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## Chronological Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 29 / 2010$ | Complaint | 1 | JA000001- <br> JA000006 |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA0000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |
| $10 / 25 / 2011$ | Transcript re Discovery Conference | JA000024- <br> JA000027 |  |
| $11 / 08 / 2011$ | Scheduling Order | 1 | JA0000031- <br> JA000032 |
| $11 / 29 / 2011$ | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | JA000033- <br> JA000039 |
| $12 / 15 / 2011$ | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and <br> Protective Order | 1 | JA000040- <br> JA000048 |
| $12 / 16 / 2011$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulated <br> Confidentiality Agreement and Protective <br> Order | 1 | JA000049- <br> JA000050 |
| $08 / 27 / 2012$ | Transcript re Hearing | JA000051- <br> JA000054 |  |
| $08 / 29 / 2012$ | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery <br> Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | JA000055- <br> JA000060 |
| $08 / 30 / 2012$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to <br> Extend Discovery Deadlines (First <br> Request) | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | 1 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ \text { JA000082 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000083- } \\ & \text { JA000206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000212- } \\ & \text { JA000321 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ \text { JA002065 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/06/2012 | Transcript re Status Check | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002066- } \\ \text { JA002080 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/07/2013 | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002081- } \\ \text { JA002101 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002102- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ \text { JA002353 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002359- } \\ \text { JA002408 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { JA002470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $04 / 08 / 2013$ | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Motion for Leave to File a Second <br> Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002471- <br> JA002500 |
| $04 / 17 / 2013$ | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non- <br> Jury Trial | 16 | JA002501- <br> JA002502 |
| $04 / 23 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of <br> Motion for Leave to File Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002503- <br> JA002526 |
| $04 / 26 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | JA002527- <br> JA002626 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing on <br> April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support <br> of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002652- <br> JA002658 |
| $05 / 30 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002659- <br> JA002661 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002662- <br> JA002664 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Notice of Entry of Order Granting <br> Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a <br> Second Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002665- <br> JA002669 |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| JA002670- |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $07 / 15 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants <br> Counterclaim | 17 | JA002724- <br> JA002731 |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 17 | JA002772- <br> JA002786 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $07 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Status Check | 17 | JA002809- <br> JA002814 |
| $08 / 05 / 2013$ | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's <br> Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine <br> \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | JA002815- <br> JA002829 |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees As An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
| $09 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing <br> Time <br> Damages in the Form of Compensation for <br> Limine to Exclude Plainiffst Claim For in | 17 | JA002870- <br> JA002874 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | JA002875- <br> JA002987 |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Supplemental Brief in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 21 | JA003204- <br> JA003209 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Order Denying Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | 21 | JA003210- <br> JA003212 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial | 22 | JA003213- <br> JA003403 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit A | 23 | JA003404- <br> JA003544 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit B - filed under seal | JA003545- <br> JA003625 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit C | JA003626- <br> JA003628 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit D | 23 | JA003629- <br> JA003631 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit E - filed under seal | 23 | JA003632- <br> JA003634 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit F | 23 | JA003635- <br> JA003637 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit G | JA003641- <br> JA003643 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit H | JA003639- <br> JA003640 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit I | 23 | JA |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit J - filed under seal | 24 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA003644- } \\ \text { JA003669 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit K | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003670- } \\ & \text { JA003674 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit L | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA003675- } \\ & \text { JA003678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit M | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003679- } \\ & \text { JA003680 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit N | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003681- } \\ & \text { JA003683 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit O - filed under seal | 25-26 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA0003684- } \\ \hline \text { JA004083 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit P | 27 | JA004084 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit Q | 27 | JA004085 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit R | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004086- } \\ & \text { JA004089 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit S | 27 | JA004090 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit T | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004091- } \\ & \text { JA004092 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit U | 27 | JA004093 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit V | 27 | JA004094 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit W | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004095- } \\ & \text { JA004096 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit X | 27 | JA004097 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Y | 27 | JA004098 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Z | 27 | JA004099- <br> JA004100 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit AA | 27 | JA004101- <br> JA004102 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit BB | 27 | JA004103 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit CC | 27 | JA004105 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit DD | 27 | JA004106- <br> JA004113 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit EE | 27 | JA004114- <br> JA004118 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit FF | 27 | JA004119- <br> JA004122 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit GG | 27 | JA004123 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit HH | JA004126- <br> JA004167 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit II | Trial Exhibit JJ | 27 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | TA004124 |  |  |
| $10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit KK | 27 | JA004125 |
|  |  | 27 |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit LL | 27 | JA004168 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit MM | 27 | JA004169 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit NN | 27 | JA004170- <br> JA004174 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit OO | JA004175- <br> JA004183 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit PP | 27 | JA004184- <br> JA004240 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit QQ | JA004241- <br> JA004243 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit RR | JA004244- <br> JA004248 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit SS | JA004249- <br> JA004255 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit TT | 27 | JA004256- <br> JA004262 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit UU | 27 | JA004263- <br> JA004288 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 1 | 27 | JA004289- <br> JA004292 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 6 - filed under seal | JA004311- <br> JA004312 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 7 - filed under seal | JA004293- <br> JA004307 |  |
| Trial Exhibit 8 - filed under seal | $27308-$ |  |  |
| 1013 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 9 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004313- } \\ & \text { JA004319 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 10 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004320- } \\ & \text { JA004329 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 11 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004330- } \\ & \text { JA004340 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 12 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004341- } \\ & \text { JA004360 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 13 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004361- } \\ & \text { JA004453 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 21 | 28 | JA004454 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 25 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004455- } \\ & \text { JA004462 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 29-30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004463- } \\ & \text { JA004790 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit VV | 31 | JA004791 |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit 26 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004792- } \\ & \text { JA004804 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit 30 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004805- } \\ & \text { JA004811 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004812- } \\ & \text { JA004817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR 7.27 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004818- } \\ & \text { JA004847 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 32-33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004848- } \\ & \text { JA005227 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 15 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005228- } \\ & \text { JA005232 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 18 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005233- } \\ & \text { JA005235 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 19 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005236- } \\ & \text { JA005237 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 20 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005238- } \\ & \text { JA005254 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005255- } \\ & \text { JA005260 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 24 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005261- } \\ & \text { JA005263 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 35 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005264- } \\ \text { JA005493 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 28 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005494- } \\ & \text { JA005497 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 29 | 36 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005498- } \\ \text { JA005511 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 37-38 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005512- } \\ & \text { JA005815 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23a | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005816- } \\ & \text { JA005817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 27 | 39 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005818- } \\ \text { JA005820 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/09/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 40-41 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005821- } \\ & \text { JA006192 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/10/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 42-43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006193- } \\ & \text { IAAO6530 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit WW | 43 | JA006531- <br> JA006532 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | $44-45$ | JA006533- <br> JA006878 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit XX | 46 | JA006879- <br> JA006935 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 39 | 46 | JA006936- <br> JA006948 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 40 | 46 | JA006949- <br> JA006950 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 41 | JA006951- <br> JA006952 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 1 | 46 | JA006953- <br> JA007107 |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 2 | JA007108- <br> JA007384 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 31a | 48 | JA007385- <br> JA007410 |
| $06 / 24 / 2014$ | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - <br> section filed under seal | 48 | JA007411- <br> JA007456 |
| $06 / 25 / 2014$ | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and <br> Order | 48 | JA007457- <br> JA007474 |
| $07 / 15 / 2014$ | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to <br> Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | JA007560- <br> JA007570 |
| $06 / 27 / 2014$ | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, <br> Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | JA007475- <br> JA007494 |
| $07 / 14 / 2014$ | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge <br> Jis Pendens | 48 | JA007495- |
| 1007559 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ \text { JA007573 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ \text { JA007578 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007579- } \\ & \text { JA007629 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ \text { JA007698 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA00769- } \\ \text { JA007707 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ \text { JA007711 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007712- } \\ \text { JA007717 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ \text { JA007734 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ \text { JA008150 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008151- } \\ \text { JA008153 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ \text { JA008158 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/19/2015 | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008159- } \\ \text { JA008191 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 24 / 2015$ | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' <br> Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, <br> 2015 | 52 | JA008192- <br> JA008215 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | $52-53$ | JA008216- |
| 06/29/2015 | Motion to Strike "Judgment', Entered June <br> 15, 2015 Pursuant To NRCP. 52 (B) And <br> N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders Of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as Such, is a Fugitive Document | 53 | JA008328- |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | $54-56$ | JA008395- |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 2015$ | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to <br> Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the <br> Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | JA009110- <br> JA009206 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to <br> Retax Costs | $60-61$ | JA009284- |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", <br> Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP <br> $52(b)$ and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | JA009645- <br> JA009652 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ \text { JA009710 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009734- } \\ & \text { JA009752 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 10 / 2015$ | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's <br> Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening <br> Time | 62 | JA009755- <br> JA009758 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | JA009772- <br> JA009918 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) <br> Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' <br> Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to <br> Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on <br> June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- <br> JA010185 |
| $07 / 17 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and <br> Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | $65-67$ | JA010203- <br> JA010481 |
| Apposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for |  |  |  |
| Attorn's Fees and Costs |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ \text { JA010522 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ \text { JA010767 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes <br> of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment <br> and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | JA010896- <br> JA010945 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for <br> Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| $01 / 11 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants <br> Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' <br> Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> to Amend Judgment and Countermotion <br> for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010954- <br> JA010961 |
| $01 / 15 / 2016$ | Transcript re Hearing | 70 | JA010962- <br> JA011167 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/14/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011168- } \\ & \text { JA011210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/16/2016 | Release of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011211- } \\ \text { JA011213 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011214- } \\ & \text { JA011270 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | JA011385- <br> JA011388 |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ \text { JA011454 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011455- } \\ & \text { JA011589 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ \text { JA011614 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 1 | 73-74 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011615- } \\ & \text { JA011866 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011867- } \\ \text { JA012114 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ \text { JA012182 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ \text { JA012624 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012625- } \\ \text { JA012812 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 81 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012813- } \\ \text { JA013024 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/27/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013025- } \\ \text { JA013170 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ \text { JA013199 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013197- } \\ \text { JA013204 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ \text { JA013357 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ \text { JA013444 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013445- } \\ & \text { JA013565 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013619- } \\ \text { JA013621 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ \text { JA013644 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013645- } \\ \text { JA013648 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ \text { JA013651 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ \text { JA013656 } \end{array}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ \text { JA013668 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume I] | 87 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013669- } \\ \text { JA013914 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume II] | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013915- } \\ & \text { JA014065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/27/2017 | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA014066- } \\ \text { JA014068 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $05 / 10 / 2017$ | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014069- <br> JA014071 |
| $05 / 12 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014072- <br> JA014105 |
| $07 / 12 / 2007$ | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, <br> Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014106- <br> JA014110 |
| $07 / 14 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order <br> Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and <br> Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014111- <br> JA014117 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014130- <br> JA014143 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014144- <br> JA014146 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay <br> Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment <br> Orders | 88 | JA014147- <br> JA014151 |
| $11 / 02 / 2017$ | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal <br> FA014152- |  |  |

## Alphabetical Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |  |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| $10 / 24 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment | JA0000083- <br> JA000206 |  |
| $10 / 25 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | JA000212- <br> JA000321 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume I] | 87 | JA013669- <br> JA013914 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume II] | 88 | JA013915- <br> JA014065 |
| $06 / 06 / 2016$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes <br> Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - <br> Volume 1 | $73-74$ | JA011615- <br> JA011866 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011867- } \\ & \text { JA012114 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- JA010185 |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009772- } \\ & \text { JA009918 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ & \text { JA008150 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/29/2010 | Complaint | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000001- } \\ & \text { JA000006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/05/2013 | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002815- } \\ & \text { JA002829 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/22/2013 | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002772- } \\ & \text { JA002786 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ & \text { JA000082 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ & \text { JA002065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/08/2013 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002471- } \\ & \text { JA002500 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2013 | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002652- } \\ & \text { JA002658 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009645- } \\ & \text { JA009652 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/16/2015 | Errata to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010186- } \\ & \text { JA010202 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ & \text { JA007711 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/25/2014 | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007457- } \\ & \text { JA007474 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA008151- } \\ & \text { JA008153 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 52 (B) And N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 And May 13, 2015, And as Such, Is A Fugitive Document | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008328- } \\ & \text { JA008394 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/08/2015 | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010896- } \\ & \text { JA010945 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014130- } \\ & \text { JA014143 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/27/2014 | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007475- } \\ & \text { JA007494 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ & \text { JA008158 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004812- } \\ & \text { JA004817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ & \text { JA007578 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002665- } \\ & \text { JA002669 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ & \text { JA013656 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007712- } \\ & \text { JA007717 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009755- } \\ & \text { JA009758 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013645- } \\ & \text { JA013648 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { IAOO2470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014147- } \\ & \text { JA014151 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/16/2011 | Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000040- } \\ & \text { JA000048 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/30/2012 | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000055- } \\ & \text { JA000060 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2017 | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA014111- } \\ & \text { JA014117 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2014 | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007495- } \\ & \text { JA007559 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013619- } \\ & \text { JA013621 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003210- } \\ & \text { JA003212 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011385- } \\ & \text { JA011388 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ & \text { JA007573 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/30/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002659- } \\ & \text { JA002661 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002662- } \\ & \text { JA002664 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ & \text { JA013651 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ & \text { JA013644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ & \text { JA002353 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/12/2017 | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014144- } \\ & \text { JA014146 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2011 | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000031- } \\ & \text { JA000032 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/02/2017 | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014152- } \\ & \text { JA014154 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812-- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs |  |  |  |
| $06 / 01 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 72 | JA011455- <br> JA011589 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $06 / 27 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 82 | JA013025- <br> JA013170 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ & \text { JA013196 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013197- } \\ & \text { JA013204 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA0112144- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007699- } \\ & \text { JA007707 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ & \text { JA009710 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ & \text { JA011614 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ & \text { JA007734 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/24/2014 | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - section filed under seal | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007411- } \\ & \text { JA007456 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/2015 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008192- } \\ & \text { JA008215 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ & \text { JA011454 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ & \text { JA013668 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2017 | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014069- } \\ & \text { JA014071 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ & \text { JA007698 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ & \text { JA012182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 52-53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008216- } \\ & \text { JA008327 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ & \text { JA010522 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | JA008395- <br> JA008922 |  |
| Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) <br> Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | JA011168- <br> JA011210 |  |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment <br> 7.60 | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $06 / 21 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to <br> Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' <br> Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and | 81 | JA012813- <br> JA013024 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002359- } \\ & \text { JA002408 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 65-67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010203- } \\ & \text { JA010481 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 57-58 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008923- } \\ & \text { JA009109 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA012625- } \\ & \text { JA012812 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/12/2017 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014072- } \\ & \text { JA014105 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Costs | 60-61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009284- } \\ & \text { JA009644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ & \text { JA012624 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/23/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002503- } \\ & \text { JA002526 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002102- } \\ & \text { JA002144 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ & \text { JA013444 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ & \text { JA013357 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/11/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010954- } \\ & \text { JA010961 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Counterclaim | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002724- } \\ & \text { JA002731 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's <br> Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike <br> "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ & \text { JA010767 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $04 / 27 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014066- <br> JA014068 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing <br> on April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $10 / 25 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR <br> 7.27 | 31 | JA004818- <br> JA004847 |
| $06 / 19 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt <br> Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and <br> Disbursements | 52 | JA008159- <br> JA008191 |
| $03 / 16 / 2016$ | Release of Judgment | JA011211- <br> JA011213 |  |
| $01 / 07 / 2013$ | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's <br> Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | JA002081- <br> JA002101 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees as An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/16/2013 | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002870- } \\ \text { JA002874 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/15/2014 | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007560- } \\ & \text { JA007570 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/08/2011 | Scheduling Order | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000028- } \\ \text { JA000030 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2013 | Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002670- } \\ \text { JA002677 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/17/2013 | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002501- } \\ & \text { JA002502 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/15/2011 | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000033- } \\ & \text { JA000039 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/29/2012 | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000051- } \\ \hline \text { IAOOOn54 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009110- } \\ & \text { JA009206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/27/2013 | Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003204- } \\ & \text { JA003209 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/12/2007 | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014106- } \\ & \text { JA014110 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/25/2011 | Transcript re Discovery Conference | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000024- } \\ \text { JA000027 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/27/2012 | Transcript re Hearing | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000049- } \\ \text { JA000050 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/26/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002527- } \\ \text { JA002626 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/09/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002688- } \\ \text { JA002723 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/23/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002875- } \\ & \text { JA002987 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007579- } \\ \text { JA007629 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009734- } \\ \text { JA009752 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010962- } \\ & \text { JA011167 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013445- } \\ & \text { JA013565 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/06/2012 | Transcript re Status Check | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002066- } \\ & \text { JA002080 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/23/2013 | Transcript re Status Check | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002809- } \\ \text { JA002814 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 22 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA003213- } \\ \text { JA003403 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/24/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 29-30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004463- } \\ & \text { JA004790 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 32-33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004848- } \\ & \text { JA005227 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005264- } \\ & \text { JA005493 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 37-38 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005512- } \\ & \text { JA005815 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/09/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 40-41 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005821- } \\ & \text { JA006192 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/10/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 42-43 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA006193- } \\ & \text { JA006530 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/12/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 44-45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006533- } \\ & \text { JA006878 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/13/2013 | Transcript re Trial - Part 1 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006953- } \\ & \text { JA007107 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/13/2013 | Transcript re Trial - Part 2 | 47-48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007108- } \\ & \text { JA007384 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit A | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA003404- } \\ & \text { JA003544 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit B - filed under seal | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003545- } \\ & \text { JA003625 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit C | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003626- } \\ & \text { JA003628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit D | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003629- } \\ & \text { JA003631 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit E - filed under seal | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA003632- } \\ & \text { JA003634 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit F | 23 | JA003635- <br> JA003637 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit G | 23 | JA003638 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit H | 23 | JA003639- <br> JA003640 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit I | 23 | JA003641- <br> JA003643 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit J - filed under seal | 24 | JA003644- <br> JA003669 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit K | JA003670- <br> JA003674 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit L | 24 | JA003675- <br> JA003678 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit M | JA003679- <br> JA003680 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit N | 24 | JA003681- <br> JA003683 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit O - filed under seal | $25-26$ | JA003684- <br> JA004083 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit P | 27 | JA004084 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Q | 27 | JA0004086- <br> JA004089 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit R | Trial Exhibit S | 27 |
| 1013 |  | 27 |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit T | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004091- } \\ & \text { JA004092 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit U | 27 | JA004093 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit V | 27 | JA004094 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit W | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004095- } \\ & \text { JA004096 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit X | 27 | JA004097 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit Y | 27 | JA004098 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit Z | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004099- } \\ & \text { JA004100 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 1 | 27 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA004289- } \\ \text { JA004292 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 10 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004320- } \\ & \text { JA004329 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 11 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004330- } \\ & \text { JA004340 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 12 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004341- } \\ & \text { JA004360 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 13 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004361- } \\ & \text { JA004453 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 15 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005228- } \\ & \text { JA005232 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 18 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005233- } \\ & \text { JA005235 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 28 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 19 | 34 | JA005236- <br> JA005237 |
| $10 / 28 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 20 | 34 | JA005238- <br> JA005254 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 21 | 28 | JA004454 |
| $10 / 28 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 23 | 34 | JA005255- <br> JA005260 |
| $10 / 30 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 23a | 39 | JA005816- <br> JA005817 |
| $10 / 28 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 24 | JA005261- <br> JA005263 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 25 | JA004455- <br> JA004462 |  |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 26 | 31 | JA004792- <br> JA004804 |
| $10 / 30 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 27 | 39 | JA005818- <br> JA005820 |
| $10 / 29 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 28 | 36 | JA005494- <br> JA005497 |
| $10 / 29 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 29 | 36 | JA005498- <br> JA005511 |
| $10 / 24 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 30 | JA0 | JA004805- <br> JA006936- <br> JA004811 |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 31a | Trial Exhibit 39 | JA007385- <br> JA007410 |
| 12018 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/12/2013 | Trial Exhibit 40 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006949- } \\ & \text { JA006950 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/12/2013 | Trial Exhibit 41 | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA006951- } \\ & \text { JA006952 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 6 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004293- } \\ & \text { JA004307 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 7 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004308- } \\ & \text { JA004310 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 8 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004311- } \\ & \text { JA004312 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 9 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004313- } \\ & \text { JA004319 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit AA | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004101- } \\ & \text { JA004102 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit BB | 27 | JA004103 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit CC | 27 | JA004104 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit DD | 27 | JA004105 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit EE | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004106- } \\ & \text { IAAOA113 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit FF | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004114- } \\ & \text { JA004118 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit GG | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004119- } \\ & \text { JA004122 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit HH | 27 | JA004123 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit II | 27 | JA004124 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit JJ | 27 | JA004125 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit KK | 27 | JA004126- <br> JA004167 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit LL | 27 | JA004168 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit MM | 27 | JA0004170- <br> JA004174 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit NN | 27 | JA004175- <br> JA004183 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit OO | JA004184- <br> JA004240 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit PP | 27 | JA004241- <br> JA004243 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit QQ | 27 | JA004244- <br> JA004248 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit RR | 27 | JA004249- <br> JA004255 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit SS | JA004256- <br> JA004262 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit TT | JA004263- <br> JA004288 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit UU | JA004791 |  |
| 1013 | Trial Exhibit VV | 27 |  |
|  |  | 27 |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit WW | 43 | JA006531- <br> JA006532 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit XX | 46 | JA006879- <br> JA006935 |

Dated this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2018.

## McDONALD CARANO LLP

By: /s/ Rory T. Kay<br>Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)<br>Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416)<br>2300 W. Sahara Ave., 12th Floor<br>Las Vegas, Nevada 89102<br>Telephone: (702) 873-4100<br>Facsimile: (702) 873-9966<br>lundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com<br>rkay@mcdonaldcarano.com<br>Attorneys for Appellant

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of McDonald Carano LLP, and on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was e-filed and e-served on all registered parties to the Supreme Court's electronic filing system:
/s/ Beau Nelson
An Employee of McDonald Carano LLP
Q. And the reason why you are changing your testimony is because in 2011 you went to the county commission and did exactly that. You took a portion of the multifamily property that you purchased separately in 2006 from Coyote Springs and redesignated it R 2 residential production real estate.

> Isn't that true, Mr. Lash?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. You were told about that, were you not, sir? You were shown the maps as a matter fact, weren't you, sir, between October 28th and today?
A. I was shown some maps, yes.
Q. Okay. And those maps show that Pardee went to the county commission and made their application in December of 2010 after this litigation was commenced and won approval from the Clark County Commission sitting as the Clark County Zoning Commission on February 2012-- 2011 to redesignate 332 lots to single family production residential property.

> Isn't that true, sir?
A. I've already testified. I'm not aware that is something that happens at the local division.
Q. And that is why, sir, you had to change your testimony? Because that was discovered by your client when we produced these new exhibits and now you realized that

Mr. Jimmerson had a saying that, yes, it would be entitlement commission if we changed the use and now I need to change my number.

Isn't that what happened?
A. That's not what happened.
Q. Let me review your testimony with you word for word beginning, your Honor, at page --

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Would you like to approach?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: 209.
THE COURT: What are those?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Copies of the testimony.
THE COURT: His transcripts?
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Transcripts of October 28.

THE COURT: I have it back in my office if you want me to follow.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Ms. Lundval1 has a copy.
THE COURT: I would appreciate it because then I could read it.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: We have multiple copies. Permission to approach.

THE COURT: Mine is on my desk.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I will get a second copy
for the witness.
THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Jimmerson.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I think Mr. Lash has a copy before him.

THE COURT: I don't think he does. We have all the exhibits but not the --

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Permission to approach, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I believe you're going to be in tab three.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Mr. Lash, having read your transcript before, do you recal1 that you saw tab three, your testimony, Jon Lash, October 28, 2013, sir?
A. Let me get there.
Q. You bet, sir. Take your time. Do you have your -- have your testimony before you taken on October 28, 2013 as looks like to me 9:30 a.m.?
A. 8:30.
Q. Thank you. 8:30. My eyes are so bad without glasses. And for the plaintiffs James Jimmerson. James M. Jimmerson?

MS. LUNDVALL: Page.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Page one. Aaron Shipley. I'm trying to make
sure he has the front page in front of him?
Do you sir?
A. Yes.
Q. This is the transcript that you reviewed between October 28th and the present provided to you by our counsel which you acknowledge you read, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And just so it's clear, your transcript of pages that you reviewed is 374 pages; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Can we turn to the point we're at in your examination, please turn your attention to page number -just start at page 252. Let's start then at page -- I'm sorry if I said 252. I meant 254 , 1 ine fifteen.

And we'11 read the next four pages, five pages together so we have good context and we'11 know what we said. Tell us what was said and by whom between Mr . Wolfram and yourself in the second of the two conversations by telephone in this time period, April of 2009 and November 24th, $2009 ?$

MS. LUNDVALL: You're on page 252?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: 254.
THE COURT: 254. He changed pages.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. 254 , line 15. I'll start again.

Tell us what was said and by whom between Mr.
Wolfram and yourself and the second of the two
conversations by telephone. This time period, April of 2009 through November 24, 2009, answer by Mr. Lash. Just that he still wasn't getting his answers. He still had a lot of questions. And so we were trying to figure out what else we could give him to help him understand.

Question. I'm trying to understand your thinking, Mr. Lash. What harm or prejudice to Pardee would there be designate use, location and acreage for property that was purchased by Pardee that wasn't residential production property like, for example --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, this has nothing to do with the question about whether or not that there is some type of a change. There has to be proper foundation of this witness in order to be proper impeachment.

THE COURT: I don't know if you're asking the question too late in context.

MS. LUNDVALL: That has nothing to do with that particular issue.

THE COURT: Hold on. Let's talk one at a time. Are you trying to put it in contest so that --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: The change in testimony.
THE COURT: You wouldn't get an objection you didn't read before.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: The change in testimony is two pages later, and this is very quick stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him lay the foundation. If it doesn't, then I'll know it didn't. BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I'm trying to understand your thinking, Mr. Lash. What harm or prejudice to Pardee would there be to designate use and location for amount of property that was purchased by Pardee that wasn't residential production property like, for example, multifamily or something else that Pardee might want to buy? What harm or prejudice is there to your company that would cause you to unilaterally decide not to provide maps and information about these takedowns?

Answer. Well, it's typically not our general practice to provide information to a third party that is not privy to our deals.

Question. This is not a third party. This is someone you have an affirmative obligation in writing to honor to provide all information about these matters.

So knowing that affirmative obligations, why didn't you provide this just so you could let them independently determine as you said they should be able to do as opposed to just trust you or take your word for it.

There were objection, and then I asked
another question at line seven of page 256.
THE COURT: 256, okay.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. And I forget. There is an answer at line four, Judge. The witness. Our typical procedures on1y to give information associated with people that are involved in the deal question.

By Mr. Jimmerson. In this case Wolfram and Wilkes are involved in this deal, aren't they?

Answer. They were involved in the single family land acquisition. Correct.

Now I'm assuming you and you Mr. Whittemore referenced and Mr. Wilkes noticed to the exclusion of my clients they are not entitled to participate in that type of things of changes with regard to definition of the purchased property or purchased. The amount of the property, the location of the property, all the things we discussed together today, haven't you discussed that, right?

Answer. Yes.
Question. So if Pardee chose to change in 2024, property that they bought in the last five years feeling it would be multiparty housing, apartment housing and changed it to residential, how would our clients ever know that fact?

Just like you've changed other designations, what stops you from changing the intent two years ago or four years ago to something you might do 10 years from now when the economy improves?

Answer. I don't think there is anything.
Question. Right.
Answer. I mean Harvey can say the rest of the property is going to be all golf courses or all commercial, and we would be precluded from buying it.

Question. I'm talking about the property you've already bought. You bought multifamily property that is deemed or designated multifamily. You told us so and Mr. Whittemore confirms.

I'm asking you. You have the right to change its use, do you not?

Answer. We have a right to.
Question. And so because you retain the right to change the use, if you change it to residential, it would, thus, entitle my clients to the compensation bargained for and confirmed in their commission agreement, correct?

Objection by Ms. Lundvall.
Question -- a new question by Mr. Jimmerson.
If they changed the use of the property, Mr. Lash, and you changed it to single family residential production, that
would entitle my clients to compensation; isn't that true?
Answer. I haven't really thought about it. We have no intent to do that. So if you were to change the present intent and make it residential, that would entitle my client to compensation under the agreement; isn't that true?

Answer. It probably would be.
Question. And you retain as Pardee, not you personally, but Pardee retains the right to choose and make that designation in the future as best suits the company; isn't that true?

Answer. Well, we just can't go change use. I mean, that is a whole public process which is why I was asking these questions.

Question. I understand. But residential is less dense then multifamily. So anything zoned by multifamily will absolutely qualify for residential. Wouldn't you agree, sir?

Answer. Not necessarily. We have a situation in Sand Diego where we bought 40 to the acre and we're trying to down zone the property.

And the city needs the units. So they are not letting us down zone.

Question. In that dense area, do you think that is comparable to the 40,00 acres of vacant land in

Coyote Springs?
I'm just using that as an example.
Question. In any event because you do retain the right to change the use and if you need to obtain the governmental approvals in zoning, that would then cause my clients to -- that then would cause my clients to be entitled to dollars associated with that if you built residential, correct?

Answer. Correct.
And, therefore, I'm saying to you wouldn't it be faithful to the promise you made, that Pardee made to our client to provide the location and acreage of the property and designated use of the property even though you think it's not residential and therefore they are not entitled to compensation? Aren't they entitled to know of all purchases regardless?

Answer. Currently it's not and we're under a strict confidential agreement with CSI.

Have you asked my clients to sign a strict confidentiality agreement, the exact one that has been signed between CSI and Pardee? You never have, have you?

Answer. No. I tell you my clients would sign such a document if ever asked, end of quote.

Are you now changing your testimony as I listen to your testimony from the words, you have no
intent to change the designation which you know is not true because you have made a change of designation in 2011?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I'11 rephrase the question.

THE COURT: He just testified he doesn't know.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. You're changing the testimony instead of saying that my clients Wolfram and Wilkes are entitled to compensation or the agreement to change in use probably would be, and then you went further to say if you went to the zoning and got it changed, would they be entitled to the top of 259, correct?

You're now changing both of those answers to say you wouldn't be -- my client wouldn't be entitled to compensation if you had non single family use and then later changed the use to single family use; is that your testimony?
A. That's correct.
Q. Thank you.
A. I think I testified that I went back and thought about it. Came up with different reasons
independent of what our team is doing, and I was not aware of the whole --

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- thing that Mr. Jimmerson brought up.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. And the reason you went back and thought about your answer is because you know in the interim we found the maps that you're talking about and the zoning commission where in February of 2011 you received Clark County Zoning Commission approval to change a portion of the multifamily parcel to residential single family use?
A. I just testified I wasn't aware of that.

THE COURT: Say that again. Make sure for the record. He says he's not aware of that. BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Thank you. Would you look -- you testified that Ms. Lundvall showed you a map, correct?

MS. LUNDVALL: Objection.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: He said he saw a map. Who showed you the map? You said you a saw a map of this change.

MS. LUNDVALL: No. He did not. And that's where --

THE WITNESS: You asked me if $I$ had seen a
lot of maps.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I didn't ask a lot of maps. I asked did you see a map that evidenced the downsizing of the density to single family residential and you said yes.
A. I think she asked me if I had seen a lot of maps in the previous testimony.
Q. What maps have you seen since October?
A. I don't think I've seen any maps.
Q. Okay. Would you look, please, at -- I think it's Defendant's exhibit. It's Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.

So what do you have there?
THE COURT: Fifteen.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I'11 take that away from you. Appreciate it. You've done that 15 times.

A11 right. Now, looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, this is your letter to Mr. Wolfram of November 24th; is that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Al1 right. You recognize that document, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when I asked you the questions that we just read into the record, you remember that $I$ referenced
the time period between April of 2009 and November 24 of 2009?

Do you recal1 that?
A. Yes.
Q. The two conversations you claimed to have had with Mr. Wolfram during that time period, the significance of November 24, 2009, of course, is your letter that you wrote to them on that date.

You have before you now, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Al1 right.
A. It even starts off by saying this letter follows our recent conversation.
Q. Right. Very good. There is something new since Jon Lash is on the stand although you may have heard about it through communications with your lawyer, that is the testimony of Harvey Whittemore to a map that's attached to that letter which you now turning your attention to the map attached to Exhibit 15 in the original court clerk's exhibits --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, and I'm now as far as I need to make some kind of objection to try to make sure the witness understands the questions that are being posed to him. I don't think it's appropriate for counsel to throw out some comments about

Harvey Whittemore's testimony.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Because it has Harvey Whittemore's handwriting, Judge. So he can understand what it's about. Am I going to have him look at it and he not be told why it's there?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from that perspective I'm going to --

THE COURT: It can be misleading. I understand what you're saying. So what it is -- look at the map that is attached to 15. Since you were here, Mr . Lash, we had Mr. Whittemore do some writing on it. That may help the questions he's going to ask. That was the foundation. It's different from when you were here. A11 right.

THE WITNESS: I'm not that versed in these maps.

THE COURT: And you know what, you can on1y testify to what you have knowledge of. And Mr. Jimmerson's understanding that. So if you don't and he doesn't know, unless he asks you different things, that's why just because he's asking doesn't mean you're supposed to know. But he has to ask too, all right. Okay.

Let's start again. Now we're going to look at map part of 15 .

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Mr. Lash, I asked you some questions about Exhibit 15, October 20, 2013, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Your counse1, Ms. Lundval1, asked you many questions about this same exhibit this morning and afternoon, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So let's talk about the very same exhibit that you have knowledge about in 2000 -- October 28 and knowledge again today on December 10, 2013.

I will represent to you that Mr. Whittemore in that map -- and, Judge, just for the record, I would like to have a color copy of that. I don't have that in my set of books like Ms. Lundvall doesn't either. Maybe we can get copies of that.

THE COURT: Sure. That's the map that is attached that --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That has the squiggly. The clerk has.

THE COURT: I think I have it. The clerk has it. After court today please come up and we'11 -- or tomorrow morning.

THE CLERK: I think there is a color copy in that book.

THE COURT: There is. I have one because

Mr. Whittemore did it for me. We made sure there was one. He's saying they don't have one.

THE CLERK: I can't make color copies. Our copier is not capable.

THE COURT: We don't have a color copier machine.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Some way if you'11 allow Ms. Lundvall and Mr. Shipley to take it to their office or allow me to do the same, I'll have them run five copies and bring it right back.

THE COURT: That's why we didn't make it.
MS. LUNDVALL: We have no objection to doing that.

THE COURT: No problem.
MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. To represent to you accurately and succinctly, Mr. Whittemore says that the red -- sort of the country of India that is right below the map that is right here in red, is the 250 acres that is covered by the multi-family agreement between CSI and Pardee Homes of Nevada.

THE COURT: Are you aware of that? We need some foundation with him. Are you aware of that or have you seen these maps before?

THE WITNESS: I know the multifamily was in that area. I don't know precisely anymore.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. So another question I would have for you, where is the multifamily property that was purchased by Pardee Homes under this side agreement that you didn't disclose to my clients?

MS. LUNDVALL: Think that maybe we can have a question without a commentary?

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. It's a question. You didn't send us a copy of the multiparty agreement, did you, multifamily agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. You intentionally withheld it; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Where is the property located on that map that you caused to be drawn through your engineer that you had on, you know, on --
A. I believe it's in the vicinity where the red is, but I can't verify its exact bounds with the dimensions.
Q. Would you agree with Mr. Whittemore's design
generally within that red area is where the multifamily property is located?
A. Generally, yes.

MR. JIMMERSON: A11 right. Thank you. May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Absolutely.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Show you now -- this is what I call residential five in terms of an area. I don't want to mark on the document. We'11 call it the most south and west portion of the -- we'11 call that that area --
A. Up to the green.
Q. No. No. In this area.
A. Just the cross-section.
Q. Right. Just about the size of 80 acres.
A. Al1 right.
Q. Al1 right. Now, through the testimony of Mr. Whittemore, we learned on October 29th, the day after, after you testified, that the last transaction or one of the very last transactions from 2009 was the squaring up of property and in exchange of property.

Where property on the other side of the parkway PJA Parkway owned by you, owned by Pardee, was traded for sellers exchange parcel right in the same area that I just pointed out to you in Exhibit 15 ?

Okay. Are you familiar with that exchange parce1?
A. I'm familiar with the exchange concept. As far as the location of the actual properties, I'm not.
Q. Okay. Let me show you then Exhibit Number

13, please. That's just two before. And just maybe turn the page down or put a pen there so we can go back to 15 in a minute. Let me just show you 13.
A. Which is again number eight?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Amendment 8.
Q. Amendment 8 was the last of the amendments to the amended statement.

MS. LUNDVALL: Did we give the designation of confidentiality, your Honor?

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. We would so stipulate. June 18, 2009 is the date of this Eighth Amendment. And would you look, please, at Exhibit $E$ of the document which to help you is going to be found, I believe, at exhibit bates stamp number -forgive me. 1190.

So look at the bottom right-hand corner.
Find CSI Wolfram 1190. This is description of seller exchange parce1.

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And if you turn two pages earlier, Mr. Lash, if you would, you'11 see Exhibit D, description of buyers exchange parce1 at bates stamp 1188.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. As explained to us by Mr. Whittemore at the moment before exchange, Pardee owned this parce1 at 1188 26.89 acres.

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. And to the left to the west of -- to the left of Coyote Springs Parkway.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it trades or exchanges this parcel for then you go two pages deeper, 1190. The almost identical, the 26.89 acres in the darker gray cross hash figure on Exhibit E.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And so at a moment in time before the exchange had been open owned by Coyote Springs adjoining the Coyote Springs property that you see right to the east, right to the right of that CSI and then immediately after
the exchange you, Pardee acquired this property and gave up the rights to the other parcel I just showed you in Exhibit D all within Exhibit 13?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. All right. 26.89 acres fairly closely
aligned. In other words, on each side of Coyote Springs Parkway exchanged for 26.89 acres of the other. Agreed?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, something else was happening here in 2009, and that is you're looking at the cost of utilities and trunks and the location of the community center where model homes to be showed where changed from a little bit more in the north down to the present location that you see here in Exhibit E.

Do you recall that occurring?
A. Yes.
Q. And, therefore, you wanted to tie -- and, therefore, at this parcel you wanted to exchange and acquire, because taken together, the Pardee property on the left where it says Pardee and the exchange parcel on the right is the dark gray hash together would be where Pardee intended to first build its single family production housing. Agreed?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, you went so far to point out
that in the dark gray hash would be where model homes would be built by your company, by CSI, and other builders that might have agreements with you and CSI?
A. Which is adjacent to Town Center?
Q. That is exactly right. You got it.
A. Right.
Q. My next question is withdrawn.

Is it true that the property to the west of the exchange parcel that is marked Pardee is property acquired by Pardee under the multifamily signed agreement?
A. That happens in Exhibit 15.
Q. That's right. I'm going to show you another map to convince you that what we're saying is true. I don't want you to just follow along.
A. Show me on this map where the golf course is. This says golf course.
Q. It's down here.
A. Show me on this map where the same parcel is.
Q. Right here. Now, to help you, go to Exhibit 12, please. And within that Exhibit 12 find map B6 which is that bates stamp CSI Wolfram 1161.
A. All right.
Q. Now, I know you know the property, but Coyote Springs Parkway and the Denali intersect right there, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you show that to the Judge, please.

Judge, would you take a look at where Mr. Lash is telling you is the intersection of Denali Summit Road and Coyote Springs point blank?
A. Right here. Coyote Springs.

THE COURT: So he's asking --
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Let the record reflect he's referring to the western part of the property where you have the parkway two matching. I don't know how to mark it right here.
A. It shows on this map.
Q. Go ahead, if you would.
A. You got Coyote. You've got Denali.

THE COURT: Right there.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Would you mark a black $X$ there for us, please?
A. (Witness complies.)

THE COURT: It helps all of us.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Isn't the property I've just shown you exchange parcel and the property -- part of the property acquired by Pardee and multifamily -- this property right here, let's call it res 5, residential five?

MS. LUNDVALL: That question is misleading because this is in Exhibit 12. I'm going to place an objection here, because the question is misleading. Exhibit 12 deals with only multifamily. It does not deal with the buyers exchange parcel.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: You're right. It does absolutely include the buyers exchange parcel and I'm asking the question.

THE COURT: I think you're asking a different question.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. It's a different question. Isn't the parcel to the left of Exhibit B -- let me show you again. Isn't that parce1, a portion of that parce1, this picture doesn't show anything. That's the question, sir.
A. I believe so. You've got Denali Summit at the top and Coyote Springs kind of at the bottom, I believe it is.
Q. Al1 right. Thank you. Listen, I want to be fair to you. Are you being square with us or are you not being square with us when you say you don't know that your company applied for and received a change of designation of use two years ago, February 11, for that same property?
A. I'm being square with you.
Q. Let me show you the map. I would like to
refresh your recollection.
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, at this point in time, we're now trying to introduce new exhibits for which The Court has already made a ruling.

THE COURT: What are these exhibits?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: These are Clark County zoning map commission maps that we located after Mr. Whittemore testified on Tuesday, October 29, 2013, where he now told us where a portion of the residential property was. But which is as you can see from the exhibits, were already marked with single family production mode1 property directly akin to the exchange parcel that he was speaking to when I was examining him. You know, it hit me like a ton of bricks. The same single family development to the west of that was identical to the same small little squares of the exchange property. So when I went to that -- and then Mr. Whittemore did say that that property to the west that was under the name Pardee was acquired as part of the multifamily residential. So if it's multifamily, my client is not entitled to commission. We11, just as a matter of, you know, malpractice prevention, just, you know, you wake up in the morning at 3:00 o'clock in the morning. I went to the county with my son and Mr. Wolfram, particularly my son. And Mr. Wolfram brought back certified copies of the map showing that --

THE COURT: Let me hear the foundation.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That Pardee in December of 2010 applied for a rezoning of that very property we're talking about to single family production residential and received approval in February of 2011. And we have both the application in Pardee's name. We have the Clark County Commission Zoning decision. You can take judicial notice under 47.130 and 40 of County records. We can dial right now on that television and show you the action the Clark County commission to do exactly as I represented to you.

THE COURT: I'm sure they say what they say. That's not really the issue.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We11, it is the issue, because that is not -- you wouldn't expect -- I'm not going to argue with you, your Honor.

You wouldn't expect Pardee to do something like that to my clients. You wouldn't expect them to treat them. You just wouldn't.

THE COURT: I'm trying to find out what you're doing, you're representing to The Court. You knew you could not find out that that copy we've been talking about, that multifamily designated property had been changed. There's nothing you got in discovery that would help you with that. We argued about this yesterday. That
it would have been in the multifamily agreement.
Can I just finish?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Please. I'm trying to sort through.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I do apologize.
THE COURT: So, and we're back. And so that was not produced. And we went through all of that. So what you're saying now is that you got new information from Mr. Whittemore when he testified that you did not have before, because you didn't have the multifamily agreements, correct?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Right.
THE COURT: And you got that new information. So when you got that new information from Mr. Whittemore, you then took that and did this discovery. I'm just trying to figure out where we're at.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Exactly. It is Mr. Whittemore's gloss.

THE COURT: His what?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: His gloss, his
interpretation, his explanation that turned the light bulb on. We didn't know where the multifamily was with specificity.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this
perspective, that is a false statement based upon the exhibits that are before The Court already.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: No, it's not.
MS. LUNDVALL: They have the maps with which I -- with specific identification of where the multifamily were located. In addition, they have the exhibits which was amendment 8 that identified the buyers exchange. They have all of the documentary evidence that served as the foundation for Mr. Whittemore's testimony.

THE COURT: But he -- but his testimony put it together for them.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's number one. And number two, the exchange parcel was part of the 84 million. We're not asking for that.

THE COURT: No. I understand that you're now doing a new area. You're saying -- I understand where we're going, because this is a new issue. I understand it's a brand new issue that's come up.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: In addition, I've not yet asked to admit a document. I very well may ask to do that in a few moments.

So you understand you have the right without admitting anything to take judicial notice of county records that are on file?

THE COURT: No. I understand that.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: And you can take a look at the map that we have. Plug it in for you, this map, and the application, check, show you to see exactly what I'm telling you is true.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this perspective, a couple things along this particular 1 ine.

THE COURT: Let's get -- I want to go through it. It's not a surprise to you, obviously, because your client is the one that did it. I understand that.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: And we produced copies of all these records approximately two weeks ago, your Honor. They were contemplated.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It's not a surprise today.

THE COURT: I know that, but it didn't happen during discovery.

MS. LUNDVALL: What it is is that they were well-aware of the multifamily agreement. As the court had learned yesterday, they never asked for those multifamily.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We didn't demand it. We didn't move to compel. We did absolutely ask for them.

THE COURT: I understand when you didn't produce it, then you didn't do the motion.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: This is also a suit for a counter and not that we have to beat them to death on a
motion to compe1. I appreciate that. I would be very happy to move to compe1. Trust me, I was plainly embarrassed by yesterday.

But here is my point. Here is my point. When they are intentionally refusing to disclose the documents for reasons Mr . Lash has indicated, and then his own staff goes back and rezones this to single family production --

MS. LUNDVALL: That is a false statement. He indicates exactly when those maps were filed. It was in December of 2010.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's correct. That's right.

MS. LUNDVALL: And so, therefore, he couldn't have known of any of these documents since December of 2010.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: He's had three years to know about it, Counsel.

MS. LUNDVALL: The issue, your Honor, is this. They were also well-aware by having the amendments of what it is that the parties were doing. To suggest now that we're going to inject a brand new issue into this case for which that has never been raised, whether it be in the complaint, whether it be in discovery, whether it be in the pretrial activity that we did, the pretrial
disclosures that we did.
Counsel makes the suggestion that somehow that documents that they delivered to my office on a Wednesday at 5:00 o'clock before Thanksgiving that consume an entire bankers box, an entire bankers box that are brand new. Never been seen before. Never been shown in this, that somehow that they can now be injected into this trial, that is trial by ambush.

And to make a misrepresentation to this court, a misrepresentation to this court, that has been suggested that between Mr. Lash's testimony and in October when he has taken the stand now in December, that we did something during that interim period of time and therefore that's what they are trying to introduce is a false statement.

THE COURT: I don't take it that way to be honest. I take it that this was a new issue that came up. I understand that. I tried to look through things. I understand. And if the door -- you asked a legitimate question, and the door was -- Mr. Lash, I understand that.

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Lash testified he doesn't know anything about this.

THE COURT: But he -- this issue is really related on the commissions.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That' right.

THE COURT: And I understand he's clarifying changes. There is no question his position. My concern is this is an issue that is related to the commission agreement, okay. And so that is relevant. Obviously, I have not heard it before. Maybe it just came up to Mr. Jimmerson.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It certainly did.
THE COURT: And I understand that. And I also don't want trial by ambush, because $I$ think it is a legitimate issue now.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: And these are pub1ic records.

THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out a way, because I do understand you can't get a bankers box, you know, you have to have a chance to prepare. I understand that. I don't know how many weeks, I don't know. You know, I mean --

MS. LUNDVALL: We11, he can represent to the court that what we received was on Wednesday at 5:00 o'clock.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: The 27th.
MS. LUNDVALL: Before Thanksgiving.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Two weeks ago.
MS. LUNDVALL: When I as far as saw these documents was on the Monday when we get back from

Thanksgiving vacation, and we now are a week later in trial.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Nine days later.
MS. LUNDVALL: And so to the extent, your Honor, that what we're doing is at this point in time is entirely trying to, number one, expand the scope of my cross-examination, expand the scope of this case for which that there has been no notice, and to make a suggestion, in addition, your Honor, that we did something during this interim period of time which I take huge offense at.

THE COURT: I'm not looking at -- I'm just looking at the dynamics of what happened. I understand what happened. I don't think anybody intentionally meant to hide anything. I don't think you intentionally didn't pursue an avenue. We can see every avenue. I understand that.

It's just it's a situation where something came out, and I understand that it was an issue. And that happened in testimony. It does happen. That's why you can sometimes amend your complaint. I understand that.

I'm just trying to figure out a way. I don't expect you to be able to do all of it in a week either. I understand that. So we've got to fashion some type of remedy that $I$ don't feel comfortable now closing the door on them because of the testimony, because it's related to
the -- whether they would or would not get a commission which is the whole foundation for this case.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Not to mention, you could bring a new lawsuit for this new property, why would you want to do that when we have it all here?

THE COURT: I don't want to do that. I don't want to make anybody else go through all this. I'm trying to think of a way to fashion what you do need to do.

MS. LUNDVALL: Two additional points, your Honor. Number one, each and every piece of information that he's describing that he learned from Mr. Whittemore. Where did he learn it? Where did he learn to be able to ask Mr. Whittemore questions?

THE COURT: From depositions.
MS. LUNDVALL: No. Not even from his deposition.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Didn't come up in depo. I learned on the fly here.

THE COURT: Hold on.
MS. LUNDVALL: It came up in the Eighth Amendment. The Eighth Amendment which is found at Exhibit 13, the Eighth Amendment is what lays out all of this information.

THE COURT: But it doesn't lay out the information.

MS. LUNDVALL: Yes, it does.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Multifamily agreement.
MS. LUNDVALL: Yes, it does. And it identifies.

THE COURT: Here is my question. Does it address the issue that if they designated as some other high density and changed it to residential?

Is that addressed anywhere?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: No.
MS. LUNDVALL: No. And Mr. Wolfram from the witness --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's the whole point.
MS. LUNDVALL: But Mr. Wolfram from the witness stand -- and that's one of the things I'm trying to figure out. Mr. Wolfram from the witness stand this morning testified if Pardee had obtained multifamily land and later made a change to single family land, then nowhere within the four corners of his commission agreement did that entitle him to a commission.

THE COURT: Wouldn't that be the interpretation by the Judge to say that?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Of course.
THE COURT: Not Mr. Wolfram's testimony or your testimony. That's the whole thing that would have to be an interpretation.

MS. LUNDVALL: This is the --
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Are those words specifically in the commission agreement, that's what Ms. Lundvall's are the words if you change a designation of the property later on entitled commission, are those specific words in there.

Mr. Wolfram said, no, those words are not there. But there is a non circumvention agreement that was specifically negotiated between Mr. Lash, Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes regarding all matters relating to the payments of commissions. And all does mean all. I don't inspire intent on behalf of Mr. Lash. But you heard his testimony this morning in response to Ms. Lundvall's questions.

Did you do something after your testimony on October 28, 2013 regarding Exhibit 15, your map.

Yes, I did.
What did you do, Mr. Lash?
I went to our engineers and I had them reconfirm.

Objection. Hearsay.
Well, I'11 allow it for your understanding.
THE COURT: Reconfirm that the map was correct. I have the testimony.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: You heard all of that, and what $I$ infer -- what $I$ infer is that that review
sometime between October 28 and December 10, 2013, coupled to our production of these documents on November 27th, 2013 to Wednesday before Thanksgiving where it is clearly evidence. These documents are public records judicial notice that they, in fact, perhaps totally unbeknownst to Mr. Lash but not unbeknownst to Kliff Andrews, Nevada's head guy, rezoned that multifamily property res five just one portion approximately 30,40 acres to single family production residential property on February 12, 2011.

THE COURT: Is that something Mr. Andrews can testify to because...

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Andrews is scheduled to testify. And, once again, I'm going to take offense to as far as his question, the question posed to Lash as to whether or not he had seen any of this new proposed evidence in his testimony was no. I could tell you this much --

THE COURT: That's not getting to the bottom line where we need to go. Let's cut through that. Let's get to the bottom 1 ine where we need to go, number one.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Number one, he changes his testimony, Judge, to say now if we did that, oh, I don't know if we did it, but if we did it, you wouldn't be entitled to a commission.

MS. LUNDVALL: There was a hypothetical.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: So that certainly suggests possibly one reasonable inference, $I$ know he did. Ms. Lundvall told me. Well, I wasn't personally involved. I've been told they did it, because the documents Mr. Jimmerson provided and I better change my testimony.

THE COURT: Honestly to try to figure out how it happened if somebody was devious, I'm not interested in that. I'm interested in going forward.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We agree, Judge.
THE COURT: So this evidence can come in fairly to your client without being prejudiced. That's what $I^{\prime} m$ trying to figure out here in the middle of trial. Who did what to whom really is not helping this situation. So let's do this. Here is my question.

MS. LUNDVALL: If, in fact, you allow this evidence in, your Honor --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: She has to.
THE COURT: You mean the testimony about it -- I didn't say anything about the maps yet. He's not even trying to introduce that. I'm just talking about questions here, guys. That's all I'm ruling on, Ms. Lundva11.

MS. LUNDVALL: From that perspective I'm not going to quarrel about the questions. What I'm trying to quarrel about is because what happened, what lead to this
huge argument is that Mr. Jimmerson went over and said, al1 right, I'm going to show you a map, and it's a new map. And he starts rolling it out. And what I knew was that that was a new piece of evidence for which that the Court had already ruled upon and, therefore, I placed my objection. And that's what started this entire dispute.

THE COURT: Where we're at right now, I certainly feel like that is an issue that can come into this trial. Obviously he asked Mr. Lash about it. There was no objection. He answered those questions earlier. It was the 28th of October, right?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: It was also in your cross. So that certainly is the legitimate area that can be asked. You can ask Mr. Lash about it. His testimony speaks for itself. I'm not looking -- and I will tell you right now, both of you, I'm not inferring that anybody is intentionally doing anything. I certainly --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Doesn't matter. It's a dollar claims. There is no punitive damages claim. I just want my client to have a fair compensation.

THE COURT: I understand, but it's an issue that I want to address, because it does center around the commission agreement. So that area whether we let those in, if Mr. -- I don't know, because he doesn't have the
foundation to do it anyway right now if Mr. Kliff -what's his last name?

THE WITNESS: Andrews.
THE COURT: Andrews. Does he spel1 K1iff with a K because I keep doing K?

MS. LUNDVALL: It is with a K.
THE COURT: I had something with K1iff Clash. Kliff Andrews.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's the rock star.
THE COURT: I've been doing this too long you guys.

If he does, then we'11 go from there if he -because I assume if he knows about it and at least can speak about it, then we can get the testimony that there was this piece of property. I now understand the property. I mean, he's given a foundation for that.

The next step we'11 wait and see. I don't feel he can give the foundation, because I don't think you're lying. And I don't know if -- I don't think he's trying to lie. I know you asked the question are you being up front but if there is another witness I'm going to let Mr. Andrews because he obviously I don't know who whose name are on that from.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It's his engineers.
I'11 confirm in just a minute.

THE COURT: Al1 right. If we need to bring somebody else in, I don't know, but at this point I'm going to let -- then we'11 make a decision based on they are public records. Is that what you said?

I assume you'11 lay the foundation that Pardee had people involved in it were aware of it. This isn't a surprise to them which is a prejudice that concerns me, obviously. So let's go from there. That's tentatively where I am right now.

MS. LUNDVALL: Can we take down the information?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't even look at it. I'm looking at you all trying to resolve this issue that is in front of me right now.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Mr. Lash does not have eyes in the back of his head, so you he couldn't see it.

THE WITNESS: I didn't look at it.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I have two comments.
One is we did not move to introduce this as an exhibit and, number two, respectively because it's public record, you have judicial notice.

THE COURT: I do know what I can do.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It's mandatory in that regard.

MS. LUNDVALL: Hold on.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: 47.150 makes it mandatory.

MS. LUNDVALL: I think the Court has made a ruling. Mr. Jimmerson is trying to contradict that ruling.

THE COURT: No. What I ruled on is if it wasn't produced at discovery at the time and also it's a new -- honestly, it was an issue I didn't want introduced yet, because I felt it was a new issue. Now this opened the door to it being an irrelevant --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: And she's asked -opened the door.

THE COURT: Doesn't matter. However it got into evidence, okay, guys, it's in evidence. Now I do feel it has to be addressed because of Mr. Lash's testimony, okay. So that's a little bit different. Now we're going to have to go to the next step. What is the judicial notice? And I'11 look at it before I get off the bench.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Two key statutes. NRS 47.130 and 47.150.

THE COURT: I'11 work on it and these are -you have the foundation. I assume they are maps that are recorded.

MS. LUNDVALL: From this perspective, I don't
believe they have established the foundation we have.
THE COURT: We haven't gotten there. I just want to look at the law so I know what they do need to establish for foundation. I want to get a jump on it. So it's coming -- I understand that. So I don't want to say that I did establish as a rule of law that, no, we're not going to do it. This is changed. And I do feel it's a legitimate issue. I don't want your client referenced either, so it is an issue that is open now.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: It has to be addressed. How far we go in the evidence, $I$ don't know. I'11 just take it as it comes. I'11 look at it just to make sure I know the foundation.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Are we at least were all kind of for today we're at 423 on the same page. Okay. BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Let's take some baby steps on this, and I appreciate where you're at, Mr. Lash. Let's trowe1 some ground that you feel comfortable with and you do have personal knowledge.

You testified that you had an engineer look at your map of November 15, 2009, and asked him or her to confirm that all the properties that were depicted on that
map which is what page three of your Exhibit Number 15 were general, each true and accurate. I understand it might not be exact. It wasn't necessarily intended to be exact, but it's a pretty good replication of what property had been acquired for single family production use?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Misstates his testimony. His testimony was that he had confirmed that was the property that had been purchased for the $\$ 84$ million.

THE COURT: Okay. With that understanding, that was my understanding which that was single family production residence property.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: So we all know what 84 million with that.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. You didn't intentionally retain an engineer? This is the same engineer who does your drawings for the last several years, correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. A11 right.
A. My communication was to Jim Rizzi, and he got back to me that the engineer has verified that is the purpose. I didn't talk to the engineer but --
Q. Within your organization in Nevada, tell us
the -- or the individual. Sorry.
What I really mean, how does Rizzi fit with somebody else equivalent with Kliff Andrews with you?
A. Kliff Andrews is the division president.
Q. Okay. So would that be a Nevada president?
A. That would be a Nevada president.
Q. Go ahead, sir.
A. We have a president in San Diego.

THE COURT: We don't need him.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Does that president have anything to do with Nevada? Is that why you're adding him as a person?
A. No. You want to know the relationship?

THE COURT: I think he meant in Nevada.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I really just meant in Nevada. What is the organizational chart?
A. So you have the president and then you've got a series of people, Jim Rizzi, Jim Giordano are the names we mentioned earlier do report to Mr . Andrews.
Q. Would they ever report directly to you? If you asked them to do something, they will directly report to you?
A. Yes. They will do what I asked, but they don't report to me.
Q. I understand. But in this litigation you may skip Andrews and call Rizzi and say, listen, I need you to confirm this. It's kind of a relation you have with these fellows?
A. Yes.
Q. Not a problem. So you didn't specially go hire a new engineer to have them reviewed?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. What is the name of the company that does your drawings, engineering drawings?
A. We use a company called Slater Hanifan.
Q. That's the name you mentioned before?
A. Which is a couple of guys that used to be at GC Wallace. I believe we still use GC Wallace which is a
Q. Is Slater Hanifan the name of the two fellows that left GC Wallace?
A. I believe Jerry Slater and Ken Hanifan.
Q. Have they been in the -- in the past been authorized by you and by Pardee Homes of Nevada, Inc. Maybe through Mr. Andrews or through you to do engineering work for Coyote Springs?
A. Absolutely.
Q. In fact, they are the main engineers, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. A11 right. So I want to show you what we marked as Exhibit 42, 43.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, now we've got same thing.

THE COURT: He's proposing. He's not asking to move it into evidence. He's using it to ask him a question, right?

MS. LUNDVALL: We11, without --
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Just to refresh his recollection.

MS. LUNDVALL: He's not able to as far as use the contents of the document --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I'm not going to.
MS. LUNDVALL: -- by which to ask a question if it's not into evidence.

THE COURT: True.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I know the rules of evidence. I practiced them for 40 years?

Can you confirm the author of these plans, please?

MS. LUNDVALL: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: He's laying foundation.
MS. LUNDVALL: He's asking as far as the
contents of these documents.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Who is the author? Who is the author? I won't look at it or point.

MS. LUNDVALL: He's asking for the content of the document.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I'm asking who drew those plans.

THE COURT: But it's in the document. So you're making the distinction who drew them is the content as opposed to what's in them? You want me to make that distinction into how do I lay foundation if I don't lay foundation?

MS. LUNDVALL: It's the camels nose into the tin. If he starts asking him any questions concerning evidence that this court has previously said is inadmissible and you have yet to say it is admissible evidence, then he's asking for the content.

THE COURT: Are you using it to refresh his recollection of who Pardee uses as an engineer?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Recently as of two years ago.

MS. LUNDVALL: He doesn't need his recollection refreshed. He's already testified.

THE COURT: Yeah. Slater Hanifan or GC Wallace.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe in Coyote Springs is Slater Hanifan.

THE COURT: Then we have a straight answer. We don't have the come conflict between GC Wallace or Slater Hanifan.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you these documents, and I want you to flip through them. And the question is simply is have you ever seen these documents before?
A. No.

THE COURT: If the answer is no, the answer is no. He answered no.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Thank you. The title of the documents --

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, now once again, once we get into these issues, if he starts getting into the content --

THE COURT: He's already said he hasn't seen the documents yet.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: The title of the document.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, he's not able to reference content of these.

THE COURT: What is it that you want to ask?

Just give me an offer.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: What is the title of the documents? Answer. Village for Coyote Springs.

Is Village for of part of the multifamily agreement.

I don't know. Yes or no.
THE COURT: Just ask that.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's what I am asking.
THE COURT: You don't have to ask the title of the document. Just say.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Do you know whether or not Village Four is part of the multifamily purchase property?
A. I don't know.
Q. Multifamily acquisition by separate agreement somewhere between 2006 and 2010 --
A. The answer is I don't know.
Q. Okay. If Pardee made an application to the County Zoning Department in December of 2010 to rezone and lower the density from that which may have previously existed to single family residential production property, would Slater Hanifan been authorized by someone within your company to do so?
A. You're asking would they be the engineer of record?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me show you exhibit number -- it's 39 .

THE COURT: These are proposed ones?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Yes, it is. I want to show you and mark it for the record. Exhibit 40 is the Pardee.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I would ask not as far as referring to the contents of the document.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I just said what it is.
I said tentative map application for Pardee by Coyote Springs. Exhibit 39 is the County Commission approval of the rezoning and exhibit --

MS. LUNDVALL: Same issue. All we're doing, your Honor, is now trying to allow an attorney to suggest

THE COURT: What do you want to ask
What's your question?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I just want to ask him. Let's start with this. Can you recognize Exhibit 39 as a tentative map application authorized by Pardee Homes of Nevada, Inc. for residential five, a part of the multifamily?

THE COURT: He's trying to find a record now.
MS. LUNDVALL: But he's asking about the
content of the document.
THE COURT: He's asking him to identify it.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: You bet.
MS. LUNDVALL: We11, yeah.
THE COURT: He can't go any farther than that, and that's what we are doing.

MS. LUNDVALL: He's piece by piece what he's trying to do --

THE COURT: He's trying to get into the contents.

MS. LUNDVALL: He's trying to get into the contents.

THE COURT: I'11 let him identify.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: There is no prejudice to the defendant other than allowing to try to defeat our client's through lawful compensation.

MS. LUNDVALL: You know something, that is offensive, and I'm going to move to strike that.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I said defendants, not Ms. Lundval1. I don't ever personalize anything. It's Pardee's efforts to not pay compensation.

MS. LUNDVALL: You know something, I find this outrageous, simply outrageous, your Honor. And I'm going to ask that the Court instruct counsel that all of these side comments, all of the side commentary somewhere
along the line has to stop.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It goes both ways, Judge. And it's important elements.

THE COURT: I understand it goes both ways. I understand you both have lived with this a long time. I understand that. And I tried to give leeway, because I don't have a jury here. And I know what to filter, what is appropriate or not.

But now we're at the point we're not going forward. And I told myself when we get to the point that we're not moving forward, that I will -- we do need to please keep forward.

I understand just to keep forward on this substance, because it's not affecting my judgment. But it's slowing this trial down. And we -- you know, it's not exactly at a quick pace here. And I'm trying to give you guys as much time.

So I will -- my ruling is I'll allow him to identify. But after that, that's it. On1y based on if he can identify the, record his foundation has been he's been at a different level. He hasn't been actively involved in Nevada.

MS. LUNDVALL: The question would be has he seen these documents.

THE COURT: That's fine. I'11 allow that
question.
MS. LUNDVALL: Has he seen these documents before?

THE WITNESS: The answer is no.
MS. LUNDVALL: A11 right. If he's not seen

THE COURT: And then you can ask, if you want to ask the next question, as part of your work for Pardee, do you work with these kind of records. If you want to lay that foundation, I'll allow it. But if you haven't seen them before, no.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Do you see that Pardee Homes is the applicant?

THE COURT: Now that is asking. Now I know.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I want to make it clear. I'm not asking about something unrelated to this case, Judge.

THE COURT: You know what --
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I wouldn't do that.
THE COURT: You know what, and I'm not
looking at it that way. I have a good idea what's in there now. I can't consider it yet. I have a -- I mean, just from descriptions from the questions $I$ know.

MS. LUNDVALL: You know what --
THE COURT: It's not in evidence. So just
wait and see if we can lay a foundation through Mr. --
MS. LUNDVALL: And all of these theatrics.
THE COURT: -- Andrews. I'm sorry.
MS. LUNDVALL: A11 the theatrics of opposing counsel has accomplished what he's wanting to accomplish. He's trying to suggest to you what the contents of these documents are and that's inappropriate.

THE COURT: He's already asked it in the questions. As I know questions are not evidence, Ms. Lundval1. I'm very aware of that. I have known that in my 30 year career. I am not -- once again, I've let it go a little further because it's a bench trial.

I don't have a jury here. When you admonish them, don't consider the question and, you know, they may not even understand what you're saying. It's not evidence. I do understand that. I'm not considering any of that until we find out if I actually let it in. I understand that.

Okay. I will know from his questions how they are trying to tie it in is all I can say. Doesn't mean I'm going to consider it.

MS. LUNDVALL: Mr. Lash's testimony has been he's never seen these documents before.

THE COURT: I did hear that. And then if you want to do another question.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Did you authorize Hanifan Slater to submit this application on behalf of Pardee Homes?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: To submit that on any application on behalf of Pardee Homes?

THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. You didn't authorize it?
A. My testimony is no.
Q. Does that mean they did so without Pardee Home of Nevada, Inc.'s, approva1?
A. The way you asked it, I gave the approva1. I didn't give the approval.
Q. Thank you. Do you know if Pardee Homes authorized Hanifan Slater to submit this?
A. I don't. That would be -- that would be a decision at the team level.

THE COURT: The Nevada level?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. And does Pardee Homes of Nevada, Inc. Own Coyote Village?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this perspective, once again, we're getting into the content.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.
THE COURT: He answered it. He doesn't know.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. All right. Is the Hanifan Slater Group located on Arville street?
A. I don't know.

THE COURT: He doesn't know.
MS. LUNDVALL: He's going to walk through each one of the pieces of evidence in here and ask it in the form of the question in the hopes he's going to try to --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: There is no hopes.
You're going to make a call whether to ultimately allow it or not, and you're going to decide. Independently of that, you're allowed to take judicial notice. I will tell you this. You understand the case.

If you believe, as we believe, that they are not permitted to do what they did, then his -- Mr. Lash's non knowledge or absence of knowledge about it isn't going to change the outcome of this case.

We can put Andrews on. We can put every other person on by rebuttal or otherwise.

THE COURT: A11 I know now is that the testimony he at one point said we can -- even though we pay multifamily, we can rezone it. And one point he said

I think they would deserve a commission and another not. That's all I have in front of me. I don't know anything else on evidence. And we're still going to do that door. But we're not going to do it through Mr. Lash. And I do want to do the research on the judicial notice. And I did take notice. They gave it to you. You got it the day after Thanksgiving.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Day before Thanksgiving.
MS. LUNDVALL: 5:00 o'clock Wednesday, 5:00 o'clock.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That's true.
MS. LUNDVALL: Nice time to deliver it. BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. All right. Would you look at now, please, Exhibit $39 ?$

MS. LUNDVALL: Notwithstanding the fact they had it since the end of October.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Here is my question. These are minutes or approval by the County Commission Pardee's application. Here is my question. Were you in attendance?
A. No.
Q. Were you reported to by Hanifan Slater or by Kliff Andrews or by Mr. Rizzi or any of the -- any other person of Pardee Homes that we were successful?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, from this perspective, you can't refer to the contents of the -BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. February 16, 2011, to get this property residential five rezoned to production?
A. No.

THE COURT: If it gets in, I'll consider it. Right now I have no rezoning in front of me. I understand that we do need to revisit this. And I'll try look at the judicial notice.

And, I mean, I do need the foundation.
Obviously, he's going to have to lay the foundation which not through you. He's laid it through his questions. I understand.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Mr. Lash, a portion, not all but a portion of the exchange property that I showed you, not all of it, but a portion, I show you the lines counted to the property owned by your -- by you through multifamily acquisition which I've been spending some time together was rezoned. Here is my question. Isn't it true that since this was the very location that you have testified would be the beginning point of your home construction where you would start your first construction of production housing by Pardee, did you need to have it rezoned, in other words,
zones, zoned, I won't say rezoned, zoned residential single family housing?

MS. LUNDVALL: I move to strike as far as all the preface.

BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. I'11 revise the question. In order to begin your construction of single family homes, isn't it true you needed to approach the county to obtain zoning for the applicable zoning use R2 single family production housing?
A. That I'm not aware.
Q. Are you denying that you would need to approach the county to get zoning to build the homes there at the location you said was your company's present intent to start?
A. Your Honor, this is a team. We may have a discussion back in corporate this is where the Town Square is going and this is where we want to start, or we don't get into the logistics of whether something needs to be rezoned. And, you know, who are we going to hire as engineers and when are we going to go to the commission, and what are the meeting notes. I mean, it's at a much higher level than corporate.
Q. So I'm going to ask you. Did you have any conversations with your Nevada team, as you used that term, that it's time to get the core combination of exchange
parcel next to multifamily res five parcel, 332 lots zoned R2 for production residential in the last three years?
A. No.
Q. Okay. In light of the terms of the commission agreement, Exhibit 1, would you agree with me that you are obliged to affirmatively notify Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Wolfram that if it's true that you were rezoning, redesignating a portion of the property to single family production residential property?
A. I just testified no.
Q. You didn't even have the obligation to notify them that you were going to change it from multifamily to single family? Is that your testimony, sir?
A. Correct.
Q. Under the terms?
A. But my testimony is they are not a party to the multifamily agreement, the custom lot agreement, or the golf course agreement. We did not give them the agreements, and we have confidentiality agreements that we would violate if we did.
Q. Now, would you turn your attention to the testimony that I read into the record that began at page 250?
A. You have to bring me back to the testimony.
Q. I certainly will.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Permission to approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Absolutely. There you go. BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. 257 and 258. Exhibit 3, why don't you read pages, same page, 257, just lines eight through the end and then the first eight of the second page of 258 . So 8 through 25 and 1 through 8 of 257 and 258 to yourself.
A. These are the same pages we read earlier?
Q. They are. And this is the testimony you gave five weeks ago in 2013, specifically on October 28 of 2013, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And if in fact there has been a submission to the Clark County Commission on December 2010 and approved by them in February 2011, to change from a multifamily use to a production of single family production use which you say you're unaware of, let's examine your words. I asked you. You also already confirmed you have the right to change the use.

Then I asked you at page 257 if they change the use of the property and you change it to be single family residential production, that would entitle my clients to compensation; isn't that true?

Answer. I haven't rethought about it. We
have no intent to do that?
Do you see that?
A. Yep.
Q. Okay. And is it your testimony then that in 2013, October 28, when you sat here just five weeks ago, three years in this litigation, that Pardee Homes had no intent to change the designation of use from multifamily to single family?
A. That is my testimony. I wasn't aware that we were contemplating it.
Q. And if it is demonstrated to your satisfaction that is, in fact, exactly what happened, would you be amending that answer as well?
A. I think what when I gave my testimony, I was not aware of it so...
Q. Now, what is there about your company -- and I mean this on the square. What is it about your company that allows them to change a designation of use from multifamily to single family residential property without your knowing about it?
A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
Q. You're in the middle of litigation involving this issue. How is it that you could not be involved in a decision by your own company and your Nevada team to rezone the property to production residential property?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, that question then assumes that he after he said the preface contained --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I'm happy to -- I agree that is a --

THE COURT: Assuming it did happen...
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Assuming it did happen, how could it be in the structure of your company and in the midst of this litigation, you wouldn't know that?
A. Well, if it's in the realm of responsibility for Kliff Andrews, he has the ability or authority to do that.
Q. So you wouldn't anticipate him to be able to take a power on the witness stand and say he knows nothing about any of this, would you?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Let me rephrase the question.

THE COURT: Rephrase that, please.
BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON:
Q. Would you expect Mr. Andrews to know nothing about a zoning application of December 2010, approved by the county on February 16 of 2011 , of 332 lots made up of those parcels?
A. He probably does know about it.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Okay. A11 right. Thank you, your Honor. Would this be a good time to go to break, or do you want to go right to 5:00 o'clock?

THE COURT: Are you coming back tomorrow?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: He's here for three days. He's not coming back tomorrow. We have no court tomorrow.

MS. LUNDVALL: What I'm trying to see is how much longer Mr. Jimmerson has.

THE COURT: How much longer do you think?
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Two to three hours.
Maybe two hours. I'm not trying to -- we covered the guts of the redirect. Excuse me. But there are some other areas. Ms. Lundvall took about three hours with him. Two hours. Three hours.

THE COURT: All right. So we're looking at scheduling then Friday, right, because we're not --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Thursday morning.
THE COURT: Thursday morning, okay. You all agree on scheduling, I'11 be here. I'm fine.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: We're here. Mr. Lash, see you then.

THE COURT: So you're not going to put Mr.
Andrews on in between?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: If he was available I would love to do that and get through this. If you would allow that, $I$ most certainly would.

THE COURT: No. We need to finish this. I know what you're going to say. For some reason $I$ had in my head, I thought you were going to be finished today and Mr. Andrews was --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: That was the plan, Judge. That was absolutely the plan.

THE COURT: So far I got that plan, but it didn't work out. It doesn't work out.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: Mr. Andrews is going to be here on Thursday.

MS. LUNDVALL: You know something, at this point in time, I think we're planning on finishing with Mr. Lash. And then I don't know who else as far as...

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: See, now Mr. Andrews isn't going to come and testify, the one guy can validate this.

Do you understand, Judge? You have to see where you have to let this in. Can you believe that they are going to pul1 K1iff Andrews, the one guy that Mr. --

THE COURT: Do you have him under subpoena power?

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: I'11 have to today. He
was promised by Ms. Lundvall to you.
MS. LUNDVALL: You know, this is what I love, the theatrics. It's very amusing.

THE COURT: I'm not enjoying it very much. It's not quite as entertaining from the bench, because I so much want to do substance not --

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: So do I, Judge.
MS. LUNDVALL: It's reached the point in the day where to me it's entertaining, these theatrics. We've never said we're not going to bring Kliff Andrews. The question is whether or not we're going to finish with Mr. Lash, and the Court has indicated that you want to finish with this witness.

THE COURT: I think sometimes you get a history in a case and people kind of jump. As much as I hate to admit it, I understand it. I was there. But we're all working through it. I'm sure you didn't mean that you're not going to hide Mr. Andrews and send him to Bora Bora tomorrow, correct?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Lucky for Mr. Andrews if that is the case.

THE COURT: MR. J.M. JIMMERSON will volunteer to be Mr. Andrews.

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: I'11 be his escort, Judge.

THE COURT: I don't know where I came up with
Bora Bora. Sounded like a good idea.
MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: This case is turned into the --

THE COURT: Mr. Lash. A11 right. So we'11 be back Thursday morning, right?

MR. J.M. JIMMERSON: Yes, your Honor, bright and early.

THE COURT: A11 right. Is 8:30 working out for you? It just works out better for me. Long days.

A11 right. Thank you. And I will get my law clerk before you leave to start working on this.
(Whereupon the proceedings concluded at 4:49 p.m.)
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| $\begin{gathered} \$ 84[30]-7,20,48-50, \\ 69,72,76,84, \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 66,97-101,105 \\ & 118,130,140,155 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 254 [4]-254 |  |  |
| 126-127, 129, 157, |  | 256 [2]-257 | 5 [13]-78, 81, 112 | $\begin{aligned} & 161,199,256, \\ & 284-285,298,300, \end{aligned}$ |
| 159, 169, 214, 218, |  | 257 [4]-313 | 120, 128-129, 133, |  |
| 224-225, 295 |  | 258[3]-313 | 207, 212, 226, 274 | 315 |
| \$84,000 [1] - 6 |  | 259 [1]-261 | 50 [2]-180, 218 | absence [1] - 308 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 6}[6]-4,105 \\ & \mathbf{2 6 . 8 9}[4]-271 \end{aligned}$ | 50,000 [1]-235 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Absolutely [16] - 48, } \\ \text { 81, 107, 123-124, } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 511 [1]-209 |  |
| '86 [1] - 189 |  | $28[26]-126,159,189,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00 [5]-282, 309, } \\ & 316 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163,169,178,183, \\ & 188,193,239,269, \\ & 297,313 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 197-198, 202, |  |  |
| I |  | 208-209, 211, 214, | 6 | absolutely [4]-259, |
| /s/Angela [1] - 319 |  | $239,246,252-253,$ | 6[19]-70, 72-73 | absorbing [1] - 172 <br> acceptable [1] - 63 |
|  |  |  | $5-77,79-80,110$, $20-121,204$ |  |
|  |  | 28th [15] - 197, 199, | 20-121, 204 | accepted [1] - 63 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1[43]-69,75-76 \\ & 80-81,84,110-113 \\ & 200,204,211 \\ & 213-214,216-217 \\ & 219-220,222-224 \\ & 226-227,229,235 \\ & 246,312 \end{aligned}$ |  | $234,245-246,251,$ |  | accomplish [2]-195, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 200[1]-178 \\ & 2000 \text { [1] - } 266 \\ & 2003-2004[1]-201 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66[6]-72,82-83,214 \\ & 67 \text { [1] - } 72 \end{aligned}$ | accomplished [1] -$306$ |
|  |  | 29 [2] - 105, 276 |  |  |
|  | $2004 \text { [31] - 38, 81, 181, }$ | 29th [1] - 269 | 7 | accordance [1] - 231 |
|  |  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 7[7]-75,77-78, \\ 120-122,128 \end{gathered}$ | $118,166,221$ |
| 1,000 [1] - 172 | $\begin{aligned} & 219-220,222-224 \\ & 226-227,243 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | According [1] - 42 <br> account [1] - 140 |
| 1.2 [1]-224 |  | 3 [7]-2, 111-113, 313 $3,000 \text { [1] - } 84$ | 8 | account [1] - 140 <br> accuracy [2]-227, |
| $\begin{gathered} 10[8]-1,78,189 \\ 258,266,288 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159,207,209, \\ & 211-212,220,222, \\ & 226,243 \end{aligned}$ | $3,600[2]-206$ | $\begin{array}{l\|c} \hline \mathbf{8}_{[14]}-69,75-76,78, & 229 \\ \text { ACCURATE }[1]-319 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | 30 [6]-106, 218, 288, |  |  |  |
| 100 [3] - 178, 180 10:30 [1] - 80 |  | 306 | $110,235,270,279,$ | ACCURATE [1] - 319 accurate [11]-38, 93, |
| $11[5]-70,78,124$ | $2006[2]-251,301$ | 30,000 [4]-224, 247 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 110,134,157-158, \\ & 161,163,210,223, \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ |
| $250,275$ |  | 31 [1]-214 | $80[1]-269$ |  |
| 1156 [3]-111 | $2009[15]-4,151-152$ | 31st [2]-48 | $83 \text { [1] - } 128$ |  |
| 1157 [1]-112 |  | $33 \text { [1] }-86$ | $84[27]-6,19-21,75,$ | accurately [11] - 11, |
| 1161 [2]-112, 273 | $\begin{aligned} & 154,254-255,264, \\ & 269-270,272,294 \end{aligned}$ | 332 [3]-251, 312, 315 | $79,82-83,85,128$ | $221,227,267$ |
| 1188 [2]-271 | $2010 \text { [15] - 30, 36-37, }$ | $35[5]-115,13$ | 137, 155, 158-160, | acknowledge $[3]-24$,50,254 |
| 1190 [3] - 270 | 165, 168, 251, 277, 281, 301, 313, 315 | 374 [1] - 25 | 171-172, 228, 234, $279,295$ |  |
| 12 [20]-78, 110-111, |  | $39[6]-2,302,309$ | $\text { 279, } 295$ | acknowledged [2] - |
| 129-131, 211, 273, | $2011 \text { [9]-251, }$ | 3:00 [2]-233, 276 | $86 \text { [1] - } 2$$8: 30[4]-1,253,319$ | 125, 132 |
| 275, 28 |  |  |  | acknowledging [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { [10] - 75, 78-79, } \\ & 110,270,272,285 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310,313,315 \\ 2012[1]-251 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acquire }[4]-127,172, \\ & 181,272 \\ & \text { acquired }[21]-132, \\ & 136-137,161,171, \\ & 173,176,179,181, \\ & 183,186,196 \\ & 272-274,276,295 \\ & \text { acquires }[1]-133 \end{aligned}$ |
| $110,270,272,285$ 134 [1] - 2 |  | 4[9]-111-113, 204, | 9 [1] - 78 |  |
| 137 [1]-217 |  | $213-214,221$ 40 | 9,706 [1] - 249 |  |
| 14[2] - 36, 168 |  | 40 [9]-68, 224-225, 259, 277, 288, 298, | 91 [1]-97 |  |
| 15 [27] - 5, 30, 79, 89, |  | $259,277,288,298$, 302 | 93 [2] - 181 |  |
| 126, 130-131, 154, |  | $40,00[1]-259$ | 9:30 [1] - 253 |  |
| 172, 233, 254, |  | $40,000[6]-180,$ | 9C [1]-220 |  |




| ```changed [28]-20, 67, 76, 83, 174, 207-209, 239-240, 245, 252, 254, 257-258, 261, 272, 277, 286, 294 changes [16]-53, 132-134, 209-210, 214, 226, 230, 257, 283, 288 changing \([7]\) - 182, 238, 251, 258, 260 charging \({ }_{[1]}\) - 248 chart [1]-296 chat \({ }_{[1]}-146\) cheat \({ }_{[1]}\) - 188 cheated [1] - 199 cheating [1] - 48 check [8] - 20, 22, 24-25, 48, 106, 280 checks [1]-23 Chicago [5] - 44, 67-69, 170 chief \([4]-145,189\), 203 chips [1] - 236 choose [1]-259 chose [2]-205, 257 chronological [3]-3, 198, 213 chronologically \({ }_{[2]}\) - 199, 215 chronology [2]-19, 146 circumstances \([1]\) - 188 circumvent \({ }_{[1]}\) - 225 circumvention [2] - 246, 287 cited [1]-228 city [2] - 243,259 claim [3] - 247, 290 claimed [1] - 264 claims [2]-166, 290 clarification [2] - 12, 203 clarified [1] - 108 Clarify [1]-98 clarify [10] - 12, 49, 98, 143-144, 160, 202, 206, 219, 243 clarifying [1] - 283 clarity [1]-215 Clark [18] - 235-238, 242, 251, 262, 276-277, 313 CLARK [1] - 1 Clash [1]-291 class [1]-101 classic [1] - 164``` | ```clause [4]-34, 220, 222 clauses [2]-220 clean [1]-220 cleanup [4]-214 clear [16]-10, 18, 21-22, 95, 116, 118, 142, 161, 187, 194-195, 217, 231, 254, 305 clearly [1] - 288 CLERK [7] - 35, 37, 146, 266 clerk [3]-266, 319 clerk's [1] - 264 client \([17]-38,41\), 114, 246-247, 249, 251, 259-261, 276, 280, 289-290, 294 client's [1] - 303 client/broker \({ }_{[1]}\) - 115 clients [16]-114, 198, 212-213, 257-261, 268, 277, 313 clients' [1] - 250 close [3] - 137, 220, 222 closed [2]-166, 183 closely [2]-244, 272 closer [1] - 151 closing [13] - 48, 55, 147, 155, 160, 186, 218-220, 227, 236, 284 closings \([7]-55,171\), 195, 236 clue [1] - 48 collectively [2] - 77, 221 college [2]-101, 189 color [7] - 95, 266 colored [2] - 95, 130 colors [2] - 91, 102 column [1]-53 combination [2] - 214, 311 comfort [1] - 233 comfortable [2] - 284, 294 Coming [1] - 189 coming [9]-63, 69, 74, 294, 316 Comission [1] - 237 commenced [1] - 251 commentary [2] - 268, 303 comments [4]-211, 264, 292, 303 commercial [17]-8, 11, 40, 57, 91,``` | ```122-123, 125, 134, 141, 154, 162-163, 173, 189, 196, }25 commission [202] - 7, 19, 21-24, 29, 31, 33-34, 38-41, 46-47, 50-54, 56-63, 68-69, 72, 80-81, 86, 113-118, 123-126, 133-134, 147-149, 166, 169-170, 174-176, 179-181, 184-185, 188, 191, 193-196, 204, 216, 218, 220-221, 223-229, 233-234, 237, 239-242, 245-247, 250-252, 258, 262, 276-277, 283, 285-288, 290, 309,}31 Commission [10] - 181, 191, 237, 251, 262, 277, 302, 309, 313 commissioners [1] - 237 commissions [69]- 3-8, 16-22, 24, 30, 32, 34, 39-40, 47, 50-51, 55, 86, 115-117, 125, 132, 139-143, 148, 150, 154, 162, 167, 169, 176, 188, 235, 241, 282,287 common[1] - 235 commonality [1] - 235 communicate [5] - 140, 158, 162, 169, 179 communicated [3] - 140, 168, }20 communicating [7] - 95, 160, 163, 235 Communication [1] - 229 communication [6] - 162, 167, 201, }29 communications [6] - 38, 86, 89, 152, 154, 264 communities [1] - 172 community [1]-272 compact [1] - 244 companies [6] - 45, 190, 194, 201, 204, 217 company [50] - 6, 8-9, 13-15, 22, 24-25,``` | $\begin{gathered} 30,49,55,67-69, \\ 96,108,119,135, \\ 151,162-164, \\ 170-171,178,187, \\ 203,205,217,228, \\ 237,256,259,273, \\ 275,297,301,314 \\ \text { Company }[2]-44 \\ \text { company's }[4]-26, \\ 198,225,311 \\ \text { comparable }[2]-190, \\ 259 \\ \text { compare }[3]-111, \\ 131,136 \\ \text { compared }[6]-79, \\ 107,110-111,190 \\ \text { comparing }[2]-106, \\ 210 \\ \text { comparison }[1]-79 \\ \text { compel }[3]-280 \\ \text { compensated }[1]-85 \\ \text { compensates }[1]- \\ 179 \\ \text { compensation }[11]- \\ 190,258-261,290, \\ 303,313 \\ \text { complain }[1]-117 \\ \text { Complain }[2]-117 \\ \text { complaint }[3]-170, \\ 281,284 \\ \text { complaints }[2]-147 \\ \text { complete }[6]-92, \\ 104,144,197,214 \\ \text { completed }[1]-105 \\ \text { completely }[5]-43, \\ 48,89,100,232 \\ \text { completes }[1]-211 \\ \text { complied }[1]-170 \\ \text { complies }[1]-274 \\ \text { component }[1]-73 \\ \text { components }[1]-190 \\ \text { computer }[3]-119, \\ 160,177 \\ \text { concept }[2]-240,270 \\ \text { concern }[2]-193,283 \\ \text { concerned }[2]-40, \\ 206 \\ \text { concerning }[28]-4, \\ 28,33,40,49,56, \\ 74,81,89,103, \\ 112-113,121,135, \\ 138,151,163,165, \\ 177,196,199,299 \\ \text { concerns }[3]-138, \\ 234,292 \\ \text { concluded }[1]-319 \\ \text { conclusion }[1]-171 \\ \text { concurrent }[1]-222 \\ \text { Concurrently }[1]-54 \\ \text { concurrently }[3]-220 \end{gathered}$ | ```conduct \({ }_{[1]}-120\) conducted [1] - 177 confidential [6] - 212, 260 confidentiality \([7]\) - 73, 154, 213, 260, 270, 312 confine [1] - 77 confines [1] - 213 confirm [9]-21, 69, 144, 227, 229, 291, 294, 297 confirmation [1] - 157 confirmed [6] - 68, 157-158, 258, 295, 313 confirms [1]-258 conflict [1] - 300 confusing [1] - 209 conjunction [1]-236 consider [4] - 305, 310 considered [1] - 62 considering [1] - 306 consistent [2]-81, 98 construct [1]-107 construction [4] - 189, 310 consult [1] - 208 consume [1] - 282 contain [10]-103, 107-108, 111 contained [6] - 4, 62, 126, 149, 188, 315 containing [4]-112, 122, 154 contains [4]-15, 163, 207, 210 contemplated [5] - 41, 174, 214, 250, 280 contemplating \([1]\) - 314 contemplation \({ }_{[1]}\) - 116 contemporaneous [1] - 208 contending [2] - 4, 210 contends [2] - 28, 30 content [8] - 38, 299-300, 303, 307 contention [2]-207, 247 contents [8]-28, 298-299, 302-303, 306, 310 contest [1] - 255 context [9]-140, 152, 240-242, 254 contingencies [1] -``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| ```135-136, 163, 171, 236-237, 240 deemed [3]-208, 216, 258 deeper [1]-271 defeat [2] - 250, 303 defendant \({ }_{[1]}\) - 303 Defendant [2]-1, 213 Defendant's [2]-212, 263 defendant's [4] - 6 , 148, 162 Defendants [3]-1, 35, 211 defendants [2]-162, 303 defendants' [1]-221 DEFENDANTS' [2]-2 define \({ }_{[1]}\) - 82 defined [11] - 40, 207-208, 218-222, 224, 239 definitely [2]-129 Definition [1]-207 definition [16]-82, 182, 207, 209, 214, 223, 230-231, 257 definitional [2]-210, 220 definitions [4]-208, 234 delay [1] - 55 delineate [1]-161 delineation [1] - 182 deliver [1] - 309 delivered [4]-211, 282 demand [3]-166, 168, 280 demanding [2] - 87 demands [1] - 151 demonstrate [2] - 239, 244 demonstrated [1] - 314 Denali [4]-273 denies [2]-40 dense [3] - 246, 259 density [4]-250, 263, 286, 301 denying [1] - 311 department \([8]\) - 189, 237-238, 243 Department \({ }_{[1]}\) - 301 dependant [1]-47 depicted [1] - 294 depo [2]-136, 285 deposit [3]-54, 220, 222 deposition [1]-285``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { depositions }[1]-285 \\ & \text { deposits }[1]-218 \\ & \text { describe }[3]-179, \\ & 181,184 \\ & \text { described }[3]-126, \\ & 132,187 \\ & \text { describing }[5]-15 \text {, } \\ & 95,128-129,285 \\ & \text { description }[8]-25 \text {, } \\ & 66,161,164,270 \\ & \text { descriptions }[1]-305 \\ & \text { deserve }[1]-309 \\ & \text { deserves }[1]-120 \\ & \text { design }[2]-182,268 \\ & \text { designate }[2]-255 \\ & \text { designated }[12]-92, \\ & 123,205,246,258, \\ & 260,277,286 \\ & \text { designation }[26]-91, \\ & 103-104,113, \\ & 121-122,132,174, \\ & 236-237,243, \\ & 245-246,259,261, \\ & 270,275,287,314 \\ & \text { designations }[13]- \\ & 91,111-113, \\ & 120-122,239-240, \\ & 258 \end{aligned}$ <br> desk [1]-252 <br> detached [1] - 128 <br> detail [4]-199, 216 <br> detailed [2] - 160, 234 <br> detective $[1]$ - 14 <br> determination [2] - <br> 67, 226 <br> determine $[3]-66,79$, 256 <br> determined $[1]$ - 223 <br> developed [1] - 216 <br> developer [2] - 121, $161$ <br> developers [1] - 190 <br> developing $[2]$ - 112, 121 <br> Development [1] - 95 <br> development ${ }^{88}$ - 87, <br> 93, 155, 180, 276 <br> develops [1] - 248 <br> devious [1] - 289 <br> dial [1]-277 <br> dickering [1]-53 <br> Diego [2]-259, 296 <br> difference [8]-41, <br> 92-93, 192, 198, <br> 203, 219, 232 <br> different [35] - 7, 20, <br> 30, 47, 63, 69, 79, <br> 91, 93-95, 102, 115, <br> $118,129,140,149$, <br> 161, 168-170, 178, | $\begin{array}{\|c} 180,203-204,261, \\ 265,275,293,304 \\ \text { difficult }[5]-105,200, \\ 230,248 \\ \text { dimensions }[1]-268 \\ \text { dip }[1]-169 \\ \text { Direct }[1]-2 \\ \text { direct }[14]-11,13,53, \\ 99,110,139, \\ 145-146,165,168, \\ 184,228 \\ \text { directed }[1]-153 \\ \text { directive }[1]-170 \\ \text { directly }[8]-54,150, \\ 155,220,246,276, \\ 296 \\ \text { director }[1]-189 \\ \text { disagree }[2]-34,210 \\ \text { disagreement }[1]- \\ 233 \\ \text { discern }[2]-66 \\ \text { disclose }[2]-268,281 \\ \text { disclosures }[1]-282 \\ \text { discovered }[1]-251 \\ \text { discovery }[8]-36,43, \\ 277-278,280-281, \\ 293 \\ \text { discuss }[4]-33,142, \\ 152 \\ \text { discussed }[9]-85, \\ 91,99,125-126, \\ 221,257 \\ \text { discussion }[9]-84, \\ 87,113,142-143, \\ 226,241,311 \\ \text { discussions }[1]-176 \\ \text { displayed }[1]-73 \\ \text { dispute }[8]-147,179, \\ 183,24], 290 \\ \text { disputed }[1]-16 \\ \text { distinction }[3]-232, \\ 299 \\ \text { DISTRICT }[3]-1,3 \\ \text { division }[5]-156, \\ 179,244,251,296 \\ \text { divisions }[1]-244 \\ \text { Doctrine }[1]-34 \\ \text { document }[31]-10, \\ 44,54-56,67,71, \\ 164,168,177,208, \\ 212,227,260,263, \\ 269-270,279,298 \\ \text { Document }[1]-227 \\ \text { documentary }[1]-279 \\ \text { documents }[51]-3,9, \\ 41,43-45,91-92, \\ 153-154,164,166, \\ 177,187-188,198, \\ 207,210,213,241, \\ 281-283,288-289, \end{array}$ | ```299-301, 304 dokey [1] - 211 dollar [4]-102, 180, 247, 290 dollars [6] - 43, 72 , 181, 221, 260 done [16]-25, 79, 120, 144, 158, 178, 187, 194-196, 211, 245, 249, 263 door [8]-238, 240, 282, 284, 293, 309 double [2]-106, 169 down [67]-3, 6, 16, 24, 28-29, 32-33, 64-65, 73, 79, 85, 87, 93-97, 102-106, 116, 118-119, 128, 134, 137, 142, 149, 167, 177, 180, 185, 191, 195, 211, 222, 235, 259, 270, 272-273, 292, 304 downs [1] - 10 downsizing [1] - 263 draft [4]-52, 61, 230 drafted [4]-139, 147, 177, 228 drafting [2] - 177 drafts [3]-52, 56, 60 draw [1] - 60 drawings [3]-295, 297 drawn [3]-101, 129, 268 draws [1] - 94 drew [2] - 299 drew.. [1] - 99 drilled [1]-235 Driving [1] - 64 driving \({ }_{[1]}\) - 119 dubious [1] - 137 due [18] - \(7,15,19\), 117, 125-126, 169, 194, 225, 227-228, 230, 233-234, 241 dues [1]-233 duly [1] - 146 Dunlap [1] - 163 during [17] - 36, 43-44, 63, 131, 136, 147, 170, 215, 237, 264, 280, 282, 284 duties [4]-58, 69 duty [1] - 145 dynamics [1] - 284``` | 107-108, 118 <br> e-mails [1] - 88 <br> EARLEY [1]-1 <br> Earley [1] - 219 <br> Earley's [1] - 226 <br> early [1] - 319 <br> easement [1]-181 <br> easier [2] - 66, 73 <br> easiest [2] - 95, 130 <br> easily [2] - 160, 192 <br> east [5] - 42, 84, 182, <br> 207, 271 <br> eastern [1] - 207 <br> easy [4]-109, 118, <br> 134, 161 <br> economy [1]-258 <br> edge [1] - 95 <br> edits [4]-61 <br> educated [1] - 65 <br> effect [4]-208, 216, <br> 223 <br> effective [1] - 201 <br> effort [3] - 75, 161, <br> 192 <br> efforts [2] - 30, 303 <br> eight $[6]-13,207$, <br> 220, 270, 313 <br> Eighteen [1]-72 <br> Eighth [4]-270, 285 <br> either [11] - 6, 91-92, <br> 101, 138, 147, 170, <br> 250, 266, 284, 294 <br> Either [1]-196 <br> El [1] - 155 <br> elements [1] - 304 <br> embarrassed [1] - 281 <br> employ [1] - 205 <br> employed [1] - 114 <br> employee [2]-58, 60 <br> employment [4]-57, <br> 59, 113, 155 <br> enclosed [3]-39, 90, <br> 93 <br> enclosure [1] - 92 <br> end [6] - 115, 233, <br> 249, 260, 309, 313 <br> endangered [1]-181 <br> ended [1]-171 <br> engaged [1] - 85 <br> engineer [11] - 161, <br> 268, 294-295, 297, <br> 299, 301 <br> engineering [2]-297 <br> engineers [5] - 155, <br> 287, 291, 297, 311 <br> enjoying [1] - 318 <br> ensure [1] - 17 <br> entered [9] - 32, 140, <br> 149, 153, 156, 180, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 182 <br> entering [2]-59, 149 <br> entertaining [2]-318 <br> entire $[10]-4,40,56$, <br> 186, 196, 223, 282, <br> 290 <br> entirely [1] - 284 <br> entities [1] - 203 <br> entitle [5] - 258, 286, <br> 313 <br> entitled [84] - 3, 8, <br> 16-19, 30-34, 39-40, <br> 50-51, 55, 73, 109, <br> 116, 122-123, 125, <br> 132-135, 139-144, <br> 149-150, 154, 162, <br> 167, 169, 174, <br> 179-181, 231-232, <br> 239, 247, 257, <br> 260-261, 276, 287 <br> entitlement [2] - 250, <br> 252 <br> entitles [1] - 85 <br> entity [2]-156, 203 <br> environmental [1] - <br> 182 <br> envisioned [1] - 172 <br> equipment [1]-28 <br> equivalent [1] - 296 <br> error [1] - 209 <br> escort [1] - 318 <br> Escrow [1] - 164 <br> escrow [39] - 26, 45, <br> 54-55, 61-62, 67-69, <br> 82, 170-171, <br> 186-187, 212, <br> 220-222, 236 <br> escrows [1] - 236 <br> ESQ [4]-1 <br> essentially [1]-216 <br> establish [3] - 146, <br> 294 <br> established [14] - 9, <br> 22, 34, 39-40, 43, <br> 123, 151, 162, 183, <br> 185, 191, 209, 294 <br> estate [13]-19, 21, <br> 25, 28, 31, 51, 100, <br> 114, 143, 188, 225, <br> 250 <br> Estate [1] - 90 <br> etcetera [1]-57 <br> event [5] - 212, 220, <br> 222, 260 <br> events [1]-3 <br> eventually [2] - 89, <br> 172 <br> everywhere [1] - 64 <br> evidence [36] - 34, 37, <br> 74, 159, 221, |  | ```125, 139, 149, 155, 164, 184-185, 212, 263, 266, 270, 292, 302 Exhibit [170]-3-6, 9, 11-13, 17, 22-23, 25-26, 28, 30, 35, 37, 46, 52, 56, 61, 70, 72-73, 75, 77-80, 82, 84, 86, 89, 105-106, 110-111, 124, 126, 129-131, 134, 138, 140-141, 148-149, 151-154, 163, 165, 168-169, 195, 199-200, 203, 205, 207, 211-214, 216, 218, 221-222, 226-227, 229, 235, 245, 263-264, 266, 269-273, 275, 285, 287, 295, 298, 302, 309, 312 exhibits [21] - 44, 70, 106, 148-149, 187, 214, 221, 241, 251, 253, 264, 276, 279 EXHIBITS [1] - 2 Exhibits [3] - 105, 110 exist [3] - 44 existed \([3]\) - 73, 135, 301 existence [3]-219, 225 existing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 196 exists [3]-90, 137, 248 expand [3]-241, 284 expect \([9]-39,117\), 123, 172, 277, 284, 315 expectation [4] - 114 expected \([5]\) - 71, 89, 115, 172, 182 expecting \([1]\) - 144 expedite \([1]\) - 75 expended [1]-218 experience \([8]\) - 48, 118-119, 247 experiences [1] - 190 expire [1]-224 Explain [2]-62, 196 explain [11] - 8, 48, 154, 156, 159-160, 172, 174, 177, 181, 194 explained [2]-31, 271 explains \({ }_{[1]}\) - 236``` | ```explanation [2] - 154, 278 explore [3] - 199, 233, 236 exposed [2] - 10 express [2] - 38, 141 expression [1]-49 expressly [3] - 41, 57 extent \([9]\) - 116, 123, 176, 208, 210-211, 218, 231, 284 extra [3]-37, 72, 222 extreme \({ }_{[1]}\) - 198 eye [1] - 117 eyes [2] - 253, 292```F <br> face $[3]-86,88$ <br> facetious $[1]-210$ <br> fact $[33]-7,14-15,19$, <br> $21,30,34,38,51$, <br> $59,67,71,87,150$, <br> $157-158,161,174$, <br> $176,186,197,249$, <br> $251,257,272$, <br> $288-289,297,309$, <br> 313 <br> factitious $[1]-206$ <br> facts $[3]-16$ <br> factual $[2]-231$ <br> fair $[11]-20,61$, <br> $63-64,183,275,290$ <br> fairly $[5]-32,49,153$, <br> 272,289 <br> Fairly $[1]-5$ <br> fairness $[1]-15$ <br> faithful $[1]-260$ <br> fall $[2]-16,236$ <br> false $[5]-207,210$, <br> 279,281 <br> familiar $[8]-63,65$, <br> $181,188,198,224$, <br> 270 <br> familiarity $[1]-177$ <br> family $[107]-3,5,7-8$, <br> $10-13,15,17,24$, <br> $27,31-32,41,51$, <br> $55,87,91,107-108$, <br> $122,128-129$, <br> $132-133,153$, <br> $162-163,165$, <br> $171-172,174-176$, <br> $224-225,239,243$, <br> $245-247,249-251$, <br> $257-258,261-263$, <br> $267,272,276-277$, <br> $281,286,288,295$, <br> 301,311 <br> far $[45]-11,29,39$, | 45, 47-48, 53, 88, 109, 117, 129, 131, 133, 135, 140, 146, 159, 169, 199, 210, 213, 226, 228, 231, 238, 240-241, 248, 264, 270, 272, 283, 288, 294, 298, 302, 311, 317 <br> fashion [2]-284 <br> fax [3]-13, 52 <br> February [8]-251, 262, 275, 277, 288, $310,313,315$ <br> fee [1]-188 <br> feelings [1]-14 <br> fees [4]-31, 188 <br> fellows [2]-297 <br> felt [5] - 20, 23, 293 <br> few [12]-9, 28, 36, <br> 56, 118-119, 151, <br> 177, 187, 189, 206, <br> 279 <br> fifteen [1] - 254 <br> Fifteen [3] - 131, 158, 263 <br> figure [14]-65, 102, <br> 138-139, 162, 190, <br> 255, 271, 278, <br> 283-284, 286, 289 <br> figured [3] - 42, 142, 172 <br> figures [1] - 93 <br> file [4]-68, 279 <br> filed [6] - 27, 68, 162, $167,169,281$ <br> filing [1] - 3 <br> filter [1] - 304 <br> final [4]-61 <br> finally $[5]-88,96$ <br> fine [10]-33, 36-37, <br> 77, 145, 208, 216, <br> 304, 316 <br> finger [6] - 130 <br> finish [8]-17, 101, <br> 224-225, 278, 317 <br> finished [2]-17, 317 <br> finishing [1] - 317 <br> fire [2]-62, 193 <br> firm [2] - 213, 216 <br> firms [1]-190 <br> First [3] - 98, 158, 240 <br> first $[45]-28,31,56$, <br> 70, 72-74, 83, 99, <br> 110, 126-127, 141, <br> 146-147, 150-151, <br> 158, 165, 178, 180, <br> 186, 191, 199-200, <br> 207, 213-214, 218, <br> 221, 223, 234, 272, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| ```159, 207-209, 211-212, 220, 222, 226, 243 mark [5] - 35, 269, 274, 302 marked [10] - 35, 37, 168, 212-213, 273, 276, 298 market [6] - 190 markings [2]-53 married [2] - 163, 249 master [2]-93 match [2]-92 matches [1] - 103 matching [1] - 274 materially [1] - 40 math [4]-101, 138, 249 matter [14]-19, 40, 56, 59, 65-66, 119, 171, 196, 201, 251, 276, 290, 293 matters [13]-117, 125, 194, 227-228, 233-234, 240-241, 256, 287 maximum [1] - 172 mean [49]-21, 25-26, 31-32, 35-36, 48, 53, 72, 74, 81, 84, 93, 96, 112, 125, 132, 136, 139, 142, 144, 159, 166, 174, 177, 180, 196, 203, 223, 228, 233, 258-259, 265, 283, 287, 289, 291, 296, 305-307, 310-311, 314, 318 meaning \([7]-53,227\), 230-232, 237 means [4]-82, 223, 225 meant [5] - 234, 254, 284, 296 measured [1] - 99 meet [1]-179 meeting \([7]-179\), 201, 311 meetings [1] - 176 memo [1]-48 memory [4]-36, 71, 78 mention [2] - 45, 285 mentioned [4]-65, 93, 296 merged [1] - 56 met [1]-201 middle [3] - 82, 289, 314``` | ```midst [2] - 249, 315 midway [1] - 235 might [9] - 107, 137-138, 190, 256, 258, 273, 295 million [72] - 6, 19-21, 48-50, 69, 72, 75-76, 79, 82-85, 126-129, 137, 155, 157-160, 169, 171-172, 214, 218, 224-225, 228, 234-235, 279, 295 millions [1] - 43 mine [5]-60, 94, 118, 151 Mine [2] - 80, 252 minimum [2]-26, 134 minute [9]-39, 54, 61, 79, 131, 203, 233, 270, 291 minutes [5] - 119, 145, 159, 167, 309 mischief [6] - 246, 250 miscommunication [1] - 185 misleading [4]-210, 265, 275 misplaced [1] - 151 misrepresentation [2] - 282 misspoke [1]-111 misstatement [1] - 34 Misstates [1] - 295 mistake [1] - 94 mistaken [2]-242 misunderstanding [3] - 195, 223 mix [1] - 159 MM [1] - 13 model [4] - 178, 272-273, 276 modification [2]-57 modifications [2] - 57, 196 modified [2] - 57, 196 modify [1] - 196 moment [4]-124, 249, 271 moments [1] - 279 Monday [4] - 197, 283 money [25] - 4, 21, 42-43, 67, 104, 152, 169-171, 179, 181, 194, 198-199, 202, 214, 222, 229 monies [6] - 31, 33, 150, 153, 184 month [1]-22``` | ```months [5]-64, 176, 207, 220 moreover [1] - 210 morning [15] - 3, 134, 193, 205, 245, 266, 276, 286-287, 316, 319 Most [1] - 236 most [3] - 101, 269, 317 motion [2]-280 motto [1] - 198 mouth [2] - 16, 231 move [12] - 107, 143, 149, 182, 185, 248, 280-281, 292, 298, 303, 311 moved [5] - 12, 68, 84, 182 moving [6] - 159, 179, 182-183, 304 mow [1] - 82 MR [316] - 5, 10, 15-16, 20, 25, 33-35, 37, 43, 75-76, 80-81, 83, 85-86, 88, 94, 98-100, 104-107, 109-111, 115, 117-124, 134, 136, 143-144, 157-159, 167, 170, 174, 192-193, 197-200, 202-212, 215-216, 219-220, 223, 228-230, 232-233, 236-244, 247-250, 252-257, 261-263, 265-270, 274-296, 298-305, 307-311, 313, 315 MS [251] - 3, 6, 9-16, 18-22, 25, 34-37, 39, 41, 43, 53, 57, 70, 73, 75-78, 80, \(83,86,88,98,106\), 108, 111, 114, 116-117, 119, 121-124, 131, 134, 137, 143-146, 157-160, 162, 167-168, 170, 174-175, 184-185, 187, 190, 193, 197-199, 202, 205, 207, 209-210, 214-215, 222-223, 227-228, 230-232, 236, 238-242, 246-248, 253-255,``` | ```261-262, 264-265, 267-268, 270, 275-276, 278-289, 291-293, 295, 298-300, 302-311, 315 multi [3]-43, 165, 267 multi-family [1]-267 multifamily [75] - 11, 33, 39, 57, 91, 108, 123, 125, 132-134, 154, 162-163, 167, 173-176, 196, 238, 240, 245, 247, 249-251, 256, 258-259, 262, 268-269, 273-280, 286, 288, 301-302, 308, 310, 312 Multifamily [2] - 286, 301 multiparty [2]-257, 268 multiple [2] - 116, 252 must [2] - 92 mutual [4]-60, 63, 183 mutually [1] - 183``` <br> N ```name [13]-26, 96, 128, 146, 155, 244, 276-277, 291, 297 names [2] - 95, 296 narrative [2] - 155, 160 nasty [1] - 144 naturally [1] - 205 nature [2] - 60, 177 near [2] - 60, 172 necessarily [4] - 140, 217, 259, 295 Neebe [1] - 177 need [45] - 14, 38, 51, 60, 76-77, 96, 98-99, 119, 131, 139, 143-145, 148-149, 171-172, 179, 190, 209, 229-232, 252, 260, 264, 267, 285, 288, 292, 294, 296-297, 299, 304, 310-311, 317 needed [16] - 28, 32, 48-49, 51, 57, 69, \(76,79,89,124,153\), 311``` | ```needs [7] - 60, 62, 74, 96, 109, 259, 311 negotiate [2] - 179 negotiated [16] - 51, 56, 62, 179, 188, 191, 201, 225, 228, 230, 246, 250, 287 negotiating [4] - 60-62, 181 negotiations [10] - 56, 176-177, 179, 181, 214 neighborhoods [1] - 178 Nevada [44] - 34, 156, 177-178, 200, 203-205, 216-217, 226, 238, 244, 267, 295-297, 302, 304, 307, 311, 314 NEVADA [2] - 1 Nevada's [1] - 288 never [23] - 45, 63, 76, 87, 97, 115, 118, 191, 194, 207, 209, 221, 235, 239, 260, 280-281, 306, 318 Never [2] - 282 new [34] - 65, 93, 121, 146, 159, 196, 214, 216, 222, 240-241, 246, 251, 258, 264, 276, 278-279, 281-282, 285, 288, 290, 293, 297 next [21] - 35, 39, 41, 44, 50, 76, 109, 115, 120, 142, 213, 217-218, 224-225, 254, 273, 291, 293, 305, 312 Nice [1] - 309 Nicklaus [1] - 182 Nine [1] - 284 NO [1] - 1 nobody [3] - 23, 89, 109 Nobody [5] - 33, 41, 65, 75, 84 non [3]-261, 287, 308 none [2] - 44 None [1] - 37 Nonetheless [1] - 201 north [4]-84, 207, 272 nose [1]-299 notarized [1] - 217 note [4] - 40, 91, 238 noted [1] - 232``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| ```36,38, 108, 112-113, 134, 139, 141, 156, 161, 163, 165, 185, 224-225, 300 referenced [10] - 10, 86, 108, 134, 222, 224, 236, 257, 263, 294 references [2]-214, 236 referencing [1] - 10 referred [5] - 102, 110, 179, 219, }23 referring [18]-12, 39, 52, 105, 107, 115, 122, 158, 165-166, 184-185, 209, 219, 274,302 Referring [1] - 106 reflect [4] - 126, 159, 161,274 reflected [11] - 13, 25, 79, 95, 99, 130-131, 161 reflecting [1] - 123 reflects [1]-90 reframe [1] - 70 refresh [8] - 36, 71, 78, 135, 232, 276, 298 refreshed [2] - 71, 299 refusing [1] - 281 regard [6] - 76, 132, 181, 208, 257, 292 regarding[8] - 150, 183, 221, 238, 241, 287 regardless [1] - 260 regards [1] - 242 regular [1] - 85 reinstated [3]-165, 219 reiterate [1] - 193 reiterations [1] - 60 relate [2] - 176 related [12] - 117, 141, 153-154, 167, 182, 194,282 relates [6]-27, 200, 205, 218, 230, }24 relating [7] - 125, 227-228, 233-234, 241,287 relation [1]-297 relationship [17] - 35, 57, 59, 113-116, 155, 179, 202, 247, 296 relationships [6] - 58,``` | 114 <br> relative [1] - 243 <br> release [2]-10, 194 <br> released [3] - 170, 222 <br> relevant [5] - 40, <br> 240-241, 283 <br> Relevant [1] - 70 <br> relied [1] - 60 <br> relies [1] - 156 <br> relying [5] - 48, 61 <br> remained [1] - 223 <br> remedy [1] - 284 <br> remember [37]-23, <br> 63-64, 71, 81-82, <br> 84, 87, 90, 92, 97, <br> 100, 103, 108, <br> 110-111, 113, 132, <br> 174, 177, 197, 199, <br> 208, 215, 242, 245, <br> 263 <br> Remember [2]-14, <br> 136 <br> remind [1]-178 <br> reminded [1] - 79 <br> remotely [2]-167, <br> 210 <br> removed [1]-183 <br> repeat [3]-110, 141, <br> 215 <br> Repeat [1] - 27 <br> repeatedly [1] - 118 <br> Rephrase [3]-21, <br> 157, 315 <br> rephrase [11]-15, 21, <br> 143, 208-209, 229, <br> 248, 250, 261, 315 <br> replication [1]-295 <br> report [5] - 186, 296 <br> reported [1] - 309 <br> Reported [1] - 1 <br> reporter [2]-242, 250 <br> Reporter [1] - 232 <br> REPORTER'S [1] - 1 <br> represent [3]-266, <br> 283 <br> representation [2] - <br> 41, 227 <br> representations [3] - <br> 5,56, 227 <br> representatives [5] - <br> 87, 89, 176, 201 <br> represented [5] - 107, <br> 110, 222, 277 <br> representing [3] - 81, $213,277$ <br> represents [3]-40, $56,196$ <br> request [8]-38, 87 , 89, 150, 152, 154, 163 | ```requested [4]-22, 52-53, 153 requesting \([5]-29\), 42, 86 requests [2]-148, 151 require [1] - 34 required [3]-69, 117, 220 res [26] - 111-113, 128-129, 133, 274, 288, 312 research [1]-309 residence [11] - 12, 31, 87, 129, 132-133, 295 residences [1]-17 resident [1] - 32 residential [64]-3,5, 8, 10, 15, 27-28, 90-91, 123, 128-129, 165, 171, 174-175, 224-225, 239, 243-246, 249-251, 255-260, 262-263, 269, 274, 276-277, 286, 288, 301-302, 310 residents [6] - 7,41 , 122, 133 resides [2]-238, 243 resolve [1] - 292 respect [3]-109, 206, 218 respectfully [2]-167, 240 respective [1] - 217 respectively [1] - 292 respond \([3]-38,41\), 150 responding [5] - 36, 38, 92, 139, 141 response [17]-5, 23, \(35,38,138-139\), 143, 154, 168, 173, 201, 287 responsibility [3] - 201, 249, 315 responsible [1] - 156 rest [2]-129, 258 Restate [1]-16 restate [3]-16, 70, 213 restated \([17]-69,72\), 75, 78, 110, 121, 147, 207-209, 211-212, 214, 216, 220, 226 restatement [1] - 235 result [4] - 49, 63,``` | ```157, 212 resulted [1] - 214 retain [4]-258-260, 295 retains [1]-259 rethought [2]-246, 313 Reversing [1]-232 reverts [1]-222 review [6] - 4, 63, 90, 128, 252, 287 reviewed [4]-202, 254, 297 reviewing [1]-229 revise [2]-43, 311 revisit [1]-310 rezone [3]-301, 308, 314 rezoned [6] - 288, 310 rezones [1] - 281 rezoning [4]-277, 302, 310, 312 right-hand [8] - 26, 36, 53, 67, 92, 270 rights [1]-272 Rizzi [14]-155-157, 244, 295-297, 309 Rizzi's [1] - 156 road [2] - 87, 116 Road [1] - 274 rock [1] - 291 rocket [1] - 193 role [6]-156, 189, 203, 213 rolling [1] - 290 Roman [2]-81, 218 room [1]-94 rough [1] - 224 roughly [1]-214 round [1]-207 rounded [1]-138 route [1]-231 RPTT [1] - 26 Rubicon [1]-28 rule [3]-157, 159, 294 ruled [2] - 290, 293 rules [1] - 298 ruling [5] - 276, 289, 293, 304 run [1]-267 running [1] - 182 ruse [1]-250```S <br> sack $[1]-42$ <br> safeguards $[3]-51$, <br> 84 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { salary }[3]-190,249 \\ & \text { sale }[3]-40,123,165 \\ & \text { sales }[2]-102 \\ & \text { sample }[2]-163 \\ & \text { San }[1]-296 \\ & \text { Sand }[1]-259 \\ & \text { sat }[2]-33,314 \\ & \text { satisfaction }[1]-314 \\ & \text { satisfy }[1]-6 \\ & \text { save }[1]-76 \\ & \text { saw }[9]-42,71,79, \\ & 97,120,253,262, \\ & 283 \\ & \text { schedule }[2]-70,145 \\ & \text { scheduled }[4]-218, \\ & 220,227,288 \\ & \text { scheduling }[2]-316 \\ & \text { school }[2]-101,113 \\ & \text { scope }[10]-38,176, \\ & 202,205,214,238, \\ & 241,284 \\ & \text { screen }[2]-94,177 \\ & \text { Scs }[1]-4 \\ & \text { search }[1]-46 \\ & \text { second }[38]-22, \\ & 30-34,38,40,57, \\ & 70,72,76,86, \\ & 149-150,186,191, \\ & 213-214,222-224, \\ & 227,229,233,252, \\ & 254-255,313 \\ & \text { Second }[2]-194,218 \\ & \text { secondary }[1]-32 \\ & \text { section }[1]-269 \\ & \text { sections }[1]-106 \\ & \text { security }[1]-97 \\ & \text { see }[118]-6,9,13,17, \\ & 20,24,26,28, \\ & 31-32,35-36,39, \\ & 45-46,48-49,52-54, \\ & 58-59,61,70,77, \\ & 81-82,85,87,92, \\ & 94-9,100,102, \\ & 105-109,128,130, \\ & 135-137,142, \\ & 149-150,158,160, \\ & 164-166,184, \\ & 199-200,211-212, \\ & 217-218,221,223, \\ & 236,241,263, \\ & 270-272,276,280, \\ & 284,291-292, \\ & 305-306,314,316 \\ & \text { See }[5]-131,136, \\ & 145,165,317 \\ & \text { seeing }[2]-102,197 \\ & \text { seek }[1]-245 \\ & \text { Segundo }[1]-155 \\ & \text { selective }[1]-236 \\ & \text { sell }[3]-96,115 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```seller [5] - 25, 66, 164, 170,270 sellers [1] - 269 send [16] - 25, 28, 33-35, 66, 89, 118, 155, 167-168, 268, 318 senior [5] - 189, 204 sense [3]-175,190 sent [36]-3,13,17, 21, 30, 37, 39, 75, 79, 89-90, 92-93, 108, 126, 143-144, 148-152, 154, 157, 165,168 sentence [15] - 56, 90, 109, 125-126, 191-192, 194, 221, 228-229, 233-234, 248 sentences [2] - 123, 221 separate [12] - 31, 129, 140, 149, 156, 173, 192, 196, 217, 229,301 separated [1] - 194 separately [2] - 246, 251 September [13] - 38, 81, 204, 209, 214, 217, 219, 223-224, 227 sequentially [1] - 198 series [9] - 66, 79, 96, 147, 160, 168, 186, 296 serve [1] - 161 served [3] - 43, 279 set [16] - 29, 38, 57, 97, 119, 137-138, 142, 150, 169, 191-192, 203, 225, 266 setting [1] - 150 settlement [2]-218 seven [4] - 189, 207, 237,257 several [12] - 15, 60, 64, 68, 88, 173, 176, 184, 189, 213, }29 Several [1] - 68 shake [2] - 142 shall [23] - 54, 57, 59, 83, 125, 166, 216, 218, 220-221, 223, 225, 227-228, 233 share [3] - 153, 170, 245 sheet [1] - 13``` |  | ```224-225, 239, 243, 245-247, 249-251, 257-258, 261-263, 272, 276-277, 281, 286, 288, 295, 301, 311 single-family [1] - 15 sit [4] - 33, 144, 213, 237 site [2]-112, 186 sitting [4] - 137, 177, 251 situation [4]-86, 259, 284,289 situations [1] - 180 six [5] - 70, 75, 78, 86, 214 size [1] - 269 skill [3]-137 skip [1] - 297 skipping [1] - 185 Slater [11] - 297, 299-301, 307 slight [1] - 203 slogan [1]-178 slow [1] - 149 slowing [1] - 304 small [1] - 276 smaller [1] - }18 smart [1] - 88 smarter [1] - 248 smoothly [1]-73 snuff [1] - 49 so.. [8] - 34, 121, 143, 207, 215, 219, 242, 314 social [1] - 97 sold [4] - 47, 95, 122 Someone [2] - 46,64 someone [6] - 51, 66, 96, 134, 256, }30 sometime [2] - 236, 288 sometimes [4] - 248, 284,318 somewhat [1] - 149 somewhere [4] - 109, 189, 301, }30 son [2] - 276 sorry [12] - 6, 27, 59, 77, 86, 99, 114, 117, 135, 254, 292, }30 Sorry [5] - 21, 144, 227, }29 sort [3]-201, 267, 278 Sort [2]-59, 97 Sounded [1] - 319 south [2]-35,269 space [1] - }18``` | ```speaking [4]-87, 109, 230, }27 speaks [4] - 71, 227, 290 special [5] - 58, 160 specially [1] - 297 specialties [1] - 244 species [1] - 181 specific [6]-7, 161, 195, 244, 279, }28 specifically [13]-117, 125, 157, 161, 179, 203, 206, 246, 287, 313 specificity [1] - 278 speed [1] - 159 spell [2] - 146, 291 spend [3] - 85, 150, 203 spending [1] - 310 spent [8] - 65, 127-129, 159, }18 spirals [1] - 211 spit [1] - 160 Springs [40]-43, 64, 95-96, 116, 119, 124, 156, 166, 172, 176, 178-180, 183, 200, 238, 243, 246, 250-251, 260, 271-275, 297, }30 Square [1] - }31 square [4]-275, 314 squares [1] - 276 squaring [1] - 269 squiggly [1] - 266 staff [2] - 154, 281 stamp [10] - 26, 111, 164, 212, 217, 270-271, 273 stamps [1] - 67 stand [9] - 9, 17, 146, 248, 264, 282, 286, 315 standard [1] - 114 standards [1] - 181 standing [2] - 212 standpoint [6] - 119, 145, 175, 209, 239 star [1] - 291 start [14]-17, 70, 172, 199, 242, 254, 265, 302, 310-311, }31 Start [1] - 70 started [9]-3,68, 93-94, 168, 189, 200,290 starting [2] - 77, 189 starts [6] - 80, 215, 264, 290, 299``` | ```state \([7]-4,17,40\), 57, 141, 146 State [1] - 16 statement [10]-22, 48, 90, 141, 235, 270, 279, 281 statements [4]-56, 186, 229, 235 States [1]-56 states [2]-58, 141 stating [3]-21, 48, 86 stationery [1]-217 status [1] - 181 statutes [1]-293 stay [1]-236 step [2]-291, 293 steps [2]-222, 294 Steven [1] - 177 Stewart [6] - 44, 68, 170, 211 stick [2]-9, 175 stiff [3] - 246 Still [2] - 75, 162 still [26] - 9, 15-16, 28, 41-42, 50, 56, 87, 94, 122, 145, 153, 163, 165, 181, 195, 230-231, 238, 245, 255, 297, 309 stipulate [3]-76, 270 stipulation [1] - 76 stitch [2]-247 stock [2] - 190, 204 stop [5] - 143, 149, 223, 249, 304 Stop [6]-21, 72, 134, 143 stopping [1]-79 stops [1]-258 straight \([2]\) - 100, 300 straightened [1]-21 street [1] - 308 stretch [1]-233 strict [2]-260 strike [6] - 73, 88, 143, 248, 303, 311 Strike [1] - 117 Stringer [11] - 89, 151 Stringer's [1] - 89 strong [1] - 192 structure [5] - 148, 180, 205, 315 structured [2]-81, 166 structures [1] - 180 struggle [1]-17 studied [1]-245 stuff [4]-45, 156, 193, 256``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




```
244,319
worst [1] - 200
worth [1] - }17
wouldn't.. [1] - 86
Wow [1] - 80
write [7]-8-10, 19,
    40-41,65
writes [1] - 38
writing [6] - 60,
    195-196, 256, 265
written [20]-28, 32,
    34, 44, 57, 71, 73,
    76-77, 165, 177,
    191, 196, }22
wrote [6] - 18, 59,
    134, 138, 264
WW [8] - 2, 35, 37,
    134,}16
    Y
year [7] - 31, 70, 172,
    224, 249,306
    years [31] - 115, 133,
        172-173, 184, 186,
        189, 215, 225, 243,
        250, 257-258, 275,
        281, 295, 298-299,
        312,314
    yellow [1]-91
    yesterday [10] - 3, 14,
        19, 22-23, 42, 239,
        277,280
    Yesterday [1] - 23
    you.. [1] - 36
    young [1]-193
    yourself [13]-32,58,
        62, 125, 165, 190,
        200, 209, 254-255,
        313
            Z
    zebra [1] - 138
    zone [2]-259
    zoned [5] - 250, 259,
        311
zones [1] - 311
Zoning [7] - 238, 251,
        262, 277, 301
zoning [18] - 93,
    237-238, 240,
    243-244, 260-262,
    276, 311, 315
```


## Parcleel רes

julie 14, 2010
James J. Jimmerson, Esq.
Jimmerson Hansen Attorneys at Law
415 South Sixth Street, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Re: Coyote Springs Real Estate Commissions
Your Clients: Jim Wolfram (Award Realty Group) and Walt Wilkes (General Realty)

Dear Mr. Jimmerson:

This will respond to Mr. Wolfram's letter of April 21, 2010 and to yours of May 17, 2010, both addressed to Jon Lash at Pardee Homes ("Pardee").

Despite the length of your letter of May 17,2010 and its attachments, the issue is not what land Pardee owns, but what land is within the express scope of the September 1, 2004 commission letter (the "Commission Letter") which your ciierits and Fardee signea.

The map prepared by Mr. Wolfram that he enclosed with his letter of April 21, 2010 includes additional real property not within the scope of the Commission Letter.

Piease note that, by its terms, the Commission Letter was concerned only with, The sale of certain property pursuant to Paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Option Aureement as defined therein, and the Commission Letter provided in relevant part that it "represents our entire understanding concerning the subject rmatter
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June 14, 2010
pirje Two

Pardee denies any allegation that it materially breached any representation to provide documents. Pardee further denies that it has any contractual obligation to provide any documents to your clients except for such documents as expressly contemplated in the Commission Letter, all of which were timely furnished to your clients long ago.

If your clients' claim is reassessed in light of the foregoing, it can readily be established that no further compensation is due them pursuant to the Commission Letter.

Very truly yours,

## PARDEE HOMES

By:


Charles E. Curtis, Legal Counsel
cc: Jon Lash

