3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WYNN RESORTS LIMITED,

Petitioner,

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL  $\mathsf{THE}$ IN AND  $\mathsf{THE}$ NEVADA. FOR COUNTY **OF CLARK:** AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH DISTRICT JUDGE, GONZALEZ, DEPT. XI

Respondent,

KAZUO OKADA; UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINEMENT CORP. AND ARUZE USA, INC.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Case No. 71638
Electronically Filed
Dec 02 2016 03:25 p.m.
MOTION TO EXTREMINATE WITHOUT TO BE TO SENDENT TO SENDENT PENDING WRIT PETITION AND RULE 27(E) EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INTERIM EXTENSION OF STAY

(Action Required By December 5, 2016)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Currently pending before this Court is Wynn Resorts, Limited ("Wynn Resorts") petition for Writ of Prohibition or Alternatively Mandamus filed with this Court on November 4, 2016. Wynn Resorts' Petition challenges the District Court's October 31, 2016 Order which seeks to compel discovery from foreign entities that are neither parties to the case nor subject to jurisdiction in Nevada. As noted in Wynn Resorts' Petition, the District Court has entered a temporary stay of its Order for 30 days or pending this Court's determination of whether to require an answer from the Real Parties in Interest. (Petition at 18). The District Court indicated that it would extend the stay if this Court called for an answer. (Ex. A at 10). In essence, the District Court and Wynn Resorts anticipated that this Court would determine whether to call for an answer within the time frame of the District Court's 30-day stay.

However, that stay is due to expire on December 5, 2016 unless this Court calls for a response to the Petition. As of today, this Court has not acted yet on Wynn

Resorts' Petition. Accordingly, Wynn Resorts submits this motion for a temporary extension of the District Court's stay until this Court determines whether to call for a response to the Petition. The NRAP 27(E) Certificate is attached hereto.

### II. ANALYSIS

The grounds for a stay are well known to this Court and form the basis for the District Court's original stay. NRAP 8; *Mikohn Gaming Corp. v. McCrea*, 120 Nev. 248, 252, 89 P.3d 36, 39 (2004):

### 1. The Petition's Purpose would be Defeated.

As the Petition seeks to enjoin the District Court's Order as exceeding its jurisdiction, the purpose of the Petition would be interfered with absent a temporary stay while the Court considered whether to call for an answer to the Petition.

### 2. Wynn Resorts faces the potential for Irreparable Harm.

Similarly, denying the stay of the Order exposes Wynn Resorts to serious and irreparable harm. The Order purports to threaten Wynn Resorts with sanctions if it does not provide information that is in the possession, custody and control of a foreign non-party to this case, where a foreign government has threatened potential criminal liability for violations of its laws.

## 3. A Stay will not harm the Real Party in Interest.

As set forth in the Petition, the District Court has otherwise stayed discovery in the case below and thus the Okada Parties face no serious harm by a temporary continuance of the stay

### 4. The Petition has Substantial Merit.

As set forth in detail in the Petition, the District Court's Order exceeds its jurisdiction, by extending its jurisdictional reach into a foreign country and asserting control over documents that are within the possession, custody and control of a foreign non-party.

### III. CONCLUSION.

The District Court recognized the far-reaching nature of this Order and agreed to a temporary stay, for 30 days pending this Court's determination whether to call for a response. As that has not yet happened, the temporary stay will otherwise expire on December 5. Wynn Resorts accordingly requests a brief extension of the stay from this Court until it decides whether to call for an answer from the Real Parties in Interest.

DATED this 2nd day of December, 2016.

### PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice
James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027
Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Wynn Resorts, Limited

### NRAP 27(E) CERTIFICATE

	•	١	١	
	,	,		
4	4		•	

1

## 3

## 4

### 5

## 6

## 7

## 8

## 9

## 10

## 11

## 12

## 13

## 14

## 15

## 16

## 17

## 18

## 19

## 20

## 21

## 22

## 23





## 25

## 26

## 27

## 28

## **Contact Information**

## Attorney for Petitioner:

Α.

## James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027

- Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
- Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695
- 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
- Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

## Attorneys for Real Party in Interest:

- J. Stephen Peek, Esq.
- Bryce K. Kunimoto, Esq.
- Robert J. Cassity, Esq.
- Brian G. Anderson, Esq.
- **HOLLAND & HART LLP**
- 9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor
- Las Vegas, NV 89134

## Steve Morris, Esq.

- **MORRIS LAW GROUP**
- 900 Bank of America Plaza
- 300 South Fourth Street
- Las Vegas, NV 89101
- Richard A. Wright, Esq.
- WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER
- 300 South 4th Street, Suite 701
- Las Vegas, NV 89101
- David S. Krakoff, Esq.
- Benjamin B. Klubes, Esq. Joseph J. Reilly, Esq.
- **BUCKLEY SANDLER LLP**
- 1250 24th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037
- 4

### **B.** Nature of Emergency

The District Court has entered a temporary stay for 30 days pending this Court's determination as to whether to call for an answer. However, as the Court has not yet acted upon Wynn Resorts Petition, that stay will expire on December 5, absent action from this Court. Wynn Resorts simply asks for a temporary extension of the stay pending this Court's ability to act on its Petition.

### C. Notice and Service

I, Todd L. Bice, personally called the offices of Holland & Hart, notifying them of this motion. On filing, I will email copies to each of the attorneys for Real Party in Interest.

DATED this 2nd day of December, 2016.

### PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice
James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027
Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Wynn Resorts, Limited

**Entertainment Corporation** 

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC, and that on this 2nd day of December, 2016, I electronically filed and served by electronic mail and United States Mail a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing MOTION TO EXTEND THE DISTRICT COURT'S STAY PENDING WRIT PETITION AND RULE 27(E) EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INTERIM EXTENSION OF STAY properly addressed to the following:

J. Stephen Peek, Esq.	William R. Urga, Esq.
Bryce K. Kunimoto, Esq.	Martin A. Little, Esq.
Robert J. Cassity, Esq.	JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY
HOLLAND & HART LLP	& LITTLE
9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89134	16th Floor
Attorneys for Defendants/	Las Vegas, NV 89169
Counterclaimants Kazuo Okada,	Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn
Aruze USA, Inc. and Universal	
Robert J. Cassity, Esq. HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Defendants/ Counterclaimants Kazuo Okada,	JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY & LITTLE 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway 16th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169

5 11 G 77 1 00 5	
David S. Krakoff, Esq.	John B. Quinn, Esq.
Benjamin B. Klubes, Esq.	Michael T. Zeller, Esq.
Joseph J. Reilly, Esq.	Jennifer D. English, Esq.
BUCKLEY SANDLER LLP	Susan R. Estrich, Esq.
1250 – 24th Street NW, Suite 700	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
Washington, DC 20037	SULLIVAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendants/	865 Figueroa Street, Tenth Floor
Counterclaimants Kazu Okada,	Los Angeles, CA 90017
Aruze USA, Inc. and Universal	Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn
Entertainment Corporation	

Richard A. Wright, Esq.	Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq.
WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER	Joel D. Henriod, Esq.
300 South 4th Street, Suite 701	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER
Las Vegas, NV 89101	CHRISTIE
Attorneys for Defendants/	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite
Counterclaimants Kazuo Okada,	600
Aruze USA, Inc. and Universal	Las Vegas, NV 89169
Entertainment Corporation	Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn

1 2 3 4 5	Steve Morris, Esq. MORRIS LAW GROUP 900 Bank of America Plaza 300 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Kazuo Okada, Universal Entertainment, Inc. and Aruze USA, Inc.	Donald J. Campbell, Esq. J. Colby Williams, Esq. CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South 7th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Stephen A. Wynn
7	Melinda Haag, Esq.	SERVED VIA HAND-DELIVERY
	James N. Kramer, Esq.	
8	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE	The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez Eighth Judicial District court, Dept. XI
9	405 Howard Street	Regional Justice Center
10	San Francisco, CA 94105	200 Lewis Avenue
11	Attorneys for Kimmarie Sinatra	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12		
13	${\Lambda_{\star}}$	/s/ Shannon Thomas
14	Al	n employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

# **EXHIBIT A**

Alun D. Column

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

WYNN RESORTS LIMITED

Plaintiff . CASE NO. A-656710

VS.

. DEPT. NO. XI

KAZUO OKADA, et al. .

Defendants . Transcript of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## HEARING ON MOTIONS

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2016

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

JILL HAWKINS FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: JAMES J. PISANELLI, ESQ.

TODD L. BICE, ESQ. DEBRA SPINELLI, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

J. STEPHEN PEEK, ESQ. ROBERT J. CASSITY, ESQ.

WILLIAM R. URGA, ESQ.

DAVID MALLEY, ESQ.

MICHAEL T. ZELLER, ESQ. DANIEL F. POLSENBERG, ESQ.

COLBY WILLIAMS, ESQ.

when you ordered these documents produced for the first time.

We've had to fight this over and over and over again. I would ask, however, Your Honor -- Mr. Bice says he has the writ filed within 10 days, and, as you did with Mr. Polsenberg on Elaine Wynn, unless they have an answer -- an order from the Supreme Court ordering an answer within 30 days that the stay expire if you do grant it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The motion to stay is granted. I'm going to grant the stay for a period of 30 days. If we do not have a response from the Supreme Court ordering an answer at that time, the stay will expire, or you can ask them.

MR. BICE: All right.

MR. PEEK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the motion to seal this motion, any objection? It's granted.

'Bye. Have a nice day. Wonderful to see you.

How are you doing with your special master?

MR. ZELLER: We spoke with him yesterday, so we have our plan in place, and we're moving forward with it.

THE COURT: Plans are good.

THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 9:06 A.M.

\* \* \* \* \*

## **CERTIFICATION**

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

## **AFFIRMATION**

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Three M. Hoyr, TRANSCRIBER

11/11/16

DATE