

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHELLE FLORES, AN
INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

vs.
LAS VEGAS-CLARK COUNTY
LIBRARY DISTRICT, A POLITICAL
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF
NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 72462

FILED

NOV 13 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering brief is treated as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, the joint motion is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until December 15, 2017, to file and serve the answering brief. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. Appellant shall have 60 days from the date the answering brief is served to file a reply brief if deemed necessary.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Ashcraft & Barr LLP
Iglody Law, PLLC
Bailey Kennedy