

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ... -..

L CODA, Or ML STATE Of Electronically Filed
Jan 17 2017 10:45 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
No. 72057 Clerk of Supreme Court
)
) DOCKETING STATEMENT
CRIMINAL APPEALS
) (Including pretrial and post-conviction
habeas corpus and petitions for post-
) conviction relief)
)
CHIEDAL THEODAY STON
GENERAL INFORMATION
County <u>Clark</u> District Ct. No. <u>C-16-316678-1</u>
<u> </u>
ntence, - Indecent Exposure; Cts. 2 and 5 - Sexual Assault; Ct. 3 - Sexually Motivated and sentenced to \$25 Admin. Fee; \$150 DN us \$3 DNA collection fee; Ct. 1 - 364 in CCDC; Ct. 2 - 165. 1 - Ct. 3 - 30-96 months concurrent with Ct. 2; Ct. 4 - 26. 3; Ct. 5 - 10 years to Life, consecutive to Ct. 4; Ct. 6 and Ct. 5; and Ct. 8 - 12-36 months concurrent with Ct. 6; 225 aty as to Ct. 7. The Aggregate total sentence is Life with secial sentence of Lifetime Supervision, before the Defendant certified by panel that he is not a high risk to re-offend of assessment. d pending appeal? No.
ppointed XX or retained ?
statement:
a ca camen c .
Defender's Office
226 9155
)
y multiple appellants, add the names and addresses hal sheet accompanied by a certification that they atement.
X or retained?
dent(s):
Telephone 455-4741 ict Attorney's Office
ict Attorney's Office
20100
39155 3
Docket 72057 Document 2017-01570

Àddr			
	ress		
Clie	ent(s)(List additional counsel on		
	(List additional counsel on	separate s	sheet if necessary)
Natu	re of disposition below:		
	Judgment after beach trial		Grant of pretrial habeas
X	Judgment after jury verdict		Grant of motion to suppress evidence
	Judgment upon guilt plea		Post-conviction relief (NRS ch. 177)
	Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss	ŗ	□ grant □ denial
j	Parole/Probation revocation	·	Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)
	Motion for new trial		□ grant □ denial
	☐ grant ☐ denial		Other disposition (specify)
]	Motion to withdraw guilty plea		
	□ grant □ denial		
)oes	this appeal raise issues concerning	any of	the following:
	death sentence	4	
 X	life sentence	2	nile offender rial proceedings
	=====; 0,01,001.90	Proc	Tada brookensiide
	er. Are you in favor of proceeding i	n such	manner?
Yes Pend	No XX ling and prior proceedings in this cou	rt: Lis	t the case name and docket number of al
Yes Penc appe are	No XX ling and prior proceedings in this cou	rt: Lis or pre	t the case name and docket number of al
Yes Pendappe are post Pendall (e.g	No XX ling and prior proceedings in this coupled as or original proceedings presently related to this appeal (e.g., september of the conviction proceedings) N/A ling and prior proceedings in other companing and prior proceedings in o	rt: Lis or pre arate : ourts. ther co	t the case name and docket number of alevicusly pending before this court which appeals by co-defendants, appeal after the case name, number and court operts which are related to this appea
Yes Pendappe are Pend (e.gai Natu Appe	No XX ling and prior proceedings in this count of the count of the converse o	rt: Lis v or pre arate : ourts. ther co ate or hature counsel	t the case name and docket number of all evicusly pending before this court which appeals by co-defendants, appeal after the case name, number and court courts which are related to this appear federal court, bifurcated proceedings of the action and the result below, has not completed a thorough review of
Yes Pendappeare post Pendall (e.gai Natu	ling and prior proceedings in this count als or original proceedings presently related to this appeal (e.g., sependonic tion proceedings) N/A ling and prior proceedings in other companing and prior proceedings in stantant co-defendants): N/A line of action: Briefly describe the ellate counted did not serve as trial lower court proceedings; and is there action at the present time. es on appeal. State specifically allower as trial counsel; has not co-	rt: Lis vor pre arate : burts. ther co ate or hature counsel cefore u issue(s	t the case name and docket number of all evicusly pending before this court which appeals by co-defendants, appeal after that the case name, number and court courts which are related to this appearance of the action and the result below, has not completed a thorough review of the nature of the this appearance to concisely state the nature of a thorough review of the lower coursel dispenses the coursel dispenses a thorough review of the lower course.
Yes Pendappe are post Pendall (e.gagai Naturathe the Tssurat product the Constant t	ling and prior proceedings in this coupeals or original proceedings presently related to this appeal (e.g., sepectonviction proceedings) N/A ling and prior proceedings in other companding and prior proceedings in original proceedings in stantant co-defendants): N/A line of action: Briefly describe the ellate counsel did not serve as trial lower court proceedings; and is there action at the present time. less on appeal. State specifically allower as trial counsel; has not consectings; and is therefore unable to the present time. Littutional issues. If the States is	rt: Lis vor pre arate : ourts. ther co ate or nature counsel efore u issue(s mpleted concise not a pal oro	t the case name and docket number of all evicusly pending before this court which appeals by co-defendants, appeal after that the case name, number and court of purts which are related to this appear federal court, bifurcated proceedings of the action and the result below has not completed a thorough review of the nature of this appears that the principal issues on appear party and if this appeal challenges the linance, have you notified the clerk of the court of the clerk of the court of the clerk of the court of the clerk of the c

1,5.	Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17 and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance: Subsection (b) of Rule 17 provides that certain cases shall "presumptively" be heard and decided by the court of appeals. NOT INCLUDED in the cases to be "presumptively" assigned to the court of appeals are appeals of convictions for judgments resulting from jury trials where the defendant was convicted of a Category A or Category B felony.
	The Nevada Revised Statutes define the categories that apply to all felonies. NRS 193.130. All criminal convictions where the death penalty or a life sentence is imposed are Category A felonies.
	In this case, the Judgment of Conviction, filed December 13, 2016, convicted Ryan Lipsitz of four Category A felonies; and two category B felonies; he received three life sentences for convictions for Sexual Assault and Battery with intent to Commit Sexual Assault.
	Because NO PROVISION of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure hint that a Category A or B felonies resulting from a jury trial should be assigned to the Court of Appeals, and the provision "presumptively" assigning certain cases to the court of appeals expressly excludes cases involving Category A and B felonies resulting from jury trials, the Appellant respectfully suggests that the Supreme Court should retain this case for resolution.
16.	Issues of first-impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?
	First-impression: Yes No XX Public interest: Yes No XX
17.	Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial in the district court, how many days did the trial last?
	6 days.
18.	Oral argument. Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from 12/13/16

Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from 11/29/16

Yes XX No

19.

20.

	(a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain for seeking appellate review: N/A.	the basis
21.	If this appeal is from an order granting or denying a petition for a writ corpus, indicate the date written notice of entry of judgment or order was s	
	(a) Was service by delivery or by mail	(specify).

22.	If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion:			
	(a) Specify the type of motion, and the da	ate of filing of the motion:		
	Arrest judgment	Date filed		
	New trial (newly discovered evidence)	Date filed		
	New trial (other grounds)	Date filed		
	(b) Date of entry of written order resolvi			
23.7	Date notice of appeal filed 12/22/1			
24.	Specify statue or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e. NRAP 4(b), NRS 34.710, NRS 34.815, NRS 177.015(2), or other NRAP 4(b)		e.g.,	
	SUBSTANTIVE A	PPEALABILITY		
25.	Specify statute, rule or other authority the judgment or order appealed from:	which grants this court jurisdiction to re	eview	
	NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.710(3) NRS 34.710(4)		
	NRS 177.015(2) NRS 177.055	NRS 34.710(4)	····	
	NRS 177.385	NRS 34.815 NRS 177.015(3) XX		
	VERIFIC	CATION		
to the	I certify that the information provided in best of my knowledge, information and bel	n this docketing statement is true and compief.	plete	
	Matthew Lipsitz of appellant	Howard S. Brooks, #3374 Name of counsel or record		
Januai	cý 17, 2017	/s/ Howard S. Brooks		
Date		Signature of counsel of record		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	tify that on the 17th day of January, 2017, I served a copy of this completed tatement upon all counsel of record:
X.	by personally serving it upon him/her District Attorney Appellate; or by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es):
Attn: Appell	District Attorney ate Division enue, 3 rd Floor
Dated this	17th day of January, 2017.
	/s/ Carrie M. Connolly Signature