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## Chronological Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 29 / 2010$ | Complaint | 1 | JA000001- <br> JA000006 |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA0000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |
| $10 / 25 / 2011$ | Transcript re Discovery Conference | JA000024- <br> JA000027 |  |
| $11 / 08 / 2011$ | Scheduling Order | 1 | JA0000031- <br> JA000032 |
| $11 / 29 / 2011$ | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | JA000033- <br> JA000039 |
| $12 / 15 / 2011$ | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and <br> Protective Order | 1 | JA000040- <br> JA000048 |
| $12 / 16 / 2011$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulated <br> Confidentiality Agreement and Protective <br> Order | 1 | JA000049- <br> JA000050 |
| $08 / 27 / 2012$ | Transcript re Hearing | JA000051- <br> JA000054 |  |
| $08 / 29 / 2012$ | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery <br> Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | JA000055- <br> JA000060 |
| $08 / 30 / 2012$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to <br> Extend Discovery Deadlines (First <br> Request) | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | 1 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ \text { JA000082 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000083- } \\ & \text { JA000206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000212- } \\ & \text { JA000321 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ \text { JA002065 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/06/2012 | Transcript re Status Check | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002066- } \\ \text { JA002080 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/07/2013 | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002081- } \\ \text { JA002101 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002102- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ \text { JA002353 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002359- } \\ \text { JA002408 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { JA002470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $04 / 08 / 2013$ | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Motion for Leave to File a Second <br> Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002471- <br> JA002500 |
| $04 / 17 / 2013$ | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non- <br> Jury Trial | 16 | JA002501- <br> JA002502 |
| $04 / 23 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of <br> Motion for Leave to File Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002503- <br> JA002526 |
| $04 / 26 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | JA002527- <br> JA002626 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing on <br> April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support <br> of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002652- <br> JA002658 |
| $05 / 30 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002659- <br> JA002661 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002662- <br> JA002664 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Notice of Entry of Order Granting <br> Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a <br> Second Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002665- <br> JA002669 |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| JA002670- |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $07 / 15 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants <br> Counterclaim | 17 | JA002724- <br> JA002731 |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 17 | JA002772- <br> JA002786 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $07 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Status Check | 17 | JA002809- <br> JA002814 |
| $08 / 05 / 2013$ | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's <br> Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine <br> \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | JA002815- <br> JA002829 |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees As An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
| $09 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing <br> Time <br> Damages in the Form of Compensation for <br> Limine to Exclude Plainiffst Claim For in | 17 | JA002870- <br> JA002874 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | JA002875- <br> JA002987 |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Supplemental Brief in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 21 | JA003204- <br> JA003209 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Order Denying Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | 21 | JA003210- <br> JA003212 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial | 22 | JA003213- <br> JA003403 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit A | 23 | JA003404- <br> JA003544 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit B - filed under seal | JA003545- <br> JA003625 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit C | JA003626- <br> JA003628 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit D | 23 | JA003629- <br> JA003631 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit E - filed under seal | 23 | JA003632- <br> JA003634 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit F | 23 | JA003635- <br> JA003637 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit G | JA003641- <br> JA003643 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit H | JA003639- <br> JA003640 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit I | 23 | JA |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit J - filed under seal | 24 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA003644- } \\ \text { JA003669 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit K | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003670- } \\ & \text { JA003674 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit L | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA003675- } \\ & \text { JA003678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit M | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003679- } \\ & \text { JA003680 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit N | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003681- } \\ & \text { JA003683 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit O - filed under seal | 25-26 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA0003684- } \\ \hline \text { JA004083 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit P | 27 | JA004084 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit Q | 27 | JA004085 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit R | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004086- } \\ & \text { JA004089 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit S | 27 | JA004090 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit T | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004091- } \\ & \text { JA004092 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit U | 27 | JA004093 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit V | 27 | JA004094 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit W | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004095- } \\ & \text { JA004096 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit X | 27 | JA004097 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Y | 27 | JA004098 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Z | 27 | JA004099- <br> JA004100 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit AA | 27 | JA004101- <br> JA004102 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit BB | 27 | JA004103 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit CC | 27 | JA004105 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit DD | 27 | JA004106- <br> JA004113 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit EE | 27 | JA004114- <br> JA004118 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit FF | 27 | JA004119- <br> JA004122 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit GG | 27 | JA004123 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit HH | JA004126- <br> JA004167 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit II | Trial Exhibit JJ | 27 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | TA004124 Exhibit KK | JA004125 |  |
| $10 / 2013$ | Trian | 27 |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit LL | 27 | JA004168 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit MM | 27 | JA004169 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit NN | 27 | JA004170- <br> JA004174 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit OO | JA004175- <br> JA004183 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit PP | 27 | JA004184- <br> JA004240 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit QQ | JA004241- <br> JA004243 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit RR | JA004244- <br> JA004248 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit SS | JA004249- <br> JA004255 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit TT | 27 | JA004256- <br> JA004262 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit UU | 27 | JA004263- <br> JA004288 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 1 | 27 | JA004289- <br> JA004292 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 6 - filed under seal | JA004311- <br> JA004312 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 7 - filed under seal | JA004293- <br> JA004307 |  |
| Trial Exhibit 8 - filed under seal | $27308-$ |  |  |
| 1013 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 9 - filed under seal | 27 | JA004313- <br> JA004319 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 10 - filed under seal | 27 | JA004320- <br> JA004329 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 11 - filed under seal | 28 | JA004330- <br> JA004340 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 12 - filed under seal | 28 | JA004341- <br> JA004360 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 13 - filed under seal | 28 | JA004361- <br> JA004453 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 21 | 28 | JA004454 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 25 | 29 | JA004455- <br> JA004462 |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial | JA004463- <br> JA004790 |  |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit VV | 31 | JA004791 |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 26 | 31 | JA004792- <br> JA004804 |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 30 | 31 | JA004805- <br> JA004811 |
| $10 / 25 / 2013$ | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | JA004812- <br> JA004817 |
| $10 / 25 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR <br> 7.27 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | JA004818- <br> JA004847 |
| $1018-27$ |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 15 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005228- } \\ & \text { JA005232 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 18 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005233- } \\ & \text { JA005235 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 19 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005236- } \\ & \text { JA005237 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 20 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005238- } \\ & \text { JA005254 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005255- } \\ & \text { JA005260 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 24 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005261- } \\ & \text { JA005263 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 35 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005264- } \\ \text { JA005493 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 28 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005494- } \\ & \text { JA005497 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 29 | 36 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005498- } \\ \text { JA005511 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 37-38 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005512- } \\ & \text { JA005815 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23a | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005816- } \\ & \text { JA005817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 27 | 39 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005818- } \\ \text { JA005820 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/09/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 40-41 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005821- } \\ & \text { JA006192 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/10/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 42-43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006193- } \\ & \text { IAAO6530 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit WW | 43 | JA006531- <br> JA006532 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | $44-45$ | JA006533- <br> JA006878 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit XX | 46 | JA006879- <br> JA006935 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 39 | 46 | JA006936- <br> JA006948 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 40 | 46 | JA006949- <br> JA006950 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 41 | JA006951- <br> JA006952 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 1 | 46 | JA006953- <br> JA007107 |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 2 | JA007108- <br> JA007384 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 31a | 48 | JA007385- <br> JA007410 |
| $06 / 24 / 2014$ | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - <br> section filed under seal | 48 | JA007411- <br> JA007456 |
| $06 / 25 / 2014$ | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and <br> Order | 48 | JA007457- <br> JA007474 |
| $07 / 15 / 2014$ | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to <br> Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | JA007560- <br> JA007570 |
| $06 / 27 / 2014$ | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, <br> Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | JA007475- <br> JA007494 |
| $07 / 14 / 2014$ | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge <br> Jis Pendens | 48 | JA007495- |
| 1007559 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ \text { JA007573 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ \text { JA007578 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007579- } \\ & \text { JA007629 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ \text { JA007698 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA00769- } \\ \text { JA007707 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ \text { JA007711 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007712- } \\ \text { JA007717 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ \text { JA007734 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ \text { JA008150 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008151- } \\ \text { JA008153 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ \text { JA008158 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/19/2015 | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008159- } \\ \text { JA008191 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 24 / 2015$ | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' <br> Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, <br> 2015 | 52 | JA008192- <br> JA008215 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | $52-53$ | JA008216- |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Motion to Strike "Judgment', Entered June <br> 15, 2015 Pursuant To NRCP. 52 (B) And <br> N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders Of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as Such, is a Fugitive Document | 53 | JA008328- |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | $54-56$ | JA008395- |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 2015$ | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to <br> Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the <br> Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | JA009110- <br> JA009206 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to <br> Retax Costs | $60-61$ | JA009284- |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", <br> Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP <br> $52(b)$ and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | JA009645- <br> JA009652 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ \text { JA009710 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009734- } \\ & \text { JA009752 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 10 / 2015$ | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's <br> Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening <br> Time | 62 | JA009755- <br> JA009758 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | JA009772- <br> JA009918 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) <br> Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' <br> Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to <br> Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on <br> June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- <br> JA010185 |
| $07 / 17 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and <br> Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | $65-67$ | JA010203- <br> JA010481 |
| Apposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for |  |  |  |
| Attorn's Fees and Costs |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ \text { JA010522 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ \text { JA010767 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes <br> of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment <br> and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | JA010896- <br> JA010945 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for <br> Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| $01 / 11 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants <br> Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' <br> Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> to Amend Judgment and Countermotion <br> for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010954- <br> JA010961 |
| $01 / 15 / 2016$ | Transcript re Hearing | 70 | JA010962- <br> JA011167 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/14/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011168- } \\ & \text { JA011210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/16/2016 | Release of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011211- } \\ \text { JA011213 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011214- } \\ & \text { JA011270 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | JA011385- <br> JA011388 |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ \text { JA011454 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011455- } \\ & \text { JA011589 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ \text { JA011614 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 1 | 73-74 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011615- } \\ & \text { JA011866 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011867- } \\ \text { JA012114 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ \text { JA012182 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ \text { JA012624 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012625- } \\ \text { JA012812 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 81 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012813- } \\ \text { JA013024 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/27/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013025- } \\ \text { JA013170 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ \text { JA013199 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013197- } \\ \text { JA013204 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ \text { JA013357 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ \text { JA013444 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013445- } \\ & \text { JA013565 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013619- } \\ \text { JA013621 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ \text { JA013644 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013645- } \\ \text { JA013648 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ \text { JA013651 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ \text { JA013656 } \end{array}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ \text { JA013668 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume I] | 87 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013669- } \\ \text { JA013914 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume II] | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013915- } \\ & \text { JA014065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/27/2017 | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA014066- } \\ \text { JA014068 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $05 / 10 / 2017$ | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014069- <br> JA014071 |
| $05 / 12 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014072- <br> JA014105 |
| $07 / 12 / 2007$ | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, <br> Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014106- <br> JA014110 |
| $07 / 14 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order <br> Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and <br> Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014111- <br> JA014117 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014130- <br> JA014143 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014144- <br> JA014146 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay <br> Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment <br> Orders | 88 | JA014147- <br> JA014151 |
| $11 / 02 / 2017$ | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal <br> FA014152- |  |  |

## Alphabetical Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |  |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| $10 / 24 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment | JA0000083- <br> JA000206 |  |
| $10 / 25 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | JA000212- <br> JA000321 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume I] | 87 | JA013669- <br> JA013914 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume II] | 88 | JA013915- <br> JA014065 |
| $06 / 06 / 2016$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes <br> Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - <br> Volume 1 | $73-74$ | JA011615- <br> JA011866 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011867- } \\ & \text { JA012114 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- JA010185 |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009772- } \\ & \text { JA009918 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ & \text { JA008150 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/29/2010 | Complaint | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000001- } \\ & \text { JA000006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/05/2013 | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002815- } \\ & \text { JA002829 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/22/2013 | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002772- } \\ & \text { JA002786 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ & \text { JA000082 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ & \text { JA002065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/08/2013 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002471- } \\ & \text { JA002500 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2013 | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002652- } \\ & \text { JA002658 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009645- } \\ & \text { JA009652 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/16/2015 | Errata to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010186- } \\ & \text { JA010202 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ & \text { JA007711 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/25/2014 | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007457- } \\ & \text { JA007474 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA008151- } \\ & \text { JA008153 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 52 (B) And N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 And May 13, 2015, And as Such, Is A Fugitive Document | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008328- } \\ & \text { JA008394 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/08/2015 | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010896- } \\ & \text { JA010945 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014130- } \\ & \text { JA014143 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/27/2014 | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007475- } \\ & \text { JA007494 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ & \text { JA008158 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004812- } \\ & \text { JA004817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ & \text { JA007578 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002665- } \\ & \text { JA002669 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ & \text { JA013656 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007712- } \\ & \text { JA007717 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009755- } \\ & \text { JA009758 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013645- } \\ & \text { JA013648 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { IAOO2470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014147- } \\ & \text { JA014151 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/16/2011 | Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000040- } \\ & \text { JA000048 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/30/2012 | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000055- } \\ & \text { JA000060 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2017 | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA014111- } \\ & \text { JA014117 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2014 | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007495- } \\ & \text { JA007559 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013619- } \\ & \text { JA013621 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003210- } \\ & \text { JA003212 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011385- } \\ & \text { JA011388 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ & \text { JA007573 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/30/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002659- } \\ & \text { JA002661 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002662- } \\ & \text { JA002664 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ & \text { JA013651 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ & \text { JA013644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ & \text { JA002353 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/12/2017 | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014144- } \\ & \text { JA014146 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2011 | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000031- } \\ & \text { JA000032 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/02/2017 | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014152- } \\ & \text { JA014154 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812-- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs |  |  |  |
| $06 / 01 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 72 | JA011455- <br> JA011589 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $06 / 27 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 82 | JA013025- <br> JA013170 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ & \text { JA013196 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013197- } \\ & \text { JA013204 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA0112144- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007699- } \\ & \text { JA007707 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ & \text { JA009710 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ & \text { JA011614 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ & \text { JA007734 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/24/2014 | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - section filed under seal | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007411- } \\ & \text { JA007456 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/2015 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008192- } \\ & \text { JA008215 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ & \text { JA011454 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ & \text { JA013668 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2017 | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014069- } \\ & \text { JA014071 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ & \text { JA007698 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ & \text { JA012182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 52-53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008216- } \\ & \text { JA008327 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ & \text { JA010522 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | JA008395- <br> JA008922 |  |
| Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) <br> Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | JA011168- <br> JA011210 |  |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment <br> 7.60 | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $06 / 21 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to <br> Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' <br> Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and | 81 | JA012813- <br> JA013024 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002359- } \\ & \text { JA002408 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 65-67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010203- } \\ & \text { JA010481 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 57-58 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008923- } \\ & \text { JA009109 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA012625- } \\ & \text { JA012812 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/12/2017 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014072- } \\ & \text { JA014105 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Costs | 60-61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009284- } \\ & \text { JA009644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ & \text { JA012624 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/23/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002503- } \\ & \text { JA002526 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002102- } \\ & \text { JA002144 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ & \text { JA013444 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ & \text { JA013357 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/11/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010954- } \\ & \text { JA010961 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Counterclaim | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002724- } \\ & \text { JA002731 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's <br> Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike <br> "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ & \text { JA010767 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $04 / 27 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014066- <br> JA014068 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing <br> on April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $10 / 25 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR <br> 7.27 | 31 | JA004818- <br> JA004847 |
| $06 / 19 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt <br> Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and <br> Disbursements | 52 | JA008159- <br> JA008191 |
| $03 / 16 / 2016$ | Release of Judgment | JA011211- <br> JA011213 |  |
| $01 / 07 / 2013$ | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's <br> Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | JA002081- <br> JA002101 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees as An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/16/2013 | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002870- } \\ \text { JA002874 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/15/2014 | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007560- } \\ & \text { JA007570 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/08/2011 | Scheduling Order | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000028- } \\ \text { JA000030 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2013 | Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002670- } \\ \text { JA002677 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/17/2013 | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002501- } \\ & \text { JA002502 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/15/2011 | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000033- } \\ & \text { JA000039 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/29/2012 | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000051- } \\ \hline \text { IAOOOn54 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009110- } \\ & \text { JA009206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/27/2013 | Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003204- } \\ & \text { JA003209 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/12/2007 | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014106- } \\ & \text { JA014110 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/25/2011 | Transcript re Discovery Conference | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000024- } \\ \text { JA000027 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/27/2012 | Transcript re Hearing | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000049- } \\ \text { JA000050 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/26/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002527- } \\ \text { JA002626 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/09/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002688- } \\ \text { JA002723 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/23/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002875- } \\ & \text { JA002987 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007579- } \\ \text { JA007629 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009734- } \\ \text { JA009752 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010962- } \\ & \text { JA011167 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013445- } \\ & \text { JA013565 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/06/2012 | Transcript re Status Check | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002066- } \\ & \text { JA002080 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/23/2013 | Transcript re Status Check | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002809- } \\ \text { JA002814 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 22 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA003213- } \\ \text { JA003403 } \end{array}$ |
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WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2013, 8:30 A.M.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
-०O○-
THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. Welcome.
MS. LUNDVALL: Good morning, Your Honor.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Good morning, Judge.
THE COURT: We're ready to go. It's here,
finally.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It is.
THE COURT: Did you make your appearances for the record?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I believe we have. I'll
do it again. Jim Jimmerson and Jim M. Jimmerson on behalf of the plaintiffs, also Lynn Hansen on behalf of the plaintiffs are present. And we have both James Wolfram and Walter Wilkes, plaintiffs, who are both present.

MS. LUNDVALL: Good morning, Your Honor. Pat Lundvall and Aaron Shipley here from McDonald, Carano, Wilson, on behalf of Pardee Homes of Nevada. Brian Grubb is the gentleman who is my paralegal, and he will be running --

THE COURT: He's the technical person.
MS. LUNDVALL: He's the technical person.
I also have two client representatives in the
courtroom today. We have Chris Hallman, the gentleman in the blue blazer, and Jon Lash is in the gray blazer.

THE COURT: He's had his deposition taken.
MS. LUNDVALL: That, he has.
THE COURT: Welcome.
Ready to start?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We are, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I was told you have some stipulated exhibits. Do you want to admit those now before we get started to make sure you can refer to them?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Prior to
that we just needed to confirm one more set of
stipulated. It's the Amendments 1 through 8 of the Amended and Restated Option Agreement.

Pursuant to this Court's advice, I met with
defense counsel and they provided the clean copies. We have submitted those clean copies as our exhibits, I believe, 6 through 13.

THE COURT: Okay. And I have -- am I correct?
I have that both parties have stipulated to Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1 to 14 , which would include those. Is that correct? And 17 and 21?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes, Your Honor, that's right.

THE COURT: And then Defendant's Exhibits A

$$
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\text { Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. } 568 \\
\text { District Court, Dept. IV }
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through UU?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's right.
MS. LUNDVALL: Let me clarify then, as far as on concerning Plaintiffs' exhibits, we have stipulations concerning 1 through 5, stipulation concerning 17 , stipulation concerning 21.

As to the exhibits, Exhibits 6 through 14 , those are the various agreements that we have given the complete copies to plaintiffs. They have not shown us what is contained within the exhibit books yet, but I'm assuming that what they have included is the same exhibits that we have given to them and, therefore, we would stipulate to those exhibits. I would say, though, it was subject to check across the course of the trial if we find any pages that may be missing or may be upside down or something of that nature.

THE COURT: Okay. That would be fine. So if we find something, we can deal with it at the time.

But I assume, Mr. Jimmerson, you are telling me
6 through 14 was what was produced by Ms. Lundvall. Correct?

MS. LUNDVALL: Yes. 6 through 13. 14 was a
letter.
THE COURT: What's 14 ?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: 14 is a piece of

```
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
```

correspondence that had been agreed upon.
THE COURT: Do you have any issue with that? I
just want to make sure we get it on the record. So right now we have 1 through 13,17 and 21 of Plaintiffs'. I just want to make sure 14 is stipulated to.

MS. LUNDVALL: We have no objection to 14 ,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. So those all stipulated
will all be admitted into evidence.
MS. LUNDVALL: As to Defendant's exhibits, they
can begin A through $U U$, and it's my understanding
there's no objection to any of our exhibits.
THE COURT: That was my understanding. It was
a stipulation. So those will be admitted also. All
right. We've got that handled. I just want to make sure $I$ mark it so $I$ don't have to keep going back.

What else do we have?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: We've got two orders
concerning the motion to compel and motion for partial summary judgment. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes. These are from the other
hearings?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: Have they been agreed to for form

```
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
```

and content?

MS. LUNDVALL: We have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: No problem. I'll sign those.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: And the final issue that we have, we would like to use certain demonstratives, which are representations of certain parcel maps, which had not been stipulated to, but the authenticity was stipulated to. The only question was establishing relevance.

I would like to use them for the purposes of the opening statement, not as evidence, but just to show the Court what we're looking at when those exhibits are presented for offering into evidence.

THE COURT: Objection?
MS. LUNDVALL: Yes, Your Honor. I have
objection to the use of any demonstrative that has not been admitted into evidence, and I shared that objection with Mr. Jimmerson.

It has been my practice and every time that I've ever done an opening statement, is that the court has allowed me to use a demonstrative of an exhibit that's been admitted, but not a demonstrative of an exhibit that has not been admitted. And what he is suggesting is he wants to use a blowup, basically, of something that has not yet been admitted into evidence.

```
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
District Court, Dept. IV
```

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm kind of confused. Because when $I$ think of demonstrative, $I$ think of something you make up like, "Here's my time line," like if $I$ would do an opening, just to help on my opening, "This happened on this date," that sort of thing.

If something is getting into evidence, that's an actual separate document, not something that would have been recreated or created for trial as a demonstrative. So now I'm confused on what we're doing here.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: The demonstratives are not
the evidence. The evidence are the certified copies, the sheets, which they are very specific match lines for the sheets. They are recorded in the clark County Recorder's Office. This is a construction of those sheets put together.

THE COURT: So if you got up there, you could draw on your own, like $I$ would have done, a time line, or you might have done a time line, just so the opening will flow. It's not actually --

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: It's not being offered as evidence. It's being offered as the evidence will show when we enter it into evidence later.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this perspective, they've made a photocopy of a proposed

```
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
District Court, Dept. IV
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exhibit. That proposed exhibit has not been entered into evidence.

THE COURT: Is that the chart you are using?
MS. LUNDVALL: That's the -- they've made a
photocopy and they've tried to blow it up then, and that's what they want to use. So it's not, as the Court properly described, a demonstrative aid. It is a blowup of an inadmissible piece of evidence.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, It's not
inadmissible. It's going to be admitted. The moment we're able to put someone on the stand to testify as to relevance, it will come in. The authenticity has not been questioned.

And, more importantly, you don't need to have -- you don't need to admit evidence prior to referencing it or showing it in your opening statement. Under that logic, you could never say "evidence will show" if the evidence hasn't been admitted yet.

So, here, the Court -- it's not being offered
to say "The evidence is this." The evidence will be presented in front of the witness. The evidence will be submitted to you to review through the sheets using your own faculties, not my reconstruction of it. It is merely for the use of showing you how we're doing our measurements and how it's going to be applied in this
District Court, Dept. IV

```

Court. If there's any prejudice here, I don't know what it is.

THE COURT: Since it's a bench trial, I am more inclined to allow it. Because if it would help me understand your flow -- and if it doesn't get into evidence, then, you know what, then \(I\) discount it and I'm not going to use it. But since it's a bench trial, there is a distinction, but if you are going to use it for demonstrative purposes to follow your argument, not "This is evidence," and I understand it's a hybrid, I understand that completely.

But since it's a bench trial and it will help me understand where you are going when the evidence comes in, then it would be helpful to me. So under that, since it's a bench trial, I am going to allow them to do it. And I will understand that is not the specific evidence that's going to come in, but hopefully you are going to use it to explain how when that evidence does come in, it is applicable to your theory of the case.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Exactly, and how -- it's actually really being used how we constructed -- how we made these determinations, really, is more of a process than it is of "Here's the evidence."

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then \(I\) can understand
your theory of the case. So under that, I'm going to go ahead, since it's a bench trial, \(I\) will let it in.

MS. LUNDVALL: Understood, Your Honor. The point, though, that \(I\) would like to raise, though, is the Court is not making a predetermination as to the admissibility.

THE COURT: No. Absolutely not. I couldn't do
that because \(I\) wouldn't have any evidence or any
foundation. So, once again, if the foundation and it doesn't come in, then, you know, I will judge the case on the evidence.

And if your opening statement doesn't flow what the evidence does, then it doesn't flow. And sometimes that happens. The evidence doesn't always come in the way we may want. Hopefully, both of you feel it will. But, no, \(I^{\prime} m\) not making a predetermination at all.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We will invoke the exclusionary rule. It's my understanding Mr. Hallman is not a possible witness. So he is certainly invited to be here. Normally a party is only allowed to have one representative. There's two. I have no issue. I know Mr. Lash will be a witness.

But \(I\) just need to make it clear that if Mr. Hallman sits in, he will not be called as a witness by the defense.

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Hallman is not anticipated to be called as a witness by the defense. And we understand and we will respect the exclusionary rule. The exclusionary rule, though, does not apply to opening statements. It only applies to the taking of testimony then from the witnesses.

THE COURT: I mean, if it turns out for some reason you need to, we'll work it out, if you need to call him. All right? How about that? All right?

Okay. Counsel?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, may I get one minute to --

THE COURT: Can \(I\) give you your orders back, too, so I don't get them in the middle of my exhibits?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Don't give them to us.
We're sure to lose them.
THE COURT: Give them to Ms. Hansen.
While you are doing that, I'll do my exhibits so I can keep it straight. So, basically, the entire Defendants' have all been admitted.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Correct.
THE COURT: I don't have any issue. Okay. (Pause in proceedings.)

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: May it please the Court, this case is about fairness. This case is about
plaintiffs James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes and their commitment to being fair with their clients and being forthright in their 70 years of combined experience in the land sales field.

This case is about their skill and talent and how those skills and talents resulted in being the procuring cause for, at the time, the largest land transaction involving brokers in the history of the state of Nevada; that is, Coyote Springs Investment, LLC, with Pardee Homes of Nevada.

This case is also about the defendant, Pardee Homes of Nevada, and defendant's failure to treat plaintiffs fairly, their failure to abide by their duties under the law as well as under the Commission Letter Agreement of September 1, 2004 .

Plaintiffs have come to you, have come to this Court, seeking relief that no one else can give them, seeking you to compel Pardee Homes of Nevada to produce the information that the plaintiffs were entitled to under the September 1, 2004 Commission Letter Agreement, to account for their actions in the land transactions and for the commission payments and for how they were calculated and to do what was necessary under that agreement and inside that relationship.

The evidence will show that that relief could
```
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```
not come from anyone else. Plaintiffs had tried to receive it from Pardee. Plaintiffs had gone to Coyote Springs. Plaintiffs had gone to Chicago Title. Plaintiffs had gone to the Clark County Recorder's Office, to zoning and planning, to the other public offices to find what was happening in the development of Coyote Springs as it pertained to their commissions. This case will largely hinge on was the property purchased Purchase Property or was it Option Property? This is because the Commission Letter Agreement establishes two separate formulas, two separate mechanisms for calculating how the commission -- how much the plaintiffs are entitled to for commission.

Under the Purchase Property formula, they are entitled to a percentage of the Purchase Property Price. There is no benefit or additional commission for additional acreage being purchased if there is no corresponding increase in price.

Conversely, the Commission Letter Agreement specifies that the formula for commissions for Option Property is dictated by acreage. It is a set flat rate per acre, and you find out the number of acres and that is the commission.

The evidence will show in this case that Pardee
purchased both Purchase Property and Option Property. We know this by referring to the Option Agreement which defines those critical terms. For Purchase Property, the portion of the entire site consisting of Parcel 1 as shown on Parcel Map 98-57 recorded July 21 , 2000 in Book 20000721, as Document 01332, Official Records, Clark County, Nevada.

Option Property, the remaining portion of the entire site which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use as described below, the Option Property. And as the Option Agreement further describes, that as described below refers to production residential property, which is defined -- which includes, quote, without limitation, all single-family detached production residential lots, which shall include lots on which custom homes are constructed by buyer, all land for roadways, utilities, government facilities, including schools and parks, which school and park sites are subject to the provisions of 7 (c) below, open space required or designated for the benefit of the residential development pursuant to the master plan, a habitat conservation plan, or development agreement, drainage ways or other use associated with or resulting from the development of Purchase Property and each option parcel
of the Option Property.
Those three definitions will guide this Court. The evidence will use those definitions to determine whether or not Purchase Property -- whether or not Option Property was purchased here.

As this is defined, Purchase Property is
defined by Parcel 1 on Parcel Map 98-57. This
demonstrative shows what Parcel 1 looks like. You see it has fixed boundaries, that there are lines for inside and outside the property.

As Option Property is defined, it's the remaining property. The evidence will show that that means anything inside the entire site that is Coyote Springs that is not part of the parcel 1 .

Now, how does the court determine whether or not they purchased Option Property or Purchase Property? Simply, the evidence will show that the recorded maps, which are found in the amendments to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, have property outside this Parcel 1. Specifically Parcels 2, 3 and 4 of Parcel Map 113-55 all have land outside of this Parcel 1 .

As the evidence will demonstrate to this court, Parcel \(1^{\prime}\) s width is consistent throughout. It is similar to a parallelogram were you not to consider the bottom shift. The Court will learn by examining the
maps that the distance from the westernmost portion of Parcel 1, which is U.S. Highway 93 to the easternmost portion of Parcel 1 is 7996.92 feet. The map tells the Court this. You don't need to apply a scale. It has the numbers right on it.

Now, looking at Parcel Map Book 113, page 55, and examining Parcel 2 and Parcel 3 and Parcel 4, the evidence will show that the easternmost portion of Parcel 2, away from U.S. Highway 93 exceeds 9,100 feet, well in excess of the 8,000 feet which is the width of Parcel 1.

The same process the Court will apply to determine the location for Parcels 3 and 4. And the evidence will show that Parcel 3, at its easternmost point is over 10,800 feet from U.S. Highway 93, again, exceeding the 8,000 foot width of Parcel 1 .

Parcel 4 is a little bit longer, 11,000 feet plus or minus 50 feet. Again, the evidence will show that Parcel 4 exceeds the bounds of Purchase Property, of Parcel 1, by approximately 3,000 feet.

But the evidence does not end there, however. There's one additional parcel, a parcel on Book 116, page 35, Lot 3 on that parcel. This is located 8,000 feet, not from the western portion of Parcel 1, but from its eastern boundary. The evidence will show that this
```
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parcel was over a mile and a half away from the most - the most eastern point of Parcel 1 .

The Court will hear evidence in this case not just about the location of these parcels, but of the designation. As the evidence here guides us, Option Property is the remaining portion of the entire site which is or becomes designated for single-family
detached production residential use or production residential property. If it is not so designated, the Option Agreement will tell this Court that it cannot be Option Property.

Well, the evidence will show that Amendment
No. 7, April of 2009 , to the Amended and Restated Option
Agreement, provided the specific designations for
Parcels 2, 3 and 4, and the evidence will show that that designation was residential, was active adult, was washes. It was production residential property.

The evidence will show that Parcels 2, 3 and 4, by being located outside of Parcel 1 and by being designated as production residential property constitutes Option Property.

As for this fourth parcel that is miles apart from Parcel 1, it too is designated it as production residential property. It is a wastewater treatment parcel. Utilities are part of production residential
```

Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
District Court, Dept. IV

```
property as described in this Option Agreement as has been recently entered into evidence.

You also will see evidence from the amendments to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement confirming that this is that designation.

So the evidence in this case will conclusively demonstrate that Pardee repeatedly purchased Option Property, and yet Pardee never treated it as such for the purposes of plaintiffs' commissions.

Pardee -- representatives of Pardee will testify and you will see e-mails and you will hear a number of witnesses tell you that Pardee insisted that it never purchased Option Property. If the Court finds that not to be true, the court must then apply to the rest of the agreement to determine if there is a breach. It must evaluate the rest of the facts to determine what the damages are, et cetera, et cetera.

But the importance of the location of these parcels cannot go understated, if only because the definitions of Purchase Property and of Option Property are in reference to these locations, to these geographic facts.

Now, in addition to demonstrating to this Court that Pardee purchased Option Property, plaintiffs will demonstrate, the evidence will show, that Pardee
breached its duties under the September 1, 2004 Commission Letter Agreement.

The evidence will show that the commission payments were inaccurate, were not properly calculated. The evidence will show that in addition to improperly calculating these commissions, Pardee -- and this is the most important part of the case -- failed to keep plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters related to the amount and due date of their commissions.

You will hear evidence that in order to be
reasonably informed as to these pieces of information,
that Pardee had to provide evidence, had to provide information, had to provide records allowing plaintiffs to check, to verify that they had received the appropriate commission payment at the appropriate time.

You will hear evidence that without that
information, the information that did not allow them to do that, was no information at all. You will hear that effectively plaintiffs were forced to trust Pardee and could not check and make sure that they had received the appropriate commission payments.

Now, these breaches are important not simply
because it's on a piece of paper between two parties. It's important because of the magnitude of this transaction. You will hear evidence that the Option
```
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Agreement between Pardee and Coyote Springs Investment, LLC, provided Pardee the option to purchase 30,000 acres of land in Clark and Lincoln Counties, Nevada. That at an original price of \(\$ 40,000\) per acre, Pardee could theoretically spend \(\$ 1.2\) billion for land, and plaintiffs had a commission for those purchases, for those options.

For plaintiffs this was everything. You will
hear evidence that as \(60-y e a r-o l d\) men signing this agreement, seeing it get executed, watching the
transaction take place, a 40 -year option for them may pass them by. For them -- and you will hear it from Mr. Wolfram and you will hear it from Mr. Wilkes -- they will tell you that a lot of this was for their family, that the reason that we're here today is not simply because there could have been an inaccurate calculation of commissions, but because for the next 40 years, 35 years, this option may still be in place.

And they will tell you that without the information to verify that they were receiving the appropriate commissions, the magnitude and the size and the value of the transaction is lost.

You will hear evidence that without this information, without the ability to know that they are being paid appropriately, without the ability to ask a
question and get a candid answer, materially impacts the value of this agreement. You will hear evidence that it hurts them not just because they can't trust them, but because if they pass on -- and they are experienced land brokers -- how do their children determine whether or not they are receiving the appropriate commissions? You will hear that concern expressed to you from both Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes.

And the breach of contract goes beyond the commission payments. It goes beyond the amorphous, "We didn't receive enough information." You have the evidence now. It's in evidence. Amendments 1 through 8 of the Amended and Restated Option Agreement were never given to plaintiffs by Pardee.

Starting in 2006, you will see that the
Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Option
Agreement started the process and ended in 2009 with
Amendment No. 8 of that Amended and Restated Option
Agreement. These amendments, you will see, are the evidence, are the proof of the transactions, of the land takedowns, of activity that plaintiffs are receiving a commission on.

You will see in Amendment No. 7 the
designations for the land for all the parcels that have been taken down to date. You will see that that
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evidence, confirming exactly which property is designated for what, was denied to our clients. You will see that each and every amendment does something new, something significant for the Option Agreement.

As the Court will see when considering
Exhibit 2, the Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real
Property and Joint Escrow Instructions, this is the agreement that Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes relied upon when signing the Commission Letter Agreement.

The evidence will show the Commission Letter Agreement adopts the terms in that Option Agreement. You will see that it relies upon and incorporates the principles in that Option Agreement. And beyond the physical -- beyond the technical exact terms of the Option Agreement -- of the Commission Letter Agreement, the Option Agreement references Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes.

Specifically, the Option Agreement states notwithstanding the foregoing, upon and subject to the close of escrow for the purchase of property or any option parcel, buyer shall pay any finder fee owed to General Realty Group, Walt Wilkes, and Award Realty, Jim Wolfram, pursuant to a separate agreement. Said fee shall be split equally.

The Court will know that the Option Agreement
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provides for the payment, provides for the establishment of another agreement to pay plaintiffs for the transactions resulting in the takedowns of Purchase Property and Option Property.

The amendments to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement executed in March of 2005 , well after September 1, 2004 , were denied the plaintiffs, were not provided. And without that information, they will tell you they did not have the ability to confirm that they were receiving the appropriate commission amounts. The evidence will show that there's no acceptable explanation or excuse for this denial of information.

The Option Agreement contained a nondisclosure clause. Plaintiffs received a copy of the Option Agreement. Amendments 1 and 2 to that Option Agreement reaffirmed that nondisclosure agreement. Plaintiffs were afforded a copy of those documents. Even the Amended and Restated Option Agreement contained a restatement of the nondisclosure clause, the confidentiality clause. And plaintiffs were given a copy of that document.

But from March 2005, there wasn't another agreement executed by Pardee concerning land transactions for which plaintiffs would be entitled to a commission that Pardee provided to our clients, not one.

You will hear about the importance of
Amendments 1 through 8. You will hear about what they do, parcels they purchase, the locations. You will see for yourself this is where that property is. This is how it's designated. And \(I\) know, based on the location and the designation, that it is this type of property, Purchase Property or Option Property.

Plaintiffs never had that chance. You will
hear evidence that for three years, beginning in approximately 2007 , plaintiffs had been curious as to what had been happening in the development of Coyote Springs as it pertained to their commissions.

You will hear that these inquiries ranged from phone calls to letters to representatives of Pardee, to multiple representatives of Pardee. You will hear they involve phone calls, meetings with title company members, phone calls with coyote Springs.

You will hear that their requests for
information were not appropriately responded to. You will hear that despite plaintiffs' pleas for the information, for some sort of explanation for what was happening so that they knew that they were receiving the appropriate commission payment, they didn't receive that information.

You will learn that there are only two letters
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sent to Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes concerning these issues. You will read them for yourself. You will see and they will show that you cannot confirm the value of the commissions with those letters.

In fact, one of the letters you will see tells Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes that Pardee is executing a custom lot agreement to acquire land for custom lots, and not only were they not going to receive a copy of that agreement, they were not going to receive commissions under that agreement. The evidence will show that that is improper and that is a circumvention of the heart, of the spirit, of the purpose of the Commission Letter Agreement. That is, when Pardee wishes to take down production residential property, whether it be Purchase Property or Option Property, plaintiffs are entitled to a commission once the transaction has closed.

You will hear that in addition to this letter, the first letter in 2007 , one other letter in March of 2008 was addressed to Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes. In that letter Jon Lash told them, Your requests for the production of the parcel maps do not arrive at a mutual benefit and, thus, they will not be provided to you.

Yes. You will learn that Pardee, Mr. Lash, told plaintiffs that because it did not afford mutual
benefit, they weren't going to receive it.
You will learn that there wasn't another document sent to both of them together responding to these inquiries. The evidence will show that many documents were sent to Mr. Wolfram, and you will be shown a stack of deeds and a map and a couple of other letters and three closing statements.

But underneath the surface, the evidence will show that that map did not accurately reflect the land transactions, did not demonstrate the designations of the property in order to show whether or not the commissions were accurate. The evidence will show that those deeds were not complete.

You will hear evidence that a request for a deed of Pardee Coyote holdings was denied to plaintiffs. You will hear evidence that the deeds did not include information for all of the purchases. You will hear evidence that the closing statements did not include specific references to property that was known to Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes because they used the definitions in the amendments to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement. Without those amendments, Mr. Wolfram will tell you he could not know what the closing statements referred to.

The evidence will show Mr. Wolfram and

Mr. Wilkes spent years trying to get the information informally. They didn't want to involve lawyers. You will hear that it was only in 2009 that Mr. Wolfram hired an attorney hoping that that would open up the information from Pardee. You will hear that despite these efforts, they were unsuccessful.

You will hear that in 2010 they had to file suit in order to get the documents, in order to find out what was happening as it related to their commissions. You will hear that after all the effort they went to, after seeing everyone under the sun, they could not know whether they were receiving the appropriate commission payments or not.

And the evidence will show that despite having access to public records where you can get parcel numbers or acreage or parcel maps, despite that ability, the one thing they were lacking was Amendment No. 7 .

The evidence will show that Amendment No. 7 not only provided those six color maps showing the designation of the property, you will hear that pursuant to that the paragraph referencing those maps served to supersede all prior reconciliations of the property.

Amendment No. 7 was a lot of new information. It provided a lot of critical documentation for plaintiffs, and Pardee didn't give it to them.

\footnotetext{
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In addition to the breach contract claim, the plaintiffs also have a breach of the claim for the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Without retreading what the evidence will show as it pertains to the breaches, the Court should take particular notice of the statements in the Option Agreement.

The evidence will show the Option Agreement succinctly describes the purpose of the commission Letter Agreement; that is, to pay a fee to plaintiffs when certain Option Property or Purchase Property was taken down. We know and the evidence will conclusively show that that wasn't followed.

We also know that the agreement to purchase custom lots, which is an area of land, that is a description of land that is included in production residential property, is not an action in good faith if it wasn't given to our clients and if it didn't provide for commissions for them.

The evidence will show that even after the discovery process, Pardee has not provided to plaintiffs any maps or any information which references parcel 1 or Purchase Property and Parcels 2, 3 and 4 of Book 113, page 55, which the evidence will show is outside of Parcel 1.

Evidence will show that plaintiffs are not in

\footnotetext{
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
District Court, Dept. IV
}
possession of any documents which would allow them to calculate the number of acres outside Parcel 1 . The evidence will show that without additional information concerning those parcels and concerning the custom lot agreement, plaintiffs just don't know whether or not - not whether or not, excuse me -- how much they would be owed under the Commission Letter Agreement if properly applied to the facts.

You will hear Mr. Wolfram tell you that this is
why he brings his accounting claim. Without the information, he doesn't know whether or not he's receiving the appropriate amounts -- excuse me, not whether or not he's receiving -- how much is off in the commissions.

You will also hear, in terms of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, that Lot 3, the wastewater treatment parcel, way on the east of this township, over a mile and a half away from the outermost boundary of Parcel 1, was purchased for a price of \(\$ 21,800\) and change per acre.

The evidence will show that Pardee paid plaintiffs a commission based on the percentage of that price, the \(\$ 21,000\) per acre price. The evidence will show that if properly applied as Option Property pursuant to subparagraph 3 of their Commission Letter
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Agreement, that they would be entitled to a commission equal to 40,000 per acre and a percentage of that.

Beyond describing the need for the information, beyond demonstrating that there was an inaccurate calculation, you will hear evidence as to the relationship between Pardee and plaintiffs. You will hear evidence that Pardee trusted -- excuse me, that plaintiffs had to trust Pardee. You will hear evidence that they didn't have access to the information, to the material facts demonstrating what was happening in the transaction between CSI and Pardee.

You will hear that Pardee instructed
representatives of Chicago Title not to produce
information. Pardee acted to ensure that plaintiffs only could receive the information from them.

The evidence will show that this not only establishes the relationship of trust necessary to establish the duty to account, that also when you have such imbalance of information, the evidence will show that because -- and not only were all the material facts in the hands of Pardee, they were peculiar to Pardee as evidenced by the instruction to Chicago Title to not produce these documents.

And the evidence will show that plaintiffs had no fair or reasonable access to the same. You will hear
District Court, Dept. IV
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about how long Mr. Wolfram spent trying to get that information. You will hear how many hours he spent. He spent well over 80 hours trying to get this information to no avail.

Because plaintiffs had this relationship, and the evidence will confirm that that relationship existed, the evidence will also show that they had a duty to account. They had a duty to provide the information that would otherwise -- that otherwise plaintiffs would otherwise have access to.

Finally, you will also hear evidence about a counterclaim. Defendant has brought a counterclaim alleging that plaintiffs violated the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, specifically that the act of requesting information was in violation of this implied covenant.

They claim that plaintiffs, Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes, had a duty to stay silent. They will tell you or they claim through their pleadings that this failure to stay silent was a violation of this implied covenant and that defendant needed to respond and spent a substantial amount of time and amount of resources responding to these inquiries.

The facts will establish and confirm that plaintiffs had no such duty to stay silent or refrain

[^0]from inquiring. But more importantly, the Court will ask itself, why, if plaintiffs didn't have a right and, in fact, had a duty not to ask questions, why did defendant respond if it was going to damage them? If it was going to harm them, why did Pardee participate in that harm?

The evidence will show that the counterclaim holds no merit. The evidence will show that not only was there no violation of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, but that these alleged damages were not -if they existed at all -- were not caused by plaintiffs, and surely plaintiffs are not liable for them.

The evidence will show, though, that this damage claim, the defendant's damage claim, highlights the distinction between plaintiffs' claim for time and effort damages and defendant's. The evidence will show that unlike defendant's claim for time and damages, plaintiffs did not have a luxury of sitting still.

Plaintiffs, as the evidence will demonstrate, could have lost commissions, may have lost the information necessary to confirm --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, Counsel sounds like this is now closing argument, rather than opening statement, not highlighting what the evidence is going to be.

THE COURT: Well, he's pretty much -- he's now addressing the time and effort damages and what the evidence will show. So I'm going to overrule it.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: The evidence will show
that the time and effort damages endured by plaintiffs were not only foreseeable, but they were directly caused by plaintiffs.

THE COURT: That is more argument, but $I$ know where you are going, but that is more argument. Tell me what it's going to be.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'll move on, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I know they asked for information. We've kind of gone a little bit through time and effort, as both parties know, before.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, the evidence will show that plaintiffs are entitled to not only their time and effort damages, but their attorneys' fees as damages.

You will hear that they had no other ability to get the information. You will hear that the prosecution for claim for accounting was necessary in order to receive all the information to confirm that they had received it.

And at the end of this trial, my father, Jim Jimmerson, will stand up and ask you to find in favor of
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plaintiffs, will ask you for the fairness that plaintiffs have always been entitled to. He'll ask you for a judgment in their favor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jimmerson.
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, let me begin by
thanking you for the opportunity to be able to present opening statement. I know that sometimes in bench trials that the courts suggest that simply go to the evidence.

THE COURT: No. I appreciate -- the Court
appreciates it, because this is a complicated case.
I've appreciated it from all the motions and you've been
in here. I understand. I appreciate that you will do it for me to help me.

MS. LUNDVALL: One of the things, though, that I'm hoping that the court will find at the conclusion, though, of hearing the evidence, is that, in fact, that this case is actually quite simple.

You've got two contractual documents that are going to be at issue in this case. You've got a contractual document that serves as the foundation for the breach of contract action between Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes, on one hand, and Pardee Homes of Nevada, on the other hand.

Now, both those plaintiffs acknowledge that
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this case is principally about a breach of contract, and they acknowledge that that breach of contract then underlies all three of their causes of action, both the breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, as well as their claim for an accounting.

To interpret their Commission Agreement, the Court is going to be required to take a look at a couple of other contractual documents, and those contractual documents are between Pardee Homes of Nevada and Coyote Springs Investment, LLC. We refer to Coyote Springs Investment as CSI. And with the Court's permission or $I$ guess the Court's indulgence, to try to speed things along --

THE COURT: I already refer to them as CSI, Counsel, in my notes. So that's perfect. I know what you mean.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
One of the things that the court will see with the contractual arrangement then between Pardee and CSI, it reminds me a little bit of an exercise as a child when you used to play connect-the-dots to figure out what the picture is. And one of the things that we are going to do is to allow the Court then and to point out then the different guideposts that are contained within those contractual documents so that you can connect the
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dots and to be able to get an accurate picture of the transactions between Coyote Springs and Pardee.

To the extent that those contractual documents needs further clarification, we're going to bring you both sides of that transaction, not just simply to rely upon what Pardee's stated intent was in entering into its agreements with CSI, but we're going to bring you the other side of that transaction and that being CSI.

And so to allow the court then to interpret the Commission Agreement that's at issue in this case, we're going to give you the guideposts then from the contractual documents between Pardee and CSI, allow you to follow the dots, and to see an accurate picture of what the parties' transaction was, and in addition to be able to listen to the parties' intent when they entered into that contractual arrangement.

Let me describe a little bit of the relationship then between these different parties to each other. The Court then is going to learn that it was in the 1990 s that Harvey Whittemore began to develop the Coyote Springs project. He began developing that project through his company that he refers to as CSI and that we're now referring to as CSI. That project was a 43,000-acre project, and it was unimproved real property that straddled both Clark as well as Lincoln County. It

[^1]was a huge parcel of property.
And if you can imagine that Summerlin doesn't exist. I came to this community in 1982. Summerlin didn't exist. But imagine completely undeveloped property in Summerlin, no roadways, no commercial centers, no parking lots, no residential homes, no custom homesites, no power plants, no utility corridors, nothing. That's what coyote Springs was when the parties began to negotiate then their relationship with each other. And by that $I$ mean Pardee and Coyote Springs.

By 2002 , the plaintiffs will tell you -- and I'm going to make the assumption that on certain points that their testimony that they gave us in deposition is the testimony that they will give to you from the witness stand. But they will tell you that by 2002 , that they had become aware of and acquainted with Harvey Whittemore. They will also tell you that they began tracking his project.

One of the things that, in addition, from an evidentiary standpoint as we told you, is that we're going to bring you the other side of the transaction, and that is Pardee Homes of Nevada. You are going to learn a little bit about Pardee Homes through various representatives that will take the witness stand. They
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are principally a production home builder.
Now, what does that mean? That means that they do not design custom homes and then make every home different. They are what they refer to, and some people, maybe in more of a slang term, refer to as tract homes or production homes, where they design and develop then different floor models, and they develop then housing developments based upon giving options to potential customers as to what may go into the interior. But by and large, most of the stuff on the exterior, not all of it, looks very similar. That is the nature of their business.

They have been in business through their parent company since 1921. They have a slogan, and some people have snickered at this slogan a little bit because some people suggest that maybe it's kind of corny, but their slogan is "Do the right thing." But they take that to heart, and they practice doing the right thing. They practice doing the right thing, and the court is going to see examples of their practice of doing the right thing with the plaintiffs, Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes, in this action. It is a guidepost by which the representatives of Pardee make their business decisions.

You are going to hear from Jon Lash, who is the CEO Of the parent company located in California. You
are also going to hear from Cliff Andrews, who is the president of Pardee Homes of Nevada. In addition, you are going to hear from other individuals associated with Pardee. And this is somewhat going to be dependent upon the evidence that the plaintiff puts on. As the Court knows, we're going to be responsive to their evidence.

But you may hear from Chuck Curtis. You may
hear from Jim Stringer, and you also may hear from Steve Levy. Steve Levy is an outside attorney. He's the attorney that was responsible, from Pardee's perspective, of drafting the various contractual arrangements between Pardee and CSI.

By 2002, you are going to learn that
Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes had also become acquainted with Jon Lash. They had brought some development deals to Mr. Lash. And according, as I indicated, to the plaintiffs, they had been tracking Coyote Springs. And after learning that Mr. Whittemore had obtained water rights for the Coyote Springs project, the plaintiffs contacted Mr. Lash and asked him, if they could facilitate a meeting with Mr. Whittemore, would he attend such a meeting. Mr. Lash indicated that he would.

Unbeknownst to Mr. Lash, though, at that time, Mr. Whittemore and Cliff Andrews, the president of

[^2]Pardee Homes of Nevada, had already begun working together concerning the coyote Springs project. The two of them had already met. Mr. Whittemore had already presented his project to Mr. Andrews, and Mr. Andrews and his staff had already began developing information concerning the coyote springs project.

And what you are going to learn is that Pardee, before the meeting between Mr. Lash and Mr. Whittemore, had already developed an interest in participating in the Coyote Springs project. You will also learn from Mr. Whittemore that Coyote Springs, CSI, had developed an interest in working with Pardee.

As I indicated, though, Mr. Lash said that he would attend a meeting. And this information about Mr. Whittemore and Mr. Andrews then working together already, that was unknown to Mr. Lash at the time. But there was an initial meeting that was scheduled here in Las Vegas, and it was scheduled at Pardee's offices. And you'll learn who was in attendance at that meeting. And principally that meeting entailed Mr. Whittemore presenting his project to Mr. Lash.

Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes were there, and from the different accounts that $I$ have learned of, it doesn't appear that they contributed much of anything to that particular meeting. They were there. They had

[^3]facilitated the introduction between Mr. Lash and Mr. Whittemore. During that meeting, Mr. Whittemore had expressed his desire to sell certain portions of his Coyote Springs project.

And when you think about a project,
particularly of that magnitude, I think the best way here in our community to compare and contrast it is to look at the Summerlin project, because Mr. Whittemore had, as an interest for the development of his project, very similar to Summerlin. He knew there was going to be commercial development there. He knew that there was going to be custom lot development there. He knew that there was going to be industrial development. He knew that there was going to be what is referred to and zoned as single-family production detached homes.

That's the property then that Mr. Whittemore had expressed an interest in and that property falls square then within Pardee's principal form of its business. It had an interest in acquiring certain portions of this project.

And what the parties had originally negotiated then was that $C S I$ was going to sell portions of that, what you are going to hear the witnesses primarily refer to it as single-family residential. It has a very long and specific definition contained within the parties'
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agreement, and it includes the single-family detached production residential, but typically the witnesses will refer to it as single-family residential.

And Coyote Springs was going to maintain control then of all of the commercial land, all of the land that was going to be golf courses, all of the custom lots, all of the multi-family land. And what do I mean by multi-family land? The multi-family land is typically then what condominiums or apartment complexes are built upon. He was going to retain the industrial lands and all other development deals in coyote springs.

And as a result of that meeting, and as a result of the meeting that had already occurred between Mr. Andrews and Mr. Whittemore, Pardee and CSI began a very long and very protracted negotiation. The plaintiffs, Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes, they were not needed with these negotiations. It simply wasn't within their skill set. And they, like most Realtors, were happy to stay out of these continuing negotiations.

And between the builders, Pardee, and the developer then, Mr. Whittemore through Coyote Springs, they were not needed then, and principally what you were seeing is negotiations that went on between different segments of Pardee and different segments of CSI.

And by that $I$ mean this: There were some
negotiations that went on directly between Mr. Lash and Mr. Whittemore. And you are going to learn that they had a record of 18 calls in one day, and that these negotiations were very protracted, very long, very tedious. But ultimately you will learn that these were an arm's length transaction between these two parties. In addition to the other segment, there were design people that were talking to each other. There were also the attorneys that were talking to each other. Mr. Whittemore, while he's an attorney himself, he brought in Carl Savely, who was doing much of the negotiation as it related to water rights.

Steve Levy was the person on behalf of Pardee who was the attorney that began negotiating and that began the drafting process along with Mr. Savely and along with Mr. Whittemore and also, I believe, with David Whittemore, who was an attorney with Lionel sawyer and Collins at the time, that Mr. Whittemore had brought in then, and he was also doing some of the drafting on these contractual arrangements.

Now, this single meeting that $I$ earlier
described, at which time that Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Wolfram were in attendance, that was the sole participation that they did concerning those negotiations.

I asked during deposition and $I$ would assume
then that Mr. Wilkes will confirm this as to the sum total of the time investment that he had into this project tracking the Coyote Springs project, trying to determine then if Mr. Whittemore had obtained his water rights, and he approximated it was about a week's worth of time that he had put into tracking that project collectively, assuming a 40 -hour workweek, working eight hours then on a daily basis.

Now, as Coyote Springs and Pardee were doing their negotiations that ultimately led to the Option Agreement that we're going to bring to the Court's attention, Pardee was also negotiating then with the plaintiffs concerning their Commission Agreement. After several months and, in fact, almost a year's worth of negotiations, Pardee had entered into a written agreement and that written agreement we will bring to your attention is found at Exhibit B in the Defendant's binders, and it's titled Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions. Everyone refers to it in shorthand then as the Option Agreement.

It sets forth the terms of the deal, and specifically it set forth the fact that this deal only dealt with the single-family detached production residential land. That was the only thing that was at
issue.
And so now for the first time when $I$ learn that there's a contention that a wastewater treatment plant, land upon which a wastewater treatment plant was constructed somehow falls within this scope of this Option Agreement or the scope of their Commission Agreement and for which they are entitled to commissions on that, when the court takes a look at the option Agreement, you are going to see that it was only the single-family detached production residential lands that were at issue under the Option Agreement.

Now, prior to the Commission Agreement between Pardee and the plaintiffs being entered into, there were two amendments that were made to the Option Agreement, which is fairly typical in long and protracted negotiations. You reach a deal, and then as you start through your due diligence period, what you realize is that there were certain deal points that need to be amended. And the parties agreed upon those amendments. They memorialized those amendments. And they executed those amendments, and those were done before the Commission Agreement was ever executed.

Now, notably, and contrary to their testimony, they received those amendments, and we will show the Court the documentary evidence transmitting those
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amendments to the plaintiffs.
You are going to see the first amendment that is found at Exhibit E, and you are going to see the second amendment that is found at Exhibit J. And the principal thing that is important in these amendments -there are two principal things, actually.

The original Option Agreement made reference to an acquisition by Pardee for a Purchase Property Price of $\$ 66$ million. And it also identified when the initial closing was going to be on the initial parcel that they were going to take down. The amendments, though, increased the price and then made a change as to when the first closing was going to be.

And as $I$ indicated, contrary to the deposition testimony, which $I$ would assume is going to be the same thing from the witness stand and the same thing that we've now heard during opening statement, is that the plaintiffs were given copies of those amendments.

At the time -- and $I$ think it's important for
the Court to have this understanding, and we will present witnesses then to afford the court this understanding, is at the time this land was in the rawest form of its development. There was no zoning. There was no parceling. There was no mapping. There was no permitting. There was no entitlements. There

[^4]was no design that had been accomplished at that point in time. All of that was work to be done in the future, and all of that work was going to be done jointly between Pardee and CSI.

In addition, which $I$ think is very important for the Court to understand, is that there were multiple issues that were going to impact the boundaries of the lands that were going to be acquired by Pardee.

When we point out in the Option Agreement, you are going to see multiple places in the option Agreement where the parties identified that the boundaries are going to change and that the boundaries that were at issue in the Option Agreement were not fixed.

I'm going to use the map that $I$ think the plaintiffs referred to in their opening statement just to make one reference and that being this: This is what the plaintiffs contend is the parcel of property that was being acquired by Pardee under the Option Agreement. That is not accurate, absolutely not accurate.

You are going to be able to see the Option Agreement. You are going to be able to listen to the witnesses' testimony, both from Pardee's perspective as well as CSI's perspective. The easiest way to have an understanding that this is not what was at issue is looking at what the size of this is.

This property, and as was written into the very first Option Agreement, is 3, 605 acres, and I think it's point 22. At another place in the Option Agreement, it identified that Pardee was going to be acquiring land from CSI at a price of $\$ 44,800$ an acre. Simple math, you take that 3,605 acres and multiply it by 44,800 , and what do you get? 160 million and a whole bunch of change.

But the Option Agreement was abundantly clear that the Purchase Property Price was $\$ 66$ million. So to suggest that this is what Pardee was purchasing is inaccurate, and we will point out multiple places in the Option Agreement then so the Court can have an understanding as to why that was inaccurate.

Now let me identify some of the issues for which the parties knew at the time were going to change the boundaries of what was being acquired by Pardee. Those issues included --

THE COURT: When you say "parties," you mean CSI and Pardee?

MS. LUNDVALL: Absolutely, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure I'm following you.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: She's not talking about the plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Yes. And I think of parties as plaintiff and defendant. I've been a lawyer too long. So I'm following. I just wanted to make sure.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So this is between CSI and Pardee.
MS. LUNDVALL: One of the things that you are going to hear from both the Pardee representatives, as well as the CSI representatives, is that there were multiple issues that were going to impact then the boundaries of the lands that were going to be acquired.

The first one was the BLM configuration. When you take a look at the entire 43,000 -acre site, at the time that the parties began negotiating, there was a big piece that was in the middle of it that belonged to the BLM. The parties wanted to move that outside or move that then to one of the outer boundaries. And there were at least three separate forms and ideas and suggestions for moving that BLM piece to a different location. That still had to be done yet, and they knew that at the time of the Option Agreement, and that's written into the Option Agreement.

In addition, there were wildlife issues out at that parcel. There's what they referred to as the Moapa dace, which is a small fish, and its wildife and its habitat had to be accounted for. There was also
wildlife issues dealing with the desert tortoises that had to be accounted for.

In addition, there was utility corridor, and that utility corridor was an easement that ran against the very southern portion of the boundary, and the parties wanted to be able to move that utility corridor to federal lands so that that portion of the property, which was very prime developable land, could be used as developable land rather than a utility corridor.

They knew that there was going to be golf courses on this entire 43 acres, and that there was going to be a signature course designed by Jack Nicklaus. Jack Nicklaus, you will learn, is a very creative individual, but also a very demanding individual. And he would say, You know, I want Hole No. 3 to go up over against this ridge. And so the boundaries and the contours then of the golf course lands that were being held by CSI, those were being moved to accommodate the designs that Jack Nicklaus was bringing to the golf courses.

Also there were subdivision, permitting, entitlement processes, all of which would require boundary changes. In addition, there were design changes. The parties were still working through what was the proper design by which to bring to the

[^5]Coyote Springs project and how to map that design that they were negotiating.

Now, as $I$ indicated, at the same time that Pardee was negotiating with coyote Springs, they were also negotiating with the plaintiffs concerning their finder's fee or their commission. And what you are going to learn is that Commission Agreement was extensively negotiated.

What you are also going to learn is that the plaintiffs were represented by very competent counsel in those negotiations, none other than Jim Jimmerson, as part of this case, and that there were significant negotiations back and forth between those parties.

You are also going to learn, and $I$ think the plaintiffs themselves will acknowledge, that they were seasoned real estate professionals. So it wasn't as if this was their first rodeo. It wasn't as if this was their first commission agreement they ever negotiated.

All of the obligations they do acknowledge, though, are found within the four corners of that Commission Agreement, and they acknowledge that whatever duties that Pardee had to them are found within the four corners of that Commission Agreement. And equally, the duties that they owed to Pardee are found within the four corners of that Commission Agreement, in addition
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to the covenant of good faith and fair dealing that's implied within every contract.

Pardee had elected to negotiate and to move forward with its Commission Agreement, notwithstanding the very first meeting that Cliff Andrews and Harvey Whittemore had already had before the plaintiffs had introduced Mr. Whittemore to Mr. Lash. It is an example of Pardee doing the right thing by these individuals. Rather than taking the position that, Hey, we've already developed an interest and you guys weren't the people that furthered that interest, they negotiated a Commission Agreement. They did the right thing.

Now, this Commission Agreement is going to be found at Exhibit $L$, and you are going to see that it governs the payment of commissions and also the provision of certain information that Pardee agreed to provide to the plaintiffs.

And it's this Commission Agreement that the plaintiffs accuse Pardee of breaching, and they acknowledge that it's the breach of that contract that serves as the common denominator between their claim for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, as well as their claim for accounting. But you are going to learn from the plaintiffs themselves that they acknowledge that this case is
principally about breach of contract.
Now, the Commission Agreement expressly states in the very first paragraph that, in fact, all of the capitalized terms in the Commission Agreement have the same meanings that are set forth within the Option Agreement. And that's what requires the Court then to go back and forth between these documents.

The copies of the Option Agreement and the amendment, as we had indicated, were given to the plaintiffs by Stewart Title Company, and we will show you the documents transmitting those. Exhibit M is simply one example of those transmissions.

What I'm going to do is use the same poster boards that $I$ used during the motion for summary judgment argument in pointing out because it guides what the evidence is going to be within this case. These are excerpts from the Commission Agreement. We've offered the Commission Agreement as Exhibit L.

And what you are going to see is that there were three provisions concerning payment. Those three provisions, the first two, dealt with what the Purchase Property Price was that was being paid by Pardee to CSI. And as the Court will see, paragraph 1 and paragraph 2 , for those Purchase Property Price, and the commissions that were going to be paid on there have nothing to do
with acreage, location, parcels. They had everything to do with what the price was that Pardee was paying to CSI. And this "Purchase Property Price" is capitalized here. So we know that we have to go then to the option Agreement to determine what that was.

Subsection (iii) is going to be important to look at in its entirety, because the commission that they got was not just simply based upon Option Property generically. It was Option Property purchased by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. So what we're going to have to do is to obviously go to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement and to figure out what these purchases might entail if Pardee ever did purchase Option Property.

As we're going to demonstrate to the Court, the original Option Agreement provided for $\$ 66$ million for a Purchase Property Price, but the amendments took it up to $\$ 84$ million. And, therefore, what the Court will see then, through particularly the second amendment, is that these Purchase Property prices then were -- the commissions that were based upon this Purchase Property Price paid to the plaintiffs was based upon $\$ 84$ million.

Now, there is an argument that could have been made, based upon the language and the way that this was written, is that once you take the 50 and once you take
the 16 and they got to the original 66 million, that that's where their commissions could have stopped. But Pardee didn't take that position. It also recognized that they had entered into an agreement based upon Purchase Property Price, and they paid them commissions on the full $\$ 84$ million.

Now, one of the things that $I$ would also think is important, because of the allegation that we did not give them proper information about the amount and the due dates concerning their commissions, is to take a look at the balance of the Commission Agreement.

And let me point out where I'm making reference
to. In the Commission Agreement there is this
paragraph, and it's been pointed out to the court many times. It has two provisions to it. The first sentence obligates Pardee to give them a copy of the written option exercise notice given pursuant to, once again we've got reference to paragraph 2 of the option Agreement, together with information about the acreage that was being acquired. "In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amounts and the due dates of your commission payments."

So what does that mean? We've got to take a look then when the first commission payment was due, and

[^6]that is set forth within with the Commission Agreement as well. And it informs the court as to what our commission payments were going to be to the plaintiffs.

The first commission payment was going to be made on the initial purchase closing. That, once again, is capitalized and, therefore, we've got to go to the Option Agreement and its amendment to see when that happens. And that was based upon, with respect to the aggregated deposits made prior to that time.

The Court is going to learn that that initial purchase closing was in the March, April time frame of 2005. They got their first commission payment in accord with that initial purchase closing. The aggregated deposits that had been made at that point in time were $\$ 10$ million, and their initial commission then was based upon that $\$ 10$ million aggregated payment.

And then it says, Pardee shall make each additional commission payment pursuant to Clauses 1 and 2 concurrently with the applicable Purchase Property payment to coyote. It makes no reference to closings. It makes no reference to acreage. It makes no reference to location. It makes no reference to boundaries. The commission payments under paragraphs 1 and 2 were going to be made dependent upon the payments that were made by Pardee to CSI.

And one of the things that you are going to learn then is that these purchase -- these payments then began to accrue on a monthly basis. Principally, as part of the Option Agreement, you are going to see a schedule of what the due dates of those payments were.

And after the initial aggregated deposits, you are going to see a schedule that obligated Pardee to pay $\$ 1.5$ million a month to CSI. And so what happened? The plaintiffs received a commission payment based upon that $\$ 1.5$ million payment a month that was being made by Pardee to CSI.

The Court is very familiar with the allegation concerning the Option Property payment or the Option Property. In the original motion for summary judgment they had argued that somehow we had changed the definition. As part of our proof that we will bring to the Court's attention, there were no changes to the definition of Option Property across any of the amendments.

What we're also going to demonstrate to the Court is that we fully performed under this Commission Agreement. We are going to bring you, under Exhibit $A$, and it's going to be somewhat tedious, you are going to learn that the escrow companies were responsible then for developing the procedure and they did develop the
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And you are going to see then on a regular basis that there was an order to pay broker commission. That order to pay broker commission, each and every one of them, you are going to see under Exhibit A. And you are going to see that order to pay broker's commission was prepared by the escrow company. It began with Stewart Title and then it moved to Chicago Title.

And what you are going to see also then is that
those orders to pay broker's commission identify each and every time that there was an aggregate deposit that had been accumulated that was paid at the purchase closing. It identified escrow numbers. It identified dates. It identified amounts of payments, how those were going to be split, which escrow company it was. And those orders to pay commissions were sent then to the plaintiffs.

And in addition, when they received their check, there was also a memo as part of their check that identified what the escrow number was concerning this particular transaction as the title company then began to accumulate these monies and then began to pay out on those monies.

And you are going to see one by one by one each and every month that they receive those payments, the

[^8]amount of those payments, and the information that was contained on those payments that was sent to the plaintiffs. And it identified to a $T$ compliance then with this schedule and, therefore, identified to a $T$ that they were reasonably informed as to the amounts and the due dates of their commissions.

And I will get into the additional information
that they were given as well in support of this after they began advancing questions. But before there was ever any dispute, Pardee took reasonable efforts and exercised those reasonable efforts to ensure that they were informed as to the amounts and the due dates of their commission payments. And all of that then came through the escrow company.

Now, the second portion of this case then deals with whether or not Pardee has purchased any Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the option Agreement. And what the Court is going to learn is that there was a very established process with a great number of documents that would have been generated if Pardee had purchased Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 in the Option Agreement And let me explain just a little generally how this works because it makes sense, I think, when you have the big picture.

There were certain properties that were going

[^9]to be acquired as these deposits accumulated, as the mapping was done, as some of the boundaries then became to be fixed, and, therefore, the parcels themselves Pardee would know where they were. But what happened, though, after those initial parcels were developed, Pardee had an option to purchase other lands at Coyote that may be designated for single-family residential development.

And what happens is that you want to give notice to the world that Pardee had that option. In other words, CSI had to first sell it to Pardee. If CSI was going to designate it, if they were going to sell it, they first had to give Pardee that option. And that was memorialized then in an Option Agreement that was recorded.

So what had to happen then is, through the process, when you go through paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement and you put it in conjunction with also paragraph 9, which deals with the escrow instructions that concern Option Property, what you learn is this: That there had to be a designation by CSI of additional single-family properties. There had to be a written notice of exercising that option that was required by Pardee.

There had to be a written agreement drawn for
the acquisition of that additional Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2, and there would have to be escrow instructions given to the escrow company pursuant -- if Option Property, pursuant to paragraph 2 would be acquired. They would have to open an escrow, and all the standard things that go along with purchasing a parcel of property. Classic one, title insurance.

Also the parties had a very specific Option Property deed that was going to be required. And in addition, if Pardee was buying portions of what had been designated, there had to be modifications made to the Option Property memo that had been recorded against the other property.

In other words, as they -- if they had actually bought Option Property, the Option Property memo would have to get smaller in its description because it would be less. There would be documentary transfer tax documents, and there would be new quitclaim deeds. The quitclaim deeds then were required because there were certain remainder interests that Pardee would be obligated to give back then to CSI as part of such a transaction.

So all of those things would have had to have been done if Pardee had purchased Option Property. And
when you think about that, when you total all of those things up, and you are going to learn from the witnesses, not only would Pardee have those documents, but CSI would also have those documents, as well as the escrow companies.

There were subpoenas, Your Honor, that went to CSI and to the escrow companies, and there were no documents dealing with Option Property that $I$ just described. In addition, there would be public records as to the changes to the Option Property memorandum.

The Option Property deeds, transfer taxes, all of that would have been public records. None of those documents exist.

When we told the plaintiffs that we had not exercised any Option Property, we were telling them the truth. And part of what you will learn from the witnesses and the documentary evidence in this case is that we have not purchased any Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement.

Without that purchase, number one, there would be no written information to give to them. And, in addition, there would be no additional commissions that would be owed to them.

Now, one of the things $I$ wanted to begin to highlight a little bit, because it has come up during
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the opening argument then by plaintiffs' counsel, is that he seems to focus on that we did not give information to which the plaintiffs were entitled to to the plaintiffs when they began their questioning about what information they were entitled to.

First and foremost, $I$ think you have to take a look at what did the contractual obligation $--\quad$ what was Pardee contractually obligated to give to them? We were obligated to give them a notice if we were taking down Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2. If that didn't exist, quite obviously, there would be nothing that we could give to them.

You go down then to the last paragraph. We were supposed to keep them reasonably informed as to the amounts and the due dates then of their commissions. So let's talk about then what evidence exists as to what information that they received on that. As I told you, at Exhibit $A$, the Court is going to find then all of the notices, all of the information that came from the escrow companies, each and every one of the orders to pay commission, the escrow numbers, the information that was on that, how much in payments then that Pardee was making to CSI, how much has been aggregated, how much on a monthly basis they were paying. Each and every one of those pieces of information are contained in there.

First it came from Stewart Title and then later it became Chicago Title. It had escrow numbers. It had name of the title company. It had percentage of the commission to be paid, to whom, and how it was going to be split then between the plaintiffs. All of that is found within each Exhibit A.

You are also going to find each commission check that was received by the plaintiffs. That too contained the amount of their commission, the escrow number, the payee, the payor, along with a memo explaining how that amount was determined.

There came a circumstance across the course then of when Pardee was paying these monthly payments to the plaintiffs that they were overpaid. We learned of that and we sent them a letter telling them that, in fact, that they had been overpaid and how that overpayment was going to be taken into account, in other words, how we were going to catch up that overpayment that was given to them.

As part of that letter, we also told them -and we're now like into 2007 , into the 2007 time frame. The relationship between Pardee and the relationship between CSI moved on, and the parties had additional negotiations, and they had additional negotiations for other properties. And we told them that we had
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additional negotiations for these other properties, for example, with the golf course.

But we also told them that they weren't
entitled to commissions on these other properties, and I
think that throughout the course of discovery, that they've finally acknowledged that they are not entitled to commissions on anything other than the single-family residential land.

We sent them -- but we told them of these additional negotiations. This was another example of us doing the right thing then by the plaintiffs. We also told them when, in fact, we had made our very last payment that totaled $\$ 84$ million to CSI. We sent them a letter telling them, This is when we're making this payment. It will be the last payment, and it will be a total of $\$ 84$ million.

They began questioning then what land we had taken down in exchange for that $\$ 84$ million. Now, from our perspective, we didn't think that we had a duty to give them that, but we thought that it would help them understand what properties that we had received in exchange for the $\$ 84$ million.

And so we created a parcel map. We identified with specificity then when those were taken down, what amounts were used to pay for those, how that some of
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those lands that were taken down were purchased at 50 -percent of value. Why? Because that's what the Option Agreement provided.

As we told the Court, we also informed them of the additional negotiations that had been between Pardee and CSI and gave them that information. We informed them that they were not entitled to commissions on those additional transactions.

And then when they had questions concerning the takedowns, after they had been paid in full, Mr. Wolfram, on behalf of both himself and Mr. Wilkes, began questioning the title company. And we authorized the title company to give them all information dealing with the single-family production homes, which was the subject of the commission agreement, all information. And the title company did.

What you are going to see is exchanges then where Mr. Wolfram was asking for deeds that made up the \$84 million acquisitions, and he received each and every one of them. There were a couple that were missing in the original provision to him. He asked for them and he got those as well. Pardee told the escrow company, Give them all of the information concerning the single-family homes, and they did.

At this point in time $I$ could get into arguing
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my case. I do not think it appropriate to do so during opening statement.

THE COURT: There's a time for that.
MS. LUNDVALL: I agree with you.
But the one thing that $I$ would ask the Court to
do -- if this were a jury trial -- and $I$ put this
admonition into every single jury trial that $I$ have.
THE COURT: Keep an open mind until all the
evidence is in. I certainly appreciate that. I told it
to every jury. And $I$ will do the same thing.
MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And $I$ told you that during summary
judgment. I only made factual determinations that $I$
needed for that. I said many times when we did it,
Counsel, if you remember, I'm not judging the -- until we get to the bench trial, I'm not judging any evidence, and that's what this is for.

So I assure both parties, I have not prejudged anything. I have a little more information just from the argument, but as you and $I$ both know, mostly legal. I don't know whether the facts will show that or not. Hopefully, I was clear to both parties at the time of the different motions as we've gone through. I've not made any evidentiary -- that's -- I'm taking very tedious notes for the opening because this is, in
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all honesty, a lot of a new information that the court was not aware of.

So I will keep an open mind until the end. I understand that obligation, and $I$ promise both parties I will do that.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
Because $I$ know that -- $I$ think it's a little bit doubly hard during a bench trial, because the parties have brought certain pieces of information, but it's always been cast into what the obligations were, particularly on a motion for summary judgment.

THE COURT: I agree with you completely.
That's why I tried to tell you. I learned pieces. I understand $I$ am obligated to make my decision on the full, full facts of the case and in that respect and on the evidence that comes in front of me.

And I used to tell juries, you know, we're putting together a puzzle. You don't really know what the puzzle is until all the pieces are there, and I firmly believe that as judge just as I firmly said it to every jury $I$ had, "Please promise me that."

And $I$ promise both parties that. I know that's my ethical obligation, and $I$ promise you that is paramount to me that $I$ will do that. I have not prejudged anything.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
THE COURT: Just the facts that $I$ needed to try
to determine -- you know -- I tried to be very up-front with both counsel when $I$ was analyzing the legal issues that have been, you know, complex and tough. But I analyzed it for those purposes, Counsel, not what will happen at trial.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I promise you that.
MS. LUNDVALL: You used the analogy as far as pieces of a puzzle. I use that same one. I also use the analogy of connecting the dots.

THE COURT: Yes. I actually thought that was interesting.

MS. LUNDVALL: And let me go back and reassure you that we will connect the dots so that you get an accurate picture both from Pardee's perspective as well as CSI's perspective as to what purchases were at issue.

THE COURT: And $I$ do understand there's almost three parties here. There's two parties here, but there's this silent third party of CSI that is also here in some respects. I appreciate that's what makes it a more difficult case.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
I guess the one last thing that $I$ wanted as far
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as to underscore with the court, there was a suggestion during opening statement that the reason that the plaintiffs had received -- or that they filed this case is because they couldn't get information.

One of the things that the Court will have to decide is whether or not certain letters are going to be admissible. But if you assume that those letters are going to be admissible, what you are going to learn is that, in fact, the plaintiffs were asking for and they were claiming at the time that their Commission Agreement took them beyond the single-family lands and that they wanted information concerning the commercial transactions, the golf course transactions, other transactions that were not the subject of their Commission Agreement.

One of the things that the court is going to learn from both Pardee, as well as CSI, is that those development details, those development issues that they had negotiated were very important to them and very important to them to be maintained as confidential and not to get out into the community. That's why certain exhibits have been designated as confidential pursuant to the parties' stipulated protective order.

If there are specific references made to those issues, we are going to ask the court to enforce then

[^10]the protective order, and it may require then certain, you know, folks within the courtroom, that they may not be able to be permitted to be here.

THE COURT: Whatever you feel is important, I will certainly enforce it. When $I$ read the confidentiality, $I$ understood that and agreed with that. I think both parties agreed.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor. With that, we'll look forward to the first witness.

THE COURT: I will work with both counsel on the confidentiality so the case can still move forward, but we keep it confidential.

I thank both of you.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, before we call
our first witness, do you mind if we take a break?
THE COURT: I think that's a great idea. Why
don't we take about a 15-minute break.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Welcome. Thank you. I had to do a quick order so it was a few more minutes. Sorry.

Mr. Jimmerson, call your first witness.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: We would like to call
James Wolfram to the stand, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, JAMES WOLFRAM was duly sworn.)
THE CLERK: For the record, please state and
spell your first and last name.
THE WITNESS: James, J-a-m-e-s, F, Wolfram, $W-o-l-f-r-a-m$.

Whereupon,
JAMES WOLFRAM,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
Q. Mr. Wolfram --
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like some water?
Marshal, can we get the witness some water?
THE WITNESS: There's actually a pitcher.
THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, how old are you?
A. I'll be 76 in January.
Q. Where do you live?
A. 212 Canyon Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 .
Q. How long have you lived in Las Vegas?
A. 1973 .
Q. How are you currently employed?
A. I'm retired right now.
Q. Before you had retired, how were you employed?
A. I was a real estate -- well, actually in the
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beginning, I owned a clothing store. I didn't know what I was going to do when \(I\) came out here. But my real estate practice got much bigger than my clothing store. So I had to do away with the clothing store.
Q. And what did you -- what does it mean when you tell us that you are a real estate agent?
A. That means that \(I\) would find properties for other people, through a commission agreement, what have you, and put the two properties together, ready, able, and willing people, and be the procuring cause of a sale.
Q. Did you receive any formal education to prepare you for your employment as a real estate agent?
A. Certainly. You had to take courses for real estate. Before you can become a real estate agent, you had to take several classes.
Q. Did you go to college?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you graduate college?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Where did you go?
A. West Virginia University. I graduated in 1964 .
Q. And what degree did you graduate with?
A. I had a degree in industrial forestry.
Q. When did you begin working as a real estate
agent?
A. 1974.
Q. And where were you in 1974?
A. Here in Las Vegas.
Q. How long were you employed as a real estate agent?
A. Until -- until I retired. I mean, I retired probably seven or eight years ago.
Q. As a real estate agent, how did you earn money?
A. I earned money by bringing people together on different purchases, and \(I\) got paid a commission for doing that work.
Q. And how would you receive this commission?
A. Well, you have to be the procuring cause, and you have to have ready, willing, and able people to be buyers. And then you draw up a commission agreement. And if the real estate closes, then you get a commission.
Q. When, if ever, would you receive a commission if the land transaction did not close?
A. Wow. I don't think that's ever happened to me. That would be rare.
Q. Why wouldn't you receive a commission if the land didn't close?
A. I didn't earn it.
Q. In your experience, is it normal that a real estate agent only receives a commission when a land transaction closes?
A. When a transaction closes, that's when you get a commission.
Q. What would a normal commission be in your line of work?
A. Well, in land, which is mostly what \(I\) worked in, it's usually one to ten percent. Ten percent is usually the highest. I'm not saying it can't go above that. There are people that go -- there's usury above there and all that, but normally it's one to ten percent, and you sort of negotiate out what the commission is going to be.
Q. And how would that negotiation proceed?
A. Well, you'd have to sit down with the seller or
the buyer, the one that's paying the commission. You have to sit down and come to some kind of a fair agreement, what both parties thought was a fair agreement.
Q. After you had negotiated the commission and entered into a commission agreement, what would you do to ensure that you received the proper commission payment?
A. Do you mean -- restate that.
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Q. Well, after you have a commission agreement and after a land sale has closed and you receive a commission, what do you do to make sure that that commission was the proper amount?
A. There are several things. You could check with the recorder's office. You can see that the price was right, those type of things.
Q. Have you ever -- do you have any experience where you didn't know if the commission -- where you didn't know initially if your commission was proper and didn't get documents concerning that commission?
A. Well, most of the time \(I\) had documents. Most of the time you didn't have to worry about getting paid the fair commission. In my business, though, it's very easy in all the different land transactions for people to find ways to go around you so you don't know what's happening. That's when you start going to the recorder's office and looking at parcel maps and what have you, because it does happen.
Q. Now, outside of this case, Pardee and

Coyote Springs, what experience have you had when your commission would be contingent upon future purchases, like options?
A. That rarely happened to me. I mean, usually a commission is paid. It's just paid at the time of
closing.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, how do you know Walt Wilkes?
A. I met Walt. I was one of the people that started Award Realty here in Las Vegas, and Walt came to work for Award Realty. I had a desk in the back part of the real estate office. And Walt came to work, and he sat on the chair right in front of me, and that's when \(I\) first met Walt. I had heard Walt's name before and he'd probably heard mine too, because we worked in that same area.
Q. Did you ever work with Walt and split
commissions?
A. Yes. We split a lot of commissions.
Q. And how did you begin splitting commissions with Mr. Wilkes?
A. Well, we both decided that we both knew a lot about land and real estate, and we felt that joining efforts, we could make more money by joining efforts. So we would both look for land, different land, and put them together and negotiate down what we thought would work, what wouldn't work, and we got a sale. We got paid a commission.
Q. How long have you worked with Mr. Wilkes in splitting some of these commissions?
A. Wow. The exact number of years, \(I\) can't -- I
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don't know exactly. Maybe ten, somewhere in that neighborhood, I guess. I'm not really certain on the exact date that we had our first commission.
Q. Before you had entered into the Commission Letter Agreement of September 1, 2004 with Pardee, did you know a man by the name of Jon Lash?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did you know Mr. Lash?
A. I knew Mr. Lash through Walt. Walt had done some land transactions with another gentleman at Pardee Homes. That gentleman left and I guess Jon Lash took his place, as I understand it. And Walt mentioned that Jon Lash was a really nice guy and maybe we should start working with him. I had never met Jon at the time, but I met him through Walt because of his acquaintance.
Q. Did you ever work to find land for Mr. Lash before the transaction in Coyote Springs?
A. We worked a lot with Jon Lash. We showed Jon Lash a lot of properties. I mean, you have to understand in land real estate, you might show someone ten properties, and you might only -- they might only find one, if they do. You have to show a lot of properties to get a sale because it doesn't -- one property doesn't work for everybody.

And we had shown Jon a lot of property. In
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fact, we showed Jon so many properties that we made an aerial photo and a parcel map to go with it, and we sent the aerial photo and parcel map over to Jon and marked out all the properties we were showing him so when we talked on the telephone, we wouldn't get confused.
Q. Did there ever come a time that you met a man by the name of Harvey Whittemore?
A. Yes, I met Harvey.
Q. When did you meet Harvey?
A. Well, I met Harvey personally. I knew Harvey before. Because what was happening, I knew about the land at Coyote Springs, and I'd check on that land every year for several years. I knew that until Harvey got - and he explained that until Harvey got the water at that land, you know, it was going to be very, very tough to have a project.

And I'd call a couple times a year, maybe, and ask Harvey if he got the water. And that's how I knew him and until we got into the Pardee transaction, where we explained everything to him and I actually had a meeting and \(I\) actually did meet him.
Q. Why did you track the land at coyote Springs before it had the water?
A. I knew that project, the way land was going in Las Vegas, the residential land was getting really low.
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I mean, it's gone from buying big parcels to small parcels for the developers to find something, unless the government would let loose of property. And I knew that up there, it was going to some day be a big project. It will be a nice city in Nevada.
Q. Do you remember when Mr. Whittemore got his water?
A. Yeah. I had called up there. Here's what happened here. We had shown to Mr. Lash. He was wanting to do a large project and he's done large projects in California. So it was a good buyer. And he asked us to find him some large land.

Well, to make a long story short, we did find
him some land. We had White Hills over across
Boulder Dam or Hoover Dam, and escrow instructions -that came pretty close to becoming a reality, but the water over there, some of the wells they dug was not good water. Some water was fresh water. And there were problems so they finally let the escrow go down.

So then we knew Jon wanted the land. We talked
to Jon. So we went up to Sandy Valley. And Walt and I made a complete aerial photo of Sandy Valley and a complete parcel map, put them all together so we could see if we could find areas big enough for Jon to do a big project. And we put together up there several,
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several large acreages.
But then again, once we got into it, Mr. Lash went up to sandy Valley, and he found out that the Petersons had bought up a lot of the water rights, and water was the problem again because the Petersons were taking the water rights up to state Line, and that one fell through.

And that's when \(I\) called Harvey and I asked
Harvey, I said, Harvey, how is the water doing?
He said, We've got it. And he said --
I said, Well, we've got somebody we'd like to
talk to you about, you know, a client.
And he said, That would be wonderful except, he says, I've got two offers on the property already.

And I said, Hold off. I said, We've got a buyer that's really done this before. This is a buyer that you would really like to have.

And he said, Jim, \(I\) can't hold off forever, but you go to your client, you talk to your client, and let's see where we go.

And that's what \(I\) did. I went. And Jon was interested, Mr. Whittemore was interested, and that's how it started.
Q. There was a -- you stated that -- once this initial interest had formed, did you ever have a meeting
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with representatives of Coyote Springs and representatives of Pardee?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember when that meeting was?
A. It was after Harvey and Jon had talked and they set up a meeting at their office here close to

Warm Springs and the Beltway out there, their office here, to discuss -- they had already decided they were both interested in this project, and that was the initial meeting out there at the time.
Q. What did you do during that meeting?
A. Basically during that meeting Walt and \(I\) would listen. I mean, Harvey knew what he wanted to do with the property. Jon knew he wanted to buy a large property. And we sat and we listened to see, you know, where it was going, whether we were going to get a contract or not.
Q. During this meeting was there any indication that Pardee was going to engage in a transaction before -- engage in a transaction with coyote Springs before you had this meeting?
A. Yeah. They were both interested in each other. I mean, they were both interested or we wouldn't have had the meeting. They were both --
Q. Was there any specific mention of the dialogue
between Mr. Andrews and Mr. Whittemore?
A. No, not at all. When I talked -- when Walt or I had talked to Harvey, Harvey told us -- we told him we had a very, very, very strong candidate, \(I\) mean, really strong. That interested him.

And when we had talked to Harvey, I don't know where this came with Cliff Andrews, because he had told us he had not dealt with Pardee at all, and \(I\) heard a minute ago that Cliff Andrews had already talked to him.

But \(I\) also remember one time Jon coming out of a meeting we had, and he had said -- and Cliff was present -- How does this go when two guys come in with a large property like this, and you are my guy here in Las Vegas and you didn't even know about it?

And \(I\) know that, from my talking with Harvey
when \(I\) talked to him, that he did not have any transactions with Pardee. If he did, he certainly didn't say it.
Q. Did there ever come a time when Pardee expressed to you that they wanted to engage you and agree to a proper commission for being the procuring cause of the land transaction at Coyote springs?
A. Yes. We discussed drawing up a commission agreement.
Q. Did you negotiate that commission agreement
yourself?
A. No. I didn't negotiate it myself. I felt on something this large, \(I\) better get legal help to negotiate the commission. So that was when \(I\) went to Mr. Jimmerson, attorney, and had him negotiate the commission. And we asked questions and talked, but he negotiated it.
Q. Did there come a time when you finalized and executed this agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please open the binder, the Binder 1 through 5?

THE COURT: If you look on the front, it should say Plaintiffs' 1 through 5 .

THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 through 5. All right.
All right. Ask me again, please.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Flip open to Tab 1 .
A. Let me get my glasses. All right.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, what is this document?
A. This is the Commission Agreement for

Coyote Springs.
Q. What is the subject line of this Commission Agreement?
A. Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions dated as of

June 1st, 2004 , as amended, the Option Agreement between Coyote Springs, Investment, LLC, (Coyote), and Pardee home of Nevada, (Pardee.)
Q. When it says here Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions dated as of June 1, 2004 , as amended, did you know what agreement that was referring to?
A. It was referring to the Option Agreement of the contract that they had signed together, the Option Agreement.
Q. Can you flip to Tab 2? Is this that Option Agreement?
A. Yes. That's that Option Agreement.
Q. Can you please flip to page 39?
A. All right.
Q. Does the top paragraph on page 39 make any reference --

MS. LUNDVALL: Counsel, you are making
reference to 39 of the agreement or 39 of the trial exhibit?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Page 39 of the agreement. THE WITNESS: When you say top paragraph, are you talking 19 or up above?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Above. Does this paragraph make any reference to a Commission Agreement
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with you and Mr. Wilkes?
THE COURT: It's Plaintiffs' 39 -- page 39.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I apologize, Your Honor.
It's Plaintiffs' 41 , is the Bates.
THE COURT: I look at the Bates stamp. I'm
kind of a Bates stamp person, if you don't mind. Thank you. Makes sense now.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you --
THE WITNESS: Give me just a second to read
this and make sure \(I\) understand.
Okay. I've read it.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Does this paragraph make reference to a Commission Agreement with you and Mr. Wilkes?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. What does it say about that Commission

Agreement?
A. It says, Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon and subject to the close of escrow for the Purchase Property or any Option Property, buyer shall pay any finder's fee owed to General Realty Group, Walt Wilkes, and Award Realty Group, Jim Wolfram, pursuant to a separate agreement. Said fee shall be split equally.
Q. Was the Commission Letter Agreement that's been
```

Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 568
District Court, Dept. IV

```
entered into evidence as Plaintiffs' 1, is that the agreement contemplated by this sentence?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, it says here --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
to that question and that answer and ask for it to be stricken. This gentleman was not a party to this agreement and, therefore, he doesn't know what was contemplated.

THE COURT: Why don't you just rephrase the question? Ask is it his understanding, if you would ask it that way.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You're welcome. Sustained, but just ask it a different way.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, what is your understanding as to the relationship between your Commission Agreement and this sentence in the Option Agreement?
A. My understanding is just what it says here, they would pay a finder's fee to General Realty Group, Walt Wilkes, and Award Realty Group, Jim Wolfram, pursuant to a separate agreement, that they would pay me a commission and they would pay Walt a commission.
Q. Was there a separate agreement executed?
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A. Yes. There was a separate agreement executed.
Q. Was that the Commission Letter Agreement of September 1, 2004?
A. Yes, that was.
Q. Now, it says here that there was a finder fee owed to General Realty Group, in parentheses Walt Wilkes, and Award Realty Group, in parentheses Jim Wolfram. What are those two realty groups?
A. That was where we were working at the time when this Commission Agreement was drawn up.
Q. At the time when you had the Commission Agreement drawn up, who was to be paid the commissions under that agreement?
A. Mr. Walt Wilkes and myself split equally.
Q. Did General Realty Group and Award Realty Group have any interest in the monies that were being paid as commissions?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I think --
THE COURT: We already addressed this.
MS. LUNDVALL: Exactly.
THE COURT: We already know it was assigned, and I think that's been decided. So there's not an issue to me that it was owed directly to Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes, and the realty companies -- we've already decided that; correct?
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MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So I understand that foundation.
It goes directly to them.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The realty companies are out. They
assigned their rights and interests. You're welcome.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, please flip back to Tab 1, the Commission Letter Agreement.

THE COURT: Is that the same as a Defendant's exhibit.

MS. LUNDVALL: It's the same as Defendant's Exhibit L.

THE COURT: Okay. I like to keep it.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, in the first paragraph after the greeting, Gentlemen, what does the second sentence say about that paragraph?
A. In the event --
Q. No. Except --

THE COURT: Are you asking him to read it?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Are you asking me to read what's
after "Gentlemen"?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) No. The sentence
between, "This letter is intended" to and "In the
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event."
A. Except as otherwise defined here, the capitalized words used in his agreement shall have the meanings as set forth in the Option Agreement.
Q. What does that sentence mean to you?
A. It means to me that anything that's capitalized in this agreement that \(I\) have refers back to the capital letters in the Option Agreement. They are tied together.
Q. Is the term "Option Property" a capitalized term in this agreement?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Is the term "Purchase Property Price" a capitalized term?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Please look back to Tab 2 at Plaintiffs' 3, page 3.
A. Okay.
Q. What is the definition of "Purchase Property Price" in the Option Agreement?
A. The purchase price of the Purchase Property, the Purchase Property Price, shall be \(\$ 66\) million, which shall be payable as follows.
Q. Did there come a time that this Purchase Property Price was increased?
A. It was, but \(I\)-- this is where I really had a little bit of problem. That was what \(I\) was calling over. I was calling Jon and calling other people trying to find out. I wanted an explanation as to what was going on. There was -- the amendment came one day before I signed the Commission Agreement. I didn't know anything about an amendment. I was just a little bit in the dark and \(I\) wanted an explanation.
Q. Please flip to Tab 4.

THE COURT: Can you give me a reference what he was just talking about? He kind of -MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'm going right there. THE COURT: Thank you.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, are you at Tab 4?
A. Not yet. Okay.
Q. When you are referring to the amendment, are you referring to this amendment, Amendment No. 2 to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the date of this amendment?
A. It's the 31 st day of August, 2004 .
Q. What is the day after the 31st day of August, 2004 ?
A. September 1st, and that's when I signed my Commission Agreement.
Q. Well, did you sign on September 1 st or did you sign on September 6th?
A. Well, that was the date. I'm sorry, Your Honor. That was the date of the Commission Agreement. I signed on the 6 th of September.
Q. Looking at page 2 of this tab, this exhibit, at paragraph 4(b), do you see what it says there near the top?
A. It says, The purchase price of the Purchase Property, the Purchase Property Price, shall be \$84 million, which shall be payable as follows.
Q. So when we were discussing the increase in the Purchase Property Price and you said the amendment, you were referring to Amendment No. 2; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You said there was some confusion as to the contents of this amendment. Did you ever write to Mr. Lash concerning your concerns and questions about this amendment?
A. I was really -- I was really somewhat confused here. I called -- I called, mostly. And I didn't understand what was going on. All \(I\) wanted was a simple explanation at first to tell me what was happening.
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Because \(I\) knew what my Commission Agreement said, and all of a sudden my Commission Agreement had changed. It had gone up \(\$ 18\) million and \(I\) have no clue. Nobody told me what it was and nobody would tell me what it was.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, did you ever question or were you ever concerned with the change in the date of the closing date?
A. You mean changing the date from 66 to 84? Is that what you are --
Q. No. The date of when the transaction would close?
A. I'm sorry. I'm still confused what you are after here.
Q. I'll show you Defendant's Exhibit \(N\). THE COURT: That's in a different notebook.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) That's in the big notebook.
A. 6 through 33?
Q. No. The Defendant's notebook. Can you open it to Tab N, please.

THE COURT: Take your time. You have a lot of notebooks. We're fine.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Have you seen this document before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. What is it?
A. Okay. Let me read it here. It was a letter -Walt and \(I\) weren't sure how the commission was going down. We were supposed to get paid, I think it was 30 days after the settlement agreement or something to that degree. And this -- our commission payment wasn't coming exactly like it had been stated. And we were calling over and we were trying to find out actually why things have changed.
Q. You say here in paragraph 2, It's not fair to think amendments with Pardee Homes and the seller affect our Commission Agreement. Why did you say that?
A. Because we had an original Commission Agreement and, to us, that was our Commission Agreement. If they amended it, you know, \(I\) can't understand how you can amend a contract without going through walt and myself.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, do you believe -- do you still
have that same sentiment today?
A. Yes.
Q. Why do you have that same sentiment?
A. Because like any other real estate transaction I ever did, I signed a contract. And when I signed a contract, that was the contract. And then all of a sudden this contract had been changed without even
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telling me. I mean, I don't understand that. That was hard for me to understand.
Q. Did you ever execute any further agreements with Pardee after the September 1, 2004 Commission Letter Agreement concerning the transaction at Coyote Springs?
A. I didn't execute a new agreement, no.
Q. Did you ever send a letter or send an e-mail to representatives of Pardee whereby you would adopt or conform with later amendments even after Amendment No. 2 to the Option Agreement?
A. Not that \(I\) recall.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, back to Tab 2.
A. In the defendant or back to the other?
Q. Tab 2 is in the Plaintiffs'.
A. Let me get this out of the way here. Okay.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, you just got done discussing and stating the definition of Purchase Property Price was the purchase price of the Purchase Property. Can you tell us what the definition of "Purchase Property" is under the Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions?
A. Is that -- you want me to read the top? Is
that what -- the agreement?
Q. It would begin in paragraph \(B\) on page 1.

MS. LUNDVALL: What exhibit are you on,
Counsel?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Tab 2 .
THE WITNESS: Are you ready?
THE COURT: I'm ready. I'm there.
THE WITNESS: All right. The parties desire to enter into this agreement to provide for (i) buyer's purchase of the portion of the entire site consisting of Parcel 1 and shown on Parcel Map 98-57 recorded July 21, 2000, in Book 20000721, as Document No. 01332, Official Records, Clark County, Nevada, containing approximately 3,605.22 acres as shown on the map attached hereto as Exhibit \(B\) and made a part hereof, the Purchase Property, and (ii) buyer's options to purchase the remaining portion of the entire site which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use, as described below, the option Property, in a number of separate phases referred to herein collectively as the option parcels and individually as an option parcel, upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) You just read a lot.
A. You bet.
Q. When you were reading the terms referring to Parcel 1 as shown as Parcel Map 98-57, what did you read
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that Parcel 1 on that parcel map to mean?
A. That that was -- Parcel 1, I read as the original Purchase Property.
Q. You read also the definition for Option Property. What is your understanding, reading that definition, of what the meaning of "Option Property" is?
A. To me, that meaning means that anything after Parcel 1 will be handled on an acreage, option Property handled as acreage, and we were to be paid on 40,000 per acre one and a half percent for the remainder of the property.
Q. I understand that you are discussing the

Commission Letter Agreement. I'm just asking specifically what's your understanding of the meaning of the Option Property as it relates to Purchase Property?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, on this one I'm going to suggest that this is not an appropriate witness to talk about the understanding of these agreements because he wasn't a party to it.

THE COURT: Do you want to lay a little
foundation? I assume when he did his Commission
Agreement, he was shown this because --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: -- the Commission Agreement
incorporated it. So just lay a little foundation how
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he's aware of this and his understanding why it would be relevant to his Commission Agreement.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Prior to signing the September 1, 2004 Commission Letter Agreement, had you read and had you seen the Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you feel it necessary to understand the capitalized terms in the Commission Letter Agreement as defined in this agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. So as you read the definition for Option Property, what was your understanding upon reading that definition?
A. My understanding was that anything after the Purchase Property Price was Option Property for the rest of the entire site and it was done on acreage.
Q. Did you understand that it needed to have a particular designation?
A. Yeah. Option Property has a designation. To be fair to Pardee, we only have -- you get that long name -- single-family detached production residential property. That's all we bought. And if they took down property and it had condos or it had apartments in it, we're not entitled to that. So there had to be a
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designation so it would be fair to Pardee.
Q. It says, Designated for single-family detached production residential use as described below. Do you understand that description to include the term
"production residential property"?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please flip the page to page 2 now. In the middle of the page can you tell us --
A. You are on 2 on 2 ; right?
Q. Yes. It's in the middle of the big paragraph.

Can you tell us the definition of "production residential property"?
A. Yeah. Let me find it here. The Purchase Property and the Option Property are sometimes referred to herein collectively as the production residential property.
Q. Can you continue reading concerning the description?
A. Okay. Means that portion of the net usable acreage as defined below that encompasses all of the Purchase Property and the Option Property which includes, without limitations, all single-family detached production residential lots, which shall include lots on which custom homes are constructed by buyer, all land for roadways, utilities, government
facilities, including schools and parks, which school and park sites are subject to the provisions of paragraph \(7(c)\) below, open space required or designated for the benefit of the residential development pursuant to the master plan, a habitat conservation plan, or a development agreement, drainage ways or any other use associated with or resulting from the development of the Purchase Property and each option parcel of the Option Property.

Continue?
Q. That works. Reading this description of what's included in production residential property, do you believe that it's limited to just single-family homes?
A. No. In any real estate transaction, if you sell 80 acres to a home builder, there's always easements. There could be roads. There can be defaults. There are all kinds of things in there where you are paid for because they put them within the boundaries of where they are building homes.
Q. Is this description of production residential property consistent with your experience as you just described it?
A. Not exactly, from what \(I^{\prime}\) ve been told a time or two.
Q. What does that mean?
A. Well, whenever \(I\) called over -- I don't know if this is the area that you are getting to or maybe I'm misunderstanding what you are saying, but we had found out that there were some separate agreements done with Pardee and Harvey Whittemore on other property. And we had thought that since we were the procuring cause on the whole parcel in the beginning, that we should be included in that because we were the ones that brought the property to them, ready, able, and willing, and we were the procuring cause.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I think that this is a nonresponsive answer and \(I\) would move to strike.

THE COURT: I think he misunderstood what --

Your counsel was asking you about whether, in your experience, this description of this residential property included what's stated here. I think that's what you are asking.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Was this description of single-family homes, government facilities, schools, parks, is that consistent with your experience --
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Let him finish his question because we want a good record.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) -- your experience in brokering land transactions?
```
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A. Yes.
Q. Would that experience also include custom lots?
A. Yes.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to what his experience has been regarding custom lots, because we haven't heard any testimony that he had any experience in that regard.

THE COURT: Well, \(I\) think the foundation was laid that he's done -- he's known for ten years. He's actually been in the real estate business since '74 and done land transactions. So I think that's enough foundation.

I can ask. As part of your career in
residential -- in real estate, did you include custom
lots in some of your land transactions?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Did you have experience with custom
lots?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LUNDVALL: The reason \(I\) continued with the objection is because the agreement makes no reference to custom lots.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I thought I read that. MS. LUNDVALL: Homes. There's a difference
District Court, Dept. IV
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between custom homes and custom lots.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you lay the
foundation. In his experience, is there a difference between custom homes and custom lots, and fill it in then. In your experience, are custom homes --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, let me -- I want to correct opposing counsel. She misstates. The language, as the Court has read, says, quote, includes lots on which custom homes -- so lots are specifically referenced in this provision as being included in the definition, the wide definition, residential production.

THE COURT: For the record, it does say --
MS. LUNDVALL: Well, I'm not either mistaken.
I'm objecting to the question, and as the Court will
hear from the testimony of the folks that negotiated
this agreement, that there is a difference with a
distinction, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I understand that, but let's
take -- because you are referring specifically to the document, page 2 of Exhibit 2 .

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's right.
THE COURT: So that's what our questions are
based on right now. Correct?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: And for our purposes, it does say,
which shall include lots on which custom homes are constructed by buyer. Okay.

Just for -- in your experience, when a builder such as Pardee buys lands for production of single-family residences, has it included the description that is here of lots on which custom homes are constructed by buyer? Has that been included in single-family residential property, in your experience?

THE WITNESS: To me, when I go out and look for custom lots for a builder, he's going to build a custom home on it. I mean, I don't know what other way to say that. You may have five or six -- sometimes, like Toll Brothers did, five or six, they call them custom homes, but they -- you know, they --

THE COURT: They really weren't.
THE WITNESS: They really had big homes on them. If someone asked me to find custom lot homes, I'm out looking for lots normally half acre to build a really nice custom home.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
THE COURT: I appreciate your honesty.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I don't think Toll
Brothers does.
Q. Can you please flip back to the Commission

Letter Agreement in Tab 1?
A. Okay.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, explain to us how you are to be paid under the Commission Letter Agreement.
A. Well, the original Commission Letter Agreement is 66 million and Pardee shall pay four percent of the Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement up to a maximum of $\$ 50$ million.

And the second portion of that says, (ii), Then
Pardee shall pay one and one-half percent of the
remaining Purchase Property Price payments made by
Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement in the aggregate amount of $\$ 16$ million.

And do you want me to go on with the rest of
the Option Property on the bottom?
Q. We can talk about this section.

Did you understand that Purchase Property Price
included the definition of Purchase Property Price --
the purchase price for Purchase Property from the Option Agreement?
A. Yeah. I know what -- I know how I was paid on it. I know what Purchase Property is.
Q. Reading this document, does it -- in your experience and reading this document, does it make a difference under the Purchase Property formula here how
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many acres were sold?
A. No.
Q. What would make a difference in your
commissions?
A. If it was sold by acreage, it would have to be -- if that's what you are after, I'm at 40,000 an acre, one and a half percent for the -- that's how I got paid. Under the Purchase Property, I get paid as they make payments. It's just straight. There is no -there is no distinction on the acreage and Purchase Property.
Q. Okay. Well, you said that as they make payments. Are you referring to what they call Purchase Property Price payments here?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, it says here Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement. Flip back to Tab 2, please, on page 3.
A. Hold on a second. Where are you?
Q. Tab 2, the Option Agreement.
A. All right. I've got it.
Q. When the Commission Letter Agreement says,

Pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement, is this what they are referring to, this -- these price
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payments -- these Purchase Property Price payments?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, these payments, it appears, proceed
through one, two, three, four different phases. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you receive payment for -- did you receive commission payments consistent with these types -- with these four phases?
A. I think I did.
Q. Early on you received a large lump-sum payment?
A. Right.
Q. And the next phase you received --
A. Then we received so much per month up to a point, and then it was reduced down to half of that per month until paid -- until it was paid.
Q. I'm sorry. Again, back to Exhibit 1 .
A. Okay.
Q. The very bottom of the page,
subparagraph (iii), it states, pursuant to paragraph $2--$ Option Property purchased by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now let's flip back to Exhibit 2 on page 5,

```
Jennifer D. Church, CCR No. 5 6 8
District Court, Dept. IV
```

please.
A. All right.
Q. Do you see the paragraph titled Grant of

Option?
A. Titled what?
Q. Grant of Option.
A. Oh, yeah. Okay, yes.
Q. Looking at this paragraph, what procedure did Pardee have to follow in order to purchase Option Property?

MS. LUNDVALL: You are asking him for what his understanding is?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Uh-huh.
THE WITNESS: Let me read just a second.
THE COURT: Absolutely. Please take your time because your answers are important. We're not in any rush.

THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks.
Well, without reading the whole thing, I start out there's -- they can purchase within five years. They can purchase the full property. And if they don't purchase the full property, then we get down and they can purchase portions of it. They have to send a letter, you know, to Harvey letting him know before what they want to do. Basically, that's what it's all about.
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Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) You say this letter.

Are you referring to the option exercise notice?
A. I hadn't read that far. I just knew that for them to take down Option Property, that they had to inform Harvey that they were going to take down a certain amount of acres. I didn't read down there to see what you were saying.

If you've get a point in it you want me to read, let me know where it is and I'll --
Q. It says here, Buyer may exercise its option -MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, is this a question or is this an answer?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: No. This is a question.
THE COURT: I think you are trying to point him where in the document, but could you give us a page and line?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Page 5, second paragraph from the bottom.
A. Second paragraph from the bottom, okay.
Q. I'm reading from the fourth line up from the bottom starting with "Buyer may exercise."
A. All right.
Q. Buyer may exercise its option during the option period described in subparagraph (c) below by giving written notice of such exercise to seller in the manner
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set forth in paragraph 17 below.
And when you talked about a letter --
A. That's what I'm talking about.
Q. Do you know or does paragraph 2 here describe what needs to be included or what the process is of issuing a written notice of such exercise?
A. Let me see here. It says you can go -- if all the other obligations have been performed, then they can go ahead and give the written notice.
Q. Does it tell you exactly what needs to be included in the written notice other than what it says in the manner set forth in paragraph 17 below?
A. I don't see that.
Q. Can you flip to paragraph 17? I believe it's on page 37.

I'll get you the Bates stamp, Your Honor. 39.
Bates stamp 39 , page 37 in the middle.
A. Okay, okay. I've got page 39.
Q. Page 37, PLTF 39.

THE COURT: He's referring to the page numbers in the middle, which are the original for the document. If you look on the side, there's also PLTF. That's the Bates stamp numbers. So if he says Bates stamp, he means the PLTF number.

THE WITNESS: I got it now.

THE COURT: It's confusing even for attorneys.
So I understand.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, do you see the paragraph numbered 17 entitled Notices?
A. Yes.
Q. Where in this paragraph does it describe or state specifically a written option exercise notice?
A. Let me read it. It says they have -- it shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by overnight courier or mailed by registered or certified mail by depositing the same in the United States mail depository in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows.
Q. Does this paragraph 17 describe what information -- other than this notice of these particular people -- what information is required for a written option exercise notice?
A. No, it doesn't.
Q. Can you please flip to page 46? PLTF 48 is the Bates stamp, Your Honor.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see it's a list of exhibits?
A. I do.
Q. Looking at this list of exhibits -MS. LUNDVALL: Where are you, Counsel?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: PLTF 48, page 46 .
MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Looking at this list of exhibits, do you see an exhibit for a form written option exercise notice?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So besides following the notice procedures of personal service, certified mail, as described in paragraph 17, what can you tell us is required or the procedure in order to properly exercise an option notice?

THE COURT: Based on this agreement?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I don't really see it.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, back to Exhibit 1, our Commission Letter Agreement.
A. I'm there.
Q. Okay. So on page 2, please look to paragraph 2 beginning with, "Pardee shall provide to each of you" -do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Reading the first sentence, what is your understanding of what needed to be provided to you in the event Option Property was purchased?
A. Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of
each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the schedule.

They want to -- they have to inform me, according to paragraph 2 of the -- the number of acres and give me the information of the sale.
Q. Why would it be important to know the number of acres?
A. That's how I get paid. If I don't know the number of acres --

THE COURT: For Option Property?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. If it's Option Property, I have to know the number of acres so l know if I'm paid right.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) And it says you will receive a copy of the written option exercise notices, and then it says together with information as to the number of acres involved -- I'm sorry -- and the closing date.

Why is it important to know the closing date of
the option purchase or the purchase of Option Property?
A. That's when it's consummated and that's --if it doesn't close, you don't get paid.
Q. The second sentence says, In addition, Pardee
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shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments.

What does that sentence mean to you?
A. Well, it means to me that -- I tell you, it means to me that $I$ need the information. If I'm going to track what's going on on this transaction, $I$ need information that tells me what's going on on this transaction.

If $I$ don't receive certain things, parcel numbers, maps, especially maps and parcel numbers, I don't have a clear view of what's being taken down or what they are doing. I need information.

I mean, it's easy for someone maybe like Pardee to sit over there and not send me anything. But do you know what it's like for me sitting over here wondering what's going on and not being able to figure it out? I mean, $I$ need somebody to at least discuss a little bit with me.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, at this point in time $I$ think that he's gone beyond the scope of the question.

THE COURT: I think he was doing a comment. He felt he needs information to know what was taken down. So I understand the gist of his answer.
District Court, Dept. IV
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MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'm not going to base it on
frustration.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
THE COURT: You're welcome. I understand it, but \(I^{\prime} m\) not basing anything on it.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, if you did not receive information that would allow you to confirm or to check or to verify that you had received the proper amount of commissions, would you consider yourself to be reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission?
A. If I don't receive that information, \(I\) really don't know what's going on. I need the information or I'm out in the dark.
Q. Why is it important to have information that allows you to verify the accuracy of the calculation of your commission payments?
A. I'll give you a good example. I mean -- well, I don't know if this will be a good example. But when \(I\) have that information, \(I\) can track what's going on to see if there's any mistakes made or no mistakes made.

And it's just like under the original payment, we were paid 30,000 apiece per month. When it got down to the point where it was cut in half, 11,250 , I'm the
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one that caught that mistake. Walt and I caught that mistake. I called over. See, I had information. I was looking at it. And I called over and I let them know, You are paying us too much. We don't want too much. We're just being fair.

And I talked to Jon, and Jon said he would have
his accountants call me and we worked out this payment schedule to make it up. But \(I\) was just trying to be honest. You know, I caught it. It takes information to find something like that.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, this line here says that you should be reasonably informed as to all the matters related to the amount and due dates of commission. You discussed earlier maps, parcel numbers --
A. Right.
Q. When it says here "all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments," what does that mean to you?
A. Anything that pertains to my Commission Agreement.

Your Honor, \(I\) can care less about what houses they're building, all the thick stacks of paper and attorney language and all that. I don't even care about that. But once in a while there's a few lines in there about the Commission Agreement. That's what I'm
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interested in because that's how I get paid. I'm not after trying to change all the stuff that they've agreed to, just what pertains to me.
Q. What pertains to you?
A. Anything that gets me paid my commission.
Q. What would get you paid your commission?
A. Well, the land has to close. And after closing, if someone would let me know what it is, then \(I\) know I get paid a commission.
Q. Reading this and applying it to the transaction at hand between CSI and Pardee, would you need to know the location of the parcel or the parcels that were being --

THE COURT: Are you talking about Option
Property or the purchase --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Just the geographic
location.
THE COURT: No, no. Which type of property?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Well, I'm asking if it's
important, no matter whether it's Option Property or Purchase Property.

THE COURT: If you would preface that.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'm sorry if I was
unclear.
THE COURT: Maybe I'm not following. I just
```
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want to make sure I'm following. Because we were under a section on the Option Property. So then if we switched -- I'm interested in the distinction between what he needs when he's looking at his commission under the first (i) and (ii), which is, as we know, the Purchase Property Price. What does he need to make sure he's getting a correct commission there? Do you mind if you ask that or if \(I\) ask that?

THE WITNESS: May I say something?
THE COURT: Absolutely. You are the witness.
I need your testimony.
THE WITNESS: It doesn't make any difference to me whether it's -- this is really a stinger for me. It doesn't make any difference to me whether it's Purchase Property or whether it's Option Property. I need to know. I need maps. I need to know where it lays within the boundaries of the whole scope of things. If I don't have that, \(I^{\prime} v e\) got nothing.

I can't -- I spent so much time trying to
figure out what was going on down there with acreage because I didn't have anything. I mean, I worked long and hard at it to put my own map up. I need maps. I need, on option and purchase, where is it and, you know, how much, parcel numbers, what have you.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Now, you say you need
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maps, whether it's Purchase Property or option Property. Why do you need to know the location? Why do you need to have the map?
A. Well, that puts down where they are developing. It shows me where they are developing. And if something closes, then \(I\) know that \(I^{\prime} m\) getting paid for it. And it shows where it closes and I can make a trail. It's like just tracking what's going on. Like Pardee's counsel saying you follow the dots, you know, you kind of trail it.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, in this particular case you receive different commissions based on whether it's Purchase Property or Option Property; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the location of the parcels can determine whether it's Purchase Property or Option Property?
A. Well, anything --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
This is now leading.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll tell you --
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this
perspective \(I\) have an objection as far as --
THE COURT: I know. Because it's mostly
foundation, we're having a little struggle here.
MS. LUNDVALL: All we're doing is going back
and forth now.

THE WITNESS: I'm probably the struggle.
MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Wolfram, there is no --
THE COURT: You are not the struggle. What we're trying to do and your counsel is trying to ask you, why do you need maps, parcels, location, and everything for Purchase Property as well as Option Property? Can you tell us specifically for the Purchase Property, when it's not done by acreage, it's done by a map?

THE WITNESS: Everything outside of Parcel 1 , which is the Purchase Property, in my opinion, is Option Property. That's what \(I\) originally signed my commission on. Anything outside of Parcel 1 was Option Property.

THE COURT: Okay. That was your understanding when you signed the September 1, 2004 Commission Agreement? I just want to make sure I understand your testimony.

THE WITNESS: That is absolutely 100 percent true, right.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, as we discussed earlier, the land, in your experience in this case with Pardee and CSI, the land is designated sometimes, for example, production residential property or multi-family or commercial. How important is it to
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know how the land is designated?
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this
perspective \(I\) need to object once again based on leading.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: It doesn't suggest the answer.

THE COURT: Not really.
MS. LUNDVALL: It has a big long preface to it.
THE COURT: I was going to ask you to rephrase
it because I got a little lost.
So I'm not sure if you understood.
THE WITNESS: I think \(I\) understand it.
THE COURT: Well, tell us what you think he was asking you and we can answer that.

THE WITNESS: I think he was saying that I need to know, to be fair with Pardee and fair with me, what it's designated. If it's condos or apartments, I don't get paid on it. I don't get paid on any of that. And if \(I\) don't know the designations, \(I\) have no clue as to whether I've been paid right or paid wrong. Because some of those parcels are going to have condos and apartments and things like that. That I don't deserve any money on.

THE COURT: So the designation you are looking for is single-family production --
District Court, Dept. IV
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THE WITNESS: $\quad-\quad$ production residential
whatever.

THE COURT: So that's why you would like to
know the designation for your commission?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) In paragraph 4 on
line 4 --

THE COURT: We're still on Exhibit 1?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Same page, page 2.
A. I gotcha.
Q. Paragraph 4 , line 4 begins with, the first part, Pardee, its successors and assigns -- Mr. Wolfram, Pardee, its successors and assigns, shall take no action
to circumvent or avoid its obligations to you as set forth in the agreement.

What did this statement mean to you?
A. Well, I'll be gone by the time this is all over, and there will be assigns, and my wife will be gone. That's what really worries me, because of my
family. That's the reason I'm here.
It means that they have to be provided the same thing as what I'm provided, I guess, the information, so they can track what's going on and be reasonably sure they are getting paid right.
Q. Well, I'm asking you, understanding your
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reading of this agreement.
A. Well, their successor and assigns have to pay my assigns and things as the thing goes on even after I'm gone or, you know, to make it fair. I mean, I'm obligated and they are obligated.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, at this point
I would ask that the witness be handed what's been premarked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25. It is the parcel map.

THE CLERK: They should be behind him. There should be a sticker that says Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25 .

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, at this time I would ask that the Court take notice that the original version of this document, which is over here, has the seal of the Clark County Recorder's Office.

THE COURT: These are public documents, is what you are saying?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, we would like the opportunity then at the first break to be able to look at that.

THE COURT: Absolutely. Have you not seen it?
MS. LUNDVALL: None of the originals that have the certificate on there, no.

THE COURT: Do you want to look at it right now while we're --
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MS. LUNDVALL: We will do that at the first break. I see that we're pretty close.

THE COURT: We'll go ahead forward assuming what Mr. Jimmerson is saying is true, but you can certainly look at it.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I certainly hope so.
THE COURT: I do too.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'll wait for Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll unroll mine. I really do want to follow. Okay. I got it.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, what is this a parcel map of?
A. To me, this is the parcel map of my original Commission Agreement. This is the -- Parcel 1 is the Purchase Property.
Q. And what is the parcel map number there on the bottom left-hand -- bottom right-hand portion?
A. File 98, page 57.
Q. Is the same file and page referenced in the Option Agreement --
A. Yes.
Q. -- as Purchase Property?
A. Yes.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I'd now like to move for the admission of this evidence as

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, number one, based on his testimony, he's already testified that the Purchase Property Price was the calculation upon which his commission was based upon and acreage wasn't important to him. So it's hard for me to understand what relevance this particular map has.

THE COURT: Because he said for him to determine whether he was getting the actual commission for outside, he wanted to -- he wanted to know what was in Parcel 1. That's what his understanding was of what the Purchase Property was.

So it's very relevant because for him it was his understanding of the Commission Letter. And I think that Parcel 1, as in Exhibit 25 he's identified, is what his understanding was is what was covered by Purchase Property. Everything else was option.

THE WITNESS: Option.
THE COURT: So I do find the relevance of his understanding of what this is, because that's what he was making his determination of as to his understanding. So --

MS. LUNDVALL: I understand the Court's --
THE COURT: Truth or not, $I$ do feel it is
relevant.
MS. LUNDVALL: I understand the Court's ruling on this, recognizing that, in fact, there is going to be testimony from the actual parties to the agreement as to what this was supposed to constitute.

THE COURT: I absolutely understand that. I understand this is his understanding, and $I$ know we're all here because there was different understandings. I fully appreciate that. That's why we're all here.

But I'm going to go ahead and admit Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 25. There's several pages. Are they marked?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: They do have sheet
numbers.
THE COURT: For your record, we'll do -- the sheet numbers are Plaintiffs' 10463 through 10468 , so we make sure we have the complete exhibit. All right.

MS. LUNDVALL: 10463 ?
THE COURT: I'm using the PLTF Bates stamp number on the bottom.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor. My apologies.

THE COURT: I'll tell you, I do more by Bates stamp. So if I refer to exhibits, I use the Bates stamps. When it's Plaintiffs' 25 , I use the Bates stamps so we know we have the complete. That's easier
for me to follow.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I will endeavor to use
those Bates stamps.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. It's years of doing it.
I want you to have a clear record.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Actually, I'm going to put
this demonstrative so I'm following along with
Mr. Wolfram.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Sheet 2, which is the Bates stamp PLTF 10464 . Are you there?
A. Where it shows Parcel 1, 3605.22 acres?
Q. Are you seeing the Lincoln-Clark County line?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. Looking at Sheets 2, 3 and 4, the 464, 465 and 466 , if you were to put them on top of each other, would you get a map that looks something like this?
A. Yes.
Q. If you were to look at the first page of this exhibit, PLTF 10463, does that indicate how the sheets are supposed to be constructed to reveal what the shape of the parcel is?
A. Yes.
Q. Is the shape of the parcel similar to this parallelogram-like structure?
A. It's similar to it, yeah. I've gotta use my other glasses.

THE COURT: That's fine. Whatever glasses you need.

THE WITNESS: That's a long way over.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'll move it up.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, looking at your sheet, it's going to be closer in front of you, are you able to read this map and determine the width from the westernmost portion of the Parcel 1 to the easternmost portion of Parcel 1 along the Lincoln-Clark County line?
A. Yeah. If I added up the numbers at the top, it tells you from dot to dot how many feet it is. If those are all added up, it would give you the distance.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Okay. Your Honor, I have it calculated. I would like to add it up, unless we can stipulate to the math.

THE COURT: I can't do it in my head. I don't know about you, Counsel.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I've already calculated
it.
THE COURT: We can verify your calculation.
Tell us which figures you are giving him. I'd like to follow.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, we'll
start from the western portion of this sheet here. See this square here?

MS. LUNDVALL: Maybe the question would be from
Mr. Wolfram's perspective, if he is going to be the proponent of this exhibit, to find out which numbers that he would add up.

THE COURT: I thought that was what you were asking.

MS. LUNDVALL: That's not the question that's being posed.

THE COURT: Why don't we do this: Tell us how,
looking at this is exhibit -- we're looking at 10464 ?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: I see. They are on top of each other. Okay.

Looking at 10464, do you see that represented in the demonstrative exhibit?

THE WITNESS: It's up at the top.
THE COURT: How would you -- what figures would you -- tell us where the most westerly point is on the Lincoln County line to the most easterly portion.

THE WITNESS: I can do it off here or $I$ can do it up there.

THE COURT: Just so we can follow.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I think she wants you to
point here.
THE WITNESS: Coming across here.
THE COURT: So you are starting on where the first dark circle is?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And it gives you footages. From here to here is 1398.35. From here to here is 1796.84. From this dot to this dot is 861.24. From here to the next dot is 2662.52 . And then we go to the next one, which is 1277.97. If you add those all up, you got your distance.

THE COURT: So you are going from the black -from where $I$ circled to where $I$ circled?

THE WITNESS: Right.
THE COURT: I just want to make sure I'm following you.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) So to add that up, since you just named these distance, the 1398.35 number, using your calculator --
A. You want me to --
Q. Yes, please. I would ask just simply to confirm the number.
A. Okay, okay.

THE COURT: Are you good with calculators or do you want someone to help you?

THE WITNESS: I understand.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) So the 1398.35 plus, you said, this 1796.84.
A. Okay. I'm adding them. You told me to add them.
Q. Plus the bottom number, 861.24 .

MS. LUNDVALL: Why aren't you adding in the
2858 that's at the top?
THE WITNESS: Above 17 , right to the right
Of - -
THE COURT: There's some numbers on the top and some on the bottom.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Because that's the same as
the 1796 plus the 861 . It's the same distance. They just are divided.

MS. LUNDVALL: Do you think that maybe the
witness might be able to provide the testimony?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I thought you were asking
me. I didn't realize it was cross-examination.
THE WITNESS: It's logic to me. If I add those
up, I know --
THE COURT: Let's do this. You add the numbers you think are appropriate. If we need to cross-examine, we can do that. But I'll take this based on your experience --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
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THE COURT: You don't need to apologize. We're all trying to get the truth.

Your experience reading these kind of maps, all your years, you tell us what numbers you are adding up, Mr. Jimmerson will follow along here, so we get a total.

So far we have -- am I right -- we started out with what, 1796.84?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I thought we started with
1398 and then we went to 1796.
THE COURT: I'm confused too.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: The last thing I have in my phone is that number.

THE COURT: That's the new one. Let's start from scratch.

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Wolfram --
THE COURT: There's numbers above the solid black line and numbers below it. Do you see that?

THE WITNESS: I see that.
THE COURT: Do you add all of them together or do you add the ones below or --

THE WITNESS: Well, to me --
THE COURT: How would you read it?
THE WITNESS: To me, I add the numbers like between the -- you start out with the first dot. It comes over to it looks like a balloon, to a dot, and I
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can see that that's 1398.35 feet. Then $I$ go from that dot to the next dot, $I$ can see that that is 1796.84. I go from that dot to the next dot, 861.24 . Then we got a long one right here, 2662.52 . And then from there to the end, it's 1277.97. It came out to almost 8, 000. MS. LUNDVALL: Is all this going on the record? THE COURT: Start again. I'm just trying to follow. And we'll get it all on the record so you are not left out.

THE WITNESS: You want me to say it again? THE COURT: Are you starting with the -- which number are you starting with? I can find the location. THE WITNESS: I'm starting with 1398.35.

That's the number I'm starting with.
THE COURT: Okay. So you are starting with
1398.35. Then what number are you doing next?

THE WITNESS: Then the next number, I'm going
1796.84.

THE COURT: All right.
THE WITNESS: Then the next number I'm going is
861.24.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And then we've got a long one,
2662.52 and then the last number, 1277.97.

THE COURT: Okay.
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THE WITNESS: You want me to add all those up?
THE COURT: If you could.
THE WITNESS: He can go faster than $I$ can.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: You are the witness,
Mr. Wolfram.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I got 11,000--11,654.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Do you want to try it
again?
THE COURT: Mr. Shipley, did you do it? What
did you get? The Court is wanting to know.
MR. SHIPLEY: 7996 .
THE WITNESS: That's what I got the first time when we were talking, close to 8,000. This time I put another number in there.

THE COURT: So Mr. Shipley got 7996 point
something.
THE WITNESS: That's what $I$ got when we did it again.

THE COURT: So you are going to testify to me,
before you started redoing it, you also got 7996.92?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, point 92, right.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll accept that.
THE WITNESS: I know what $I$ did.
THE COURT: That's the westerly to the easterly
quarter on the Lincoln-Clark County line. All right.
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THE WITNESS: It's nearly 8,000 feet.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, you see these lines right here in the middle of the page of Sheet 2?
A. I do.

THE COURT: When you say Sheet 2 --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I apologize. 10464, it's on the same sheet.

THE COURT: Perfect. Keep the Bates number.
Okay. Perfect. I see it.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Do you see it says 2640 and then it goes 5280?
A. Yes.
Q. If you flip the sheet, the next sheet, which is 10465 ?
A. Same number.
Q. And if you were to look at the third sheet, which is 10466 --
A. Same numbers.
Q. Are you -- what are you able to conclude as to whether or not the boundaries, the eastern-western boundaries, as to whether or not they are parallel?
A. They're parallel because the distance is the same all the way down.
Q. So to understand you correctly, when you say
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the distance is the same all the way down, the 7996.92 number would be the same at the same angle here and here and here?
A. Yes.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Excuse me, Your Honor.
Mr. Wolfram --
THE WITNESS: Am I done with this one?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Almost. I have a couple
more questions, and then we can break, Your Honor, if that would be convenient.

THE COURT: That's fine.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Looking at your
sheets, what is the western border of this Parcel 1?
A. That's the highway that goes, Highway 93.
Q. Does the Highway 93 run the length of Parcel 1?
A. Yes.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I think we can
take a break. We'll be moving into another document.
THE COURT: Okay. We'll go ahead and take our
luncheon recess. It's up to your preference, counsel.
I know you are the ones preparing for stuff. Do you want to come back at $1: 30$, an hour?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, an hour, 1:45?
THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. We'll take a recess then until 1:45.
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(Whereupon, the lunch recess was taken from 12:44 p.m to 1:45 p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Counsel.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Good afternoon,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: We're going to continue with
Mr. Wolfram?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You are still under oath.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Tab 2, the Option Agreement.
A. Okay.
Q. Again, referencing paragraph B, (i), Buyer's purchase of the portion of the entire site consisting of Parcel 1 as shown on Parcel Map 98-57 recorded July 21 , 2000, in Book 20000721, as Document No. 01332, Official Records, Clark County, Nevada, containing approximately 3605.22 acres as shown on the map attached hereto and as Exhibit $B$ and made a part hereof, the Purchase Property. In this Option Agreement, did it include a map of this Parcel 1 of Parcel Map 98-57 at Exhibit B?
A. I don't know. Yeah, I guess it did.
Q. Well, can you flip to Exhibit B?
A. Okay. I have to think about that.

THE COURT: 050, that little number on the
right side.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Do you see a map here of Purchase Property?
A. No. And that's what $I$ was telling you earlier.

Yeah. Okay. Go ahead.
Q. It appears, and correct me if I'm wrong,

Exhibit $A$, the map of the entire site, $B, C$, the map of Option Property, D, the map of initial developed parcel, basically these maps were not included in the Option Agreement. Is that right?
A. No, they were not.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'd like to, as far as have a continuing caution that the witness is supposed to testify, not the attorney. And what $I$ would like to do is to make sure that we get the testimony of Mr. Wolfram and not of the attorney.

THE COURT: Okay. So what she's saying, your question was the other ones don't say it and he agreed. It's really more a leading question. It may take a little longer, but say, Look at Exhibit A, look at Exhibit C. Okay?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So I'm going to sustain the
objection. And you want a clear record too.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, does it include -- do the exhibits include a map of the entire site?
A. No.
Q. Do they include a map of the Option Property?
A. No.
Q. Do they include a map of the initial developed parcel and phasing plan?
A. No.
Q. Did it include a map of the Purchase Property?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have any communications, after receiving this document, the Option Agreement, with a representative of Pardee concerning Parcel 1 on Parcel Map 98-57 on the boundaries of Purchase Property?
A. Yeah. I've had a conversation.
Q. Who did you speak with?
A. Jon Lash.
Q. What did Mr. Lash say?
A. Well, the Purchase Property, to me, had specific boundaries. Is that the direction that I'm headed right here?
Q. I was just asking what he said.
A. I talked to Jon a lot of times on properties. And to be very, very honest with you, when I'd call over
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there, the answer $I$ got most is, You'll just have to trust us.

I asked for certain individual things. And they'd say, No, no, no. You know, we're doing what we gotta do. You have to place your trust on us and everything will be right.

And I'd explain the fact that $I$ can't go on trust. I need some maps. You've got to show me. You have to show me something where $I$ have something concrete, but $I$ never really -- I never really got anything concrete.
Q. Were those conversations where you were requesting maps, were they around the time of summer of $2004 ?$
A. Yes.

MS. LUNDVALL: Once again, leading question,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm going to go ahead. I agree it's leading, but it's foundation.

See if you can get -- ask him if he knows the
time frame. If not, you can try to refresh his recollection from now.

Since it's out, we'll go ahead and go forward, but $I$ understand your objection.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
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THE COURT: Now we have summer of 2004 .
THE WITNESS: Okay. What you are asking me on the Option Agreement, Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions, ask me that again, what you are talking about.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Well, you said that you had conversations with Pardee about Parcel Map 98-57, Parcel 1, and the boundaries of Purchase Property. I just want to know what was said in the conversations.
A. We had boundaries on the Purchase Property. There were definite boundaries on what we were going to do with Purchase Property.
Q. Did you later receive copies of these maps at Exhibit $B$ and Exhibit $A$ to Exhibit C, later?
A. Well, I heard about -- later on. But before I ever signed the contract, my commission contract on, I guess it was August 31 st, there was an amendment, a second amendment, which definitely defined the
boundaries of the Purchase Property. I mean, in no uncertain terms, it defined them. Even though I hadn't seen it at that particular point, $I$ knew about it.
Q. Have you seen a copy of a map of Parcel 1 on Parcel Map 98-57?
A. Yes.
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Q. Can you please flip to Exhibit 4?
A. Okay.
Q. Page 1 .

It's going to be the first page, Your Honor.
A. Okay.
Q. At the very bottom of the page, paragraph 3, it says, Upon execution of this amendment --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, maybe a question
might be appropriate.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I'm about to get there.

THE COURT: You are just --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: If he wants to read it silently, it's fine.

THE COURT: Why don't you point him to -- do you see where he's pointing to, the last paragraph?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Do you see paragraph 3?

THE COURT: The bottom.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, 3.
THE COURT: Can you read that to yourself? And
then counsel is going to ask you a question.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Did those Exhibits A,
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that.
Is Exhibit A attached hereto?
A. No.
Q. Can you please check, Mr. Wolfram?
A. Okay. Let me check. I had some questions about that one. Oh, oh, oh, okay. Which exhibit was it you said?
Q. Are there maps reflecting on --
A. Yes, there are.
Q. -- Exhibit A?
A. Yes. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. Just take your time.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Please look to

CSI-Wolfram 1563. It's Exhibit B.
A. All right.
Q. What is this a map of?
A. That's the Parcel 1, the Purchase Property.

Parcel 1.
Q. What exhibit, looking back to Exhibit 2 , was supposed to be the map of Purchase Property, Parcel 1, as show in Parcel Map 98-57?
A. When you look at the maps in the back, it shows Purchase Property. The Purchase Property is in all those maps, really.
Q. But this map $--I$ just want to talk
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specifically about Exhibit B. Is this the map -- what exhibit was the map attaching the map of purchase Property or Parcel $1,98-57$, on the original Option Agreement on Exhibit 2?
A. I'm not understanding what you are doing here.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, you've testified that this is a map of Purchase Property at Exhibit B?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Okay. This is part of Exhibit 4, Amendment No. 2 to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions. Is this the agreement that you were referring to when you talked about the August 31 st document?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you receive the attached exhibits of the maps of $A-1, A-2, B$, as contained herein?
A. Yeah. I knew exactly -- I knew where they were, but $I$ didn't -- but $I$ didn't really know the -how do $I$ put that? You've got me confused on what you are asking me, and $I$ don't want to answer wrong. It's very important.
Q. I just want to know, is this the same exhibit that was supposed to be attached --
A. Yes, it was.
Q. -- reference to --
A. Right.
Q. -- Exhibit 2 to the original Option Agreement?
A. Right. That is exactly right. Now I
understand.
Q. I just wanted to confirm.

Mr. Wolfram, this Amendment No. 2 also included
other exhibits as we discussed; is that right?
A. Right.
Q. Please look at Exhibit A-1, CSI-Wolfram 1560 .
A. Okay. Got it.
Q. What is this a map of?
A. That's before the realignment. That's -- the property had an open space in the middle, and they were going to do a realignment to get that to the outside so that the property was whole.

THE COURT: This is Parcel 1 with the BLM land in the middle of it?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Please do it again.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'm about to.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. You were going to
clarify.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) When it says at the top here "map of the entire site," what is that referring to?
A. That refers to Clark County, Lincoln County, all the way, the whole site.
Q. Are you able to look at this map and see where

Parcel 1 is, if it's indicated here?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is that?
A. Lower left-hand corner.

THE COURT: Lower left-hand.
THE WITNESS: Right here.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor --
THE COURT: For the record, there's a black
line at the bottom, the second black line towards the lower. And it's anything below that on the left side of the BLM land?

THE WITNESS: Right below this line.
THE COURT: Why don't you, for the record --
can you show Counsel?
MS. LUNDVALL: There's no labels on this
document. He's just --
THE COURT: I know, but that's where he thinks
it is. So $I$ want it clear of what he is interpreting
this map he thinks it is.
So we need you to hold it up for us and
describe it and point to it --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
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THE COURT: -- so we have a record.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Jim, take it out of the book, please.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, can you point --
A. This is what we're referring to right here. THE COURT: Okay. And does it go all the way from the black line there all the way down?

THE WITNESS: Right here.
THE COURT: For the record, he's pointing -you can do it. I'm sorry.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, can you tell the Judge what that bottom line is, that dashed line, that big black going horizontal one-third up from the bottom of the page?
A. That's the top of Parcel 1 .
Q. Is that the county line? Do you know?
A. Yeah. That's Lincoln County line, right.
Q. Again, for the record, can you please point to where Parcel 1 is on this map?

Let the record reflect that he's pointing to
the bottom left-hand corner rectangular section --
THE COURT: The striped section.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: -- the striped section of
Exhibit $A-1$ on CSI-Wolfram 1560 , below the county line.
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Q. Mr. Wolfram, please flip the page to

Exhibit $A-2$.
A. Okay.
Q. What is this a map of?
A. That's a map after the configuration, Judge. MS. LUNDVALL: Is that his understanding of
what this map is?
THE COURT: Yes. You are testifying to your
understanding of what the map is?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's after the -- they
took the donut out, took the hole out of the middle and made a whole property.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, does this map identify the boundaries of Purchase Property or Parcel 1?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is it?
A. Lower left-hand corner.
Q. Can you point to it?
A. Same place.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, comparing Exhibit $A-1$ to

Exhibit $A-2$, is there any difference that you can tell of the location of Purchase Property or Parcel 98-57?
A. One and the same.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Exhibit $C-1$,

CSI-Wolfram 1565.
A. Okay.

MS. LUNDVALL: Where are you at?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: 1565, Exhibit C-1.
THE WITNESS: C-1 is -- okay.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Do you have it in front of you? Can you tell the Court what this is a map of?

MS. LUNDVALL: What he understands this to be a map of.

THE COURT: Yes. Everything you are testifying to regarding these maps is your understanding. Correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm clear on that.
THE WITNESS: It's the map of the entire site before the configuration, and $I$ do see the Purchase Property down in the lower left-hand corner.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Is it shaded in?
A. No. It's not shaded in.
Q. Do you know why?
A. Well, it wasn't really a part --
Q. Mr. Wolfram, I'm confused. Because I'm reading here, it says, "Map of Option Property prior to BLM reconfiguration" at the top here. I want to know -- are you looking at --
A. Okay. I see. I was looking at the
handwriting. Map of Option Property prior to BLM reconfiguration. Right.
Q. Do you understand this --
A. I understand what your question is now. I do understand.

What he wants me to see, and $I$ do see it, is
that the Parcel 1 in the lower left corner, that was not Option Property. That was Purchase Property. And so it wasn't shaded in like the rest of the property.
Q. Okay. Can you please flip the page to $C-2$,

CSI-Wolfram 1566 ?
A. All right.
Q. What is this a map of?
A. That's the reconfiguration with the Purchase Property down in the lower left-hand corner. The stripes are still the Option Property.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, did you receive a copy of

Amendment No. 2 to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions?
A. Eventually. Let me explain how $I$ think this happened. I signed my Commission Agreement. I actually signed it on September 6th. The Commission Agreement is dated September 1st.

THE COURT: I saw your signature was
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September 6th. The record speaks for that.
THE WITNESS: And on August 31st, there was the second amendment. And the second amendment defined exact boundaries, like my contract, exact boundaries on that Purchase Property, the portion in the lower left-hand corner, distinct boundaries of what it was.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Did you receive a prior amendment to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions?
A. No.
Q. Can you please flip to Exhibit 3?
A. Bear with me. I don't do as good as you guys.

Did you say 3 or 2?
Q. Exhibit 3 .
A. Give me a number at the bottom. I don't see it.
Q. It's the Court's Exhibit 3, the Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Bates stamp 91, 2 and 3 .
THE COURT: It's in the Plaintiffs' book.
THE WITNESS: The plaintiff, okay.
THE COURT: It's okay. Take a deep breath.
You are fine.
THE WITNESS: All right.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Did you receive this
document in or around the summer of 2004?
A. Okay. This --

THE COURT: Do you remember receiving this in around --

THE WITNESS: Okay. That's what I'm getting at. It has Amendment at the top. It doesn't have like Amendment $1,2,3$. Is there a number on that amendment?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, I'm asking if you received this document?
A. Yeah. I guess I did.
Q. Do you remember receiving it?
A. No, not really.

THE COURT: Take your time. It's okay.
THE WITNESS: Oh, oh, oh. Yeah.
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Did you receive this document in and around the 28th day of July, 2004?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that before you received the Exhibit 4, the Amendment No. 2?
A. Yes. I'm sorry. Yeah.

THE COURT: That's okay. Just take your time, because it's confusing for all of us, not just you. Okay?
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, can you please flip to Exhibit 5. It's going to be the big fat
document at the end of the binder.
A. Okay.
Q. What is this document?
A. Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions.
Q. What is the date on this document?
A. $28 t h$ day of March, 2005 .
Q. Did you receive this document in or around the 28th day of March, 2005?
A. What the document is, I guess -- I guess I saw it.
Q. Okay. Looking at paragraph $C$ at the bottom of page 1 , what does this document say about the definition of Purchase Property?
A. Let me read this because -- well, they've taken a portion of the entire site, 511.82 acres.
Q. I'm sorry. I just want to understand your answer to my question is the definition of Purchase Property is this 511.82 acres? Is that what you are saying?
A. The Purchase Property to me is everything inside that Parcel 1 right there.
Q. But I'm talking about the definition of

Purchase Property in this agreement.
A. This says 511 -- if $I^{\prime} m$ reading this right --
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and $I^{\prime} m$ not real good at reading attorney language, but if I'm reading this right, it's talking about 511 acres. That's just a portion of the total purchase price. Right?
Q. Mr. Wolfram, do you understand or do you know if there's a difference between this parcel of land described as 511.82 acres, as you discussed it, and the definition of Purchase Property in the original Option Agreement?
A. Is this 511.82 acres, are you saying that that's inside Parcel 1 or outside Parcel 1 ?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor --
THE COURT: What he's asking for is just your understanding. Have you received the document? Do you think --

THE WITNESS: I'll give you my understanding if I know --

THE COURT: That's all we need.
THE WITNESS: But $I$ need to know where I'm looking at this 511 acres.

THE COURT: And if you don't understand it, just tell us you don't understand it. That's fine too, because that's the truth.

THE WITNESS: But $I$ would understand it if $I$ know where we're looking at.

THE COURT: Do you need to read something else to put it in context?

THE WITNESS: No. In the map over there, what are we talking about?

THE COURT: If it doesn't have a reference in this, your counsel and $I$, we can't supplement what's in the document.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Mr. Wolfram --
THE COURT: I apologize. I'm trying to --
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I appreciate your efforts,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: The objection by defense counsel is
the questions are limited to this agreement. If you read it and understand it, that's your testimony.

That's the truth. Okay. But take your time. I don't want you to feel like you are rushed.

THE WITNESS: What is the date of this?
THE COURT: March 28, 2005.
THE WITNESS: This came probably six months after the original Amendment 2 property for that Parcel No. 1 over there. Isn't that what -- that's what this is; right? That's what it is. It came six months --
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) I apologize. I'm asking you the questions.
A. Okay. It came six months after. And as I
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remember this, there were changes in it, a lot of changes in this agreement right here, over and above what it was in my -- if $I$ have it right -- over and above what it was in my original Commission Agreement.

It was all spelled out in my original agreement, the boundaries of Parcel 1 and what have you. It appears to me that this is the document -- maybe if I'm not wrong -- that changes a lot of that.
Q. What changes are you referring to? You say this is the document that you believe changes a lot of that. What changes are you talking about?
A. Well, I didn't read the whole thing right here, but when it got into this, how I remember that is they went to -- they got outside of what $I$ consider the original boundaries of Parcel 1 and they added \$18 million worth of more Purchase Property, which violated, as far as I'm concerned, my contract. My contract stated very clearly what the boundaries of the first Purchase Property was. And am I wrong in what I'm saying there?
Q. I'm asking your recollection and your understanding, Mr. Wolfram.
A. Well, $I$ think in this document right here, they put in some extra Purchase Property that did not belong in this agreement, in my agreement. And they handled it
as Purchase Property, and it shouldn't have been handled as Purchase Property.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, let's move on. We may come back if you remember more.

Can you please open the next binder to
Exhibit 6, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6?
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen this document before?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I would note that Exhibit 6 has been designated as confidential. I don't see anyone in the courtroom that needs to be cleared, but $I$ do note that each person within this courtroom would have a duty to maintain the confidentiality of the contents of this document.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to mark it on
here. Exhibit 6 is confidential.
MS. LUNDVALL: These are designated in the
lower left-hand corner.
THE COURT: I see.
MS. LUNDVALL: There you go.
THE COURT: Okay. I will make sure.
MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And we'll be careful after the trial what's done with things. I'll give it back to you, any exhibits, and you can dispose of it. I don't
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know if you did that in your confidential agreement, but I'm sure you have a mechanism.

MS. LUNDVALL: That, we do.
And just for purposes of the court reporter, if there is any specific testimony as to the content, we will let her know so that the portion of the record then can be designated as confidential. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now we're looking at Exhibit 6, Amendment No. 1 .
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, have you seen this document before?
A. This is the document $I$ was talking about. This is where they add the additional acreage to Purchase Property outside of Parcel 1.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, did you receive this document from Pardee in and around July 28, 2006?
A. No. I don't recall that $I$ did.
Q. Who did you receive this document from?
A. I saw it when $I$ talked to you and talked to Mr. Jimmerson. I was aware what was going on right here.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, can you please, looking at this document, find for me a clause or a provision in this agreement that is a confidentiality clause or a
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nondisclosure clause?
A. I didn't read the whole thing down, but it doesn't seem like it's confidential to me.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, please refer -- I'd refer you to page 6, the CSI-Wolfram 1100 .
A. Okay. All right.
Q. Paragraph 18 states that in all other respects, the terms and conditions of the agreement shall remain in full force and effect and are hereby reaffirmed. In the event of any conflict between the provisions of this amendment and the terms of the agreement, the provisions of this amendment shall control.

Do you know whether or not this includes
adoption of the nondisclosure clause in the Amended and Restated Option Agreement?
A. I don't know.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Exhibit No. 7, please.
A. What is it? Hold on a second. Well, everything is in force and reaffirmed. Okay.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, let's go back to Exhibit 5.
A. Let me read something before you go back. I
just want to read something here to myself. Okay. Go ahead.
Q. Can you please flip to Exhibit 5? Do you have
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it in front of you? It's in the other binder. I'd refer you to page 45 , PLTF 0125.

THE COURT: I got it, or page 45 .
Q. (BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON) Mr. Wolfram, do you see paragraph 27, Nondisclosure of Transaction?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding of this provision?
A. Let me start reading. It says that neither party shall disclose to the general public or media any information regarding this agreement and the terms and provisions thereof without the other party's prior written permission except as otherwise legally required.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, are you a party to this agreement?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Mr. Wolfram, can you please flip to the next page, 46, PLTF 126 ?
A. Okay.
Q. Are you listed on this page?
A. No.
Q. Are you sure that you are a party to this agreement?
A. I am a party to the agreement.
Q. Do you know what agreement we're referring to?
A. Maybe. Maybe not. Oh, no. I'm not a party to this agreement, no.
Q. What agreement are we talking about?
A. You are talking about -- let me get it here.

The last option -- the last Option Agreement, that the agreement had changed.
Q. Can you give us the title of that agreement?
A. I'm not going to -- Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions.
Q. Did you receive a copy of this agreement despite not being a party to it?
A. No. You know, I am so confused right now. I really --

THE COURT: Do you need to take a break?
THE WITNESS: No. I don't need to take a break. I'm just really confused about what he's trying to ask me. It's not that I wouldn't have an answer if I knew.

THE COURT: If you don't understand the question, it's hard to give a correct answer.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, can we take a break, please?

THE COURT: We certainly understand. Why don't you take a break and maybe your counsel can orient you. Believe me, we all understand. We have to flip back and forth. Counsel knows this is not an easy process, and
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no one is criticizing you in any way. Please understand that. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Take your time. Just take a break.
I know you are trying to answer honestly.
THE WITNESS: I am, but I'm getting confused when $I^{\prime} m$ reading some of the attorney language right there. That's what's happening to me.

THE COURT: I can fully appreciate that sometimes, but let's just take like a ten-minute break.

MS. LUNDVALL: One of the things, Your Honor, from my perspective, what $I$ intend to do, is to speak with Counsel to find out a little bit the relevance of this. What he's trying to do is to ask someone who is not a party to these contracts to interpret them to you and his understanding.

What I'm going to try to do is figure out what relevance and to see if there's any opportunity for a stipulation that we can have on any of this stuff. Because $I$ will tell you, $I$ think it's unfair to a witness who wasn't a party to an agreement to get him to try to explain it and try to understand it to you.

THE COURT: Well, and $I$ think it's a little beyond that. He's trying to understand his understanding. And the whole key to the case, I
understand, is what is Purchase Property. So it is intertwined and it makes it difficult. It's a difficult situation. That's all $I$ can say. Because his agreement is impacted by other parties' agreements, and I understand where you are all going.

And it would be great -- I was kind of hoping we could have a few stipulated facts. It's not my case so we didn't. But if you could work on something, that would be fine. However you all want to go forward, I understand the issue. I truly do. And I know what you are trying to prove in your case too.

Just take -- whatever you can work out is fine with the Court. If not, if you can work through and kind of orient him.

THE WITNESS: Are we allowed to go out
together?
THE COURT: You are allowed to talk to your counsel.

We'll take a 15-minute break. Just tell my marshal when you are ready. I have other things I can work on.

THE WITNESS: Judge, so she doesn't think
they've coached me --
MS. LUNDVALL: Hold, hold, hold.
THE COURT: You know what, I understand. You
go talk to your counsel. I'm not going to infer anything because you are talking to your counsel.

THE WITNESS: Well, $I$ know the answer to what
he's talking about.
MS. LUNDVALL: Hold on.
THE COURT: There's not a question pending. So we're okay. You are okay. We're all on the same page, I promise. Okay?
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Judge, good afternoon. We
have a request to continue this trial until tomorrow
morning at 8:30. Our client is confused and
disoriented. He's becoming, as a result, frustrated.
He's telling me, Listen, Jim, we have a winning case and I'm hurting our case. I'd like to ask you for an indulgence to allow him to have a couple hours and resume tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: I'm fine with that.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: He has worked so hard on
this case. It has been his life, as it has Mr. Wilkes. You know, he's not doing as well as $I$ know he would do and as well as he did in his depositions with the defense counsel.

THE COURT: It is 3:00 o'clock. I know it's
been hard. Ms. Lundvall --

I know you are upset and $I$ know it's not
helping us, the more we're pressing, I understand. It was getting worse. I don't -- do you want to put another witness on or do you -- I'm fine. I have all the days in the world. It's up to you. Do you have an objection?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from our perspective, $M r$. Wilkes is here, and I know that they intend to put Mr. Wilkes on the witness stand after Mr. Wolfram. And so if Mr. Wolfram is unable to go forward, $I$ think trial time is valuable time and --

THE COURT: Well, my concern is --
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's not true. We're not calling Mr. Wilkes. He's our last witness, not our second witness.

THE COURT: My thought would be you are probably trying to lay a certain foundation. Am I correct?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Yes. Mr. Whittemore is tomorrow in the morning.

THE COURT: That would be my thoughts.
Will there be any trouble with your witnesses?
I will give you whatever days you need. I will not cut anybody short. Ms. Lundvall, your client will not be cut short, which is always a concern of a defendant. I
will not cut you short, $I$ promise you that. Whatever days -- $I$ can move stuff around, because $I$ know this case is important to both of you, and $I$ will not cut anybody short. I promise you that.

MS. LUNDVALL: From this perspective, we
appreciate that. And $I$ think that you obviously
understand what our concern is in that regard.
THE COURT: I do.
MS. LUNDVALL: We do have an agreement as to a witness being called out of line tomorrow morning based upon his limited availability.

And may $I$ make inquiry as to when we might be able to begin then tomorrow?

THE COURT: I just went through my calendar and we can start --

THE CLERK: 9:30.
THE COURT: I was going to say earlier, but in
reality -- $I$ took things off $10: 00$ and moved them up.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We will call

Mr. Whittemore and get rid of him by morning, and
Mr. Wolfram will return to the stand.
THE COURT: I will be here at 9:30, ready to go.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Hold on one second.
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THE COURT: You're very welcome.
MS. LUNDVALL: What I'm trying to do then is to get a little bit of an idea from a future calendaring standpoint. On the 25 th, it's my understanding that the courtroom is dark. Is that correct?

THE COURT: It's a holiday. It's Nevada Day.
MS. LUNDVALL: We understood that and I bet your staff is saying --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Neither one of us can afford double time.

THE COURT: I can't -- I love them dearly, but I don't control their hours and wages. So it's an actual holiday for the courts, and I'm told it's Nevada Day. Usually it's Halloween, as we know, but I did not realize that. But $I$ will give you whatever you need next week.

MS. LUNDVALL: What we had anticipated was Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday were going to be trial days for us. And to be quite candid, I don't think that we're going to be finished by Wednesday.

My Thursday -- and this is where $I$ just wanted to make the court aware, we have one witness that in the event that we don't finish on Wednesday, it would be preferable for us to be able to bring that witness at a later point in time.

THE COURT: Like Friday?
MS. LUNDVALL: On Thursday, I have a State Athletic Commission hearing for which the -- I need to attend.

THE COURT: I understand there's conflicts.
MS. LUNDVALL: And I guess my inquiry was if we're not going to be here on Thursday and Friday of next week, what the Court's calendar may look like the following week or if you would want to discuss that at a later point in time.

THE COURT: Well, we can look.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: May we know what witness it is?

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Lash. The agreement that I had with --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's Monday morning.
MS. LUNDVALL: That's what I'm saying, is that if, in fact, we are not going to finish on Wednesday, it would be preferable, because we're trying to shoe horn him into a busy schedule on Wednesday to make sure -MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I misunderstood. Okay.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: He's not available Monday morning?
(Remarks between counsel off the record.)
THE COURT: Counsel, $I$ don't have anything
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until a bench trial on the 7th. I can move everything else around. That's not until November 7th. So that is the only thing $I$ don't want to move just because pro per people don't understand when the Judge has to move calendars, or they are not as gracious. So that is -everything else we can move around. I will do whatever you need.

So if that reassures you, I'll work around your schedule. And you are not inconveniencing a jury or anything, so I'm fine. I will make myself available. (Remarks between counsel off the record.)

THE COURT: If that would work for you, that will work for me.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You're welcome. I promise I'll
give you whatever time you need.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Thank you very much,
Your Honor.
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