## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NORMAN DAVID BELCHER, JR., Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 72325

FILED

MAR 08 2018

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for a third extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix. In support of the motion, counsel cites the lengthy record and his caseload. Counsel has already been granted 150 days of extensions. Counsel has failed to demonstrate extraordinary circumstances and extreme need to warrant a third extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Appellant shall have 11 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief. Any additional extension requests will not be viewed favorably and will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc:

Christopher R. Oram Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A (

18-09188