

INDEX

Document Identity	Page Number
Register of Actions in Case D-16-542910-D	1-2
Court Minutes from February 9, 2017 Case Management Conference in Case D-16-542910-D	3
Minute Order Dated February 17, 2017	4-5
Mr. Pickard's Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time Dated February 27, 2017	6-9

REGISTER OF ACTIONS
CASE No. D-16-542910-D

www.pearsoned.com

Cross-Reference Case Number: D542910

Defendant	Enniss, Marc J 10850 Piata Mesa DR Reno, NV 89508	Lead Attorneys Nedda Ghandi <i>Retained</i> 702-878-1115(W)
Plaintiff	Enniss, Mickie Lynne 1200 Industrial RD APT 145 Boulder City, NV 89005	Keith F Pickard, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-910-4300(W)

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS	
11/22/2016	<u>Complaint for Divorce</u> <i>Complaint for Divorce</i>
12/09/2016	<u>Notice of Appearance</u> <i>Notice of Appearance</i>
01/04/2017	<u>Answer and Counterclaim - Divorce, Annulment, Separate Maint</u> <i>Answer and Counterclaim</i>
01/04/2017	<u>NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference</u> <i>NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conferences</i>
01/30/2017	<u>Amended Complaint</u> <i>Amended Complaint for Divorce</i>
02/03/2017	<u>Notice</u> <i>Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Equipment</i>
02/07/2017	<u>Financial Disclosure Form</u> <i>General Financial Disclosure Form</i>
02/08/2017	<u>Financial Disclosure Form</u> <i>Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form</i>
02/09/2017	<u>Case Management Conference</u> (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardcastle, Kathy) <u>Parties Present</u> <u>Minutes</u> Result: Hearing Set
02/10/2017	<u>Notice of Hearing</u> <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
02/23/2017	<u>Amended Answer</u> <i>First Amended Answer and Counterclaim</i>
04/04/2017	<u>Notice of Hearing</u> <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
04/18/2017	<u>Settlement Conference</u> (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer O'Malley, Gloria) <u>w/ SENIOR JUDGE</u> <u>Parties Present</u> <u>Minutes</u> Result: Matter Heard
07/14/2017	<u>CANCELED Settlement Conference</u> (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Marquis, Linda) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>With Senior Judge</i>
07/19/2017	<u>Return Hearing</u> (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Marquis, Linda) <i>Return from settlement conference.</i>

	Counter Claimant Enniss, Marc J			
	Total Financial Assessment			217.00
	Total Payments and Credits			217.00
	Balance Due as of 04/20/2017			0.00
12/12/2016	Transaction Assessment			217.00
12/12/2016	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2016-119793-CCCLK	Enniss, Marc J	(217.00)

4/20/2017

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Secure/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11733330>

Counter Defendant Enniss, Mickie Lynne
Total Financial Assessment
Total Payments and Credits
Balance Due as of 04/20/2017

299.00
299.00
0.00

11/22/2016 Transaction Assessment
11/22/2016 File Payment

Receipt # 2016-113910-CCCLK

Enniss, Mickie Lynne

299.00
(299.00)

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account My Cases Search Menu New Family Record Search Refine
Search Close

Location : Family Courts Images Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE No. D-16-542910-D

Mickie Lynne Enniss, Plaintiff vs. Marc J Enniss, Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case Type: Divorce - Complaint

Date Filed: 11/22/2016

Location: Department B

Cross-Reference Case Number: D542910

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Enniss, Marc J
10850 Plata Mesa DR
Reno, NV 89508

Lead Attorneys
Nedda Ghandi
Retained
702-878-1115(W)

Plaintiff Enniss, Mickie Lynne
1200 Industrial RD
APT 145
Boulder City, NV 89005

Keith F Pickard, ESQ
Retained
702-910-4300(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

02/09/2017 Case Management Conference (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardcastle, Kathy)

Minutes

02/09/2017 10:30 AM

- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Attorney Jordan Garcia, Bar No. 12374, present on behalf of the Plaintiff for Keith Pickard. Attorney Lea Blakesley, Bar No. 12802, present on behalf of the Defendant for Nedda Ghandi. Defendant appeared telephonically. Court inquired about the issues of the case. Mr. Garcia stated some resolution should be reached. Parties have several cars, residence, retirement accounts and alimony. Counsel requested a settlement judge. Ms. Blakesley agreed. COURT ORDERED: SENIOR JUDGE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE set 7/14/2017 at 9:00 AM; Case shall be added to waiting list for any AVAILABLE early settlement conference dates; RETURN HEARING set 7/19/2017 at 10:30 AM; return from settlement conference; MINUTES SHALL SUFFICE

Parties Present

Return to Register of Actions

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Divorce - Complaint

COURT MINUTES

February 17, 2017

D-16-543167-D Misty Jo DeGraw, Plaintiff
vs.
David Harrison DeGraw, Defendant.

February 17, 2017 4:30 PM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Marquis, Linda

COURTROOM: Courtroom 07

COURT CLERK: Michelle Prescott

PARTIES:

Adyson DeGraw, Subject Minor, not present

David DeGraw, Defendant, Counter Claimant, Keith Pickard, Attorney, not present
not present

Emma DeGraw, Subject Minor, not present

Misty DeGraw, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, Nedda Ghandi, Attorney, not present
not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER: NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

RE: D-16-543167-D

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 govern the procedure in District Court and require the Court be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

Upon review, the Court determines to hear Plaintiff s Motion for Temporary Orders concurrently with Defendant s Motion to Continue.

In addition, the Parties Case Management Conference (CMC) and Return Hearing will also be heard concurrently with Defendant s Motion to Continue.

PRINT DATE:	02/17/2017	Page 1 of 2	Minutes Date:	February 17, 2017
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	-------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Orders set on March 1st, 2017, 2017, at 9:30 a.m. **SHALL BE RESCHEDULED to March 8th, 2017, at 9:00 a.m.**

Furthermore, the CMC and Return Hearing set on March 8th, 2017, at 10:30 a.m. **SHALL BE RESCHEDULED to March 8th, 2017, at 9:00 a.m.**

A copy of this Minute Order shall be provided to both parties.

FUTURE HEARINGS:

March 08, 2017 9:00 AM Case Management Conference
Courtroom 07
Marquis, Linda
Prescott, Michelle

March 08, 2017 9:00 AM Return Hearing
Courtroom 07
Marquis, Linda
Prescott, Michelle

March 08, 2017 9:00 AM Motion
Courtroom 07
Marquis, Linda
Prescott, Michelle

March 08, 2017 9:00 AM Motion to Continue
Courtroom 07
Marquis, Linda
Prescott, Michelle

March 08, 2017 9:00 AM Opposition
Courtroom 07
Marquis, Linda
Prescott, Michelle

PRINT DATE:	02/17/2017	Page 2 of 2	Minutes Date:	February 17, 2017
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	-------------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.


CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **EPAP**
Keith F. Pickard, Esq.
2 Nevada Bar No.: 12470
NEVADA FAMILY LAW GROUP, LLC
3 10120 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 140
Henderson, Nevada 89052
4 Tel.: (702) 910-4300
Fax: (702) 910-4303
5 KeithP@NevadaFamilyLaw.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant,
David DeGraw

7 **DISTRICT COURT**
8 **FAMILY DIVISION**
9 **CLARK COUNTY NEVADA**

* * *

10 **MISTY JO DEGRAW**

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 **DAVID HARRISON DEGRAW,**

14 Defendant.

Case No.: D-16-543167-D
Dept. No.: B

**EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN
ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
CONTINUE**

Date of Hearing: March 8, 2017
Time of Hearing: 9:00 A.M.

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED: NO

15
16
17 Defendant, David DeGraw, by and through his attorney of record, Keith F.
18 Pickard, Esq. of NEVADA FAMILY LAW GROUP, LLC, who, on an *ex-parte*
19 basis, is applying for an Order Shortening Time to hear the pending Motion to
20 Continue, filed on February 2, 2017, and set for hearing on March 8, 2017.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///



1 An Order Shortening Time is necessary for the reasons stated in the Declaration
2 of Counsel, set forth herein.

3 DATED this 27th day of February 2017. NEVADA FAMILY LAW GROUP

4 

5 _____
6 Keith F. Pickard, Esq.
7 Nevada Bar No.: 12470
8 Attorneys for Defendant,
9 David DeGraw

10 **DECLARATION OF COUNSEL**

11 I, KEITH F. PICKARD, ESQ. under penalty of perjury, state that:

- 12 1. I am the attorney for the Defendant, David DeGraw, in the above-captioned
13 case.
- 14 2. I have personal knowledge of the items contained in the above EX-PARTE
15 APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON
16 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE and am competent to testify
17 regarding the same.
- 18 3. I have read the foregoing motion, and the factual averments contained therein
19 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters
20 based on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be
21 true. Those factual averments contained in the preceding are incorporated
22 herein as if set forth in full.
- 23 4. On October 5, 2016, Defendant, David DeGraw retained me in this matter.

///






- 1 5. On November 8, 2016, I was elected to represent Assembly District 22 in the
- 2 Nevada Legislature.
- 3 6. On January 23, 2017, Plaintiff filed her MOTION FOR TEMPORARY ORDERS RE:
- 4 JOINT LEGAL AND JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT, ATTORNEY'S
- 5 FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF.
- 6 7. That same week I was required to spend time in Carson City in preparation
- 7 for the upcoming legislative session. Being unable to adequately respond to
- 8 Plaintiff's motion, I filed my MOTION TO CONTINUE on February 2, 2017.
- 9 There was insufficient time to respond, and the start of the session, February
- 10 6, 2017, came prior to the expiration of the time given for response.
- 11 8. I am informed, and therefore believe, that the parties are currently operating
- 12 under a mutually-agreed-upon temporary joint legal and joint physical
- 13 custody arrangement and that there is currently no emergency circumstance
- 14 that would warrant challenging the mandatory nature of the continuance
- 15 afforded under NRS 1.310.
- 16 9. I am currently required to remain in Carson City, Nevada, to attend the current
- 17 legislative session. As a result, I will be unable to attend the hearing scheduled
- 18 for March 8, 2017.
- 19 10. Because the facts of my election and the start of the legislative session are
- 20 sufficient to trigger the application of NRS 1.310 in this matter, and because
- 21 the procedural issue of the continuance is of significant importance to the
- 22 efficient operation of the State, and because NRS 1.310 ostensibly removes
- 23 jurisdiction from the Court to hear the substantive issues of Plaintiff's motion,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Defendant's Motion should be decided prior to the hearing on the substantive motion.
FURTHER THIS DECLARANT SAYETH NAUGHT.



KEITH F. PICKARD, ESQ.

