

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF: THE W.N.  
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.  
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED  
MAY 18, 1972, AN INTER VIVOS  
IRREVOCABLE TRUST.

No. 71577

**FILED**

APR 03 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
*[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; AND  
KATHRYN A. BOUVIER,

Appellants,

vs.

ELEANOR CONNELL HARTMAN  
AHERN; AND FREDERICK P. WAID,  
COURT-APPOINTED TRUSTEE,

Respondents.

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION AND REGARDING CAPTION**

Appellants and respondent Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern have filed a second stipulation extending the time for filing the opening brief. We elect to treat the stipulation as a motion for an extension of time, and grant the motion. *See* NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from the due date established by the rule). Appellants shall have until May 3, 2017, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to

17-10893

comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

We note that the stipulation is not signed by respondent Frederick P. Waid, Court-Appointed Trustee, and the caption on the stipulation does not list Mr. Waid as a respondent. It thus appears that Mr. Waid may be incorrectly listed as a respondent on this court's docket.<sup>1</sup> Appellants shall have 5 days from the date of this order to inform this court, in writing, whether Mr. Waid is correctly listed as a respondent. Ms. Ahern and Mr. Waid may file any reply within 5 days of service of appellants' response.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: The Rushforth Firm, Ltd.  
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas  
Hutchison & Steffen, LLC

---

<sup>1</sup>Any confusion may stem from appellants' failure to file a case appeal statement as required. See NRAP 3(f).