

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NEVADA RECYCLING AND SALVAGE,  
LTD, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY; AND AMCB, LLC, A  
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY, D/B/A RUBBISH  
RUNNERS,

Appellants,

vs.

RENO DISPOSAL COMPANY, INC., A  
NEVADA CORPORATION, D/B/A  
WASTE MANAGEMENT; REFUSE,  
INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION; AND  
WASTE MANAGEMENT OF NEVADA,  
INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Respondents.

No. 71467

**FILED**

**JUN 14 2017**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*O R D E R*

Appellants have filed an unopposed motion requesting leave to file certain portions of their appendix under seal and to file a redacted version of their opening brief. In support of the motion, appellants state that the documents it seeks to file under seal were filed under seal in the district court pursuant to a protective order. Cause appearing, we grant the motion. SRCR 3(4), (7).

The clerk shall file the portions of the appendix received on June 9, 2017, under seal. However, we are unable to accept the submitted redacted and unredacted copies of the opening brief because they do not comply with NRAP 32(a). Although the certificates included with the briefs pursuant to NRAP 32(a)(9) indicate that they comply with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4) and the typeface requirements in NRAP 32(a)(5), review of the briefs indicates that they are not double-

spaced, do not contain 1-inch margins on all four sides, and the footnotes are not “in the same size and typeface as the body of the brief” as required by NRAP 32(a)(5). Because they are not prepared in accordance with NRAP 32, we direct the clerk of this court to reject the redacted opening brief received on June 8, 2017, and the unredacted brief received on June 9, 2017. *See* NRAP 32(e) (“If a brief . . . is not prepared in accordance with this Rule, the clerk will not file the document, but shall return it to be properly prepared.”).

Appellants shall have 11 days from the date of this order to file and serve redacted and unredacted opening briefs that comply with NRAP 32.<sup>1</sup> Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. Upon receipt of the briefs, the clerk of this court shall file the unredacted brief under seal and file the redacted brief in this court’s public docket.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Winter Street Law Group  
Robison Belaustegui Sharp & Low

---

<sup>1</sup>We note that if a properly formatted brief exceeds the page limit set forth in NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(i), the brief will nonetheless be acceptable without a motion to exceed the page limit if it contains no more than 14,000 words. NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii). If appellants must rely on compliance with the type-volume limitations, the certificate required by NRAP 32(a)(8) must specify the number of words in the brief, not simply that it contains no more than 14,000 words. NRAP 32(a)(9)(B); NRAP Form 9.