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## Chronological Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 29 / 2010$ | Complaint | 1 | JA000001- <br> JA000006 |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA0000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |
| $10 / 25 / 2011$ | Transcript re Discovery Conference | JA000024- <br> JA000027 |  |
| $11 / 08 / 2011$ | Scheduling Order | 1 | JA0000031- <br> JA000032 |
| $11 / 29 / 2011$ | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | JA000033- <br> JA000039 |
| $12 / 15 / 2011$ | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and <br> Protective Order | 1 | JA000040- <br> JA000048 |
| $12 / 16 / 2011$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulated <br> Confidentiality Agreement and Protective <br> Order | 1 | JA000049- <br> JA000050 |
| $08 / 27 / 2012$ | Transcript re Hearing | JA000051- <br> JA000054 |  |
| $08 / 29 / 2012$ | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery <br> Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | JA000055- <br> JA000060 |
| $08 / 30 / 2012$ | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to <br> Extend Discovery Deadlines (First <br> Request) | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | 1 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ \text { JA000082 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000083- } \\ & \text { JA000206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000212- } \\ & \text { JA000321 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ \text { JA002065 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/06/2012 | Transcript re Status Check | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002066- } \\ \text { JA002080 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/07/2013 | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002081- } \\ \text { JA002101 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002102- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ \text { JA002353 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002359- } \\ \text { JA002408 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { JA002470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $04 / 08 / 2013$ | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Motion for Leave to File a Second <br> Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002471- <br> JA002500 |
| $04 / 17 / 2013$ | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non- <br> Jury Trial | 16 | JA002501- <br> JA002502 |
| $04 / 23 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of <br> Motion for Leave to File Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002503- <br> JA002526 |
| $04 / 26 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | JA002527- <br> JA002626 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing on <br> April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support <br> of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002652- <br> JA002658 |
| $05 / 30 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002659- <br> JA002661 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for <br> Leave to File a Second Amended <br> Complaint | 16 | JA002662- <br> JA002664 |
| $06 / 05 / 2013$ | Notice of Entry of Order Granting <br> Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a <br> Second Amended Complaint | 16 | JA002665- <br> JA002669 |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| JA002670- |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $07 / 15 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants <br> Counterclaim | 17 | JA002724- <br> JA002731 |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 17 | JA002772- <br> JA002786 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $07 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Status Check | 17 | JA002809- <br> JA002814 |
| $08 / 05 / 2013$ | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's <br> Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine <br> \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | JA002815- <br> JA002829 |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees As An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
| $09 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Hearing <br> Time <br> Damages in the Form of Compensation for <br> Limine to Exclude Plainiffst Claim For in | 17 | JA002870- <br> JA002874 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | JA002875- <br> JA002987 |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Supplemental Brief in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary <br> Judgment | 21 | JA003204- <br> JA003209 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Order Denying Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | 21 | JA003210- <br> JA003212 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial | 22 | JA003213- <br> JA003403 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit A | 23 | JA003404- <br> JA003544 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit B - filed under seal | JA003545- <br> JA003625 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit C | JA003626- <br> JA003628 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit D | 23 | JA003629- <br> JA003631 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit E - filed under seal | 23 | JA003632- <br> JA003634 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit F | 23 | JA003635- <br> JA003637 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit G | JA003641- <br> JA003643 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit H | JA003639- <br> JA003640 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit I | 23 | JA |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit J - filed under seal | 24 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA003644- } \\ \text { JA003669 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit K | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003670- } \\ & \text { JA003674 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit L | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA003675- } \\ & \text { JA003678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit M | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003679- } \\ & \text { JA003680 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit N | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003681- } \\ & \text { JA003683 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit O - filed under seal | 25-26 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA0003684- } \\ \hline \text { JA004083 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit P | 27 | JA004084 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit Q | 27 | JA004085 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit R | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004086- } \\ & \text { JA004089 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit S | 27 | JA004090 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit T | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004091- } \\ & \text { JA004092 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit U | 27 | JA004093 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit V | 27 | JA004094 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit W | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004095- } \\ & \text { JA004096 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit X | 27 | JA004097 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Y | 27 | JA004098 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit Z | 27 | JA004099- <br> JA004100 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit AA | 27 | JA004101- <br> JA004102 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit BB | 27 | JA004103 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit CC | 27 | JA004105 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit DD | 27 | JA004106- <br> JA004113 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit EE | 27 | JA004114- <br> JA004118 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit FF | 27 | JA004119- <br> JA004122 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit GG | 27 | JA004123 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit HH | JA004126- <br> JA004167 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit II | Trial Exhibit JJ | 27 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | TA004124 |  |  |
| $10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit KK | 27 | JA004125 |
|  |  | 27 |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit LL | 27 | JA004168 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit MM | 27 | JA004169 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit NN | 27 | JA004170- <br> JA004174 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit OO | JA004175- <br> JA004183 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit PP | 27 | JA004184- <br> JA004240 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit QQ | JA004241- <br> JA004243 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit RR | JA004244- <br> JA004248 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit SS | JA004249- <br> JA004255 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit TT | 27 | JA004256- <br> JA004262 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit UU | 27 | JA004263- <br> JA004288 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 1 | 27 | JA004289- <br> JA004292 |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 6 - filed under seal | JA004311- <br> JA004312 |  |
| $10 / 23 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 7 - filed under seal | JA004293- <br> JA004307 |  |
| Trial Exhibit 8 - filed under seal | $27308-$ |  |  |
| 1013 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 9 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004313- } \\ & \text { JA004319 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 10 - filed under seal | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004320- } \\ & \text { JA004329 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 11 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004330- } \\ & \text { JA004340 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 12 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004341- } \\ & \text { JA004360 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 13 - filed under seal | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004361- } \\ & \text { JA004453 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 21 | 28 | JA004454 |
| 10/23/2013 | Trial Exhibit 25 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004455- } \\ & \text { JA004462 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 29-30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004463- } \\ & \text { JA004790 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit VV | 31 | JA004791 |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit 26 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA004792- } \\ & \text { JA004804 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2013 | Trial Exhibit 30 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004805- } \\ & \text { JA004811 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004812- } \\ & \text { JA004817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR 7.27 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004818- } \\ & \text { JA004847 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 32-33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004848- } \\ & \text { JA005227 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 15 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005228- } \\ & \text { JA005232 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 18 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005233- } \\ & \text { JA005235 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 19 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005236- } \\ & \text { JA005237 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 20 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005238- } \\ & \text { JA005254 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005255- } \\ & \text { JA005260 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/28/2013 | Trial Exhibit 24 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005261- } \\ & \text { JA005263 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 35 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005264- } \\ \text { JA005493 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 28 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005494- } \\ & \text { JA005497 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/29/2013 | Trial Exhibit 29 | 36 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005498- } \\ \text { JA005511 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 37-38 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA005512- } \\ & \text { JA005815 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 23a | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005816- } \\ & \text { JA005817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/30/2013 | Trial Exhibit 27 | 39 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA005818- } \\ \text { JA005820 } \end{array}$ |
| 12/09/2013 | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | 40-41 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA005821- } \\ & \text { JA006192 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/10/2013 | Transcript re Trial | 42-43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA006193- } \\ & \text { IAAO6530 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 10 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit WW | 43 | JA006531- <br> JA006532 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - filed under seal | $44-45$ | JA006533- <br> JA006878 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit XX | 46 | JA006879- <br> JA006935 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 39 | 46 | JA006936- <br> JA006948 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 40 | 46 | JA006949- <br> JA006950 |
| $12 / 12 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 41 | JA006951- <br> JA006952 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 1 | 46 | JA006953- <br> JA007107 |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Transcript re Trial - Part 2 | JA007108- <br> JA007384 |  |
| $12 / 13 / 2013$ | Trial Exhibit 31a | 48 | JA007385- <br> JA007410 |
| $06 / 24 / 2014$ | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - <br> section filed under seal | 48 | JA007411- <br> JA007456 |
| $06 / 25 / 2014$ | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and <br> Order | 48 | JA007457- <br> JA007474 |
| $07 / 15 / 2014$ | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to <br> Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | JA007560- <br> JA007570 |
| $06 / 27 / 2014$ | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, <br> Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | JA007475- <br> JA007494 |
| $07 / 14 / 2014$ | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge <br> Jis Pendens | 48 | JA007495- |
| 1007559 |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ \text { JA007573 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ \text { JA007578 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007579- } \\ & \text { JA007629 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ \text { JA007698 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA00769- } \\ \text { JA007707 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ \text { JA007711 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007712- } \\ \text { JA007717 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ \text { JA007734 } \end{array}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ \text { JA008150 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008151- } \\ \text { JA008153 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ \text { JA008158 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/19/2015 | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 52 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA008159- } \\ \text { JA008191 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 24 / 2015$ | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' <br> Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, <br> 2015 | 52 | JA008192- <br> JA008215 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | $52-53$ | JA008216- |
| 06/29/2015 | Motion to Strike "Judgment', Entered June <br> 15, 2015 Pursuant To NRCP. 52 (B) And <br> N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders Of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as Such, is a Fugitive Document | 53 | JA008328- |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | $54-56$ | JA008395- |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $06 / 30 / 2015$ | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to <br> Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the <br> Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | JA009110- <br> JA009206 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to <br> Retax Costs | $60-61$ | JA009284- |
| $07 / 08 / 2015$ | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", <br> Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP <br> $52(b)$ and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and <br> Duplicative Orders of Final Orders <br> Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, <br> 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | JA009645- <br> JA009652 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ \text { JA009710 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009734- } \\ & \text { JA009752 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 10 / 2015$ | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's <br> Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening <br> Time | 62 | JA009755- <br> JA009758 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion <br> for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | JA009772- <br> JA009918 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) <br> Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' <br> Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to <br> Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on <br> June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- <br> JA010185 |
| $07 / 17 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and <br> Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | $65-67$ | JA010203- <br> JA010481 |
| Apposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for |  |  |  |
| Attorn's Fees and Costs |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ \text { JA010522 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ \text { JA010767 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes <br> of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment <br> and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | JA010896- <br> JA010945 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for <br> Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| $01 / 11 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants <br> Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' <br> Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition <br> to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> to Amend Judgment and Countermotion <br> for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 69 | JA010954- <br> JA010961 |
| $01 / 15 / 2016$ | Transcript re Hearing | 70 | JA010962- <br> JA011167 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/14/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011168- } \\ & \text { JA011210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/16/2016 | Release of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011211- } \\ \text { JA011213 } \end{array}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011214- } \\ & \text { JA011270 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | JA011385- <br> JA011388 |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ \text { JA011454 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011455- } \\ & \text { JA011589 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ \text { JA011614 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 1 | 73-74 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011615- } \\ & \text { JA011866 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA011867- } \\ \text { JA012114 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ \text { JA012182 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ \text { JA012624 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012625- } \\ \text { JA012812 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 81 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA012813- } \\ \text { JA013024 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/27/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013025- } \\ \text { JA013170 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ \text { JA013199 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013197- } \\ \text { JA013204 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ \text { JA013357 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ \text { JA013444 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/15/2016 | Transcript re Hearing - August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013445- } \\ & \text { JA013565 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013619- } \\ \text { JA013621 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ \text { JA013644 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013645- } \\ \text { JA013648 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ \text { JA013651 } \end{array}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ \text { JA013656 } \end{array}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ \text { JA013668 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume I] | 87 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA013669- } \\ \text { JA013914 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, [Volume II] | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013915- } \\ & \text { JA014065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/27/2017 | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA014066- } \\ \text { JA014068 } \end{array}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $05 / 10 / 2017$ | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014069- <br> JA014071 |
| $05 / 12 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014072- <br> JA014105 |
| $07 / 12 / 2007$ | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, <br> Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014106- <br> JA014110 |
| $07 / 14 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order <br> Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and <br> Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | JA014111- <br> JA014117 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | JA014130- <br> JA014143 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of <br> Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014144- <br> JA014146 |
| $10 / 13 / 2017$ | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay <br> Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment <br> Orders | 88 | JA014147- <br> JA014151 |
| $11 / 02 / 2017$ | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal <br> FA014152- |  |  |

## Alphabetical Index to Joint Appendix

| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 14 / 2011$ | Amended Complaint | 1 | JA000007- <br> JA000012 |
| $10 / 12 / 2017$ | Amended Judgment | JA014118- <br> JA014129 |  |
| $09 / 21 / 2012$ | Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury <br> Trial | 1 | JA000061- <br> JA000062 |
| $02 / 11 / 2011$ | Amended Summons | 1 | JA000013- <br> JA000016 |
| $03 / 02 / 2011$ | Answer to Amended Complaint | JA000017- <br> JA000023 |  |
| $07 / 03 / 2013$ | Answer to Second Amended Complaint <br> and Counterclaim | 16 | JA002678- <br> JA002687 |
| $10 / 24 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment | JA0000083- <br> JA000206 |  |
| $10 / 25 / 2012$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Defendant's Motion for Summary <br> Judgment - filed under seal | 2 | JA000212- <br> JA000321 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume I] | 87 | JA013669- <br> JA013914 |
| $04 / 07 / 2017$ | Appendix of Exhibits in Support of <br> Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of <br> Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders, <br> [Volume II] | 88 | JA013915- <br> JA014065 |
| $06 / 06 / 2016$ | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes <br> Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs - <br> Volume 1 | $73-74$ | JA011615- <br> JA011866 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/06/2016 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Volume 2 | 75-76 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011867- } \\ & \text { JA012114 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated Opposition to: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; and Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 64 | JA009944- JA010185 |
| 07/15/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009772- } \\ & \text { JA009918 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Appendix of Exhibits to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 50-51 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007735- } \\ & \text { JA008150 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/09/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment - sections filed under seal | 3-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000352- } \\ & \text { JA001332 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/13/2012 | Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Summary Judgment | 7-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA001333- } \\ & \text { JA002053 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/29/2010 | Complaint | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000001- } \\ & \text { JA000006 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Declaration of Aaron D. Shipley in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000207- } \\ & \text { JA000211 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/24/2015 | Declaration of John W. Muije, Esq. In Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010523- } \\ & \text { JA010581 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/05/2013 | Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motions in Limine \#1-5; And \#20-25 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002815- } \\ & \text { JA002829 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/22/2013 | Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002772- } \\ & \text { JA002786 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/24/2012 | Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000063- } \\ & \text { JA000082 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Attorneys' Fees as an Element of Damages (MIL \#1) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002145- } \\ & \text { JA002175 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/01/2013 | Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time (MIL \#2) | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002176- } \\ & \text { JA002210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2012 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re: Real Parties in Interest | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002054- } \\ & \text { JA002065 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/08/2013 | Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002471- } \\ & \text { JA002500 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2013 | Defendant's Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002652- } \\ & \text { JA002658 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59, as Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 and May 13, 2015, and as such, is a Fugitive Document | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009645- } \\ & \text { JA009652 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/16/2015 | Errata to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA010186- } \\ & \text { JA010202 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law and Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically Referred to in the Language Included in the Judgment at Page, 2, Lines 8 through 13 and the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 18 through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend the Same to Reflect the True Fact that Plaintiff Prevailed on their Entitlement to the First Claim for Relief for an Accounting, and Damages for their Second Claim for Relief of Breach of Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief for Breach of the Implied Covenant for Good Faith and Fair Dealing and that Defendant Never Received a Judgment in its form and Against Plaintiffs Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within the Court's Latest "Judgment" | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009653- } \\ & \text { JA009662 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007708- } \\ & \text { JA007711 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/25/2014 | Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007457- } \\ & \text { JA007474 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA008151- } \\ & \text { JA008153 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/16/2016 | Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011389- } \\ & \text { JA011391 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/24/2015 | Minute Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | JA010679 |
| 03/21/2013 | Motion to File Second Amended Complaint | 15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002434- } \\ \text { JA002461 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Motion to Strike "Judgment", Entered June 15, 2015 Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 52 (B) And N.R.C.P. 59, As Unnecessary and Duplicative Orders of Final Orders Entered on June 25, 2014 And May 13, 2015, And as Such, Is A Fugitive Document | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008328- } \\ & \text { JA008394 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/08/2015 | Notice of Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees" | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010896- } \\ & \text { JA010945 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Amended Judgment | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014130- } \\ & \text { JA014143 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/27/2014 | Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007475- } \\ & \text { JA007494 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/15/2015 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008154- } \\ & \text { JA008158 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/17/2016 | Notice of Entry of Judgment | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011392- } \\ & \text { JA011396 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013629- } \\ & \text { JA013635 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013636- } \\ & \text { JA016342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/10/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013622- } \\ & \text { JA013628 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/25/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA004812- } \\ & \text { JA004817 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/25/2014 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007574- } \\ & \text { JA007578 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002665- } \\ & \text { JA002669 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013652- } \\ & \text { JA013656 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/13/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Supplemental Briefing re Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA007712- } \\ & \text { JA007717 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Notice of Entry of Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009755- } \\ & \text { JA009758 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013645- } \\ & \text { JA013648 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/03/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002465- } \\ & \text { IAOO2470 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/15/2013 | Notice of Entry of Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002354- } \\ & \text { JA002358 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/13/2017 | Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014147- } \\ & \text { JA014151 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/16/2011 | Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000040- } \\ & \text { JA000048 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/30/2012 | Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000055- } \\ & \text { JA000060 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2017 | Notice of Entry of Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA014111- } \\ & \text { JA014117 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/07/2012 | Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiffs' Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA000322- } \\ & \text { JA000351 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/14/2014 | Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007495- } \\ & \text { JA007559 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013619- } \\ & \text { JA013621 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Defendants Motion to Amend Judgment | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013613- } \\ & \text { JA013615 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/09/2017 | Order and Judgment from August 15, 2016 Hearings Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013616- } \\ & \text { JA013618 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/23/2013 | Order Denying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003210- } \\ & \text { JA003212 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/26/2016 | Order from January 15, 2016 Hearings | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA011385- } \\ & \text { JA011388 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2014 | Order Granting Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007571- } \\ & \text { JA007573 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/30/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002659- } \\ & \text { JA002661 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/05/2013 | Order Granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002662- } \\ & \text { JA002664 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Defendant's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013649- } \\ & \text { JA013651 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment; and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009753- } \\ & \text { JA009754 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/12/2017 | Order on Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.010 and EDCR 7.60 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013643- } \\ & \text { JA013644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/02/2013 | Order re Order Denying Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002462- } \\ & \text { JA002464 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/14/2013 | Order re Order Granting Plaintiffs Countermotion for Summary Judgment | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002351- } \\ & \text { JA002353 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/12/2017 | Order Re: Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014144- } \\ & \text { JA014146 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/29/2011 | Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000031- } \\ & \text { JA000032 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/02/2017 | Pardee Amended Notice of Appeal | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014152- } \\ & \text { JA014154 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Opposition To: (1) Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Strike Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59; <br> and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to <br> NRCP 52(b) and 59 to Amend the Court's <br> Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 63 | JA009919- <br> JA009943 |
| 09/12/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Reply in Support of (1) Motion to Retax <br> Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed <br> June 19, 2015; and (2) Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010812-- <br> JA010865 |
| $12 / 30 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Consolidated <br> Response to: (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non- <br> Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend <br> Judgment and Countermotion for | 69 | JA010946- <br> JA010953 |
| Attorney's Fees; and (2) Plaintiffs' <br> Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to <br> Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs |  |  |  |
| $06 / 01 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 72 | JA011455- <br> JA011589 |
| $07 / 02 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to <br> Amend Judgment | 59 | JA009207- <br> JA009283 |
| $06 / 27 / 2016$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 82 | JA013025- <br> JA013170 |
| $07 / 15 / 2015$ | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to <br> Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs | 62 | JA009759- <br> JA009771 |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/10/2015 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of the Order on Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 67 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA010582- } \\ \text { JA010669 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013171- } \\ & \text { JA013182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiffs' Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013183- } \\ & \text { JA013196 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/01/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA013197- } \\ & \text { JA013204 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/23/2016 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA0112144- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007699- } \\ & \text { JA007707 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/08/2017 | Pardee Notice of Appeal | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013657- } \\ & \text { JA013659 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment: and Ex Parte Order Shortening Time | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009663- } \\ & \text { JA009710 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/06/2016 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011590- } \\ & \text { JA011614 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/28/2015 | Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007718- } \\ & \text { JA007734 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/24/2014 | Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens - section filed under seal | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007411- } \\ & \text { JA007456 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/2015 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed June 19, 2015 | 52 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008192- } \\ & \text { JA008215 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/31/2016 | Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011442- } \\ & \text { JA011454 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/07/2017 | Pardee's Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and Post-Judgment Orders | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013660- } \\ & \text { JA013668 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/10/2017 | Pardee's Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014069- } \\ & \text { JA014071 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/17/2016 | Pardee's Supplemental Brief Regarding Pre- and Post-Judgment Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013591- } \\ & \text { JA013602 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Pardee's Supplemental Briefing in Support of its Emergency Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA009711- } \\ & \text { JA009733 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/25/2014 | Plaintiff's Accounting Brief Pursuant to the court's Order Entered on June 25, 2014 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007647- } \\ & \text { JA007698 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/12/2016 | Plaintiffs' Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013566- } \\ & \text { JA013590 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/23/2016 | Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011397- } \\ & \text { JA011441 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/08/2016 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012115- } \\ & \text { JA012182 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/29/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 52-53 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008216- } \\ & \text { JA008327 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/24/2015 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, Ex Parte (With Notice) of Application for Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution and Order Shortening Time Regarding Stay of Execution | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010482- } \\ & \text { JA010522 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $07 / 18 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine To Permit <br> James J. Jimmerson, Esq. To Testify <br> Concerning Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and <br> Costs (MIL \#25) | 17 | JA002732- <br> JA002771 |
| $06 / 29 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) <br> and 59 to Amend The Court's Judgment <br> Entered on June 15, 2015, to Amend the <br> Findings of Fact/conclusions of Law and <br> Judgment Contained Therein, Specifically <br> Referred to in the Language Included in <br> the Judgment at Page 2, Lines 8 Through <br> 13 and the Judgment At Page 2, Lines 18 <br> Through 23 to Delete the Same or Amend <br> The Same to Reflect the True Fact That <br> Plaintiff Prevailed On Their Entitlement to <br> the First Claim for Relief For an <br> Accounting, and Damages for Their <br> Second Claim for Relief of Breach of <br> Contract, and Their Third Claim for Relief <br> for Breach of the Implied Covenant for <br> Good Faith and Fair Dealing and That <br> Defendant Never Received a Judgment in <br> its Form and Against Plaintiffs <br> Whatsoever as Mistakenly Stated Within <br> the Court's Latest "Judgment - sections <br> filed under seal | JA008395- <br> JA008922 |  |
| Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) <br> Competing Judgments and Orders | 70 | JA011168- <br> JA011210 |  |
| $08 / 06 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants <br> Motion for Partial Summary Judgment <br> 7.60 | 17 | JA002830- <br> JA002857 |
| $06 / 21 / 2016$ | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant, <br> Pardee Homes of Nevada's, Motion to <br> Amend Judgment and Plaintiffs' <br> Countermotion for Attorneys' Fees and | 81 | JA012813- <br> JA013024 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs Claim for Attorney's Fees as an Element of Damages MIL 1 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002359- } \\ & \text { JA002408 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 03/20/2013 | Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs Claim for Damages in the form of compensation for time MIL 2 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002409- } \\ & \text { JA002433 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee Homes of Nevada's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees | 65-67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010203- } \\ & \text { JA010481 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 57-58 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA008923- } \\ & \text { JA009109 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/21/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA012625- } \\ & \text { JA012812 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 05/12/2017 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion Stay Execution of Judgment and PostJudgment Orders | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014072- } \\ & \text { JA014105 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/08/2015 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Costs | 60-61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009284- } \\ & \text { JA009644 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Retax Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Costs Filed May 23, 2016 | 77-79 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA012183- } \\ & \text { JA012624 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/04/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Brief on Interest Pursuant to the Court's Order Entered on August 15, 2016 | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013603- } \\ & \text { JA013612 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/23/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply in Further Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002503- } \\ & \text { JA002526 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/17/2013 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Further Support of Their Counter Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002102- } \\ & \text { JA002144 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 84-85 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013358- } \\ & \text { JA013444 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/02/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 83-84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA013205- } \\ & \text { JA013357 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 01/11/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants Consolidated Response to (1) Plaintiffs' Notice of Non-Reply and Non-Opposition to Plaintiff's Opposition to Pardee's Motion to Amend Judgment and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees And (2) Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010954- } \\ & \text { JA010961 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/15/2013 | Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants Counterclaim | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA002724- } \\ & \text { JA002731 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010680- } \\ & \text { JA010722 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment Entered on June 15, 2015 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010768- } \\ & \text { JA010811 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/11/2015 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's <br> Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike <br> "Judgment" Entered June 15, 2015 <br> Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010723- } \\ & \text { JA010767 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04/20/2016 | Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Response and Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion to Settle Two (2) Sets of Competing Judgments and Orders | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA011271- } \\ & \text { JA011384 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $04 / 27 / 2017$ | Plaintiffs' Response to Pardee's Motion to <br> Stay Execution of Judgment and Post- <br> Judgment Orders | 88 | JA014066- <br> JA014068 |
| $05 / 10 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Motion for Leave <br> to File a Second Amended Complaint <br> Pursuant to the Courts order on Hearing <br> on April 26, 2013 | 16 | JA002627- <br> JA002651 |
| $12 / 08 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs' Supplement to Plaintiffs' <br> Opposition to Pardee's Motion for <br> Attorney's Fees and Costs | 68 | JA010866- <br> JA010895 |
| $09 / 27 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplement to Their Opposition <br> to Defendants Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment | $19-21$ | JA002988- <br> JA003203 |
| $07 / 22 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Supplemental Opposition to <br> Defendants Motion in Limine to Plaintiffs <br> Claim for Damages in the Form of <br> Compensation for Time MIL 2 | 17 | JA002787- <br> JA002808 |
| $10 / 25 / 2013$ | Plaintiffs Trial Brief Pursuant to EDCR <br> 7.27 | 31 | JA004818- <br> JA004847 |
| $06 / 19 / 2015$ | Plaintiffs, James Wolfram and Walt <br> Wilkes' Memorandum of Costs and <br> Disbursements | 52 | JA008159- <br> JA008191 |
| $03 / 16 / 2016$ | Release of Judgment | JA011211- <br> JA011213 |  |
| $01 / 07 / 2013$ | Reply Brief in Support of Defendant's <br> Motion for Summary Judgment | 13 | JA002081- <br> JA002101 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion <br> for Partial Summary Judgment | 17 | JA002858- <br> JA002864 |
| $09 / 16 / 2013$ | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in <br> Limine to Exclude Plaintiff's Claim for <br> Attorney's Fees as An Element of <br> Damages | 17 | JA002865- <br> JA002869 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/16/2013 | Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Claim for Damages in the Form of Compensation for Time | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002870- } \\ \text { JA002874 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/15/2014 | Reply in Support of Pardee's Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA007560- } \\ & \text { JA007570 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/17/2015 | Reply Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Reconsideration | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA010670- } \\ & \text { JA010678 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/08/2011 | Scheduling Order | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000028- } \\ \text { JA000030 } \end{array}$ |
| 06/06/2013 | Second Amended Complaint | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { JA002670- } \\ \text { JA002677 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/17/2013 | Second Amended Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002501- } \\ & \text { JA002502 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12/15/2011 | Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA000033- } \\ & \text { JA000039 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 08/29/2012 | Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (First Request) | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000051- } \\ \hline \text { IAOOOn54 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 06/30/2015 | Supplement to Plaintiffs' Pending Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Motion to Strike Judgment, Motion Pursuant to NRCP 52(b) and NRCP 59 to Amend the Court's Judgment, and Plaintiffs' Opposition to Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JA009110- } \\ & \text { JA009206 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 09/27/2013 | Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA003204- } \\ & \text { JA003209 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/12/2007 | Supplemental Order Regarding Plaintiffs' <br> Entitlement to, and Calculation of, Prejudgment Interest | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA014106- } \\ & \text { JA014110 } \end{aligned}$ |


| Date | Document Description | Volume | Labeled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/05/2013 | Transcript of Proceedings - March 5, 2013 | 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002211- } \\ \text { JA002350 } \end{array}$ |
| 10/25/2011 | Transcript re Discovery Conference | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000024- } \\ \text { JA000027 } \end{array}$ |
| 08/27/2012 | Transcript re Hearing | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA000049- } \\ \text { JA000050 } \end{array}$ |
| 04/26/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002527- } \\ \text { JA002626 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/09/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA002688- } \\ \text { JA002723 } \end{array}$ |
| 09/23/2013 | Transcript re Hearing | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JA002875- } \\ & \text { JA002987 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 07/17/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007579- } \\ \text { JA007629 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/31/2014 | Transcript re Hearing | 49 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA007630- } \\ \text { JA007646 } \end{array}$ |
| 07/10/2015 | Transcript re Hearing | 62 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { JA009734- } \\ \text { JA009752 } \end{array}$ |
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THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Your Honor,
James Jimmerson and Burak Ahmed appearing on behalf James Wolfram, the estate or trust of Walter Wilkes, and present is also James Wolfram.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MS. LUNDVALL: Good morning, your Honor.

Pat Lundvall with McDonald Carano Wilson here on behalf of Pardee Homes of Nevada.

MR. KAY: Good morning, your Honor. Rory Kay on behalf of Pardee Homes also.

THE COURT: Could you tell me a little bit, you know, because I'm from Reno, and $I$ know $I$ was aware of coyote Springs, but $I$ haven't been able to read this entire file. It's lengthy, and Judge Earley has made several key rulings, and $I$ think that there's just a few issues left for her to wrap up after having made a decision, but is Pardee the successor in interest to whatever limited liability corporation that originally developed Coyote Springs?

MS. LUNDVALL: If the Court will allow me to
give you a little bit of background?
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. LUNDVALL: It was the late '90s when

Harvey Whittemore formed the company of coyote Springs Investments, and we've repeatedly referred to that company as CSI during the course of this litigation. So he had a company that owned a lot of land, and I'm talking a lot of land, 160,000 acres, and it's north of Las Vegas. And what he, at that point in time, after he perfected entitlement to water, he went searching for a developer to help him develop the property, and that developer was Pardee Homes.

So we entered into our first contract, Pardee entered into its first contract then with CSI in 2004 . That first contract did this: It said that we were gonna buy 84 -- ultimately $\$ 84$ million worth of land from Mr. Whittemore and his company. In addition, we were taking an option or the opportunity to purchase additional lands in the future.

And so we have secured that $\$ 84$ million in land from Mr. Whittemore, and we have never exercised the options to purchase any additional land from the company that used to be owned by Mr. Whittemore, CSI.

THE COURT: How many acres did you take down in your first option?

MS. LUNDVALL: In the first option, it was ultimately 2,300 acres, and --

THE COURT: And the golf course that was planned for that?

MS. LUNDVALL: The golf course planned for that, originally Mr. Whittemore was responsible for doing the construction of what was called the commercial improvements. Those commercial improvements included the golf course, golf clubhouse, certain other commercial improvements.

When CSI started running into financial problems, we renegotiated some of those contracts, and we purchased the golf course then from CSI, and we currently own the golf course.

THE COURT: And have the remaining 2,300
acres been subdivided so that they're actual lots?

MS. LUNDVALL: There has been some
development out there.
And to kind of give the court some context, in essence, between Pardee and CSI, they were trying to create a new town out there, and so they had everything to do with being able to bring utilities there.

THE COURT: Do they have offsites to the premises?

MS. LUNDVALL: No, they did not have offsites
to the premises. They had to create and originate then the utility. And also everything from -- Pardee spent about $\$ 48$ million putting in electrical substations, entering into contracts with Lincoln County by which then to create the power grid and power entitlement out there.

THE COURT: What did the state engineer do with the water rights?

MS. LUNDVALL: The water rights are still held by CSI. The parties put together what they call a general improvement district. They entered into an agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District. That is the, kind of the operating arm of the Southern Nevada Water Authority, and they created a separate GID that is managed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. That Las Vegas Valley Water District was required to have CSI dedicate water rights then to the GID and -THE COURT: Was the water being imported from other basins, or is it all within that basin?

MS. LUNDVALL: The original water that is being used for development originated from that basin. CSI had 4, 600 acre feet that originated out of that basin, and that set the foundation for the original development.

THE COURT: The agreement, even if -- I'm
talking about the broker's agreement, even if recorded
in the official records in Lincoln County, is not a
covenant which would typically run with the land, I
believe?

MS. LUNDVALL: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And so one question $I$ had when $I$ was reading through the materials with the law clerk was: How can a broker's agreement exist in perpetuity so that it latches on to future phases of the subdivision or has no terminus or anything? How can a broker's agreement go on and on and on out into the distant future? I know this is a land issue, and there's in perpetuity because this is a land issue, but even contracts have some kind of terminus.

Can you address that issue?
MS. LUNDVALL: Yes, I can, your Honor.

In the original agreement between CSI and
Pardee, as we indicated, we purchased \$84 million worth of land, and we paid them full commission on that \$84 million. It's right at $\$ 2.6$ million in commissions we paid to them.

We had an option to purchase additional property from CSI. That option ran for a finite period of time.

```
THE COURT: Right.
```

MS. LUNDVALL: That option expires in I think 2045, so in other words, the opportunity for the brokers to earn more commission is the same lifespan as our option to purchase more property. And so if, in fact, we purchase more property between now and 2045 , they may be entitled to a commission.

And I'm now painting with a very broad brush, because there's specific type of lands that if we purchased and then specific types of ways pursuant to their broker agreements do they get their commission.

But the point being is this: In 2045, when our option expires, then their contract or their right to additional commission would expire as well.

THE COURT: What -- I believe that still to be done in this case is to have, and I don't think I'm inclined to do it, because this is Judge Earley's case, but to formulate some method of notifying the plaintiffs in this case of the existing, the takedown of other property so that they could argue the attachment of their real estate.

Do you have any suggestions in that respect?
MS. LUNDVALL: Yes, your Honor.

As a matter of fact, what I've been doing, I will tell you, is I've been faxing that kind of notice provision.

The Court, in her order at the conclusion of trial, that order was just issued, and it gave us a -it was issued in what, June?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: June 27.
MS. LUNDVALL: June 27th. And in that order,
what she required us to do is within a 60-day time frame then, to come back to her with what the requirements for kind of the future notification might be.

What $I$ have been doing is fashioning that, and what $I$ 'm trying to do is put it in final form so $I$ can submit it to Mr. Jimmerson so that he can be aware of it, and hopefully we can bring agreement then to the Court at the expiration of that 60 days rather than have to have further briefing.

THE COURT: Mr. Jimmerson?
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Can $I$ play devil's advocate for a moment? You kind of know me.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: If the duck that used to be a golden goose is ever gonna fly again so that at least part of this city is developed out there to the benefit of everybody, including your clients who have an argument that they're gonna get a sales broker
agreement, what kind of a practical cloud on title, I'm not talking about legal, I'm talking about a man who knows what the title companies do, which is they see if there's anything that they need to except out on the transfer, so as soon as they see some kind of recorded document, doesn't that put a huge damper on the entire marketing of the property out there?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: The answer is no, but I am willing to work with opposing counsel and the Court to solve that issue.

The answer to your question is this: The
letter is simply a disclosure of the Commission

Agreement. There is no language in the Commission
Agreement that --

THE COURT: Did you attach to the letter
several things that you had earlier agreed were confidential and so should not be disclosed?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: The answer is I did, and that's why we agreed to expunge that, but if $I$ can briefly respond to my firm's defense, the document that was attached was an Amendment Number 2. It was not confidential. It was delivered to my clients without any attempt to make it confidential. It became confidential, because it was part of the protective order that we signed during this litigation, so it was
my error to attach that.
What $I$ could substitute in its place are the seven deeds of record, public record, to evidence which property is subject to the Commission Agreement, but to answer your question directly, it does not provide a cloud, and there's no affidavit here.

THE COURT: And we're talking about a cloud on the market. I'm talking about a person who's
interested in maybe investing in this next phase or something like that, and they go to this thing and they say, What's this all about?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Here's the answer to the question in part: The testimony of Pardee's president, Jon Lash, on October 28th, 2013 , as relates to single-family land: Yes, if we go forward from here on out, whatever we purchase is truly option property for single-family, and we're more than happy to pay a commission.

And another answer on the very next page: And it's your understanding that if Pardee, within the terms of the agreement, buys any single-family detached land which you call production housing, that you owe a commission to Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Wolfram?

Answer, Yes.
THE COURT: If you guys --

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Here's my --

THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: It applies to
successors and assigns. That's what's important.
THE COURT: Say again.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: This contract is
binding upon Pardee's successors and assigns.
And Mr. Wilkes, one of the plaintiffs, passed away in April, and so $I$ believe it's incumbent upon our firm to honor our duties to our clients in the remaining 35 years of this contract, and $I$ agree with opposing counsel, it expires in 2045 . There will be no further involvement at that point.

THE COURT: You're gonna have to allow me to interrupt you so $I$ can keep my train of thought.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: If you have a sitting judge in the Eighth Judicial District who's trying to monitor a way that you can receive reasonable notice, is it overkill to put something of record in Lincoln County which is going to alert potential buyers to a lawsuit confidential memorandum that they're going to be interested in knowing what's that all about? Doesn't it have a truly dampening effect on the marketability of the property?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I don't believe so. THE COURT: How would you answer that question?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: It does have a notice provision that if you're a successor to Pardee or obviously if you're Pardee, and you buy more option property for use as single-family residential property, you will owe a commission. I don't suggest for one moment our client has an ownership interest, but you are going to owe this money.

Now, the reason that is a sensitive issue, and Ms. Lundvall and $I$ went round and round for three weeks or four weeks over four months, is that the Court found that Pardee failed to keep the plaintiffs reasonably informed about what they were doing in Coyote Springs.

Now, I'm dealing with a man in his $70 s$, ' 'm dealing with an estate.

THE COURT: He looks pretty healthy to me.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: When I'm dead and buried in 20 years, $I$ need somebody to be able to have an obligation, like you've indicated, and opposing and I would work together, that there be no game playing, that there would be notification as it relates to single-family homes and commissions be paid. It's a

40-year transaction.
THE COURT: Ms. Lundvall?

MS. LUNDVALL: Let me answer the Court's question from a practical standpoint. How we learned about this is not from Mr. Jimmerson. He didn't send me a copy of this before he put it on file. There were certain negotiations that are ongoing concerning Coyote Springs. We had a title company do a title search, and the title company comes up with this cloud on our title, and so what happens, and it's not only Pardee land that is impacted, it is Coyote Springs' land that is impacted, land over which that we have no control, land over which the parties, when they were jointly developing the Coyote Springs project, fully anticipated guest builders to come out there for them to sell tracts of land to these guest builders, so these guest builders then would come out and construct additional homes, not that Pardee would construct them, not that Pardee would acquire these lands, but guest builders.

And so as a practical consequence, typically what happens is that this guest builder goes to CSI and says, I want to buy some of your land. They're going to do their own title search and they're going to come up with the cloud on the title.

So what's gonna happen is that typically you have a 30 to 60 -day due diligence. Then what they have to try to do is to either negotiate with counsel to get this cloud removed or to pay something to remove it. That's what happens in the real world from a real estate perspective, and $I$ think that that's really kind of what's going on here.

This case was originally filed in 2010. At no point during any of this was there any type of a lis pendens filed against this property, and it was before the Court had entered her order that this was filed, this, this lis pendens then was filed in Lincoln County.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Judge, this is no lis pendens.

MS. LUNDVALL: So from a practical perspective, it does have huge impact on land transactions between parties, particularly sophisticated parties.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: What I will say to the Court is first of all this is not a lis pendens. That's --

THE COURT: Is it the functional equivalent of a lis pendens?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: No, your Honor, but

```
I will say this --
```

THE COURT: A lis pendens allows people an early shot at court to get it lifted, and what kind of remedy did you put in this recorded Memorandum of Agreement of a broker's commission?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I understand. What I'm gonna suggest is that we have with the right, for example, to record the judgment that we won, and it was hard fought, by the way.

MS. LUNDVALL: Not, not against the property, not against the real property. I disagree wholeheartedly with that.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: It attaches against
Pardee for the obligation that it owes. We're absolutely allowed to record a judgment.

THE COURT: Let me cut this short a little bit.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: What I will say --
THE COURT: Let me ask you a question,
Mr. Jimmerson. If the Court, if I order you personally and your client to expunge it --

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: -- I'm not doing something that requires a Seventh Judicial District Court, I'm doing in persona jurisdiction over the people here.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: No question.

And we also filed a petition in Lincoln

County.
THE COURT: You're going to expunge it?
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I already filed an
application to have it expunged in Lincoln county.
THE COURT: When will that happen?
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Here's the point, we can expunge it tomorrow or we'll simply file a document that says, Notice of Expungement of Letter, which is what we recorded, and that's it. We've offered that to Ms. Lundvall.

THE COURT: Would you eventually have to go --

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: But I need some notification, $I$ need some good faith basis.

THE COURT: I understand.
What $I$ see on a preliminary title report coming out is the effect of the filing and recordation of the Memorandum of Agreement, the effect of the expungement of that agreement, all in the exception part of the title report.

To get a clear title, so to speak, don't you have to get a judgment out of this, is it the seventh Judicial District?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: Because now you're talking about in rem or in situs jurisdiction.

MS. LUNDVALL: No, your Honor. On this one I will disagree from a jurisdictional standpoint, and let me understand why. This Court -- a lis pendens arises out of litigation. You file your notice out of the litigation.

THE COURT: It means that in Latin, right.
MS. LUNDVALL: That's correct.
And so from a standpoint the Court has
jurisdiction then not only over the litigation, but the lis pendens that arises out of it, no matter where it's filed. And so to the extent that this Court has the ability to issue an order expunging the lis pendens, and as part of that order we would also request that, in fact, the confidential document be removed from the public record. The Court, because of the jurisdiction over this litigation, has that power, has that authority, and it does not need to happen out of Lincoln County.

And moreover, from the perspective of what counsel keeps tagging on to his argument is that we need some kind of notice, for the last four years he's operated with no notice.

The Option Agreement and the way the Commission Agreement works is that we have to file a whole boat load of public documents to be able to secure any new transaction or new property, and when we file those documents, they'll be a matter of public record.

Part of what we're offering to do is, as a courtesy, is to send to Mr. Jimmerson, to any of the estate, to any of the parties, to anyone else, the documents that we're making as a matter of public record. That is what secures their right to additional commissions, but it does not as far as exist against real property in and of itself. Essentially it's real property that Pardee doesn't own.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: That's the first time I've heard this.

MS. LUNDVALL: It's owned by CSI.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: What has happened, Judge, because you live in Nevada and you are alerted to this, Mr. Whittemore lost control of CSI. The Seeno brothers are in charge that. They are at odds with Pardee. Massive litigation went on between Pardee and Coyote Springs.

THE COURT: I can imagine.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: All I'm saying is
there's nothing in the papers or reply to say, Listen,
we'll serve you a copy of the --

THE COURT: Is there anything in these confidential memorandums that may have been unlawfully disclosed which brings to bear on the Seeno/Harvey Whittemore controversy?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: No, Judge. This is a legal description.

MS. LUNDVALL: No, no, there I disagree wholeheartedly. That's why we've have been so adamant and we came to the Court so quickly on an order-shortening time to seek the expungement.

Let me give you a little bit of history. When CSI and Pardee negotiated their original agreement, they knew what they were doing was doing long-term agreements dealing with the values and the price of land, the strike prices between themselves.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LUNDVALL: What they did not want known publicly to others in the market is that information, and therefore, they employed confidentiality agreements between themselves.

Those confidentiality agreements have been respected not only in this case, but also in the case that we have before Judge Denton. Judge Denton has
jurisdiction over the dispute that arose after Mr. Whittemore got the boot from the Seenos, that there was a dispute that arose between Pardee and CSI.

In the very first Complaint that was filed,
there was a request to make these documents
confidential. Judge Denton has repeatedly respected that confidentiality, and all of those documents have been filed under seal that have been necessary to litigate that.

In this case, opposing counsel went to CSI and asked for the documents that he put on public record from CSI. CSI says, I'm not giving it to you unless there is a protective order protecting it, so that's when we came to the Court. Judge Earley gave us a protective order. They obtained these documents.

Each and every time there was a filing in this case, they are filed under seal. Each and every time a witness talked about those documents from the witness stand, the Judge cleared the courtroom, protecting the confidentiality. The respect that has been given by this jurisdiction has been manifest concerning that confidentiality, and then opposing counsel then takes those documents and makes them a matter of public record.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: That couldn't be
more false. This exhibit was given from her client, Pardee, to my clients on September 1 of 2004 , period. They had that document as part of the Commission Agreement that was assigned on the same day.

THE COURT: Let me say this, the easiest
thing in the world for me to do would be to duck this and allow Judge Earley to come back, because she has to fashion and give her Court-ordered approval to the reasonable notice provisions that are now being crafted, or $I$ guess in absence of a stipulation, has to craft them herself.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Right.
THE COURT: As logical as it sounds to have those two things go simultaneously with the expungement of the recorded document, I am distressed enough about the impact on the market, notwithstanding any other taint that might exist, that I'm going to order its immediate expungement.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I will do so, Judge.

THE COURT: Pardon?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I said we'll do so.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, may $I$ as far as ask counsel not to interrupt so that the court can enter and issue the full scope of the order rather than
having him cut off?
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: By saying "I would do so, I did not mean to interrupt the court.

THE COURT: I'm almost finished anyway.
There's going to have to be another hearing
before Judge Earley pretty soon. I think there's
enough attention now that any sales, as Ms. Lundvall
was just saying, couldn't possibly go surreptitiously,
and to get that cloud off the title in the most effective way possible, $I$ will include in the order the provisions that you suggest $I$ have jurisdiction to do, which cleans up the title by ordering the clerk at the recorder's office to remove them.

Now, I suppose an interlocutory order from the senior judge can be revisited if somebody wants to file a motion for reconsideration before the judge who's handling the case, but $I$ think you're entitled to the immediate removal of the expungement by an order $I$ would like you to draft and run it by counsel.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Thank you, Judge.
May I inquire, ask you to augment that order with one additional provision, and that is to accept Ms. Lundvall's offer that any sale of the option property, that we be notified of the same?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: You know, concurrent with whatever they're doing so we can track it? We're talking about people who live out of the state.

THE COURT: I understand. I'm not ruling --
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: It would --
THE COURT: $\quad-\quad$ any long-term consequence, I'm just saying get the dam thing off there, please.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: If you will add that to the order, and we'll do it voluntarily.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, this will be an order from the Court, and we will craft this order from the Court. I will send it to Mr. Jimmerson for his review, but it's my understanding the court is around today so we can get a signature then and be able to get it immediately?

THE COURT: I will be here for some time.
MS. LUNDVALL: There's one last aspect of our motion that we would ask for the court for your consideration upon. We never should have had to be here on breach of a protective order. This is a document that has been treated as confidential. Counsel knew that. So to the extent that what we had to do is to incur attorney's fees in bringing this issue to the Court's attention; therefore, we would ask
the court to allow us to submit then the amount that we've incurred in bringing this motion and utilize that then as a consequence of Mr. Jimmerson's failure to adhere to the protective order which had been admitted in his papers?

THE COURT: I will allow you to file a motion for fees and costs and stuff like that, but $I$ don't feel steeped enough in this controversy and the equities on both sides and the historical context to be signing some big attorney's fee award. I would feel much more comfortable allowing Judge Earley to do that.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: We agree we should not be here and opposing counsel -- I mean I have a client who passed away and $I$ have a finding by Court that there's a breach by Pardee for failure to give notice. I can't trust Pardee at this point in time to do the right thing.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, at this point I'm going to correct the record as far as on this, and $I$ find, as far as counsel's comments, to be offensive.

When we first learned about this on June 17th, I called his office.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Left a message.

MS. LUNDVALL: And I left a message, a very detailed and explicit message, because $I$ was informed

Mr. Jimmerson was out of the country. His associate who's sitting here at counsel table sent me an email acknowledging the fact that $I$ called.

I waited for Mr. Jimmerson to come back and to try to address this, and when we didn't get any return calls, that's when we filed this.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: She filed it the day I went back.

MS. LUNDVALL: When, in fact, I did get a return call from Mr. Jimmerson, what he wanted to do was to play Let's Make a Deal. He wanted to say, Well, I will take the confidentiality document, but I'm gonna leave the lis pendens. That's what he was asking for when finally he contacted me in an effort to try to remediate then the error that he made.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, this is not $a$ lis pendens. Whatever term the Court wants to use, I appreciate the Court stating in practical terms is this a cloud. The last thing my clients can afford to do is to litigate against this Goliath like Pardee, which is why we proceeded in our opposition to expunge these documents, but it is a successor and assign provision for 35 more years that my clients' are entitled to a commission on the very next purchase they make for single-family production homes.

THE COURT: So you proceeded in your normally bashful fashion, is that right, Mr. Jimmerson?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I did, your Honor, and I attached the wrong documents. I could have attached the legal description and had no issues.

THE COURT: I understand, but I'm gonna defer the ruling on attorneys fees. I will accept the motion, but $I$ will leave it to her.

MS. LUNDVALL: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you all.
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| 1 - | ADDITIONAL | ANTICIPATI | ARMED [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LY [2] 9:14; | NG [1] | 5:21, 23; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12616 \quad[1] \\ & 2: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 17:20 | 14:12 | 9:23; 10:10 |
|  | ADDRESS [2] | ANYONE [4] | ASKED [2] |
|  | 3:5; 14:16 | 5:22, 23; | 16:10, 11 |
| - 2 - | ADDRESSED | 9:24; 13:1 | ASKING [1] |
|  | [2] 9:12; | ANYTHING | 13:16 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 2016 & {[2]} \\ 1: 17 ; & 2: 1 \end{array}$ | 21:2 | [4] 12:3, 4; | ASSASSIN |
|  | ADDRESSIN | 20:23; 21:8 | [2] 17:8, 13 |
|  | G [1] 22:9 | APARTMENT | ASSAULT [2] |
|  | AFTER [2] | [4] 7:12, 13; | 4:23; 9:22 |
| - 3 - | 12:13, 14 | 10:14, 18 | ASSERTING |
|  | AGAIN [4] | APOLOGIZE | [1] 13:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \mathrm{TH} \\ & 22: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 10:6; 11:8; | [1] 20:24 | ASSOCIATIN |
|  | 17:10; 22:19 | APPEARANC | G [1] 8:25 |
|  | AGITATED | ES [1] 1:20 | ASSOCIATIO |
| - A - | [2] 10:9; | APPEARED | N [1] 8:24 |
|  | 11:12 | [1] 4:4 | ASSUME [3] |
| $\begin{array}{lc} \text { A.M. } & {[2]} \\ 1: 18 ; & 2: 2 \\ \text { ABLE } & {[1]} \end{array}$ | AGREE [1] | APPEARS [3] | 10:23; 21:8 |
|  | 20:2 | 15:24; 16:1, | ATTACHMEN |
|  | AGREEMENT | 3 | T [1] 17:17 |
|  | [1] 21:20 | APPRECIATE | ATTEMPTED |
| ABOUT [15] | AHEAD [1] | [4] 3:3; | [1] 9:7 |
|  | 6:16 | 12:23; 13:1, | ATTENDED |
| $5: 19 ; \quad 7: 4 ;$ | ALONE [1] | 11 | [1] 13:9 |
|  | 21:1 | APPROACHE | ATTENDING |
| $\begin{array}{lr} 8: 13 ; & 9: 21 \\ 11: 10, & 24 ; \end{array}$ | ALREADY [1] | D [3] 4:19; | [1] 12:18 |
|  | 9:12 | 10:5, 8 | ATTENTION |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 11, \quad 19 ; \\ & 14: 5 ; \quad 16: 24 ; \end{aligned}$ | ALSO [8] | APPROXIMA | [2] 19:5, 8 |
| $\begin{array}{lc} 14: 5 ; & 16: 24 ; \\ 17: 5, & 12 ; \end{array}$ | 2:8; 5:20; | TELY [2] | ATTEST [1] |
|  | 6:7; 11:6; | 10:15, 20 | 23:9 |
| 18:2 | 13:2; 15:16; | AREA [1] | ATTORNEY |
| ABSENT [2] | 16:1, 2 | 4:15 | [1] 1:21 |
| ABSOLUTEL | ALTHOUGH | AREAS [1] | AUGUST [3] |
| Y [1] 12:19 ACCURATE | [1] 11:12 | 2:17 | 1:17; 2:1; |
|  | ALWAYS [1] | ARGUE [1] | 22:12 |
| [1] 23:10 | 4:5 | 17:24 |  |
|  | AMBIGUOUS | ARGUING [1] | - B - |
| ACTIONS [1] | [2] 7:2; | 18:10 |  |
| ACTUAL [1] | 10:6 | ARGUMENT | B ACK |
|  | AMOUNTED | [4] 14:21; | 12:7 |
| ACTUALLY | [1] 20:8 | 18:17; 19:8, | BASED [2] |
| [12] $2: 23 ;$ <br> $5: 3 ;$ $10: 11$, <br> $13 ;$ $12: 9$, <br> $10 ;$ $13: 1 ;$ <br> $14: 1$, 10,$11 ;$ <br> $15: 25$  | AMOUNTING | 15 | 15:17; 20:19 |
|  | [1] 15:9 | ARGUM | BASICALLY |
|  | ANOTHER | TIVE [1] | [2] 14:21, |
|  | [1] 18:8 | 15:18 | 24 |
|  | ANSWER [2] | ARGUMENTS | BASIS [3] |
|  | 6:17; 16:9 | [1] $3: 6$ | 18:11; |
|  | ANTICIPATE |  | 19:24; 20:2 |
|  | D [1] 4:16 |  |  |


| BECAME [1] | BRIEFED [1] | CASE [13] | COME [3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:9 | 19:3 | 1:7; 3:16, | 10:17; |
| BECAUSE | BRIEFLY [1] | 21; 4:8, 22; | 12:25; 21:23 |
| [16] 3:11; | 21:19 | 15:16; 18:5, | COMES [1] |
| 9:2; 14:9, | BRIEFS [1] | 9, 13; | 21:9 |
| 19; 15:21; | 19:12 | 19:23; 21:1, | COMMITTED |
| 16:14, 23; | BROUGHT | 17; 22:7 | [2] 12:9; |
| 17:3, 16, 21, | [4] 4:22; | CASES [2] | 19:20 |
| 23; 18:8, | 6:21; 12:11; | 2:24; 18:15 | COMMUNICA |
| 13; 20:14; | 19:10 | CASH [1] | TIONS [1] |
| 21:17; 22:3 | BUCK [10] | 14:9 | 5:24 |
| BEEN [1] | 3:20; 6:1, | CAUSE [1] | COMPLETEL |
| 14:4 | 14; 7:9; | 19:20 | Y [1] 19:7 |
| BEFORE [2] | 8:18; 9:17, | CERTAINLY | CONFIRM [1] |
| 1:15; 4:4 | 24; 10:3; | [1] 23:3 | 14:2 |
| BEHALF [2] | 11:2, 16 | CHARGED | CONSPIRAC |
| 2:9, 11 | BURDEN [1] | [2] 3:18; | Y [8] 3:17; |
| BEING [2] | 19:18 | 19:21 | 9:2, 3, 5, 14; |
| 5:23; 9:1 |  | CHECK [1] | 12:1; 14:3, |
| BELIEVE [2] | - C - | 5:17 | 21 |
| 7:7; 19:2 |  | CHIEF [1] | CONSPIRAT |
| BEST [1] | C-16-315599 | 1:21 | ORS [1] |
| 10:7 | -2 [1] 1:7 | CIRCUMSTA | 12:9 |
| BETWEEN | $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{M} \quad[1]$ | NTIALLY [1] | CONTEXT [2] |
| [8] 3:17; | 2:15 | 14:2 | 10:23; 20:19 |
| 6:3; 7:7, 8; | CAITLYN [2] | CLARK [1] | CONVENIEN |
| 8:24; 9:5; | 1:22; 2:7 | 1:2 | CE [3] 5:10, |
| 11:16; 14:3 | CALENDAR | CLEAR [3] | 12; 12:24 |
| BILL [21] | [6] 21:15, | 3:22; 4:9, | CONVERGE |
| 7:19; 14:17; | $\begin{array}{ll} 101 \\ 21, & 23 ; \end{array}$ | 18 | [1] 11:22 |
| 15:6, 22, 23, | $22: 10,11,25$ | CLERK [4] | CONVERSAT |
| 24; 16:1, 7, | CALL [7] | 2:14; 22:10, | ION [9] 6:3, |
| 8, 12, 23; | $17: 7: \quad 21: 15$ | 12, 15 | 8, 11, 12, |
| 17:1, 11, 14, | $\begin{array}{lll} 17: 7, & 21: \\ 21, & 23 ; \end{array}$ | CO-DEFEND | 13; 7:8; |
| 16, 21; | $22: 10,11,25$ | ANT [4] | 8:14; 10:4; |
| 20:8, 18, 20, | CALLED [2] | 3:18, 19, 20; | 14:5 |
| 24 | 17:15, 21 | 22:14 | CORPUS [1] |
| BODY [2] | CALLS | CO-DEFEND | 2:5 |
| 13:4, 6 | 15:25 | ANTS [1] | CORRECT |
| BOTH [1] | CAME [4] | 22:3 | [8] 3:12, 14; |
| 22:22 | $9: 20 ; \quad 10: 16 ;$ | COCAINE [9] | 13:5; 14:22, |
| BRANDON | $12: 7 ; 20: 19$ | 4:12, 21, 25; | 23; 15:1; |
| [9] 6:2, 4, | CAN'T [3] | 5:15, 17, 19; | 16:12, 13 |
| 15; 7:9; | $16: 21,22$ | 9:8; 11:9; | CORROBORA |
| 8:18; 9:24; | $18: 7$ | 14:8 | TED [1] 5:2 |
| 10:3; 11:2, | CANNOT [1] | COGNIZANT | COULD [3] |
| 16 | 9:3 | [2] 21:1; | 6:1; 20:25; |
| BREAK [1] | CAREFULLY | 22:6 | 21:23 |
| 2:22 |  |  | COULDN'T |
|  |  |  | [1] 10:22 |


| COUNT [3] | CUSTODY [1] | DIRECTION | ENSUED [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:10; 14:21, | 2:10 | [3] 12:1, 5, | 10:12 |
| 22 |  | 8 | ERIC [1] |
| COUNTS [5] | - D - | DISCREPAN | 4:13 |
| 2:18, 22; |  | CIES [1] | ESTABLISH |
| 14:20; | DATE [5] | 13:19 | [2] 9:2, 3 |
| 19:20; 23:4 | 21:14, 20; | DISCUSS [1] | ESTABLISHI |
| COUNTY [1] | $22: 1,9,23$ | 22:5 | NG [1] |
| 1:2 | DEADLY [2] | DISMISS [1] | 19:18 |
| COURSE [1] | $9: 11,15$ | 2:18 | EVEN [6] |
| 3:19 | DEATH [1] | DISMISSED | 4:16; 16:9; |
| COURT [76] | 12:12 | [1] 2:20 | 17:3, 4, 7; |
| 1:1, 16; 2:4, | DECEDENT | DISORDER | 20:13 |
| 17; 3:1, 4, | [12] 4:9, 22; | [1] 17:21 | EVENING [1] |
| 7, 9, 11, 13, | $5: 5, \quad 21$ | DISPLAYING | 14:4 |
| 15, 23; 4:7; | $9: 21, \quad 22 ;$ | [1] 9:24 | EVENTS [2] |
| 5:6, 10, 13, |  | DISTRICT [5] | 13:2, 23 |
| 15; 6:16, | 11:13, 16; | 1:1, 16, 21; | EVER [2] |
| 19, 24; 7:3, | $12: 11,15$ | 18:5; 20:5 | 8:9; 11:20 |
| 5, 10, 16, | DECEDENT'S | DOES [1] | EVERYBODY |
| 19; 8:4, 7, | [3] 4:14; | 20:5 | [4] 6:6; 7:4; |
| 10, 16, 19, | $10: 14 ; 13: 25$ | DOING [1] | 12:21; 14:6 |
| 22; 9:9; | DEFENDANT | 13:14 | EVERYONE |
| 10:17, 21; | D | DONE [3] | [6] 4:24; |
| 11:1, 7, 11, | $17: 24 ;$ | 20:20; 21:4, | 8:15; 10:4; |
| 14; 13:3, | 19:20; | 6 | 11:12, 21, 23 |
| 13, 18; | 20:22 | DOWN [2] | EVERYTHIN |
| 14:14, 18, | 21:13, 14 | 2:22; 21:9 | G [4] 2:23, |
| 24; 15:2, 9, | 22:18, 20 | DRAW [1] | 24; 18:1; |
| 12, 14; | DEFENDANT ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | 19:5 | 21:23 |
| 16:5, 14, 20, | S[1] $2: 5$ | DURING [1] | EVIDENCE |
| 25; 17:9, | DEFENSE | 18:11 | [2] 18:19; |
| 19, 25; | 18:4 | DUTY [2] | 19:19 |
| 18:6, 20, 25; | DEPUTY [1] | 15:15, 17 | EXACTLY [2] |
| 19:4, 8, 10, | 1:21 |  | 5:11; 22:3 |
| 13, 14, 16; | DESCRIBING | - E - | EXCHANGE |
| 20:5; 21:16; | [1] 11:6 |  | [2] 4:20; |
| 22:2, 11, 13, | DETECTIVE | EARLEY | 11:4 |
| 17, 19, 21, | [2] 20:16; | 1:15 | EXCULPATO |
| 24; 23:1, 3, | 21:7 | EARSHOT [1] | RY [2] |
| 7 | DIDN'T [9] | 6:1 | 18:19; 20:3 |
| COURT'S [3] | 4:2; 12:8, | EITHER | EXCUSE [1] |
| 6:23; 8:20; | 25; 16:6, 7, | 21:4 | 8:3 |
| 19:5 | 25; 19:10; | ELSE [3] |  |
| COUSIN [1] | 20:1, 24 | 4:13; 5:22; | - F - |
| 5:4 | DIFFERENTI | 9:25 |  |
| CREDIBILITY |  |  |  |
| [1] 13:17 | ATE [1] | ELSE'S [1] | FACT [7] |
| CREDIBLE | 18:13 | 5:23 | 12:21; 14:7; |
| [1] 13:15 |  | ENHANCEME | 15:23; |
| [1] 13:15 |  | NT [1] 9:15 |  |


| 16:10; 17:6; | FRONT [3] | G U Y S [1] | HONOR [11] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:18; 21:7 | 4:4; 6:7; | 18:15 | 2:13; 3:16; |
| FAMILIAR | 16:2 |  | 7:15; 14:15; |
| [1] 3:2 | FULL [1] | - H - | 15:8; 18:24; |
| FANTASTICA | 23:10 |  | 19:2; 21:11, |
| L [1] 17:6 | FUMO [1] | HABEAS [1] | 12, 24; 23:6 |
| FEEL [7] | 22:15 | 2:5 | HONORABLE |
| 18:10; | FUMO'S [1] | HANDS [3] | [1] 1:15 |
| 19:18, 24; | 21:25 | 11:19, 21; | HOOKED [1] |
| 20:1, 4, 14; |  | 12:3 | 21:7 |
| 21:3 | - G - | HANGING [2] | HOWEVER |
| FINALLY [1] |  | 4:19; 14:4 | [3] 3:1; |
| 20:16 | GENERALLY | HAPPEN [1] | 4:24; 6:2 |
| FIND [5] | [2] 16:23; | 14:12 | HUNDRED |
| 7:24; 10:22; | 17:2 | HAPPENED | [2] 3:24 |
| 15:4; 17:3; | GETS [1] | [1] 20:11 |  |
| 20:8 | 12:16 | HAPPENS [1] | - I |
| FINE [2] | GISENDANE | 12:14 |  |
| 3:7, 13 | R [7] 6:2; | HAPPY [2] | I'VE [1] 17:3 |
| FIREARM [2] | 9:17; 22:15, | 6:17; 11:13 | IGNORED [1] |
| 11:20; 12:4 | 16, 18, 20, | HAVING [3] | 19:7 |
| FIRST [3] | 21 | 5:8; 8:14; | IMMEDIATEL |
| 2:17; 4:13; | GIVE [2] | 11:4 | Y [2] 12:14; |
| 16:9 | 12:16; 18:12 | HEALTH [1] | 13:9 |
| FLAT [1] | GIVEN [1] | 17:23 | INCLUDING |
| 18:6 | 18:3 | HEAR [1] | [3] 5:4, 5, 7 |
| FLED [1] | GLOCK [1] | 6:1 | INCREASING |
| 12:22 | 7:6 | HEARD [2] | LY [1] 10:9 |
| FOLLOW [1] | GOES [1] | 7:6; 21:13 | INCUMBENT |
| 20:21 | 19:23 | HELD [1] | [1] 16:17 |
| FOLLOWED | GOING [5] | 1:17 | INDICATED |
| [1] 12:5 | 6:1; 8:1; | HELP [1] | [2] 6:9; |
| FOOD [1] | 9:18; 22:8; | 18:16 | 10:15 |
| 5:10 | 23:1 | HERE [4] | INDICATION |
| FORTUNATE | GONE [1] | 2:9; 4:15; | [4] 5:21; |
| LY[1] 20:11 | 10:2 | 6:22; 22:22 | 9:23; 14:10, |
| FORWARD | GONNA [5] | HIMSELF [1] | 11 |
| [4] 9:16; | 9:9; 14:8, | 17:23 | INDICTMENT |
| 19:23; 23:2 | 12; 21:9; | HOLD [1] | [1] 15:17 |
| FOUR [2] | 22:6 | 17:25 | INDIVIDUAL |
| 2:18; 19:20 | GOSH [1] | HOLDING [1] | S [2] 8:25; |
| FOURTH [1] | 21:16 | 12:17 | 12:13 |
| 11:5 | GRAND [9] | HOLDS [1] | INDULGENC |
| FRANCHISE | 2:20; 3:22, | 18:6 | E[1] 6:23 |
| [2] 17:12, | 25; 4:8; | HOME [11] | INFLAME [1] |
| 17 | 16:2; 18:4, | 5:8, 12; | 21:6 |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { FROM } & {[6]} \\ 3.25 . & 10.7 .\end{array}$ | 11; 19:17; | 7:20, 21, 22, | INFLAMMAT |
| 3:25; 10:7; | 20:4 | 25; 8:6, 9; | ORY [4] |
| 16:9, 24; | GROUND [2] | 12:8, 24 | 15:18, 23; |
| 19:6; 23:3 | 12:15; 13:10 |  | 17:16; 20:23 |


| INFORMATIO | 10:5, 7, 15, | KIND [4] | 18:11; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N [1] 2:21 | 19, 20; | 5:8; 11:20; | 19:23; 20:1, |
| INITIAL [2] | 11:4; 13:22; | 16:16; 17:17 | 13 |
| 11:25; 12:9 | 14:24; | KINDS [1] | LEGALLY [1] |
| INITIALLY | 15:15, 16; | 15:19 | 9:4 |
| [2] 11:3, 8 | 16:17; 17:2, | KNEW [5] | LET'S [2] |
| INSIDE [10] | 10, 15, 25; | 7:24; 9:25; | 7:16; 14:6 |
| 4:25; 5:1, 8, | 18:9, 18; | 16:7; 20:12, | LIABLE [2] |
| 14, 25; | 22:25 | 18 | 9:4, 13 |
| 6:11; 8:6, 8; |  | KNOW [21] | LIGHT [1] |
| 10:2, 3 | J | 2:21; 4:4, 6, | 15:23 |
| INSTEAD [1] |  | 14, 24; | LIKE [2] |
| 14:1 | JACOB [1] | 5:16; 6:6, | 6:9; 17:15 |
| INSTIGATIO | $1: 21$ | 24; 15:21; | LIKELY [1] |
| N [1] 6:14 | JAKE [1] | 16:6, 10, 12; | 23:5 |
| INSTIGATOR | 2:11 | 17:1, 4, 7; | LIMITING [3] |
| [1] 6:4 | JESSICA [2] | 18:14, 15; | 16:18, 19, 20 |
| INSTRUCT | 5:4; 10:14 | 20:15, 24 | LINE [3] |
| [1] 20:3 | JUDGE [1] | KNOWLEDGE | 7:17; 8:20; |
| INSTRUCTIO | 1:16 | [8] 5:18, 20, | 21:10 |
| N [5] 16:18, | JURY [11] | 22; 6:5; | LITTLE [3] |
| 19, 20; | 2:20; 3:22, | 9:17, 21; | 7:1, 3; 10:6 |
| 18:4, 12 | 25; 4.9 ; | 14:7 | LOCATE [1] |
| INSUFFICIEN | $\begin{array}{ll} 20 ; \\ 16: 2, & 22 ; \end{array}$ | KNOWN [2] | 14:9 |
| CY [1] 9:3 | $18$ | 16:23; 17:2 | LOCATION |
| INSUFFICIEN | $19: 17 ; \quad 20: 4 ;$ | KNOWS [1] | [2] 6:20; |
| T [1] 9:1 | 21:6 | 23:1 | 9:1 |
| INTERACT |  | KRISTINA [2] | LOOK [2] |
| [1] 13:1 |  | 1:23; 2:8 | 16:8; 20:19 |
| INTERACTIO | - K - |  | LOOKED [4] |
| N [1] 12:12 |  | - L - | 10:21; |
| INTERESTED | KENNETH [5] |  | 16:14, 15; |
| [1] 19:14 | 1:8; 7:18; |  | 20:22 |
| INTO [3] | 8:5; 14:17; |  | LOREE [3] |
| 7:19, 21; | 20:18 | LAST [1] | 1:25; 23:14 |
| 16:16 | KERRY [1] | 2.14 [1] |  |
| INTRODUCE | 1:15 | LATER [2] | - M |
| [1] 16:7 | KILL [21] | 11:4; 12:7 |  |
| INVESTIGATI | 7:19; 14:17; | LAYING [1] | MAKE [3] |
| ON [1] | 15:6, 22, 23, | 12:15 | 10:24; |
| 20:17 | 24; 16:1, 7, | LEAST [4] |  |
| INVOLVEME | 8, 12, 23; | $9: 25 ; \quad 14: 2 ;$ | 14:18; $18: 18$ MARGINAL |
| NT [1] 5:19 | 17:1, 11, 14, | 17:18, 22 | [1] 19:19 |
| ISSUE [2] | 16, 21; | LEAVE [2] | MARY [2] |
| 9:20; 14:16 | 20:8, 18, 20, | 21:20; 22:6 | MARY $2: 15,16$ |
| ISSUES [1] | 24 | LEFT [3] | MATTER [1] |
| 17:23 | KILLER [1] | 8:9; 21:15, | 19:3 |
| IT'S [22] | 17:16 | 22 21.15, |  |
| 2:15; 5:1; | KILLING [2] | LEGAL | MCAMIS [57] |
| 6:2; 7:7; | 17:5, 13 | LEGAL $16: 11$; | $15,25 ; 3: 3$ |


| 5, 8, 10, 12, | MONEY [1] | NOTICE [1] | OTHER [8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14, 16; 4:3, | 14:10 | 22:5 | 4:11; 6:25; |
| 8; 5:7, 11, | MORE [3] | NOTICEABL | 7:6, 25; |
| 14, 18; | 3:8; 18:15; | Y [2] 12:22; | 8:24; 18:2; |
| 6:17, 23; | 19:22 | 13:22 | 21:13; 22:14 |
| 7:1, 4, 7, 15, | MOST [1] | NUMBER [2] | OUTSIDE [4] |
| 17; 8:2, 5, | 23:5 | 2:7; $20: 1$ | 5:25; 6:4, 5; |
| 8, 11, 17, | MOVED [2] |  | 8:13 |
| 20, 23; | 22:2, 4 | - 0 - | OVER [4] |
| 9:12; 10:19, | MOVIE [8] |  | 11:15; 13:4, |
| 24; 11:2, 8, | 16:24; 17:2, | OBLIGATION | 6; 17:6 |
| 12, 15; | 3, 5, 11, 12, | [1] 18:18 | OVERHEAR |
| 13:5, 16, 20; | 17, 18 | OBSERVED | [1] 12:25 |
| 14:15, 23; | MUCH [1] | [1] 8:8 | OVERHEARD |
| 15:1, 8, 11, | 7:10 | OBVIOUSLY | [3] 6:8, 10; |
| 13, 15; | MURDER [2] | [3] 17:1; | 9:6 |
| 16:13, 16, | 9:6, 10 | 20:25; 21.1 | OVERLOOKI |
| 22; 17:2, | MURRAY [3] | OFFENSE | NG [1] |
| 10, 20; | 1:25; $23: 14$ | 12:10 | 13:23 |
| 18:17, 23 |  | OFFER [1] |  |
| MCGEE [31] | - N - | 16:18 | - P - |
| 1:8; 2:9; |  | OFFICE [2] |  |
| $3: 18 ; \quad 4: 10$ | NAME [9] | 2:8; $21: 25$ | PAGE [5] |
| $16, \quad 24 ;$ | 2:14; 16:3, | OKAY [30] | 6:21; 7:15; |
| 5:14, 25; | 6, 8, 11; | 2:25; 3:9, | 16:2; 19:6, |
| 6:5, 6, 11, | 20:13, 25 | 15; 4:7; | 12 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}21 ; & 7: 12 ; \\ 8.24 . & 9.13 .\end{array}$ | NEAL [3] | 5:13; 7:16, | PAGES [6] |
| 8:24; 9:13; | 6:7, 20; | 23; 8:10, | 3:24; 7:7; |
| 10:2, 15, 17; | 7:18 | 16, 19, 22; | 8:2, 12; |
| 11:5, 19; | NEED [3] | 10:21, 22; | 19:16 |
| 12:2, 20; | 18:7; 19:24; | 11:1, 7, 14; | PART [5] |
| 14:3; 15:6, | 22:22 | 14:14, 18; | 2:18; 6:13; |
| 22; 16:4; | NEEDS [1] | 15:2, 12, 13, | 7:11, 13; |
| 17:13, 20; | 21:2 | 14; 17:9, | 11:25 |
| 20:7, 12, 18 | NEIGHBOR | 19, 25; | PARTY [6] |
| MCGEE'S [3] | [5] 5:5, 7; | 18:21, 25; | 4:10, 14, 18; |
| 9:21; 11:18; | 6:7; 9:6; | 19:16 | 12:15, 18; |
| 14:7 | 12:23 | ONLY [5] | 13:25 |
| MEAN [1] | NEVADA [7] | 8:12, 17; | PEOPLE [14] |
| 4:2 | 1:2, 5, 19; | 14:7; 16:6, | 4:11; 5:2, 4; |
| MEMBER [1] | 2:1; 18:6, 9 ; | 12 | 6:25; 8:13, |
| 4:13 | 20:5 | OPEN [1] | 17; 10:13; |
| MENTAL [1] | NEXT [3] | 6:10 | 11:25; |
| 17:23 | 15:4; 18:20 | OPPORTUNI | 12:19; 13:7, |
| MERE [4] | NICKNAME | TY [1] 4:16 | 8; 14:3, 12; |
| 8:23; 18:4, | [1] 20:18 | ORDER [1] | 17:5 |
| 12; 20:4 | NOBODY [1] | 3:5 | PERCIPIENT |
| MISCONDUC | 23:1 | ORIGINAL | [1] 5:3 |
| T [3] 15:10; | NOTES [2] | [1] $1: 9$ | PERSON [5] |
| 20:9; 21:3 | 2:6; 15:12 | [1] | 11:5; 13:5, |


| 11, 21; | PREMISE [1] | PULL [1] | REASONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:17 | 17:4 | 18:9 | [1] 9:15 |
| PERSONALIT | PREMISED | PULLED [1] | RECALL [1] |
| Y [1] 17:21 | [2] 3:17; | 2:24 | 21:12 |
| PETITION [1] | 18:17 | PURCHASE | RECOLLECTI |
| 2:5 | PRESENCE | [1] 14:8 | ON [1] 7:14 |
| PHONETICA | [4] 6:5; | PURSUANT | RECORD [2] |
| LLY [1] | 18:5, 12; | [1] 9:13 | 3:23; 4:1 |
| 22:17 | 20:4 | PUTS [1] | REFER [1] |
| PHYSICALLY | PRESENT [5] | 11:18 | 16:21 |
| [1] 13:7 | 2:8, 10; |  | REFERENCE |
| PLACE [1] | 4:11; 6:12; | - Q - | [1] 8:21 |
| 16:10 | 15:16 |  | REFERENCE |
| PLAINTIFF | PRESENTED | QUEST [1] | D [1] 20:25 |
| [1] 1:6 | [1] 18:19 | $4: 12$ | REFERENCE |
| PLANNING | PRESENTS | QUESTIONS | S [3] 15:5, |
| [1] 23:1 | [1] 17:22 | [5] 6:18; | 22; 20:7 |
| PLAY [1] | PRETEND [1] | $19: 13 ;$ | REFERRED |
| 17:14 | 15:20 | 20:13, 20, 21 | [1] 17:13 |
| PLEADINGS | PRETTY [4] | QUOTE [1] | REFERRING |
| [3] 3:2; 4:5; | 3:22; 4:9, | 8:14 | [1] 14:17 |
| 18:24 | 18; 17:12 | QUOTES [1] | RELATIONS |
| POCKETS [1] | PREVIOUSLY | $19: 6$ | HIP [2] |
| 12:20 | [1] 14:4 |  | 4:10, 11 |
| POINT [9] | PRIOR [1] |  | RELIABILITY |
| 5:1; 10:5, 7, | 4:10 | - R | [2] 15:10; |
| 20; 11:5, | PROBABLE |  | 20:10 |
| 22, 23; | [1] 19:19 | RAISED [1] | RELYING [1] |
| 18:10, 14 | PROBLEM | 3:6 | 13:21 |
| POINTING | [1] 18:22 | RATHER [1] | REMEMBER |
| [1] 13:20 | PROCEEDIN | 11:21 | [2] 6:20; |
| POPULAR [1] | G [1] 19:17 | READ [13] | 22:2 |
| 17:11 | PROCEEDIN | 3:23, $24 ;$ | REMEMBERS |
| POSITION | GS [2] 1:14; | 4:5, 6; 8:3; | [1] 21:24 |
| [2] 8:23; | 23:10 | 10:24; | REPEATED |
| 10:16 | PROCESS [1] | 16:14; 18:5, | [2] 15:5, 22 |
| POSSESSIO | 16:22 | 9, 14, 15, | REPEATEDL |
| N [1] 12:4 | PRONOUNCE | 21; 20:10 | Y [1] 17:14 |
| POSSIBLE | D [1] 6:2 | READING [1] | REPLY [1] |
| [1] 11:5 | PROSECUTO | 17:25 | 3:11 |
| POTENTIAL | R'S [2] | REAL [1] | REPORTED |
| [3] 4:20; | 15:15, 17 | 4:11 | [1] 1:25 |
| 14:8; 17:23 | PROSECUTO | REALIZE [2] | REPORTER'S |
| POTENTIALL | RIAL [2] | 13:18; 16:25 | [1] 1:12 |
| Y [2] 5:23; | 15:9; 20:9 | REALLY [6] | REPUGNANT |
| 14:9 | PROTAGONI | 3:17; 5:19; | [2] 17:4, 10 |
| PREJUDICIA | ST [1] 17:7 | 11:24; | REQUESTED |
| L [2] 14:16; | PROXIMITY | 14:16; | [2] 20:7; |
| 15:18 | [1] 13:7 | 15:18; 18:17 | 21:25 |


| REQUIRE [1] | 7:23; 10:17; | SHOWING [1] | 16:7, 17; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:5 | 15:21; | 11:3 | 17:14; 18:3, |
| REQUIRED | 16:11; 18:3; | SHOWN [1] | 11; 19:18; |
| [3] 18:11; | 20:15; 22:21 | 4:17 | 20:2, 3 |
| 20:2; 22:4 | SALE [4] | SIMILAR [1] | STATE'S [3] |
| REQUIRING | 4:20; 5:10, | 14:24 | 13:23; 16:3; |
| [1] 20:3 | 20; 11:9 | SITUATION | 18:18 |
| REQUISITE | SAME [7] | [1] 16:17 | STAY [1] |
| [1] 2:21 | 9:1; 12:8; | SLIGHT [1] | 8:8 |
| RESET [2] | 13:7, 24, 25; | 19:19 | STAYING [1] |
| 21:21; 22:23 | 14:5, 21 | SOME [6] | 12:20 |
| RESPONDED | SANBORN | 2:24; 5:11; | STEP [1] |
| [1] 14:13 | [1] 20:16 | 10:12; | 18:20 |
| RESPONSE | SAYING [3] | 17:23; 21:5 | STILL [1] |
| [1] 3:10 | 7:11; 10:22; | SOMETHING | 18:10 |
| RETURN [1] | 13:22 | [2] 18:8; | STORE [3] |
| 16:3 | SAYS [2] | 21:2 | 5:10, 12; |
| REVENGE [1] | 7:16; 18:6 | SOMEWHER | 12:24 |
| 17:5 | SCENE [2] | E [1] 4:13 | STORY [1] |
| REVIEW [2] | 12:13, 21 | SORRY [3] | 17:8 |
| 19:16, 24 | SCHUSTER | 2:4; 4:2; | STRUGGLE |
| REVIEWED | [3] 18:5, 13; | 6:16 | [3] 10:12; |
| [3] 2:23, 24; | 20:4 | SORT [2] | 11:10, 15 |
| 15:3 | SEEK [1] | 5:11; 10:12 | STRUGGLED |
| RHODES [1] | 15:17 | SPECIFIC [1] | [1] 12:11 |
| 19:7 | SEEMED [1] | 20:21 | SUBMIT [2] |
| RIFLE [5] | 11:21 | SPECIFICAL | 18:23; 19:12 |
| 4:23; 9:22; | SEEMS [1] | LY [5] 6:20; | SUBSEQUEN |
| 10:11; | 17:22 | 7:9, 17; 9:6, | T [1] 11:4 |
| 11:17, 20 | SELL [1] | 16 | SUCH [1] |
| RIGHT [14] | 4:12 | SPEEDY [2] | 16:18 |
| 2:4, 24; | SEMANTICS | 21:24; 22:1 | SUFFICIENT |
| 5:15, 18; | [1] 17:15 | SPELL [1] | LY [1] 19:3 |
| 8:7, 11; | SEPARATE | 2:14 | SULLY [2] |
| 13:14; 15:3; | [2] 9:9; | SPOKE [1] | 10:14; 13:9 |
| 18:2, 25; | 12:5 | 21:18 | S UMMARY |
| 21:16, 18, 24 | SEPARATED | STAGE [1] | [1] 7:8 |
| ROBBERY | [3] 11:23, | 23:4 | SUPPLEMEN |
| [2] 9:7 | 25; 14:19 | STANDARD | T [1] 3:8 |
| RULING [1] | SHARICE [1] | [1] 2:19 | SUPPOSED |
| 21:10 | 6:7 | STANDING | [4] 11:9, 10; |
| RUMMAGING | SHOT [2] | [1] 9:14 | 13:13, 14 |
| [1] 12:20 | 12:10, 15 | STANTON [2] | SURE [2] |
| RUNNING [1] | SHOULD [3] | 21:4, 5 | 14:19; 18:18 |
| 12:24 | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 25 ; & 9: 14 ; \\ 19: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STARTS [1] } \\ & 12: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SWORN } \\ & 13: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| - S - | SHOWED [5] | STATE [15] |  |
|  | 4:17; 9:22; | 1:5, 21; | - T - |
| SAID [9] | 11:6, 13; | $2: 12 ; 13: 20 ;$ |  |
| 4:14, 24; | 14:12 | 15:20, 25; |  |


| TAINTED [2] | 2, 12; | 21:7, 19, 23; | TOGETHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:10; 20:9 | 16:13; | 22:2 | [6] 4:19; |
| TAKE [2] | 17:11; 21:2, | THEY'RE [1] | 8:3, 13; |
| 8:2; 19:8 | 10, 16 | 12:17 | 10:20; 11:3, |
| TALK [1] | THEIR [10] | THING [2] | 25 |
| 18:1 | 4:12; 5:23; | 8:1; 14:1 | TOOK [2] |
| TALKING [2] | 6:3; 9:4, 18; | THINGS [3] | 7:19; 9:4 |
| 8:13; 12:17 | 10:3, 8, 19; | 12:25; 14:1; | TRANSACTI |
| TELLING [1] | 12:21; 13:24 | 23:4 | ON [2] 5:19; |
| 11:24 | THEM [7] | THINK [2] | 10:9 |
| TERM [1] | 2:19; 8:3, | 21:19; 22:22 | TRANSCRIPT |
| 16:24 | 25; 9:25; | THIS [38] | [6] 1:12; |
| TEST [2] | 10:1; 16:10; | 2:4, 6; 3:16, | 3:25; 6:21; |
| 5:15; 14:8 | 22:22 | 21; 4:8, 21, | 15:25; 16:2; |
| TESTED [1] | THEMSELVE | 22, 25; 5:3, | 19:6 |
| 5:16 | S [2] 6:3; | 8; 6:7, 8, | TRANSCRIPT |
| TESTIFIED | 9:5 | 10; 7:8, 25; | ION [1] |
| [12] 5:2; | THEN [5] | 8:13, 14; | 23:10 |
| 6:8, 24; | 3:19; 4:13; | 9:6; 11:8, 9, | TRANSCRIPT |
| 7:11; 12:19; | 10:15; 11:3; | 22, 24; | S [1] 4:6 |
| 13:3, 6, 12; | 22:9 | 12:9, 11; | TRANSFER |
| 14:1; 16:6; | THERE [16] | 14:12; | [2] 4:21; |
| 20:12, 17 | 4:15; 5:22; | 15:21, 24; | 11:9 |
| TESTIFY [2] | 7:23; 9:23; | 16:16, 22, | TRIAL [7] |
| 7:5; 13:25 | 13:18; 14:2, | 24; 17:3, 4, | 9:15; 21:14, |
| TESTIFYING | 9, 11; | 12; 19:3, 7; | 20, 25; |
| [2] 6:20; | 16:18; 18:8, | 21:1, 17, 20 | 22:1, 9, 23 |
| 7:18 | 10; 19:19, | THOSE [2] | TROUBLE [1] |
| TESTIMONY | 22; 20:1, | 8:17; 12:12 | 11:6 |
| [26] 3:21; | 13; 23:4 | THOUGH [1] | TRUCK [8] |
| 4:9, 18; | THERE'S | 18:21 | 4:19; 10:5, |
| 5:16; 6:11; | [10] 2:19; | THOUGHT | 8, 11; 11:3; |
| 7:1, 24; 8:5, | 5:21; 8:9; | [1] 13:3 | 12:16; 13:8 |
| 9, 12; 10:6, | 9:2; 11:18, | THOUGHTFU | TRUE [2] |
| 13, 19; | 19; 12:2, 3, | L [1] 4:5 | 13:22; 23:10 |
| 11:18, 19; | 12; 22:7 | THROUGH | TRULY [1] |
| 12:2, 3, 19, | THESE [1] | [4] 8:3, 12; | 15:23 |
| 21; 13:14, | 20:21 | 12:20; 14:20 | TRYING [4] |
| 19, 21, 24; | THEY [32] | THURSDAY | 6:19; 7:24; |
| 15:19; 16:8; | 4:12, 17, 19; | [4] 1:17; | 12:16; 20:14 |
| 19:7 | 9:4, 18, 25; | 2:1 | TUESDAY [1] |
| THANK [4] | 10:4, 8, 9, | TIME [7] | 21:12 |
| 2:13; 8:22; | 14, 17; | 7:25; 8:25; | TYPE [1] |
| 21:11; 23:6 | 11:2, 6; | 9:20; 10:2, | 21:1 |
| THAT'S [20] | 12:1; 16:6, | 10; 12:18; |  |
| 3:7, 12, 13, | 7, 9, 10; | 21:14 | - U - |
| 14, 17; 5:2; | 18:6, 7; | TIMELINE [1] |  |
| 8:11; 10:12; | 19:24; 20:2, | 10:25 | UH-HUH [1] |
| 12:22; 13:5; | 12, 14, 18; | TIMES [2] | 5:6 |
| 14:23; 15:1, |  | 15:24; 16:1 |  |


| UNCHARGED | 11; 21:4, 5, | 5:14; 8:6; | WITNESS [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] 3:19 | 11, 18; | 11:22, 23; | 15:21; 16:9, |
| UNDER [1] | 22:16, 22, | 12:1, 7; | 11; 20:15 |
| 2:18 | 25; 23:6 | 18:20 | WITNESSES |
| UNDERSTAN | VISIBLE [1] | WERE [16] | [5] 5:3; |
| D [5] 4:3; | 4:23 | 4:12; 5:3; | 13:24; 16:5; |
| 10:7; 15:3, |  | 6:25; 8:13, | 20:12, 22 |
| 16; 19:22 | - W - | 17; 9:18, | WOULD [5] |
| UNDERSTOO |  | 24; 11:25; | 4:17; 9:16; |
| D [1] 14:22 | WAIVED [1] | 13:7, 18, 24; | 18:23; |
| UNFORTUNA | 21:24 | $19: 21$ | 19:12; 23:5 |
| TELY [2] | WANT [7] | 20:14, 20, | WRIT [6] |
| 16:5; 20:11 | 2:19, 21; | 21; 22:3 | 2:5, 18; |
| UNLESS [2] | $3: 1: \quad 14: 15$ | WHAT'S [2] | 14:20; 16:3; |
| 19:13; 22:13 | $\text { 18; } \quad 15: 7 \text {; }$ | 11:24; 15:4 | 19:24; $21: 13$ |
| UNOFFICIAL | 22:13 | WHATEVER |  |
| [1] 5:8 | WANTED [2] | [4] $4: 25 ;$ | - Y - |
| UPON [1] | 10:8; 18:3 | 6:10; 7:12; |  |
| 16:17 | WANTS [2] | 9:4 | YOU'RE [5] |
| UPSET [1] | 15:20; 17:14 | WHERE [9] | 3:4; 4:4; |
| 4:24 | WASN'T [4] | $2: 6 ; \quad 3: 21 ;$ | 7:11; 10:22; |
|  | 6:12; 17:18; | 4:8; 6:25; | 23:7 |
| - V - | 20:22 | 7:4, 11, 24; | YOUR [13] |
|  | WATCHED | 23:4 | 2:13, 14; |
| VACATE [1] | [1] 17:3 | WHEREAS | 3:16; 7:15; |
| 21:20 | WATER [1] | [1] 16:1 | 14:15, 20; |
| VACATED [2] | 12:17 | WHICH [1] | 15:8; 18:24; |
| 21:14, 22 | WAYS [1] | 9:10 | 19:2; 21:11, |
| VARIOUS [1] | 17:22 | WHO'S [4] | 12, 24; 23:6 |
| 2:17 | WE'LL [1] | 2:10; 13:15; |  |
| VEGAS [2] | 21:9 | 22:13 | - 1 - |
| 1:19; 2:1 | WE'RE [1] | WHOLE [1] |  |
| VERBAL [3] | 22:5 | 7:25 |  |
| 3:10; 4:20; | WE'VE [3] | WHOSE [1] |  |
| 5:24 | 9:12; 19:2, | 7:21 | 17 TH |
| VERBALIZED | 6 | WIDELY-KNO | 17TH [1] |
| [1] 9:5 | WEAPON [8] | WN [1] | 25:22 |
| VERSION [2] | 9:11, 15, 18, | 17:12 |  |
| 13:2, 23 | 19, 20, 24, | WILDEVELD | 2 - |
| VERSUS [2] | 25; 11:20 | [5] 1:23; |  |
| 18:5; 20:5 | WEIGH [3] | 2:9; 21:19, | 2,300 [2] |
| VERY [6] | 13:13, 15, 16 | 22; 22:8 | 5:2, 15 |
| 4:4; 14:24; | WELCOME | WILDEVELD' | 2004 [2] |
| 17:5, 11; | [2] 3:4; | S[1] 2:8 | 4:14; 22:2 |
| 20:10; 22:6 | 23:7 | WILL [3] | 2010 [1] |
| VIABLE [1] | WELL [3] | 3:5; 4:25; | 15:8 |
| 23:5 | 8:2, 10; | 21:8 | 2013 [1] |
| VILLANI [15] | 17:16 | WINDOWS | 11:14 |
| 1:21; 2:11; | WENT [9] | [1] 6:10 | 2014 [2] |
| 19:1, 2, 5, | 4:13, 25; |  | 1:21; 3:1 |


| 2045 [4] | ACKNOWLED | 11, 17; | ANYTHING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:2, 5, 11; | GING [1] | 9:13; 10:1, | [3] 7:10; |
| 12:12 | 26:3 | 13, 14; | 10:4; 20:3 |
| 27TH [1] 9:5 | ACQUIRE [1] | 11:4, 21; | ANYWAY [1] |
| 28 TH [1] | 14:19 | 16:5; 17:20, | 23:4 |
| 11:14 | ACRE [1] | 21; 19:1, 2; | APPEARANC |
|  | 6:22 | 20:15; 22:4 | ES [1] 2:1 |
| 4 - | ACRES [4] | AGREEMENT | APPEARING |
|  | 4:8, 24; 5:2, | S [4] 8:10; | [1] 3:7 |
| 4,600 [1] | 16 | 20:16, 21, 23 | APPLICATIO |
| 6:22 | ACTUAL [1] | AHMED [2] | N [1] 17:6 |
| 40-YEAR [1] | 5:16 | 2:3; 3:7 | APPLIES [1] |
| 14.1 [1] | ADAMANT | ALERT [1] | 12:3 |
|  | [1] 20:10 | 12:21 | APPRECIATE |
|  | ADDITION | ALERTED [1] | [1] 26:18 |
| 6 | [1] $4: 17$ | 19:19 | APPROVAL |
|  | ADDITIONAL | ALLOW [5] | [1] 22:8 |
| 60-DAY [2] | [7] 4:19, 22; | 3:25; 12:14; | APRIL [1] |
| 9:6; 15:2 | 7:22; 8:13; | 22:7; 25:1, | 12:9 |
|  | 14:18; | 6 | ARGUE [1] |
| - A - | 19:11; 23:22 | ALLOWED | 8:19 |
|  | ADDRESS [2] | [1] 16:15 | ARGUMENT |
| A-10-632338 | 7:15; 26:5 | ALLOWING | [2] 9:25; |
| -C [1] 1:7 | ADHERE [1] | [1] 25:11 | 18:23 |
| A.M. [2] | 25:4 | ALLOWS [1] | ARISES [2] |
| 1:22; 3:2 | ADMITTED | 16:2 | 18:6, 13 |
| ABILITY [1] | [1] 25:4 | ALMOST [1] | AROSE [2] |
| 18:15 | ADVOCATE | 23:4 | 21:1, 3 |
| ABLE [5] | [1] 9:18 | ALREADY [1] | AROUND [1] |
| 3:18; 5:22; | AFFIDAVIT | 17:5 | 24:14 |
| 13:21; 19:3; | [1] 11:6 | ALSO [6] | ASKED [1] |
| 24:15 | AFFORD [1] | 3:9, 15; 6:2; | 21:11 |
| ABOUT [15] | 26:19 | 17:2; 18:16; | ASKING [1] |
| 6:3; 7:1; | AFTER [3] | 20:24 | 26:13 |
| 10:2; 11:7, | 3:21; 4:9; | AMENDMENT | ASPECT [1] |
| 8, 11; | 21:1 | [1] 10:21 | 24:18 |
| 12:23; | AGAIN [2] | AMOUNT [1] | ASSIGN [1] |
| 13:15; 14:5; | 9:22; 12:5 | 25:1 | 26:22 |
| 18:2; 21:18; | AGAINST [6] | ANOTHER | ASSIGNED |
| 22:15; 24:3; | 15:10; | [2] 11:19; | [1] 22:4 |
| 25:21 | 16:10, 11, | 23:5 | ASSIGNS [2] |
| ABSENCE [1] | 13; 19:12; | ANSWER [9] | 12:4,7 |
| 22:10 | 26:20 | 10:8, 11, 18; | ASSOCIATE |
| ABSOLUTEL | AGREE [2] | 11:5, 12, 19, | [1] 26:1 |
| Y [1] 16:15 | 12:11; 25:12 | 24; 13:2; | ATTACH [2] |
| ACCEPT [2] | AGREED [2] | 14:3 | 10:15; 11:1 |
| 23:22; 27:7 | 10:16, 19 | ANTICIPATE | ATTACHED |
| ACCURATE | AGREEMENT | D [1] 14:15 | [3] 10:21; |
| [1] 27:13 | [19] 6:12, | ANYONE [1] | 27:4, 5 |
|  | 25; 7:1, 8, | 19:9 |  |


| ATTACHES | 16; 10:24; | BRINGING | 16, 18; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] 16:13 | 18:2, 18; | [2] 24:24; | 15:8; 20:24; |
| ATTACHMEN | 19:19; 22:7; | 25:2 | 21:10, 17; |
| T [1] 8:20 | 25:25 | BRINGS [1] | 23:17 |
| ATTEMPT [1] | BEEN [15] | 20:5 | CERTAIN [2] |
| 10:23 | 3:18; 5:16, | BROAD [1] | 5:9; 14:7 |
| ATTENTION | 17; 8:23, | 8:7 | CHARGE [1] |
| [2] 23:7; | 24; 9:10; | BROKER [2] | 19:21 |
| 24:25 | 20:4, 10, 23; | 8:10; 9:25 | CITY [1] |
| ATTEST [1] | 21:8, 21; | BROKER'S | 9:23 |
| 27:12 | 24:22; 25:4 | [4] 7:1, 8, | CLARK [1] |
| ATTORNEY'S | BEFORE [6] | 11; 16:5 | 1:2 |
| [2] 24:24; | 1:18; 14:6; | BROKERS | CLEANS [1] |
| 25:10 | 15:11; | [1] 8:3 | 23:12 |
| ATTORNEYS | 20:25; 23:6, | BROTHERS | CLEAR [1] |
| [1] 27:7 | 16 | [1] 19:21 | 17:23 |
| AUGMENT | BEHALF [3] | BRUSH [1] | CLEARED [1] |
| [1] 23:21 | 3:7, 12, 15 | 8:7 | 21:19 |
| AUTHORITY | BEING [5] | BUILDER [1] | CLERK [2] |
| [2] 6:14; | 5:22; 6:18, | 14:22 | 7:7; 23:12 |
| 18:20 | 21; 8:11; | BUILDERS | CLIENT [4] |
| AWARD [1] | 22:9 | [4] 14:15, | 13:9; 16:21; |
| 25:10 | BELIEVE [4] | 16, 17, 20 | 22:1; 25:14 |
| AWARE [2] | 7:4; 8:14; | BURAK [2] | CLIENTS [6] |
| 3:17; 9:12 | 12:9; 13:1 | 2:3; 3:7 | 9:24; 10:22; |
| AWAY [2] | BENEFIT [1] | BURIED [1] | 12:10; 22:2; |
| 12:9; $25: 14$ | 9:23 | 13:21 | 26:19, 23 |
|  | BETWEEN | BUYERS [1] | CLOUD [8] |
| - B | [8] 5:20; | 12:21 | 10:1; 11:6, |
|  | 7:17; 8:5; | B U Y S [1] | 7; 14:9, 25; |
| BACK [4] | 15:18; | 11:21 | 15:4; 23:9; |
| 9:7; 22:7; | 19:22; |  | 26:19 |
| 26:4, 8 | 20:17, 22; | - C - | CLUBHOUSE |
| BACKGROUN | 21:3 |  | [1] 5:9 |
| D [1] $4: 1$ | BINDING [1] | CALL [3] | COME [6] |
| BASHFUL [1] | 12:7 | 6:10; 11:22; | 9:7; 14:15, |
| 27:2 | BOAT [1] | 26:10 | 17, 24; |
| BASIN [3] | 19:3 | CALLED [3] | 22:7; 26:4 |
| 6:19, 21, 23 | BOOT [1] | 5:7; 25:22; | COMES [1] |
| BASINS [1] | 21:2 | 26:3 | 14:9 |
| 6:19 | BOTH [1] | CALLS [1] | COMFORTAB |
| BASIS [1] | 25:9 | 26:6 | LE [1] 25:11 |
| 17:16 | BREACH [2] | CAME | COMING [1] |
| BEAR [1] | 24:21; 25:15 | 20:11; 21:14 | 17:19 |
| 20:5 | BRIEFING | CAN'T [1] | COMMENTS |
| BECAME [1] | [1] 9:15 | 25:16 | [1] 25:20 |
| 10:23 | BRIEFLY [1] | CARANO [1] | COMMERCIA |
| BECAUSE | 10:20 | 3 :1 | L [3] 5:8, 10 |
| [10] 3:17; | BRING [2] | CASE [10] | COMMISSIO |
| 7:13; 8:8, | 5:22; 9:13 | 1:7; 8:15, | N [15] 7:19; |


| 8:3, 6, 10, | CONTACTED | COURSE [6] | 14:7, 11, 14; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13; 10:12, | [1] 26:14 | 4:6; 5:3, 5, | 19:23 |
| 13; 11:4, | CONTEXT [2] | 9, 13, 14 | CRAFT [2] |
| 18, 23; | 5:19; 25:9 | COURT [84] | 22:11; 24:12 |
| 13:8; 16:5; | CONTRACT | 1:1, 19; 3:5, | CRAFTED [1] |
| 19:2; 22:3; | [6] 4:13, 14, | 10, 16, 25; | 22:10 |
| 26:24 | 15; 8:12; | 4:2, 24; 5:3, | CREATE [3] |
| COMMISSIO | 12:6, 11 | 15, 19, 23; | 5:21; 6:1, 5 |
| NS [3] 7:20; | CONTRACTS | 6:7, 18, 25; | CREATED [1] |
| 13:25; 19:12 | [3] 5:12; | 7:6, 25; | 6:14 |
| COMPANIES | 6:4; 7:14 | 8:14; 9:1, | CURRENTLY |
| [1] 10:3 | CONTROL | 14, 16, 18, | [1] 5:14 |
| COMPANY | [2] 14:12; | 21; 10:10, |  |
| [7] 4:4, 6, 7, | 19:20 | 15; 11:7, | - D |
| 17, 23; | CONTROVER | 25; 12:2, 5, |  |
| 14:8, 9 | SY [2] 20:6; | 14, 17; | DAMPENING |
| COMPLAINT | 25:8 | 13:2, 13, 19; | [1] 12:24 |
| [1] 21:4 | COPY [2] | 14:2; 15:11, | DAMPER [1] |
| CONCERNIN | 14:6; 20:2 | 21, 23; | 10:6 |
| G [2] 14:7; | CORPORATI | 16:2, 3, 16, | DAYS [1] |
| 21:22 | ON [1] 3:23 | 19, 20, 23, | 9:14 |
| CONCLUSIO | CORRECT | 24; 17:4, 7, | DEAD [1] |
| N [1] 9:1 | [3] 7:5; | 13, 17; | 13:20 |
| CONCURREN | 18:10; 25:19 | 18:2, 6, 9, | DEAL [1] |
| T [1] 24:1 | costs [1] | 11, 14, 18; | 26:11 |
| CONFIDENTI | 25:7 | 19:24; 20:3, | DEALING [3] |
| AL [9] | COULD [4] | 11, 18; | 13:17, 18; |
| 10:17, 22, | 3:16; 8:19; | 21:14; 22:5, | 20:16 |
| 23, 24; | 11:2; 27:4 | 13, 20, 22, | DECISION |
| 12:22; | COULDN'T | 24; 23:3, 4, | [1] 3:22 |
| 18:17; 20:4; | [2] 21:25; | 25; 24:4, 6, | DEDICATE |
| 21:6; 24:22 | 23:8 | 10, 12, 13, | [1] 6:17 |
| CONFIDENTI | COUNSEL | 14, 17, 19; | DEEDS [1] |
| ALITY [6] | [12] 3:10; | 25:1, 6, 14; | 11:3 |
| 20:21, 23; | 10:9; 12:12; | 26:17, 18; | DEFENDANT |
| 21:7, 20, 22; | 15:3; 18:23; | 27:1, 6, 10 | [2] 1:10; |
| 26:12 | 21:10, 23; | COURT'S [2] | 2:5 |
| CONSEQUEN | 22:24; | 14:3; 24.25 | DEFENSE [1] |
| CE [3] | 23:19; | COURT-ORD | 10:20 |
| 14:21; 24:6; | 24:23; | ERED [1] | DEFER [1] |
| 25:3 | 25:13; 26:2 | 22:8 | 27:6 |
| CONSIDERA | COUNSEL'S | COURTESY | DELIVERED |
| TION [1] | [1] 25:20 | [1] 19:8 | [1] 10:22 |
| 24:20 | COUNTRY | COURTROO | DENTON [3] |
| CONSTRUCT | [1] 26:1 | M [1] 21:19 | 20:25; 21:6 |
| [2] 14:17, | COUNTY [8] | COVENANT | DESCRIPTIO |
| 18 | 1:2; 6:4; | [1] 7:3 | N [2] 20:8; |
| CONSTRUCT | 7:2; 12:20; | COYOTE [8] | 27:5 |
| ION [1] 5:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 13 ; 17: 3 \\ & 6 ; 18: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} 3: 18, & 24 ; \\ 4: 4 ; & 13: 16 ; \end{array}$ | DETACHED <br> [1] 11:21 |


| DETAILED | 23; 26:22; | EFFECTIVE | EVEN [3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] 25:25 | 27:4 | [1] 23:10 | 6:25; 7:1, |
| DEVELOP [1] | DOES [5] | EFFORT [1] | 14 |
| 4:11 | 11:5; 13:4; | 26:14 | EVENTUALL |
| DEVELOPED | 15:17; | EIGHTH [1] | Y [1] 17:13 |
| [2] 3:24; | 18:20; 19:12 | 12:18 | EVER [1] |
| 9:23 | DOESN'T [3] | EITHER [1] | 9:22 |
| DEVELOPER | 10:6; 12:23; | 15:3 | EVERY [2] |
| [2] 4:11, 12 | 19:14 | ELECTRICAL | 21:16, 17 |
| DEVELOPIN | DOING [9] | [1] 6:3 | EVERYBODY |
| G [1] 14:14 | 5:7; 8:23; | ELSE [1] | [1] 9:24 |
| DEVELOPME | 9:10; 13:15; | 19:9 | EVERYONE |
| NT [3] 5:18; | 16:23, 24; | EMAIL [1] | [1] $3: 5$ |
| 6:21, 24 | 20:15; 24:2 | 26:2 | EVERYTHIN |
| DEVIL'S [1] | DONE [1] | EMPLOYED | G [2] 5:21; |
| 9:18 | 8:15 | [1] 20:21 | 6:2 |
| DIDN'T [2] | DOWN [1] | ENGINEER | EVIDENCE |
| 14:5; 26:5 | 4:24 | [1] 6:7 | [1] 11:3 |
| DILIGENCE | DRAFT [1] | ENOUGH [3] | EXAMPLE [1] |
| [1] 15:2 | 23:19 | 22:15; 23:7; | 16:8 |
| DIRECTLY | DUCK [2] | 25:8 | EXCEPT [1] |
| [1] 11:5 | 9:21; 22:6 | ENTER [1] | 10:4 |
| DISAGREE | DURING [3] | 22:25 | EXCEPTION |
| [3] 16:11; | 4:6; 10:25; | ENTERED [4] | [1] 17:21 |
| 18:5; 20:9 | 15:9 | 4:13, 14; | EXERCISED |
| DISCLOSED | DUTIES [1] | 6:11; 15:11 | [1] 4:21 |
| [2] 10:17; | 12:10 | ENTERING | EXHIBIT [1] |
| 20:5 |  | [1] 6:4 | 22:1 |
| DISCLOSUR | - E - | ENTIRE [2] | EXIST [3] |
| E[1] 10:12 |  | 3:19; 10:6 | 7:8; 19:12; |
| DISPUTE [2] |  | ENTITLED | 22:17 |
| 21:1, 3 | 21:16, 17 | [3] 8:6; | EXISTING [1] |
| DISTANT [1] | EARLEY [6] | 23:17; 26:24 | 8:18 |
| 7:12 | 1:18; 3:19; | ENTITLEMEN | EXPIRATION |
| DISTRESSED | $21: 14 ; \quad 22: 7$ | T [2] 4:10; | [1] 9:14 |
| [1] 22:15 | 23:6; 25:11 | 6:5 | EXPIRE [1] |
| DISTRICT [9] | EARLEY'S | EQUITIES [1] | 8:13 |
| 1:1, 19; | [1] 8:16 | 25:9 | EXPIRES [3] |
| 6:11, 12, 15, | EARLIER [1] | EQUIVALENT | 8:1, 12; |
| 16; 12:18; | 10:16 | [1] 15:23 | 12:12 |
| 16:24; 17:25 | EARLY [1] | ERROR [2] | EXPLICIT [1] |
| DOCUMENT | 16:3 | 11:1; $26: 15$ | 25:25 |
| [8] 10:6, 20; | EARN [1] | ESSENCE [1] | EXPUNGE [5] |
| 17:9; 18:17; | 8:3 [1] | 5:20 | 10:19; |
| 22:3, 15; | EASIEST [1] | ESSENTIALL | 16:21; 17:4, |
| 24:22; 26:12 | 22.5 | Y [1] 19:13 | 9; 26:21 |
| DOCUMENTS | EFFECT [3] | ESTATE [5] | EXPUNGED |
| [11] 19:3, 5, | 12:24; | 3:8; 8:20; | [1] 17:6 |
| 10; 21:5, 7, | 17:19, 20 | 13:18; 15:6; | EXPUNGEME |
| 11, 15, 18, |  | 19:9 | NT [6] |


| 17:10, 21; | FINAL [1] | 18:5, 11, 17, | GONNA [8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:12; | 9:11 | 22; 21:2, | 4:16; 9:22, |
| 22:14, 18; | FINALLY [1] | 12, 18; | 25; 12:14; |
| 23:18 | 26:14 | 22:1; 23:14; | 15:1; 16:7; |
| EXPUNGING | FINANCIAL | 24:12; 26:10 | 26:12; 27:6 |
| [1] 18:15 | [1] 5:11 | FULL [3] | GOOD [4] |
| EXTENT [2] | FIND [1] | 7:19; 22:25; | 3:5, 11, 14; |
| 18:14; 24:23 | 25:20 | 27:13 | 17:16 |
|  | FINDING [1] | FULLY [1] | GOOSE [1] |
| - F - | 25:14 | 14:14 | 9:22 |
|  | FINISHED [1] | FUNCTIONA | GRID [1] 6:5 |
| FACT [5] | 23:4 | L [1] 15:23 | GUESS [1] |
| 8:5, 23; | FINITE [1] | FURTHER [2] | 22:10 |
| 18:17; 26:3, | 7:23 | 9:15; 12:13 | GUEST [5] |
| 9 | FIRM [1] | FUTURE [4] | 14:15, 16, |
| FAILED [1] | 12:10 | 4:19; 7:9, | 17, 19, 22 |
| 13:14 | FIRM'S [1] | 12; 9:8 | GUYS [1] |
| FAILURE [2] | 10:20 |  | 11:25 |
| 25:3, 15 | FIRST [9] | - G - |  |
| FAITH [1] | $4: 13,14,15$ |  | - H - |
| 17:16 | 25; 5:1; | GAME [1] |  |
| FALSE [1] | 15:21; | 13:23 | HANDLING |
| 22:1 | 19:15; 21:4; | GAVE [2] | [1] 23:17 |
| FASHION [2] | 25:21 | 9:2; 21:14 | HAPPEN [3] |
| 22:8; 27:2 | FORM [1] | GENERAL [1] | 15:1; 17:7; |
| FASHIONING | 9:11 | 6:11 | 18:20 |
| [1] 9:10 | FORMED [1] | GIVE [5] | HAPPENED |
| FAXING [1] | 4:4 | 4:1; 5:19; | [1] 19:18 |
| 8:24 | FORMULATE | 20:13; 22:8; | HAPPENS [3] |
| FEEL [2] | [1] 8:17 | 25:15 | 14:10, 22; |
| 25:8, 10 | FORWARD | GIVEN [2] | 15:5 |
| FEES [3] | [1] 11:15 | 21:21; 22:1 | HAPPY [1] |
| 24:24; 25:7; | FOUGHT [1] | GIVING [1] | 11:17 |
| 27:7 | 16:9 | 21:12 | HARD [1] |
| FEET [1] | FOUND [1] | GOES [1] | 16:9 |
| 6:22 | 13:14 | 14:22 | HARVEY [2] |
| FILE [8] | FOUNDATIO | GOING [10] | 4:4; 20:5 |
| 3:19; 14:6; | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{N} \text { [1] } & 6: 23 \\ \text { FOIIR } & \end{array}$ | 12:21, 22; | HAVEN'T [1] |
| 17:9; 18:7; | FOUR [3] | 13:10; | 3:18 |
| 19:2, 5; | 13:13; 18:24 | 14:23, 24; | HAVING [2] |
| 23:16; 25:6 | FRAME [1] | 15:7; 17:4; | 3:21; 23:1 |
| FILED [12] | 9:7 | 22:17; 23:5; | HE'S [1] |
| 15:8, 10, 12; | FROM [26] | 25:19 | 18:24 |
| 17:2, 5; | 3:17; 4:17, | GOLDEN [1] | HEALTHY [1] |
| 18:14; 21:4, | 21, 22; | 9:22 | 13:19 |
| 8, 17; 26:6, | 5:13; 6:2, | G OLF [6] | HEARD [1] |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{ll}18, & 21 ; \\ 7.23 . & 11.15\end{array}$ | $5: 3,5,9,13$, | 19:16 |
| FILING [2] | 7:23; 11:15; | 14 | HEARING [1] |
| 17:19; 21:16 | 14:4, 5 ; | GOLIATH [1] | 23:5 |
|  | 15:5, 16; | 26:20 |  |


| HELD [2] | IMMEDIATE | INTERLOCUT | 17:1, 5, 8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:21; 6:10 | [2] 22:18; | ORY [1] | 15; 18:1; |
| HELP [1] | 23:18 | 23:14 | 19:15, 18, |
| 4:11 | IMMEDIATEL | INTERRUPT | 25; 20:7; |
| HERE [9] | Y [1] 24:16 | [3] 12:15; | 21:25; |
| 3:12; 11:6; | IMPACT [2] | 22:24; 23:3 | 22:12, 19, |
| 15:7; 16:25; | 15:17; 22:16 | INTO [6] | 21; 23:2, |
| 24:17, 21; | IMPACTED | 4:13, 14; | 20; 24:1, 5, |
| 25:13; 26:2 | [2] 14:11, | 5:11; 6:4, | 8; 25:12, |
| HERE'S [3] | 12 | 11; 7:11 | 23; 26:7, |
| 11:12; 12:1; | IMPORTANT | INVESTING | 16; 27:3 |
| 17:8 | [1] 12:4 | [1] 11:9 | JIMMERSON |
| HERSELF [1] | IMPORTED | INVESTMENT | [56] 2:2; |
| 22:11 | [1] 6:18 | S [1] 4:5 | 3:6, 7; 9:4, |
| HISTORICAL | IMPROVEME | INVOLVEME | 12, 16, 17, |
| [1] 25:9 | NT [1] 6:11 | NT [1] 12:13 | 20; 10:8, |
| HISTORY [1] | IMPROVEME | ISSUE [8] | 18; 11:12; |
| 20:13 | NTS [3] 5:8, | 7:12, 13, 15; | 12:1, 3, 6, |
| HOMES [7] | 10 | 10:10; | 16; 13:1, 4, |
| 1:8; 3:13, | INCLINED [1] | 13:11; | 20; 14:5; |
| 15; 4:12; | 8:16 | 18:15; | 15:14, 20, |
| 13:25; | INCLUDE [1] | 22:25; 24:25 | 25; 16:6, |
| 14:18; 26:25 | 23:10 | ISSUED [2] | 13, 18, 20, |
| HONOR [16] | INCLUDED | 9:2, 3 | 22; 17:1, 5, |
| 3:6, 11, 14; | [1] 5:9 | ISSUES [2] | 8, 15; 18:1; |
| 7:5, 16; | INCLUDING | 3:21; 27:5 | 19:8, 15, 18, |
| 8:22; 9:17; | [1] 9:24 | IT'S [11] | 25; 20:7; |
| 12:10; | INCUMBENT | 3:19; 4:8; | 21:25; |
| 15:25; 18:4; | [1] 12:9 | 7:20; 11:20; | 22:12, 19, |
| 22:23; | INCUR [1] | 12:9; 13:25; | 21; 23:2, |
| 24:11; | 24:24 | 14:10; | 20; 24:1, 5, |
| 25:18; | INCURRED | 18:13; | 8, 13; |
| 26:16; 27:3, | [1] 25:2 | 19:13, 17; | 25:12, 23; |
| 9 | INDICATED | 24:14 | 26:1, 4, 7, |
| HONORABLE | [2] 7:18; | ITSELF [1] | 10, 16; |
| [1] 1:18 | 13:22 | 19:13 | 27:2, 3 |
| HOPEFULLY | INFORMATIO |  | JIMMERSON' |
| [1] 9:13 | N [1] 20:20 | - J - | S [1] 25:3 |
| HOUSING [1] | INFORMED |  | JOINTLY [1] |
| 11:22 | [2] 13:15; | JAMES [49] | 14:13 |
| HUGE [2] | 25:25 | $1: 5 ; \quad 2: 2$ | JUDGE [20] |
| 10:6; 15:17 | INQUIRE [1] | $3: 6,7,8,9 ;$ | $1: 19 ; \quad 3: 19$ |
|  | 23:21 | $9: 4, \quad 17,20$ | $8: 16 ; 12: 17$ |
| - I - | INTEREST | 10:8, 18; | 15:14; 18:1; |
|  | [2] 3:22; | 11:12; 12:1, | 19:19; 20:7, |
| I'VE [3] | 13:9 | 3, 6, 16; | 25; 21:6, |
| 8:23, 24; | INTERESTED | 13:1, 4, 20; | 14, 19; |
| 19:16 | [2] 11:9; | $15: 14, \quad 20$ | 22:7, 19; |
| IMAGINE [1] | 12:23 | $25 ; \quad 16: 6$ | $23: 6,16,20 ;$ |
| 19:24 |  | 13, 18, 22; | 25:11 |


| JUDGMENT | - L | LIFESPAN | 20; 7:5, 16; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [3] 16:8, 15; |  | [1] 8:3 | 8:1, 22; 9:5; |
| 17:24 | LAND [19] | LIFTED [1] | 13:12; 14:2, |
| JUDICIAL [3] | $4: 7,8,16$ | 16:3 | 3; 15:16; |
| 12:18; | $21,22 ; \quad 7: 3$ | LIKE [5] | 16:10; |
| 16:24; 17:25 | $\begin{array}{ccc} 11, & 22, & 13, \\ 12, & 13 ; \end{array}$ | 11:10; | 17:12; 18:4, |
| JULY [2] | $11 \cdot 15 \quad 22$ | 13:22; | 10; 19:17; |
| 1:21; 3:1 | 14:11, 12, | 23:19; 25:7; | 20:9, 19; |
| JUNE [4] | $13,16,23 ;$ | 26:20 | 22:23; 23:7; |
| 9:3, 4, 5; | $15: 17 ; 20: 17$ | LIMITED [1] | 24:11, 18; |
| 25:21 | LANDS [3] | 3:23 | 25:18, 24; |
| JURISDICTI | 4:19; 8:8; | LINCOLN [7] | 26:9; 27:9 |
| ON [7] | $14: 19$ | 6:4; 7:2; | LUNDVALL'S |
| 16:25; 18:3, | LANGUAGE | 12:20; | [1] 23:23 |
| 12, 18; | [1] 10:13 | 15:12; 17:2, |  |
| 21:1, 21; | LASH [1] | 6; 18:21 | - M |
| 23:11 | 11:14 | LISTEN [1] |  |
| JURISDICTI | LAST [3] | 20:1 | MADE [3] |
| ONAL [1] | 18:24; | LITIGATE [2] | $3: 19, \quad 21 ;$ |
| 18:5 | 24:18; $26: 19$ | 21:9; 26:20 | $26: 15$ |
|  | LATCHES [1] | LITIGATION | MAKE [4] |
| - K - | 7:9 | [7] 4:6; | 10:23; 21:5; |
|  | LATE [1] | 10:25; 18:7, | 26:11, 25 |
| KEEP [2] | 4:3 | 8, 12, 19; | MAKES [1] |
| 12:15; 13:14 | LATIN [1] | 19:22 | 21:23 |
| KEEPS [1] | 18:9 | LITTLE [4] | MAKING [1] |
| 18:23 | LAWSUIT [1] | 3:16; 4:1; | 19:10 |
| KERRY [1] | 12:21 | 16:16; 20:13 | MANAGED |
| 1:18 | LEARNED [2] | LIVE [2] | [1] 6:15 |
| KIND [11] | 14:4; 25:21 | 19:19; 24:3 | MANIFEST |
| 5:19; 6:13; | LEAST [1] | LOAD [1] | [1] 21:21 |
| 7:14; 8:24; | 9:22 | 19: | MANY [1] |
| 9:8, 19; | LEAVE [2] | LOGICAL [1] | 4:24 |
| 10:1, 5; | 26:13; 27:8 | 22:13 | MARKET [3] |
| 15:6; 16:3; | LEFT [3] | LONG-TERM | 11:8; 20:20; |
| 18:24 | 3:21; 25:23, | [2] 20:16; | 22:16 |
| KNEW [2] | 24 | 24:6 | MARKETABI |
| 20:15; 24:23 | LEGAL [3] | LOOKS [1] | LITY [1] |
| KNOW [5] | 10:2; 20:8; | 13:19 | 12:24 |
| 3:17; 7:12; | 27:5 | LOREE [3] | MARKETING |
| 9:19; $24: 1$ | LENGTHY [1] | 1:25; 27:17 | [1] 10:7 |
| KNOWING | 3:19 | LOST [1] | MASSIVE [1] |
| [1] 12:23 | LET'S [1] |  | 19:22 |
| KNOWN [1] | 26:11 | LOTS [1] | MATERIALS |
| 20:19 | LETTER [3] | 5:16 | [1] $7: 7$ |
| KNOWS [1] | 10:12, 15; | LUNDVALL | MATTER [5] |
| 10:3 | 17:10 | [35] 2:5; | 8:23; 18:13; |
|  | LIABILITY | 3:11, 12, 25; | 19:5, 10; |
|  | [1] 3:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3 ; \quad 5: 1,5 \\ & 17,25: \quad 6: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 21:24 |



| 18:12, 19; | PARTIES [5] | PLEASE [1] | 19; 10:7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:1 | 6:10; 14:13; | 24:7 | 11:4, 16; |
| OVERKILL | 15:18, 19; | POINT [7] | 12:25; 13:7; |
| [1] 12:20 | 19:9 | 4:9; 8:11; | 15:10; |
| OWES [1] | PASSED [2] | 12:13; 15:9; | 16:10, 11; |
| 16:14 | 12:8; 25:14 | 17:8; 25:16, | 19:4, 13, 14; |
| OWNED [3] | PENDENS | 18 | 23:24 |
| 4:7, 23; | [11] 15:10, | POSSIBLE | PROTECTIN |
| 19:17 | 12, 15, 21, | [1] 23:10 | G [2] 21:13, |
| OWNERSHIP | 24; 16:2; | POSSIBLY | 20 |
| [1] 13:9 | 18:6, 13, 15; | [1] 23:8 | PROTECTIVE |
|  | 26:13, 17 | POTENTIAL | [5] 10:24; |
| - P - | PEOPLE [3] | [1] 12:21 | 21:13, 15; |
|  | 16:2, 25; | POWER [3] | 24:21; 25:4 |
| PAGE [1] | 24:3 | 6:5; 18:19 | PROVIDE [1] |
| 11:19 | PERFECTED | PRACTICAL | 11:5 |
| PAID [3] | [1] 4:10 | [5] 10:1; | PROVISION |
| 7:19, 21; | PERIOD [2] | 14:4, 21; | [4] 8:25; |
| 13:25 | 7:23; 22:2 | 15:16; 26:18 | 13:5; 23:22; |
| PAINTING | PERPETUITY | PRELIMINAR | 26:23 |
| [1] 8:7 | [2] 7:8, 13 | Y [1] 17:18 | PROVISIONS |
| PAPERS [2] | PERSON [1] | PREMISES | [2] 22:9; |
| 20:1; 25:5 | 11:8 | [2] 5:24; | 23:11 |
| PARDEE [26] | PERSONA | 6:1 | PUBLIC [7] |
| 1:8; 3:13, | [1] 16:25 | PRESENT [1] | 11:3; 18:18; |
| 15, 22; | PERSONALL | 3:9 | 19:3, 5, 10; |
| 4:12, 13; | Y [1] 16:20 | PRESIDENT | 21:11, 24 |
| 5:20; 6:2; | PERSPECTIV | [1] 11:14 | PUBLICLY |
| 7:18; 11:20; | E [3] 15:6, | PRETTY [2] | [1] 20:20 |
| 13:5, 6, 14; | 17; 18:22 | 13:19; 23:6 | PURCHASE |
| 14:10, 18, | PETITION [1] | PRICE [1] | [7] 4:18, 22; |
| 19; 16:14; | 17:2 | 20:17 | 7:22; 8:4, 5; |
| 19:14, 22; | PHASE [1] | PRICES [1] | 11:16; 26:24 |
| 20:14; 21:3; | 11:9 | 20:17 | PURCHASED |
| 22:2; 25:15, | PHASES [1] | PROBLEMS | [3] 5:13; |
| 16; 26:20 | 7:9 | [1] 5:12 | 7:18; 8:9 |
| PARDEE'S | PLACE [1] | PROCEEDED | PURSUANT |
| [2] 11:13; | 11:2 | [2] 26:21; | [1] 8:9 |
| 12:7 | PLAINTIFF | 27:1 | PUTTING [1] |
| PARDON [1] | [2] 1:6; 2:2 | PROCEEDIN | 6:3 |
| 22:20 | PLAINTIFFS | G S [2] 1:16; |  |
| PART [7] | [3] 8:18; | 27:13 | - Q - |
| 9:23; 10:24; | 12:8; 13:14 | PRODUCTIO |  |
| 11:13; | PLANNED [2] | N [2] 11:22; | QUESTION |
| 17:22; | 5:4, 5 | 26:25 | [8] 7:6; |
| 18:16; 19:7; | PLAY [2] | PROJECT [1] | 10:11; 11:5, |
| 22:3 | 9:18; 26:11 | 14:14 | 13; 13:3; |
| PARTICULAR | PLAYING [1] | PROPERTY | 14:4; 16:19; |
| LY [1] 15:18 | 13:23 | $\text { [18] } 4: 11 \text {; }$ | 17:1 |


| QUICKLY [1] | REMAINING | RETURN [2] | SEARCHING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:11 | [2] 5:15; | 26:6, 10 | [1] 4:10 |
|  | 12:11 | REVIEW [1] | SECURE [1] |
| - R - | REMEDIATE | 24:14 | 19:4 |
|  | [1] 26:15 | REVISITED | SECURED [1] |
| RATHER [2] | REMEDY [1] | [1] 23:15 | 4:20 |
| 9:14; 22:25 | 16:4 | RIGHT [10] | SECURES [1] |
| $\begin{array}{lc} 9: 14 ; & 22: 25 \\ \text { READ } & {[1]} \end{array}$ | REMOVAL | 7:20, 25; | 19:11 |
| 3:18 | [1] 23:18 | 8:12; 16:7; | SEEK [1] |
| READING [1] | REMOVE [2] | 18:9; 19:11; | 20:12 |
| 7:7 | 15:4; 23:13 | 20:18; | SEENO [2] |
| REAL | REMOVED | 22:12; | 19:20; 20:5 |
| 8:20; 15:5; | [2] 15:4; | 25:17; 27:2 | SEENOS [1] |
|  | 18:17 | RIGHTS [3] | 21:2 |
| REALLY [1] | RENEGOTIA | 6:8, 9, 17 | SELL [1] |
|  | TED [1] 5:12 | RORY [2] | 14:16 |
| REASON [1] | RENO [1] | 2:6; 3:14 | SEND [3] |
| 13:11 | 3:17 | ROUND [2] | 14:5; 19:8; |
| REASONABL | REPEATEDL | 13:12 | 24:13 |
| E [2] 12:19; | Y [2] 4:5; | RULING [2] | SENIOR [1] |
| 22:9 | 21:6 | 24:4; 27:7 | 23:15 |
| REASONABL | REPLY [1] | RULINGS [1] | SENSITIVE |
| Y [1] 13:15 | 20:1 | 3:20 | [1] 13:11 |
| RECEIVE [1] | REPORT [2] | RUNNING [1] | SENT [1] |
| 12:19 | 17:18, 22 | 5:11 | 26:2 |
| RECONSIDE | REPORTED |  | SEPARATE |
| RATION [1] | [1] 1:25 | - S - | [1] 6:14 |
| 23:16 | REPORTER'S |  | SEPTEMBER |
| RECORD [11] | [1] 1:14 | SAID [2] | [1] 22:2 |
| $11: 3 ; 12: 20 ;$ | REQUEST [2] | 4:15; 22:21 | SERVE [1] |
|  | 18:16; 21:5 | SALE [1] | 20:2 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}16: 8, & 15 ; \\ 18: 18 . & 19: 6\end{array}$ | REQUIRED | 23:23 | SEVEN [1] |
| 11; 21:12, | [2] 6:16; | SALES [2] | 11:3 |
| 24; 25:19 | 9:6 | 9:25; 23:7 | SEVENTH [2] |
| RECORDATI | REQUIREME | SAME [3] | 16:24; 17:24 |
| ON | NTS [1] 9:8 | 8:3; 22:4; | SEVERAL [2] |
| 17:19 | REQUIRES | 23:24 | 3:20; 10:16 |
| RECORDED | [1] 16:24 | SAYING [4] | SHORT [1] |
| [5] 7.1. | RESIDENTIA | 19:25; 23:2, | 16:16 |
| 10:5; 16:4 | L [1] 13:7 | 8; 24.7 | SHOT [1] |
| 17:11; 22:15 | RESPECT [2] | SAYS | 16:3 |
| RECORDER' | 8:21; 21:20 | 14:23; | SHOULD [3] |
| S [1] 23:13 | RESPECTED | 17:10; $21: 12$ | 10:17; |
| RECORDS | [2] 20:24; | SCOPE [1] | 24:20; 25:12 |
| [1] 7:2 | 21:6 | 22:25 | SIDES [1] |
| REFERRED | RESPOND | SEAL [2] | 25:9 |
| [1] $4: 5$ | [1] 10:20 | 21:8, $17{ }^{\text {[2] }}$ | SIGNATURE |
| RELATES [2] | RESPONSIB | SEARCH [2] | [1] 24:15 |
| 11:14; 13:24 | LE[1] 5:6 | 14:8, 24 | SIGNED [1] |
|  |  |  | 10:25 |


| SIGNING [1] | 14:8, 11, 14; | SURREPTITI | 19:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:10 | 19:23 | OUSLY [1] | 20:10; |
| SIMPLY [2] | STAND [1] | 23:8 | 21:14; 26:6, |
| 10:12; 17:9 | 21:19 |  | 13 |
| SIMULTANE | STANDPOIN | - T | THEIR [8] |
| OUSLY [1] | T [3] 14:4; |  | 8:10, 12, 20; |
| 22:14 | 18:5, 11 | T | 14:24; |
| SINGLE-FAM | STARTED [1] | 26:2 | 19:11; 20:14 |
| ILY [6] | 5:11 | TAGGING [1] | THEM [7] |
| 11:15, 17, | STATE [2] | 18:23 | 7:19, 21; |
| 21; 13:7, | 6:7; 24:3 | T | 14:15, 18; |
| 25; 26:25 | STATING [1] | 22:17 | 21:23; |
| SITTING [2] | 26:18 | TAKE [2] | 22:11; 23:13 |
| 12:17; 26:2 | STEEPED [1] | 4:24; 26:12 | THEMSELVE |
| SITUS [1] | 25:8 | TAKEDOWN | S [2] 20:17, |
| 18:3 | STILL [2] | [1] 8:18 | 22 |
| SOLVE [1] | 6:9; 8:14 | TAKES | THEN [19] |
| 10:10 | STIPULATIO | 21.23 | 4:14; 5:13; |
| SOME [12] | N[1] 22:10 | TAKING [1] | 6:1, 5, 17; |
| 5:12, 17, 19; | STRIKE [1] | 4:18 | 8:9, 12; 9:7, |
| 7:14; 8:17; | 20:17 | TALKED | 13; 14:17; |
| 10:5; 14:23; | STUFF [1] | 21.18 [1] | 15:2, 12; |
| 17:15, 16; | 25:7 | TALKING [8] | 18:12; |
| 18:24; | SUBDIVIDED | 4:8; 7:1; | 21:22, 23; |
| 24:17; 25:10 | [1] 5:16 | 0:2; 11:7, | 24:15; 25:1, |
| SOMEBODY | SUBDIVISIO | 8 | 3; 26:15 |
| [2] 13:21; | N [1] 7:10 | 24:3 | THERE [21] |
| 23:15 | SUBJECT [1] | TELL | 5:17, 18, 21, |
| SOMETHING | 11:4 | 3:16; 8:2 | 22; 6:6; |
| [4] 11:10; | SUBMIT [2] | TERM | 9:23; 10:7, |
| 12:20; 15:4; | 9:12; 25:1 | 26:17 | 13; 12:12; |
| 16:23 | SUBSTATIO | TERMINUS | 13:23, 24; |
| SOON [2] | NS [1] 6:3 |  | 14:6, 15; |
| 10:5; 23:6 | SUBSTITUTE | TERMS [2] | 15:9; 20:3, |
| SOPHISTICA | [1] 11:2 | 11:21; 26:18 | 9; 21:2, 5, |
| TED [1] | SUCCESSOR | TESTIMONY | 13, 16; 24:7 |
| 15:19 | [3] 3:22; |  | THERE'S |
| SOUNDS [1] | 13:5; 26:22 | THAN [3] | [10] 3:20; |
| 22:13 | SUCCESSOR | $9: 14 ; \quad 11: 17$ | 7:13; 8:8; |
| SOUTHERN | S [2] 12:4, 7 | 22:25 | 10:4; 11:6; |
| [1] 6:13 | SUGGEST [3] | THA | 20:1; 23:5, |
| SPEAK [1] | 13:8; 16:7; | 23:20; | 6; 24:18; |
| 17:23 | 23:11 | 24:10; 27:9, | 25:15 |
| SPECIFIC [2] | SUGGESTIO |  | THEREFORE |
| 8:8, 9 | NS [1] 8:21 |  | [2] 20:21; |
| SPENT [1] | SUPPOSE [1] | 7.5. 10:19. | 24:25 |
| 6:2 | 23:14 | 12.4. 15.5 | THESE [7] |
| SPRINGS [8] | SURE [1] |  | 14:16, 17, |
| 3:18, 24; | 23:25 | 17:11; | 19; 20:3; |
| 4:4; 13:16; |  | 18:10; |  |


| 21:5, 15; | 19:16, 20; | TRAIN [1] | UNLAWFULL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:22 | 20:7, 24; | 12:15 | Y [1] 20:4 |
| THEY [28] | 21:10, 17, | TRANSACTI | UNLESS [1] |
| 5:20, 21, 23, | 21; 22:1, 5, | ON [2] 14:1; | 21:13 |
| 25; 6:1, 10, | 6; 24:11, | 19:4 | UPON [3] |
| 11, 14; 8:6, | 12, 21, 24; | TRANSACTI | 12:7, 9; |
| 10, 19; | 25:2, 8, 16, | ONS [1] | 24:20 |
| 10:3, 4, 5; | 18, 19, 21; | 15:18 | USED [3] |
| 11:10; | 26:5, 6, 16, | TRANSCRIPT | 4:23; 6:21; |
| 13:15; | 19, 20 | [1] 1:14 | 9:21 |
| 14:13; 15:2; | THOSE [8] | TRANSCRIPT | UTILITIES |
| 19:21; | 5:8, 12; | ION [1] | [1] 5:22 |
| 20:15, 19, | 19:5; 20:23; | 27:13 | UTILITY [1] |
| 21; 21:15, | 21:7, 18, 23; | TRANSFER | 6:2 |
| 17; 22:3; | 22:14 | [1] 10:5 | UTILIZE [1] |
| 26:24 | THOUGHT | TREATED [1] | 25:2 |
| THEY'LL [1] | [1] 12:15 | 24:22 |  |
| 19:5 | THREE [1] | TRIAL [1] | - V - |
| THEY'RE [6] | 13:12 | 9:2 |  |
| 5:16; 9:25; | THROUGH | TRUE [1] | VALL |
| 12:22; | [1] $7: 7$ | 27:13 | 6:12, 15, 16 |
| 14:23, 24; | THURSDAY | TRULY [2] | VALUES [1] |
| 24:2 | [2] 1:21; | 11:16; 12:24 | 20:16 |
| THING [5] | 3:1 | TRUST [2] | EGAS |
| 11:10; 22:6; | TIME [9] | 3:8; 25:16 | 3:1; 4:9; |
| 24:7; 25:17; | 4:9; 7:24; | TRYING [3] | 6:12, 15, 16 |
| 26:19 | 9:6; 19:16; | 5:20; 9:11; | VERY [5] |
| THINGS [2] | 20:12; | 12:18 | 8:7; 11:19; |
| 10:16; 22:14 | 21:16, 18; | TYPE [2] | $21: 4 ; 25: 24 ;$ |
| THINK [6] | 24:17; 25:16 | 8:8; 15:9 | 26:24 |
| 3:20; 8:1, | TITLE [13] | TYPES [1] | VOLUNTARIL |
| 15; 15:6; | 10:1, 3; | 8:9 | Y [1] 24:9 |
| 23:6, 17 | 14:8, 9, 10, | TYPICALLY | V [1] 24.0 |
| THIS [64] | 24, 25; | [3] 7:3; |  |
| 3:18; 4:6, | 17:18, 22, | 14:21; 15:1 | - W |
| 15; 7:12, | 23; 23:9, 12 |  |  |
| 13; 8:11, | TODAY [1] | - U - | WAIT [3] |
| 15, 16, 18; | 24:15 |  | 12:2 |
| 9:23; 10:11, | TOGETHER | ULTIMATELY | WAITED [1] |
| 25; 11:9, | [2] 6:10; | $\text { [2] } 4: 16 \text {; }$ | 26:4 |
| 10, 11; | 13:23 | 5:2 | WALTER [1] |
| 12:6, 11; | TOMORROW | UNDER [2] | 3:8 |
| 13:10; 14:5, | [1] 17:9 | $21: 8,17$ | W ANT [2] |
| 6, 9, 22; | TOWN [1] | UNDERSTAN | 14:23; 20:19 |
| 15:4, 8, 9, | 5:21 | D [5] 16:6; | WANTED [2] |
| 10, 11, 12, | TRACK [1] |  | 26:10, 11 |
| 14, 21; | 24:2 |  | WANTS [2] |
| 16:1, 4, 16; | TRACTS [1] | UNDERSTAN | 23:15; 26:17 |
| 17:24; 18:4, | 14:16 | DING [2] | WATER [10] |
| 6, 14, 19; |  | $11: 20 ; 24: 14$ | 4:10; 6:8, 9, |


| 12, 14, 15, | WHO'S [4] | 19; 17:13; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16, 17, 18, | 11:8; 12:18; | 18:16; 22:6; |
| 20 | 23:17; 26:2 | 23:2, 19; |
| WAYS [1] | WHOLE [1] | 24:5, 19, 25; |
| 8:9 | 19:3 | 25:10 |
| WE'LL [4] | WHOLEHEAR | WRAP [1] |
| 17:9; 20:2; | TEDLY [2] | 3:21 |
| 22:21; 24:9 | 16:12; 20:10 | WRONG [1] |
| WE'RE [6] | WILKES [3] | 27:4 |
| 11:7, 17; | 3:8; 11:23; |  |
| 16:14; 19:7, | 12:8 | - Y |
| 10; 24:2 | WILL [20] |  |
| WE'VE [4] | 3:25; 8:24; | YEARS [4] |
| 4:5; 17:11; | 12:12; 13:8; | 12:11; |
| 20:10; 25:2 | 15:20; 16:1, | 13:21; |
| WEEKS [2] | 18; 17:7; | 18:24; 26:23 |
| 13:13 | 18:5; 22:19; | YOU'RE [6] |
| WELL [2] | 23:10; 24:8, | 12:14; 13:5, |
| 8:13; 26:11 | 11, 12, 13, | $6 ; \quad 17: 4 ;$ |
| WENT [5] | 17; 25:6; | 18:2; $23: 17$ |
| 4:10; 13:12; | 26:12; 27:7, | YOU'VE [1] |
| 19:22; | 8 | 13:22 |
| 21:10; 26:8 | WILLING [1] | YOUR |
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THE COURT: Case A632338, Wolfram versus Pardee Homes of Nevada.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Good morning.
THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.
My understanding, and help me with this, and we were aware, and I'm sorry, that Mr. Wilkes died. I mean when we saw him testify, we all knew that he was really suffering, so I'm sorry. My condolences on that.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Thank you so much.
THE COURT: And my understanding is
Mr. Jimmerson was going to bring documents today to confirm who the trustee is, because obviously $I$ have to have that jurisdiction.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Right. I
understand. The limited opposition by Pardee was entirely appropriate.

We brought the original trust. We have, for purposes of filing, we only have the cover page, the page that showed the assignment of the contract and signature page, but, so Mr. Shipley or Ms. Lundvall
could look at the trust.
And also there's a bill of transfer here,
Aaron, that assigns the contract rights of the $40-y e a r$ commission contract over to the trust.

THE COURT: To the trust.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Yes, and the
trustees are Angela Wilkes, the widow of Walt Wilkes, and there are successor trustees, their son Tom, and then there are several grandchildren as beneficiaries of the trust.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: But for purposes of
today, we'll be substituting as party plaintiffs in the place of Walt D. Wilkes, the Walter D. Wilkes and Angela D. Leebocker (phonetic) Wilkes with

Angela Wilkes as trustee and Thomas Wilkes on her passing and according to the trust.

I don't have an objection if Mr. Shipley
wants a copy of the trust, just please keep it to this purpose for this case.

MR. SHIPLEY: I would agree with that.
THE COURT: And $I$ want to make sure for the record the documents have been reviewed to make sure $I$ have jurisdiction. That's all I care about.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I'm happy to provide
a copy to the court.
THE COURT: Okay. If you don't mind, I want to make sure we don't have a jurisdictional issue.

MR. SHIPLEY: I don't have a problem with
that.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: And with that, I
think we can have the motion granted, and we'll
substitute that and give appropriate notice to both, of course, Pardee and to all of the beneficiaries.

MR. SHIPLEY: Yeah, your Honor, I, again, I'm
only stating a limited opposition here, and it's on really procedural grounds.

When the motion for substitution of parties was originally filed, they were seeking, plaintiffs were seeking Thomas Wilkes --

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Right.
MR. SHIPLEY: -- the son, and then they've changed course, and $I$ understand why now that the documents have been presented.

THE COURT: I think more information has come forward.

MR. SHIPLEY: More information has come forward, apparently, and $I$ understand that.

The only, again, the limited opposition is
based on procedure.
And for the Court to take jurisdiction over the trust in rem and confirm the appointment of a successor trustee, $I$ don't think they have fulfilled the procedural responsibilities to do that.

THE COURT: What else do they need?
MR. SHIPLEY: Under NRS 164 and NRS 155, they are supposed to provide a minimum of ten days notice to all interested parties.

And again, $I$ have only seen until right at this moment limited portions of the trust, and --

THE COURT: Ten days notice to interested parties, meaning?

MR. SHIPLEY: All interested parties could mean all, all beneficiaries.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: We agree.
THE COURT: Okay. I agree with that.
MR. SHIPLEY: Potential creditors, possibly.
THE COURT: Creditors?
MR. SHIPLEY: Possibly. Again --
THE COURT: I know the facts of this case pretty well, so I'm trying to figure out where there would be a creditor.

MR. SHIPLEY: But what I'm trying --
THE COURT: You're doing it procedurally,
okay.
MR. SHIPLEY: We're not talking about the facts of this case, we're talking about the -- they're asking the Court now to assume jurisdiction over a trust --

THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. SHIPLEY: -- that's not related to the
facts of the case.
THE COURT: So that $I$ can then appoint them in this. I understand the jurisdiction. That's why I wanted the documents.

MR. SHIPLEY: So all interested parties under
Nevada statute, and again, I'm only talking Nevada statute, it's very possible the California statute applies, because if Ms. Wilkes is a California resident, which $I$ think she is, there may be a totally different set of procedures in order for a court to assume jurisdiction and confirm a trustee.

So, again, it's a procedural question that I've raised in my limited opposition.

And ultimately, as the Court's aware, NRCP 25 is meant to protect the estate and the decedent and all parties to the litigation. That would include a defendant in this case.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHIPLEY: And so I guess the question is whether or not, based on this information, your Honor has a comfort level to, to grant the motion and allow the successor trustee of the Wilkes Living Trust to be substituted in, and that's ultimately your discretion. I just raise the question.

THE COURT: Right, which is why I --
MR. SHIPLEY. Because in the last six weeks it's a totally altered course here on who they have asked to substitute.

With that, $I$ would leave it to your discretion.

THE COURT: Right. My only issue would be if there is an issue on the ten days notice.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Right.
THE COURT: That's the only issue that would give me, make me uncomfortable.

What expression did you use, make sure $I$ have a comfort level? I have to make sure I have a legal jurisdictional level.

MR. SHIPLEY: It's NRS. The confirmation of a trustee is done pursuant to NRS 164.010 and then 164.037, which cites to the notice procedure under 155.010 .

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: 164.037, which

Mr. Shipley refers to, defines interested person, the people entitled to notice.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: And interested persons are, quote, A settler, trustee, beneficiary, or any other person to whom the court directs that notice be given in the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: So the beneficiaries will again, of course, be given notice, the grandchildren.

THE COURT: And they have been given notice.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: The settler has been given notice. Angela was a settler with walt, and, of course, now she is the trustee, so notice would be -and maybe to satisfy Mr. Aaron's concern, we are happy to issue an order that says absent objection within ten business days from today the order would be confirmed so we don't have to come back a second time.

I don't see, respectfully, $I$ don't see a need to give notice to any creditors. This is not a probate matter. They assigned, before his death, his contract rights to his trust.

THE COURT: So there wouldn't be a creditor. MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: So respectfully,
while $I$ appreciate Mr. Shipley wants to make sure whoever is needed to be noticed is noticed, the definition of who has to be noticed is essentially this, and $I$ would think that includes Pardee, because they are a party to the litigation, which, of course, we have given them notice. I think we can have it resolved, and $I$ think that will give the proper comfort level.

MR. SHIPLEY: The notice has been given, just not ten days since the petition was filed. Again, I'm not trying to drag everybody back to court.

THE COURT: Believe me, I know. I love
having you all here, but I'm sure you all have other cases.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Thank you.
For Mr. Shipley, we thought from what
Tom Wilkes told us he was the executor, but it turned out --

THE COURT: I can understand.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: -- his mom was the trustee.

THE COURT: I understand why he may have been confused. We all listened to his testimony. We understood. I'm not finding fault with the information coming later than one would expect. I certainly
understand the circumstances, since I've been involved
from day one.
I am comfortable if you do put that caveat in
the order.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I would, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Jimmerson, that will address
the ten days notice.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Right.
THE COURT: So that $I$ think that's the best
comfort level $I$ can get.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I appreciate it.
MR. SHIPLEY: Yeah, and maybe if we could see
like a certificate of service or the notice provision
given to all the beneficiaries so we know who was given
notice, and then we would know whether or not we have
looked --
THE COURT: And then it would be of record,
which would protect me, the Court, also.
MR. SHIPLEY: Right.
THE COURT: It would be of record.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I will do that
order.
THE COURT: So $I$ will grant the motion based
on that.
Will you please -- $I$ know you will run the
order for form and content past Pardee's counsel.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: And I will put in it that Pardee will limit their use of the trust to this litigation.

MR. SHIPLEY: Absolutely no problem with
that. And again --
THE COURT: For the record, $I$ have it from my review too, and $I$ have reviewed it at the bench, and $I$ am satisfied with the representations of Mr. Jimmerson.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Big new paragraph. Under the judgment that you issued --

THE COURT: I don't know if I should open that door or not.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I just want to alert everyone to this. We're coming back. We're submitting papers IN 60 days for the decision. The decision was June 27 , so roughly August 27 we're going to provide you the accounting we promised.

Here's my big concern --
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: -- we learned that
in a transaction, in a transaction that was announced in the news, that --

THE COURT: I read the article about
Weyerhaeuser --

MR. SHIPLEY: We may want to address that.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SHIPLEY: I will have a conversation in

```
the hallway with Mr. Jimmerson about this.
```

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I just want to have some comfort with that.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Because as you know, the contract applies to successors and assigns.

THE COURT: Okay. When $I$ read the paper, it does apply, and the clerk says, Why do you want this?

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: I want to make sure Weyerhaeuser is on notice.

THE COURT: I feel you can work it out, so I'm aware because $I$ happened to read the same article.

MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: So that's all I
wanted to alert the court to.
THE COURT: That obviously got my attention.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: All right. Thank
you, and thank Mr. Shipley.
THE COURT: Thank you so much. It's a
pleasure having you in the courtroom again.
MR. SHIPLEY: It's nice to see you.
MR. JAMES J. JIMMERSON: Nice to see you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
So that motion is granted for substitution of
parties.
I'm gonna put this copy with it.
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## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JAMES WOLFRAM; and ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES as trustee of the WALTER D. WILKES AND ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES LIVING TRUST,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
Defendant.

CLERK OF THE COURT
troms for Paintis

CASE NO.: A-10-632338
DEPT. NO.: IV
PLAINTIFFS' ACCOUNTING BRIEF PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER ENTERED ON JUNE 25, 2014

COMES NOW Plaintiffs JAMES WOLFRAM and ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES as trustee of the WALTER D. WILKES AND ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES LIVING TRUST (hereinafter collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsels of record JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ., and LYNN M. HANSEN, ESQ., of the law firm JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C., and files their Accounting Brief pursuant to the Court's Order entered on June 25, 2014, detailing what information Pardee, its successors, and assigns should provide to Plaintiffs under the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004 (hereinafter "Commission Agreement").

III
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In 2003 and 2004, Plaintiffs JAMES WOLFRAM and WALT WILKES brokered an agreement between Defendant PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA (hereinafter "Pardee"), and COYOTE SPRINGS INVESTMENT, LLC. (hereinafter "CSI"), for Pardee to purchase real estate property located in Lincoln and Clark counties. (See NEO, Part I, $\mathbb{1}$, June 27, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit "1"). Pardee and CSI memorialized their agreement in a written contract entitled "Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions" in May 2004 (hereinafter "Option Agreement"), where Pardee agreed to purchase thousands of acres of land and it secured a forty-year option to buy tens of thousands more. (/d. at Part I, $\mathbb{\|} 10$ ). The Option Agreement was amended on July 28, 2004, and August 31, 2004, and then on September 1, 2004, Pardee's president JOHN LASH confirmed Pardee's Commission Agreement to pay Plaintiffs a commission upon Pardee's purchase of Purchase Property ${ }^{1}$ and Option Property ${ }^{2}$, from CSI. (Id. at Part I, T13).

The Commission Agreement required Pardee to provide Plaintiffs with notification and information concerning future transactions between Pardee and CSI and it states: "Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amounts and due dates of your commission payments." (Id. at Part I, $\mathbb{2} 24$ ). The Commission Agreement also included a successors and assigns provision and it stated

[^0]that "Pardee, its successors and assigns, shall take no action to circumvent or avoid its obligations to [Plaintiffs] as set forth in the [Commission] Agreement." (See Commission Agreement, p. 2, IT 4, attached hereto as Exhibit "2"). Thus, the Court found that Plaintiffs reasonably imparted special confidence in Pardee to faithfully inform them of the developments of Coyote Springs that would impact their future commission payments. (See NEO at Part I, T27, Ex. "1").

While Plaintiffs received a copy of the Option Agreement, the July 28, 2004, and August 31, 2004, amendments to the Option Agreement, and the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, Pardee refused to provide Plaintiffs with Amendments Nos. 1 through 8 to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement until after commencement of this litigation. (Id. at Part I, $\mathbb{T} \| 11$ \& 39). These undisclosed amendments showed multiple take downs of property and they included designation information about land transactions involving multi-family, custom lots, and commercial property. (Id. at Part II.A, ${ }^{\text {II16). }}$ Further, although certain documents were public record regarding the development of Coyote Springs, the documents referencing internally set land designations for certain land in Coyote Springs are not found within the public records. (Id. at Part I, $\uparrow \| 30$ ).

When Plaintiffs began requesting information regarding Pardee's acquisition of real estate from CSI, the only information provided by Pardee was the location of the Purchase Property purchased from CSI for the Purchase Property Price. (Id. at Part I, T40). All information provided was limited to single family production property acquisitions. (/d.). Pardee informed the Plaintiffs that it had purchased additional property from CSI at the Coyote Springs development, but it took the position that any documentation regarding designation of the use of the additional property was confidential and would not be provided to Plaintiffs. (Id.).

After a bench trial, the Court found that the designation of the type of property Pardee was purchasing from CSI during the development of Coyote Springs was material to Plaintiffs to verify if the commissions they had received were accurate and, if not, what -3-
amount they were entitled to as further commissions pursuant to the Commission Agreement. (/d. at Part I, $\mathbb{2} 28$ ). Since Pardee failed to provide such information to Plaintiffs, the Court found that Pardee breached the Commission Agreement's requirement to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the Commission Agreement and further breached its implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. (/d. at Part II.A., TIT14—17, Part II.B., TTI4-6).

## II. ARGUMENT

## A. The Court Should Order Pardee to Provide Plaintiffs with an <br> Accounting of the Assignment and/or Transfer of the Commission Agreement from Pardee to Weyerhaeuser NR Company

The Commission Agreement requires Pardee to keep Plaintiffs ${ }^{3}$ reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of Plaintiffs' commission payments and the Commission Agreement included a successor and assigns provision:

For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Pardee" shall include any successor assignee of Pardee's rights under the Option Agreement, and Pardee's obligation to pay the commission to you at the times and in the manner described above shall be binding upon Pardee and its successors and assigns.
(See Commission Agreement, p. 2, T4, Ex. "2").
Three weeks ago, on August 5, 2014, counsel for Pardee delivered correspondence to counsel for Plaintiffs that stated that Pardee has assigned and/or transferred all of its rights and obligations under the Option Agreement, including the Commission Agreement, to WEYERHAEUSER NR COMPANY (hereinafter "WNR"). (See Correspondence, Aug. 5, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit " 3 "). While the August 5, 2014 correspondence purported to negotiate WNR's accounting requirements, the correspondence was not signed by any known agent of WNR, it failed to adequately explain the transaction between Pardee and WNR, it failed to have any acknowledgment that counsel for Pardee had
${ }^{3}$ As used in this Brief, the term "Plaintiffs" shall include their successors and assigns. -4-
authority to negotiate on WNR's behalf, and it failed to acknowledge who would satisfy the ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED 00/100 DOLLAR ( $\$ 141,500.00$ ), Judgment entered in this Action. (ld.).

In order for Plaintiffs to acknowledge the representation that their rights under the Commission Agreement were assigned to WNR, Plaintiffs requested an accounting of the assignment of the Commission Agreement to WNR, with a full explanation of the transaction. (See Correspondence, Aug. 21, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit "4"). ${ }^{4}$ As the Court is aware, assignments that substantially alter the risk to the beneficiary of a contract may be void and/or may require the beneficiaries consent. See e.g. Easton Bus. Opp. v. Town Executive Suites, 230 P.3d 827, 126 Nev.Adv.Op. 13 (2010) (stating "[u]nder ordinary rules of contract law, a contractual right is assignable unless assignment materially changes the terms of the contract..." citing Restatement (Second) of Contracts §317(2)(a)-(c)(1981)).

Plaintiffs therefore request an accounting of the purported assignment so that Plaintiffs can confirm that an assignment did in fact occur and to confirm that no material changes to the Commission Agreement occurred. Since neither Pardee nor WNR has provided Plaintiffs with any authentic evidence confirming the purported assignment of the Commission Agreement, Plaintiffs respectfully request an accounting of the same with a full explanation of the transaction and the effects, if any, of the rights of Plaintiffs under the Commission Agreement.

## B. Plaintiffs Accounting Should Require Pardee, its Successors and Assigns to Provide Plaintiffs with All Amendments to the Option Agreement and Amended and Restated Option Agreement

The Commission Agreement and the Option Agreement are legally entangled: the Commission Agreement even states that all capitalized terms used in the Commission

[^1]-5-

Agreement shall have the exact meanings set forth in the Option Agreement. (See NEO, Part I, II 18, Ex. "1"). Further, the Commission Agreement prevents Pardee, its successors, and assigns from taking actions to circumvent or avoid their obligations in the Commission Agreement:

Pardee, its successors, and assigns, shall take no action to circumvent or avoid its obligation to you as set forth in the Agreement.
(See Commission Agreement, p.2, I44, Ex. "2").
Before the Commission Agreement at issue in this case, the Option Agreement was amended twice, first on July 28, 2004, and again on August 31, 2004. While Plaintiffs received the Option Agreement, the July 28 and August 31, 2004 amendments, and the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, Pardee refused to provide Plaintiffs with Amendments Nos. 1 through 8 to the Amended Restated Option Agreement until after the commencement of this litigation. (See NEO, Part I, TIT18 \& 39, Ex. "1").

Although the Court found that the past amendments to the Option Agreement did not change Plaintiffs' commissions due under the Commission Agreement, the information contained in the amendments contained designation information about the separate land transactions involving multi-family, custom lots, and commercial property. (Id. at Part II.A, IT16). This information was needed by Plaintiffs as it was necessary to determine the impact, if any, on Plaintiffs' commission payments. (Id.). Since the Commission Agreement and the Option Agreement are legally entangled and Pardee, its successors, and assigns, are prohibited from taking action to circumvent or avoid their obligations to Plaintiffs under the Commission Agreement, Plaintiffs submit that their accounting should include true and correct copies of all changes, amendments, and alterations to the Option Agreement going forward into the future until satisfaction is complete under the Commission Agreement.

## C. Plaintiffs Accounting Should Include Documents Referencing Internally Set Land Designations and Re-Designations for All Land in Coyote Springs

The Commission Agreement requires Pardee, its successors, and assigns, to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of Plaintiffs commission payments:

> Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date. In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments.
(See Commission Agreement, p.2, I2, Ex. "2").
The Court found that although Pardee co-developed with CSI a separate land transaction agreement for the acquisition of lands designated for other uses than single family detached production residential lots, Pardee still had a separate duty to Plaintiffs to provide information pursuant to the Commission Agreement so that Plaintiffs could verify the accuracy of their commission. (See NEO, Part I, T41). During the development of the Coyote Springs Project, Pardee and CSI cooperatively mapped and entitled all land before the specific location of any lands designated for single family detached production residential would be transferred by CSI to Pardee. (Id. at Part I, $\mathbb{\|} 21$ ). This cooperative mapping and entitling should continue so that Plaintiffs too can properly map and entitle the development of the Coyote Springs Project.

Plaintiffs submit that Pardee, its successors, and assigns, should provide Plaintiffs with actual and personal notice of any purchase and/or sale of any property ten (10) days before the close of escrow of such property, or if done outside of escrow or not utilizing an escrow company, before the purchase and/or sale of such property, together with information as to (1) the identification of the buyer and seller, (2) the designation of all
property being purchased, (3) the location of the property being purchased, (4) the escrow number, (5) the name of the title company and officer performing the transaction, (6) the sale price, (7) the number of acres, (8) the parcel numbers, (9) the recording date, (10) a parcel map, (11) the close of escrow documents to see the terms of sale and document date, (12) a breakdown of the commissions to be paid pursuant to the Commission Agreement, (13) and any other documentation relating to the amount and due dates of Plaintiffs' commission payment. (See Correspondence, p. 2, Aug. 21, 2014, Ex. "4" and incorporated fully herein).

Plaintiffs also request Pardee, its successors, and assigns, to provide Plaintiffs with all mapping and tentative mapping submitted to the Counties of Lincoln and Clark for all Option Property, including land sales and land developments that relate to single family production lots and residential home sales. This information is generally included in the myriad of documents included in a land-sale transaction. Further, Plaintiffs submit that Pardee should provide Plaintiffs with documents relation to any re-designation of land so that Plaintiffs can confirm that Pardee's re-designation of such property is not being done in an effort to circumvent or avoid its obligations to Plaintiffs. (See Commission Agreement, p. 2, T4, Ex. "2"). The receipt of the above information will allow Plaintiffs to confirm that their commission payments are accurate and, if not, to determine what amounts Plaintiffs are entitled to as further commissions under the Commission Agreement.
D. Plaintiffs Are Willing to Designate Certain Individuals as Agents to Receive Information from Pardee, its Successors, and Assigns, to Ensure Cooperative Exchange of Information and Confidentiality

Plaintiffs are willing to designate certain individuals as agents to demand and receive information from Pardee, its successors, and assigns, and to ensure the cooperative exchange of information. Plaintiff ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES as trustee of the WALTER D. WILKES AND ANGELA L. LIMBOCKER-WILKES LIVING -8-

TRUST is prepared to appoint THOMAS WILKES as an authorized agent to demand and/or receive information, and Plaintiff JAMES WOLFRAM is prepared to appoint himself, and then upon his death and/or incapacity, his wife SHARON WOLFRAM, and upon her death and/or incapacity, their daughter KRISTEN NICOLE DINGERSON, as an authorized agent to demand and receive information from Pardee, its successors, and assigns, relating to the Commission Agreement. These agents will appoint future agents or successors as necessary.

Plaintiffs and/or their agents should be permitted to openly communicate with both Pardee, its successors, and assigns, and the title officers during and after the sale all property, and they are prepared to keep confidential the information so designated by the Court and Pardee, its successors, and assigns, and execute a confidentiality agreement upon reasonable and necessary terms (including the purported assignment from Pardee to WNR). Since Plaintiffs are prepared to appoint authorized representatives to ensure the cooperative exchange of information, Plaintiffs submit that Pardee, its successors, and assigns, should be required to provide Plaintiffs with actual and personal notice of any information required to be exchanged pursuant to the Commission Agreement.

## III. CONCLUSION

Based upon the above, Plaintiffs respectfully submit that Pardee's accounting
should include the foregoing information pursuant to the Commission Agreement.
Respectfully submitted,


JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C.
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## DISTRICT COURT

 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CLERK OF THE COURT

JAMES WOLFRAM and WALT WILKES,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
Defendant.
AND RELATED CLAIMS
FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

On October 23, 2013, this matter came on for bench trial before the Honorable Kerry L. Early. The Court, having reviewed the record, the testimony of witnesses, the documentary evidence, stipulations of counsel, the papers submitted by the respective parties, and considered the arguments of counsel at trial in this matter, with good cause appearing therefor, the Court now enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Plaintiffs James Wolfram ("Wolfram") and Walt Wilkes ("Wilkes") (collectively "Plaintiffs") filed this action against defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee") alleging claims for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and accounting related to a Commission Agreement entered into on September 1, 2004, between Plaintiffs and Pardee (See Second Amended Complaint). As a conditional counterclaim, Parse alleges against Plaintiffs breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing arising from the Commission Agreement.

## I. FINDINGS OF FACT

## A. THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiffs James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes have been licensed real estate
brokers working in Southern Nevada and the surrounding area for over 35 years.
2. Plaintiff Wolfram previously worked for Award Realty Group. Plaintiff Wilkes previously worked for General Realty Group. In a previous order, the Court ruled that Wolfram and Wilkes were assigned all claims from Award Realty Group and General Realty Group, and, therefore, had standing to assert the claims at issue.
3. Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee") is a Nevada corporation operating as a residential homebuilder constructing homes and other structures in Southern Nevada and elsewhere.
4. In the 1990 's, Harvey Whittemore, through his then-owned company, Coyote Springs Investment LLC ("CSI") began developing a project to be known as ("Coyote Springs".) The project included over 43,000 acres of unimproved real property located north of Las Vegas in the Counties of Clark and Lincoln.
5. In 2002, Plaintiffs had begun tracking the status and progress of Coyote Springs located in the Counties of Clark and Lincoln, Nevada.
6. By 2002, Plaintiffs had become acquainted with Jon Lash, who was then responsible for land acquisition for Pardee's parent company, Pardee Homes. Plaintiffs had previously worked with Mr. Lash in the pursuit of different real estate transactions, but none were ever consummated prior to the Coyote Springs transaction.
7. After learning that Mr. Whittemore had obtained water rights for Coyote Springs, Plaintiffs contacted Mr. Lash and asked if he would be interested in meeting with Mr. Whittemore of CSI, for the purposes of entering into an agreement for the purchase of real property in Coyote Springs. When Mr. Lash agreed, Plaintiffs contacted Mr. Whittemore advising they had a client interested in Coyote Springs and wanted to schedule a meeting.
8. Mr. Lash agreed to allow Plaintiffs to represent Pardee as a potential purchaser, and a meeting was scheduled to take place at Pardee's office in Las Vegas. Present at the meeting were Plaintiffs, Mr. Whittemore from CSI, and Mr. Lash and Mr. Klif Andrews from Pardee. While this meeting was introductory in nature, it ultimately resulted in plans to structure a
deal between Pardee and CSI to develop Coyote Springs after approximately 200 meetings between Pardee and CSI. During the extensive negotiating process, Mr. Whittemore, on behalf of CSI, expressed CSI's decision to only sell certain portions of real estate at Coyote Springs. Pardee made it clear that it only wanted to purchase the land designated as single-family detached production residential ("Production Residential Property") at Coyote Springs. At that time it was understood by Pardee and CSI, that CSI was to maintain ownership and control of all other land at Coyote Springs including land designated as commercial land, multi-family land, the custom lots, the golf courses, the industrial lands, as well as all other development deals at Coyote Springs.
9. Plaintiffs only participated in the initial meeting, as Pardee and CSI informed Plaintiffs their participation was not required for any of the negotiations by Pardee to purchase Production Residential Property. As such, Plaintiffs were the procuring cause of Pardee's right to buy Production Residential Property in Coyote Springs from CSI.

## B. OPTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN CSI and PARDEE AND COMMISSION AGREEMENT

10. In or about May 2004, Pardee and CSI entered into a written agreement entitled Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions ("Option Agreement"), which set forth the terms of the deal, among many others, concerning Pardee's acquisition of the Production Residential Property from CSI at Coyote Springs.
11. Prior to the Commission Agreement at issue in this case being agreed upon between Pardee and Plaintiffs, the Option Agreement was amended twice. First, on July 28, 2004, Pardee and CSI executed the Amendment to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions. Subsequently, on August 31, 2004, Pardee and CSI executed the Amendment No. 2 to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions. (The Option Agreement, along with the subsequent amendments, will be collectively referred to as the "Option Agreement"). Plaintiffs acknowledged receiving the Option Agreement and the two amendments.
12. At the time of Pardee's and CSI's original negotiations, the land was the rawest of all in terms of land development. No zoning, parceling, mapping, entitlements, permitting, etc., had been accomplished. All of that work had yet to be done. At that time multiple issues were outstanding that would impact the boundaries of any land to be acquired by Pardee from CSI for Production Residential Property. Those issues included, among others, the BLM reconfiguration, Moapa Dace and other wildlife protections, moving a utility corridor from Coyote Springs to federal lands, and the design by Jack Nicklaus of the golf courses. At multiple places in the Option Agreement it was acknowledged by CSI and Pardee that boundaries of various lands would change.
13. At the same time Pardee was negotiating with CSI, Pardee was also negotiating with Plaintiffs concerning their finders' fee/commissions. Pardee and Plaintiffs extensively negotiated the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004. Plaintiffs were represented by James J. Jimmerson, Esq. throughout those negotiations. Plaintiffs offered edits, and input was accepted into the Commission Agreement under negotiation, with certain of their input accepted by Pardee. The Plaintiffs' and Pardee's obligations to each other were agreed to be set forth within the four corners of the Commission Agreement. Plaintiffs and Pardee acknowledge that the Commission Agreement was an arms-length transaction.
14. The Commission Agreement between Plaintiffs and Pardee provided that, in exchange for the procuring services rendered by Plaintiffs, Pardee agreed to (1) pay to Plaintiffs certain commissions for land purchased from CSI, and (2) send Plaintiffs information concerning the real estate purchases made under the Option Agreement and the corresponding commission payments.
15. Since Mr. Wolfram and Mr. Wilkes had already performed services for Pardee, the Commission Agreement placed no affirmative obligation on them.
16. The Commission Agreement, dated September 1, 2004, was executed by Pardee on September 2, 2004, by Mr. Wolfram on September 6, 2006, and Mr. Wilkes on September 4, 2004.
17. The Commission Agreement provides for the payment of "broker commission[s]" to Plaintiffs in the event that Pardee approved the transaction during the Contingency Period, equal to the following amounts:
(i) Pardee shall pay four percent (4\%) of the Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to Paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement up to a maximum of Fifty Million Dollars ( $\$ 50,000,000$ );
(ii) Then, Pardee shall pay one and one-half percent ( $1-1 / 2 \%$ ) of the remaining Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement in the aggregate amount of Sixteen Million Dollars (\$16,000,000); and
(iii) Then, with respect to any portion of the Option Property purchased by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, Pardee shall pay one and one-half percent (1-1/2\%) of the amount derived by multiplying the number of acres purchased by Pardee by Forty Thousand Dollars $(\$ 40,000)$.
18. The Commission Agreement states that all of the capitalized terms used in the Commission Agreement shall have the exact meanings set forth in the Option Agreement. Copies of the Option Agreement, the amendments including changes to the Purchase Property Price, and the subsequent Amended and Restated Option Agreement were given to Plaintiffs by Stewart Title Company, the escrow company chosen by Pardee and CSI to handle all of its land transactions. Plaintiffs also acknowledge receiving these documents. However, Amendments 1 through 8 to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement between CSI and Pardee were not provided to Plaintiffs until after this litigation was commenced by Plaintiffs.
19. The term "Purchase Property Price" was defined in Amendment No. 2 to the Option Agreement as Eighty-Four Million Dollars $(\$ 84,000,000)$, which was payable in installments over a period of time. The due dates for commissions' payable under paragraphs $i$ and ii were described in the Commission Agreement as follows:

Pardee shall make the first commission payment to you upon the Initial Purchase Closing (which is scheduled to occur thirty (30) days following the Settlement Date) with respect to the aggregate Deposits made prior to that time. Pardee shall make each additional commission payment pursuant to
clauses (i) and (ii) above concurrently with the applicable Purchase Property Price payment to Coyote.
20. By virtue of Amendment No. 2 increasing the Purchase Property Price from $\$ 66$ million to $\$ 84$ million, Plaintiffs became entitled to commissions on the increased Purchased Property Price, which they subsequently received.
21. Commission payments required under paragraphs $i$ and ii were not dependent upon acreage or location of the lands being acquired, or upon the closing of any land transaction. In sum, when Pardee paid CSI a portion of the Purchase Property Price, under the agreed schedule, then Plaintiffs were also paid their commission. Pardee and CSI anticipated that the Purchase Property would be, and was, cooperatively mapped and entitled before the specific location of any lands designated for single family detached production residential would be transferred by CSI to Pardee.
22. The due date for any commissions payable under paragraph iii was described in the Commission Agreement as follows: "Thereafter, Pardee shall make such commission payment pursuant to clause (iii) above concurrently with the close of escrow on Pardee's purchase of the applicable portion of the Option Property; provided, however, that in the event the required Parcel Map creating the applicable Option Parcel has not been recorded as of the scheduled Option Closing, as described in paragraph 9 (c) of the Option Agreement, the commission shall be paid into escrow concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price into escrow and the commission shall be paid directly from the proceeds of said Escrow."
23. The general term "Option Property" is defined in the Option Agreement as follows: "the remaining portion of the Entire Site which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use, as described below . . . in a number of separate phases (referred to herein collectively as the "Option Parcels" and individually as an "Option Parcel"), upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth." The general definition of "Option Property" was never changed by CSI and Pardee in any documents amending either the initial Option Agreement or the subsequent Amended and Restated Option Agreement. The definitions of other capitalized terms found within the Commission Agreement were never changed by CSI and Pardee.
24. The Commission Agreement requires Pardee to provide Plaintiffs with notifications and information concerning future transactions between Pardee and CSI under the Option Agreement. Specifically, the Commission Agreement states:

Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date. In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments. (Emphasis Added)
25. After executing the Commission Agreement, Plaintiffs never entered into another agreement with Pardee concerning the development of Coyote Springs.
26. Pardee's purchase of the "Purchase Property Price" property and any Option Property designated in the future as single family detached production residential lands was a separate and distinct transaction from any other purchases by Pardee from CSI for unrelated property at Coyote Springs.
27. The relationship between Pardee and Plaintiffs was such that Plaintiffs reasonably imparted special confidence in Pardee to faithfully inform them of the developments at Coyote Springs which would impact their future commission payments. Pardee and CSI agreed to designate documents relevant to the development of Coyote Springs as confidential. Among said documents were documents relating to the designation of the type of property Pardee was purchasing from CSI during the development of Coyote Springs that were part of a distinct and separate agreement between Pardee and CSI.
28. The designation of the type of property Pardee was purchasing from CSI during the development of Coyote Springs was material to Plaintiffs to verify if the commissions they had received were accurate and, if not, what amount they were entitled as further commissions pursuant to the Commission Agreement.
29. Pardee should have known that the Plaintiffs needed to have access to information specifying the designation as to the type of property being purchased by Pardee from CSI during the development of Coyote Springs to verify the accuracy of their commissions.
30. Although certain documents were public record regarding the development of Coyote Springs, the documents referencing internally set land designations for certain land in Coyote Springs were not available to Plaintiffs.
C. PARDEE'S PERFORMANCE UNDER THE COMMISSION AGREEMENT
31. Pardee did purchase "Purchase Property Price" property from CSI for $\$ 84,000,000.00$. Plaintiffs have been paid in full their commissions on the $\$ 84,000,000.00$ Purchase Property Price.
32. Plaintiffs were informed of the amount and due dates of each commission payment for the Purchase Property Price: first through Stewart Title Company, and then Chicago Title Company, pursuant to the Commission Agreement.
33. Under the express terms of the Commission Agreement, pursuant to paragraphs $i$ and ii, these commissions were based solely on the Purchase Property Price for the land, not the number of acres acquired or the location of those acres. Under the Purchase Property formula, they were entitled to a percentage of the Purchase Property Price. There was no benefit or additional commission for additional acreage being purchased if there is no corresponding increase in price.
34. Plaintiffs were paid a total of $\$ 2,632,000.00$ in commissions pursuant to paragraphs $i$ and ii of the Commission Agreement.
35. Pardee did not pay more than $84,000,000.00$ as the Purchase Property Price to CSI under the Option Agreement, the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, or any amendments thereto. CSI has never received more than $\$ 84,000,000.00$ as payment under the Option Agreement, the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, or any amendments thereto.
36. No commission to Plaintiffs is payable under clause (iii) of the Commission Agreement unless the property purchased fell within the definition of Option Property purchased pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement.

Pardee as of the present time has not exercised any options to purchase single family production residential property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. Therefore, Pardee as of the present time does not owe any commission to Plaintiffs under paragraph iii of the Commission Agreement.
37. The other provision of the Commission Agreement alleged by Plaintiffs to have been breached states as follows:

> Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date. In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments.
38. Pardee did provide information relating to the amount and due dates on Plaintiffs' commission payments under paragraphs i and ii. Specifically, Plaintiffs were paid their first commission at the Initial Purchase Closing and then each commission thereafter concurrently with each Purchase Property Price payment made by Pardee to CSI pursuant to Amendment No. 2 to the Option Agreement as was required by the Commission Agreement. Each commission payment was made pursuant to an Order to Pay Commission to Broker prepared by Stewart Title (later Chicago Title) which contained information including the date, escrow number, name of title company, percentage of commission to be paid, to whom and the split between Plaintiffs. Each Order to Pay Commission to Broker was signed by Pardee and sent to either Plaintiffs brokerage firms or Plaintiffs directly. Each commission check received by Plaintiffs contained the amount, escrow number, payee and payer, along with a memo explaining how the amount was determined. When Plaintiffs were overpaid commissions, a letter was sent by Pardee explaining the overpayment and how the amount and due dates to compensate for the overpayment would be handled. An Amended Order to Pay Commission to Broker reflecting these changes was sent to and signed by each Plaintiff. A letter was sent by Pardee to Plaintiffs informing them when Pardee made its last payment of the Purchase Property Price to CSI.
39. However, from the documents in Plaintiffs' possession provided by Pardee,

Plaintiffs were unable to verify the accuracy of any commission payments that may have been due and owing pursuant to paragraph iii of the Commission Agreement. The documents in Plaintiffs' possession included the Option Agreement and Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 to the Option Agreement, the Amended and Restated Option Agreement, various Orders to Pay Commissions, and their commission payments. Amendments Nos. 1 through 8 to the Amended Restated Option Agreement were not provided to Plaintiffs until after commencement of this litigation.
40. When Plaintiffs began requesting information regarding Pardee's land acquisitions from CSI, the only information provided by Pardee was the location of the Purchase Property purchased for the Purchase Property Price from CSI. All information provided was limited to the single family production property acquisitions. Pardee informed the Plaintiffs that it had purchased from CSI additional property at the Coyote Springs development, but took the position that any documentation regarding the designations of the use of the additionally purchased property was confidential and would not be provided to Plaintiffs. Interestingly, Pardee had already provided to Plaintiffs the initial Option Agreement, Amendments No. 1 and 2 and the Amended Restated Option Agreement, which were also confidential documents between Pardee and CSI.
41. Although Pardee co-developed with CSI a separate land transaction agreement for the acquisition of lands designated for other uses than single family detached production residential lots, Pardee had a separate duty to Plaintiffs pursuant to the Commission Agreement to provide information so Plaintiffs could verify the accuracy of their commission payments.
42. Without access to the information regarding the type of land designation that was purchased by Pardee as part of the separate land transaction with CSI, Plaintiffs were not reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount of their commission payments as they could not verify the accuracy of their commission payments.
43. Although the complete documentation when provided in this litigation verified that Plaintiffs were not due any further commissions at this time for the additional purchases of land by Pardee, Pardee still had a duty to provide sufficient information regarding the designation
of the type of land that had been purchased to Plaintiffs. Plaintiff Wolfram attempted through public records to ascertain information regarding the additional lands, but he was unable to verify the required information of the land use designations.
44. Plaintiffs have also contended that they are entitled to a commission if Pardee re-designates any of its land purchased from CSI to single family production residential property. Plaintiffs are not entitled to commissions on any re-designation of lands by Pardee pursuant to the Commission Agreement.

## II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

## A. PLAINTIFFS' CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

1. To sustain a claim for breach of contract, Plaintiffs must establish (1) the existence of a valid contract between Plaintiffs and Defendant; (2) a breach by Defendant, and (3) damages as a result of the breach. Richardson v. Jones, 1 Nev. 405, 405 (1865); Calloway v. City of Reno, 116 Nev. 250, 256, 993 P.3d 1259, 1263 (2000) (overruled on other grounds by Olson $v$. Richard, 120 Nev. 240, 241-44, 89 P.3d 31, 31-33 (2004)).
2. Contract interpretation strives to discern and give effect to the parties' intended meaning...before an interpreting court can conclusively declare a contract ambiguous or unambiguous, it must consult the context in which the parties exchanged promises. Galardi v. Naples Polaris, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 33, 301 P:3d 364, 367 (2013).
3. Contractual provisions should be harmonized whenever possible, and construed to reach a reasonable solution. Eversole v. Sunrise Villas VIII Homeowners Ass' $n, 112$ Nev. 1255, 1260, 925 P.2d 505, 509 (1996).
4. The Commission Letter Agreement constitutes a valid and enforceable contract between Plaintiffs and Defendant.
5. Pardee agreed to pay commissions and provide information to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due date of their commissions pursuant to the express terms of the Commission Agreement.
6. The language of the Commission Agreement required the payment of commissions under paragraphs i and ii according to percentages of the Purchase Property Price. Undisputedly, those commissions were paid.
7. The Commission Agreement also required Pardee to pay commissions on the purchase of Option Property if Pardee exercised its option to purchase Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement.
8. Pardee has never exercised any such option.
9. Pardee paid Plaintiffs in full and timely commissions on the $\$ 84,000,000.00$ Purchase Property Price.
10. The Purchase Property Price was $\$ 84,000,000.00$.
11. CSI has not received more than $\$ 84,000.000 .00$ for the single family detached production residential land acquisition by Pardee from CSI at the Coyote Springs project.
12. From the very beginning, CSI and Pardee acknowledged that the specific boundaries of the Purchase Property and Option Property may change, for a variety of reasons. There are many references to the changing boundaries of property at Coyote Springs in Pardee's and CSI's Option Agreement. There are many factors that necessitated those changes, including the BLM configuration, moving the utility corridor, mapping, the subdivision process, the entitlement and permitting processes, the Moapa Dace issue and other wildlife issues, and the design by Jack Nicklaus of the golf courses. There were a number of factors that were out of CSI's and Pardee's control that were expected to change and did change the boundaries and configuration of the Purchase Property. As a result of those boundaries changing, so too did the potential boundaries for Option Property change.
13. The Plaintiffs' commissions pursuant to paragraphs i and ii were solely based on the Purchase Property Price, not the acreage acquired by Pardee or its location or its closing.

Therefore, the change in boundaries had absolutely no impact on the amount or due date of Plaintiffs' commissions.
14. Plaintiffs were also entitled to be paid commissions if Pardee exercised option(s) to purchase Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. To exercise such an option is a multi-step process involving a myriad of written documents. If such an option had been exercised by Pardee those documents would be found in the public record. Since Pardee as of the present time has not exercised any options pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, no commissions are due at the present time to Plaintiffs.
15. In addition, the Commission Agreement required Pardee to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of Plaintiffs' commission payments.
16. Plaintiffs did not receive amendments 1 through 8 to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement. Although those amendments did not change Plaintiffs' commissions due under the Commission Agreement, the information contained in the amendments contained the designation information about the separate land transactions involving multi-family, custom lots, and commercial. This information was needed by Plaintiffs as it was necessary to determine the impact, if any on their commission payments. However, Pardee could have provided the requisite information in various forms other than the amendments. Pardee failed to provide information in any form required by Plaintiffs to determine the accuracy of their commission payments.
17. Pardee did not keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount of their commission payments that would be due and owing pursuant to the Commission Agreement. Therefore, Pardee breached the Commission Agreement.
18. Plaintiffs satisfied any and all of their obligations under the Commission Agreement.
19. In order to award consequential damages, the damages claimed for the breach of contract must be foreseeable. See Barnes v. W. U. Tel. Co., 27 Nev. 438, 76 P. 931 (1904). Under the watershed case, Hadley v. Baxendale, 156 Eng. Rep. 145, 151 (1854), foreseeability requires
that: (1) damages for loss must "fairly and reasonably be considered [as] arising naturally . . . from such breach of contract itself," and (2) the loss must be "such as may reasonably be supposed to have been in the contemplation of both parties, at the time they made the contract as the probable result of the breach of it." See Clark County School District v. Rolling Plains Const., Inc., 117 Nev. 101, 106, 16 P.3d 1079, 1082 (2001) (disapproved of on other grounds, 117 Nev .948 ). Stated another way, the damages claimed for the breach of contract must be foreseeable. Id.
20. Plaintiffs suffered foreseeable damages due to Defendant's breach of not keeping Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount due and owing on the Commission Agreement in the form of their time and efforts attempting to obtain the information owed to them pursuant to the Commission Agreement. The testimony by Plaintiff Wolfram was that he expended 80 hours of time to obtain said information by going through public records and contacting different sources. Using a rate of $\$ 75.00$ per hour for Mr. Wolfram's time as a real estate agent, the damages total $\$ 6,000,00$.
21. Plaintiffs also suffered damages in the form of the attorney's fees and costs incurred as they were necessary and reasonably foreseeable to obtain the requisite information regarding the land designations of land acquired by Pardee from CSI in the Coyote Development pursuant to the separate transaction between Pardee and CSI. Plaintiffs specifically requested numerous times from Pardee information to determine the land designations of these additional purchases, but to no avail. In fact, Mr. Lash on behalf of Pardee instructed a third party that said information should not be provided. CSI was not able to provide the requisite information due to the confidentiality agreement with Pardee. Plaintiffs had no alternative but to file suit, use the litigation process to obtain the requisite information, and request an equitable remedy from this Court to obtain said information in the future. The above-referenced facts allow this Court to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs as special damages. See Liu v. Christopher Homes, LLC 103, Nev. Adv. Op. 17, 321 P.3d, 875 (2014); Sandy Valley Assoc v. Sky Ranch Owners Assoc., 117 Nev. 948, 35 P.3d 964 (2001).

Mr. Jimmerson testified regarding the attomey's fees and costs to pursue the

Plaintiffs' claim for acquiring the information from Pardee related to the Plaintiffs' commission amounts based on billings contained in exhibits 31A. The damages for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs are $\$ 135,500.00$.

## B. PLAINTIFFS' CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF

 GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING1. To sustain a claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing sounding in contract, Plaintiffs must establish: (1) Plaintiffs and Defendant were parties to the contract; (2) the Defendant owed a duty of good faith to Plaintiffs; (3) the Defendant breached that duty by performing in a manner that was unfaithful to the purpose of the contract; and (4) Plaintiff's justified expectations were thus denied. See Perry v. Jordan, 111 Nev. 943, 947, 900 P.2d 335, 338 (1995);
2. An implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing is recognized in every contract under Nevada law. Consolidated Generator-Nevada, Inc. v. Cummins Engine Co., Inc., 114 Nev. 1304, 1311, 971 P.2d 1251, 1256 (1998). Under the implied covenant, each party must act in a manner that is faithful to the purpose of the contract and the justified expectations of the other party. Morris v. Bank of America Nevada, 110 Nev. 1274, 1278 n. 2, 886 P.2d 454, 457 (1994). The implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing forbids arbitrary, unfair acts by one party that disadvantages the other. Frantz v. Johnson, 116 Nev. 455, 465 n. $4 ., 999$ P.2d 351, 358 (2000).
3. Plaintiffs, pursuant to the Commission Agreement, were entitled to commissions for Purchase Price Property and Option Property. Plaintiffs had justifiable expectations that Pardee would keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters related to the amount and due dates of their commission payments.
4. Plaintiffs needed sufficient information regarding purchases of land by Pardee from CSI at Coyote Springs to enable Plaintiffs to verify the accuracy of commission payments. The designation of the land purchased by Pardee from CSI was the basis for Plaintiffs' entitlement to commissions pursuant to Option Property under iii of the Commission Agreement.
5. Pardee was not faithful to the purpose of the Commission Agreement by failing to provide information regarding other land designations purchased by Pardee at Coyote Springs so Plaintiffs could verify the accuracy of their commission payments. Without this information, Pardee failed to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to their Commission Agreement.
6. Pardee did not act in good faith when it breached its contractual duty to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of their commission payments. Plaintiffs did not breach any obligation they had to Pardee under the Commission Agreement by requesting information regarding other land acquisitions by Pardee from CSI at Coyote Springs. Plaintiffs acted in good faith at all times toward Pardee and did not deny Pardee its justified expectations under the Commission Agreement.
7. Pardee suffered no recoverable damages from Plaintiffs' inquiries.

## C. PLAINTIFFS' CLAIM FOR AN ACCOUNTING

1. An accounting is an independent cause of action that is distinct from the equitable remedy of accounting. See e.g. Botsford v. Van Riper, 33 Nev. 156, 110 P. 705 (1910); Young v. Johnny Ribiero Bldg., Inc., 106 Nev. 88, 787 P. 2 d 777 (1990); Oracle USA, Inc. v. Rimini Street, Inc., No. 2:10-CV-00106-LRH-PAL, 2010 WL 3257933 (D. Nev. Aug. 13, 2010); Teselle v. McLoughlin, 173 Cal. App. 4th 156, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 696 (Cal. App. 2009); Mobius Connections Group, Inc. v. Techskills, LLC, No. 2:10-CV-01678-GMN-RJJ, 2012 WL 194434 (D. Nev. Jan. 23, 2012).
2. To prevail on a claim for accounting, a Plaintiff must establish the existence of a special relationship whereby a duty to account may arise. See Teselle v. McLoughlin, 173 Cal . App. 4th 156, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3d 696 (Cal. App. 2009). The right to an accounting can arise from Defendant's possession of money or property which, because of the Defendant's relationship with the Plaintiff, the Defendant is obliged to surrender. Id.
3. This Court has previously held that for Plaintiffs to prevail on an independent
cause of action for an accounting, Plaintiffs must establish the existence of a special relationship of trust whereby a duty to account may arise. See Teselle v. McLoughlin, 173 Cal. App. $4^{\text {th }} 156$ (2009); see also, Order Denying Pardee's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.
4. Courts have found the existence of a special relationship of trust when, in a contractual relationship, payment is collected by one party and the other party is paid by the collecting party. Wolfv. Superior Court, 130 Cal. Rptr. $2 d 860$ (Cal. Ct. App. 2003); Mobius Connections Group, Inc. v. Techskills, LLC, No. 2:10-CV-01678-GMN-RJJ, 2012 WL 194434 (D. Nev. Jan. 23, 2012).
5. In contractual relationships requiring payment by one party to another of profits received, the right to an accounting can be derived from the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in every contract, because without an accounting there may be no way by which such a party entitled to a share in profits could determine whether there were any profits. Mobius Conections Group v. Techskills, LLC, Id.
6. The Court finds there is a special relationship of trust between Plaintiffs and Pardee that entitles Plaintiffs to an accounting for the information concerning the development of Coyote Springs in the future as it pertains to Plaintiffs' commissions on option property. There is no way for Plaintiffs or their heirs to determine whether a commission payment is due in the future without an accounting of the type of land of any future purchases by Pardee from CSI at Coyote Springs. Access to said information is required to ensure the accuracy of commission payments that may be due and owing in the future.

## DECISION

Now, therefore, in consideration of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law by this Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. The Court finds that Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada is liable to Plaintiffs for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and its failure to account to Plaintiffs regarding the information concerning the development of Coyote Springs because it
pertained to Plaintiffs' present and potential future commissions. Damages are to be awarded to Plaintiffs from Defendant in an amount totaling $\$ 141,500.00$
2. The Court finds that Plaintiffs are not liable to Defendant for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. As such, no damages will be awarded to Defendant.
3. The Court orders both parties to provide to the Court within 60 days after entry of this order supplemental briefs detailing what information should be provided - and under what circumstances - by Pardee to Plaintiffs consistent with this decision. The Court will schedule after receiving the supplemental briefs further proceedings to determine what information should be provided by Pardee to Plaintiffs, and their heirs when applicable, as an accounting.

DATED this 25 day of June, 2014.


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 25,2014 , I mailed, electronically served, or placed a copy of this order in the attorney's folder on the first floor of the Regional Justice Center as follows:

James M. Jimmerson, Esq. - Jimmerson Hansen Pat Lundvall - McDonald Carano Wilson


## EXHIBIT """

## I PardeeHomes

10880 Wishife Boulevard, Sulla 1900
Los Angetes, Calfomta 90024-4101
1ORE,LASH
Sr. Vice President
(310) 475-3525 ext 251 (310) 475-3525
(310) 446-1285

September 1, 2004

Mr. Walt Wilkes
General Realty Group, Inc.
10761 Turquoise Valley Dr.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144-4141
Mr. Jim Wolfram
Award Realty Group
10761 Turquoise Valley Dr
Las Vegas, Nerada 89144-4141
Re: Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions dated as of Jume 1, 2004, as amended (the "Option Agreement") between Coyote Springs Investment ILC ("Coyote") and Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee")

## Gentlemen:

This letter is intended to confirm our understanding concerning the pending purchase by Pardee from Coyote of certain real property located in the Counties of Clartk and Lincolo, Nevada pursuant to the above-referenced Option Agreement. Except as otherwise defined herein, the capitalized words used in this Agreement shall have the meanings as set forth in the Option Agreement

In the event Pardee approves the transaction, during the Contingency Period, Pardee shall pay to you (one-half to cach) a broker commission equad to the following amounts:
(i) Pardee shall pay fout percent (4\%) of the Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement up to a maximum of Fifty Million Dollars ( $\$ 50,000,000$ );
(ii) Then, Pardee shall pay one and one-half percent ( $1-1 / 2 \%$ ) of the remaining Purchase Property Price payments made by Pardee pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Option Agreement in the aggregate amount of Sixteen Million Dollars ( $\$ 16,000,000$ ); and
(iii) Then, with respect to any portion of the Option Property purchased by Pardee pursuant to paragtaph 2 of the Option Agreement, Pardee shall pay one and onehalf percent ( $1-1 / 2 \%$ ) of the amount detived by multiplying the number of acres purchased by Pardee by Fozty Thousand Dollars $(\$ 40,000)$.

Mr. Walt Wilkes
Mr. Jim Wolfram
September 1, 2004
Page 2

Pardee shall make the first commission payment to you upon the Initial Purchase Closing (which is scheduled to occur thirty (30) days following the Settlement Date) with respect to the aggregate Deposits made prior to that time. Pardee shall make each additional commission payment pursuant to clauses (1) and (ii) above concurtently with the applicable Purchase Property Price payment to Coyote. Theteafter, Pardee shall make each commission payment pursuant to clause (iii) above concurrently with the close of escrow on Pardee's purchase of the applicable portion of the Option Propertys provided, however, that in the event the required Parcel Map creating the applicable Option Parcel has not been recorded as of the scheculed Option Closing, as described in patagraph $9(c)$ of the Option Agreement, the commission shall be paid into escrow concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price into Escrow and the commission shall be paid directly from the proceeds of said Escrow.

Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of each written option exercise notice given pursuant to patagraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date. In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments.

In the event the Option Agreement terminates for any reason whatsoever prior to Pardee's purchase of the entire Purchase Property and Option Propenty, and Pardec thereafter purchases any portion of the Entire Site from Seller, at the closing of such purchase, Pardee shall pay to you a commission in the amount determined as described above as if the Option Agreement remained in effect.
For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Pardee" shall include any successor or assignee of Pardee's rights under the Option Agreement, and Pardee's obligation to pay the commission to you at the times and in the manner described above shall be binding upon Pardee and its successors and assigns. Pardee, its successors and assigns, shall take no action to circumvent or avoid its obligation to you as set forth in the Agreement. Nevertheless, in no event shall you be entitled to any commission or compensation as a result of the resale or transfer by Pardee or its successor in interest of any portion of the Entire Site after such property has been acquired from Seller and commission paid to you.

In the event any sum of money due hereunder remains unpaid for a period of thisty (30) days, said sume shall bear interest at the rate of ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) per annum from the date due until paid. In the event either party brings an action to enforce its rights under this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be awarded reasonable attomeys' fees and costs.

This Agreement represents our entire understanding concerning the subject matter hereof, and all oral statements, representations, and negotiations are hereby merged into this Agreement and are superseded hereby. This Agreement may not be modified except by a written instrument signed by all of us. Nothing hetein contained shall create a partuership, joint venture or employment relationship between the parties hereto unless expressly set forth to the contraty. The language of this Agreement shall be construed under the laws of the State of Nevada according to its normal and usual meaning, and not strictly for or against either you or Pardee.

Mr. Walt Wilkes
Mr. Jim Wolfram
September 1, 2004
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Out signatures below will represent our binding agreement to the above.
Sincerely,
PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
2 Nevada corporation


SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 20 y of September 2004.


Agreed to and accepted:
GENERAL REALTY GROUP, INC.


SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me


NTARY PUBLIC in and for the County

-
Mr. Wait Wilkes
Mr. Jim Wolfram
September 1, 2004
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## AWARD REALTY GROUP



SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 2004.

Vergnceic /loteain
NOTARYPUBLIC in and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada


## EXHIBIT "3"

# McDONALD•CARANO•WILSONさ 

## Pat Lundvall

plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com

August 5, 2014

## VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

James J. Jimmerson
JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C.
415 S. Sixth Street, Ste 100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
iij@jimmersonhansen.com

## Re: James Wolfram, Walt Wilkes v. Pardee Homes of Nevada A-10-632338-C

Dear Mr. Jimmerson:
The purpose of this letter is twofold: (1) to formally notify James Wolfram and the heirs and/or successors of Walt Wilkes (collectively "Plaintiffs") that Pardee Homes of Nevada's rights and obligations under the Amended and Restated Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions ("AROA") have been assigned to a related party; and (2) to propose a plan to provide Plaintiffs notice of any purchase of Option Property as defined under the terms of the AROA.

First, as you are aware, Weyerhaeuser Company was the former ultimate parent of Pardee Homes of Nevada. In early July, TRI Pointe Homes, Inc. ("TPH") merged with Weyerhaeuser Company's homebuilding subsidiary, Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Company ("WRECO"). WRECO survived the merger and became a wholly owned subsidiary of TPH. As a result, TPH is now the parent company of Pardee Homes, which includes Pardee Homes of Nevada. However, this merger did not involve Pardee's rights and interest in the Coyote Springs Project. At or around the time of the merger, WRECO transferred and assigned all of its rights, title and interest in and to the Coyote Springs Project to Weyerhaeuser NR Company ("WNR"), a Washington corporation that was WRECO's corporate parent up until the time of the TPH merger. This includes the rights and obligations created under the terms of the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004.

Page|2

Second, the court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, entered on June 27, 2014, directed the parties to provide supplemental briefs detailing what information they believe should be provided by Pardee to Plaintiffs, and their heirs when applicable, as an accounting. The supplemental briefs may not be necessary if the parties can agree on a plan going forward regarding what WNR will produce to your clients in the event that WNR exercises an option to purchase Option Property as defined under the terms of the AROA.

WNR reaffims the obligations set forth in the express terms of the Commission Agreement. WNR proposes the following:

1. Within fourteen (14) days of the relevant event described below, WNR shall to provide Plaintiffs with courtesy copies of:
(a) all publicly-recorded documents related to any transaction involving WNR's purchase of Option Property from CSI;
(b) each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date;
(c) a parcel map which reflects the exact location of the related Option Property, if one is available; and
(d) documents that reflect the purchase price of the Option Property, along with a breakdown of the calculation of commission owed pursuant to paragraph (iii) of the Commission Agreement.
2. In the event there is a purchase of Option Property, WNR shall pay into escrovi alfy connimissions owed to Phaintiffs concurrentiy with WNR's deposit of the Option Property Price.
3. In the event that the AROA is terminated, WNR shall provide notice thereof to Plaintiffs within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of the termination.

If this general plan is acceptable to Plaintiffs, we would be willing to set forth the specifics in a separate document as long as Plaintiffs agree to forego the supplemental briefs requested by the Court. As all parties will need to begin working on the supplemental briefs in the coming days, time is of the essence. Therefore, I look forward to your response to this letter by the close of business Friday, August 8, 2014.

Sincerely,
Pat Lundvall

## EXHIBIT "4"

August 21, 2014

VIA EMAIL ONLY<br>Pat Lundvall, Esq.<br>MCDONALD CARANO WILSON<br>2300 West Sahara Ave., Ste. 1200<br>Las Vegas, Nevada 89102<br>plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com

Re: Wolfram et.al. v. Pardee Homes of Nevada
Dear Ms. Lundvall,
This correspondence is in response to yours dated August 5, 2014, regarding a purported assignment and/or transfer of Pardee's interest in the Coyote Springs Project to Weyerhaeuser NR Company ("WNR"), including the rights and obligations under the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004 ("Commission Agreement"). At this time, neither Pardee nor WNR has provided Plaintiffs with sufficient information regarding the purported transfer and/or assignment of the Commission Agreement.

Please understand that if the roles were reversed, and you had the privilege of representing Mr. Wolfram and the Walter Wilkes and Angela L. Limbocker-Wilkes' Trust, and I represented Pardee and/or WNR, you would be, again, very disappointed in Pardee for their failure to communicate more timely about this sale to WNR and the substantial changes that have recently occurred between Pardee and WNR. Always learning of these material changes long after the fact, which has been a pattern by Pardee, and which intentional failure to effectively communicate is what led to the commencement of this litigation in the first place. We know this may not be something your firm was in control over, but we have struggled with and need to try to solve this issue together.

In order for Plaintiffs to acknowledge and/or confirm your representations of what occurred, Plaintiffs request an accounting of the transfer and/or assignment of the Commission Agreement to WNR, with a full explanation of the transaction.

Second, Plaintiffs request an acknowledgment by WNR that your office has the authority to represent WNR for the matters discussed herein. Are you authorized to enter an appearance for WNR as their counsel?

Third, Plaintiffs request an acknowledgment by WNR, by affidavit, of their acknowledgment to perform Pardee's Commission Agreement obligations to Plaintiffs.
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Fourth, Plaintiffs request WNR to acknowledge and affirm, by affidavit, Jon Lash's trial testimony on October 28, 2013, at P. 76:19-77:2 and P. 106:13-107:2 of the trial transcript in this Action, attached hereto as Exhibit " 1 ", that the next purchase of Option Property for production housing will generate a commission to Plaintiffs.

Fifth, Plaintiffs request WNR to provide Plaintiffs, their successors, and assigns with actual and personal notice of any purchase and/or sale of Option Property ten (10) days before the close of escrow of such property, or before the purchase or sale of such property occurs if done outside of escrow, or not utilizing an escrow company, together with information as to the identification of the buyer and seller, the title company, the title officer, the sale date, the sale price, the number of acres, the parcel numbers, the escrow numbers, the recording date, the close of escrow and date, a parcel map, the close of escrow documents to see the terms of sale and document date, a breakdown of the commissions to be paid pursuant to the Commission Agreement, and any additional information relevant to the sale.

Sixth, Plaintiffs request WNR to provide Plaintiffs, their successors, and assigns with all mapping and tentative mapping submitted to the Counties of Lincoln and Clark for all land sales from 2004 to the present date, and going forward into the future.

Seventh, Plaintiffs request an acknowledgment by either WNR or Pardee as to who and when payment will be made under the $\$ 141,500.00$ Judgment entered in this Action on June 25, 2014, including interest.

Eighth, Plaintiffs request Pardee and/or WNR to produce each transaction agreement of all purchases and sales of real estate made from 2004 to the present date and going forward into the future, beyond those already identified by existing trial exhibits.

Ninth, Plaintiffs request WNR to execute an authorization or Court Order allowing Plaintiffs, their successors and assigns, to effectively communicate back and forth with the Title Officers and WNR during and after the sale of such Option Property.

Tenth, Plaintiffs request WNR to execute a separate document providing for a ten percent ( $10 \%$ ), liquidated damages provision for late payment, beyond 31 days from when due, of any Commission payment to Plaintiffs, their successors, and assigns, in addition to annual contract interest at a rate of seven percent (7\%), on any late payment of Commissions.

Finally, Plaintiffs request WNR to provide Plaintiffs, their successors, and assigns, with any amendments to the Option Agreement made from 2004 to the present date and going forward into the future, beyond those already identified by existing trial exhibits.

Pat Lundvall, Esq.
MCDONALD CARANO WILSON
August 21, 2014
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Upon receipt of the requested information, Plaintiffs can move forward to finalizing this matter. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,<br>JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C.<br>/s/<br>James J. Jimmerson, Esq.

## EXHIBIT "1"

 JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ. JAMES M. JIMMERSON, ESQ.

PATRICIA K. LUNDVALL, ESQ. AARON D. SHIPLEY, ESQ.

ONFIDENTIAL

MS. LUNDVALI: I think the Court has a question to the witness.

THE COURT: I just want to know what you mean by "single-family." We just throw these terms around and I want to make sure I understand your position. I certainly understand with the agreement says. When you say - -

THE WITNESS: So my definition of single-family detached land is primarily production housing, which would include various lot sizes. It would not include multi-family, which is townhouses, apartments --

THE COURT: Condos.
THE WITNESS: -- condos.
THE COURT: Not include multi-family.
THE WITNESS: Not include multi-family and not include true custom lots, which is typically a bigger lot than a production lot, and it's got a lot more amenitized house than a regular production house.

THE COURT: So when you say you called it production housing, that's why -- okay.

And it's your understanding then if pardee, within the terms of this agreement, buys any single-family detached land, which you call production housing, that you owe a commission to Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Wolfram?

```
Jennifer D, Churgh, CGR No. 56%
    Djstrict court, Dept. IV
```

CONFIDENTIAL

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We're an honorable company. We'll definitely honor the agreement.
Q. (BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON) And production residential property is as defined in page 2 of Exhibit 2; is that right?

MS. LUNDVALL: Production residential property has a different designation.

MR. J.J. JIMMERSON: It's right there, Production residentiad property means that -- I've read that into the record. That's the definition of the --

THE WITNESS: But you questioned custom homes.
Q. (BY MR. J.J. JIMMERSON) I'm not asking that. I'm saying when you use the word -- your obligation going forward, Pardee's obligation going forward --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is to pay a -- under your understanding, which is different than theirs -- but under your understanding is to pay a commission of one and a half percent of the purchase price times the number of acres as a commission for production residential property as defined at page 2 of the Option Agreement, Exhibit 2 ?

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, once again, I need to place an objection because it is not production residential property. That has a different designation within this contractual arrangement. It's single-family
Q. Now, if you didn't -- if you and Pardee -excuse me.

If you and CSI were to make a deal going forward in the future, in the next 35 years, and you bought any property there that was for residential use beyond the acreage you presently have and the $\$ 84$ million that Pardee has spent, would that entitle our clients, Mr. Wolfram and Mr, Wilkes, separately, their branches of their family, to a commission on this Commission Agreement?
A. No. It woula only be for the single-family detached.
Q. So if an agreement is reached in the future as it relates to single-family detached residences, as those terms are defined in Exhibit 2, then the commission would be due and owing; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that would be irrespective of whether there's a specific notice given? If you and CSI make an agreement that bypasses those type of notices, you would still have that obligation; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And by virtue of the terms, specifically of the second paragraph of page 2 of the Commission Agreement, you would have an affirmative obligation to notify the

```
Wilkes family and the Wolfram family; isn't that true?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Now --
    A. I think we would follow the same procedure
we've been following. I mean, I'm kind of taken aback
by the fact that we went for four or five years making
4 9 ~ p a y m e n t s ~ o n l y ~ t o ~ f i g u r e ~ o u t ~ t h e ~ s y s t e m ~ i s n ' t ~ w o r k i n g . ~
I don't know why we waited four and a half years to
figure out they are not happy with the way we're doing
it.
Q. Well, you didn't read the Complaint to inquire, did you, sir?
A. I did not.
Q. Well, that might have been an answer for you.
Look at Exhibit \(A\). What is Exhibit \(A\), please, Defendant's Exhibit A?
A. It looks like it's an e-mail to Walt Wilkes from Linda Jones at Stewart Title.
Q. Look at all of them, please. Because this is what \(I\) think you may be referring to when you say 40 notes or 49 notices. And \(I\) just wanted to make sure that \(I\) was on the same wavelength as you so we have the same understanding.
MS. LUNDVALL: Exhibit A is 132 pages. Do you want him to look at each one of these pages?
```

```
Jennifer D, Church, CCR No, 560
    Dismrictcourt, Dept.IV
```

CONFIDENTIAL

## Barbara Abbott

| From: | Barbara Abbott |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, August 21, 2014 11:43 AM |
| To: | 'plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com' |
| Cc: | James J. Jimmerson, Esq; Kim Stewart; Burak S. Ahmed |
| Subject: | Wolfram, et. al. v. Pardee Homes of Nevada |
| Attachments: | LTR to Lundvall 8.21.14.pdf |

Ms. Lundvall:

Please see attached correspondence.
Barbara Abbott
Legal Secretary to
Burak S. Ahmed, Esq., and
Samantha J. Mentzel, Esq.
JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C.
415 South Sixth Street, Suite 100
Las Vegas. Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 388-7171, ext. 316
Facsimile: (702) 380-6417

SUPP
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
AARON D. SHIPLEY (NSBN 8258)
McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 873-4100
(702) 873-9966 Facsimile
lundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
ashipley@mcdonaldcarano.com
Attorneys for Defendant
Pardee Homes of Nevada

JAMES WOLFRAM, WALT WILKES

Plaintiffs,
vs.

PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
Defendant.

AND RELATED CLAIMS

On June 25, 2014, this Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("FFCL") in the matter, and ordered the parties to provide supplemental briefs detailing the information and documentation Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee") shall be required to provide Plaintiffs, and their heirs, as an accounting of the amount and due dates of any future commission payments due and owing to Plaintiffs if Pardee ever exercises its option to purchase of Option Property at Coyote Springs. Therefore, pursuant to the Court's order, Pardee submits the following memorandum of points and authorities and proposal for the Court's consideration.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. RELEVANT FACTS

Relevant to the issue of Pardee's obligation to provide future accountings to Plaintiffs, the Court made specific findings of fact. In or about May 2004, Pardee and Coyote Springs Investment LLC ("CSI") entered into a written agreement entitled Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions ("Option Agreement") ${ }^{1}$, which set forth the terms of the deal, among many others, concerning Pardee's acquisition of the Production Residential Property from CSI at Coyote Springs. FFCL at "Findings of Fact" If 10. Prior to Pardee and Plaintiffs executing the Commission Agreement, the Option Agreement was amended twice. Id. at $\mathbb{\|} 11$. Plaintiffs received both the Amendment to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instruction dated July 28, 2004, and the Amendment No. 2 to Option Agreement for the Purchase of Real Property and Joint Escrow Instructions dated August 31, 2004. Id.

At the same time Pardee was negotiating the Option Agreement (including amendments thereto), Pardee also extensively negotiated with Plaintiffs and executed the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004. Id. at $\mathbb{\|}$ 13. The Commission Agreement was an arms-length transaction. Id. Among other things, the Commission Agreement provided that, in exchange for the procuring services rendered by Plaintiffs, Pardee agreed to pay certain commissions to Plaintiffs for land purchased from CSI, and send Plaintiffs information concerning the real estate purchases made under the Option Agreement and the corresponding commission payments. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 14$.

The Commission Agreement states that all of the capitalized terms used in the Commission Agreement shall have the exact meaning set forth in the Option Agreement. Id. at IT 18. The Commission Agreement set forth a schedule for payment

[^2]of commissions to Plaintiffs related to the Purchase Property Price (as defined in the Option Agreement). Id. at $\mathbb{T} 17$. These commissions were not dependent upon acreage or location of the lands being acquired, or upon the closing of any land transaction. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 21,33$. All commissions owed to Plaintiffs relative to the Purchase Property Price were paid in full. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 20,31$. Further, Plaintiffs were informed of the amount and due dates of each commission payment. Id. at § 32. Plaintiffs were paid a total of $\$ 2,632.000 .00$ in commissions pursuant to paragraphs (i) and (ii) of the Commission Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 34$.

The obligations related to commissions payable under paragraph (iii) of the Commission Agreement were set forth as follows: "Thereafter, Pardee shall make such commission payment pursuant to clause (iii) above concurrently with the close of escrow on Pardee's purchase of the applicable portion of the Option Property; provided, however, that in the event the required Parcel Map creating the applicable Option Parcel has not been recorded as of the scheduled Option Closing, as described in paragraph 9(c) of the Option Agreement, the commission shall be paid into escrow concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price into escrow and the commission shall be paid directly from the proceeds of said Escrow." Id. at $\mathbb{1} 22$.

The general term "Option Property" is defined in the Option Agreement as follows: "the remaining portion of the Entire Site which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use, as described below . . . in a number of separate phases (referred to herein collectively as the 'Option Parcels' and individually as 'Option Parcel'), upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth." Id. at $\mathbb{1}$ 23. The definition of "Option Property" never changed. Id. In sum, no commission is payable under (iii) of the Commission Agreement unless the property purchased fell within the definition of Option Property purchased pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 36$.

Pursuant to the Commission Agreement, Pardee is required to provide Plaintiffs with notifications and information concerning future transactions between Pardee and

CSI under the Option Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{T} \mathbf{2 4}$. The specific language of the Commission Agreement is as follows:

Pardee shall provide to each of you a copy of each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date. In addition, Pardee shall keep each of you reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of your commission payments.

Id. at IT 24 (emphasis included). Pardee did provide information relating to the amount and due dates related to Plaintiffs' commission payments paid under paragraph (i) and (ii) of the Commission Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{I}$ 38. Specifically, Plaintiffs received information including the date, escrow number, name of title company, percentage of commission to be paid, to whom and the split between Plaintiffs. Id. Each commission payment was paid pursuant to an Order to Pay Commission to Broker. Id.

Pardee has not exercised any option to purchase single family production residential property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{\text { II }} 36$. "Therefore, Pardee as of the present time does not owe any commission to Plaintiffs under paragraph iii of the Commission Agreement." Id. Further, Plaintiffs are not entitled to any additional commission in the event Pardee re-designates lands already owned at Coyote Springs. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 44$.

The Commission Agreement applies to any successor or assignee of Pardee's rights under the Option Agreement. In this regard, it is important to note that Weyerhaeuser Company was the former ultimate parent of Pardee Homes of Nevada. In early July 2014, TRI Pointe Homes, Inc. ("TPH") merged with Weyerhaeuser Company's homebuilding subsidiary, Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Company ("WRECO"). WRECO survived the merger and became a wholly owned subsidiary of TPH. As a result, TPH is now the parent company of Pardee Homes, which includes Pardee Homes of Nevada. However, this merger did not involve Pardee's rights and interest in the Coyote Springs Project. At or around the time of the merger, WRECO transferred and assigned all of its rights, title and interest in and to the Coyote Springs Project to

Weyerhaeuser NR Company ("WNR"), a Washington corporation that was WRECO's corporate parent up until the time of the TPH merger. This includes the rights and obligations created under the terms of the Commission Agreement. WNR acknowledges its continuing duties under the Commission Agreement. In addition, counsel for Pardee, McDonald Carano Wilson LLP, has authority to represent WNR with regard to any issues related to this litigation and WNR's continuing duties under the Commission Agreement. However, for the sake of clarity and consistency, the term "Pardee" will continue to be used in this brief and shall mean and refer to WNR or any other future successor or assignee of Pardee's rights under the Option Agreement.

## II. RELEVANT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Again, relevant to the issue of Pardee's obligation to provide future accountings to Plaintiffs, the Court made specific legal conclusions. The Commission Agreement is a valid and enforceable contract between Plaintiffs and Pardee. FFCL at "Conclusions of Law" If 4. Pursuant to the Commission Agreement, Pardee agreed to pay commissions and provide information to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matter relating to the amount and due date of their commissions. Id. at II 5. All commissions owed to Plaintiffs related to the Purchase Property Price and paragraphs (i) and (ii) of the Commission Agreement have been paid. Id. at $\mathbb{\|} 6,9$. The Purchase Property Price was not tied to specific acreage or boundaries. Id. at $\mathbb{T}$ 12-13.

Pardee is also required to pay commissions on its purchase of Option Property, if exercised, but to date, Pardee has not exercised its option to purchase Option Property pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{I} 7-8$. The potential boundaries for Option Property are subject to change. Id. at $\mathbb{\|} 12$. There are many factors that necessitated flexibility in the boundaries of the Purchase Property and Option Property, including: BLM configuration, moving the utility corridor, mapping, the subdivision process, the entitlement and permitting processes, the Moapa Dace issues and other wildlife issues, and the design by Jack Nicklaus of the golf courses. Id. Many of these issues were, and are, out of Pardee's and CSI's hands. Id.

There is a multi-step process required of Pardee if it exercises its option to purchase Option Property under the terms of the Option Agreement. Id. at $\mathbb{T} 14$. It involves a myriad of written documents, some of which would need to be recorded in the public record. Id. However, no such option has been exercised, and therefore, no additional commission payments are owed to Plaintiffs. Id.

Finally, the Court found that "there is a special relationship of trust between Plaintiffs and Pardee that entitles Plaintiffs to an accounting or the information concerning the development of Coyote Springs in the future as it pertains to Plaintiffs' commissions on option property. There is no way for Plaintiffs or their heirs to determine whether a commission payment is due in the future without an accounting of the type of land of any future purchases by Pardee from CSI at Coyote Spring. Access to said information is required to ensure the accuracy of commission payments that may be due and owing in the future." FFCL at "Conclusion of Law--Plaintiffs' Claim for an Accounting" ${ }^{1} 6$.

## III. PROPOSAL FOR FUTURE ACCOUNTING

The Court ordered the parties to provide written supplemental briefs within 60 days of entry of the FFCL detailing what information should be provided by Pardee to Plaintiffs and their heirs as an accounting in the future. FFCL at "Decision" \| 3. As such, Pardee reaffirms the obligations set forth in the express terms of the Commission Agreement, including the provisions that require Pardee to provide notice to Plaintiffs if and when it exercises its option to purchase Option Property from CSI at Coyote Springs, and to keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed as to all matters relating to the amount and due dates of any related commission payments. In order to specify the circumstances and methods by with Pardee with keep Plaintiffs reasonably informed, Pardee submits the following proposal for the Court's approval.
(1) Within fourteen (14) days of the relevant event described below, Pardee shall provide Plaintiffs with courtesy copies of the following:

- All publicly-recorded documents related to any transaction involving Pardee's purchase of Option Property from CSI;
- Each written option exercise notice given pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date;
- A parcel map which reflects the exact location of the related Option Property, if one is available; and
- Documents that reflect the purchase price of the Option Property, along with a breakdown of the calculation of commission owed pursuant to paragraph (iii) of the Commission Agreement.
- Pardee shall notify Plaintiffs which escrow company will handle any Option Property purchases
(2) In the event there is a purchase of Option Property, Pardee shall pay into escrow any commissions owed to Plaintiffs concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price.
(3) In the event that the Option Agreement is terminated, Pardee shall provide notice thereof to Plaintiffs within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of the termination.
(4) Plaintiffs shall notify Pardee of the name and address of the person or entity that should receive notice of the foregoing information and documents.
(5) Pardee will provide Plaintiffs, their successors and/or assigns, with copies of any future amendments to the Option Agreement, subject to Plaintiffs executing a confidentiality agreement.


## IV. CONCLUSION

Pardee respectfully requests the Court enter an order setting forth the foregoing proposal.

Respectfully submitted this $\qquad$ day of August, 2014.

McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP<br>/s/ Pat Lundvall<br>Pat Lundvall (\#3761)<br>Aaron D. Shipley (\#8258) 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102<br>Attorneys for Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP and that on the 25 day of August, 2014, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Pardee Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting via e-service via the Wiznet e-filing system utilized by the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada:

James J. Jimmerson Lynn M. Hansen James M. Jimmerson JIMMERSON, HANSEN, P.C. 415 S. Sixth Street, Ste 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Plaintiffs
/s/ Sally Wexler
An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP


Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting as well as a Notice of Submission. On February 10, 2015, the Court issued a minute order reflecting its decision on the supplemental briefing.

Now, having considered the parties' briefings, any arguments by counsel presented in support of the same, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court decides the submitted issues as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada or its successors in interest and/or assigns (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Pardee") shall provide to Plaintiffs an affidavit or unsworn declaration in lieu thereof pursuant to NRS 53.045 executed under penalty of perjury by a corporate representative from Weyerhaeuser NR Company ("WNR") acknowledging and confirming the representations contained in Pat Lundvall's letter dated August 5, 2014, regarding the transactions which resulted in Pardee's rights and obligations under the Commission Agreement being assigned/transferred to WNR.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Pardee shall provide to Plaintiffs and their successors and/or assigns all future amendments, if any, to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement dated March 28, 2005. The documents will be designated CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to the protective order in the above-referenced matter.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, in compliance with the Court's Decision, Pardee provide the following to Plaintiffs in the future to keep them reasonably informed pursuant to the Commission Agreement:

1. Within fourteen (14) days of the relevant event described below, Pardee shall provide Plaintiffs with courtesy copies of the following:
a. All publicly-recorded documents related to any transaction involving Pardee's purchase of Option Property ${ }^{1}$ from CSI;
b. Each written option exercise notice given pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date;
c. A parcel map which reflects the exact location of the related Option Property, if one is available;
d. Documents that reflect the purchase price of the Option Property, along with a breakdown of the calculation of commission owed pursuant to paragraph (iii) of the Commission Agreement; and
e. Pardee shall notify Plaintiffs which escrow company will handle any Option Property purchases.
2. If there is a purchase of Option Property, Pardee shall pay into escrow any commissions owed to Plaintiffs concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price.
3. If the Option Agreement is terminated, Pardee shall provide notice thereof to Plaintiffs within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of the termination.
4. Plaintiffs shall notify counsel for Pardee and WNR of the name and address of the person or entity that should receive notice of the foregoing information and documents.

[^3]Submitted by:
McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP

## Rut Lundicul

PAT LUNDVALL (NBSN \#3761)
RORY T. KAY (NSB \#12416)
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorneys for Pardee Homes of Nevada

Reviewed and approved by:
JIMMERSON HANSEN P.C.
 LYNN M. HANSEN (NSB \#00244)
BURAK S. AHMED (NSB \#12547)
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
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## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JAMES WOLFRAM, WALT WILKES

Plaintiffs,
vs.
PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
Defendant.

CASE NO.: A-10-632338-C
DEPT NO.: IV
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING RE FUTURE ACCOUNTING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER ON FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING RE FUTURE ACCOUNTING was entered in the above-referenced case on the 20 th day of April, 2015, a copy of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 13th day of May, 2014.

> | McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP |
| :--- |
| /s/ Pat Lundvall |
| PAT LUNDVALL (\#3761) |
| RORY T. KAY (\#12416) |
| 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 |
| Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 |
| Attorneys for Defendant Pardee Homes of |
| Nevada |

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP and that on this $13^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2015, I served a true and correct copy of the NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING RE FUTURE ACCOUNTING via Wiznet electronic service as utilized by the Eighth Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada.

James J. Jimmerson, Esq.
Lynn Hansen, Esq.
James M. Jimmerson, Esq JIMMERSON, HANSEN, P.C.
415 S. Sixth Street, Ste 100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorney for Plaintiffs
/s/ Sally Wexler
An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP
334032.1


Homes of Nevada's Supplemental Brief Regarding Future Accounting as well as a Notice of Submission. On February 10, 2015, the Court issued a minute order reflecting its decision on the supplemental briefing.

Now, having considered the parties' briefings, any arguments by counsel presented in support of the same, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court decides the submitted issues as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada or its successors in interest and/or assigns (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Pardee") shall provide to Plaintiffs an affidavit or unsworn declaration in lieu thereof pursuant to NRS 53.045 executed under penalty of perjury by a corporate representative from Weyerhaeuser NR Company ("WNR") acknowledging and confirming the representations contained in Pat Lundvall's letter dated August 5, 2014, regarding the transactions which resulted in Pardee's rights and obligations under the Commission Agreement being assigned/transferred to WNR.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Pardee shall provide to Plaintiffs and their successors and/or assigns all future amendments, if any, to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement dated March 28, 2005. The documents will be designated CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to the protective order in the above-referenced matter.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, in compliance with the Court's Decision, Pardee provide the following to Plaintiffs in the future to keep them reasonably informed pursuant to the Commission Agreement:

1. Within fourteen (14) days of the relevant event described below, Pardee shall provide Plaintiffs with courtesy copies of the following:
a. All publicly-recorded documents related to any transaction involving Pardee's purchase of Option Property ${ }^{1}$ from CSI;
b. Each written option exercise notice given pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Option Agreement, together with information as to the number of acres involved and the scheduled closing date;
c. A parcel map which reflects the exact location of the related Option Property, if one is available;
d. Documents that reflect the purchase price of the Option Property, along with a breakdown of the calculation of commission owed pursuant to paragraph (iii) of the Commission Agreement; and
e. Pardee shall notify Plaintiffs which escrow company will handle any Option Property purchases.
2. If there is a purchase of Option Property, Pardee shall pay into escrow any commissions owed to Plaintiffs concurrently with Pardee's deposit of the Option Property Price.
3. If the Option Agreement is terminated, Pardee shall provide notice thereof to Plaintiffs within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of the termination.
4. Plaintiffs shall notify counsel for Pardee and WNR of the name and address of the person or entity that should receive notice of the foregoing information and documents.

[^4]Submitted by:
McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
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JAMES WOLFRAM, WALT WILKES

Plaintiffs,
vs.

PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA,
Defendant.

## AND RELATED CLAIMS

Pursuant to NRCP 54(d), NRS 18.010(1) and the Commission Agreement dated September 1, 2004, Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee") hereby moves the Court for an award of its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending and prevailing in the above-referenced matter. In 2004, Pardee and plaintiffs James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes (collectively "Plaintiffs") executed a Commission Agreement concerning the Coyote Springs Project. The Commission Agreement broadly discussed Pardee's development on the Coyote Springs Project and set forth a broker commission for Plaintiffs subject to Pardee entering into certain land transactions. The Commission Agreement also stated that the prevailing party in any litigation to enforce its contractual rights was entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

After disagreement between the parties regarding certain transactions on the Coyote Springs Project, Plaintiffs brought suit and claimed over $\$ 1.9$ million in damages resulting from Pardee's purported breach of the Commission Agreement, including the following claimed damages:
(1) $\$ 1.8$ million in lost future commissions;
(2) $\$ 146,000$ in attorney's fees; and
(3) $\$ 6,000$ in time and effort expended searching for information regarding what Pardee owed them under the Commission Agreement.

Perhaps realizing the frailty of these claimed damages, Plaintiffs served Pardee with an Offer of Judgment for $\$ 149,000$ before trial. Pardee rejected the Offer, contending that the Plaintiffs were not due any lost future commissions under the Commission Agreement.

The parties' trial then began on October 23, 2013, and after a full presentation of the evidence, the Court entirely rejected Plaintiffs' claim to $\$ 1.8$ million in damages in lost future commissions, finding in favor of Pardee on that portion of plaintiffs' breach of contract claim. The Court awarded Plaintiffs only $\$ 6,000$ in compensatory damages for another portion of their breach of contract and accounting claim, and $\$ 141,000$ in attorney's fees as special damages on those claims. In total, the Court awarded the Plaintiffs only $7.5 \%$ of their total damages claim and only $.03 \%$ of their claimed compensatory damages. Plaintiffs' $\$ 147,000$ recovery also fell short of their Offer of Judgment. Thus, Pardee entirely prevailed on Plaintiffs' claim to lost future commissions, which was the case's most significant and bitterly contested issue. Therefore, under the Commission Agreement, NRS 18.010(1), and applicable case law, Pardee is entitled to its attorney's fees and costs as the prevailing party on this issue.

This Motion is based on NRS 18.010(1), the Commission Agreement, the pleadings and papers on file, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the declaration of Pat Lundvall, and any oral argument the Court may entertain at the hearing of this Motion.

DATED this 28 day of May, 2015.

McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
/s/ Rory T. Kay
PAT LUNDVALL (NBSN \#3761)
RORY T. KAY (NSB \#12416)
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorneys for Pardee Homes of Nevada

## NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: All Parties and Their Counsel of Record:
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the foregoing PARDEE'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES for hearing before the above-entitled Court on the $\underline{15}$ day of July, 2015 at the hour of $9: 00 \mathrm{amin}$ Department IV of the above-entitled Court, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard.

> MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
/s/ Rory T. Kay
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
RORY KAY (NSBN 12416)
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for Pardee Homes of Nevada

# DECLARATION OF PAT LUNDVALL IN SUPPORT OF PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES 

Pat Lundvall declares as follows:

1. I am a partner in the law firm of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP ("McDonald Carano"), counsel of record for Pardee Homes of Nevada ("Pardee") in Clark County, Nevada District Court Case A-10-632338-C.
2. This Declaration, which is submitted in support of Pardee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, is made of my own personal knowledge. The information contained in this declaration and the attached invoices from McDonald Carano Wilson to Pardee for this case are not intended to waive the attorney-client or work product privileges, nor should they be construed to waive those privileges.
3. I have been practicing law in Nevada since 1989. I have been an attorney with McDonald Carano Wilson since June 1994, and a partner with the firm since 1996. I have represented clients in all aspects of commercial litigation in state court (including the Nevada Supreme Court), federal court, the Ninth Circuit of Appeals and the Supreme Court of the United States. A copy of my resume is attached as Exhibit A. I was lead counsel for Pardee in the case brought by Plaintiffs James Wolfram and Walt Wilkes. My hourly rate varied between $\$ 465$ and $\$ 525$ during the Plaintiffs' case against Pardee.
4. Aaron Shipley joined McDonald Carano in 2002. He is admitted to the Bars of Nevada and Utah. McDonald Carano offered Mr. Shipley partnership in 2012, which he accepted. He has over ten years of experience litigating complex commercial matters in Nevada and federal courts. A copy of his resume is attached as Exhibit B. Mr. Shipley served as second chair in this matter, and his hourly rate varied between $\$ 290$ and $\$ 325$ during the Plaintiffs' case against Pardee.
5. Rory Kay joined McDonald Carano in 2012. He is admitted to the Bars of Nevada and California. Mr. Kay is an associate at McDonald Carano, and he has three years of experience litigating complex commercial matters in Nevada and federal
courts, including representing Pardee in other litigation involving the Coyote Springs Project. A copy of his resume is attached as Exhibit C. Mr. Kay provided limited services in the post-trial phase of this case, and his hourly rate was $\$ 240$ during the entire time he defended Pardee in Plaintiffs' case.
6. Brian Grubb and Karen Suroweic served as paralegals on this matter. They helped prepare and present important documents during depositions, trial preparation and the trial. They also completed relevant legal research related to the plaintiffs' causes of action, helped the billing attorneys with witness preparation, and assisted with various filings in the case.
7. All attorney's fees invoiced to Pardee were discounted 10\%, pursuant to an agreement with Pardee.
8. I am familiar with the billing rates for attorneys and paralegals in the Las Vegas legal market. All of the foregoing hourly rates are fair and reasonable rates for professional services by litigation attorneys and paralegals with similar levels of experience and expertise within the Las Vegas legal market.
9. All of the work performed in this case was necessary to protect Pardee's rights pursuant to the Commission Agreement and on the Coyote Springs Project. Pardee's counsel handled the case from beginning to end, vigorously conducting discovery, preparing for and executing the trial, and litigating the case until its final posttrial judgment. Pardee also brought various meritorious motions and defeated a substantial number of Plaintiffs' motions. All of the work done was consistent with civil litigation practice in Las Vegas, Nevada in similar cases, especially in cases where potential damages may exceed $\$ 1,000,000.00$.
10. In connection with the foregoing work, each attorney's work was billed on an hourly basis and reflected on each attorney's time sheets, which were required to be made at or about the time of the activity reflected thereon, and to accurately reflect the amount of time expended on the particular activities done on Pardee's behalf. The individual time sheets were entered into a billing program in McDonald Carano's
computer system, and sorted by client and matter number so that each client/matter number received a separate accounting of the time spent by each attorney on that file during the preceding month. Those entries were then prepared in a format that constituted a draft of the monthly bill, with time converted to dollar amounts. Each attorney and I reviewed the draft bills for accuracy. If I, as the billing attorney, believed that a write down was appropriate, then the client was given a discount which was never reflected on their invoice. I made such write downs to my time and other billing professionals throughout the entire case. This procedure has proven to be trustworthy and to render accurate and timely billing statements.
11. The billing statements that are attached hereto as Exhibit $D$ are true and correct copies of the billing statements generated in connection with McDonald Carano's activities on Pardee's behalf in this case. All of the work identified in the billing statements was reasonable and necessary, as were all of the costs. The invoices were sent to the client and McDonald Carano Wilson has been paid in full on those invoices.
12. The spreadsheet that is attached to the Motion as Exhibit E is a summary of the fees and costs contained in the billing statement.
13. By this Motion, Pardee does not seek to recover all of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending against the plaintiffs' claims. Instead, Pardee only seeks to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending against the lost future commissions portion of plaintiffs' breach of contract claim, which was the most significant and bitterly contested portion of the case.
14. I estimate that $90 \%$ of Pardee's incurred attorney's fees and costs relate to that defense against plaintiffs' claims to lost future commissions. Thus, consistent with Exhibit E, Pardee requests a total award of $\$ 537,990.09$ for its reasonable attorney's fees and costs, which is equal to $\$ 520,163.69$ for its incurred fees and $\$ 17,826.40$ for its incurred costs.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this 28th day of May, 2015.


## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. RELEVANT FACTS.

A. Plaintiffs and Pardee Execute the Commission Agreement.

In 1990s, Harvey Whittemore formed Coyote Springs Investment, LLC ("CSI") and began developing the Coyote Springs Project (the "Project"), a 43,000 acre development just northeast of Las Vegas, Nevada. The Project straddled both Clark and Lincoln Counties. See Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law at 2:9-12, on file with the Court. As licensed real estate brokers, Plaintiffs began tracking Whittemore's Project in 2002, and shortly thereafter, they contacted Jon Lash, Pardee's executive responsible for land acquisition, to see if he was interested in purchasing land and/or developing homes on the Project. See id. at 1:27-2:18. Lash agreed to allow Plaintiffs to represent Pardee as a potential purchaser, and the Plaintiffs arranged a meeting between Pardee and Whittemore to discuss Pardee's interest in the Project. See id. at 2:24-3:8. At this meeting, Pardee indicated it only wanted to purchase the land designated as single-family detached production residential. See id.

After the initial meeting, Pardee and CSI informed Plaintiffs that their services were no longer needed because Pardee and CSI could negotiate the land sales between themselves. See id. at 3:9-12. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Pardee began negotiating the Plaintiffs' broker commissions related to the Project and Plaintiffs' introduction of Whittemore and Lash. See id. at 3:9-12. The end result of those negotiations was a Commission Agreement, which Pardee and James Wolfram executed on September 2, 2004 and Walt Wilkes executed on September 6, 2004. See id. at 4:24-26.

The Commission Agreement sets forth the parties' rights concerning Pardee's land purchases on the Project. See generally Commission Agreement Dated September 1, 2004, attached as Exhibit F. The only provision relevant to this Motion is the one that details the prevailing party's right to attorney's fees and costs if litigation arose to enforce the Commission Agreement:

In the event either party brings an action to enforce its rights under this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
ld. at p . 2 (emphasis added).
B. Pardee Purchases Land on the Project and Pays the Plaintiffs' Commissions Pursuant to the Commission Agreement.

After the parties executed the Commission Agreement, Pardee purchased relevant land from CSI that was covered by the Commission Agreement. See Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law at 8:6-9. Pursuant to the Commission Agreement, Pardee also paid the Plaintiffs $\$ 2,632,000.00$ in commissions. See id. at 8:19-20 These were the only commissions due under the Commission Agreement, and Pardee has made no other purchases from CSI that would require them to pay Plaintiffs any commissions under the Commission Agreement. See id. at 8:21-11:3.
C. Plaintiffs Demand $\$ 1.8$ Million in Additional Commissions and File Suit Against Pardee.

Nevertheless, Plaintiffs insisted that they were due additional commissions from Pardee and filed the current case on December 29, 2010. See Complaint, on file with the Court. In their operative Complaint, the Plaintiffs alleged causes of action for accounting, breach of the Commission Agreement, and breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing. See generally Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs claimed over $\$ 1.9$ million in damages, including $\$ 1.8$ million in purportedly lost commissions, $\$ 146,000$ in attorney's fees, and $\$ 6,400$ in time and effort expended related to the accounting cause of action. See Plaintiffs' Twelfth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents at 8:23-10:15, attached as Exhibit G. The dominant theory in Plaintiffs' lawsuit was that Pardee purportedly reclassified certain land purchases on the Project, therefore "robbing" Plaintiffs of $\$ 1.8$ million in future commissions. See id. at 8:27-9:14. The $\$ 1.8$ million in future commissions were $92 \%$ of the Plaintiffs' claimed damages. See generally id.

## D. The Suit Proceeds to Trial and the Court Entirely Rejects Plaintiffs' Claim to Additional Commissions.

After a full bench trial beginning on October 23, 2013, the Court rejected the Plaintiffs' claim to additional commissions. See generally Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, on file with the Court. The Court noted that Pardee had paid the Plaintiffs $\$ 2.6$ million in commissions pursuant to the Commission Agreement for all land purchases that Pardee made on the Project. See id. at 8:19-20. The Court also explained that "Pardee as of the present time does not owe any commission to Plaintiffs . . . ." See id. at 8:25-9:4. According to the Court, the Plaintiffs' dominant theory that Pardee reclassified certain land purchases on the Project and "robbed" Plaintiffs of \$1.8 million in future commissions had no basis in law or in fact. See id. at 12:16-13:9. Thus, the Court only awarded Plaintiffs $\$ 6,000$ in time and effort expended to research accounting matters related to their commissions and $\$ 135,500$ in special damages for attorney's fees and costs. See id. at 14:7-15:3 and 17:25-18:2. And the Court's total award of $\$ 141,500$ was even less than the Plaintiffs' pre-trial Offer of Judgment. See Plaintiffs' Offer of Judgment, attached as Exhibit H.

Because Pardee entirely prevailed on Plaintiffs' demand for $\$ 1.8$ million in lost future commissions,, which was the case's most significant and bitterly contested issue, Pardee now moves for the portion of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending against that claim, pursuant to the Commission Agreement as the prevailing party in this litigation.

## II. ARGUMENT.

## A. Legal Standard.

NRS 18.010(1) states that the fees of an attorney for his or her services are governed by agreement, express or implied, which is not restrained by law. Thus, a district court may award attorney's fees if authorized to do so by statute, rule or contract, and parties "are free to provide for attorney fees by express contractual provision." See Davis v. Beling, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 28, 278 P. 3d 501, 515 (2012). The
goal in "interpreting an attorney fees provision, as with all contracts, is to discern the intent of the contracting parties." Id. In this matter, the Commission Agreement calls for attorney's fees for the "prevailing party." Exhibit F, Commission Agreement at p. 2.

The term "prevailing party" is "broadly construed so as to encompass plaintiffs, counterclaimants, and defendants." Valley Elec. Ass'n v. Overfield, 121 Nev. 7, 10, 106 P.3d 1198, 1200 (2005). A party prevails if "it succeeds on any significant issue in litigation which achieves some of the benefit it sought . . . " Cole-Monahan v. Salvo, No. 62849, 2014 WL 5686290, at *2 (Nev. Nov. 3, 2014); see also Moritz v. Hoyt Enterprises, Inc., 604 So. 2d 807, 810 (Fla. 1992) ("[T]he party prevailing on the significant issue in the litigation is that party that should be considered the prevailing party for attorney's fees."). And the fairest way to determine the prevailing party is "to allow the trial judge to determine from the record which party has in fact prevailed on the significant issues tried before the court." Moritz, 604 So. 2d at 810. In considering the prevailing party, the trial judge should always be mindful that "[c]ontract provisions for the payment of attorney's fees by the losing party provide an incentive to settle and reduce litigation." Dimick v. Dimick, 112 Nev. 402, 405, 915 P.2d 254, 256 (1996).

In determining how to apportion attorney's fees and costs in cases where neither party was entirely victorious, the trial court has discretion to award fees and costs using its sound judgment. See Gunderson v. D.R. Horton, Inc., 130 Nev. Op. 9, 319 P.3d 606, 616 (noting a trial court's discretion "includes the power to determine questions to which no strict rule of law is applicable but which, from their nature, and the circumstances of the case, are controlled by the personal judgment of the court."); see also Univ. of Nev. v. Tarkanian, 110 Nev. 581, 594, 879 P.2d 1180, 1188 (1994) (stating "a trial court must award a reasonable fee, however the method upon which a reasonable fee is determined is subject to the direction of the court."). Thus, the Court is free to consider "unsuccessful claims" by the plaintiff and apportion attorney's fees to the defendant on such claims. See Tarkanian, 110 Nev . at 596, 879 P.2d at 1189 ("Tarkanian's unsuccessful claims have been considered at various points throughout
this litigation, and the fees were accordingly reduced to arrive at a reasonable fee award.").

Once a litigant shows it is the prevailing party under a contract, it must also show that its attorney's fees and costs are reasonable. The guiding case in this analysis is Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank, which demands that the trial court consider the following factors to determine reasonableness: (1) the quality of the advocate; (2) the character of the work done; (3) the work actually performed; and (4) the result obtained. 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969). NRCP 54 also requires an affidavit or declaration from the movant's attorney swearing that the fees were reasonably incurred and supporting documentation evidencing the fees claimed.

The Nevada Supreme Court has further clarified that awarded costs must be reasonable, and that the parties may not simply "estimate" a reasonable amount of costs. See Cadle Co. v. Woods \& Erickson, LLP, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15, 345 P.3d 1049, 1054 (Mar. 26, 2015). Instead, the statute requires the requesting party to provide a verification under oath that "to the best of his or her knowledge and belief the items are correct, and that the costs have been necessarily incurred in the action or proceeding." ld. Thus, the party must provide supporting documentation to "demonstrate how such fees were necessary to and incurred in the present action." Id. This documentation may include receipts or court records, or it may be line item entries of the cost so long as they indicate "the reason for each [cost]," which is "precisely what is required under Nevada law." Id.
B. Pardee is Entitled to Its Reasonable Attorney's Fees and Costs in this Litigation.

1. Pardee is the "prevailing party" and is therefore entitled to its attorney's fees pursuant to the Commission Agreement.

The significant issue in this case during trial was always Plaintiffs' claim to $\$ 1.8$ million in lost future commissions under the Commission Agreement. The following chart illustrates the Plaintiffs' claimed damages in this case, including a breakdown of each type of damages and the percentage of total damages that the type represented:

| Type of Damages | $\frac{\text { Claimed }}{\text { Amount }}$ | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { Awarded by }}$ <br> the Court | $\frac{\% \text { of Plaintiffs' }}{\text { Total Claimed }}$ <br> Damages | $\frac{\% \text { of Plaintiffs' }}{\text { Total Awarded }}$ <br> Damages |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lost Future <br> Commissions | $\$ 1,800,000.00$ | $\$ 0.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Time and Expense to <br> Conduct Accounting | $\$ 6,400.00$ | $\$ 6,000$ | $.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Attorney's Fees | $\$ 146,000.00$ | $\$ 135,500$ | $7.5 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ |
| Totals | $\$ 1,952,000.00$ | $\$ 141,500$ |  |  |

See Exhibit G, Plaintiffs' NRCP 16.1 Supplement at 8:23-10:15; see also Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. As the chart shows, the Plaintiffs' claim to lost future commissions was the significant issue in the case because it comprised $92.2 \%$ of the Plaintiffs' total claimed damages and also provided the very incentive for Plaintiffs to bring the lawsuit. See Letter Dated May 19, 2009 from James J. Jimmerson to Pardee ("My clients are of the belief that they have not been paid for all of the sales which they are due, and Pardee's failure to comply with its contract constitutes a material breach of this contract for which my clients will be obliged to seek appropriate legal redress for the harm your company has, and is, causing them."), attached as Exhibit I. Without Plaintiffs claiming $\$ 1.8$ million in damages for purported lost future commissions, they had no economic incentive to bring the lawsuit because their possible attorney's fees $(\$ 146,000)$ far outweighed the meager damages they could possibly recover for their time and expense in conducting their own accounting $(\$ 6,400)$.

And yet Pardee eviscerated Plaintiffs' demand for lost future commissions, with the Court finding that Pardee paid all necessary commissions under the Commission Agreement and awarding Plaintiffs not a single penny in damages for any commissions owed. See generally Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Thus, on the case's significant issue, Pardee was the prevailing party having completely defeated Plaintiffs' claim to lost future commissions. ${ }^{1}$ Under the plain language of the Commission

1 In fact, as the above chart shows, because of Pardee's victory on the case's most significant issue, Plaintiffs only recovered $7.2 \%$ of their total claimed damages.

Agreement, then, Pardee is entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs. See Exhibit F, Commission Agreement at p. 2.
2. Pardee's attorney's fees are reasonable and supported by adequate evidentiary documentation.

Brunzell's demand that the Court consider the quality of Pardee's counsel, the character of the work done, the work actually performed, and the result obtained shows the reasonableness of Pardee's claimed attorney's fees. Moreover, under Cadle Co., Pardee has provided sufficient supporting documentation to prove the reason for each cost.
a. Quality of the Advocates.

Pardee was primarily represented by Pat Lundvall and Aaron Shipley through trial, and Rory Kay performed minimal work after the trial concluded. Ms. Lundvall, as a partner in the law firm of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP ("McDonald Carano"), has over 25 years of experience litigating in Nevada courts, is AV rated by her peers, and has been named to the Legal Elite, Super Lawyers, Best Lawyers in America, the Silver State's Top 100, and Chambers USA. She is board certified by the National Board of Trial Advocacy, the National Board of Civil Pretrial Practice Advocacy, and the State Bar of Nevada, and was lead counsel on this matter.

Mr. Shipley, as one of Ms. Lundvall's partners at McDonald Carano, has 13 years of experience in Nevada courts, and has been recognized as a Mountain States Super Lawyer. He has experience handling commercial litigation, including claims similar to the ones at issue in this case, and he served as second chair throughout the trial.

Mr. Kay is an associate at McDonald Carano, having been admitted to the Nevada Bar in 2011 and the California Bar in 2013. He has experience litigating

And Plaintiffs also recovered less in each of the other two damages types than they claimed, as well as less than their pre-trial Offer of Judgment. See Exhibit H, Plaintiffs' Offer of Judgment.
complex commercial matters, including representing Pardee in other litigation regarding the Project.

Ms. Lundvall, Mr. Shipley and Mr. Kay all charged hourly rates commensurate with their experience and education, and consistent with prevailing rates in the Nevada legal market.
b. The Character of the Work Done.

The work of Pardee's attorneys was necessary to protect Pardee's interests on the Project and under the Commission Agreement. Pardee's counsel handled the case from beginning to end, vigorously conducting discovery, preparing for and executing the trial, and litigating the case until its final post-trial judgment. Pardee also brought various meritorious motions. All of the work done was consistent with civil litigation practice in Las Vegas, Nevada in similar cases where potential damages may exceed $\$ 1,000,000.00$.
c. The Work Actually Performed.

A breakdown of the work performed (including the nature of the work, the attorneys' hourly rates, and total fees incurred in connection with each task) and costs incurred is contained in Exhibits D and E.
d. The Results Obtained.

As discussed above, Pardee's counsel successfully defended Pardee on the significant issue in the case, eliminating $92.2 \%$ of Plaintiffs' total claimed damages. Moreover, Pardee's counsel successfully reduced Plaintiffs claimed damages for the other types of damages so that Plaintiffs only recovered $7.2 \%$ of their total claimed damages, an amount less than Plaintiffs' final pre-trial Offer of Judgment.

## III. CONCLUSION.

The Commission Agreement grants attorney's fees and costs to the prevailing party in any litigation arising from the contract. In this case, Pardee prevailed on the most significant issue in the litigation, entirely eliminating Plaintiffs' claim to lost future earnings, which equaled $92 \%$ of Plaintiffs' total claimed damages. Thus, Pardee is the
prevailing party pursuant to the Commission Agreement, and it is entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Consistent with the Brunzell analysis above, Pardee respectfully requests that the Court award Pardee $\$ 520,163.69$ in attorney's fees and $\$ 17,826.40$ in costs, for a total award of $\$ 537,990.09$.

DATED this 28th day of May, 2015.

MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP<br>/s/ Rory T. Kay<br>Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)<br>Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416)<br>2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Las Vegas, Nevada 89102<br>(702) 873-4100<br>(702) 873-9966 Facsimile<br>Attorneys for Defendant Pardee Homes of Nevada

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP and that on the $\qquad$ day of May, 2015, I e-served and e-filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS via Wiznet, as utilized in the Eighth Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada, on the following:

James J. Jimmerson
Lynn M. Hansen
Burak Ahmed
JIMMERSON HANSEN, P.C. 415 S. Sixth Street, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for Plaintiffs

## /s/ Sally Wexler <br> An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP


[^0]:    1 The Option Agreement defined "Purchase Property" as: Parcel 1 as shown on Parcel Map 98-57 recorded July 21, 2000 in Book 2000072, as Document No. 01332, Official Records, Clark County, Nevada (containing approximately 3,605.22 acres."
    ${ }^{2}$ The Option Agreement defined "Option Property" as: "the remaining portion of the Entire Site which is or becomes designated for single-family detached production residential use..." The Option Agreement further states that " $[\mathrm{t}]$ he Purchase Property and the Option Property are sometimes referred to herein collectively as the "Production Residential Property[]" [which] means that portion of the Net Usable Acreage [ ] that encompasses all of the Purchase Property and the Option Property which includes, without limitation, all single-family detached production residential lots (which shall include lots on which custom homes are constructed by Buyer), all land for roadways, utilities, government facilities, including schools and parks...

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ As of today's date, counsel for Plaintiffs has not receive any response to the August 21, 2014 correspondence requesting such information.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless otherwise specified herein, the Option Agreement, along with the subsequent amendments, will be collectively referred to as the "Option Agreement."

[^3]:    1 Any capitalized term in this Order referring to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement dated March 28, 2005 will have the same meaning as in the Amended and Restated Option Agreement or any amendments thereto.

[^4]:    1 Any capitalized term in this Order referring to the Amended and Restated Option Agreement dated March 28, 2005 will have the same meaning as in the Amended and Restated Option Agreement or any amendments thereto.

