

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHELLE FLORES, AN  
INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

vs.

LAS VEGAS-CLARK COUNTY  
LIBRARY DISTRICT, A POLITICAL  
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 72462

**FILED**

SEP 26 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering and reply briefs is treated and granted as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Respondent shall have until November 1, 2017, to file and serve the answering brief. Appellant shall have 60 days from the date the answering brief is served to file a reply brief if deemed necessary. Given the length of these initial extension requests, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. *Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Ashcraft & Barr LLP  
Iglody Law, PLLC  
Bailey Kennedy