## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:	Electronically Filed
ELIZABETH C. HOWARD, an individual,	No. 72685 Apr 17 2017 01:22 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
Appellant,	Clerk of Supreme Court  DOCKETING STATEMENT  CIVIL APPEALS
vs.	
SHAUGNHAN L. HUGHES,	
Respondent.	

### GENERAL INFORMATION

Ŧ

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District 10th	Department I
County Churchhill	Judge Thomas L. Stockard
District Ct. Case No. <u>15-10DC-0876</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statement	t:
Attorney Charles R. Kozak, Esq.	Telephone <u>775-322-1239</u>
Firm Kozak Lusiani Law, LLC  Address 3100 Mill Street, Suite 115  Reno, Nevada 89502	
Client(s) ELIZABETH C. HOWARD	
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompaling of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s)	<b>):</b>
Attorney Justin M. Townsend, Esq.	Telephone <u>775-687-0202</u>
Firm Allison MacKenzie, Ltd.	
Address 402 N. Division Street PO Box 646 Carson City, Nevada 89702	
Client(s) SHAUGHNAN L. HUGHES	4
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):		
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:	
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction	
$\square$ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim	
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute	
$\square$ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):	
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:	
$\square$ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original ☐ Modification	
$\square$ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):	
5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?		
☐ Child Custody		
☐ Venue		
☐ Termination of parental rights		
<b>6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court.</b> List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:		

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: SHAUGHNAN L. HUGHES v. ELIZABETH C. HOWARD, 15-10DC-0876, Tenth Judicial District Court for the County of Churchill, February 6, 2017.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below: On July 27, 2015, Hughes filed the Complaint in this matter for Partition of his alleged interest in the Property under Nevada Revised Statues ("NRS") 39.010. Hughes exerted undue influence on Ms. Howard to quit claim an interest in her Property five (5) days after she closed the sale. Hughes claimed he did some minimal labor and paid for some improvements and expenses on the Property. This amount totals, at most, only 6% to 8% of the appraised value of the home of \$225,000.00. Despite a documented small contribution, the Judge order that Hughes receive a one-half ½ interest in this property.

**9.** Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

The inequity in the distribution is contrary to Nevada law, which indicates that in the absence of an agreement between two unmarried parties living together, each party is entitled to share in the property jointly accumulated in the proportion that his or her funds contributed towards the acquisition. Beckman v. Mayhew, 49 Cal.App.3d 529, 122 Cal.Rptr. 604 (1975); Barlow v. Collins, 166 Cal.App.2d 274, 333 P.2d 64 (1958); Hill v. Estate of Westbrook, 95 Cal.App.2d 599, 213 P.2d 727 (1950); see also Vallera v. Vallera, 21 Cal.2d 681, 134 P.2d 761 (1943).

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
⊠ N/A
□ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
☐ A substantial issue of first impression
☐ An issue of public policy
$\square$ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
$\square$ A ballot question
If so, explain:

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly
set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to
the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which
the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite
its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circum-
stance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or
significance:

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 1

Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench Trial

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

# TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Da	ate of entry of v	written judgment or order appealed from February 27, 2017
	no written judgm eking appellate r	ent or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for eview:
17. Da	ate written not	ice of entry of judgment or order was served February 28, 2017
	as service by:	
	] Delivery	
$\boxtimes$	] Mail/electronic/:	fax
	the time for file P 50(b), 52(b), o	ing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
	(a) Specify the ty	ype of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and ing.
	] NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
	] NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
	] NRCP 59	Date of filing
NOTI		ursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the notice of appeal. <i>See AA Primo Builders v. Washington</i> , 126 Nev, 245
	(b) Date of entry	of written order resolving tolling motion
	(c) Date written	notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
	Was service b	y:
	$\square$ Delivery	
	☐ Mail	

19. Date notice of app	eal filed March 27, 2017	
If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:		
nonce of appear wa	s med and identify by hame the party iming the house of appeal:	
	rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,	
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	er	
NRAP 4(a)(1)		
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY	
the judgment or order	or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:	
(a) ⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205	
$\square$ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150	
$\square$ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376	
☐ Other (specify)	☐ 11165 705.570	
_ curer (openly)		
(b) Explain how each au	thority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:	
NRAP 3A(b)(1) Provides judgment entered in an is rendered.	that an appeal my be taken from the judgment when the final action or proceeding commenced in the court in which the judgment	

NRS 233B.150 Provides that an aggrieved party may obtain a review of any final judgment of the district court by appeal to the appellate court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to the rules fixed by the Supreme Court pursuant to Section 4 of Article 6 of the Nevada Constitution. The appeal shall be taken as in other civil cases.

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court:  (a) Parties: Elizabeth C. Howard and Shaughnan L. Hughes
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:  (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
☐ Yes
⊠ No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
☐ Yes
⊠ No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b).

# 27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

# **VERIFICATION**

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

ELIZABETH C. HOWARD		CHARLES R. KOZAK
Name of appellant		Name of counsel of record
April 17, 2017 Date		Signature of counsel of record
Nevada, County of Washoe		
State and county where sign	ed	
	CERTIFICATE (	OF SERVICE
I certify that on the 17	day of April	
completed docketing stateme	ent upon all counsel o	of record:
☐ By personally servin	g it upon him/her; or	
	If all names and add eparate sheet with th l. 9703-4168	icient postage prepaid to the following dresses cannot fit below, please list names he addresses.)
Dated this 17	day of <u>April</u>	,2017
		Wedne Some

Signature