet you, you
15	know, I'll see you	around?
16	A No,	sir. He said nothing.
17	Q And l	ne gave you a look?
18	A Yes,	sir.
19	Q And	it was a look that you took to be
20	intimidating?	
21	A Yes,	sir.
22	Q And,	in fact, it did intimidate you?
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Beca	use you knew he had a gun?
25	A Corr	ect.

1	Q An	d you knew he used the gun?
2	A Co	errect.
3	Q Ar	d at that point in time had you ever been
4	more scared in yo	our life?
5	A No	o, sir.
6	Q I'	ve just got a few more questions for you and
7	I think we'll be	done.
8	A Ye	es, sir.
9	QI	appreciate you answering my questions. On
10	May the 20th, the	e morning after, you got some sleep. We
11	talked about earl	ier.
12	A Co	orrect, sir.
13	Q Ar	nd then you got up and saw Deangelo?
14	A Co	orrect, sir.
15	Q Ar	nd you left the house with Deangelo
16	A Co	orrect, sir.
17	Q	- to get back in the van?
18	A Co	orrect, sir.
19	Q TI	ne white van that had been up to Lake Mead?
20	A Ce	orrect, sir.
21	Q Yo	ou got back in it and you guys went to the car
22	wash?	
23	A Co	orrect, sir.
24		ctually at that point in time there was a
25	second car being	used to follow the van?

1	A	Correct, sir. It was his in-laws' car.
2	Q	As far as you know, it was the father-in-law's
3	car?	
4	A	It's his wife's dad's car.
5	Q	And you were riding in that car with Deangelo
6	Carroll?	
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And Jayson Taoipu was driving the van?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And at some point around the corner from the
11	tire store the	van stopped?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Mr. Carroll got out and stabbed the tires, cut
14	the stem or di	d something to make the tires go flat?
15	A	Yes, sir, he did.
16	Q	And Jayson Taoipu drove on into the tire store
17	on the rims?	
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	You were still there with Deangelo Carroll?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	And you spent the rest of the day with him, as
22	we were talkin	g about, at the 7-11, at the barbershop, at the
23	I-Hop and back	at his house?
24	A	Correct. Until I got until the officers had
25	came and got m	e, then that's when I left completely.

1	Q So you ended up back at Deangelo Carroll's
2	house on the 20th?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And at some point in the evening Deangelo
5	Carroll left, as far as you knew, to go to work?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q And then you didn't see or hear from him again
8	until about five hours later?
9	A Correct.
10	Q When the police showed up back at Deangelo's
11	house with Deangelo?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. ADAMS: Beg the Court's indulgence.
14	THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
15	BY MR. ADAMS:
16	Q Before I talk to you about the police, let me
17	back up for a second. After during this day on the
18	20th that you were with Deangelo, he talked to you?
19	A Correct.
20	Q That's when he first mentioned anything about
21	Little Lou Hidalgo?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And he also talked to you about Kenneth Counts?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And he said you've got to watch out for that

1	guy?	
2	А	Um, no.
3	Q	You said he was a gang banger from LA?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And did he tell you what gang?
6	А	No, sir, he didn't. I didn't find out until
7	afterwards.	
8	Q	That he was a Peace Stone Blood?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And did that name mean anything to you?
11	А	Yes, it does.
12	Q	You've heard of that name of that gang before?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	And I guess there's lots of gangs and lots of
15	gang names out	there?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Did that one make you feel easier and more
18	secure, more co	omfortable, or did it send a shiver up your
19	spine?	•
20	A	It made me feel less un it made me feel less
21	comfortable bed	cause I'm from Los Angeles and like my people
22	live in Los And	geles, so that's where the gang is at, in Los
23	Angeles.	
24	Q	And you know them to be about as hard core gang
25	as there is, r	ight?

1	:	A	Correct.
2		Q	When Deangelo showed up with the officers at
3	1:00 a.m.	on Ma	ay the 21st, you weren't expecting to see the
4	police?		
5		A	Correct.
6		Q	And Deangelo looked at you and said, Just tell
7	the truth,	Ron	tae?
8		A	Yes, sir, or we're all going to jail.
9		Q	Just tell the truth or we're going to jail?
10		A	Correct.
11		Q	And you took that to mean you and me and Jayson
12	are going	to j	ail?
13		Α	Correct.
14		Q	And your thought at that time is why would I be
15	going to	jail,	I was nothing but a witness?
16		Α	Right.
17		Q	When you went down to the police, you didn't
18	tell them	the	truth, did you?
19		A	Not not at first, sir, I did not
20		Q	You told them several lies?
21		A	Yes, sir, I did.
22		Q	Even though Deangelo had asked you to tell the
23	truth, you	u did	n't?
24		A	No, I did not, sir, because I did not know
25	at first,	to b	e honest, I really didn't know whose truth he's

1	talking ab	out.	
2		Q	Because he talked to you about trying to put a
3	truth out	there	e?
4		A	Yes, sir.
5		Q	He talked to you about putting a story out
6	there that	wou]	ld help him out?
7		A	Yes, sir.
8		Q	And would help Jayson out?
9		A	Yes, sir.
10		Q	And so you were a little confused as to what he
11	meant?		
12		Α	Correct.
13		Q	Because during that day on the 20th he was
14	coming up	with	different ways to try to save himself?
15		A	Correct.
16		Q	He was trying to get you to lie?
17		A	For him, yes, sir.
18		Q	And that's no big surprise because you know him
19	to be a li	iar?	
20		Α	Correct, sir.
21		Q	The police were pretty tough with you?
22		Α	Yes, they were.
23		Q	They cuffed you?
24		A	Yes, they did.
25		Q	They raised their voice at you?
	Ī		

1	A Correct.
2	Q It was a little like watching one of those NYPD
3	Blue episodes, wasn't it?
4	A Correct.
5	Q They were really trying their hardest to rattle
6	your cage?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And as a result of that, you did give more
9	information?
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q But the one thing and I won't go through the
12	profanities with you, but the one thing that was clear is that
13	you knew, you knew that if you did not say more information
14	that Deangelo had given you on the 20th to them and put those
15	cards on the table that you were going to get arrested and
16	charged?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And you've known over the course of the last
19	four years that if you didn't come to court you were going to
20	get arrested and charged?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q You'd be charged with conspiracy to commit
23	murder of Mr. Hadland?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Just a couple of more questions.

1	Your testimony is based on what you saw and what you
2	heard?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And you never heard Little Lou Hidalgo?
5	A No, sir.
6	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. PESCI:
10	Q Let's start off on the identification of KC
11	Counts. Do you remember defense counsel, Mr. Adams, just
12	asked you some questions about not being able to identify
13	Kenneth Counts? Do you remember that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. However, in another proceeding you did
16	identify Kenneth Counts, didn't you?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q In fact, last time at the Counts' proceeding
19	Her Honor took judicial notice of the fact
20	MR. ADAMS: Objection. Objection, Your Honor. May
21	we approach?
22	THE COURT: Sure. You're already here.
23	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
24	(Off-record bench conference)
25	THE COURT: Is everybody still okay? We're going

1	until we finish with this witness. No one's blood sugar is
2	collapsing? Everybody good? All right.
3	MR. PESCI: May I proceed, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
6	BY MR. PESCI:
7	Q Mr. Zone, on June the 13th of 2005, did you go
8	out to Boulder City and attend a preliminary hearing?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And at that preliminary hearing were you able
11	to identify Kenneth Counts?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q Just so it's really clear, was Kenneth Counts
14	the only African American in the courtroom?
15	A No, he wasn't.
16	MR. ARRASCADA: Objection. Leading, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Well, that doesn't suggest an answer.
18	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Overruled.
20	BY MR. PESCI:
21	Q So they're clear, at a prior proceeding were
22	you able to identify Kenneth Counts?
23	A Yes, I was.
24	Q Now, you were asked a whole lot of questions
25	about Deangelo being the focal point Deangelo Carroll, the

focal point of all the information that you got. Do you 1 2 remember those questions? 3 Α Yes. And you were specifically asked whether or not 4 Q you heard about Little Lou, his involvement in this incident. 5 6 Do you remember those questions? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Now, on that same day -- we're talking 8 Q about June 13, 2005 -- let's see, page 34 for counsels' 9 sake -- were you asked: What did Deangelo tell you about 10 11 Mr. H's son? And you answered: Well, that he wanted him dead 12 13 also. 14 Α Correct. 15 So you have, in fact, testified before that you had information, although it was from Deangelo, that you had 16 information that Little Lou wanted T.J. dead as well? 17 18 Correct. Correct. Α 19 It wasn't just Mr. H? Q 20 Correct. Α 21 MR. ADAMS: Objection to improper impeachment of 22 their own witness, Your Honor. 23 MR. PESCI: Well, Judge, under 51.035 I move for the 24 admission of the prelim transcripts, the grand jury

25

transcripts.

2 impeaching him. They're -- so it's overruled. 3 MR. PESCI: Okay. Well, we're making a motion for the admission of them, Judge, under the statute --4 5 Can we approach? Yeah. 6 THE COURT: (Off-record bench conference) 7 We're going to take a break. And I'm 8 THE COURT: sure we're all getting kind of hungry, and so let's go ahead 9 and take our hour-long lunch break at this point in time. 10 We'll be in recess until 1:25 for the lunch break. 11 And once again you're reminded of the admonishment 12 that you're not discuss this case or anything related to the 13 case with each other or anyone else. Don't read, watch, 14 listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject 15 relating to the case. Please don't form or express an opinion 16 on the trial. Notepads in your chairs, follow Jeff through 17 the double doors, and we'll see you all back here at 1:25 p.m. 18 (Jury recessed at 12:24 p.m.) 19 MR. PESCI: Judge, would you like the witnesses to 20 21 step into the --22 THE COURT: I was just about to -- thank you,

THE COURT:

1

23

24

25

Mr. Pesci, no. Basically they're not

103

Mr. Pesci. I was going to do that without the reminder, but I

Mr. Zone, you're free, depending on what the DA

appreciate the reminder, notwithstanding that.

investigator and the DAs need with you, to be on the break for the hour. And I must remind you you'll probably be with the investigator, but if you're not, you're not to discuss your testimony with anybody else who may be a witness in this case, and that means telephonically and other. All right? Okay. And you can just go back to wherever the DAs direct you.

Yes.

MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, may Mr. Hidalgo be excused to use the rest room really quick?

THE COURT: He may.

(Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: All right. 51.035, a transcript of testimony given under oath at trial or hearing or before a grand jury.

MR. GENTILE: 51.035?

THE COURT: Mm-hmm. 2(d).

MR. PESCI: 51.035, The declarant testifies, which Mr. Zone has, at the trial or hearing and he's subject to cross-examination. So not only does the preliminary hearing come in, but also the grand jury testimony which wasn't subject to cross-examination and which has been asked extensively by everyone, so it is clear under statute --

THE COURT: Well, it still says, The declarant testifies at trial or hearing and is subject to cross-examination concerning the statement, which means then

he's subject to cross-examination concerning the entire grand jury transcript.

MR. PESCI: Well, no, Judge --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct. Correct. He has — he's been confronted with the transcript. At this point he's now subject to cross—examination. They had an opportunity to ask every single question in that grand jury transcript and it is a transcript of a hearing. It now comes in. It doesn't have to be consistent. It doesn't have to be inconsistent. It is just a transcript.

MR. GENTILE: Wait a minute. Whoa. This is a definition of what is and what isn't hearsay. Merely because something isn't hearsay does not mean that it automatically comes into evidence.

THE COURT: Right. I still get to rule on whether it's admitted or not admitted.

MR. GENTILE: And I'm trying to figure out on what theory it becomes admissible.

mR. PESCI: Then on the issue of probative versus prejudicial, it's extremely probative because he's been cross-examined about what's consistent or inconsistent here, and so this will help to show this jury on the numerous occasions.

Ms. Armeni went through a painstaking -- day by day as to what he said or didn't say and that he was under oath

when he went through these things. Now they can see exactly 1 what he said under oath --3 MR. GENTILE: 4 MR. PESCI: -- at those times. I don't understand 5 when they were asking how it was probative then, but now it's 6 not. MR. GENTILE: Ms. Armeni dealt with prior inconsistent statements. To the extent that there is a 8 9 statement that is consistent, that would be admissible, but 10 the entire transcript is not admissible. The only thing 11 that's admissible is anything that would be consistent. 12 MR. PESCI: No. That's such a -- that's a 13 separate --14 THE COURT: Well, here's the thing. Well, it does say that entire transcript -- I've never actually had it asked 15 16 for nor have I ever admitted a prior transcript. MR. GENTILE: Where does it say entire? 17 THE COURT: It says a transcript of testimony given 18 19 under oath. 20 MR. GENTILE: It doesn't say entire transcript. That's my point. All this --21 22 THE COURT: It doesn't say a partial transcript 23 either.

I don't want to talk over the Court.

MR. GENTILE:

When you're finished, I'll --

24

25

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
l	5	
l	6	
L	7	
1	8	
L	9	
	0	
2		
2.		
2.		
2	4	

25

THE COURT: I'm done.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

This is the definition of hearsay.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: It is saying that if something was sworn and it was a prior -- and somebody was subject -- they're subject to cross-examination now --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: -- okay, and it is otherwise admissible, it's not objectionable as being hearsay. This does not use the term "entire," and there's a reason that it doesn't use the word entire.

If we do something on cross-examination of a witness and we bring up that the witness has made an inconsistent statement before his testimony, then the State, if it has such a transcript, could use that transcript to put before the Court the consistent aspect of that proceeding, not the entire proceeding. Because the entire proceeding is not relevant. What's relevant is what is consistent with what the testimony in court has been.

The only reason that she was able to go into what she went into is because it was inconsistent with what the testimony in court is. And so while this is a legitimate definition of it not being hearsay, it does not mean that the whole thing comes in.

THE COURT: Well, here's -- I mean, first of all, in terms of consistent statements, I thought the last one was a prior consistent statement based on the suggestion, which I thought was -- but it may have been tacit suggestion -- I got the point from the cross that this was all new, what he was saying about the whole Little Lou thing was all new. So to that extent, I think you're allowed to get into the prior times he testified about what Little Lou said or about what Mr. H said because they certainly suggested from that side of the room that now he's just coming up with this much later. So you can get into that.

My concern about admitting --

MR. PESCI: Judge, that --

THE COURT: Well, wait. Excuse me.

The whole transcripts, though, goes beyond whether it's hearsay or not. These weren't the lawyers at the prior proceedings, number one. So maybe there was objectionable questions that these two would have objected to that whoever was representing the other people didn't object to maybe because either they didn't do it, for whatever reason, they either didn't know or they didn't catch it, or it wasn't — it wasn't prejudicial to their clients so they didn't make objections. But it may well have been objectionable testimony as to these two people.

So I don't want to introduce a whole transcript just

willy-nilly that could have comments in there that weren't subject to cross-examination and could have prejudicial and improper evidence in it, number one.

Number two, as we all know, in front of the grand.

jury there is no defense attorney. There hasn't necessarily

been a review that all of the questions were appropriate in

front of the grand jury. So you may be getting questions and

answers in both forums that really aren't appropriate in front

of these -- in the trial of these two people. So that's my

concern about admitting the whole transcripts.

And I think -- like I said, I think you're entitled to get into prior consistent statements because certainly on cross the suggestion is, oh, this is all a new thing about the -- I mean, look, the clear suggestion was that when Rontae Zone was interviewed by the police, he appreciated somehow that he needed to come up with more or he was going to be charged, and that's why he came up. So I think, yes, you can get into those.

But for the reasons I've just said, I'm totally uncomfortable with introducing all of these prior transcripts for the reasons — I don't need to go over the reasons again — the reasons that I've just stated. Because then we have to have a review on the transcripts and redact information that maybe shouldn't be coming in against these individuals and other things.

So I think that's an exercise that we don't need to do because the value of the transcripts, I don't think -- again, portions of the transcripts that are consistent, we can deal with those, but the whole transcripts, seven transcripts or six transcripts or whatever it is, no.

You can be heard. I don't see myself changing my mind, quite candidly, but --

MR. PESCI: That's fine. I'd like to make a record.

You just heard from defense counsel that it doesn't -- it says otherwise. I'd ask you to read that statute and see where it says otherwise it's admissible, first off, that's what it says because it's not in there.

Secondly, the legislature saw fit to specifically put in grand jury. If the concern of our cross-examination was there, that wouldn't have been put there --

THE COURT: I wasn't -- I didn't say

cross-examination. I said that there's no one there to object

to objectionable questions. As you well know, the grand

jury -- the prosecutor has the total obligation of making sure

the questions are appropriate. And I'm confident you didn't

do anything inappropriate. All I'm saying is it says it's not

hearsay.

Now, the second thing is do we admit them. And the Court has — it says it's not hearsay. I agree. It doesn't have to be inconsistent or consistent to make it nonhearsay.

It's not hearsay. But there are other reasons that the defense can object to the admission of the transcripts beyond objecting as hearsay.

And I've expressed two concerns and I don't know how you can -- okay, yes, the legislature said the grand jury transcript.

The other thing, since this is a little bit of a unique case and — in that we have all of these proceedings, we have all of these different defendants, we have all of these different defense attorneys, now, maybe that was contemplated by the legislature. I don't know how much experience you have with these legislative committees, but it's just as likely that the legislature was envisioning one defendant at a prelim or two defendants and the same trial, not totally unrelated proceedings with respect to the presence or absence of these defendants.

So make your record, but I don't see myself, quite frankly, being swayed because I'm pretty --- I'm pretty firm in my feeling on this.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't want to argue the point. I just want to ask the Court a question. Is your ruling that any relevant question asked of Rontae which is not objectionable is fair subject of questioning of this particular witness because it's not hearsay?

THE COURT: Sure. I just don't want those whole

1 transcripts coming in.

MR. GENTILE: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. I don't understand --

THE COURT: No. No. I mean, I think --

MR. GENTILE: This is redirect.

THE COURT: It is redirect, but I've already said that I feel that the import of the cross-examination was to suggest recent fabrication with respect to the involvement of your clients and that was -- that's the impact I got out of it.

MR. GENTILE: Well, that's certainly not what we're going to argue, but that's all right.

MR. ADAMS: The intended point, Your Honor, if I might try to clarify that, the intended point was that he has testified six times, never the same way twice. Three of those times he said, Deangelo said Little Lou. The other three times he said, Deangelo said Mr. H. That was the point and I asked him that and --

THE COURT: Well, that was one point.

MR. ADAMS: -- and I said, Mr. Carroll, at other times you said Little Lou, but there's been times when you didn't, where you never said that. And he said, Yes, yes.

THE COURT: Right. Well, that was one point and then there's also the point that he was all high on marijuana so he didn't really quite know what was going on and that's

the reason --

MR. GENTILE: The earlier transcripts aren't going to help on that because --

asked, You were in the police station and you knew you could get arrested unless you came up with a greater involvement of other people here, didn't you? So to me, that line of questioning suggests that he made a recent fabrication or remembered things for the soul purpose of getting himself off the hook.

MR. GENTILE: I read the record at this point entirely differently. But let me just call one thing to the Court's attention and hopefully the prosecutor will pay attention to this.

That statute does nothing but define what is and isn't hearsay, and if it were to be interpreted as the prosecutor is seeking it to be interpreted --

THE COURT: Every single witness in every trial that testified at the preliminary hearing or grand jury, you'd be allowed to admit their transcripts.

MR. GENTILE: And 51.325 makes it expressly clear that you may not unless the witness is first determined to be unavailable and that the parties to the proceedings are the same parties so that there was the same motive for cross-examination.

THE COURT: Well, that's when you have an 1 2 In this case -unavailable witness. MR. GENTILE: Such as Deangelo Carroll's videotape. 3 THE COURT: Well, that's a different --4 That's why we could get it in but they 5 MR. GENTILE: 6 can't. THE COURT: Okay. Well, wait, Mr. Gentile. That's 7 apples and oranges. 8 Rontae Zone was a witness and everybody got to 9 cross-examine him, so the second statute that you cited, 10 52-point whatever, doesn't apply. The reason obviously for 11 the more stringent requirements is because then you don't have 12 an opportunity to cross-examine them or get them to explain 13 14 the inconsistencies --15 MR. GENTILE: Like in a grand jury. THE COURT: -- whereas here Mr. Zone has that -- you 16 have that opportunity. He's here. 17 I'm not admitting the transcript for other reasons, 18 but you can certainly cover any inconsistent -- and if you 19 want portions of the transcript later admitted, we'll consider 20 that, but to admit those whole unredacted transcripts --21 because then it would require in the middle of trial all the 22 defense attorneys to re -- go through those, see what might be

23

24

25

go through them.

objectionable and the Court -- more importantly, the Court to

So -- and of course I said that facetiously, for the record, but that's my ruling and I'm not going to -- I'm not going to debate it.

Now, on the other issue, I'm ready to rule.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I inquire as to the redirect?

THE COURT: I'm not doing it. I don't know what the redirect is.

MR. ADAMS: Well, it seems that we will be dealing a lot with transcripts and have a lot of issues and I wonder if it would be helpful if over the lunch hour they identify which portions of which transcripts and we can deal with that at one time.

THE COURT: Well, that would be -- if they want to do that, that's fine. I'm not going to order them that they have to do it. You can deal with the objections as they ask the questions --

MR. ADAMS: Sure.

THE COURT: -- and you can say that's not -- that wasn't -- you know, that's not a prior consistent statement or we didn't challenge that previous statement or whatever objection you want to make.

Okay. On the other ruling, I think maybe we're making too much of this other issue and I think we can sort of address it like this, which is going to make everyone either happy or unhappy, probably the latter.

All right. The statements made —— I am inclined to give an instruction to the jury. The statements made by Deangelo Carroll in the tape when he was acting as a police informant or agent or whatever we want to call him cannot be considered for the truth of the matter asserted. Okay. Therefore, the door is not opened to any impeachment of prior inconsistent statements by Deangelo Carroll in his interview with police.

But Mr. Arrascada or Mr. Gentile -- well, it would be you, Mr. Arrascada, are free to argue, well, when he said this, my client didn't say no, that's not true, or Ms. Espindola didn't say no, that's not true, but you can't argue even Deangelo Carroll didn't believe that he was involved because that would be commenting on the truth. So in terms of any verbal sort of -- or nonverbal acknowledgment of the statement, you can comment on that in your argument, but again, you can't comment on the veracity of Deangelo Carroll's statement. You can't say even he didn't believe it because then you do open the door. So that's --

MR. ARRASCADA: Open the door to what, though, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Open the door to -- well, first of all, you -- forget opening the door. I just said that I'm instructing them it's not to be considered for the truth of the matter. So if it's not to be considered for the truth of

the matter, you can't argue, well, even Deangelo Carroll didn't believe it.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, this is admissible for the truth on several grounds and I told you originally that -- I mean, if you're inclined to follow your inclination, which is different than what you're doing now --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- Judge, I told you there was other grounds on why this is admissible for the truth of the matter. And that statement is -- one, it's admissible under Buffy State 114 Nevada 1237 because Deangelo Carroll made a statement against his interest. Now, read Buff, Judge, very closely and also you need to --

THE COURT: Okay. I have one critical question on Buff. At the time the statement was made in the Buff case, was the speaker who made the statement against interest -- was that person working as a police agent?

MR. ARRASCADA: He was being interviewed at the time, so no.

THE COURT: Okay. So no. So to me, that would be the critical -- I'm happy to read it, but that would be the critical difference in terms of whether it's a statement against interest or not a statement against interest.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, also to help you in your analysis, there's another case Chia, like --

THE COURT: Like the Chia pet?

MR. ARRASCADA: Like the pet, v Cambra, 360 F 3d 997.

THE COURT: What's that one say?

MR. ARRASCADA: In that one, Your Honor, it was -it probably was not an informant also. I can't recall at this
time, but again, it looks at these statements, this
exculpatory statement for Mr. Hidalgo and it says these are
admitted two ways.

One is a statement against interest. Deangelo

Carroll is making a statement against interest at this time,

Your Honor, because he knows that he's under the gun. He is

going to be prosecuted and he is being given an opportunity,

if he gets other people, other suspects, to receive benefits.

And so it's against his direct interest to go in and tell

someone they were not involved. It's against their penal

interest.

And when you couple that, Your Honor, with Chambers versus Mississippi 410 U.S. 284, Chambers says that where a constitutional right directly affecting the ascertainment of guilt are implicated, the hearsay rule may not be applied mechanistically to defeat the ends of justice. So this is admissible, Your Honor, this statement.

And this is what the Nevada Supreme Court was doing in Buff. This statement is admissible as a statement against

interest and also under the catch-all provision regarding it having circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness equivalent to --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- list -- Judge, it's a statement against interest. He's --

THE COURT: All right. First of all --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- going in there --

THE COURT: -- I don't see it as a statement against -- I'm happy to look at the cases on my lunch break.

I'm eating lunch in my office so I'll have nothing to do but read these cases, and I'm happy to do it.

But number one, I don't see it as a statement against interest. First of all, he's working with the police. They've briefed him. But setting that aside, the fact that Little -- whether it was Mr. H or Mr. H and Little Lou who got Deangelo Carroll to spearhead this whole thing does not minimize Mr. Carroll's culpability in the whole thing. So whether he's just trying to blame Anabel and Mr. H or he's trying to blame Anabel, Mr. H and Little Lou, to me, his culpability is his culpability. And obviously he's trying to work off the case by going in there wearing the wire. So I don't see that as a statement against his interest.

I mean, you know, you've made your record. Maybe if it ever gets there an appellate court will see it differently.

I don't, number one, see it as a statement against interest.

Number two, on the other issue, the catch-all phrase -- the catch-all provision, I think there's no indicia of reliability in this. I mean --

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, the indicia reliability comes when you look at the phone records of Anabel Espindola --

THE COURT: Well, doesn't the indicia of reliability have to be with -- manifest in the statement itself and the circumstances in which the statement's been made, not in corroborating information? So --

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, if you look at Chia versus Cambra, the corroboration -- you have to look at corroboration weighing in favor of its reliability and you look at the external factors which are the phone records.

Also, Anabel Espindola's statement to the grand jury that Little Lou and his dad had an argument, significant there, Your Honor. Nothing occurred after that. Little Lou didn't say, Go kill someone. They didn't reach any agreement.

And the areas -- he said there's five areas mentioning Little Lou that are incriminating in the Deangelo Carroll video. That's actually not true, Your Honor. There's three different areas where Deangelo Carroll gives the consistent statement that Little Lou wasn't involved saying they didn't want Little Lou involved. They intentionally kept Little Lou out of it. That's all in the Deangelo Carroll

statement that they're not going to hear.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If the Court -- I have the five segments. The segments are, He gave me a phone call, told me to bring baseball bats and garbage bags, arguing because he had a prior conversation about hurting people. I went down to the club. When I got there, I asked Mr. H and said, Where you at, and they said they didn't want him involved because he's too emotionally involved. Little Lou wanted to do this himself. He showed up in black clothing to do it and Mr. H said, No, you do it, not Little Lou.

And then later on during the later evening when the payoff was occurring, Little Lou was coming in and out of the room and we were whispering because, quote, They didn't want Little Lou to fuck something up, so we didn't want him to know exactly what had happened. So that all corroborates the --

THE COURT: Okay. Where is that in the statement?

MR. GENTILE: But that's not even Rontae Zone's statement. No, that's --

MR. DIGIACOMO: It's Carroll's statement.

THE COURT: It's Deangelo Carroll's statement.

MR. GENTILE: Carroll? How does that come in?

MR. ARRASCADA: It can't come in, Your Honor.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If you let in that statement for the truth of the matter inserted, those other statements will come in.

THE COURT: Well, it's not even whether it comes in or not. He's saying that his statement to police bolsters the credibility --

MR. GENTILE: Oh, I see.

THE COURT: -- of his statement on the wire. And Mr. DiGiacomo is saying, well, wait a minute, it doesn't bolster it under this sort of catch-all provision because, if anything, if you read the totality of the statement in its entirety, he's implicating Little Lou way more than not implicating Little Lou.

MR. ARRASCADA: Which goes to the issue, Your Honor, of we are entitled to -- one, the statement he makes on the wire comes in for the truth, and now -- though here's the problem we have, Your Honor, and we're going right to it. We don't get the chance to cross Deangelo Carroll who they control to probe and test these questions that are just being raised here. We interpret it exactly differently than they do. And he can't be cross-examined.

THE COURT: Okay. First of all, his credibility isn't at issue if the statement doesn't come in. If the statement doesn't come in for the truth of the matter asserted, Deangelo Carroll's credibility is not at issue because we're not saying it's true. We're not saying it isn't true. So therefore cross-examining him on the veracity of the statement is irrelevant on that -- if it doesn't come in,

number one.

Number two, I mean, to me, I'm -- on the adoptive admission idea, I'm buying into that. I'm saying great. You can argue it was an adoptive admission that Anabel Espindola, the lead conspirator under your theory, never said, Well, wait a minute, Little Lou's hands are as dirty as my hands, or, Little Lou never said, well, I'm really afraid because I planned this whole thing. You can argue all of the absence of that and you can argue it as an adoptive admission in your argument. I'm saying you can do that. But I'm not going to -- I think, to me, it clearly falls under hearsay in terms of Deangelo Carroll and I'm not going to let you argue that Deangelo Carroll was being truthful.

Now, the listener's response to the statement was fair game and properly can be argued. What your client did to respond to that, like I said, fair game and you can argue however you want.

MR. ARRASCADA: Now, Judge, we have another problem, though, and that's this: Before we begun the trial, you asked us -- you said, are you -- he -- the prosecutor inquired on the record of us to you are we moving this for the -- for the truth of the matter, the wire statement, Little Lou, you weren't involved. We told you we're doing it for the truth of the matter. You said okay.

Then in opening statements we relied on that ruling

and it was presented to the jury that way in our opening statements. This is now going directly to our credibility and what we're presenting in an opening statement based on this Court's ruling pretrial, and now our credibility is shot with this jury when it comes time for argument based on the opening statement.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, two points as to that. One, we talked about that we were going to have to have some evidentiary ruling for the statement. I said if the defense is going to get up in their opening and argue it was admissible for the truth of the matter asserted, I wanted the ability to make some comment about that there'd be other evidence related to that, but there would be a lengthy discussion about what the actual admissibility is, one.

Two, they can't be prejudiced by it. They've already told the jury even Deangelo Carroll didn't do it.

THE COURT: Well, the -- I mean, Mr. DiGiacomo, in his opening, said, Well, you're going hear that Deangelo Carroll said this, and he was -- all that means is he doesn't know what conspiracy law is, and you objected to him doing that. I don't see how you can suggest that you admit the statement for its veracity and that the State not be allowed to respond to that.

MR. ARRASCADA: They created their own evidentiary thoughts, Your Honor. Deangelo Carroll is available if they

make him available. Judge, he is. They control him.

THE COURT: Okay. What? They make him available by saying, okay, the guy that hired Kenneth Counts and lured

Timothy Hadland in the middle of the night and is the direct cause of his murder, what, they give him immunity to testify against what clearly at this point are — may have been the master mind, if you want to use that term, but people who clearly were not as directly involved in the actual assassination itself? So that's what you're suggesting?

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, based on what they've been doing with witnesses, yes. Anabel Espindola, who the State -- this prosecutor told you in a bail hearing was more culpable than Luis Hidalgo, III, who was facing a death sentence is pleading to a voluntary -- has pled to a voluntary manslaughter and they'll remain silent.

This witness, Rontae Zone, is not prosecuted.

Jayson Taoipu, again, given probation. All the players -- and given a plea deal by that prosecutor. So they have bent over backwards, Your Honor, to give deals to their witnesses that they choose to have, and they cannot selectively then say, Here's one that could be good for the defense so we choose not to do it. I implore the Court to look at Straub, United States -- I believe it's Straub versus United States, S-t-r --

THE COURT: Have you got a cite for me?

MR. ARRASCADA: I don't have the cite.

MR. GENTILE: I'll get it for you.

MR. ARRASCADA: S-t-r-a-u-b. It was decided in August by the Ninth Circuit addressing this very issue and it said that the Court can grant immunity on the defense's motion in these circumstances and situations.

It's -- if you recall, we made the motion earlier and we said like the Gage case. It's very similar, but it's actually the Ninth Circuit that has come out with an opinion. And it dealt with an informant that -- a codefendant who was not given immunity that the defendant wanted -- asked the Court to grant immunity. And part of the analysis was look at what the government did for everybody else except for the guy who could help out the defense. That's the situation we're now in.

So they control him, Your Honor. So how can they then put up statements from his videotaped confession that the police themselves say he fabricated many, many, many times to show that — to impeach what we say is a truthful statement on the wire that you've already ruled as coming in —

THE COURT: Have I ruled --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- without us having an opportunity to cross-examine?

THE COURT: If I ruled that it was coming in, then, you know, it could be prejudicial to the State. If I ruled in that way, then we're just not going to give an instruction one

way or the other. But you're not going to argue that it's 1 truthful and we just won't tell them truthful or not truthful. 2 If I -- I said it's prejudicial to the State if I ruled that 4 way. 5 I don't recall -- actually, I said my inclination is, and then we said we'd have to have some evidentiary 6 7 rulings, and then you objected to Mr. Pesci's argument and they approached and he was like, Well, will I have a chance to 8 9 refute this? And there was some discussion as to whether it 10 was even going to come in or not, as I remember, but I could be wrong. That was my recollection. I said I could be wrong. 11 12 MR. GENTILE: Give her the citation. It's right 13 here. 14 MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, Straub's citation is 538 F 15 3d 1147. 16 MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm going to be very brief on immunity because, one, I don't believe that any of the rules 17 18 in the State of Nevada allows the Court to grant immunity, but 19 the second problem is --20 Well, then the remedy then is dismissal. THE COURT: 21 MR. DIGIACOMO: No -- well --22 THE COURT: Well, if you look at the Gage case, I 23 don't have -- I don't have to say --24 MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct.

THE COURT: -- force you not to prosecute

25

Mr. Carroll, but going by the Gage case, then the remedy is dismissal against the defendants who are requesting the witnesses.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct, under the federal analysis. But unfortunately, Nevada has its own law in this matter, and the biggest problem with Nevada's law, and the prosecutors have complained about it for many, many years and some of the defense attorneys in this room, I believe, that may have even argued against it in the legislature, we want use immunity. And if we had the ability to get use ability, we would certainly put Deangelo Carroll up with a wall and get the use immunity. There isn't one in Nevada. It has to be whole immunity.

And you hit the nail on the head. Well, I can't force him to plead guilty, so do I let a murder go? And that's the question. And that's the question they're asking the Court is, Hey, let's let a murder go. And if you can recall, because they want to talk about our position and what we've done in this case, I have argued from the very beginning that the first defendant that should go to trial is Deangelo Carroll, that it would solve a lot of problems. I've argued it repeatedly, repeatedly, repeatedly and Deangelo Carroll's lawyer has repeatedly put up roadblocks to that and it's been continued by the Court, not by the State.

The record is over abundant in this case that I

thought we should try Deangelo Carroll first because it solves a substantial number of problems, and I've been precluded from doing so, so to argue that somehow I have been the one that somehow --

THE COURT: No --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- has prevented Deangelo Carroll --

THE COURT: And I don't think the Court made a determination in terms of this one should go to trial first and that one. Certainly that's not the rule of the Court and I did not make that determination.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, it was before you were --

THE COURT: No, no. I'm just going to say, just so it's clear, because I think that would be completely inappropriate for this or any other court to be making a determination that we want A to go first or B to go first, I mean, obviously capital has to go first before noncapital.

Other than that — and longest in custody goes first. Beyond that, I think we stuck with the order that had been set by Judge Mosley who previously had the case, and then it's an issue of scheduling with the lawyers. So I just want it to be clear on the record that this —

MR. DIGIACOMO: No. And there was --

THE COURT: -- Court never --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- stays from the Nevada Supreme Court as well that caused --

	THE COOKI: KIGHC.
2	that we didn't somehow say that this Court
3	didn't somehow say Deangelo Carroll gets to go last. I just
4	didn't want that to be suggested.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: No, no, it wasn't. But, I mean, the
6	State's position has always been, let's try Deangelo Carroll
7	first. And the suggestion that somehow we have any affect on
8	that the ability to
9	THE COURT: And wasn't there a setting on Deangelo
10	Carroll that Mr. Figler came in and said that he wasn't ready
11	or something like that?
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: He came in and said he's ready and
13	then a second time he said I promise to be ready. He came in
14	again and said, I didn't do anything for mitigation, and then
15	there was another
16	THE COURT: Right.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: a whole bunch of and then
18	eventually we finally got him to the point where
19	THE COURT: He said the defendant was retarded.
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: we thought we could go, he gets
21	stayed and was remained stayed through the setting of this
22	trial date.
23	And it was my position when he got unstayed that we

because of the situation and -- you know, that wasn't agreed

still this trial date due to Deangelo Carroll because --

but the fact -- or the suggestion that I --2 3 THE COURT: Again, because the Court's opinion is that it should not be making decisions based on tactical 4 5 advantages to one side or the other so --MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't agree, but to suggest that 6 we somehow precluded Deangelo Carroll from them, I've been 7 trying to get them Deangelo Carroll for a very long time. 8 9 THE COURT: Right. 10 Anything else? 11 MR. GENTILE: I don't think anybody's suggesting 12 you're precluding it. What they're suggesting is that you have better access to the ability of having him testify. 13 14 cannot grant immunity. 15 Right. Of course not. THE COURT: 16 MR. GENTILE: We will be seeking a missing witness 17 instruction at the end of the day as well. 18 THE COURT: And that may well be appropriate. 19 We've already seen from a juror that there's an 20 issue as to why aren't we hearing from Deangelo Carroll. I mean, it's the elephant in the room, where's Deangelo 21 Carroll? MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, if it's relevant, then I want them to know that he's still pending trial.

MR. PESCI:

1

22

23

24

25

upon by the Court. I'm not saying it had anything to do --

Right. He's pending trial.

objected to that. And they objected when we were going to say he's still awaiting trial. They made an objection.

MR. GENTILE: I don't have a problem with that going up.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I didn't object to that.

THE COURT: Well, all I'm saying -- I have no problem with giving them an instruction as to why Deangelo Carroll's not testifying because they obviously want to know, and as I just said, that's the elephant in the room and they're all going to be talking about it. So to the extent we can address it truthfully with the jury, I'm all for doing that.

MR. GENTILE: It's better than them thinking he's dead at the hands of a defendant in the courtroom, so I would much prefer to have --

THE COURT: Right. And I think, you know, the more questions, mind you, is -- the more questions we can answer for the jury, the better. You get a better verdict.

MR. ADAMS: Mr. Arrascada's done an outstanding job of arguing. Is my understanding correct that you're going to review that and before we can talk about how we can argue or not argue you'll think about that as well as think about the fact that the State told us we could do this as substantive evidence? And I put my credibility on the line in opening and we need to address that sometime before closing as well.

THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, we can play the tape without giving them an instruction as to you can consider this or that or whatever. We can go through with the evidence and then later say, You are instructed that the statements made by Deangelo Carroll on the tape of blah, blah, blah, and blah, blah, blah are not considered for the truth of the matter asserted. We can do that later. We don't have to do it contemporaneously.

MR. ADAMS: No, but that -- well, what -Mr. Arrascada did a wonderful job. I don't want to undo it.
Before we talk about the lawyer credibility issue, that will
be a later issue to address, correct, not all at a same time?

THE COURT: Well, all I'm saying is I don't think we need a ruling from the Court definitively before -- oh, let's just go forward with the evidence and then hash out our differences, some of which may be settled -- do you see what I mean, because it doesn't have to be contemporaneous, in my view.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Just before the close of evidence before our last witness testifies, if somehow some way that that statement's coming in for the truth of the matter --

THE COURT: Yeah. I'm going to look at the --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- we need to know so that we can --

THE COURT: On the lawyer credibility issue, I need to look at the transcripts again to see what was said on that.

1	So I have to do that.
2	All right. Anything else from me?
3	MR. GENTILE: What time are we coming back?
4	THE MARSHAL: 1:25.
5	(Court recessed at 1:01 p.m. until 1:35 p.m.)
6	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
7	THE COURT: All right. It's on the record.
8	Mr. Arrascada is speaking.
9	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, it's my understanding one of
10	their witnesses this afternoon is going to be Detective
11	McGrath and the intention of the State is to use a
12	transcript is to play the wire and also to use a
13	transcript. I believe the transcript they intend to use is
14	the one that's in dispute and I guess we're looking for some
15	guidance.
16	THE COURT: Is it does it have the TJ that
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: It has the TJ, but I made the
18	agreement that for purposes of opening I wouldn't do it. You
19	heard it in opening. I'll play a little section for you now.
20	If you don't hear it, then
21	THE COURT: Yeah, let's finish. Can we finish with
22	Rontae Zone and then take a break, or is he only like 20 or 25
23	minutes before Detective
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: You know, our redirect won't be more

25 than just a few minutes, and then I don't know how much

1 recross they can do considering our redirect is so short, 2 but --3 THE COURT: Okay. And then the other thing I just 4 need to let everybody know is Juror No. 12 --5 Right, Jeff, Juror No. 12? 6 Juror No. 12, I believe, indicated that he 7 recognized the victim's wife who's been in the courtroom, but 8 he didn't know her name or her relationship to the case. 9 just recognized her as a friend of a friend. But he didn't 10 know -- like I said, he didn't know why she was here or 11 anything like that. 12 Who is 12, Your Honor? MR. ADAMS: 13 In Chair 12. THE COURT: 14 MR. ADAMS: I know they're all in the back row and 15 it's apparently a male. 16 MR. GENTILE: Mr. Keegan I think, isn't it? 17 I don't remember. The older guy with THE COURT: 18 the golf ball. 19 MR. GENTILE: Mr. Keegan, yeah. 20 Anyway, so I don't think that's a big THE COURT: 21 deal because she's not going to be testifying, right? And he 22 doesn't know her even well enough to know why she would be 23 I'm just disclosing that. here. 24 All right. Let me hear the tape. You guys need to

kind of be quiet so I can -- all right. Let me hear the

25

1	disputed part of the tape on just the TJ issue.
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't know how well you can
3	hear
4	THE COURT: Are they clean? Is this
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: Apparently they were just cleaned
6	and just put fresh batteries in. And I had them give them out
7	to all 15 jurors from Jeff's
8	THE COURT: So these are sanitary?
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: I hope we're not giving germs. You
10	might be able to hear just from here. I gave you that in case
11	you want we only had 15 of them, Judge, so
12	THE COURT: That's fine.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: Is it on?
14	THE COURT: I don't hear anything.
15	(Off-record colloquy)
16	(Playing tape)
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: Hear it? This does not have the
18	butt in it. Listen to it again.
19	MR. ARRASCADA: May the record reflect the Judge
20	nodded her head no, she did not hear it.
21	(Playing tape)
22	THE COURT: It's actually easier to hear it without
23	the earphones, in my view. I'm sorry.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: Right no, that's what I was going
ے ا	

to tell the jury is, look, some people like these, some people

1 don't. 2 THE COURT: Yeah, I can't hear anything on the 3 So let me listen to it and if I hear it, it can 4 If I don't hear it, then it's not in. 5 (Playing tape) 6 I didn't hear it. THE COURT: 7 MR. DIGIACOMO: Did you hear at least what's 8 transcribed? 9 THE COURT: No, I heard it, but I couldn't hear the 10 TJ. 11 MR. ARRASCADA: You see, Judge, he now wants you to 12 hear it with the transcript. That gets into our 13 suggestibility on this issue. 14 MR. DIGIACOMO: No, there's no TJ on this 15 transcript. This is the non TJ transcript. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 (Playing tape) 18 THE COURT: Honestly, I can't tell what it is. So 19 use -- just use the revised -- the second transcript, but take -- I mean, to the extent that this is an audibility 20 21 hearing, take out the TJ, but use all the rest of it -- of the 22 second one because the rest of the changes aren't really 23 disputed and mimic some of their changes, so that part's fine. 24 MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, is there an issue --

25

MR. DIGIACOMO: We may use a break because I've got
25 copies of the transcript that says TJ. I'm not precluded
from telling the jury
THE COURT: No, you can say
MR. DIGIACOMO: when you listen to that, you're
going to hear TJ, because I got that objection in opening and
they still haven't said, When you listen to this, you're going
to hear
THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, you can
MR. DIGIACOMO: Much like the custodian of records.
THE COURT: even say I don't like to say, I
submit to you, but, you know, you can
MR. PESCI: We ask that the defense's version also
at that location be left blank instead of
MR. DIGIACOMO: Not have this in their version, they
have to have that out.
MR. PESCI: If it's blank for us, it's blank for
them.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ARRASCADA: No. No. We have our own
transcript.
MR. GENTILE: We have our version
MR. ARRASCADA: four years ago in the audibility
hearing.

THE COURT: Well, we actually never had an

1 | audibility hearing.

1.8

MR. DIGIACOMO: An audibility hearing -- you withdrew it.

THE COURT: Because then everybody said, Well, we'll just all agree to -- that we'll agree to disagree, in other words, and use these transcripts.

MR. GENTILE: We also agreed to play it twice consecutively --

THE COURT: Right. We're going to do that and I'm going to give them the instruction.

MR. GENTILE: We're going to have our transcripts brought here.

THE COURT: And if anybody doesn't like what I say, then you can ask me to add something.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, are you going to play it first without a transcript?

THE COURT: I was going -- basically what I'm going to say is -- okay. The State can say, Your Honor, can we pass out a transcript? And I'll say, Ladies and gentlemen, two -- there's a dispute about what the tape is. Each side has prepared a transcript. We're going to allow you to pass them out. We're going to listen to the tape with each transcript. That may aid you, it may not. Basically the transcript is not evidence. It will not be retained. It will not go back to the jury room. The tape is the only piece of evidence and

it's your hearing of the tape that controls and if you hear something that's not on the transcript, that's what you're to consider. If the transcript, one or both or either transcript, has something on it that you don't hear, you're to disregard what's in the transcript.

Is that fair with everybody?

1.0

MR. GENTILE: Yeah. Absolutely.

MR. DIGIACOMO: We would ask for the order, though, that they don't have the word "this" where you now said, You can't hear what it says, because if that's the ruling of the Court, that's fine, but they shouldn't be able to imply to the jury what it says if we can't.

THE COURT: Well, except both times everybody said that it was this.

MR. PESCI: Everybody didn't say it was this. We're taking a position that it says TJ, not this.

THE COURT: No, no, no, but last time you said it said this.

MR. DIGIACOMO: In an audibility hearing where they said, You can offer whatever transcript you want, we'll offer our transcript, we'll withdraw the hearing. Now they're saying, No, we get to suggest the answer, but the State, You're to prejudicial to suggest the answer.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's their argument.

THE COURT: I get it. Well, have you guys made copies of your transcript?

MR. GENTILE: They're on their way.

1.3

22.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, mine have to be all redone too. I mean, there has to be new copies made as to all of our transcripts.

THE COURT: All right. Well, can we at least get started? Okay. Here's what we're going to do. They're going to take "this" out. You're going to take "TJ" out. Can we at least get started with Detective McGrath and --

MR. GENTILE: Are we taking "this" out?

THE COURT: -- can you have someone from your office make the revised transcripts? And they'll have to have the revised transcripts made.

Here's the deal. We didn't have an audibility hearing before because everybody was in agreement. To the extent that we've now had an audibility hearing on just that one portion of the transcript, you know, that's one of the things the Court can do is have an audibility hearing and say, This is the right transcript. I don't know what it says. So to the extent that we've had an audibility hearing on that portion, I can say, I don't know if either transcript -- I don't know what it says. It's not discernible to me and so, therefore, I think it's fair to redact it from both.

MR. GENTILE: That's fine. I have to communicate

with my office to do that.
THE COURT: I un

THE COURT: I understand. I just would like us to get started and then your people can all work.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, may I, just real quick?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ARRASCADA: We would suggest that it's our position on behalf of Luis Hidalgo, III, that when we do the wire itself, that it be heard originally without transcripts and then the transcripts be provided for two more listens.

We're not doing this to make it a longer trial. We're doing it so that they don't get any undue --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- influence to the first one they read or get or the second one.

THE COURT: That's fine.

Any objection from the State? They've already heard it once without transcripts in the opening.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I know. They already heard it once without the transcript. It was played -- the transcript was played during the opening.

THE COURT: That's right. You're right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: It seems like to me that it's a colossal waste of time because we're probably going to be playing this a whole ton of times in front of the jury. But it's the Court -- I don't know why it is that they get to

control how I admit my evidence, but I understand if the Court 2 wants to do it that way, you can make any decision you want. 3 MR. ARRASCADA: You control the demonstrative 4 evidence. 5 THE COURT: All right. Here's the deal. MR. ARRASCADA: We have an issue that the evidence 7 is the tape. 8 THE COURT: Here's the deal. What's another 35 minutes? We'll listen to it first. We'll say, We're passing 9 10 out the transcripts, just exactly what I said I would say, 11 which I didn't write down so it won't be exact, and then 12 you'll pass them out and then they'll pass them out and we'll all sit here for two hours listening to the same thing over 13 14 and over again. 15 MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 MR. DIGIACOMO: So we're playing it three times? 17 THE COURT: Yep. 18 MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. 19 THE COURT: All right. Can we start? 20 Go get Rontae Zone and then once he's up here, bring --21 22 MR. GENTILE: We need to modify the transcripts. 23 THE COURT: Actually, I think playing it first without a transcript might be good because then they'll make 24

1

25

notes as they hear it, and then that might really be their

1	first impression, which is what we want. So I agree with you.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: Which was our argument. Thank you,
3	Judge.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Zone, have a seat.
5	And, Jeff, bring the jury in.
6	(Jury reconvened at 1:47 p.m.)
7	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
8	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State
9	through the deputy district attorneys, the presence of the
10	defendants and their counsel, the officers of the Court and
11	the members of the jury.
12	Mr. Zone, you are still under oath. Do you
13	understand that?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: And where were we on Mr. Zone? Was it
16	redirect?
17	MR. PESCI: I believe so.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
20	BY MR. PESCI:
21	Q Rontae, you were asked some questions during
22	the cross-examination about seeing Mr. H or meeting Mr. H. Do
23	you remember those questions?
24	A Yes.
1	

Okay. The gentleman seated over your left

25

Q

1	shoulder, do you see that person seated over your left
2	shoulder right now?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Can you physically see him?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Have you ever met him?
7	A No.
8	Q Is he someone you've sat down and talked with?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. So if we were to ask you if you met that
11	individual, what would your answer be?
12	A No.
13	Q Okay.
14	THE COURT: And just so it's clear in the record,
15	Mr. Pesci is referring to the Court's intern/extern from the
16	Boyd Law School who's seated to the right of me.
17	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
18	BY MR. PESCI:
19	Q Now, on that issue of seeing Mr. H specifically
20	at Simone's, do you recall testifying in, let's see, June of
21	2005 at a hearing? Do you remember doing that out at Boulder
22	City?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Now, at that time did you give testimony
25	about Mr. H at that time?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And specifically, were you asked: What made
3	you believe it was Mr. H?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And was your answer: Because it was just like
6	I was told to stop and go in and look for a man. There he
7	was, a Salvadorian looking man and he looked like a
8	Salvadorian looking man to me?
9	A Yes.
1.0	MR. ADAMS: Objection to leading, Your Honor. He's
1.1	on direct examination.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	BY MR. PESCI:
14	Q Was that your answer?
15	A Yes, it was.
16	Q So as early as June 13th of 2005, was your
17	testimony that you saw Mr. H at Simone's after the murder?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And now fast forwarding to February of
20	2008, did you testify about seeing Mr. H at Simone's at that
21	proceeding?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Specifically, page 56, were you asked: And
24	while you were inside, did you was there any conversation
25	with Deangelo while you were inside?

1	And was your answer: No, because Deangelo had went
2	in first and we were left in the van and after about 15
3	minutes he came out to the van and told us to come in, so I -
4	and he was talking with Mr. H at the time, so I didn't speak?
5	Was that your answer?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. So from February no, June of '05 to
8	February of '08, that's the same your testimony's the same
9	on the issue of seeing Mr. H at Simone's?
1.0	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Okay. And then also you were asked some
12	questions about communications that Deangelo had with Little
13	Lou. Do you remember that, being asked about that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And as far as when the conversations or
16	communications had occurred?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Now, you were asked specifically about
19	the voluntary statement that you gave to the police. Do you
20	remember that voluntary statement?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And in the voluntary statement did you,
23	in fact, in response to an officer's question page 45
24	answer: I think Mr. H Mr. H, I think no, matter of
25	fact, Lou, Louie had chirped him and then after that? Was
1	

come in, so I --

1	that your statement?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. Was that who was Louie chirping?
4	A Deangelo.
5	Q That just so everyone knows, that was on
6	May 21, 2005 when you spoke to the police?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Okay. And then when you testified at a
9	proceeding on February 12th of 2008, did you also talk about
10	Louie contacting or communicating with Deangelo?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q All right. And specifically, did you give an
13	answer on page 25: After he got off the phone with T.J., then
14	Louie called. After he got off the phone with Louie, Anabel
1.5	called?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And so the ladies and gentlemen of the
18	jury know the context, was that before or after the murder of
19	Mr. Hadland that Little Lou had that communication?
20	A It was before.
21	Q Was that on the drive out to the lake?
22	A That was on the drive out to the lake.
23	Q Okay. And you were also asked about Deangelo
24	being the focal point and as far as who wanted Timothy
25	dead. Do you remember those questions?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And was it your testimony that you had heard
3	from Deangeld	that Mr. H wanted Deangelo dead?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Did you also testify on June 13, 2005,
6	page 34, that	you were also told that Mr. H's son wanted
7	Lou Timoth	ny dead?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Now, you were asked a lot of questions
10	about being h	nigh. Do you remember those questions?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	First by Ms. Armeni and then by Mr. Adams?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. There was kind of a back and forth about
15	how smart you	are when you're high?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Let me ask you this: Did you tell this
18	jury earlier	that you thought that T.J. Hadland was driving a
19	Kia Sportage?	·
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And did you tell the jury earlier that
22	you saw Timot	hy Hadland shot twice?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Did you tell the jury that he was shot twice in
25	the head?	

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Did you also tell this jury about
3	Kenneth Counts being picked up by a cab
4	A Yes.
5	Q at the I'm sorry, just for a second at
6	the Palomino?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. ADAMS: Objection to that, Your Honor. It's
9	both leading and beyond the scope of any cross-examination. I
10	didn't cross-examine about what type of cab or anything in
11	relation to the cab.
12	THE COURT: Overruled. I mean, it's
13	MR. ADAMS: It's direct examination.
14	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Adams, I think it was covered
15	possibly by Ms. Armeni in her cross-examination. As you know,
16	Mr. Pesci is entitled to respond to both.
17	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
18	BY MR. PESCI:
19	Q Do you remember being asked about that, about
20	getting in a cab?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And specifically asked whether or not you ran
23	up and talked to a cab driver and said, Hey, there's a dead
24	body out there?

Yes.

1	Q Okay. So even though you were high, you were
2	able to say it was a Kia Sportage?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Even though you were high, were you able to say
5	that Timothy was shot twice in the head?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. ADAMS: Objection. Leading.
8	THE COURT: Well, overruled.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	BY MR. PESCI:
11	Q Okay. While high, did you testify, as far as
12	when you saw these things happen, that Mr. Hadland was taken
1.3	care of?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And what was your explanation and
16	interpretation of taken care of?
17	A It would be dealt with.
18	Q Okay. And what did you say dealt with meant?
19	A Murder.
20	Q And was Mr. Hadland murdered?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Now, I think we'll ask you this last
23	one. You were specifically
24	MR. PESCI: Court's indulgence.
25	BY MR. PESCI:

1	Q You were asked specifically about the payment
2	at I-Hop. Do you remember those questions?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You, in fact, were cross-examined very
5	specifically about whether or not you physically saw Deangelo
6	pay for that, correct? Do you remember those questions?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And what was your answer as far as whether or
9	not Deangelo had paid for it?
10	A I wasn't sure if he paid for it or not because
11	I didn't see him.
12	Q Okay. Did you testify earlier that it's
13	obvious that he had paid for it?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q So were you able to make that conclusion
16	without actually seeing it happen?
1.7	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Thanks.
19	MR. PESCI: Nothing further.
20	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Armeni.
21	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.
22	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. ARMENI:
24	Q Mr. Zone, I'll try and be quick. Just a couple
25	of follow-up questions.

1	Mr. Pesci asked you about when different times		
2	you've testified as to seeing Mr. H		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q so I'm going to go through those with you.		
5	The first statement you made was on May 21, '05, right?		
6	A Correct.		
7	Q That was your voluntary statement?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q And the Detective Wildemann and Detective		
10	McGrath were there?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q And, Mr. Zone, at that time you weren't asked		
13	anything about Simone's body auto body shop?		
14	A No, I wasn't.		
15	Q So you you didn't say anything about seeing		
16	Mr. H during that statement, right?		
17	A No, I didn't.		
18	Q And then your next statement was the		
19	preliminary hearing, which was on June 13, 2005? Is that the		
20	next one you		
21	A Correct. Correct.		
22	Q And Mr. Pesci read over this and I just want to		
23	give you the whole statement. On this at the preliminary		
24	hearing you were asked: What made you believe that it was		
25	Mr. H?		

1	Answer: Because it was just like I was told to	
2	stop, go in and look for a man, that he was a Salvadorian	
3	looking man and he looked like a Salvadorian looking man to	
4	me.	
5	And then there's some objections.	
6	MS. ARMENI: And if it's okay with the Court, I'll	
7	skip through that part and just so I can go through	
8	THE COURT: That's fine.	
9	BY MS. ARMENI:	
10	Q And then witness: He was a man talking to	
11	Deangelo so I figured it was him.	
12	Question: He was a man talking to Deangelo? How	
13	old was this individual?	
14	Your answer was: He looked elderly.	
15	Question: When you say elderly, not old, but old?	
16	Question: I mean, we're talking 30, 40, 50, 60, 70?	
17	Answer: Like in his 40s or 50s.	
18	And then Mr. DiGiacomo comments on the him being	
19	young. And then Mr. DiGiacomo asks you: What kind of hair?	
20	Do you remember his hair color?	
2.1	And you answer: I think it was gray.	
22	And then you were asked how tall he was and you said	
23	he was short, right?	
24	A Correct.	

So, Mr. Zone, you don't know for sure it was

Ŀ		
1	Mr. H?	
2	A Well, Deangelo told me so much about him to	
3	where it's obviously for me to know.	
4	Q Okay. But it's Deangelo that actually told you	
5	that he was going to speak to Mr. H, right?	
6	A Correct.	
7	Q And then you testified after the preliminary	
8	hearing you testified at a prior proceeding and that was on	
9	February 1, 2008, and at that time you were asked the	
10	question	
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Page, Counsel?	
12	MS. ARMENI: 47, lines 19 through 25, and then the	
13	next page.	
14	BY MS. ARMENI:	
1.5	Q You were asked the question: And while you	
16	were inside, did you was there any conversation with	
17	Deangelo while you were inside the and then it was cut off.	
18	And you answered: No, because Deangelo had went in	
19	first and we were left in the van and after 15 minutes he came	
20	out to the van and told us to come in, so I and he was	
21	and he was talking with Mr. H at the time so I didn't I	
22	didn't speak.	
23	Question: Did you know that what Mr. H looks	

Answer: No.

1	Question: So then he's speaking to so then he's	
2	speaking to Mr. H.	
3	That was Deangelo telling you that he.	
4	was going to speak that he was going to speak to Mr. H?	
5	Answer: Yes.	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q That was your testimony at Mr. Counts' trial,	
8	right?	
9	And then at the grand jury grand jury hearing,	
10	that was specific to Mr. Hidalgo, Jr., right, Mr. H?	
11	A Correct.	
12	Q That grand jury proceeding, that was all about	
13	charges against him solely, right?	
14	A Correct.	
15	Q And during that time, during that proceeding,	
16	you were never asked about seeing Mr. H at Simone's, right?	
17	A Correct.	
18	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.	
19	THE COURT: That's fine.	
20	MS. ARMENI: Thank you, Mr. Zone.	
21	Pass the witness, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Adams.	
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. ADAMS:	
25	Q Mr. Zone, when we were talking this morning,	

_ T	did you tell us the hundred percent truth?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q You told these jurors the complete truth?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q All right. Mr. Pesci asked you a couple of	
6	questions about making an earlier reference back in May the	
7	21st of 2005 about a phone call between Little Louie on May	
8	the 19th to Deangelo.	
9	A Correct.	
10	Q Okay. And you told us earlier on direct that	
11	you didn't hear any direct phone call but Deangelo told you	
12	Little Lou had called and said return to work?	
13	A Right.	
14	Q Do you remember that?	
15	And he asked you, did you say something on page 45	
16	of your interview about an earlier call.	
17	A Right.	
18	Q And I'd like to read you all the lines about	
19	that, okay?	
20	A Okay.	
21	Q Page 45, lines 8 through 12. Your answer to	
22	the detective's question is, I think Mr. H Mr. H no,	
23	matter of fact Louie Louie had chirped him and then after	
24	that and then the detective takes over and asks you a	
25	question.	

1	He said, Because it's the radio, right? Because you	
2	hear what they say? Did you hear what he asked him?	
3	And what you told the detective was, He asked him to	
4	come back to work and that he'll like be there in a minute.	
5	A Correct.	
6	Q All right. And that's what you told the	
7	detective.	
8	A Correct.	
9	Q But you said you think Louie chirped, but if	
10	they're going to chirp, the phone records would show that?	
11	A Right.	
12	Q What you know is that you had information that	
13	Little Louie talked on the 19th of May before Mr. Hadland was	
14	dead with Deangelo Carroll?	
15	A Correct.	
16	Q And your information of that was the call was	
1.7	about, Come back to work, where are you, come to work?	
1.8	A Correct.	
19	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.	
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pesci.	
21	MR. PE\$CI: No, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: We're waiting on a jury I'll see	
23	counsel at the bench, please.	
24	(Off-record bench conference)	
25	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Zone, we've got some	

1	questions here from the jury.	
2	Is the man that Deangelo was talking that	
3	Deangelo you saw Deangelo talking to, is that man in the	
4	courtroom today?	
5	THE WITNESS: Which one, like yes, he is.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Can you indicate who it is.	
7	THE WITNESS: Him right there, Mr. H.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. And where is he seated in the	
9	courtroom?	
10	THE WITNESS: In the front.	
11	THE COURT: And what's he wearing?	
12	THE WITNESS: Wearing a blue suit.	
13	THE COURT: All right. And does he have a tie on?	
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, he does.	
15	THE COURT: What color is it?	
16	THE WITNESS: Blue.	
17	THE COURT: Okay. When you saw Deangelo and Mr. H	
18	talking at Simone's, did you overhear their conversation?	
19	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.	
20	THE COURT: All right. Did Deangelo later tell you	
21	what was said or what was talked about between him and Mr. H?	
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, he did, Your Honor.	
23	THE COURT: When did he tell you that?	
24	THE WITNESS: He told me after we left from Simcne's	
	Autoplaza.	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Shortly after or a long time		
2	after or what?		
3	THE WITNESS: Shortly after.		
4	THE COURT: Okay. And where were you when		
5	Deangelo when Deangelo told you what was discussed?		
6	THE WITNESS: We were in the van.		
7	THE COURT: Okay. And what did Deangelo tell you?		
8	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, the jury can't hear his		
9	response.		
10	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. You need even though		
11	you're talking to me, you need to face the jury.		
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. What was the question?		
13	THE COURT: What did Deangelo tell you?		
14	THE WITNESS: He told me that that the job was		
15	done, the job was finished and that we were just to go home.		
16	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Armeni, would you like		
17	to follow up on any of those questions?		
18	MS. ARMENI: No, Your Honor.		
19	THE COURT: Mr. Adams, would you like to follow up		
20	on any of those questions?		
2.1	MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am. Thank you.		
22	THE COURT: Does the State wish to follow up on any		
23	of those questions?		
24	MR. PESCI: Yes. Would the record reflect the		
25	defendant has identified the witness has identified the		

1	defendant Mr. Hidalgo, II.	
2	THE COURT: It will so reflect.	
3	MR. PESCI: Thank you.	
4	MR. GENTILE: Would the record also reflect that he	
5	was the only nonlawyer at the defense table. He's been	
6	questioned by both of us. He knows that we're both attorneys.	
7	THE COURT: All right. That will also reflect that	
8	he is the only nonlawyer at the first defense table.	
9	All right. Any other juror questions for Mr. Zone?	
10	All right. Mr. Zone, thank you for your testimony.	
11	Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone else who may	
12	be a witness in this case and you are excused at this time.	
13	THE WITNESS: I won't, Your Honor. Thank you.	
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
15	And State, call your next witness.	
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Detective Mike McGrath, Sergeant	
17	Mike McGrath.	
18	Judge, while he's coming in, may we approach?	
19	THE COURT: You may.	
20	(Off-record bench conference)	
21 (THE COURT: Sergeant, please remain standing, facing	
22	our court clerk who is going to administer the oath to you.	
23	MICHAEL SEAN MCGRATH, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN	
24	THE CLERK: Please have a seat, state and spell your	
25	name for the record.	

1	THE WITNESS: Michael Shawn McGrath, M-i-c-h-a-e-l,		
2	S-e-a-n, M-c-G-r-a-t-h.		
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
5	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:		
6	Q Good afternoon, Sergeant. How are you		
7	employed?		
8	A I'm currently a sergeant assigned to the		
9	narcotics section with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police		
10	Department.		
11	Q How long have you been a sergeant?		
12	A I've been a sergeant for just one I'm one		
13	month short of two years.		
14	Q Prior to being a sergeant, what did you do for		
15	a living?		
16	A I was assigned as a detective in homicide.		
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: And the Court's indulgence for a		
18	moment.		
19	. THE COURT: That's fine.		
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. I can ask questions while I'm		
21	back here pulling some exhibits, Judge.		
22	THE COURT: All right.		
23	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:		
24	Q How long were you with homicide?		
25	A I was with homicide for five years and one		

month.

Q And prior to -- well, let me ask you this: How long have you been a police officer?

A 16 years.

Q Now, as a police officer -- well, let me ask you, as a homicide detective, did you respond out to North Shore Road on May 19th and into the early morning hours of May 20th of 2005?

A Yes, I did.

Q And when you got there, can you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you saw?

A When I got there, the crime scene people were there staged and waiting for us, the homicide detectives, to arrive. There were other detectives that were there that were present that — basically the way — the call comes out to 9-1-1 and then patrol officers respond there. They — they section off the witnesses or whoever's there, the 9-1-1 call from any other people that are out there.

They close down the road. They notify the on duty detectives that work during that time of night, which is graveyard hours, 10:00 at night until 8:00 in the morning. They initially respond.

And then once their sergeant gets there with the two detectives, they would make the call to the homicide lieutenant who, in turn, would call our acting sergeant and

1	the detectives that were on the homicide up team, that being	
2	myself.	
3	Q Okay. You said you had an acting sergeant.	
4	Who was that?	
5	A Jimmy Vaccaro.	
6	Q And you also indicated that there are members	
7	of the team that respond out there from the homicide unit?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And can you tell us who else responded out?	
10	A My partner Theresa Kyger. She was my partner	
11	in homicide. And Detective Martin or Marty Wildemann, he also	
12	responded there.	
13	Q Now, once homicide gets out to a crime scene	
14	such as this, is there first a discussion and then an	
15	assignment of duties?	
16	A Basically, the the up team is the team	
17	that's assigned the case, so once you get the call, you and	
1.8	your partner, myself and Detective Kyger, would know who's	
19	responsible for doing the scene, crime scene investigation,	
20	and who would be in charge of interviewing witnesses. Okay.	
21	Detective Wildemann responded also. If we ran into	
22	a situation where there was more work to be done, I need more	
23	witnesses to be interviewed, he may have another role to	
24	assist Detective Kyger in interviewing witnesses. My job was	

to conduct a crime scene investigation and to assist with the

crime scene analysts that were -- arrived already.

Q When you first arrive on scene, is there something -- does everybody do something together before you start dividing up into your duties?

A Yes. I mean, one of the things that — that once you respond to a homicide scene, everybody basically wants to know what kind of scene we have. So when you interview your witnesses, you turn around and you have stuff that you can ask them because you've seen the vehicle that was out there, you've seen the body, you've seen the position of the body, you know. So the crime scene analysts, along with the detectives, would devise a way to get into the scene, to walk into a scene so not to disturb anything at the scene and all view, you know, what was out there on North Shore Road.

Q And you also received kind of an initial brief from the responding officers, kind of like -- what the situation is that they know when you arrive?

A Yeah. So it was — like when I would get the call, it would be the middle of the night, because the homicide detectives work 7:00 to 5:00 or 7:00 to 4:00 or 8:00 to 5:00. So this call comes out in the middle of the night, which, I believe, was 11:43 or something.

The 9-1-1 tape, they would get the information on their computers from a computer assisted dispatch, which is someone calls 9-1-1, the 9-1-1 transfers the information to

northeast area command. Northeast area command sends the police officers out from northeast over the saddle into Lake Mead and they're the first to arrive.

So when you get out there, you want to say, Who was the first to arrive? Did anything change? Where were the cars positioned? Has the scene been altered in any way? So those are the type of questions that need to be discussed amongst everybody that's already there with us.

- Q Once that happened, did you already indicate you were the detective assigned to the scene?
 - A Yeah, crime scene investigation.
- Q Describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the basic overall scene that you saw and -- well, start with that and I'll follow up.
- A Okay. I'm not sure if everyone knows the layout of Lake Mead. When you come eastbound on Lake Mead and you go over Sunrise Mountain and then you come down and you're approaching Lake Mead, okay.
- Q For the record, I'm going to put on here -- State's Exhibit No. 159 up there.
 - A Okay.
 - Q Does that kind of generally show the outlay?
- 23 A Yeah. So here's east Lake Mead.
- Q If you touch the screen there, Detective,

25 you'll --

1.8

- 1 A Here's Lake Mead right there, okay.
 - Q All right.

1.7

A That would come over Sunrise Mountain, that would come down to what this says, SR 41, which is basically what we call the saddle, because once you go over the mountain, there's a little dip in the road and then you continue to go down and it ends up at a stop sign right here, right there. Okay.

Then you can go left or you can go right. If you go right, you end up going towards Henderson. If you go left, you go towards Callville Bay. Okay. This scene was located .3 miles to the left of the stop sign. That's where the scene was.

Mr. Hadland was laying in the roadway. He was flat on his back, all right. He had on blue -- a blue bathing suit, sandals, and he had on a white hat, which was across his chest. It was just sitting on his chest. It's like one of the hats -- one of those big rimmed hats so you don't get sun on your face. Bib Wac or -- I don't know what they're called. So -- and then there's a pair of glasses there.

There's a large amount of blood underneath his head and there's a visible gunshot wound that I could see to the top of his head.

Q When you saw that there was a body laying in the street -- well, let me ask you this: When you first

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1

23

24

25

1

arrived on scene, as a policy of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, do you do anything with the body itself?

A No.

Q Why not?

as in conjunction with the Clark County Coroner's Office, the body is not to be removed, you know, from its original position until the Clark County Coroner's Office sends an investigator out there. So no one touches the body. The body stays in its exact position.

An investigator from the coroner's office responds and basically takes some photographs himself. Usually they're Polaroids. All right.

We attempt to ascertain who the victim is during the time frame of him coming out and things are going on and -- because he's responsible for notifying the next of kin of Mr. Hadland.

So then Mr. Hadland is then transported by the Clark County Coroner's Office using one of the on duty mortuaries back to the coroner's office for an autopsy which is done at 9:00 o'clock the next day -- morning.

Q Considering that you don't touch the body, do you do other activity at the scene? Let me ask you this, first of all: Other than the people who rolled up on the body of Mr. Hadland, did you have any witnesses at the scene to

interview related to the actual facts of what happened in the case?

A No.

2.2

Q Okay. Did you have anything other than the body of Mr. Hadland at the scene that you had to do some investigation with before you could, you know, get to the body?

A Yes. There was -- there was -- Mr. Hadland's vehicle was out there. Okay. We hadn't determined it was his vehicle, but there was a vehicle parked in front of his body. It was a gray Kia Sportage and it was still running and the diver's side window was rolled down as well as the passenger side window down with the car still running.

On the side, approximately 5 to 6 feet from where Mr. Hadland's body was, was a group of Palomino fliers, all right, that were basically fliers that you would hand out in a VIP capacity like -- if you've been on the Strip and people hand fliers out to you about nightclubs and things like that, those are the type fliers that were on the pavement in close proximity to Mr. Hadland's body.

The other thing that was out there was -
MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, this is getting a bit

narrative, I think.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: You can go on.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

The other thing out there was what we called a pneumatic tube which was -- when you go to CVS or Walgreens, that little plastic tube when you put your prescription in it, it goes up the vacuum and over and down, one of those was out there, which was in close proximity to the Palomino fliers.

There was a few other things that we collected out there that we didn't know if they were going to be related to this case. It was -- as we walked through later on, there was a Budweiser can, there was a cigarette butt, which was collected, but the majority of focus was on, at this time, the car. Whose car is it?

BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

- Q Did you, in fact, attempt to ascertain whose car it was?
 - A Yes.
- 17 | Q Were you able to do so?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Whose car was it?
- 20 A Timothy Hadland's girlfriend's car.
 - Q Okay. Did you also look in the car to see if -- well, how did the interior of the car appear to you?
- A The interior of the car appeared to be normal.

 In the back I could see through the window there was camping

 gear in the back seat. There was a cooler and in the far back

1	there was actually what I believe was camping gear.
2	Q Okay. Now, have you, as both a patrol, an
3	officer, a detective, a sergeant, come upon cars that look
4	like they've been rifled through and searched and stuff like
5	that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And in your review of the Kia that night, did
8	it look like anyone had rifled through or searched through the
9	vehicle?
10	A No.
11	Q Eventually did I'm assuming at some point
12	that the police rifled through it and searched through the
13	vehicle?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And during the course of that time, did you
16	come across a cell phone?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And eventually during the course of your
19	investigation, you learned that to be TJ Hadland's cell phone?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you what did you do with the phone?
22	A The phone was located I could see the phone

entering the car. So as the crime scene analysts -- their job

is to take photographs. So they take the photographs and they

on the floorboard of the driver's seat, so -- without even

24

25

collect the evidence.

So I took the phone from the crime scene analyst out there. It was -- she picked the phone up and gave the phone to me. I opened the phone up and observed that there was a recent call displayed on the phone.

Q Okay. And did the -- on this particular phone, the recent call that was displayed on the phone, did it have a phone number? Did it have a name? What -- what information was on there?

A The name on the phone said Deangelo and there was a corresponding code which is basically a Nextel direct connect number.

Q As opposed to a regular phone number, it's what the custodian of records called the UFMI or the direct connect number?

A Yes.

Q Okay. At the point in time that you're out at this crime scene in the late night of the 19th, early morning hours of the 20th, at this point in time do you know where TJ had been prior to his death?

A No.

Q Okay. Once the processing of the scene is completed, what happens with you? How do you further the investigation?

A Basically because it was so early in the

morning, and if anyone's been out to the lake, they know how dark it is out there at the lake. There are no lights anywhere. We decided to have the helicopter fly through to make sure we didn't miss any other persons that might be victims, but we waited for the sun to come up so we can do an overall walk through of the crime scene to make sure that we didn't miss any cartridge casings or anything like that. So we waited for the sun to come up.

So in the morning hours, okay, after Mr. Hadland was picked up, the car was towed. I went back to the homicide office and I went down and attended the autopsy of Mr. Hadland with Detective -- with acting Sergeant Vaccaro.

- Q When there is a search conducted in the daylight hours for any sort of shell casings or firearms related evidence, was there any found out there at that area?
 - A There was not.

- Q You talked about the autopsy. We heard people testify to the autopsy. While you're at the autopsy, what are the other members of the team doing?
- A Contact was made with —— in the back of the car we found Mr. Hadland's wallet, so we knew it was —— Timothy Hadland was the name of our victim. The Clark County Coroner's Office had identified a family member of Mr. Hadland's and had made physical contact either on the phone or in person.

1	Q Without telling us what was said either to the
2	Clark County Coroner's Office or what was relayed to you,
3	based on the information you got from the family of
4	Mr. Hadland, did you learn about or did you eventually send
5	detectives down to the lake area?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And when you did you go or did somebody else
8	go?
9	A Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger went
10	out to the lake to interview Mr. Hadland's girlfriend.
11	Q After Ms. Karlson provided her information to
12	the police and the autopsy was completed on that Friday, where
13	do you go?
14	A I go back to the homicide office.
15	Q And what is your intention when you're back at
16	the homicide office? What are you trying to do?
17	A I'm trying to identify this Deangelo and the
18	direct connect number to a particular person.
1.9	Q And were you able to eventually, and with the
20	information provided by Ms. Karlson as well, identify this
21	Deangelo person?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And who was he identified as?
24	A Deangelo Carroll.
25	Q Showing you what's been previously admitted as

1	State's Exhibit No. 198, is that Mr. Carroll?
2	A Yes, it is.
3	Q Okay. And during the course of this
4	investigation, you learned that he worked at the Palomino
5	Club?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Based upon that information, did you do
8	anything to see if you guys could either locate
9	Mr. Carroll? Did you do anything?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What did you do?
12	A I contacted someone that worked for the North
13	Las Vegas Police Department in an attempt to get the phone
14	number to the owner of the Palomino Club.
15	Q Eventually were you provided a number?
16	A Yes.
1.7	Q And was that number then provided to another
18	member of your team?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. I'm going to somebody else will
21	testify to that, so let me jump to after or as Detective
22	Wildemann is doing that with the phone number, what is your
23	responsibility? What's your part in the investigation while
24	he's doing making the or utilizing the number that you
25	received from North Las Vegas?

1	A My basic responsibility is to start putting
2	some of this investigation on paper. I mean, you don't want
3	to be caught typing at the last minute. So my responsibility
4	is to sit down at my computer and start typing some of this
5	stuff into a report fashion so if things start jumping off
6	quickly, I already have the scene described, I already have
7	the time, I have the log of the officers that were out at the
8	scene as well as the detectives. I'm entering all of that
9	into a report. So if something jumps off immediately, we're
10	ready with some sort of paperwork to possibly make an arrest.
11	Q Okay. So if I get this straight, you haven't
12	gone to bed, then, from rolling out at 11:00 some odd
13	12:00 o'clock at night? You haven't gone to bed yet?
1.4	A No, we have not.

Q All right. Eventually -- I'm going to direct your attention forward to about 7:00, 7:30, somewhere in that range. Do you go with anybody to the Palomino Club?

- A Yes.
- Q And who do you go with?
- A Detective Wildemann.
- Q When you get there, do you conduct an interview?
 - A Yes.
- Q And who are you interviewing?
- 25 A Arial.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	Q And what was her your understanding of her
2	position at the club?
3	A She was going to provide me with an address and
4	phone number of Deangelo Carroll.
5	Q Your understanding was she was an employee of
6	the club?
7	A Yeah. She was an employee of the club.
8	Q During the course of the interview that you
9	were having with Arial, does there come a point in time when
10	you become aware that somebody's in the club that you have
11	interest in?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And who was the person?
14	A Mr. Carroll arrives.
15	Q Okay. And how is it that you know that
16	Mr. Carroll's there?
17	A Detective Vaccaro calls and says, Hey, guys, we
18	believe that this Deangelo Carroll is walking into the
19	Palomino while you guys are doing your interview.
20	Q Where is Detective Vaccaro?
21	A Detective Vaccaro is outside doing exterior
22	surveillance on the Palomino with Detective Kyger.
23	Q And what kind of vehicle are they in?
24	A They are in a white Expedition.
25	Q When you learned that Deangelo Carroll may be

inside the Palomino, what do you do? 1 I instructed Detective Wildemann to leave the Α interview with Arial and to make direct contact with Deangelo 3 4 Carroll. When I was finished with the interview with Arial, I, in turn, met with Detective Wildemann. 5 6 When you met with Detective Wildemann, did he Q 7 have Deangelo Carroll with him? 8 Yes. 9 And did you request anything of Mr. Carroll? Q 10 That he come back to the homicide office Α 11 to be interviewed. 12 And did he go with you? 0 13 Yes. 14 And did -- well, let me ask you this: Did you Q 15 force him to go with you or did he voluntarily go with you? 16 He voluntarily came with us. 17 Okay. And thereafter, did you conduct a taped Q interview or videotaped interview of Deangelo Carroll? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And at some point in time do you leave the Q interview room -- well, let me ask you this: At some point in 21 22 time do you leave the interview room and is the interview 23 completed by somebody else? 24

Who is the person -- who switches places

Yes.

Okay.

Α

1	with you?		
2		A	Detective Vaccaro.
3		Q	Okay. Is there someone else who remains in the
4	interview	thro	ugh the whole thing?
5		А	Yeah. Detective Wildemann.
6		Q	Okay. At the completion of the interview of
7	Deangelo	Carro	ll, do you, Detective Vaccaro, and Detective
8	Wildemann	, as	well as the other detectives, I guess, have a
9	conferenc	e abo	ut where the investigation should go forward at
10	this poin	t?	
11		A	Yes.
12		Q	And what do you do with Mr. Carroll?
13		A	Mr. Carroll is brought back to his house;
14	however,	on th	e way, he tells us that he could
15		Q	Without telling us what he told you, on the
16	way, did	he po	int some areas out?
17		A	Yes.
1.8		Q	And eventually do other detectives go to that
19	area and	recov	er something?.
2.0		A	Yes.
21		Q	What do they recover?
22		A	Four tires.
23		Q	Okay. As on the way to taking him after
24	he points	thes	e areas out to you, you take him where?
25		Α	To his house on Yale Street.

1	Q	And who are you with?
2	A	Detective Long.
3	Q	And when you get to Yale Street, do you go up
4	the stairs wit	h Mr. Carroll?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And do you enter the apartment with
7	Mr. Carroll?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. What happens when you enter the
10	apartment?	
11	А	Mr. Rontae Zone is there.
12	Q	Okay. When you see Mr. Zone, do you have
13	communication	with Mr. Zone?
14	A	My yes.
15	Q	Okay. And do what do you say to Mr. Zone?
16	A	We need to talk to you also.
1.7	Q	All right. Does he agree to come with you?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And does he then leave with you?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	During the time that he's leaving with you, do
22	you hear Deang	elo Carroll make any statement to Rontae?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	What statement does he make?
25	A	He says, Tell them the truth, tell them the

1	truth. I told them the truth.
2	Q Okay. Other than that statement from Deangelo
3	Carroll, was there any communications between Rontae and
4	Deangelo while once you get back to that apartment?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. You take Deangelo I'm sorry. You
7	take Rontae Zone. Where do you take him?
8	A To the homicide office.
9	Q And when you get to the homicide office, let me
10	ask you this: Where does Deangelo Carroll remain at home
11	or does he also go back to the homicide office?
12	A He remains at home.
13	Q Okay. So then you get back to the homicide
14	office. What do you do with Mr. Zone?
15	A We interview him.
1.6	Q Okay. And during the course of the interview,
17	some of which we've heard, does he provide you certain
18	information?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. Approximately what time do you start the
21	interview with Mr. Zone?
22	A I believe it was somewhere in the vicinity of
23	1:00 o'clock in the morning.
24	Q Okay. And during the course of this
25	interview let me ask you this: Was this interview as

1	detailed as the initial interview of Deangelo Carroll?
2	A No.
3	Q Okay. And without referencing anything that
4	Deangelo Carroll told you, was there specific areas that you
5	were concerned with making sure that Rontae Zone got really
6	specific in describing?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what was that?
9	A Areas that I thought were of concern were who
10	was in the car who was in the van, I should say, what
11	position they were in inside the van, and who had what
12	firearm, you know, and who basically committed the act.
13	Q Okay. Now, during the course of your interview
14	with Mr. Zone, does he also provide you information about
15	stuff that Deangelo Carroll had told him about who wanted the
1.6	murder committed?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Did you get detailed in your questioning
19	of him about that particular fact, those particular facts?
20	A No.
21	Q Okay. Did you have a plan of action to
2.2	confirm or to determine who was actually responsible for
23	ordering the killing?
24	A Yes.

What was that plan?

li	
1	A The plan was to attempt to have Mr. Carroll
2	meet with the people that owned the Palomino Club and record a
3	conversation with those people to determine the accuracy as to
4	what had happened that night.
5	Q So before you interviewed well, let me ask
6	you this: Before you even interviewed Rontae, was that your
7	plan?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. After your interview of Mr. Zone, can
10	you tell us approximately what time it was?
11	A If I look at the statement, there's an end time
12	of the interview, but I would say that it had to be at least
13	an hour to an hour and a half long, so now it's 2:00 to 3:00
14	in the morning.
15	Q On the so now we're talking more than 24
16	hours after the killing. Now we're talking 27 some-odd hours
17	after the killing, 28, 29, 30?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Are you still awake? Are you still up?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. What do you do at that point?
22	A We were ordered home.
23	Q By who?
24	A Lieutenant Monahan.
_	1

Okay. When do you come back to work?

1	A I come back at noon the next day. Other
2	detectives come straggle back in sometime between 1:00,
3	2:00, and 3:00.
4	Q Okay. What do you
5	MR. GENTILE: Can we get a date because I'm losing
6	days now? You went from night to morning.
7	THE COURT: What day was that?
8	THE WITNESS: This would be on the 20 we come
9	back on the 20 19, 20 21st.
10	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
11	Q Okay. So now we're talking noon on the
12	21st we're up to at this point, correct?
L3	A Yes.
1.4	Q And when you get back to noon on the 21st and
15	you're there at noon, what are you doing at this point?
16	What's your let me ask you this: What's your initial
17	priority when you get back to work at noon on the 21st?
18	A To try to recover the weapon that was used in
19	the murder.
20	Q Okay. And without telling well, let me ask
21	you this: Was there a particular individual that you were
22	looking to identify and execute a search warrant on?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And who was that?

Kenneth Counts.

25

A

Q And when you have to go looking for a search warrant -- I'm sorry. When you have to go looking for an item of evidence and it may be in an area where you need a search warrant, tell us the steps you have to go through to do that.

A Certainly you have to know the layout of the house because that's describing the premise. You have to put the probable cause of why you believe that to be where that item is going to be as well as possible other items that might link Mr. Counts to the other people as well as incriminating evidence from himself, ie: the clothes that he might have worn and things like that.

So we had to have someone drive by the house to get us a legal description of what the house looked like as well as to verify cars that were there, other things that were in his driveway to determine this is his house.

- Q You mentioned the word Counts. Now, did you have that name Counts, or did you have to generate that name from a lead?
 - A That was from a lead.
- Q Okay. How did you know him when you first tried to identify who KC was?

A There was information as to where the address was on E Street as well as the moniker of KC, so once we knew the address, we were able to get license plates and other computer -- you know, searches that we did to determine that

Kenneth Counts was, in fact, KC.

2.2

Q Now, when you're working on all this in order to get a search warrant, see if you can execute a search warrant on Mr. Counts' home, do you become aware of a potential witness at the homicide office?

A Yes.

Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how that comes about?

A While I'm typing the search warrant and another detective is driving by Mr. Counts' house, another detective is impounding the tires that we took from the night before, all that stuff is going on, you know, at the same time -- and I just had a brain fart. Tell me again. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's actually a great segue for our break.

Ladies and gentlemen, let's just take a ten-minute break until 2:50, and once again, you're reminded that the admonition is still in place. During the break you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the case. Please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

If you would please leave your notes in your chairs, your notepads in your chairs, and follow Jeff through the

double doors. We'll see you back here at 2:50. 1 2 (Court recessed at 2:40 p.m. until 2:53 p.m.) (Outside the presence of the jury.) 3 THE COURT: Basically the defendant and his 4 5 counsel -- when I say the break's over at 2:50 or 2:45, I expect you back in the courtroom at counsel table at 2:50 or 6 7 2:45 or whatever I say. That doesn't mean that my bailiff has to go out in the hallway and drag everybody in here when this 8 9 break is over. Even if everybody's not ready, that's the time 10 the break's over. Okay. 11 I'm sorry. MR. GENTILE: THE COURT: It's happened over and over again. 12 Mr. Hidalgo, I expect you -- everyone needs to be on time. 13 14 (Jury reconvened at 2:54 p.m.) 15 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in 16 Everyone may be seated. session. 17 And, Mr. DiGiacomo, you may resume your direct 18 examination of Sergeant McGrath. 19 MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. 20 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 21 Detective, I think where I left off was during 22 the course of time that you guys are creating this search 23 warrant application for Kenneth Counts' home, did you become 24 aware of the presence of another witness at the homicide

25

office?

_	
1	A Yes.
2	Q How did you become aware of it?
3	A Mr. Carroll had brought Mr. Jayson Taoipu to
4	the homicide office to be interviewed.
5	Q And did you bring him into an interview room
6	eventually and was an interview conducted of Mr. Taoipu?
7	A An interview was conducted.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. GENTILE: Can we have a foundation as to time,
10	please?
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: Let me
13	THE COURT: Go ahead, you can lay it.
14	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
15	Q Let me back up for a second. Did you actually
16	conduct the interview?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. Other detectives conducted the
19	interview, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q How long after you learned that Mr. Taoipu was
22	present at the homicide office did the actual interview begin?
23	A A few hours.
24	Q Okay. And well, since you didn't conduct the
25	interview, do you have any idea approximately what time his

1 interview began? 2 Α If I looked at -- if I looked at the 3 statement, I'd be able to tell you what time it was. Okay. We'll get back to that. 4 Q 5 MR. GENTILE: He can still lay a foundation in terms 6 of when he learned that he was present at the office. THE COURT: Okay. When -- what time did you get 8 back to the office about? 9 THE WITNESS: I personally came in around -- between 10 noon and 1:00 --11 Okay. THE COURT: 12 THE WITNESS: -- because --13 THE COURT: And then at what time did you learn that 14 Jayson --15 THE WITNESS: I believe that --16 THE COURT: -- Taoipu was there? 17 THE WITNESS: When I drove into the lot, their 18 vehicle was already there; however, I came in the back door 19 and it was on the weekend, so there was no one to let him in. 20 So until other detectives came into the building, he wasn't allowed to come inside the foyer which separates the entryway 21 22 into the homicide office. 23 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 24 Okay. Let me back this up. So you believe you

saw Mr. Carroll -- or whatever vehicle they arrived in when

Q