## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES MARLIN COOPER, Appellant,

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{vs.} \\ \text{THE STATE OF NEVADA,} \end{array}$ 

Respondent.

No. 72091

FILED

AUG 0 9 2017

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellant's counsel has filed a notice of "Briefing Schedule Rendered Inoperative or Moot Due to Noncompliance by Court Reporters and Unavailability of Requested Transcripts." We elect to treat the notice as a motion for an extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix, and we grant the motion as follows. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have 120 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

Supreme Court OF Nevada

(O) 1947A

17-26473