### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 LUIS HIDALGO, JR., 3 Appellant, Electronically Filed 4 Jul 25 2017 08:18 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown VS. 5 Case No. 71458 Clerk of Supreme Court 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. 8 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XVI 9 Appeal from Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County 10 The Honorable Valerie Adair, District Judge 11 District Court Case No. 08C241394 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC Margaret A. McLetchie (Bar No. 10931) 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Counsel for Appellant, Luis Hidalgo, Jr. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

### INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

1	INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX			
2 3	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS
4	II	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 1 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA0048-PA0254
5		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
6 7	III	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 2 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA0255-PA0501
8 9 10	IV	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 3 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (through HID PA 00538)	02/29/2016	PA0502-PA0606
11 12 13	V	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 3-4 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 5)	02/29/2016	PA0607-PA0839
14 15	VI	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 4 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (from HID PA 00765)	02/29/2016	PA0840-PA1024
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	VII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 5 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 7 pgs. 1-189)	02/29/2016	PA1025-PA1220
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	VIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 5 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 7 pgs. 190-259)	02/29/2016	PA1221-PA1290
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	IX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 6 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA1291-PA1457
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	X	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 7 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	02/29/2016	PA1458-PA1649

-2-

1	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS
2 3	XI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 8-9 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA1650-PA1874
4		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
5		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 10		
6	XII	pgs. 1-218) Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA1875-PA2004
7		8-9 to Supplemental Petition for		
8		Writ of Habeas Corpus (Transcript: Jury Trial Day 10		
9		pgs. 319-341)		
	XIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2005-PA2188
10		10-11 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
11		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 11		
12		pgs. 1-177)	00/00/00/	D. 10100 D. 1000 f
13	XIV	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes 10-11 to Supplemental Petition	02/29/2016	PA2189-PA2336
14		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
15		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 11		
16	XV	pgs. 178-318) Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2337-PA2574
17		12-13 to Supplemental Petition	02/23/2010	
18		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
19		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 12 pgs. 1-229)		
20	XVI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2575-PA2683
21		12-13 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
22		(Transcript: Jury Trial Day 12		
23	X/X/YY	pgs. 230-330)	00/00/00/	D. 1.202.2
24	XVII	Appendix of Exhibits Volume 14 to Supplemental Petition for	02/29/2016	PA2684-PA2933
25		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
26	XVIII	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA2934-PA3089
27		15-16 to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
41		101 WIII OI Haocas Corpus		

1	VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES NUMBERS
2	*****		00/00/00/16	
3	XIX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume	02/29/2016	PA3090-PA3232
3		17 to Supplemental Petition for		
4	****	Writ of Habeas Corpus	00/00/00/16	D 1 2222 D 1 2 1 52
5	XX	Appendix of Exhibits Volume	02/29/2016	PA3233-PA3462
		18 to Supplemental Petition for		
6		Writ of Habeas Corpus		
7	XXI	Appendix of Exhibits Volumes	02/29/2016	PA3463-PA3703
		19-20 to Supplemental Petition		
8		for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
9	XXII	Minute Order	08/15/2016	PA3811
10	XXII	Notice of Appeal	10/03/2016	PA3862-PA3864
10	XXII	Notice of Entry of Findings of	09/19/2016	PA3812-PA3861
11		Fact and Conclusions of Law		
12		and Order		
12	XXII	Register of Actions for District	07/11/2017	PA3865-PA3883
13		Court Case Number 08C241394		
14	XXII	Reply to State's Response to	07/21/2016	PA3786-PA3798
15		Supplemental Petition for Writ		
13		of Habeas Corpus		
16	XXII	State's Response to	05/18/2016	PA3709-PA3785
17		Supplemental Petition for Writ		
		of Habeas Corpus		
18	XXII	Supplement to Supplemental	03/08/2016	PA3704-PA3708
19		Petition for Writ of Habeas		
		Corpus		
20	Ι	Supplemental Petition for Writ	02/29/2016	PA0001-PA0047
21		of Habeas Corpus		
22	XXII	Transcript of Petition for Writ	08/11/2016	PA3799-PA3810
		of Habeas Corpus Hearing	00/11/2010	
23		or reacting corpus from the		

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of McLetchie Shell LLC and that on this 24th day of July, 2017 the APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XVI was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and

7 List as follows:

9 STEVEN OWENS

Office of the District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155

12

13

14

17

18

11

10

ADAM P. LAXALT

Office of the Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, NV 89701

I hereby further certify that the foregoing APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME XVI was served by first class U.S. mail on July 24, 2017 to the

therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the Master Service

<sub>19</sub> following:

<sup>20</sup> LUIS HIDALGO, JR., ID # 1038134

21 NORTHERN NEVADA CORRECTIONAL CENTER

1721 E. SNYDER AVE

CARSON CITY, NV 89701

23 Appellant

24

22

/s/ Pharan Burchfield

Employee, McLetchie Shell LLC

27

26

1	BY MR. PESCI:		
2	Q	G. You've seen this quite a bit; haven't you?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	And this is not the way it looked when you were there; right?	
5		THE COURT: Can you move it closer, please, Mr. Pesci.	
6	A	With the exception of the white hat and that white little jar right there.	
7	Q	So you're saying	
8	A	And that helmet.	
9	Q	You're saying that back on May 19, 2005, this is how it looked?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Okay.	
12	A	Overall.	
13	Q	Generally right. Generally speaking; is that accurate?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Okay. Now, let's look at State's 208. This was actually taken in your	
16	testimony w	then the police, after the murder, went into the Palomino Club. Do	
17	you remem	ber that?	
18	A	Correct.	
19	Q	Okay. So that's closer in time than the photograph in defense G;	
20	correct?		
21	A	Correct.	
22	Q	Do you need some glasses, Mr. Hidalgo?	
23	A	I really do, to be honest with you.	
24		MR. GENTILE: Here, you can use mine. I don't need them.	
25	Q	Sir, your testimony just a few minutes ago was that the well, let's	

1	look at Sta	look at State's 210.		
2		THE COURT: Can you otherwise you can show him the exhibit		
3	and then p	ut it up on the monitor so he can hold it in his face.		
4		MR. PESCI: I thought blowing it up would be better.		
5	BY MR. PE	ESCI:		
6	Q	Would it be		
7		THE COURT: Okay. Whichever.		
8	Q	better if it's up close to you?		
9	A	That's good. That's good.		
10		THE COURT: Okay.		
11	Q	State's 210.		
12		THE COURT: Speed it up.		
13	Q	Is this the memo you were referring to, the memo board?		
14	A	Yes.		
15	Q	Okay. And this is specifically, what we're looking at, is the list of the		
16	breakdown	of who has what position and their phone numbers; correct?		
17	Α	That is correct.		
18	Q	And you're saying that generally speaking that board was just a		
19	memo boar	rd?		
20	A	That's what I call it.		
21	Q	Okay. 209. What what are these other memos? What are they?		
22	Are they mo	emos?		
23	А	Mr. Pesci, I can't make out what they are.		
24	Q	All right. Let me try bringing it up to you.		
25	Α	I still can't make out what they are.		
	•			

1	Q	Okay. And your testimony was that on the date that Detective
2	Wildemann	came and met you in the parking lot of the Palomino and he asked
3	you for a wa	ay to get a hold of Deangelo you didn't tell him about this because you
4	didn't know	about it, or because you couldn't get to it?
5	A	He didn't meet me in the parking lot, Mr. Pesci.
6	Q	Where did he meet you?
7	A	He met me in the hallway downstairs in the back.
8	Q	In the hallway of?
9	A	The Palomino downstairs.
10	Q	Actually inside?
11	A	Downstairs.
12	Q	But inside?
13	A	On the outside.
14	Q	Okay. Outside of the Palomino?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Because you didn't have a key to get in; right?
17	A	No. He met me on the, what we call landing.
18		THE COURT: So is it by the door?
19		THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
20	BY MR. PE	SCI:
21	Q	Now, did you not go retrieve this because you couldn't get to it?
22	Α	l didn't retrieve it because l didn't
23		MR. GENTILE: Can the record reflect what this is?
24		THE COURT: What exhibit number is
25		MR. PESCI: 210. 210. I think we're pretty clear it's the phone

1	number list	•		
2	BY MR. PESCI:			
3	Q	Why didn't you go get the phone list for the detective?		
4	A	I can't answer that question to you right now why I didn't do it then.		
5	Maybe I did	n't know that I had one or maybe I completely forgot about it.		
6	Q	Okay. This is the office that you testified that every day you and		
7	Anabel wou	ıld sit at, sit in, and do your business; correct?		
8	Α	That is correct.		
9	Q	Every single day at that desk with Anabel behind it and you seated		
10	at the this	s chair right here; correct?		
11	A	That is correct.		
12	Q	The chair that actually faces towards this very bulletin board.		
13	A	Okay.		
14	Q	Every single day you sat there and looked at that board.		
15	A	Okay.		
16	Q	Well, is that true?		
17	Α	Yes.		
18	Q	And you didn't know that the phone list was there?		
19	A	Possibly no.		
20	Q	Possibly		
21	A	Yeah.		
22	Q	or possibly you did know?		
23	Α	I possibly, yeah, could've known too.		
24	Q	But you you didn't give that to the detective?		
25	Α	No.		
1	í			

1	Q	Okay. At that point were you still in fear of Deangelo or the people
2	associated	with Deangelo?
3	Α	Yes.
4		MR. GENTILE: Objection to the form of the question. It's
5	compound.	
6		THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
7	BY MR. PE	SCI:
8	Q	Well, let's do it this way.
9		THE COURT: You were in fear of someone.
10	Q	Were you still in fear of Deangelo at that point?
11	A	I was in fear of something.
12	Q	I'm sorry?
13	A	I was in fear of somebody.
14	Q	All right. Who?
15	A	I did not know.
16	Q	lf you didn't know who you were afraid of, why couldn't you ask
17	Detective W	Vildemann for help?
18	Α	Like I said, I was still in fear.
19	Q	Of who?
20	А	Of somebody else. I don't know who was part of the gang. I didn't
21	know what i	members, I didn't know how many.
22	Q	And how would talking to Detective Wildemann make you more
23	fearful?	
24	А	What can I say at this point?
25	Q	Well, let's see. A year before this you got extorted by an employee,

1	you went to	your attorney, and he told you to go to the police and make a report;
2	didn't he?	
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	You followed that advice; didn't you?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	You had an example already of what to do when you're being
7	extorted.	
8	A	He wasn't threatening me in so to in that same manner.
9	Q	He wasn't threatening you in the same manner?
10	A	No. He wasn't telling me that there was somebody else, that
11	somebody	else wanted to get paid and he was part of the Crips and a gang.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	There's a big difference.
14	Q	But he he was extorting you for money?
15	A	He tried. Yes.
16	Q	And you made a police report?
17	A	That is correct.
18	Q	So in your time working with the police didn't the police ever do
19	anything to	try to fight gangs in California?
20	Α	Yes, I believe so.
21	Q	Were were there people who were victims of crime from gangs
22	where the p	police worked on their cases and arrested people?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Put people in jail and in prison?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And you know that from you working there; right?
2	А	That is correct.
3	Q	So why didn't that experience tell you, hey, Detective Wildemann
4	can help m	e out, he's with the police?
5	Α	I didn't want to go there. Like I said, I was in fear. I didn't know who
6	what, what	ever.
7	Q	Okay. And I think you said that there were very specific people you
8	were fearfu	I for beyond yourself; right?
9	A	That is correct.
10	Q	Okay. And specifically looking at defense H, Mr. Gentile asked you
11	if these wer	re the people you were afraid of?
12		MR. GENTILE: No, I did not. I said afraid for.
13		THE COURT: Yeah, he did. He said afraid for.
14		MR. PESCI: Afraid for.
15	BY MR. PE	SCI:
16	Q	These are the people you were afraid for?
17	A	Uh-huh.
18	Q	Is that a yes?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And looking at these individuals, they all, of course well,
21	why were y	ou afraid for them?
22	Α	Why?
23	Q	Yes.
24	А	My son, my father, myself.
25	Q	What did you think could happen to them?
i i	7	

1	А	They could be hurt. They
2	Q	How?
3	А	could get killed.
4	Q	How?
5	A	How?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	They could get hurt.
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	What do you mean? How do you explain how? If you're in fear of
10	your family	being retaliated or getting hurt, what do you mean how?
11	Q	Okay. Well, sir, I think you also testified that you were concerned for
12	the well bei	ng of not just these people, but also Anabel Espindola.
13	A	That is correct.
14	Q	All right. And as a part of that fear, you didn't go back to the club?
15	Α	I didn't go back to the club? What do you mean?
16	Q	Well, after this event you left the Palomino; correct? After Deangelo
17	comes bacl	k and says you don't want to mess with the guy outside, you left the
18	Palomino; d	didn't you?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And where did you go?
21	Α	I do believe that we drove. Anabel was shaken up terribly. She
22	didn't want	to go home, so we went to another location.
23	Q	And where was that location?
24	А	That was the MGM.
25	Q	And then after so she's right about that?
i		

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And then after the MGM where did you go?
3	A	I don't recall exactly where it was that we went.
4	Q	You don't recall?
5	А	No, I don't.
6	Q	Do you know where you stayed the night?
7	A	I believe at at her house.
8	Q	Why didn't you go to her house?
9	А	My house was a condo. I was living, I believe, I'm not 100 percent
10	sure, it was	with my father that was there.
11	Q	The next day did you go back to the club?
12	А	I believe so.
13	Q	You did.
14	A	I believe so.
15	Q	When did you go to the Silverton?
16	A	I don't recall exactly the day or the time that we went to the Silverton.
17	Q	Well, didn't you go to the Silverton because you were still concerned
18	about this tl	hreat that was out there via Deangelo or the people with Deangelo?
19	A	Anabel wanted to go someplace else other than. She wanted to go
20	to the Silve	rton because the majority of the time, and there has been other
21	occasions p	prior to that that we got together with my father there and other family
22	members o	r other friends and we would use it as like a getaway thing.
23	Q	A getaway.
24	Α	Well, getaway in the sense that she didn't want to go be at the
25	house anym	nore or otherwise.
1	Ī	

1	Q	Why didn't she want to be at the house?
2	A	Who?
3	Q	Anabel. You just said you went to the Silverton because of Anabel;
4	right?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Why didn't she want to go to the house?
7	A	She didn't want to. She was freaking out. She was nervous.
8	Q	And did she want to go back to the Palomino?
9	A	Not that I recall, no.
10	Q	All right. What about you? Did you not want to go back to the
11	Palomino?	
12	A	It was mixed emotions about whether or not I should go back
13	immediate	ly or otherwise, but at that time I was contemplating her.
14	Q	Were some of those mixed emotions because you were afraid of
15	what Dean	gelo and his fried represented to you?
16	Α	Some.
17	Q	And that that's a known location where you would be, back at the
18	Palomino?	
19	Α	Some.
20	Q	Okay. So when Anabel testifies that the two of you went to the
21	Silverton b	ecause the two of you were afraid of going back to the club, is that
22	accurate o	r not?
23	Α	I would say mostly.
24	Q	Okay. And was it also accurate when she indicated that your son,
25	Luis Hidalg	o III, came to the Silverton while you and Anabel were staying there?

1	Q	You don't recall exactly what day you met with Mr. Gentile?
2	Α	No.
3	Q	Now, plan A and plan B. I believe your testimony was that plan B
4	was somet	hing to do with how to pay the cabbies?
5	Α	Correct.
6	Q	And I believe your testimony was is that you were the one who made
7	this plan?	
8	Α	I said that we had discussed it previously and there was always an
9	A or a B, a	nd in some, most cases there was more than just A and B, sometimes
10	a C.	
11	Q	Who did you discuss it with?
12	A	Office staff.
13	Q	Did you discuss it with Ariel?
14	А	Sometimes.
15	Q	Did you discuss it with PK?
16	A	Sometimes.
17	Q	Anabel?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	So she, Anabel, would know from you telling her what plan B was?
20	A	l would say so.
21		THE COURT: Your objection is belatedly sustained. The tran I
22	had to refre	sh my memory. According to the transcripts, both of them, it doesn't
23	say who tol	d Little Lou. So it's sustained as just to that part.
24		MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
25	11111	

1	BY MR. PESCI:			
2	Q Sir, you heard those wire in court; didn't you?			
3	A Yes.			
4	Q	Do you take any issue with the fact that it's your son on that		
5	recording?			
6	A	l don't understand what you're asking.		
7	Q	Are you saying as you listen to the wire that that's not your son		
8	talking? W	ell, set aside what he actually says, but are you saying that that's not		
9	your son or	the recording?		
10	A	I never said that.		
11	Q	Okay. So is it your son on the recording		
12	Α	I believe it is.		
13	Q	on the 23 <sup>rd</sup> and the 24 <sup>th</sup> ?		
14	A	I believe it is.		
15		MR. PESCI: Court's indulgence.		
16		THE COURT: Do we have any jury questions?		
17		JUROR: Yes.		
18		THE COURT: Jeff, why don't you go retrieve those while Mr. Di		
19	I'm sorry, M	Ir. Pesci		
20		MR. PESCI: Yes.		
21	THE COURT: is reviewing his notes.			
22	MR. PESCI: I just have a couple more, Judge.			
23	:	THE COURT: That's oh, that's fine. Take your time.		
24		MR. PESCI: Do you want me to ask those now?		
25		THE COURT: No, no. I'm going to look at them while you're		
- 1	!			

1	continuing your cross.				
2	MR. PESCI: That's what I meant. I apologize.				
3	BY MR.	PE	SCI:		
4		Q	Sir, on Friday, was Anabel with you at Simone's?		
5	/	Α	I what Friday?		
6	(	Q	The day after the 19 <sup>th</sup> . You know that big day		
7	/	Ą	Okay.		
8		Q	when Deangelo		
9	1	Д	Okay.		
10	(	Q	came?		
11	<i>A</i>	4	Yes.		
12	(	Q	The next day was Anabel with you at Simone's?		
13	<i>A</i>	4	She wasn't with me. I was, you know, there. She was there		
14	somewh	ere.	•		
15		Q	So was Anabel at Simone's when you were at Simone's on		
16	ļ A	4	I would probably assume so.		
17		Q	What about at the Palomino that same day later?		
18	P	4	i don't recall.		
19		2	Do you recall what car you drove to the meeting with Mr. DePalma?		
20	A	4	No, I don't.		
21	C	2	Thank you.		
22			MR. PESCI: Nothing further.		
23			THE COURT: Mr. Gentile, do you want to start on your redirect?		
24			MR. GENTILE: I don't have a lot. Let me take a look at what I do		
25	have.				

outside that was a Crip.

1	A	4	That is correct.			
2	\ c	Ç	Do you know when Mr. DePalma said LH took DC's statements as a			
3	threat, do	э ус	ou know what statement he's talking about?			
4	A	A .	Yes.			
5		2	You do?			
6	<b>/</b>	A	Well			
7	C	2	What is it?			
8	Δ	Ą	I believe that we said that the guy was, like I said, a gang member,			
9	and that	he	was from the Crips, and he's demanding money, and he also said			
10	you don't	t wa	ant to fuck with my boy.			
11		2	And you didn't know that person's name at that time; did you?			
12	Δ	Ą	Not at all.			
13	c	2	You didn't know what he looked like?			
14	Δ	4	No.			
15	G	Q	You didn't know who how many other members there were in the			
16	Crips?					
17	Δ	Ą	No.			
18			MR. GENTILE: These are community eyeglasses.			
19	c	ב	And he talked to you about the extortion attempt that you reported			
20	the year	bef	ore, the one you came to me about.			
21	Δ	4	Correct.			
22	C	2	And that man's name was who?			
23	A	4	It was 20 more letters.			
24	C	ב	Okay.			
25	Δ	1	It was Tony Moore Leavitt.			

A No. A No that ! knew of. A Not that ! knew of. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion? A I had my suspicions, but, yes. A I had my suspicions, but, yes. A Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that? A Yes. D Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you? A No. C Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop? A No. MR. GENTILE: I don't have anything further.	1	Q	Okay. Was Tony Moore Leavitt a member of the Crips as far as you		
Q Any other gang? A No. Q Had he killed anybody that you knew of? A Not that I knew of. Q Did you know what he looked like? A Yes. Q Pretty well? A Yes. Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion? A I had my suspicions, but, yes. Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that? A Yes. Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you? A No. Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop? A No.	2	know?			
A No.  Q Had he killed anybody that you knew of?  A Not that I knew of.  Q Did you know what he looked like?  A Yes.  Q Pretty well?  A Yes.  Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	3	Α	No.		
Q Had he killed anybody that you knew of?  A Not that I knew of.  Q Did you know what he looked like?  A Yes.  Q Pretty well?  A Yes.  Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	4	Q Any other gang?			
A Not that I knew of.  Q Did you know what he looked like?  A Yes.  Q Pretty well?  A Yes.  Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	5	A	No.		
Q Did you know what he looked like?  A Yes. Q Pretty well? A Yes. Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes. Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes. Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No. Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	6	Q	Had he killed anybody that you knew of?		
A Yes.  Q Pretty well?  A Yes.  Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	7	Α	Not that I knew of.		
Q Pretty well?  A Yes. Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes. Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes. Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No. Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	8	Q	Did you know what he looked like?		
A Yes.  Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	9	Α	Yes.		
Q As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes. Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes. Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No. Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	10	Q	Pretty well?		
extortion?  A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	11	A	Yes.		
A I had my suspicions, but, yes.  Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	12	Q	As far as you knew was he operating pretty much alone in this		
Q Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	13	extortion?			
were in the Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	14	Α	I had my suspicions, but, yes.		
gang cases. Remember him asking you that?  A Yes.  Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	15	Q	Mr. Pesci asked you about your experience with gangs when you		
A Yes.  Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	16	were in the	Bay area and your experience with the police and enforcement in		
Q Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	17	gang cases	. Remember him asking you that?		
gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?  A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	18	Α	Yes.		
A No.  Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	19	Q	Did the police in the Bay area eliminate retaliation murders from		
Q Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	20	gang members while you were up there? You know what I'm saying, do you?			
involved in gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?  A No.	21	Α	No.		
A No.	22	Q	Okay. While you were up in the Bay area, when the police got		
	23	involved in	gang enforcement, did gang murders stop?		
MR. GENTILE: I don't have anything further.	24	А	No.		
••	25		MR. GENTILE: I don't have anything further.		

1	THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Adams?
2	MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Counsel approach.
4	(Conference at the bench)
5	THE COURT: I have a number of juror questions here and I'm not
6	going to they got all mixed up, so I'm not asking them in any particular order.
7	A juror wants to know, did you ever wear your bulletproof vest
8	once you became afraid Deangelo Carroll well, of Deangelo Carroll's gang
9	member associates?
10	THE WITNESS: I would say more so than, yes, than before.
11	THE COURT: Okay. I guess when did you after May 19 <sup>th</sup> did you
12	wear your bulletproof vest?
13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall, Your Honor. I'd be lying to you if I
14	told you.
15	THE COURT: Okay. If did Anabel did you testify that Anabel
16	always favored TJ?
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Did you testify that Anabel always favored
19	Deangelo?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And how often would you have business
22	meetings with Anabel regarding the Palomino Club?
23	THE WITNESS: I'd like to answer that question in two parts, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -250-

**Electronically Filed** 

3 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931

Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220

Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

Attorney for Petitioner, Luis Hidalgo Jr.

02/29/2016 01:01:34 PM

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

## EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

LUIS HIDALGO, JR.,

Petitioner,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No.: 08C241394

Dept. No.: XXI

**PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION** FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

### **VOLUME XIII:** PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF **HABEAS CORPUS**

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	BATES
I	06/20/2005	Information	HID PA00001 - HID PA00004
I	07/06/2005	Notice Of Intent To Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00005 - HID PA00009
I	07/06/2005	Notice Of Intent To Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00010 - HID PA00014
I	11/14/2006	Answer To Petition For Writ of Mandamus Or, In the Alternative, Writ of Prohibition	HID PA00015 - HID PA00062
I	12/20/2006	Reply to State's Answer To Petition For Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition	HID PA00063 - HID PA00079
I	02/04/2008	Guilty Plea Agreement	HID PA00080 - HID PA00091
I	05/29/2008	Advance Opinion 33, (No. 48233)	HID PA00092 - HID PA00113

1

<b>****</b>	
Œ O	
11.1	
Š	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM

VOI IIME	DATE	DACIDADADA	DATEC
VOLUME	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	BATES
I	02/11/2008-	Docket	HID PA00114 -
	01/13/2016		HID PA00131
I	02/11/2008-	Minutes	HID PA00132 -
	11/10/2015		HID PA00200
II	02/13/2008	Indictment	HID PA00201 -
			HID PA00204
II	02/20/2008	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00205 -
		Hearing re Arraignment	HID PA00209
II	03/07/2008	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	HID PA00210 -
			HID PA00212
II	04/01/2008	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00213 -
		Hearing re Motions	HID PA00238
П	05/01/2008	Amended Indictment	HID PA00239 -
			HID PA00241
II	06/18/2008	Amended Notice of Intent To Seek	HID PA00242 -
		Death Penalty	HID PA00245
II	06/25/2008	Notice of Motion And Motion To	HID PA00246 -
		Consolidate Case No. C241394 Into	HID PA00258
		C212667	
II	12/08/2008	Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr. And Luis	HID PA00259 -
		Hidalgo III's Opposition To The	HID PA00440
		Motion To Consolidate Case No.	
		C241394 Into C212667 + Exhibits A-	
TTT	12/00/2000	G D C 1 4 I 1 II I I A 1 I I	LIID DA 00441
III	12/08/2008	Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr. And Luis	HID PA00441 -
		Hidalgo III's Opposition To The	HID PA00469
		Motion To Consolidate Case No.	
III	12/15/2008	C241394 Into C212667, Exhibits H-K	LID DA 00470
111	12/13/2006	Response To Defendant Luis Hidalgo,	HID PA00470 -   HID PA00478
		Jr. and Luis Hidalgo, III's Opposition To Consolidate Case No. C241394	NID PA00476
		Into C212667	
III	01/07/2009	State's Motion To Remove Mr.	HID PA00479 -
	01/07/2007	Gentile As Attorney For Defendant	HID PA00479
		Hidalgo, Jr., Or In The Alternative, To	1111517100477
		Require Waivers After Defendants	
		Have Had True Independent Counsel	
		To Advise Him	
III	01/16/2009	Order Granting The State's Motion To	HID PA00500 -
		Consolidate C241394 Into C212667	HID PA00501
III	01/16/2009	Waiver of Rights To A Determination	HID PA00502
	02.10.2009	Of Penalty By The Trial Jury	
III	01/29/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00503 -
		Jury Trial - Day 3	HID PA00522
	<u>l</u>	1 · J J ·	

Ī	ı
( <u>)</u>	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvl.tigation.com

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
III	01/30/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00523 -
		Jury Trial - Day 4	HID PA00538
III	02/02/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00539 -
		Jury Trial - Day 5 (Pg. 1-152)	HID PA00690
IV	02/02/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00691 -
		Jury Trial - Day 5 (Pg. 153-225)	HID PA00763
IV	02/06/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00764 -
		Jury Trial - Day 6	HID PA00948
V	02/04/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA00949 -
		Jury Trial - Day 7	HID PA01208
VI	02/05/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01209 -
		Jury Trial - Day 8	HID PA01368
VII	02/06/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01369 -
		Jury Trial - Day 9	HID PA01553
VIII	02/09/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01554 -
		Jury Trial - Day 10 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA01803
IX	02/09/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01804 -
		Jury Trial - Day 10 (Pg. 250-340)	HID PA01894
X	02/10/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA01895 -
		Jury Trial - Day 11 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA02144
XI	02/10/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02145 -
		Jury Trial - Day 11 (Pg. 1-251)	HID PA02212
XII	02/11/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02213 -
		Jury Trial - Day 12 (Pg. 1-250)	HID PA02464
XIII	02/11/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02465 -
		Jury Trial - Day 12 (Pg. 251-330)	HID PA02545
XIV	02/12/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02546 -
		Jury Trial - Day 13	HID PA02788
XV	02/17/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA02789 -
	001071000	Jury Trial - Day 14	HID PA02796
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 2 (C212667),	HID PA02797 -
		Transcript of Audio Recording (5/23/05)	HID PA02814
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 3 (C212667),	HID PA02815 -
21 1	02/03/2009	Transcript of Audio Recording	HID PA02818
		(5/24/05)	11115 17102010
XVI	No Date On	Court Exhibit: 4 (C212667),	HID PA02819 -
	Document	Transcript of Audio Recording (Disc	HID PA02823
		Marked As Audio Enhancement)	
XVI	02/05/2009	Court Exhibit: 5 (C212667),	HID PA02824 -
		Transcript of Audio Recording (Disc	HID PA02853
		Marked As Audio Enhancement)	
XVI	05/20/2010	Court Exhibit: 229 (C212667)	HID PA02854
		Note	

<b></b>	
Ō Z	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvlitigation.com

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
, 02 0112			
XVI	02/10/2009	Court Exhibit: 238 (C212667)	HID PA02855 -
		Phone Record	HID PA02875
XVI	02/17/2009	Jury Instructions	HID PA02876 -
			HID PA02930
XVII	03/10/2009	Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion	HID PA02931 -
		For Judgment Of Acquittal Or, In The	HID PA02948
	0.2 (1.7 (2.0.0.0	Alternative, A New Trial	7777 7 4 0 4 0 4 0
XVII	03/17/2009	State's Opposition To Defendant Luis	HID PA02949 -
		Hidalgo Jr.'s Motion For Judgment of	HID PA02961
		Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, A	
X/X / I I	0.4.17.12.000	New Trial	HID D 4 020 (2
XVII	04/17/2009	Reply To State's Opposition To	HID PA02962 -
		Defendant Luis Hidalgo Jr.'s Motion	HID PA02982
		For Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the	
XVII	04/27/2009	Alternative, A New Trial	HID PA02983 -
AVII	04/2//2009	Supplemental Points And Authorities To Defendant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s	HID PA02991
		Motion For Judgment Of Acquittal Or,	111D FA02991
		In The Alternative, A New Trial	
XVII	06/19/2009	Luis A. Hidalgo Jr.'s Sentencing	HID PA02992 -
	00/17/2007	Memorandum  Memorandum	HID PA03030
XVII	06/23/2009	Transcript of Proceedings:	HID PA03031 -
		Sentencing	HID PA03058
XVII	07/06/2009	Ex-Parte Application Requesting That	HID PA03059 -
		Defendant Luis A. Hidalgo Jr.'s Ex-	HID PA03060
		Parte Application Requesting An	
		Order Declaring Him Indigent For	
		Purposes Of Appointing Appellate	
		Counsel Be Sealed	
XVII	07/10/2009	Judgment Of Conviction	HID PA03061 -
			HID PA03062
XVII	07/16/2009	Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Notice Of Appeal	HID PA03063-
	00404000		HID PA03064
XVII	08/18/2009	Amended Judgment Of Conviction	HID PA03065 -
XXXIII	02/00/2011	A	HID PA03066
XVIII	02/09/2011	Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s	HID PA03067 -
VIII	06/10/2011	Opening Brief  Respondent's Answering Brief	HID PA03134
XVIII	06/10/2011	Respondent's Answering Brief	HID PA03135 -
XVIII	09/30/2011	Appellant Luis A Hidolas In a Donley	HID PA03196 HID PA03197 -
AVIII	09/30/2011 	Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Reply Brief	HID PA03197 -
XVIII	03/09/2012		HID PA03239
	03/03/2012	Order Submitting Appeal For Decision Without Oral Argument	111D FAU3239
		Decision without Oral Arguillent	<u> </u>

<b>****</b>	
Œ O	
11.1 1	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101
(702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F)
www.nvlitigation.com

VOLUME	DATE	DOCUMENT	BATES
XVIII	03/30/2012	Appellant's Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument	HID PA03240 - HID PA03251
XVIII	04/17/2012	Appellant's Emergency Supplemental Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument + Exhibits A-C	HID PA03252 - HID PA03289
XIX	04/17/2012	Appellant's Emergency Supplemental Motion To Reconsider Submission For Decision Without Oral Argument, Exhibit D	HID PA03290 - HID PA03329
XIX	04/26/2012	Notice Of Oral Argument Setting	HID PA03330
XIX	06/05/2012	Appellant's Notice of Supplemental Authorities [NRAP31(e)]	HID PA03331 - HID PA03333
XIX	06/21/2012	Order Of Affirmance	HID PA03334 - HID PA03344
XIX	07/09/2012	Petition For Rehearing Pursuant To Nevada Rule Of Appellate Procedure 40	HID PA03345 - HID PA03351
XIX	07/27/2012	Order Denying Rehearing	HID PA03352
XIX	08/10/2012	Petition For En Banc Reconsideration Pursuant To NRAP 40A	HID PA03353 - HID PA03365
XIX	09/18/2012	Order Directing Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03366
XIX	10/02/2012	Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03367 - HID PA03379
XIX	10/09/2012	Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion For Permission To File A Reply To Answer To Petition For En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03380 - HID PA03383
XIX	10/12/2012	Instruction #40 Was Structural Error And Therefore Reversible Per Se Under Post-Bolden Nevada Conspiracy Jurisprudence	HID PA03384 - HID PA03399
XIX	11/13/2012	Order Denying En Banc Reconsideration	HID PA03400 - HID PA03401
XIX	05/15/2013	Letter to Clerk of Court: Petition For USSC Writ Of Certiorari Denied	HID PA03402
XX	12/31/2013	Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction)	HID PA03403 - HID PA03483
XX	12/31/2013	Motion For Appointment Of Counsel	HID PA03484 - HID PA03488

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	9
2	0
	1
	2
2	3
	4
	5
2	6
2	7

VOLUME	<u>DATE</u>	DOCUMENT	BATES
XX	01/08/2014	Order For Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus	HID PA03489
XX	01/13/2014	State's Response To Defendant's Pro Per Motion For Appointment of Counsel	HID PA03490 - HID PA03494
XX	01/13/2016	Documents received from the Nevada Secretary of State	HID PA03495 – HID PA03516

DATED this 29th day of February, 2016.

### /s/ Margaret A. McLetchie

MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931

### MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC

701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220

Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com

Attorney for Petitioner, Luis Hidalgo Jr.



# ATTORNEYS AT LAW 701 EAST BRIDGER AVE., SUITE 520 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F) WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(B) I hereby certify that on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing VOLUME XIII: PETITIONER'S APPENDIX FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS by depositing the same in the United States mail, first-class postage pre-paid, to the following address:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney RYAN MACDONALD, Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

MARC DIGIACOMO, Deputy District Attorney Office of the District Attorney 301 E. Clark Avenue # 100 Las Vegas, NV 89155

Attorneys for Respondent

Certified by: /s/ Mia Ji
An Employee of McLetchie Shell LLC

THE WITNESS: Small little meetings every day, a little every day event type of thing.

THE COURT: Okay. And were those like sit down in the office meetings, or was that just more you run into each other at the club and discuss business?

THE WITNESS: No, it was just more like in the office type of thing, you know. But at least two or three times a week we would have something a little bit more major, you know. Little small, knickknack type of conversations, you know, yeah, on a daily basis, you know, I'm going to make my rounds, we got to get to this, you know, the popcorn didn't get made, the coffee is not ready. That type of meeting. That's what I call petty.

THE COURT: Okay. And then the major meetings about the -- or more significant meetings about the club, how often?

THE WITNESS: Correct. About two or three times, maybe, a week, ma'am.

THE COURT: Two or three times a week. And would those also occur in your office or her office or where?

THE WITNESS: It would've occurred in my office because I want to say that it was more private. You know, everybody, you know, because not only those two chairs were there, Your Honor, but there was also another couch that was like eight feet long, you know, on the side of the wall before you -- when you come in. It was -- like I said, it's an eight foot couch. I still have that couch. And then plus the two chairs. So we can actually hold, three, four, five, you know, six, seven individuals.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm assuming -- you testified you were

1	not told of TJ's firing.
2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	THE COURT: I'm assuming other employees during the time that
4	you and Anabel were running the Palomino Club were fired; is that fair to say?
5	THE WITNESS: Put it to me again, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Well, do you and I'm going to ask it the way it was
7	written. Do you know, and don't speculate, do you know why you were not told
8	of TJ's firing right after he was fired?
9	THE WITNESS: No, I don't know why I was never told.
10	THE COURT: Okay. What about when other employees were
11	were fired? Were you told?
12	THE WITNESS: Some, Your Honor. I guess it depends on the
13	significance of the person at that particular post, I would say, you know, and a
14	backup payout type of person who you're used to making sure, you know what I
15	mean, that got the money, some people, you know Ariel had firing authority,
16	she had hiring authority. Anabel had the same thing, you know, that type of
17	thing. The only thing I requested that there would be two people there, two
18	supervisors or two managers when they did that.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And then during this period of May 2005, who
20	owned the Palomino?
21	THE WITNESS: May of 2005? I did, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Did you own it by yourself or did anyone else
23	own it with you?
24	THE WITNESS: No, I owned it by myself.
25	THE COURT: Up until May 24, 2005 this is a two part question.

discussed in the meeting, you know, that's what I wrote.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: It was not I did it for anything else other than, hey, Anabel, you know, or, you know, that kind of thing. To me, that's -- I mean, I could get into other things, but it's not --

THE COURT: And then if you -- if you -- I guess the question is why did you run to a lawyer kind of immediately or contact a lawyer -- run was the word used by the juror -- contact a lawyer immediately if you weren't involved?

about the person involvement, but I knew that I already had a problem. The moment that — that the gesture that came from me to Anabel, okay, fine, you know, this man is demanding this money, my license was at stake. I mean, it took me 11 months to get it. It was three licenses. You're talking about millions of dollars in the license. I knew that — I knew that I had screwed up by doing it. And, you know, I was looking for help and obviously someone else said call the lawyer, you better talk to your attorney. And at that point I decided to go ahead and do that.

THE COURT: Okay. Were you a part of any plan to harm TJ? THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Were you a part of any plan to help cover up what happened to TJ?

THE WITNESS: I don't think that I was in any plans to cover what had happened. I was, like I said, in shock and in fear. And when I reach a level -- I don't want to misuse the word satisfaction or satisfied that, maybe that I was safe or something like that, that's when I talked to Mr. -- Mr. Gentile and I

1	THE WITNESS: I would say they did have some type of a
2	relationship outside of the Palomino Club.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And do you know if they ever smoked pot
4	together?
5	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. That I do not know.
6	THE COURT: Okay. In the interview with Mr. DePalma, was Anabel
7	in the room?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What was the seat what were the seating
10	arrangements in the interview with Mr. DePalma?
11	THE WITNESS: I don't think that that would be an honest answer
12	on my part because I've heard it already four times in here.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Do you independently remember, as you sit
14	here today, have any independent memory of the seating arrangements?
15	THE WITNESS: Well, I know that the detective, Mr. Dibble, he was
16	further away from us, Mr. DePalma was in front, and I want to say that she was
17	here and I was there.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And were you sitting excuse me. Were you
19	sitting in front of the desk or in front of a table?
20	THE WITNESS: It was kind of a funny looking desk, you know, not
21	the kind I'm used to, you know what I mean, but I would I would call it a desk, a
22	table.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
24	Mr. Gentile.
25	11111

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -258-

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. PESCI: 2 Sir, who told you that we wouldn't meet with you? 3 I believe that I asked that question to Mr. Gentile. I waited --4 Α No, no. Who told you that we wouldn't meet with you? 5 Q MR. GENTILE: He didn't say that. He said --6 7 I didn't say that you --MR. GENTILE: -- you wouldn't meet with him unless he pled guilty 8 to murder. 9 MR. DIGIACOMO: That's what --10 11 MR. GENTILE: That's what he said. BY MR. PESCI: 12 13 Who told you --Q MR. DIGIACOMO: Who told you that? 14 15 That was the question. Who told you --THE COURT: Well, it was a part of the question. 16 So who told you that the DA's wouldn't meet with you unless 17 you pled guilty to murder, I think, was --18 19 Mr. Gentile. 20 Who is Mr. Gamage? 21 Bill Gamage? Α 22 Yeah. He's an attorney. 23 Α Is -- was he working with Mr. Gentile back at this time? 24 Q

I believe so, yes.

Α

25

1	Q	Did you ever talk to Mr. Gamage about the possibility of giving a
2	statement a	and speaking with the prosecutors?
3	А	I don't recall, Mr. Pesci.
4	Q	You don't have any recollection of
5	A	No.
6	Q	discussions about ground rules, about you talking with us with Mr.
7	Gamage?	
8	A	No, Mr. Pesci, I don't remember.
9	Q	Thanks.
10		THE COURT: Mr any other juror questions? All right. Okay.
11		l'Il see counsel up here please.
12		(Conference at the bench)
13		THE COURT: All right. A couple of juror questions here. At the
14	there was to	estimony about the association of night club owners or strip club
15	owners. At	the club owner's monthly meetings, did you participate in the
16	meetings?	
17	; ;	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
18		THE COURT: And how did you participate in the meetings?
19		THE WITNESS: Offered ideas, offer what I felt, you know, should be
20	done in the	industry. A couple times I offered the idea of how to handle the tip
21	money that	was left behind for the let me see if I could put it in a better term. A
22	cashier cag	e would get tips sometimes. We people that escorted people would
23	get tipped s	cometimes. And basically some of the other clubs, what they were
24	offering was	s to go ahead and put it in a pool jar and somebody at night would
25	take out the	money and distribute it.

And the attorney firm that I had at that time said that we were getting into a grey area of liability issues, and I don't want to say, but I know that one of the clubs was doing that and that's what got them into trouble with the IRS.

THE COURT: Okay. And who got the Palomino to be able to pay more to the cab drivers than the other clubs were able to pay to the cab drivers? Who got that through at the meeting?

THE WITNESS: I think it was a joint conversation, Your Honor. I can't recall exactly who was the one who originally said it, but obviously I motioned it. But it was said that prior to me that they had considered that the Palomino, since it was the furthest on the strip, that we should be allowed to pay a little bit more. So that's how the introduction came, and when they came down for the voting, they said, okay, we'll go.

THE COURT: Okay. How involved was Little Lou in running the Palomino?

THE WITNESS: Little Lou -- Little Lou assisted a lot with the dancers, the liquor, taking the liquor orders, taking sometimes money, where it was short in one of the cages, over to the bars, collected money from the bars, brought it in the office to Anabel.

He basically did also some type of scheduling for pickups. He would talk to the front cage person who would obviously get the call, he would schedule that. Sometimes went into the cab office in the back section, you know, where we had the coffee and donuts, he would take care of that. So, yeah, I want to say that he was pretty much involved.

THE COURT: Okay. And then when you say he assisted with the

dancers, what did that entail?

THE WITNESS: Making sure that the little bank had sufficient money for the girls to cash in their chips at night. And sometimes, I can't say often, but a few times he paid out, you know, we were shorthanded or something like that and so he would take the chips.

THE COURT: You mean, when the dancers would take the chips and he would actually convert the chips to cash for them?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say he was involved in -- in the liquor orders, do you mean he would decide, okay, we need a case of scotch or a case of merlot or whatever?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and -- excuse me. Yes, he would basically take the inventory and find out what we had and what we needed, you know. Everything had to be done by Monday or Tuesday so the liquor order would come in on Thursday or Friday at the very latest in case of an emergency because we knew that we had the weekend coming.

But you got to understand also that we also had two other clubs that we were considering. You know, Satin Saddle which is a whole complete place, so we had to take beer back and forth so he would do that, and so he was -- he did assist in a lot of things.

THE COURT: Okay. Did Little Lou attend business meetings for the Palomino Club?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't remember, but I want to say maybe once, maybe. And that's -- that's a stretch, maybe.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than what you've already testified to, did

1	Little Lou make any decisions in regarding any business decisions for the
2	Palomino Club?
3	THE WITNESS: I would say some, Your Honor, but very minimum.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And did he have this is related. Did he have
5	any input into the operation of the Palomino Club, other than what you've already
6	told us about?
7	THE WITNESS: Just suggestions, Your Honor. I mean, you know,
8	there was nothing specific, you know what I mean, where he actually had a voice
9	to say, no, this is the way I want it done period. No, it was not like that.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And a juror asks didn't you have security
11	downstairs at the club the night Deangelo requested the \$5,000 to tackle, I
12	guess, Deangelo while you could've waited for police to come?
13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall how many people on the staff were
14	there. I don't, Your Honor. I don't recall.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: It could've been maybe one person and one
17	outside, and then the person in the back office. And one time, so you know, yes,
18	we did have security, but that was a long time ago.
19	THE COURT: Okay. As of May 2005, did you have security at the
20	Palomino?
21	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So you definitely didn't have armed security
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	THE COURT: security with sidearms
25	THE WITNESS: No.

1	THE COURT: or anything like that?
2	THE WITNESS: No. No, we did not.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gentile, any follow up?
6	MR. GENTILE: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Adams, anything else?
8	MR. ADAMS: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Pesci, anything else?
10	MR. PESCI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Any other juror questions?
12	All right. Mr. Hidalgo, thank you. You may return to counsel
13	table next to Ms. Armeni.
14	MS. ARMENI: Excuse me, Your Honor?
15	THE COURT: I didn't I don't
16	MS. ARMENI: Oh.
17	THE COURT: believe there were any other questions, so I told
18	him to go sit down back next to you.
19	All right. Mr. Gentile.
20	MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, I believe that we have moved
21	everything that we've submitted into evidence. I'd like the clerk to confirm that.
22	Have we done that? I think we don't have that many exhibits,
23	but I think we've moved them all in.
24	THE COURT: Anything outstanding on behalf of
25	THE CLERK: They're all in.
	·

[	
1	THE COURT: All right.
2	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
3	THE COURT: They're all admitted.
4	MR. GENTILE: Then Luis Hidalgo, Jr. rests.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	Mr. Arrascada.
7	MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, we rest subject to a ruling by the
8	Court tomorrow.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10	All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's go ahead and take a
11	quick five minute break and leave your notepads in your chairs. And you're
12	reminded of the admonition not to discuss anything or do anything relating to the
13	case on the break.
14	Follow Jeff through the double doors. Actually, it's probably
15	going to be closer to ten minutes.
16	(Jury recessed at 6:07 p.m.)
17	THE COURT: Okay. Where is Mr. Hidalgo?
18	Sir, go ahead and have a seat there at counsel table.
19	And Mr. Gentile
20	Now we're on the record.
21	Mr. Gentile, had indicated that he may have an objection to
22	the rebuttal evidence because he doesn't know what it's rebutting.
23	MR. GENTILE: Well, that's the point. For a rebuttal case there has
24	to be something specific in the defense
25	THE COURT: It's rebutting.
f	§

, i	
1	MR. GENTILE: case in chief that it contradicts.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So what's Mr. Oram going to rebut?
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: The claim during the defense case of recent
4	fabrication by Anabel Espindola. They asked her questions on cross-
5	examination, but there was no inconsistent statement of her that was admitted.
6	During their case in chief they played
7	THE COURT: Yeah, they did.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: different
9	THE COURT: When did you first
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: inconsistent statements.
11	THE COURT: tell this. Okay. Then Ms. Espindola, what's she
12	going to say?
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: What? I'm not calling Ms. Espindola. I had her
14	brought up so she could waive the privilege so that
15	THE COURT: Oh.
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. Oram
17	THE COURT: Right, right.
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: could testify to it.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And then who's your next rebuttal witness?
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: We have Bill Faulkner to put in certain
21	statements of PK Handley that he either would not admit or then later backed off
22	and says I don't remember it that way when he was very specific in his
23	statement.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Faulkner was also going to do potentially some
-	

1	impeachment of I'm getting tired.
2	THE COURT: Is Ms. Espindola up here?
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: She is. She's in the back room. She's prepared
4	to come out here.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: I intend to give the way.
7	MR. PESCI: Ms. Perez, Obi Perez.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Obi Perez. I don't think we're actually going to
9	ask him questions relating to Obi Perez. And then we have Martin Wildemann
10	here, but that depends on what your ruling is as to the thing that they I mean,
11	he was a basis of rebuttal for what they were going to offer from the statement.
12	THE COURT: And basically
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: Because if they offer it
14	THE COURT: all Wildemann was going to say that would be
15	relevant is when I interviewed Jason Taoipu, he referred to the boss as Little Lou.
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: No, that's not what he'll say. He'll say when l
17	interviewed Jason Taoipu he informed me of two phone calls prior to getting the
18	order. The first phone call was Anabel, and Anabel said come get fliers for
19	promoting. The second phone call was from a person named Lou who I thought
20	was the boss and we went to the Palomino Club.
21	And the third phone call, the only thing he overheard about
22	that phone call was Deangelo telling Anabel basically we're out at the lake. And
23	then he never once said anything about baseball bats and garbage bags coming
24	out of Anabel or that Deangelo told Anabel or anything like that during his
25	statement to Detective Wildemann.

l	
1	MR. GENTILE: Well, you know, that
2	MR. ADAMS: That's the key
3	MR. GENTILE: We are on
4	MR. ADAMS: point, Judge. They've asked it one time of Jason
5	Taoipu directly, and it was a crystal clear question under oath, and he gave an
6	answer that they don't like. They didn't stop the tribunal and say, whoa, we think
7	they've injected improper evidence into the Counts trial. They didn't do that.
8	Now, a year later, they're coming in and complaining any answer that they
9	elicited.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MR. GENTILE: And and there's a serious
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: But we're allowed to.
13	MR. GENTILE: Under Moore versus Illinois, a United State
14	Supreme Court case, and I I do not cast aspersions in the sense of
15	intentionally, but under Moore versus Illinois, a prosecutor, and really only a
16	prosecutor, when a witness testifies, especially their own witness testifies in a
17	way that the prosecutor deems to be perjurious, the prosecutor has a duty to
18	correct it.
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: [inaudible].
20	MR. GENTILE: He lied. You're saying he lied.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm not saying he lied. How much credibility do
22	you deal with when it relates to a witness? Witnesses
23	THE COURT: Okay. Wait a minute.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: don't usually lie.
25	MR. GENTILE: What so what was it you gave him, probation?
J	

I	
1	THE COURT: Jeff, bring Anabel Espindola in.
2	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.
3	MR. GENTILE: What is it you gave, probation to him?
4	MR. DIGIACOMO: I didn't give it to him.
5	MR. GENTILE: Oh, the Judge did. Okay.
6	THE COURT: After they stood silent and came into chambers
7	asking me to give them
8	MS. ARMENI: It's all you, Judge.
9	THE COURT: probation.
10	And, by the way, I was hoping someone would point out that
11	Mr. Hidalgo was on \$650,000 bond
12	MR. PESCI: We were going to ask for a jury instruction based on
13	THE COURT: so that I didn't look like a big schmuck putting him
14	on house arrest.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, we actually wanted a jury instruction. We
16	were going to address that with the Court
17	MR. PESCI: Right.
18	MR. DłGłACOMO: related to the inappropriateness of of that.
19	THE COURT: Well, there was a juror question, but that left it out
20	there that he just got house arrest
21	MR. GENTILE: You said that you were going to address it, which is
22	the only reason I didn't.
23	THE COURT: and on OR. But I think there needs to be
24	something both to benefit Little Lou
25	THE DEFENDANT HIDALGO III: Yeah, I got screwed.

ı	
1	THE COURT: and to clear the record that he was actually on
2	bond. Because otherwise it looks like, oh, Mr. H gets out on house arrest, and
3	this other guy is still sitting in jail and it's not an issue of money, which is really
4	what the issue is.
5	Ms. Espindola, come on up here, please, to the witness stand.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: We should have Oram here when this happens.
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Let me grab him.
9	THE COURT: Go ahead and have a seat.
10	All right. Mr. DiGiacomo, why don't you just state again on the
11	record the area of conversation you're going to be asking Mr. Oram about.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: I am planning on asking Mr. Oram questions
13	related to a defense claim of recent fabrication. Based upon that, it is my
14	understanding that Mr. Espindola is willing to waive the privilege that she had as
15	to communications she had with Mr. Oram related to this case.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: And I think that the Court needs to canvass her
18	on the record. She needs to be able to say it on the record.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Basically, Mr. Espindola, do you understand
20	what Mr. DiGiacomo intends to do?
21	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that any communications
23	that you had with your attorney, Mr. Oram, would be, as long as there weren't
24	third persons there, would be subject to the attorney/client privilege?
25	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.

t	
1	THE COURT: And as a result of that, if unless the privilege is
2	waived, Mr. Oram would be prevented from testifying or even telling other people
3	about things that you may have told him within the scope of your attorney/client
4	privilege. Do you understand that?
5	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And now you've heard Mr. DiGiacomo indicate
7	the areas he wants to inquire about in order to refute what he perceives to be the
8	defense's sort of claim that you have recent and based on some of their
9	questions, that you have recently fabricated or told a version of events for the
10	first time. Do you understand that?
11	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you wish to waive and give up your
13	privilege, your attorney/client privilege so that Mr. Oram can be questioned about
14	just those communications?
15	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And do you wish to waive that privilege so that Mr.
17	Oram will be allowed to answer Mr. DiGiacomo's questions about those
18	communications, as well as any questions relating to those that the defense may
19	have?
20	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Other communications not relating to that I
22	think would still be covered.
23	MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, here's the problem. The the State
24	has not identified the specific area.
25	THE COURT: The questions, right.
- 1	

1	MR. GENTILE: And I don't think you can canvass her adequately
2	until the State discloses what it is
3	THE COURT: What what are
4	MR. DIGIACOMO:   don't
5	THE COURT: the questions
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well
7	THE COURT: you're going to ask?
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Wait a second, Judge. I don't think Mr. Gentile
9	has the real interest in the legal rights of Anabel Espindola. Maybe we should
10	ask Mr. Oram if he feels that the canvass is appropriate.
11	MR. ORAM: The privilege is hers. It's no one else's. She's waived
12	it. And it seems like
13	THE COURT: All right. But, Mr. Oram, do you feel comfortable with
14	your client's knowing and intelligent waiver of the privilege?
15	MR. ORAM: Yeah. I did explain it to her.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. ORAM: And she understands.
18	THE COURT: And you have had an opportunity to privately discuss
19	the privilege with her and explain what she's waiving and giving up; is that right?
20	MR. ORAM: Yes, and we've had time in the back of the court, Your
21	Honor, for some time and I've talked to her about it.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And so, just to reiterate, you are comfortable
23	with your client's knowing waiver of the privilege at this time?
24	MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Anything else the State wants me to cover?
	1

ŀ		
1	MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Judge.	
2	MR. ORAM: I have one question.	
3	THE COURT: Yes.	
4	MR. ORAM: With regard to any they're just going to question me	
5	regarding communication in the jail, or are they going to question me regarding	
6	communication, joint defense communication?	
7	THE COURT: I think it's just communication	
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: I can only question him, on my understanding of	
9	the law	
10	THE COURT: Communication at the	
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: is that when it's a joint defense	
12	communication, I can only question Mr. Oram about communications with his	
13	client	
14	THE COURT: Right.	
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: during the course of his representation. I	
16	cannot question him about communications he had with	
17	THE COURT: The whole group.	
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. H	
19	THE COURT: Right.	
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: or Little Lou. But it's also my understanding	
21	that that I don't know when that agreement went into effect or not. I've never	
22	seen a copy of the agreement and don't know what the agreement says.	
23	MR. ORAM: Well, Judge, my concern is that she talked to an	
24	attorney and it he was part of Mr. Gentile's, or beginning to be a part of Mr.	
25	Gentile's law firm. If he was able to say what Anabel Espindola supposedly said,	

1	it seems like they, to me, just from a reading of it, that they breached the	
2	agreement. See, I thought that would've been privileged based on the	
3	agreement that I the way I had read the joint defense agreement.	
4	THE COURT: But I guess just with respect to communications just	
5	between the two of you	
6	MR. ORAM: Yes, okay.	
7	THE COURT: you have no problem answering those questions.	
8	MR. ORAM: No, I do not.	
9	THE COURT: And my understanding is that's all Mr. DiGiacomo is	
10	going to ask you about, communications just involving the two of you privately.	
11	ls that right, Mr. DiGiacomo?	
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: As it relates to her privilege, yes, Judge.	
13	THE COURT: Okay.	
14	And, Ms. Espindola, that you understand that's what you're	
15	sort of giving up, your	
16	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.	
17	THE COURT: your privilege with respect to that?	
18	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: Anything else I need to cover?	
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: If I can ask Mr. Oram to make sure that are	
21	you comfortable with everything that	
22	MR. ORAM: Am I comfortable with what's been said? Yes.	
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah.	
24	MR. ORAM: Yeah.	
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.	

1	THE COURT: Any other you know, I'm just doing this	
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: As long as he's fine.	
3	THE COURT: off the top of my head.	
4	MR. DIGIACOMO: I mean, I'm I'm more concerned about the	
5	legal rights of Ms. Espindola than anything else, so	
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	Anything else the defense, other than what Mr. Gentile has	
8	just said, I need to cover?	
9	MR. ADAMS: I'm interested in finding out the scope of this so we	
10	can know how broad of the records we should be asking the Court to have	
11	produced to us now so that we can cross-examine Mr. Oram. So I think this is	
12	this is a bit of a	
13	THE COURT: You mean	
14	MR. ADAMS: sticky area.	
15	THE COURT: Mr. Oram's notes?	
16	MR. GENTILE: Well, Mr. Oram is going to refresh his memory with	
17	his notes, and we're entitled to those notes once	
18	THE COURT: And I think	
19	MR. GENTILE: he refreshes them.	
20	THE COURT: Mr. Oram has indicated earlier that he has no	
21	problem providing all of his notes to the defense.	
22	And, Ms. Espindola, you understand that Mr. Oram has	
23	agreed to provide his notes to the defense?	
24	MS. ESPINDOLA: Yes.	
25	THE COURT: All right. Have you looked at those notes and seen	
J		

1	THE COURT: Germane to this.	
2	MR. ORAM: To me discussing with her?	
3	THE COURT: Right.	
4	MR. ORAM: There are some minor things, very, very minor. There	
5	was one where Mr. Gentile had asked me to ask her about a particular individual.	
6	I think it was a pretty innocuous situation. I remember writing it on the back of	
7	my calendar, and it's just the name of a witness or somebody potentially that Mr.	
8	Gentile wanted to know about. But other than that, I don't recall anything.	
9	THE COURT: Okay. Can do you care if we have a photocopy	
10	made so they can look at it?	
11	MR. ORAM: No.	
12	THE COURT: All right. Jeff, go in the well, in a minute. Well, I	
13	guess you have to do it now.	
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, we have to take Ms. Espindola out.	
15	THE COURT: Martin, make yourself useful. Go in the back and	
16	make two photocopies, or three photocopies. We'll give you one.	
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thanks.	
18	(Off-record colloquy)	
19	THE COURT: All right. I think we're done with Ms. Espindola. I	
20	don't know that there's anything else.	
21	You can go ahead and take Ms. Espindola.	
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: And then you can just get up there, Chris.	
23	THE COURT: And you can just get get up there.	
24	MR. GENTILE: I don't remember raising it, but let's take a shot.	
25	THE COURT: What? Okay. Go ahead. Take a shot.	
f		

1	MR. GENTILE: Oh, no.	
2	THE COURT: Oh.	
3	MR. GENTILE: I don't really recall recent fabrication. My my	
4	my examination went to	
5	THE COURT: Somebody because I remember it. Somebody	
6	said, well, you waited all this time and the first time you ever said this was	
7	MR. GENTILE: For the State.	
8	THE COURT: was basically	
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: You were afraid of the death penalty so you	
10	made	
11	THE COURT: You were afraid	
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: this story up	
13	THE COURT: Right.	
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: because they filed	
15	THE COURT: I got	
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: the death penalty	
17	THE COURT: I got	
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: on you.	
19	THE COURT: a sense of recent fabrication. I mean, I think a	
20	reasonable jury, juror, certainly could've believed that that's where you were	
21	going with that.	
22	All right. Let's bring them back.	
23	MR. ADAMS: Judge, are we not going to deal with the scope of this	
24	outside the presence of the jury?	
25	THE COURT: Scope of what?	
	1	

	Į
1	MR. ADAMS: Well
2	THE COURT: I mean, you can
3	MR. ADAMS: of an attorney testifying about his communications
4	with a client.
5	THE COURT: What else do you want me what else do you want
6	to deal with?
7	MR. ADAMS: I'd like to find out what it is because I think
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: He's not entitled to that.
9	MR. ADAMS: Well
10	THE COURT: I mean, if
11	MR. ADAMS: rebuttal is very limited.
12	THE COURT: Well, okay. If you think
13	MR. ADAMS: I mean, I
14	THE COURT: it exceeds
15	MR. ADAMS: want to make sure it's
16	THE COURT: the scope
17	MR. ADAMS: proper rebuttal.
18	THE COURT: of direct, then just object, exceeds the scope of
19	direct. And I'll try to I mean of right, of your case in chief, and I'll try to make
20	a ruling based on what I remember.
21	MR. GENTILE: Excuse me. Before we go any further, I just want to
22	make sure I got [inaudible]. There's a date, there's a date on here and the date
23	is 2008, but I can't see the month or the day.
24	MR. ORAM: Do you want the day that
25	MR. GENTILE: No, here, this part Chris.
[	

1	MR. ORAM: Okay. Yeah, I can tell you that right now.
2	MR. GENTILE: Well, I'd like to get the copy
3	MR. ORAM: Sure.
4	MR. GENTILE: is what I'm saying.
5	MR. ORAM: Okay. It just didn't come out?
6	MR. GENTILE: This copy is not good enough, Judge.
7	MR. ORAM: Okay. Here you go.
8	MR. GENTILE: There needs to be a date on it.
9	THE COURT: Who made the copy?
10	MR. GENTILE: I am not calling anybody.
11	MR. ORAM: It's January 23 <sup>rd</sup> .
12	MR. GENTILE: Okay. But I do need the copy.
13	MR. ORAM: Yeah. Sure thing.
14	MR. GENTILE: We might want to do that again.
15	THE COURT: You want to make it a Court's exhibit?
16	MR. GENTILE: No, I might want to do what the State did. The State
17	used Jerry DePalma's notes as their exhibit, so I might want to do it.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
20	MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, Judge, we'd also like to point out that it's
21	not rebuttal against Luis Hidalgo III if we did not call Obi Perez or question Obi
22	Perez about the content of her statement. We'd request a limiting instruction.
23	MR. ARRASCADA: To the jury.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: It's still going to be admissible.
25	MR. ADAMS: Not as to us. Not as to us.
4	ı

1	MR. DIGIACOMO: this would impeach	
2	THE COURT: rebutting	
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Obi Perez.	
4	THE COURT: If it's just rebutting her and it's not evidence involved	
5	with you anyway, what do you care?	
6	MR. ADAMS: We would not care. The problem is	
7	THE COURT: You know what I'm saying? You can't have your	
8	cake and eat it too. You can't benefit from her testimony and then allow it to go	
9	unrefuted.	
10	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, I guess it's they're having their cake and	
11	eating it because they're the ones that pushed for the joint trial.	
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: Are they literally telling the Court that they	
13	wouldn't have called Obi Perez in a second trial, a separate trial to impeach	
14	THE COURT: Where did my bailiff go?	
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Anabel Espindola.	
16	MR. ADAMS: We weren't forced to make that decision.	
17	MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah.	
18	THE COURT: Where is he?	
19	MS. ARMENI: Didn't he go back to try and make a	
20	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, do you still need this transcript for	
21	tomorrow?	
22	THE COURT: Yes.	
23	While we're looking while we're look	
24	MR. ARRASCADA: I'm handing her the certified transcript	
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay.	

JRP TRANSCR!BING 702.635.0301 -282-

	$oldsymbol{1}$
1	MR. ARRASCADA: of Jason Taoipu.
2	THE COURT: It's part of the record anyway. I mean, I just don't feel
3	like digging it out.
4	MR. ARRASCADA: It's certified. It has the magic stamp on it.
5	THE COURT: It's just the first 35 pages?
6	MR. ARRASCADA: Oh, no, that's the that's the direct of Mr.
7	Pesci.
8	THE COURT: Well, you said that most of it was just fighting back
9	and forth.
10	MR. ARRASCADA: Well, it was fabrication type stuff. There was no
11	real it's it's a quick read after
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. ARRASCADA: 43 pages, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	All right. Let's bring them in.
16	THE MARSHAL: Jury is coming in.
17	(Jury enters at 6:31 p.m.)
18	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.
19	The defense having rested, does the State wish to call any
20	rebuttal witnesses?
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge. The State calls Christopher Oram.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Oram.
23	MR. ORAM: Hello, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Husted is going to administer the oath to you.
25	11111

1	CHRISTOPHER ORAM		
2	Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:		
3		THE CLERK: Thank you. And please state and spell your name.	
4		THE WITNESS: My name is Christopher Oram. My last name is	
5	spelled O-F	spelled O-R-A-M, M as in Mary.	
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:		
8	Q	Sir, how are you employed?	
9	Α	I'm an attorney.	
10	Q	How long have you been an attorney?	
11	А	Since 1991, about 17 years.	
12	Q	And what is your main area of practice?	
13	Α	Exclusively criminal law.	
14	Q	Exclusively criminal law?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	Is there a particular type of criminal law that you have recently	
17	been well, not recently, that you specialize in?		
18	A	Right. I don't specialize. I handle a tremendous amount of murder	
19	cases.		
20	Q	Okay. You've had a lot of them.	
21	A	l've had many, many.	
22	Q	Okay. And I'm sorry, how long have you been an attorney? You	
23	said 17 years? Since '91 you said?		
24	Α	Yes, 1991.	
25		MR. GENTILE: May we approach?	
1	<b>;</b>		

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -284-

	li .	
1		THE COURT: Of course.
2		(Conference at the bench)
3	BY MR. DIG	SIACOMO:
4	Q	Did there come a point in time when you represented, or I guess still
5	do, an indiv	ridual by the name of Anabel Espindola?
6	A	Yes. Shortly after her arrest I was retained on this case, and I have
7	represented	d her until today.
8	Q	And how is it that you came to know Anabel Espindola? How did
9	that work?	
10	A	I was contacted by Dominic Gentile who asked me if I would be
11	willing to take the case. I had	
12		MR. ADAMS: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
13		THE COURT: That's sustained.
14	BY MR. DIC	SIACOMO:
15	Q	Well, after whatever conversation you had, did you eventually meet
16	Ms. Espindola?	
17	Α	I did.
18	Q	And where did you meet her at?
19	Α	The Clark County Detention Center.
20	Q	And do you recall of the top of your head the day you met her?
21	Α	No, I I don't. I've had a chance to review my visitation records,
22	and I believ	e somewhere approximately May 24 <sup>th</sup> , I believe.
23	Q	Okay. And how many times do you did you count off how many
24	times you v	isited her between then and the end of the records that were provided
25	to you?	

1		THE COURT: Okay.
2		(Conference at the bench)
3	BY MR. DI	GIAÇOMO:
4	Q	During the course of your early representation of Ms. Espindola, did
5	she describ	e for you a phone call between Mr. Carroll and herself?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	On May 19 <sup>th</sup> ?
8	Α	I I don't recall the date of the phone call right off right off hand,
9	but I remen	nber. Is this the one where plan B?
10	Q	No, I want to talk about Deangelo Carroll's phone call.
11	A	Okay.
12	Q	All right? Do you remember Ms. Espindola testifying to Deangelo
13	Carroll calling her while she's at Simone's Auto Plaza	
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	early in the day that TJ's is
16	A	Correct.
17		MR. GENTILE: I object.
18		MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, I object.
19		MR. GENTILE: This is not rebuttal. We're we're saying that that
20	call did happen.	
21		THE COURT: Right. That's sustained.
22		MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I'm I got to that's my foundational
23	question fo	r the next question.
24		THE COURT: Well, just ask the next question. I mean
25		MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay, Well, I'll ask the next question.

	<b>!</b>	
1		THE WITNESS: Or late May?
2		THE COURT: Or, sorry, late May.
3		THE WITNESS: Okay.
4		THE COURT: It's been a long day.
5		THE WITNESS: No, I understand.
6		THE COURT: It's been a long three weeks, frankly.
7		THE WITNESS: I can see that.
8	BY MR. DI	GIACOMO:
9	Q	And I think I was at the plan B conversation. You know what
10	conversation	on I'm talking about?
11	A	I do.
12	Q	Okay. And does Ms. Espindola describe for you in late May of 2005
13	a conversa	tion between herself and Mr. H where the subject of plan B came up?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And did she tell you what it is that Mr. H told her to do related to plan
16	B?	
17	A	To go and make a phone call and say to go to plan B, and then to
18	return to where he was.	
19		THE COURT: When did she tell you this?
20		MR. ORAM: She told me that in the very first meetings.
21		THE COURT: The late May meetings?
22		MR. ORAM: Yes, the late May meetings
23		THE COURT: Okay.
24		MR. ORAM: and she would have told me numerous times after
25	that point.	

1		THE COURT: Okay.
2	BY MR. DI	GIACOMO:
3	Q	And I was going to get to that. Right now I'm going to focus on you
4	she tells yo	u a story, basically, or she gives you a version of events in late May
5	and we'll ta	lk about the progression thereafter. Does she describe for you a
6	situation w	nere Deangelo Carroll comes back to the office with Mr. H present
7	saying it's o	ione. Did she describe that for you?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And did she describe for you the discussion that she just testified to
10	related to th	ne money that was paid?
11	А	Putting \$5,000 down?
12	Q	Yes.
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Did she at any point during this five day or the late May period ever
15	tell you that	Mr. H paid the money because he was scared of Deangelo or any
16	other perso	n?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Okay. Did she thereafter describe for you kind of the events of
19	Friday, Sati	urday, Sunday, leading into the Monday wire?
20	А	Yes, she described that in detail.
21	Q	Okay. Specifically in this first five day period, did you learn that she
22	wound up a	t Jerome DePalma's law office?
23	Α	I learned that she had been at Dominic Gentile's office on two
24	occasions.	
25	Q	Okay.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -293-

1	MR. ARRASCADA: We object.
2	MR. ADAMS: Objection.
3	MR. GENTILE: How is this rebuttal?
4	THE COURT: Yeah, that's sustained.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, what do you mean how is it not rebuttal?
6	This is their witness, Jerome DePalma. I am entitled to ask
7	THE COURT: Well, and Mr. Oram was not Jerome DePalma's
8	attorney, nor did he have any conversations with Jerome DePalma, nor
9	MR. GENTILE: This happened five days before he got involved in
10	the case. This was the first statement she ever made.
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: My question is what she never told her lawyer.
12	THE COURT: Well, how does he know?
13	MR. ARRASCADA: Well, how
14	MR. ADAMS: That should be
15	MR. ARRASCADA: would he know?
16	MR. ADAMS: That's a question for her, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: I mean, he can't speculate as to why she didn't tell
18	him unless she said I'm not telling you what I told Jerome DePalma, but
19	according to him, she didn't tell Jerome DePalma anything. So
20	MR. GENTILE: No.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: That's
22	MR. GENTILE: No, no, no. He didn't say that.
23	THE COURT: Oh, okay, you're right.
24	MR. GENTILE: He said that she didn't tell him that she told Jerome
25	DePalma. She said she didn't tell Jerome DePalma anything.

	<b>   </b>	
1		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gentile. You're correct.
2		MR. GENTILE: Thank you. I just want the record to be clear.
3		THE COURT: You're correct. I misspoke.
4		MR. GENTILE: Thank you.
5		THE COURT: The Court misspoke. Not the first time, not the last
6	time.	
7	BY MR. DI	GIACOMO:
8	Q	I'm going to ask it a different way. Would having this information
9	have been	helpful to you in your defense early on in this case?
10	Α	I did a bail hearing in Boulder City where I had to argue
11		THE COURT: I think that was just a
12		MR. ARRASCADA: Objection.
13		MR. ADAMS: Objection to
14		THE COURT: yes or a no question.
15		MR. ADAMS: improper.
16		THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	: :	MR. GENTILE: Yeah, I mean
18		THE WITNESS: Yes, it would have been helpful.
19		MR. ADAMS: What is it rebutting, Your Honor? I don't I'm
20	confused.	
21		THE COURT: That's sustained.
22		MR. DIGIACOMO: So I can't rebut
23		THE COURT: Well, I mean, what
24		MR. DIGIACOMO: The fact that
25		THE COURT: would've been help
	[	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -295-

1		THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That was it.	
2		Go ahead.	
3		MR. DIGIACOMO: Now, am I allowed to continue?	
4		THE COURT: Subject to what I already what I already told you.	
5		MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.	
6	BY MR. DI	GIACOMO:	
7	Q	Would exculpatory information be helpful to you in preparation to	
8	that bail he	aring?	
9		MR. GENTILE: I have the same objection.	
10	<u> </u>	THE COURT: All right. I'll let him just answer that one question and	
11	then that's it.		
12	į	MR. ADAMS: For the record, Judge, joined	
13		THE COURT: All right.	
14		MR. ADAMS: objection joined by the Third.	
15	BY MR. DK	GIACOMO:	
16	A	Could you repeat it?	
17	Q	Would exculpatory information be helpful to you for the bail hearing	
18	in Boulder	City?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	And did Ms. Espindola provide you any of the exculpatory	
21	information	that is contained in State's proposed Exhibit	
22		MR. GENTILE: Objection.	
23		MR. ADAMS: Objection.	
24		THE COURT: Sustained.	
25		MR. DIGIACOMO: [inaudible].	
[			

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -298-

1		MR. DIGIACOMO: 2006.
2		MR. GENTILE: 2005 maybe?
3	BY MR. DI	GIACOMO:
4	Q	July of 2005; correct?
5	A	I know that you filed your notice of intent to seek the death penalty. I
6	do not knov	w what month.
7	Q	And that's that notice cannot be filed prior to the preliminary
8	hearing; co	rrect?
9	Α	Right.
10	Q	Okay. And
11		MR. GENTILE: How is this rebuttal?
12		THE COURT: Sustained.
13		MR. ADAMS: How is it
14		THE COURT: Sustained.
15		MR. ADAMS: rebuttal?
16		THE COURT: Sustained. Thank you.
17		MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, isn't that the basis of the fabrication? Don't
18	I have to es	tablish the timing?
19		THE COURT: Well, please approach.
20		(Conference at the bench)
21		MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I pass the witness.
22		THE COURT: Cross.
23		MR. GENTILE: Can I have this marked please.
24		THE CLERK: It's J.
25		MR. GENTILE: Thank you.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -301-

## 1 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 BY MR. GENTILE: 3 Q Mr. Oram, you have been given a copy of -- is that 281 that you have there? Is that the number of Mr. DePalma's notes? 4 5 No. Α 6 Okay. Q 7 I don't have that. 8 Let me give you 281. Q 9 MS. ARMENI: 241. 10 241. Q 11 THE COURT: Is that 241? You don't have --12 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 13 THE COURT: -- a copy of Mr. DePalma's --14 THE WITNESS: No. 15 THE COURT: -- notes all? 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. You know what, I'm sorry. I do. It's a copy. I have that. 17 18 BY MR. GENTILE: 19 All right. Well, I'm going to put it up here anyway. Q 20 Okay. Do you want me to look at the --Α 21 Q Okay. Can you see up at the top here where he has the date,

5/231/05?

Α

Yes.

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. His testimony in this case was that this being --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, objection as to what his testimony was and

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -302-

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	And then the 27 <sup>th</sup> at 1:09 p.m.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And then the 28 <sup>th</sup>
5	A	Isn't that in the late evening?
6	Q	Wait a minute, wait a minute.
7	Α	It's in the evening. 6:57?
8	Q	6:57 p.m. on the 28 <sup>th</sup> .
9	A	Right.
10	Q	And then on the 31 <sup>st</sup> at 6:22.
11	A	Okay.
12	Q	All right. And you're saying that those were lengthy meetings?
13	A	They were. Most of
14	Q	Most of them. Okay.
15	A	Yes, I can
16	Q	That's just May. We just finished May. Okay? Now, do you have
17	notes from	those meetings?
18	A	I I never take notes from a meeting at the Clark County Detention
19	Center.	
20	Q	Okay. Well, now, let me ask you something. In the year 2005, May,
21	was this the	e only the case that you had?
22	А	No, no, no. I have many, many, many cases, many murders.
23	Q	Many, many?
24	Α	Many murder cases.
25	Q	Tell me. Just in the year 2005 how many?
ł		

1	А	Not murder cases.
2	Q	And if I understand you correctly then, you're giving us testimony
3	that you do	n't make notes whenever you're interviewing any client at any time?
4	Α	No, that's not true.
5	Q	That's not true?
6	A	That's inaccurate.
7	Q	All right.
8	A	Okay.
9	Q	Then thank you. Is it just this case that you did not make any notes
10	on?	
11	А	No. No, that's an that's an inaccurate statement. I take notes
12	Q	l didn't ask you anything else.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	Okay? But on these dates
15	А	Yes, sir.
16	Q	you didn't take any notes?
17	А	No.
18	Q	All right. I'm correct?
19	A	You're correct.
20	Q	Okay. Now, let's talk about June. You saw her
21	1   	MR. GENTILE: And will the Court take judicial notice that the notice
22	of death in t	this case was filed on July 6, 2005.
23		THE COURT: As against Anabel Espindola?
24		MR. GENTILE: As against Anabel Espindola.
25		MR. DIGIACOMO: I haven't checked, but it's probably close.
- 1		

1	Q	All right. So essentially those markings on those on those two
2	pages are t	he only markings that you have made on paper of your
3	communica	itions with Anabel Espindola?
4	Α	Correct.
5	Q	In a minimum of 80 visits?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	In preparation for a case, a murder case
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	that once carried the death penalty for her?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11		MR. GENTILE: I move these into evidence at this time.
12		MR. DIGIACOMO: No objection.
13		THE COURT: All right.
14		(Defense Exhibit J is admitted)
15	BY MR. GE	NTILE:
16	Q	Now, you've had hundreds of cases in that period?
17	А	Yes, sir.
18	Q	And are you telling us that you have independent recollection of
19	everything t	hat was said to you by your hundreds of clients in the last 45 months
20	so that you	don't need to refresh your recollection?
21	А	No, I don't have independent recollection of everything my clients
22	have said to	me. My no, not a chance.
23		MR. GENTILE: Nothing further.
24		THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Adams?
25	11111	

## ROSS-EXAMINATION

1	1:	C
2	BY MR. AD	PAMS:
3	Q	Let me show you
4	identificatio	n purposes.
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Jerry DePalma's r
7	Α	You know, I didn't
8	Q	Sure. Look away.
9	А	There's so many r
10	at it?	
11	Q	I well, I did very
12	notes in the	case, so don't let r
13	A	No, they're not my
14	Q	Well, they're your
15	Α	Yes, that's correct
16	Q	All right. Because
17	А	And I have a lot ba
18	Q	All right. But relat
19	down conte	emporaneously or a
20	A	Yes, with the exce
21	witness tha	t was written that I t
22		Diabt Co on the

- what's been marked as Defendant's J for
  - name is not on there anywhere; is it?
  - -- I didn't look at it.
- names. I'll take your word for it. Have you looked
- briefly because we just got it. But they're your full me put words in your mouth.
  - v full notes from the case.
  - full notes related to anything from the client.

  - e you have all these other notes.
  - ack in my office too.
- ted to anything she said to you, and you wrote t the same time, that's it.
- eption of -- the -- there was some other little talked about previously.
- Right. So, on there is Jerry DePalma's name mentioned? And I'll tell you if you find it, I'll be surprised.
  - Okay, then, no. Α

23

24

25

Take your time. Q

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -311-

į	Į.	
1	А	You know what, with regard to do you want to know my history
2	with him?	
3	Q	l want to know if you
4	A	l haven't I haven't
5	Q	know him well enough to know if the man is honest.
6	A	I have not really had he did the Sapphires case with me a couple
7	of years ag	o with Mr. Gentile and Ms. Armeni, but I have not had many dealings
8	with Mr. Dil	bble over the last ten years.
9	Q	Is Mr. Dibble dishonest?
10	A	I sir, I just haven't had enough dealings to make
11		THE COURT: So you don't have an opinion
12		THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't
13		THE COURT: one way or the other?
14		THE WITNESS: have an opinion is what I'm saying.
15		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16		Move on.
17	BY MR. ADAMS:	
18	Q	Were you at Mr. Gentile's office on the 22 <sup>nd</sup> ?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Were you at Simone's on the 23 <sup>rd</sup> when I a body wire was done that
21	l suspect y	ou've listened to on more than one occasion.
22	A	Ad nauseam, yes, and I was not there.
23	Q	You were not there.
24	A	No.
25	Q	Your first meeting was several days after this meeting at Jerry
ļ		

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -315-

1	Q	Okay. You said you worked with him on a Sapphires case; correct?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Okay.
4	А	I didn't really work with him. He had had the co-defendant. I I
5	didn't he	was there like he is here today, but he was not my client's
6	investigator	r. He did some work.
7	Q	Okay. During the 33 months that you represented Anabel Espindola
8	prior to the	entry of her plea, did you have contact with Don Dibble that related to
9	this case?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	You didn't know anything about the DePalma meeting?
12	Α	No.
13		MR. DIGIACOMO: Nothing further.
14		THE COURT: Mr. Gentile, anything else?
15		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. GE	NTILE:
17	Q	Did you ever ask?
18	А	Yes, as a matter of fact, I asked all the meetings and attorneys that
19	she had me	et with in
20	Q	No, no, no. I didn't mean did you ask your client.
21	А	Oh, I'm sorry.
22	Q	I didn't mean that.
23	А	I'm sorry.
24	Q	Did you ever ask Mr. Dibble?
25	А	I I talked to Mr. Dibble about
[		

1	Q	No, did you ever ask Mr. Dibble if he had had any meetings with
2	Anabel Es	pindola prior to your getting into the case?
3	Α.	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And he told you, no, he did not?
5	A	No, he didn't say it that way. He just told me that there had been
6	brief the	re had been brief things, but that he didn't have he didn't tell me
7	anything s	ubstantive whatsoever.
8	a a	So he
9	Α	Nothing.
10	Q	didn't discuss what was revealed at those things, at those
11	meetings?	
12	A	No, Mr. Gentile, that's not accurate. I was given briefings of what my
13	client had said, what my client had told people, including you, and I was never	
14	told of this	-
15	Q	You were given briefings by Mr. Dibble?
16	Α	I was told by Mr. Dibble, Ms. Armeni, you. What had happened
17	when I came into the case, I had to know something about the case and I was	
18	sort of brie	fed on what had happened, and I remember specifically some things
19	that Mr. Di	bble told me.
20	Q	Okay. But he did not tell you about the meeting at DePalma's
21	office?	
22	Α	No, sir.
23	Q	Now, you're not you're not saying that that meeting did not
24	happen?	
25	Α	wasn't I wasn't there. I couldn't

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -319-

MR. ADAMS: We just have an issue for the record --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ADAMS: -- at the appropriate time.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, that concludes the presentation of evidence in this case. As I told you, if you can even remember that far back in the beginning, the next step is the instructions on the law which will be followed by the closing arguments from the attorneys. Because the State has the burden of proof in this case, they will have the opportunity to address you twice in the arguments.

So tomorrow when we begin! will read to you the instructions on the law. Then the State will open the closing arguments, the defense may at that time, if they choose to, make their closing arguments, which can be followed by the rebuttal argument from the State. And at that time, once the arguments are over, the case will be submitted to you for your deliberations which will happen tomorrow.

I want to make sure that you — there are very legal issues that still need to be resolved and so we're going to have you come back at 11:00 tomorrow. The Court will read the instructions at 11:00. That normally takes about 20 minutes which will be followed by the State's first closing argument.

We'll order in lunch for you folks. We'll take our lunch break, and then finish up with the closing statements, and then you'll get the case to begin your deliberations in the jury deliberation room where you'll have written copies of the instructions on the law and all of the many exhibits that have been admitted during this trial.

So let's go ahead and take our evening recess. We'll see you back here tomorrow morning at 11:00. Once again, I must remind you that during the evening recess you're not to discuss this case, any person or subject matter relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. Do not read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research on any subject connected with the trial. Don't form or express an opinion. Don't visit any of the locations at issue. That's all I can think of.

And right before you leave, I'm going to see Officer Wooten at the bench, please.

## (Conference at the bench)

THE COURT: Any questions that any of you may have, I was going to say take them in the hall with Jeff. Is it anything that you need from the Court or is it scheduling and other issues that Jeff can address?

Okay. I -- Jeff should know, and if not, he'll leave you out in the hallway and come find out from me. So any questions you may have, just go ahead and ask Jeff. Notepads in your chairs, and we'll see you all back here at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow.

(Jury recessed at 7:27 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Here's what I ask. I'm speaking.

Mr. DiGiacomo probably knows the drill. We ask that you provide written copies of the instructions like on a disc or by email or whatever to my JEA, Penny. It's probably easier for the defense just to bring in a disc. We're on the Word system. Are you guys on the Word system?

MS. ARMENI: Yes.

Roman.

1	MR. GENTILE: They are?	
2	MR. ARRASCADA: Yes.	
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Wow.	
4	THE COURT: What do you use, 12?	
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: Nobody made fun of you in high school.	
6	THE COURT: 12 point. Most people use 12 point Times New	
7	Roman.	
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't know how you read 12 point. That's why I	
9	go to 13 or 14 or	
10	THE COURT: You know, although	
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: I can't possibly read it.	
12	THE COURT: I recently needed distance glasses, I still have	
13	perfect, let me just tell you, close up vision.	
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I guess my only request is if they have	
15	anything that they want to identify of ours that they object to, obviously we both	
16	know the conspiracy one. We've got Crue (phonetic), they've got some Federal	
17	law, but if there's anything specifically that they object in ours that they want cites	
18	to because, you know, most of these were are stock from like Counts and	
19	stuff like that.	
20	THE COURT: And can you guys help me out here on anything that	
21	you think is going to be contested and you cited case law, if you can, you know,	
22	in the many hours you'll have tonight, if you can have a copy of the case,	
23	particularly Federal cases, for me to look at when I'm deciding the instructions.	
24	Because, otherwise, there'll be a delay with my law clerk having to print it out.	
25	Not a big delay, but if you can do that, that would be great. If you don't do it,	

1	that's fine. I'll just have my law clerk pull up cases as it becomes an issue.
2	MS. ARMENI: Okay.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: And if they could just identify for me sometime
4	before we get here at 9 a.m. which ones they want to object to so we can find the
5	case law that we need for them.
6	MR. GENTILE: The ones that have ink on the page.
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: The ones that have what?
8	THE CLERK: Ink on the page.
9	THE COURT: Well, maybe we should start at 8:30.
10	MR. GENTILE: No, no, no. 9:00.
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, we're out of bed before 8:00. I don't want
12	to do that to you though.
13	THE COURT: I can I have been to work let me just tell you. I
14	have been here many days at 8:00 a.m.
15	MR. ARRASCADA: May we make a record?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, you asked asked if we would be
18	putting on a case in chief defense on behalf of Luis Hidalgo III. We advised the
19	Court that we were and that it would be transcript testimony from Jason Taoipu.
20	We've provided to the Court an affidavit of Don Dibble outlining the efforts made
21	to locate Mr. Taoipu.
22	THE COURT: Do you want me to make this a Court's exhibit?
23	MR. ARRASCADA: I'd ask that it be made a Court exhibit.
24	THE COURT: Court exhibit.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: 1'd also supplement that with the fact that we
1	

have called the Clark County Detention Center and/or the division of parole and probation on a daily basis since this trial, before this trial has begun to see if Mr. Taoipu was in custody.

I've also been informed by the Court that the Court signed a warrant for his arrest for violating his probation. With that we -- the basis for bringing in the transcript is that Mr. Taoipu is an unavailable witness. I believe the Court's already made that ruling and that finding.

THE COURT: That's true.

MR. ARRASCADA: We move to have admitted through his transcript testimony from page 39 regarding what he heard, what Jason Taoipu heard regarding a conversation about baseball bats and garbage bags.

And the specific question from Mr. Pesci is tell us what you heard, when you heard it, and who you heard it from. Mr. Taoipu stated that he heard it from when we he went to pick up KC, Deangelo told us that he, Anabel -- and Anabel was talking about baseball bats and trash bags. This is exculpatory evidence for our client, Luis Hidalgo III.

Your Honor, it's my understanding you are not going to be permitting us to put on this information and we would make in our record that we do believe it needs to be placed on. There is an entire transcript, but the parts of the transcript that are relevant under 47.120 would be nominal as related to Little Luis Hidalgo or Luis Hidalgo III, and we believe that it's proper to have this admitted and read to the jury and placed into evidence.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Somewhat briefly, first of all, there's a recent Nevada Supreme Court case, and while I don't remember the name of the case, I remember the judge because it was Justice Hardesty who wrote it. He said in

order for that to be fulfilled the motion must be filed 15 days prior to trial. And if it's filed later than that, and as of yet it has never been filed in this case, they have to establish what good cause that they -- what they did to find Jason Taoipu prior to the 15 day time period that they were required to do so. That never happened in this case.

The next thing that is required under this statute is that the — that the — not only are the parties the same, and I will agree that the parties are the same, but the issue in the trial has to be the same, and that's it. There was no issue in that — in counsel's trial as to who cares who said it. It is the fact that it was said. And Mr. Pesci and I certainly didn't attempt to impeach Mr. Taoipu on an issue that was clearly —

THE COURT: I was thinking --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- unrelated to Counts.

THE COURT: -- I wish this was like the senate. You know, I could just leave and you could just talk and then you guys could just talk because we're just making a record. And I say that somewhat facetiously, but we all know how senators stand up to an empty room for their face time.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct. And I -- and I do know that. But I also recognize that this will be an issue.

THE COURT: Right. You need to make a record and they need to make a record.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Make sure that the record completely reflects that the statute does not allow for this to be admitted. And then on top of that, the request isn't to admit the entire preliminary hearing transcript as through the admission of a portion of it.

And under the statute for prior testimony, the -- the -- it says the testimony becomes admissible. And there's a line of cases, and I won't cite them for the Court, that says once the defendant waives his confrontation rights, everything that that person -- all the confrontation rights are waived and at that point it's the testimony of the witness will be admissible. They don't want that. They want just a portion of it.

There's a third problem as well, which because the portions which would be used to impeach that are twofold, both of which are open to interpretation as to who he's speaking to whether -- whether he's talking about Mr. H or Little Lou and Mr. H has his own confrontation rights. And I believe that that will make Mr. Gentile --

THE COURT: Mr. Gentile invoked those.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- on behalf of Mr. H, object to the admissibility of the entire transcript, Judge. So I think -- not -- you have the absolute right to exclude it on that basis, but also when you look at what Mr. Gentile has been repeatedly saying, which is a 48.035 analysis as to whether or not it confuses the issues and is more prejudicial than probative. I would submit to the Court that you would have that basis as well to exclude it. And I'll submit it.

MR. GENTILE: As long as my name is going to be sullied, I would like to at least say that my objection does not go to the use of the inconsistent — actually, it's not even an inconsistent statement, it's contradiction. It's being used as contradiction.

THE COURT: It's a contradiction to --

MR. ARRASCADA: Rontae Zone.

THE COURT: -- to Rontae Zone's testimony.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -327-

MR. GENTILE: Right. It's -- it's affirmative --

THE COURT: It's not an inconsistent --

MR. GENTILE: -- contradiction. And -- and that affirmative contradiction, of course, is -- does not damage in any way Luis Hidalgo, Jr., and so I would not object to that.

What I do object to is this -- is the Crawford violation that comes into this situation for Luis Hidalgo, Jr. because he is not seeking the introduction of the contradiction. And if the Court were -- were to rule that more than the contradiction, and in fact the entire transcript, much of which is definitely cumulative and not subject to cross-examination by Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s attorney and not beneficial to him. And that would be a constitutional violation.

And so were you to allow in only the limited contradictory evidence, I would not have an objection. But if the Court's inclination is to let the rest of it in, then I do, and it's constitutionally based and I would move for a mistrial if you were to allow that to come in.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes, Your Honor. My -- the statute is clear that they -- testimony into rule of completeness of 47.120 says you can allow in relevant evidence. We provided the Court with citations to what would, in our perception, be relative evidence regarding the statement that Anabel called for the bats and bags.

I'm somewhat dumbfounded that the State is now saying a witness that they put on in the case they're going to impeach. I guess the State's taking the position that their witness that they put had perjured testimony.

THE COURT: Well, or mistaken testimony.

MR. ARRASCADA: Which they have an obligation to have cured during that trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, here's the Court's analysis. Basically there's other very damning evidence against, if you take it in the context of other statements, against Luis Hidalgo III, which isn't now going to be subject to cross-examination by you or questioning by you.

So if you let in the statement that's inconsistent with what Rontae Zone testified to, or contradictory, then, to me, that opens the door to other statements that Jason Taoipu made in his trial testimony that indicate that Little Lou was involved and gave the order. So, to me, you can't just take the one exculpatory statement without looking and at least having part of the transcript in that pertains to Little Lou's involvement. And so, to me, it opened the door to at least that, even if you didn't go through the whole -- the whole transcript.

And even if you put on Detective Wildemann, even if I were to allow that, to say, no, that's Little Lou, if they don't believe that, then it's still prejudicial as to Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. But I don't think you can just say, well, this is not consistent with what Rontae Zone testified and not open the door to his testimony that says Little Lou was involved, Deangelo told me Little Lou, or the boss --

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge --

THE COURT: -- put the thing out. So I'm going to let you say whatever else you want, and then that's it.

MR. ARRASCADA: I appreciate your ruling. With all due respect I disagree with your analysis --

1	THE COURT: Of course you do.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: of the transcript. I'd ask that it be made a
3	Court exhibit also.
4	THE COURT: Well, actually, the oh.
5	MR. ARRASCADA: It's a certified copy of the transcript.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it can be. I mean, it's part of the official
7	record in this case anyway because it's
8	MR. ARRASCADA: No, it's part
9	THE COURT: the trial transcript.
10	MR. ARRASCADA: of the Counts trial transcript.
11	THE COURT: I know, but it's all part of the
12	MR. ARRASCADA: Well, I would just
13	THE COURT: It's all part of
14	MR. ARRASCADA: ask that that
15	THE COURT: That's fine. I don't care. That's I mean, all I'm
16	saying is it's all part of the overall record in the
17	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, also, this file is our client's due process
18	and rights of a right to a fair trial.
19	(Proceedings adjourned at 7:40 p.m.)
20	-000-
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	
24	Julie totter

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -330-

JUNE POTTER
TRANSCRIBER

25