IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID MARK MURPHY.

Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 72103

FILED

JUN 2 7 2017

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Despite its untimeliness and cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the opening brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until August 1, 2017, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Casey A. Landis
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(D) 1947A 🚭

17-21330