			Electronically Filed 12/08/2016 07:37:49 AM	
	1 2 3 4 5	NOAS MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Facsimile: (702) 425-8220 Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com Attorney for Petitioner	D. 10931 CLERK OF THE COURT Electronically Filed Dec 16 2016 02:11 Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme C)
	6 7	DISTRICT	COURT	
	8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
	9 10	TYRONE JAMES,	CASE NO.: 10C265506	
	10	Petitioner,	DEPT. NO.: XI	
	12	vs.	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
0 (F)	13	STATE OF NEVADA,		
/ 89101 12)425-822 TON.COM	14			
VEGAS, NY 00 (T) / (70 NVLITIGAT	15	Respondent.		
Las VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5300 (T) / (702)425-8220 (F) WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM	16			
e	17	NOTICE OF		
	18	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that TYRONE JAMES, Petitioner in the above		
	19 20	entitled case, by and through his counsel of record, MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, of the		
	20	law firm MCLETCHIE SHELL, LLC, hereby a		
	22	the denial of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on November 9, 2016 pursuant to Nevada		
	23	Rule of Appellate Procedure $4(b)(1)(A)$.		
	24	DATED this 8 th day of December, 201	0.	
	25	/s/ Margaret A. McLetchie MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931		
	26	MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC		
	27	701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
	28	Telephone: (702 Attorney for Pet		

MORE TO A STORY AT LAW ATTORNEYS AT LAW 701 EAST BRIDGER AVE, SUITE 520 LAS VECAS, NV 80101 (702728-5300 (T) / (702425-8220 (F) WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM

	1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	2	Pursuant to NRCP $5(b)(2)(B)$ I hereby certify that on the 8 th day of December, 2016,
	3	I electronically filed and mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF
	4	APPEAL by depositing the same in the United States mail, first-class postage pre-paid, to
	5	the following addresses:
	6 7	ADAM LAXALT, Attorney General
	8	10 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701
	9	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney
	10	JAMES SWEETIN, Chief Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue
	11	P.O. Box 552212
	12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Attorneys for Respondent, STATE OF NEVADA
	13 ²⁵²⁰	TYRONE JAMES, ID # 1063523
	TLAW VE, SUITE 520 V 89101 02)425-8220 (F) fion.com	High Desert State Prison P.O. Box 650
Ō	ORNEYS / ORNEYS / ORNEYS / VEGAS, N VEGAS, N 20 (T) / (7) NVLITIGA:	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070
m	701 EATTRANKTS ATTAW 701 EATTBRDGER AVE., SUIT 12 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 12 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 7001 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 12 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 13 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 14 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 14 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 15 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 16 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 17 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 16 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 17 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 16 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 17 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 16 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 17 LASY BEADGER AVE., SUIT 16 LASY BEA	Petitioner
Q Q	17	
	18 19	
	20	Certified by: <u>/s/ Pharan Burchfield</u> An Employee of McLetchie Shell, LLC
	20	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		2

Electronically Filed 12/08/2016 07:38:32 AM

then to the

CLERK OF THE COURT

L	Margaret A. McLetchie, Nevada Bar No. 10931
,	MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC

- 701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520
- 3 Las Vegas, NV 89101

ASTA

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 701 EAST BROGER AYT, SUITE 520 LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702)728-5500 (T) (702)425-8220 (F) WWW.NVLITIGATION.COM

- Telephone: (702) 728-5300 4
- Facsimile: (702) 425-8220
- Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com 5 Attorney for Petitioner 6

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

TYRONE JAMES,

Petitioner,

STATE OF NEVADA,

vs.

Respondent.

CASE NO.: 10C265506

DEPT. NO.: XI

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: Tyrone James 1.

2. Judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: The Honorable

Elizabeth Gonzalez.

Name and address of appellant's counsel: 3.

MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, Nevada Bar No. 10931 MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC 701 E. Bridger Avenue, Suite 520 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Petitioner, Tyrone James

4. Name and address of respondent's counsel:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Nevada Bar No. 1565 **Clark County District Attorney** JAMES R. SWEETIN, Nevada Bar No. 5144 Chief Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 Attorneys for Respondent, the State of Nevada

5. Attorneys not licensed to practice law in Nevada: None.

2 6. Whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the 3 district court: Appellant was represented by appointed counsel in the district court.

7. Whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: Appellant is represented by appointed counsel on appeal.

8. Whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis: N/A

9. Date the proceedings commenced in the district court: June 21, 2010.

10. A brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court: Appellant submitted his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (post-conviction relief) on March 14, 2013, arguing that his trial counsel ineffective, and a Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) on September 4, 2015. The district court denied the Petition on July 25, 2016, and entered a Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order on November 9, 2016. Appellant appeals from this denial of Post-Conviction Relief.

16 11. Whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original 17 writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket 18 number of the prior proceeding: Yes, the trial proceeding stage of the case has been the 19 subject of appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court: Tyrone James vs. The State of Nevada, 20 docket number 57178.

21 12. Whether the appeal involves child custody or visitation: This case does not 22 involve child custody or visitation.

- /// 23
- 24 ///
- 25 | | |
- 26 | | |
- 27 | | |
- 28 ///

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

1	13. In civil cases, whether the appeal involves the possibility of settlement: N/A
2	Dated this 8 th day of December, 2016.
3	/s/ Margaret A. McLetchie
4	Margaret A. McLetchie, Nevada Bar No. 10931 MCLETCHIE SHELL LLC
5	701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520
6	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 728-5300
7	Facsimile: (702) 425-8220
8	Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com Attorney for Petitioner
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 Jack 13 Jack 14 Jac	
14 Sourcom 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
12 TO EAST BRIDGEN 70 EAST BRIDGEN 14 ATTORNEY 70 EAST BRIDGEN 16 70 (702)728-530 (7)/ 16 17 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	3

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(B) I hereby certify that on the 8 th day of December, 2016,	
3	I electronically filed and mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing CASE APPEAL	
4	STATEMENT by depositing the same in the United States mail, first-class postage pre-paid,	
5	to the following addresses:	
6	ADAM LAXALT, Attorney General	
7	10 North Carson Street	
8	Carson City, NV 89701	
9	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney JAMES SWEETIN, Chief Deputy District Attorney	
10	200 Lewis Avenue	
11	P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
12 13	Attorneys for Respondent, STATE OF NEVADA	
MO2. 14	TYRONE JAMES, ID # 1063523 High Desert State Prison	
TA	P.O. Box 650	
15 15 15 16	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Petitioner	
≨ 17		
18		
19	Certified by: <u>/s/ Pharan Burchfield</u> An Employee of McLetchie Shell, LLC	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



DEPARTMENT 11 CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. 10C265506

State of Nevada vs Tyrone James

ş	Location:	Department 11
	Judicial Officer:	Gonzalez, Elizabeth
Š	Filed on:	06/21/2010
\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	Case Number History:	
ş	Cross-Reference Case	C265506
§	Number:	
§	Defendant's Scope ID #:	1303556
	ITAG Booking Number:	1000026255
ŝ	ITAG Case ID:	
\$. \$	Lower Court Case # Root:	10F09328
š	Lower Court Case Number:	10F09328X
	Supreme Court No.:	57178

CASE INFORMATION

Offense	Deg	Date Case Type:	Felony/Gross Misdemeanor
1. SEXUAL ASSAULT	F	01/01/1900	
1. SEXUAL ASSUALT	F	01/01/1900 ^{Case Flags:}	Appealed to Supreme Court Custody Status - Nevada
2. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS	G	01/01/1900	Department of Corrections
3. SEXUAL ASSAULT	F	01/01/1900	- · F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. SEXUAL ASSUALT	F	01/01/1900	
4. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS	G	01/01/1900	
5. ASSAULT AND BATTERY	F	01/01/1900	

DATE

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment
Case Number
Court
Date Assigned
Judicial Officer

James , Tyrone D

State of Nevada

10C265506 Department 11 01/22/2013 Gonzalez, Elizabeth

PARTY INFORMATION	
-------------------	--

Defendant

Plaintiff

Lead Attorneys Shell, Alina Retained 702-728-5300(W)

702-728-5500(W)

Wolfson, Steven B

702-671-2700(W)

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
06/21/2010	Criminal Bindover <i>CRIMINAL BINDOVER Fee \$0.00</i>	10C2655060001.tif pages
06/21/2010	Hearing INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT	10C2655060002.tif pages
06/23/2010	Information INFORMATION	10C2655060004.tif pages
06/24/2010	Initial Arraignment (1:30 PM) Events: 06/21/2010 Hearing INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT Court Clerk: Sandra Harrell Relief Clerk: Nicole McDevitt /nm Reporter/Recorder: Kiara Schmidt Heard By: Randall Weed	

	CASE NO. 10C205500	
06/24/2010	Plea (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 1. SEXUAL ASSAULT Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	1. SEXUAL ASSUALT Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	2. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	3. SEXUAL ASSAULT Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	3. SEXUAL ASSUALT Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	4. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	5. ASSAULT AND BATTERY Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
06/29/2010	Involve of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses NOTICE OF WITNESSES AND/OR EXPERT WITNESSES	10C2655060007.tif pages
07/08/2010	Order ORDER RELEASING ALL CONFIDENTIAL RECORDS FOR IN-CAMERA INSPECTION BY COURT COURT	10C2655060008.tif pages
07/27/2010	Reporters Transcript Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing - Heard 06-17-10	
08/05/2010	Motion Filed By: Defendant James , Tyrone D Discovery Motion	
08/12/2010	Motion for Discovery (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Discovery Motion	
08/16/2010	Notice of Motion Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts	
08/16/2010	Notice of Witnesses Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (NRS 174.231)	
08/17/2010	CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) Vacated - per Judge	

	CASE 110. 10C205500
08/23/2010	CANCELED Jury Trial (10:00 AM) Vacated - per Judge
08/25/2010	Opposition Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Acts
08/26/2010	Motion to Admit Evidence (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) 08/26/2010, 09/10/2010 Motion for Clarification of Sentence
09/08/2010	Motion in Limine Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony That The Complaining Witness' Behavior Is Consistent With That Of A Victim Of Sexual Abuse
09/10/2010	CANCELED Hearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Villani, Michael) Vacated - On In Error
09/10/2010	Hearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) PETROCELLI HEARING: STATE'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR ACTS
09/10/2010	Motion in Limine (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Events: 09/08/2010 Motion in Limine Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony That The Complaining Witness' Behavior Is Consistent With That Of A Victim Of Sexual Abuse
09/10/2010	CANCELED All Pending Motions (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated
09/10/2010	All Pending Motions (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) ALL PENDING MOTIONS 9/10/10
09/10/2010	Deposition to Motion State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude lay Opinion Testimony that the Complainign Witness Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse
09/14/2010	Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie)
09/16/2010	Transcript of Proceedings Transcript of Proceedings: Petrocelli hearing: State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts September 10, 2010
09/17/2010	Overflow (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Barker, David) Overflow (5) C. Pandelis / B. Cox 2-3 Days 8-10 Witnesses / No Out Of State Witnesses
09/17/2010	Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Motion To Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts
09/20/2010	CANCELED Jury Trial (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated
09/21/2010	Motion to Reconsider (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

	Events: 09/17/2010 Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion To Reconsider Motion To Admit Evidence Of Other Crimes, Wrongs Or Acts
09/21/2010	Jury Trial (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 09/21/2010-09/23/2010
09/21/2010	🔄 Jury List
09/23/2010	The Amended Jury List
09/23/2010	Verdict
09/23/2010	The Instructions to the Jury
10/22/2010	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant James, Tyrone D
11/16/2010	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Case Appeal Statement
12/01/2010	Sentencing (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 12/01/2010, 01/19/2011
01/07/2011	PSI Supplemental PSI
01/19/2011	Disposition (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 1. SEXUAL ASSAULT Guilty PCN: Sequence:
	1. SEXUAL ASSUALT Guilty PCN: Sequence:
	2. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS Dismissed PCN: Sequence:
	3. SEXUAL ASSAULT Guilty PCN: Sequence:
	3. SEXUAL ASSUALT Guilty PCN: Sequence:
	4. OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS Dismissed PCN: Sequence:
	5. ASSAULT AND BATTERY Guilty PCN: Sequence:

	1	
01/19/2011	Sentence (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 1. SEXUAL ASSAULT Adult Adjudication	
	Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Correction Term: Life with the possibility of pa	
01/19/2011	Sentence (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 1. SEXUAL ASSUALT Adult Adjudication	
01/19/2011	Sentence (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 3. SEXUAL ASSAULT Adult Adjudication	
	Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Correction Term: Life with the possibility of pa Concurrent: Charge 1	
01/19/2011	Sentence (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) 3. SEXUAL ASSUALT Adult Adjudication	
01/19/2011	5. ASSAULT AND BATTERY Adult Adjudication	
	Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Correction Term: Life with the possibility of pa Concurrent: Charge 1 & 3 Credit for Time Served: 250 Days	
	Condition 1. Lifetime Supervision Fee Totals:	
	Administrative Assessment Fee \$25 DNA Analysis Fee	25.00
	\$150 Fee Totals \$	150.00 175.00
02/09/2011	Judgment of Conviction Judgment Of Conviction (Jury Trial)	
03/07/2011	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant James , Tyrone D	
03/07/2011	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant James , Tyrone D	
03/29/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Recorder's Transcript RE: Overflow Calenda</i>	rr Call - Heard 09/17/2010
04/06/2011	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Transcript of Proceedings: Calendar Call - F</i>	Ieard September 14, 2010
04/06/2011	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Transcript of Proceedings: Plaintiff's Notice</i>	of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 10C265506	
--------------------	--

	CASE NO. 10C205300
	Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts - Heard August 26, 2010
04/06/2011	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Proceedings: Defendant's Motion for Discovery - Heard August 12, 2010
04/22/2011	Recorders Transcript of Hearing Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Arraignment - Heard Thursday, June 24, 2010
04/29/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Transcript Re: Trial by Jury Day 2 - Volume II - Heard 09/22/2010</i>
04/29/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript Re: Trial by Jury Day 3 - Volume III - Heard 09/23/2010
04/29/2011	Teporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript Re: Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrong or Acts Trial by Jury Day 1 - Volume I - Heard 09/21/2010
04/29/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Recorder's Transcript of Sentencing - Heard 01/19/2011</i>
04/29/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Recorder's Transcript of Sentencing - Heard 12/01/2010</i>
08/06/2012	Case Reassigned to Department 9 Case reassigned from Judge Bell
11/30/2012	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Affirmed Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Affirmed
01/22/2013	Case Reassigned to Department 11 Case reassigned from Judge Jennifer Togliatti Dept 9
03/14/2013	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post - Conviction)
03/14/2013	Motion Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Motion to Appoint Counsel
03/20/2013	Torder for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
05/07/2013	Response Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

05/13/2013	 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 05/13/2013, 05/20/2013, 06/17/2013, 11/18/2015, 06/08/2016, 07/25/2016, 10/03/2016
07/18/2013	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Stipulation and Order
07/23/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Notice of Entry of Order
11/05/2013	Ex Parte Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Ex Parte Motion and Order to File Under Seal</i>
11/05/2013	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Ex Parte Motion for Expert-Motion for Supplemental fees.</i>
12/03/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Entry of Order
12/03/2013	Order Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Stipulated Extension of Habeas Petition Dates and Propsed Order
02/26/2014	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Stipulated Extension of Habeas Petition Dates and Proposed Order
03/03/2014	Notice of Entry Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Entry and Stipulation and Order
06/18/2014	CANCELED Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Vacated - per Stipulation and Order Status Check: Briefing Schedule
07/09/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Entry of Order
07/09/2014	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Stipulated Extension of Habeas Petition Dates and Proposed Order
10/13/2014	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Stipulated Extension of Habeas Petition Dates and Proposed Order
10/24/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Entry of Order

11/24/2014	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Stipulated Extension of Habeas Petition Dates and Proposed Order
11/24/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Entry of Order
01/15/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Ex-Parte Motion for Expert- Motion for Supplemental Fees</i>
01/15/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Ex Parte Motion and Order to File Under Seal</i>
01/15/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Proposed Order for Ex Parte Motion for Expert-Motion for Supplemental Fees
01/26/2015	Winter Notice Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Notice of Appearance</i>
02/12/2015	Totice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
02/12/2015	Torder to Release Medical Records Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Proposed Order for Ex Parte Motion to Release Medical Records
03/12/2015	Motion Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Petitioner's Request for the Extension of Time to File Supplemental Petition (Seventh Request)
03/12/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Ex Parte Motion and Order to File Under Seal</i>
03/12/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Ex parte Motion for Paralegal Services-Motion for Supplemental Fees
03/12/2015	Filed Under Seal Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Proposed Order for Ex Parte Motion for Paralegal Services Motion for Supplemental Fees
03/13/2015	Notice Notice of Change of Hearing

03/20/2015	Opposition Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Opposition to Defendant's Request For Extension Of Time To File Supplemental Petition (Seventh Request)
03/23/2015	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Events: 03/12/2015 Motion Petitioner's Request for Extension of Time to File Supplemental Petition (Seventh Request)
04/06/2015	Order for Production of Inmate Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Order for Production of Inmate
04/07/2015	Motion Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
04/16/2015	Response Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Response to Defendant's Motion For Order To Release Medical Records And Lympd Records
04/20/2015	 Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 04/20/2015, 05/27/2015 Defendant's Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
04/22/2015	Amended Certificate of Mailing Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Amended Certificate of Service
04/24/2015	Reply Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Reply to State's Response in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
05/04/2015	Response Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Department of Family Services Response to Defendant's Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Records
05/20/2015	Reply Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Reply to Department of Family Service's Response to Petitioner's Motion for Order to Release Medical Records
06/08/2015	Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Order Releasing Records For In Camera Inspection By Court
06/08/2015	Whotice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
06/09/2015	Order

	CASE NO. 10C265506
	Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Order Releasing Records for in Camera Inspection by Court
06/09/2015	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
06/19/2015	 Status Check (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 06/19/2015, 06/26/2015 Status Check: In Camera Review
07/02/2015	Minute Order (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Minute Order re In Camera Review of Records from LVMPD
07/07/2015	Notice of Change of Firm Name Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Change of Law Firm Affiliation
08/04/2015	Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Change of Address
09/04/2015	Motion for Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Renewed Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
09/04/2015	Petition Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus
09/14/2015	Motion to Release (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Defendant's Renewed Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
09/17/2015	Response Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's Response to Defendant's Renewed Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
09/18/2015	Motion Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Motion for a Subpoena to Sunrise Hospital to Release Medical Records
10/09/2015	Motion Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Motion and Notice of Motion to File Under Seal
10/15/2015	Torder to Release Medical Records Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Ex Parte Motion for a Subpoena to Sunrise Hospital to Release Medical Records and [Proposed] Order
10/23/2015	Exhibits Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Appendix of Exhibits to Petitioner's Supplement to Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus

	CASE 110, 10(205500
10/23/2015	Supplemental Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Supplemental Motion to File Under Seal
10/28/2015	Motion to Seal/Redact Records (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Motion and Notice of Motion to File Under Seal
11/02/2015	Exhibits Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Second Amended Appendix of Exhibits to Petitioner's Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
11/02/2015	Minute Order (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Minute Order: In Camera Review
11/09/2015	Acknowledgment Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada
11/18/2015	Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Status Check: Records
11/18/2015	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
12/30/2015	Order for Production of Inmate Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Order for Production of Inmate
01/15/2016	Supplement Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
01/15/2016	Exhibits Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Appendix of Exhibits to Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
03/29/2016	Minute Order (10:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Minute Order: In Camera Review
04/21/2016	Response Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus and Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus
05/27/2016	Request Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Request for Extension of Time</i>
05/31/2016	Reply Filed by: Defendant James, Tyrone D Reply to State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus
07/25/2016	Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth) 07/25/2016, 10/03/2016

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. 10C265506

	CASE 110, 100205000	
	Evidentiary Hearing: Expert Issue	
07/25/2016	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)	
08/01/2016	Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Order for Supplemental Fees	
10/03/2016	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gonzalez, Elizabeth)	
10/11/2016	Order Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Application and Order for Transcripts	
10/19/2016	Recorders Transcript of Hearing Recorder's Transcript re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus; Evidentiary Hearing: Expert Issue	
11/08/2016	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada	
11/09/2016	Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	
11/10/2016	Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D Order Appointing Margaret A. McLetchie as Court-Appointed Counsel	
11/10/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>	
12/08/2016	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant James, Tyrone D Notice of Appeal	
12/08/2016	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant James, Tyrone D <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>	
DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant James , Tyrone D Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	175.00 0.00

Detendant James , Tytohe DTotal ChargesTotal Payments and CreditsBalance Due as of 12/12/2016Plaintiff State of NevadaTotal ChargesTotal Payments and CreditsBalance Due as of 12/12/2016

175.00

3.50 3.50 **0.00**

;	· · ORIGII	NAL	Electronically Filed 11/08/2016 10:03:37 AM
1 2	FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	STACEY L. KOLLINS		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391 200 Lewis Avenue		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500	· ·	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	•	
7	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
8	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	10C265506
12	TYRONE JAMES,	DEPT NO:	XI
13	#1303556		
14	Defendant.		
15	FINDINGS OF FACT	F. CONCLUSIONS	OF
16		D ORDER	·····
17			16
18	DATE OF HEARING TIME OF HEA		
19	THIS CAUSE having come on for	_	
20		GONZALEZ, District Judge, on the 3rd day of October, 2016; the Petitioner being present,	
21	represented by ALINA SHELL, ESQ.; the Respondent being represented by STEVEN B.		
22	WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, by and through STACEY L. KOLLINS, Chief		
23	Deputy District Attorney; and having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts,		
24	arguments of counsel and documents on file herein, the Court now therefore makes the		
25	following findings of fact and conclusions of	law:	
26			
27			
28			

FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On June 23, 2010, TYRONE D. JAMES (hereinafter "James") was charged by way of Criminal Information with two counts of Sexual Assault With a Minor Under Sixteen Years of Age (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366); two counts of Open or Gross Lewdness (Gross Misdemeanor – NRS 201.210); and one count of Battery with Intent to Commit a Crime (Category A Felony – NRS 200.400).

On August 16, 2010, the State filed a Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts. On August 25, 2010, James filed his Opposition. On September 8, 2010, James filed a Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony that the Complaining Witness' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse. On September 10, 2010, the State filed its Opposition to James's Motion in open court and the District Court conducted a <u>Petrocelli</u> hearing regarding the bad acts motion. The District Court granted both motions.

On September 17, 2010, James filed a Motion to Reconsider Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts. The District Court denied James's motion on September 21, 2010.

James's jury trial commenced on September 21, 2010. On September 23, 2010, the jury found James guilty on all counts.

On January 19, 2011, James was sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections as follows: as to Count 1 – to a maximum term of life with a minimum parole eligibility after 25 years; as to Count 3 – to a maximum term of life with a minimum parole eligibility after 25 years, concurrent with Count 1; as to Count 5 – to a maximum term of Life with a Minimum parole eligibility after 2 years, concurrent with Counts 1 and 3. The Court further ordered a sentence of lifetime supervision to be imposed upon James's release from any term of probation, parole, or imprisonment. James received 250 days credit for time served. The Court dismissed Counts 2 and 4, as they were lesser-included offenses of Counts 1 and 3. Judgment of Conviction was filed February 9, 2011. On March 7, 2011, James filed a Notice of Appeal. On October 31, 2012, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order of Affirmance. Remittitur issued on November 26, 2012.

On March 14, 2013, James filed a post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Appoint Counsel. The State filed its Response to James's Petition on May 7, 2013. On May 20, 2013, Robert Langford Esq., was appointed as counsel. On September 4, 2015, James filed a Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Supplement"). On January 15, 2016, James filed another Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Second Supplement"). On April 21, 2016, the State filed its Response to James's Second Supplement. On October 3, 2016, this Court heard sworn testimony from Bryan Cox, Esq., and Dr. Joyce Adams. This Court now orders that James's Petition be DENIED.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

On May 14, 2010, 15 – year-old T.H. was home alone sleeping when she awoke to find James in her home. <u>Transcript Re: Trial by Jury Day 2 – Volume II</u>, ("Transcript: Day 2, Vol II") filed April 29, 2011, 13-17. T.H. knew James because he was involved in a dating relationship with T.H.'s mother, Theresa Allen ("Theresa"). <u>Id.</u> at 8.

T.H. testified that while she was in her bedroom, she heard a noise and then James came into her bedroom and jumped on top of her. <u>Id.</u> at 17-19. When James jumped on top of T.H., she was trying to call her mother on her cell phone. <u>Id.</u> at 19. T.H.'s cell phone fell on the side of the bed and James picked it up and put it in his pocket. <u>Id.</u> T.H. then moved to her sister's bed, which was next to hers, and James again jumped on top of her and began to choke her. <u>Id.</u> at 20. When T.H. began to scream and cry, James told her to shut up or he would snap her neck. <u>Id.</u>

After James jumped on top of T.H., he took off her shirt and underwear and pulled her into the living room. <u>Id.</u> Once in the living room, James made T.H. lay on the floor and he sat on top of her. <u>Id.</u> at 21-22. While James was on top of T.H., he continued choking her. <u>Id.</u>

While James was on top of T.H. on the living room floor with his hand around her neck, he opened up T.H.'s legs and stuck his finger in her vagina. <u>Id.</u> T.H. noticed that James had a glove on the hand he used to digitally penetrate her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 22-23. James then pulled his penis out from his pants and rubbed it inside T.H.'s vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 24-26. T.H. could not see James's penis but she felt something rubbing the inside of her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 25.

T.H. testified that once James stopped rubbing his penis in her vagina, he told her to get up and sit on the couch. Id. at 26. Then, James asked her why she did not like him. Id. at 26-27. Afterwards, T.H. got dressed for school and James drove her to school. Id. at 27. During the ride, James asked T.H. who she was going to tell and if she wanted him to buy her a new case for her cell phone. <u>Id.</u> at 28. T.H.'s phone case broke when it fell in her bedroom. Id. As soon as T.H. arrived at school she texted her sister Denise and told her what happened. Id. at 29. Denise then told their mother what happened. Id. Theresa, T.H.'s mother, immediately called T.H. who was still at school. Id. at 93. T.H. picked up the phone crying. Id. Because she was in class, T.H.'s teacher told her to hang up the phone. Id. Theresa asked to speak to T.H.'s teacher and had T.H. sent to the office where Theresa could pick her up. Id. When Theresa picked T.H. up from school, T.H. was crying so hard that she was "gasping for air." Id. at 96-97. Once T.H. and Theresa were alone in their car, T.H. was able to tell Theresa what happened. Id. After T.H. told Theresa what happened, Theresa called James and told him what T.H. had said. Id. at 99-100. James accused T.H. of lying and asked Theresa where he could meet her. Id. at 100. She told James to meet her at the house. Id. When James came to the house, Theresa met him outside. Id. at 101. James continued accusing T.H. of lying. Id. T.H. looked James in the face and told him exactly what she told Theresa he had done to her. Id. at 100. After her conversation with James, Theresa called the police. Id. at 102.

Theresa testified that she had spoken to James earlier that day because he was supposed to pay her power bill for her. <u>Id.</u> at 88-89. However, despite James's contentions that he went to her house to drop off his dog and pick up the power bill, Theresa testified that she never gave James permission to go into her home that day for either purpose. <u>Id.</u> at 87-89. Theresa testified that there was no reason whatsoever for James to go to her home. <u>Id.</u> at 89.

Theresa testified that after the incident, T.H. did not want to stay at the house so they stayed with family members for a few weeks. <u>Id.</u> at 107-08. About a week after the assault, Theresa went to the home to get more clothes and shoes. <u>Id.</u> at 106-07. While looking under her bed for her shoes she found a box of rubber gloves, exactly the kind that T.H. had described James wearing during the assault. <u>Id.</u> Theresa contacted police who collected the gloves. <u>Id.</u> at 109. Theresa testified that T.H.'s behavior drastically changed after the assault; she did not want to sleep at home and Theresa had to sleep in the living room with her once they did return home. <u>Id.</u> at 109-11.

Dr. Theresa Vergara ("Dr. Vergara") examined T.H. after the assault. <u>Id.</u> at 155. Dr. Vergara testified that T.H. had no bruising to the externa genitalia. <u>Id.</u> at 158. However, there was generalized swelling to the introitus (vaginal opening), which could be caused from trauma. <u>Id.</u> at 158-59. Dr. Vergara testified that while other things, such as a urinary tract infection, could cause the swelling, the findings were consistent with T.H.'s complaint of sexual assault. <u>Id.</u> at 159. However, Dr. Vergara testified that the findings were categorized as "non-specific findings." <u>Id.</u> at 165.

At trial, pursuant to the State's Motion to Admit Other Bad ACTS, N.F. also testified about James sexually assaulting her. Id. at 187-207. N.F. met James when she was a little girl because he was married to her mother Tanisha. Id. at 187. Tanisha and James divorced when N.F. was twelve years old after he was caught touching her inappropriately. Id. at 189. One night when N.F. was about twelve years old, James came into her bedroom around midnight. Id. at 192. James took N.F. to another room and told her that he felt like "someone was touching her." Id. James instructed N.F. to lay on the bed and removed her pants. Id. at 194. Then, James inserted his finger in her vagina. Id. at 194. N.F. told James to stop, which he did. Id. Once James stopped, he told N.F. to go back to her room. Id. During another incident, James entered N.F. out of her bed and took her into the same room as the previous time. Id. at 200-01. James put N.F. on the bed and pulled her pants off. Id. at 201. N.F. could feel James's penis on her leg. Id. N.F. kept telling James to stop. Id. When N.F. tried to yell for help,

James threatened to kill her family. Id. James tried inserting his penis in N.F.'s vagina but was unsuccessful because it would not fit. Id. at 202. James then inserted his penis in N.F.'s butt. Id. N.F. again asked James to stop, which he did. Id.

During a third incident, N.F. was in the house with only James and her younger sister; her mother had left for work. Id. at 194. James was chasing N.F. around the house and they ended up in the living room. Id. at 195. N.F. and James started to play wrestle but James began to get aggressive. Id. Every time N.F. tried to get up James would pull her back down. Id. N.F. kept telling James to leave her alone. Id. Eventually James let her go and told her to get in the shower. Id. N.F. stated that she did not want to get in the shower but James insisted stating that he was not going to do anything to her. <u>Id.</u> N.F. went into the bathroom and James locked the door stating, "See, I'm not going to do anything to you." Id. at 196. While N.F. was in the shower she heard a pop at the door and saw James enter the bathroom. Id. James told her to put her foot on top of the bathtub. Id. N.F. refused and James kept persisting. Id. Scared that James might hurt her, N.F. put her foot on top of the bathtub and James inserted his fingers into her vagina. Id. at 197. When N.F. tried calling for help, James put his hands on her neck to try to shut her up. Id. at 198. Afterwards, James instructed N.F. to get out of the shower. Id. at 197. James picked N.F. up and put her on the floor on her back. Id. James got on top of her and attempted to insert his penis into her vagina but was unable to because it would not fit. Id. During the last incident, James entered N.F.'s room while she was laying on her bed. Id. at 203. James attempted to pull her pants off. Id. at 203-04. While James was trying to pull her pants off, his mother Carol came into N.F.'s bedroom. Id. at 204. James jumped off the bed and hid in N.F.'s closet. Id. at 205. Carol began screaming to Tanisha that James was touching N.F. Id. Tanisha told James to get out of her house and took N.F. to Southwest Medical, where N.F. eventually talked to the police. Id. at 207.

26

//

//

//

//

PETITION CLAIMS

2 3

4

I.

1

JAMES RECEIVED EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

The Sixth Amendment provides that, "[I]n all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right...to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense." U.S. Const. amend. VI. It has long been recognized that "the right to counsel is the right to the effective assistance of counsel." Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 706-707 (1984); see also State v. Love, 109 Nev. 1136, 1138, 865 P.2d 322, 323 (1993). To prove ineffectiveness, a claimant must show that his counsel was deficient and that that deficiency prejudiced the defense. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687 (1984); Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980, 987, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (1996). Deficient performance is representation that falls below an objective standard of reasonableness. Kirksey, 112 Nev. at 987, 923 P.2d at 1107. To show prejudice, the claimant must show a reasonable probability that but for counsel's errors the result of the proceeding would have been different. Id. This Court may consider either prong of the Strickland test, in any order, and need not consider both when a defendant's showing on either prong is insufficient. Kirksey, 112 Nev. at 987, 923 P.2d at 1107. "Effective counsel does not mean errorless counsel, but rather counsel whose assistance is '[w]ithin the range of competence demanded of attorneys in criminal cases." Jackson v. Warden, Nevada State Prison, 91 Nev. 430, 432, 537 P.2d 473, 474 (1975), quoting McMann v. Richardson, 397 U.S. 759, 771 (1970).

The role of a reviewing court considering allegations of ineffective assistance is "not to pass upon the merits of the action not taken but to determine whether, under the particular facts and circumstances of the case, trial counsel failed to render reasonably effective assistance." <u>Donovan v. State</u>, 94 Nev. 671, 675, 584 P.2d 708, 711 (1978), <u>citing Cooper v. Fitzharris</u>, 551 F.2d 1162, 1166 (9th Cir. 1977).

Even if a defendant can demonstrate that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, he must still demonstrate prejudice and show a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the result of the trial would have been different. <u>McNelton v. State</u>, 115 Nev. 396, 403, 990 P.2d 1263, 1268 (1999) (citing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S. Ct. at 2064).

Importantly, when raising a Strickland claim, the defendant bears the burden to demonstrate the underlying facts by a preponderance of the evidence. Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004). When ineffective assistance of counsel claims are asserted in a petition for post-conviction relief, the claims must be supported with specific factual allegations, which if true, would entitle the petitioner to relief. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). "Bare" and "naked" allegations are not sufficient, nor are those belied and repelled by the record. Id. NRS 34.735(6) states in relevant part, "[Petitioner] *must* allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition[.]...Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed." (emphasis added).

A. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Retaining An Expert Witness

James claims that counsel was ineffective for failing to retain an expert witness. However, this claim is denied because counsel was reasonably able to attack Dr. Vergara's expert testimony through cross-examination after requesting and reviewing the medical evidence. Transcript: Day 2, Vol II, 151-82. Bryan Cox, James's initial attorney, testified at James's evidentiary hearing that he has hired expert witnesses in past sexual assault cases but did not believe this case turned on physical evidence, and that he believed he could get Dr. Vergara to say that her findings were not conclusive and had an alternative explanation. Reporter's Transcript ("RT") 6-7, 13, 15. Indeed, Dr. Vergara acknowledged as much on cross-examination. Such was a reasonable strategic decision.

Further, assuming *arguendo* that counsel was able to retain an expert who would have been able to testify to as Dr. Adams did at the evidentiary hearing, this Court nonetheless finds James still cannot show a reasonable likelihood of a different outcome at trial based on the other overwhelming evidence against him. See McNelton, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. As stated by Cox, this case did not hinge on physical findings by Dr. Vergara and the testimony of Defendant's other bad acts by N.H. was far more probative. James completely ignores N.F.'s damning testimony. N.F., just like T.H., met James because of his relationship with her mother. <u>Transcript: Day 2, Vol II</u> at 187. Just like T.H., James sexually assaulted N.F. when her mother was at work. <u>Id.</u> at 194-98. Just like T.H., James tried choking N.F. to prevent her from getting help. <u>Id.</u> at 198. Just like T.H., James inserted his fingers in N.F.'s vagina and tried putting his penis in her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 192-202. In N.F.'s case, James was caught touching N.F. inappropriately by his own mother. <u>Id.</u> at 207. Thus, even if trial counsel had consulted and/or spoken to a medical expert and entirely neutralized the State's expert, the overwhelming corroboration of T.H's testimony by evidence related to N.F.'s sexual abuse would have led to the same result. Based on the evidence presented at trial, James fails to demonstrate a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's decision not to retain an expert, the result of the trial would have been different. Therefore, James fails to demonstrate that counsel was ineffective or that he suffered prejudiced. Accordingly, James's claim is denied.

B. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Challenging The Admission Of The Latex Gloves

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for failing to challenge the admission of the latex gloves. James fails to show how a motion or objection to exclude the gloves would have been meritorious and James's claim that the evidence was more prejudicial than probative is unsupported by law.

The threshold question for the admissibility of evidence is relevance. <u>Brown v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 164, 168, 807 P.2d 1379, 1382 (1991). Under NRS 48.035(1), relevant evidence is inadmissible "if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice." Because all evidence against a defendant will on some level "prejudice" (i.e., harm) the defense, NRS 48.035(1) focuses on "unfair" prejudice. <u>State v. Eighth Judicial Dist.</u> <u>Court of Nev.</u>, 127 Nev. __, __, 267 P.3d 777, 781 (2011). "By requiring the prejudicial effect of evidence to 'substantially outweigh' its probative value, NRS 48.035 implies a favoritism toward admissibility." <u>Schlotfeldt v. Charter Hosp. of Las Vegas</u>, 112 Nev. 42, 45-46, 910 P.2d 271, 273 (1996).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

11

 \parallel

In this case, the gloves were relevant as they tended to corroborate T.H.'s recounting of the assault and the State laid sufficient foundation for their introduction. James neglects to provide any explanation why the evidence of the gloves was prejudicial. This Court finds the evidence did not appeal to the emotional tendencies of the jury. Rather, the jury was able to evaluate the evidence and make its own determination and inference regarding the gloves. Accordingly, any objection to the admissibility of the gloves would have been futile. Ennis, 122 Nev. at 706, 137 P.3d at 1103. Furthermore, as demonstrated by James's own exhibits, counsel investigated the gloves. See, Defense Exhibit 7, James 0089. Thus, any tactical decisions taken after investigation are unchallengeable. Dawson, 108 Nev. at 117, 825 P.2d at 596. Therefore, Defendant's claim is denied.

C. Counsel Was Not Ineffective In Investigating

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for failing to conduct adequate investigation. The Nevada Supreme Court has made it clear that a defendant who contends that his attorney was ineffective because he did not adequately investigate the case must show how a better investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome probable. Molina v. State, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d, 533, 538 (2004).

In this claim, James makes nothing more than a bare allegation that counsel failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. <u>Hargrove</u>, 100 Nev. at 502, 686 P.2d at 225. Cox testified that he would not do anything differently if he had the opportunity to do the trial again. <u>RT</u> at 18. James fails to demonstrate what further investigation counsel should have done, much less how that investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome. Such a bare allegation does not warrant relief. Therefore, James's claim is denied.

To the extent that James claims counsel was ineffective for not following up on his investigator's conversation with Theresa regarding the latex gloves, such a claim is without merit and denied. James fails to demonstrate what further investigation would have revealed and how it would have rendered a more favorable outcome. Similarly, James's claim that counsel was ineffective for not cross-examining Theresa about her statement to the defense investigator regarding where the gloves were found is meritless and denied. First, James erroneously claims that Theresa told the investigator she found the gloves under her kitchen sink. Theresa stated that, "police seized a box of white latex gloves from under her bathroom sink." <u>See Defense Exhibit 9</u>, James 0091. Second, trial counsel has the "immediate and ultimate responsibility of deciding if and when to object, which witnesses, if any, to call, and what defenses to develop." <u>Rhyne v. State</u>, 118 Nev. 1, 8, 38 P.3d 163, 167 (2002). Accordingly, the cross-examination of witnesses is a strategic decision for counsel to make and this Court declines to challenge it. <u>Id.; Dawson 108 Nev. at 117, 825 P.2d at 596</u>.

Additionally, James fails to show a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's failure to cross-examine Theresa regarding her statement to the investigator, the result of the trial would have been different. <u>McNelton</u>, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. Accordingly, James fails to demonstrate that counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness or that he was prejudiced. Therefore, James's claim is denied.

D. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Objecting During The State's Closing Argument

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for not objecting to the State's use of a PowerPoint slide during closing argument containing James's booking photograph with the word "GUILTY" superimposed across it. James's reliance on <u>Watters v.</u> <u>State</u>, 129 Nev. ___, 313 P.3d 243 (2013), is misplaced. In <u>Watters</u>, the Nevada Supreme Court held that the State's use of a PowerPoint during *opening* statement that included a slide of defendant's booking photo with the word "GUILTY" superimposed across it constituted improper advocacy and undermined the presumption of innocence essential to a fair trial. <u>Id.</u> at ___, 313 P.3d at 249. However, in this case, unlike <u>Watters</u>, the photo was briefly used during the State's *closing argument*. Unlike opening statements, closing arguments are made after all the evidence has been presented and are an entirely appropriate occasion for argument. <u>See Morales v. State</u>, 122 Nev. 966, 972, 143 P.3d 463, 467 (2006) (finding that the State can contend during closing argument that the "presumption of innocence has been overcome"); <u>State v. Green</u>, 81 Nev. 173, 176, 400 P.2d 766, 767 (1965)("[A] prosecutor has the right to state fully his views as to what the evidence shows"). Moreover, in <u>Artiga-Morales v. State</u>, 122 Nev. 1000 P.2d 766, 767 (1965)("Example Provide Pr

the Nevada Supreme Court found no impropriety and prejudice of the sort demonstrated in <u>Watters</u>, where the State used defendant's photograph during closing argument with the word "GUILTY" superimposed on it. 130 Nev. ___, ___, 335 P.3d 179, 182 (2014). Further, James fails to demonstrate that the outcome of the trial would have been different had the jury not viewed the State's slide. James fails to proffer how he was

different had the jury not viewed the State's slide. James fails to proffer how he was prejudiced. <u>McNelton</u>, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. James makes nothing more than a bare conclusory statement that the prosecutor's visual proclamation of guilt affected the jury's verdict. As such, James's claim is a bare allegation that warrants no relief. <u>Hargrove</u>, 100 Nev. at 502, 686 P.2d at 225. Because James fails to establish that counsel was objectively unreasonable or that he was prejudiced by counsel's failure to object, this claim is denied.

II. THERE WAS NO CUMULATIVE ERROR

This Court denies James's claim that the cumulative error of his trial counsel violated his right of due process, equal protection, and effective assistance of counsel. Without expressly endorsing an approach for cumulative error in the context of ineffective assistance of counsel claims, the Nevada Supreme Court has acknowledged that other courts have held that "multiple deficiencies in counsel's performance may be cumulated for purposes of the prejudice prong of the <u>Strickland</u> test when the individual deficiencies otherwise would not meet the prejudice prong." <u>McConnell v. State</u>, 125 Nev. 243, 259 n.17, 212 P.3d 307, 318 n.17 (2009) (utilizing this approach to note that the defendant is not entitled to relief). However, the doctrine of cumulative error is strictly applied, and a finding of cumulative error is extraordinarily rare. <u>State v. Hester</u>, 979 P.2d 729, 733 (N.M. 1999); <u>Derden v. McNeel</u>, 978 F.2d 1453, 1461 (5th Cir. 1992). Cumulative error review should not be utilized in the post-conviction context. <u>Middleton v. Ruper</u>, 455 F.3d 838, 851 (8th Cir. 2006) cert. denied 549 U.S. 1134, 1275 S.Ct. 980 (2007) ("habeas petitioner cannot build a showing of prejudice on a series of errors, none of which would by itself meet the prejudice tests").

Even if cumulative error review were available, a defendant must first make a threshold showing that his counsel's performance was deficient and counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. <u>State v. Theil</u>, 655 N.W.2d 305, 323 (Wis. 2003); <u>State v. Sheahan</u>, 77 P.3d 956, 976 (Idaho 2003); <u>State v. Savo</u>, 108 P.3d 903, 916 (Alaska 2005); <u>State v. Maestas</u>, 299 P.3d 892, 990 (Utah 2012). In fact, logic dictates that cumulative error cannot exist where the defendant fails to show that any violation or deficiency existed under <u>Strickland</u>. <u>McConnell</u>, 125 Nev. at 259, 212 P.3d at 318; <u>United States v. Franklin</u>, 321 F.3d 1231, 1241 (9th Cir. 2003); <u>Turner v. Quarterman</u>, 481 F.3d 292, 301 (5th Cir. 2007); <u>Pearson v. State</u>, 12 P.3d 686, 692 (Wyo. 2000); <u>Hester</u>, 979 P.2d at 733. Further, in order to cumulate errors, the defendant must not only show that an error occurred regarding his counsel's representation, but that at least two errors occurred. <u>Rolle v. State</u>, 236 P.3d 259, 276-77 (Wyo. 2010); <u>Hooks v. Workman</u>, 689 F.3d 1148, 1194-95 (10th Cir. 2012).

James has failed to make a single showing that his counsel's representation was objectively unreasonable. Further, even if James had made such a showing, he has not shown that the cumulative effect of these errors was so prejudicial as to undermine the court's confidence in the outcome of his case. <u>Collins</u>, 742 F.3d at 542. Therefore, James's claim of cumulative error is without merit and is denied.

<u>ORDER</u>

THEREFORE, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus shall be, and is, DENIED. DATED this <u>4</u> day of October, 2016.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

STACEY. KOPEINS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391

	2 4
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 31ST day of
3	OCTOBER 2016, to:
4	MARGARET MCLETCHIE, ESQ. maggie@nvlitigation.com
5	
6	BY /s/ HOWARD CONRAD
7	BY <u>/s/ HOWARD CONRAD</u> Secretary for the District Attorney's Office Special Victims Unit
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
28	hjc/SVU
20	
	14

Howard Conrad

From:	Howard Conrad
Sent:	Monday, October 31, 2016 1:45 PM
То:	'Rose, Laura'
Cc:	'maggie@nvlitigation.com'
Subject:	10F09328-FCL-(James_Tyrone_10_03_2016)-001
Attachments:	10F09328-FCL-(James_Tyrone_10_03_2016)-001.pdf

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

 CASE NO:
 10C265506

 DEPT NO:
 XI

TYRONE JAMES, #1303556

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF

LAW AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING: OCTOBER 3, 2016 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

			Electronically Filed 11/09/2016 09:21:22 AM			
1	NEO		Alm & Elim			
2		CLERK OF THE COURT				
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
4						
5	TYRO	NE JAMES,	Case No: 10C265506			
6		Petitioner,	Dept No: XI			
7		VS.				
8	THE S	TATE OF NEVADA,				
9		Respondent,	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND			
10			ORDER			
11	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 8, 2016, the court entered a decision or order in this					
12	matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.					
13	You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is					
14						
15	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT					
16	/s/ Heather Ungermann					
17	Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk					
18						
19		CERTIFICA	TE OF MAILING			
20	I hereby certify that on this 9 day of November 2016. I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry in:					
21		The bin(s) located in the Regional Justice Cen				
22	22 Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office – Appellate Division-					
23		The United States mail addressed as follows:	Shall Managent M. Let Lie Tra			
24			Bridger Ave., Ste. 520 701 E. Bridger Ave., Ste. 520			
25		Indian Springs, NV 89070 Las Ve	gas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, NV 89101			
26		/s/ Heather Ungermann Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk				
27						
28						

	upper en concernante de la concernate de la concernante de la conc		•		
;	· · ORIGII	Electronically Filed 11/08/2016 10:03:37 AM			
1 2	FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		CLERK OF THE COURT		
3	I STACEY L. KOLLINS				
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391				
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500				
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff				
7	DISTRICT COURT				
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,				
10	Plaintiff,				
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	10C265506		
12	TYRONE JAMES,	DEPT NO:	XI		
13	#1303556	22171101			
14	Defendant.				
15	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF				
16	LAW AND ORDER				
17					
18	DATE OF HEARING: OCTOBER 3, 2016 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM				
19	THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable ELIZABETH				
20	GONZALEZ, District Judge, on the 3rd day of October, 2016; the Petitioner being present,				
21	represented by ALINA SHELL, ESQ.; the Respondent being represented by STEVEN B.				
22	WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, by and through STACEY L. KOLLINS, Chief				
23	Deputy District Attorney; and having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts,				
24	arguments of counsel and documents on file herein, the Court now therefore makes the				
25	following findings of fact and conclusions of law:				
26	//				
27					
28					
	-		1		

11/01

FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On June 23, 2010, TYRONE D. JAMES (hereinafter "James") was charged by way of Criminal Information with two counts of Sexual Assault With a Minor Under Sixteen Years of Age (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366); two counts of Open or Gross Lewdness (Gross Misdemeanor – NRS 201.210); and one count of Battery with Intent to Commit a Crime (Category A Felony – NRS 200.400).

On August 16, 2010, the State filed a Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts. On August 25, 2010, James filed his Opposition. On September 8, 2010, James filed a Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony that the Complaining Witness' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse. On September 10, 2010, the State filed its Opposition to James's Motion in open court and the District Court conducted a <u>Petrocelli</u> hearing regarding the bad acts motion. The District Court granted both motions.

On September 17, 2010, James filed a Motion to Reconsider Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts. The District Court denied James's motion on September 21, 2010.

James's jury trial commenced on September 21, 2010. On September 23, 2010, the jury found James guilty on all counts.

On January 19, 2011, James was sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections as follows: as to Count 1 – to a maximum term of life with a minimum parole eligibility after 25 years; as to Count 3 – to a maximum term of life with a minimum parole eligibility after 25 years, concurrent with Count 1; as to Count 5 – to a maximum term of Life with a Minimum parole eligibility after 2 years, concurrent with Counts 1 and 3. The Court further ordered a sentence of lifetime supervision to be imposed upon James's release from any term of probation, parole, or imprisonment. James received 250 days credit for time served. The Court dismissed Counts 2 and 4, as they were lesser-included offenses of Counts 1 and 3. Judgment of Conviction was filed February 9, 2011. On March 7, 2011, James filed a Notice of Appeal. On October 31, 2012, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order of Affirmance. Remittitur issued on November 26, 2012.

On March 14, 2013, James filed a post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Appoint Counsel. The State filed its Response to James's Petition on May 7, 2013. On May 20, 2013, Robert Langford Esq., was appointed as counsel. On September 4, 2015, James filed a Supplemental Petition for Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Supplement"). On January 15, 2016, James filed another Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Second Supplement"). On April 21, 2016, the State filed its Response to James's Second Supplement. On October 3, 2016, this Court heard sworn testimony from Bryan Cox, Esq., and Dr. Joyce Adams. This Court now orders that James's Petition be DENIED.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

On May 14, 2010, 15 – year-old T.H. was home alone sleeping when she awoke to find James in her home. <u>Transcript Re: Trial by Jury Day 2 – Volume II</u>, ("Transcript: Day 2, Vol II") filed April 29, 2011, 13-17. T.H. knew James because he was involved in a dating relationship with T.H.'s mother, Theresa Allen ("Theresa"). <u>Id.</u> at 8.

T.H. testified that while she was in her bedroom, she heard a noise and then James came into her bedroom and jumped on top of her. <u>Id.</u> at 17-19. When James jumped on top of T.H., she was trying to call her mother on her cell phone. <u>Id.</u> at 19. T.H.'s cell phone fell on the side of the bed and James picked it up and put it in his pocket. <u>Id.</u> T.H. then moved to her sister's bed, which was next to hers, and James again jumped on top of her and began to choke her. <u>Id.</u> at 20. When T.H. began to scream and cry, James told her to shut up or he would snap her neck. <u>Id.</u>

After James jumped on top of T.H., he took off her shirt and underwear and pulled her into the living room. <u>Id.</u> Once in the living room, James made T.H. lay on the floor and he sat on top of her. <u>Id.</u> at 21-22. While James was on top of T.H., he continued choking her. <u>Id.</u>

While James was on top of T.H. on the living room floor with his hand around her neck, he opened up T.H.'s legs and stuck his finger in her vagina. <u>Id.</u> T.H. noticed that James had a glove on the hand he used to digitally penetrate her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 22-23. James then pulled his penis out from his pants and rubbed it inside T.H.'s vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 24-26. T.H. could not see James's penis but she felt something rubbing the inside of her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 25.

T.H. testified that once James stopped rubbing his penis in her vagina, he told her to get up and sit on the couch. Id. at 26. Then, James asked her why she did not like him. Id. at 26-27. Afterwards, T.H. got dressed for school and James drove her to school. Id. at 27. During the ride, James asked T.H. who she was going to tell and if she wanted him to buy her a new case for her cell phone. <u>Id.</u> at 28. T.H.'s phone case broke when it fell in her bedroom. Id. As soon as T.H. arrived at school she texted her sister Denise and told her what happened. Id. at 29. Denise then told their mother what happened. Id. Theresa, T.H.'s mother, immediately called T.H. who was still at school. Id. at 93. T.H. picked up the phone crying. Id. Because she was in class, T.H.'s teacher told her to hang up the phone. Id. Theresa asked to speak to T.H.'s teacher and had T.H. sent to the office where Theresa could pick her up. Id. When Theresa picked T.H. up from school, T.H. was crying so hard that she was "gasping for air." Id. at 96-97. Once T.H. and Theresa were alone in their car, T.H. was able to tell Theresa what happened. Id. After T.H. told Theresa what happened, Theresa called James and told him what T.H. had said. Id. at 99-100. James accused T.H. of lying and asked Theresa where he could meet her. Id. at 100. She told James to meet her at the house. Id. When James came to the house, Theresa met him outside. Id. at 101. James continued accusing T.H. of lying. Id. T.H. looked James in the face and told him exactly what she told Theresa he had done to her. Id. at 100. After her conversation with James, Theresa called the police. Id. at 102.

Theresa testified that she had spoken to James earlier that day because he was supposed to pay her power bill for her. <u>Id.</u> at 88-89. However, despite James's contentions that he went to her house to drop off his dog and pick up the power bill, Theresa testified that she never gave James permission to go into her home that day for either purpose. <u>Id.</u> at 87-89. Theresa testified that there was no reason whatsoever for James to go to her home. <u>Id.</u> at 89.

Theresa testified that after the incident, T.H. did not want to stay at the house so they stayed with family members for a few weeks. <u>Id.</u> at 107-08. About a week after the assault, Theresa went to the home to get more clothes and shoes. <u>Id.</u> at 106-07. While looking under her bed for her shoes she found a box of rubber gloves, exactly the kind that T.H. had described James wearing during the assault. <u>Id.</u> Theresa contacted police who collected the gloves. <u>Id.</u> at 109. Theresa testified that T.H.'s behavior drastically changed after the assault; she did not want to sleep at home and Theresa had to sleep in the living room with her once they did return home. Id. at 109-11.

Dr. Theresa Vergara ("Dr. Vergara") examined T.H. after the assault. <u>Id.</u> at 155. Dr. Vergara testified that T.H. had no bruising to the externa genitalia. <u>Id.</u> at 158. However, there was generalized swelling to the introitus (vaginal opening), which could be caused from trauma. <u>Id.</u> at 158-59. Dr. Vergara testified that while other things, such as a urinary tract infection, could cause the swelling, the findings were consistent with T.H.'s complaint of sexual assault. <u>Id.</u> at 159. However, Dr. Vergara testified that the findings were categorized as "non-specific findings." <u>Id.</u> at 165.

At trial, pursuant to the State's Motion to Admit Other Bad ACTS, N.F. also testified about James sexually assaulting her. Id. at 187-207. N.F. met James when she was a little girl because he was married to her mother Tanisha. Id. at 187. Tanisha and James divorced when N.F. was twelve years old after he was caught touching her inappropriately. Id. at 189. One night when N.F. was about twelve years old, James came into her bedroom around midnight. Id. at 192. James took N.F. to another room and told her that he felt like "someone was touching her." Id. James instructed N.F. to lay on the bed and removed her pants. Id. at 194. Then, James inserted his finger in her vagina. Id. at 194. N.F. told James to stop, which he did. Id. Once James stopped, he told N.F. to go back to her room. Id. During another incident, James entered N.F. out of her bed and took her into the same room as the previous time. Id. at 200-01. James put N.F. on the bed and pulled her pants off. Id. at 201. N.F. could feel James's penis on her leg. Id. N.F. kept telling James to stop. Id. When N.F. tried to yell for help,

James threatened to kill her family. Id. James tried inserting his penis in N.F.'s vagina but was unsuccessful because it would not fit. Id. at 202. James then inserted his penis in N.F.'s butt. Id. N.F. again asked James to stop, which he did. Id.

During a third incident, N.F. was in the house with only James and her younger sister; her mother had left for work. Id. at 194. James was chasing N.F. around the house and they ended up in the living room. Id. at 195. N.F. and James started to play wrestle but James began to get aggressive. Id. Every time N.F. tried to get up James would pull her back down. Id. N.F. kept telling James to leave her alone. Id. Eventually James let her go and told her to get in the shower. Id. N.F. stated that she did not want to get in the shower but James insisted stating that he was not going to do anything to her. <u>Id.</u> N.F. went into the bathroom and James locked the door stating, "See, I'm not going to do anything to you." Id. at 196. While N.F. was in the shower she heard a pop at the door and saw James enter the bathroom. Id. James told her to put her foot on top of the bathtub. Id. N.F. refused and James kept persisting. Id. Scared that James might hurt her, N.F. put her foot on top of the bathtub and James inserted his fingers into her vagina. Id. at 197. When N.F. tried calling for help, James put his hands on her neck to try to shut her up. Id. at 198. Afterwards, James instructed N.F. to get out of the shower. Id. at 197. James picked N.F. up and put her on the floor on her back. Id. James got on top of her and attempted to insert his penis into her vagina but was unable to because it would not fit. Id. During the last incident, James entered N.F.'s room while she was laying on her bed. Id. at 203. James attempted to pull her pants off. Id. at 203-04. While James was trying to pull her pants off, his mother Carol came into N.F.'s bedroom. Id. at 204. James jumped off the bed and hid in N.F.'s closet. Id. at 205. Carol began screaming to Tanisha that James was touching N.F. Id. Tanisha told James to get out of her house and took N.F. to Southwest Medical, where N.F. eventually talked to the police. Id. at 207.

26

//

//

//

//

PETITION CLAIMS

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I.

1

JAMES RECEIVED EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

The Sixth Amendment provides that, "[I]n all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right...to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense." U.S. Const. amend. VI. It has long been recognized that "the right to counsel is the right to the effective assistance of counsel." Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 706-707 (1984); see also State v. Love, 109 Nev. 1136, 1138, 865 P.2d 322, 323 (1993). To prove ineffectiveness, a claimant must show that his counsel was deficient and that that deficiency prejudiced the defense. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687 (1984); Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980, 987, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (1996). Deficient performance is representation that falls below an objective standard of reasonableness. Kirksey, 112 Nev. at 987, 923 P.2d at 1107. To show prejudice, the claimant must show a reasonable probability that but for counsel's errors the result of the proceeding would have been different. Id. This Court may consider either prong of the Strickland test, in any order, and need not consider both when a defendant's showing on either prong is insufficient. Kirksey, 112 Nev. at 987, 923 P.2d at 1107. "Effective counsel does not mean errorless counsel, but rather counsel whose assistance is '[w]ithin the range of competence demanded of attorneys in criminal cases." Jackson v. Warden, Nevada State Prison, 91 Nev. 430, 432, 537 P.2d 473, 474 (1975), quoting McMann v. Richardson, 397 U.S. 759, 771 (1970).

The role of a reviewing court considering allegations of ineffective assistance is "not to pass upon the merits of the action not taken but to determine whether, under the particular facts and circumstances of the case, trial counsel failed to render reasonably effective assistance." <u>Donovan v. State</u>, 94 Nev. 671, 675, 584 P.2d 708, 711 (1978), <u>citing Cooper v. Fitzharris</u>, 551 F.2d 1162, 1166 (9th Cir. 1977).

Even if a defendant can demonstrate that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, he must still demonstrate prejudice and show a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the result of the trial would have been different. <u>McNelton v. State</u>, 115 Nev. 396, 403, 990 P.2d 1263, 1268 (1999) (citing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S. Ct. at 2064).

Importantly, when raising a Strickland claim, the defendant bears the burden to demonstrate the underlying facts by a preponderance of the evidence. Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004). When ineffective assistance of counsel claims are asserted in a petition for post-conviction relief, the claims must be supported with specific factual allegations, which if true, would entitle the petitioner to relief. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). "Bare" and "naked" allegations are not sufficient, nor are those belied and repelled by the record. Id. NRS 34.735(6) states in relevant part, "[Petitioner] *must* allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition[.]...Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed." (emphasis added).

A. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Retaining An Expert Witness

James claims that counsel was ineffective for failing to retain an expert witness. However, this claim is denied because counsel was reasonably able to attack Dr. Vergara's expert testimony through cross-examination after requesting and reviewing the medical evidence. Transcript: Day 2, Vol II, 151-82. Bryan Cox, James's initial attorney, testified at James's evidentiary hearing that he has hired expert witnesses in past sexual assault cases but did not believe this case turned on physical evidence, and that he believed he could get Dr. Vergara to say that her findings were not conclusive and had an alternative explanation. Reporter's Transcript ("RT") 6-7, 13, 15. Indeed, Dr. Vergara acknowledged as much on cross-examination. Such was a reasonable strategic decision.

Further, assuming *arguendo* that counsel was able to retain an expert who would have been able to testify to as Dr. Adams did at the evidentiary hearing, this Court nonetheless finds James still cannot show a reasonable likelihood of a different outcome at trial based on the other overwhelming evidence against him. See McNelton, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. As stated by Cox, this case did not hinge on physical findings by Dr. Vergara and the testimony of Defendant's other bad acts by N.H. was far more probative. James completely ignores N.F.'s damning testimony. N.F., just like T.H., met James because of his relationship with her mother. <u>Transcript: Day 2, Vol II</u> at 187. Just like T.H., James sexually assaulted N.F. when her mother was at work. <u>Id.</u> at 194-98. Just like T.H., James tried choking N.F. to prevent her from getting help. <u>Id.</u> at 198. Just like T.H., James inserted his fingers in N.F.'s vagina and tried putting his penis in her vagina. <u>Id.</u> at 192-202. In N.F.'s case, James was caught touching N.F. inappropriately by his own mother. <u>Id.</u> at 207. Thus, even if trial counsel had consulted and/or spoken to a medical expert and entirely neutralized the State's expert, the overwhelming corroboration of T.H's testimony by evidence related to N.F.'s sexual abuse would have led to the same result. Based on the evidence presented at trial, James fails to demonstrate a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's decision not to retain an expert, the result of the trial would have been different. Therefore, James fails to demonstrate that counsel was ineffective or that he suffered prejudiced. Accordingly, James's claim is denied.

B. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Challenging The Admission Of The Latex Gloves

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for failing to challenge the admission of the latex gloves. James fails to show how a motion or objection to exclude the gloves would have been meritorious and James's claim that the evidence was more prejudicial than probative is unsupported by law.

The threshold question for the admissibility of evidence is relevance. <u>Brown v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 164, 168, 807 P.2d 1379, 1382 (1991). Under NRS 48.035(1), relevant evidence is inadmissible "if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice." Because all evidence against a defendant will on some level "prejudice" (i.e., harm) the defense, NRS 48.035(1) focuses on "unfair" prejudice. <u>State v. Eighth Judicial Dist.</u> <u>Court of Nev.</u>, 127 Nev. __, __, 267 P.3d 777, 781 (2011). "By requiring the prejudicial effect of evidence to 'substantially outweigh' its probative value, NRS 48.035 implies a favoritism toward admissibility." <u>Schlotfeldt v. Charter Hosp. of Las Vegas</u>, 112 Nev. 42, 45-46, 910 P.2d 271, 273 (1996).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

11

 \parallel

In this case, the gloves were relevant as they tended to corroborate T.H.'s recounting of the assault and the State laid sufficient foundation for their introduction. James neglects to provide any explanation why the evidence of the gloves was prejudicial. This Court finds the evidence did not appeal to the emotional tendencies of the jury. Rather, the jury was able to evaluate the evidence and make its own determination and inference regarding the gloves. Accordingly, any objection to the admissibility of the gloves would have been futile. Ennis, 122 Nev. at 706, 137 P.3d at 1103. Furthermore, as demonstrated by James's own exhibits, counsel investigated the gloves. See, Defense Exhibit 7, James 0089. Thus, any tactical decisions taken after investigation are unchallengeable. Dawson, 108 Nev. at 117, 825 P.2d at 596. Therefore, Defendant's claim is denied.

C. Counsel Was Not Ineffective In Investigating

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for failing to conduct adequate investigation. The Nevada Supreme Court has made it clear that a defendant who contends that his attorney was ineffective because he did not adequately investigate the case must show how a better investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome probable. Molina v. State, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d, 533, 538 (2004).

In this claim, James makes nothing more than a bare allegation that counsel failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. <u>Hargrove</u>, 100 Nev. at 502, 686 P.2d at 225. Cox testified that he would not do anything differently if he had the opportunity to do the trial again. <u>RT</u> at 18. James fails to demonstrate what further investigation counsel should have done, much less how that investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome. Such a bare allegation does not warrant relief. Therefore, James's claim is denied.

To the extent that James claims counsel was ineffective for not following up on his investigator's conversation with Theresa regarding the latex gloves, such a claim is without merit and denied. James fails to demonstrate what further investigation would have revealed and how it would have rendered a more favorable outcome. Similarly, James's claim that counsel was ineffective for not cross-examining Theresa about her statement to the defense investigator regarding where the gloves were found is meritless and denied. First, James erroneously claims that Theresa told the investigator she found the gloves under her kitchen sink. Theresa stated that, "police seized a box of white latex gloves from under her bathroom sink." <u>See Defense Exhibit 9</u>, James 0091. Second, trial counsel has the "immediate and ultimate responsibility of deciding if and when to object, which witnesses, if any, to call, and what defenses to develop." <u>Rhyne v. State</u>, 118 Nev. 1, 8, 38 P.3d 163, 167 (2002). Accordingly, the cross-examination of witnesses is a strategic decision for counsel to make and this Court declines to challenge it. <u>Id.; Dawson 108 Nev. at 117, 825 P.2d at 596</u>.

Additionally, James fails to show a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's failure to cross-examine Theresa regarding her statement to the investigator, the result of the trial would have been different. <u>McNelton</u>, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. Accordingly, James fails to demonstrate that counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness or that he was prejudiced. Therefore, James's claim is denied.

D. Counsel Was Reasonably Effective In Not Objecting During The State's Closing Argument

This Court denies James's claim that counsel was ineffective for not objecting to the State's use of a PowerPoint slide during closing argument containing James's booking photograph with the word "GUILTY" superimposed across it. James's reliance on <u>Watters v.</u> <u>State</u>, 129 Nev. ___, 313 P.3d 243 (2013), is misplaced. In <u>Watters</u>, the Nevada Supreme Court held that the State's use of a PowerPoint during *opening* statement that included a slide of defendant's booking photo with the word "GUILTY" superimposed across it constituted improper advocacy and undermined the presumption of innocence essential to a fair trial. <u>Id.</u> at ___, 313 P.3d at 249. However, in this case, unlike <u>Watters</u>, the photo was briefly used during the State's *closing argument*. Unlike opening statements, closing arguments are made after all the evidence has been presented and are an entirely appropriate occasion for argument. <u>See Morales v. State</u>, 122 Nev. 966, 972, 143 P.3d 463, 467 (2006) (finding that the State can contend during closing argument that the "presumption of innocence has been overcome"); <u>State v. Green</u>, 81 Nev. 173, 176, 400 P.2d 766, 767 (1965)("[A] prosecutor has the right to state fully his views as to what the evidence shows"). Moreover, in <u>Artiga-Morales v. State</u>, 122 Nev. 1000 P.2d 766, 767 (1965)("Example Provide Pr

the Nevada Supreme Court found no impropriety and prejudice of the sort demonstrated in <u>Watters</u>, where the State used defendant's photograph during closing argument with the word "GUILTY" superimposed on it. 130 Nev. ___, ___, 335 P.3d 179, 182 (2014). Further, James fails to demonstrate that the outcome of the trial would have been different had the jury not viewed the State's slide. James fails to proffer how he was prejudiced. McNelton, 115 Nev. at 403, 990 P.2d at 1268. James makes nothing more than a

bare conclusory statement that the prosecutor's visual proclamation of guilt affected the jury's verdict. As such, James's claim is a bare allegation that warrants no relief. <u>Hargrove</u>, 100 Nev. at 502, 686 P.2d at 225. Because James fails to establish that counsel was objectively unreasonable or that he was prejudiced by counsel's failure to object, this claim is denied.

II. THERE WAS NO CUMULATIVE ERROR

This Court denies James's claim that the cumulative error of his trial counsel violated his right of due process, equal protection, and effective assistance of counsel. Without expressly endorsing an approach for cumulative error in the context of ineffective assistance of counsel claims, the Nevada Supreme Court has acknowledged that other courts have held that "multiple deficiencies in counsel's performance may be cumulated for purposes of the prejudice prong of the <u>Strickland</u> test when the individual deficiencies otherwise would not meet the prejudice prong." <u>McConnell v. State</u>, 125 Nev. 243, 259 n.17, 212 P.3d 307, 318 n.17 (2009) (utilizing this approach to note that the defendant is not entitled to relief). However, the doctrine of cumulative error is strictly applied, and a finding of cumulative error is extraordinarily rare. <u>State v. Hester</u>, 979 P.2d 729, 733 (N.M. 1999); <u>Derden v. McNeel</u>, 978 F.2d 1453, 1461 (5th Cir. 1992). Cumulative error review should not be utilized in the post-conviction context. <u>Middleton v. Ruper</u>, 455 F.3d 838, 851 (8th Cir. 2006) cert. denied 549 U.S. 1134, 1275 S.Ct. 980 (2007) ("habeas petitioner cannot build a showing of prejudice on a series of errors, none of which would by itself meet the prejudice tests").

Even if cumulative error review were available, a defendant must first make a threshold showing that his counsel's performance was deficient and counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. <u>State v. Theil</u>, 655 N.W.2d 305, 323 (Wis. 2003); <u>State v. Sheahan</u>, 77 P.3d 956, 976 (Idaho 2003); <u>State v. Savo</u>, 108 P.3d 903, 916 (Alaska 2005); <u>State v. Maestas</u>, 299 P.3d 892, 990 (Utah 2012). In fact, logic dictates that cumulative error cannot exist where the defendant fails to show that any violation or deficiency existed under <u>Strickland</u>. <u>McConnell</u>, 125 Nev. at 259, 212 P.3d at 318; <u>United States v. Franklin</u>, 321 F.3d 1231, 1241 (9th Cir. 2003); <u>Turner v. Quarterman</u>, 481 F.3d 292, 301 (5th Cir. 2007); <u>Pearson v. State</u>, 12 P.3d 686, 692 (Wyo. 2000); <u>Hester</u>, 979 P.2d at 733. Further, in order to cumulate errors, the defendant must not only show that an error occurred regarding his counsel's representation, but that at least two errors occurred. <u>Rolle v. State</u>, 236 P.3d 259, 276-77 (Wyo. 2010); <u>Hooks v. Workman</u>, 689 F.3d 1148, 1194-95 (10th Cir. 2012).

James has failed to make a single showing that his counsel's representation was objectively unreasonable. Further, even if James had made such a showing, he has not shown that the cumulative effect of these errors was so prejudicial as to undermine the court's confidence in the outcome of his case. <u>Collins</u>, 742 F.3d at 542. Therefore, James's claim of cumulative error is without merit and is denied.

<u>ORDER</u>

THEREFORE, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus shall be, and is, DENIED. DATED this <u>4</u> day of October, 2016.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

STACEY . KOLEINS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391

	2 4
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 31ST day of
3	OCTOBER 2016, to:
4	MARGARET MCLETCHIE, ESQ. maggie@nvlitigation.com
5	
6	BY /s/ HOWARD CONRAD
7	BY <u>/s/ HOWARD CONRAD</u> Secretary for the District Attorney's Office Special Victims Unit
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
28	hjc/SVU
20	
	14

Howard Conrad

From:	Howard Conrad
Sent:	Monday, October 31, 2016 1:45 PM
То:	'Rose, Laura'
Cc:	'maggie@nvlitigation.com'
Subject:	10F09328-FCL-(James_Tyrone_10_03_2016)-001
Attachments:	10F09328-FCL-(James_Tyrone_10_03_2016)-001.pdf

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

 CASE NO:
 10C265506

 DEPT NO:
 XI

TYRONE JAMES, #1303556

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF

LAW AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING: OCTOBER 3, 2016 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 24, 2010
10C265506	State of Nevad	a	
100200000	VS		
		James	
June 24, 2010	1:30 PM	Initial Arraignment	INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT Court Clerk: Sandra Harrell Relief Clerk: Nicole McDevitt/nm Reporter/Recorder: Kiara Schmidt Heard By: Randall Weed
HEARD BY:		COURTROOM:	
COURT CLER	RK:		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES			
PRESENT:	Cox, Bryan A.	Attorney	
I KEOLINI.	Miller, James J.	Attorney	
	Public Defender	Attorney	
	Tyrone, James	Defendant	
	-	JOURNAL ENTRIES	
ORDERED, ma CUSTODY 8/17/10 8:30 A	NE ARRAIGNED, PLE atter set for trial. AM CALENDAR CALL	, (DEPT 5)	D THE 60-DAY RULE. COURT

8/23/10 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL (DEPT 5)

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 12, 2010
10C265506 State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	imes	
August 12, 2010 8:30 AM	Motion for Discovery	Defendant's Motion For Discovery
HEARD BY: Glass, Jackie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15A
COURT CLERK: Sandra Jeter		
RECORDER: Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:		
PARTIES PRESENT:Cox, Bryan A. Pandelis, Christopher Public Defender State of Nevada Tyrone, James	P. Attorney P. Attorney Attorney Plaintiff Defendant JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- Defendant TYRONE present in custody. Conference at the bench. Mr. Pandelis advised he provided Mr. Cox with alot of the requested discovery this morning. Further, he and his investigator ran a search on LMRS and found some additional police reports which will be provided to Mr. Cox later today. Mr. Cox just received the Preliminary Hearing transcript within the last couple of days and, in light of the last-minute discovery received, he is concerned that he may be ineffective if forced to go to trial on August 23 date; however, defendant invoked. Defendant acknowledged his attorney just received additional discovery but he does not wish to waive his right to a speedy trial. Colloquy. Motion GRANTED as all issues have been resolved. The Court FINDS that it is in the best interests of the defendant to RESET the TRIAL within 30 days as defendant is facing life sentences. Therefore, COURT ORDERED, current trial date VACATED and RESET.

CUSTODY

9/14/10 8:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

9/20/10 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL

Felony/Gross Misde	meanor	COURT MINUTES	August 26, 2010		
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone J	a ames			
August 26, 2010	8:30 AM	Motion to Admit Evidence	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts		
HEARD BY: Glass,	Jackie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15A		
	andra Jeter illie Jo Craig				
RECORDER: Rachelle Hamilton					
REPORTER:	REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:					
		JOURNAL ENTRIES			
- Deputy District Attorney Pandelis present for the State. Deputy Public Defender Cox present for Defendant. Defendant present.					
Counsel requested a Petrocelli Hearing. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for a Petrocelli Hearing. Trial date STANDS.					

CUSTODY

9/10/10 1:30 PM PETROCELLI HEARING

bjc

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 10, 2010
10C265506	State of Nevad <i>a</i> vs Tyrone J	ames	
September 10, 2	2010 1:30 PM	All Pending Motions	
HEARD BY: (Glass, Jackie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15A
COURT CLERI	K: Sandra Jeter		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Cox, Bryan A. Pandelis, Christopher State of Nevada	r P. Attorney Pl. Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- Defendant TYRONE present in custody.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE (currently set for 9/21/10 but heard this date): State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion In Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony That The Complaining Witness's Behavior Is Consistent With That Of A Victim Of Sexual Abuse FILED IN OPEN COURT. Colloquy and arguments. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED and the State is urged to caution their lay witnesses that they are not to give any testimony that the alleged victim's behavior was consistent with a victim of sexual abuse.

Mr. Pandelis advised that, based on the testimony today, there maybe new charges forthcoming in relation to the witness and the forensic interview is currently being scheduled. Mr. Cox acknowledged same and the COURT SO NOTED.

PETROCELLI HEARING: STATE'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR ACTS: Mr. Cox INVOKED the EXCLUSIONARY RULE and the COURT SO ORDERED. Defendant's mother present and left under protest. Nefertia Charles

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

SWORN and TESTIFIED. During testimony, Mr. Cox objected to proceeding without first receiving the report from the examination done on the witness in 2005 from Southwest Medical. Mr. Pandelis does not have a copy of that report. Arguments. Objection NOTED; request to continue, DENIED. Following the conclusion of the testimony, arguments by counsel. Court stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Mr. Pandelis requested a copy of the transcript from today. COURT SO ORDERED and the Court Recorder is DIRECTED to have it prepared by the end of next week.

Colloquy regarding the offer. Mr. Pandelis advised he will leave the offer open until Calendar Call. SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

Felony/Gross N	Aisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 14, 2010
10C265506	State of Nevada vs		
	Tyrone Ja	ames	
September 14,	2010 8:30 AM	Calendar Call	
HEARD BY:	Glass, Jackie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15A
COURT CLER	K: Sandra Jeter		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES			
PRESENT:	Cox, Bryan A.	Attorney	
	Pandelis, Christopher Public Defender		
	State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff	
	Tyrone, James	Defendant	
	Tyrone, junco	Defendant	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
withdraw the o	ffer advising the defend	5	ready. Mr. Pandelis moved to T SO ORDERED. FURTHER,
CUSTODY			
9/17/10 9:00 A	M OVERFLOW (V) - D	EPT. XVIII	

Chris Pandelis, DDA & Bryan Cox, DPD 8 - 10 witnesses; No OOS 2 - 3 days

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MIN	UTES	September 17, 2010
10C265506	State of Neva vs Tyrone	da James		
September 17, 2	2010 9:00 AM	Overflow		Overflow (5) C. Pandelis / B. Cox 2- 3 Days 8-10 Witnesses / No Out Of State Witnesses
HEARD BY: H	Barker, David	CO	URTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 11B
COURT CLERI	K: Sharon Chun			
RECORDER: Richard Kangas				
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Cox, Bryan A. Pandelis, Christoph State of Nevada Tyrone, James	er P.	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff Defendant	
		JOURNAL EN	TRIES	

- COURT ORDERED, Trial set to start in Dept 7 on 9/20/10 at 9:30 A.M. Mr. Cox requested an afternoon start; COURT DIRECTED counsel to meet with Dept 7 Chambers to reschedule if necessary.

CUSTODY

9/20/10 9:30 AM JURY TRIAL (DEPT 7)

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 21, 2010
10C265506	State of Nevad	a	
	VS		
	Tyrone	James	
September 21, 2010	9:00 AM	Motion to Reconsider	
HEARD BY: Bell, Li	nda Marie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Tin	na Hurd		
RECORDER: Renee	Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Stacy Kollins, DDA, and Christopher Pandelis, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.
Bryan Cox, DPD, and Daniel Page, DPD, present on behalf of Deft. James.
Deft. James not present. Court advised she has a couple of concerns. First, Court advised she does not believe it is appropriate practice, and she is not sure what authority she has, to overrule a ruling of another sitting District Court judge. That is the role of the Supreme Court. Second is the motion the Court received does not have any case law or legal citations so it does not provide any legal basis for her to reconsider. Court advised there are rules about when reconsideration is appropriate and those are not cited to. Finally, in reviewing the transcript, while the Court appreciates that Judge Glass probably has a different style, this Court does not believe there is anything in the transcript that shows she had any bias toward Deft. James. Her decision was based on the law. COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED. Mr. Cox advised they need to argue the limiting instruction. Court directed counsel to include that in their packet of instructions. Upon inquiry by Ms. Kollins, Court advised her judicial assistant does have the State's instructions. 9:14 a.m.-Off the record.

CUSTODY

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 21, 2010
10C265506	State of Nev	ada	
	VS		
	Tyrone	James	
September 21, 2010	9:30 AM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: Bell, L	inda Marie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Tina Hurd			
RECORDER: Renee	e Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Stacy Kollins, DDA, and Christopher Pandelis, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.
Bryan Cox, DPD, and Daniel Page, DPD, present with Deft. James.
9:20 A.M.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, colloquy regarding procedure. Counsel agreed to blind alternates.
9:45 a.m.--Jury venire present. Introductions by Court and Counsel. Clerk called roll of the venire; voir dire oath administered. Jury selection commenced. 12:03 p.m.--Jury venire admonished and excused for lunch, to return at 1:15 p.m. this afternoon.

1:15 P.M.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, colloquy regarding potential demonstrative exhibits. Mr. Pandelis advised he did not schedule any witnesses today and advised he believes jury selection will take well into the afternoon and then they have openings. Colloquy. 1:20 p.m.--Jury venire present. Jury selection continued. 3:40 p.m.--Fourteen (14) jurors selected and sworn. Court thanked and excused the remaining venire. Court read the opening charge to the Jury. Opening statements by Ms. Collins and Mr. Page. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) 5:02 p.m.--Court admonished the Jury and excused them for the evening, to return at 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Ms. Kollins advised she objected to the suggestion of lubricant without foundation and that was sustained, however, Mr. Cox cross-examined on that again. Mr. Cox argued he asked if he investigated regarding lubrication. Ms. Kollins argued, in her mind, lubrication and a lubricated glove are separate items. Now the Jury is

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

10C265506

left with the impression that item does not exist or that the child lied about it. Court advised what she has is it was not in the detective's report and he does not recall it being an issue in the case. Court stated she does not believe this was the witness to clear it up. Ms. Kollins advised Detective Tomaino is under her subpoena and she can recall him on a limited issue if necessary. 5:08 p.m.--Court adjourned.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO 9-22-10 10:00 AM

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 22, 2010
10C265506	State of Nevad	la	
	VS		
	Tyrone	James	
September 22, 2010	10:00 AM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: Bell, L	inda Marie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Ti	ina Hurd		
RECORDER: Rene	e Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Christopher Pandelis, DDA, and Stacy Kollins, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.

- Bryan Cox, DPD, and Daniel Page, DPD, present with Deft. James.

10:17 A.M.--Court reconvened with all present as before. Further testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) 10:47 a.m.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Cox advised the State made an allegation of a penetrating injury by Deft. James and argued he has the right to go into that argument. In the exam, the victim advised she was sexually active with her boyfriend. Ms. Kollins argued she was not active within the preceding 72 hours and argued that is straight Rape Shield law. Mr. Cox argued he was surprised in opening statements that there was a penetrating injury and is surprised by the testimony. Ms. Kollins argued swelling and redness is in the report which is consistent with a penetrating injury. Court read from statute. Further arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, the defense may NOT go into the victim's sexual history. 11:01 a.m.--Jury present. Further testimony and exhibits presented. 11:43 a.m.--Jury admonished and excused for lunch, to return at 1:00 p.m. this afternoon. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Deft. James advised he has written a number of questions down and counsel has refused to ask them and he feels they need to come out for the Jury. Court advised she will let Deft. speak with Mr. Cox about it. 11:45 a.m.--Court adjourned to hear Grand Jury Returns.

1:04 p.m.--Court reconvened with all present as before. Further testimony and exhibits presented.

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

2:44 p.m.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, conference at the bench. Court advised, as to the prior ruling, statute states when any part of a writing comes in, an attorney can introduce other parts of that writing. 3:06 p.m.--OUTSIDE PRESENCE, Juror #6-Jennifer Mills brought in. Court stated she understand the juror may know one of the prospective witnesses in the hall. Juror Mills advised it will not affect her ability to be fair and advised she was concerned with the witness being comfortable as she knows her teachers because of a program the witness is in. Court acknowledged. 3:08 p.m.--JURY PRESENT. Further testimony and exhibits presented. Conference at the bench. 4:43 p.m.--Court admonished the Jury and excused them for the evening, to return at 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court made a record that Ms. Charles became very emotional and Ms. Kollins approached her and asked if she was okay and did she need a break. Court advised there was no conversation regarding her testimony. Mr. Cox stated he did not realize the Court could hear and advised he wanted to at least hear what was being said. Court acknowledged. Court ADMONISHED Deft. James regarding his right to not be compelled to testify. Colloquy regarding jury instructions.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 9-23-10 9:30 AM

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 23, 2010
10C265506	State of Nev	ada	
	VS		
	Tyrone	James	
September 23, 2010	9:30 AM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: Bell, L	inda Marie	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Tina Hurd			
RECORDER: Renee	e Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Christopher Pandelis, DDA, and Stacy Kollins, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.

- Bryan Cox, DPD, and Daniel Page, DPD, present with Deft. James.

9:38 A.M.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Juror #1-Cedric Griffin brought in and questioned regarding whether he overheard anything this morning about the trial on his way to court, to which the juror indicated he did not. Juror excused to the hallway. Court advised, for the record, Amy Coffee, DPD, had asked Mr. Cox outside how his case was going. She did not realize a juror was around that early. Jury Instructions settled on the record. 9:45 a.m.--Jury present. Further testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) 10:25 a.m.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, colloquy regarding verdict forms. 10:42 a.m.- Court reconvened with all present as before. Both sides having rested, Court instructed the Jury. Closing arguments by counsel. Bailiff sworn to take charge of the Jury. Clerk selected the alternates by random drawing: Alternate #1: Juror #5 - Alisa Price Alternate #2: Juror #14 - Vernon Zobian, Jr. 12:16 P.M.--Jury retired to deliberate.

2:15 P.M.--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court addressed a question from the Jury. Ms. Kollins stated she thinks they are confused about which mom signed the consent to search what. Court and counsel reviewed Exhibit #2. Ms. Kollins stated the consent to search was for the van. The

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

10C265506

residence was searched on an oral consent to search. Court advised the response to the Jury will read: "Tahisha Scott signed the consent to search form for the van and not for the apartment at 207 N. Lamb." Response provided to the Jury. Off the record at 2:23 p.m.

3:09 P.M.--Court reconvened. Ms. Kollins and Mr. Page not present. Jury returned with VERDICTS as follow:

GUILTY of COUNTS 1 & 3 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16 (F). GUILTY of COUNTS 2 & 4 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS (GM).

GUILTY of COUNT 5 - BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT A CRIME (F).

Jury polled at request of Mr. Cox; 12 affirmed. Court thanked and excused the Jury. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, COURT ORDERED, matter referred to P&P and set for sentencing; Deft. to REMAIN IN CUSTODY.

CUSTODY

12-1-10 8:45 AM SENTENCING

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	December 01, 2010
10C265506	State of Nev	ada	
	v s Tyrone	James	
December 01, 2010	8:45 AM	Sentencing	
HEARD BY: Bell, L	inda Marie	COURTR	OOM: RJC Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Tina Hurd			
RECORDER: Rene	e Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Chris Pandelis, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.

- Bryan Cox, DPD, present with Deft. James.

Mr. Pandelis advised they need an amended PSI report. Mr. Cox advised they probably need 35 days and advised he was notified yesterday they left a count off. Colloquy. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED 45 days. Court requested Mr. Pandelis clearly note what needs to be amended.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 1-19-11 8:45 AM

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	January 19, 2011
10C265506	State of Nevada		
	vs Tyrone Ja	imes	
January 19, 2011	8:45 AM	Sentencing	
HEARD BY: Bell, Li	nda Marie	COURTROOM: RJC	C Courtroom 15C
COURT CLERK: Ti	na Hurd		
RECORDER: Renee	Vincent		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Christopher Pandelis, DDA, present for the State of Nevada.

- Bryan Cox, DPD, present with Deft. James.

Conference at the bench. Mr. Pandelis advised Counts 2 & 4 should be dismissed as they were intended to be lesser-included offenses of Counts 1 & 3. COURT ORDERED, Counts 2 & 4 DISMISSED. DEFT. JAMES ADJUDGED GUILTY OF COUNTS 1 & 3 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16 (F) and COUNT 5 - BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT A CRIME (F). Matter argued and submitted. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee and \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, Deft. SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: Count 1 - to a MAXIMUM term of LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY FIVE (25) YEARS;

Count 3 - to a MAXIMUM term of LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY FIVE (25) YEARS, CONCURRENT with Count 1;

Count 5 - to a MAXIMUM term of LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWO (2) YEARS, CONCURRENT with Counts 1 & 3.

250 DAYS credit for time served. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, a special SENTENCE OF LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of probation, parole or imprisonment and Deft. is to register as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within 48

10C265506

hours after sentencing or prior to release from custody.

Court advised, before Deft. is eligible for parole, a panel must certify Deft. does not represent a high risk to reoffend based on current provisions at the time. BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	May 13, 2013
10C265506	State of Nevac vs Tyrone	la James	
May 13, 2013	9:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
HEARD BY: (Gonzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLER	K: Dulce Romea Tia Everett		
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Kochevar, Brian J. State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- Deft not present, in custody at the Nevada Department of Corrections, Court ORDERED, matter			

- Deft not present, in custody at the Nevada Department of Corrections. Court ORDERED, matter CONTINUED one week for appointment of counsel. Drew Christensen, Esq., to be contacted to send someone for the appointment.

NDC

...CONTINUED TO: 5-20-13 9:00 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of the above minute order was distributed to Tyrone James, ID# 1063523, High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89070. / dr

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	May 20, 2013
10C265506	State of Nevad vs Tyrone	a James	
May 20, 2013	9:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
HEARD BY: Gonzalez, Elizabeth COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14C			RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLER	K: Dulce Romea		
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Giordani, John Langford, Robert L State of Nevada	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
JOURNAL ENTRIES			

- Deft not present, in custody at the Nevada Department of Corrections. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Langford CONFIRMED as counsel for Deft. Mr. Langford requested 30 more days to review the file before filing a supplemental brief.

NDC

....CONTINUED TO: 6-17-13 9:00 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of the above minute order was distributed to: Tyrone James, ID# 1063523, High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89070. / dr

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 17, 2013
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	mes	
June 17, 2013	9:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
HEARD BY: Gonzalez, Elizabeth		COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLE	RK: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Langford, Robert L Rhoades, Kristina A. State of Nevada	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		TOTIDNIAL ENTEDIEC	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Langford indicated he had not received the file from the Public Defender's officer, therefore, requested a continuance. Colloquy regarding briefing schedule. There being no objection. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED; SET for Status Check for stipulated briefing schedule.

7/19/13 9:00 AM PETITION FOR WRIT OF H.C.

7/19/13 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT	MINUTES	March 23, 2015
10C265506	State of Neva vs Tyrone	da James		
March 23, 2015	9:00 AM	Motion		Petitioner's Request for Extension of Time to File Supplemental Petition (Seventh Request)
HEARD BY: (Gonzalez, Elizabeth		COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK: Dulce Romea Adrienne Theeck Anntoinette Naumec-Miller				
RECORDER: Jill Hawkins				
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	James , Tyre Langford, Robert I Laurent, Christophe State of Nevada	L er J	Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff L ENTRIES	

- Deft not present, in custody at the Nevada Department of Corrections.

CONFERENCE AT BENCH. COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Request for Extension of Time GRANTED and per discussions with counsel a more realistic briefing schedule SET: Deft's supplement DUE September 4, 2015; State's response DUE October 24, 2015; Deft's reply DUE November 4, 2015; argument on the Petition RESET on November 18, 2015.

NDC

10C265506

11-18-15 9:00 AM PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

CLERK'S NOTE: Following proceedings, COURT ORDERED Deft transported for the November 18, 2015 hearing and State to prepare the transport order. A copy of the above minute order was placed in the attorney folder(s) of the District Attorney, attn. Christopher Laurent, and Robert Langford, Esq., counsel for Deft (Langford McLetchie). / dr 3-24-15

Felony/Gross	Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	April 20, 2015
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone J.	ames	
April 20, 2015	9:00 AM	Motion	Defendant's Motion for Order to Release Medical Records and LVMPD Reports
HEARD BY:	Gonzalez, Elizabeth	COURTRO	OM: RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLE	RK: Dulce Romea Phyllis Irby		
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Laurent, Christopher Rashbrook, Matthew State of Nevada		y y
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- Deft not pres	ent, in custody at the Ne	wada Department of Co	rections.
		·	ed they did not receive the State's ONTINUED for argument in 2 weeks.
NDC			
	0 AM DEFEND ID LVMPD REPORTS	ANT'S MOTION FOR O	RDER TO RELEASE MEDICAL
11-18-15 9:0	0 AM PETITIO	N FOR WRIT OF HABEA	AS CORPUS

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

Felony/Gross	Misdemeanor	COURT	MINUTES	May 27, 2015
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	nmes		
May 27, 2015	9:00 AM	Motion		
HEARD BY:	Gonzalez, Elizabeth		COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLE	RK: Kristen Brown			
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Langford, Robert L State of Nevada Sweetin, James R.		Attorney Plaintiff Attorney	
		TOTIDNIA		

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED. Court stated the CPS/DCFS records are to be provided for an in-camera review prior to them being released to the defense and in addition, if counsel would like the Court to review the medical records prior to them being released to the deft., the Court will but its inclination is to release them subject to a limitation of them being used for any other purpose. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to obtaining the records. Mr. Langford is to prepare the Orders. Court DIRECTED Mr. Sweetin to provide the records to the Court once he, or if he does receive them. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, matter SET for a status check for the in-camera review on its chamber calendar and no parties need to appear.

NDC

6/19/15 3:00 AM STATUS CHECK: IN-CAMERA REVIEW

Felony/Gros	s Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	June 19, 2015
10C265506	State of Nev vs Tyrone	ada James	
June 19, 201	5 3:00 AM	Status Check	
HEARD BY:	Gonzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM: R	JC Courtroom 14C
COURT CL	E RK: Dulce Romea		
RECORDER	K:		
REPORTER	:		
PARTIES PRESENT:			
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- Court notes	s no documents submit	ted. Matter CONTINUED for one w	eek.
6-26-15	CHAMBERS	STATUS CHECK	

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of the above minute order was distributed to parties via electronic mail. / dr

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 26, 2015
10C265506	State of Neva	da	
	VS		
	Tyrone	James	
June 26, 2015	3:00 AM	Status Check	
HEARD BY: Gon	zalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK:	Dulce Romea		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The Court completed the in camera review of redacted documents 001-021, MARKED as Court's Exhibit 1 and SEALED. (See worksheet.) These records are to be released to the D.A. and Ms. McLetchie contingent upon both maintaining the confidentiality of these records and execution of the Court's receipt. If any additional disclosure of the records is to be made, counsel may seek an additional order of the Court.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of the above minute order was distributed to Deputy District Attorney Ryan McDonald and Attorney Margaret McLetchie.

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	July 02, 2015
10C265506	State of Nevad vs Tyrone	la James	
July 02, 2015	3:00 PM	Minute Order	Minute Order re In Camera Review of Records from LVMPD
HEARD BY: Gon	zalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK:	Dulce Romea		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The Court completed the in camera review of records from LVMPD 022 - 143, MARKED collectively as Court's Exhibit 2 and SEALED. (See worksheet.) These records are to be RELEASED to the District Attorney and Ms. McLetchie. The release of the following records is contingent upon both maintaining the confidentiality of these records and execution of the Court's receipt given the confidential nature of the victim and/or medical information: 026 - 90. If any additional disclosure of the records is to be made, counsel may seek an additional order of the Court.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of the above minute order was distributed to Deputy District Attorney Ryan McDonald and Attorney Margaret McLetchie. / dr 7-2-15

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	September 14, 2015
10C265506 State of Nevada vs		da James	
September 14, 20	Tyrone 015 9:00 AM	Motion to Release	
HEARD BY: G	onzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK	Shelley Boyle		
RECORDER: J	ill Hawkins		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Burton, Chris State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff	
		ICUDNAL ENTEDIEC	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Charlotte Bible present on behalf of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD). Ms. Alina Shell present on behalf of Deft.

Deft. not present. Ms. Shell stated she is seeking to obtain photos taken by the doctor during the assault examination as her expert witness needs these to determine if what the doctors testified to appears in the photos. Colloquy on the photo's actual existence and how they may be obtained. Ms. Bible stated all records have been provided, she contacted the Detective again and he looked through his file; there are no other photos or video of the examination in the LVMPD file. Mr. Burton stated as it was not clear from the motion what was missing; he has not reviewed the State's file. Colloquy regarding the State reviewing its file, obtaining the photos from Sunrise Hospital, the need for a subpoena, and the Court's review of the photo's prior to release if they are received. Colloquy regarding the Supplemental Petition; Court extended the Deft's. response to January 8th, 2016.

NDC

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	October 28, 2015
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	ames	
October 28, 2015 9:00 AM		Motion to Seal/Redact Records	Motion and Notice of Motion to File Under Seal
HEARD BY: Gonzalez, Elizabeth		COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK:	Dulce Romea		
RECORDER: Jill Hawkins			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT: O'Halloran, Rachel Shell, Alina State of Nevada		Attorney Attorney Plaintiff JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- Deft not present. Ms. Shell requested to simply redact personally identifiable information instead of sealing it. COURT ORDERED, request to redact Exhibits 2, 3, 5, and 6 and file the originals under seal GRANTED. Counsel to prepare the order.

With regards to the petition for writ scheduled on November 18, Ms. Shell advised they have provided a courtesy copy of the appendix to the State; there is still the outstanding issue of the subpoena which was served on Sunrise Hospital on the 10th; they have not yet received a response. Court directed counsel to notify the Court if after the return date there is a problem, and ORDERED, status check on the records SET for November 18, 2015, at which time argument on the petition will be reset.

NDC

11-18-159:00 AMSTATUS CHECK: RECORDS...PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEASPRINT DATE:12/12/2016Page 30 of 39Minutes Date: June 24, 2010

10C265506

CORPUS

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	November 02, 2015
10C265506	State of Nevada		
	Tyrone J	lames	
November 02, 2015	3:00 PM	Minute Order	Minute Order: In Camera Review
HEARD BY: Gonzal	ez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK: Du	ılce Romea		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court reviewed records submitted for in camera review. Pages numbered 1-13 and CD containing medical records printed and numbered as 14-52 are relevant to Defense's investigation. Therefore, 1-13 are ORDERED released and 14-52 released with an acknowledgment that these records include information protected by HIPPA and counsel acknowledges any disclosure must be limited to the expert who will keep records confidential and any filings to be submitted with an appropriate motion to seal those records. Court RETAINS original of submission as SEALED Court's Exhibit 1. CD containing medical records is available for review and comparison if deemed necessary by counsel. Documents numbered as 1-13 are marked as Court's Exhibit 2. Documents numbered as 14-52 are marked as Court's Exhibit 3 and SEALED.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: Exhibits LODGED with the Vault. (See worksheet.) A copy of this minute order was distributed via electronic mail to Deputy District Attorney Ryan MacDonald and to Attorney Margaret McLetchie for the Petitioner. / dr 11-2-15

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	November 18, 2015			
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	ames				
November 18, 2	015 9:00 AM	All Pending Motions				
HEARD BY: C	Gonzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C			
COURT CLERK	: Dulce Romea					
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins					
REPORTER:						
PARTIES PRESENT:	James , Tyron O'Halloran, Rachel Shell, Alina State of Nevada	e D Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff				
JOURNAL ENTRIES						
- STATUS CHECK: RECORDSDEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS						
Ms. Shell advised they have received the in camera submission; she also corresponded by email with their expert, who will have a report by Monday, November 23rd. Ms. Shell requested to file a supplement sometime in January. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for briefing: Defendant's supplement DUE by January 22, 2016; State's response DUE by April 15, 2016; final reply, if any, DUE by May 27, 2016. Hearing on Defendant's Petition SET on June 8, 2016. Deft requested that he be transported for the hearing. Court DIRECTED the State to prepare a transport order.						

NDC

6-8-16

9:00 AM DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	March 29, 2016
10C265506	State of Neva vs Tyrone	da James	
March 29, 2016	10:15 AM	Minute Order	Minute Order: In Camera Review
HEARD BY: Gor	nzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERK:	Dulce Romea		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court reviewed records submitted for in camera review on 09/16/15. Pages numbered 1-22 are relevant to Defense's investigation. Therefore, 1-7 ORDERED RELEASED and 8-22 RELEASED with an Acknowledgment that these records include information protected by HIPPA and counsel acknowledges any disclosure must be limited to expert who will keep records confidential and any filings to be submitted with an appropriate motion to seal those records. Court retains original of submission as SEALED Court's Exhibit 1. (See worksheet.) Documents numbered as 1-7 are marked as Court's Exhibit 2. Documents numbered as 8-22 are marked as Court's Exhibit 3 and SEALED.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was distributed via electronic mail to Deputy District Attorney Ryan MacDonald and to Attorney Alina Shell and Attorney Margaret McLetchie for the Petitioner. / dr 3-29-16

Felony/Gross N	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	June 08, 2016		
10C265506	State of Nevada vs Tyrone Ja	mes			
June 08, 2016	9:00 AM	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus			
HEARD BY: (Gonzalez, Elizabeth	COURTROOM: RJC	Courtroom 14C		
COURT CLERI	K: Dulce Romea				
RECORDER:	Debbie Winn				
REPORTER:					
PARTIES PRESENT:	Demonte, Noreen C. James , Tyrone Shell, Alina State of Nevada	Attorney D Defendant Attorney Plaintiff			
JOURNAL ENTRIES					

- COURT ORDERED, Petition SET for evidentiary hearing regarding the expert issue on July 25, 2016. State to prepare new transport order for the Deft. Deft to be transported to court for 9:00 AM, but the hearing will be held at the end of that calendar. Counsel to check availability of witness/former counsel and if the July 25th date does not work counsel to notify the Court.

NDC

7-25-16 9:00 AM DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...EVIDENTIARY HEARING: EXPERT ISSUE

Felony/Gross N	/lisdemeanor	COURT MIN	IUTES	July 25, 2016
10C265506	State of Nevac vs Tyrone	la James		
July 25, 2016	9:00 AM	All Pending	Motions	
HEARD BY:	Gonzalez, Elizabeth	CC	OURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLER	K: Dulce Romea			
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	James , Tyrc Rogan, Jeffrey Shell, Alina State of Nevada	one D	Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL EN	NTRIES	

- DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...EVIDENTIARY HEARING: EXPERT ISSUE

Ms. Shell advised she was contacted last week by Deputy D.A. Stacey Kollins requesting an extension of the hearing; no supplemental briefing is needed. Mr. Rogan advised Ms. Kollins should be appearing for this but if it is simply scheduling he can handle it. Ms. Shell noted that the only date that would work for the parties, including their expert, is October 3rd. Ms. Kollins appeared and confirmed the date. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to October 3, 2016. State to prepare new order to transport Deft for the October 3rd date.

Copies of records from the Court's in camera review released to Ms. Kollins in open court. Acknowledgment signed.

NDC

10-3-16 9:00 AM DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...EVIDENTIARY HEARING: EXPERT ISSUE

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MI	NUTES	October 03, 2016
10C265506	State of Nevad vs Tyrone	la James		
October 03, 201	6 9:00 AM	All Pending	Motions	
HEARD BY: (Gonzalez, Elizabeth	CC	OURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14C
COURT CLERF	K: Dulce Romea			
RECORDER:	Jill Hawkins			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	James , Tyro Kollins, Stacey L. Shell, Alina State of Nevada	one D	Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL EI	NTRIES	

- DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...EVIDENTIARY HEARING: EXPERT ISSUE

Ms. Shell advised she was under the impression the hearing would be today and her expert is out in the hallway; hearing anticipated to last between a half to a full hour. COURT ORDERED, matter TRAILED to the end of the calendar.

Matter RECALLED. Bryan Cox and Joyce Adams, SWORN and TESTIFIED. Matter submitted on the briefing. COURT ORDERED, based upon the information presented in the lengthy, well-documented appendix as well as the testimony, it does not appear that the lack of an actual expert nor the lack of obtaining the photographs were sufficient to cause Mr. Cox to be ineffective; for that reason the Petition is DENIED. State to prepare the order.

Ms. Shell inquired if she can continue as counsel for Deft on appeal. Court noted counsel usually just continue from the petition; to the extent counsel will need an order the Court will sign one after Mr.

PRINT DATE: 12/12/2016

10C265506

Drew Christensen's approval.

NDC

STATE'S EXHIBITS

CASE NO. 265506

	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
#1- Evidence Envelage & contents (gloves)	1/21	no	SEP 2 1 2010
#1- Evidence Envelape + contents (gloves) 2- Small Evidence bag + contents consent to bearch	9/21	obj	SEP 2 1 2010
3- Large Color Photograph	9/22	ally	SEP 2 2 2010
4- Ch of 911 Call	11	11	, (
			·
·			
	[
			,
	L		

U:\Dept 7\trial forms\Exhibit Lists\Exhibit List.doc8/24/2006

COURT'S EXHIBITS

CASE NO. 265506

	·····			,	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
#/- Que	estion (s)	from	Juro	n#6	9/22	NA	SEP 2 2 2010
2-	10	0 11 6	// //	#12	11	11	Л
3-	1/	/1	11	#1	41	"(/1
4. ,	11	11	Il	#g	ıt	, t	10
5-	11	7 {	И	#8	11	4	11
6-	17	j t	1/	#3	11	16	(1
7-)[10	11	<i>#</i>]4)(K	H
8-	11	<i>j</i>	1(#1	9/23	NA	SEP 2 3 2010
9-	(1	10	17	#14	<i>u</i>	10	10
10-	10	10	11	#3	11	10	11
11-	17 -	/(17	#2	71		57
12-	11	10)/	#S) (10	r *
13-Printe	l'Copyof-	state's	Closin	a) over loint	1 (11	11
14-Ques	tion from	ury du	ring k	Deliberation	9/23	NA	SEP 2 3 2010
	0 ()	Ø	V				
							_

U:\Dept 7\trial forms\Exhibit Lists\Exhibit List.doc8/24/2006

VAULT EXHIBIT FORM

CASE NO:	C265506	HEARING DATE: JUNE 26, 2015 (CHAMBERS)
DEPT. NO:	XI	JUDGE : HON. ELIZABETH GONZALEZ
		CLERK: DULCE ROMEA
		RECORDER: N/A
PLAINTIFF:	STATE OF NEVADA	JURY FEES: N/A
		COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTY.
		RYAN MCDONALD
DEFENDAN	TYRONE JAMES	
		COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT: MARGARET MCLETCHIE,
		ESQ.

COURT'S EXHIBIT	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
1. REDACTED RECORDS 001 – 021	****************	<u> </u>	6-26-15
*** SEALED BY ORDER OF THE COURT ***			
2. LVMPD RECORDS 022 – 143	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7-2-15
*** SEALED BY ORDER OF THE COURT ***			
·			
, ₂₀₁ , 201, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1			
			- -
·			

*AMENDED ON 7/2/15# a

VAULT EXHIBIT FORM

CASE NO: C265506	HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2015 – MINUTE ORDER
DEPT. NO: XI	JUDGE : HON. ELIZABETH GONZALEZ
	CLERK: DULCE ROMEA
	RECORDER: JILL HAWKINS
PLAINTIFF: STATE OF NEVADA	JURY FEES: N/A
	COUNSEL FOR THE STATE: DEPUTY DISTRICT
	ATTORNEY RYAN MACDONALD
DEFENDANT: TYRONE JAMES	
	COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER: MARGARET MCLETCHIE,
	ESQ.

COURT'S EXHIBITS	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
1. ORIGINAL SUBMISSION			11-2-15
** SEALED BY ORDER OF THE COURT **			
2. DOCUMENTS NUMBERED AS 1-13			11-2-15
3. DOCUMENTS NUMBERED AS 14-52			
** SEALED BY ORDER OF THE COURT **			11-2-15
·			

	9. UNICON		
x			
		+	
		_	

EVUIDIT(C) I ICT

		EARIDIT(S) LIST
Case No.:	C265506	Hearing Date:
Dept. No.:	XI	Judge: HON. ELIZABETH GONZALEZ
		Court Clerk: DULCE ROMEA
Plaintiff: S1	ATE OF NEVADA	Recorder: N/A
		Counsel for Plaintiff: DEPUTY D.A. RYAN
VS.		MODONALD
Defendant:	TYRONE JAMES	Counsel for Defendant: MARGARET MCLETCHIE
		ALINA SHELL

HEARING BEFORE THE COURT

COURT'S EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
1.	ORIGINAL SUBMISSION, PAGES 1-22+ ENVELOR		and the state of the	3-29-16
2.	PAGES NUMBERED 1-7	(<u>111)</u>		3-29-14
3.	PAGES NUMBERED 1-7 PAGES NUMBERED 8-22 # SEALED BY # PAGES NUMBERED 8-22 DOURT ORDER.	**************************************		3-29-16

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada County of Clark SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST

Case No: 10C265506

Dept No: XI

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

TYRONE D. JAMES aka JAMES TYRONE,

Defendant(s).

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 12 day of December 2016. Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk