

FILED

APR 04 2017

Bryan Ferguson # 96803  
HOSP / P.O. Box 650  
Indian Springs, NV 89070  
Appellant in Proper Person

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRYAN MICHAEL FERGASON,

Supreme Court No. 72640

Appellant,

District Case No. A537416

vs.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT,

Addendum to Motion to Waive

Respondent.

Filing Fees, Cost Bond and Other  
Relief

COMES NOW, Appellant Bryan Ferguson ("Ferguson") in Proper Person and submits this Addendum to his Motion to Waive Filing Fees, Cost Bond and Other Relief with the attached minutes in the district court in Case 07A537416 from July 12, 2016, attached as Exhibit "A" which provides, at page 2 as follows:

COURT ORDERED, in perpetuity, MR Ferguson... in this particular case, in forma pauperis WILL NOT EXPIRE.

Ferguson offers this Exhibit, provided to him as part of the Record on Appeal Volume 22 in NSC case 72379, as supportive of his previously filed Motion to Waive Filing Fees, Cost Bond and Other relief, and his In forma Pauperis status.

Respectfully submitted this 30 day of March, 2017

Bryan Ferguson # 96803

Appellant in Proper Person.

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
DEPUTY CLERK

# EXHIBIT "A"

Court minutes - Case 07AS37416

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT

July 12, 2016

(21 pages

# EXHIBIT "A"

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Other Civil Filing

COURT MINUTES

July 12, 2016

07A537416                      Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept  
   vs  
   U S Currency \$281,656.73

July 12, 2016                      8:00 AM                      All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Smith, Douglas E.                      COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Natalie Ortega

RECORDER: Patti Slattery

REPORTER:

**PARTIES**

<b>PRESENT:</b>	Ferguson, Bryan M	Other Defendant
	Holmes III, Robert	Other Defendant
	Holmes, Bobby	Also Known As
	Holmes, Robert	Also Known As
	Karoum, Adele V., ESQ	Attorney
	Monroe, Daimon	Other Defendant

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Deft's Pro Se Motion to Personally Give Evidence Proving the Fraudulent Warrant and Request for Discovery...Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment Against Defendants Daimon Monroe and Robert Holmes, III...Defendant's Pro Per Motion and Notification of an Inability to get Proper Copies...Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Compel...Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Request for Summary Judgment, and Request for Immediate Return of Monies to Defendants

Court noted there was evidence the box was sent and received at the prisons. Court allowed Mr. Ferguson requested to file in open court and IN FORMA PAUPERIS. Ms. Karoum argued the \$124,000.00 was received during an execution of a warrant on Bank of America. Evidence was submitted that Mr. Ferguson did not have legitimate employment between 2001 and 2006. There was regular large sum deposits of cash during the time period. There was evidence of sale of stolen property occurring weekly on the weekends. There was over \$28,000.00 in cash deposited in less two

PRINT DATE: 03/23/2017

Page 24 of 36

Minutes Date: May 16, 2007

months during the time the seizure occurred. There was evidence of no employment in form of pressure washing. Upon Mr. Ferguson's request, Court ORDERED, Mr. Ferguson's request to continue the hearing DENIED, and noted the Court would refer to Mr. Ferguson's opposition. Exhibits presented (see worksheet). Mr. Monroe argued without the original arrest the money would not be before the Court. He just received the evidence after nine years. The arrest was illegal, therefore the money could not be taken. Court noted although the provided documents was marked as Court's exhibit #1, the original would be provided to the Defendant, and a copy of Court's Exhibit #1 would be admitted to the vault. Upon Court's inquiry, the Defendant waived any defect. Court directed the parties to provide the pleadings to the Court for its consideration. Court further directed Mr. Monroe and Mr. Ferguson to write the reasons they believe the Court should rule in their favor, and provide it to the Court within the next sixty days for consideration. Court confirmed all the motions related to Mr. Monroe and Mr. Ferguson's are being stayed. Court further noted a new IN FORMA PAUPERIS did not need to be filed, as it was granted. COURT ORDERED, in perpetuity, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Monroe, and Mr. Holmes, in this particular case, in forma pauperis WILL NOT EXPIRE. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Mr. Monroe and Mr. Ferguson must file their pleadings by September 13, 2016. COURT ADDITIONALLY ORDERED, hearing SET for October 18th at 8:30 AM. Mr. Holmes requested the summary judgment be stricken. Argument that in 2012 the issues could have been addressed. Court noted the Supreme Court advised there was not enough evidence that criminal activity was involved, therefore, the process has recommenced. Court further advised the matters need to be fully briefed, and the Court would fully review the pleadings, and make a decision.