It's not in the report.

1

Α

Q So they -- so they knew why the children were removed, it's just that they didn't agree with it and for that reason this case plan could never go anywhere; could it? They could do -- actually, I'll let you answer that question.

A There had to be an acknowledgment that something was going on in their home and the reasons of the removal. They have — they had to be able to verbalize that something did happen to their child when their — while under their care. There was no explanation on how those injuries happened. And once — once the children start disclosing — and there was concerns. And there's still not an acknowledgment that something was going (sic) in their home.

Q So they had to admit, even though they were facing criminal charges, that there was physical abuse in the home for you to be satisfied; is that correct?

A They have to acknowledge that there were concerns and that they needed to be addressed.

Q They had to -- what does that mean? They had to acknowledge that there were concerns. What does that mean? Isn't it true that without an admission that Mr. Brown physically abused Samantha --

MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor, objection. Asked and

sun and the case plan would go nowhere; isn't that true? 1 2 Well, they will have to address it in therapy and 3 the reports will reflect that they addressed that in 4 therapy. 5 So it doesn't matter that Mr. Brown did domestic 6 violence counseling, that they both did mental health 7 evaluation and counseling, that they completed everything, all the counseling Red Rock required, Healthy Minds 8 9 required, ABC Therapy required, it would all come to naught 10 unless they admitted that Mr. Brown abused Samantha, correct? 11 12 Α Correct. 13 In fact, you wrote yourself, Ms. Brown -- Mr. 14 Brown and Ms. Lawrence had been in compliance with the case 15 plan objectives as they completed services at Red Rock, psychological services to address the physical abuse. They 16 17 are both attending family therapy sessions without the children present at Healthy Minds. You -- you acknowledged 18 19 that she -- they were in compliance with the case plan, correct? 20 21 Α 22

They were completing some of the action steps on their case plan.

Okay. Again, I want -- this is your report --

Α Yeah.

23

24

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

0 -- dated January 20th, 2016. You previously referred to this report. I would like you to please the highlight portion in its entirety.

Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence have been in compliance with the case plan objectives as they completed services at Red Rock Psychological Service (sic) to address the physical abuse. They're both attending family therapy sessions without the children present at Healthy Minds. However, they are still not able to verbalize the physical abuse that Samantha suffered under their -- and the emotional abuse that Heidi, Nikki and Wyatt suffered under their care.

So your first sentence, Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence have been in compliance with the case plan objectives as they completed services at Red Rock.

MS. HANRAHAN: This is the third time we've read that sentence, Your Honor.

MR. GOWDEY: Well, I can't help that -- that they've gone over it and I certainly have a right to since she -- she did not answer that they were in compliance when her report says they were. I'd like to impeach with her own report, if that's okay with the DA.

MS. HANRAHAN: Well, Your Honor, she answered that the first time he read it. She said that they were in

1	compliance with most of it. They were doing some of the
2	action steps. That was the answer that she gave him the
3	first time he asked that question.
4	THE COURT: Okay. That's true. Heard I heard
5	that.
6	MS. HANRAHAN: He's badgering the witness.
7	BY MR. GOWDEY:
8	Q Does this say they were in partial compliance?
9	A However they have not been able
10	Q No, I I get that. Do you say they were in
11	partial compliance here?
12	A Partial compliance.
13	Q Really?
14	A Because they still I still make sure that I
15	stated that they still have not been able to acknowledge
16	the children suffered under their care.
17	Q So again, unless Mr. Brown admits, there's no way
18	they complete the case plan in your eyes.
19	MS. HANRAHAN: Asked and
20	Q There's no way
21	MS. HANRAHAN: answered, Your Honor.
22	Q There's no way that they
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	Q can effectuate reunification.

1		MR. DRASKOVICH: She sustained it.
2		MS. HANRAHAN: Asked and answered.
3		MS. DORMAN: It's been
4		THE COURT: Sustained.
5		MS. DORMAN: sustained.
6	BY MR. GO	WDEY:
7	Q	All right. You have testified that there were
8	numerous	attempts you made numerous attempts at
9	placement	; is that correct?
10	A	What? Placement of?
11	Q	Of the children.
12	A	Placement?
13	Q	With alternative
14	A	With family?
15	Q	Yeah.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And basically it was with respect to maternal
18	grandmoth	er, made negative statements towards Samantha,
19	correct?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And that made her ineligible to become a
22	placement	; is that right?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Same thing for the paternal grandfather or

grandmother, I'm sorry. That she made negative statements 1 2 towards Samantha. 3 Α Yes. 4 And what were those negative statements? That 5 they didn't believe the allegations? 6 Α That Samantha was a troubled child. They didn't 7 believe what -- the allegations and they were -- one of 8 them stated that we were putting two innocent people in jail, which that's not what we do in family court. 10 So because they didn't believe the allegations 11 they were found to be not suitable for placement; is that 12 right? 13 They were not -- they were not protective to the 14 children. They were aligning with the parents. 15 Was there -- was there any indication that they would have been non-protective other than that -- that 16 17 statement? Any -- do you know whether the maternal grandmother had ever been accused by DFS in whatever state 18 she was in of abuse? 19 20 They were separating the children. Α They were 21 already making statements, negative statements to one of 22 the children. 23 So maternal grandmother is out. Paternal 24 grandmother is out. David Brown made a number of requests

1	to have an ICPC done; didn't he?
2	A And it was sent to California.
3	Q It was when was it sent to California?
4	A I don't recall.
5	Q And what happened with it?
6	A He requested not to have it done based on that.
7	He it will be taking too long and he was moving he
8	will be move getting out of the military and moving back
9	to Las Vegas.
10	Q So this was at least a year and a half ago; is
11	that right?
12	A I don't recall how long ago.
13	Q And the Louises made request for placement but
14	there were concerns with their behaviors, that they were
15	aligning with the parents; is that right?
16	A My supervisor spoke to them.
17	Q That's what you testified to though, correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Concerns with their behaviors and that they were
20	aligning with the parents; is that right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q With respect to the letter that you read, Sam's
23	letter, you were here when Sam's therapist testified; is
24	that right?

	A les.
2	Q And you heard her say that Sam wrote that letter
3	after she found out she wouldn't be returning to she
4	wouldn't have to return to Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence; is
5	that right?
6	A She did test testify to that.
7	Q . Were you the one who told Sam that she wouldn't
8	have to go be returning to her parents?
9	A No.
١٥	Q Do you know who did?
1	A No.
.2	Q With respect to the issue of a safety plan, did
ـ3	Dave Sanchez, the therapist for Healthy Minds, send you
_4	over a safety plan?
5	A No.
.6	Q You're sure? You're absolutely
.7	A I don't
.8	Q positive?
.9	A recall. I don't recall if he did.
20	Q You don't recall if he did, so are you saying
21	that he didn't or you don't recall whether he did or not?
22	MS. HANRAHAN: She said she didn't recall.
23	A I don't recall.
4	Q You would typically work with a therapist in

1	MR. GOWDEY: what needs to be stated, not the
2	editorializing and the
3	MS. HANRAHAN: She's not
4	MR. GOWDEY: testifying.
5	MS. HANRAHAN: an attorney, but
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. HANRAHAN: he's he's stating that, you
8	know, they don't have to produce a case plan. First of
9	all, there was already a case plan produced. They had a
10	case plan. She already testified that she would continue
11	to work with the parents, even if that motion had been
12	granted.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So we know that
14	MS. HANRAHAN: So
15	THE COURT: there's a motion to waive
16	reasonable efforts that was filed. I don't know if it was
17	granted or not, I don't remember.
18	MR. GOWDEY: I misspoke with respect to
19	THE COURT: It wasn't granted?
20	MR. GOWDEY: I misspoke with respect to what
21	what the motion is. The motion is for a finding that
22	aggravated circumstances exist under which reasonable
23	efforts are not required pursuant to NRS 432B.
24	BY MR. GOWDEY:

1	Q Is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q So three months after they enter into their case
4	plan, you a motion was filed to discontinue reasonable
5	efforts, correct?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q And that's not quick; is it?
8	A The children were removed in January. We're in
9	November
10	Q And they began their case plan in August, right?
11	A Based on the continuation of the evidentiary
12	hearing.
13	Q And in fact you were the one who signed the
14	affidavit in support of the motion to waive reasonable
15	efforts; isn't that right?
16	A Yes, I'm the primary caseworker. Yes.
17	Q Right. So and at that point the Mr. Brown
18	and Ms. Lawrence were engaged in therapy, correct?
19	A They had a case plan and they were engaged
20	started to engage in services.
21	Q They were engaged in therapy; were they not?
22	They were doing what the what the DFS wanted them to do
23	at that point in time.
24	A They were doing some of the action staps (sic)

steps in their case plan, yes. 1 2 The only thing that they weren't doing was admitting that abuse took place; is that correct? 3 4 Α They were not acknowledging why the children were 5 removed, yes, you're correct. 6 0 So that was -- so that was --7 Correct. 8 -- the reason -- so that's the reason you said 9 that reasonable efforts were no longer required? 10 Α No. There is -- there's a criteria why we waive 11 reasonable efforts and the case met the criteria to file to 12 waive reasonable efforts. However, that wasn't granted and 13 the termination of parental rights goal became -- was 14 changed or the permanency goal was changed to termination 15 in January, so a few months after they received their case 16 plan. 17 MR. GOWDEY: Court's indulgence. I have no further questions. 18 19 THE COURT: Ms. Calvert. 20 MS. CALVERT: I think I just have a couple here. 21 CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. CALVERT: 23 Did you review the UNITY notes in this case at 24 any point?

1	Brown (sic) as far as like development of her case plan
2	A I don't think I'm understanding
3	Q Oh, yeah.
4	A your question.
5	Q It was a bad question. Who else has been
6	involved from DFS in working on this case?
7	A Well, I mean, I have a supervisor, oversees me
8	and she is involved in the case too.
9	Q Is there anybody else?
10	A From DFS?
11	Q Uh-huh (affirmative).
12	A No, just my supervisor and myself.
13	Q Who is Yolanda Flores?
14	A CPS investigator.
15	MS. CALVERT: Okay. That's where I got that
16	from. That's all.
17	THE COURT: That's all. I looked over there
18	thinking you were in the back. Yeah.
19	MS. HONODEL: No, I don't have any questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
21	MS. HANRAHAN: And just a few.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. HANRAHAN:

1	And your job requires you to monitor the criminal
2	case to some extent; does it not?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is that important to your decisions as to how to
5	proceed in in a case? Is knowledge of of what's
6	happening in the criminal case sometimes important to
7	A It is important
8	Q your decision.
9	A based on if the parents are going to be
10	incarcerated for reunification purposes and what will be
11	the plan.
12	Q So and then Mr. Draskovick asked you, and you
13	agreed, that about the parents seeking to visit with the
14	children, right? You you didn't have an issue with
15	that?
16	A No.
17	Q Was that ever a reason that you recommended this
18	case move toward TPR?
19	A No.
20	Q And then Healthy Minds, the counseling that the
21	parents received at Healthy Minds, that was one of the
22	types of counseling that they were required to attend,
23	right?
24	A Well, it recommended by Healthy Minds based on

February 18th, 2015.

Α

1

24

0

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 08/26/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Did either parent ever speak to you about their

1 therapy or assessments at re -- ABC? 2 Α No. And do you recall -- I'll take those back. 4 you recall early in the case, I think it was Mr. Draskovich asked you if you weren't being prevent -- the parents were 6 being prevented from engaging in that therapy or doing any 7 acknowledgment by the District Attorney's Office, right? think one of them asked you that. Do you recall early in 8 9 the case, at the time of the parents entered their pleas that they were offered a stipulation that anything said to 10 11 treatment providers would not be used in the criminal case 12 against them? 13 Α Yes. 14 And did you take that to mean that they were able Q 15 to freely talk to therapists and let you know what they had 16 learned --17 Α Yes. 18 0 -- without fear of consequences in the criminal 19 case? 20

Α Yes.

3

5

21

22

23

24

Now Mr. Gowdey asked you about the permanency goal that was indicated on a case plan; do you remember that?

Α Yes.

1	And the court report that you attached that case
2	plan to was January 2016.
3	A Yes.
4	Q And is there a section on every single report
5	that you prepare for the the Court that indicates what
6	the permanency goal is and that also indicates what the
7	concurrent permanency goal is?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And did you indicated on that report for January
10	2016 that there was a concurrent goal of reunification; do
11	
12	A Yes.
13	Q you recall?
14	A Can I see it?
15	Q Sure.
16	A May I see it?
17	Q I just have a copy of it.
18	MS. HANRAHAN: If I can get the do you have,
19	Paul, January 2016? I don't know what number it is.
20	THE COURT: It's towards the end.
21	THE CLERK: January what is it?
22	THE COURT: 2016.
23	(COUNSEL AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY)
24	BY MS. HANRAHAN:

1	Q If you'd just take a look at that and tell me if
2	it refreshes your recollection as to whether you indicated
3	that the concurrent permanency goal was reunification.
4	A Yes.
5	Q And is the reason that only the primary goal is
6	indicated on the case plan related to space
7	A I
8	Q that you have
9	A I I don't know.
10	Q But the
11	A The gen
12	Q case plan only indicates the primary goal;
13	isn't that your testimony?
14	A The report shown to me, it states that the
15	primary goal and the concurrent goal, it's adoption, which
16	is it generated I don't know how it generated that way.
17	But my report states
18	Q Oh, okay.
19	A that the concurrent goal
20	MR. GOWDEY: Would you like a copy
21	A is reunification.
22	MR. GOWDEY: of what I was referring to?
23	MS. HANRAHAN: I get what she's saying now, yeah.
24	Okay.

BY MS. HANRAHAN: 1 2 So it showed both goals as adoption on the --3 Α Yes. -- case plan. Okay. And that was a mistake? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. And it was indicated in the place where 0 7 people look for the goal -- permanency goals on your report 8 as correct? 9 Α Right. The report states it correct. 10 Q And now Mr. Gowdey also asked you about whether you explored the Louises for placement. You also did 11 12 background checks though. You did pursue them at some 13 point, right? 14 Yes, the Department completed background checks. 15 0 And was there a problem with the background check 16 for Mr. Louise? 17 Α There was a concern, yes. 18 And was that the reason that the Louises weren't 0 19 considered? 20 One of them, yes. Α Uh-huh. And now they -- Mr. Gowdey asked you if David 21 0 22 Sanchez, the therapist from Healthy Minds did a safety 23 plan, and I believe you stated that you didn't recall. 24 David Sanchez the person whose job it was to make a safety

19

20

18

21 22

23

24

plan without consulting with you or --

No, the safety plans are -- are a collaboration of the team. Family, therapists, DFS, any other provider, and like I said, also the children sometimes have input on the safety plans.

O And now, just a couple more. With regard to the motion to waive reasonable efforts. And to your knowledge, motions to waive reasonable efforts are generally filed immediately after the dispositional hearing; are they not?

Α Yes.

And -- and waivers of reasonable efforts are not requested based on compliance or non-compliance with case plans; are they?

Α No.

They're based on aggravating circumstances that exist at the time of removal?

Α Yes.

And do you recall when the waive -- motion to waive reasonable efforts, or motion for a finding of aggravated circumstances -- Mr. Gowdey indicated it was filed in November 2014. Do you recall when it was heard actually?

MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection. Relevance.

MS. HANRAHAN: Well, the timing of the filing of

Т	the motion to waive was a big deal, so
2	MR. DRASKOVICH: It showed how quick
3	MR. GOWDEY: I meant
4	MS. HANRAHAN: I would like to
5	MR. GOWDEY: the filing, not not the
6	hearing of it, but the filing of it, three months after the
7	case plan took effect.
8	MS. HANRAHAN: Could I just ask a couple of
9	questions
LO	THE COURT: Sure.
L1	MS. HANRAHAN: on this, Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine, because I'm
L3	curious too.
4	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
.5	Q Do you remember it was filed in November. Do
6	you remember when it was heard?
.7	A I believe it was to be to be heard at the time
.8	of the permanency review, which was in November I mean,
9	I'm sorry January 2015.
20	Q And in fact wasn't it denied because you were
21	requesting termination of parental rights as a general goal
22	and the Court indicated they were changing it was
23	changing the goal anyway?

Α

Yes.

1	MS. HANRAHAN: Thank you. That's all I have.	
2	MR. DRASKOVICH: I just have a few followup	
3	questions.	
4	THE COURT: Okay.	
5	RECROSS EXAMINATION	
6	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:	
7	Q In reference to the Louises, there was some	
8	intimation concerning their background check that there wa	as
9	a concern?	
LO	A Yes.	
1	Q It wasn't criminal in nature; was it?	
.2	A I don't know.	
.3	Q Do you recall it was Mr. Louise was a pilot for	
.4	United Airlines and he had a concealed weapons permit?	
.5	A We don't get that information. We just get a	
.6	denial or approval.	
.7	Q Neither one of them had any criminal convictions	; ;
.8	did they?	
.9	A I don't know.	
20	Q In reference to the questions concerning the	
21	counseling, and Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence's failure to	
22	admit, that's to you, correct?	
23	A To the Department.	
4	O That that stipulation doesn't cover	

hearings before that starting at 9:00.

23

24

MR. GOWDEY: I'm sorry, we're talking about next

You've been

1	Thursday?		
2	THE COURT: 10:30. So we'll try to start as soon		
3	at as soon as 10:30 begins, but I'm just letting you		
4	know I have that were set, probably a couple months ago.		
5	All right. With that being said, we'll see you at 10:30.		
6	Have a nice weekend.		
7	MR. GOWDEY: Thank you.		
8	MR. DRASKOVICH: If I don't get stuck at JFK.		
9	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 03:02:32)		
10	* * * * *		
11	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and		
12	correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-		
13	entitled case to the best of my ability.		
14	·		
15	/s/ Kimberly C. McCright Kimberly C. McCright		
16	Kimberly C. McCright, CET Certified Electronic		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

FILED FEB 2 1 2017

ORIGINAL

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

9	In the Matter of the)
	Parental Rights of:)
10	-) CASE NO. D-15-510944-F
	SAMANTHA LAWRENCE, DOB 07/06/98;)
11	HEIDI BROWN, DOB 01/04/04;) DEPT. E/K
ļ	NIKKI BROWN, DOB 01/04/04;)
12	WYATT BROWN, DOB 07/15/09;)
)
13	Minors.)
į		1

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA N. GIULIANI DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 2016

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 09/01/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State of Nevada:	JANNE HANRAHAN, ESQ. AMITY DORMAN, ESQ. 601 North Pecos
4		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-3794
5	The Father:	DONALD BROWN
6 7	The Mother: For the Mother:	MELISSA LAWRENCE MICHAEL GOWDEY, ESQ. 815 S. Casino Center Blvd
8		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 471-0321
9	For Samantha Lawrence:	AMY HONODEL, ESQ. 725 E. Charleston Blvd.
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 386-1492
11	For Nikki, Heidi and	
12	Wyatt Brown:	LAUREN CALVERT, ESQ. 716 South Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 507-0092
14	Also present:	MARYTE TALLENT, DFS
15	,	TARRETT TRADUCTY DEC
16		
17		
18	·	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDINGS

(PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 11:07:57)

THE COURT: All right. So this is case D-510944, In the Matter of Melissa Lawrence and Donald Brown and for the four children. I'll let everyone state their appearances so we know who's here for the minutes.

MS. CALVERT: Oh, Lauren Calvert, CAP attorney for Nikki, Heidi and Wyatt Brown.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOWDEY: Michael Gowdey, attorney for Melissa Lawrence, who is present before the Court. And I am also standing in for Mr. Draskovich on be -- on behalf of his client, Mr. Brown. Mr. Draskovich is -- is not here today.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. HONODEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Amy Honodel, bar number 7755. I'm a CAP attorney for Samantha Lawrence.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TALLENT: Maryte Tallent, Department of Family Services.

MS. DORMAN: Amity Dorman, Chief Deputy District Attorney, bar number 9316.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HANRAHAN: Janne Hanrahan for the District Attorney's Office.

emergency, we'll just state that, with Mr. Draskovich. He's not here. He left the courthouse, so we can't go forward today. He's not available. What I'd like to do is see if he is available for tomorrow, and what we can do is this. Maybe there could be an email link exchanged before five o'clock today so we know -- he may be admitted, he may not be admitted. They may say he just needs to rest. I don't know. That way we just have an idea. Because if we have -- if he's able to be here, we need to continue, just based on the timing of this.

We do have two days next week, but obviously we're a day behind even for today. So if we can only be one day behind, not two, we'll take tomorrow. I don't know that I can answer that question right now, so let him -- let someone -- I'll reach out -- maybe the DA's can find out his status and then let the courts know. If he's able to be present, then he should be, and if he's not, then obviously we understand he's, you know, physically not able to.

MR. GOWDEY: All right. I'm sure I'll be in a better position than the DA's to find out his -- you know,

1 we share office space --2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. GOWDEY: -- so --4 THE COURT: Okay, fine. 5 MR. GOWDEY: -- so --6 THE COURT: That's fine. 7 MR. GOWDEY: -- Mr. Draskovich --8 THE COURT: And just --9 MR. GOWDEY: -- I'm sure will --10 THE COURT: -- let them know, and then they --11 some -- you know, let everybody know, all the attorneys, and 12 then someone at least give the courtesy to let us know just 13 so we can prepare for tomorrow. Sound good? So if he's 14 able to, we'll go forward, and then if he's not because he's

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. Thank you.

All right. Let's just kind of all regroup towards the end of the day and see what his status is and let us know. All right? So we're planning for tomorrow unless we hear that he can't be here. Okay? And if he can't be here, then obviously we're not going to go forward without him. So we'll just wait for someone to let us know. All right?

just, you know, truly not able to be here, then we -- again,

can't go without him. But if he is, we should go forward

tomorrow with the next day of the trial.

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 09/01/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

	MS. CALVERT. THANK YOU, TOUL HOROT.
2	
3	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 11:10:21)
4	* * * * *
5	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and
6	correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-
7	entitled case to the best of my ability.
8	
9	<u>/s/ Kimberly C. McCright</u> Kimberly C. McCright, CET
10	Certified Electronic
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 09/01/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	TRANS
2	FILED
3	ORIGINAL FEB 2 1 2017
4	CLERK OF COURT
5	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
6	FAMILY DIVISION
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF THE) PARENTAL RIGHTS OF:)
10) CASE NO. D-15-510922-R SAMANTHA LAWRENCE, HEIDI)
11	BROWN, NIKKI BROWN,) DEPT. E/K WYATT BROWN,)
12) Minors.
13	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA GIULIANI
16	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17	TRANSCRIPT RE: TRIAL
18	
19	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2016
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
2 3 4	For the State of Nevada:	JANNE HANRAHAN, ESQ. AMITY DORMAN, ESQ. 8th Judicial District Court Family Division 601 N. Pecos
5		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-3794
6	The Respondent Father: For the Respondent Father:	DONALD BROWN ROBERT DRASKOVICH, ESQ.
7 8		815 S. Casino Center Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 381-6590
9	The Respondent Mother: For the Respondent Mother:	MELISSA DAWN LAWRENCE MICHAEL GOWDEY, ESQ.
10		815 S. Casino Center Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
11		(702) 471-0321
12	For the Minors Heidi Brown, Nikki Brown, and Wyatt Brown:	LAUREN CALVERT, ESQ.
13 14		CAP Attorney 1220 Arizona St. Boulder City, Nevada 89005 (702) 292-3164
15	For the Minor	
16 17	Samantha Lawrence:	AMY HONODEL, ESQ. CAP Attorney 725 E. Charleston Blvd.
18		Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 386-1070
19	Also Present:	MARYTE TALLENT
20		Department of Family Services
21		
22		·
23		
24		

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES
2	STATE'S <u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</u> WITNESSES:
3	WIINESSES:
4	DONALD BROWN 6 172/186 187/192 190/193
5	
6	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES:
7	
8	(None presented)
9	* * * *
10	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
11	
12	STATE'S EXHIBITS: ADMITTED
13	· ·
14	25 - Judgment of conviction 28
15	26 - Evaluation report 156
16	27 - ABC Therapy completion report 156
17	28 - Preliminary hearing transcript 196
18	
19	RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:
20	EARIBILS.
21	(None presented)
22	
23	
24	

D-15-510922-R ITMO: LAWRENCE, BROWN 09/08/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2016

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 l

15

16

17 l

18

19 l

20

21

22 l

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 11:16:50)

THE COURT: All right. With that being said, everyone can sit down and we'll continue with this trial.

This is case D-510944 in the matter of Melissa Lawrence and Donald Brown. For the record, we'll have everyone state their appearances.

MS. CALVERT: Lauren Calvert for Nikki, Heidi, and Wyatt Brown.

> Thank you. THE COURT:

MR. DRASKOVICH: Robert Draskovich on behalf of Donald Brown.

> Thank you. THE COURT:

MR. GOWDEY: Michael Gowdey, bar number 6994, on behalf of Melissa Lawrence.

MS. TALLENT: Maryte Tallent, Department of Family Services.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DORMAN: Amity Dorman, Chief Deputy District

Attorney, bar number 9316, here on behalf of the Department.

MR. HANRAHAN: And Janne Hanrahan for the District Attorney's Office.

1	MR. HONODEL: And Amy Honodel, bar number //55,
2	CAP attorney for Samantha Lawrence.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Great. So we last left off I
4	believe with the children's therapists, so I think you said
5	you had a couple more witnesses.
6	MR. GOWDEY: Actually, Your Honor, we I think
7	we left off with Ms. Tallent, but we had finished her
8	THE COURT: Yeah, Ms. Tallent
9	MR. GOWDEY: her cross.
LO	THE COURT: cross Ms. Tallent that was
11	yeah. And then I think there was a couple more witnesses.
12	You stipulated to admit something, a Red Rock report or
13	something, that at this point, I'll I'll see who else you
L 4	have as far as today goes as as far as witnesses.
15	MR. HANRAHAN: We have one more witness, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. HANRAHAN: That's
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. HANRAHAN: We'll be done today.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. HANRAHAN: And so
22	THE COURT: So did you want to call that witness?
23	MR. HANRAHAN: Yes, Donald Brown.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

24

(WITNESS SUMMONED)

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 I

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: State your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Donald Edward Brown.

THE CLERK: You may have a seat.

DONALD BROWN

called as a witness on behalf of the State, have been first duly sworn, did testify upon his oath as follows on:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS HANRAHAN:

- Q Mr. Brown, you're the biological father to Heidi and Wyatt?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q And how do you characterize your relationship to Samantha Lawrence?
 - A We were very close.
 - Q No, I mean, do you call her stepdaughter?
 - A I call her my daughter.
 - Q Your daughter. Okay. And then are you married to her mother, Melissa Lawrence?

1	A	No, ma'am. I'm not.
2	Q	How many other children do you have besides Heidi,
3	Nikki, an	d Wyatt?
4	A	Two.
5	Q	And what are they names?
6	A	David Brown E. Brown, and Rebecca Brown.
7	Q	All right. And Rebecca's mother was Gerry Irene
8	Brown (ph)?
9	A	Yes, ma'am.
10	Q	And Rebecca had a sister named Amanda, didn't she?
11	A	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	And Amanda died at the age of seven months of
13	abuse of	head trauma, didn't she?
14	A	Yes, ma'am.
15	Q	And that was because you picked her up by the
16	throat an	d slammed her to the floor?
17	A	No, ma'am.
18	Q	No? did you speak with detectives at that time?
19	A	I'm going to invoke my Fifth of this.
20		MR. HANRAHAN: But Your Honor, the Fifth Amendment
21	invocatio	on isn't proper here. This is regarding a crime
22	that has	already had a conviction. He already served time

23 for it. He's not facing any criminal implications from

24 anything in regard to this crime.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And it's in reference to the link in the case file that we discussed previously, that I'm going to instruct my client not to answer this line of questioning. Additionally, I would submit that it's fairly irrelevant to the determination that's before this Court today.

23 l

MR. HANRAHAN: Your Honor, it's extremely relevant and not only relevant, but this Court's required to consider it under 128.106. Three different sections of 128.106. Section B says if there was conduct toward a child of physically, emotionally, or sexually cruel or abusive nature, the Court has to consider it in considering unfitness which is one of the grounds we'll be requesting.

128.106(f) says the Court must continue the conviction of the parent with a commission of a felony if the facts of the crime are of such a nature as to indicate the unfitness of the parent to provide adequate care and control to the extent necessary for the child's health and development.

Section 128.106(g) says in determining unfitness, the Court must consider whether the child, a sibling of the child or another child in the care of the parent suffered a physical injury resulting in substantial bodily harm or fatality for which the parent has no reasonable explanation

and for which there is evidence that such physical injury or death would not have occurred absent abuse or neglect.

Those three things require this Court to consider prior conduct with siblings of the child or any child who is in the care of the parent.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And we have a differentiation between a conviction and/or conduct. We have a conviction that's been addressed. We're talking about a 32-year-old conviction. There are enhancements in -- in the current criminal case that could be addressed in the event that he answers these questions. And for that reason,

THE COURT: I agree with Mr. Draskovich in that I do have to consider that in my -- in my order of my findings at the end of this trial, but I believe that him answering questions could pose a risk to his criminal trial; however, the Court does know that there was a -- I don't know if it was put in evidence or if it was put somewhere else before that there was a conviction.

MR. HANRAHAN: It was part of the --

THE COURT: Part of --

MR. HANRAHAN: -- petition.

THE COURT: -- the petition. So the Court obviously knows about that conviction from 30 something years ago. But for him to -- for you to elicit testimony

from him absent the conviction itself, I think that's where it gets -- the fine lines are crossed as far as his criminal trial.

MR. HANRAHAN: But I'm still entitled to ask the questions and because the Court is -- has to consider the facts of the previous crime in order to determine unfitness. The -- the facts of the crime are of such a nature as to indicate unfitness.

MR. GOWDEY: She --

MR. HANRAHAN: And so the Court is required to consider that. So whether he can take the Fifth or not, I'll leave that to you, but I'll be asking the questions.

THE COURT: You can ask the questions and his --

MR. HANRAHAN: And I'll ask --

THE COURT: -- his Counsel --

MR. HANRAHAN: -- a negative inference.

THE COURT: -- his Counsel had -- had stated that he plans on -- or he stated that he's -- he's invoking his Fifth Amendment privilege which I'm not going to make him testify to anything that -- with those lines of questioning. So if you ask him a question, I think we've talked about this in the last -- with the Mom, you can make that inference and we'll deal with it at the end of the trial.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Well, Your Honor, we would be

willing to stipulate to the entry of the conviction of -- although I think it's already been submitted. I mean --

MR. HANRAHAN: Yes, we'll be asking to enter that, but the facts of this crime are extremely relevant to the Court's considerations of unfitness.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And I -- I would briefly like to make a record in that we have the District Attorney's Office currently prosecuting a termination of parental rights simultaneous with the criminal case where they are seeking decades of -- of time.

We have the District Attorney's Office preventing reunification because of a no contact order, yet at the same time the very same District Attorney's Office which is seeking to terminate the parental rights because the children have not been returned to the home.

MR. HANRAHAN: And Your Honor, clearly the State is not requesting termination of parental rights based on the no contact order. Actually, I think Ms. Tallent even testified to that. The no contact order is not the order is not the basis for this termination of parental rights.

MR. GOWDEY: I -- I would say with respect to that, the basis of this -- the -- the termination of parental rights is their failure to complete the case plan which Ms. Tallent also indicated unless they admitted in

counseling and admitted to her that they had committed acts 1 of abuse which would be used against them in the criminal setting, there is no way that the case plan could have moved forward. So in effect, if you go --5 MR. HANRAHAN: That's --6 MR. GOWDEY: -- from point A to point Z, what Mr. 7 Draskovich stated is exactly correct. The State has blocked 8 this reunification in an attempt to terminate parental 10 rights. MR. HANRAHAN: These are closing arguments, Judge. 11 12 This is --THE COURT: I -- I agree. 13 MR. HANRAHAN: -- about whether I can ask the 14 question and whether he can invoke the -- invoke it, then I will follow up by --16 THE COURT: So --17 MR. HANRAHAN: -- asking for the negative 18 inference. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So a couple things. Based on 20 this testimony, that could affect his criminal case and any 21 higher or expanded charges. So I understand why he would 22

plead the Fifth; however, he still can make -- ask the

questions, because that's -- your -- your case is your case

23

24 i

and he has the right -- and you have the right to -- you're -- you're -- the burden is on the D.A.'s Office to show by clear and convincing evidence parental fault and best interest.

So you can ask those questions and if he chooses to plead the Fifth, while it was an old case, and had there been -- had there not been a -- a case pending right now in court, he should be able -- he should answer those questions because it's an old case. He has no -- he's already been convicted and tried.

However, because there's a pending case, that has some overlap into his past. I understand why his attorneys is telling him to plead the Fifth, but you can still answer the questions. So -- and then you can obviously decide what you're going to answer to not and if there's an objection, we'll -- we'll hear it as -- as needed.

BY MR. HANRAHAN:

- Q All right. So initially, Mr. Brown, when I asked you if Amanda died at the age of seven months of abuse of head trauma, you said no, is that correct?
 - A That wasn't the question.
- Q I know, but I -- then I followed that question with another and you invoked your Fifth Amendment. But going back to that first one, you said no, she did not die

of abuse of head trauma. No, ma'am. You said that --2 Α MR. DRASKOVICH: And I object --3 -- I grabbed her by the throat and threw her down Α 4 5 and that's when I said no then. That was two questions. Well, that's --6 0 I answered the one. 7 А -- the one you took issue to. Okay. That's fine. 8 9 10 MR. GOWDEY: No, I'm sorry. That -- that misstates the testimony flat out. He did acknowledge --11 respond affirmatively to the first question. The second 12 question, he responded negatively to, and then the third 13 question he took the Fifth. So Ms. Hanrahan is definitely 14 misstating prior testimony. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we start from the 16 beginning. 17 MR. HANRAHAN: Thank you for that clarification. 18 19 That's why I was asking. THE COURT: So let's -- let's start from -- from 20

THE COURT: So let's -- let's start from -- from the beginning as to what you -- the questions and we'll do it not compound so he can answer each question how he feels appropriate.

21

22

23

24

MR. DRASKOVICH: And I'll be instructing my client

1	A The parents had completed some of the actions
2	steps on the case plan.
3	Q And you attached a couple of attachments to that
4	report indicating that, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q So what were those attachments indicative of?
7	A It was a risk assessment for Mr. Brown, a risk
8	assessment for Ms. Lawrence, the Boundaries certified for
9	Mr. Brown.
10	Q Okay. So
11	A And the classes completed at Red Rock.
12	Q Okay. So if you recall, did the parents do the
13	classes first or the assessment first at Red Rock?
14	A I'm not sure. Let me
15	Q If your report says the classes, would you
16	A Yeah, I'll I'll agree. The classes were taken
17	first.
18	Q So when a parent completes classes at Red Rock,
19	do they get some kind of completion report? Is that what
20	you attached to that report?
21	A Yeah, once they
22	Q Is that what you said that
23	A complete the classes, they we get a report
24	with any if any recommen recommendations are given.

1	Q Okay. And were there any recommendations for
2	you said both of them completed it. So did you get reports
3	for both of them? Completion reports?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And were there recommendations for Mr. Brown
6	A Yes.
7	Q as a result of the classes? What were the
8	recommendations for Mr. Brown?
9	A To complete a family risk assessment.
10	Q And were there recommendations for Ms. Lawrence?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And what were the recommendations for her?
13	A To also complete a family risk assessment.
14	Q And then you said that you attached family risk
15	assessments. Was that for both parents?
16	A Yes.
17	Q So they both completed that?
18	A Yes, at that time they had.
19	Q Okay. And then those risk assessments, did those
20	result in a determination of the level of risk for the
21	family?
22	A Yes.
23	Q With regard to Ms. Lawrence's risk assessment,
24	what was the result of her risk assessment?

1	A High risk for physical abuse.
2	Q And Mr. Brown? Was there a level of risk
3	assessed for him as well?
4	A High risk for physical abuse.
5	Q And then were there also recommendations made
6	from those reports?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What were the what well, were you here
9	you were here when Ms. Lawrence testified, correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q In this hearing in this proceeding?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And would you concur with the recommendations
14	that she agreed were in her Red Rock assessment, to
15	continue in weekly therapy, create a therapeutic safety
16	plan
17	A Yes.
18	Q with the help of a trauma therapist? Would
19	you agree with everything that she testified was
20	recommended by Red Rock?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. As far as Mr. Brown, what were the
23	recommendations for him from the Red Rock assessment?
24	A To complete an assessment for domestic violence
	I .

	and for tow the recommendations. Anger management, impulse
2	control classes and follow recommendations. Weekly
3	individual therapy to address his position of denial and
4	history of criminal behaviors. And con continue not to
5	have contact with with his children until meeting the
6	requirements of his case plan and his risk of abuse has
7	decreased.
8	Q Okay. We'll come back to that. At this time are
9	the parents also attending some other type of therapy as
10	well as the Red Rock or doing the Red Rock assessment and
11	classes?
12	A They were attending Healthy Minds.
13	Q And what type of what was that therapy meant
14	to address?
15	A Healthy Minds is they work with the parents on
16	what they call family without the child and they address
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry, family without a child or
18	with
19	THE WITNESS: Without a child.
20	THE COURT: Without?
21	THE WITNESS: Without.
22	THE COURT: Without a child, okay.
23	THE WITNESS: So they mean like just with the
24	parents.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: It's typically to meet with the parents and work on some of the concerns of -- the safety concerns and -- in appro -- I -- in order to kind of start the family sessions and move towards the reunification process.

BY MS. HANRAHAN:

1.5

Q So was that -- you said that one of the requirements from Red Rock for Mr. Brown was individual therapy to address his position of denial. And Ms. Lawrence had testified that she was also recommended to have the same thing, individual therapy to address her position of denial. So was that therapy at Healthy Minds acceptable to fulfill that recommendation?

A No. They're not -- they don't provide individual therapy, they provide family without the child, which is different. They address different things.

Q So is family therapy more toward just interactions to make the family function smoother and things like that?

A Correct. It's -- it's about the family, it's not about the individual person.

Q And is it specifically meant to address physical abuse in any fashion? That therapy at Healthy Minds.

They address parenting, not as physical abuse.

1

Α

1	A No, the Healthy Minds therapists. His therapy
2	too.
3	Q Okay. It's they explained what type of
4	therapy they were providing or
5	A Yes.
6	Q Now you talked about you attached the Red Rock
7	completion reports and you attach the evaluations, the
8	or the risk assessments from Red Rock. Do you typically
9	attach everything you've gotten regarding any type of case
10	plan compliance or non-compliance to your reports? Any
11	kind of reports that you receive from other entities, do
12	you usually attach those to your reports?
13	A We attach any service providers. There's other
14	reports that we receive that might not be attached, but
15	it'd be in in the report.
16	Q Summarized in the report?
17	A Summarized in the report.
18	Q So in this report for January 2015, you also
19	attached a report indicating that both Mr. Brown and Ms.
20	Lawrence had completed parenting
21	A Yes.
22	Q right? Would you agree with that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And and then did this report also contain

1	something a report regarding Samantha, an incident	
2	report for Samantha?	
3	A Yes, it was attached.	
4	MR. GOWDEY: What's the date of the report we're	
5	talking about now?	
6	MS. HANRAHAN: January 2015.	
7	MR. GOWDEY: Okay.	
8	BY MS. HANRAHAN:	
9	Q Do you recall that or do you need to	
10	A I know I attach it, I just re recall the	
11	incident.	
12	Q Let me know when you have refreshed your	
13	recollection.	
14	(PAUSE)	
15	Q Do you see the incident report thats	
16	A Yeah, there is	
17	Q What was that incident regarding? Well, first of	
18	all, what is an incident report?	
19	A We receive from foster homes or high level homes,	
20	foster homes, reports of any major incidents where the	
21	children maybe have to be taken to the emergency room, or	
22	something that the school reported based on if it's any	
23	medical care needed.	
	1	

24

Q Okay. So --

_	A 50
2	Q do you have to attach those to the report?
3	A Not necessarily. Some of the reports might be
4	just summarized in in the medical section
5	Q Okay. So what was this
6	A of the children.
7	Q incident report regarding?
8	A This incidence there's incidence reports of
9	when Samantha fell out of her bike. And just summarizes
10	what some of the the incident.
11	Q So this is the documentation from St. Jude's
12	about that incident?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay.
15	A St. Jude's provided that documentation to the
16	Department.
17	Q All right. Thank you.
18	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm going to move to admit State's
19	19? 18? ·
20	MR. DRASKOVICH: No objection.
21	THE CLERK: 19.
22	THE COURT: January 2015 permanency report.
23	MS. HANRAHAN: January 2015.
24	(STATE'S EXHIBIT 19 ADMITTED)

1	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
2	Q Now you testified that at the hearing and in the
3	report you recommended that permanency goal be changed to
4	termination of parental rights at that hearing. Did the
5	Court indeed change the permanency goal to termination of
6	parental rights at that point?
7	A Yes.
8	Q All right. Did you prepare and submit to the
9	report (sic) to the Court another report six months
10	later as you're required by law?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And that would have been for the 18 month
13	hearing, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did that hearing, to your recollection, take
16	place on July 22nd, 2015?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And if you would take a look at this document and
19	let me know if you recognize that as the report that you
20	prepared for July 2015.
21	A This is not July 2015.
22	Q Oh, sorry.
23	A This is January.

January 2015. I'm sorry, I gave you the wrong

24

Q

1	report. Sorry.	
2	A That's all right.	
3	Q Does that appear to be the report that you	
4	prepared for July 22nd, 2015?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q And at the time of this hearing, 18 months into	
7	the case, what were you recommending as the permanency goal	
8	for that	
9	A To remain	
10	Q report?	
11	A termination of parental rights and adoption	
12	Q And	
13	A with a concurring goal of reunification.	
14	Q Okay. And do you also recommend a permanency	
15	goal with a concurrent goal? Is that kind of the policy or	
16		
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Now why were you continuing to recommend	
19	termination of parental rights as the permanency goal at	
20	this point?	
21	A Even though the parents had completed the	
22	objectives or action steps in the case plan, there still	
23	was no behavioral changes at that time, meaning there was	
24	still know acknowledgment of the physical abuse and there	

2

was no -- there was -- the Department was not able to do a 1 safety plan with them. 2 Okay. So had they talked to you at all during 3 that review period about the abuse or about a plan to 4 5 prevent it from happening again, or how it had been addressed in therapy? 6 7 Α No. Did you provide some attachments for this report 8 as well? 9 10 Α Yes. What did you attach to that report? 11 12 Mr. Brown's assessment for domestic violence, the domestic violence report that they provide. A mental 13 health report for Mr. Brown. A mental health assessment 14 15 for Ms. Lawrence, and a mental health report for Ms. 16 Lawrence. The reports from Healthy Minds for all children. And some awards for Heidi and Nikki and a school picture 17 for Samantha. 18 19 Okay. So you said -- and you talked about some 20 mental health reports and a domestic violence report for 21 Mr. Brown. Where were those from? 22 Α ABC Therapy. 23 And how did the parents come to -- how did the 24 therapy -- the ABC engagement come about?

1	A	There was a mental health assessment completed
2	where the	ey were he was recommended to attend
3	Q	Okay. So just tell me the documents that you
4	have firs	st. Mental health
5	A	Okay. That's a completion
6	Q	assessment
7	A	report for a mental health assessment.
8	Q	A completion report sorry, for what?
9	A	For the mental health assessment. It's attach
10	Attachme	nt C. I'm missing something here on the
11	Q	Is that a completion report for an assessment or
12	a complet	tion report for counseling?
13	A	I'm sorry, for counseling.
14	Q	Okay. And then what other anything else
15	what other	er attachments from ABC do you have?
16	A	It was a Ms. Lawrence mental health assessment
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	results. And then there is a completion
19	report o	f the sessions taken.
20	Q	All right. So you don't have an actual
21	evaluatio	on attached to that report, a mental health
22	evaluatio	on for Mr. Brown?
23	A	I did not at the time that the report was filed.
24	Q	Did to your knowledge, did he get one?

-	_ ^	ies.
2	Q	And did you receive a copy of his mental health
3	evaluation?	
4	A	Yes.
5		MS. HANRAHAN: I'm assuming you have no
6	objection	ı.
7	BY MS. HANRAHAN:	
8	Q	If you would take a look
9		MR. GOWDEY: No.
10	Q	at that and tell the Court if that's a true
11	and accur	rate copy of what you received from ABC regarding
12	Mr. Brown	n's mental health evaluation.
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And is there a date on that for that evaluation
15	as to whe	en it was completed?
16	A	February.
17	Q	February
18	А	February 10 of 2015.
19	Q	So that was actually after you said that CFT
20	was in Ja	anuary, right?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	So he went for that evaluation in February. Was
23	that some	ething that you had referred him for or how did he
24	** ***	

1	A No.
2	Q end up at ABC Therapy?
3	A The Department find out that they had they had
4	gone to ABC for assessment. I don't recall if it was Mr.
5	Brown or Ms. Lawrence who call. At that point the
6	Department sent a referral to
7	Q Well, let okay, let me stop you. So you
8	they went on their own to get an assessment
9	A Correct.
10	Q is that your testimony?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And then did those assessments let's start
13	with Mr. Brown's result in any type of diagnosis or
14	indication of what issues would be addressed in his
15	therapy? If you were to did it recommend therapy?
16	Sorry.
17	A They recommended 10 sessions.
18	Q Okay. So did was there any indication on that
19	evaluation as to what Mr. Brown's issues to be addressed
20	would be or what his diagnosis was?
21	A Anxiety separation anxiety disorder.
22	Q Is that a diagnosis?
23	A It was the one he met criteria for.
24	Q Okay. And you said it recommended 10 sessions

1	A Uh-huh (affirmative).	
2	Q in therapy. Did it indicate what that therapy	
3	would be addressing other than separation anxiety?	
4	A No.	
5	Q And then Ms. Lawrence, you said hers was attached	
6	to the report.	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Was there a recommendation for her as well?	
9	A Ten sessions of individual mental health	
10	counseling.	
11	Q And did she have a diagnosis?	
12	A It says classification for separation anxiety	
13	disorder.	
14	Q So the same thing as Mr. Brown.	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Did Ms. Lawrence's evaluation indicate what those	
17	10 sessions of individual therapy would address?	
18	A No.	
19	Q Now you said that you received completions	
20	reports for the sessions for both parents.	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And with regard to Mr. Brown, did that completion	
23	report indicate what issues were addressed in his therapy	
24	at ABC?	

1	A	NO.
2	Q	Sorry?
3	А	No. What he addressed?
4	Q	Did yes. Did it
5	А	Oh.
6	Q	give any kind of summary of what had been done
7	in the th	erapy? I'm talking about the completion report.
8	A	For Mr. Brown?
9	Q	Yes.
10	A	They talk about the comments made was that Mr.
11	Brown	learn and implement the problem solving strategies
12	for reali	stically addressing worries.
13	Q	Okay. Did it indicate that he completed the
14	program?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	But it stated that he had learned strategies for
17	addressin	g worries?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And with regard to Ms. Lawrence's completion
20	report, d	lid it have any kind of summary of what had been
21	addressed	l in therapy?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And what did her report indicate had been
24	addressed	1?

1	A It's basically the same thing. Worries about th
2	children well-being and being able to develop alternative
3	reality based protections.
4	Q Do you know what that means?
5	A No. It doesn't give an any specifics of what
6	what actually was addressed during the sessions.
7	Normally we get reports where they give a little more
8	detail.
9	Q Was was there anything on either parent's
10	completion report that mentioned anything about physical
11	abuse of a child?
12	A No.
13	Q Anything on either parent's completion report
14	that addressed mentioned anything about protective
15	capacity?
16	A No.
17	Q Anything about triggers?
18	A No.
19	Q Coping skills?
20	A No.
21	Q Relapse prevention plans?
22	A No.
23	Q Anything in either parent's evaluation from ABC
24	that mentioned anything about physical abuse of a child?
Í	

1	A	No.
2	Q	Anything on either evaluation that mentioned
3	anything	about protective capacity?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Triggers?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Coping skills?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Relapse prevention plans?
10	A	No.
11	Q	To your knowledge, did ABC Therapy consult with
12	anyone ot	her than the parents in preparing those
13	evaluatio	ns?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Did they consult with the Department of Family
16	Services?	
17	A	Not to my knowledge, no.
18	Q	And but you indicated that prior to the
19	after the	parents had received the evaluations and prior to
20	starting	the sessions, DFS did provide some information to
21	ABC, a re	ferral?
22	А	Yes, a referral was sent to them with the
23	specifics	on the case as well as the risk assessments.
24	Q	The risk assessments

From Red Rock with the recommendations.

1

Α

Ms. Lawrence stated that the criminal case will 1 Α be continued until Samantha will turn 18. I don't know 2 3 what her understanding was when Samantha will turn 18, that 4 charges will disappear. I'm not sure what her 5 understanding --MR. GOWDEY: Objection. Calls for speculation. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MR. GOWDEY: She's now speculating as to --9 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. Sustained. BY MS. HANRAHAN: 10 11 0 So did -- did that seem realistic to you as a way to -- to get the other children back? 12 13 Α No. 14 0 Why not? I mean, if -- if -- if they hadn't 15 injured the other children and Samantha was 18 and gone, is it -- it's not an appropriate plan to just wait and get 16 17 those kids back and --Based on the -- based on the allegations and 18 Α 19 where the case was where even though a child turns 18, 20 there's still the safety concerns. There were safety 21 concerns --22 For the other children. Q 23 Α -- for the other children. 24 0 And what --

1	A So
2	Q concerns were those?
3	A There's the physical abuse has not been
4	addressed. There was no safety plan, to be able to
5	safety plan with with the children. There was a no
6	contact order, meaning that was no contact between the
.7	children, so we were not able to assess their parental
8	capacities. The Department was not able to assess that.
9	And there were many more disclosures by the children.
10	Q Do you have concerns about any effects on the
11	other children of what had happened in the home prior?
12	A The Department has concerns with the children
13	what the children endured while they were in the parent's
14	home.
15	Q Now during that period between 12 and 18 months,
16	did the parents maintain regular contact with you?
17	A There was a period where the parents weren't in
18	contact with the Department as regular, however there was a
19	period where they will send emails every other week.
20	Q And what kind of emails?
21	A Just asking how the children were doing.
22	Q Lengthy emails or
23	A A couple sentences on the emails, how the
24	children were doing.

Τ.	So did they ever contact you during that time to
2	ask for a CFT to discuss the case plan?
3	A The Department asked them and their attorneys to
4	meet to discuss the the case and the progress.
5	Q And did that happen?
6	A No.
7	MR. DRASKOVICH: If I could get a foundation as
8	to time frame.
9	MS. HANRAHAN: Well, we're talking about the six
10	months between the one-year report and the 18-month report.
11	MR. DRASKOVICH: So are we discussing January 5th
12	of 2015 until
13	THE COURT: Through July of 2
14	MS. HANRAHAN: July.
15	MR. DRASKOVICH: July
16	THE WITNESS: Right.
17	MR. DRASKOVICH: 2015?
18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh (affirmative).
19	MS. HANRAHAN: Yes.
20	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
21	Q So you said the goal changed to TPR in January of
22	2015. Generally when the goal in a permanency case changes
23	to termination of parental rights does that change your
24	focus as a case manager?

A Yes.

Q How does it change your focus?

A We focus on the children. We still work with the parents, but our main focus is the permanency of the children. So finding a permanent home for the children and just ensuring the well-being of the children.

Q So your focus is no longer reunification --

A No.

Q -- is that what you're saying. And if it's no longer reunification, does that mean the parents no longer get any assistance from you?

A No, we still work with the parents. We work with the parents through the whole case even though the goal -- because our concurring goal re -- it remains for reunification, so we still work with the parents, it's just not our main focus.

Q So is -- would you characterize it as kind of the ball is in their court at that point?

A It means that they're the ones that need to contact the Department with any completion, anything that they're doing, any progress that they're doing. The Department still requests the six-month review reports and -- from providers, but it is the parents' responsibility to contact the Department and provide what they want the Court

1 to know about their progress. What was the plan for the kids at this point, at 3 18 months? Α The goal was termination of parental rights and adoption. I guess I should -- where were they placed and --0 7 They were placed in a -- in a licensed foster 8 home at St. Jude's. Still at St. Jude's. 0 10 Α Yes. 11 Was there a plan to maybe have them go somewhere 12 else at that point? 13 Yes. We had the maternal grandfather, we had 14 submitted an ICPC that was approved. There were some concerns. We met with Mr. Lawrence and it was an agreement 15 16 that the children would go visit for a few days and then 17 return. And then if everything went well, that the children would be placed with him prior to school starts in 18 19 August of 2015. 20 In the section of your report labeled

2

4

5

6

9

21

22

23

24

emotional/counseling for the children, where you talk about the children's well-being as far as emotional and whatever 'counseling they're getting, is -- is that something that you're normally required to report on in these court

	lepoits:
2	A Yes.
3	Q Had the children at this point started talking
4	about their feelings with regard to the case and what they
5	wanted to happen?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And what had they told you specifically?
8	MR. GOWDEY: I'm going to object. It can I
9	don't know which child we're we're speaking of.
10	MS. HANRAHAN: Well
11	MR. GOWDEY: The children is very vague as to
12	what did they tell you. If perhaps it can be broken down
13	into the individual children that might be a little easier
14	to
15	MS. HANRAHAN: Sure.
16	THE COURT: Sustained. That's fine.
17	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
18	Q In your report, and specifically referring to the
19	section emotional/counseling on Page 9, you talk about some
20	things the children said. Was that all the children saying
21	those things or was there a reason you said the children
22	instead of a particular child?
23	A Sometimes in our reports we we try to just

24

make it general for some -- some of the concerns, some of

the things that the kids have disclosed.

Q Why is that?

A It doesn't differ -- differentiate the kids, who said what or who didn't say this. It's just when there's a family and there's many children, we'll disclose -- and then sometimes there will be specifics of what the children reported if it's more significant than others.

Q Okay. So -- but was there a reason that you didn't want to specifically say this child said that in your report?

A Samantha has been the target child in this case and we just want to ensure that all the kids were just treated equally.

Q Okay. But so today, here, I mean, you still -when you're doing these reports, there's no termination of
parental rights, right? And so there might still be a -at some point a reunification.

A Correct.

Q So now here at this proceeding for termination of parental rights, can you talk a little more specifically about the things that the girls told you and specifically your statement that they told the specialist they want their parents to tell the truth? Who -- who told you that?

A Heidi. Heidi is more verbal than Sam and Nikki

when it comes to talking about what was going on in their home prior to their removal. Heidi would disclose things such as, you know, as I say early (sic), the drills that happened in the home when CPS was coming. She --

Q But just for purposes of this report, Heidi's the one that said she wants her parents to tell the truth?

A Yes. Heidi will say that if the parents will tell the truth then they can go home. So she will ask, and she continues to ask, if the par -- if her parents have told the truth.

Q Now in your summary you -- did the kids express some concerns to you about having to testify at the court hearings?

A Both Heidi and Nikki and Sam were scared to testify. At this point they were worried that they have to be in front of the parents. That if they -- Heidi specifically said that if she told the truth of what was going on in her home and what happened to Sam, that if Mom will stop loving her, will not want her anymore.

MS. HANRAHAN: All right. I'm going to ask to admit this, certified copy of the report for July 2015, as well as Mr. Brown's mental health evaluation report.

MR. DRASKOVICH: We've agreed to have all these exhibits admitted.

1	Int Cook!: He agreed they agree they
2	agreed.
3	MR. DRASKOVICH: We agreed.
4	MS. HANRAHAN: Yeah oh, yeah. I thought you
5	said you already what is this one? 20 is the report, 21
6	is the mental health evaluation.
7	(STATE'S EXHIBITS 20 AND 21 ADMITTED)
8	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
9	Q Did you hold a two-year review hearing on Jan
10	in January 2016?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And write all the same types of information for
13	that report?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you recall if that report reflects any
16	significant changes with regard to the parents'
17	participation in the case plan, whether they had begun, you
18	know, talking to you about how this case opened, any
19	significant changes?
20	A No.
21	Q No you don't recall or no they didn't?
22	A No, they have not.
23	Q And you talked about previously a plan to place
24	the children with the grandfather at the time that you had

	submitted the last report, did that happen:
2	A No.
3	Q And why not?
4	A When the children returned from their trip to
5	Texas the children talked about Mr. Lawrence spanking
6	Wyatt. They talked about Wyatt misbehaving and he was
7	spanked. And they, Heidi, stated that she did not want to
8	feel like she could not protect another sibling.
9	Q And so at the time of this report, where were the
10	children?
11	A They remain in the in the foster home.
12	Q The same home at St. Jude's?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And during all these, you know, periods, six-
15	month periods that are covered in your reports, you
16	continue to always go out and visit the children or did
17	they come to see you? How did you
18	A No, we're required to see them in their home
19	every 30 days.
20	Q Did you ever see them more often than that?
21	A Yes.
22	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm going to ask to admit the
23	court report from January 20th, 2016 as Number 22.
24	MR. DRASKOVICH: We agree, so

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	(STATE'S EXHIBIT 22 ADMITTED)
3	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
4	Q And then was there just recently a two and a half
5	year review hearing in this matter on July 20th, 2016?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did you prepare and submit a report to the
8	Court as you're required by law?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Did that report reflect any significant changes
11	in regards to the parents since the prior report as far as
12	their case plan compliance
13	A No.
14	Q or any other activities? Was there had
15	there been some you testified earlier that that the
16	parents were allowed to give the kids gift cards `
17	A Yes.
18	Q right? And you testified that to your
19	knowledge they never did, right?
20	A No.
21	Q Was there some change in the gift giving policy

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 08/26/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Yes. They were allowed to $\operatorname{--}$ to provide

Christmas gifts in -- at the end of 2015, so gifts were

somewhere along the way in this period of time?

22

23

1	provided.
2	Q Okay. And then did that continue to be the
3	policy where they still did they provide other gifts
4	after those Christmas gifts?
5	A Easter baskets.
6	Q Okay.
7	A And
8	Q Anything else?
9	A Wyatt received a birthday gift. And the children
10	received 4th of July gifts.
11	Q Okay. And all the kids got 4th of July gifts?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Is did Sam receive any gift for her high
14	school graduation in May 2016?
15	A No.
16	Q Did Sam receive a birthday gift for her 18th
17	birthday in July to your knowledge?
18	A No, there was she on her 4th of July bag
19	there was a little banner that said Happy Birthday.
20	Q Okay. So those might have been
21	A I was not aware. The email $$ the email that I
22	received from Ms. Law Ms. Lawrence was that they were
23	4th of July gifts.
24	Q Okay. And all the kids got those.

1	A	ies.
2	Q	And during this time period was there some
3	extended	family that visited with the kids?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Who who visited during that time from January
6	2016 to Ju	ıly?
7	A	The adult sibling, his wife, and the paternal
8	grandmothe	er.
9	Q	The adult sibling David?
10	A	David. David Brown and his wife and the paternal
11	grandmothe	er.
12		
13	Q	Paternal grandmother?
14	A	Paternal grandmother.
15	Q	Were you also exploring possible placement with
16	another re	elative at that
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	during that period?
19	А	During that period we also had a paternal aunt
20	and an ICI	PC was submitted on her behalf as she resided in
21	Colorado.	
22	Q	And was that ICPC approved?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And did you did the children know this aunt?

	A NO.
2	Q Did you attempt to get the children to have
3	give the children an opportunity to get to know that aunt?
4	A She visited twice. She has visited twice and
5	phone calls with her.
6	Q Okay. So when did she visit? You don't have to
7	give specific dates
8	A It was
9	Q but approximately
10	A towards the end of 2014 (sic) and she actually
.1	came
.2	Q 2014?
.3	A I mean 2015, and then and May, June of this
.4	year.
.5	Q Okay. And then you said phone calls. And did
.6	she appear to get along with the children?
.7	A Yeah, she
.8	Q Did those visits
.9	A they don't know each other
20	Q go okay?
21	A so it's it was kind of awkward to it is
22	awkward for the children during the phone calls. They
23	don't have any she tries to have conversations with
ا ۾	them Sometimes she's able to get them in a tonic that the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

want?

(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE) Α

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 08/26/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

(STATE'S EXHIBIT 23 ADMITTED)

1	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor, and I don't know if
2	you want to break for lunch. This would probably be a good
3	time. I have a few more questions for Ms. Tallent after
4	lunch and
5	THE COURT: Okay. It's almost 12:00.
6	MR. GOWDEY: If she only has a few more
7	questions, I'd just as
8	MS. HANRAHAN: Well
9	MR. GOWDEY: soon get done with the direct so
10	we can know the the total scope and have an opportunity
11	to prepare further.
12	MS. HANRAHAN: I mean, it's more than a few.
13	It's
14	THE COURT: Well, I guess approximately how long
15	how much longer do you think in time, time-wise?
16	MS. HANRAHAN: A half hour, 45 minutes.
17	MR. DRASKOVICH: This is probably a good time for
18	lunch then.
۱9	THE COURT: All right. We can break for lunch
20	then. How long, an hour? And let me ask you this now,
21	since we're all here. After Ms. Tallent, how many more
22	witnesses do you have for today?
23	MS. HANRAHAN: I only have Ms. Tallent for today.

THE COURT: Oh, good. Okay.

1	MR. GOWDEY: So we okay, so the State's case	
2	is going to extend into next Thursday and and longer?	
3	MS. HANRAHAN: Next Thursday, certainly. I may	
4	be finished by Thursday. If not, halfway through Friday.	
5	MR. GOWDEY: Can you give us any indication how	
6	many witnesses you intend to call on Thursday?	
7	THE CLERK: We still have Monday.	
8	MR. GOWDEY: No.	
9	MS. HANRAHAN: We don't have Monday.	
10	MR. GOWDEY: Mr Mr. Draskovich is going to be	
11	in New York. That's previously been been discussed.	
12	MS. HANRAHAN: Do you we and we did think	
13	about I don't if it's officially off calendar for Monda	
14	or	
15	THE COURT: No, yeah, it's it's he had	
16	mentioned it and at that point I don't I don't he's	
17	not going to be here, correct?	
18	MR. GOWDEY: Correct.	
19	THE COURT: So unfortunately, if he's not going	
20	to be here you know, we want to move forward as fast as	
21	we can as far as the dates, but obviously his client needs	
22	him to be here on that date, so we can't go forward without	
23	him. So do you intend to call Mr. Brown as well?	
ľ		

MS. HANRAHAN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So we have -- finish up Ms. Tallent today, we have Mr. Brown. Is there any other 2 3 witnesses? 4 MS. HANRAHAN: I -- I may call Heather Richardson 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MS. HANRAHAN: -- but I'm not sure. THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 MS. HANRAHAN: Not vet. 10 THE COURT: So -- and then let me ask this -well --11 12 MS. HANRAHAN: She won't be too long. 13 THE COURT: So we have -- so it's Thursday and Friday. At the latest you'll be done by next Friday. And 14 15 then we have -- Monday's a holiday. And then we have you 16 on the 8th and then the 9th. That's all we have you on. 17 Most of my trials like this end up -- there seems to be more days at the end, just -- that's just the way it works 18 19 for certain reasons, whatever. Testimony is longer than 20 expected. So I don't necessarily know that even if we went on Monday that you would still be done by the next Friday, 21 22 just -- just from speaking from experience.

So we can check out some days if necessary. If we need them. Obviously if we don't need them, then you

23

1	don't have to come to court that day. And then you'll let
2	us know how many witnesses you plan.
3	MR. DRASKOVICH: We intend at this point of
4	calling three witnesses.
5	THE COURT: Each?
6	MR. GOWDEY: No.
7	THE COURT: Together?
8	MR. DRASKOVICH: Together.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Calvert?
10	MS. CALVERT: I'm relying on their witnesses.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Honodel, she's good.
.2	MS. HONODEL: Rely on
١3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. HONODEL: their witnesses.
L5	THE COURT: So it's possible. It's definitely
۱6	possible. And if worse comes to worst, we can always
.7	schedule a closing statement block of time relatively soon,
18	even if I have to move something around. Okay?
١9	MS. HANRAHAN: Okay.
20	THE COURT: All right. So be back at 1:00.
21	Sounds good. Thank you.
22	MS. HANRAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	(COURT RECESSED AT 11:57 AND RESUMED AT 01:08)
ا ۸	THE COURT. You're still under eath. We wen't

1	swear you in, but you're still under oath. All right.		
2	BY MS. HANRAHAN:		
3	Q Are you settled?		
4	A Yeah.		
5	Q So turning your attention to the case plan, case		
6	plans for both parents, you testified previously that both		
7	parents had the same objectives on their case plans, right?		
8	A Correct.		
9	Q And do you recall what those objectives were?		
10	A Resolve legal matters. Meet the children's		
11	needs, educational, medical. And to have a home free from		
12	physical abuse.		
13	Q So as to the resolution of legal matters, what		
14	did that mean that they had to do specifically?		
15	A Just go and be compliant to the the criminal		
16	process.		
17	Q Okay. Anything else?		
18	A I don't recall. I would have to		
19	Q Do you want to take		
20	A What the specifics are.		
21	MS. HANRAHAN: If I may approach the witness,		
22	Your Honor.		
23	THE COURT: Sure.		
24	MR. GOWDEY: Where are you referring?		

A That -- that -- that objective will be once the children reunify with the parents and if we're still involved that there's a plan for the children to go to someone.

Q Okay. So a caregiver --

22

23

1	A Instead to go back		
2	Q in the event		
3	A to foster care.		
4	Q that they were incarcerated.		
5	A I'm sorry?		
6	Q A caregiver in the event that they were		
7	incarcerated.		
8	A Correct.		
9	Q Okay. So it it's a possibility that it was		
10	a possibility at that point that the children could have		
11	been reunified before the criminal case was resolved.		
12	A If the other objectives in		
13	Q No, I is yeah, okay, go ahead. I'm sorry.		
14	A Yeah, in other if if everything has been		
15	met and all it is just pending any criminal probation, the		
16	children could be reunified with the parents.		
17	Q Okay. Have you ever had cases where this was a		
18	requirement of the case plan and the kids went home before		
19	the criminal case was resolved?		
20	A Yes.		
21	Q And does that keep you from closing the case?		
22	A No.		
23	Q So they didn't have to have the whole trial done		
24	and be out of that before necessarily before you would		

close the case? 1 2 Α No. As long as they had that alternate caregiving 3 plan. 4 5 Α Correct. 6 0 Okay. So as to the next objective, provide for 7 the emotional, educational, physical, developmental needs of the children, what did that mean that they had to do? 8 9 For that one they had to attend visitation, 10 parenting classes --Well, let's just -- I mean, first of all, with 11 0 12 visitation, prior to any no contact orders, did they do 13 that? 14 They had visitation. 15 Q Okay. And then parenting classes you said. Did they do that? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Okay. What else? 19 Once a reunification occurs, there's other 20 services that the Department will -- might put in place if 21 needed, and they will -- to participate on those. Engage 22 in family therapy with the children if it was a 23 recommendation. Demonstrate understanding of the 24 children's emotional needs. Demonstrate empathy of all the

1 children, their experiences and their feelings. 2 All right. So with regard to participation in 3 any treatment or therapy after reunification or after a no 4 contact order was lifted, that was something they couldn't 5 do until those things occurred, right? 6 Α Correct. 7 Reunification or the lifting of the no contact 8 order. With regard to demonstrating and understanding of 9 the children's emotional needs, what does that mean? 10 Α Understanding what the -- what's going on with 11 the children, what's happening with the children, where are 12 the children at, at that time. Meaning if -- if there's anything that the children would like to address with them 13 14 in therapy. 15 And demonstrate empathy for the children. 0 16 Α Just empathy --17 Is that something they could do before 18 reunification theoretically? 19 Α Yes. 20 And how would they do that? 21 Just being able to talk about their home life, 22 the situations in their home. The allegations at times 23 will be the allegations on the petition or what they --24 what led to the children's removal.

1	A Will be able to demonstrate appropriate behavior			
2	and emotional expectations of the children in age			
3	appropriate non-physical interventions and disciplinary			
4	strategies.			
5	Q What does that mean?			
6	A I'm sorry?			
7	Q What does that mean?			
8	A It means that once the children are when the			
9	children (sic) are having visitation with the children, the			
10	Department will cont will assess their understanding			
11	the children's needs, behaviors, emotional, being able to			
12	for the parent to be able to do appropriate things bas			
13	on the age of the children. Talk to them age appropriate.			
14	Q Okay. So can I think I kind of confused			
15	things here. You testified that all three parents had the			
16	same objectives, but were some of the action steps			
17	different for each parent?			
18	A There is a difference on the on the			
19	action steps for the parents.			
20	Q Okay. So what in that what you just read			
21	about			
22	A That would be			
23	Q demonstrate age appropriate behavior, oh, and			
24	emotional expectations, that was Mr. Brown's case plan?			

A Yes.

Q Okay. Well, let's just go through the other action steps for Mr. Brown.

- A Yes, for Mr. Brown's case plan.
- Q Yeah. What else did he have to do?

A Have a viable and reliable safety plan to prevent future physical abuse of the children that the Department will monitor. Be able to demonstrate protective capacities.

Q All right. So -- so essentially what does that mean, the requirement about safety plan.

A There will be a safety plan. Once there's an explanation for injuries and the parents can understand -- can understand how the injuries came up to be in their home, then it's -- the Department will be able to do a safety plan based on the acknowledgment of the parents and how to prevent, what is the -- the triggers, what time of the day the triggers might happen, and -- and based on that, we'll -- we'll provide supports to the family.

Q So is that safety plan something that is prepared with some kind of collaboration between the parents and the Department?

- A Yes.
- Q It's not something the parents do by themselves

1		
2	A No.	
3	Q and present to DFS?	
4	A It will be a team effort	
5	Q And that's	
6	A with the support. At times the children are	
7	will at times the children will provide an input on	
8	the safety plans.	
9	Q Okay. So but that's why the action step	
10	specifies ongoing consultation between DFS and therapists	
11	as well as the parents?	
L2	A Yes.	
L3	Q Sorry. And then that the other, consistently	
L 4	demonstrate age appropriate behavioral and emotional	
L5	expectations. The one you talked about previously, age	
L6	appropriate non-physical interventions and disciplinary	
L7	strategies.	
L8	A Yes.	
L9	Q So would you say that Mr. Brown completed that	
20	aspect of his case or action step?	
21	A No.	
22	Q And why not?	
23	A He hasn't had the opportunity as there has been	
24	no contact, and he has not prior to that, we have not	

_

Ĭ

have -- had a way to assess him.

Q Well, if Mr. Brown could talk to you about his expectations for physical interventions or emotional expectations of the children but he was still under a no contact order from the criminal court, would that be something that would absolutely cause you to go to TPR or request TPR as a permanency goal? If he could articulate to you his understanding of age appropriate parenting?

A Right. If a parent is able to acknowledge and verbalize to the Department the action steps to ensure that the incidents -- the allegations, the incidents don't happen again, yes. I mean, by law we still have to do, but will be ways that we can ask the Court not to have termination of parental rights as a primary goal.

Q You have the option to ask for compelling reasons to keep a permanency goal as reunification if you have indications that the parent is -- is doing what they need to do but they're under some constraint otherwise.

A Correct.

Q Okay. What about Ms. Lawrence? What were the action steps that she needed to complete?

A From the -- home free from physical abuse. Is that the --

Q Yes.

1	A	Okay.	
2	Q	Sorry.	
3	A	Would be also like to complete a non-offending	
4	parenting	. Demonstrate the impact the physical abuse has	
5	on her children.		
6	Q	Did she do that? She did you testified she	
7	did the classes, right?		
8	A	She completed classes.	
9	Q	Did she demonstrate an understanding of the	
10	impact of	physical abuse to her child's well-being?	
11	А	No.	
12	Q	Okay. What else?	
13	А	Plan identify opportunities that she missed to	
14	provide appropriate protect protection and supervision		
15	to her ch	ildren.	
16	Q	Did she ever do that?	
17	A	No.	
18	Q	In any fashion through conversation or through	
19	anything provided to you by a therapist?		
20	А	No.	
21	Q	What else?	
22	Α	Develop appropriate discipline plan for the	
23	children.		
24	Q	Did she do that?	

Lawrence you would have expected that to be something that you -- that she -- you and she completed together.

Α Right, with a team. Uh-huh.

And was that ever done? Q

Α No.

21

22

23

1	Q	What else did Ms. Lawrence have to do in action
2	steps?	•
3	A	She's completing the offending, demonstrate the
4	opportun	
5	Q	Oh, I'm sorry, you
6	А	Yeah, I went through
7	Q	You kind of
8	A	I
9	Q	combined two.
10	A	I combined them.
11	Q	Yeah.
12	A	Yes, I'm sorry.
13	Q	All right. And did she have to sign a release of
14	in	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	for the service did she do that?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. So you had testified about the Red Rock
19	classes a	and you stated that one of the requirements for
20	both pare	ents' action steps was to follow the
21	recommend	dations of that Red Rock assessment.
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And you had previously testified as to what those

1		
2	N.	MR. GOWDEY: Objection. Asked and answered.
3	M	MS. HANRAHAN: Well, I'm going to ask now if he
4	did those,	so I guess we have to say what they are.
5	BY MS. HANI	RAHAN:
6	Q Y	You testified that Mr. Brown was recommended to
7	complete do	omestic violence evaluation and follow the
8	recommendat	tions, correct?
9	· A Y	es.
10	Q S	So did Mr. Brown do that?
11	A Y	es.
12	Q A	And you testified that Mr. Brown had to complete
13	anger manag	gement impulse control classes; is that
14	A Y	es.
15	Q -	right? Did he do that?
16	A Y	es.
17	Q A	and did you get some kind of certification that
18	he complete	ed that?
19	A Y	es.
20	Q W	There did he do that?
21	A T	he impulse control? At Red Rock.
22	Q A	and I don't think this was attached to a report;
23	was it?	
24	M	IS. HANRAHAN: Do you guys have this?

1	MR. DRASKOVICH: No.
2	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
3	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
4	Q So does that appear to be the impulse control
5	class completion certificate for Mr. Brown that you
6	received?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. GOWDEY: Objection. It actually says anger
9	management/impulse control on it.
10	THE WITNESS: Anger management and impulse
11	control class.
12	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
13	Q Is that the one you received from Red Rock?
14	A Yes.
15	THE COURT: And for the record, anger management
16	and impulse control.
17	MS. HANRAHAN: And I'm going to ask to admit that
18	as State's
19	THE CLERK: 24.
20	MR. GOWDEY: It's already been stipulated.
21	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
22	(STATE'S EXHIBIT 24 ADMITTED)
23	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
24	Q Okay. So then you also testified that he was

1	required or recommended to do individual therapy to address		
2	his position of denial.		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q Did he complete that recommendation?		
5	A No.		
6	Q Did he engage in individual therapy?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q And was that the therapy at Healthy Minds that		
9	you testified to earlier, the individual therapy? I'm		
10	sorry		
11	A That's not individual therapy for parents.		
12	Q Tell tell me what individual therapy he		
13	engaged in.		
14	A He had a mental health assessment at ABC and they		
15	recommended 10 individual session there.		
16	Q Okay. And and so your testimony is that those		
17	sessions did not meet the recommendation of Red Rock to		
18	address his position of denial?		
19	A The report does not state that it was that it		
20	was addressed.		
21	Q As of today, has Mr. Brown acknowledged any abuse		
22	in his family home of any of the children or addressed it		
23	in any fashion in therapy?		
24	A Not to the Department.		
ł			

1	requirements and reduces the risk of abuse.
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is that your testimony?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And would you say she met that requirement?
6	A No.
7	Q Well, she kind of had to, didn't she? I mean,
8	she couldn't have contact with the kids, right?
9	A Or I'm sorry, yes.
10	Q Would so maybe it's compound.
11	A Yeah, I
12	Q It says sorry. No contact with the kids until
13	she's meeting the case plan requirements. If the no
14	contact order had not been in place, was she meeting the
15	case plan requirements?
16	A I I don't understand your question.
17	Q Well, what's your understanding of that
18	recommendation from Red Rock? It says no contact with the
19	kids until she's meeting the case plan requirements and the
20	risk of abuse is decreased. What what does that mean to
21	you?
22	A Well, it means that she is able to acknowledge
23	what led to the removal of her children and being able to
24	verbalize her plan for a for a safe environment for her

1	didn't c	omplete what was important on the case plan?
2	Sorry, go	o ahead.
3	А	Parents can complete classes, can attend all
4	classes,	and still not being able to acknowledge the
5	reasons o	of the removal for the children.
6	Q	And is that what happened in this case?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	As far as the children, where are they placed
9	today?	
10	A	They're in a licensed foster home at St. Jude's.
11	Q	And are they is that an adoptive home?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	How long have they been there?
14	A	Since May of 2014.
15	Q	And do you see the kids regularly in that foster
16	home?	
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	About how often?
19	A	It's required that the that we have child
20	contact 6	every 30 days. I do see the children more often.
21	Q	And do you generally go to their home or do you
22	see them when they're in town for something or how does	
23	that wor	< ?
24	A	I have to see them in the home per our

regulations every 30 days. I do see them in the community. 1 2 And then have you attended events of theirs at 3 school or things like that; is that what you're talking or 4 5 Α Well, I see them at therapy appointments, they 6 stop by the office sometimes to pick up things. When 7 there's -- there have been court hearings that they are 8 present, sometimes I transport them. And I had attended 9 some activities for Samantha. 10 Okay. So when you meet with the children in the Q home, do you meet with them individually or all together? 11 12 Α Both. We do individual with each one and when I 13 arrive at the home usually they're all together in the --14 maybe in the different areas, so I talk to them as a group 15 and then individually on -- in their bedrooms. 16 0 And you -- you were here when the children 17 testified, Samantha, Nikki and Heidi, right? 18 Α Yes. 19 Did the children talk to you ever about the 20 things that they testified that -- here --Α 21 Some. 22 -- that happened in the home? Well, let's start 23 with Samantha. Did she ever talk to you personally about 24 any kind of abuse in the home?

2	Q Nothing.
3	A The only time the only time she mentioned
4	anything was about the letter, saying that what she wrote,
5	it was true.
6	Q And what about Nikki?
7	A No. I Nikki is very reserved. She doesn't
8	talk much. She acknowledged that there was abuse in her
9	home, that Samantha was abused, but doesn't give details,
10	doesn't talk about details.
11	Q Okay. And what about Heidi?
12	A Heidi is very open. Heidi sometimes I spend a
13	lot of time with her because she just wants to talk about
14	what happened in her home.
15	Q And what has she told you happened in her home?
16	A That Samantha was hit. That they were told not
17	to tell what was going on. That when family came,
18	especially when the maternal grandfather came to visit,
19	that they were not to say anything. And that at that time
20	Samantha was treated equally but only for that period of
21	time.
22	Q Oh, when the grandfather was
23	A When the grandfather was in town.
24	Q Anything else?

Α

No.

A She -- she talks about the incidents -- an -- an incident when Mom was breaking plates. Mom -- there's one time when Mom was aware that Saman -- that Samantha was -- was hurt by Mr. Brown and Mom was very upset and was hitting Mr. Brown and Mr. Brown was standing, just laughing. Talks about the drills. She talks about --

Q The drills?

A The drill about Dad telling them what -- what to say when CPS comes, be prepared, questioning. That's she called them, a drill. She talked about being scared at the home.

Q What was Heidi's demeanor when she talked about these things?

- A (WITNESS CRYING) I'm sorry.
- Q That's okay.

A Heidi's scared. Heidi stutters a lot when she talks, when she gets really nervous, when she's talking about Sam's abuse. She -- you know, she loves her parents. Wants to be home. Wants a home where there's no abuse. She an -- she's angry at herself for not helping Samantha. She blames herself. There was times where she blamed Sam for the removal.

Q Why were you --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q -- crying when you talked about that?

It's hard to talk about what the kids went through in the parents' home. It's one of those cases that you don't get the whole story in one day. You don't get this is what happened, this is a removal, and we work with that. It's -- kids suffer. I care about them. I spend a lot more time with any kid that I work with. Heidi is such a beautiful girl and I spend a lot more time with her because she loves to talk. We talk about other things. don't talk every session -- every visit we don't talk about this, but it is in her mind. She gets scared about knowing that she had to testify. She said, you know, I'm going to testify in one court and then I had to testify in another court. Why? And I don't have an answer for her. I don't think any of us have an answer why she has to do that and why she has to do it.

Through the two and a half years that we work together -- I say we're together because we were -- you know, I see them, it's -- you get to know them on a different level. It's just I care about them and want them -- what's best for them.

Q Have you seen changes in the kids since you first met them?

Α Yes.

2

What kind of changes?

3

Α

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When I first met -- I -- I met Nikki and Heidi together. They wouldn't talk to me. They wouldn't get They answered yes or no questions. Now it's they near me. hug me when they see me. Appear to be happy and -- and I know my first -- the first time Heidi disclosed anything, you can see that she was more comfortable talking to me

Nikki started to open up more. She's comfortable. She's still not as comfortable as Heidi talking about what happened, but she is very -- we have conversations now even about school. She loves talking about school. Dead Walk (sic) -- I don't know, she has a show that likes and she has different games and things, so she loves to talk about that.

What about Sam?

about things that happened.

Sam. Sam was shy, reserved. She's becoming her Α own person. Self esteem wasn't there. I think she's built that through sports, school, having friends. She loves her She's becoming a good young lady and has a future. sports.

And what about Wyatt?

Wyatt. Wyatt's a beautiful kid. He's funny, Α he's charming. He has his moments when -- every kid,

5

7

8

9

11

17

18

20

21

22

23

- 0 Is it better than it was?
- It's -- it's a bit better, yes. Α
- Have the children spoken to you about their Q

1	desires as far as where they want to live? You addressed	
2	that a little bit, but	
3	A As I said, the children want to go home with	
4	their parents. They love their parents. That's a	
5	preference. If that would not happen, they want to stay	
6	where they (sic) at. They don't want to be moved.	
7	Q Do they want to go home unequivocally,	
8	unconditionally?	
9	A They want a safe home. They want to be safe.	
10	They want to feel safe when they go home.	
11	Q And have they specifically told you that, that	
12	they want	
13	A They want things to be different at home.	
14	Q All right. As the permanency worker for these	
15	children for the last two and a half years, what is your	
16	recommendation as far as a permanency plan that's in their	
17	best interest?	
18	A The Department recommends termination of parental	
19	rights and adoption.	
20	Q Is that what you personally believe is in their	
21	best interest?	
22	A Yes.	
23	MS. HANRAHAN: I have nothing further for this	
24	witness.	

_	THE COURT: Ms. Tallent, do you want to take a		
2	quick break?		
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
4	THE COURT: All right. Let's take a little bit		
5	of a break. Not too long. Just let her go outside and do		
6	whatever and then come back in five or 10 minutes.		
7	(COURT RECESSED AT 01:46 AND RESUMED AT 01:55)		
8	THE COURT: We'll go back on the record and		
9	continue where we left off.		
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
11	MR. DRASKOVICH: Did the State		
12	MR. GOWDEY: You concluded your questioning,		
13	correct?		
14	MS. HANRAHAN: Yes.		
15	MR. DRASKOVICH: Okay.		
16	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)		
17	MR. DRASKOVICH: All right.		
18	CROSS EXAMINATION		
19	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:		
20	Q Ms. Tallent, let's start with some of the latter		
21	part of your testimony. You had said that the first time		
22	you met Heidi and Nikki that they didn't really talk to you		
23	much, correct?		
24	A Right.		

1	Q Whereas now when they see you, they hug you, and	
2	you have a really good rapport with them?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q You'd expect that to happen; wouldn't you? In	
5	fact it would be strange if it didn't work out like that.	
6	A For more most kids, yes, that's how it works.	
7	Q You were a stranger. You were an adult stranger	
8		
9	A Correct.	
10	Q correct? And can't be attributed to some form	
11	of abuse or something. You were a stranger and they didn't	
12	know you.	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q Whereas now they do.	
15	A Correct.	
16	Q You had testified in reference a question that	
17	the Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence have completed some	
18	classes and some assessments, correct?	
19	A Correct.	
20	Q They've actually completed all classes and all	
21	assessments.	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Yes, they have. So they've been in compliance	
24	with the case plan; haven't they? To the best of their	

1 ability. They had completed some action steps, however 2 they have not -- they have not able -- they have not 3 verbalized or acknowledged what led to the removal of the 4 5 children. 6 Have you been in their counseling classes with 7 them? 8 Α No. You -- in fact you haven't spoken with either one 9 0 of them since February 27th, 2015; have you? 10 11 Α I haven't spoken to them. I spoke to them after court, before court. 12 But you haven't had a conversation with them 13 outside of a court proceeding since February 27th, 2015. 14 15 Α Correct. 16 It's been a long time; hasn't it? 17 Α Yes. They've -- they've attended a lot of classes, 18 19 whether it be parenting classes, anger management classes, domestic violence classes, correct? 20 21 Α Yes. You were -- you had also testified towards the 22 23 end of your testimony that there's been this separation 24 between Samantha and the other children, correct?

2	Q That that's normal. She's 18, correct? And	
3	I'm referring to Samantha.	
4	A It's normal in what sense?	
5	Q She's an adult. She should be moving on. There	
6	should be separation between her and the rest of the family	
7	to some extent. You'd agree with it'd be unhealthy	
8	otherwise.	
9	A There was a separation at the time of the	
10	removal.	
11	Q Okay. And there's a separation with them now,	
12	correct?	
13	A If that's what you want to call it, yes.	
14	Q Well, I don't want to put words in your mouth.	
15	I'm asking you. It's natural. There should be a	
16	separation.	
17	A There is a sep they there could be a	
18	separation based on age, but not a separation based on	
19	sibling care, love.	
20	Q You were here when Samantha testified back in	
21	June?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q She had testified that she was graduating from	
24	high school.	

Α

Yeah.

	^	165.
2	Q	And she was going to go into the military.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	She hasn't gone into the military yet; has she?
5	A	No.
6	Q	In fact she's changed her plans and she's not
7	going int	to the military now, correct?
8	A	She has not changed her plans as far as I know.
9	Q	As far as know.
10	A	Uh-huh. Correct.
11	Q	You had had some testimony in reference to this
12	no contac	ct order interfering with the reunification of this
13	case, com	rrect?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	This case plan was entered into in this court in
16	August 13	Sth, 2014, correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	The Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence immediately
19	went into	services.
20	A	Yeah, I think the referrals were made and they
21	engaged i	n services.
22	Q	And they engaged in services. However there was
23	a motion	for a finding that aggravated circumstances
24	existed u	under which reasonable efforts could not or were

1	Q You were following
2	A based
3	Q procedure by filing this three months into the
4	plan where they've engaged in services?
5	A Based on the history, yes.
6	Q Based on the history.
7	A Yes.
8	Q I see. So because of a 32 year old conviction,
9	you were never going to let them get back together with
10	their children, correct?
11	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
12	It's it I mean, he's asking her about a legal
13	document that was filed that and and he's making
14	inferences that aren't legally sustainable, that the
15	Department was planning to
16	MR. DRASKOVICH: I'd object
17	MS. HANRAHAN: move to
18	MR. DRASKOVICH: as to her testifying. Is
19	there a legal objection that I can respond to?
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MS. HANRAHAN: Well, he's asking her to testify
22	as to the legal documents that were filed in the case and I
23	don't think she has the background to do that.
24	

1	MR. DRASKOVICH: She's the caseworker in the
2	permanency. I'd submit that there's a motion
3	THE COURT: You can ask her/
4	MR. DRASKOVICH: of that nature
5	THE COURT: regarding her knowledge. Her
6	knowledge. If she has knowledge, then she should answer.
7	If she doesn't have knowledge, then she needs to tell you
8	that she doesn't.
9	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
10	Q As far as your department, you'd agree with me
11	that your department had determined by November of 2014
12	that rights should be terminated?
13	A That's not to file to rights to be terminated.
14	Q It's to stop trying, correct?
15	A It's to now be able to work
16	Q Which will result
17	A To move forward.
18	Q in a termination, correct?
19	A It doesn't result in a termination. It results
20	in filing to terminate rights. That doesn't mean that
21	we're terminating rights.
22	Q It just means that you don't engage in services
23	anymore, correct?
24	A We still work with parents even when we waive

1	restorative efforts.
2	Q I see. You were had given testimony
3	concerning the children staying with the sister, correct?
4	A I'm sorry?
5	Q Mr. Brown's sister. Do you recall?
6	A Mr. Brown's sister?
7	Q I'm sorry, his daughter.
8	MR. GOWDEY: Daughter-in-law.
9	Q Daughter-in-law.
10	A I'm I'm sorry.
11	Q Okay.
12	A I'm not following you.
13	Q There had been some discussion concerning
L4	placement with Mr. Brown's daughter-in-law, correct?
L5	A Yes.
16	Q You had testified that you didn't feel she was a
L7	an appropriate placement because of some negativity in
18	reference to Samantha. Do you remember that testimony
L9	earlier today?
20	A Yes.
21	Q That negativity that you were referring to was
22	the pictures that she had shown you of the injuries that
23	Samantha had perpetrated upon her child, correct?
24	A No.

-	And you didn't tell her that it she kept on this
2	course you were going to take her child away as well?
3	A No.
4	Q Prior to your ceasing to have contact with Mr.
5	Brown and Ms. Lawrence, they had emailed you a number of
6	other potential placements.
7	A I don't recall.
8	Q Do you recall telling that you didn't care who or
9	whom they sent to you, you were not going to place those
10	kids with anyone that they provided to you?
11	A I did not say that.
12	Q In reference to this no contact order, you're
13	aware actually I think it was in your first January 2015
14	report, you stated that you attended court proceedings,
15	criminal court proceedings.
16	A I attended the preliminary hearing with the
17	children.
18	Q Okay.
19	MR. DRASKOVICH: And, Your Honor, is it okay if I
20	stand over here versus sitting
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MR. DRASKOVICH: in my chair?
23	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
24	Q You'd agree with me that that's fairly uncommon

1	where you attend criminal proceedings such as this for
2	someone in their position?
3	A It's not uncommon that we attend criminal
4	proceedings.
5	Q Preliminary hearings. How many preliminary
6	hearings have you attended?
7	A I have not had a besides this case, I have not
8	have any other case that had preliminary hearings.
9	Q Okay. So this is the only preliminary hearing
10	that you've attended, correct?
11	A Right. Correct.
12	Q You've also made appearances in District Court
13	hearings.
14	A I believe once, yes.
15	Q Okay. And that was concerning this no contact
16	order that you've testimony concerning.
17	THE COURT: Right. You're right. He's not being
18	picked up by a microphone.
19	THE CLERK: We'll need you on a camera.
20	MR. DRASKOVICH: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Just for like and just because of
22	the microphone too I'm thinking.
23	MR. DRASKOVICH: I don't want to be like looming
24	over her or anything.

1		THE WITNESS: No, that's good.
2		THE COURT: Yeah.
3		THE CLERK: Thank you.
4	BY MR. DR	ASKOVICH:
5	Q	You attended a District Court hearing concerning
6	this no c	contact order, correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	The initial no contact order was entered at the
9	prelimina	ry hearing on July 18th, 2014, correct?
10	A	I don't recall.
11	Q	If I told you that it was, would you have any
12	reason to	disagree with me?
13	A	No.
L 4	Q	The case plan then entered on August 13th, 2014;
15	correct?	
16	A	Correct.
L7	Q	And are you aware that in September of 2014 Mr.
18	Gowdey fi	led a motion to allow contact for the purposes of
١9	completin	g this case plan?
20	A	I I don't know.
21	Q	Are you aware that such an order was entered on
22	September	19th, 2014?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Two months later, on September sev or on

1 with her, you just don't remember? Not in person. I think there was an email sent 2 Α to clarify about the no contact order. I don't recall if I -- if it was -- the Department sent one and I -- I don't 4 5 recall to be honest with you. 6 0 In this email or conversation, did you tell Ms. 7 Bluth that if the -- the no contact order remained dissolved, the children had -- would have unfettered 9 contact with their parents, and unsupervised contact with 10 their parents? Α 11 No. 12 You were here for -- you've been here for all the 13 testimony, correct? So -- in this TPR proceeding? 14 Α Yes. 15 You heard from the children's counselors 16 yesterday. 17 Α Yes. You heard Ms. Nordine state that she had wanted 18 19 to have family counseling sessions with the parents, 20 correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And it would better for the parents and the 23 children to do that. 24 Α Yes.

that statement that I elicited is correct. She did say

1 that they wanted the family doing it. 2 THE COURT: Right. MR. DRASKOVICH: So that's not a misstatement. 3 THE COURT: I don't think that's --4 5 MS. HANRAHAN: Right. 6 THE COURT: -- yeah, that's --7 MS. HANRAHAN: And our --8 THE COURT: -- that's --9 MS. HANRAHAN: -- notes indicate that she said as 10 an agency they could not begin family therapy because a no 11 contact order. She would have thought it would be 12 beneficial from Samantha's point of view. She didn't ask the agency to do it and they didn't refuse. That misstates 13 14 the testimony. MR. DRASKOVICH: Well, and when in closing I will 15 16 address that part of the video where she did say that and 17 (indiscernible). 18 MS. HANRAHAN: Okay. 19 THE COURT: All right. BY MR. DRASKOVICH: 20 21 And once again you're stating because of the no 22 contact order. Are you stating that it was never requested 23 from the DFS, the Department, that they engage in family

counseling, Mom and Dad and children?

wee making efforts to have contact and maintain a

1	relation	ship with their children.
2	A	It they got a no con
3	Q	That's not an answer to my question.
4	A	I'm sorry, re can you repeat?
5	Q	Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence were making efforts to
6	have con	tact with their children.
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Through the rules, no surreptitiously but through
9	the rule	s, through their program.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	They were seeking to work their program and seek
12	reunific	ation with their children.
13	A	Visitation with children.
14	Q	Yes. Which is a step to ultimately being
15	reunifie	d with them, correct?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	And they were seeking to do that.
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And they have the entire time of this proceeding,
20	or this	case being open, correct?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	You testified that you had attended a District
23	Court he	aring reference to this no contact order.
24	A	I did.
- 1		

1	Q Are you aware that they've gone to court six
2	times trying to get that order lifted?
3	A No.
4	Q You'd agree with me that that's fairly
5	extraordinary. That's an extraordinary step to go to court
6	six times for something.
7	A I I wouldn't know. I don't.
8	Q You had testified that you would submit these
9	reports, the required reports every six months concerning
10	how the case plan is moving along, correct?
۱1	A Not case plan, progress.
L2	Q The pro okay, the progress.
١3	A Of the family.
L 4	Q And every one of those reports has stated that
L 5	Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence are doing what they're supposed
۱6	to do. They're not derelict, they're attending counseling,
L7	correct?
8	A They are following some of the case plan
19	objectives.
20	Q The ones that they can, correct?
21	A No.
22	Q I see. And the ones we've heard your
23	testimony, I won't go go through it on cross, but you
24	had testified today that for some reason Healthy Minds was

not appropriate under -- in your view for the counseling

referral. I made the referral after they already had done the assessment. Okay. Then you come into court and say that ABC isn't appropriate in order to comply with the requirements of the case plan. They did not provide a report that states that they were -- they addressed what needed to be addressed. So you're blaming the provider that you had referred them to for that inadequacy? Α I'm not blaming anybody. So you make these referrals but then I see. they're not the right ones. Α They're --And the reports aren't the right ones to the referrals that you made, correct? The referrals were done to the agency that they Α already -- they -- they requested to go to. I -- it is --I -- I don't -- I don't know what that was addressed, but what we received, it doesn't state what needed to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

addressed.

Q But you can't blame them for that; can you?

A They know -- parents knew what they needed to be addressing on the sessions. Parents have the authority or

1	MS. HANKAHAN: Okay.	
2	A I don't recall what it says.	
3	Q Why don't you read that.	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Thank you. And this report is from Red Rock,	
6	correct?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Mr. Brown actively participated in class and	
9	demonstrated he has learned concepts. Mr. Brown	
10	successfully completed, with family therapist involvement,	
11	the recommended evaluation. Any further concerns related	
12	to satisfying effective parenting skills. That's a glowing	
13	recommendation; isn't it?	
14	A Parenting skills, yes.	
15	Q In fact there has not been a single assessment	
16	nor referral nor class that they have failed to complete	
17	that they've been told to do as part of their case plan.	
18	A They still have not completed what is the main	
19	objective.	
20	Q And that's in part because of the District	
21	Attorney's Office blocking them.	
22	A No.	
23	MR. DRASKOVICH: I'll pass this witness.	
24	THE COURT: Mr. Gowdey.	
	·	

1		MR. GOWDEY: Yes, thank you.
2		CROSS EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. G	OWDEY:
4	Q	Let's start in here. Did you generate that? I'm
5	looking	at the case plan reports that you have previously
6	referred	to.
7	A	My supervisor did.
8	Q	Did you have input into them?
9	A	Yes, I did.
10	Q	Did you review them?
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	When did reunification stop becoming a goal of
13	DFS?	
14	A	January 2015.
15	Q	And at that point it no longer became a secondary
16	objectiv	e?
17	A	I don't understand your question.
18	Q	There is a permanency goal and then there is a
19	concurre	nt goal, correct?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And was reunification a concurrent goal?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	If reunification is a current goal, can you
24	explain	to me why it says, with respect to Heidi Brown,

2	anywhere on there reunification is a concurrent goal in
3	that portion?
4	A This this case plan report is dated 1/17/2016,
5	which means that the goal had already been changed.
6	Q That's the date of the goal, but in fact it says
7	objective completion result 7/7/2015, correct?
8	A Yes. Still active. It means it hasn't been
9	achieved.
10	Q So at some point reunification you're
11	testifying that reunification is was no longer a
12	concurrent goal.
13	A Our re
14	Q Is that what this says?
15	A The report only states the primary goal. It
16	doesn't state the concurrent goal.
17	Q Oh, I'm
18	A It should have.
19	Q sorry, maybe
20	A It maybe it wasn't printed, but the concurrent
21	goal, it is on my reports. It states that, it is there.
22	Q Is it on this report?
23	A Oh, I don't I don't understand why the
24	concurrent continues. It's adoption. It is reunification.

1 under concurrent goal it says adoption. Does it say

1	It states o	on my reports.
2	Q A	according to this report, which is the case plan;
3	am I right?	?
4	A C	Correct.
5	Q T	he case plan report, it says adoption.
6	A C	Correct.
7	QI	t doesn't say reunification; does it?
8	Α (NODS IN THE NEGATIVE)
9	Q S	o that's as to Heidi.
10	A U	h-huh (affirmative).
11	Q A	s to Nikki, can you tell me what the concurrent
12	goal con	ncurrent goal states there?
13	A T	he same.
14	Q W	hich is?
15	A A	doption and adoption.
16	Q A	s to
17	A A	nd
18	Q -	- Wyatt?
19	A -	- the same.
20	Q S	o according to the case plan, reunification was
21	no longer a	concurrent goal, correct?
22	A Y	es.
23	Q A:	nd reunification was never your objective; was
24	it?	

1	A	It is always the objective. It's always a
2	permanen	cy goal until
3	Q	Was it
4	A	it's changed.
5	Q	your objective?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Well, let me ask you this. You indicated that
8		MR. GOWDEY: Court's indulgence for one moment.
9	Let me ma	ake sure I've got the right one. Okay.
10	BY MR. G	OWDEY:
11	Q	I want to address the ABC Therapy counseling.
12	You ackno	owledge that you referred Donald Brown and Melissa
13	Lawrence	to ABC Counseling or ABC Therapy, correct?
14	A	For
15	Q	You just acknowledged that.
16	Α	For I mean, there was two different referrals.
17		
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	So it was
20	Q	For a
21	A	a referral
22	Q	mental health
23	А	for domestic violence and then once he
24	completed	d the mental health assessment, I sent a referral.

1	A	I don't recall
2		MR. DRASKOVICH: okay with the Court
3	A	seeing this
4		MR. DRASKOVICH: I'm just going to stand.
5	A	letter.
6		MR. DRASKOVICH: I'm just going stand, I'm
7	getting -	
8		THE COURT: That's fine.
9		MR. DRASKOVICH: muscle spasms.
10		THE COURT: That's fine.
11	BY MR. GC	WDEY:
12	Q	Do you dispute that Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence
13	were refe	rred to ABC Therapy by the Department of Family
14	Services	requesting a mental health assessment?
15	A	No, I they were referred.
16	Q	To request
17	A	But
18	Q	a mental health assessment, correct?
19	A	After they already completed the mental health
20	assessmen	t, I sent a referral re regarding that mental
21	health as	sessment.
22	Q	And you testified, if I'm correct, that you don't
23	believe t	he ABC Therapy completion report addressed the
24	issues in	the mental health assessment; is that right?

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

No. 71873/71889

Electronically Filed

May 30 2017 04:42 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO S.L; N.R.B; H.R.B. AND W.C.B

DONALD BROWN, Appellant, vs.

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES; S.L.; N.R.B.; H.R.B.; AND W.C.B., MINORS Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO S.L; N.R.B; H.R.B. AND W.C.B

MELISSA LAWRENCE, Appellant, vs.

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES; S.L.; N.R.B.; H.R.B.; AND W.C.B., MINORS Respondents.

APPENDIX TO APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF VOLUME IV

Robert M. Draskovich, Esq.
TURCO & DRASKOVICH, LLP
Nevada Bar No. 6275
Telephone: (702) 474-4222
Michael I. Gowdey, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6994
815 S. Casino Center Boulevard
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 471-0321
Attorneys for Appellants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

XIX.	TRANSCRIPT RE: TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS 08/25/16	
	(CONT'D)	751-814
XX.	TRANSCRIPT RE: TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS 08/26/16	815-980
XXI.	TRANSCRIPT RE: TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS 09/01/16	981-986
XXII.	TRANSCRIPT RE: TRIAL 09/08/16	987-1000

	nathed by being in a home where abused happened to another
2	family member?
3	A Yes, I believe yes. I believe that she was
4	harmed by the things that were going on in the home.
5	MS. HANRAHAN: Thank you. I have nothing
6	further.
7	MR. DRASKOVICH: I have some followup.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	RECROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
11	Q Turn your attention to this last line of
12	questioning concerning your diagnosis of unspecified trauma
13	disorder.
1.4	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
15	Q You were just asked if the primary cause of this
16	unspecified trauma disorder was
17	MS. HANRAHAN: Actually
18	Q events in the home.
19	MS. HANRAHAN: I meant PTSD. I meant PTSD. I
20	was talking about PTSD.
21	THE WITNESS: I assumed that's what she meant.
22	MR. DRASKOVICH: Okay. We've had three
23	diagnoses, so okay.
24	MS. HANRAHAN: Right. Sorry.

1	MR. DRASKOVICH: No problem.
2	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
3	Q Same line of questioning concerning the diagnosis
4	of PTSD.
5	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
6	Q You just testified that the primary reason you
7	believe was because of things she saw while in the home,
8	correct?
9	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
10	Q Is that yes?
11	A Yes.
12	Q But you nevertheless testified that she's been
13	very vague and has disclosed very little about these events
14	in the home, correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And nonetheless you're basing your diagnosis, the
17	primary basis of your diagnosis, based upon things that you
18	haven't talked to her about, correct?
19	A No, I've talked to her about them. And there's
20	no criteria that she has to say so many things happened.
21	Q But she's been been very non-descriptive,
22	correct?
23	A No, she's made it clear that that there was
24	abuse in the home.

1	Q Okay. But the level of abuse has been left wide
2	open, correct? Severe
3	A Yes.
4	Q abuse
5	A That
6	Q the minor
7	A we haven't discussed.
8	Q abuse; is that correct?
9	A Yeah.
10	Q And in reference now to the last diagnosis, that
11	of the unspecified trauma disorder, you had testified that
12	the lack of contact may be a contributing factor but not a
13	primary factor in that diagnosis; is that a fair statement?
14	A The lack of contact is not the lack of contact
15	is not part of her diagnosis. That diagnosis is made on
16	the symptoms that she is displaying and the fact that she
17	does not meet the full criteria for PTSD.
18	Q But you would agree with me that the lack of
19	contact is a contributing factor to the anxiety that she's
20	feeling?
21	A I think that the lack of contact affects her
22	because she hasn't been able to have any sort of answer on
23	her relationship with her family.

Q And you'll agree with me there's a second part to

24

1	the trauma that she may have suffered when she was nine
2	years old, and that was removal from the home?
3	A That could be an additional trauma.
4	Q You had testified that she gets concerned about
5	upcoming court proceedings.
6	A Yes.
7	Q And is the basis for her concern the level of
8	uncertainty of what may occur at or after those court
9	appearances?
10	A I don't know if that's primary. I think there's
11	lots that goes into her anxiety about the court
L2	proceedings.
13	Q Okay. Has she differentiated to you the
14	difference between the criminal her participation in the
15	criminal court proceedings and her participation in the
16	family court proceedings?
17	A No.
L8	Q Are you aware that there's
19	A Yes.
20	Q parallel
21	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
22	Q proceeding occurring?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. DRASKOVICH: I'll pass the witness. Thank

1	you.
2	MR. GOWDEY: Just a couple of questions.
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION .
4	BY MR. GOWDEY:
5	Q I'm sorry, I I forgot, what was the name of
6	the prior therapist?
7	A Dr. Brian Norensberg.
8	Q Norensberg. So when you inherited Nikki as a
9	patient in January of 2015, at that point her diagnosis was
10	unspecified trauma and stress related disorder, correct?
11	A No. At that time her diagnosis was adjustment
12	disorder.
13	Q Okay. If I showed you your report marked May
14	20th, 2016 ·
15	MS. HANRAHAN: Can I see what you're looking at?
16	This one? Okay. Where what part?
17	MR. GOWDEY: Where it says diagnostic impression.
18	BY MR. GOWDEY:
19	Q Can you read to me what the diagnostic impression
20	is?
21	A Yes. Nikki was has been diagnosed with
22	unspecified trauma and stressor related disorder.
23	Q So was that at the time that you took over?
24	A No, this is my current diagnosis for her.

1	Q	Is there anything in here that lists what the
2	prior dia	gnosis was?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. As far as you know Dr. Norberg (sic)?
5	А	Norensberg, yes.
6	Q	Norensberg. I'll get it. Had previously
7	diagnosed	l her with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, correct?
8	А	No, he did not. I did.
9		MR. GOWDEY: All right. I have no further
10	questions	•
11		THE COURT: Anybody else?
12		MS. HANRAHAN: No, Your Honor.
13		MR. DRASKOVICH: No, thank you.
14		THE COURT: Thank you. You're done.
15		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16		THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Very good.
17	Thank you	. Ms. Hanrahan, do you have another witness
18	outside?	·
19		MS. HANRAHAN: I should have, and that would
20	Linetta C	Cooley.
21		THE COURT: Linetta Cooley. Okay.
22		MR. GOWDEY: Who who are we calling now?
23		MS. HANRAHAN: Linetta Cooley.
24 l		MS. DORMAN: Well, it's just barely 2:15. Janne.

1	MS. HANRAHAN: I told her 2:15.
2	THE COURT: 2:15?
3	MS. DORMAN: She's here.
4	THE COURT: She's here, I think. Is that her?
5	MS. HANRAHAN: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Over here. Thank you.
7	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
8	THE WITNESS: Is that okay?
9	THE COURT: Yep, that's fine. That's good.
10	THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony
11	you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the
12	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
13	THE WITNESS: I do.
14	LINETTA COOLEY
15	having been called as a witness by the State and being
16	first duly sworn, testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	THE CLERK: State your name for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: Linetta Ruth Cooley.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Thank you.
21	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
22	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Cooley. Could you tell the
23	Court how you are currently employed?
24	A I'm employed with Healthy Minds and as a

1	clinical social worker intern.
2	Q And how long have you been so employed?
3	A I've been there almost two years.
4	Q And are you licensed to practice in the state of
5	Nevada?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What license do you currently hold?
8	A Clinical social work intern.
9	Q And as a clinical social work intern, are you
10	qualified to make diagnoses?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What is the nature of your practice at Healthy
اما	Minds? Is it primarily children, adults, or a mix of the
13	minds? Is it primarity children, addits, or a mix or the
13	two?
14	two?
14 15	two? A Children and family.
14 15 16	two? A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them
14 15 16 17	two? A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them referred to Healthy Minds by the Department of Family
14 15 16 17	A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them referred to Healthy Minds by the Department of Family Services?
14 15 16 17 18	A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them referred to Healthy Minds by the Department of Family Services? A Yes, ma'am.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them referred to Healthy Minds by the Department of Family Services? A Yes, ma'am. Q Okay. At some point did you begin treating a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Children and family. Q And the children that you see, are some of them referred to Healthy Minds by the Department of Family Services? A Yes, ma'am. Q Okay. At some point did you begin treating a child by the name of Heidi Brown?

1	A	In December of 2014.
2	Q	December?
3	A	Yes, ma'am.
4	Q	And to your knowledge, was Heidi referred to
5	Healthy M	Minds initially by the Department of Family
6	Services?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Did she have a therapist prior to you taking over
9	in December 2014?	
10	А	Yes, she did.
11	Q	And who was that?
12	А	There were two. I never can say his name. It's
13	Dr. Guern	a and Dr. Jen Karmelly.
14	Q	Okay. And so and you took over from which
15	one?	
16	A	Dr. Karmelly.
17	Q	When you took over from Dr. Karmelly did I say
18	that righ	nt?
19	А	Yes, ma'am.
20	Q	Or when you take over in general from another
21	cliniciar	, does the case how does the case get
22	transfer	ed? Do you just sort of start from scratch or do
23	you recei	ve some information from that prior therapist?
24	How do yo	ou how do you make that transfer?

1	A Typically there would be an actual there would
2	be some transitioning where you would where I would meet
3	with the client and the transitioning therapist, but due to
4	the nature of Dr. Karmelly's leaving the clinic, I met with
5	Heidi with my supervisor at the time.
6	Q Okay. So your first meeting with Heidi was just
7	you and your supervisor? .
8	A Correct. And her foster mother.
9	Q Is there can you access any records that were
10	kept by that prior clinician or
11	A Yes.
12	Q get any information about what has been
13	addressed previously in therapy?
14	A Yes.
15	Q How do you access that information?
16	A It's in our database, our computer database.
17	Q So does a client have what used to be called a
18	chart that you access?
19	A It's an electronic chart.
20	Q But do you still
21	A Yes.
22	Q call them a chart?
23	A Electronic chart.
24	Q Okay. But the records are there for you to

1	access.
2	A Yes, ma'am.
3	Q So what type of therapy have you been providing
4	Heidi?
5	A Individual and family.
6	Q Okay. At some point did you acquire some
7	information about how Heidi came to be removed from her
8	home or why she was referred to Healthy Minds by the
9	Department of Family Services?
10	A Yes, at some point.
11	Q And what was the information that you had as to
12	why she was referred?
13	A I was informed that she had been removed from her
14	home due to allegations that her sibling had been abused by
15	parents, and also that there was some coaching of with
16	the children to for for what the children were
17	were to say from
18	Q Okay. So
19	A family members.
20	Q did you have that information prior to that
21	first meeting with Heidi?
22	A No.
23	Q So when you first met with Heidi, what did you
24	talk about?

1	Q Karmelly?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And had you said that eventually you did one
4	as well.
5	A Uh-huh. Uh-huh (affirmative).
6	Q And do you recall how far into your first
7	meeting, after your first meeting with Heidi that you did
8	that assessment?
9	A I do not recall.
١٥	Q Was it like six months later or a few weeks
11	later? Any idea?
.2	A It was it was probably more like six months.
١3	Q Okay. So did you basically work off of the
.4	assessment that had been done by Dr. Karmelly?
.5	A Yes, what I did is I did an update from that,
6	which was actually on that assessment.
.7	Q On the same form?
.8	A Yes, ma'am.
.9	Q Okay. And is that assessment something that
20	helps you in determining what issues to address in therapy
21	and what the diagnosis for that client might be?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And did you was there already a diagnosis in
4	place from Dr. Karmelly?

1	A Yes, there was.
2	Q What was that diagnosis?
3	A It was adjustment disorder with I believe
4	depressive I'm not I'm not exactly sure what her I
5	think it was depressive and depressive and anxiety
6	I'm not exactly sure.
7	MR. DRASKOVICH: I'm sorry, I I didn't hear
8	the witness.
9	A I believe it wasn't my diagnosis I believe
.0	it was adjustment disorder with mixed mixed depression
.1	and anxiety. Mixed emotions of depression and anxiety.
.2	MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you.
.3	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
.4	Q And did you maintain that diagnosis or did you
.5	change it at some point?
.6	A Maintained it for quite some time.
.7	Q And what does that mean, adjustment disorder with
.8	mixed anxiety and depress
9	A Well, adjustment disorder comes when there are
20	multi you know, there's a change that is disruptive to
1	an individual's I'm sorry I'm find trying to find the
2	word here. It's there. I know it's there. Ability to
3	function in day-to-day life. So just the removal of a
4	child from the home, there's an adjustment. And trying to

- diagnosis for foster children when they are first removed from the home?
 - Yes, it is. Α
- So you said -- at some point you made a different 0 diagnosis?
- Α Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And what was that? 0
- Α It was PTSD.
 - And why did you change the diagnosis? 0
- When the DSM IV changed over to DSM V, DSM V Α changed some of the criteria of PTSD. And at that point in time she met criteria within each of the -- within each of the criteria there -- she met something within that. And so that was changed to PTSD.

1	Q	Okay. So can you tell the Court what symptoms
2	Heidi had	or what what which of the criteria that she
3	met to	to make that diagnosis of PTSD?
4	А	Gosh, I
5	Q	You don't
6	А	I can't recall everything. I'm sorry.
7	Q	Do you is there something that would refresh
8	your reco	llection?
9	A	I I believe that I wrote a court report in '15
10	(sic) tha	t has it on there.
11	Q	The one from May of you wrote a May of 2
12	2016.	
13	А	'16. Is it that one or is
14	Q	And would looking at that refresh your
15	recollect	ion as to
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	what criteria?
18	А	Uh-huh (affirmative).
19		MS. HANRAHAN: May I
20		THE COURT: Sure.
21		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry
22		MS. HANRAHAN: You guys
23		THE COURT: You want to look at that?
24		MS. HANRAHAN: have this.

1		THE WITNESS: I'm just feeling a bit nervous.
2		(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
3		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	BY MS. HA	ANRAHAN:
5	Q	All right. Just take a look and
6	A	Okay.
7	Q	take your time and look at it and let me know
8	when	
9	A	Actually, this is a different diagnosis. This is
10	the most	recent diagnosis. There would be another report
11	from last	year.
12	Q	January 2015? The January 2015?
13	A	I believe I believe so.
14	Q	And this is a
15	A	It may not be in that one.
16	Q	report or letter that you prepared for
17	A	I don't think it's in that one.
18	Q	Department of Family Services?
19	A	Yeah, I don't think it's in this one. I know
20	it's not	in that one.
21	Q	So but you can't recall what criteria
22	A	I can I can't
23	Q	Do you
24	A	recall every

1	Q Are there any other reports
2	A Well, there's
3	Q that you
4	A there's multiple criteria. I can recall most
5	of it, I just
6	Q Okay.
7	A don't I'm
8	Q If you could just tell us what you recall that
9	Heidi the criteria that Heidi met for
10	A Okay. So hy hyper-vigilance. A sense of
11	hopelessness. Irritability. Avoidance of wanting to talk
12	about the event. Intrusive thoughts. And it also was
13	very, very disruptive to her social and family life.
14	Q And so is a diagnosis of PTSD, what does PTSD
15	stand for?
16	A Post Traumatic Stress Disorder.
17	Q And so what, just in general, causes PTSD?
18	A It can for Heidi it was witnessing traumatic
19	events.
20	Q And so is PTSD in general something that's trauma
21	related then since that's in the
22	A Yes, ma'am.
23	Q That's
24	A It's trauma related.

1	Q So it could be somebody who's experienced any
2	kind of trauma
3	A Experienced
4	Q is that
5	A it personally or witnessed it, yes.
6	Q Can you just describe a normal session with Heidi
7	as to whether she was attentive and participatory, or more
8	off to herself, did that change over time?
9	A It changed over time. She was fairly guarded
10	initially. I was the third therapist, so I allowed her to,
11	you know, kind of the run the the session herself until
12	she became comfortable. And when she often talked about
13	the frustration she was having at home, feeling
14	Q And when when you say
15	A when when she
16	Q at home
17	A became more comfortable, and which which
18	was within, right around two months probably, two or three
19	months.
20	Q When you say frustrations at home, does that mean
21	the foster at that time?
22	A Yes, the foster home.
23	Q Okay.
24	A Uh-huh. Yeah.

Q Uh-huh.

A And, you know, she would -- you know, squabbling between sisters and other foster children and difficulties at school. Feeling like she was holding secrets and she didn't like secrets, and the secret was that she was a foster kid. She was afraid to be bullied or teased at school. And the -- so her anxiety continued to grow. So she's very anxious about that stuff. And so we worked --

Q Okay. So that --

A -- on a lot of --

Q -- what you talked --

A -- her anxiety.

Q -- about --

A Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q -- initially.

A Yes.

Q Okay.

A Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q And you said you kind of let Heidi run the sessions. What does that mean?

A Well, that's kind of my style of therapy. I believe that in order for someone to feel comfortable they should come in and be able to talk how they need to talk, you know, and then as that goes -- I -- I then become a

little more guided. So what -- what's going on today, tell me how you're week's been. So, as opposed to I have no agenda, you know.

Q Okay.

A It's a -- it's their agenda and therefore get to talk about what's going on today because she needed -- Heidi needed to learn how to function today and feel comfortable in -- within herself. And because I knew that I was working with a child who had experienced trauma, or I wanted -- my goal for her was for her to be able to self-regulate before she started ex -- sharing her trauma history with me at all.

Q Okay.

A And that's just because I have -- I -- I go from a trauma base when I do my therapy, that's my philosophy. Help them work with themselves once they leave the therapy session so that they can self-regulate when they get home.

Q Okay. So you said that the background information on the family that you received indicated there had been physical abuse of a sibling. Did you eventually talk about that with Heidi?

A Well, I would say she more or less talked with me about that, yes.

1	Q Did she eventually bring that up on her own or
2	A Yes.
3	Q how did that come up?
4	A She brought it up on her own.
5	Q And what what did she tell you specifically
6	about that alleged abuse in the home? Well, let me phrase
7	it this way. Did she talk about any specific traumatic
8	events that occurred in the home?
9	A Yes, she did.
10	Q What can you tell us about some of those?
11	A She talked about Sam, her sister, not having the
12	same amounts of food as they did and she understood why she
13	would get up in the middle of the night to eat. She talked
14	about Sam being told by her father to go out in the garage
15	and get something so he could beat her with it, and she got
16	a pipe, and they ran up to the room.
17	Q Who ran up to the room?
18	A She and the three children. She and her
19	sister her twin and Wyatt. Talked about domestic
20	violence.
21	Q Between the parents?
22	A Between the parents.
23	Q And what did she tell you?
24	A That there was usually drinking before it

1	happened: And she talked about a time where plates were
2	being broken, and they were very scared, and there was a
3	lot of yelling, and the door was closed. And they didn't
4	know what was going on. They thought their dad was going
5	to die. Because maybe he was yelling the loudest. And
6	just being very, very frightened. Hiding in the room. And
7	something about a knife in a door.
8	Q And did she when Sam talked or, sorry, when
9	Heidi talked about Sam getting hit, did she talk about who
10	did that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You said that she talked about Sam having to go
13	get something.
14	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
15	Q For who?
16	A For her father.
17	Q Heidi's father.
18	A Heidi's father, yeah.
19	Q Did you ever do things in therapy, like have
20	Heidi draw pictures or play games or do other things like
21	that to encourage her to communicate more effectively?
22	A Yes. All of the above.
23	Q Did she ever draw pictures for you?

24

A Yes, she did.

	Q what kinds of pictures?
2	A Specifically what we just spoke about.
3	Q Yeah.
4	A She drew a picture of of the pipe and and a
5	knife.
6	Q And those two events that you talked about.
7	A Yes.
8	Q What was her demeanor in talking about these
9	events?
10	A Very straightforward. She just said it very,
11	very fast. She just wanted to get it out and done and then
12	she took a big breath and blew it out. Then that was one
13	of the calming exercises I had train I we had been
14	working on. And then she said to me I feel like my my
15	shoulders are lighter.
16	Q So did you get the impression that it was
17	difficult for her to to not talk about that stuff?
18	A Yes.
19	Q When she told you those things, was that all in
20	one session?
21	A Well, not not everything I said, but the
22	the the knife and the pipe, yes.
23	Q And so did she continue to talk about things like
24	that aft or was that just one time she talked about it

She had about a three month period where she 2 talked fairly regular, and then it was back to the daily --3 4 the day-to-day feeling left out at school and having a hard 5 time at home and those day-to-day things. 6 Did she talk to you about her relationship with 0 7 her mother? Not much that I can recall. 8 Α 9 Did she indicate to you whether her mother knew 0 about those things that were happening? 10 11 Α Yes. She said --12 Q Yes, she ---- she knew. She said she knew. 13 Α Can you -- and so do you think those things 14 Q 15 contributed to her diagnosis as -- with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder? 16 17 Clarify those things. The things that you just described, the seeing 18 19 her sister have to go out and get something for her stepfather to beat her with, the domestic violence, those 20 things. 21 22 Those --Α 23 Did those have --Q Α 24 Those would be considered traumatic incidents,

and then she was done?

1

1	yes.
2	Q And so do you believe that those contributed to
3	or led to a diagnosis of PTSD?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So can you just explain how and let me go
6	back. Did she indicate to you that she was present when
7	Sam was made to go out to get this pipe or that she just
8	heard about it?
9	A No, she was present.
.0	Q And she was present during the time when the
.1	dishes were being broken and
.2	A Yes.
.3	Q she thought her father might be dead. Did she
. 4	tell you why she thought her father might be dead?
.5	A She wasn't present through the whole thing
.6	because they had gone up the children had gone up to
.7	their room and closed the door, but all they could do is
.8	hear, so they were they were speculating, they didn't
.9	know. All they could do is hear.
0.0	Q So can you just explain how if she was up in her
21	room and heard these things going on how that would be
22	traumatic to Heidi?
3	A Trauma is different than what most people think
24	it is. They think it's just when someone is hit or when

1	there's a car accident or when somebody has something			
2	physically done to them, but trauma can be hearing			
3	something that's very jarring, especially if it's			
4	associated with something that's very frightening. And to			
5	hear your parent or your parents in a you know, a loud			
6	altercation and to not be able to see that would be			
7	traumatic because you're only wondering what could happen			
8	and your mind goes everywhere. So that is a traumatic			
9	experience.			
10	Q And the same thing with her sister?			
11	A Yes.			
12	Q Is it traumatic for kids to have to keep things			
13	inside or keep secrets?			
14	A I would say that's more anxiety provoking,			
15	therefore it never allows the trauma to come up and be			
16	worked with because the anxiety is ever present.			
17	Q Did it seem to you said that Heidi visibly			

sort of lightened or said she felt lighter --

Uh-huh (affirmative). Α

-- after she talked about it.

Α Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So do you feel that it helped her anxiety to be able to talk about it?

Α Yes.

1	Q Is there anybody else at Healthy Minds who saw	
2	Heidi on a regular basis?	
3	A Dr. Harding.	
4	Q And did Dr. Harding also kind of assess Heidi	
5	when she saw her	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q and make her own diagnosis or	
8	A Yeah. Yes.	
9	Q did and would you confer with Dr. Harding	ſ
10	with regard to ongoing diagnosis?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Like does the diagnosis change? Apparently it	
13	did for Heidi, so	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q is that something that you just keep as an	
16	ongoing conversation with	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Dr. Harding?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Did Dr. Harding is Dr. Harding's a	
21	psychiatrist, right?	
22	A Yes, she is.	
23	Q And how about how often did Heidi see Dr.	
24	Harding?	

- 1			
2	months.		
3	Q Did you develop a treatment plan for Heidi?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q And identify some goals for her to accomplish on		
6	her treatment plan?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q What were her goals? Or what are her goals on		
9	her treatment plan?		
10	A To be able to identify and actually I don't		
11	think I can remember all of them. Identify anxiety and the		
12	causes of them. And better communication. That because		
13	that was part of the anxiety and the fighting between		
14	siblings, is that she the communication between the		
15	siblings. Family therapy. And get back to kind of just a		
16	normal functioning level.		
17	Q And then so family is it Heidi does		
18	family therapy		
19	A With her siblings.		
20	Q with her siblings.		
21	A Uh-huh (affirmative).		
22	Q Okay. And would you say going back just for a		
23	minute to that diagnosis of PTSD, and I think you talked		
24	about this a little bit, could that be also related to		

Because she's not on any medications, every three

Α

1	workers and DFS worker.	
2	Q Her CAP attorney?	
3	A CAP attorney.	
4	Q And did you have treatment team meetings?	
5	A Yes, monthly.	
6	Q Who who would attend those?	
7	A Foster parent, CAP attorney, Dr. Harding I	
8	have to tick them off here case manager, myself, all of	
9	the other treating therapists at Healthy Minds.	
10	Q And does Heidi have a different diagnosis from	
11	PTSD today?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q What is her diagnosis?	
14	A She has unspecified stress disorder and	
15	persistent persistent depressive disorder.	
16	Q And what is unspecified stress disorder?	
17	A Well, she no longer meets two of the criteria in	
18	the P PTSD because she has a willingness to talk about	
19	what happened. And no longer with the avoidance, so it	
20	no longer meets that criteria, but she still has a lot of	
21	that still has stress disorder.	
22	Q I'm sorry, still has a lot of what?	
23	A But she still she still qualifies for a stress	
24	disorder.	

1	Q Okay.
2	A So that's
3	Q Okay.
4	A that's
5	Q Can you tell us what symptoms she still has that
6	are indicative of a stress disorder?
7	A Yeah, she still has the hyper-vigilance where
8	she's and par kind of like paranoid that everyone's
9	blaming things on her. A sense of hopelessness. A lot of
١٥	irritability, so.
11	Q And do you know how Heidi feels about being
.2	returned home to her parents?
13	A I know that she has stated that she would like to
.4	go home, but things have to be different.
١5	Q Did she say how they should be different?
16	A That there could be no more yelling, no more
L7	hitting, no more fighting.
18	MS. HANRAHAN: Thank you. I have nothing
19	further.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Go in the same order?
22	MR. DRASKOVICH: Yes, thank you.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
4	//

CROSS EXAMINATION

2	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
3	Q Ms. Cooley, addressing your attention to your
4	claim that there was domestic violence
5	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
6	Q that was occurring in the home on behalf of
7	Heidi, remember that
8	MS. HANRAHAN: Objection. Misstates the
9	testimony. She didn't claim there was domestic violence is
10	the home, she said that's what Heidi told her.
11	MR. DRASKOVICH: That's what I that's my
12	question. And so
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.
14	BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
15	Q In reference to that line of questioning, do you
16	recall that?
17	A I recall stating that Heidi said that to me.
18	Q Okay. And it's your choice of words, domestic
19	violence, correct?
20	A Right.
21	Q I'm sorry?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And you'll agree with me that domestic violence
24	is a criminal charge, to your understanding?

2	Q And what's your definition of domestic violence,
3	since you chose that word and not Heidi?
4	A When partners, domestic partners, violate
5	personal space of each other, and hurt each other
6	physically, and take it upon themselves to basically
7	just violate their their personal rights.
8	Q Their personal rights
9	A Their personal rights and their and their
10	their their physical self.
11	Q And when you say personal rights, what do you
12	mean?
13	A I mean, to strike each other.
14	Q Okay.
15	A To scream and yell or one strike another.
16	Q Okay.
17	A To scream and yell at each other. To try to hit
18	a person with an item.
19	Q If I were to tell you the definition in Nevada of
20	domestic violence is unlawful use of force or violence upon
21	the body of another person and there's a dating or
22	otherwise relationship, living together by blood or by
23	marriage, would you have any reason to disagree with that?
24	A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)

1

Α

Correct.

24

his nose.

1	Q Okay. And when did this allegedly occur?	
2	A It was she explained it to me in that one	
3	session.	
4	Q And when did this session occur?	
5	A I believe it was in it was in the early part	
6	of 2015.	
7	Q Okay. And you've been seeing since December	
8	2014?	
9	A No, November.	
10	Q November of 2014. And are you still seeing	
11	Heidi?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Let's talk about trauma and how it relates to the	
14	various diagnoses that have occurred and your involvement	
15	in this case.	
16	A Okay.	
17	Q You had said that certain things can cause trauma	
18	which will lead to the various diagnoses related to trauma,	
19	correct?	
20	A Which can, yes.	
21	Q And it's your opinion that that whatever was	
22	occurring in the home prior to Heidi's removal is what is	
23	causing this trauma that she has experienced.	
24	A It's not my opinion, it's from the di it's	

1	from the criteria in the DSM, written in the DSM.
2	Q I see. You'd agree with me that being removed
3	from one's home and being removed from one's family, Mom
4	and Dad specifically, can be very traumatic?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q And ongoing lack of contact with Mom and Dad
7	would be very traumatic for a girl of Heidi's age.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Heidi was removed from the home when she just
10	turned nine years old, correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Just days after her ninth birthday
13	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
14	Q were you aware of that? And she's been unable
15	to speak with her parents since that removal; are you aware
16	of that?
17	A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)
18	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Your Honor, I
19	Q For
20	A No.
21	Q For most of time.
22	A No.
23	Q Or maybe you
24	MS. HANRAHAN: Yeah, mis
- 1	

1	A NO. 1
2	MS. HANRAHAN: mischaracterizes
3	A I haven't been I have not been with the
4	case the entire time, so no.
5	Q During your therapy sessions with Heidi, to your
6	knowledge, she's been unable to speak with Mom or Dad,
7	correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And you'd agree with me that would be traumatic.
10	A Correct.
11	Q Are you aware of a District Court imposed no
12	contact order between Heidi and her parents?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you'll agree with me she's expressed a desire
15	to see her parents.
16	A Yes.
17	Q To visit with her parents.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And to live with her parents.
20	A Yes.
21	Q In reference to this issue of Sam, did Heidi ever
22	discuss with you a period of time that Samantha had braces
23	on?
24	A No.

1	Q And that she, Sam, was required to eat different
2	types of foods while she had braces on in reference in
3	relation to the other members of the family?
4	A I've already answered that question. She has no
5	discussed a time when Sam had braces.
6	Q Would you agree with me that you don't maybe
7	don't have all the information of what may have occurre
8	or not occurred in Heidi's forner (sic) former home?
9	A I believe nobody has that information.
10	Q Okay. And it may be dangerous to jump to certai
11	conclusions without having all the information that's
12	available?
13	A Are you assuming I'm jumping to a conclusion?
14	Q Oh, I very much so am, yes. And it's your
15	testimony
16	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor
17	Q that you're not?
18	A I feel like you're
19	MS. HANRAHAN: I
20	A kind of being very aggressive towards me
21	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm going to object.
22	A and I don't appreciate
23	MS. HANRAHAN: Okay, now is this kind of an
, ₄	argument? And he's

1 MR. DRASKOVICH: I -- my pos --MS. HANRAHAN: -- telling the witness that she's 2 3 -- I mean, attacking the witness as far as jumping to conclusions. 5 THE COURT: She asked him and he responded. And 6 I want to just -- just ask the questions to the witness, 7 let her -- and then in any closing arguments you can tell me your theories of whatever it is that you want the Court 8 to know regarding that statement, because that's more of a 9 10 closing argument statement. I just want -- just for time reasons, I just want her to be asked a question and her to 11 12 answer. MR. DRASKOVICH: And I'm not attacking her, I'm 13 14 questioning her assumptions and her ultimate conclusions. 15 THE COURT: And you asked her if -- if you believe that she's jumping to conclusions and she said no. 16 17 MR. DRASKOVICH: Okay. 18 BY MR. DRASKOVICH: 19 Your role in this case is that of being a 20 therapist for Heidi, correct? 21 Α Correct. 22 However through the course of your involvement in 23 this case, you have sought to obtain conviction documents 24 concerning Mr. Brown.

1	A	I did not seek to get them.
2	Q	You are aware of a 1984 conviction that Mr. Brown
3	had.	
4	A	I am.
5	Q	And you did not ask Heidi's attorney for a copy
6	of the co	nviction data in reference
7	A	I did not ask for it. Was it sent to me?
8	Q	Have you gone online and investigated what's
9	occurring	the in criminal case
10	A	No, I have not.
11	Q	in reference to this? You've have you
12	discussed	court proceedings with Heidi?
13	А	No, I have not.
14	Q	So you've been seeing her for some time now and
15	you	
16	A	What, you mean these court proceedings and how
17	she feels	about it?
18	Q	Any court proceedings.
19	А	For her? Yes.
20	Q	You have.
21	A	Just how she's feeling and yeah.
22	Q	So you have discussed court proceedings, her
23	upcoming	court proceedings?
24	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).

1	Q	Is that a yes?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And have you discussed family court proceedings
4	with Heid	li?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Criminal court proceedings
7	A	No.
8	Q	with Heidi? So you just discuss discussed
9	court pro	oceedings in a generic sense?
10	A	Yes. How is she feeling about it coming up.
11	That's it	
12	Q	Heidi's expressed to you a desire to return home
13	to Mom an	d Dad.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	She's at one point in time disclosed to you that
16	she does	not want to be adopted.
17	A	No. I mean, I don't really ask her about
18	adoption.	
19	Q	How many times have you met with Heidi?
20	А	I believe it's been around 80 times.
21	Q	Eighty times.
22	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
23	Q	And what are the various subjects during these 80
24	times tha	t you've discussed with her?

1	A Friendships. Family.
2	Q It's your testimony that I'm sorry, I don't
3	want to cut cut you off. Go right ahead.
4	A How is she feeling about a trip that was coming
5	up. She was very anxious about getting on the airplane.
6	How was it. What was the trip that she had taken. How is
7	she feeling about the upcoming court. Her relationship
8	with her older sister.
9	Q Let me stop you right there. She's had
10	difficulties in her relationship with her older sister,
11	correct?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q There's been some
14	A That's been a big part of our our work
15	actually.
16	Q Okay.
17	A The bulk.
18	Q Because there's I'm I'm sorry, ma'am, I
19	don't mean to
20	A It's been the bulk of our work actually.
21	Q So the relationship with the sister Samantha has
22	been the bulk of your work
23	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
24	Q with Heidi.
- 1	\mathbf{I}

T	A Un-nun (affirmative).
2	Q Is that
3	A Yes.
4	Q a yes? And you'd agree with me that that may
5	be a contributing factor to her diagnosis of PTSD and the
6	subsequent and last diagnosis?
7	A I don't know if it's contributing to the PTSD,
8	but it could be.
9	MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you. I have no other
10	questions.
11	MR. GOWDEY: I have a few questions, if you don't
12	mind.
13	THE COURT: And then we're going that okay.
14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. GOWDEY:
16	Q Ms. Hanrahan asked you if being removed from the
17	parents' home strike that. I'm going to start with Mr.
18	Mr. Draskovich asked you if being removed from your
19	parents' home could be considered to be a very traumatic
20	event and you agreed that it is, correct?
21	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
22	Q Ms. Hanrahan asked you if whether the removal
23	from the home would be a contributing factor in the
24	diagnosis of PTSD and yet you refused to say that it would;

1	isn't that correct?
2	A I don't recall. I don't recall
3	Q It only
4	A saying that.
5	Q occurred about 10
6	A I would I would
7	Q minutes ago.
8	A say yes to that.
9	Q If if I told you that you said it's a trauma
10	and I'll leave it at that
11	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
12	Q is that correct?
13	A Yeah.
14	Q But you don't you wouldn't consider this, what
15	we would consider to be a very serious trauma, of being
16	pulled out of the parents' home to be a contributing factor
17	to your diagnosis of PTSD?
18	A It's a contributing factor in that it's a trauma.
19	It's one of the traumas and if it's if we're looking at
20	trauma
21	Q So it is a contributing factor in her diagnosis
22	of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, correct?
23	A It could be.
24	O You're the one who made the diagnosis.

1	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor
2	Q Is it or
3	MS. HANRAHAN: again, arguing with the
4	witness.
5	MR. GOWDEY: Your Honor, it could be, and I am
6	questioning the person who made the diagnosis. I think
7	I've got a right to
8	THE WITNESS: It had
9	MR. GOWDEY: question her as
10	THE WITNESS: It's it's
11	MR. GOWDEY: to her diagnosis.
12	MS. HANRAHAN: Well, question would be
13	THE WITNESS: But that wasn't
14	MS. HANRAHAN: the key would be the key
15	word there.
16	MR. GOWDEY: This is cross
17	MS. HANRAHAN: Making statements
18	MR. GOWDEY: examination.
19	MR. DRASKOVICH: Is there a legal object
20	objection pending?
21	MS. HANRAHAN: Yes. Arguing with the witness.
22	Badgering the witness.
23	THE COURT: All right. So
24	MS. HANRAHAN: He asked he asked the question

1	she answe	red it.
2		THE COURT: She said it could be a contributing
3	factor.	
4	BY MR. GO	WDEY:
5	Q	Do you consider it to be a contributing factor?
6	A	I feel like I've already answered the question,
7	sir.	
8	Q	Could you answer it again?
9	A	No.
10	Q	You don't consider it to be a contributing
11	factor?	
12	A	I consider I cons I consider it to be a
13	trauma.	
14		MR. GOWDEY: Objection. Non-responsive.
15	BY MR. GO	WDEY:
16	Q	It's a yes or no question. Do you consider it to
17	be a cont	ributing factor or not?
18		THE COURT: This is a it is a yes or no
19	question,	so you can answer it.
20		MS. HANRAHAN: And she did answer it.
21		MS. DORMAN: She answered no. It's been asked
22	and answe	red.
23	BY MR. GO	WDEY:
24		Is your answer no?

1	A In the time line of the diagnosis, the initial
2	diagnosis was not PTSD, it was adjustment disorder. And so
3	
4	Q Did you make the initial diagnosis?
5	A I did not, but I agreed with it for ov almost
6	a year.
7	Q Was the trauma of being removed from the home
8	part of the initial diagnosis as to adjustment disorder?
9	A Yes.
10	Q In your subsequent diagnosis of Post Traumatic
11	Stress Disorder, you did not consider tra the trauma of
12	being removed from the home as one of the contributing
13	factors to the Post Traumatic Post Traumatic Stress
14	Disorder?
15	A I considered all the traumas.
16	Q As being
17	A Yes.
18	Q relevant to the diagnosis.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Thank you. And that would include the no contact
21	order with the parents as well, correct?
22	A I've already answered that yes also, sir.
23	Q Thank you. Let me ask you, was it your
24	understanding that reunification was a goal in this case?

1	A	I'm sorry?
2	Q	Was it your understanding that family
3	reunific	ation was to be a goal
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	in this case?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Did your understanding change at any point?
8	А	No.
9	Q	I'm going to show you now
10		MR. GOWDEY: The report that you've already
11	reference	ed.
12	Q	I'm showing you your report dated 5/21/2016. Can
13	you	
L 4	А	Uh-huh (affirmative).
15	Q	take a look at that? Do you see anywhere in
16	that rep	ort mention of the trauma of being removed from the
L7	home as	a contributing factor to any of your diagnoses?
18	А	No.
L9	Q	Do you see any mention of her parents anywhere at
20	all in th	nis report in any way, shape or form? That page is
21	not part	of the same
22	A	No, but that's not what this report is
23	Q	What is
24	A	indicative of.

1	Q this report?
2	A It's just it's a diagnostic impression that
3	actually comes from the DSM V with Heidi where where
4	she what it is from that diagnosis that fits why she
5	fits that diagnosis and her treatment plan.
6	Q But you've already test
7	A Individual treatment plan.
8	Q I'm sorry. But you've already testified that
9	removal from the home is a trauma that you considered.
10	You've already testified that not having contact with her
11	parents is a trauma that you considered in making your
12	diagnosis. Isn't that correct?
13	A Uh-huh. Yes.
14	Q So why are neither of those mentioned in your
15	diagnostic report?
16	A I have no answer.
17	MR. GOWDEY: I have no further questions.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Calvert.
19	MS. CALVERT: Yes. And I'll try and make these
20	quick and hopefully clear up one of the questions.
21	CROSS EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. CALVERT:
23	Q Did I send to you, in response to some of Heidi's
24	questions, some background information on questions she

	na.
2	A Yes, you did.
3	Q Okay. For therap therapeutic process
4	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
5	Q purposes. Okay. In I don't know, I guess
6	we're calling it the chart. In your chart, did you ever
7	note or do you recall that you noted any problems Heidi was
8	dealing with that had to do with violent or angry outbursts
9	from Samantha?
10	A Most likely, yeah. Uh-huh.
11	Q Now I lost it. And do you recall addressing or
12	Heidi relating to that she had been the target of Sam's
13	anger?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you ever find out, or did Heidi state to you
16	why she thought she was the target of Sam's anger?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what was that?
19	A That Sam was angry with her because she you
20	know, I can't I can't recall exactly how she said it, so
21	I don't want to mis I don't want to misquote her. It
22	was something about keeping saying that Sam did things
23	to keep herself safe.
24	Q Okay.

1	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last
2	part.
3	THE WITNESS: That Sam did things to keep herself
4	safe in the home. Sam blamed her for saying things.
5	BY MS. CALVERT:
6	Q Oh, so Heidi felt Sam was angry with her because
7	of things Heidi had done in the home?
8	A Yes.
9	Q But you don't recall what those were?
10	A No, I can't remember exactly and I don't want to
11	misquote.
12	Q You referenced a couple times the abrupt leaving
13	of Dr. Karmelly.
14	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
15	Q And I just wanted are you aware of why he left
16	so abruptly?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay.
19	MS. HANRAHAN: Objection. Relevance.
20	THE COURT: She said no, so.
21	BY MS. CALVERT:
22	Q The pictures that Heidi drew, where are those?
23	A In her chart.
24	Q They're in her chart?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. The specifics that Heidi related to you
3	regarding specific instances of trauma, such as I think the
4	the pipe or the plate incident, are those notated in the
5	chart specifically?
6	A The pipe and the knife are.
7	Q And do you notate those after the I'm sorry,
8	after your session with her?
9	A Uh-huh. Yes.
10	Q Is it normally within the same day or is it
11	sometime after?
12	A It's within a a very short period of time.
13	Usually with a 48 to 72 hour period, yes.
14	Q Did you ever speak with Heidi's either of
15	Heidi's parents?
16	A No.
17	Q You were discussing the you know, what trauma
18	is in relation to a diagnosis of PTSD. I think you were
19	helping to enlighten us that the trauma doesn't have it
20	can be something that is heard. Can that be statements
21	that they have learned about a sibling?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do those statements have to be true to be trauma?
24	A I don't know.

1	Q So I guess if somebody told me something terrible
2	or, I'm sorry, someone told Heidi something terrible had
3	occurred to one of her siblings, could it cause trauma
4	regardless of the truth of that statement, just hearing
5	that something terrible had happened to a sibling?
6	A I don't feel like I can answer that question.
7	Q So the statement has the statement that they
8	hear has to be true?
9	MS. HANRAHAN: I believe she just said she
10	couldn't answer that question. It's very vague. How do
11	you
12	THE COURT: Well, if she can't answer it if
13	you don't know, just say no rather than
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
15	THE COURT: making something
16	MS. CALVERT: Okay.
17	THE COURT: up
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: or guessing.
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Okay. That's fair.
22	MS. CALVERT: Okay.
23	THE COURT: That's a fair answer.
24	MS. CALVERT: Okav.

1	BY MS. CA	ALVERT:
2	Q	Did you ever speak with Samantha about the
3	alleged i	incidents?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Are your diagnoses made as part of a consensus
6	with othe	er treatment providers?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And do all the treatment providers have equal
9	input int	to the diagnoses?
10	A	No. When you say all, you mean just
11	Q	So I was asking if the diagnoses is the result of
12	a consens	sus with other treatment providers
13	A	With
14	Q	of Heidi.
15	A	With the psychiatrist, yes. Of Heidi, yes. Yes.
16	Q	Okay. I think oh, did you ever did you
17	ever revi	ew Heidi's medical records prior to making your
18	diagnoses	;?
19	А	Ment medical or
20	Q	Yeah
21	А	mental health?
22	Q	did you ever review any of her medical records
23	prior to	making your diagnoses?
24	A	<pre>I I don't know if you're talking about medical</pre>

1	as in a MD or you're talking about the mental health
2	records.
3	Q Either.
4	A Mental health records, yes.
5	Q And did you ever review her school records?
6	A No.
7	MS. CALVERT: That's that's all I have. Thank
8	you.
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh (affirmative).
LO	THE COURT: Ms. Honodel?
11	MS. HONODEL: No questions, Your Honor.
L2	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hanrahan?
13	MS. HANRAHAN: Just a couple.
L 4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
۱5	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
16	Q So the conclusions that you made with regard to
۱7	Heidi's diagnosis, were they based on any personal
L8	knowledge of what happened in the home or were they based
١9	on Heidi's symptoms?
20	A Heidi's symptoms.
21	Q And what Heidi talked about with you?
22	A Correct.
23	Q So and then I believe you testified, didn't
24	you, that well, can you talk about in what context did

1	Ms. Calvert provide the information about Mr. Brown's prior
2	criminal history to you? Do I mean, why did she provide
3	it to you, if you know?
4	A Because they wanted me to Heidi was asking
5	questions about it and they wanted me to process that with
6	her. And Maryte was going to be there with me when that
7	was processed with her. But because it didn't happen, it
8	never got even opened, so.
9	Q So you never had an opportunity to talk about
10	that with Heidi?
11	A Correct.
12	Q But it was your understanding that it was because
13	Heidi was asking
14	A Yes, ma'am.
15	Q for information. Did somebody ask you to
16	process it with her?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Who was that?
19	A It was Maryte.
20	Q And do you take that as being do you make the
21	determination of how and if you should present that
22	information to her?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Now in the report that Mr. Gowdey was asking you

1	about	
2	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
3	Q	that report doesn't talk about any type of
4	abuse in	the home either, does it?
5	A	No.
6	Q	So it's simply a very general report as to
7	diagnosti	c criteria that's used
8	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
9	Q	in general for PTSD; is that
10	A	Yeah.
11	Q	was that your testimony?
12	A	It wasn't even PTSD. That's the most current
13	Q	The unspecified
L4	A	diagnosis.
15	Q	trauma
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	and stressor related disorder.
18	A	And the most current treatment plan for her,
19	right.	
20	Q	Okay. And so there would have been no reason to
21	have that	information in there.
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	And then as as to what Ms. Calvert asked you
24	 about fal	se stories from other people and whether that

1	could cause trauma, your testimony was that Heidi said she
2	was present during the events she described
3	A Correct.
4	Q wasn't it?
5	A Correct.
6	MS. HANRAHAN: I have nothing further.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
8	MR. DRASKOVICH: No.
9	THE COURT: No, we're good? All right. Thank
10	you.
וו	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L2	THE COURT: All right then. I'm assuming there's
13	no other witnesses out there
۱4	MS. HANRAHAN: No
15	THE COURT: till tomorrow?
۱6	MS. HANRAHAN: Your Honor. Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Okay. We start at 10:00 tomorrow, so
18	we can start promptly. I don't I believe I only have
19	one or two things at 9:00, so we shouldn't be running late
20	at all unless something changes, which I don't expect it
21	to. All right. So tomorrow we'll see you at 9:00 (sic).
22	MR. GOWDEY: At at
23	MS. HANRAHAN: Oh, yeah
24	MR. GOWDEY: at 10:00?

1	THE COURT: I'm sorry, at 10:00.
2	MR. GOWDEY: Oh, okay.
3	THE COURT: I'll be here at 9:00. You guys
4	MS. HANRAHAN: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: will be here at 10:00.
6	MS. HANRAHAN: Actually there were a couple of
7	things I wanted to just put on the record because I think
8	we have agreed on some things, so before tomorrow.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MS. HANRAHAN: I think we've
11	THE COURT: Listen closely.
12	MS. HANRAHAN: agreed or at least Mr.
13	Gowdey and Mr. Draskovich have stipulated to admit the
14	testimony from Dr. Settle from the preliminary hearing
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. HANRAHAN: so that we don't have to call
17	her tomorrow. And also I think they stipulated to admit
18	the Red Rock report.
19	MR. GOWDEY: Actually, no, we stipulated to admit
20	all of the reports or case-by-case basis with the agreement
21	
22	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm asking about I'm mentioning
23	specifically the Red Rock reports because I'm talking about
24	witnesses that we don't have to call tomorrow. I had the

1	therapist from Red Rock scheduled to appear, so since we've
2	stipulated to admit those those reports, we won't have
3	to call her, so
4	THE COURT: Was that
5	MS. HANRAHAN: I just wanted to
6	THE COURT: everyone else's
7	MS. HANRAHAN: make sure
8	THE COURT: understanding too?
9	MS. HANRAHAN: before I called them off.
10	MR. GOWDEY: It is our understanding that we've
11	stipulated to rel to admit all of the counseling
12	reports, including those from Red Rock, ABC, and Healthy
13	Minds.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. HANRAHAN: We've already admitted
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. HANRAHAN: ABC.
18	THE COURT: So as far as Red Rock, so we're all
19	clear, she's not calling a witness tomorrow or the next
20	day, or the next day from Red Rock because you've agreed to
21	admit the Red Rock report. That's is that true?
22	MR. GOWDEY: Yes.
23	MR. DRASKOVICH: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay And then Me Calvert and Me

1 Honodel, you're okay with that as well? 2 MS. HONODEL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: As well as Dr. Settle? 3 MR. GOWDEY: There --4 5 MS. CALVERT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: 6 Okay. 7 MR. GOWDEY: There's one more issue. THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 MR. GOWDEY: In the J-case --10 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. GOWDEY: -- at the last hearing, the hearing 11 master ordered that Mr. Brown and Ms. Lawrence are not to 12 provide any gifts to any of the kids because the 13 14 representation was made that they didn't give Samantha a gift on her birthday when they gifted other children things 15 on the 4th of July. That is through testimony --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GOWDEY: -- has been shown not to be the case 18 19 as testimony has been offered by I think Jackie and by 20 somebody else that --21 THE COURT: Okav. 22 MR. GOWDEY: -- they didn't. They want to be 23 able to give their kids gifts. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOWDEY: And we ask that that be -- that that 1 2 order be rescinded. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So as much as I would love to make a decision, I'm only here for the D-case. I'm only 4 5 here for the termination case. This is not my case anymore. I was chosen to be the judge for this case 6 through Judge Hoskin, so I don't want to overstep anything 7 that Hearing Master Roys has -- has done, because I'm 8 9 strictly here for the TPR and nothing else. So I don't 10 want to rule on that because she's already made a ruling. I would be reversing her ruling. So I think that if that's 11 something you want to do, bring it in front of her, get it 12 13 on, you know, whenever you can, but I'm not apt to make any decisions absent the TPR trial, okay. 14 MS. HANRAHAN: And actually the testimony was 15 that she was given a banner. 16

THE COURT: Okay. So with that being said, still 17 18

MR. GOWDEY: The testimony was she was given a bag full of different things.

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: And that would be something in front of Hearing Master Roys. I'm sure she would be happy to get on calendar for you. All right?

MR. GOWDEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. See you at 10:00.

MS. CALVERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

/s/ Kimberly C. McCright
Kimberly C. McCright, CET
Certified Electronic

1	TRANS
2	EED 2 1 2017
3	ORIGINAL
4	CLERK OF COURT
5	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
6	FAMILY DIVISION
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	
9	In the Matter of the) Parental Rights of:)
10) CASE NO. D-15-510944-R SAMANTHA LAWRENCE, DOB 07/06/98;)
11	HEIDI BROWN, DOB 01/04/04;) DEPT. E/K NIKKI BROWN, DOB 01/04/04;)
12	WYATT BROWN, DOB 07/15/09;)
13	Minors.)
14	,
15	
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA N. GIULIANI DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17	
18	TRANSCRIPT RE: TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS
19	
20	FRIDAY, AUGUST 26, 2016
21	
22	
23	
24	
Į.	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State of Nevada:	JANNE HANRAHAN, ESQ. AMITY DORMAN, ESQ. 601 North Pecos Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
4		(702) 455-3794
5	The Father: For the Father:	DONALD BROWN ROBERT DRASKOVICH, ESQ.
6		815 S. Casino Center Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
7		(702) 474-4222
8 9	The Mother: For the Mother:	MELISSA LAWRENCE MICHAEL GOWDEY, ESQ. 815 S. Casino Center Blvd.
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 471-0321
11	For Samantha Lawrence:	AMY HONODEL, ESQ. 725 E. Charleston Blvd.
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 386-1492
13	For Nikki, Heidi and	
14	Wyatt Brown:	LAUREN CALVERT, ESQ. 716 South Jones Blvd.
15		Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 507-0092
16	Also present:	MARYTE TALLENT, DFS
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

INDEX OF WITNESSES

STATE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
MARYTE TALLENT	5	106/129/ 150	152	163

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

9	STATE'S EXHIBITS:	ADMITTED
10	16 - Dispositional report	27
11 12	17 - Mom's case plan	30
13	18 - Dad's case plan	30
14	19 - January 2015 permanency report	45
15	20 - July 2015 report 21 - Mental health evaluation report (Donald Brow	67 n) 67
16	21 - Mental health evaluation report (Donald Brow 22 - 1/20/16 court report	69
17	23 - 7/20/16 court report	74
18 19	24 - Anger mgt. and impulse control certificate	93
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 08/26/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	
	ı
2	I

PROCEEDINGS

3

(PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 10:14:31)

4 5

6

7

THE COURT: We'll go on the record then. This is case D-510944, In the Matter of Melissa Lawrence and Donald Brown. We should just state our appearances for today's record.

8 9

MS. CALVERT: Lauren Calvert, CAP attorney for Nikki, Heidi and Wyatt Brown.

11

10

THE COURT: Thank you.

12

MR. DRASKOVICH: Robert Draskovich on behalf of

13

Donald Brown, who is present.

14

THE COURT: Thank you.

15

MR. GOWDEY: Michael Gowdey on behalf of Melissa

16

Lawrence, who's also present.

17

THE COURT: Terrific.

18

MS. HONODEL: Good morning. Your Honor. Amy

19

Honodel, bar number 7755. I'm a CAP attorney for Samantha

20

21

Thank you. THE COURT:

22

MS. TALLENT: Maryte Tallent, Department of

23

Family Services.

Lawrence.

24

MS. DORMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Amity

1	Dorman, Chief Deputy District Attorney, bar number 9316.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MS. HANRAHAN: Janne Hanrahan for the District
4	Attorney's Office.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So we're still, I believe, on
6	the State's witnesses, so I'll ask for today if you have a
7	witness that you'd like to call.
8	MS. HANRAHAN: Maryte Tallent.
9	THE COURT: All right. We're good, we can start?
10	MR. DRASKOVICH: We'll all set.
11	THE COURT: All right. Come take a seat.
12	THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony
13	you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the
14	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
15	THE WITNESS: I do.
16	MARYTE TALLENT
17	having been called as a witness by the State and being
18	first duly sworn, testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	THE CLERK: State your name for the record.
21	A Maryte Tallent.
22	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
23	Q Good morning, Ms. Tallent.
24	A Good morning. I just want to say something kind

1	of quick.	. I'm going to have some I'm going to need some
2	help with	n dates and reminding me of stuff, and maybe
3	showing n	me reports to remind. My grandfather
4	Q	That's
5	A	passed away, so I didn't have really have a
6	good time	e to prep.
7	Q	That's okay.
8		MS. CALVERT: I'm sorry.
9		THE WITNESS: That's okay.
10	BY MS. HA	ANRAHAN:
11	Q	Ms. Tallent, how are you employed?
12	A	Clark County Department of Family Services.
13	Q	And how how are you what's your job title?
14	A	As Senior Family Services Specialist.
15	Q	And how long have you been working for the
16	Departmer	nt of Family Services as a Family Services
17	Specialis	st?
18	A	October it's going to be eight years.
19	Q	And have you and you graduated from college,
20	right?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What kind of degree do you hold?
23	A	A bachelor's degree in psychology.
24	Q	Now at some point in the course of your duties as

1	a permanency worker for the Department of Family Services,
2	were you assigned to the case of the children Samantha
3	Lawrence, Heidi Brown, Nikki Brown, and Wyatt Brown?
4	A Yes.
5	Q When were you assigned to this case?
6	A January January of 2014.
7	Q And who are the parents to these children?
8	A Melissa Lawrence, Donald Brown.
9	Q And as to Mr. Brown, is he on the birth
10	certificates for well, you said Melissa Lawrence and
11	Donald Brown. They're Donald Brown is not the father to
12	all the children, correct?
13	A No. He's the father of Heidi, Nikki and Wyatt
14	Brown.
15	Q And to your knowledge, is he on the birth
16	certificates for those children?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Have you been the assigned permanency worker on
19	the case ever since that time, January 2014?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And so a little more than two and a half years?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did you when you got this case, did you take

over from someone else?

that the family requires.

The kids were in foster care. The kids were not

24

Α

1	placed together at that point. Parents were were
2	sitting in their home.
3	Q And at some point did you meet with the parents?
4	A Yes.
5	Q When was that?
6	A It was early in the case.
7	Q And or
8	A If I rec
9	Q under what circumstances did you
10	A It was it was after a court hearing.
11	Q And would would it have been in that first
12	month that you took over, January 2014?
13	A It towards the end of January, beginning of
14	February.
15	Q Well, did you have a you said you usually have
16	a transfer meeting from when the case transfers from CPS to
17	DFS. Did you have that meeting in this case?
18	A Yes, we have the CPS investigator introduce me to
19	the parents and introduce me to the children.
20	Q Okay. Is that commonly referred to as a transfer
21	CFT I mean a TCFT?
22	A We meet with the parents and the investigator to
23	address the allegations and what needs to be done on my
24	part as a permanency worker.

Okay. And you said you attended that meeting? 0 1 2 I don't recall if I attended the meeting, but I 3 met the parents and we went over the petition. So your recollection of talking to them is after 4 5 a court hearing for the --6 Α Yes. -- first time. 7 0 Α 8 Yes. 9 Okay. And you talked about the petition in what 0 10 sense? Did you talk about what they would -- what it meant as far as your work, what they would have to do? 11 sense did you talk about the petition? 12 With every ca -- with every case that we receive 13 we talk about what the -- the allegations are. And we 14 start talking about case plan objectives, what needs to 15 16 kind of be addressed. In some cases parents want referrals 17 right then, even though they haven't gone to a 18 dispositional hearing or a evidentiary trial. So in some cases we do -- we do start moving towards that at that 19 20 point and they ask for referrals. At this point we were 21 not -- the evidentiary hearing, I believe it was scheduled 22 in February --

Q Okay.

23

24

A -- so we had not moved to anything at that point.

1	Q Okay.
2	A Depending on on the case at that point.
3	Q So that's kind of the standard one of the
4	standard things that would be on a case plan for someone
5	who against whom a petition of physical abuse was
6	sustained?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And then what about Ms. Lawrence?
9	A At that point it was the non-offending parenting
10	for physical abuse.
11	Q Okay. And what is that non-offending parent
12	treatment meant to address?
13	A It it addresses when a parent is not aware
14	that the physical abuse was happening in the home, they
15	didn't know this was going on, to make them more aware of
16	maybe signs of it in their home.
17	Q And is it also for parents who were aware but who
18	took no action?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Now did you also speak to all the children early
21	on in the case?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did did you speak to the children about the
24	allegations at that point? Did they speak with you, talk

1 to you at all? 2 Not early in the case, no. Specifically did you talk to Sam? Did she tell 3 0 you anything about how she came to receive the injuries 4 5 that were the subject of this petition? 6 Α No. Did Mr. Brown tell you early on anything about 7 0 8 the cause of Samantha's injuries? I had a -- a few conversations with Mr. Brown 9 early on and we talked about the allegations of physical 10 abuse. He did not -- he mentioned that, you know, Samantha 11 caused the injuries to herself. 12 And then as -- Ms. Lawrence, did she talk to you 13 about the cause or her understanding of the cause of those 14 15 injuries at all? Α No. 16 17 Now early in the case did you have the opportunity to observe the siblings all together and 18 19 interacting with one another? 20 Α Yes. 21 What was their relationship like at that point? 0 22 Α The children, I saw them -- I saw them separately 23 and there was one occasion where I transported Sam to a 24 dental appointment and she wanted to see her siblings. The children were at the therapy session, so we drove to Healthy Minds and I -- as a therapist said she can see them, and she went inside. And Nikki got up very quickly and hugged Sam. Heidi just --

- Q I'm sorry, and what?
- A She was hugging Sam.
- Q Okay.

A She was -- she appeared to be happy to see her. Heidi did not at first. They talked a little bit and once we said goodbye then Heidi did get up and hug Sam. At that point Sam was smiling and appeared to be happy that Heidi was hugging her.

Q So --

A There were other occasions where -- when they met their current provider, we were -- they were at Child Haven, and so the kids appeared to be kind of separated. Sam was sitting on one side, the girls and Wyatt was sitting in the other side. Wyatt was sitting -- at first Wyatt was sitting on Sam -- on Sam's lap and then Heidi called him and sat on -- on Heidi's lap.

O So overall --

A The kids appeared -- I mean, they love each other, they're siblings. There is -- it appears to be a separation at times that they're not sure when to like hug

each other, love -- like give physical affection. 1 2 Did they -- so -- and you said that -- you said that when you first took over they were in separate foster 3 homes? 5 Α Yes. 6 0 And then did that change at some point? Yes. We -- as a department, we like to place 7 Α children with family as much as possible. There was --8 their children's sister-in-law -- Heidi, Nikki and Wyatt's 9 10 sister-in-law. So she moved here into the family's home, parents moved out of the home, and were staying at a 11 friend's home, I believe in Boulder City. And the 12 children, all four children were placed with the sister-in-13 law, Alicia (ph) Brown. 14 Okay. And did this case ultimately go to trial? 15 You said it was originally set for July -- or, sorry, 16 17 February two thousand --It was continue (sic) --18 Α 19 Q **-- 14.** -- and it was scheduled for I believe July 30th 20 21 of 2014. I -- it was -- I was not present. My supervisor 22 was present at that time. 23 But July 2014 you think? 0 24 Α 2014.

Q And then --

A And the parents pled no contest to the allegations and it was scheduled for dispositional hearing August 13 of --

Q Okay.

A -- 2014.

Q So now normally at the dispositional hearing that's -- the Court is -- that's when the Court makes a decision about where the children should be and accepts case plans; does that sound right to you?

A Yes.

Q All right. And are you, as the case manager, are you required by law to prepare and submit a report to the Court prior to the dispositional hearing?

A Yes.

Q What kinds of information generally need to go into that, according to your policies and Nevada Revised Statute?

A The reports have to -- we attach reports from any service providers that the parents or the children are participating. Any -- the status of the case. What the parents completed. What the children are -- medical appointments. Anything that it's in relation to the family.

1	Q Did you prepare a dispositional report for that
2	hearing in August of 2014?
3	A The Department did.
4	Q What do you mean the Department?
5	A My supervisor.
6	Q Okay. And did she normally when your reports
7	are prepared for the Court, do you work together with your
8	supervisor to prepare those?
9	A Yes, we do.
10	Q You put the information together and generally
11	you would write the report though, right?
12	A Generally I I write the report based on
13	dispositional is basically from the time that the case come
14	in until the date is set for for the hearing. So all
15	that information, as at that point I have been the one
16	working with the children, so yeah, I do write the reports.
17	
18	Q Okay.
19	A The six-month reports are writ are written by
20	by me and my supervisor reviews.
21	Q Okay. So
22	MS. HANRAHAN: If I may approach the witness.
23	Showing her a copy of Paul, where are we now? You guys
24	

1	MR. DRASKOVICH: We've got it, yeah.
2	THE CLERK: We are on 16. We're at we're at
3	15.
4	MS. HANRAHAN: This will be 16?
5	THE CLERK: Right.
6	MS. HANRAHAN: Okay. State's Proposed Exhibit
7	16.
8	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
9	Q Could you tell the Court what that document is?
10	A It's the disposition report for the
11	Q And
12	A hearing on August 13th.
13	Q All right. And is does that appear to be a
14	true and accurate copy of the dispositional report that was
15	submitted by the Department in August 2014.
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now if you recall, Ms. Tallent, could you
18	describe what the report said about the family situation at
19	the time, the time of the hearing in August 2014? If you
20	need to take a moment to refresh your recollection, go
21	ahead and let me know when you're ready to talk about that.
22	A At the time of this hearing the children had been
23	removed about eight months. The the children were no

longer placed with the sister-in-law, the children --

Q Okay.

2

A -- were --

3

Q Can we -- okay, go ahead. Just give me a summary

4

and we'll --

5

6

•

7

8

Ö

9

כ

10

11

12

home.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yeah, the chil -- the children were not placed with a relative, they were placed in a licensed foster home. The -- the parents were -- at one point Mr. Brown was incarcerated. He -- I don't recall if by then he was no longer incarcerated, but part of the -- the -- that time frame he was incarcerated and I know they were residing -- at least Ms. Lawrence was residing back in their family

Q Okay. Now can you talk about why the children were no longer with Alicia -- is that what you said her name is?

A Yes. Alicia Brown was the sis -- is the sister-in-law of the children. The children were placed with her from, like I said, February to about April. There were concerns on the children -- on her not being able to manage the children in the home. We had a meeting with CPS supervisor and the investigator in the case and it was stated that she was not able to main -- to keep the children safe in their home.

Q And what do you mean by that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At that point --Α

What kind of problems was she having? 0

She reported at that -- at -- on that meeting that Samantha was causing most of the issues in the home and that if she was in the -- in the home -- when she wasn't in the home, everything would be okay. She also stated that Samantha was physically hurting the children. That Samantha was going outside in the backyard and kicking things and screaming. Some of the things she did not notify me that in my visits to the home, so I was not aware until that day. So she just was not able to take care of the children at that point.

All right. So was the decision to move the children a mutual decision between DFS and Alicia, or was that your decision?

No, at that point it was explained to her that there were concerns, and if she was not able to ensure the safety of the children that the Department will look for other placement, however she did call and say that she was no longer able to care for the children after she spoke to her husband.

- 0 Did --
- And she was returning to California. Α
- Did any other family come forward or did you 0

attempt to find any other family who could take the. 1 2 children at that point? There was other family members. The maternal 3 grandfather came forward. He resides in Texas. But at 4 that point he did not provide any information for the 5 6 Department to be able to complete the ICPC. So maternal grand -- grandfather --7 0 8 Α Yes. 9 -- was a potential placement but you didn't have 10 the information at that time. Α No. 11 12 Anybody else -- what about maternal grandmother? 0 The maternal grandmother came, however there were 13 some concerns on her protective capacity towards the 14 15 children as she made negative statements towards Samantha. And what about the paternal grandmother? 16 0 17 She as well. I had a conversation with her. Also made negative statements towards Samantha. 18 19 Was there -- so any other relatives other than 20 that you know of at that time that were proposed as 21 placement? 22 I don't recall if David Brown, the adult sibling, 23 requested placement at that time. 24 What, it's David -- David Brown --Q

1	A He's the adult sibling for Heidi, Nikki and
2	Wyatt.
3	Q And is he married to Alicia?
4	A He was married yeah, he's married to Alicia.
5	Q So was there any fictive kin that the family put
6	forth as possible placement?
7	A The Louises (ph), I believe so, however there
8	were concerns with their behaviors and
9	Q What concerns?
٥ ا	A Aligning with the parents and not the children at
1	that point.
.2	Q Okay. Were they explored as placement at any
.3	point; do you recall?
4	A I don't recall.
.5	Q Now at that and then at by the time of the
6	dispositional hearing, had Sam or any of the children begun
.7	to talk about anything that happened in the home?
8	A Yes.
.9	Q Who which child or
20	A Sam wrote a letter some time, May, June of that
21	year. She did not talk to me about specifically
22	details, but she said what she wrote in that letter was all
23	the truth.
24	Q So she she had not spoke she didn't speak

1	speak to you about anything, but she talked to you about
2	the letter; is that what you're saying?
3	A Yes. She said the letter
4	Q And what kind of information
5	A that she wrote
6	Q was in that letter? Did you see the letter?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How did you come to see it?
9	A The foster parent email it to me saying that Sam
10	had asked to send it to the Department.
11	Q Okay. And what kinds of things were in that
12	letter?
13	MR. DRASKOVICH: And, Your Honor
14	Q Just just
15	MR. DRASKOVICH: the Court's heard, it's been
16	admitted. We I don't
17	MS. HANRAHAN: All right.
18	MR. DRASKOVICH: intend on going into the
19	MS. HANRAHAN: That's fine.
20	MR. DRASKOVICH: contents of the letter.
21	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
22	Q And then what about the other kids? Had they
23	talked to you at that point about anything that happened in
24	the home?

1	A It's been a long time. I don't recall if it was
2	during that period, however they are they had been
3	disclosing on and off things in the home. I don't recall
4	if anything started at that time.
5	Q Now had either parent spoken to you personally
6	about the letter that Sam had written?
7	MR. GOWDEY: Objection as to to vague as to
8	time. At what point are we talking?
9	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
10	Q Between the letter in the end of May 2014 and the
11	dispositional report in August 2014.
12	A I don't recall.
13	Q You don't recall them speaking to you about
14	anything in the letter. Were they in regular contact with
15	you at that point?
16	A They were in contact with the Department. Not
17	directly with me, but with my supervisor.
18	Q And who is your supervisor?
19	A Heather Richardson.
20	Q And what was the recommendation to the Court from
21	DFS with the dispositional report?
22	A The permanency goal?
23	Q Well
24	A Is that what you're

1	Q yeah, if if you if there's a permanency
2	goal identified, what was that?
3	A It's reunification.
4	Q Okay. And then did DFS recommend that the
5	children be made wards of the court?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did the Court accept your report?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And did the Court make the children wards of the
10	court at that point?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And now that dispositional report you said was
13	filed eight months after the case opened. Was that also
14	accepted by the Court as the first required six-month
15	review hearing
16	A Yes.
17	Q report?
18	A Yes, it was, due to the extend out, the six
19	months had already
20	Q All right.
21	A passed.
22	MS. HANRAHAN: I'm going to ask to admit the
23	certified copy of the dispositional report.
24	MR. DRASKOVICH: We have no objection.

1	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
2	MS. HANRAHAN: That's State's 16.
3	(STATE'S EXHIBIT 16 ADMITTED)
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
6	Q Now generally you said
7	MR. DRASKOVICH: In fact I'm sorry, Ms.
8	Hanrahan, I don't mean to interrupt, but we have no
9	problems with all of the documents that were being filed
10	that were filed in the J-case be made part of this record.
11	MS. HANRAHAN: Why didn't we just do that the
12	first day then?
13	MR. DRASKOVICH: It's
14	MS. HANRAHAN: That's what I asked for.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. DRASKOVICH: It's no, it's in the interest
17	of time.
18	MS. HANRAHAN: Okay.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. DRASKOVICH: Ease
21	MS. HANRAHAN: All right.
22	MR. DRASKOVICH: let's just
23	MS. HANRAHAN: Alrighty. Good.
24	BY MS. HANRAHAN:

D-15-510944-R ITMO: LAWRENCE-BROWN 08/26/2016 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	Q And you said that the case plans for parents are
2	normally filed at the disposition or presented to the
3	Court at the dispositional hearing, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Were the case plans for both Ms. Lawrence and Mr.
6	Brown presented to the Court at that time, that
7	dispositional/six-month hearing?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And did both parents get copies of their case
10	plans?
11	A Yes.
12	Q This was and so August 2014, have you amended
13	these case plans at any point since that time?
14	A We do file them at the six-month review with just
15	update of if it's still active objective or has been met.
16	So it will say in every report will have if it's
17	attached to the report it will have just if it's still
18	active or not, or it's been met.
19	Q But the objectives themselves
20	A The
21	Q don't change.
22	A No, the objectives are not amended, no. And they
23	have not been.
24	O All right. So could you just briefly summarize

the objectives in each of the case plans. First off, were 1 they different? Did each parent have different objectives? 2 3 Do you need to --4 Α Yes, please. -- refresh your recollection? 5 Q MS. HANRAHAN: Sorry. I can wait for her to say 6 7 she doesn't recall, but I could see that she doesn't. 8 BY MS. HANRAHAN: 9 Just take a look and see if they have different 0 10 or the same objectives on their case plan. Α When the -- when it comes to meeting the needs of 11 the children, it's the same objective. To resolve the 12 legal matters, however, based on the allegations, Mr. 13 Lawren -- Ms. -- Mr. Brown had to complete the offending 14 parenting classes and follow recommendations. 15 16 0 Okay. So -- but the -- the overall objective was 17 the same. Can you --Α Yes. 18 19 -- tell me what those were? 20 Α Provide a home free from physical abuse. Resolve legal matters. And meet the emotional, educational, 21 22 physical and developmental needs of the children. So those 23 were the three objectives for both. 24

And were both of those case plans accepted by the

0

1	Court?
2	A Yes, they were.
3	Q Could I take those back?
4	MS. HANRAHAN: And we'll be admitting these as
5	State's Exhibits 17 and 18. Mom 17, Dad 18.
6	(STATE'S EXHIBITS 17 AND 18 ADMITTED)
7	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
8	Q Now did the Court then hold a one-year hearing on
9	this matter in January 2015?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And did you prepare a report for the Court as
12	required by law at that time?
13	A Yes.
L 4	Q And in this well, take a look at it, tell me
15	if this is the report that you prepared for January 2015.
16	A Yes.
L7	Q And does that report recommend a permanency goal
18	for this family?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What is the permanency goal that's recommended?
21	A The Department requested for the goal to be
22	changed from reunification to termination of parental
23	rights and with a concurring goal of reunification.
24	O And when you prepare your reports, you're

_	required by law to make that recommendation; are you not:
2	To make a recommendation as to permanency in general.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Every report.
5	A Yes.
6	Q And then why did you change the goal to from
7	reunification to termination at this point, January 2015?
8	A With most cases, if the children are not home or
9	they're not in the process of going home, the Department
10	requests to change the goal at that point, if there hasn't
11	been any
12	Q At what point?
13	A progress on the parents or
L4	Q You said at that point. At what point?
15	A At the at the one-year hearings.
L6	Q And that is a a requirement of statute as
L7	well; is it not?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So at that point, January 2015, were the children
20	engaged in therapy?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And each child has their own therapist?
23	A Yes.
24	Q At that stage, January 2015, were the children's
	II

therapists recommending contact with the parents? If you need to refresh your recollection, go ahead --

A Yes.

Q -- and do that.

A Thank you. (PAUSE) Sorry, can you repeat the question?

Q At that point, were the children's therapists recommending contact with the parents?

A At that time there was a no contact order so the contact order was lifted. The therapists were recommending to have family sessions, therapy sessions with the children and the parents prior to any other type of visitation.

Q But did they make a specific recommendation that the shouldn't be contact at that time?

A What they requested is that -- the therapeutic contact with the children.

Q Now you testified that at the time of that dispositional hearing Sam had written a letter and was talking about the abuse, or had at least in the letter talked about some abuse that happened to her in the home. By the time of this January report — and you said the other children talked to you at some point but you didn't remember. Had they talked to you at all by this point, the other children, about anything that happened in the home?

_ <u> </u>	A Yes.
2	Q And do you recall what they said? Let me know
3	when you've refreshed your recollection.
4	(PAUSE)
5	Q I'll just in the interest of time, direct your
6	attention to Pages 11 and 12 where you
7	Q Yes.
8	A talk about the children.
9	Q Yeah. At that time the children were disclosing
10	that there were that Samantha was treated differently.
11	That there was arguments between the parents.
12	A All right. Had they talked to you about whether
13	they had been told what to say to CPS or whether to act
14	differently in certain situations?
15	MR. GOWDEY: Objection. Compound question.
16	THE COURT: Sustained. Just
17	BY MS. HANRAHAN:
18	Q Had they had they talked to you at that point
19	about whether they were told how to act in certain
20	situations?
21	A Heidi reported that there were instances where
22	CPS was coming into the home, so they have, what she called
23	them, drills where the Mr. Brown will knock on the door
24	and the children will be sitting and he will ask them

MR. GOWDEY: I'm sorry, Counsel, what page?

MS. HANRAHAN: 12, about Line 9.

a little better about the no contact order and the

MR. GOWDEY: Thank you.

recommendations from the therapist.

BY MS. HANRAHAN:

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The last paragraph in that section. Q

The therapist recommending that the children have Α no contact with the parents at this time. And then when the contact order is lifted that any contact be in a therapeutic setting with all the parties -- when all parties are ready to address the history of abuse in order for all parties to move forward. That's what the recommendation was.

All right. So had the parents done any of the 0 things that were listed on their case plan in the actions steps at that point?