IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case No.: 72371

Electronically Filed Feb 28 2018 11:54 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA

Appellant,

v.

JAMES WOLFRAM and WALT WILKES, et al.

Respondents.

Appeal Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders Eighth Judicial District Court District Court Case No.: A-10-632338-C

JOINT APPENDIX – VOLUME 29 OF 88

McDONALD CARANO LLP
Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)
lundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416)
rkay@mcdonaldcarano.com
2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966

Attorneys for Appellant

Chronological Index to Joint Appendix

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
12/29/2010	Complaint	1	JA000001- JA000006
01/14/2011	Amended Complaint	1	JA000007- JA000012
02/11/2011	Amended Summons	1	JA000013- JA000016
03/02/2011	Answer to Amended Complaint	1	JA000017- JA000023
10/25/2011	Transcript re Discovery Conference	1	JA000024- JA000027
11/08/2011	Scheduling Order	1	JA000028- JA000030
11/29/2011	Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial	1	JA000031- JA000032
12/15/2011	Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order	1	JA000033- JA000039
12/16/2011	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order	1	JA000040- JA000048
08/27/2012	Transcript re Hearing	1	JA000049- JA000050
08/29/2012	Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request)	1	JA000051- JA000054
08/30/2012	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request)	1	JA000055- JA000060
09/21/2012	Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial	1	JA000061- JA000062

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/24/2012 Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
Judgment JA000082 10/24/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/24/2012 Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment JA000211 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — Summa	10/24/2012		1	14.000062
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/24/2012 Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	10/24/2012	-	I	
Judgment 10/24/2012 Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002086-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	10/24/2012	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of	1	JA000083-
Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment 10/25/2012		•		JA000206
Summary Judgment 10/25/2012 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment – filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	10/24/2012	_ ·	1	JA000207-
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment – filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066 JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-				JA000211
Judgment – filed under seal 11/07/2012 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	10/25/2012		2	JA000212-
11/07/2012Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment2JA000322- JA00035111/09/2012Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal7-12JA00133211/13/2012Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment7-12JA001333-11/29/2012Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest13JA002054- JA00206512/06/2012Transcript re Status Check13JA002066- JA00208001/07/2013Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's13JA002081-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JA000321
Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	11/07/2012		2	14000322-
Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 11/09/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066 JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	11/0//2012	11	2	
Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066 JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081		· ·		
Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment — sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066 JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081	11/09/2012	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs'	3-6	JA000352-
Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-		Memorandum of Points and Authorities in		JA001332
Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-				
Judgment – sections filed under seal 11/13/2012 Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-				
Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-		•		
Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	11/13/2012	-	7-12	IA001333-
Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	11/13/2012	11	/ 12	
Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-				
Judgment 11/29/2012 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-		Summary Judgment and in Support of		
11/29/2012Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest13JA002054- JA00206512/06/2012Transcript re Status Check13JA002066- JA00208001/07/2013Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's13JA002081-		Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary		
Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066- JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-		ŭ		
Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest 12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	11/29/2012		13	
12/06/2012 Transcript re Status Check 13 JA002066-JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-				JA002065
JA002080 01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-		Judgment Ke: Keal Parties in Interest		
01/07/2013 Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's 13 JA002081-	12/06/2012	Transcript re Status Check	13	JA002066-
				JA002080
Motion for Summary Judgment IA002101	01/07/2013	Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's	13	JA002081-
JA002101		Motion for Summary Judgment		JA002101

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
01/17/2013	Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	13	JA002102- JA002144
03/01/2013	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL #1)	13	JA002145- JA002175
03/01/2013	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL #2)	13	JA002176- JA002210
03/05/2013	Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013	14	JA002211- JA002350
03/14/2013	Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment	14	JA002351- JA002353
03/15/2013	Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment	14	JA002354- JA002358
03/20/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1	15	JA002359- JA002408
03/20/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2	15	JA002409- JA002433
03/21/2013	Motion to File Second Amended Complaint	15	JA002434- JA002461
04/02/2013	Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment	16	JA002462- JA002464
04/03/2013	Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment	16	JA002465- JA002470

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
04/08/2013	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs'	16	JA002471-
	Motion for Leave to File a Second		JA002500
04/17/2013	Amended Complaint Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non-	16	JA002501-
04/17/2013	Jury Trial	10	JA002501- JA002502
	·		
04/23/2013	Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of	16	JA002503-
	Motion for Leave to File Second Amended		JA002526
04/26/2013	Complaint Transcript re Hearing	16	JA002527-
04/20/2013	Transcript te Trearing	10	JA002527- JA002626
05/10/2013	Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave	16	JA002627-
	to File a Second Amended Complaint		JA002651
	Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing on April 26, 2013		
05/10/2013	Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support	16	JA002652-
03/10/2013	of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for	10	JA002658
	Leave to File a Second Amended		
	Complaint		
05/30/2013	Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for	16	JA002659-
	Leave to File a Second Amended		JA002661
	Complaint		
06/05/2013	Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for	16	JA002662-
	Leave to File a Second Amended		JA002664
	Complaint		
06/05/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Granting	16	JA002665-
	Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a		JA002669
06/06/2012	Second Amended Complaint	1.6	14.002670
06/06/2013	Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002670- JA002677
07/03/2013	Answer to Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002678-
	and Counterclaim		JA002687
07/09/2013	Transcript re Hearing	17	JA002688-
			JA002723

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/15/2013	Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Counterclaim	17	JA002724- JA002731
07/18/2013	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and Costs (MIL #25)	17	JA002732- JA002771
07/22/2013	Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	17	JA002772- JA002786
07/22/2013	Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time MIL 2	17	JA002787- JA002808
07/23/2013	Transcript re Status Check	17	JA002809- JA002814
08/05/2013	Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine #1-5; And #20-25	17	JA002815- JA002829
08/06/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	17	JA002830- JA002857
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	17	JA002858- JA002864
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for Attorney's Fees As An Element of Damages	17	JA002865- JA002869
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim For Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time	17	JA002870- JA002874
09/23/2013	Transcript re Hearing	18	JA002875- JA002987

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
09/27/2013	Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	19-21	JA002988- JA003203
09/27/2013	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	21	JA003204- JA003209
10/23/2013	Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	21	JA003210- JA003212
10/23/2013	Transcript re Trial	22	JA003213- JA003403
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit A	23	JA003404- JA003544
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit B – filed under seal	23	JA003545- JA003625
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit C	23	JA003626- JA003628
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit D	23	JA003629- JA003631
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit E – filed under seal	23	JA003632- JA003634
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit F	23	JA003635- JA003637
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit G	23	JA003638
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit H	23	JA003639- JA003640
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit I	23	JA003641- JA003643

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit J – filed under seal	24	JA003644- JA003669
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit K	24	JA003670-
			JA003674
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit L	24	JA003675-
			JA003678
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit M	24	JA003679-
			JA003680
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit N	24	JA003681-
			JA003683
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit O – filed under seal	25-26	JA003684-
			JA004083
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit P	27	JA004084
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Q	27	JA004085
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit R	27	JA004086-
			JA004089
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit S	27	JA004090
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit T	27	JA004091-
			JA004092
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit U	27	JA004093
10.20,2010			
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit V	27	JA004094
10,20,2010			
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit W	27	JA004095-
10/25/2015	TIWI ZAMIOW II		JA004096

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit X	27	JA004097
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Y	27	JA004098
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Z	27	JA004099- JA004100
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit AA	27	JA004101- JA004102
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit BB	27	JA004103
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit CC	27	JA004104
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit DD	27	JA004105
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit EE	27	JA004106- JA004113
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit FF	27	JA004114- JA004118
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit GG	27	JA004119- JA004122
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit HH	27	JA004123
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit II	27	JA004124
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit JJ	27	JA004125
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit KK	27	JA004126- JA004167

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit LL	27	JA004168
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit MM	27	JA004169
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit NN	27	JA004170- JA004174
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit OO	27	JA004175- JA004183
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit PP	27	JA004184- JA004240
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit QQ	27	JA004241- JA004243
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit RR	27	JA004244- JA004248
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit SS	27	JA004249- JA004255
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit TT	27	JA004256- JA004262
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit UU	27	JA004263- JA004288
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 1	27	JA004289- JA004292
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 6 – filed under seal	27	JA004293- JA004307
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 7 – filed under seal	27	JA004308- JA004310
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 8 – filed under seal	27	JA004311- JA004312

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 9 – filed under seal	27	JA004313- JA004319
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 10 – filed under seal	27	JA004320- JA004329
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 11 – filed under seal	28	JA004330- JA004340
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 12 – filed under seal	28	JA004341- JA004360
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 13 – filed under seal	28	JA004361- JA004453
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 21	28	JA004454
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 25	28	JA004455- JA004462
10/24/2013	Transcript re Trial	29-30	JA004463- JA004790
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit VV	31	JA004791
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit 26	31	JA004792- JA004804
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit 30	31	JA004805- JA004811
10/25/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	31	JA004812- JA004817
10/25/2013	Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR 7.27	31	JA004818- JA004847
10/28/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	32-33	JA004848- JA005227

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 15	34	JA005228-
10/20/2012	T: 1E 132 10	2.4	JA005232
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 18	34	JA005233- JA005235
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 19	34	JA005236- JA005237
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 20	34	JA005237
			JA005254
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 23	34	JA005255- JA005260
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 24	34	JA005261- JA005263
10/29/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	35	JA005264- JA005493
10/29/2013	Trial Exhibit 28	36	JA005494- JA005497
10/29/2013	Trial Exhibit 29	36	JA005498- JA005511
10/30/2013	Transcript re Trial	37-38	JA005512- JA005815
10/30/2013	Trial Exhibit 23a	39	JA005816- JA005817
10/30/2013	Trial Exhibit 27	39	JA005818- JA005820
12/09/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	40-41	JA005821- JA006192
12/10/2013	Transcript re Trial	42-43	JA006193- JA006530

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
12/10/2013	Trial Exhibit WW	43	JA006531- JA006532
12/12/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	44-45	JA006533- JA006878
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit XX	46	JA006879- JA006935
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 39	46	JA006936- JA006948
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 40	46	JA006949- JA006950
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 41	46	JA006951- JA006952
12/13/2013	Transcript re Trial - Part 1	46	JA006953- JA007107
12/13/2013	Transcript re Trial - Part 2	47-48	JA007108- JA007384
12/13/2013	Trial Exhibit 31a	48	JA007385- JA007410
06/24/2014	Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens – section filed under seal	48	JA007411- JA007456
06/25/2014	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	48	JA007457- JA007474
06/27/2014	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	48	JA007475- JA007494
07/14/2014	Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007495- JA007559
07/15/2014	Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007560- JA007570

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/24/2014	Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007571- JA007573
07/25/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007574- JA007578
07/17/2014	Transcript re Hearing	49	JA007579- JA007629
07/31/2014	Transcript re Hearing	49	JA007630- JA007646
08/25/2014	Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014	49	JA007647- JA007698
08/25/2014	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting	49	JA007699- JA007707
05/13/2015	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting	49	JA007708- JA007711
05/13/2015	Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting	49	JA007712- JA007717
05/28/2015	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	49	JA007718- JA007734
05/28/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	50-51	JA007735- JA008150
06/15/2015	Judgment	52	JA008151- JA008153
06/15/2015	Notice of Entry of Judgment	52	JA008154- JA008158
06/19/2015	Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	52	JA008159- JA008191

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
06/24/2015	Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015	52	JA008192- JA008215
06/29/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	52-53	JA008216- JA008327
06/29/2015	Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant To NRCP. 52 (B) And N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders Of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as Such, is a Fugitive Document	53	JA008328- JA008394
06/29/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend The Same to Reflect the True Fact That Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief For an Accounting, and Damages for Their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its Form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment – sections filed under seal	54-56	JA008395- JA008922
06/30/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	57-58	JA008923- JA009109

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
06/30/2015	Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	59	JA009110- JA009206
07/02/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment	59	JA009207- JA009283
07/08/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Costs	60-61	JA009284- JA009644
07/08/2015	Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document	62	JA009645- JA009652

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/08/2015	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment"	62	JA009653- JA009662
07/08/2015	Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009663- JA009710
07/08/2015	Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment	62	JA009711- JA009733
07/10/2015	Transcript re Hearing	62	JA009734- JA009752
07/10/2015	Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009753- JA009754

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/10/2015	Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009755- JA009758
07/15/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	62	JA009759- JA009771
07/15/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	63	JA009772- JA009918
07/15/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	63	JA009919- JA009943
07/15/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	64	JA009944- JA010185
07/16/2015	Errata to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	65	JA010186- JA010202
07/17/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	65-67	JA010203- JA010481

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/24/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution	67	JA010482- JA010522
07/24/2015	Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration	67	JA010523- JA010581
08/10/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment	67	JA010582- JA010669
08/17/2015	Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration	67	JA010670- JA010678
08/24/2015	Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution	67	JA010679
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	68	JA010680- JA010722
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59	68	JA010723- JA010767
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	68	JA010768- JA010811

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
09/12/2015	Dandas Hamas of Navadala Canaslidatad	60	14010012
09/12/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated	68	JA010812- JA010865
	Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed		JA010803
	June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for		
	Attorney's Fees and Costs		
12/08/2015	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs'	68	JA010866-
12/00/2013	Opposition to Pardee's Motion for	00	JA010895
	Attorney's Fees and Costs		011010093
12/08/2015	Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of	69	JA010896-
	Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition		JA010945
	to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes		
	of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment		
	and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees"		
12/30/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated	69	JA010946-
	Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non-		JA010953
	Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs'		
	Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend		
	Judgment and Countermotion for		
	Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs'		
	Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to		
	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and		
	Costs		
01/11/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants	69	JA010954-
	Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs'		JA010961
	Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition		
	to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion		
	to Amend Judgment and Countermotion		
	for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs'		
	Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to		
	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and		
	Costs		
01/15/2016	Transcript re Hearing	70	JA010962-
			JA011167

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
03/14/2016	Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Competing Judgments and Orders	70	JA011168- JA011210
03/16/2016	Release of Judgment	71	JA011211- JA011213
03/23/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders	71	JA011214- JA011270
04/20/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders	71	JA011271- JA011384
04/26/2016	Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings	71	JA011385- JA011388
05/16/2016	Judgment	71	JA011389- JA011391
05/17/2016	Notice of Entry of Judgment	71	JA011392- JA011396
05/23/2016	Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	71	JA011397- JA011441
05/31/2016	Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	71	JA011442- JA011454
06/01/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment	72	JA011455- JA011589
06/06/2016	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	72	JA011590- JA011614
06/06/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - Volume 1	73-74	JA011615- JA011866

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
06/06/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - Volume 2	75-76	JA011867- JA012114
06/08/2016	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	77	JA012115- JA012182
06/20/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	77-79	JA012183- JA012624
06/21/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	80	JA012625- JA012812
06/21/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60	81	JA012813- JA013024
06/27/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	82	JA013025- JA013170
06/30/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	82	JA013171- JA013182
06/30/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	82	JA013183- JA013196
07/01/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	82	JA013197- JA013204
08/02/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	83-84	JA013205- JA013357

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
08/02/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	84-85	JA013358- JA013444
08/15/2016	Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016	86	JA013445- JA013565
09/12/2016	Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016	86	JA013566- JA013590
10/17/2016	Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order	86	JA013591- JA013602
11/04/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016	86	JA013603- JA013612
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment	86	JA013613- JA013615
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013616- JA013618
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013619- JA013621
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013622- JA013628
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013629- JA013635

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment	86	JA013636- JA016342
01/12/2017	Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60	86	JA013643- JA013644
01/12/2017	Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60	86	JA013645- JA013648
01/12/2017	Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	86	JA013649- JA013651
01/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	86	JA013652- JA013656
02/08/2017	Pardee Notice of Appeal	86	JA013657- JA013659
04/07/2017	Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders	86	JA013660- JA013668
04/07/2017	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume I]	87	JA013669- JA013914
04/07/2017	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume II]	88	JA013915- JA014065
04/27/2017	Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders	88	JA014066- JA014068

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
05/10/2017	Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to	88	JA014069-
	Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders		JA014071
05/12/2017	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion	88	JA014072-
	Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders		JA014105
07/12/2007	Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs'	88	JA014106-
	Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest		JA014110
07/14/2017	Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order	88	JA014111-
	Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest		JA014117
10/12/2017	Amended Judgment	88	JA014118-
			JA014129
10/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment	88	JA014130-
			JA014143
10/12/2017	Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of	88	JA014144-
	Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders		JA014146
10/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant	88	JA014147-
	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay		JA014151
	Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders		
11/02/2017	Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal	88	JA014152-
			JA014154

Alphabetical Index to Joint Appendix

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
01/14/2011	Amended Complaint	1	JA000007- JA000012
10/12/2017	Amended Judgment	88	JA014118- JA014129
09/21/2012	Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial	1	JA000061- JA000062
02/11/2011	Amended Summons	1	JA000013- JA000016
03/02/2011	Answer to Amended Complaint	1	JA000017- JA000023
07/03/2013	Answer to Second Amended Complaint and Counterclaim	16	JA002678- JA002687
10/24/2012	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	1	JA000083- JA000206
10/25/2012	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment – filed under seal	2	JA000212- JA000321
04/07/2017	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume I]	87	JA013669- JA013914
04/07/2017	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume II]	88	JA013915- JA014065
06/06/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - Volume 1	73-74	JA011615- JA011866

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
06/06/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - Volume 2	75-76	JA011867- JA012114
07/15/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	64	JA009944- JA010185
07/15/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	63	JA009772- JA009918
05/28/2015	Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	50-51	JA007735- JA008150
11/09/2012	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment – sections filed under seal	3-6	JA000352- JA001332
11/13/2012	Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment	7-12	JA001333- JA002053
12/29/2010	Complaint	1	JA000001- JA000006
10/24/2012	Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	1	JA000207- JA000211

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/24/2015	Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration	67	JA010523- JA010581
08/05/2013	Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine #1-5; And #20-25	17	JA002815- JA002829
07/22/2013	Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	17	JA002772- JA002786
10/24/2012	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	1	JA000063- JA000082
03/01/2013	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL #1)	13	JA002145- JA002175
03/01/2013	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL #2)	13	JA002176- JA002210
11/29/2012	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest	13	JA002054- JA002065
04/08/2013	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002471- JA002500
05/10/2013	Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002652- JA002658
07/08/2015	Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document	62	JA009645- JA009652

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/16/2015	Errata to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	65	JA010186- JA010202
07/08/2015	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment"	62	JA009653- JA009662
05/13/2015	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting	49	JA007708- JA007711
06/25/2014	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	48	JA007457- JA007474
06/15/2015	Judgment	52	JA008151- JA008153
05/16/2016	Judgment	71	JA011389- JA011391

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
08/24/2015	Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution	67	JA010679
03/21/2013	Motion to File Second Amended Complaint	15	JA002434- JA002461
06/29/2015	Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 52 (B) And N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 And May 13, 2015, And as Such, Is A Fugitive Document	53	JA008328- JA008394
12/08/2015	Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees"	69	JA010896- JA010945
10/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment	88	JA014130- JA014143
06/27/2014	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	48	JA007475- JA007494
06/15/2015	Notice of Entry of Judgment	52	JA008154- JA008158
05/17/2016	Notice of Entry of Judgment	71	JA011392- JA011396
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013629- JA013635

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment	86	JA013636- JA016342
01/10/2017	Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013622- JA013628
10/25/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	31	JA004812- JA004817
07/25/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007574- JA007578
06/05/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002665- JA002669
01/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	86	JA013652- JA013656
05/13/2015	Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting	49	JA007712- JA007717
07/10/2015	Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009755- JA009758
01/12/2017	Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60	86	JA013645- JA013648
04/03/2013	Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment	16	JA002465- JA002470

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
03/15/2013	Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment	14	JA002354- JA002358
10/13/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders	88	JA014147- JA014151
12/16/2011	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order	1	JA000040- JA000048
08/30/2012	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request)	1	JA000055- JA000060
07/14/2017	Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest	88	JA014111- JA014117
11/07/2012	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	2	JA000322- JA000351
07/14/2014	Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007495- JA007559
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013619- JA013621
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment	86	JA013613- JA013615
01/09/2017	Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	86	JA013616- JA013618
10/23/2013	Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	21	JA003210- JA003212

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
04/26/2016	Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings	71	JA011385- JA011388
07/24/2014	Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007571- JA007573
05/30/2013	Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002659- JA002661
06/05/2013	Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002662- JA002664
01/12/2017	Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	86	JA013649- JA013651
07/10/2015	Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009753- JA009754
01/12/2017	Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60	86	JA013643- JA013644
04/02/2013	Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment	16	JA002462- JA002464
03/14/2013	Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment	14	JA002351- JA002353
10/12/2017	Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders	88	JA014144- JA014146
11/29/2011	Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial	1	JA000031- JA000032
11/02/2017	Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal	88	JA014152- JA014154

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/15/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	63	JA009919- JA009943
09/12/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	68	JA010812- JA010865
12/30/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	69	JA010946- JA010953
06/01/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment	72	JA011455- JA011589
07/02/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment	59	JA009207- JA009283
06/27/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	82	JA013025- JA013170
07/15/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	62	JA009759- JA009771

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
08/10/2015	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment	67	JA010582- JA010669
06/30/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	82	JA013171- JA013182
06/30/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	82	JA013183- JA013196
07/01/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	82	JA013197- JA013204
03/23/2016	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders	71	JA011214- JA011270
08/25/2014	Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting	49	JA007699- JA007707
02/08/2017	Pardee Notice of Appeal	86	JA013657- JA013659
07/08/2015	Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	62	JA009663- JA009710
06/06/2016	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	72	JA011590- JA011614
05/28/2015	Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	49	JA007718- JA007734
06/24/2014	Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens – section filed under seal	48	JA007411- JA007456

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
06/24/2015	Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015	52	JA008192- JA008215
05/31/2016	Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	71	JA011442- JA011454
04/07/2017	Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders	86	JA013660- JA013668
05/10/2017	Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders	88	JA014069- JA014071
10/17/2016	Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order	86	JA013591- JA013602
07/08/2015	Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment	62	JA009711- JA009733
08/25/2014	Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014	49	JA007647- JA007698
09/12/2016	Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016	86	JA013566- JA013590
05/23/2016	Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	71	JA011397- JA011441
06/08/2016	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	77	JA012115- JA012182
06/29/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	52-53	JA008216- JA008327
07/24/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution	67	JA010482- JA010522

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
07/18/2013	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify	17	JA002732- JA002771
	Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and Costs (MIL #25)		
06/29/2015	Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b)	54-56	JA008395-
	and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment		JA008922
	Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and		
	Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically		
	Referred to in the Language Included in		
	the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through		
	13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18		
	Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend		
	The Same to Reflect the True Fact That		
	Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief For an		
	Accounting, and Damages for Their		
	Second Claim for Relief of Breach of		
	Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief		
	for Breach of the Implied Covenant for		
	Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That		
	Defendant Never Received a Judgment in		
	its Form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsagyer as Mistalyanky Stated Within		
	Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment – sections		
	filed under seal		
03/14/2016	Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2)	70	JA011168-
	Competing Judgments and Orders		JA011210
06/21/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant,	81	JA012813-
	Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to		JA013024
	Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs'		
	Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR		
	7.60		
08/06/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants	17	JA002830-
	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment		JA002857

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
03/20/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1	15	JA002359- JA002408
03/20/2013	Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2	15	JA002409- JA002433
07/17/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	65-67	JA010203- JA010481
06/30/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	57-58	JA008923- JA009109
06/21/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	80	JA012625- JA012812
05/12/2017	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders	88	JA014072- JA014105
07/08/2015	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Costs	60-61	JA009284- JA009644
06/20/2016	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016	77-79	JA012183- JA012624
11/04/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016	86	JA013603- JA013612
04/23/2013	Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002503- JA002526

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
01/17/2013	Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	13	JA002102- JA002144
08/02/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	84-85	JA013358- JA013444
08/02/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	83-84	JA013205- JA013357
01/11/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	69	JA010954- JA010961
07/15/2013	Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Counterclaim	17	JA002724- JA002731
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	68	JA010680- JA010722
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015	68	JA010768- JA010811
09/11/2015	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59	68	JA010723- JA010767
04/20/2016	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders	71	JA011271- JA011384

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
04/27/2017	Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- Judgment Orders	88	JA014066- JA014068
05/10/2013	Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing on April 26, 2013	16	JA002627- JA002651
12/08/2015	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	68	JA010866- JA010895
09/27/2013	Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	19-21	JA002988- JA003203
07/22/2013	Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time MIL 2	17	JA002787- JA002808
10/25/2013	Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR 7.27	31	JA004818- JA004847
06/19/2015	Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	52	JA008159- JA008191
03/16/2016	Release of Judgment	71	JA011211- JA011213
01/07/2013	Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	13	JA002081- JA002101
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	17	JA002858- JA002864
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for Attorney's Fees as An Element of Damages	17	JA002865- JA002869

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
09/16/2013	Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time	17	JA002870- JA002874
07/15/2014	Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens	48	JA007560- JA007570
08/17/2015	Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration	67	JA010670- JA010678
11/08/2011	Scheduling Order	1	JA000028- JA000030
06/06/2013	Second Amended Complaint	16	JA002670- JA002677
04/17/2013	Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial	16	JA002501- JA002502
12/15/2011	Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order	1	JA000033- JA000039
08/29/2012	Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request)	1	JA000051- JA000054
06/30/2015	Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	59	JA009110- JA009206
09/27/2013	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	21	JA003204- JA003209
07/12/2007	Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest	88	JA014106- JA014110

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
03/05/2013	Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013	14	JA002211- JA002350
10/25/2011	Transcript re Discovery Conference	1	JA000024- JA000027
08/27/2012	Transcript re Hearing	1	JA000049- JA000050
04/26/2013	Transcript re Hearing	16	JA002527- JA002626
07/09/2013	Transcript re Hearing	17	JA002688- JA002723
09/23/2013	Transcript re Hearing	18	JA002875- JA002987
07/17/2014	Transcript re Hearing	49	JA007579- JA007629
07/31/2014	Transcript re Hearing	49	JA007630- JA007646
07/10/2015	Transcript re Hearing	62	JA009734- JA009752
01/15/2016	Transcript re Hearing	70	JA010962- JA011167
08/15/2016	Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016	86	JA013445- JA013565
12/06/2012	Transcript re Status Check	13	JA002066- JA002080
07/23/2013	Transcript re Status Check	17	JA002809- JA002814
10/23/2013	Transcript re Trial	22	JA003213- JA003403

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/24/2013	Transcript re Trial	29-30	JA004463- JA004790
10/28/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	32-33	JA004848- JA005227
10/29/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	35	JA005264- JA005493
10/30/2013	Transcript re Trial	37-38	JA005512- JA005815
12/09/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	40-41	JA005821- JA006192
12/10/2013	Transcript re Trial	42-43	JA006193- JA006530
12/12/2013	Transcript re Trial – filed under seal	44-45	JA006533- JA006878
12/13/2013	Transcript re Trial - Part 1	46	JA006953- JA007107
12/13/2013	Transcript re Trial - Part 2	47-48	JA007108- JA007384
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit A	23	JA003404- JA003544
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit B – filed under seal	23	JA003545- JA003625
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit C	23	JA003626- JA003628
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit D	23	JA003629- JA003631
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit E – filed under seal	23	JA003632- JA003634

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit F	23	JA003635- JA003637
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit G	23	JA003638
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit H	23	JA003639- JA003640
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit I	23	JA003641- JA003643
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit J – filed under seal	24	JA003644- JA003669
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit K	24	JA003670- JA003674
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit L	24	JA003675- JA003678
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit M	24	JA003679- JA003680
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit N	24	JA003681- JA003683
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit O – filed under seal	25-26	JA003684- JA004083
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit P	27	JA004084
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Q	27	JA004085
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit R	27	JA004086- JA004089
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit S	27	JA004090

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit T	27	JA004091- JA004092
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit U	27	JA004093
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit V	27	JA004094
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit W	27	JA004095- JA004096
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit X	27	JA004097
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Y	27	JA004098
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit Z	27	JA004099- JA004100
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 1	27	JA004289- JA004292
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 10 – filed under seal	27	JA004320- JA004329
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 11 – filed under seal	28	JA004330- JA004340
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 12 – filed under seal	28	JA004341- JA004360
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 13 – filed under seal	28	JA004361- JA004453
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 15	34	JA005228- JA005232
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 18	34	JA005233- JA005235

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 19	34	JA005236-
10/20/2015	The Exmon 19		JA005237
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 20	34	JA005238- JA005254
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 21	28	JA003234 JA004454
10/23/2013	THAT EXHIBIT 21	20	371007737
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 23	34	JA005255-
10/20/2012	T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 O O	20	JA005260
10/30/2013	Trial Exhibit 23a	39	JA005816- JA005817
10/28/2013	Trial Exhibit 24	34	JA005261-
			JA005263
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 25	28	JA004455- JA004462
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit 26	31	JA004792-
10/20/2012			JA004804
10/30/2013	Trial Exhibit 27	39	JA005818- JA005820
10/29/2013	Trial Exhibit 28	36	JA005494-
			JA005497
10/29/2013	Trial Exhibit 29	36	JA005498- JA005511
10/04/0010	T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 A A	21	
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit 30	31	JA004805- JA004811
12/13/2013	Trial Exhibit 31a	48	JA007385-
			JA007410
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 39	46	JA006936- JA006948
			JA000948

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 40	46	JA006949- JA006950
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit 41	46	JA006951- JA006952
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 6 – filed under seal	27	JA004293- JA004307
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 7 – filed under seal	27	JA004308- JA004310
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 8 – filed under seal	27	JA004311- JA004312
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit 9 – filed under seal	27	JA004313- JA004319
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit AA	27	JA004101- JA004102
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit BB	27	JA004103
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit CC	27	JA004104
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit DD	27	JA004105
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit EE	27	JA004106- JA004113
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit FF	27	JA004114- JA004118
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit GG	27	JA004119- JA004122
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit HH	27	JA004123

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit II	27	JA004124
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit JJ	27	JA004125
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit KK	27	JA004126- JA004167
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit LL	27	JA004168
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit MM	27	JA004169
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit NN	27	JA004170- JA004174
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit OO	27	JA004175- JA004183
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit PP	27	JA004184- JA004240
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit QQ	27	JA004241- JA004243
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit RR	27	JA004244- JA004248
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit SS	27	JA004249- JA004255
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit TT	27	JA004256- JA004262
10/23/2013	Trial Exhibit UU	27	JA004263- JA004288
10/24/2013	Trial Exhibit VV	31	JA004791

Date	Document Description	Volume	Labeled
12/10/2013	Trial Exhibit WW	43	JA006531- JA006532
12/12/2013	Trial Exhibit XX	46	JA006879- JA006935

Dated this 28th day of February, 2018.

McDONALD CARANO LLP

By: /s/ Rory T. Kay

Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761) Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416) 2300 W. Sahara Ave., 12th Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 <u>lundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com</u> <u>rkay@mcdonaldcarano.com</u>

Attorneys for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of McDonald Carano LLP, and on the 28th day of February, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was e-filed and e-served on all registered parties to the Supreme Court's electronic filing system:

/s/ Beau Nelson
An Employee of McDonald Carano LLP

Electronically Filed 1/2/2018 12:21 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 3 4 JAMES WOLFRAM, 5 PLAINTIFF, 6 CASE NO. A-10-632338-C 7 vs. PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA, 8 ORIGINAL 9 DEFENDANT. 10 11 TRANSCRIPT 12 OF 13 **PROCEEDINGS** 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE KERRY L. EARLEY 16 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 17 HELD ON THURSDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2013 18 19 AT 8:30 A.M. APPEARANCES: 20 For the Plaintiff: JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ. 21 JAMES M. JIMMERSON, ESQ. 22 For the Defendant: PATRICIA K. LUNDVALL, ESQ. AARON D. SHIPLEY, ESQ. 23

> Loree Murray, CCR #426 District Court IV

Reported by: Loree Murray, CCR No. 426

24

Loree Murray, CCR #426 District Court IV

CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATIONS

Pages 175-216 and Pages 240-933

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2013		
2	9:30 A.M.		
3	* * * * *		
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jimmerson, you have a		
5	witnesses to call?		
6	MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I do.		
7	Harvey Whittemore, your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Okay.		
9	THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise		
10	your right hand.		
11	FREDERICK HARVEY WHITTEMORE,		
12	having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole		
13	truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and		
14	testified as follows:		
15	THE CLERK: For the record, please state and		
16	spell your first and last name.		
17	THE WITNESS: My name is Frederick Harvey		
18	Whittemore, last name W-h-i-t-t-e-m-o-r-e. I go by		
19	Harvey, and Frederick is F-r-e-d-e-r-i-c-k.		
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.		
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
22	BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:		
23	Q. Good morning, Mr. Whittemore. How are you?		
24	A. Good morning Mr. Jimmerson.		
25	Q. First of all, I thank you for your		

willingness to come here and be here before us, and I know that it was a terrible inconvenience, so that's why we've set you out of order so you get in and out of here by the break, and --

A. Well, I appreciate your willingness to accommodate busy schedules. Thank you.

- Q. Let's get the 800 pound gorilla out of the way, you recently suffered an adverse setback legally; is that right?
 - A. Criminally, yes. Civilly, no.
- Q. Convicted of one false statement and alleged improper activity regarding the election process?
- A. The false statement count really is a consequence of the other two counts. It is not a false statement I made or perjurious statement made but is, in fact, a felony that flows from a report which was filed by the Senator Harry Reid campaign.
 - Q. Okay. We got that done, okay.
- I'm here to talk to you about my clients' entitlement to information and whether or not that translates to dollars.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from my perspective, I appreciate as far as counsel or I would appreciate if counsel didn't make comments --
- THE COURT: The preparatory remarks? I think

- 1 | that's, he's trying to orient him.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's what I'm trying
- 3 do.
- THE COURT: Start with a question.
- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. You have an involvement with Coyote Springs?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | O. And what's that?
- A. I was the founder of Coyote Springs by an
- 10 entity called Coyote Springs Investment.
- 11 Q. CSI?
- A. Yes, that was the nomenclature.
- Q. Who were the Seeno brothers?
- A. Seeno brothers were Tom Seeno and his brother
- 15 Albert Seeno, Jr. They became members of CSI.
- 16 O. And when did that occur?
- A. Mr. Tom Seeno became a member in and around the period of 2004/2005, and then the Albert Seeno
- 19 transaction was completed in the June period of 2007.
- 20 Q. The Commission Agreement that's the subject
- of this litigation was dated December 1, 2004 signed by
- our clients on September 6 of 2004, were either of the
- 23 | Seenos involved in CSI at that time?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Let me take you back to your

- 1 communications with Jim Wolfram and or Walt Wilkes
- 2 | relative to their introduction to you of Pardee Homes,
- 3 okay? So here's my question: Did you have, was there
- 4 | a time when Mr. Wolfram or Mr. Wilkes spoke to you
- 5 about having a client, I believe at that time they were
- 6 unnamed, interested in purchasing a large section of
- 7 | Coyote Springs Investment?
- A. I had received a call from Mr. Wolfram
- 9 | involving a transaction involving CSI.
- Q. What did Mr. Wolfram say to you and what did
- 11 | you say to him?
- A. I told him that CSI was for sale, that I'd be
- 13 | interested in talking to him about any potential
- 14 | matters he brought to my attention.
- 15 | O. And when did that conversation occur,
- 16 approximately? I know it's been some years.
- 17 A. It was after 2002 and before the transaction
- 18 | that I completed with Pardee Homes.
- 19 Q. And the transaction you completed with Pardee
- 20 Homes was May of 2004?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you.

- So prior to this telephone call where
- 24 Mr. Wolfram advised you that he had a client interested
- in purchasing some portions of CSI in roughly 2002, had

- you had any relations, had you known Mr. Wolfram maybe
 from prior experience or work?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. So what happened next after the first initial telephone call where Mr. Wolfram is calling you, saying he has a client that would be interested.
- 7 What proceeded next, please?
- A. What happened next with respect to
- 9 Mr. Wolfram or --

- Q. Yes, Wolfram and Pardee, Wolfram and -- who introduced you to Pardee?
- 12 A. Mr. Wolfram did not introduce me exclusively
 13 to Pardee. I had completed a list of potential
 14 purchasers after December of 2002 during the process of
 15 leading up to a potential sale to a third party.
- 16 Q. Okay. Great.
- Now, you and I spoke yesterday afternoon for a few minutes?
- 19 A. Yes, we did.
- 20 Q. Between 5:00 and 6:00 p.m.?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Maybe a bit earlier, and have you had any communications with Pardee or Pardee's lawyers?
- A. I met for breakfast with counsel for Pardee.
- Q. Who did you meet with?

- 1 A. Ms. Lundvall.
- Q. And so the two of you --
 - A. Yes. There were --
- Q. And when was the breakfast meeting, please?
- 5 A. At 8:15.
- Q. Was it this morning?
- 7 A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8 Q. Okay. I didn't know.

And what was discussed between the two of you this morning at 8:15?

- A. We talked for the first 15 minutes about family things, and she was asking about my wife, Annette, and she basically advised me of the nature of what she was going to propose to do as a witness on her side and advanced her hypothesis as to what she thought you might do on Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes' side.
- Q. What did she hypothesize she might do this morning?
- A. She indicated that the case seems to be coming down to issues associated with the orientation of the parties' views with respect to what the single-family residential property was versus what was, in her term, called the Option Property.
- Q. Okay. And did she show you any exhibits or any documents this morning?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Now, when I spoke to you last night, you seemed to be surprised to know that I was not, on behalf of our client, seeking compensation from broker's commissions.

Do you recall that?

- A. Yes.
- O. Okay. Who had given you --

9 MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, is that a

10 | stipulation?

6

7

8

13

14

15

17

THE COURT: I thought the question was: You seemed surprised, correct?

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Yes, that's exactly.

MS. LUNDVALL: Well, and this is what my --

THE COURT: What's the objection? I'm sorry.

MS. LUNDVALL: My objection is, what I'm

trying to do is figure out then what the scope is of

18 | what is at issue.

During the opening statement,

20 Mr. Jim M. Jimmerson indicated the commissions were at

21 issue in this case, and now we have an indication

they're not. If the commissions are not at issue, we

23 | would like to know that.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Respectfully, your

25 Honor, that's not a proper objection, that's an oral

1 | argument.

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

relevance yet.

THE COURT: I understand. I think what she is trying to say is not relevant is what you're trying to -- I'm gonna overrule that. I don't know the

I assume Mr. Jimmerson is going to ask the question and get an answer, and then we can see whether it is or is not relevant, so I'm going to overrule it.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Start again.

11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. Who gave you the idea that the focus of this case was undue -- past due brokerage commissions?
- A. It was my impression that I took from my deposition.
 - Q. And did you, did I advise you we were looking at obtaining information regarding purchases by Pardee Homes during the course of their work with you?
 - A. You did.
 - Q. Let's focus upon that.
 - Did there come a time then following December of 2002 when there was a meeting that Mr. Wolfram brought a Pardee representative?
- A. I can't say whether Mr. Wolfram brought a
 Pardee representative. I can say that Mr. Wolfram and

- 1 Mr. Wilkes were present at a meeting when there were
- 2 | multiple Pardee representatives in the same room as I
- 3 was.
- Q. And the meeting took place in Pardee's
- 5 offices?
- A. Yes, they did.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Who was present, please?
- A. My recollection was Cliff Andrews, John Lash,
- 9 myself, I believe one of my lawyers, Carl Savely,
- 10 Brad Mommer, and Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Wolfram, and I do
- 11 | not recall by name now who else was in the room.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. There might have been one or two other
- 14 | people.
- Q. Who arranged the meeting?
- 16 A. Well, I arranged my portion of the meeting
- 17 | with Cliff Andrews at Pardee Homes.
- 18 Q. And how did the Wilkes and Wolfram parties
- 19 know to be there?
- 20 A. I don't recall if there was specific
- 21 discussions about setting up a meeting, telephone
- 22 | conversation, I don't recall how.
- Q. Wasn't it, in fact, Mr. Wolfram's request to
- 24 have a meeting so he could bring to you Pardee
- 25 representatives?

- A. I don't remember that was the nature of how the meeting actually took place. I don't.
- Q. So then do you know how the meeting ultimately took place?
- A. No. As I indicated, the only part that I can recall, again, this is a long time ago, is that
- 7 Mr. Andrews and I had conversations
- I had not yet met Mr. Lash, nor had I spoken to him on the phone.
- Q. Do you recall at that meeting expressing to
 Mr. Andrews, Why is it you, Mr. Andrews, didn't tell me
 about Pardee's interest in this land and I had to learn
 it from Mr. Wolfram, or words of like effect?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Now, had you had a -- had you talked to

 Mr. Andrews before December of 2002 when Mr. Wolfram,

 when Mr. Wolfram called you?
- A. I believe that I had had conversations with,
 I don't recall who in addition to Mr. Andrews at Pardee
 Homes, but I had called sort of a cold call to Pardee
 Homes as well as other potential builders in the
 Las Vegas area.
- Q. Okay. So when did you call, by cold call,
 Mr. Andrews and others?
- A. As I indicated, it was sometime post 2002,

1 prior to the first face-to-face meeting.

2

3

10

- Q. Did Mr. Andrews at that time evidence Pardee's interest in buying any portion of CSI?
- A. He wanted to hear about the Coyote Springs
 project. It had just received multiple news stories
 regarding the fact that we had had our development
 agreement approved.
- Q. How many months passed after that telephone call before you received a telephone call from
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, maybe we can have a nonleading question, since this is direct examination.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: The question was: How many months after that call.
- THE COURT: I'm gonna overrule it.

Mr. Wolfram? More than a year, correct?

- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I don't know if there is a
- 19 foundation for months, just whatever -- I know you're
- 20 trying to set up the time frame as best you can,
- 21 Mr. Whittemore. I know it's a long --
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. You made a telephone call with a number of financial buyers that had financial strength to take on something as large as this, and you are letting them

know of your interest, you indicated water rights and development plans, it hit the news, I'm with you.

What happens after you call?

MS. LUNDVALL: Once again, I'm gonna ask for a nonleading question to be posed to this witness, your Honor.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I'm trying to establish --

THE COURT: You're trying to do foundation, but I think we're just, you know, just trying to get a time frame, and what I have here is it's sometime after December 2002 and prior to 2004. It's kind of long language there.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's exactly right.

THE COURT: I think what he's trying to do,
do you have any recollection other than 2002 and before
of this meeting in -- can you pin it down a little as

to when you did the cold call as opposed to

19 Mr. Wolfram.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

21

22

23

24

25

20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. My understanding is there was no communication after that initial telephone call that you placed with Pardee until Mr. Wolfram called you in 2003 or 2004?
- A. What I can recall and I will try to represent

to you is the time frame --

Q. Thanks.

A. -- is in 2003, and prior to July of 2003, I had advised my partner, Mr. David Loeb, before his death in June of 2003 and before July 14th, 2003, because it was my wife's and my 30th anniversary, that we had a real possibility with multiple potential purchasers to come into the Coyote Springs project, so if you want a specific idea, it would probably be between February and June of 2003 that we had put together our complete list and made calls, had conversations.

I can recall specifically of what I was doing in March and April of 2003, and that is recovering from surgery on my right shoulder, had gone to New Orleans to watch the final four, and I visited my partner on his deathbed.

O. Got it.

So if that is the time period, and I appreciate that very much, if that's the time period that you believe you called Pardee, speaking to Andrews, and called other homebuilders, speaking to whatever representatives you did, what happens next relative to Pardee eventually entering into the Option Agreement in May of 2004?

- A. Between July of 2003 and the end of the year, my focus was on figuring out how we were going to keep the company solvent, what we were going to do with the estate, and I had to immediately negotiate a loan to take out the, his widow's interest, so until the end of the year, I was really working on financing.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. So now --
- Q. Just to follow up then, so then, with that in mind, when did Mr. Wolfram call you?
 - A. I don't have a specific recollection as to the date or period when he called me. What I do recall is that I spoke with him about an unnamed potential buyer, and therefore, I can't say with any certainty that Mr. Wolfram, at the time that I spoke with him, that he was or was not representing Pardee.
 - Q. I understand, but he's testified, and so we've heard his testimony.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from his
 perspective, this is the type of stuff that is not
 permitted.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I didn't say anything.
 I said he's already testified.
- THE COURT: I'll sustain that, what she's saying is just do the questions. You are referring to

- 1 | what Mr. Wolfram has testified to, but if he doesn't
- 2 | recall, obviously I know the testimony from
- 3 Mr. Wolfram, what has testified to, and I'm aware of
- 4 | it.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Which is precisely why
- 6 I told him I had an unnamed person.
- 7 THE COURT: I understand.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. You just validated what Mr. Wolfram said.
- 10 A. Excuse me, I would like to clarify. I'll
- 11 | repeat exactly what I said earlier, which was I don't
- 12 recall.
- THE COURT: You don't recall. I have the
- 14 | testimony.
- 15 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 16 Q. So when is the meeting at Pardee's office,
- 17 | the all-hands meeting?
- 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry? Do it again.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 20 Q. When was the all-hands meeting with the
- 21 | people mentioned, Andrews, yourself, Savely, Wolfram,
- 22 | Wilkes, Lash?
- A. I apologize, without my business notes which
- 24 | were taken from me, as I've indicated, I can't tell you
- 25 specifics.

- Q. Not a problem, and I'm not asking for the specific time. Since we know the agreement is inked by you in May of 2004 how much earlier from that was the all-hands meeting?
- A. I would say that it had to have been at least 90 days, somewhere between that period, 90, 60 days, because I recall almost daily interaction between the Pardee officials negotiating that, this contract, as well as Mr. Levy post discussions from a phone call from Mr. Lash that he was interested in doing a deal.
- Q. Okay. So if we can just work together and say roughly March of 2004, understanding it could be February, it could have been April, but that time period, so 90 days pre May, let's work with that, okay?
 - A. Sure. As general rule, that's pretty close.
- Q. And how much time took place between

 Mr. Wolfram's call to you and the meeting of roughly

 March of 2004?
- A. It might have been right after the beginning of the new year.
 - Q. Okay. So call it the January time period?
 - l A. Yeah.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

- Q. Fair enough, okay.
- So by virtue of the telephone call, the, the meeting is arranged and occurs in March. In March

there are words exchanged in the meeting, and is it true that as a result of the words exchanged in the meeting, Pardee evidenced an interest in the property?

- A. They did, in fact, indicate an interest --
- Q. Okay.

5

б

7

8

10

18

19

20

21

- A. -- in the property.
- Q. And as it turns out, as you've testified to it resulted in almost daily communication between March and May to discuss it, write a document, and sign it?
- A. Yes, I think that's fair.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you are preparing and having drafts, who are your representatives legally to help prepare the draft on your side?
- A. It was a gentleman by the name of

 Carl Savely, and my CEO, Brad Mommer, and myself and
 there may have been other help from members of the firm
 Lionel Sawyer & Collins.
 - Q. And who did you understand was your point of contact representing Pardee?
 - A. I knew it to be Mr. Steve Levy.
 - Q. Thank you.
- 22 And that's lawyer?
- 23 A. He is.
 - Q. And he's an outside lawyer, he's not
- 25 | in-house?

1 A. Yes, sir.

7

8

10

11

12

21

22

23

Q. Thank you, okay.

So now, as the documents are being negotiated and they resulted in the May 2004 document, what is being discussed in terms of how much of CSI development or project is Pardee going buy?

A. May we go off the record for one second, please, as a matter of a personal accommodation?

THE COURT: Nothing to do with the evidence?

THE WITNESS: Nothing to do with this.

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

THE COURT: Okay. Do the question again.

14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. As you're talking now in March, April, May of 2004, what is being said with regard to how much property Pardee wants to buy?
- A. Pardee expressed an interest to buy what they indicated to me was a large parcel of land for single-family residential homes.
 - Q. And, in fact, as it turns out, did they ask you to agree to a five year option to buy all the property, 30,000 acres plus?
- A. They, as the negotiations took place, we negotiated a term, a five year term, for Pardee to be

able to acquire the entire parcel that Coyote Springs owned within a five year period, yes, sir.

- Q. And the entire parcel was how many acres, please?
 - A. The entire parcel was 43,000 acres, less 13,000 acres that were not in fee. We called it the donut hole. It was the piece of land in the interior of Coyote Springs which was leased from the BLM.
- Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- So the 30,000 was subject to the five year option?
- 12 A. In rough terms, that's correct.
 - Q. And under this claim today, how did the donut hole resolve itself?
 - A. Well, it's, it's relevant for my testimony today, because the process of removing the donut hole in the Clark County portion of the project was moved due east, creating a very specific delineation of fee land, which was rest of the Pahranagat Wash, east of Highway 93, north of Highway 168, and bounded at the north by the Lincoln County line.
 - Q. And was that like an exchange with the federal government, is that how that worked?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. How did it work?

- A. We simply restated the lease portion versus
 the fee portion by virtue of the fact that the federal
 government wanted the easternmost land, because it was
 most important from an environmental perspective, to
 create connectivity between two ACEC's, Areas of
 Critical Environment Concern.
- Q. So from a mapping perspective then, when that exchange or swap occurs, the donut hole is now fee deeded to Coyote Springs, and the lease agreement is to the more eastern portion of that space; is that correct?
 - A. Again, what we did was just simply move the deed line to a specific spot, and everything to the east of that represented the same amount of acres coming in to the area to the west.
 - 0. Right.
 - A. As we're going out to the west.
 - Q. Fair enough. Thank you.
- All right. So now, let me help you. Would you take that book at the top left there?
 - A. This right here?
- 22 Q. Yes.
 - A. Uh-huh, sure.
- Q. And would you look at Exhibit Number 2,
- 25 | please?

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

Do you recognize Exhibit 2?

- A. It appears to be the agreement between Coyote Springs Investment, LLC, and Pardee Homes of Nevada.
- Q. All right. And it is in evidence, so we can discuss its contents.

And it bears a date -- doesn't actually show a day, date, it says the blank day. It doesn't have a date, day of May 2004.

Do you see that, third line?

A. Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And do you have a recollection, maybe by referring to the document, when this document was signed by yourself?
- A. I would have to see if I put a date by my signature line.
- 16 | 0. Okay.
- 17 A. No, I don't see a date.
- Q. That's Page 44, Bates Stamped 46, do you see that? That's Pardee Homes's signature, and the next page, Page 45, Bates Stamp 47, is your signature?
- A. Yes, those are. That is my signature on Bates Stamp 47.
- Q. And for our purposes, it doesn't matter the date.
- A day in May this document is signed by you,

- 1 | a day in May 2004 this document is signed by Pardee?
- A. You can compute that, Mr. Jimmerson, simply
- 3 by referencing the document itself, computing the 30
- 4 day periods which expire, if it was necessary.
- Q. All right. Now, calling your attention to, I
- 6 | believe it's paragraph --
- 7 A. Can I -- I need to clarify an answer, your
- 8 Honor first, with respect to the question he just
- 9 asked.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Please.
- Paragraph 30 indicates that the seller needs
- 13 to sign this document and return it to me by 10:00 a.m.
- 14 on June 1st, which means it had to be sometime prior,
- 15 and I recall that it was contemporaneous with that
- 16 period.
- 17 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 18 Q. So we're talking the last day or two of May
- 19 2004?
- 20 A. Think it was within the last three or four
- 21 days, Mr. Jimmerson.
- 22 Q. I appreciate that.
- In the Expiration of Offer, Paragraph 30,
- 24 Page 44, it talks about in terms of the 10:00 a.m.
- June 1, 2004, fair enough. I appreciate that. Thank

1 you

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, by May of 2004, you knew Mr. Lash, on behalf of Pardee, agreed to pay a broker's commission of some sort; isn't that correct?

- A. I would have to look, but I believe contained in the agreement is a specific provision which says that Coyote Springs is not gonna be responsible for any brokerage commission.
 - O. And we'll look at that.

I don't mean to cut you off.

MS. LUNDVALL: And I would ask for him not to cut him off.

THE COURT: I know you're trying.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I've just never got a perfect opposing counsel, your Honor, such snide remarks.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from that perspective, if Mr. Jimmerson perceives I'm being snide, I have no intent, but I'm going to preserve my client's interests, and I do not think it's appropriate for him to testify.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I called it on myself for interrupting him, and I apologize.

THE COURT: Right.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

2.0

- Q. I'm not talking about that agreement, I will show you Paragraph 18 in a minute.
- What did Mr. Lash say to you about the broker agreement so you knew you wanted to protect CSI for not being responsible for it?
- A. Mr. Lash indicated, during the course of negotiations, that there was going to be a brokerage commission due. He did not indicate to me to whom, as I recall during that specific period of time, but I do recall specifically saying whatever the relationship is, I don't want to be responsible for it.
- Q. Now, focusing your attention on Paragraph 18, Page 38, Bates Stamp 40, does that state to you the brokers commission and finder's fees and, as you indicated, the protection of your company to not be responsible for said obligation?
- A. Yes. This provision specifically, now that I look at it, because it specifically mentions Jim and Walt.
- Q. This was negotiated between Pardee and Coyote
 Springs?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if you turn to the second page of that provision, Page 39, Bates Stamp 41, Paragraph 18, the

- language that begins with, Notwithstanding the foregoing, do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Read it out loud.
- A. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon and subject to the close of escrow for the Purchase

 Property or any other Option Parcel, buyer shall pay any finder fee owed to General Realty Group,

 (Walt Wilkes), and Award Realty Group (Jim Wolfram)
- pursuant to a separate agreement. Said fee shall be split equally.
- Q. And the first part of it made a representation by each of the parties to the Option Agreement that there wasn't a broker fee involved and no obligation to pay a broker, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then you have the carving out the
 exception with Pardee having an obligation to
 acknowledge in writing to Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes
 right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And you, Coyote, will not be responsible for that obligation.
- 24 A. Right.
- Q. Did you know at any time prior to May 31 of

- 2004 what that obligation was? 1
- No, sir. Α. 2
- And did Mr. Lash tell you what Pardee's 3 obligation was? 4
- Α. No, sir. 5
- Did Mr. Wolfram or Mr. Wilkes tell you what Ο. 6 7 that obligation was?
- No, sir. Α. 8

- As you sit here today, October of 2013, do 9 you know what that obligation is? 10
- I think provided -- someone, excuse me, I 11 think counsel provided me a copy of the Letter 12 Agreement in my earlier deposition.
- 0. October 2012, right? 14
- Α. If that's the date of my deposition, thank 15 you. 16
- Specifically, yes. 17 Q.
- Okay, thank you. Α. 18
- So just to establish you were not aware of Q. 19 the Letter Agreement or its terms at any time prior to 20 October of 2012 when your deposition was taken --21
- I don't recall a specific circumstance where 22 I was provided a copy of that letter, other than in 23 October. 24
- Q. Okay, thank you. I appreciate that. 25

- A. I'm trying to be as accurate as I can.
- Q. I know you are. That's just the nature of who you are, all right.

Now, then you signed this document, the Option Agreement. Let's talk about this Option Agreement a bit.

Turn to Page 1, please.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Tell me the structure of this agreement overall.
 - A. Okay. This agreement serves as both a, an agreement in and of itself as well as escrow instructions to the title company which was selected by the parties to effectuate a transaction which would allow Coyote Springs Investment to sell a substantial portion of land to Pardee at their election.
 - Q. What was the name of the title company?
 - A. It was Linda Jones, and I believe at the time it might have been Stewart Title. I could check and see in the agreement what it says.
 - Q. All right. And did you know a woman named Francis Dunlap, otherwise known as Francis Butler?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what relationship, if any, did she have to Coyote Springs' sale to Pardee?

- A. Well, she was an individual involved in the transaction.
- Q. Okay. So she worked for a title company that
 was selling parcels or used to transfer title from
 Coyote Springs to Pardee?
- A. My understanding was she was involved in the, with the escrow company or one of the title companies to help us complete the transaction.
- Q. Did you know Francis before you used her in this transaction?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. I've known her for 30 years is why I mentioned.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, this is the classic type of thing that is not permissible.
- 16 THE COURT: It's okay.
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, focus your attention on the first page,
 Paragraph B. It begins with: The parties desire to
 enter into this agreement.
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let me read the first sentence to you: The parties desire to enter into this agreement to provide for the buyer's purchase of the portion of the entire

site existing of Parcel 1 as shown on Parcel Map 98-57 1 recorded July 21, 2000 in Book 20000721, as Document 2 Number 01332, official records Clark County Nevada, 3 containing approximately 3,605.22 acres as shown on the map attached hereto as Exhibit B and made part hereof 5 the Purchase Property and, two, buyer's option to 6 purchase the remaining portion of the entire site which 7 is or becomes designated for single-family detached 8 production residential use, as described below, parens, the Option Property, in a number of separate phases 10 referred to herein collectively as the Option Parcels 11 and individually as Option Parcel, upon the terms and 12 conditions hereinafter set forth. 13

For purposes of this agreement, the Option
Property shall be the real property shown on Exhibit
C-1 attached hereto and made a part hereof if the BLM
configuration does not occur or the real property shown
on Exhibit C-2 attached hereto and made part hereof if
the BLM reconfiguration is completed, provided the
actual boundaries of the Option Property are subject to
change, dependent upon the status of the BLM
reconfiguration, the processing of the seller
entitlements for the entire site as described in
Paragraph 13 (b) below, and --

MS. LUNDVALL: 10 (b).

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- 10 (b) below and market conditions. Q.
- Have I read that accurately. 3
- Yes, sir. Α. 4

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

19

- All right. Now, at this time, under these 5 Q. terms, and I know it changed later on, we'll speak 6 about that, but these terms, what is being purchased in 7 the first portion of Paragraph B, is the entire site of 8 3,600 acres, correct?
- Α. No, sir. 10
 - Okay. Well, when it says, The parties desire to enter into this agreement to provide for buyer's purchase of the portion of entire site consisting of Parcel 1 as shown on this parcel map, okay, the entire site is 43,000 acres less 13,000 acres, correct?
- Α. Yes. 16
- 0. All right. 17
- That's the entire piece of property which is Α. 18 owned by Coyote Springs.
- And the words which were precise here, 20 Q. capital E, Entire, and capital S, Site, refer to all 21 the property, correct? 22
 - That's correct. Α.
- 0. Right. 24
- Α. That's not what I'm, that's not the 25

- 1 | correction I want to bring to your attention.
 - Q. I understand.
 - A. Okay.

3

- Q. But if you're gonna make a correction, you're going to be going against the words of this document.
- 6 MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor?
- 7 THE COURT: I'm gonna strike that.
- Please, why don't you, what correction do you want to make of all of these things we read.
- THE WITNESS: He indicated that it was for
- 11 3,605.22 acres. That is the correct, you read that
- 12 number correctly.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 | 0. Okay.
- A. If you look at the map of the Purchase
- 16 Property, it's land.
- Q. Right, it sure is.
- 18 A. Okay. The 3,600 was the number which was
- 19 necessary to give to Pardee a level of assurance that
- 20 | if Coyote Springs defaulted, that they would be able
- 21 to, that they would be able to gain title to a
- 22 | significant chunk of our property.
 - Q. Very good, okay.
- That language, as you just gave, is nowhere
- 25 set forth in this contract, is it?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to 1 object to that, because it is, and that is 2 misrepresentation of the contents of the document. 3 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Then he can answer the question, "No," Mr. Jimmerson, you're wrong and it's 5 here somewhere. 6 7 THE COURT: I am going to overrule it. him answer the question. You're gonna have to explain 8 this a little further, do you get that, Mr. Whittemore? THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 10 THE COURT: He's just asking a question. 11 Okay. You do your question. He realizes we 12 need more clarification. 13 MS. LUNDVALL: And if he would ask a proper 14 question rather than to try to put words in his mouth 15 through leading questions, we would have a little bit 16 17 of an easier time with this. MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Okay. 18 THE COURT: We're all doing the best we can. 19 THE WITNESS: Am I being difficult? 20 THE COURT: No, not at all. You can only 21 answer the questions, and we'll get there one way or 22 the other Mr. Whittemore. 23 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 24

I'm showing you Exhibit 25.

Q.

THE COURT: What are we looking at?

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Exhibit 25, Plaintiff's

3 25.

5

4 THE COURT: Hold on.

THE CLERK: That's your map, Judge.

THE COURT: What did we do with it? I

7 | apologize.

8 THE CLERK: I think you, did you roll it back

9 | up?

16

20

22

25

THE COURT: I am so sorry. I had it out.

11 | Sorry, it would have been too easy if I kept it out.

12 Thank you.

13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. What is, please tell this Court what is the

15 3,605.22 acres that was recorded in the 2000 Parcel Map

Number 9857, and you have in front of you, just do you

17 | recall what it is?

A. Well, I'm gonna check the numbers, if I can

19 compare them.

Q. I understand.

21 A. It appears to be what's been designated as

| Exhibit 25, plaintiff's proposed Exhibit, which looks

23 like, to me, the portion of the parcel map that I was

24 | contemplating when we described the 3,605 acres.

Q. Okay. So the parcel, Exhibit 25, is a

- 1 depiction by map of what was being sold as Purchase
- 2 Property referred to in the first sentence of
- 3 paragraph B, correct?
- A. As you combine these documents together, the
- 5 answer is correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: And I have it and you
- 8 have it in front of yourself, your Honor, and it's also
- 9 | right here on the easel.
- 10 THE COURT: I understand Mr. Wolfram's
- 11 | testimony yesterday, it's all these pieces. I
- 12 understand that.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. It's this parcel here, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. All right. And this is the county line at
- 17 | the top, correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And so at this point in May of
- 20 2004, there's gonna be a donut hole right at --
- 21 A. No, sir.
- Q. There's not?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 O. It's over here? Where is the donut hole?
- A. The whole reason why there's straight lines

there, Mr. Jimmerson --

- Q. Right.
- A. -- is to ensure that Pardee and Coyote are avoiding any overlap into the leased portion of the land, because again, we cannot give fee title to that which is subject to a lease.
 - Q. So the donut hole is to the east?
- A. Yes, sir, right in that general area, pointing to the right of that exhibit.
 - Q. Right here.

So what is being sold to Pardee under this description is 3,600 acres depicted by this rectangle?

- A. When you use the term, "sold" -- I'll explain this. What's being described in this document --
 - Q. Right.
- A. -- is the intent of the parties, that we can only give title to that which is specific, defined by specific parcel map, and at this point, there have been no discussions as to where single-family residential are going to be or where commercial property is going to be or where the golf course is going to be, but everybody knows, and this is why I wanted to say with respect to this agreement, it must be clear that neither Pardee nor Coyote Springs could have anticipated the exact configuration of the parcels they

were ultimately going to acquire pursuant to this agreement until that mapping and entitlements and development process had taken place.

- Q. And I accept that.
- A. Thank you.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

25

Q. You're not gonna have any quarrels from me, that's my understanding as well. Fair enough.

But what is being purchased here, understanding that you believe it was subject to change as you define entitlements, as you work with the BLM and the like, was selling them purchase property described as 3,600 acres, Exhibit 25, correct?

- A. I'll try to do this again.
- O. Yes or no?

THE COURT: No, honestly, please answer,
because I'm a little confused too. Could can you
answer that yes or no?

18 THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Then please --

THE WITNESS: No, your Honor, I cannot.

THE COURT: Okay. Could you please --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- try to clarify for all of us.

24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Please tell me under that contract what the

definition of Purchase Property is?

A. I would like to --

THE COURT: I would like further --

THE WITNESS: We --

THE COURT: Could you please give us, I would

6 | like to hear --

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

THE WITNESS: Mr. Jimmerson and Judge, the property which is described on that exhibit,

Exhibit 25, what's in front of me, what's in front of the Judge, is a delineation of a portion of the Coyote Springs property which served as a guarantee that

Pardee would be able to acquire their portion of the single-family residential property which the parties would subsequently describe on a map after the planning process and entitlement process, and, quite frankly, the development process associated with the golf course

because what they wanted was X number of acres.

And you will see in this agreement,

Mr. Jimmerson, that we had a right to reacquire this

from Pardee if the thing blew up, because we didn't

want to have a situation where there was a party out

had taken place, because until that took place, this

was my way of guaranteeing to them X number of acres,

there who had this piece and had a blocking strategy,

as opposed to what the intent of the parties was, which

- was to combine their joint planning efforts and come up 1 with the right plan for Coyote Springs. 2
- BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 3
 - No problem about that. Q.
- Thank you. 5 Α.

б

7

8

10

11

18

- To establish the events beforehand, in the Ο. meeting you had, the all-hands meeting at Pardee's offices roughly January/February 2004, after that, after Pardee evidenced their interest to acquire the land, and daily communication occurred between March and May of 2004, is Mr. Wolfram or Mr. Wilkes present?
- Α. At any meeting? 12
- Q. Yes, at any meeting. 13
- No, sir. No. Α. 14
- Okay. Were they, to your knowledge, privy 15 Q. to the communication going on between you and 16 17 John Lash, you on behalf of Coyote Springs, John Lash on behalf of Pardee?
- I was not aware of them. Α. No, sir. 19
- As far as you know, the answer is --20 Q.
- No, that's correct, sir. Α. 21
- All right. So you know when or if they ever Q. 22 received this Option Agreement, Exhibit 2? 23
 - No, I'm not aware. Α.
- Did you deliver them, prior to this Q. 25

litigation, this document, and by "you" I mean Coyote Springs?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Okay. All right.

All right. Now, understanding what you say was the idea, that you're going to now do entitlements and refine things and the like, at least for purposes of this document, would you agree with me that the term "Purchase Property" refers to Exhibit 25 for purposes of this contract?

I understand you're gonna tell me, Jim, it's gonna change, but for a moment in time, was the Purchase Property Exhibit 25?

- A. Jim, I'm gonna say you have to take into account what the property was gonna look like with the BLM configuration and reconfiguration, so you cannot, I cannot sit here and tell you or the Judge that Exhibit, Exhibit 2 was complete until the reconfiguration determination was made, because as a part and parcel of this agreement, it had maps which, quote, required, and I don't recall the numbers, but my recollection is that there was, there were exhibits that were required to say this is what the property is gonna look like, the pre-configuration versus post configuration.
 - Q. Mr. Whittemore, you're not gonna concede to

- 1 | me that you defined in this contract Purchase Property
- 2 as Exhibit 25, yes or no? I know you're gonna tell me
- 3 | it was subject to change, and I'm willing to accept
- 4 that. Will you not accept the fact in one moment in
- 5 time, May of 2004, Purchase Property is defined as
- 6 Exhibit 25.
- 7 MS. LUNDVALL: I'm gonna now object. This
- 8 has been asked and answered.
- THE COURT: I'm not sure he did answer. He's
- 10 explaining. I think he's trying to just do a very --
- 11 he's just trying to say for purposes of just looking at
- 12 Exhibit 2, is Purchase Property, at least why, your
- understanding, defined according to Plaintiff's
- 14 Exhibit 25, I think that's what you're asking?
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Precisely, yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Just for purposes of this?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Mr. Jimmerson, your Honor,
- 18 Purchase Property is defined within Paragraph B as the
- 19 3,605 --
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 A -- 22 acres within Paragraph B.
- Q. And is Option Property, at that moment in
- 24 | time, defined as everything else outside of Parcel 1,
- 25 the 3,600 acres?

1 A. No, sir.

2

- Q. What is Option Property defined as?
- A. Option Property is specifically defined.
- 4 That is portion of the entire site which is or becomes
- 5 designated for single-family detached production
- 6 residential use.
- 7 | Q. Okay.
- THE COURT: Hold on, let him finish.
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. Did I interrupt you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. And therefore, and therefore, depending upon
- 14 what happened with respect to any portion of any
- 15 subsequent agreements, entitlement, mapping, the Option
- 16 Property could be zero, because I was going to
- 17 designate it as multi-family, I was going to designate
- 18 | it as commercial, I was going to designate it as golf
- 19 course, I was gonna designate it as any of a huge
- 20 number of potential uses pursuant to the entitlements,
- 21 | which I received from Clark County.
- Q. Fair enough.

- A. That's the complete answer.
- Q. Would you then agree from your last answer
- 25 | that Option Property is outside of Parcel 1? I don't

care where it was, it's just not part of Parcel 1? 1

- Within the constraints of Paragraph B, yes. Α.
- Thank you. That's all I need to know. Q.
- Α. Okay.

2

3

5

19

20

21

Thank you. Q.

I'm gonna fill in the blanks with you. Work 6 7 with me. Trust me, okay?

- Α. Okay. 8
- Ο. All right. So June 1, when they sign this, 9 this is Purchase Property, a defined term, and 10 somewhere within the other 43,000 acres, less the 11 13,000 approximately, you're gonna retain for yourself, 12 and Option Property is defined as property outside of 13
- Parcel 1 for single-family residential use, correct? 14
- Within the context of Paragraph B, the answer Α. 15 is yes. 16
- 17 Ο. Great, okay.

Now, as you noted, there are no schedules or 18 maps attached to that document?

- Α. To Exhibit 2?
- To Exhibit 2. Q.
- Α. Correct. 22
- You first, in fact, learned that in your 23 Q. deposition last year, correct? Do you recall thinking 24 that they had been attached to the document but then 25

- 1 | later on weren't?
- 2 A. Well --

- Q. On October 19th of 2012?
- A. I don't recall what my --
 - Q. Fair enough.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor --
- 7 THE COURT: Just let him finish. I know
- 8 you're trying to be real, Mr. Jimmerson, I know where
- 9 | you need to go. Slow down, I know what you're trying
- 10 to -- he doesn't recall in his deposition, and you're
- 11 gonna show him, okay.
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Look at now, look at the schedules attached
- 14 | as of June 1 of 2004.
- THE COURT: Pursuant to Paragraph B?
- 16 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Correct, as of June 1
- 17 of 2004.
- 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 19 Q. Now, have you noticed attached to this
- 20 document are blanks for the schedules?.
- 21 THE COURT: We're still on Exhibit 2?
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Exhibit 2.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. In other words, when you look at this,

- 1 beginning with -- let me find it here. Page 46.
- A. Bates stamped 48, list of exhibits, do you see that, Mr. Whittemore?
- A A. Yes, A6 and A8, yes, sir.
- Q. And you listed the anticipated exhibits, and they're all referenced in the course and agreements, but when you go looking for them, you see that they're not attached?
- A. Right. What the parties did was prepare a complete list of what was going to be subsequently attached to Exhibit 2 to make it a complete agreement.
- Q. Fair enough. Good.
 - Just turn to the next page, Exhibit 3, the tab right below --
- A. Exhibit 3, yes, sir.
- 16 | O. It's Bates Stamp Number 91?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

14

- Q. Pardee Homes 91, and it's called Amendment To
 Option Agreement For The Purchase Of Real Property And
 Joint Escrow Instructions.
- Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Now, this is not central to this case, but just tell us what was occurring here in July of 2004, approximately six or seven weeks after the signed

1 document of June 1, 2004?

- A. We were in the process of finalizing the exhibits, and because we had not yet been able to
- 4 finalize what those exhibits were, the parties felt it
- 5 appropriate that we extend time under which part of the
- 6 money was supposed to go hard, and therefore, in
- 7 | fairness to Pardee, we said we'll extend the
- 8 contingency periods, and we'll continue to work,
- 9 honoring what needs to be done to make this agreement
- 10 work for you.
- Q. And there was some release of funds, \$125,000
- from Pardee through escrow, released out of escrow to
- 13 | Coyote Springs?
- 14 A. Yes. I made them pay a little bit to dance.
- 15 Q. I got it. Fair enough.
- 16 And the date of this is roughly July 28th of
- 17 2004?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- Q. About seven weeks after the signing of the
- 20 original agreement?
- A. Close enough.
- Q. Fair enough.
- Now, would you look at Exhibit 4 --
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- in evidence, all right.

Do you know what Amendment Number 2 Option,
I think this answers a lot of questions you were
talking about earlier?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All right. So let's work together now talking about this.

What is going on now, it's dated August 31, and I don't know if that's the exact date it was signed, but it bears the date August 31, 2004.

We're going on to Amendment Number 2 Option

Agreement For The Purchase Of Real Property And Joint

Escrow Instructions, Exhibit 4.

A. Okay. Thank you.

The parties have finally determined that it's time to bring greater specificity to what is your Exhibit 2, which is the Baseline Agreement to me, and it says that the parties hereby agree that upon execution of this amendment, all of those exhibits attached, A, B, C, D, G, I, J, K, L, P, and Q, are gonna be made part of the agreement.

The Exhibit H reference was deleted. We had an Exhibit H reference in the underlying agreement, but we deleted it in its entirety, and the most important piece of this, from my perspective and John's perspective, was that Exhibit E, the price that they

were going to pay for this land purchase in the future would remain in affect.

- Q. All right. And why, what's the most important feature of this in your and Mr. Lash's minds?
- A. Because Exhibit E was the amendment which we got done most quickly to attach to the underlying agreement, because he wanted to know, Harvey, I trust you, but I want to make sure if I want to buy the entire property that you designate as single-family residential, that over a period of 40 years this is what I'm gonna have to pay for the property.
- I wanted to make sure that I had a deal that
 I was, I was going to have a partner who was gonna be
 in it with me for the long haul.
- Q. Okay. Now, I don't see Exhibit E here. Is it here?
- A. No. It's back on Exhibit -- if you turn to your Exhibit 2?
- Q. Please do that. I want the Court to do that.
 I want everybody in the room to follow along.
- A. Okay. And you'll see Exhibit E on Bates
 Stamp 54.
- Q. Okay. So now looking at Exhibit 2, Bates
 Stamp 54.
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- 1 Q. Which is --
- A. 54, Exhibit E.
- THE COURT: It don't have a page number. It
- 4 just says Exhibit E.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Thank you.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 7 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And so that schedule, that had been agreed to
- 9 June 1, 2004?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. It was an attached exhibit?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And so that is being reaffirmed,
- 14 | if you will, through Exhibit 4?
- 15 A. It's just not addressed.
- 16 O. But it remains in force?
- 17 A. Yes, it remains in force.
- 18 Q. And that's why you say that was the most
- important part to him, to make sure he was able to buy
- 20 out the entire property you designate single-family
- 21 | residential at the price established June 1, 2004?
- A. And for me to be able to guarantee I was
- gonna send a bill in the Year 40 for \$74,923 for any
- 24 piece of property designated as single-family
- residential they want to exercise the option on,

- because you will see Year 0 to 5 is the five year 1 period you asked me about. It's \$40,000 an acre. 2
- And then it escalates pursuant to the terms Q. 3 of the agreement?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from his 5 perspective, once again, we get a witness. 6

7 THE COURT: I'm trying, and Mr. Jimmerson, you probably understand it better than I do, and I know 8 you've worked the case, but I'm trying to understand.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I will be more careful.

This is really critical to me. THE COURT:

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I will be more careful.

THE COURT: And I'm not chastising, I'm just 13 trying very hard to follow, because I know this is 14 important.

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to make it clear. 16

THE COURT: You are, and I truly appreciate

it. 18

10

11

12

15

17

20

25

- BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 19
 - On Exhibit E --0.
- THE COURT: Once again, this is after the 21 five years, if they do exercise the option, Pardee, 22 this is the price they're gonna pay per acre? 23

THE WITNESS: Yes. 24

> THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

1

- Q. And as you've indicated, anytime they buy, including the entire site of 30,000 acres, 43 minus the 13, it's 40,000 an acre Years 0 to 5?
- A. If I designated it, if they say, I want to buy the entire property without regard to any designation, commercial or anything else, their strike price is \$40,000 per acre for the full 30,000 acres,
- 10 Q. B, as in billion?

which would be 1.2 billion.

- 11 A. Not an M, B.
- Q. So that's the magnitude of this potentially, is a \$1.2 billion purchase by Pardee if they --
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, we've got -- the witness is supposed to provide the testimony, the examiner asks the questions.
- THE COURT: I think he's trying to clarify it
 to make sure I understand it, but that's if the whole
 site was designated as single-family residential,
 nothing else.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Or they simply said we want to 22 take down the entire site.
- THE COURT: And do what we want?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, and we'll do the
- 25 planning.

- BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And there was an agreement in Exhibit E on
- June 1 of 2004, part of Exhibit 2, as to the escalation
- 4 | so you wouldn't have any quarrels about what does the
- 5 escalation compute to?
- A. That's why it's the most important part of
- 7 the deal.

- Q. Price sometimes is, all right.
 - Now --
- 10 THE COURT: I think we would all stipulate to
- 11 that. It can be a deal breaker.
- THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 Q. Now, for purposes of Amendment 2, that's the
- 15 exhibit you're filling in the blanks that had been left
- 16 | on June 1?
- A. Absolutely, fair characterization.
- 18 O. Okay. So now let's fill in the blanks
- 19 together.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Let's take a look at the attachments, please,
- 22 and you'll walk us through what it is now that we are
- 23 doing.
- 24 A. Right.
- Q. What is Exhibit A-1, CSI Wolfram 1560?

- 1 A. Got it.
- Q. What is that?
 - A. Got it, thank you.
- Okay. Exhibit A-1 was prepared by my general
- 5 | manager, Rob Dirk, to show what the site looked like
- 6 before any BLM reconfiguration
- 7 You can see the area which is white, your
- 8 Honor.

- 9 THE COURT: It's the BLM land?
- THE WITNESS: It's the BLM land, and you'll
- 11 see a little area on the upper left-hand corner which
- 12 is also BLM land.
- THE COURT: BLM land also.
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 15 Q. Looking at Exhibit 25, the BLM property is
- 16 | right along the eastern border of the purchased
- 17 property?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And here's the county line, and we find other
- 20 parts up in here?
- A. Way off the chart but up to the northwest,
- 22 | that's correct.
- Q. All right. And just hold it up so, hold it
- 24 up, show me this. Tell me what this is, please.
- 25 A. Okay.

- Q. The bottom left corner below the county line --
- THE COURT: And on the left.
- THE WITNESS: This area which is designated,
- 5 which is shown to be crosshatched on Exhibit A-1 is
- 6 | identical to what's been referenced as Exhibit 25.
- 7 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Purchase Property as originally defined in the May agreement?
- 10 A. I'm gonna keep qualifying that, in
 11 Paragraph B.
- Q. Okay, no problem.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, Purchase Property in Amendment Number 2 remains the same, the same definition, correct?
- 16 A. I don't think we changed anything. In fact,
 17 if I drafted this right or my people did, it will say
 18 that all the definitions remain the same.
 - Q. Thank you.

- 20 A. Let me look, please.
- Q. Please confirm it.
- A. Yes. Paragraph 23 basically said that the provisions of this amendment control over the prior terms of the agreement, so technically, you would have to look at Amendment Number 2 as compared to the prior

1 | agreement, your Honor.

- Q. I understand. And would you agree that

 Amendment 2, Exhibit 4, does not change the definition

 of Purchase Property found on the first page of

 Exhibit 2, the June 1, 2004 Baseline Agreements?
 - A. I'm gonna look to see.

THE COURT: Is there anything in this amendment that even talks about Purchase Property?

THE WITNESS: That's what I want to find out.

THE COURT: Right, perfect, on the same page.

THE WITNESS: I would like it if somebody

could do a word search real quickly. It would help a

13 | lot.

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. You're years ahead of me. What is a word search?
- THE COURT: I wish we had it on a computer.
- 18 Come on, you've got to have OCR on the computer.
- MS. LUNDVALL: We don't, your Honor. We
- 20 don't have it.
- THE WITNESS: We don't have OCR.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Not for these documents.
- THE COURT: We'll have to use an eyeball
- 24 | search.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. So now I can tell you

- 1 this, that the parties at the time that this document
- 2 is executed clearly anticipate that the Purchase
- 3 Property, the purchase price of the Purchase Property
- 4 | is gonna be \$84 million.
- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 A. And that's contained in Paragraph 4 (b).
- 8 THE COURT: That's how you get to the
- 9 \$84 million?
- THE WITNESS: That's how we get to the 84,
- 11 | because I negotiated with Mr. Lash an increase in the
- 12 prior number to this, based upon my obligation to put
- 13 | in certain improvements that Pardee wanted to guarantee
- 14 that we were gonna put the money in the land rather
- 15 than just pocket it and go home.
- 16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 17 | O. Got it.
- 18 A. But it's critical that you look at
- 19 Paragraph 4 (b), because it describes throughout the
- 20 | rest of this document all of the commercial
- 21 | improvements, clearly contemplating commercial
- 22 property. It talks about all the different things
- 23 which are required from recreation facilities, so I
- 24 | impose upon the buyer an obligation to commit to build
- 25 a recreation facility, which means you need parks and

recreation land, and so again, now we have the
integration of this agreement with this amendment to
contemplate or to reach the contemplation of the
parties.

Q. Very good.

5

6

7

8

10

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

And would you agree that during the course of this document, there is a specification that the first purchase that Pardee is going to make is purchase property of roughly 1,950 acres?

- A. Yeah. That's, I think that's --
- Q. And the 1,950 acres is a portion of the overall 3,600 acres Purchase Property, correct?
- A. Well, this is where you get, this is where
 you need to allow me to explain, if I could.

If you please look at 1568, the map of the Initial Developed Parcel.

Q. Okay.

THE COURT: 1568 Bates Stamp?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Bates Stamp 1568.

THE COURT: Got it.

THE WITNESS: You can see the double crosshatched area, the Initial Developed Parcel, the 1,950, and Phase 1 is located in the southern portion of the parcel, and the parties will mutually agree upon the phasing of the additional purchases, your Honor,

- 1 | the remaining 1,700 acres.
- 2 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, can we agree that the 1,950 acres is
- 4 | just what I just said, it's part of, part of the
- 5 | Purchase Property, it's part of Exhibit 25?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That's all I asked. I appreciate it.
- 8 THE COURT: Do you need to explain something
- 9 else?
- 10 THE WITNESS: No.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. It's, it relates to the commission
- 13 agreements, not anything to do with your being
- 14 accurate, it's just how we're paid differs whether it's
- 15 | Purchase Property or Option Property, that's why I'm
- 16 being so emphatic to describe where the 1,950 acres is.
- 17 A. Okay.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, again, I would ask
- 19 to have the speech stricken by Mr. Jimmerson.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSO: I agree to have it
- 21 | stricken, that's just fine.
- MS. LUNDVALL: And I would ask for him to
- 23 exercise restraint, as the Court has repeatedly
- 24 admonished him not to do that.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I didn't have breakfast

with the witness. I didn't have the opportunity to 1 talk to him. 2

THE COURT: You both had an opportunity. 3 don't infer anything from you talking to him. 4 not to infer any -- I certainly would be disappointed 5 if both of you didn't talk to a witness if you had the 6 chance, so I'm not inferring anything by that. 7

Honestly, it's easier if I get as much testimony as I can out of Mr. Whittemore, to be honest, so --

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I understand, I'm gonna 11 go through all of it. 12

THE COURT: I know you know where you're 13 going. 14

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I'm gonna go through 15 all of it, Judge, so we have a clear understanding. 16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

So let's start at Exhibit A-1. We're gonna Ο. go through the exhibits that are now locked in.

This is what the provision of Amendment 2 Subparagraph 3, Upon execution of this agreement by both parties, Exhibits A, B, C, D, G, I, J, K, L, P and Q to the agreement shall be the exhibits which are included in Exhibit 1 attached hereto and made a part hereof. Exhibit H to the agreement is hereby deleted.

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. So now you're confirming what you had thought
- you had in May, but now you've got the attachments,
- 4 | right?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 | O. What's wrong with that?
- 7 A. That's not what we thought we had in May,
- 8 | it's what we were going to do because we were going to
- 9 use a deliberative collegial process to ultimately
- 10 | reach resolution.
- 11 Q. And you, you got by this amendment --
- A. We got here, okay, we got here.
- Q. And it's dated roughly August 31 of 2004, two
- 14 | months later?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 \bigcirc O. So let's start at A-1.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You already answered the question, but to
- 19 summarize, this is as it was with the donut hole?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. BLM leaves the property?
- 22 A. That's true.
- Q. And the purchase property is the bottom
- 24 | left-hand corner of --
- A. As defined in Exhibit B on Page 1.

- Q. Right, okay.
- So now, if any portion above the county line or to the east of the county line, not including BLM property here and here --
- 5 A. Okay.
- Q. -- was acquired by Pardee under the terms of the agreement of May or of June 1, 2004, would that be called Option Property?
- 9 THE COURT: I didn't see what you -- I'm
 10 sorry, Mr. Jimmerson, I couldn't see what he was
 11 pointing at.
- THE WITNESS: Mr. Jimmerson was referring to everything that is not --
- THE COURT: Hash marked, the cross marks

 hatched that are not BLM land, correct?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Everything that we had marked
- 18 Parcel 1, that's not BLM land.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Is that Option Property defined under the agreement of June 1, 2004, if you designated it as residential?
- A. Correct.
- 24 O. Thank you.
- Now, let's go to A-2. What is A-2?

1 A. A-2 is --

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

- Q. Referring to Bates Stamp 1561?
- A. 1561 represents my best estimate at the time that we were doing this as to what I had hoped would be the map of the entire site post BLM reconfiguration.
 - Q. Okay. Now, looking at that map, A-2, I'll show the Judge, this is Parcel 1, Purchase Property, and it's immediately, and immediately to the east and north would be Option Property, if it's designated as residential as of August 31 of 2004?
 - A. If it's designated as single-family residential for purposes, I am presuming we're talking about for purposes of this trial, correct?
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Thank you.
- That's all I'm trying too establish, what's
 Purchase Property and what's Option.
- Next exhibit, please.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What's Exhibit 1563, what we call Exhibit B to this document?
- A. It is a planning designation from
 Wilson Miller, which is one of our consultants, that
 shows what it look like in aliquot portions, again,

1 26 July, 2004.

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. And it was the Exhibit B description of it, Page 1 of the original Baseline Agreement,

 June 1, 2004, it's the Exhibit B that wasn't attached on June 1 and that is now attached?
 - A. That's fair.
- Q. Thank you.

So what's shown herein is the Purchase

Property as that is a defined term in the Baseline
Agreement?

- A. In Paragraph B.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

Let's turn to the next page, 1565, and it does skip one, 1563 to 1565.

What's C-1?

- A. C-1 is the map of the, what's described as Option Property prior to BLM reconfiguration, and then it says, The actual Option Property will be the production residential property within the designated area determined pursuant to the Option Agreement.
- Q. Okay. So again, it shows the Purchase Property bottom left-hand corner, right?
- A. By definition -- no. By the fact it's excluded, it must be referring to prior documents, which therefore would be --

- 1 Q. Purchase Property?
 - A. Purchase Property.
- Q. Okay. Now, the difference between C-1 and
- 4 A-1 is what, if there's any difference?
- A. Well, there's a lot. Exhibit A-1, the crosshatched marks cover what you call the Purchase Property, and Exhibit C-1, it's blank.
 - Q. Is that the only difference between the two?
- 9 A. Yes.

8

17

18

19

24

- Q. All right. Did you make the notation on the right, the actual Option Property will be, quote, production residential property within the designated
- area pursuant to the Option Agreement?
- A. That was done by our drafters when we were getting to sign the final documents.
- 16 | O. Okay.
 - A. Both Pardee and CSI wanted that on -- all of these written notes were done prior to my execution of the agreement.
- Q. That's what I want to know. Here's my questions: Was it done prior to June 1? Was it done prior to the Amendment Number 2 of roughly August 31 of '04?
 - A. I don't know when the map was created.
 - Q. Okay. But we know it was attached at least

- 1 as of August 31, Amendment Number 2, the document in
- 2 front of you, Exhibit 4?
- A. Yeah. The effective date of the agreement is
- 4 when all of these exhibits were gonna be attached.
- Q. I just want the court to know you did it,
- 6 it's not something Ms. Lundvall or I did during
- 7 discovery?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- THE COURT: He's testified to have that, you
- 10 | said they were actually there before you signed the
- 11 | agreement?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: I understand that, that would be
- 16 true of C-2 and any --
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 18 | O. It's true for all of them?
- 19 A. Any of the notations, your Honor.
- Q. Now, continue to the next page.
- A. 1566 is, again, the actual Option Property
- 22 has the exact same quote, okay?
- Q. All right. And this is with the swap or the
- 24 removal of the donut hole, the readjustment of BLM land
- 25 to the east?

- A. After BLM reconfiguration, that's correct.
- Q. All right. And with this C-2 then, the Purchase Property is the blank bottom left corner?
 - A. That's as defined in.
- Q. The first agreement, the first agreements within that paragraph.
- Q. And then the Option Agreement is everything hatched?
 - A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- And would you go to the next one, 1568,
- 12 Exhibit D?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What's that, please?
- 15 A. Exhibit D is the crosshatched, and in this, I
 16 think my people, I would rather them have said on here
 17 documents hatched and this is crosshatched, but the X
 18 crosshatched area represents the first 1,950 acres
- 19 which I was referring to.
- 20 THE COURT: Earlier.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Earlier.
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And to save further questions on this point,
- 24 | would you endorse the handwritten description of your
- 25 staff as being accurate?

A. Yes.

1

2

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. That's all I want to know.

So when I say, "your staff" said this, you're gonna say, Yes, that's true, my understanding at the time?

- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And to read that then, the crosshatched area to the Initial Developed Parcel, 1,950 acres, Phase 1 is that 250 acres?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I'm sorry, I do have bad eyes.

It's located in the southern portion of the parcel, and the parties will mutually agree upon the phasing of the additional purchases with an arrow to the 1,950 acres outlined within the 3,600 acres that we have described as purchased property?

- A. We had determined by this date that we could, with certainty, start the planning process by saying if you take 250 acres in the lower corner of this piece of, this piece of land, knowing all of the geotechnical and wash considerations taken into account, that this would be an area where you'd have, for example, your signs, and then at some point single-family homes.
 - Q. Got it. Thank you.
- Would you now look at Exhibit G-1, Grant,

Bargain and Sale Deed.

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- A. G-1, yes, sir.
- Q. What's going on with -- it's called Grant,
 Bargain and Sale Deed, parentheses, Purchase Property,
 close parenthesis.
 - A. This is a document prepared for my general manager's signature giving to Pardee certain land as described on Exhibit A, excluding water rights, and our right to put in and over the designated property the construction of fiber optic and telephone lines and those sorts of things, because we were retaining those, as it was our business plan to engage in that activity.
 - Q. Okay. Looking at the Exhibit A, what is the legal description? Can you tell me the legal description so we can look at the map and see what's being conveyed from Coyote Springs to Pardee by this Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed, G-1?
 - A. I would have to look at the Parcel 1 of the parcel map which was recorded, and if you're telling me that it's Number 25 --
 - Q. It is.
- A. And those are the appropriate file designations, and then it would be all of that property.
 - Q. Thank you.

- Now look at the next exhibit, Form Of Grant,
 Bargain and Sale Deed, Option Property, Exhibit G-2,
 Bates stamped 1573.
- What property is being conveyed there from Coyote to Pardee?
- A. Okay. I don't want to be hypertechnical, but
 I have to be. No property is being conveyed by either
 of these documents. These are forms of exhibits.
 - Q. Right.
- 10 A. That's --
- THE COURT: I understand nothing has been signed, they're just deeds.
- THE WITNESS: They're just proposed deeds,

 your Honor, and therefore, okay --
- 15 THE COURT: I understand that.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. And the attachment is
- 17 blank.
- 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. All right. Now, as of September 1, August 31
- of 2004, looking at maps that have been now discussed,
- 21 have been agreed to, and have been attached to the
- agreement by Amendment Number 2, where was the Option
- 23 Property located?
- A. The parties had not finalized what it would
- be. It would clearly be included within the fee

portion of any property which Pardee had not yet
purchased and for which a single-family residential
designation had taken place after Year 5.

- Q. And not including the Purchase Property?
- A. And not including the prior Purchase
 Property, because Purchased Property was designated
 within a prior exhibit.
- Q. Thank you.

Now, continuing on the set of exhibits, please, would you look at the next exhibit, which I think is, is it I? I'm sorry, my eyes are poor, Exhibit I, Wolfram 1577?

- 13 A. 1577 is Exhibit 11.
- 14 0. 11?

9

10

11

12

- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. The actual commercial property will be portion of the Designated Area which is not --
- A. Deemed --
- Q. -- deemed Option Property pursuant to the Option Agreement?
- Q. So we're not talking Purchase Property, we're not talking about Option Property for residential use, we're talking a third category, right?
 - A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Slow down, let him explain.

THE WITNESS: So what we had done is we had

2 completed an overlay, a crosshatched portion, that said

- 3 this can be the Option Property, and we had said that
- 4 that identical land could be commercial property,
- because in effect what we were saying was Coyote
- 6 Springs was retaining the right to do what it wanted to
- 7 do with respect to that property.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 | Q. And had we looked at the previous D-1 --
- 10 A. If we go here from Exhibit 11 and if you go
- 11 to Exhibit D-1.
- 12 Q. I think it was D-1.
- THE COURT: Let's find it, is it D-1 or D --
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm going to say it's
- 15 Exhibit D.
- THE COURT: I have that.
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, looking at, comparing D with 11, tell us
- 19 | what we're looking at?
- 20 A. Right. So what we had done is to ensure that
- 21 there could be no confusion between the parties, we had
- 22 | said clearly that the blank portion which is included
- on Exhibit 11, that area was designated single-family
- 24 residential at this point in time, and what we had said
- was that all the remaining map was going to be, if you

- 1 just hold them up here, because all they did when they
- 2 drew the maps was simply erase the crosshatch that,
- 3 | those are identical, so both maps depict an area which
- 4 can be, quote, called the Option Property or commercial
- 5 property.
- THE COURT: So you were just keeping your
- 7 options.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Our options.
- 9 THE COURT: And if you decided CSI to
- 10 designate it as single-family dwellings, that's what
- 11 | Pardee would have the first option on?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Unless, your Honor, and I want
- 13 to make this very clear, that between Years 0 and 5, if
- 14 they had said, We want to take the whole property, they
- 15 | would simply pay 40,000 times the --
- 16 THE COURT: And they get everything.
- 17 THE WITNESS: And Harvey is a lot heavier
- 18 | than he is today.
- THE COURT: I got you, it would have been
- 20 | Pardee's problem.
- THE WITNESS: So, and you guys would have
- 22 | been -- okay, nevermind.
- THE COURT: I understand the contingency, but
- 24 | it didn't happen.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I should add that to

- 1 | the amended complaint.
- THE COURT: At least we're laughing, all
- 3 | right.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And then I'll continue on the next page,
- 6 Mr. Whittemore.
- 7 A. Okay.
- Q. Close that up, and we'll turn the next page.
- 9 THE COURT: Now we're at Exhibit 12?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I did not see a 1576. Did I
- 11 | miss something?
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: No.
- THE COURT: No.
- 14 THE WITNESS: While I was putting this back
- 15 | in.
- 16 THE COURT: You're right.
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 18 Q. It's the way you guys produced it.
- THE COURT: It's okay, we skip them
- 20 | sometimes. No inference there.
- 21 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 22 Q. Okay, 1578, Exhibit 12.
- A. Okay.
- Q. What's Exhibit 12, Wolfram 1578?
- A. 1578 is the corresponding map of the

- commercial property, i.e., the, it's the mirror image
 post BLM reconfiguration to maintain the same
 contingency and ability to designate all the property
 commercial.
- 5 Q. Got it.
- THE COURT: You just moved it, got ya.
- THE WITNESS: We moved it over and retained the flexibility.
- 9 THE COURT: Same rights, just changed if you could move over BLM, same thing?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. The next document, Exhibit J, is Description
 Of Commercial Improvements.
- What does Exhibit J?
- A. Exhibit J is the obligations for the seller to produce certain improvements on the property with the money and additional resources that the seller has to improve the property adjacent to the property which it was selling to Pardee.
 - Q. Fair enough.

- THE COURT: Was that why there was the increase from 66 to 83?
- 24 THE WITNESS Yes, your Honor. That was part 25 of the reason, was because --

THE COURT: You were committing to do things.

THE WITNESS: We were committing to do more,

3 Pardee was asking us to do more, and therefore, I was

4 going to say to Pardee, You're gonna have to step up to

5 the plate.

6 THE COURT: And help.

7 THE WITNESS: To give us a little more money,

8 | your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- 11 Q. And that's one of the reasons. There may
- 12 have been others, but that's one of the reasons why the
- 13 price went up to \$84 million?
- 14 A. Yes. And with that, the corresponding
- 15 | increase in the, quote, actual per acre price
- 16 associated with the first sale. It didn't magically go
- 17 | from 40,000 it's a construct, a resulting sum by
- 18 determining 1,950 into the 88 million.
- 19 Q. 84 million?
- A. That's the number you get per acre.
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 And did you, within Exhibit J or maybe
- 23 somewhere else at this point now, I'm talking now
- 24 | September 1, August 31 of 2004, designate where outside
- of the 1,950 acre takedown of Pardee that commercial

- improvements are going to be located that are referenced here?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

10

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And how did you do that and where is that shown?
 - A. That was done internally by CSI on literally thousands upon thousands of design pages which were done by firms called Wilson Miller, Jack Nicklaus.

 Everybody who was associated with the project literally had reams and reams of -- VTN Consulting.
- 11 Q. Got it.
 - A. All of our engineers.
- Q. But for purposes of this question though, they were not gonna be constructed within the first 1,950 acres, within the 1,950 acre area shown on the previous exhibits?
 - A. That's not true.
 - Q. Tell me, that's why I'm asking.
 - A. Yes. The agreement between the parties was that if it was necessary for the parties to put in a particular type of street, a major infrastructure, street, that the parties would agree to endure that burden on a 50/50 basis.
 - Q. All right.
- A. That meant in effect, what we were doing was

forcing Coyote Springs to, in effect, give them

additional land a little bit outside the 1,950, because

on a 50/50 basis, if you, if CSI was paying for it, you

got to adjust it up.

Q. Got it, okay.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A. And, and this is more important, if it made better sense for the golf course because of the wash considerations to develop a hole along the washes within that particular area.

THE COURT: The 1,950?

THE WITNESS: Within the 1,950 acres, your Honor, that the parties would compensate each other on a one for one basis, that if I took land that was gonna be associated with these golf courses, put it there, that you will then subsequently see, your Honor, the normalcy of a business transaction which the purchaser says that you're gonna put a golf course on my property, and we say yes, and in return --

THE COURT: What are you giving me?.

THE WITNESS: Exactly. You're gonna give us

21 a golf course premium.

- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 23 | O. Got it.
- A. So of course they were gonna be adjustments as contemplated by the parties with respect to the

1 1,950.

- Q. All right. Is there any language in the base agreement or in Amendment Number 2 that gives the right to put the golf course on the 1,950 acres being purchased by Pardee within those two documents as of September 1 of 2004?
- A. Well, I would have to see if it was in this or later.
- Okay, for example, if you take a look at 10 1554, Item Number 17.
- Q. I have it in front of me, go right ahead?
- A. Uh-huh. It talks about the fact that buyer is going to construct a recreation center.
- Q. And the buyer is Pardee?
 - A. Buyer is Pardee.
- 16 Q. Go ahead.

15

17

18

19

20

- A. And as a result of that, we're agreeing to sell up to 15 acres of land within the, what is termed here the entire site to buyer without cost for such purpose.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So that's an example where you contemplated
 the construction and use by Pardee of property outside
 the Purchase Property, and there was a corresponding
 understanding that if we went into, as a result of the

- planning process, if the government, of course, went in
- 2 | there, that we would negotiate what those terms would
- be, so in a subsequent document, I'm sure you're going
- 4 to find --

- 5 Q. Right.
- A. -- an agreement that talks about view
- Q. We're talking about September 1, I want to find out if the 15 acres is still gonna be within the
- 10 Purchase Property --
- 11 A. No, sir.
- Q. -- contemplated in paragraph?

premiums or golf course premiums.

- A. No, sir. That's what I'm saying.
- 14 O. Why is --
- 15 A. It said 15 acres within the entire site.
- Q. So where was the retail -- excuse me, the,
- 17 the recreation center was going to be within the 15
- 18 acres?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 | Could I show you?
- Q. Please.
- THE COURT: Please.
- 23 THE WITNESS: So if we went to ask what
- 24 ultimately happened, if you went to take a tour of the
- site, which I don't suggest you do, but you will see,

- 1 | you will see a great big lake that was dug for purposes
- of creating a recreation center, because Pardee's
- 3 appetite at the time was with the understanding that
- 4 they thought they were going to build a, an amenity
- 5 that involved the construction of a beautiful lake,
- 6 because that was where we were going to be able to
- 7 store water and do all those sorts of things.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. And that would be outside the Purchase
- 10 Property, outside of Parcel 1 in this area if I were to
- 11 point?
- 12 A. Probably a little bit lower.
- Q. No problem if you want to write a circle
- 14 | yourself?
- 15 A. I will say about there.
- THE COURT: You're doing an approximation.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Your point is it's outside, for
- 19 | the question. It doesn't --
- THE WITNESS: Wait, wait, let me finish.
- THE COURT: Please.
- THE WITNESS: You were talking about the
- 23 | initial, the initial property, the 1,950?
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Right.

- A. The reason why it was specifically saying this, notwithstanding Paragraph 17 of the agreement, Seller hereby agrees to transfer legal title to up to 15 acres of land within the entire site to buyer without cost for such purpose. Such land shall be at a location which is mutually agreeable and, what was, ultimately it was to the west of the 1,950 acres.
 - O. To the west would be on the street?
 - A. Excuse me, east of the 1,950 acres.
 - Q. Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Okay. And then ultimately moved in its entirety of being the lake and the recreation building which was starting to be constructed and move it onto property which was down more. The recreation facilities were ultimately going to be built in town center somewhere in here.
 - O. Okay. Also outside of Parcel 1?
- A. Without getting a snapshot physically, I'm giving you the concept.

THE COURT: Your best estimate?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm giving you the concept that the parties had contemplated the transfer and the swapping of land on a post agreement basis simply because that was smart planning.

25 / / / /

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Got it.

1

2

14

17

A. Let me make sure, the parties, when you're talking about -- the parties had contemplated.

THE COURT: Parties, I know, party/Pardee.

6 | It's hard. Our court reporter said yesterday, Oh, my

7 | gosh, I don't know if they're saying "parties" or

8 | "Pardee." I said, Jennifer, do the best you can, but

9 my understanding of the parties, which are Pardee and

10 CSI, contemplated a swapping of land after these

11 agreements, which includes the Option Agreement and the

12 Amendment I and 2.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Fill it in for me.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. How many years later did they do that?

18 THE COURT: Could you just fill in, I want to

make sure I understand your complete answer. I

20 | apologize, not trying to be slow.

21 THE WITNESS: At the inception of the

22 earliest discussion, John Lash and I made a personal

commitment to each other that with a project that could

24 | go over 40 years, that there would be absolutely no way

25 that you could identify, as you might in an infield

- 1 | project in Clark County, that when you do a new town
- 2 development, that you have to have the greatest degree
- of flexibility to allow the parties to ultimately get
- 4 | the best plan.
- And ultimately what Pardee wanted was a great
- 6 | golf course community to start with. What CSI wanted
- 7 | was a great community that ultimately would allow us to
- 8 | sell property to other people if Pardee did not want
- 9 | it, or to development it ourselves.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Between June 1 of 2004 and September 1 of
- 13 2004, did you have any meetings with Jim Wolfram or
- 14 | Walt Wilkes?
- 15 A. I did not.
- Q. Do you know whether or not Pardee had any
- 17 | meeting with Mr. Wolfram or Walt Wilkes?
- 18 A. I don't.
- 19 Q. With regard to Amendment Number 2, the
- 20 | negotiations that took place between June 1 of 2004 and
- 21 roughly September of 2004, did Mr. Wolfram or Mr.
- 22 Wilkes attend any such meetings, that you were aware
- of, between yourself and Pardee?
- A. No, they did not.
- Q. Were they on any telephone calls, as far as

1 | you recall, between Pardee and Coyote Springs?

- A. Not that I was aware of.
- Q. Did you have any conversations where you disclosed the terms of Exhibit Number 2 with
- Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes with respect to the 2004 time period?
- 7 A. No.

- Q. Now, is there a reason why -- I'll just ask
 this question: Is there a reason why exhibits K, L, P,
 and Q are not attached as documents, because both sides
 say this is the document?
- 12 A. Yes. They were excluded by agreement between
 13 John and I at the time as not being necessary for
 14 purposes of executing this document. Let me explain
 15 why.
- Q. Is there a document that says that, or is this sort of an oral agreement between you and Mr. Lash?
- A. That was an oral agreement, because we were still working on, working on how to use all the information.
- THE COURT: I apologize, my clerk just went
 out, so she obviously needed a break, and I'm still
 interested in the testimony, but we probably do need a
 break.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Fair enough. Thank 1 you. 2 THE COURT: I apologize. 3 Off the record. (Off-the-record discussion.) 5 THE COURT: We're gonna be in recess for 15 6 7 minutes. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 8 (Short break.) 9 THE COURT: Have a seat, Mr. Whittemore. 10 You're still on the stand. 11 We left off with the Exhibits K, L, P, and Q. 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 13 You mentioned they were intentionally omitted 14 by the agreement they not be included, and that may 15 have been an oral agreement, not a writing, because I 16 17 don't know everything that's in the file. Α. That there was an agreement to not include 18 them. 19 20 Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, I think you've covered this document and 21 covered the changes. 22 Now, did you deliver this Amendment Number 2 23 to Mr. Wolfram or Mr. Wilkes contemporaneous to the 24

events of 2004 as opposed to Coyote Springs responding

- 1 to the subpoena we got?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, I'm
- going to object as far as the commentary.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I'm asking the
- 5 question: Did you provide it to them in 2004, as
- 6 opposed to what the company provided to us in response
- 7 to subpoena that's a legitimate question, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: I think the question was: In
- 9 addition to complying with the subpoena, and did you
- 10 give it earlier.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 12 O. Earlier.
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- Now, because it's in two books, I actually
- 16 planned it that way, but if you compare 4, the second
- 17 amendment, and now pull up Exhibit 2 -- maybe you can
- 18 take that out.
- 19 A. You want me to take Exhibit 4 out?
- 20 Q. Well, either one. If you go to 2 --
- THE COURT: 2 is the --
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Why don't you take it out.
- 24 A. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Exhibit 2.

- 1 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Take that out?
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. Put them side by side.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Are you asking him to place
- 6 two next --
- 7 THE WITNESS: Put 4 next to 2.
- THE COURT: Yes. I think he wants them
- 9 available, easier for him to cross reference then.
- 10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 11 Q. All right.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. Thank you.
- And all I'm saying is that by September 1,
- 15 you have the exhibits that you had hoped to have when
- 16 | you signed the Baseline Agreement on June 1, right?
- 17 A. We had most of the --
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 THE COURT: Most of the exhibits, okay.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Let's look at Exhibit 2.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry.
- 23 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Look at the language of Exhibit 2.
- THE COURT: Okay.

1 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. Go to the Baseline Agreement?
- A. Can we refer to plaintiff's exhibits as, you
- 4 know, and defendant's exhibits?
- Q. I'll be happy to do it, so you know
- 6 | plaintiffs are numbers?
- 7 A. I know that.
- Q. You know from your practice days.
- 9 THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.
- 10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 11 Q. Follow along the language of Paragraph 2 of
- 12 Plaintiff's 2, the original Option Agreement,
- June 1, 2004, and let's speak to the amendments that
- 14 are maps, Exhibits A through G and 11 and 12, like
- 15 | we've just gone through.
- 16 So we know from Paragraph B what the Purchase
- 17 Property is, I'm not replowing that ground. The next
- 18 page, we know what the Option Property is, you've
- 19 already defined that for us.
- Now, Paragraph 1, Page 2 of Exhibit 2,
- 21 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Bates Stamp Number 2, Page 2,
- 22 talks about the purchase and sale of purchase property.
- Do you see that?
- 24 A. On Page 2?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. As of June 1 of 2004, the
 Purchase Property was 3,600 acres, Exhibit 25, and on
 September 1, it had been redefined to 1,950 acres; am I
- 5 | correct?

- A. I don't know whether the definition has changed or the amount has changed.
 - Q. Well, the amount definitely?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. We already established that.
- A. I do not believe that there was any course of conduct or any other memorialization that had a change to the definition. I'm being very specific.
- Q. And I also am trying to do the same.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. But now by September 1, with this second amendment, we do know there is a designation by you, Coyote, and accepted by Pardee of residential
- 19 production real estate of 1,950 acres?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the 1,950 acres translated to \$84 million for the reasons you also already told us?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So were you to do the math, you're going to get more than 40,000 an acre?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now, when you look at paragraph,
- 3 | Page 2, Paragraph 1, Page 2, let's just follow that
- 4 | along. It says --
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel, where are
- 6 you?
- 7 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I'm gonna ask you to
- 8 focus. I just want to go through the structure of the
- 9 development so we're familiar with this.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Page 2, Paragraph 1, talks about the purchase
- 13 and sale of Purchase Property and which --
- THE COURT: 1,950 acres.
- 15 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 16 O. In June, 66 million. In September, it went
- 17 to 1,950 acres.
- Go back, back to Page 4, Paragraph 1 (c).
- 19 You've already indicated if it's not
- 20 | specifically amended in the second amendment, it still
- 21 | stands, correct?
- 22 A. Unless there's a provision that says,
- Notwithstanding the provision of that, yeah.
- Q. Right. I'm with you.
- Okay. Here's one of those provisions in

- 1 Paragraph 1 (c), Page 4 of Exhibit Plaintiff's 2, Bates
- 2 | stamped 4: Notwithstanding any provision in the
- 3 | contrary in this Paragraph 1, Seller and buyer
- 4 acknowledge and agree that the first portion of the
- 5 Purchase Property that will be developed by buyer is
- 6 that area containing approximately 1,500 acres of
- 7 | production residential property as shown on Exhibit D.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Let's go to Exhibit D now, Amendment 2.
- And again, to help everything, what I'm
- 12 | seeing is Bates Stamp Number 1568 of Plaintiff's 4.
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Well, Exhibit D of Plaintiff's Number 2 is --
- THE COURT: I think he wants you to go to
- 16 Exhibit D.

- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
 - O. Is there an Exhibit D to Plaintiff's 2?
- 19 A. I want to explain.
- THE COURT: Perfect.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I want to explain. The
- 22 | parties' contemplated a map of the Initial Developed
- 23 Parcel and a phasing plan.
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Right. Let's go through that.

- 1 A. And it's blank.
- Q. Exactly, so Exhibit D, Plaintiff's Bates
 3 Stamp 52, is blank?
- A. Correct.
- Q. By Amendment 2, it's no longer blank, so let's go to Amendment 2, Bates number, I think it's 1558.
- 8 THE COURT: 1568.
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. 1568, thank you.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Now, was it true on June 1 of 2004 that the initial drawdown for residential was about 1,500 acres within the 3,600 acres defined as Purchase Property as shown on Page 4 of Exhibit 2?
 - A. The area which is crosshatched on Page

 Bates 1568 represents the planning area of 1,950 acres,

 which is why it's called the Initial Developed Parcel

 and it states that Phase 1 is 250 acres in the south,

 southwest corner of this document.
- 21 Q. Okay.

17

18

19

2.0

- A. That's what, this is now a map of the Initial Developed Parcel.
- Q. Now, if you looked at Exhibit 2, Page 4?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. You had agreed to develop the term Initial
 Developed Parcel as roughly 1,500 acres?
 - A. And it was modified as a result of --
- Q. Okay.

- 5 THE COURT: 2
- THE WITNESS: -- Exhibit D.
- 7 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I just need you to say yes or no.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Initially, in June 1, it was estimated to be
- 11 | 1,500 acres, and that was defined as the Initial
- 12 Developed Parcel?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, two months later, September 1, or three
- 15 | months later, three months later it's now been agreed
- 16 to be modified, changed to 1,950 acres, right, and it's
- 17 | shown in the crosshatch area of Exhibit D, Wolfram
- 18 | 1568?
- 19 A. Yes, the 1,950, with the understanding that
- 20 Phase 1, which is what we were really referring to, is
- 21 | the 250 acres in the lower corner.
- Q. Got it. Got it.
- 23 THE COURT: So Phase 1 of the 1,950 was 250?
- 24 That was include in the 1,950?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. And most

- importantly, that was the area which finally had been fixed by both parties.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Somewhat in stone.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: Subject to my earlier testimony
- 7 | that if there were changes into that 250, that they'd
- 8 be -- you'd swap out the acreage if it was for planning
- 9 purposes or you needed something for a wash.
- THE COURT: Okay. That was kind of in stone?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Kind of in stone.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 Q. Now, within the crosshatch of 1,950 acres,
- 15 Exhibit D, where is the 250 approximately?
- 16 A. Where is it?
- 17 Q. On your crosshatch?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Where is the 250 within the 1,950?
- 20 A. It's the lower southwest corner.
- Q. Okay. I think that is the southeast corner.
- 22 | You think it's the --
- A. Southwest corner, right at the bottom of --
- 24 O. Uh-huh.
- THE COURT: Can you --

1	THE WITNESS: Can I show you?						
2	MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Right in here.						
3	THE COURT: I want to get it right.						
4	THE WITNESS: Since this is a judge trial, if						
5	we could put this little line as being Highway 168.						
6	THE COURT: Okay.						
7	THE WITNESS: If you put that there, and if						
8	you put this line as Highway 93, we can define the 250						
9	acres as the 250 acres on the corner at the						
10	intersection of Highway 93, north/south, and						
11	Highway 168.						
12	BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:						
13	Q. Why don't you take a pen, use my pen and just						
14	put a designation, put this 93.						
15	And this is the highway right here, Harvey?						
16	A. Uh-huh, Highway 168.						
17	MS. LUNDVALL: Do you want to identify, for						
18	purposes of the record, which exhibit you're drawing						
19	on?						
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, it's Page 1568.						
21	MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.						
22	THE WITNESS: It's Bates stamped 1568.						
23	Do I need to say anything else?						
24	THE COURT: Exhibit 4? It's 4, right?						
25	BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:						

- Q. And the road actually curves like this?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. That's what I'm asking.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. So this here, so turn a little to the right
- 6 and the left and --
- 7 MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again --
- THE COURT: For clarification, the 250 --
- MS. LUNDVALL: May we put the exhibits back
- 10 | with the witness, please?
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Of course, we certainly
- 12 | can.

- THE COURT: The original, the Phase 1, 250
- 14 acres that was kind of cast in stone, is right in that
- 15 | area?
- THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.
- 18 | Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. All right.
- THE COURT: What you call Phase 1?
- THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor.
- 24 And it's now called Map Of Initial Developed Parcel.
- THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

1

2

3

5

- Q. Now, would you turn to, in Paragraph D it says, At the initial purchase closing, in consideration of the payment of the entire deposit of \$10 million; is that right?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 | THE COURT: I'm sorry, I need the page.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Page 4, Paragraph D.
- 9 THE COURT: I got it.
- 10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. At the initial purchase closing, in consideration of the payment of the entire deposit, \$10 million, buyer shall be entitled to legal title to the portion of the Initial Developed Parcel consisting of approximately 250 neat useable acres.
- 16 A. Yes.
- And now you can, your Honor, it's very
 important, buyer will receive record title to
 approximately 3,605 acres at the initial purchase
 closing, showing that the parties contemplated, as I
 indicated earlier that the 3,605 was simply security
 for performance, because they were only giving me

 10 million.
 - 0. Okay.

24

A. And if you multiplied 3605 times 44,000 --

Q. And it's \$120 million.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LUNDVALL: Hold on, please let the witness testify.

THE COURT: I would appreciate that too.

Start again, so 3,605 was security for th performance?

THE WITNESS: For the performance. If you had multiplied 3,605 by either the 40,000 net number or the 44,000 number, which is ultimately achieved down the rode in furtherance -- you would come up with a number of 120 million plus.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: Not 66 million, not 84 million, not anything else. It was security for the performance of my obligations, because they wanted record title to a parcel, because Pardee did not -- nobody had maps.

0.

A. That's -- sorry.

Okay.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. That's no problem. That's fine.

And by Amendment Number 2, you did have --

A. By Amendment Number 2, we had a, we had an idea of -- if you take a look at what is on Bates 1568, by virtue of what I see on that Exhibit D, it appears to me that the crosshatch of 1,950 acres would have to

- 1 have been done by map.
 - Q. Thank you.
- A. And that there would be exhibits reflecting that.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- THE COURT: And that would just be a portion
- 7 of the 3605.22?

- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Not where the remaining portion
- 10 of the 3605.22 is located?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Is that your testimony?
- THE WITNESS: That is.
- 14 THE COURT: I just want to make sure.
- 15 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, in reading the two agreements together?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Exhibit 2, Plaintiff's 2, the June 1,
- 19 2004, what you call the Baseline Agreement?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And the Amendment Number 2, the
- 22 | September 1, 2004, Amendment Number 2, reading them
- 23 together --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. For definitional purposes, Purchase

101

- 1 Property remains, as of September 1, 3,600 acres,
- 2 correct? I want to go down one by one.
- 3 A. Now --
- 4 Q. Yes or no, Mr. Whittemore.
- THE COURT: Can you answer that yes or no?
- THE WITNESS: The answer is no as of this
- 7 | date because, because the 1,750 --
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. You mean 1,950?
- 10 A. The 1,950, minus the 250, the 1,950 now
- 11 represents the total parcel that the parties are
- 12 | contemplating as being security for the entire purchase
- 13 price.
- THE COURT: For the 84 million?
- 15 THE WITNESS: For the 84 million.
- 16 THE COURT: So basically the 84 million was
- 17 | for 1,950 acres?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 20 Q. Is there a definitional, is there a change in
- 21 definitions from, in the second amendment, from that
- which is in the first amendment? That language that
- 23 says Purchase Property, defined as Exhibit 25 of 3,600
- 24 acres, is now something different? There isn't, is
- 25 | there --

- A. It's, is there a sentence that says that, is
- 2 there an exhibit that, is there a sentence that says
- 3 it? No. Is there an exhibit that says it? Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, the 1,950 acres, let's look at Amendment
- 6 Number 2, if we could.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 O. All right. Specifically referenced -- let me
- 9 | find it.
- I will ask you this question: Do you know
- 11 where the 1,950 acre reference is?
- 12 A. In --
- THE COURT: Amendment 2?
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 15 | O. It will be in Exhibit 4.
- THE COURT: The first one has --
- 17 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I had it, and I just
- 18 lost it here.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. LUNDVALL: 1,950 is a designation for not
- 21 Purchase Property, but for the initial development.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Correct.
- THE COURT: Paragraph Number 5, it is found
- 24 on Page 3 of Exhibit Number 4.
- 25 / / / /

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

1

5

6

7

- Q. Right, exactly, and that's my point. The only thing that changed between Plaintiff's 2 and Plaintiff's 4, the Initial Developed Parcel, that
 - Do you see that?

changed from 1,500 acres to 1,950 acres.

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. The Purchase Property definition never changed between the amendments, would you agree?
- MS. LUNVALL: Your Honor, once again, this is not a question.
- THE COURT: I think what he is doing is to clarify his testimony, so Mr. Whittemore, if his understanding is incorrect, you let him know.
- THE WITNESS: I'll let him know. I am not shy. I try to be honest.
- 17 THE COURT: Do it again.
- 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Purchase Property remains the same as defined in both agreements, both agreements being defined as Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 4?
- A. Purchase Property in -- because now that you brought me this page, I need you to understand why I'm creating the distinction between a recital,
- 25 | Paragraph B --

1 Q. Right.

2

10

- A. Bates Number 1, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay, I'm there.
- 4 THE WITNESS: That reference is to a map.
- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

the middle of the page.

- 6 Q. Right.
- A. Okay. Now, if you go to Page 4, Bates 4 in the actual agreement, the purchase price of the Purchase Property on Paragraph B, your Honor, right in
- 11 THE COURT: Paragraph B or C?
- THE WITNESS: B, B on Page 2 -- 3, I will get
- to 4, so the purchase price of the property is
- 14 \$66 million.
- Now, if you go through and read all of
- 16 Paragraph C and go to Paragraph D, you'll now
- 17 understand why, and Paragraph C is very important,
- 18 because it creates the process by which the 1,500 acre
- 19 initial development, Initial Developed Parcel, is taken
- 20 out, and that there's a reversionary right out of that
- 21 3,600 acres that is contemplated by Paragraph C, and
- 22 that was all the reconveyance mechanisms that I was
- 23 talking about earlier, and then up go to Paragraph D,
- 24 and Paragraph D says, Buyer shall be entitled to legal
- 25 title to the portion of the Initial Developed Parcel

- consisting of approximately 250 net useable acres, even
- 2 though buyer shall receive record title to
- 3 approximately 3,600 acres.
- That's why, your Honor, that's why the 3,600
- 5 | is simply a place holder security interest reference in
- 6 a way that doesn't create lot of deeds of trust or we
- 7 have to do anything else, because I was sticking my
- 8 hand out to Pardee and saying, I trust this company so
- 9 much, I'm going to give you title to 3,600 acres when
- 10 pursuant to this agreement, you're only buying 250
- 11 acres for \$10 million.
- But back to your question of has that
- 13 changed. The answer is by definition it's changed,
- 14 because now they're committing to buy 1,950 acres for
- 15 \$84 million.
- 16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Right, okay.
- Now, I'm gonna ask the same question now, and
- 19 | I would like you to answer. I've heard your
- 20 explanation.

- 21 Would you work with me?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did the term "Purchase Property" change as
- 24 defined in Exhibit 2 in Exhibit 4?
 - A. Now we're switching to Purchase Property?

- Q. That's the only question I asked the time before. You chose to give an answer, and I'm being patient.
 - A. That's not what I said.
- Q. Answer my question. Did the term "Purchase Property," as defined in Exhibit 2 as 3,600 acres, do the words "Purchase Property" and the definition in Exhibit 2 language in Exhibit 4 --
 - A. Mr. Jimmerson, I've already said there's no sentence to -- I have no knowledge, I have no knowledge that a sentence specifically changing a definition change from your, from the initial Baseline Agreement to this amendment.
 - Q. That's all I need. Thank you.
- 15 A. Yeah.

10

11

12

13

- 16 O. Thank you.
- A definition that did change is Initial
 Development Parcel, correct?
- 19 A. Not the definition, but the amount.
- Q. But the definition, according to this, was
- the 1,500 acres, Exhibit 2?
- 22 A. That's why I said "the amount."
- Q. And then the Initial Developed Parcel was changed to 1,950?
- A. Yes. The amount. The definition initial of

what it was did not change, the amount changed.

- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Okay.

2

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you.
- 5 A. Okay.
- Q. And Initial Developed --

7 THE COURT: From 15 through 19?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And the Initial Development Parcel is 1,950 acres within the 3,600 acres Purchase Property, and that's clearly established?
- 13 A. That is clear.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. If you --
- 16 O. Thank you.
- A. I do not believe I need to explain that any differently than the 1,950 is included within the 3,605 acres which was received, designated and received by deed from CSI to Pardee as part of this transaction.
 - Q. And as you clearly said, and I want to honor it, okay, you protected yourself by retaining a reversionary interest for things Pardee doesn't acquire and pay for?
- A. Correct.

- 1 Q. No problem.
- And that included a reversionary interest
- beyond the 1,950 acres of September 1 of 2004?
- A. And within the 1,950, if they didn't perform.
- 5 Q. Got it. Thank you.
- THE COURT: So once again, so I'm clear,
- 7 Pardee committed to buy 1,950 acres within that
- 8 designated Parcel 1 for \$84 million?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Okay. Now, would you turn, please, to
- Paragraph 2 of Exhibit 2, Bates Stamp Number 4, Page 5?
- 14 This is called Grant of Option.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. There's two types of options, and you've
- 17 | already worked with me on it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. One is I get to buy it all, you go home a
- 20 | rich man 1.2 or 1.4 billion richer, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Fine. Then there is a second type of option
- 23 that talks about a another feature or right given to
- 24 | Pardee.
- What is that second type of option?

- A. That's called the Option Property price, which relates to the land which CSI designated as single-family residential.
 - Q. Right. And for purposes of definitions, on June 1, it was outside of 3,600 acres. On September 1 it is outside of 1,950 acres, fair?
 - A. No.

Q. Okay. On a map, show me the Option Property.

THE COURT: Can you explain your answer so I know, because we need to know?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: We need to understand.

THE WITNESS: The Option Property, by definition, because we had retained the right, we had only really sold them 250 acres to start at this point and 1,950 acres at some other point, that property within that area or outside that area could either be, if it was outside that area it could have been designated commercial then residential or residential, and then commercial within the area that we retained, our right to reacquire, could have been a golf course, could have been a water facility.

Excuse me, your Honor, may I point some other things out?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: So, for example, within, within the area of the development, because of the constraints of where the wells were gonna be and where the water campus needed to be, within what Mr. Jimmerson is calling the Purchase Property, it's clear that the parties contemplated that there would be other uses within that, like those that I just gave.

- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
 - Q. Okay.

- A. So when you say CSI did not retain any interest or somehow the Option Property was just limited to the Purchase Property, I think we're conflating the agreements of the property.
- Q. First of all, I'm not saying anything like that.

THE COURT: Can I ask a follow-up question?

If you go to what's defined as Parcel 1, the Purchase Property within the Option Agreement, and CSI uses it for other uses, then that protects -- you would have to make that up if they brought that property some other place, right, so they're even --

THE WITNESS: Yes. At any time, at any time that, that CSI reacquired and used for another purpose property which had previously been designated as --

THE COURT: For Pardee?

THE WITNESS: For Pardee, we would have to correspondingly let Pardee pick another portion of a parcel for single-family, and that's the way that the

- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
 - Q. Thank you.

project worked.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: So for the 1,950 they committed to, if CSI had to take parts of that, for some reason Jack Nicklaus wants that?

THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: Then Pardee would have to be given another part, but that wouldn't be exercising an option, that would be giving them the benefit of the bargain for 84 million?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. You've exactly got it. There is a swapping process by which Pardee and CSI would get together and say, Here's the land we're gonna designate.

Again, within that area, Mr. Jimmerson, if we want to have a small coffee shop, village center type of amenity so that people could go get coffee in the morning, that would be a commercial site within that area. It would punch it out a little bit.

- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Three acres? Five acres?

112

- A. Three acres, five acres, and roads and major arterials and areas that couldn't be built upon because they had utility trumps, all of those things had to be taken into account post designation of this simple snapshot of bare dessert along Highways 93 and 168.
 - Q. I'm with you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

- A. So that's the only thing I want to correct, because I think you got it. The bottom line is the parties agreed to sell land, the parties' then further intent said, Here's the next phase, how we get down to 1,950, because we're giving you a little bit more money, now we want you to do more, so the number goes up a little bit, and that's how you get to the 84 million.
 - Q. Okay, good.
- THE COURT: Okay. I assume if you're gonna swap out land, it's gonna be something that Pardee will agree to, and it will be of mutual benefit?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. All right. September 1 then we have two agreements that have been inked, right?
 - A. Oh, September 1, the commission letter?
- O. We have two documents that have been inked?
 - A. Yes. Well, two documents, yes.

- Q. And you also -- that is the first amendment?
 - A. That's what I was going to say.
- Q. So three documents have been inked, no problem. The second one doesn't have --
 - A. Right.

б

- Q. The next one, being the key here --
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- as we've already established this morning, under the terms of the two agreements read together option property is outside of the 1,950 acres, correct, subject to your swap option that might happen in the future? For definitional purposes, knowing where you were exactly at a moment in time, September 1, 2004, you had Purchase Property defined, and you had Option Property defined as shown by the maps?

MS. LUNDVALL: And once again, I'm going to object to this as leading. I think the question is more appropriate to the witness: What was Option Property?

THE COURT: I think we kind of went through this, I'm gonna overrule it. We have gone through it with the maps, so I think we're pretty clear, you know, what you meant by Purchase Property and Option Property, he's trying to make sure we clarify, am I right, following you, Mr. Jimmerson?

- 1 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Of course.
- THE COURT: Let's do it again.
- THE WITNESS: Let's do it one more time.
- THE COURT: Make sure we're as clear as we
- 5 can on the record.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Under the original Baseline
- 7 Agreement, under, on Plaintiff Bates Stamp Number 1,
- 8 3,605.22 acres is designated as Purchase Property.
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That term and in the recital is not what I
- 12 | felt was controlling, because what I felt was
- controlling was the -- on the bottom of Bates Stamp
- 14 | Number 4 is not what I felt was controlling, making it,
- 15 | it clear that what Pardee was getting was 250 acres out
- of the 3,605 acres, and that the Initial Developed
- 17 | Parcel in this agreement was \$1,500 -- 1,500 acres,
- 18 period.
- 19 Q. I totally agree. That changed then on
- 20 | September 1 slightly. They're still take the 250
- 21 | initial drawdown --
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- on the southwest corner of Parcel 1,
- 24 Purchase Property?
- 25 A. Yes.

 $\frac{115}{115}$

1	Q.	And	they've	enlarged	the	Initial	Developed
2	Parcel	from 1,	950 acre	s up from			

- A. That's correct, and none of that is outside of anything or inside anything, that's just what the parties agreed.
- Q. But we do know geographically it was in what was initially described as Purchase Property,
- 8 Exhibit 2, as you have described it?
- A. The answer is yes.
 - Q. As the documents described it?
- 11 A. As it's been described.
- Q. And through the September 1st second amendment, that definition remained the same?
 - A. There's no change in the words.
- Q. All right. Now, about that same time period, real estate commission agreement was negotiated between Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes and Pardee.
- Did you have any involvement in that?
- 19 | A. No, sir.

10

- Q. All right. Months pass, and there is yet now a third agreement.
- Can I just ask you when is, when does close of escrow for the 250 acres occur?
- A. I would have to take a look at when the, the wire transfer came in. I don't recall the specific

1 date.

2

3

- Q. Do you remember it was in 2004 versus 2005?
- A. I would have to look at the agreement.
- 4 Q. All right. In any event, you got paid
- 5 \$10 million?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. 40,000 an acre, was that the first drawdown?
- A. There is a, that's the first drawdown, I believe that's correct.
- Q. Now, look now at Exhibit 5. Seven months
 pass and --
- 12 A. Okay.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I now need to make an objection as to seven months passing from the close of escrow.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I didn't say, "from close of escrow."
- MS. LUNDVALL: That's what the question was
 and what the implication was, and that's a false
 statement based on the context of these documents;
- 21 therefore, I'm objecting.
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Five months passed between September 1 and
 March 28th -- excuse me, did five months pass from
 September 1 of 2004 to March 28th of 2005? Six months?

A. Yeah, by calendar.

1

5

6

7

8

10

- Q. Okay. And what is this Exhibit 5, Amended
 And Restated Option Agreement For The Purchase Of Real
 Property And Joint Escrow Instructions?
 - A. Okay, we reestablish, by agreement, a document which goes into great depth as to incorporating new, new terms of very significant obligations on the parties, and I guess, your Honor, the best way to put it is it restates for another time the true status of the agreement between Pardee and CSI at that exact moment.
- THE COURT: Okay. March 28th, 2005?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. How long did it take to negotiate Exhibit 5,

 The Option agreement For The Purchase Of Real Property

 And Joint Escrow Instruction dated March 28th of 2005?
- A. From the beginning of this process, when I
 met Lash and started to negotiate with Pardee, wasn't a
 moment, a day, or a week that didn't go by that we were
 talking about some modification to a prior -- it just
 didn't stop.
- THE COURT: So it was ongoing.
- THE WITNESS: Absolutely, your Honor.
- 25 / / / /

- 1 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, did you have any meetings with
- 3 Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes from the beginning of 2004
- 4 to March 2005?
- 5 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Specifically, did you have any conversations regarding this Amended And Restated Option Agreement of
- 8 March 28, 2005?
- 9 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Were they part of any negotiation with regard
- 11 to the changes on behalf of Coyote and Pardee to be
- 12 made?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. And did you cause this document to be sent to
- 15 | Wolfram and Wilkes after it was signed in late March of
- 16 2005?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- Q. I read the document, and I agree with you,
- there are significant changes from June 1 and
- 20 | September 1 of 2004?
- 21 A. Right. We restated and redid the agreement.
- Q. All right. Now, would you tell the Court
- what changes, as it relates to drawdowns, occur now on
- 24 March 28th, 2005?
- A. Okay. There's a purchase and sale of

119

property which provides for payments to be made by 1 Pardee to reach the acquisition target price of 2 84 million. 3 THE COURT: And that 1,950 acres minus the 250 that had already been --5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Had it been taken down by this point? 8 It had, so your Honor is THE WITNESS: 9 absolutely correct, we've got money that is remaining 10 to be paid under the original --11 THE COURT: Agreement? 12 THE WITNESS: -- agreement that's been 13 restated, and they're going to now, over time, buy a 14 total of 1,950, and we said, Okay, your option to 15 acquire the entire site is restated, and we put a 16 17 schedule of payments that have to be made, the obligations of the parties with respect to how they're 18 gonna work together with respect to water development, 19 how we're gonna work together on planning and 20 developing the property. It is now a very --21

THE COURT: Real thing?

THE WITNESS: It's getting very, very real.

24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

22

25

Q. I want to show you one other definition in

the original agreement, Exhibit 2, that will go into 2, into 5.

Exhibit 2, the original Baseline Agreement, I just want to show you the term, what's called Purchase Property Remainder. I want you to explain that. I didn't ask that question. I omitted to do that.

It's at Page 4 of Exhibit 2.

- A. Bates 4.
- Q. Right, exactly, Paragraph 1 (c).
- 10 A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q. So we have an understanding now, there's Purchase Property defined as 3,600 acres, there's Initial Developed Parcel of 1,500 acres, and then there's this concept of the remainder. Define what that means, and we'll see it in the later document.
- A. Yes. All of the -- when you specifically pick out one parcel of a larger parcel, the parcel that's picked out is the parcel, and the remainder parcel now becomes a parcel, because in the process of creating one, you end up with two.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So this reversionary parcel is, by definition, retained by the original owner, which is, which is --

THE COURT: So if you take one off another

- 1 one, you get what's left. They don't take --
- THE WITNESS: That's correct, and it creates
- 3 | a parcel.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And the more they acquire, the less the reversionary parcel would be?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, we see that again here on March 28th of 2005 repeated again. So just a couple of things I want to establish.
- 11 Would you agree with me that the definition 12 of Purchase Property in Exhibit 2, the original 13 Baseline Agreement of June 1, 2004, never changed even 14 with the amended restated document, Exhibit 5, March
- 15 28, 2005, in terms of the definition?
- A. Okay. Now, this is gonna get hypertechnical, but you have to be.
- This document --
- THE COURT: "This," meaning Exhibit --
- THE WITNESS: Excuse me, your Honor, thank
- 21 | you very much. Exhibit 5.
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 23 Q. Yep.
- A. It's an amended and restated agreement, and
- therefore, nothing which is contained in the earlier

- agreements, please, your Honor, to Bates 125, Page 45
- 2 of the agreement --
- THE COURT: Okay, I'll find it. I got it.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. To use your words, would it be a superceding
- 6 document?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. That's the concept you're trying to
- 9 | communicate here, right?
- 10 A. Yes. Whatever terms anybody wants to use.
- 11 THE COURT: I understand superceding,
- 12 Mr. Jimmerson.
- It means, what you're saying is, say this is
- 14 the new complete agreement. Any agreements prior to
- 15 that have no force and effect?
- 16 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 18 Q. And to evidence the point, there is, in fact,
- 19 a new definition of Purchase Property, isn't there,
- 20 within Exhibit 5, Page 2, Bates stamp Number 82?
- 21 THE COURT: Can we -- I apologize,
- 22 Mr. Jimmerson, I'm trying to follow the witness. I
- 23 | apologize if I stopped you.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's okay.
- THE COURT: But we're on Page 125 Bates

1 stamped.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

21

22

THE WITNESS: And Paragraph 25.

You asked me the question, I believe -- could

4 | I have it repeated?

5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

O. I withdrew it.

The term "Purchase Property" we know didn't change from one and, Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 4, but now I'm suggesting to you that it does change, the definition does change on March 28th in Exhibit 5, and I call to your attention to Page 2, Bates Stamp Number 82, to perhaps Bates Number 82 to help you understand

- A. Okay.
- Q. And the way I'm reading this document --

MS. LUNDVALL: Hold on, is there a question?

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Yeah, there is.

18 THE COURT: Tell us what to look at. I

19 apologize Mr. Jimmerson, I was, wasn't following as

20 quickly.

that.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Not a problem.

THE COURT: Where are we, Exhibit 5?

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: The bottom of Page 1,

24 | Paragraph C.

THE COURT: Page 1, Bates Stamp --

1 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 81.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. The parties desire to enter into this agreement to provide for buyer's purchase of the property entire site, consisting of the portion of Section 20 and 21 of T13S, R63E, M.D.M. Clark County, Nevada, as more fully described on Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein, containing approximately 511.82 acres more or less as shown on the map attached hereto as Exhibit B-1 and made a part

You can see that?

hereof, the Purchase Property.

- A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. So no longer is the Purchase Property 3,600 acres as shown by a record map, now it's changed to 511.82 acres as defined.

Why did that happen?

- A. What we did is in this recital, bring the documents up to speed from a time perspective without changing the parties's express understanding of what the transaction was, and so if you go to some of the exhibits, you will see great definition, your Honor, as, as you go through the attachments on this particular agreement.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And you will see from my perspective that the
- 2 Exhibit C-1 --
- THE COURT: Of this document, okay.
- THE WITNESS: Exhibit C-1, it's 749, your
- 5 Honor.
- THE COURT: Let me get there. Thank you.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I don't know where you're getting 749. Can
- 10 | you help me?
- 11 A. Yes. It says Bates Stamp 749.
- THE COURT: They're real small.
- 13 THE WITNESS: It's in Exhibit C-1.
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 15 Q. I've got Pardee 52, 53.
- 16 THE COURT: It's right here.
- 17 THE WITNESS: It's a purple one on the side.
- Can I go to the map, your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes. Hold on.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I need you to look at the document you have
- 22 | in your book there.
- 23 A. It's there. It's 749.
- 24 | Q. I got it.
- A. Jim, it looks like this.

THE COURT: We're on the same page.

THE WITNESS: May I approach the map?

THE COURT: Absolutely, you can explain how

4 | we got there.

THE WITNESS: So this portion of the land,

6 which extends probably over to the edge of this board,

that is the parcel which has now been acquired in fee

as a result of the BLM reconfiguration moving over.

- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. So one thing we have to establish is
- 11 reconfiguration occurs between September 1 and
- 12 | March 28?

7

- A. Well, the designation of the land which is
- 14 going to be actually done occurs, whether it's
- 15 | finalized or not, the parties are --
- 16 THE COURT: But at least you know what it's
- 17 | qonna be.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. We know what it's gonna
- 19 be your Honor, and therefore, if you turn on that page
- 20 and if you turn the exhibit to head north/south --
- 21 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Can we agree the highway is on the left?
- A. Highway 93 is on the left.
- THE COURT: It says, Highway 93.
- THE WITNESS: And it cuts it off,

unfortunately, highway 168, but you'll see

Carl Savely's language that says -- there's corner of

the initial property, right there.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Now, this is a planning map.

We finally have a planning map of what the parties

potentially think the development would look like at

Coyote Springs.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Then, if you turn it back to the way that it would put in, it says, Parcel boundaries and phasing may be modified during development as used on this exhibit. The additional residential neighborhoods, the production residential property, and the Option Property is that portion of the production residential property located outside of the boundary of the initial property.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. Now, whether you look at this map or another map that's here, tell us, show us where the initial property is and show us where the Option Property is under this new agreement, March 28th, of 2005?
- A. Because that is superceding agreement, this agreement and this map is now the most up-to-date snapshot of what the initial property was.

THE COURT: Okay. The initial property is 1 the first 250 acres they'd already paid for at this 2 point. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Taken it down. 5 They have taken it down. THE WITNESS: б 7 THE COURT: I don't want to use the wrong term. 8 THE WITNESS: They had taken it down. We had 9 received the money, they had received the title. Ιt 10 was not subject to any reversionary right. 11 THE COURT: It was theirs? 12 THE WITNESS: That was theirs. 13 THE COURT: That's what --14 THE WITNESS: That's what I was pointing out, 15 if you turn sideways, that little thing right there 16 17 that says, Initial property. THE COURT: Okay. 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 19 And it might go down a little further? 20 Q. For sure it goes down a little further, 21 because the designation of the Exhibit -- the map cuts 22 off the southernmost parts of my property. 23 THE COURT: It doesn't go to the other 24

highway?

- THE WITNESS: It goes to the other highway,
- 2 | that's correct, 168, your Honor.
- 3 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 4 Q. My question to you then is: Within the
- 5 legend that you just read into the record, where is
- 6 capital O, capital P, Option Property, located?
- 7 A. Okay.
- THE COURT: We know that the Purchase
- 9 Property is this.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Now, the Option Property,
- 11 | there's two pieces. There are now still two pieces of
- 12 Option Property.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 | 0. Okay.
- A. There is an option for the entire site, which
- 16 | is called Option Property.
- 17 Q. Right.
- A. And there is the right of Pardee to buy all
- 19 | single-family residential that I designated, which is
- 20 an option to purchase property.
- Q. That's what I went over, which there are two
- 22 types of options, right?
- THE COURT: So the right to buy all that CSI
- 24 designates in the future as single-family residentials?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: But you haven't even done all

- 2 | that yet.
- THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: So we don't know what they may
- 5 have an option on.
- THE WITNESS: We're doing it.
- 7 | THE COURT: I don't mean you're doing it
- 8 unilaterally, I understand that.
- 9 THE WITNESS: And collectively, the parties
- 10 are designating this because Pardee wants to buy, and
- 11 | CSI wants to sell.
- THE COURT: Okay. So they have an option,
- 13 Pardee does, to buy all or any part thereof of what CSI
- 14 | will designate as single property.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Single-family residential.
- 16 THE COURT: Single-family residential.
- 17 THE WITNESS: SFR, Single-Family residential
- 18 production. You'll see in the record, your Honor,
- 19 traditional residential neighborhoods, you'll see it as
- 20 production residential property. There are lots of
- 21 different designations within this industry that mean
- 22 | the same thing.
- 23 / / / /
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. But designations are important.

```
THE COURT: It's confusing on agreements.
1
   BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
2
              But designations are important versus
3
   residential or commercial?
        Α.
               It is.
5
              THE COURT: So at this point, you couldn't
6
   give us -- there isn't a map included here of this
7
   Option 2, correct?
8
              MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: There is, your Honor.
              THE WITNESS:
                             Of the --
10
              THE COURT: Not the entire site, I get that,
11
    of, of what may potentially be Option 2, which is what,
12
   you didn't give us a map of that?
13
              THE WITNESS: I think we can --
14
              THE COURT: Not the entire site, I'm saying.
15
              THE WITNESS: I think we can --
16
17
              THE COURT: All right.
   BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
18
        Q.
              That's what I want to point out.
19
              THE COURT: That would help.
20
   BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
21
              I just want to show the definition, if you
22
    turn to Page 2.
23
              THE COURT: This is Exhibit 5?
24
   / / / /
25
```

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

1

2

3

5

6

8

- Q. Exhibit 5, Page 2, we've established now and with this superceding amended and restated document we have a new definition of Purchase Property. It's no longer 3,600 acres, it's 511 acres.
 - Do you see that?
- 7 A. The 500?
 - Q. I'm just reading the words.
- A. I'm gonna wait for the Judge to get there.
- 10 THE COURT: Because I put my question here:
- 11 | How did it become 511.82 acres?
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. They made it that way.
- A. We have made that definition apply to the

 511, because we can identify the 511 on the entire site
 through the map.
 - 0. Okay.
- A. And it doesn't change, it does not change the underlying obligation to buy the 1,950 that we subsequently designate, your Honor, to reach the total purchase price of 84 million, so we're gonna go through that process.
- THE COURT: As you keep going forward, you are able to solidify in stone what was included in the 1,950?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's all, so the 84 million.

- 3 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. The designations, therefore, are subject to change as the months and years go by as this project
- 6 unfolds?

7

19

20

21

22

- A. Right.
- THE COURT: Designation for --
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. Residential?
- A. Yes. If CSI took property and said, We're going to sell it as multi-family, it wouldn't be available for sale as single-family.
- 14 O. Exactly.
- A. Or you'll pay a multi-family price, down
 zone, continue and make it into single-family, but that
 would all be the work of the individual purchase.
- 18 Q. Okay.
 - A. Nor could -- excuse me, let me finish, nor could we, nor would the property include the sites that we have designated as golf course, recreation, water facilities, recreational facilities, major interior or arterial roads, paths, recreational areas throughout.
- THE COURT: Right. So I want to make sure
 I'm clear, so it was March 28th, 2005, when this

1 Amended And Restated Option Agreement For The Purchase

- 2 Of Real Property And Joint Escrow Instructions, you
- 3 | have solidified to Pardee 511.82 acres where that's
- 4 gonna be located at CSI. You still owe them the
- 5 difference between 1,950 and 511 to designate specific
- 6 sites by map.
- 7 THE WITNESS: You're correct, your Honor.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. Subject to them paying the balance?
- 10 THE COURT: I know if they don't pay, I'm
- 11 trying to get acreage.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Is it possible for us to take
- 13 | a lunch break?
- 14 THE COURT: Let me write this down.
- 15 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Could I have two
- 16 | minutes? I just want to complete this line of
- 17 questioning.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Now, Purchase Property is now tied to a map.
- 21 Let's look at Exhibit B.
- THE COURT: Let me ask this: How much longer
- 23 | are you going to be?
- 24 I need to talk to the witness.
- 25 | Can you come back?

135

- 1 THE WITNESS: I can stay.
- THE COURT: I know you mentioned something.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: At the break I
- 4 referenced something that we weren't gonna get done by
- 5 lunch.
- THE COURT: We're almost done with this line
- 7 | of questioning.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. Just with regard to B, the purchase, it's
- 10 defined as 511 acres, 500 acres as shown in Exhibit B-1
- 11 and made a part hereof of the Purchase Property, that's
- 12 the new defined term of the Purchase Property.
- Let's turn to 745, which is, -- I am not --
- 14 not B-1. B-1?
- 15 A. 52.
- Q. 51 and 52, but the map shows it as 52 and
- 17 | show us what that is?
- 18 A. Okay. Because the parties knew, because the
- 19 parties knew that they were going to have the 250 in
- 20 the corner, they, and the, the fee area was going to
- 21 move over to this side.
- THE COURT: Move over, because you traded
- 23 | with BLM?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Right. What they did was say,
- 25 | We're gonna take all along the state highway these

1 parcels. Rather than go up here, we're gonna come

- 2 along here, so that map reflects going along from west
- 3 to east if you turn to the map. Again, you have to
- 4 turn the map.
- 5 THE COURT: That's 52, right?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. If you turn to 52, your
- 7 Honor, and you go sideways.
- 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 9 Q. Which one would be north?
- 10 THE COURT: I think it says, The Mount Diablo
- 11 | Meridian.
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. So the words, "The Mount Diablo," that would
- 14 be at the top?
- 15 A. This is supposed to be designating north,
- 16 | your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 THE WITNESS: And this corner, this corner,
- 19 if you take a look, your Honor, at this point right
- 20 here.
- 21 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I want to see it too.
- A. If you take a look at this point here, if you
- 24 put a red dot right there, or blue dot, for the record,
- 25 I'll show the other counsel.

r 137

1 THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: I'm circling that.

THE COURT: It's a blue dot.

THE WITNESS: It's a blue circle.

My understanding is that if you blew it up, that corner would look exactly like that right here, so what they've done is flipped things on the side, and that corner is the corner of Highway 93 and State Route

10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

168.

11 Q. Don't run away.

THE COURT: So that's what's gonna be an addition to the original 250, that's the difference to get up to your 511.82 at that point?

THE WITNESS: I'm not gonna hold myself to that, because I haven't done the calculation, but the general intent of that was in that direction, your Honor.

THE COURT: So the general intent was to go from the 250, so it was a contiguous 511.82.

THE WITNESS: And if you needed to go above, you would, but right now we have parcels, we have sections that you can give an aliquot.

THE COURT: Hence, the description we just had.

- THE WITNESS: Rather than metes and bounds,

 if you read real quickly, I know Jim wants me back up

 there, but if you turn to Page 51, you'll see that it
- is an aliquot description rather than metes and bounds.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 6 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And when you used the word "aliquot," what did you mean to communicate?
- 9 A. Aliquot is a real estate term which describes
 10 by sections and quarter sections or lots within those,
 11 a portion of properties.
- Q. And you've got more recorded maps by this time too?
- A. We've each got, because we haven't yet finalized all the plans, we're making, we're gonna be using this as a temporary way to describe --
- THE COURT: Where they're going.
- THE WITNESS: Where they're going.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And I get you back up here, I just didn't understand why the circle is on this map.
 - A. Go like that.
- So this is at an angle. This is at an angle.
- 24 O. Okay.

A. So that point, this here and this here, this

- 1 here.
- Q. Got it.
- Now, how far east is this far east point on
- 4 Bates Number 51, B-1?
- 5 A. Okay. I can tell you exactly.
- 6 O. Please.
- 7 A. It is quarter sections, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,
- 8 8, it is two miles from this point, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Two miles further here. I
- 11 | can't tell you.
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. This is 8,000 feet, we know that.
- 14 A. I know this is 8,000.
- 15 Q. No, here is 8,000. I will give you that this
- 16 is 7,999.
- 17 A. It keeps going, so there is Parcel 2, Parcel
- 18 2, right there is 526 acres along here.
- 19 Q. And there's, okay, so it's --
- 20 A. All I want the Court to understand is now,
- 21 rather -- because the way this map was presented to
- 22 | you, you could get confused that this going this way --
- THE COURT: Is that --
- THE WITNESS: This, and it's not --
- 25 / / / /

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. It's this --

4 THE COURT: The bottom portion.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. And that's what I'm trying to establish before we break for lunch, where the Purchase Property was in June of 2004 has now changed to another location by March 28th of 2005?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. It's no longer here or here, it's now horizontal more, and it does, in fact, extend beyond the Parcel 1 line from --
 - A. I don't know, Mr. Jimmerson, whether it cuts off here, because there's a section line. The point is what they were trying to do was, again, while we were doing this with Mr. Lash and Pardee, we were always making sure that the Pardee received more than the amount of land that they had paid for, that there was additional security.
 - Q. Now, would you --

THE COURT: And then as you went along, what they paid for, you gave them what they bought. You said, Here's what you get for this much, you know, we're gonna make up the difference. We know you paid

- 1 | 84 million, we're gonna get there.
- THE WITNESS: Exactly. That's exactly what
- 3 | we are doing.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Before the lunch break, I need to establish
- 6 this: Isn't it true that some of the land here,
- 7 | Exhibit B-1, extends more to the east, beyond the
- 8 Parcel 1 Purchase Property limit to the east?
- 9 A. I think based upon, based on my understanding
- 10 of what that exhibit shows and your representations
- 11 | that that's 8,000 square feet -- I mean 8,000 feet,
- 12 yes, it would extend into an area that is not described
- 13 on that map.
- 14 THE COURT: As Parcel 1?
- THE WITNESS: As Parcel 1.
- 16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 17 | O. In this area?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. JIMMERSON: I have nothing further at
- 20 this time. I'm not passing the witness.
- 21 THE COURT: He's not finished with his
- 22 direct.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: The defendant asked to
- 24 | take a lunch break.
- THE WITNESS: Jim, are you buying lunch?

142

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I will buy lunch, 1 absolutely. 2 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a break. 3 (A lunch recess was taken.) 4 THE COURT: So are you on standby? 5 THE WITNESS: I'm gonna have to leave right б 7 at 3:15. THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. You 8 graciously said you will come back. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Whatever, we'll do what we do. 11 I'm keeping an open mind until all the evidence is in, 12 but thank you for your time. 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 15 Mr. Whittemore, good afternoon. Ο. 16 Α. Good morning. 17 We'll work at least another hour and fifteen Ο. 18 Let me know when you have to go. 19 I've read the agreements. I don't see the 20 right to swap in the written words of either Exhibit 2, 21 4, or 5. 22 Am I mistaken? 23 MS. LUNDVALL: From his perspective, once 24

again, not starting out the afternoon very well, what

- 1 he has interpreted out of these agreements is
- 2 | irrelevant. He needs to answer the question without
- giving the commentary to the Court, and I would ask for
- 4 this, that is continuing problem that we have.
- THE COURT: I think what he's asking is, is
- 6 literally, in those two documents. It refers to either
- 7 party getting the right to swap.
- 8 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Right.
- THE COURT: And he can answer, I mean that's
- 10 | -- so I'm gonna overrule.
- 11 THE WITNESS: And if you were to refer to
- 12 Exhibit --
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 O. Let's start with 2.
- MS. LUNDVALL: You've asked the question.
- 16 Please allow him to answer.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained. Let Mr. Whittemore
- 18 | tell us. I've already got my exhibits out. This is
- 19 Exhibit 5.
- THE WITNESS: An example of that,
- 21 Mr. Jimmerson, is page 1568.
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. What do you, are you looking at, please?
- A. It's Bates stamped 1568, which is in the
- 25 | Plaintiff's Number 4.

- Q. That's the second amendment then, thank you.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- Q. All right, no problem.
- 4 THE COURT: Sorry.
- THE WITNESS: There we go.
- And you can see that the parties agreed that
- 7 the crosshatch series, the Initial Developed Parcel is
- 8 1,950, Phase 1 is 250 acres located in the southern
- 9 portion of the parcel, and the parties already mutually
- 10 agree upon the phasing of the additional purchases.
- 11 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 12 Q. All right.
 - A. Okay. Now, may I finish, please?
- 14 Q. I'm not saying anything.
- 15 A. Okay. Then if you go to the other map we
- 16 | had?

- 17 THE COURT: Exhibit 5?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
- 19 Your Honor, what Bates Stamp is that?
- 20 THE COURT: 749 of Exhibit 5. It's the real
- 21 | small one.
- THE WITNESS: Right. Right. I have it as
- 23 | 751, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: 749, they are -- no, your Honor

is correct, there are two exhibits, and Exhibits C-1 1 with two separate maps, and Exhibit C-2, and, and then 2 the second page of Exhibit C-2, Page 749, I don't know 3 whether that was in the wrong, or, or not. THE COURT: I see where it -- it's in front 5 of it. 6 THE WITNESS: Right in front of it. 7 Then 751, and 752 are all exhibits that talk 8 about, and then you turn the page to the biggest one, 9 which is 755, developed by Pardee's consultants 10 GC Wallace. 11 And your Honor, may I approach the big thing 12 again? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 Did I pull out 755? I don't have mine here. 15 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: It is part of the same 16 17 exhibit, just as Exhibit D, second page, I believe. THE COURT: I'm looking at --18 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: It's Exhibit 5. 19 I have Exhibit 5. And I go from, 20 THE COURT: I see where I go from 751, 752, and then this goes to 21 58. 22 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Keep going. 23 THE COURT: 55 is what I'm looking for? 24

755.

THE WITNESS:

THE COURT: I apologize. I'm used to it 1 being in consecutive order. 2 THE WITNESS: Well, these exhibits --3 Mr. Jimmerson asked is there anything in the documents that refers to "swap." 5 THE COURT: Swap. б BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 7 0. Language. 8 Α. If we can go to 755 and hold it this way. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That corner, again, 11 that are corner is right here. That corner is right at 12 the bottom. 13 THE COURT: I see a six. Is that similar? 14 THE WITNESS: This is, this part right there, 15 your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Right. THE WITNESS: It has Number 6 on it. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: Number 6 on it. 20 THE COURT: And that is --21 THE WITNESS: That is this corner of this, 22 and I'll see that the lines aren't straight, they're 23 kind of curvy, and then you go over Exhibit -- Parcel 5 24 now, it says Parcel 5, and it's starting a little bit 25

curvy.

Now, these documents, all the exhibits that are attached with respect to those plans have the notations on these exhibits that reflect what compels the parties to agree to the specific parcel lines, and therefore, swap within those areas, by saying, as used on this exhibit, the term traditional residential property means the production residential property, which is also described as the Option Property together with the Purchase Property.

The Initial Developed Parcel is located in the southern portion of the area marked Traditional Residential Neighborhood, and this parcel is Phase 1 of the production residential property.

Buyer anticipate the development of phases of production residential property to start along the southerly boundary and move northward to the adjacent areas.

All these things taken together compel one to understand that as the development proceeded, when we put a golf course, it's physically there, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: When you put a golf course in that area, you take land away from Pardee that you have to replace at a location different, in a different

location within that might be reflected on the first document.

So, Mr. Jimmerson, these documents and others that occur later in time require the parties to jointly plan the development and concur where the roads go, and therefore, where the parcel line goes, where a golf course goes, and therefore, as a result of creating a parcel for a golf course to create a parcel for a residential subdivision adjacent to this golf course.

To the north of the golf course, along the edge of the golf course are some custom lots, but all along the way, within that development area, your Honor, the parties came up with --

THE COURT: Single-family.

THE WITNESS: Single-family and what we retained and what we did, but at the end of the day, you had to what we call equalize the money to the property and who had to pay 50 percent for this, who had to pay 25 percent for that, all those equalizations took place, and that's how I meant swapping,

Mr. Jimmerson.

I didn't mean that there was a phrase in here that said that the parties shall do A, B, C, D, and E, I was giving you a process rather than necessarily a specific description in the document.

- 1 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. All right. I think we both have accomplished
- 3 our goals. There's no language in the four corners of
- 4 the agreement that requires swapping. What you will
- say as you sit here, and I understand, is it requires a
- 6 mutual agreement of the parties to operate in good
- 7 | faith, and there's many good faith requirements that
- 8 | are in writing here?
- 9 A. Yes, Mr. Jimmerson, I think that's fair.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. With one caveat. I believe that at some
- 12 point in our relationship, we did get around to
- 13 | formalizing and saying those exact words, which are,
- 14 | You get this, we get this, and therefore --
- 15 THE COURT: To become memorialized later down
- 16 the line, but not as of --
- 17 THE WITNESS: Not as of this time, because
- 18 | nothing had been built yet.
- THE COURT: Exhibit 5, okay.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Would you look at Exhibit 5 again? It's the
- restated agreement of March 28th, and it's renumbered
- 23 | slightly, instead of 18, Broker Commission, it's 19.
- 24 Look for these at Paragraph 19 of Exhibit --
- 25 A. Exhibit 19?

- 1 THE COURT: Paragraph.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Paragraph.
- THE COURT: Paragraph 19, excuse me. Broker
- 4 | commissions, okay.
- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And by my review --
- 7 MS. LUNDVALL: Once again, I'm gonna ask him
- 8 not to say, "This is what I read," to the witness.
- 9 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: This is my style, your
- 10 Honor. Sorry it doesn't suit the opposing counsel.
- 11 BY MR. JAMES M. JIMMERSON:
- 12 Q. The line in the earlier Option Agreement,
- 13 | Exhibit 2 --
- 14 A. It looks very similar without doing word for
- word.
- 16 O. Would you agree the word for word,
- 17 | Notwithstanding, is also identical, Notwithstanding the
- 18 | foregoing?
- A. Oh, yes, yeah, it's still in there.
- Q. Now, were you advised that by now, March 28th
- of 2005, there had been, indeed, a contract entered
- 22 | into between Pardee and Wolfram and Wilkes and their
- respective companies?
- A. I was advised that by Mr. Levy.
- Q. Lawyer for Pardee?

- A. Lawyer for Pardee, that they had reached an agreement, because I had asked him in one of our meetings, Do we still need this language, and he said, Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And in that meeting of Levy and yourself --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- A. It was a group meeting, but it was --
- Q. Sometime preparatory of March 28, 2005?
- A. Before this agreement was signed, as I indicated, we had negotiating sessions every day, every week over the phone, and again, you sit down when you finally get the document, and I can't tell you this is Carl Savely's second version, so we had received comments and put them in here, and then by March 28th, the parties are agreed and ready to go.
- Q. So in terms of conversation you had with Mr. Levy, and not being precise, would a February/March 2005 time period be accurate in terms of when you learned that there was still a necessity to have the Broker Commissions and Finder Fees paragraph restated here?
- A. From my perspective, yes, because I did not want to pay a broker's fee.
- Q. Go back to the definitions. We talked about Purchase Property and how that definition changed.

Let's see if the Option Property definition changed
herein in the amended and restated document in
Exhibit 5.

Turn to Page 2, same paragraph we were talking about, and it follows after the description of Purchase Property of 511 acres, and I will pick it up.

And little i, the fourth line, Buyer's option to purchase remaining property, entire site, which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use as described, the Option Property and a number of several phases referred to here collectively as Option Parcels and individually as Option Parcel upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, end of quote.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. By looking at the maps or whatever you feel is best for us, tell me now how Option Property has been defined now under the new amended restated March 28th agreement, Exhibit 5?
- A. Okay. I advanced this discussion, apparently inappropriately, because you now need to go to Exhibit C-1, which are the three, if you look at PH Bates Number 53.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: That's, that's after Bates 52

- 2 | that we put the little blue circle around.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: It says, Map Of Option
- 5 Property.
- THE COURT: Let me get to it, please. You
- 7 are ahead of me a little bit.
- 8 Exhibit C-1, did you say?
- 9 THE WITNESS: It's your reference to
- 10 Exhibit C, Map Of Option Property, and you look at that
- 11 and it is blank.
- 12 THE COURT: Yeah, I see a blank.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, exactly.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 THE WITNESS: You're on the right page.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. I got nervous.
- THE WITNESS: No, because the parties needed
- 18 more specificity.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. And therefore, they started to create the
- 22 Exhibits C-1, has two pages, okay.
- Q. I'm with you, thank you.
- 24 A. So --
- Q. And C-1, so we have it here, is at Bates

154

1 | Stamp 7 --

THE WITNESS: 749.

- Q. It's blank, and then 749 and 65.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. My eyes are bad.
- A. Now, what do we show? We show all the potential option property in Clark County on Page 749.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: That's everything to the west

10 of the Pahranagat Wash.

- Can I identify this? Can I approach, your
- 12 Honor.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I need you to show us by holding it up and
- 16 | showing the position, counsel?
- 17 | A. I will.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 A. So 749 shows it, your Honor.
- Q. Okay. This is --
- THE WITNESS: This is the southern edge, and
- 22 again, it's cut off a little bit. It's cut off a
- 23 little bit, and then the northern edge, which is the
- 24 Lincoln County line, so now this shows everything to
- the east of U.S. Highway 93.

T 155

- 1 THE COURT: Right.
- THE WITNESS: And everything south of, up to
- 3 the Lincoln County line, and everything east of the
- 4 demarcation section lines, that shows the eastern
- 5 boundary of the Coyote Springs project in Clark County.
- 6 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 7 | Q. Okay.
- A. What is missing is the bottom line, which we
- 9 know is Highway 168.
- THE COURT: We just don't show it.
- 11 THE WITNESS: We just don't show it here.
- 12 | So this, so this, you want to know what the Option
- 13 Property is.
- 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. This is the Option Property in Clark County.
- 17 Then the next page --
- 18 THE COURT: This is the Option Property in
- 19 | Clark County.
- 20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. So would you say here and there, in other
- words, is the everything but the little rectangle in
- 23 | the bottom left corner?
- A. Well, as defined in this agreement.
- Q. That's what I'm asking.

156

- As defined in this agreement, the Option 1 Α. Property is the remaining acres that are required to be 2 purchased under the agreement of 1,950, so, you know, 3 you had 1,950 -- I'm sorry if I'm standing, your Honor. Is that okay? 5 THE COURT: I'm fine. I'm following. 6 THE WITNESS: And so you subtracted 250, so 7 you have to get 1,700 acres in this general area. 8 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Which is still the purchase property, ask, 10 then you're talking about something outside that; is 11 that right? 12 If I understand your statement --Q. 13 Yes, this is really hard, but originally, 14 remember the Purchase Property was all this? 15 Ο. Right. 16 Α. Now the Purchase Property goes like this. 17 0. Okay. 18
 - A. And now this is saying the Purchase Property is all of this, because it's Clark County, and we want
- 21 to give the advantage of taking everything in here.
- THE COURT: If it's designated as --
- THE WITNESS: Yes, and you exercise your
- 24 option.

19

20

THE COURT: And you exercise your option, so

1 | you're just giving them every available that you can?

THE WITNESS: We're giving them their choice,

3 their options, and as this development plan went, they

4 certainly wouldn't go from, your Honor, this corner to

this corner, because there would be no advantage of

continuity of development.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: But you gave them the option if they want to go up north?

THE WITNESS: They can go up, they can go here, but the reason why this happens, your Honor, they come down in this direction is 'cause we designed and built a Jack Nicklaus signature golf course, because it's part of our requirements under our obligation to spend money to develop there.

THE COURT: That would be attractive to Pardee.

THE WITNESS: That is extremely attractive to Pardee and their lots, your Honor, located within the area that has the golf courses, as he we sit here today. Their lots are here and our property, CSI's property is in this same area because they own the golf course.

23 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. If I can sort of summarise, stay right here, if you will. Purchase Property, including the 1,950 of

- 2004 June and September 1 now changes. March 28th of 2005 has it going along here, right?
 - A. Sure.

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. 511 acres going this way?
- 5 THE COURT: They have 511.
- 6 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. With that being that, where is the Option

 Property as you read the language of the new agreement?

 THE WITNESS: The Option Property is located

 within the Purchase Property, as defined by the entire
- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Clark County side.

- 13 Q. Okay.
 - A. If Pardee designates it and we agree this should be residential production property, and we want to exercise our option to purchase it, we'll probably go along with it, because everybody's interest is in getting the right kind of mix and everything else.
 - THE COURT: You're basically giving them everything else that hasn't been squared down -- I know that's not in stone -- purchased.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Subject to, subject to the limitation that we're gonna retain commercial, we're gonna retain multi-family.
- THE COURT: But you have to designate, you,

159

CSI, has to designate it as single-family residential property.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: But you're saying it could be anything. It's up to you to decide, and you get first option?

THE WITNESS: And then Exhibit C-1, the second page, says the Option Property that are a portion of the crosshatched area designated as production residential property or traditional residential neighborhood during the joint planning process.

So for Lincoln County, this document right after the one that we just picked up says how -- Jim asked, Mr. Jimmerson asked how do we know what is covered by these agreements.

THE COURT: What's the Bates stamp?

THE WITNESS: 55, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: That's what he was referring

21 to.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So if you put these two together, these two C-1's, nots C-1 (a) or C-1 (b), but these two C-1's you've got Clark County and you've got

- 1 Lincoln County, and it's clear that the parties were
- 2 saying if Pardee agreed to build single-family homes
- 3 within these areas after the joint planning process,
- 4 that they would have the right to purchase that
- 5 property by exercising an option to do so.
- THE COURT: Could have.
- 7 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Now, if we go to C-2, both C-2's.
- THE COURT: Give me the Bates Stamp number.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Bates Stamp 751 and 752.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: And I hate to keep doing it,
- 14 but it's just easiest now to go to PH 58 and Bates
- 15 | Stamp 755.
- Okay. That's okay. Because now these four,
- and 754, if you take all those out from 751, including
- 18 | the Pardee Homes 55, excuse me, Pardee Homes 751, your
- 19 Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Right.
- THE WITNESS: 752, your Honor.
- 22 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 23 Q. 56?
- 24 A. 58, Map Of Initial, and 755 and 754, why
- they're out of order, I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 Okay. Now, if you take that THE WITNESS: 2 package, your Honor --3 THE COURT: Together. 4 THE WITNESS: -- together, if you simply say, 5 Okay, where are we, what do we have, who's got what, by 6 going through each of these documents, you can identify 7 where the planning process has taken place. 8 For example, the first page of Exhibit 2, 9 it's the planning process on all of Clark County, as 10 you see it, right? 11 THE COURT: Correct. 12 THE WITNESS: Then you go to 752, and I'll 13 see the opposite, the rest of that gray area. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 THE WITNESS: You see, your Honor, that those 16 17 lines match up? THE COURT: They do. 18 THE WITNESS: Do you see that, your Honor, or 19 should I come up and show you just to make sure. 20 THE COURT: Why don't we --21 THE WITNESS: You want your record. 22 THE COURT: We want to get it right. 23 THE WITNESS: Right. 24 Everything on the same thing. 25

1 THE COURT: Absolutely.

THE WITNESS: So this line right here is

gonna be the edge of this line here.

4 THE COURT: This line right here, this

5 | squiggly line.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: That's this line, this one

8 | matches up.

7

12

19

21

22

24

9 THE WITNESS: It to ultimately match up with

10 | what is going to be called --

11 THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: There you go.

THE COURT: Okay.

14 THE WITNESS: It says, Lease.

THE COURT: It says, Lease.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. We moved it over, so what we've done is in these two pages show what can be

done in Clark County. Then on Exhibit D, 55, 755, your

Honor, it shows Pardee's proposed phasing plan of what

they're gonna do, which is now more consistent with

this direction than that direction, because they had

determined, excuse me, so the court reporter gets it,

23 that direction being north along Highway 93, instead

the parties have said it's better to go east from 93

above 168 over to that area, and the reason why is

- there's a golf course, there's roads, and we want the lots and the, the residential property that's gonna be
- 3 associated with that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Just answer that question. Those same maps, where are the, where's the Option Property? It's been changed. Where is it now on the same map.
 - A. I've answered it. Everything, everything that's included in Clark County, they have the right to purchase residential -- property, which is designated as single-family residential or production homes.
 - Q. Excluding the 511 acres, the Purchase Property now, and --
 - A. The 511 is treated differently, because the parties believed that they had identified 511 acres that Pardee wants, but it's not 511, Mr. Jimmerson, because I've explained that the 511, included within the 511 are golf courses.
- THE COURT: That's not theirs.
 - THE WITNESS: That's not theirs so you started with the 511, and you came in, and so, and it's so frustrating, because I can understand with the utilization of the different terms without understanding what was actually going on.
- THE COURT: Contemporaneously.

164

THE WITNESS: On the ground 1 contemporaneously. You could not understand that there 2 were these great big parcels that were taken out as a 3 result of development choices made by both parties. THE COURT: Right. 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 6 Now, would you look, please --7 Ο. THE COURT: It's almost a moving target. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, it is. 9 BY MR. JAMES M. JIMMERSON: 10 Would you look then, please, at Exhibit 55, 11 which falls at 749? 12 You want me to look at Bates 755? Α. 13 THE COURT: This one. 14 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 15 The bottom says it's Option Property, Ο. Yes. 16 17 that property crosshatched area designated as production residential property or traditional 18 residential neighborhood during the joint planning 19 20 process. Do you see that? 21 Yes. I'm trying to find it, but I recall Α. 22 reading it. 23

55, and it follows 749, it's the second C-1.

I want you to have it in front of you.

24

25

You had

165

1 two C-1's in your hand. It's the second one.

- A. Can't find the first C-1 now.
- Q. Just find any C-1?
- $A \mid A$. I have a C-2, I got a --
- Q. Hang on, it's gotten out of place here.
- 6 That's Exhibit D. That's 755, Here's Exhibit C-2?
- 7 Q. Right.
- A. C-1 and then the second C-1, you're talking about 55.
- 10 Q. Let's talk about this one first.
- 11 A. Okay. Back --
- 12 Q. The C-1?
- A. Back to the C-1's.
- Q. Right. C-1 now with the color map, which is
- 15 | 749, Bates Stamp 749?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay, great, and we'll turn the page to page
- 18 755.

- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. At the top, it says I believe the following:
- 21 Parcel boundaries and phasing may be modified during
- 22 development. As used in this Exhibit, the term
- 23 | "traditional residential neighborhood" means that the
- 24 production residential property the Option Property is
- 25 | that portion of the production residential property

1 | located outside the boundary of the initial property.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Q. Do you agree with that statement, yes or no.

THE COURT: If you can't answer yes or no --

THE WITNESS: It's a no.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. It's a no. You don't agree with your own statement on this document?
- 10 A. I do not believe it's accurate in that the
 11 initial property, in my judgment, had already been
 12 planned to be modified. The golf course was gonna
 13 be --
 - Q. And the initial property, that which we see looking at the documents, top left-hand portion, but it would really be the bottom left-hand portion?
 - A. It's the bottom property map as you hold it correctly, and remember, that was identified as 250 acres that that was gonna be the starting point of the development to ensure that Pardee had \$10 million worth of land.
- Q. Right. But whether you agree with it or not, this document says what it says.
 - A. Oh, yeah, it definitely says what it says.
 - Q. All right. Now, turning the page --

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- to C-1, Bates Stamp P H 55?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It says at the bottom of the Option Property,
- 5 that property crosshatched designated as production
- 6 residential property or traditional residential
- 7 | neighborhood during the joint planning process, end of
- 8 quote, and it shows everything north of the north edge
- 9 of Clark County.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. And is that what is being referenced as
- 13 | crosshatched?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. That's how I interpret it.
- 16 A. You're accurate.
- Q. So now, in combination, that is to say the
- 18 | land south of the Lincoln line, first C-1, 749?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And land north of the county line, PH 55 is
- 21 | the Option Property?
- 22 A. It includes the Option Property, that's
- really, if you combine these two things, Mr. Jimmerson,
- 24 it's really called the entire site.
- Q. For purposes of this contract, you title it

Option Property?

A. No, sir. For purposes of this contract, we cannot lose sight of the fact that this is an entire site, and that within specific areas we identify single-family production property, which becomes the option property that remains.

There are two options. The parties negotiated an option which allowed Pardee to buy the entire site. That's Option Number 1.

Option Number 2 was Pardee negotiated and said, We, Pardee, want to be the single person that controls what happens on single-family production property. We want to be the master developer of that, either build our own homes or bring in guest builders.

At no time did anybody think that these maps were going to require X number of acres of land. That was done in a different section of the contract when Mr. Lash asked me to use my best efforts to get, I think 13,000 acres of single-family homes in Lincoln County.

At the time we all had a big appetite. As it turned out, they weren't going there.

- Q. It, it didn't happen.
- A. Not going north of the Clark County line.
- Q. Right.

- A. But there is a provision that talks about the total number of single-family homes, and there is a provision that makes it clear that Pardee has an option to buy all the single-family residential property that the parties designate --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. -- within this entire site.
- Q. You understand the concept of parol evidence not being permitted to alter the terms of a contract?
 - A. Of course.
- Q. And this sort of is an integrated agreement?

 It says so, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So you're not suggesting you're entering parol evidence to try to construct this document?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object. There is a difference between an integrated contract and parol evidence, so this question contains a material misstatement to the witness.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: We have been approached by opposing counsel there can't be parol evidence, and all I'm suggesting is at a moment in time, and there are eight further amendments, your Honor, which we'll go through. At a moment in time there was a definition with respect to Option Property and a definition with

respect to Purchase Property. It affects those terms
in the agreement in Exhibit 1 that I haven't bothered
this man with, but I'm trying.

2.0

You have to understand how this developed. I don't have any quarrel with the cooperation between these parties, I understand that, but they never went back to the plaintiff to modify the definitions.

THE COURT: That's a whole different issue.

My problem with the question is he's not here as an expert on parol evidence. You happen to be an attorney, God love you, but I will object, I don't want the question anyway, because I think that's a legal issue. I'm sure we will at some point or have argued it recently.

MS. LUNDVALL: Exactly.

THE COURT: He's a percipient witness, and that's why I'm going to sustain the objection that I don't think it's appropriate to ask him a legal question.

Now, and I understand, okay, so we'll do it that way.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor.

And in addition, the Court's order dealing with the parol evidence only dealt with the initial agreement.

THE COURT: I understand. I went back and looked at it, Ms. Lundvall.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I know where we stand, and let's

move forward, and Mr. Jimmerson is ready to move

6 | forward.

5

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. The parcel boundaries and phasing required the continual mutual agreement of the parties; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And the continual mutual agreement of the parties is something that progressed months and years following May of 2004 through 2008 and 2009?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And the definition of Purchase Property, as we see in March of 2005, is different than the definition of the Purchase Property from May of 2004, correct?
 - A. The, the entire document reflects an approach which is becoming more refined with every paragraph, because every day there's something happening to change the boundaries. So when we say, so when we say the Purchase Property is fixed at a specific point in time, in my judgment, is irrelevant with respect to whether

- or not Pardee and CSI agreed to sell certain property and that they performed in doing so.
- 3 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Do you know whether or not the definitions of Purchase Property and Option Property impact the amount of compensation my clients are entitled to receive?
 - A. No. I recall that during the deposition there was a percentage difference, something like that.
 - Q. Would you agree that the choice to build 1,950 going north changed between September 1 of 2004, Amendment 2, to March 28th of 2005?
 - A. There was a physical change?
 - Q. There was a physical location change, yes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there was a physical location change in the definition of Option Property in March of 2005, correct?
- 18 A. No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. In other words, what you're looking at,
 these, Exhibit C-1, are different than the Exhibit C's
 of the earlier agreements we went over the Amendment
 Number 2 or the original agreement?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Once again, your Honor, this
 is now misstating this witness' testimony. I don't
 know how many times he has to tell Mr. Jimmerson that

- it, it requires a designation by CSI of what the Option
 Property was.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I understand.
- 4 MS. LUNDVALL: And he --
- THE COURT: I understand. I do understand
- 6 the testimony. I think we've gone over this many
- 7 | times, so let's just move on.
- 8 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Because we understand the point
- of what's in the documents, so we understand the
- 11 | interpretation and the understanding of at least CSI,
- 12 | which is what Mr. Whittemore is here for.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. The parties to the amended and restated
- 15 agreement March 28, 2005, were CSI and Pardee, right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes generally a
- 18 party to that agreement?
- 19 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Would you turn, please, to the amendments in
- 21 Exhibit 6?
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. We're gonna cover eight more amendments to
- 24 this now restated document.
- THE COURT: Hold on. Exhibit 6, we need a

1 | new book.

8

9

10

11

17

18

THE WITNESS: I apologize if these are going back out of order.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 20 lashes.

THE COURT: Mr. Whittemore, mine went back
out of order, but we'll fix them at the end of the day.
We'll get them fixed.

Exhibit 6, right, Mr. Jimmerson?

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Yes, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: And we're talking about --

THE COURT: A new binder.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: It's a new binder,

13 hundred percent, right.

THE WITNESS: Can I put in Number 4, please?

15 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

16 O. Please.

A. Thank you.

Okay, back up on the shelf. Thank you.

Okay. Jim.

Q. Would you look at Exhibit Number 6, which is called, Amendment Number 1 to the Amended And Restated
Option Agreement, which I will tell you we've been

23 looking at Exhibit 5.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, at this point in time, I need to place a caution for purposes of the

175

- court reporter and ask her for to designate this 1 portion of the record as confidential that is 2 confidential beginning at Exhibit 6. That begins the 3 designation for the purposes of our stipulated protective order. 5 THE COURT: Can you note that in the record, 6 and after we do the testimony we'll see how we want to 7 do it, but absolutely. 8 (The following portion of the transcript 9 is designated as confidential by 10 agreement of counsel for the parties.) 11 THE COURT: So we're starting out with 12 Exhibit 6, Amendment Number 1 to Exhibit 5. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, because the other ones 14 didn't exist. 15 Let's qo. 16 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Now, a year and four months, a year and three Ο. 18 months have passed. The date of this document is the 19 28th of July, 2006. 2.0 Do you see that? 21 Α. Yes, I do. 22

Yes, sir.

superceding document, March 28th, 2005?

Q.

Α.

23

24

25

So we were at the amended and restated

And a year and three months have passed, 1 0. we're in the July of 2006. 2 What happens to this Amendment Number 1 to 3 the superceding amended and restated document of March 28th of 2005? 5 Okay. Within this document, Pardee exercises 6 7 its right to purchase an additional parcel, and we also have created a, on the land itself, on the physical 8 land as reflected in Exhibit A, Bates 1103, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 That's referred to as Exhibit A, as part of 11 the document? 12 THE WITNESS: As part of the document, your 13 It says, Map Showing Parcel Map Land And 14 Additional Purchase Parcel. That's the, that's what 15

THE COURT: Okay.

it's entitled.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: So what have we done? Pardee and CSI have agreed -- and the orientation of this map, your Honor, is correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So that the lower left-hand corner of this map, you have the great big north. They actually put it in the correct way.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: This goes along on the map in

- 2 front of us simply straight up Highway 93.
- 3 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 4 Q. Like that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | O. Like that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And so what is happening?
- 9 A. What is happening?
- 10 Q. A takedown of 822 acres?
- A. We are doing the following: If you could
- 12 blow up the numbers on the little parcels that look
- 13 like fingers, they are golf holes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: This is what you're talking about
- 15 here?
- THE WITNESS: If I could show --
- THE COURT: Would you please?
- 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not gonna get them out of
- order, because otherwise the books are gonna be
- 20 horrible, but this, your Honor, these are, these are
- 21 golf holes.
- THE COURT: That's okay.
- THE WITNESS: These, your Honor, these
- 24 | fingers are golf holes.
- See, this is --

THE COURT: Oh, these are golf holes? 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, that look like they're 2 coming out of this. 3 Oh, so for the record, for the THE COURT: 4 record -- poor Ms. Lundvall, she's left out. 5 It's on the right side of this exhibit, which 6 It looks like, it does look like fingers. 7 These are golf holes on the right side, correct? 8 That's correct, from the THE WITNESS: 9 Highway 93 to -- this is called Coyote Springs Parkway. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 THE WITNESS: So those are holes, and if you 12 were to count them out, you'd find nine or ten holes 13 within those areas designated in that corner. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 This is the good news and the THE WITNESS: 16 17 bad news. Mr. Jimmerson is gonna say, Isn't that the first parcel? 18 THE COURT: Parcel Number 1? 19 Isn't that the first part of 20 THE WITNESS: And the answer is, Of course. 250 acres? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Of course, because the parties 23 agreed that these were placeholders until we developed 24

where residential homes are gonna be.

Now, your Honor, with Mr. Jimmerson's 1 approval, can I see show you where the single-family 2 residential property is? 3 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's fine. THE WITNESS: I think it will be help. 5 THE COURT: We know where the golf holes are. б 7 THE WITNESS: Except for this parcel right there, right here, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Except for that parcel. 9 THE WITNESS: That parcel is part of a custom 10 lot agreement. 11 THE COURT: Right here, where I'm yellowing 12 it in on mine. 13 THE WITNESS: That's the special one, and CSI 14 kept land over here but clearly was contemplating that 15 this would be land that ultimately would be purchased 16 by Pardee. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 Okay? So we wanted to have a THE WITNESS: 19 custom lot agreement, because as part of the 20 demographics, Pardee determined that there would be 21 custom lot buyers. 22 THE COURT: On the golf course? 23 By the golf course, and they THE WITNESS: 24 have had enough single-family homes here, here, here, 25

and if we could show it?

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: There are three locations of SFR land, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Can we show it on the map? This would really be helpful if you bring it up for the Judge. This is so clear.

Your Honor, here's Highway 93.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And here's 168.

THE COURT: 168.

THE WITNESS: And this little thing
Mr. Jimmerson was pointing to was that outer edge
boundary of what has been on these various exhibits.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: And you will see, you can get Google Earth, you will see, you will see, number one, the golf course; number two, you will see actually graded lots that were ready for production, and you'd see there's nothing over here, because we don't know, but Pardee has in the back of their minds that, Wait a second, that could potentially be a multi-family, I will have to go back to Mr. Whittemore, see if I can keep that for multi-family and get more single-family residential up there, see if he will let me buy

multi-family. Can we buy all the custom lots? 1 We'll keep those. We'll sell them. We'll split them. 2 If you want to pay us and put in the infrastructure, 3 we'll come up with a custom lot agreement. 4 THE COURT: That was a separate --5 THE WITNESS: Separate agreement totally, 6 happened after the golf course was being built. 7 THE COURT: But CSI has to compensate them 8 for what you took? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. That land is now part of 10 -- it's like jellybeans, you take three, you've got to 11 give me back three somewhere else, okay, because at the 12 end of the day, you still have to -- Pardee's given us 13 84 million. 14 THE COURT: For 650 acres? 15 THE WITNESS: 950 acres. 16 THE COURT: 950 acres? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. And anything other than 18 that is all just simply adjustments of where these 19 20 things are going. Here's the water plant that I was talking 21 about right up here. Here's, these are, these are 22

The point was that none of this could have

ponds where you're actually delivering water to

23

24

25

everything.

been identified during the process of negotiating this
agreement, because you didn't have all the
professionals coming in and identifying where they want
to, your Honor.

So now Mr. Jimmerson asked, Okay, tell me what these exhibits mean now in Number 6, and what we're doing --

THE COURT: Okay. What you are doing July 28th, 2006?

THE WITNESS: What we're doing is showing that we're gonna build exactly that on this exhibit and start to put together a plan where you can see that buyer is exercising their right to purchase an additional 822 acres.

THE COURT: When you say "buyer," you mean
Pardee?

THE WITNESS: Pardee. They're gonna add 822.88 towards their 1,950, and then that segment also includes areas designated as commercial property and certain lands which also is designated for custom lots, because again, the parties have an agreement as to what happens with those specific things. While they're getting 822, they're not ultimately gonna get to keep exactly that 822, because there will be further adjustments, so again, Pardee, in my perspective, is

1 being overcompensated for what they're paying. In

other words, they're getting more land during this

- 3 process.
- 4 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Okay.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, at this point in
- 6 time, can we capture this Google Earth image and mark
- 7 this as Defendant's next in line? It would be
- 8 Exhibit VV.
- 9 THE COURT: I assume your technical person --
- 10 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's fine. It
- 11 doesn't matter.
- 12 THE COURT: I assume you're the one that can
- 13 capture it for us, your technical person?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: And make it VV.
- 16 MS. LUNDVALL: It will be Exhibit VV.
- 17 THE COURT: I want to make sure my clerk
- 18 | heard it.
- MS. LUNDVALL: And we would move for the
- 20 admission of VV.
- THE COURT: Any objection? Okay, it's
- 22 admitted.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: No objection.
- THE WITNESS: So what happens, this is really
- 25 critical, CSI is relieved of lots of obligations to

184

build additional golf courses and to do other things, and CSI is going to be paid a water commodity charge of \$150,000 a month, because it's very expensive to pump that water, deliver the water and do all that, so the parties are again splitting costs and coming up with

7 THE COURT: Keep the golf course?

8 THE WITNESS: -- keep the golf course green.

9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Okay.

10

17

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: As part of this Amendment 1?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, because you

will see we talk about water, financing it through GID,

14 | we talk about infrastructure, sharing infrastructure

15 costs, we've negotiated a CC&R handbook, and that's

16 reflected in this agreement. We're adopting it. So

throughout this document, paragraph by paragraph, we

18 are now describing again a brand new deal.

19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Okay.

A. Modified, but it is not a superceding document yet, because the lawyers haven't gotten mad at us enough to say, Well, we have to put it into one document.

THE COURT: And the 822.88 acres, that still

could be applied towards 1,950, but it may not all be

- 2 applied, depending on what happens.
- THE WITNESS: Exactly, your Honor, because
- 4 again, just like the earlier property was subject to
- 5 these minor modifications, until you have a very
- 6 specific plan and you've done all your calculations and
- 7 adjustments --
- 8 THE COURT: Right.
- Is somebody keeping track of all these
- 10 | jellybeans, I hope?
- 11 THE WITNESS: That's exactly the point, is
- 12 that Pardee will never lose a jellybean, and neither
- 13 | will CSI, I can just tell you that, okay?
- 14 THE COURT: Somehow I suspected that. I
- 15 | don't even want to know what the jellybean counter got,
- 16 okay.
- 17 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 18 Q. Could we just look at the balance of the
- 19 exhibits?
- 20 A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. What is Exhibit A, please? Is it 822
- acres? Is it 250 acres? Is it 1,950 acres? What's
- 23 Exhibit A? I read it as 822 acres. If I'm misreading
- 24 it, correct me. The parcel says, it says 822 acres,
- 25 see?

```
I believe that the parcel of land that is
1
        Α.
   designated as -- well, unfortunately we're gonna have
2
    to get into -- to make sense of this, your Honor, I'm
3
   gonna have to take you forward, Mr. Jimmerson, to 1105.
               THE COURT: Bates Stamp 1105, that's fine,
5
   because right now Exhibit A, which is 1102, is blank.
6
7
    It says, See attached, but I don't know if the order we
   have here, do you, Mr. Whittemore?
8
              THE WITNESS: I think the order is correct.
9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              THE WITNESS:
                             So I think that that
11
    information, I think that that shows you something
12
    that, in relationship to 1105, will become clear.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              THE WITNESS: So if you'll please turn to
15
    1105?
16
17
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay. Now, here we go.
18
              To get to the total that -- and 1106.
19
              THE COURT: Think of them in conjunction with
20
    each other?
21
               THE WITNESS: Yes, I would ask that you do
22
    so, simply because, Mr. Jimmerson, your Honor, what has
23
   happened --
24
              THE COURT:
                           Mr. Jimmerson, do you want to
25
```

1 come up? MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Yes, please. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Lundvall, you're invited to 3 4 come up at will. MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You're not left out. 6 7 THE WITNESS: You can see the degree of specificity that has now taken place with respect to 8 the property. 9 This is the Lincoln County line. There's 10 Highway 93. There's 168. There's the golf course 11 right there we previously --12 THE COURT: That orients us, okay. 13 THE WITNESS: This line, your Honor, do you 14 have a highlighter? 15 THE COURT: I do. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Mr. Jimmerson, this line will generally look like what everybody was worried about at 18 the beginning of the world, so what the parties did, 19 those designations are here, and these designations, I 20 can tell you what they are. 21 MS. LUNDVALL: Because of the coloring on the 22 23 map? THE WITNESS: Yeah, the coloring on the map. 24 Generally all of this is Pardee. 25

THE COURT: Okay. 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: And this wraps around to cover, and I can't tell you the exact wash, your Honor, but this is a good estimation. It's something like that. That's also Pardee.

THE COURT: That's also Pardee.

THE WITNESS: Again, it had to be acquired, your Honor, to equalize the amount of land they needed.

> THE COURT: Sure.

THE WITNESS: And then this makes it very clear, so if I grew this line, I grew this line a little bit more like this, it looks like it's a little bit higher, and I fit it down a little lower, it makes it clear the CSI/Pardee planning area, 4,207 acres, which clearly would have your 1,950 in this, leaving excess acres for CSI. That's 4,207 acres.

The CSI planning area --

THE WITNESS:

THE COURT: So you're saying what we see as Exhibit 1106, the 4,207 would include --

> The 1,950, yes. THE WITNESS:

THE COURT: So we're at 1,950 now, not -- at least the area, not necessarily what each plot is?

That's correct. Therefore, this line is extremely important, because the parties have said, Well, CSI, this is your planning area for

1 | the PGA Village. That alone --

THE COURT: I see.

THE WITNESS: You see, so the parties have

4 said to Pardee, Looks like your single-family

5 residential is gonna be over here, you should make

arrangements to provide services to do whatever is

7 | next. Let's build the road together, and by the way,

8 | we have just negotiated with the PGA to become the PGA

9 Village, the only one, huge, you know, pluses, yada,

10 | yada, yada.

6

21

22

23

24

25

11 THE COURT: So this CSI planning area most

12 | likely will not be available, you're telling Pardee

13 | most likely, if it all works?

14 THE WITNESS: But if things are going really

15 | well, we might drop you off over here, which, your

16 Honor, in time, there was considerable negotiation as

17 to whether we could get some single-family homes,

18 | right, because Pardee was in the business of buying,

19 and we were in the business of trying to sell.

20 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Just a question, where is the 822?

A. Right here and right here.

Q. Okay.

THE COURT: And the 822 is part of the 1,950?

MS. LUNDVALL: Can I see that again?

190

- THE WITNESS: Yes. The 822 is in here, and the 1,950 is all in here.
- THE COURT: Because the 822 included the golf
- 4 | course?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- Well, but it wasn't -- you have to subtract
- 7 lit out.
- THE COURT: Exactly, so the jellybeans --
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. But here's the question: Is the 822, the way
- 11 | you explained it, I understood it being the 822 is out
- of the 1,950; is that correct?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. It's part of the 1,950?
- 15 A. Yes, it is, sir.
- THE COURT: So the 822 is part of the 1,950.
- 17 | I had that right, all right.
- 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And you indicated there were some other
- 20 concessions that were important to CSI, including not
- 21 having to do certain infrastructure, remember, that
- 22 Exhibit C had shown early on, and then there's this
- 23 | joint and financed improvements where you're having a
- 24 | sharing relationship with Pardee?
- A. This is the most important document between

CSI and Pardee with respect to -- and this shows the level of trust, hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars of improvements that Pardee is putting in and

4 knows that CSI is going to have to pay a percentage of

5 these down the road.

So this document, while it looks like, Oh,
it's just one page, is extraordinarily significant,
because it literally involves hundreds of millions of
dollars.

O. Got it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As it has turned out, did this project end by virtue of the economic downturn?

- A. I believe that Coyote Springs will succeed. There has been, there have been reports of lawsuits between Pardee and the successor owner members in CSI, and I believe that those disputes, as well as the economic realities, have caused the parties not to advance construction on certain facilities.
- Q. And the last three years, four years, as I recall, 2009 to the present?
- A. I would say that really, things started to come to a grinding halt the summer of 2010.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Through today.
- Q. And when did you lose your interest in CSI,

192

- 1 | if you have lost your interest at all? I don't know if
- 2 you have.
- A. Your Honor, the terms of my settlement
- 4 agreement with the Seenos is confidential.
- Q. I just want to know the year when it. Ended
- 6 I don't want to know the terms.
- 7 THE COURT: We don't want to know that.
- 8 That's not relevant to our issues.
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. I want to know when does your personal
- 11 knowledge of these amendments end?
- 12 | THE COURT: That's what we're looking for.
- 13 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 14 | 0. I'm sorry.
- 15 A. Fair enough.
- I retired from Coyote Springs in March of
- 17 | 2011, and I resolved my disputes in probably January of
- 18 | '12.
- 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 20 Q. Very good. Thank you.
- MS. LUNDVALL: January 2012?
- THE WITNESS: I think so, yes.
- MS. LUNDVALL: You resolved your disputes
- 24 | with the Seenos in 2012?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

193

```
MS. LUNDVALL:
                              January?
1
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yes, ma'am.
2
   BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
3
              Let's turn to the next exhibit.
        Q.
              My brain could be wrong on that, but I'm
5
   giving you the best estimate.
6
              MS. LUNDVALL: Was it this year or last year?
7
              THE COURT: January of this year or last
8
   year?
9
              THE WITNESS: '13.
                                   Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: I think she's refreshing your
11
   recollection.
12
                             She did.
               THE WITNESS:
13
              THE COURT: Okay. She doesn't want to
14
   suggest --
15
               THE WITNESS: It is.
16
              THE COURT: -- it was this year, and we are
17
   in 2013.
18
              MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: It was one of the
19
   greatest non-suggestions there ever was.
20
              THE COURT: I get confused on 2012 and 2013.
21
              THE WITNESS: I had totally missed that.
22
              THE COURT: That's all right.
23
              Okay, Mr. Jimmerson, where are we at now?
24
    / / / /
```

 $\frac{194}{}$

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- O. Turn now to Exhibit 7.
- A. Exhibit 7, okay.
- Q. This is called Exhibit 2, 2 of 8, so you have
- 5 the superceding restatement document in March 2005,
- 6 Exhibit 5, now we have the second of the two
- 7 | amendments. There's gonna be eight.
- Number 2, this is -- now, follow along if I'm
- 9 reading the date right. I think it's September the
- 10 30th, 2006, so this is just a month and a half after
- 11 | the previous one.
- So what is going on with this Amendment
- 13 Number 2?

1

2

- 14 A. I needed money.
- 15 Q. So what is happening here? If it doesn't
- 16 | relate to the property, I'm gonna kind of move on.
- A. No, because again, it's everything that they
- 18 did related to, ultimately, the payment for property.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And what they did was prepay the referenced
- 21 | installments from Paragraph 1 (b) (3) of the prior
- 22 agreement and prepaid \$6 million to help me at a time
- where I needed the help.
- Q. Did you have to give anything back, free land
- 25 or anything like that?

- A. No. We negotiated a, a, a discount at the back end as a result of the prepayment, which was a calculation of the interest that they would have lost on it.
 - Q. Okay. A discount towards the end?
- A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

O. That's fine.

Let's go to the next, sir, to Exhibit 8 that is Amendment Number 3 to that amended and restated option agreement of March 2005, and this one is dated November 22nd of 2006, so now it's about two and a half months later?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What's going on with Amendment Number 3? We're transferring the escrow from Stewart Title to Chicago Title.
- O. What was that?
- A. The partners had made a decision that they wanted to move to Chicago Title.
- Q. So had this essentially been a move from Lisa Jones to Francis Butler or Francis Dunlap?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you see Francis Butler is now identified
 as the person to receive this information in the middle
 of the page on Paragraph 2?

196

- A. Yes, I recall it.
 - Q. Very good.

1

- Let's move to Number 9 to the March -- let's
- 4 | see, it gets to which date, December 20th of 2007.
- 5 Now, this is a year and a month later, 13 months later,
- 6 from November of 2006 to December of 2007?
- 7 A. Magically.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, and as we're
- 9 continuing to go through this, Exhibits 7, 8, 9, they,
- 10 too, have the same designation as confidential, and I'm
- 11 assuming the transcript then will have that same
- 12 | confidentiality at this point.
- THE COURT: What we'll do, when you no longer
- 14 | want the confidentiality, let the Court and the court
- 15 reporter will know. We'll keep it continuing it unless
- 16 the court reporter is instructed something different.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
- THE COURT: You're welcome.
- THE WITNESS: So finally, magic, jellybeans
- 20 | are accounted for, Paragraph 2.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 THE WITNESS: We went from the 880 number
- 23 down to the 810.05.
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. So Paragraph 2, Page 1 of the agreement is

hereby amended so that the term "Parcel Map Land" described and defined in Paragraph 2 of the first amendment shall consist of 810.05, acres and not the acreage originally stated therein, which was the 822 and change?

A. 882.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So it was changed to --

THE WITNESS: 810. It went down because there was adjustments.

THE COURT: But the 810.05 was still part of the designated 1,950?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just to make sure we're consistent.

THE WITNESS: And this agreement then restates, introduces the concept of the per acre price now being reflective of that which was contained on the original exhibit, and therefore, in Paragraph 3, the price per acre was \$43,076.92.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

Q. Okay. Stay in Paragraph 2.

It also says, Certain land designated for development of custom lots pursuant to a separate agreement between the parties, the 250 acre parcel previously purchased by buyer at the initial closing, a

- 1 portion of the first golf course to the west of Coyote
- 2 | Springs Parkway, and a portion of other areas
- 3 | designated as commercial property pursuant to the
- 4 agreement which will be transferred to seller as
- 5 provided in the agreement.
- 6 What's happening here, the second portion of
- 7 | the same paragraph? We reduced 882 to 810. What's
- 8 going on here?
- A. What you're doing here is we have created
- 10 custom lots, which I showed your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Around the golf course?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Around the golf course and said
- 13 by separate agreement, What do you want to do? And we
- 14 | negotiated and said, Okay, if you put in the
- 15 | infrastructure to those custom lots, we'll sell them
- 16 and agree to split the proceeds in the following
- 17 | fashion, and I believe it was 50/50.
- 18 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 19 Q. Okay. And was there a purchase price
- 20 | structure? In other words, how did that work if you're
- gonna go 50/50 on developing and selling custom lots,
- 22 and maybe you sell them to Tull Brothers or you sell
- them to somebody else, how do you get paid since you
- 24 own them originally?
- A. By separate agreement when they're closing

per parcel, per lot sold. There would be proceeds that would be sent to Pardee and proceeds that would be sent to CSI.

- Q. Earlier in the documents I saw where you retained for yourself and negotiated for Pardee what I call a custom lot enhancement or increase in price?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. I thought, if my recollection was right, it was one and a half times, one and a half times if -- it was a 50 percent increase in the basic price?
- MS. LUNDVALL: It's not a custom lot enhancement.

THE WITNESS: What we --

THE COURT: Does that refresh your recollection, or do you know what he's speaking of?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall that, but I do recall what we negotiated.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that would help us.

THE WITNESS: We negotiated. We negotiated a premium on each of those lots, and we agreed with respect to some of those lots that there would be a floor so that someone other than Pardee would come in, sell the house at a stated price, and not include a view premium or course premium unfairly to deprive the original seller of the benefits of the deal.

THE COURT: Of the bargain.

THE WITNESS: Pardee would never do that to
us, but if Pardee sold it to somebody else, they might
come in and just simply say that the price of the house

5 is 425 instead of the price of the house being 350,

6 because as compared to everything else along the

7 | neighborhood, it was 350, and that they received a

8 | \$75,000, you know, increase.

9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. So how did you receive, if at all, how did

 CSI received the custom lot premium?
- A. We haven't yet, and we haven't received any of the premiums on the lots along the golf course, because none have been sold.
- Q. Okay. But what was the agreement? What was 16 your premium increase?
- A. My understanding is that what we did is
 billed that premium increase just for that, the price
 of the lot, because all of those lots were under the
 control of Pardee and CSI.
- Q. All right. And then the proceeds, if and when they're sold, will go 50/50?
 - A. Yes. That's my recollection.
- Q. Do you remember how many custom lots are affected on --

I don't recall how many, but we were, what we 1 Α. had determined was our value of the land was somewhat 2 equivalent as to the cost of infrastructure, and 3 therefore, the parties would basically, basically we'd throw in the land and they would throw in the 5 improvements. 6 7 Ο. All right. Thank you. THE COURT: And that's a totally separate 8 agreement? 9 Yes, your Honor. THE WITNESS: 10 THE COURT: It has nothing do with --11 THE WITNESS: Not anything at all. 12 THE COURT: As far as our issues, I 13 understand what you're saying, nothing do with the 14 first Option Agreement? 15 No, no, your Honor. THE WITNESS: 16 17 THE COURT: I wanted to clarify. THE WITNESS: No. It was totally done as a 18 result of separate negotiations and separate 19 20 agreements. THE COURT: Okay. 21 MS. LUNDVALL: While we're here, do you want 22 to cover Paragraph 6? 23 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: You're not telling me 24

how to conduct my examination, are you?

- MS. LUNDVALL: I'm just trying move to things
- 2 along.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I appreciate it.
- 4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. What else is being accomplished here in this
- 6 Exhibit Number 9, Amendment 4?
- 7 A. Well --
- Q. You modified the price as reflected in
- 9 Exhibit E, Paragraph 3?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. And what's happening with regard to the
- 12 \$12 million? What's happened here?
- A. Well, what happened, we have a modified
- 14 price per acre.
- 15 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 16 O. Per acre.
- 17 A. What happened is we had calculated what the
- 18 | additional purchase, parcel price is, and it says it's
- 19 \$12,641,331, which if you look at Exhibit A to the
- 20 Parcel 1 acreage calculation of July 30th, 2007, at
- 21 Bates Number 1121, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. There it is.
- THE WITNESS: You'll see the custom lots.
- 24 There's a, there's total acreage, there's golf course
- 25 lots, there's Highway 93, there's Lake Village Park,

all of these parcels, all of these specific parcels now 1 have specific acreage next to their little name. 2 THE COURT: But that's all a separate 3 agreement? Well, no, this includes --THE WITNESS: 5 because, because we're including everything, your 6 7 Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 8 THE WITNESS: We are counting everything 9 here, and the subtotal is --10 THE COURT: I see it now. 11 THE WITNESS: If you take a look, we've 12 charged for the wash, we've charged for a park, we 13 charged for, you know, everything that -- the highway. 14 You take a look at who is who for what, and then each 15 of those calculations, John I sat down and negotiated. 16 17 For example, if you take a look at 93-1, the number is 21,583. By my calculation, it looks like 18 it's 50 percent of the 43,000, your Honor. 19

THE COURT: I see. And that would be your negotiation?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

22

23

24

25

And then you will see 30,000 down below for the washes, simply because I said, John, it's not fair, these are only half, let's negotiate a fair price for

204your obligation to pay for the washes that are 1 necessary for you to build the lots that you're 2 building on. He said, Okay, that's fair, and we agreed 3 to 30,000. BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 5 All right. Ο. 6 7 And then the water campus you'll see is at half price, and you add up all of those things on the 8 value, you come up with a subtotal of 22,964,408. They've already paid \$10,000. Now, at 810.05, you see, 10 your Honor, the subtotal? 11 THE COURT: Hold on. 12 Yes. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE WITNESS: And then across you'll see the 16 17 value of what the 810 would be in money. THE COURT: 12 million. 18 THE WITNESS: And you subtract out 19 the 10 million you've already paid and then subtract 20 out a park credit, which they had already given to us, 21 so they don't pay us twice. 22 THE COURT: Right. 23

\$12,641,331, which means that they're buying, as of

24

25

THE WITNESS: And you end up with a total of

- this date, that they're ahead of us. They have paid
 more for that the kitty than we've given them property
 for.
- THE COURT: The property.
- 5 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Okay. Now, a couple of questions, first, do
 you recall that as it relates to custom lots, even
 though you had a separate agreement here --
 - A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. -- by 2007, do you recall the custom lots
 11 that were developed by Pardee were part of the
 12 definition of residential lots originally in May of
 13 2004?
- 14 A. No.
- MS. LUNDVALL: I'm going to object to that representation, because that's not the language of the agreement.
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Okay.
- 19 THE COURT: Do you have a recollection of it?
- THE WITNESS: I specifically do.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: We would never have --
- THE COURT: Based on that objection, as long
- 24 as Mr. Whittemore has a recollection of what's in
- there, I don't -- can you tell us what you recall?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We had discussions, and

- 2 there was no chance that I was giving up the custom lot
- 3 business, because John knew, based upon my development
- 4 up in Red Hawk, that we were in the business of selling
- 5 custom lots on our golf courses in Northern Nevada, so
- 6 | we specifically said no at the beginning of these
- 7 | negotiations to have any discussions whatsoever
- 8 regarding custom lots. That occurred later.
- 9 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 10 Q. All right. Let me show you, please, Exhibit
- 11 2, just perhaps to refresh or correct your testimony.
- THE COURT: Well --
- MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Well, your Honor --
- MS. LUNDVALL: Come on. Come on.
- THE COURT: Just ask the question,
- 16 Mr. Jimmerson, because if his recollection is wrong --
- 17 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: I understand you,
- 18 Judge, but would you have me adopt his recollection
- 19 | without looking at the document?
- 20 THE COURT: Not at all. If his recollection
- 21 is incorrect, Mr. Jimmerson, you should be refreshing
- 22 | it appropriately.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 24 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Exhibit 2, second page.

1 A. Yeah.

2

6

7

8

9

- Q. All right. As in the middle of the page.
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. It says, As used in this agreement --

THE COURT: Hold on, let me find it.

The middle of the page.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 2.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, that's my fault.

As used.

- 10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 11 Q. As used in this agreement, the term,
- 12 | "production residential property," means that portion
- 13 of the net useable acreage as defined below that
- 14 encompasses all of the Purchase property and the Option
- 15 | Property, which includes, without limitation, all
- 16 | single-family detached production residential lots,
- 17 which shall include lots on which custom homes are
- 18 | constructed by buyer, end of quote.
- 19 A. You read that correctly.
- 20 O. Does it include construction lots for which
- 21 custom lots are constructed by Pardee as a buyer, you
- 22 | said?
- 23 A. No. No.
- Q. So what changes this language?
- A. Because if you will recall, the modifications

to the document in the Number 5, the amended and restated agreement.

- Q. All right.
- A. And every single planning document referred to what Pardee got as single-family production lots.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. Not -- so because I was the person, the person -- I was never going to designate the land custom lots for Pardee. The custom lots were gonna be retained. Just because I said, Well, if you allow us to do so, we can, that's fine, but we were never -- and that's why I explained it, we were never going to give up the custom lots without us negotiating something else for it.
- Q. Within Exhibit 2, where does it state you were never going to give them custom lots when their definition in this contract that you signed speaks to their residential properties including custom lots on which they build houses?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, I'm gonna have to object. He keeps making reference to custom lots. It's a reference to custom homes.

THE COURT: Homes?

MS. LUNDVALL: There is a difference between custom homes and custom lots.

MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: Judge, it says --1 MS. LUNDVALL: And he's not permitted to 2 misspeak. 3 THE COURT: I know. Shall include the lots. MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 5 THE COURT: Lots on custom homes. б 7 Is there a difference, Mr. Whittemore, between, at least for purposes of this case, between a 8 custom home lot and what it says here, let's get it 9 right, lots on which custom homes are constructed by 10 buyer? 11 Is there a difference? 12 THE WITNESS: In the context of that portion 13 of the agreement, that's not -- there's no difference. 14 They are internally consistent. That is not what my 15 testimony is. 16 17 THE COURT: But he's asking the question: Ιs there a distinction? 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: 20 Here's my point, are you telling me, and I'm 0. 21 not fighting with you, because I don't know why you 22 feel this way, are you telling me that the language 23 here that I'm reading, that my clients read, that says, 24

quote, That residential property for which they're

- gonna get a commission includes several items, one of
 which is all or one of which is lots on which certain
 construction -- excuse me, lots upon which custom homes
 are constructed by buyer got changed later on?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know which documents, of those that you've looked at, that deleted, as part of residential property, lots upon which custom homes are constructed by buyer?
- A. Well, I sure hope it's in the contract.

 Your Exhibit Number 5, it says, Amended And
 Restated Option Agreement.
- 13 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

14

- A. Because the --
- Q. I didn't see it, but if I could call your attention to it to help you, look at Bates Number 82, Page 2 of Exhibit 5.
 - A. That's where I was.
- Q. Okay. I don't see the change in the language.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Well, changes in language,
 your Honor --
- 23 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Can we look at it, please, together?

 THE COURT: Let's just let Mr. Whittemore

look at it, okay?

You've referenced to Page 2, Bates 082.

Could you take your time and look at that?

4 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. In the middle of the page, same language, As used in this agreement, are you with me?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As used in this agreement, the term

 "production residential property" means that portion of
 the net useable acres as defined below that encompasses
 all of the Purchase Property and Option Property, which
 includes, without limitation, all single-family
 detached production residential lots, which shall
 include single-family detached production residential
 lots on which custom homes are constructed by buyer.

I don't see an amendment for that Exhibit 2, do you?

A. Mr. Jimmerson, the language provides the following: If I've designated a lot, a single-family production lot, and it's on the golf course, and I give them the approval that it can become a custom lot under any sense of the word, that would be a land purchase for which your clients would be entitled a commission, based upon your statements to me that they're entitled to commissions on residential production homes.

The custom homes referenced here are those which require a two step process, not a one step process.

A one step process, your Honor, is I own it, I designate it as a custom, I designate it as a custom lot.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And in the example that

Mr. Jimmerson is going to, I would designate is as a single-family production lot, and he would come back and ask for my consent to come in and say, I would now like, based upon what I see, to turn these single-family production homes into custom lots. I had the absolute right to say, No, because single-family homes on custom lots was retained by me as part of the negotiations.

They could, if -- just because it's an allowed use does not mean that the owner gives up his right to say, Stop, to say, Even though this is an allowed use, I'm telling you you cannot do this at this time, and that's what this process was all about, was the control that we had on designating what went on on the particular site.

THE COURT: And when you say, "you," you mean

25 | CSI?

1 THE WITNESS: CSI.

BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:

- Q. Where is it in Exhibit 2, the Baseline
 Agreement, the right of you, having designated 1,500
 acres as of June 1 of 2004, that you had retained the
 right, even though you designated it as residential, to
 pull it back and say, You can't build a custom lot? I
 don't see any language.
- 9 MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again -10 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- Q. You're right, what I say is irrelevant.
 Where is it?
 - A. Mr. Jimmerson, the designation of single-family residential by the master developer is final until the individual who purchased the property came back and got my consent to change it.

For example, if, as a result of the change in market conditions, it became appropriate that they are no longer single-family detached, your Honor, but attached homes, duplexes, they would come back and say, Can we build duplexes on this land, and we would say, Yes.

Now, there is a very long process,

Mr. Jimmerson, that took place where we negotiated the
exact number of units which could be built on a

particular piece of land so that we could guarantee
that it gave flexibility to Pardee but did not ruin or
change the area plan we had devised.

And so, your Honor, what we did, and again, this happens every day in my business, if someone comes in and says, Harvey, we planned this, we want to change it, I'm certainly not going to allow someone who pays \$43,000 an acre for a single-family home to come in and say, I'm turning it into commercial that's worth \$500,000 without me getting my little piece of the action. That's the reality.

- 12 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 13 Q. I'm with you.
- All I'm saying to you is that when you sold

 -- we know when you sold residential production

 residential property to Pardee?
- 17 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

10

11

- Q. Okay. You did not retain, at least under the terms of the agreement that I read, the ability to veto their use of, their construction of lots for custom homes?
 - A. I, I -- Jim, Mr. Jimmerson.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Let him answer.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know whether it's, I

- 1 | don't know whether it's in any of the documents that
- 2 | you have in front of you. I don't have a clue of all
- 3 the things that have been put together or haven't been
- 4 | put together, and I don't have any documentation in
- front of me that says this is the agreement that does
- 6 A, B, C, D, and E.
- 7 What I do know is that by agreement, Pardee
- 8 and CSI agreed that no use that was designated could be
- 9 changed without the approval of the other if it
- 10 impacted a particular use or the valuation, because
- 11 Mr. Jimmerson, can you imagine Coyote Springs
- 12 | controlling the commercial parts of this parcel and
- 13 immediately deciding that they thought that they could,
- 14 under their master plan amendments and their
- development agreement, to put in a pig farm?
- 16 BY MR. J. J. JIMMERSON:
- 17 Q. Next to custom homes, I'm with you.
- 18 A. So there's zero chance that in that, in the
- 19 documentation and the relationships of the parties,
- 20 | that you would have a circumstance where somebody could
- use property in a way that harmed the other or to take
- 22 advantage of the other.
- Q. I'm with you.
- How many custom lots are allocated for Pardee
- 25 to build?

I believe the custom lots, I believe that the 1 Α. total number of lots within that area might be like 72 2 or something. 3 I thought it was less, right. Q. And my understanding of your testimony, they 5 have not yet been constructed; is that right? 6 They have not been sold. There has been work 7 Α. done on the lots, rough grading, and no, I don't know 8 of any. But no foundations and no sales? 0. 10 Α. Nothing. 11 MR. J. J. JIMMERSON: That's fine. 12 Thank you very much. 13 (End of the confidential portion of the 14 Transcript.) 15 THE COURT: Mr. Jimmerson? Mr. Jimmerson, 16 17 who's your next witness? MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Jim Wolfram, your Honor. 18 Okay, where are my notes? THE COURT: 19 Please remain standing and raise 20 THE CLERK: your right hand. 21

JAMES F. WOLFRAM,

having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole

truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and

22

23

24

25

testified as follows:

- THE CLERK: For the record, please state your name.
- THE WITNESS: James F. Wolfram.
- 4 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- THE COURT: This is a continuation from your
- 6 direct yesterday.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Oh, God, yes.
- 8 THE COURT: That's okay. Are you ready to
- 9 go?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I am.
- 11 THE COURT: Welcome back.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COURT: Hopefully it will be easier.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Hopefully, yeah.
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
- 16 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, can we begin by opening up to
- 18 | Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, the Option Agreement?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. This is the Option Agreement for the Purchase
- 21 of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions dated
- 22 May of 2004.
- Prior to this litigation, did you receive a
- 24 copy of this agreement?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Wolfram, can you please --
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, prior to this
- 3 | litigation?
- 4 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Right.
- I'm sorry. Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, please turn to Exhibit 3.
- 9 A. Okay.
- Q. What's that agreement?
- 11 A. It's the Amended, Amendment to Option
- 12 Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint
- 13 Escrow Instructions.
- 14 | Q. And what's the date?
- 15 A. This Amendment to Option Agreement for the
- 16 Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow
- 17 | Instructions.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Wolfram, the court reporter
- 19 has to take everything down, so even though you can
- 20 read, can you slow down a little, because she can't
- 21 keep up with you.
- MS. LUNDVALL: You're asking him to read the
- 23 entirety of Exhibit 3?
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: No. I was asking for
- 25 the date.

- THE COURT: I know. I think there was a miscommunication.
- THE WITNESS: 23rd day of July, 2004.
- THE COURT: Which day of July, the 28th?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 7 I'm sorry.
- 8 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. And prior to this, did you receive this agreement from either Pardee or the title company?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- Please flip to Exhibit Number 4.
- What is this document?
- 15 A. Amendment Number 2 to Option Agreement for 16 the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow
- 17 | Instructions.

22

- 18 | O. What's the date?
 - A. 31st day of August, 2004.
- Q. Prior to this litigation, did you receive a copy of it from either Pardee or the title company?
- before I signed on the 6th. I could have got it after
- that, I'm not sure, within that period of time there.
- Q. Okay. Please look at Exhibit Number 5.

Yes, I did. I don't know if I got it right

What is this document?

- A. Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the
- 3 Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow
- 4 Instructions.
- 5 Q. What is the date?
- 6 A. 28th day of March, 2005.
- Q. And prior to this litigation, did you receive
- 8 a copy of this from either Pardee or the title company?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- I would like you to turn to the next binder,
- 12 Exhibit Number 6, please.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Wolfram, this whole
- 15 | Exhibit 5, you received prior to litigation? It's
- 16 pretty big.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's pretty big.
- 18 THE COURT: Prior to litigation, I want to
- 19 make sure.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I have it.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, what is this document at
- 24 Exhibit 6?
- A. As a whole?

- 1 Q. Exhibit 6, I'm sorry.
- A. Okay. Exhibit 6, that's Amendment Number 1
- 3 to Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the
- 4 Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow
- 5 | Instructions.

- Q. And what's the date?
 - A. It's dated the 28th day of July, 2006.
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, prior to this litigation, did
- 9 | you receive a copy of this from either Pardee or the
- 10 | title company?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. After you had commenced this litigation, did
- 13 you receive a copy of this from either the title
- 14 | company or Pardee?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of
- this agreement from either of those people?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you see the Bates stamp at the
- 20 | bottom left?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What is CSI Wolfram 1095?
- Do you know what the CSI stands for?
- 24 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- Q. Thank you.

- Mr. Wolfram, can you please flip to Exhibit
 Number 7?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, for purposes of the record, I just want to make sure that the court reporter can end the confidentiality designation at conclusion of Mr. Whittemore's testimony.

THE COURT: Okay.

- MS. LUNDVALL: And even though we have begun questions on 6 and 7, which are designated as confidential, but we're not getting into the terms, and so therefore, I do not believe there's any designation of confidentiality.
- THE COURT: So Mr. Whittemore, okay, all right. Thank you.
- We're on Exhibit 7, right?
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes, your Honor, we're
- 17 on Exhibit 7.

7

- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, what is that document?
- A. This is Amendment Number 2 to Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions.
 - O. And what is the date?
- 25 A. September 30th, 2006.

- Q. And prior to this litigation, did you receive a copy of it from either Pardee or the title company?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. After you have commenced litigation against Pardee, did you receive a copy of this from either
- 6 Pardee or the title company?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of it?
- 10 A. I do not.
- Q. Do you see the Bates stamp at the very bottom on the left?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. What is it?
- 15 A. CSI Wolfram 1110.
- 16 O. Do you know what the CSI stands for?
- 17 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- 18 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- 19 Please flip to Exhibit 8.
- What's this document, Mr. Wolfram?
- A. Amendment Number 3 to Amended and Restated

 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and

 Joint Escrow Instructions.
- 24 0. What is the date?
- A. 22nd day of November.

- Q. I'm sorry, what was the year?
- 2 A. 2006, I'm sorry.
- Q. Prior to this litigation, did you receive a copy of this from either Pardee or the title company?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. After this litigation commenced, did you receive a copy of it from either Pardee or the title company?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of this from either Pardee or the title company?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Do you see the Bates stamp at the bottom there?
- 15 A. I do.

- 16 O. What is it?
- 17 A. CSI Wolfram 1114.
- Q. Do you know what the CSI stands for?
 - A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- 20 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- Please flip to Exhibit Number 9.
- What is this document?
- A. Amendment Number 4 to Amended and Restated
 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and
 Joint Escrow Instructions.

- 1 Q. What's the date?
 - A. The date is the 20th day of December, 2007.
- Q. Prior to this litigation, did you receive a copy of it from either the title company or Pardee?
- 5 A. No.

6

7

8

15

16

- Q. After you commenced litigation against

 Pardee, did you receive a copy of it from either Pardee

 or the title company?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you know why did you not receive a copy of this document?
- 12 A. I do not.
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, can you tell us what the Bates

 14 Stamp is at the bottom there?
 - A. CSI Wolfram 1116.
 - O. Do you know what the CSI stands for?
- 17 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- 18 Q. Thank you Mr. Wolfram.
 - Can you please flip to Exhibit 10?
- Can you tell us what this document is?
- A. Amendment Number 5 to Amended and Restated

 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and

 Joint Escrow Instructions.
- Q. Can you tell us what the date is?
- 25 A. 12th of May, 2008.

- Q. And before commencing this litigation on
 December 29, 2010, did you receive a copy of this from
 either Pardee or the title company?
 - A. No.
- Q. After commencing this litigation in December of 2010, did you receive a copy of this from either Pardee or the title company?
- 8 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of this document?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, do you see the Bates stamp at the very bottom left or bottom right?
- 14 A. I do.
- Q. What's this?
- 16 A. CSI Wolfram 1123.
- Q. Do you know what the CSI stands for?
- 18 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- 20 Can you please flip to Exhibit Number 11?
- 21 What is this document?
- A. Amended Number 6 to Amended and Restated

 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and

 Joint Escrow Instructions.
- Q. What's the date?

- It's date is the 30th date of January, 2009. 1 Α.
- Prior to commencing this litigation in Q. 2
- December of 2010, did you receive a copy of this from 3 either Pardee or the title company?
- Α. No. 5

- After commencing this litigation in December Ο. б of 2010, did you receive a copy of it from either the 7 title company or Pardee? 8
- Α. No.
- Do you know why you did not receive a copy of 10 this document? 11
- Α. No. 12
- Can you please tell us, do you see the Bates Q. 13 stamp in the bottom right-hand corner of the document? 14
- Α. I do. 15
- What is it? Ο. 16
 - CSI Wolfram 1133. Α.
- Can you tell us what the CSI is, if you know Ο. 18
- what it is? 19

17

- Coyote Springs Investment. Α.
- Thank you, Mr. Wolfram. Q. 21
- Please flip now to Exhibit Number 12. 22
- What's this document? 23
- Amendment Number 7 to Amended and Restated Α. 24 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and 25

- 1 Joint Escrow instructions.
 - Q. Can you tell me the date?
 - A. April 24th, 2009.
- Q. Prior to commencing this litigation in
- 5 December of 2010, did you receive a copy of this
- 6 document from either Pardee or the title company?
- 7 A. No.

- Q. After commencing this litigation in December
- 9 of 2010, did you receive a copy of this document from
- 10 either Pardee or the title company?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of
- 13 this document from either of these two entities?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Do you see the Bates stamp on the bottom
- 16 | right-hand corner of this document?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | O. What is it?
- 19 A. CSI Wolfram 1144.
- Q. Can you tell us me what CSI stands for, if
- 21 | you know?
- 22 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- Can you please flip to Exhibit 13.
- Mr. Wolfram can you tell us what this

1 document is?

- A. Amendment Number 8 to Amended and Restated

 Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and

 Joint Escrow Instructions.
 - Q. Mr. Wolfram, what is the date?
- 6 A. June 18th, 2009.
- Q. Prior to commencing this litigation in
 December of 2010, did you receive a copy of this
 document from either Pardee or the title company?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. After commencing this litigation in December of 2010, did you receive a copy of it from either Pardee or the title company?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why you did not receive a copy of this document from either Pardee or the title company?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Do you see the Bates stamp on the bottom
- 19 | right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- 22 A. CSI Wolfram 1164.
- Q. Do you know what the CSI stands for?
- 24 A. Coyote Springs Investment.
- Q. Thank you.

MS. LUNDVALL: Now that he's finished with that line of questioning, I want to make sure that the exhibits that have been designated as Exhibit 6 through 13 have the confidentiality designation, and then for purposes of creating the court record, they should be treated accordingly.

2.0

THE COURT: So you want all his testimony for those exhibits for the CSI number, his answers and the dates, you want it all confidential?

MS. LUNDVALL: I don't think the transcript needs to be, I'm just making reference to the exhibits themselves.

THE COURT: Oh, I thought we had already agreed on that, but okay, that's fine. I thought we had already stipulated there.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: They're already in.

THE COURT: All right. So while we're on the same page, they're already stipulated to being confidential.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: And they're stipulated into evidence too.

THE COURT: Yes, yes, and you know what we'll probably do, I kind of looked into it, when the Court keeps the evidence, we don't destroy it. I'm used to

- 1 being a litigator. They actually will seal it when
- 2 | it's part of record here. I needed to correct myself,
- 3 because yesterday I said something about destroying
- 4 them. That's what you all will do, not me. I
- 5 | apologize. I did look into this last night. There is
- 6 a way to keep it confidential, I can get it sealed as
- 7 part of the record.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, prior to commencement of this
- 11 | litigation, what did you ask for from either Pardee or
- 12 the title company in terms of information concerning
- 13 the development Coyote Springs as it pertained to your
- 14 | commissions?
- 15 A. Well, I asked them for records, I asked them
- 16 | for maps, parcel numbers, title company things in
- 17 | general, that I would need to track any land sold, I
- 18 | mean it would just be stuff that I'd have to have in
- 19 order to make a sale.
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, did you ever have a conversation
- 21 or a meeting with Francis Butler or Francis Dunlap of
- 22 | Chicago Title?
- A. Several.
- Q. Okay. And what were those meetings and those
- 25 | conversations about?

A. These meetings --

documents, not protected.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I would object to any conversations between Ms. Butler. That would be protected by hearsay. Those would be hearsay

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'm not asking for a specific quotation, he's absolutely entitled to say

8 what he asked for.

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

THE COURT: I understand what he asked for, but I think what you're asking for is what she said in that conversation.

What was your understanding -- I'm sorry, I'm rephrasing you. I apologize.

It would be hearsay, the conversations, because it's outside of the -- I assume you want it for the truth, but you can certainly ask him for his understanding after having conversations, if you want to establish how many conversations?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I thought the question was just to find out why I was over at the title company.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'll ask that. I will withdraw the question.

THE COURT: We can ask that foundation.

25 / / / /

BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why were you at the title company asking questions?
- A. I was at the title company, because there was a period of time where I knew other land was being taken down, or I felt it was being taken down, and I was calling Pardee. I was -- they put me through to John, through a couple of attorneys, through a secretary. Nobody would tell me what was going on, so I thought since I am on the recorded document in this transaction, I could go to the title company. And they would have the information maybe I could get to find

THE COURT: And she's Chicago Title.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:

out what was going on.

- Q. Were you successful in getting the information you requested from Ms. Butler?
 - A. Absolutely not.
 - Q. Do you know why you were unsuccessful?
 - A. Yes, I know. Ms. Butler had told me.

MS. LUNDVALL: Now I'm gonna have to object.

THE COURT: What was your understanding of

25 why you were unsuccessful, so we don't have a hearsay

1 | objection?

THE WITNESS: I was told that she wasn't

3 | allowed t-- my understanding was --

THE COURT: Perfect.

THE WITNESS: -- that she just wasn't going

6 to give me the information.

THE COURT: Okay.

8 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:

Q. Okay.

A. But there was a reason.

THE COURT: What's your understanding of what

the reason was? What was your understanding of the

reason why Ms. Butler would not give the information?

14 THE WITNESS: You say I can't say it if she

15 | told me?

7

9

10

16 THE COURT: You can tell me what your

17 | understanding is from what she told, you just can't say

18 word for word, because it's an out of court statement.

19 I know the evidence. You can give us your

20 understanding of the reason why Ms. Butler could not

21 give the information.

22 | THE WITNESS: She was in a position where she

23 | could not help me.

THE COURT: Okay.

25 / / / /

- 1 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, from your understanding, do you
- know whether or not she was instructed not to give you
- 4 | the documents?
- 5 A. I do.
- Q. What was that understanding?
- 7 A. That understanding was she said that
- 8 Mr. Lash --
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, we're
- 10 | right back to the issue.
- 11 THE WITNESS: My understanding was --
- MS. LUNDVALL: His testimony was she couldn't
- 13 | tell him why. That's what he just said.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, now he's contradicting, so
- 15 | just --
- 16 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: The testimony wasn't she
- 17 | couldn't tell him why, the testimony was she couldn't
- 18 | tell --
- THE COURT: You know what, just ask the next
- 20 question, because maybe he can kind of --
- THE WITNESS: But there was a reason.
- THE COURT: Clarify. Why don't you --
- THE WITNESS: Your Honor, there was a reason
- 24 | why she couldn't tell me, and --
- THE COURT: What was your understanding?

- THE WITNESS: I'm not allowed to say what she
- 2 told me, but there was a reason someone had told her
- 3 | not to give me any information.
- 4 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Who was that someone, if you know?
- 6 A. John Lash.
- 7 Q. Okay. Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Exhibit JJ
- 8 | in Defendant's binder.
- 9 A. Exhibit JJ.
- 10 THE COURT: In another binder?
- 11 MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: The defendant's exhibit
- 12 | binder, Mr. Wolfram.
- THE COURT: It's the one notebook.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Which one.
- 15 MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Defendant's.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, defendant's, oh, it's back
- 17 here.
- MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: On this one, it's Binder
- 19 1 of 2.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I think it's in this one.
- 21 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. You have it backwards there, Jim, but we'll
- 23 | work with. We'll turn it around.
- 24 A. Okay.
- THE COURT: You got it, okay.

- MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: And this exhibit is in 1 evidence, your Honor. All the defendant's exhibits are 2 in by stipulation.
- THE COURT: Yes, including VV we've now
- added. 5

- BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: 6
- Mr. Wolfram? 7 Ο.
- THE COURT: Let me get to JJ. I'm sorry. 8
- I've helped you. I am not doing it. 9
- Okay. 10
- BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: 11
- Do you see this document, Mr. Wolfram? 0. 12
- I do. Α. 13
- Can you tell me, it appears there are Okay. 14
- two emails here. Can you tell me what the bottom email 15
- or read in chronological order what this is about? 16
- 17 Α. You mean, are you talking about, Hi, Lisa?
- Yes. 0. 18
- I guess from the bottom up, yeah, okay. Jim 19
- came to see me a week or so ago. He --20
- Mr. Wolfram, I think you're not on JJ. 21 Q.
- Α. Nope, I'm not. 22
- THE COURT: Start --23
- THE WITNESS: At the bottom, Hi, Lisa, did 24
- you have a chance to speak to John or legal counsel 25

- 1 | regarding Jim Wolfram?
- 2 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Does this document show the response from Lisa Lawson?
- 5 A. Yes.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And what did Lisa say to Francis Dunlap?
- A. She said, I spoke to John, and he said not to give him any amendments, since they referenced the multifamily and custom lot transaction. He said to just let Jim know that you provided everything to him.
- Q. In listening to Mr. Whittemore's testimony and reviewing the documents that we just got done looking at, the Amendments 1 through 8, do you believe that you were provided everything?
- 15 A. No.
 - Q. Why do you say that?
 - A. Because I just didn't have it. I was asking for certain things, and it wasn't being given to me. I was, I was trying to find out, but I couldn't.
 - Q. Do you believe that -- did Francis Butler tell you, did Francis Dunlap tell that you'd received everything?
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to now
 have to object. His question is directly asking for -
 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: No, it's not, your

- Honor. We're not offering it for the truth, because we believe he didn't get everything.
- THE COURT: Referring to --
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: In his response --
- THE COURT: Do the question, again rephrase
- 6 it. We'll be okay.
- 7 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: But I'm not offering it
- 8 for the truth.
- 9 THE COURT: Let me just hear it again.
- 10 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Did she tell you that you received
- 12 | everything?
- A. As much as she could give me.
- Q. There is a difference. Did she say, I'm
- 15 giving you everything I can, or, You have everything?
- 16 A. I have everything.
- 17 Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram. Now we can
- 18 | put defendant's binder away.
- THE COURT: Okay, we shall do that.
- 20 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, please flip to Exhibit 10.
- Now we may want to mark this as confidential,
- 23 because we'll be getting into one or two of the terms
- 24 of this particular exhibit.
- THE COURT: All right. We're on the

confidential. 1

4

8

9

10

15

(The following portion of the transcript 2 is designated as confidential by 3 agreement of counsel for the parties.)

BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: 5

- Mr. Wolfram, are you at Exhibit 10, Amendment 6 Number 5 to Amended and Restated Option Agreement? 7
 - Yes, I'm familiar. Α.
 - Did you receive a copy of this document before you were testifying about yesterday and today?
- Α. Yes. 11
- 0. Who did you receive a copy of this document 12 from? 13
- Α. Jim Jimmerson. 14
 - Did you have a chance to read this document? Q.
- Α. Yes. 16
- 0. Okay. And can you please flip to Page 2? 17 At Paragraph Number 2, there is a chart. Ιt 18 says the number of acres. 19
- Do you know what that's in reference to? 20
- Α. Yes. 21
- MS. LUNDVALL: He's now asking him to 22 interpret a document he's not a party to. He can give 23 his understanding of his reading of it, but it needs to 24 be clarified he's not a party to this agreement. 25

- THE COURT: That was kind of my
- 2 understanding, because we know he's not a party and we
- 3 know he just got it from Mr. Jimmerson.
- So what's your understanding as you read it,
- 5 | is the question?
- 6 THE WITNESS: My understanding --
- 7 THE COURT: Or that's the gist of the
- 8 question.
- THE WITNESS: My understanding is I should
- 10 probably have gotten it from someone besides
- 11 Mr. Jimmerson.
- THE COURT: Okay. He'll help him. I'm lost.
- 13 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- 14 Q. Mr. Wolfram, I'm asking what is your
- 15 understanding of the description and the number of
- 16 acres in that chart, that small chart there?
- A. Okay, that's land that Pardee purchased.
- Q. How do you know they purchased it from the
- 19 reading of this document?
- 20 A. It says right here. It gives the
- 21 description. Parcel 2 of Book 113, Page 55 of Parcel
- 22 | Maps, and it goes on to LP-1 of Book 138, Page 51 of
- 23 Plats, and so on straight down.
- Q. Does this document, this paragraph, indicate
- to you that there was a transfer of land?

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. Where does it say that?
- A. I've read it before, so give me a chance.
- By executing this agreement, buyer hereby
- 5 exercises its right to purchase Initial Developed
- 6 Parcel consisting of approximately 859.93 acres, the
- 7 | second additional purchase parcel, described as
- 8 follows.

- 9 Q. Now, do you understand that to be what you
- 10 | just said, is that the foundation for your
- 11 | understanding?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, it says, Description, and it's
- 14 | got Parcel 2 Book 113, Page 55 of Parcel Maps.
- What does that mean to you?
- A. That means that's maps, it actually shows the
- 17 | Parcel on it.
- 18 Q. Okay. And have you seen Book 113, Page 55 of
- 19 Parcel Maps before?
- 20 A. I have.
- 21 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I would like
- 22 to show the witness Exhibit 30.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: It's gonna be one of the
- 25 big maps.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. We have a small one

- 2 here, but okay, that will probably work.
- THE WITNESS: James, can you bring that over
- 4 | closer?
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: It's gonna be over here,
- 6 Jim.
- 7 THE COURT: Do you want him to go off the
- 8 others?
- 9 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: This is only a
- 10 demonstrative. This is actually a fairly substantial
- 11 map. It's gonna have 12 or 13 pages.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Look at the top. We've
- 13 | got quite a few pages, all right?
- MS. LUNDVALL: How many pages do you have?
- 15 THE COURT: I have Plaintiff 10457 through
- 16 Plaintiff 10462. I assume they are in chronological
- 17 order.
- 18 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I would like
- 19 the Court to take notice that the original contained in
- 20 the corner of the room has the Clark County seal from
- 21 | the Clark County Recorder's Office.
- THE COURT: I will take notice, judicial
- 23 | notice, that they are public records.
- 24 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, what is this document in front

1 of you?

- A. It's a parcel map, Parcel 2 of File --
- THE COURT: Mr. Wolfram, I'm sorry?
- 4 THE WITNESS: It's a parcel map.
- THE COURT: He's just too far away.
- 6 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Will you speak into the microphone, Jim?
- 8 It's a problem, remember?
- A. There is a legal description, File 113 Page
- 10 54 Parcel Maps on this right here.
- 11 Q. Okay. Does it say 54 or 55?
- 12 A. The sheet I'm on says 54.
- Q. Can you check the bottom right-hand corner
- 14 and make sure we're on the right exhibit?
- 15 A. 113, 55, the bottom is File 113 on Page 55.
- Q. Okay. Is this the same Book 113, Page 55
- 17 described here, to your understanding?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If we were to look at this map, would
- 20 | you expect a Parcel 2 to be contained in here?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Don't tell me it is yet. Would you expect it
- 23 to be, Mr. Wolfram, without looking at the document, I
- 24 | need you to tell me, do you expect --
- THE COURT: Based on your experience?

- THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I would like
- 3 to now enter this in evidence as Exhibit 30.
- MS. LUNDVALL: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 6 MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- 7 Q. Mr. Wolfram, now looking at what has been
- 8 entered into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 30, do you
- 9 see a Parcel 2 anywhere on any of the sheets?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'm gonna move up a little closer.
- THE COURT: Absolutely, so he can see, no
- 13 problem.
- 14 MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Try to put it so
- 15 Ms. Lundvall can see it as well.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yeah.
- 17 | THE WITNESS: I need more room.
- 18 THE COURT: I know, I'm sorry. Usually we
- 19 don't have that long of documents.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Really?
- THE COURT: Really.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 23 BY J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. MR. Wolfram, that is demonstrative only a
- 25 portion of the map. If we were to put the sheets

- together, okay, so it would be Sheet 5, Sheet 4 -- I'm
 sorry, Sheet 4, Sheet 5, Sheet 2, Sheet 3 together,
 would it appear to have some shape like this?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you show the Court, I've got mine over here, but it's a much bigger page. I got my Parcel 2 here, is your Parcel 2 anywhere near that?

MS. LUNDVALL: Well, if it's the same as far as, if you're demonstrative is based upon the exhibit, that you have now admitted, it better be in the same

11 place.

- MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Is that an objection?

 What was that other than being difficult?
- THE COURT: I think he's trying to establish it is the same, right?
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I want to know that we're pointing at the right things, your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: Parcel 2, right?
- 19 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:

- Q. Okay. And can you tell me how many acres, according to the evidence in front of you, how many acres is Parcel 2 on the map?
 - A. Just a second, Parcel 2 is 395.87 acres.
- Q. Is that the same number of acres as described in Amendment Number 5, which is Exhibit 10?

1 A. Yes.

8

Q. Okay. Mr. Wolfram, looking at this map, could you tell the Court how far away the easternmost

portion of Parcel 2 is from US Highway 93 is?

- A. I could if we measured it. I could measure the inches across. What's the scale on that, the scale is one foot.
 - Q. You can look at --
- 9 A. One foot is 600 -- I get
- Q. Are You sure it's one foot, or is it one inch?
- A. I'm sorry, one inch is equals 600 feet, so we'll measure the inches and do the multiplication to see what it is.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Okay, your Honor,
 permission to approach the witness with a measuring
 tape?
- THE COURT: Absolutely.
- 19 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Mr. Wolfram, can you please measure from
- 21 US Highway 93 to the easternmost point of Parcel 2?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So from here to approximately here?
- A. It's approximately 19 and a half inches.
- Q. Actually, Mr. Wolfram, could you do it from,

1 | not from the top, but from the actual side?

A. Oh, the side?

2

- Q. So it's on the same, I guess it would be latitude?
- A. I was going to look here. I was going by the dots, I mean right here to here.
- Q. I understand, Mr. Wolfram, but I would like you to do it in the same latitude as the easternmost point of US Highway 93.
- MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, has counsel measured this? If they have, maybe we can do a stipulation to try to make these easier.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: We have, but I would like to remeasure it now, if we could. If you would trust my measurements --
- THE COURT: Ms. Lundvall says she will stipulate if it would help, Mr. Wolfram.
- THE WITNESS: I see what you're doing, you're measuring across the Purchase Property far side, yes.
- 20 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
 - Q. Okay. Would that be that measurement?
- 22 A. That would be.
- Q. So it's six inches we're starting -- subtract six -- actually, there we go, okay, it would be 15 and 3/8 inches.

Mr. Wolfram, you said we would need to
multiply the scale of one inch to 600 feet to get how
many feet from US Highway 93 to the easternmost edge of
Parcel 2?

- A. You could be 15 and 3/8 times the scale, times the scale, and that will tell you how many feet we got in there.
- Q. Okay. Can you please tell us how many feet that is, if you've got a calculator in front of you?
- 10 A. Go ahead and do it. You've got it in your 11 hand.
- MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: If there's no objection?

 THE WITNESS: It's simple math, inches times,

 you know.
 - MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Could we offer 9,225 feet, subject to defense counsel's confirmation? Six times -- 9,000 plus 3/8 of six hundred is 225, 9.225.

 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Wolfram, from that calculation, are you able to draw a conclusion as to whether or not Parcel 2 is entirely within the bounds of Purchase Property or Parcel 1 of that Map 9857?
 - A. It's outside the bounds of Parcel 1.
- O. How do you know that?

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. From yesterday, it's nearly 8,000 feet, and

- 1 this is over 9,000 feet.
- Q. So if I were to subtract 9,225 from 8,000?
- A. It would give you the number of feet to the
- 4 | south side of the parcel.
- 5 Q. So approximately 1,225 feet?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Thank you, Mr. Wolfram.
- Mr. Wolfram, the next map, excuse me, the
- 9 next line below says, Parcel LP-1 of Book 138, Page 51
- 10 of Plats.
- Does that mean there's a plat map entitled
- 12 | "Book 138, Page 51"?
- 13 A. Let me get my bearings.
- 14 THE COURT: It's the second line down. It's
- 15 | below Parcel 2 on the same map.
- 16 BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. I'm sorry, I'm back to Exhibit 10. I
- 18 | apologize, Mr. Wolfram.
- 19 A. Oh, okay.
- THE COURT: It has the next description.
- 21 THE WITNESS: That was it right there.
- 22 | BY MR. J.M. JIMMERSON:
- Q. Okay. Mr. Wolfram, have you looked at Plat
- 24 Map Book 138, Page 51?
- 25 A. Yes.