1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 3. Electronically Filed SAYEDBASHE SAYEDZADA, 4 Apr 21 2017 02:39 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown 5 Appellant, Clerk of Supreme Court Case No. 71731 6 VS, 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 Respondent. 10 11 APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR THIRTY DAY EXTENSION 12 OF TIME TO FILE FAST TRACK STATEMENT 13 Comes Now Appellant SAYEDBASHE SAYEDZADA, by and through 14 Deputy Public Defender DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK, and moves for a thirty 15 16 (30) day extension of time from Monday, April 24, 2017, through and including 17 Wednesday, May 24, 2017, within which to file the Fast Track Statement in this 18 19 case. This Motion is based upon the attached Declaration of counsel. 20 DATED this 21st day of April, 2017. 21 22 PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 23 24 25. /s/ Deborah L. Westbrook By 26 DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK, #9285 Deputy Public Defender 27 309 So. Third Street, Suite #226 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 (702) 455-4685

DECLARATION OF DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am a deputy public defender assigned to handle the appeal of this matter; I am familiar with the procedural history of this case.
- 2. On March 1, 2017 this Court granted Appellant a 60-day extension of time to file and serve the Fast Track Statement and Appellant's Appendix. The extension was granted because the Clark County Public Defender's Office was short-staffed and did not have an appellate team clerk who could prepare Appellant's Appendix at that time. In addition, I had not yet spoken by phone with my client when I requested the extension on February 22, 2017.
- 3. I finally spoke with Mr. Sayedzada by phone on February 28. 2017. During that call, Mr. Sayedzada informed me that he had concerns about the Public Defender's Office representing him on appeal. I learned that the district court had appointed a different attorney, John G. George, to represent him at sentencing after he filed a motion to dismiss counsel and overturn his convictions based on the ineffectiveness of the Public Defender's Office at trial. Although the district court denied Mr. Sayedzada's motion to overturn his convictions and found that trial counsel "has been in no way ineffective", the court appointed John G. George to represent Mr. Sayedzada at sentencing "based on the break down in communication since the trial". See Exhibit A (minutes). During our call, Mr. Sayedzada advised me that he needed additional time to see if he could find a

different attorney to represent him. Mr. Sayedzada advised that he would let me know soon whether he would be getting a new attorney or whether he would accept my representation. Mr. Sayedzada also asked that I meet with him in person before I file any Fast Track Statement on his behalf.

- 4. Mr. Sayedzada called me again in early March and informed me that he was still trying to find alternate appellate counsel but that he would let me know what he decided soon. Unfortunately, I have not spoken with Mr. Sayedzada since that second phone call, nor have I had the opportunity to visit him at Southern Desert Correctional Facility.
- 5. On March 13, 2017, Rachel Howard was hired as our appellate team's clerk. After going through training, Ms. Howard finished preparing Appellant's Appendix on or about March 21, 2017.
- 6. While I had hoped to meet with Mr. Sayedzada and finish his Fast Track Statement in April, I became ill with a serious infection and was prescribed a three-week course of two different antibiotics. During that time, I was unable to drive to Southern Desert Correctional Facility because of dizziness and other adverse side-effects from those medications. I was out of the office from April 7-16.
- 7. At this point, the earliest I can meet with Mr. Sayedzada at Southern Desert Correctional Facility is Friday, May 12, 2017, and I have already scheduled a meeting with him on that date through the Warden's office. Given the

1.

6

7

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

concerns that Mr. Sayedzada has had about communication with the Public Defender's Office in the past, I cannot file a fast track statement on Mr. Sayedzada's behalf without first meeting with him and discussing his case face-to-face.

- Additionally, based on my review of the record, I need extra 8. time to contact the Evidence Vault and obtain certain documentation that will be relevant to several potential appellate issues. Specifically, I need to get the peremptory challenge sheets from the Vault in order to evaluate the strength of an argument regarding the district court's denial of two for-cause challenges. I also need to obtain the jury questionnaires that were referenced by defense counsel in connection with an oral motion for a new venire, which request was denied. I also need to obtain a copy of State's Exhibit 20, a photograph that depicts the defendant in custody, in handcuffs, that was shown to the jury over defense counsel's objection. Finally, I need to obtain copies of the photocopied credit cards that were introduced into evidence as State's Exhibits 1-19 because their status as expired vs. unexpired is relevant to several arguments that were made in the district court.
- 9. In addition to contacting the Evidence Vault, I need to obtain the JAVS from the first day of trial (March 22, 2016) to determine whether the transcript contains a typographical error, or whether Judge Kephart actually made the following incorrect statement of law: "Opening statements serve as an

| 1 | introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | prove, but they are evidence." | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | 10. In my professional opinion, a thirty (30) day extension of time | | | | | |
| 5 | is necessary in order to safeguard Mr. Sayedzada's Sixth Amendment right to t | | | | | |
| 6 | effective assistance of counsel, and his 5th and 14th Amendment rights to d | | | | | |
| 8 | process. Therefore, I am requesting a 30-day extension of time to file the Fas | | | | | |
| 9 | Track Statement, up to and including May 24, 2017. | | | | | |
| 10 | 11. This Motion for Extension is made in good faith and not for the | | | | | |
| 12 | purpose of delay. | | | | | |
| 13 | pw.pose of doing. | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and | | | | | |
| 16 | correct. | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | EXECUTED on the 21st day of April, 2017. | | | | | |
| 19 | /s/ Deborah L. Westbrook | | | | | |
| 20 | DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |

| 1 | <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the | | | | | |
| 3 4 | Nevada Supreme Court on the 21st day of April, 2017. Electronic Service of the | | | | | |
| 5 | foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as | | | | | |
| 7 | follows: | | | | | |
| 8 | ADAM LAXALT DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK HOWARD S. BROOKS | | | | | |
| 10 | I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a | | | | | |
| 11 12 | true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: | | | | | |
| 13 | SAYEDBASHE SAYEDZADA | | | | | |
| 14 | NDOC No: 79356 c/o Southern Desert Correctional Center | | | | | |
| 1.5 | P.O. Box 208 | | | | | |
| 16 | Indian Springs, NV 89070 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | BY <u>/s/ Carrie M. Connolly</u> Employee, Clark County Public | | | | | |
| 20 | Defender's Office | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |

EXHIBIT 1

Skip to Main Content Legout My Account Search Menu New District Criminal/Civil Search Refine Location : District Courts Images Help Search Globa

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE NO. C-15-310000-1

State of Nevada vs SAYEDBASHE SAYEDZADA

\$ Case Type: Misdemeanor 10/12/2015
\$ Date Filed: Location: Department 19
\$ Cross-Reference Case Number: \$ Defendant's Scope ID #: 1690765
\$ ITAG Booking Number: 0 ITAG Case ID: 1723520
\$ Lower Court Case # Root: 15F14345
\$ Lower Court Case Number: 15F14345
\$ Metro Event Number: 5 Supreme Court No.: 71731

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant SAYEDZADA, SAYEDBASHE

DOB: 09/04/1984

Lead Attorneys John G. George Court Appointed 702-400-0000(W)

Plaintiff State of Nevada

Steven B Wolfson 702-671-2700(W)

| Charge Info | ORMATION | | |
|--|----------|--------|------------|
| Charges: SAYEDZADA, SAYEDBASHE | Statute | Level | Date |
| I. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 2. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 3. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 5. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| POSSESSION OF CREOT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 0. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CAROHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 1.POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR OBBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLOR'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 2. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205.690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |
| 3. POSSESSION OF CREDIT OR DEBIT CARD WITHOUT CARDHOLDER'S CONSENT | 205,690 | Felony | 09/23/2015 |

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

07/18/2016 Motion to Dismiss (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Kephart, William D.)

Molion to Dismiss Appointed Counsel and Motion to Dismiss Criminal Complaint and Overturn Conviction

Minutes

07/18/2016 8:30 AM

 Court noted he has reviewed the motion; although the argument made Defendant address ineffectiveness of counsel which would be better addressed in a post conviction petition. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED IN PART as to Defendant's Motion to overturn the conviction. Additionally, Court stated based on the claims made in the petition the Court FINDS counsel has been in no way ineffective; however, based on the break down in communication since the trial, COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Motion GRANTED IN PART as to dismissal of counsel. Upon Court's inquiry. Defendant advised he will get counsel. FURTHER ORDERED, matter SET for Confirmation of Counsel. CUSTODY 7/25/2016 8:30 AM STATUS CHECK: NEW COUNSEL/SENTENCING DATE.

Parties Present
Return to Register of Actions