IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RYAN MATTHEW LIPSITZ,

Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 72057

FILED

HIL 1 2 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time (20 days) to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until July 23, 2018, to file and serve the answering brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

_, C.J.

cc: Vasek Law PLLC

Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

18-26678