


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JORGE MENDOZA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 72056

FILED

JAN 11 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time (15 days) to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until January 18, 2018, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Gregory & Waldo, LLC
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney